

KERNEL ESTIMATES FOR ELLIPTIC OPERATORS WITH UNBOUNDED DIFFUSION, DRIFT AND POTENTIAL TERMS

S.E. BOUTIAH, A. RHANDI, AND C. TACELLI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove that the heat kernel k associated to the operator $A := (1 + |x|^\alpha)\Delta + b|x|^{\alpha-1}\frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla - |x|^\beta$ satisfies

$$k(t, x, y) \leq c_1 e^{\lambda_0 t + c_2 t^{-\gamma}} \left(\frac{1 + |y|^\alpha}{1 + |x|^\alpha} \right)^{\frac{b}{2\alpha}} \frac{(|x||y|)^{-\frac{N-1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}(\beta-\alpha)}}{1 + |y|^\alpha} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\beta-\alpha+2} \left(|x|^{\frac{\beta-\alpha+2}{2}} + |y|^{\frac{\beta-\alpha+2}{2}} \right)}$$

for $t > 0$, $|x|, |y| \geq 1$, where $b \in \mathbb{R}$, c_1, c_2 are positive constants, λ_0 is the largest eigenvalue of the operator A , and $\gamma = \frac{\beta-\alpha+2}{\beta+\alpha-2}$, in the case where $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. The proof is based on the relationship between the log-Sobolev inequality and the ultracontractivity of a suitable semigroup in a weighted space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the following elliptic operator

$$(1.1) \quad A_{b,c}u(x) = (1 + |x|^\alpha)\Delta u(x) + b|x|^{\alpha-1}\frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla - c|x|^\beta u(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $\alpha > 2$, $\beta > \alpha - 2$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c > 0$. For simplicity we denote by A the operator $A_{b,1}$.

The aim of this paper is to study the behaviour of the heat kernel associated to the operator A . As a consequence one obtains precise estimates for the eigenfunctions associated to A . In recent years the interest in second order elliptic operators with polynomially growing coefficients and their associated semigroups increases considerably, see for example [4], [5], [6], [7], [14], [15], [12], [16], [17], [11] and the references therein.

For the operator $A_{b,c}$ it is proved in [1] that the L^p -realization of $A_{b,c}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $1 < p < \infty$ with domain

$$D_p(A) = \{u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid (1 + |x|^\alpha)|D^2u|, (1 + |x|^\alpha)^{1/2}\nabla u, |x|^\beta u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$$

generates a strongly continuous and analytic semigroup $T_p(\cdot)$ for $\alpha > 2$, $\beta > \alpha - 2$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c > 0$, see also [4] for the case $b = 0$. Moreover, this semigroup is consistent, immediately compact and ultracontractive.

Furthermore, since the coefficients of the operator $A_{b,c}$ are locally regular, we know that the semigroup $T_p(\cdot)$ admits an integral representation through a heat kernel $k(t, x, y)$, i.e.

$$T_p(t)f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(t, x, y)f(y) dy, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, cf. [11], [13].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K08, 35J10, 47D08, 35K20, 47D07.

Key words and phrases. Schrödinger type operator, semigroup, heat kernel estimates.

In [12] (resp. [5]) estimates of the kernel $k(t, x, y)$ for $b = 0$, $\alpha \in [0, 2)$ and $\beta > 2$ (resp. $b = 0$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$) were obtained. Even in the non-autonomous case, for a large class of second order elliptic operators with unbounded coefficients, heat kernel estimates was obtained, by using the techniques of Lyapunov functions, in [8]. For the critical case $\beta = \alpha - 2$, estimates of the heat kernel associated to the operator $(1 + |x|^\alpha)\Delta - c|x|^{\alpha-2}$ for $\alpha > 2$, $c > 0$ and $N > 2$ have been proved in [10]. Concerning the operator $A_{b,0}$, heat kernel estimates was obtained in [16].

Our goal is to prove upper bounds for the operator $A := A_{b,1}$ in the case where $\alpha > 2$, $\beta > \alpha - 2$ and any constant $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Our method consists in providing upper and lower estimates for the ground state Φ of A corresponding to the largest eigenvalue λ_0 and adapting the arguments used in [3].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we show that the eigenfunction Φ of A associated to the largest eigenvalue λ_0 can be estimated from below and above by the function

$$|x|^{-\frac{N-1}{2}-\frac{\beta-\alpha}{4}}(1+|x|^\alpha)^{-\frac{b}{2\alpha}}e^{-\int_1^{|x|}\sqrt{\frac{r^\beta}{1+r^\alpha}}dr}$$

for $|x|$ and $|y|$ sufficiently large.

In Section 3, by means of a suitable multiplication operator $Tu = \phi u$, we rewrite the operator A in the following form

$$A = T^{-1}HT,$$

where $H = (1 + |x|^\alpha)\Delta - U$ with $U = (1 + |x|^\alpha)\frac{\Delta\phi}{\phi} + |x|^\beta$ and use an associated positive, closed, symmetric form $h(\cdot, \cdot)$ defined on a domain $D(h)$ in an appropriate weighted Hilbert space $L^2_\mu(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This permits us to define the associated self-adjoint operator H_μ and his corresponding semigroup (e^{tH_μ}) . It can be seen that (e^{tH_μ}) is given by a heat kernel k_μ . Adapting the arguments used in [3] and [12], we prove the following intrinsic ultracontractivity

$$k_\mu(t, x, y) \leq c_1 e^{\lambda_0 t} e^{c_2 t^{-\gamma}} \Phi(x)\Phi(y), \quad t > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where c_1, c_2 are positive constant, $\gamma = \frac{\beta-\alpha+2}{\beta+\alpha-2}$ and λ_0 is the largest eigenvalue of A , provided that $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. Accordingly, we obtain upper bounds of the heat kernel

$$k(t, x, y) \leq c_1 e^{\lambda_0 t + c_2 t^{-\gamma}} \left(\frac{1 + |y|^\alpha}{1 + |x|^\alpha} \right)^{\frac{b}{2\alpha}} \frac{(|x||y|)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}-\frac{1}{4}(\beta-\alpha)}}{1 + |y|^\alpha} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\beta-\alpha+2} \left(|x|^{\frac{\beta-\alpha+2}{2}} + |y|^{\frac{\beta-\alpha+2}{2}} \right)}$$

for $t > 0$, $|x|, |y| \geq 1$.

Notation. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $r > 0$ we set $B_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < r\}$. We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the euclidean scalar product and by $|\cdot|$ the euclidean norm. We use as always a standard notation for function spaces. So, we denote by $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the standard L^p and Sobolev spaces, respectively. The space of bounded and continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^N is denoted by $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Finally, in the whole manuscript the notation $\phi \approx \psi$ on a set Ω means that there are positive constants C_1, C_2 such that $C_1\psi(x) \leq \phi(x) \leq C_2\psi(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

2. ESTIMATING THE GROUND STATE Φ

For $\alpha > 2$, $\beta > \alpha - 2$ and $N > 2$, we denote by A_p the realization in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $1 < p < \infty$, of the operator $A := A_{b,1}$ defined in (1.1). We recall, see [1, Theorem 3 and Theorem 4], that A_p with domain

$$D_p(A) = \{u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid (1 + |x|^\alpha)|D^2u|, (1 + |x|^\alpha)^{1/2}\nabla u, |x|^\beta u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$$

generates a strongly continuous and analytic semigroup $T_p(\cdot)$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, for $t > 0$, $T_p(t)$ maps $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into $C_b^{1+\eta}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $\eta \in (0, 1)$, see [1, Proposition 5], and the semigroup $T_p(\cdot)$ is immediately compact, see [1, Proposition 6]. As a consequence one obtains that the spectrum $\sigma(A_p)$ of A_p consists of a sequence of negative real eigenvalues which accumulates at $-\infty$, and $\sigma(A_p)$ is independent of p . As in [4] and [12] one can see that $T_p(\cdot)$ is irreducible, the eigenspace corresponding to the largest eigenvalue λ_0 of A_p is one-dimensional and is spanned by a strictly positive functions Φ , which is radial, belongs to $C_b^{1+\nu}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $\nu \in (0, 1)$ and tends to 0 as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

In this section we prove precise estimates for the eigenfunction Φ . The technique used here is inspired by the work [5].

Since Φ is radial, one has to analyze the asymptotic behavior of the solutions to an ordinary differential equation. In this context some ideas coming from the Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin (or Liouville-Green) approximation will be of great help. For more details see [18].

Proposition 1. *Let $\lambda_0 < 0$ be the largest eigenvalue of A and Φ be the corresponding eigenfunction. If $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$ then*

$$\Phi \approx |x|^{-\frac{N-1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}(\beta-\alpha)} (1 + |x|^\alpha)^{-\frac{b}{2\alpha}} e^{-\int_1^{|x|} \sqrt{\frac{r^\beta}{1+r^\alpha}} dr}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1$.

Proof. Let $g_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}$ be the function defined as

$$(2.1) \quad g_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}(x) = |x|^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} (1 + |x|^\alpha)^{-\frac{b}{2\alpha}} \mathfrak{h}^{-\frac{1}{4}}(|x|) \exp \left\{ - \int_1^{|x|} \mathfrak{h}^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) ds - \int_1^{|x|} \mathfrak{v}_\lambda(s) ds \right\},$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathfrak{h}(r) = \frac{r^\beta}{1+r^\alpha}$, and \mathfrak{v}_λ is a smooth function to be chosen later on. If we set

$$(2.2) \quad w(r) = r^{\frac{N-1}{2}} (1 + r^\alpha)^{\frac{b}{2\alpha}} g_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}(r),$$

the calculation of w' gives us

$$\begin{aligned} w'(r) &= \left(\frac{N-1}{2} \right) r^{\frac{N-1}{2}-1} (1 + r^\alpha)^{\frac{b}{2\alpha}} g_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}(r) \\ &\quad + \frac{b}{2} r^{\frac{N-1}{2}+\alpha-1} (1 + r^\alpha)^{\frac{b}{2\alpha}-1} g_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}(r) + r^{\frac{N-1}{2}} (1 + r^\alpha)^{\frac{b}{2\alpha}} g'_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}(r). \end{aligned}$$

So, one obtains

$$(2.3) \quad w' = w \left(-\frac{\mathfrak{h}'}{4\mathfrak{h}} - \mathfrak{h}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \mathfrak{v}_\lambda \right) \quad \text{and} \quad w'' = w(g_1 + m + \mathfrak{h}),$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad g_1 = \frac{5}{16} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{h}'}{\mathfrak{h}} \right)^2 - \frac{\mathfrak{h}''}{4\mathfrak{h}} + \mathfrak{v}_\lambda^2 + \mathfrak{v}_\lambda \left(\frac{\mathfrak{h}'}{2\mathfrak{h}} + 2\mathfrak{h}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) - \mathfrak{v}'_\lambda - m$$

and

$$m(r) := \frac{(N-1)(N-3)}{4r^2} + \frac{b}{2} (N-2+\alpha) \frac{r^{\alpha-2}}{1+r^\alpha} + \frac{b\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{b}{2\alpha} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{r^{\alpha-1}}{1+r^\alpha} \right)^2.$$

On the other hand, by computing directly the second derivative of (2.2) one has

$$\begin{aligned} w''(r) &= r^{\frac{N-1}{2}} (1+r^\alpha)^{\frac{b}{2\alpha}} \left(g''_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda} + \left(\frac{N-1}{r} + b \frac{r^{\alpha-1}}{1+r^\alpha} \right) g'_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda} \right) \\ &+ r^{\frac{N-1}{2}} (1+r^\alpha)^{\frac{b}{2\alpha}} \left[\frac{(N-1)(N-3)}{4r^2} + \frac{b}{2} (N-2+\alpha) \frac{r^{\alpha-2}}{1+r^\alpha} + \frac{b\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{b}{2\alpha} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{r^{\alpha-1}}{1+r^\alpha} \right)^2 \right] g_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

So, comparing with (2.3) we get

$$g''_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda} + \left(\frac{N-1}{r} + b \frac{r^{\alpha-1}}{1+r^\alpha} \right) g'_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda} = \frac{r^\beta}{1+r^\alpha} g_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda} + g_1 g_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}.$$

That is

$$(2.5) \quad \Delta g_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}(x) + b \frac{|x|^{\alpha-2} x}{1+|x|^\alpha} \cdot \nabla g_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}(x) - \frac{|x|^\beta}{1+|x|^\alpha} g_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}(x) = g_1(|x|) g_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}(x).$$

To evaluate the function g_1 we set $\xi = \frac{\beta-\alpha}{2} + 1$, which is positive thanks to the assumption $\beta > \alpha - 2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathfrak{h}'}{\mathfrak{h}} &= \frac{\beta r^{-1}(1+r^\alpha) - \alpha r^{\alpha-1}}{1+r^\alpha} \\ &= \frac{\beta - \alpha}{r} + \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+r^\alpha} \right) = \frac{1}{r} (\beta - \alpha) + \frac{1}{r} O(r^{-\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

By the same argument we obtain

$$\frac{\mathfrak{h}''}{\mathfrak{h}} = \frac{1}{r^2} (\beta - \alpha)(\beta - \alpha - 1) + \frac{1}{r^2} O(r^{-\alpha}).$$

Then (2.4) is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(r) &= -\mathfrak{v}'_\lambda + \frac{\mathfrak{v}_\lambda}{r} \left(\xi - 1 + O(r^{-\alpha}) + 2r^\xi \sqrt{\frac{r^\alpha}{1+r^\alpha}} \right) + \mathfrak{v}_\lambda^2 \\ &+ \frac{c_0}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} (O(r^{-\alpha}) + O(r^{-2\alpha})) + \frac{b}{2} (N-2+\alpha) \frac{1}{r^2(1+r^\alpha)} \\ &+ \frac{b\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{b}{2\alpha} - 1 \right) \frac{1+2r^\alpha}{r^2(1+r^\alpha)^2} \\ &= -\mathfrak{v}'_\lambda + \frac{\mathfrak{v}_\lambda}{r} \left(\xi - 1 + O(r^{-\alpha}) + 2r^\xi - 2r^\xi \frac{(1+r^\alpha)^{1/2} - r^{\alpha/2}}{(1+r^\alpha)^{1/2}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +\mathbf{v}_\lambda^2 + \frac{c_0}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2}O(r^{-\alpha}) \\
 = & -\mathbf{v}'_\lambda + \frac{\mathbf{v}_\lambda}{r} \left(\xi - 1 + 2r^\xi + (1 + r^\xi)O(r^{-\alpha}) \right) + \mathbf{v}_\lambda^2 \\
 & + \frac{c_0}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2}O(r^{-\alpha}),
 \end{aligned}$$

(2.6)

where

$$c_0 = c_0(\xi) = \left(\frac{\xi - 1}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{\xi - 1}{2} - \frac{(N - 1)(N - 3)}{4} - \frac{b}{2}(N - 2 + \alpha) - \frac{b\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{b}{2\alpha} - 1 \right).$$

So, if we take in (2.6)

$$\mathbf{v}_\lambda(r) = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \frac{1}{r^{i\xi+1}}, \quad r \geq 1,$$

we obtain, as in the proof of [5, Proposition 2.2],

$$\begin{aligned}
 r^2 g_1(r) &= \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} \left[c_i \xi(i+1) + 2c_{i+1} + \sum_{j+s=i} c_j c_s \right] \frac{1}{r^{i\xi}} + (2c_1 \xi + 2c_2) r^{-\xi} \\
 &+ c_k \xi(k+1) \frac{1}{r^{k\xi}} + 2c_1 + \sum_{i+j \geq k} \frac{c_i c_j}{r^{(i+j)\xi}} + c_0 + O(r^{-\alpha}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $k \geq 3$ be chosen later. Again as in [5], we can choose c_1, \dots, c_k such that

$$2c_1 + c_0 = \lambda, \quad 2c_1 \xi + 2c_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left[\xi(i+1)c_i + 2c_{i+1} + \sum_{j+s=i} c_j c_s \right] = 0$$

for $i = 2, \dots, k-1$ and obtain

$$r^2 g_1(r) = \lambda + c_k \xi(k+1) \frac{1}{r^{k\xi}} + \sum_{i+j \geq k} \frac{c_i c_j}{r^{(i+j)\xi}} + O(r^{-\alpha}).$$

Hence,

$$g_1(r) = O\left(\frac{1}{r^{k\xi+2}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{r^{\alpha+2}}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{r^2}.$$

Since $\xi > 0$, there exists a natural number $k \geq 3$ such that $k\xi + 2 - \alpha > 0$. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \Delta g_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda}(x) &+ b|x|^{\alpha-2} x \cdot \nabla g_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda}(x) - |x|^\beta g_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda}(x) \\
 &= o(1) g_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda}(x) + \lambda \frac{1 + |x|^\alpha}{|x|^2} g_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda}(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

For Φ we know that

$$(2.7) \quad \Delta \Phi + b \frac{|x|^{\alpha-2}}{1 + |x|^\alpha} x \cdot \nabla \Phi - \frac{|x|^\beta}{1 + |x|^\alpha} \Phi - \frac{\lambda_0}{1 + |x|^\alpha} \Phi = 0.$$

Since $\alpha - 2 > 0$ and $\lambda_0 < 0$, for $|x|$ large enough we have

$$o(1) + 2\lambda_0 \frac{1 + |x|^\alpha}{|x|^2} < \lambda_0.$$

Thus,

$$(2.8) \quad \Delta g_{\alpha,\beta,2\lambda_0}(x) + b \frac{|x|^{\alpha-2}}{1 + |x|^\alpha} x \cdot \nabla g_{\alpha,\beta,2\lambda_0}(x) - \frac{|x|^\beta}{1 + |x|^\alpha} g_{\alpha,\beta,2\lambda_0}(x) - \frac{\lambda_0}{1 + |x|^\alpha} g_{\alpha,\beta,2\lambda_0}(x) < 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R$ for some $R > 0$. Comparing (2.7) and (2.8), in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R$ we have

$$\Delta(g_{\alpha,\beta,2\lambda_0} - C\Phi) + b \frac{|x|^{\alpha-2}}{1 + |x|^\alpha} x \cdot \nabla(g_{\alpha,\beta,2\lambda_0}(x) - C\Phi) < \frac{\lambda_0 + |x|^\beta}{1 + |x|^\alpha} (g_{\alpha,\beta,2\lambda_0} - C\Phi)$$

for any constant $C > 0$. Since $\beta > 0$, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{W}(x) := \frac{\lambda_0 + |x|^\beta}{1 + |x|^\alpha} > 0$$

for $|x|$ large enough. Note that both $g_{\alpha,\beta,2\lambda_0}$ and Φ go to 0 as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and since there exists C_2 such that $\Phi \leq C_2 g_{\alpha,\beta,2\lambda_0}$ on ∂B_R , we can apply the maximum principle to the problem

$$\begin{cases} \left(\Delta + b \frac{|x|^{\alpha-2}}{1 + |x|^\alpha} x \cdot \nabla \right) z(x) - \mathcal{W}(x)z(x) < 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R, \\ z(x) \geq 0 & \text{in } \partial B_R, \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} z(x) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $z := g_{\alpha,\beta,2\lambda_0} - C_2^{-1}\Phi$, to obtain that $\Phi \leq C_2 g_{\alpha,\beta,2\lambda_0}$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R$ (and by continuity in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1$). Here we apply the classical maximum principle on bounded domains, since $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} z(x) = 0$, cf. [9, Theorem 3.5]. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x) &\leq C_2 |x|^{-\frac{N-1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}(\beta-\alpha)} (1 + |x|^\alpha)^{-\frac{b}{2\alpha}} \exp \left\{ - \int_1^{|x|} \sqrt{\frac{r^\beta}{1 + r^\alpha}} dr \right\} \exp \left\{ - \int_1^{|x|} \mathbf{v}_{2\lambda_0}(r) dr \right\} \\ &\leq C_3 |x|^{-\frac{N-1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}(\beta-\alpha)} (1 + |x|^\alpha)^{-\frac{b}{2\alpha}} \exp \left\{ - \int_1^{|x|} \sqrt{\frac{r^\beta}{1 + r^\alpha}} dr \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^{|x|} \mathbf{v}_\lambda(r) dr &= \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{j\xi} (1 - |x|^{-j\xi}) \\ (2.9) \quad &= \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{j\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

As regards lower bounds of Φ , we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta g_{\alpha,\beta,0}(x) + b \frac{|x|^{\alpha-2}}{1 + |x|^\alpha} x \cdot \nabla g_{\alpha,\beta,0}(x) - \frac{|x|^\beta}{1 + |x|^\alpha} g_{\alpha,\beta,0}(x) \\ = \frac{o(1)}{1 + |x|^\alpha} g_{\alpha,\beta,0}(x) > \frac{\lambda_0}{1 + |x|^\alpha} g_{\alpha,\beta,0}(x) \end{aligned}$$

if $|x| \geq R$ for some suitable $R > 0$. Then,

$$\Delta g_{\alpha,\beta,0}(x) + b \frac{|x|^{\alpha-2}}{1+|x|^\alpha} x \cdot \nabla g_{\alpha,\beta,0}(x) > \frac{|x|^\beta}{1+|x|^\alpha} g_{\alpha,\beta,0}(x) + \frac{\lambda_0}{1+|x|^\alpha} g_{\alpha,\beta,0}(x).$$

Since $\Delta \Phi + b \frac{|x|^{\alpha-2}}{1+|x|^\alpha} x \cdot \nabla \Phi - \frac{|x|^\beta}{1+|x|^\alpha} \Phi - \frac{\lambda_0}{1+|x|^\alpha} \Phi = 0$ we obtain

$$\Delta(g_{\alpha,\beta,0} - \Phi) + b \frac{|x|^{\alpha-2}}{1+|x|^\alpha} x \cdot \nabla(g_{\alpha,\beta,0}(x) - \Phi) > \frac{|x|^\beta + \lambda_0}{1+|x|^\alpha} (g_{\alpha,\beta,0} - \Phi).$$

Note that $|x|^\beta + \lambda_0$ is positive for $|x| \geq R$ and, arguing as above, by the maximum principle and using (2.9) we have

$$\Phi(x) \geq C_1 g_{\alpha,\beta,0}(x) \geq C_1 |x|^{-\frac{N-1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}(\beta-\alpha)} (1+|x|^\alpha)^{-\frac{b}{2\alpha}} \exp \left\{ - \int_R^{|x|} \sqrt{\frac{r^\beta}{1+r^\alpha}} dr \right\}$$

for $|x| \geq R$. Since $0 < \Phi \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by modifying the constant C_1 , we can see that, the above lower estimate of Φ remain valid for $1 \leq |x| \leq R$. \square

Remark 1. 1. *If in the above proposition we take $b = 0$, then we obtain exactly the upper and lower estimates for the ground state ψ associated to the operator $(1+|x|^\alpha)\Delta - |x|^\beta$ established in [5, Proposition 2.2].*

2. *If we denote by Φ_c the eigenfunction of the largest eigenvalue of $A_{b,c}$, then one can see that*

$$\Phi_c \approx |x|^{-\frac{N-1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}(\beta-\alpha)} (1+|x|^\alpha)^{-\frac{b}{2\alpha}} e^{-\int_1^{|x|} \sqrt{\frac{cr^\beta}{1+r^\alpha}} dr}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1$.

3. INTRINSIC ULTRA CONTRACTIVITY AND HEAT KERNEL ESTIMATES

In this section we prove heat kernel estimates for $T_p(\cdot)$ through the relationship between the log-Sobolev inequality and the ultracontractivity of a suitable semigroup in a weighted L^2 -space.

Consider the Hilbert spaces $L_\mu^2 = L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, d\mu)$ with $d\mu(x) = \frac{1}{1+|x|^\alpha} dx$. Define the function $\phi(x) = (1+|x|^\alpha)^{\frac{b}{2\alpha}}$ and the multiplication operator $T : L_{\phi^2\mu}^2 \rightarrow L_\mu^2$ defined by $Tu = \phi u$. The operator A defined above can be written in the following way

$$A = T^{-1}HT,$$

where $H = (1+|x|^\alpha)\Delta - U$ and the potential $U = (1+|x|^\alpha)\frac{\Delta\phi}{\phi} + |x|^\beta$. An easy computation gives us

$$U = |x|^{\alpha-2} \frac{b}{2} \left(\frac{|x|^\alpha}{1+|x|^\alpha} \left(\frac{b}{2} - \alpha \right) + N + \alpha - 2 \right) + |x|^\beta,$$

from which we can deduce that U is bounded from below, since $\beta > \alpha - 2$.

Since, for every $v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{\Delta\phi}{\phi} |v|^2 \right) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^\beta |v|^2 d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{v}{\phi} \right) \right|^2 \phi^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^\beta |v|^2 d\mu \geq 0,$$

we can associate to H in L_μ^2 the bilinear form h defined by

$$(3.1) \quad h(v, w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \bar{w} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U v \bar{w} d\mu$$

on $D(h) = \overline{C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}}$ with \mathcal{H} the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} = \{v \in L_\mu^2 \cap W_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) : |U|^{1/2}v \in L_\mu^2, \nabla v \in (L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))^N\}$$

endowed with the inner product

$$\langle v, w \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 + U)v \bar{w} d\mu + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \bar{w} dx.$$

So, one can prove the following proposition

Proposition 2. *The form $(h, D(h))$ is symmetric, continuous, closed and accretive.*

Similarly to [16, Lemma 9.1] we have

Lemma 1. *The injection of $D(h)$ in L_μ^2 is compact.*

Since the bilinear form h is densely defined, accretive, continuous, closed and symmetric, one can associate the self-adjoint, dissipative operator H_μ defined by

$$D(H_\mu) = \left\{ v \in D(h) : \exists f \in L_\mu^2 \text{ s.t. } h(v, w) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f \bar{w} d\mu, \text{ for every } w \in D(h) \right\},$$

$$H_\mu v = f,$$

see e.g. [19, Prop. 1.24]. Hence, H_μ generates a positive and analytic semigroup $(e^{tH_\mu})_{t \geq 0}$ in L_μ^2 , cf. [19, Theorem 1.52 and Theorem 2.6].

The following result gives the relationship between H_μ and A_p .

Lemma 2. *The following holds*

$$(3.2) \quad D(H_\mu) = \left\{ u \in D(h) \cap W_{loc}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) : (1 + |x|^\alpha)\Delta v - Uv \in L_\mu^2 \right\}$$

and $H_\mu v = (1 + |x|^\alpha)\Delta v - U(x)v$ for $v \in D(H_\mu)$. Moreover, if $\lambda > \lambda'$ where λ' is a suitable positive constant and $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then

$$T^{-1}(\lambda - H_\mu)^{-1}Tf = (\lambda - A_2)^{-1}f.$$

Proof. Let us begin by proving (3.2). The first inclusion " \subseteq " is obtained by local elliptic regularity and (3.1).

For the second inclusion " \supseteq " let us take $v \in D(h) \cap W_{loc}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $f := (1 + |x|^\alpha)\Delta v - U(x)v \in L_\mu^2$. Integrating by parts we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad h(v, w) = - \int f \bar{w} d\mu, \quad \forall w \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

By the density of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in $D(h)$, (3.3) holds for every $w \in D(h)$. This implies that $v \in D(H_\mu)$.

To prove the coherence of the resolvents, we consider, for a positive function $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the following elliptic problem

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda u - Au = f & x \in B_n, \\ u = 0 & x \in \partial B_n. \end{cases}$$

Since the operator A is uniformly elliptic in the ball B_n , it is known that (3.4) admits a unique solution u_n in $W^{2,2}(B_n) \cap W_0^{1,2}(B_n)$, (cf. [9, Theorem 9.15]). Likewise, as in [11], the sequence (u_n) is increasing, positive and converges to a function u in $D_{max}(A)$ satisfying $\lambda u - Au = f$, where

$$D_{max}(A) = \{u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for all } 1 \leq p < \infty : Au \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

Setting $v_n = Tu_n$ and $g = Tf$ we have, by (3.4),

$$(3.5) \quad \lambda v_n - Hv_n = g \quad \text{on } B_n.$$

Moreover $v_n \in W^{2,2}(B_n) \cap W_0^{1,2}(B_n)$.

Multiplying in (3.5) by $\bar{w}\mu$ for $w \in W_0^{1,2}(B_n)$ and integrating by parts we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \lambda \int_{B_n} v_n \bar{w} d\mu + \int_{B_n} \nabla v_n \cdot \nabla \bar{w} dx + \int_{B_n} U v_n \bar{w} d\mu = \int_{B_n} g \bar{w} d\mu.$$

In particular we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \lambda \int_{B_n} v_n^2 d\mu + \int_{B_n} |\nabla v_n|^2 dx + \int_{B_n} U v_n^2 d\mu = \int_{B_n} g v_n d\mu.$$

Since $\int_{B_n} |\nabla v_n|^2 dx + \int_{B_n} U v_n^2 d\mu \geq 0$, it follows from (3.7) that

$$\|v_n\|_{L_\mu^2} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|g\|_{L_\mu^2}$$

By the monotone convergence theorem, we deduce that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} v_n = v$ in L_μ^2 . Furthermore since U is bounded from below we can choose $\tilde{\lambda}$ such that $\lambda + U \geq 0$ for $\lambda > \tilde{\lambda}$. Then, by (3.7), we have

$$\|(\lambda + U)^{\frac{1}{2}} v_n\|_{L_\mu^2}^2 \leq \|g\|_{L_\mu^2} \|v_n\|_{L_\mu^2} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|g\|_{L_\mu^2}^2.$$

Choosing $\lambda > \lambda' := \max\{-2 \min U, \tilde{\lambda}, 0\}$, we obtain $|U| \leq |\lambda + U|$, and hence $|U|^{\frac{1}{2}} v_n \rightarrow |U|^{\frac{1}{2}} v$ in L_μ^2 .

Similarly one finds

$$\|\nabla v_n\|_2^2 \leq \|g\|_{L_\mu^2} \|v_n\|_{L_\mu^2} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|g\|_{L_\mu^2}^2, \quad \forall \lambda > \lambda'.$$

It follows that there exists a suitable subsequence (v_{k_n}) of (v_n) such that ∇v_{k_n} converges weakly. So, $v \in \mathcal{H}$ and v belongs to the closure in \mathcal{H} of $W^{1,2}$ -functions with compact support, which implies that $v \in D(h)$. Letting now $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in (3.6) we obtain

$$h(v, w) = -\langle \lambda v - g, w \rangle_{L_\mu^2}, \quad \lambda > \lambda',$$

for all $w \in W^{1,2}$ having compact support, and hence for all $w \in D(h)$. Thus, $v \in D(H_\mu)$ and $\lambda v - H_\mu v = g$ for all $\lambda > \lambda'$. Therefore, since $v = Tu$ and $g = Tf$, it follows that

$$(\lambda - H_\mu)^{-1}Tf = T(\lambda - A)^{-1}f$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\lambda > \lambda'$. So, the statement follows now from [1, Theorem 2]. \square

The lemma stated above implies in particular that

$$e^{tH_\mu}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k_\mu(t, x, y)f(y) d\mu(y), \quad f \in L_\mu^2$$

with

$$(3.8) \quad k_\mu(t, x, y) = \phi(x)k(t, x, y)\phi(y)^{-1}(1 + |y|^\alpha), \quad t > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

As an application of the Proposition 1, we have

Proposition 3. *If $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$, then*

$$k(t, x, x) \geq Ce^{\lambda_0 t} \left(|x|^{-\frac{N-1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}(\beta-\alpha)} (1 + |x|^\alpha)^{-\frac{\beta}{2\alpha}} e^{-\int_1^{|x|} \sqrt{\frac{r^\beta}{1+r^\alpha}} dr} \right)^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}-1}, \quad t > 0,$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1$ and some constant $C > 0$.

Proof. The proof is based on the semigroup law and the symmetry of $k_\mu(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ for $t > 0$, and the semigroup law imply that

$$k_\mu(t, x, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k_\mu(t/2, x, y)^2 d\mu(y), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

By Hölder's inequality and (3.8), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\lambda_0 \frac{t}{2}} \Phi(x) &= T_2(t/2)\Phi(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(t/2, x, y)\Phi(y) dy \\ &= \phi(x)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k_\mu(t/2, x, y)\phi(y)\Phi(y) d\mu(y) \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k_\mu(t/2, x, y)^2 d\mu(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi\Phi\|_{L_\mu^2} \phi(x)^{-1} \\ &= k_\mu(t, x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi\Phi\|_{L_\mu^2} \phi(x)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. The assertion follows now from Proposition 1. \square

Now, in order to estimate k_μ we use the techniques in [3, Chap 4]

Proposition 4. *There exist positive constants C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 such that*

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} -\log(T\Phi)|v|^2 d\mu \leq \varepsilon h(v, v) + (C_1 \varepsilon^{-\gamma} + C_2) \|v\|_{L_\mu^2}^2, \quad v \in D(h),$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ with $\gamma = \frac{\beta-\alpha+2}{\beta+\alpha-2}$, and

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f|v|^2 d\mu \leq C_3 \|f\|_{L_\mu^{N/2}} \left(h(v) + C_4 \|v\|_{L_\mu^2}^2 \right), \quad v \in D(h), f \in L_\mu^{N/2},$$

Proof. To prove (3.9), we apply the lower estimate of Φ obtained in Proposition 1

$$C_1 |x|^{-\frac{N-1}{2}-\frac{1}{4}(\beta-\alpha)} e^{-\frac{2}{\beta-\alpha+2}|x|^{\frac{\beta-\alpha+2}{2}}} \leq C_1 |x|^{-\frac{N-1}{2}-\frac{1}{4}(\beta-\alpha)} e^{-\int_1^{|x|} \sqrt{\frac{r^\beta}{1+r^\alpha}} dr} \leq (T\Phi)(x)$$

we get

$$-\log(T\Phi) \leq -\log C_1 + \left(\frac{N-1}{2} + \frac{\beta-\alpha}{4} \right) \log |x| + \frac{2}{\beta-\alpha+2} |x|^{\frac{\beta-\alpha+2}{2}}$$

for $|x| \geq 1$. Setting $\xi = \frac{\beta-\alpha}{2} + 1$ we have

$$-\log(T\Phi) \leq -\log C_1 + \frac{1}{2} (N-2+\xi) \log |x| + \frac{1}{\xi} |x|^\xi$$

As a consequence, there are positive constants C_2, C_3 such that

$$-\log(T\Phi) \leq C_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right) |x|^\xi + C_3, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Since $\xi < \beta$, $\gamma = \frac{\xi}{\beta-\xi}$, by using Young's inequality,¹ it follows that

$$C_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right) |x|^\xi \leq \varepsilon |x|^\beta + C_4 \varepsilon^{-\frac{\xi}{\beta-\xi}} = \varepsilon V(x) + C_4 \varepsilon^{-\gamma}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ where $C_4 = \left(C_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right) \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta-\xi}}$. Thus,

$$-\log(T\Phi) \leq \varepsilon |x|^\beta + C_4 \varepsilon^{-\gamma} + C_3.$$

Taking into account that

$$0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\Delta \phi}{\phi} |v|^2 dx = h(v, v) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^\beta v^2 d\mu, \quad v \in D(h),$$

we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} -\log(T\Phi) |v|^2 d\mu \leq \varepsilon h(v, v) + (C_4 \varepsilon^{-\gamma} + C_3) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 d\mu.$$

This proves (3.9).

Concerning (3.10), by density, it suffices to show it for $v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Using Hölder and Sobolev's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f v^2 d\mu &\leq \|f\|_{L_\mu^{N/2}} \|v\|_{L_\mu^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}}^2 \leq \|f\|_{L_\mu^{N/2}} \|v\|_{L_\mu^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}}^2 \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L_\mu^{N/2}} \|\nabla v\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

So, one obtains (3.10) by observing that

$$\|\nabla v\|_2^2 = h(v, v) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U |v|^2 d\mu \leq h(v, v) + C_5 \|v\|_{L_\mu^2}^2,$$

where $C_5 = -(0 \wedge \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} U)$. □

¹ $xy \leq \varepsilon x^p + C_\varepsilon y^q, \forall x \geq 0 \forall y \geq 0$ with $C_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

We give now the estimate of $k_\mu(t, x, y)$.

Theorem 1. *Assume that $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. Then there exist C_1, C_2 positive constants such that*

$$(3.11) \quad k_\mu(t, x, y) \leq C_1 e^{C_2 t^{-\gamma}} (T\Phi)(x)(T\Phi)(y), \quad 0 < t \leq 1, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 4 and Rosen's lemma, cf. [3, Lemma 4.4.1], that for all $0 \leq f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, (T\Phi)^2 \mu dx)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\log f) |v|^2 d\mu &\leq \varepsilon h(v, v) + (C_2 - \frac{N}{4} \log \varepsilon + \varepsilon \frac{C_4}{2} + C_1 \varepsilon^{-\gamma}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 d\mu \\ &\leq \varepsilon h(v, v) + (C_5 + C_6 \varepsilon^{-\gamma}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 d\mu, \quad v \in D(h). \end{aligned}$$

So, applying [3, Corollary 4.4.2], one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f^2 \log f) (T\Phi)^2 d\mu &\leq \varepsilon h_{T\Phi}(f, f) + (C_5 + C_6 \varepsilon^{-\gamma}) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, (T\Phi)^2 d\mu)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, (T\Phi)^2 d\mu)}^2 \log \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, (T\Phi)^2 d\mu)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 \leq f \in L^1 \cap L^\infty \cap D(h_{T\Phi})$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$, where $h_{T\Phi}$ is the quadratic form defined by $h_{T\Phi}(v) = h((T\Phi)v, (T\Phi)v)$ for $v \in D(h_{T\Phi}) := \{v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, (T\Phi)^2 d\mu) : (T\Phi)v \in D(h)\}$. We observe that $0 < \gamma < 1$. Then we can apply [3, Corollary 2.2.8] and [3, Lemma 2.1.2] to obtain that

$$0 \leq k_{T\Phi}(t, x, y) \leq C_7 e^{C_8 t^{-\gamma}}, \quad 0 < t \leq 1, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $k_{T\Phi}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ is the heat kernel of the semigroup generated by the selfadjoint operator associated to the form $h_{T\Phi}$.

The result follows now by taking into account

$$k_\mu(t, x, y) = (T\Phi)(x) k_{T\Phi}(t, x, y) (T\Phi)(y), \quad t > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

□

Now, we are ready to state and give the proof of the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2. *If $N > 2$, $\beta > \alpha - 2$, $\alpha > 2$ then*

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} &k(t, x, y) \\ &\leq C_1 e^{\lambda_0 t + C_2 t^{-\gamma}} \left(\frac{1 + |y|^\alpha}{1 + |x|^\alpha} \right)^{\frac{b}{2\alpha}} \frac{(|x||y|)^{-\frac{N-1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}(\beta-\alpha)}}{1 + |y|^\alpha} e^{-\int_1^{|y|} \sqrt{\frac{r\beta}{1+r\alpha}} dr} e^{-\int_1^{|x|} \sqrt{\frac{r\beta}{1+r\alpha}} dr} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1$, where C_1, C_2 are positive constants and $\gamma = \frac{\beta - \alpha + 2}{\beta + \alpha - 2}$.

Proof. By (3.8), (3.11) and Proposition 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} k(t, x, y) &= \frac{\phi(y)}{\phi(x)} \frac{1}{1 + |y|^\alpha} k_\mu(t, x, y) \\ &\leq C_1 \frac{\phi^2(y)}{1 + |y|^\alpha} e^{-C_2 t^{-\gamma}} \Phi(x) \Phi(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= C_1 \frac{(1 + |y|^\alpha)^{\frac{b}{\alpha}}}{1 + |y|^\alpha} e^{-C_2 t^{-\gamma}} \Phi(x) \Phi(y) \\
 &\leq C_1 e^{-C_2 t^{-\gamma}} \left(\frac{1 + |y|^\alpha}{1 + |x|^\alpha} \right)^{\frac{b}{2\alpha}} \frac{(|x||y|)^{-\frac{N-1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}(\beta-\alpha)}}{1 + |y|^\alpha} \\
 &\quad e^{-\int_1^{|y|} \sqrt{\frac{r^\beta}{1+r^\alpha}} dr} e^{-\int_1^{|x|} \sqrt{\frac{r^\beta}{1+r^\alpha}} dr}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < t \leq 1$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1$ and $\gamma = \frac{\beta-\alpha+2}{\beta+\alpha-2}$.

To end the proof let us consider the case $t > 1$.

The semigroup law and the symmetry of $k_\mu(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ imply that

$$k_\mu(t, x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k_\mu(t - 1/2, x, z) k_\mu(1/2, y, z) d\mu(z), \quad t > 1/2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

On the other hand, thanks to (3.11), and since $\beta > \alpha - 2$, one deduces that the function $k_\mu(1/2, y, \cdot)$ belongs to L_μ^2 . Hence,

$$k_\mu(t, x, y) = (e^{(t-\frac{1}{2})H_\mu} k_\mu(1/2, y, \cdot))(x), \quad t > 1/2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Using again the semigroup law and the symmetry we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 k_\mu(t, x, x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |k_\mu(t/2, x, y)|^2 d\mu(y) \\
 &\leq M e^{\lambda_0(t-1)} \|k_\mu(1/2, x, \cdot)\|_{L_\mu^2}^2 \\
 &= M e^{\lambda_0(t-1)} k_\mu(1, x, x), \quad t > 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.
 \end{aligned}$$

So, by applying (3.11) to $k_\mu(1, x, x)$ and using the inequality

$$k_\mu(t, x, y) \leq (k_\mu(t, x, x))^{1/2} (k_\mu(t, y, y))^{1/2}, \quad t > 0, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

one obtains (3.12). \square

Remark 2. 1. The heat kernel estimates $k(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ in Theorem 2 could be sharp in the space variables. This can be seen from Proposition 3.

2. Since, for $r \geq 1$, $\sqrt{\frac{2r^\beta}{1+r^\alpha}} \geq r^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}}$, it follows from Theorem 2 that

$$k(t, x, y) \leq c_1 e^{\lambda_0 t + c_2 t^{-\gamma}} \left(\frac{1 + |y|^\alpha}{1 + |x|^\alpha} \right)^{\frac{b}{2\alpha}} \frac{(|x||y|)^{-\frac{N-1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}(\beta-\alpha)}}{1 + |y|^\alpha} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\beta-\alpha+2} \left(|x|^{\frac{\beta-\alpha+2}{2}} + |y|^{\frac{\beta-\alpha+2}{2}} \right)}$$

for $t > 0$, $|x|, |y| \geq 1$.

If we denote by Φ_j the eigenfunction of A_2 associated to the eigenvalue λ_j , then $T\Phi_j$ is the eigenfunction of H_μ associated to λ_j . Hence, for any $t > 0$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{\lambda_j t} |T\Phi_j(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k_\mu(t, x, y) (T\Phi_j)(y) d\mu(y) \right| \\
 &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k_\mu(t, x, y)^2 d\mu(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|T\Phi_j\|_{L_\mu^2} \\
 &= (k_\mu(2t, x, x))^{\frac{1}{2}} \|T\Phi_j\|_{L_\mu^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So, by (3.11), we obtain the following estimates.

Corollary 1. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 2 hold. Then all eigenfunctions Φ_j of A with $\|T\Phi_j\|_{L^2_\mu} = 1$ satisfy*

$$|\Phi_j(x)| \leq C_j |x|^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{4} - \frac{N-1}{2}} e^{-\int_1^{|x|} \sqrt{\frac{r^\beta}{1+r^\alpha}} dr},$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1$ and some constant $C_j > 0$.

Remark 3. *In the case $b > 2 - N$, we can obtain better estimates of the kernels k with respect to the time variable t for small t . In fact if we denote by $S(\cdot)$ the semigroup generated by $(1 + |x|^\alpha)\Delta + b|x|^{\alpha-2}x \cdot \nabla$ in $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which is given by a kernel p , then by domination we have $0 < k(t, x, y) \leq p(t, x, y)$ for $t > 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$. So, by [16, Remark 9.12], it follows that*

$$k(t, x, y) \leq Ct^{-\frac{N+b+\alpha-4}{\alpha-2}} (1 + |x|)^{2-N-b} (1 + |y|)^{2-N-\alpha}, \quad 2 < \alpha \leq 4 + \frac{2b}{N-2},$$

$$k(t, x, y) \leq Ct^{-N/2} (1 + |x|^\alpha)^{2-N-b} (1 + |y|^\alpha)^{2-N-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \geq 4 + \frac{2b}{N-2}$$

for $0 < t \leq 1$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.E. Boutiah, F. Gregorio, A. Rhandi, And C. Tacelli, *Elliptic operators with unbounded diffusion, drift and potential terms*, J. Differential Equations, 264 (2018), no. 3, 2184-2204, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2017.10.020>.
- [2] D. Bakry, F. Bolley, I. Gentil, and P. Maheux, *Weighted Nash inequalities*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 28 (2012), no. 3, 879-906.
- [3] E.B. Davies, *Heat kernels and spectral theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [4] A. Canale, A. Rhandi, C. Tacelli, *Schrödinger-type operators with unbounded diffusion and potential terms*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) Vol. XVI (2016), 581-601.
- [5] A. Canale, A. Rhandi, C. Tacelli, *Kernel estimates for Schrodinger type operators with unbounded diffusion and potential terms*, J. Anal. Appl. 36 (2017) 377-392, <https://doi.org/10.4171/ZAA/1593>.
- [6] A. Canale, C. Tacelli, *Optimal kernel estimates for a Schrödinger type operator*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma Vol 7 (2016), 341-450.
- [7] S. Fornaro, L. Lorenzi, *Generation results for elliptic operators with unbounded diffusion coefficients in L^p and C_b -spaces*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems A18 (2007),747-772.
- [8] M. Kunze, L. Lorenzi, A. Rhandi, *Kernel estimates for nonautonomous Kolmogorov equations*, Advances in Mathematics 287 (2016), 600-639.
- [9] D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Second edition, Springer, Berlin, (1983).
- [10] T. Durante, R. Manzo, C. Tacelli, *Kernel estimates for Schrödinger type operators with unbounded coefficients and critical exponent*, Ricerche Mat. Vol. 65 (2016), 289-305.
- [11] L. Lorenzi, M. Bertoldi, *Analytical Methods for Markov Semigroups*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, (2007).
- [12] L. Lorenzi, A. Rhandi, *On Schrödinger type operators with unbounded coefficients: generation and heat kernel estimates*, J. Evol. Equ. 15(2015), 53-88.
- [13] G. Metafune, D. Pallara, M. Wacker, *Feller Semigroups on \mathbb{R}^N* , Semigroup Forum 65 (2002), 159-205.
- [14] G. Metafune, C. Spina, *Elliptic operators with unbounded coefficients in L^p spaces*, Annali Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa Cl. Sc. (5), 11 (2012), 303-340 .
- [15] G. Metafune, C. Spina, *Kernel estimates for some elliptic operators with unbounded coefficients*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems A32 (6) (2012), 2285-2299.

- [16] G. Metafunne, C. Spina, C. Tacelli, *Elliptic operators with unbounded diffusion and drift coefficients in L^p spaces*, Adv. Diff. Equat. 19 (2014), no. 5-6, 473-526.
- [17] G. Metafunne, C. Spina, C. Tacelli, *On a class of elliptic operators with unbounded diffusion coefficients*, Evol. Equ. Control Theory 3, (2014), no. 4, 671-680.
- [18] F.W.J. Olver, *Asymptotics and special functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [19] E.M. Ouhabaz, *Analysis of heat equations on domains*, London Math. Soc. Monogr. Ser., 31, Princeton Univ. Press, 2004.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY FERHAT ABBAS SETIF-1, SETIF 19000, ALGERIA.

E-mail address: `sallah_eddine.boutiah@yahoo.fr`; `boutiah@univ-setif.dz`

DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA DELL'INFORMAZIONE, INGEGNERIA ELETTRICA E MATEMATICA APPLICATA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI SALERNO, VIA GIOVANNI PAOLO II, 132, I 84084 FISCIANO (SA), ITALY.

E-mail address: `arhandi@unisa.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA DELL'INFORMAZIONE, INGEGNERIA ELETTRICA E MATEMATICA APPLICATA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI SALERNO, VIA GIOVANNI PAOLO II, 132, I 84084 FISCIANO (SA), ITALY.

E-mail address: `ctacelli@unisa.it`