# ON BLOW-UP CONDITIONS FOR SOLUTIONS OF HIGHER ORDER DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITIES

A.A. KON'KOV AND A.E. SHISHKOV

ABSTRACT. For differential inequalities of the form

$$\sum_{\alpha|=m} (-1)^m \partial^{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(x, u) \ge b(x) |u|^{\lambda} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \ n \ge 1,$$

where  $a_{\alpha}$  and b are some functions, we obtain conditions guaranteeing that any solution is identically equal to zero. We construct examples which show that the obtained conditions are sharp.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

We study solutions of the inequality

$$\sum_{\alpha|=m} (-1)^m \partial^\alpha a_\alpha(x, u) \ge b(x) |u|^\lambda \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $n \ge 1$  and  $m \ge 1$  are some integers and  $\lambda$  is a real number. It is assumed that b is a positive measurable function and

$$|a_{\alpha}(x,\zeta)| \le a(x)|\zeta| \tag{1.2}$$

with some positive measurable function a for almost all  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $|\alpha| = m$ . As is customary, by  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$  we mean a multi-index. In so doing,  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_n$  and  $\partial^{\alpha} = \partial^{|\alpha|} / \partial^{\alpha_1}_{x_1} \ldots \partial^{\alpha_n}_{x_n}$ . Let us also denote by  $B_r$  an open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of radius r > 0 centered at zero.

A function u is called a solution of inequality (1.1) if  $b(x)|u|^{\lambda} \in L_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $a_{\alpha}(x, u) \in L_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $|\alpha| = m$  and, moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_{\alpha}(x, u) \partial^{\alpha} \varphi \, dx \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x) |u|^{\lambda} \varphi \, dx \tag{1.3}$$

for any non-negative function  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Our aim is to obtain conditions guaranteeing that every solution of (1.1) is trivial. Questions treated in our paper were studied earlier by a number of authors mainly for inequalities of the second order [1–11, 13–18]. Higher order inequalities have been studied much less. Until recently, the only effective method for their study was the method described in [6, 13]. However, this method loses its exactness in the case where the function 1/b performs large oscillations in a neighborhood of infinity. In particular, it can not be applied to inequalities considered in Example 2.2.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 35B44, 35B08, 35J30, 35J70.

Key words and phrases. Higher order differential inequalities, Nonlinear inequalities, Entire solutions.

The work of the second author is supported by the "RUDN University Program 5–100".

2. Main results

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\lambda > 1$  and

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} r^{(m-n)\lambda+n-1}q(r)\,dr = \infty,\tag{2.1}$$

where

$$q(r) = \operatorname{ess inf}_{B_{\sigma r} \setminus B_{r/\sigma}} a^{-\lambda} b$$

for some real number  $\sigma > 1$ . Then any solution of (1.1) is trivial, i.e. u(x) = 0for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\lambda > 1$  and (2.1) be valid, where

$$q(r) = \left(\frac{1}{r^n} \int_{B_{\sigma r} \setminus B_{r/\sigma}} a^{\lambda/(\lambda-1)}(x) b^{-1/(\lambda-1)}(x) \, dx\right)^{1-\lambda} \tag{2.2}$$

for some real number  $\sigma > 1$ . Then any solution of (1.1) is trivial.

Remark 2.1. In relation (2.2), we do not exclude that

$$\int_{B_{\sigma r} \setminus B_{r/\sigma}} a^{\lambda/(\lambda-1)}(x) b^{-1/(\lambda-1)}(x) \, dx = \infty$$

for some real numbers r > 0. Since  $\lambda > 1$ , in this case, we have q(r) = 0.

Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are proved in Section 3.

*Example 2.1.* Consider the inequality

$$(-1)^k \Delta^k u \ge b(x) |u|^\lambda \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2.3}$$

where  $\lambda > 1$  and b is a positive measurable function such that

$$b(x) \ge b_0 |x|^l, \quad b_0 = const > 0,$$
(2.4)

for almost all x from a neighborhood of infinity. According to Theorem 2.1, if

$$l \ge (n - 2k)\lambda - n, \tag{2.5}$$

then any solution of (2.3) is trivial. It can be seen that (2.5) coincides with the well-known blow-up condition given in [13, Example 5.2].

Now, assume that, in a neighborhood of infinity, the function b satisfy the inequality

$$b(x) \ge b_0 |x|^{(n-2k)\lambda - n} \log^{\nu} |x|, \quad b_0 = const > 0.$$
(2.6)

In other words, we examine the critical exponent  $l = (n - 2k)\lambda - n$  in (2.4). If

$$\nu \ge -1,\tag{2.7}$$

then in accordance with Theorem 2.1 any solution of (2.3) is trivial.

In the case of n > 2k, condition (2.7) is exact. Really, let  $\nu < -1$ . We put

$$w_0(r) = (2+r)^{-n} \log^{-(\nu+\lambda)/(\lambda-1)} (2+r)$$

and

$$w_{i}(r) = \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{0}^{r} \left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)^{n-2} \rho w_{i-1}(\rho) \, d\rho + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{r}^{\infty} \rho w_{i-1}(\rho) \, d\rho, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

For all  $1 \leq i \leq k$  we obviously have

$$-\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{n-1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\right)w_i = w_{i-1}$$

and

$$w_i(r) \sim r^{2i-n} \log^{-(1+\nu)/(\lambda-1)} r \quad \text{as } r \to \infty,$$

i.e.

$$c_1 r^{2i-n} \log^{-(1+\nu)/(\lambda-1)} r \le w_i(r) \le c_2 r^{2i-n} \log^{-(1+\nu)/(\lambda-1)} r$$

with some constants  $c_1 > 0$  and  $c_2 > 0$  for all r in a neighborhood of infinity. Thus, taking

$$u(x) = \varepsilon w_k(|x|), \tag{2.8}$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is a sufficiently small real number, we obtain nontrivial solution of (2.3) with some positive function b for which (2.6) holds.

Example 2.2. In (2.3), let the positive measurable function b satisfy the inequality

$$b(x) \ge b_0 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \chi_{\omega_i}(x) |x|^{(n-2k)\lambda-n} \log^{\nu} |x|, \quad b_0 = const > 0,$$
(2.9)

for almost all x from a neighborhood of infinity, where  $\chi_{\omega_i}$  is the characteristic function of the set  $\omega_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{2i} < |x| < 2^{2i+1}\}$ , i.e.

$$\chi_{\omega_i}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in \omega_i, \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \omega_i. \end{cases}$$

In addition, let  $\lambda > 1$  and (2.7) be valid. Then, applying Theorem 2.1 with  $\sigma = 2^{1/4}$ , we obtain that any solution of (2.3) is trivial. As in Example 2.1, condition (2.7) is exact. Really, the right-hand side of (2.9) does not exceed the right-hand side of (2.6). Thus, in the case of  $\nu < -1$ , formula (2.8) provides us again with the desirable solution.

In general, let the positive function b satisfy the inequality

$$b(x) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i \chi_{B_{2r_i} \setminus B_{r_i}}(x),$$

where  $b_i \ge 0$  and  $r_i > 0$  are some real numbers with  $r_{i+1} \ge 2r_i$ , i = 1, 2, ...According to Theorem 2.1, if  $\lambda > 1$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i^{(m-n)\lambda+n} b_i = \infty,$$

then any solution of (2.3) is trivial.

# 3. Proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2

From now on we assume that u is a solution of (1.1) and, moreover,  $\lambda > 1$  and  $\sigma > 1$  are some real numbers. By C we mean various positive constants that can depend only on  $n, m, \lambda$ , and  $\sigma$ . Let us denote

$$J(r) = \int_{B_r} b(x) |u|^{\lambda} dx$$

and

$$h(r) = \left(\frac{1}{r^n} \int_{B_{\sqrt{\sigma}r} \setminus B_{r/\sqrt{\sigma}}} a^{\lambda/(\lambda-1)}(x) b^{-1/(\lambda-1)}(x) \, dx\right)^{1-\lambda}$$

where r runs over the set of positive real numbers. As in the case of the function q, it is assumed that h(r) = 0 if

$$\int_{B_{\sqrt{\sigma}r} \setminus B_{r/\sqrt{\sigma}}} a^{\lambda/(\lambda-1)}(x) b^{-1/(\lambda-1)}(x) \, dx = \infty.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** For arbitrary real numbers  $0 < r_1 < r_2$  such that  $r_2 \leq \sqrt{\sigma}r_1$  the following relationship holds:

$$J(r_2) - J(r_1) \ge C(r_2 - r_1)^{\lambda m} r_1^{(1-\lambda)n} \sup_{(r_1, r_2)} h J^{\lambda}(r_1).$$
(3.1)

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi_0 \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  be a non-decreasing function satisfying the conditions

$$\varphi_0|_{(-\infty,0]} = 0$$
 and  $\varphi_0|_{[1,\infty)} = 1$ 

We put

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi_0\left(\frac{r_2 - |x|}{r_2 - r_1}\right).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x) |u|^{\lambda} \varphi \, dx \ge \int_{B_{r_1}} b(x) |u|^{\lambda} \, dx$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_{\alpha}(x,u) \partial^{\alpha} \varphi \, dx \right| \leq \frac{C}{(r_2 - r_1)^m} \int_{B_{r_2} \setminus B_{r_1}} a(x) |u| \, dx.$$

Combining these estimates with (1.3), we obtain

$$\int_{B_{r_2} \setminus B_{r_1}} a(x) |u| \, dx \ge C(r_2 - r_1)^m \int_{B_{r_1}} b(x) |u|^\lambda \, dx. \tag{3.2}$$

If

$$\int_{B_{r_2}\setminus B_{r_1}} a^{\lambda/(\lambda-1)}(x) b^{-1/(\lambda-1)}(x) \, dx = \infty,$$

then (3.1) is obvious since h(r) = 0 for all  $r \in (r_1, r_2)$ . Hence, we have to consider only the case where

$$\int_{B_{r_2}\setminus B_{r_1}} a^{\lambda/(\lambda-1)}(x) b^{-1/(\lambda-1)}(x) \, dx < \infty.$$

In this case, by the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int_{B_{r_2}\setminus B_{r_1}} a(x)|u| \, dx \le \left( \int_{B_{r_2}\setminus B_{r_1}} a^{\lambda/(\lambda-1)}(x) b^{-1/(\lambda-1)}(x) \, dx \right)^{(\lambda-1)/\lambda} \times \left( \int_{B_{r_2}\setminus B_{r_1}} b(x)|u|^{\lambda} \, dx \right)^{1/\lambda}.$$

According to (3.2), this implies the estimate

$$\int_{B_{r_2} \setminus B_{r_1}} b(x) |u|^{\lambda} dx \ge C(r_2 - r_1)^{\lambda m} \left( \int_{B_{r_2} \setminus B_{r_1}} a^{\lambda/(\lambda - 1)}(x) b^{-1/(\lambda - 1)}(x) dx \right)^{1 - \lambda} \times \left( \int_{B_{r_1}} b(x) |u|^{\lambda} dx \right)^{\lambda}$$

which yields relationship (3.1) immediately.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $0 < r_1 < r_2$  be some real numbers such that  $r_2 \leq \sqrt{\sigma}r_1$  and  $2J(r_1) \leq J(r_2)$ . If  $J(r_1) > 0$ , then

$$J^{(1/\lambda-1)/m}(r_1) - J^{(1/\lambda-1)/m}(r_2) \ge C \int_{r_1}^{r_2} r^{(1/\lambda-1)n/m} h^{1/(\lambda m)}(r) \, dr.$$

*Proof.* We construct a finite sequence of real numbers  $\{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^k$ . Let  $\rho_0 = r_1$ . Assume further that  $\rho_i$  is already defined. If  $4J(\rho_i) \geq J(r_2)$ , we put  $r_{i+1} = r_2$ , k = i + 1 and stop. Otherwise we take  $\rho_{i+1} \in (\rho_i, r_2)$  such that  $J(\rho_{i+1}) = 2J(\rho_i)$ . Since J is a continuous function, such a real number  $\rho_{i+1}$  obviously exists. It is also clear that this procedure must terminate at a finite step.

From the construction of the sequence  $\{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^k$ , it follows that

$$r_1 \le \rho_i < \rho_{i+1} \le r_2$$
 and  $2J(\rho_i) \le J(\rho_{i+1}) \le 4J(\rho_i), \quad i = 0, \dots, k-1.$  (3.3)

By Lemma 3.1, for all  $0 \le i \le k - 1$  we obtain

$$J(\rho_{i+1}) - J(\rho_i) \ge C(\rho_{i+1} - \rho_i)^{\lambda m} \rho_i^{(1-\lambda)n} \sup_{(\rho_i, \rho_{i+1})} h J^{\lambda}(\rho_i)$$

or, in other words,

$$\left(\frac{J(\rho_{i+1}) - J(\rho_i)}{J^{\lambda}(\rho_i)}\right)^{1/(\lambda m)} \ge C(\rho_{i+1} - \rho_i)\rho_i^{(1/\lambda - 1)n/m} \sup_{(\rho_i, \rho_{i+1})} h^{1/(\lambda m)}.$$
 (3.4)

Due to (3.3) the following inequalities hold:

$$J^{(1/\lambda-1)/m}(\rho_i) - J^{(1/\lambda-1)/m}(\rho_{i+1}) \\ \ge C \left(\frac{J(\rho_{i+1}) - J(\rho_i)}{J^{\lambda}(\rho_i)}\right)^{1/(\lambda m)}, \quad i = 0, \dots, k-1.$$
(3.5)

Moreover, since  $\rho \mapsto \rho^{(1/\lambda-1)/m}$  is a decreasing function on the interval  $(0,\infty)$ , it is obvious that

$$(\rho_{i+1} - \rho_i)\rho_i^{(1/\lambda - 1)n/m} \sup_{(\rho_i, \rho_{i+1})} h^{1/(\lambda m)} \geq \int_{\rho_i}^{\rho_{i+1}} r^{(1/\lambda - 1)n/m} h^{1/(\lambda m)}(r) dr, \quad i = 0, \dots, k - 1.$$
(3.6)

Combining (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6), we have

$$J^{(1/\lambda-1)/m}(\rho_i) - J^{(1/\lambda-1)/m}(\rho_{i+1})$$
  

$$\geq C \int_{\rho_i}^{\rho_{i+1}} r^{(1/\lambda-1)n/m} h^{1/(\lambda m)}(r) \, dr, \quad i = 0, \dots, k-1$$

Finally, summing the last inequalities over all  $0 \le i \le k - 1$ , we complete the proof.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $0 < r_1 < r_2$  be some real numbers such that  $r_2 = \sqrt{\sigma}r_1$  and  $J(r_2) \leq 2J(r_1)$ . If  $J(r_1) > 0$ , then

$$J^{1-\lambda}(r_1) - J^{1-\lambda}(r_2) \ge C \int_{r_1}^{r_2} r^{(m-n)\lambda+n-1} h(r) \, dr.$$

*Proof.* Lemma 3.1 implies the estimate

$$(J(r_2) - J(r_1))J^{-\lambda}(r_1) \ge C(r_2 - r_1)^{\lambda m} r_1^{(1-\lambda)n} \sup_{(r_1, r_2)} h.$$
(3.7)

By Lagrange's average value theorem, we obtain

$$J^{1-\lambda}(r_1) - J^{1-\lambda}(r_2) = (\lambda - 1)(J(r_2) - J(r_1))R^{-\lambda}$$

for some real number  $R \in (J(r_1), J(r_2))$ . Since  $R \leq 2J(r_1)$ , this allows us to assert that

$$J^{1-\lambda}(r_1) - J^{1-\lambda}(r_2) \ge C(J(r_2) - J(r_1))J^{-\lambda}(r_1).$$

Taking into account the condition  $r_2 = \sqrt{\sigma r_1}$ , we also have

$$(r_2 - r_1)^{\lambda m} r_1^{(1-\lambda)n} \sup_{(r_1, r_2)} h \ge C \int_{r_1}^{r_2} r^{(m-n)\lambda + n-1} h(r) \, dr.$$

In virtue of (3.7) the last two inequalities readily yield the desirable estimate.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.4** (see [12, Lemma 2.6]). Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $\varkappa > 1$ ,  $\nu > 1$ ,  $r_1 > 0$ , and  $r_2 > 0$  be real numbers with  $r_2 \geq \nu r_1$ . Then

$$\left(\int_{r_1}^{r_2} \psi^{\alpha}(r) \, dr\right)^{1/\alpha} \ge A \int_{r_1}^{r_2} r^{1/\alpha - 1} \gamma(r) \, dr$$

for any measurable function  $\psi : [r_1, r_2] \to [0, \infty)$ , where

$$\gamma(r) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{(r/\varkappa,\varkappa r)} \psi$$

and A > 0 is a constant depending only on  $\alpha$ ,  $\varkappa$ , and  $\nu$ .

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Assume the converse. Then there is a real number  $r_0 > 0$  such that  $J(r_0) > 0$ . Let us put  $r_i = \sigma^{i/2} r_0$ , i = 1, 2, ... We denote by  $\Xi_1$  the set of non-negative integers *i* for which  $J(r_{i+1}) \ge 2J(r_i)$ . In so doing, let  $\Xi_2$  be the set of all other non-negative integers.

If  $i \in \Xi_1$ , then in accordance with Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$J^{(1/\lambda-1)/m}(r_i) - J^{(1/\lambda-1)/m}(r_{i+1}) \ge C \int_{r_i}^{r_{i+1}} r^{(1/\lambda-1)n/m} h^{1/(\lambda m)}(r) \, dr.$$
(3.8)

In turn, if  $i \in \Xi_2$ , then Lemma 3.3 implies the estimate

$$J^{1-\lambda}(r_i) - J^{1-\lambda}(r_{i+1}) \ge C \int_{r_i}^{r_{i+1}} r^{(m-n)\lambda+n-1} h(r) \, dr.$$
(3.9)

Let us suppose that

$$\sum_{i\in\Xi_1} \int_{r_i}^{r_{i+1}} r^{(m-n)\lambda+n-1} q(r) \, dr = \infty, \tag{3.10}$$

where q is defined by (2.2). Then, summing inequalities (3.8) over all  $i \in \Xi_1$ , we obtain

$$J^{(1/\lambda-1)/m}(r_0) \ge C \sum_{i \in \Xi_1} \int_{r_i}^{r_{i+1}} r^{(1/\lambda-1)n/m} h^{1/(\lambda m)}(r) \, dr,$$

whence in virtue of  $\lambda m > 1$  it follows that

$$J^{1-\lambda}(r_0) \ge C \left( \sum_{i \in \Xi_1} \int_{r_i}^{r_{i+1}} r^{(1/\lambda - 1)n/m} h^{1/(\lambda m)}(r) \, dr \right)^{\lambda m}$$
$$\ge C \sum_{i \in \Xi_1} \left( \int_{r_i}^{r_{i+1}} r^{(1/\lambda - 1)n/m} h^{1/(\lambda m)}(r) \, dr \right)^{\lambda m}.$$
(3.11)

Using Lemma 3.4 with  $\psi(r) = r^{(1-\lambda)n}h(r)$ ,  $\alpha = 1/(\lambda m)$ , and  $\varkappa = \nu = \sqrt{\sigma}$ , we obtain

$$\left(\int_{r_i}^{r_{i+1}} r^{(1/\lambda-1)n/m} h^{1/(\lambda m)}(r) \, dr\right)^{\lambda m} \ge C \int_{r_i}^{r_{i+1}} r^{(m-n)\lambda+n-1} q(r) \, dr$$

for all  $i \in \Xi_1$ . Hence, (3.11) implies the inequality

$$J^{1-\lambda}(r_0) \ge C \sum_{i \in \Xi_1} \int_{r_i}^{r_{i+1}} r^{(m-n)\lambda+n-1} q(r) \, dr$$

whose right-hand side is equal to infinity while the left-hand side is bounded. We obviously arrive at a contradiction. Therefore, (3.10) can not be valid, and due to condition (2.1) we have

$$\sum_{i\in\Xi_2} \int_{r_i}^{r_{i+1}} r^{(m-n)\lambda+n-1} q(r) \, dr = \infty.$$
(3.12)

Summing inequalities (3.9) over all  $i \in \Xi_2$ , one can conclude that

$$J^{1-\lambda}(r_0) \ge C \sum_{i \in \Xi_2} \int_{r_i}^{r_{i+1}} r^{(m-n)\lambda+n-1} h(r) \, dr.$$

Since  $h(r) \ge q(r)$  for all  $r \in (0, \infty)$ , this yields

$$J^{1-\lambda}(r_0) \ge C \sum_{i \in \Xi_2} \int_{r_i}^{r_{i+1}} r^{(m-n)\lambda+n-1} q(r) \, dr.$$

In virtue of (3.12) the last inequality again leads us to a contradiction. *Proof of Theorem* 2.1. We note that

$$\left(\frac{1}{r^n} \int_{B_{\sigma r} \setminus B_{r/\sigma}} a^{\lambda/(\lambda-1)}(x) b^{-1/(\lambda-1)}(x) \, dx\right)^{1-\lambda} \ge C \operatorname{ess inf}_{B_{\sigma r} \setminus B_{r/\sigma}} a^{-\lambda} b$$

for all  $r \in (0, \infty)$ . Thus, Theorem 2.1 follows immediately from Theorem 2.2.

# 4. Generalizations

The condition of strict positivity of the function a can be omitted. Instead, we assume that

$$b(x) \ge a^{\lambda}(x)f(x)$$

with some non-negative function  $f \in L_{\infty,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $\lambda > 1$  and (2.1) be valid, where

$$q(r) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{B_{\sigma r} \setminus B_{r/\sigma}} f$$

for some real number  $\sigma > 1$ . Then any solution of (1.1) is trivial.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $\lambda > 1$  and (2.1) be valid, where

$$q(r) = \left(\frac{1}{r^n} \int_{B_{\sigma r} \setminus B_{r/\sigma}} f^{-1/(\lambda-1)}(x) \, dx\right)^{1-\lambda}$$

for some real number  $\sigma > 1$ . Then any solution of (1.1) is trivial.

It can be seen that

$$\left(\frac{1}{r^n} \int_{B_{\sigma r} \setminus B_{r/\sigma}} f^{-1/(\lambda-1)}(x) \, dx\right)^{1-\lambda} \ge C \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{B_{\sigma r} \setminus B_{r/\sigma}} f$$

for all  $r \in (0, \infty)$ . Thus, Theorem 4.1 is a consequence of Theorem 4.2. In turn, to prove Theorem 4.2, it is sufficient to establish the validity of Lemma 3.1 with

$$h(r) = \left(\frac{1}{r^n} \int_{B_{\sqrt{\sigma}r} \setminus B_{r/\sqrt{\sigma}}} f^{-1/(\lambda-1)}(x) \, dx\right)^{1-\lambda}$$

Really, in the case of

$$\int_{B_{r_2}\setminus B_{r_1}} f^{-1/(\lambda-1)}(x) \, dx = \infty,$$

Lemma 3.1 is evident since h(r) = 0 for all  $r \in (r_1, r_2)$ . If

$$\int_{B_{r_2} \setminus B_{r_1}} f^{-1/(\lambda-1)}(x) \, dx < \infty,$$

then (3.2) implies the estimate

$$\int_{B_{r_2}\setminus B_{r_1}} f^{-1/\lambda}(x) b^{1/\lambda}(x) |u| \, dx \ge C(r_2 - r_1)^m \int_{B_{r_1}} b(x) |u|^\lambda \, dx. \tag{4.1}$$

By the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{B_{r_2}\setminus B_{r_1}} f^{-1/\lambda}(x) b^{1/\lambda}(x) |u| \, dx \le \left( \int_{B_{r_2}\setminus B_{r_1}} f^{-1/(\lambda-1)}(x) \, dx \right)^{(\lambda-1)/\lambda} \times \left( \int_{B_{r_2}\setminus B_{r_1}} b(x) |u|^{\lambda} \, dx \right)^{1/\lambda},$$

whence in accordance with (4.1) it follows that

$$\int_{B_{r_2}\setminus B_{r_1}} b(x)|u|^{\lambda} dx \ge C(r_2 - r_1)^{\lambda m} \left( \int_{B_{r_2}\setminus B_{r_1}} f^{-1/(\lambda - 1)}(x) dx \right)^{1-\lambda} \times \left( \int_{B_{r_1}} b(x)|u|^{\lambda} dx \right)^{\lambda}.$$

This obviously proves (3.1).

Finally, note that, instead of (1.2), we can consider the inequality

$$|a_{\alpha}(x,\zeta)| \le a(x)|\zeta|^p,$$

where p > 0 is some real number, as was done in [13]. However, this does not increase the generality since we can always use the replacement  $v = |u|^p \operatorname{sign} u$ .

#### ON BLOW-UP CONDITIONS

### References

- A. Farina, J. Serrin, Entire solutions of completely coercive quasilinear elliptic equations, J. Diff. Eq. 250 (2011) 4367–4408.
- [2] A. Farina, J. Serrin, Entire solutions of completely coercive quasilinear elliptic equations, II, J. Diff. Eq. 250 (2011) 4409–4436.
- [3] R. Filippucci, P. Pucci, M. Rigoli, Non-existence of entire solutions of degenerate elliptic inequalities with weights, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 188 (2008) 155–179; Erratum, 188 (2008) 181.
- [4] R. Filippucci, Nonexistence of positive entire weak solutions of elliptic inequalities, Nonlinear Anal. 70 (2009) 2903–2916.
- [5] R. Filippucci, P. Pucci, M. Rigoli, On entire solutions of degenerate elliptic differential inequalities with nonlinear gradient terms, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 356 (2009) 689–697.
- [6] V. A. Galaktionov, E. L. Mitidieri, S. I. Pohozaev, Blow-up for higher-order parabolic, hyperbolic, dispersion and Schroedinger equations, Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2014.
- [7] V. A. Galaktionov, J. L. Vazquez, Blow-up of a class of solutions with free boundaries for the Navier-Stokes equations, Adv. Diff. Eq. 4 (1997) 291–321.
- [8] V. A. Galaktionov, J. L. Vazquez, Necessary and sufficient conditions of complete blow-up and extinction for one-dimensional quasilinear heat equations, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 129 (1996) 225–244.
- [9] J.B. Keller, On solution of  $\triangle u = f(u)$ . Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 10 (1957) 503–510.
- [10] V.A. Kondratiev, E.M. Landis, Qualitative properties of the solutions of a second-order nonlinear equations, Mat. Sb. 135 (1988) 346–360.
- [11] A.A. Kon'kov, On properties of solutions of quasilinear second-order elliptic inequalities, Nonlinear Analysis 123–124 (2015), 89–114.
- [12] A.A. Kon'kov, On solutions of non-autonomous ordinary differential equations, Izv. Math. 65 (2001) 285–327.
- [13] E. Mitidieri, S.I. Pohozaev, A priori estimates and blow-up of solutions to nonlinear partial differential equations and inequalities, Proc. V.A. Steklov Inst. Math. 234 (2001) 3–383.
- M. Marcus, A.E. Shishkov, Fading absorption in non-linear elliptic equations, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Lineaire 30 (2013) 315–336.
- [15] Y. Naito, H. Usami, Entire solutions of the inequality div (A(|Du|)Du) = f(u), Math. Z. 225 (1997) 167–175.
- [16] Y. Naito, H. Usami, Nonexistence results of positive entire solutions for quasilinear elliptic inequalities, Canad. Math. Bull. 40 (1997) 244–253.
- [17] R. Osserman, On the inequality  $\Delta u \ge f(u)$ , Pacific J. Math. 7 (1957) 1641–1647.
- [18] L. Veron, Comportement asymptotique des solutions d'equations elliptiques semi-lineaires dans  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , Ann. Math. Pure Appl. 127 (1981) 25–50.

DEPARTMENT OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW LOMONOSOV STATE UNIVERSITY, VOROBYOVY GORY, MOSCOW, 119992 RUSSIA *E-mail address*: konkov@mech.math.msu.su

RUDN UNIVERSITY, MIKLUKHO-MAKLAYA STR. 6, MOSCOW, 117198 RUSSIA; INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS OF NAS OF UKRAINE, DOBROVOL'SKOGO STR. 1, SLAVYANSK, 84116 UKRAINE

E-mail address: aeshkv@yahoo.com