

Novel soft-pion theorem for the long-range nuclear parity violation

Xu Feng^{a,b,c}, Feng-Kun Guo^{d,e}, Chien-Yeah Seng^f

^a*School of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology,
Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

^b*Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing 100871, China*

^c*Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

^d*CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*

^e*School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China*

^f*INPAC, Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology,*

MOE Key Laboratory for Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology,

School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao-Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

Abstract

The parity-odd effects in the Standard Model weak neutral current reveals itself in the long-range parity-violating nuclear potential generated by the pion exchanges in the $\Delta I = 1$ channel with the parity-odd pion-nucleon coupling constant h_{π}^1 . Despite decades of experimental and theoretical efforts, the size of this coupling constant is still not well-understood. In this paper we derive a soft-pion theorem relating h_{π}^1 and the neutron-proton mass-splitting induced by an artificial parity-even counterpart of the $\Delta I = 1$ weak Lagrangian, and demonstrate that it is protected against any correction up to the next-to-next-to leading order in chiral perturbation theory. Considerable amount of simplification is expected in the study of h_{π}^1 by using either lattice or other QCD models following its reduction from a parity-odd proton-neutron-pion matrix element to a simpler spectroscopic quantity.

1. Introduction

The study of the Standard Model (SM) Parity (P)-violation in non-leptonic processes is extremely difficult due to the overwhelming background of strong interaction governed by Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD). Nevertheless, it is essential for a better understanding of the general properties of the hadronic weak interaction. There are currently many unresolved puzzles associated with the strangeness-changing weak hadronic processes, such as the $\Delta I = 1/2$ rule in kaon decays, the unexpectedly large violation of Hara's theorem in the hyperon weak radiative decays $B \rightarrow B'\gamma$, where $B^{(\prime)}$ refer to baryons, and the failure to simultaneously fit the S- and P-wave amplitudes of the $B \rightarrow B'\pi$ hyperon decays. Although lattice QCD is able to account for some of these anomalies [1, 2], a clear physical picture to the reason of their occurrence remains absent; in particular, we do not know if they are associated to the special role of the strange quark in QCD or if there is a deeper reason lying within the interplay of the strong and weak interaction. The study of strangeness-conserving weak hadronic process may therefore possibly shed light to this question [3].

The P-violation in the strangeness-conserving, $\Delta I = 1$ nucleon-nucleon interaction is dominated by the neutral current contribution as the charged current contribution is suppressed by the smallness of $\sin^2 \theta_C$ where θ_C is the Cabibbo angle. Tremendous efforts were carried out towards the understanding of nuclear parity violation along this line of thought. On the theory side, the paper by Desplanques, Donoghue and Holstein (DDH) [4] formulated both the P-conserving (due to strong interaction) and the P-violating (due to weak interaction including all $\Delta I = 0, 1, 2$ channels) nucleon-nucleon interaction in terms of the single exchange of the lowest-lying light mesons, i.e. π, ρ and ω . This description forms the basis of many experimental analyses. More recently, the description of the P-violating nucleon-nucleon forces and the associated currents has been based on effective field theory (EFT) frameworks such as the pionless EFT [5, 6] or the chiral EFT [7, 8, 9]. Experimental progress has been made as well, although mainly in

the last decades of the previous century, as exemplified by measurements of the P-violating longitudinal analyzing powers (LAPs) [10, 11, 12, 13, 14], the gamma-ray asymmetries [15, 16, 17, 18, 19], and the gamma-ray circular polarization [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25]. For recent reviews, we refer to Refs. [3, 9, 26].

A long-standing problem in the field of hadronic P-violation is the theoretical determination of the P-odd hadronic coupling constants. Since the underlying weak operators and their Wilson coefficients are rather well-known, the outstanding problem is to compute the associated hadronic matrix elements directly with non-perturbative methods or to fit them to data. In particular, the P-violating pion-nucleon coupling h_π^1 has attracted much attention as it is formally the single leading-order (LO) operator in the chiral EFT framework [27]. As such, it is expected to dominate the long-range part of the P-violating nucleon-nucleon potential and the resulting P-odd phenomenology in various processes. The above conclusion, however, depends crucially on the actual size of h_π^1 , and if it turns out smaller than originally expected other P-violating hadronic interactions can become dominant. Such interactions are described by the P-odd couplings between nucleons and heavier mesons (like the ρ and ω) in the DDH approach or as the P-odd nucleon-nucleon contact [7, 28] and derivative pion-nucleon [29] interactions in the EFT language.

The simplest order-of-magnitude estimate of the size of h_π^1 is based on the naive dimensional analysis (NDA) which gives $h_\pi^1 \sim \mathcal{O}(G_F F_\pi \Lambda_\chi) \sim 10^{-6}$ in terms of the Fermi constant G_F , the pion decay constant F_π , and the chiral-symmetry-breaking scale Λ_χ . This NDA estimate does not capture the potential suppression due to powers of $\sin \theta_C$ or large N_c arguments [30, 26]. In the DDH paper, a “reasonable range” for h_π^1 is given as $(0 - 11) \times 10^{-7}$ together with a “best value” around 4.6×10^{-7} based on a quark model and SU(6) flavor-spin symmetry. Other phenomenological studies of h_π^1 includes the use of quark models [31, 32, 33], Skyrme models [34, 35], and QCD sum rules [36, 37]. In general, both the quark model and QCD sum rules predict an order of 10^{-7} for h_π^1 while the chiral Skyrminion approach predicts $\mathcal{O}(10^{-8})$, all rather small values that are difficult to probe experimentally.

On one hand, such small values are in a good agreement with the absence of a P-odd signal in the γ -emission from ^{18}F which gives an upper bound $h_\pi^1 \leq 1.3 \times 10^{-7}$ [17, 38, 25]. On the other hand, the size of the Cs anapole moment [39, 40] indicates a larger h_π^1 , and the same holds for the measurement of the LAP in proton-alpha scattering [14, 41]. The interpretation of the latter experiments, however, suffers from significant theoretical uncertainties due to the complicated systems involved in the experiments. A more promising approach seems to rely only on experiments involving a few nucleons where the nuclear theory is better under control. In this light, Refs. [42, 8] tried to extract h_π^1 from measurements of the proton-proton LAP. Unfortunately, h_π^1 only contributes to the proton-proton scattering at the subleading order, leading to a large uncertainty on the extraction $h_\pi^1 = (1.1 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-6}$. More promising is the extraction of h_π^1 from the upcoming measurement of the gamma-ray asymmetry in the neutron capture on the proton by the NPDGamma Collaboration [43, 44].

A direct lattice QCD calculation of h_π^1 was attempted in Ref. [45] by studying a three-point correlation function. The result was incomplete partially due to its inability to extract signals from the so-called quark-loop diagram, which suffered from a too small signal-to-noise ratio. The existence of an explicit pion in the final state also brought about extra technical complications. For example an extra total-derivative operator with unknown coefficient must be introduced for the insertion of energy into the weak vertex. Other lattice efforts include the direct computation of the P-violating proton-proton scattering amplitude [46] which is so far performed only in the $\Delta I = 2$ channel that is free from noisy quark-loop contributions, and therefore does not help in the understanding of h_π^1 .

In this work we propose a new starting point for the theoretical investigation of h_π^1 by a soft-pion theorem. This theorem relates h_π^1 to the neutron-proton mass splitting induced by an artificial P-even counterpart of the $\Delta I = 1$ weak Lagrangian. This approach is parallel to one of the techniques in the study of P-and-time-reversal (T)-odd pion-nucleon coupling \bar{g}_π^i , which also attempts to relate \bar{g}_π^i to the nucleon mass shifts generated by the underlying P-even operators. Such relations were first derived using the current algebra [47, 48] and later refined under the framework of chiral perturbation theory (ChPT) [49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54]. In its application to h_π^1 , we find that the simple matching relation derived in LO ChPT is preserved by all corrections up to the next-to-next-to-leading-order (NNLO) including both the one-loop and low-energy constant (LEC) contributions, which is a unique feature not

shared by \bar{g}_π^i . Hence, the accuracy of such a simple matching relation is expected to be better than one percent. Considerable advantages are expected by studying the neutron-proton mass splitting instead of h_π^1 itself. For example, the disappearance of the pion in the external state implies a reduction in the number of quark contraction diagrams which is beneficial for methods that rely heavily on the analysis of contraction diagrams, such as lattice QCD and Dyson–Schwinger equation (DSE).

This work is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we quote the $\Delta I = 1$ P-odd weak Lagrangian and introduce its P-even counterpart. In Section 3, we follow the historical pathway and derive the soft-pion theorem for h_π^1 using the partially-conserved axial current (PCAC) relation. In Section 4, we reformulate the problem within the framework of ChPT and obtain the same relation in the LO weak chiral Lagrangian. One-loop and LEC corrections up to NNLO are computed in Section 5, and we show that they do not alter the form of the tree-level matching, i.e., the soft-pion theorem is preserved. In Section 6, we discuss the possible theoretical benefits of our approach, paying special attention to the implications to lattice QCD calculations. The final conclusions are given in Section 7.

2. P-odd and P-even four-quark operators

The underlying physics of the flavor-conserving nuclear P-violation in the SM is the W/Z exchange between quarks. Well below the electroweak scale, the massive gauge bosons can be integrated out in exchange of four-quark operators in the form of current-current products. In the limit of vanishing Cabibbo angle θ_C , the charged weak current takes the form of $J_W^\mu \sim \bar{u}_L \gamma^\mu d_L$ which is a pure $\Delta I = 1$ object, so its symmetric product $J_W^{\mu\dagger} J_{W\mu}$ can only give rise to $\Delta I = 0, 2$ components. Therefore, in the $\theta_C \rightarrow 0$ limit the charged current will not contribute to $\Delta I = 1$ parity violation, and in reality it is suppressed by $\sin^2 \theta_C \simeq 0.05$. Hence, the flavor-conserving $\Delta I = 1$ nuclear processes serve as perfect venues to check our understanding of P-violation in the weak neutral sector.

If one considers only the three lightest quarks, the effects of P-violation in the $\Delta S = 0$, $\Delta I = 1$ channel are carried by seven independent P-odd four-quark operators which take the following form [55]¹:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{PV}}^w = -\frac{G_F \sin^2 \theta_W}{\sqrt{2} \cdot 3} \sum_i \left(C_i^{(1)} \theta_i + S_i^{(1)} \theta_i^{(s)} \right), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1 &= \bar{q}_a \gamma^\mu q_a \bar{q}_b \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \tau_3 q_b, \\ \theta_2 &= \bar{q}_a \gamma^\mu q_b \bar{q}_b \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \tau_3 q_a, \\ \theta_3 &= \bar{q}_a \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 q_a \bar{q}_b \gamma_\mu \tau_3 q_b, \\ \theta_1^{(s)} &= \bar{s}_a \gamma^\mu s_a \bar{q}_b \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \tau_3 q_b, \\ \theta_2^{(s)} &= \bar{s}_a \gamma^\mu s_b \bar{q}_b \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \tau_3 q_a, \\ \theta_3^{(s)} &= \bar{s}_a \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 s_a \bar{q}_b \gamma_\mu \tau_3 q_b, \\ \theta_4^{(s)} &= \bar{s}_a \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 s_b \bar{q}_b \gamma_\mu \tau_3 q_a. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here $q = (u \ d)^T$ is the quark isospin doublet field, s is the strange quark field, $\{a, b\}$ are color indices, G_F is the Fermi's constant, and θ_W is the weak mixing angle. The mixing of these operators under one-loop perturbative QCD corrections introduces a scale dependence to the Wilson coefficients $\{C_i^{(1)}, S_i^{(1)}\}$ [57, 56, 55]. Here we quote their values at the chiral-symmetry-breaking scale $\Lambda_\chi \approx 1\text{GeV}$ as calculated in Ref. [55]:

$$\begin{aligned} C^{(1)}(\Lambda_\chi) &= \begin{pmatrix} -0.055 & 0.810 & -0.627 \end{pmatrix}, \\ S^{(1)}(\Lambda_\chi) &= \begin{pmatrix} 5.09 & -2.55 & 4.51 & -3.36 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

¹There is another operator θ_4 defined in Ref. [56], but it is not independent from $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$.

The $\Delta I = 1$ P-violating coupling constants in either the DDH formalism or EFT description are then just the QCD matrix elements of the Lagrangian (1) at the scale $\mu \approx \Lambda_\chi$ with respect to appropriate external hadronic states. The aim of this paper is to relate these matrix elements to another set of P-even matrix elements with fewer external states. For that purpose, we shall construct the corresponding P-even Lagrangian as the following:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{PC}}^w = -\frac{G_F \sin^2 \theta_W}{\sqrt{2} \cdot 3} \sum_i \left(C_i^{(1)} \theta'_i + S_i^{(1)} \theta_i^{(s)'} \right) \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta'_1 &= \bar{q}_a \gamma^\mu q_a \bar{q}_b \gamma_\mu \tau_3 q_b, \\ \theta'_2 &= \bar{q}_a \gamma^\mu q_b \bar{q}_b \gamma_\mu \tau_3 q_a, \\ \theta'_3 &= \bar{q}_a \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 q_a \bar{q}_b \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \tau_3 q_b, \\ \theta_1^{(s)'} &= \bar{s}_a \gamma^\mu s_a \bar{q}_b \gamma_\mu \tau_3 q_b, \\ \theta_2^{(s)'} &= \bar{s}_a \gamma^\mu s_b \bar{q}_b \gamma_\mu \tau_3 q_a, \\ \theta_3^{(s)'} &= \bar{s}_a \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 s_a \bar{q}_b \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \tau_3 q_b, \\ \theta_4^{(s)'} &= \bar{s}_a \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 s_b \bar{q}_b \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \tau_3 q_a. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Notice that the Wilson coefficient of each P-even operator is chosen to be identical to its P-odd counterpart on purpose. The readers should be alerted that $\mathcal{L}_{\text{PC}}^w$ does not come from the SM; it is simply an auxiliary Lagrangian we introduce artificially in order to facilitate the computation of h_π^1 using the matching relation to be shown. The true P-even component of the SM weak Lagrangian is not included because its influence is clearly overshadowed by the strong interaction background.

3. Matching relation from PCAC

Regardless of the DDH formalism or the (pionfull) EFT description, the long-range P-violating nuclear potential always features pion-exchanges. If we write $N = (p, n)^T$ as the nucleon isospin doublet, then there are four kinds of $NN\pi$ couplings one could write down in terms of the isospin decomposition: $\bar{N} \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\pi} N$, $\pi^0 \bar{N} N$, $\bar{N} (\vec{\tau} \times \vec{\pi})^3 N$ and $\bar{N} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\pi} - 3\pi^0 \tau_3) N$ where the first term has $\Delta I = 0$, the second and third terms have $\Delta I = 1$ and the last term has $\Delta I = 2$. Since Barton's theorem [58] excludes the possibility of exchanging neutral pseudoscalars in the CP-conserving limit, the only available structure is $\bar{N} (\vec{\tau} \times \vec{\pi})^3 N$ which has $\Delta I = 1$ and is therefore dominated by the neutral current contribution. The LO P-odd pion-nucleon coupling term can thus be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{PV}}^w = -\frac{h_\pi^1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{N} (\vec{\tau} \times \vec{\pi})^3 N + \dots = i h_\pi^1 (\bar{n} p \pi^- - \bar{p} n \pi^+) + \dots, \quad (6)$$

where the pion-nucleon coupling constant h_π^1 may be expressed in terms of the hadronic matrix element²,

$$h_\pi^1 = -\frac{i}{2m_N} \lim_{p_\pi \rightarrow 0} \langle n \pi^+ | \mathcal{L}_{\text{PV}}^w(0) | p \rangle. \quad (7)$$

Here m_N is the averaged nucleon mass. The relation above can be obtained by taking the $\langle n \pi^+ | \dots | p \rangle$ at both sides of Eq. (6) and approximating the nucleon spinor product by $\bar{u}_n u_p \approx 2m_N$, neglecting the neutron-proton mass splitting and any small momentum transfer.

²Here we choose the the Lorentz-invariant normalization condition for a single-particle state: $\langle \vec{p}' | \vec{p} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 2E_p \delta^3(\vec{p}' - \vec{p})$.

The most intuitive way to understand the matching relation between the P-odd and P-even hadronic matrix elements is to apply the PCAC relation that removes the external pion state in exchange of a commutator,

$$\lim_{p_\pi \rightarrow 0} \langle N' \pi^i | \hat{O} | N \rangle \approx \frac{i}{F_\pi} \langle N' | [\hat{O}, \hat{Q}_A^i] | N \rangle, \quad (8)$$

where $i = 1, 2, 3$ are the isospin triplet indices, $\hat{Q}_A^i = (1/2) \int d^3x \bar{q}(x) \gamma^0 \gamma_5 \tau_i q(x)$ is the SU(2) axial charge, and $F_\pi \approx 92.1$ MeV [59] is the pion decay constant. Notice that such a technique is not at all new and was adopted in the original DDH paper in its discussion of hyperon decays [4]. Explicitly evaluating the commutators yields

$$[\theta_q, \hat{Q}_A^i] = i\varepsilon^{3ij} \theta'_{q(j)}, \quad [\theta_q^{(s)}, \hat{Q}_A^i] = i\varepsilon^{3ij} \theta_{q(j)}^{(s)'}, \quad (9)$$

here $\{\theta'_{q(j)}, \theta_{q(j)}^{(s)'}\}$ are defined as the P-even four-quark operators $\{\theta'_q, \theta_q^{(s)'}\}$ in Eq. (5) with the replacement $\tau_3 \rightarrow \tau_j$. Thus, the P-odd hadronic matrix elements $\langle n\pi^+ | \theta_q | p \rangle, \langle n\pi^+ | \theta_q^{(s)} | p \rangle$ can be mapped to the P-even hadronic matrix elements $\langle n | \theta'_{q(j)} | p \rangle, \langle n | \theta_{q(j)}^{(s)'} | p \rangle$ by using the PCAC relation. We may go one step further by transforming the latter into flavor-diagonal hadronic matrix elements through isospin rotation. By doing so, the operators in the matrix elements turn into those in Eq. (5). The final result is a soft-pion theorem

$$\lim_{p_\pi \rightarrow 0} \langle n\pi^+ | \mathcal{L}_{\text{PV}}^w(0) | p \rangle \approx -\frac{\sqrt{2}i}{F_\pi} \langle p | \mathcal{L}_{\text{PC}}^w(0) | p \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2}i}{F_\pi} \langle n | \mathcal{L}_{\text{PC}}^w(0) | n \rangle. \quad (10)$$

At this level we have successfully mapped a P-odd $N \rightarrow N'\pi$ matrix element to a flavor-diagonal, P-even $N \rightarrow N$ matrix element. The RHS of the equation above can be rewritten in terms of the neutron-pion mass splitting $(\delta m_N)_{4q} \equiv (m_n - m_p)_{4q}$ induced by the P-even Lagrangian (4) using the Feynman–Hellmann theorem,

$$(\delta m_N)_{4q} = \frac{1}{m_N} \langle p | \mathcal{L}_{\text{PC}}^w(0) | p \rangle = -\frac{1}{m_N} \langle n | \mathcal{L}_{\text{PC}}^w(0) | n \rangle. \quad (11)$$

Thus, combining Eqs. (7), (10) and (11), the obtained soft-pion theorem relates h_π^1 to the P-even matrix element:

$$h_\pi^1 \approx -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}m_N F_\pi} \langle p | \mathcal{L}_{\text{PC}}^w(0) | p \rangle, \quad (12)$$

or simply,

$$F_\pi h_\pi^1 \approx -\frac{(\delta m_N)_{4q}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (13)$$

which is the central result of this paper, and will be shown in the next section to hold to a very high precision.

4. Derivation from ChPT

The derivation of Eq. (13) in the previous section utilizes the PCAC relation which only holds under the assumption of exact chiral symmetry³. One may then adequately raise the question of whether the finite- m_π effect will induce large correction to the matching relation. This could be investigated by reformulating the question within the language of ChPT that allows for a systematic expansion in powers of m_π/Λ_χ . In the next two sections we shall re-derive Eq. (13) in the LO ChPT, and show that the soft-pion theorem is preserved against the NNLO corrections.

³For instance, Eq. (8) predicts that the matrix element vanishes when \hat{O} is chirally-invariant, i.e. $[\hat{O}, \hat{Q}_A^i] = 0$; however we know that it could be non-vanishing but just suppressed by the pion mass.

Let us start by introducing the basic notations in ChPT adopted in this paper, which can be found in many introductory texts of the subject (see, e.g. [60]). As far as this work is concerned, it is sufficient to restrict ourselves to the SU(2)-version of ChPT since strangeness is conserved in the weak Lagrangian of our interest. We should stress that this does not mean that we are disregarding the effects of the operators with strange fields, i.e. $\{\theta_i^{(s)}\}$; they are just having the same isospin structure as the non-strange operators $\{\theta_i\}$ and can be described by the same spurion involving only SU(2) indices, as we shall demonstrate later. The pions are implemented in the chiral Lagrangian through the usual exponential representation

$$U = \exp \left\{ \frac{i\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\pi}}{F_0} \right\}, \quad (14)$$

which transforms as $U \rightarrow RUL^\dagger$ where $R \in \text{SU}(2)_R$, $L \in \text{SU}(2)_L$ and F_0 is the pion decay constant in the chiral limit. The quantity $u = \sqrt{U}$ is also an essential ingredient, especially in the construction of the chiral Lagrangian of matter fields. It transforms as $u \rightarrow RuK^\dagger = KuL^\dagger$ where the compensator $K = K(\pi(x), R, L)$ is a spacetime-dependent unitary matrix. We can require the nucleon field N to transform as $N \rightarrow KN$. Finally, we define the Hermitian and traceless chiral axial vector $u_\mu = iu^\dagger(\partial_\mu U)u^\dagger$ which transforms as $u_\mu \rightarrow Ku_\mu K^\dagger$.

The LO chiral Lagrangians for QCD in the pion and nucleon sector are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\pi &= \frac{F_0^2}{4} \text{Tr} [\partial_\mu U \partial^\mu U^\dagger] + \frac{F_0^2 B_0}{2} \text{Tr} [M_q U^\dagger + U M_q^\dagger], \\ \mathcal{L}_N &= \bar{N} i v \cdot \mathcal{D} N + g_A \bar{N} u_\mu S^\mu N, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

respectively. The quark mass matrix $M_q = \text{diag}(m_u, m_d)$ acts as a scalar spurion which transforms as $M_q \rightarrow R M_q L^\dagger$ and gives rise to the LO pion mass $M_\pi^2 = B_0(m_u + m_d)$. In the nucleon sector, we adopt the heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory (HBChPT) formalism [61, 62] so that the nucleon field N appears as a massless excitation with v^μ its four-velocity, $S^\mu = (i/2)\gamma_5 \sigma^{\mu\nu} v_\nu$ is the spin matrix, and $\mathcal{D}_\mu = \partial_\mu + (1/2)\{u^\dagger, \partial_\mu u\}$ the chiral covariant derivative. The finite quark mass effect could be implemented to the baryon Lagrangian at higher orders through the matrices $\chi_\pm = 2B_0(u^\dagger M_q u^\dagger \pm u M_q^\dagger u)$ that transform as $\chi_\pm \rightarrow K \chi_\pm K^\dagger$.

Next we turn to the discussion of the weak chiral Lagrangian. Both the P-even and P-odd $\Delta I = 1$ Lagrangian (again we stress that only the P-odd component has a true physical meaning) in the last section can be easily implemented into the chiral Lagrangian by means of the spurion method. To understand the procedure, we first combine the two Lagrangians to obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{tot}}^w = \mathcal{L}_{\text{PV}}^w + \mathcal{L}_{\text{PC}}^w = -\frac{G_F \sin^2 \theta_W}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_i \left(C_i^{(1)} \tilde{\theta}_i + S_i^{(1)} \tilde{\theta}_i^{(s)} \right), \quad (16)$$

where $\tilde{\theta}_i = \theta_i + \theta'_i$ and $\tilde{\theta}_i^{(s)} = \theta_i^{(s)} + \theta_i^{(s)'}.$ It is instructive to write down their explicit form:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\theta}_1 &= 2\bar{q}\gamma^\mu q \bar{q}_R \gamma_\mu \tau_3 q_R, \\ \tilde{\theta}_2 &= 2\bar{q}_a \gamma^\mu q_b \bar{q}_b R \gamma_\mu \tau_3 q_a R, \\ \tilde{\theta}_3 &= 2\bar{q}\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 q \bar{q}_R \gamma_\mu \tau_3 q_R, \\ \tilde{\theta}_1^{(s)} &= 2\bar{s}\gamma^\mu s \bar{q}_R \gamma_\mu \tau_3 q_R, \\ \tilde{\theta}_2^{(s)} &= 2\bar{s}_a \gamma^\mu s_b \bar{q}_b R \gamma_\mu \tau_3 q_a R, \\ \tilde{\theta}_3^{(s)} &= 2\bar{s}\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 s \bar{q}_R \gamma_\mu \tau_3 q_R, \\ \tilde{\theta}_4^{(s)} &= 2\bar{s}_a \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 s_b \bar{q}_b R \gamma_\mu \tau_3 q_a R. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

One immediately observes that all the operators above break the SU(2) chiral symmetry via the matrix τ_3 and the symmetry could be restored if we assign the transformation rule $\tau_3 \rightarrow R\tau_3 R^\dagger$ under chiral




Figure 1: One-loop diagrams that contribute to h_π^1 ((a)-(d)) and $(\delta m_N)_{4q}$ ((e)-(f)). The black dot and grey box denote the $\Delta I = 1$ $NN\pi$ and NN weak vertex insertion, respectively. Self-energy diagrams are not shown explicitly.

rotation. Therefore, the effect of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{tot}}^w$ can be implemented to the chiral Lagrangian through a Hermitian, traceless spurion,

$$X_R = u^\dagger \tau_3 u, \quad (18)$$

which transforms as $X_R \rightarrow K X_R K^\dagger$. The leading order weak Lagrangian in the nucleon sector that incorporates such a spurion is simply [56, 63]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{tot,LO}}^w &= \alpha \bar{N} X_R N \\ &= \alpha \bar{N} \tau^3 N - \frac{\sqrt{2}i}{F_0} \alpha (\bar{n} p \pi^- - \bar{p} n \pi^+) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where α is an unknown LEC. In the second line we have expanded the Lagrangian to the first power of the pion field; the first term corresponds to the neutron-proton mass splitting while the second term corresponds to the P-odd pion-nucleon coupling. The fact that they share the same LEC α implies a relation between these two quantities, which is exactly Eq. (13). Hence we have re-derived the matching relation between h_π^1 and $(\delta m_N)_{4q}$ using ChPT at LO.

5. Higher-order corrections

Next we consider the effects of higher-order corrections up to NNLO⁴, due to both one-loop diagrams and LECs, to the tree-level matching formula. First, the one-loop structures in the corrections to h_π^1 and $(\delta m_N)_{4q}$ are completely identical to the case of P, T-odd pion-nucleon coupling so that we may simply quote the intermediate results in Ref. [52], where all relevant loop functions are calculated in dimensional regularization. The relevant one-particle irreducible (1PI) loop diagrams are depicted in Fig. 1. Meanwhile, one also needs to compute the renormalization of F_π as well as the wavefunction renormalization of the pion field. They are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(F_\pi) &= -\frac{1}{F_\pi^2} I_e + \frac{M_\pi^2}{F_\pi} l_4, \\ \sqrt{Z_\pi} - 1 &= \frac{1}{3F_\pi^2} I_e - \frac{M_\pi^2}{F_\pi^2} l_4, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

⁴It is probably worthwhile to clarify here a sometimes confusing terminology in ChPT: in the meson sector, NLO means all corrections that are suppressed by $(p/\Lambda_\chi)^2$ with respect to the LO result; meanwhile in the baryon sector NLO means a $(p/\Lambda_\chi)^1$ -suppression and NNLO means a $(p/\Lambda_\chi)^2$ -suppression. This is because corrections that scale as an odd power of the soft momentum can occur only in the sector with matter fields.

where l_4 is the well-known $O(p^4)$ LEC in the SU(2)-mesonic chiral Lagrangian (see, e.g. [60]). The total 1-loop correction to the LHS and the RHS of Eq. (13), including both the 1PI and wavefunction renormalization contributions, reads⁵

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(F_\pi h_\pi^1)_{\text{loop}} &= \left(\frac{g_A^2}{F_\pi^2} I_a - \frac{1}{F_\pi^2} I_e + \delta Z_N \right) F_\pi h_\pi^1, \\ \delta((\delta m_N)_{4q})_{\text{loop}} &= \left(\frac{g_A^2}{F_\pi^2} I_a - \frac{1}{F_\pi^2} I_e + \delta Z_N \right) (\delta m_N)_{4q},\end{aligned}\quad (21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}I_a &= \frac{3M_\pi^2}{64\pi^2} \left(\frac{2}{4-d} - \gamma_E + \ln 4\pi - \ln \frac{M_\pi^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{1}{3} \right), \\ I_e &= -\frac{M_\pi^2}{16\pi^2} \left(\frac{2}{4-d} - \gamma_E + \ln 4\pi - \ln \frac{M_\pi^2}{\mu^2} + 1 \right),\end{aligned}\quad (22)$$

with μ the renormalization scale, are the loop functions defined in Ref. [52], and $Z_N = 1 + \delta Z_N$ is the nucleon wavefunction renormalization whose explicit form does not concern us. From Eq. (21) one observes that the loop correction to both sides of Eq. (13) simply results in a common multiplicative factor, so the matching relation is unaltered by one-loop corrections. The results above are obviously incomplete because one needs to introduce the NNLO weak chiral Lagrangian simultaneously in order to absorb the UV-divergences in the loop diagrams as well as to render the final expressions scale-independent. Such a Lagrangian involves a single insertion of the quark mass matrix. There are only two independent terms at this order [63],

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{tot,NNLO}}^w = \tilde{c}_1 \bar{N} \{ \chi_+, X_R \} N + \tilde{c}_2 \text{Tr}(\chi_+) \bar{N} X_R N. \quad (23)$$

Their contributions are

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(h_\pi^1)_{\text{LEC}} &= -\frac{8\sqrt{2}}{F_0} B_0 \bar{m} (\tilde{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_2), \\ \delta((\delta m_N)_{4q})_{\text{LEC}} &= 16B_0 \bar{m} (\tilde{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_2),\end{aligned}\quad (24)$$

where $\bar{m} = (m_u + m_d)/2$. We find that the quantities $\delta(h_\pi^1)_{\text{LEC}}$ and $\delta((\delta m_N)_{4q})_{\text{LEC}}$ also satisfy the matching relation in Eq. (13). Therefore, the soft-pion theorem relating h_π^1 to $(\delta m_N)_{4q}$ is protected against all corrections up to NNLO, including both the one-loop and LEC contributions. Hence, by the usual chiral power counting, we expect the accuracy of such a relation to be better than $(m_\pi/\Lambda_\chi)^2 \sim$ one percent when the light quark masses take the physical values.

6. Impacts of our formalism

In the conventional approach, the h_π^1 coupling is extracted from the hadronic matrix element involving the nucleon-pion state. Such a hadronic matrix element can be calculated non-perturbatively using lattice QCD. However, it would cause three complexities:

- The nucleon-pion state is a rescattering state. As a result, the hadronic matrix element calculated in the finite lattice box suffers from a power-law finite-volume effect. Only after the appropriate finite-volume correction [65], the hadronic matrix element in the finite volume can be related to the physical one in the infinite volume.

⁵The one-loop corrections to h_π^1 was previously studied in [64] with the inclusion of explicit Δ -resonances. Here we do not include them because the main conclusion of this paper, namely whether or not the matching relation is preserved at one loop, is not altered with or without the Δ s.

- Due to the inequality of the energies of the on-shell nucleon and the nucleon-pion state, the weak four-quark operator involves an energy insertion. As the injected energy must exceed $E \geq M_\pi + m_n - m_p$, the LO effect of the energy insertion scales as $\sqrt{m_q}$ and may dominate over the loop correction in ChPT. This LO contamination can be removed by antisymmetric combination of the forward ($p \rightarrow n\pi$) and backward ($n\pi \rightarrow p$) transitions [63], but the higher order terms $\sim m_q$ still remain. Although the systematical effect associated with the non-zero energy insertion vanishes in the chiral limit, it still complicates the lattice calculation as non-zero quark masses are used in the simulation.
- Although a three-quark interpolating operator can be used to create the nucleon-pion state in the S -wave [45], it is known from lattice QCD that the overlapping amplitude between a three-quark operator and a two-hadron state can be significantly suppressed [66]. To gain a better precision, it is desirable to use a nucleon-pion interpolating operator to build the correlation function. However, it would make the quark contractions more complicated and the calculation more expensive.

By relating the P-violating hadronic matrix element to the P-conserving one using the matching condition in Eq. (10), one can reduce a nucleon-pion state to a single nucleon state. As a consequence all of the three complexities mentioned above disappear, and the calculation is much simplified. Considering the fact that the only-existing lattice calculation performed at $M_\pi \simeq 389$ MeV yields a result with $\sim 50\%$ statistical uncertainty [45], it is an important intermediate step to study the P-conserving matrix element as an alternative. Using the Feynman–Hellmann method proposed in Ref. [67], one can calculate $(\delta m_N)_{4q}$ using the correlation functions of a single time variable, which simplifies the procedure to remove the excited-state contamination.

Finally, the soft-pion theorem shown here also brings benefits to other diagram-based analysis of h_π^1 , such as the DSE and partially-quenched chiral perturbation theory (PQChPT). The former, for example, computes hadronic matrix elements by evaluating “loop diagrams” of quark and gluons with insertions of fully-dressed vertices and propagators obtained by solving integral equations. The disappearance of pion in the external state greatly reduces the number of diagrams, in particular those involving contractions between the quarks in the nucleon and the pion. As a second example, PQChPT is able to isolate diagrams with specific contractions in a given hadronic matrix element through calculations of tree and loop diagrams in ChPT with an extended flavor sector [68, 69, 70]. Based on our approach, the objects of interest are baryon mass parameters, so the number of loop diagrams is much smaller (which can be seen from Fig. 1), making the calculation more tractable.

7. Conclusions

The study of the $\Delta I = 1$, parity-odd pion-nucleon coupling h_π^1 plays a central role in the understanding of hadronic weak interaction. The to-be-announced result of the gamma-ray asymmetry measured by the NPDGamma Collaboration is expected to provide an updated numerical determination of h_π^1 . Thus, it is an appropriate timing now to review and update the corresponding theory predictions. Existing model predictions of h_π^1 give non-convergent results ranging from 10^{-8} to 10^{-7} , and the current lattice QCD studies are far from being conclusive as they suffer from low signal-to-noise ratio in the disconnected contraction diagrams as well as technical difficulties stemming from the existence of a pion in the external state.

We demonstrate in this paper that the h_π^1 , induced by $\Delta I = 1$ parity-odd four-quark operators resulting from the exchange of Z -boson as well as QCD running, could be related to the neutron-proton mass splitting $(\delta m_N)_{4q}$ induced by a corresponding set of $\Delta I = 1$, parity-even four-quark operators. The matching relation is established as a soft-pion theorem $F_\pi h_\pi^1 = -(\delta m_N)_{4q}/\sqrt{2}$, which is protected against any correction up to NNLO in the chiral expansion. Therefore, instead of h_π^1 one may study $(\delta m_N)_{4q}$ which is a more simpler hadronic matrix element due to the disappearance of pion from the external state. Similar treatment has been used in the investigation of the P, T-odd pion-nucleon coupling \bar{g}_π^i induced by the QCD θ -term as well as higher-dimensional operators.

Finally we discuss possible benefits of such a reduction to lattice- and model-based calculations of h_π^1 . On the lattice side, the study of the P-even hadronic matrix element is free from many technical complications faced in the direct calculation of h_π^1 , e.g. the power-law finite-volume effect, the existence of extra unknown LECs due to energy insertion, and the many contraction diagrams between nucleon and pion state (or the low degree of overlapping between the a three-quark interpolating operator and a two-hadron state). In the meantime, the work load of diagram-based model studies is also greatly reduced. We hope that our finding could serve as a new starting point for the next round of theoretical investigations which could be directly contrasted to the upcoming experimental results, and thus shed new lights on the many unresolved puzzles in hadronic weak interactions.

Acknowledgements

We thank Emanuele Mereghetti and Michael Ramsey-Musolf for many useful discussions. We are particularly indebted to Jordy de Vries who provided many useful suggestions as well as contributed to the refinement of the introduction. The work of CYS is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant Nos.11575110, 11655002, 11735010, Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai under Grant No. 15DZ2272100 and No. 15ZR1423100, by Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, and by Key Laboratory for Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology, Ministry of Education; he also appreciates the support through the Recruitment Program of Foreign Young Talents from the State Administration of Foreign Expert Affairs, China. The work of XF is supported in part by NSFC under Grant No. 11775002. The work of FKG is supported in part by DFG and NSFC through funds provided to the Sino-German CRC 110 “Symmetries and the Emergence of Structure in QCD” (NSFC Grant No. 11621131001, DFG Grant No. TRR110), by NSFC under Grant No. 11647601, and by the CAS Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences (Grant No. QYZDB-SSW-SYS013). XF and FKG also thank supports provided through the Thousand Talents Plan for Young Professionals.

References

- [1] P. A. Boyle, et al., Emerging understanding of the $\Delta I = 1/2$ Rule from Lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (15) (2013) 152001. [arXiv:1212.1474](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.152001](#).
- [2] T. Blum, et al., $K \rightarrow \pi\pi$ $\Delta I = 3/2$ decay amplitude in the continuum limit, Phys. Rev. D91 (7) (2015) 074502. [arXiv:1502.00263](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.074502](#).
- [3] M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, S. A. Page, Hadronic parity violation: A New view through the looking glass, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 56 (2006) 1–52. [arXiv:hep-ph/0601127](#), [doi:10.1146/annurev.nucl.54.070103.181255](#).
- [4] B. Desplanques, J. F. Donoghue, B. R. Holstein, Unified Treatment of the Parity Violating Nuclear Force, Annals Phys. 124 (1980) 449. [doi:10.1016/0003-4916\(80\)90217-1](#).
- [5] H. W. Griesshammer, M. R. Schindler, On Parity-Violating Three-Nucleon Interactions and the Predictive Power of Few-Nucleon EFT at Very Low Energies, Eur. Phys. J. A46 (2010) 73–83. [arXiv:1007.0734](#), [doi:10.1140/epja/i2010-11017-x](#).
- [6] M. R. Schindler, R. P. Springer, The Theory of Parity Violation in Few-Nucleon Systems, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 72 (2013) 1–43. [arXiv:1305.4190](#), [doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2013.05.002](#).
- [7] S.-L. Zhu, C. M. Maekawa, B. R. Holstein, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, U. van Kolck, Nuclear parity-violation in effective field theory, Nucl. Phys. A748 (2005) 435–498. [arXiv:nucl-th/0407087](#), [doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2004.10.032](#).

- [8] M. Viviani, A. Baroni, L. Girlanda, A. Kievsky, L. E. Marcucci, R. Schiavilla, Chiral effective field theory analysis of hadronic parity violation in few-nucleon systems, *Phys. Rev. C* 89 (6) (2014) 064004. [arXiv:1403.2267](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.89.064004](#).
- [9] J. de Vries, U.-G. Meißner, Violations of discrete spacetime symmetries in chiral effective field theory, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* 25 (05) (2016) 1641008. [arXiv:1509.07331](#), [doi:10.1142/S0218301316410081](#).
- [10] P. D. Eversheim, et al., Parity violation in proton proton scattering at 13.6-MeV, *Phys. Lett. B* 256 (1991) 11–14. [doi:10.1016/0370-2693\(91\)90209-9](#).
- [11] S. Kistryn, et al., Precision Measurement of Parity Violation in Proton Proton Scattering at 45-MeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 58 (1987) 1616. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.58.1616](#).
- [12] D. E. Nagle, J. D. Bowman, C. Hoffman, J. McKibben, R. Mischke, J. M. Potter, H. Frauenfelder, L. Sorensen, Parity violation in the scattering of 15 MeV protons by hydrogen, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 51 (1979) 224–230. [doi:10.1063/1.31768](#).
- [13] A. R. Berdoz, et al., Parity violation in proton proton scattering at 221 MeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87 (2001) 272301. [arXiv:nucl-ex/0107014](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.272301](#).
- [14] J. Lang, T. Maier, R. Muller, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, T. Roser, M. Simonius, J. Sromicki, W. Haeberli, Parity nonconservation in elastic p alpha scattering and the determination of the weak meson - nucleon coupling constants, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 54 (1985) 170–173. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.54.170](#).
- [15] J. F. Cavaignac, B. Vignon, R. Wilson, Search for Parity Violation in Neutron-Proton Capture, *Phys. Lett.* 67B (1977) 148–150. [doi:10.1016/0370-2693\(77\)90088-0](#).
- [16] M. T. Gericke, et al., Measurement of parity-violating gamma-ray asymmetry in the capture of polarized cold neutrons on protons, *Phys. Rev. C* 83 (2011) 015505. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.83.015505](#).
- [17] E. G. Adelberger, M. M. Hindi, C. D. Hoyle, H. E. Swanson, R. D. Von Lintig, W. C. Haxton, Beta decays of Ne-18 and Ne-19 and their relation to parity mixing in F-18 and F-19, *Phys. Rev. C* 27 (1983) 2833–2856. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.27.2833](#).
- [18] K. Elsener, W. Grubler, V. Konig, P. A. Schmelzbach, J. Ulbricht, B. Vuaridel, D. Singy, C. Forstner, W. Z. Zhang, Parity Nonconservation in ^{19}F Nuclei, *Nucl. Phys. A* 461 (1987) 579–602. [doi:10.1016/0375-9474\(87\)90411-8](#).
- [19] K. Elsener, W. Grubler, V. Konig, P. A. Schmelzbach, J. Ulbricht, D. Singy, C. Forstner, W. Z. Zhang, B. Vuaridel, Constraints on weak meson nucleon coupling from parity nonconservation in F-19, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 52 (1984) 1476–1479. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.1476](#).
- [20] V. M. Lobashov, D. M. Kaminker, G. I. Kharkevich, V. A. Kniazkov, N. A. Lozovoy, V. A. Nazarenko, L. F. Sayenko, L. M. Smotrisky, A. I. Yegorov, Parity non-conservation in radiative thermal neutron capture by protons, *Nucl. Phys. A* 197 (1972) 241–258. [doi:10.1016/0375-9474\(72\)90759-2](#).
- [21] V. A. Knyaz'kov, E. A. Kolomenskii, V. M. Lobashev, V. A. Nazarenko, A. N. Pirozhkov, A. I. Shablii, E. V. Shul'gina, Y. V. Sobolev, A. I. Egorov, A new experimental study of the circular polarization of np capture gamma-rays, *Nucl. Phys. A* 417 (1984) 209–230. [doi:10.1016/0375-9474\(84\)90505-0](#).
- [22] C. A. Barnes, M. M. Lowry, J. M. Davidson, R. E. Marrs, F. B. Morinigo, B. Chang, E. G. Adelberger, H. E. Swanson, Search for Neutral Weak Current Effects in the Nucleus F-18, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 40 (1978) 840–843, [235(1978)]. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.40.840](#).

- [23] M. Bini, T. F. Fazzini, G. Poggi, N. Taccetti, Search for the Circular Polarization of the 1081-keV Gamma Ray in F-18, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 55 (1985) 795–798. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.55.795.
- [24] G. Ahrens, W. Harfst, J. R. Kass, E. V. Mason, H. Schober, G. Steffens, H. Waeffler, P. Bock, K. Grotz, Search for parity violation in the 1081 keV gamma transition of ^{18}F , *Nucl. Phys.* A390 (1982) 486–508. doi:10.1016/0375-9474(82)90280-9.
- [25] S. A. Page, et al., Weak Pion - Nucleon Coupling Strength: New Constraint From Parity Mixing in ^{18}F , *Phys. Rev.* C35 (1987) 1119–1131. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.35.1119.
- [26] S. Gardner, W. C. Haxton, B. R. Holstein, A New Paradigm for Hadronic Parity Nonconservation and its Experimental Implications, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* 67 (2017) 1917. arXiv:1704.02617, doi:10.1146/annurev-nucl-041917-033231.
- [27] D. B. Kaplan, M. J. Savage, An Analysis of parity violating pion - nucleon couplings, *Nucl. Phys.* A556 (1993) 653–671, [Erratum: *Nucl. Phys.*A580,679(1994)]. doi:10.1016/0375-9474(93)90475-D, 10.1016/0375-9474(94)90787-0, 10.1016/0375-9474(94)90086-8.
- [28] L. Girlanda, On a redundancy in the parity-violating 2-nucleon contact Lagrangian, *Phys. Rev.* C77 (2008) 067001. arXiv:0804.0772, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.77.067001.
- [29] J. de Vries, N. Li, U.-G. Meißner, N. Kaiser, X. H. Liu, S. L. Zhu, A study of the parity-odd nucleon-nucleon potential, *Eur. Phys. J.* A50 (2014) 108. arXiv:1404.1576, doi:10.1140/epja/i2014-14108-8.
- [30] D. R. Phillips, D. Samart, C. Schat, Parity-Violating Nucleon-Nucleon Force in the $1/N_c$ Expansion, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 114 (6) (2015) 062301. arXiv:1410.1157, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.062301.
- [31] V. M. Dubovik, S. V. Zenkin, Formation of Parity Nonconserving Nuclear Forces in the Standard Model $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times SU(3)_c$, *Annals Phys.* 172 (1986) 100–135. doi:10.1016/0003-4916(86)90021-7.
- [32] G. B. Feldman, G. A. Crawford, J. Dubach, B. R. Holstein, Delta contributions to the parity violating nuclear interaction, *Phys. Rev.* C43 (1991) 863–874. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.43.863.
- [33] C. H. Hyun, H.-C. Kim, H.-J. Lee, Parity-violating πNN coupling constant from the flavor-conserving effective weak chiral Lagrangian, *Phys. Lett.* B768 (2017) 130–136. arXiv:1607.06572, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2017.02.039.
- [34] N. Kaiser, U. G. Meissner, The Weak Pion - Nucleon Vertex Revisited, *Nucl. Phys.* A489 (1988) 671–682. doi:10.1016/0375-9474(88)90115-7.
- [35] N. Kaiser, U. G. Meissner, Novel Calculation of Weak Meson Nucleon Couplings, *Nucl. Phys.* A499 (1989) 699–726. doi:10.1016/0375-9474(89)90059-6.
- [36] E. M. Henley, W. Y. P. Hwang, L. S. Kisslinger, The Weak parity violating pion - nucleon coupling, *Phys. Lett.* B367 (1996) 21–27, [Erratum: *Phys. Lett.*B440,449(1998)]. arXiv:nucl-th/9809064, doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)01176-9, 10.1016/0370-2693(95)01403-9.
- [37] G. A. Lobov, Electroweak pion nucleon constant, *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* 65 (2002) 534–538, [*Yad. Fiz.*65,561(2002)]. doi:10.1134/1.1465493.
- [38] W. C. Haxton, Parity Nonconservation in ^{18}F and Meson Exchange Contributions to the Axial Charge Operator, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 46 (1981) 698. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.46.698.

- [39] C. S. Wood, S. C. Bennett, D. Cho, B. P. Masterson, J. L. Roberts, C. E. Tanner, C. E. Wieman, Measurement of parity nonconservation and an anapole moment in cesium, *Science* 275 (1997) 1759–1763. doi:10.1126/science.275.5307.1759.
- [40] W. C. Haxton, C. P. Liu, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, Anapole moment and other constraints on the strangeness conserving hadronic weak interaction, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 86 (2001) 5247–5250. arXiv:nucl-th/0101018, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.5247.
- [41] T. Roser, M. Simonius, Theoretical investigation of parity violation in p (polarized) alpha scattering, *Nucl. Phys. A*442 (1985) 701–720.
- [42] J. de Vries, U.-G. Meißner, E. Epelbaum, N. Kaiser, Parity violation in proton-proton scattering from chiral effective field theory, *Eur. Phys. J. A*49 (2013) 149. arXiv:1309.4711, doi:10.1140/epja/i2013-13149-9.
- [43] J. Fry, et al., Status of the NPDGamma experiment, *Hyperfine Interact.* 238 (1) (2017) 11. doi:10.1007/s10751-016-1376-4.
- [44] J. de Vries, N. Li, U.-G. Meißner, A. Nogga, E. Epelbaum, N. Kaiser, Parity violation in neutron capture on the proton: Determining the weak pionnucleon coupling, *Phys. Lett. B*747 (2015) 299–304. arXiv:1501.01832, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.05.074.
- [45] J. Wasem, Lattice QCD Calculation of Nuclear Parity Violation, *Phys. Rev. C*85 (2012) 022501. arXiv:1108.1151, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.85.022501.
- [46] T. Kurth, E. Berkowitz, E. Rinaldi, P. Vranas, A. Nicholson, M. Strother, A. Walker-Loud, E. Rinaldi, Nuclear Parity Violation from Lattice QCD, *PoS LATTICE2015* (2016) 329. arXiv:1511.02260.
- [47] R. Crewther, P. Di Vecchia, G. Veneziano, E. Witten, Chiral estimate of the electric dipole moment of the neutron in Quantum Chromodynamics, *Phys.Lett. B*88 (1979) 123. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(79)90128-X.
- [48] M. Pospelov, A. Ritz, Electric dipole moments as probes of new physics, *Annals Phys.* 318 (2005) 119–169. arXiv:hep-ph/0504231, doi:10.1016/j.aop.2005.04.002.
- [49] J. de Vries, E. Mereghetti, A. Walker-Loud, Baryon mass splittings and strong CP violation in SU(3) Chiral Perturbation Theory, *Phys. Rev. C*92 (4) (2015) 045201. arXiv:1506.06247, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.92.045201.
- [50] J. de Vries, E. Mereghetti, R. Timmermans, U. van Kolck, The Effective Chiral Lagrangian From Dimension-Six Parity and Time-Reversal Violation, *Annals Phys.* 338 (2013) 50–96. arXiv:1212.0990, doi:10.1016/j.aop.2013.05.022.
- [51] E. Mereghetti, U. van Kolck, Effective Field Theory and Time-Reversal Violation in Light Nuclei, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* 65 (2015) 215–243. arXiv:1505.06272, doi:10.1146/annurev-nucl-102014-022344.
- [52] C.-Y. Seng, M. Ramsey-Musolf, Parity- and Time Reversal-Violating Pion Nucleon Couplings: Higher Order Chiral Matching Relations arXiv:1611.08063.
- [53] J. de Vries, E. Mereghetti, C.-Y. Seng, A. Walker-Loud, Lattice QCD spectroscopy for hadronic CP violation, *Phys. Lett. B*766 (2017) 254–262. arXiv:1612.01567, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2017.01.017.
- [54] V. Cirigliano, W. Dekens, J. de Vries, E. Mereghetti, An ϵ' improvement from right-handed currents, *Phys. Lett. B*767 (2017) 1–9. arXiv:1612.03914, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2017.01.037.

- [55] B. C. Tiburzi, Hadronic Parity Violation at Next-to-Leading Order, *Phys. Rev. D* 85 (2012) 054020. [arXiv:1201.4852](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.054020](#).
- [56] D. B. Kaplan, M. J. Savage, An Analysis of parity violating pion - nucleon couplings, *Nucl. Phys. A* 556 (1993) 653–671, [Erratum: *Nucl. Phys. A* 580, 679 (1994)]. [doi:10.1016/0375-9474\(93\)90475-D](#), [10.1016/0375-9474\(94\)90787-0](#), [10.1016/0375-9474\(94\)90086-8](#).
- [57] J. Dai, M. J. Savage, J. Liu, R. P. Springer, Low-energy effective Hamiltonian for Delta I = 1 nuclear parity violation and nucleonic strangeness, *Phys. Lett. B* 271 (1991) 403–409. [doi:10.1016/0370-2693\(91\)90108-3](#).
- [58] G. Barton, Notes on the static parity nonconserving internucleon potential, *Nuovo Cim.* 19 (1961) 512–527. [doi:10.1007/BF02733247](#).
- [59] C. Patrignani, et al., Review of Particle Physics, *Chin. Phys. C* 40 (10) (2016) 100001. [doi:10.1088/1674-1137/40/10/100001](#).
- [60] S. Scherer, M. R. Schindler, A Primer for Chiral Perturbation Theory, *Lect. Notes Phys.* 830 (2012) pp.1–338. [doi:10.1007/978-3-642-19254-8](#).
- [61] E. E. Jenkins, A. V. Manohar, Baryon chiral perturbation theory using a heavy fermion Lagrangian, *Phys. Lett. B* 255 (1991) 558–562. [doi:10.1016/0370-2693\(91\)90266-S](#).
- [62] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser, U.-G. Meißner, Chiral dynamics in nucleons and nuclei, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* 4 (1995) 193–346. [arXiv:hep-ph/9501384](#), [doi:10.1142/S0218301395000092](#).
- [63] S. R. Beane, M. J. Savage, Hadronic parity violation on the lattice, *Nucl. Phys. B* 636 (2002) 291–304. [arXiv:hep-lat/0203028](#), [doi:10.1016/S0550-3213\(02\)00405-4](#).
- [64] S.-L. Zhu, S. J. Puglia, B. R. Holstein, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, Chiral symmetry and the parity violating N N pi Yukawa coupling, *Phys. Rev. D* 63 (2001) 033006. [arXiv:hep-ph/0005281](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.63.033006](#).
- [65] L. Lellouch, M. Lüscher, Weak transition matrix elements from finite volume correlation functions, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 219 (2001) 31–44. [arXiv:hep-lat/0003023](#), [doi:10.1007/s002200100410](#).
- [66] J. J. Dudek, R. G. Edwards, C. E. Thomas, Energy dependence of the ρ resonance in $\pi\pi$ elastic scattering from lattice QCD, *Phys. Rev. D* 87 (3) (2013) 034505, [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* 90, no. 9, 099902 (2014)]. [arXiv:1212.0830](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.034505](#), [10.1103/PhysRevD.90.099902](#).
- [67] C. Bouchard, C. C. Chang, T. Kurth, K. Orginos, A. Walker-Loud, On the Feynman-Hellmann Theorem in Quantum Field Theory and the Calculation of Matrix Elements, *Phys. Rev. D* 96 (1) (2017) 014504. [arXiv:1612.06963](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.014504](#).
- [68] M. Della Morte, A. Jüttner, Quark disconnected diagrams in chiral perturbation theory, *JHEP* 11 (2010) 154. [arXiv:1009.3783](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP11\(2010\)154](#).
- [69] A. Jüttner, Revisiting the pion’s scalar form factor in chiral perturbation theory, *JHEP* 01 (2012) 007. [arXiv:1110.4859](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP01\(2012\)007](#).
- [70] N. R. Acharya, F.-K. Guo, U.-G. Meißner, C.-Y. Seng, Connected and disconnected contractions in pion-pion scattering, *Nucl. Phys. B* 922 (2017) 480–498. [arXiv:1704.06754](#), [doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2017.07.012](#).