

AFFINE SELF-SIMILAR SOLUTIONS OF THE AFFINE CURVE SHORTENING FLOW I: THE DEGENERATE CASE

CHENGJIE YU¹ AND FEIFEI ZHAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider affine self-similar solutions for the affine curve shortening flow in the Euclidean plane. We obtain the equations of all affine self-similar solutions up to affine transformations and solve the equations or give descriptions of the solutions for the degenerate case. Some new special solutions for the affine curve shortening flow are found.

1. INTRODUCTION

A generalized curve shortening flow (GCSF) in \mathbb{R}^2 is a family of curve $\hat{X}(t, u)$ satisfying the following evolution equation:

$$(1.1) \quad \hat{X}_t = |\hat{k}|^{\sigma-1} \hat{k} \hat{N},$$

where \hat{N} is the unit normal vector field on \hat{X} , \hat{k} is the curvature of \hat{X} with respect to \hat{N} and σ is a positive constant. When $\sigma = 1$, \hat{X} is called a curve shortening flow (CSF). The behaviors of CSF were extensively studied in the past decades. See for example [1, 8, 12, 13, 14, 17]. When $\sigma = \frac{1}{3}$, \hat{X} is called an affine curve shortening flow (ACSF) since the equation (1.1) is affine invariant in this case. It was first introduced by Sapiro and Tannenbaum [20] as an analogue of the CSF for affine geometry. For behaviors of the ACSF, see for example [5, 20, 2]. For the behaviors of the GCSF, see for example [7]. For a systematic investigation the topic, see the book [11] by Chou and Zhu.

In this paper, we will consider self-similar solutions of the ACSF. In the classical sense, a family of curves $\hat{X}(t, u)$ is said to be a self-similar solution of (1.1) if $\hat{X}(t, u)$ is a solution of (1.1) up to reparametrization, and moreover, the curve $\hat{X}(t, \cdot)$ at each time t can be transformed

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C44; Secondary 35K05.

Key words and phrases. self-similar solution, affine transformation, curve shortening flow.

¹Research partially supported by the Yangfan project from Guangdong Province and NSFC 11571215.

from the initial curve $X(\cdot) := \hat{X}(0, \cdot)$ by a conformal map on \mathbb{R}^2 preserving orientation which is a composition of a dilation, a rotation and a translation of \mathbb{R}^2 . Intuitively and generally speaking, a self-similar solution is a special solution of (1.1) such that for each time t , the curve $\hat{X}(t, \cdot)$ is similar to the initial curve X . The similarity is measured by a group action on \mathbb{R}^2 . In the classical sense, the similarity is measured by the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ when viewing \mathbb{R}^2 as \mathbb{C} naturally. Generally, we can measure similarity by any group action on \mathbb{R}^2 . Therefore, we can extend the meaning of self-similar solutions in the following sense.

Definition 1.1. Let G be a Lie group acting on \mathbb{R}^2 and $X : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a curve. We say that X generates a self-similar solution with respect to G if there is a curve $g(t)$ in G with $t \in [0, T)$ for some $T > 0$ and $g(0) = e$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad \hat{X}(t, u) = g(t).X(u)$$

is a solution of (1.1) up to reparametrization. We simply call X a G -self-similar solution of (1.1). The curve $g(t)$ in G is called the family of self-similar actions acting on X .

Noting the form of (1.2), we know that finding self-similar solutions in the sense of Definition 1.1 is something like using the method of separating variables to obtain special solutions of a partial differential equation. If G is chosen to be the group $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of diffeomorphisms acting on \mathbb{R}^2 in Definition 1.1, it is clear that any embedded curve developing a GCSF is a $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -self-similar solution of GCSF. According to the definition, self-similar solutions in the classical sense are $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ -self-similar solutions.

$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ -self-similar solutions for CSF were studied in [1, 3, 18, 21] and finally completely classified by Halldorsson [15]. The reason for choosing the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ is that the symmetric group of CSF (see [10]) acting on \mathbb{R}^2 conformally. The list of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ -self-similar solutions for CSF is as follows (see [15]):

- (1) Translation: Only the Grim Reaper curve.
- (2) Expansion: A 1-D family of curves each of which is properly embedded and asymptotic to a boundary of a cone. These curves were first found by Ishimura [18] and Urbas [21].
- (3) Contraction: A 1-D family of curves each of which is contained in an annulus and consists of identical excursions between its two boundaries. The closed ones in the family were completely classified by Abresch and Langer [1] and are called the Abresch-Langer curves.

- (4) Rotatation: A 1-D family of curves each of which is properly embedded and spirals out to infinity. The ying-yang spirals first introduced by Altschuler [3] are contained in the family. A different discussion of this case can also be found in [11].
- (5) Rotation and expansion: A 2-D family of curves each of which is properly embedded and spirals out to infinity.
- (6) Rotation and contraction: A 2-D family of curves each of which has one end asymptotic to a circle and the other is either asymptotic to the same circle or spirals out to infinity.

The last two cases (5) and (6) were first discovered by Halldorsson [15]. Classification of self-similar solutions of the GCSF with respect to homothetic contractions was done by Andrews (see [5, 6]). Nien-Tsai [19] considered translation self-similar solutions for much more general curve curvature flows including the GCSF. Similar classification for the mean curvature flow in $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ was also carried out in [16] by using a similar technique as in [15].

Denote the group of affine transformations on \mathbb{R}^2 as $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. As computed in [10], the symmetric group of the ACSF acts on \mathbb{R}^2 in the same way as $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. So, for the ACSF, instead of considering $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ -self-similar solutions, it is more natural to consider $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -self-similar solutions. We call such self-similar solutions of the ACSF affine self-similar solutions.

In this paper, by using a similar technique as in [15], we show that an affine self-similar solution X of the ACSF must satisfy the equation:

$$(1.3) \quad \langle BX + C, N_X \rangle = k_X^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

where $B \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Here N_X is the normal vector field of X and k_X is the curvature of X with respect to N_X . Then, by an affine transformation, we are able to simplify (1.3) and completely classify affine self-similar solutions of the ACSF into several cases. More precisely, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $X : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be an affine self-similar solution of the ACSF satisfying (1.3). Then, there is an affine transformation $Y = QX + H$ of X with $Q \in GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $H \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that Y satisfies one of the following equations.*

- (1) *The case: $\det B = 0$. We call this the degenerate case.*
 - (a) *If $B = 0$, then*

$$(1.4) \quad \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, N_Y \right\rangle = k_Y^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

This is the translation case.

- (b) If $B \neq 0$ has a positive eigenvalue, and $C \in R(B)$ where $R(B)$ means the range of B , then

$$(1.5) \quad \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} Y, N_Y \right\rangle = k_Y^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

This is the case of expansion in a single direction.

- (c) If $B \neq 0$ has a positive eigenvalue and $C \notin R(B)$, then

$$(1.6) \quad \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} Y + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, N_Y \right\rangle = k_Y^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

This is the case of expansion in a direction and translation in another direction.

- (d) If $B \neq 0$ has a negative eigenvalue, and $C \in R(B)$, then

$$(1.7) \quad \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} Y, N_Y \right\rangle = k_Y^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

This is the case of contraction in a single direction.

- (e) If $B \neq 0$ has a negative eigenvalue and $C \notin R(B)$, then

$$(1.8) \quad \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} Y + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, N_Y \right\rangle = k_Y^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

This is the case of contraction in a direction and translation in another direction.

- (f) If $B \neq 0$ has two zero eigenvalues and $C \in R(B)$, then

$$(1.9) \quad \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Y, N_Y \right\rangle = k_Y^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

We call this the skew steady case.

- (g) If $B \neq 0$ has two zero eigenvalues and $C \notin R(B)$, then

$$(1.10) \quad \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Y + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, N_Y \right\rangle = k_Y^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

We call this the case of skew steady with translation.

- (2) The case: $\det B \neq 0$. We call this the nondegenerate case. Let $\Delta = (\operatorname{tr} B)^2 - 4 \det B$ which is the discriminant of the characteristic polynomial of B .

- (a) If $\Delta < 0$ and $\operatorname{tr} B = 0$, then

$$(1.11) \quad \langle JY, N_Y \rangle = k_Y^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. This is the case of rotation.

(b) If $\Delta < 0$ and $\text{tr}B > 0$, then

$$(1.12) \quad \langle Y + aJY, N_Y \rangle = k_Y^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

with $a > 0$. This is the case of rotation and expansion.

(c) If $\Delta < 0$ and $\text{tr}B < 0$, then

$$(1.13) \quad \langle -Y + aJY, N_Y \rangle = k_Y^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

with $a > 0$. This is the case of rotation and contraction.

(d) If $\Delta = 0$ and B is diagonalizable with a double positive eigenvalue, then

$$(1.14) \quad \langle Y, N_Y \rangle = k_Y^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

This is the case of expansion.

(e) If $\Delta = 0$ and B is diagonalizable with a double negative eigenvalue, then

$$(1.15) \quad -\langle Y, N_Y \rangle = k_Y^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

This is the case of contraction.

(f) If $\Delta = 0$ and B is not diagonalizable with a double positive eigenvalue, then

$$(1.16) \quad \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} Y, N_Y \right\rangle = k_Y^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

We call this the case of skew expansion.

(g) If $\Delta = 0$ and B is not diagonalizable with a double negative eigenvalue, then

$$(1.17) \quad \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} Y, N_Y \right\rangle = k_Y^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

We call this the case of skew contraction.

(h) If $\Delta > 0$, $\det B > 0$ and B has two different positive eigenvalues, then

$$(1.18) \quad \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} Y, N_Y \right\rangle = k_Y^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

with $0 < a < 1$. This is the case of expansion in two directions with different ratios.

(i) If $\Delta > 0$, $\det B > 0$ and B has two different negative eigenvalues, then

$$(1.19) \quad -\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} Y, N_Y \right\rangle = k_Y^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

with $0 < a < 1$. This is the case of contraction in two directions with different ratios.

(j) If $\Delta > 0$, $\det B < 0$, then

$$(1.20) \quad \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} Y, N_Y \right\rangle = k_Y^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

with $a > 0$. This is the case of contraction in a direction and expansion in another direction.

The second part of this paper is to solve the equations or give descriptions of the curves in the degenerate case of Theorem 1.1. The nondegenerate case will be handled in another paper. We summarize the conclusion as follows:

- (1) Translation: Parabolas. This was first found in [9] where they called this the affine Grim Reaper.
- (2) Expansion in a single direction: Hyperbolas, graph of a convex (concave) function with only one minimum (maximum) point and graph of an increasing (decreasing) function with only one inflection point. For details, see Theorem 3.2. The hyperbolas in this case were also found in [10].
- (3) Expansion in a direction and translation in another direction: There are four kinds of curves. See Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3 and Figure 4. For details, see Theorem 3.3.
- (4) Contraction in a single direction: Graph of a periodic function. For details, see Theorem 3.4.
- (5) Contraction in a direction and translation in another direction: Graph of a function $f(x)$ oscillating around the x -axis or a combination of graphs of two functions oscillating around the x -axis. For details, see Theorem 3.5.
- (6) Skew steady: Graphs of some quintic functions. This solution was also found in [9].
- (7) Skew steady with translation: Parabolas and curves given in the parametrization form in (3.50) and (3.51). This is the case of parabolic scooper in [9].

Lines are also trivial solutions of the cases (1)–(6) which are not given in the list above. For details, see Theorem 3.1– Theorem 3.7.

We would like to mention that, in [10], Chou and Li systematically computed the group $S(\sigma)$ of invariant transformations of (1.1) viewed as a partial differential equation with three variables (one time dimension and two spatial dimensions), an optimal system of 1-D subalgebra with respect to self-adjoint action, and classified the invariant solutions

of (1.1) with respect to a one-parameter subgroup of $S(\sigma)$. According to the definition of self-similar solutions, self-similar solutions and invariant solutions with respect to a one-parameter subgroup of $S(\sigma)$ are not a priori identical. In fact, the two sets of special solutions have nonempty intersection and are not equal. Moreover, Chou and Li [10] only derived the equations of invariant solutions and did not give descriptions of all the invariant solutions such as in the work of Halldorsson [15]. Similar computation as in [10] for the centro-affine curve shortening flow was carried out in [22]. Invariant solutions for higher dimensional curve shortening flows was studied in [4].

The organization of the remaining parts of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we will derive (1.3) and prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we will handle the degenerate case of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Professor Xiaoliu Wang for helpful discussions on self-similar solutions and invariant solutions.

2. EQUATIONS OF AFFINE SELF-SIMILAR SOLUTIONS

In this section, we derive the equation of affine self-similar solutions of the ACSF by a similar technique as in [15].

Lemma 2.1. *An immersed curve $X : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is an affine self-similar solution of ACSF if and only if it satisfies the following equation:*

$$(2.1) \quad \langle BX + C, N \rangle = k^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

where $B \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover, the family $(A(t), H(t))$ of self-similar actions on X satisfying (2.1) is given by

$$(2.2) \quad A(t) = \begin{cases} \exp(tB) & \text{tr}B = 0 \\ \exp\left(\frac{3}{2\text{tr}B} \ln\left(1 + \frac{2}{3}\text{tr}B \cdot t\right) B\right) & \text{tr}B \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad H(t) = \int_0^t (\det A)^{-\frac{2}{3}} A(t) dt \cdot C.$$

Proof. Let $X : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be an affine self-similar solution of the ACSF. Then, by Definition 1.1, there is a curve $(A(t), H(t))$ in $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $t \in [0, T)$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \hat{X}(t, u) = A(t)X(u) + H(t),$$

is a solution (1.1) up to reparametrization with $\sigma = 1/3$. That is to say, \hat{X} satisfies:

$$(2.5) \quad \langle \hat{X}_t, \hat{N} \rangle = \hat{k}^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Here $A(t) \in GL_+(2, \mathbb{R})$, and $H(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover, $A(0) = I_2$ and $H(0) = 0$.

For the curve $X(u)$, the unit tangent vector of X is $T = \frac{X_u}{\|X_u\|}$. The unit normal vector is $N = JT$ where

$$(2.6) \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The curvature of X with respect to N is

$$(2.7) \quad k = \frac{\det(X_u, X_{uu})}{\|X_u\|^3}.$$

Similarly for the curve \hat{X} , by (2.4), the unit tangent vector of \hat{X} is

$$(2.8) \quad \hat{T} = \frac{\hat{X}_u}{\|\hat{X}_u\|} = \frac{AX_u}{\|AX_u\|} = \frac{\|X_u\|}{\|AX_u\|} AT,$$

the unit normal vector field of \hat{X} is

$$(2.9) \quad \hat{N} = J\hat{T} = \frac{\|X_u\|}{\|AX_u\|} JAT = \frac{\|X_u\|}{\|AX_u\|} JAJ^t N = \frac{\|X_u\| \det A}{\|AX_u\|} (A^{-1})^t N,$$

and the curvature of \hat{X} with respect to \hat{N} is

$$(2.10) \quad \hat{k} = \frac{\det(\hat{X}_u, \hat{X}_{uu})}{\|\hat{X}_u\|^3} = \frac{\det A \det(X_u, X_{uu})}{\|AX_u\|^3} = \frac{\|X_u\|^3 \det A}{\|AX_u\|^3} k.$$

Substituting (2.9) and (2.10) into (2.5), we have

$$(2.11) \quad (\det A)^{\frac{2}{3}} \langle A^{-1} A' X(u) + A^{-1} H', N \rangle = k^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Noting that the RHS of (2.11) is independent of t while the LHS of (2.11) is depending on t and letting $t = 0$, we obtain

$$(2.12) \quad \langle BX + C, N \rangle = k^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

where $B = A'(0)$ and $C = H'(0)$. This means that an affine self-similar solution of the ACSF must satisfy (2.12). Conversely, for a curve satisfying (2.12), by letting

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{cases} (\det A)^{\frac{2}{3}} A^{-1} A' = B \\ (\det A)^{\frac{2}{3}} A^{-1} H' = C \\ A(0) = I_2 \\ H(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We can obtain the self-similar action $(A(t), H(t))$ on X that produces a solution of (1.1).

By the first and third equation of (2.13), we have

$$(2.14) \quad A(t) = \exp \left(\int_0^t (\det A)^{-\frac{2}{3}} dt \cdot B \right).$$

Taking determinant of (2.14), we have

$$(2.15) \quad \det A = \exp \left(\int_0^t (\det A)^{-\frac{2}{3}} dt \cdot \operatorname{tr} B \right).$$

So, $\det A$ satisfies

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \det A = \operatorname{tr} B (\det A)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ \det A(0) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Solving (2.16), we obtain

$$(2.17) \quad \det A = \left(1 + \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{tr} B \cdot t \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Substituting this into (2.14), we have

$$(2.18) \quad A(t) = \begin{cases} \exp(tB) & \operatorname{tr} B = 0 \\ \exp \left(\frac{3}{2\operatorname{tr} B} \ln \left(1 + \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{tr} B \cdot t \right) B \right) & \operatorname{tr} B \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, by substituting (2.18) and (2.17) into (2.13), one can obtain the expression of H . This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Remark 2.1. Note that when $\operatorname{tr} B < 0$, by the expression of $A(t)$ in the lemma, the maximal existence time of the ACSF is $T = -\frac{3}{2\operatorname{tr} B}$.

Because of the affine invariance of the ACSF, we have also the following relation of an affine self-similar solution and its affine transformation.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $X : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be an affine self-similar solution satisfying*

$$(2.19) \quad \langle BX + C, N_X \rangle = k_X^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

where N_X and k_X are the normal vector field and curvature of X respectively. Let $Q \in GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $Y = Q^{-1}X$. Then Y satisfies

$$(2.20) \quad (\det Q)^{\frac{2}{3}} \langle Q^{-1}BQY + Q^{-1}C, N_Y \rangle = k_Y^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Proof. By (2.9) and (2.10), we have

$$(2.21) \quad N_X = \frac{\|Y_u\| \det Q}{\|QY_u\|} (Q^{-1})^t N_Y$$

and

$$(2.22) \quad k_X = \frac{\|Y_u\|^3 \det Q}{\|QY_u\|^3} k_Y.$$

Substituting these into the equation of X , we complete the proof of the lemma. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We will discuss the existence of the affine transformation case by case.

(1-a) Since $C \neq 0$, there is clearly a non-degenerate matrix Q such that

$$(2.23) \quad (\det Q)^{\frac{2}{3}} Q^{-1} C = (0, 1)^t.$$

Let $Y = Q^{-1}X$. By Lemma 2.2, we get the conclusion.

(1-b) Note that there is a $H \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $BH = C$. Let $Y_1 = X + H$. It is clear that Y_1 satisfies:

$$(2.24) \quad \langle BY_1, N_{Y_1} \rangle = k_{Y_1}^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Moreover, there is a $Q_1 \in GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ with $\det Q_1 = \pm 1$ such that

$$(2.25) \quad Q_1^{-1} B Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

with $\lambda > 0$. Let $Y = \lambda^{\frac{3}{4}} Q_1^{-1} Y_1$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, we get the conclusion.

(1-c) Let $Y_1 = \lambda^{\frac{3}{4}} Q_1^{-1} X$ where Q_1 is the same as in the proof of (1-b). Then, as in (1-b), Y_1 satisfies:

$$(2.26) \quad \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} Y_1 + C_1, N_{Y_1} \right\rangle = k_{Y_1}^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

where C_1 is not in the range of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. So $C_1 = (a, b)^t$ with $a \neq 0$. Let $Y_2 = Y_1 + (0, b)^t$. Then, it is clear that

$$(2.27) \quad \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} Y_2 + \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, N_{Y_2} \right\rangle = k_{Y_2}^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Finally, let $Y = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a} & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} Y_2$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, we get the conclusion.

(1-d) The proof is the same as that of (1-b).

(1-e) The proof is the same as that of (1-c).

(1-f) Let $H \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be such that $BH = C$ and let $Y_1 = X + H$. Then

$$(2.28) \quad \langle BY_1, N_{Y_1} \rangle = k_{Y_1}^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Let $Q_1 \in GL(2, R)$ with $\det Q_1 = \pm 1$ be such that

$$(2.29) \quad Q_1^{-1}BQ_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $Y = Q_1^{-1}Y_1$. By Lemma 2.2, we obtain the conclusion.

(1-g) Let $Q_1 \in GL(2, R)$ with $\det Q_1 = \pm 1$ be such that

$$(2.30) \quad Q_1^{-1}BQ_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $Y_1 = Q_1^{-1}X$. By Lemma 2.2,

$$(2.31) \quad \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Y_1 + C_1, N_{Y_1} \right\rangle = k_{Y_1}^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

with $C_1 = (a, b)^t$ is not in the range of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and hence $b \neq 0$.

Let $Y_2 = Y_1 + (0, a)^t$. Then,

$$(2.32) \quad \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Y_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b \end{pmatrix}, N_{Y_2} \right\rangle = k_{Y_2}^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Let $Y = \begin{pmatrix} b^{\frac{5}{3}} & 0 \\ 0 & b^{\frac{1}{3}} \end{pmatrix} Y_2$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, we obtain the conclusion.

(2-a) Let $Y_1 = X + B^{-1}C$. Then, we have

$$(2.33) \quad \langle BY_1, N_{Y_1} \rangle = k_{Y_1}^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

In this case, B has eigenvalues $\pm ci$ with $c > 0$. So, there is a $Q_1 \in GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ with $\det Q_1 = \pm 1$, such that

$$Q_1^{-1}BQ_1 = cJ.$$

Here J is the matrix defined in (2.6). Let $Y = c^{\frac{3}{4}}Q_1^{-1}Y_1$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, we get the conclusion.

(2-b) In this case, B has eigenvalues $b \pm ci$ with $b > 0$ and $c > 0$. So, there is a $Q_1 \in GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ with $\det Q_1 = \pm 1$ such that

$$Q_1^{-1}BQ_1 = bI + cJ.$$

Letting $Y = b^{\frac{3}{4}}Q_1^{-1}Y_1$ where Y_1 is the same as in (2-a) and by Lemma 2.2, we get the conclusion.

(2-c) The proof is the same that of (2-b).

(2-d) The proof is similar with that of (2-a).

(2-e) The proof is similar with that of (2-a).

(2-f) In this case, there is a $Q_1 \in GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ with $\det Q_1 = \pm 1$ such that

$$(2.34) \quad Q_1^{-1} B Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\lambda > 0$. Let $Y = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{\frac{5}{4}} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} \end{pmatrix} Q_1^{-1} Y_1$ with Y_1 the same as in

(2-a). Then, by Lemma 2.2, we get the conclusion.

(2-g) The proof is similar with that of (2-f).

(2-h) The proof is similar with that of (2-a).

(2-i) The proof is similar with that of (2-a).

(2-j) The proof is similar with that of (2-a).

□

3. THE CASE: $\det B = 0$.

In this section, we solve the equations or give descriptions of the curves in the cases (1-a)–(1-g) in Theorem 1.1. We will discuss case by case.

Theorem 3.1 (Translation). *The solutions of (1.4) are the parabolas $y = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + C_1x + C_2$ and the lines $x = C$ where C_1, C_2 and C are arbitrary constants .*

Although the general case was discussed in [11] and this case was also solved in [9], we will also present the proof here for completeness.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 . First, suppose that Y is locally of the form $(x, y(x))$. Then,

$$(3.1) \quad N = (1 + y_x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(-y_x, 1)^t$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad k = (1 + y_x^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} y_{xx}.$$

Substituting these into (1.4), we have

$$(3.3) \quad y_{xx} = 1.$$

So, $y = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + C_1x + C_2$.

On the other hand, when assume that Y is locally of the form $(x(y), y)$, we have

$$(3.4) \quad N = (1 + x_y^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(-1, x_y)^t$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad k = -(1 + x_y^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} x_{yy}.$$

Substituting these into (1.4), we have

$$(3.6) \quad x_{yy} = -x_y^3.$$

It is clear that $x_y = 0$ and hence $x = C$ is a solution of the equation. \square

Theorem 3.2 (Expansion in a single direction). *Solutions of (1.5) are the following curves:*

- (1) *The lines $x = C$ and $y = 0$ with C any constant.*
- (2) *The hyperbolas $(x - C)y = \pm\sqrt{2}$ with C any constant.*
- (3) $(x - C_2)^2 - \left(\int_{\sqrt[4]{2C_1}}^y \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\xi^4 - C_1}} d\xi \right)^2 = 0$ with $y \geq \sqrt[4]{2C_1}$ which is the graph of a convex function with only one minimum point at $x = C_2$. Here C_1 is a positive constant.
- (4) $(x - C_2)^2 - \left(\int_y^{-\sqrt[4]{2C_1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\xi^4 - C_1}} d\xi \right)^2 = 0$ with $y \leq -\sqrt[4]{2C_1}$ which is the graph of a concave function with only one maximum point $x = C_2$. Here C_1 is a positive constant.
- (5) $x = \int_0^y \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\xi^4 + C_1}} d\xi + C_2$ with $C_1 > 0$ which is the graph of an increasing function with only one inflection point $x = C_2$.
- (6) $x = -\int_0^y \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\xi^4 + C_1}} d\xi + C_2$ with $C_1 > 0$ which is the graph of a decreasing function with only one inflection point $x = C_2$.

Proof. First assume that Y is locally of the form $(x(y), y)$, similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$(3.7) \quad x_{yy} + y^3 x_y^3 = 0.$$

This ODE has a special solution $x \equiv C$. Moreover, when $x_y \neq 0$, the ODE can be rewritten as

$$(3.8) \quad (x_y^{-2})_y = 2y^3.$$

So,

$$(3.9) \quad x_y = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}y^4 + C}}.$$

From this, we obtain the hyperbolas in (2) when $C = 0$, obtain the expression in (3) and (4) when $C < 0$, and obtain the expression in (5) and (6) when $C > 0$.

On the other hand, when locally written Y in the form $(x, y(x))$, similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$(3.10) \quad y_{xx} = y^3.$$

Clearly, $y = 0$ is a solution of (3.10). \square

Theorem 3.3 (Expansion in a direction and translation in another direction). *Up to translations and reflection with respect to the x -axis, the solutions of (1.6) are the following five kinds of curves:*

- (1) *The line $y = 0$.*
- (2) *A curve formed by the graphs of two functions $y = f_1(x)$ and $y = f_2(x)$ with $x \in [0, +\infty)$ where f_1 and f_2 satisfy the following properties (see Figure 1):*
 - (a) $f_1(0) = f_2(0) > 0$, $f_1'(0) = +\infty$ and $f_2'(0) = -\infty$;
 - (b) $f_1(x) > f_2(x)$ for any $x \in (0, +\infty)$;
 - (c) f_1 is increasing with only one inflection point;
 - (d) f_2 is convex function with only one minimum point with positive minimum.
- (3) *A curve formed by the graphs of two functions $y = f_1(x)$ and $y = f_2(x)$ with $x \in [0, +\infty)$ where f_1 and f_2 satisfy the following properties (see Figure 2):*
 - (a) $f_1(0) = f_2(0) > 0$, $f_1'(0) = +\infty$ and $f_2'(0) = -\infty$;
 - (b) f_1 is increasing with only one inflection point;
 - (c) f_2 is a decreasing convex function and $f_2(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow +\infty$.
- (4) *A curve formed by the graphs of two functions $y = f_1(x)$ and $y = f_2(x)$ with $x \in [0, +\infty)$ where f_1 and f_2 satisfy the following properties (see Figure 3):*
 - (a) $f_1(0) = f_2(0) \geq 0$, $f_1'(0) = +\infty$ and $f_2'(0) = -\infty$;
 - (b) f_1 is increasing with only one inflection point;
 - (c) f_2 is decreasing with only one inflection point.

Moreover, when $f_1(0) = f_2(0) = 0$, the curve is symmetric with respect to the x -axis. That is, $f_2 = -f_1$.
- (5) *The graph of an increasing convex function f on $(-\infty, \infty)$ with $f(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow -\infty$. (See Figure 4.)*

Proof. Locally written Y in the form $(x, y(x))$, similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$(3.11) \quad y_{xx} = (y - y_x)^3.$$

First, note that if $y = y(x)$ is a solution of the equation, then so is $y = -y(x)$ and moreover $y = 0$ is a solution of the equation.

Let $u = y$ and $v = y_x$. Then, by (3.11), we have the following planar dynamical system:

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} u_x = v \\ v_x = (u - v)^3. \end{cases}$$




FIGURE 1. The curve for case (2) of Theorem 3.3




FIGURE 2. The curve for case (3) of Theorem 3.3




FIGURE 3. The curve for case (4) of Theorem 3.3




FIGURE 4. The curve for case (5) of Theorem 3.3

Because the system is centrosymmetric with respect to the origin, we only need to analyze the trajectories of the dynamical system on the right half plane.

The dynamical system (3.12) has a singularity at the origin which corresponding to the line $y = 0$.

A trajectory of (3.12) must satisfy

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{du}{dv} = \frac{v}{(u-v)^3}.$$

For those trajectories $(u(v), v)$ passing through (u_0, v_0) with $u_0 - v_0 < 0$ and $v_0 > 0$, by (3.13), we know that $u(v)$ is decreasing when $v > v_0$.

So

$$(3.14) \quad u(v) - u_0 = \int_{v_0}^v \frac{\xi}{(u(\xi) - \xi)^3} d\xi \geq \int_{v_0}^v \frac{\xi}{(u_0 - \xi)^3} d\xi \geq \int_{v_0}^{\infty} \frac{\xi}{(u_0 - \xi)^3} d\xi.$$

This implies that $u(v)$ has a lower bound for $v > v_0$ and hence the trajectory will decrease to a constant as $v \rightarrow +\infty$.

For those trajectories (u, v) passing through (u_0, v_0) with $u_0 - v_0 > 0$ and $v_0 > 0$, u will increase to $+\infty$. Otherwise the trajectory will stay in the triangle region $\{(u, v) \mid C \geq u \geq v \geq 0\}$ with some constant C and this is impossible. Moreover, v will also increase to $+\infty$. Otherwise, suppose that $v \leq C$ with C the supremum of v . Then, one has

$$\liminf_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \left| \frac{dv}{du} \right| = 0.$$

However

$$(3.15) \quad \frac{dv}{du} = \frac{(u-v)^3}{v} \rightarrow +\infty$$

as $u \rightarrow +\infty$ since $v \rightarrow C$ as assumed before.

Furthermore, for those trajectories (u, v) passing through (u_0, v_0) with $u_0 - v_0 > 0$ and $v_0 > 0$, we want to show that as $u \rightarrow +\infty$, $x \rightarrow +\infty$. Let $w = u - v$. Then,

$$(3.16) \quad w_x = v - w^3$$

Consider the dynamical system:

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{cases} w_x = v - w^3 \\ v_x = w^3. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that for those trajectories passing through (w_0, v_0) with $v_0 > 0$ and $w_0 \geq v_0^{\frac{1}{3}}$, the trajectory will decrease to pass through the curve $w = v^{\frac{1}{3}}$, stay below it and become increasing in w (see Figure 5).

For those trajectories passing through (w_0, v_0) with $v_0^{\frac{1}{3}} > w_0 > 0$, the trajectory will be increasing in w and stay below the curve $w = v^{\frac{1}{3}}$. In summary, for sufficiently large v , for instance $v > C$, one has $0 < w < v^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Now, suppose that $u(x_0) = u_0$ and $v(x_0) = v_0$. Then, by (3.17),

$$(3.18) \quad x - x_0 = \int_{v_0}^v w^{-3}(\xi) d\xi \geq \int_C^v \xi^{-1} d\xi = \ln v - \ln C.$$

So, as $v \rightarrow +\infty$, $x \rightarrow +\infty$.

Finally, we show that there are trajectories of (3.12) in each connected component of \mathbb{R}^2 with the lines $v = 0$ and $u - v = 0$ deleted that tend to the origin as $x \rightarrow -\infty$ or $x \rightarrow +\infty$. Consider the map φ and ψ from $(0, \epsilon]$ to $[0, \epsilon]$ by sending a to $b = \varphi(a)$ such that (b, ϵ) is on the trajectory starting at $(a, 0)$ and by sending a to $b = \psi(a)$ such that (b, ϵ) is on the trajectory starting at (a, a) respectively (see Figure 6). It is clear that φ and ψ are both topological




FIGURE 5. Dynamics of $w - v$ in the proof of Theorem 3.3




FIGURE 6. Dynamics of $u - v$ in the proof of Theorem 3.3

embedding and $\phi((0, \epsilon])$ and $\psi((0, \epsilon])$ are both open in $[0, \epsilon]$. Therefore $[0, \epsilon] \setminus (\phi((0, \epsilon]) \cup \psi((0, \epsilon]))$ is not empty and the trajectory passing through (ϵ, b) with $b \in [0, \epsilon] \setminus (\phi((0, \epsilon]) \cup \psi((0, \epsilon]))$ will tend to the origin as $x \rightarrow -\infty$. This proves the claim in the first connected component. The the proof of the claim in other connected components is similar.

By the analysis of trajectories of (3.12) above, the phase diagram of the dynamical system is as in Figure 6. Note that the two trajectories with the same asymptotic behavior as $v \rightarrow +\infty$ and $v \rightarrow -\infty$ respectively will math up to form a complete curve. So, the trajectories I_1 and I_2 in Figure 6 match up to form the curve of (2), the trajectories II_1 and II_2 in Figure 6 match up to form the curve of (3), the trajectories III_1 and III_2 in Figure 6 match up to form the curve of (4), and the trajectory IV corresponds to the curve of (5). \square

Theorem 3.4 (Contraction in a single direction). *Solutions of the equation (1.7) are the following curves:*

- (1) *The lines $x = C$ and $y = 0$.*
- (2) *The graph of a T -periodic function with the function in a periodicity given by*

$$(3.19) \quad x - C_2 = \begin{cases} \int_0^y \frac{1}{\sqrt{C_1 - \frac{1}{2}\xi^4}} d\xi & -\sqrt[4]{2C_1} \leq y \leq \sqrt[4]{2C_1} \\ \frac{T}{2} - \int_0^y \frac{1}{\sqrt{C_1 - \frac{1}{2}\xi^4}} d\xi & 0 \leq y \leq \sqrt[4]{2C_1} \\ -\frac{T}{2} - \int_0^y \frac{1}{\sqrt{C_1 - \frac{1}{2}\xi^4}} d\xi & -\sqrt[4]{2C_1} \leq y \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

where $T = 4 \int_0^{\sqrt[4]{2C_1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{C_1 - \frac{1}{2}\xi^4}} d\xi$ and C_1 is a positive constant.

Proof. Locally written the curve Y in the form of $(x(y), y)$. Then, similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$(3.20) \quad x_{yy} = y^3 x_y^3.$$

First of all, $x_y = 0$ satisfies the equation. So $x = C$ is a solution of (1.7). When $x_y \neq 0$, we have

$$(3.21) \quad (x_y^{-2})_y = -2y^3.$$

So

$$(3.22) \quad x_y^{-2} = C_1 - \frac{1}{2}y^4$$

where C_1 is a positive constant. Then

$$(3.23) \quad x(y) = \pm \int_0^y \frac{1}{\sqrt{C_1 - \frac{1}{2}\xi^4}} d\xi + C_2$$

with $y \in [-\sqrt[4]{2C_1}, \sqrt[4]{2C_1}]$. From this, we obtain (2).

On the other hand, when locally written Y in the form of $(x, y(x))$, similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$(3.24) \quad y_{xx} = -y^3.$$

So $y = 0$ is a solution of (1.7). □

Theorem 3.5 (Contraction in a direction and translation in another direction). *Up to translations and reflection with respect to the x -axis, solutions of (1.8) are the following curves:*

- (1) *The line $y = 0$;*
- (2) *Curves formed by the graphs of the functions f_1 and $-f_2$. Here f_1 and f_2 are functions defined on $[0, +\infty)$ oscillating around the x -axis satisfying the following properties:*
 - (a) $f_1(0) = f_2(0) \geq 0$ and $f_1'(0) = f_2'(0) = +\infty$;
 - (b) *There are three sequences $\{x_n^{(i)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\{y_n^{(i)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{z_n^{(i)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $(0, \infty)$ increasing to $+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ for f_i with $i = 1, 2$ such that*
 - (i) $x_n^{(i)} < y_n^{(i)} < z_n^{(i)} < x_{n+1}^{(i)}$ for any n and $i = 1, 2$;
 - (ii) $x_n^{(i)}$ is a local maximum point of f_i with $f_i(x_n) > 0$ when n is odd, and is a local minimum point of f_i with $f_i(x_n) < 0$ when n is even;
 - (iii) $y_1^{(i)}, y_2^{(i)}, \dots$ are all the inflection points of f_i ;
 - (iv) $z_1^{(i)}, z_2^{(i)}, \dots$ are all the positive zeroes of f_i ;

- (v) f_i is monotone between $x_n^{(i)}$ and $x_{n+1}^{(i)}$;
- (vi) $\{|f_i(x_n)|\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\{|f_i(y_n)| = |f'_i(y_n)|\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{|f'_i(z_n)|\}_{n=1}^\infty$ decrease to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (vii) $y_n^{(i)} - x_n^{(i)} < x_{n+1}^{(i)} - y_n^{(i)}$ and $x_{n+1}^{(i)} - z_n^{(i)} < z_{n+1}^{(i)} - x_{n+1}^{(i)}$ for any n . Moreover, $x_{n+1}^{(i)} - y_n^{(i)}$ and $z_{n+1}^{(i)} - x_{n+1}^{(i)}$ tend to ∞ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Moreover, when $f_1(0) = f_2(0) = 0$, the curve is symmetric with respect to the x -axis (see Figure 7). That is $f_1 = f_2$.

- (3) Graph of a function f on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ oscillating around the x -axis (See Figure 8.). More precisely, there are three sequences $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $(0, \infty)$ increasing to $+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ such that
 - (a) f decreases to $-\infty$ as $x \rightarrow -\infty$ on $(-\infty, x_1]$.
 - (b) $x_n < y_n < z_n < x_{n+1}$ for any n ;
 - (c) x_n is a local maximum point of f with $f(x_n) > 0$ when n is odd, and is a local minimum point of f with $f(x_n) < 0$ when n is even;
 - (d) y_1, y_2, \dots are all the inflection points of f ;
 - (e) z_1, z_2, \dots are all the zeroes of f greater than x_1 ;
 - (f) f is monotone between x_n and x_{n+1} ;
 - (g) $\{|f(x_n)|\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\{|f(y_n)| = |f'(y_n)|\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{|f'(z_n)|\}_{n=1}^\infty$ decrease to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
 - (h) $y_n - x_n < x_{n+1} - y_n$ and $x_{n+1} - z_n < z_{n+1} - x_{n+1}$ for any n . Moreover, $x_{n+1} - y_n$ and $z_{n+1} - x_{n+1}$ tend to $+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.




FIGURE 7. The symmetric curve of (2) in Theorem 3.5.




FIGURE 8. The curve of (3) in Theorem 3.5.

Proof. Locally written Y in the form of $(x, y(x))$. Then, similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$(3.25) \quad y_{xx} = -(y + y_x)^3.$$

It is clear that if y is a solution of the equation, then so is $-y$.

Let $u = y$ and $v = y_x$. Then, we have the following planar dynamical system:

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{cases} u_x = v \\ v_x = -(u + v)^3. \end{cases}$$

The system has a singularity at the origin which corresponds to the solution $y = 0$. For convenience of presentation, we will divide the proof into several claims.

Claim 1. As x increasing, a trajectory of (3.26) will spiral to the origin. This also implies that x must go to $+\infty$ along any trajectory.

Proof of Claim 1. Note that, along a trajectory of the dynamical system,

$$(3.27) \quad \frac{d}{dx}(u^4 + 2v^2) = -4v^2(u^2 + u(u + v) + (u + v)^2) < 0$$


where $v \neq 0$. So, as x increasing, a trajectory will tend to the origin. This implies that x must go to $+\infty$ along any trajectory.

Next, we show that any trajectory of (3.26) will spiral to the origin as $x \rightarrow +\infty$. Suppose this is not true. Without loss of generality, assume that there is a trajectory tending to the origin in the region $R = \{(u, v) \mid v < 0 \text{ and } u + v > 0\}$. Consider the line $v = -\epsilon$ with ϵ a small positive number. Then, it is clear that any trajectory can only passing through the line from the RHS to the LHS in the region R (see Figure 9). This implies that a trajectory of (3.26) can not tend to the origin in the region R .

Claim 2. Let $\varphi : (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ be the map sending $u \in (-\infty, 0)$ to $\varphi(u) \in (0, +\infty)$ with $(\varphi(u), 0)$ the first intersection point of the trajectory of (3.26) passing through $(u, 0)$ with the positive axis of u . Then φ is decreasing and $\varphi \leq 2$.

Proof of Claim 2. Because two different trajectories of (3.26) cannot intersect, φ is decreasing. Moreover, for any trajectory with $u(0) > 2$ and $v(0) = 0$, we want to show that $u(x) > 1$ for any $x < 0$. Suppose this is not true. Let $x_0 < 0$ be the first intersection time of the trajectory with the line $u = 1$. Then $u(x) > 1$ for any $x \in (x_0, 0]$. So, by (3.26),

$$(3.28) \quad u(x_0) = u(0) - \int_0^{v(x_0)} \frac{v}{(u+v)^3} dv > 2 - \int_0^\infty \frac{v}{(1+v)^3} dv > 1$$

FIGURE 9. Dynamics of $u - v$ in the proof of Theorem 3.5

which contradicts that $u(x_0) = 1$. This completes the proof of Claim 2.

Claim 3. Let $u_m = \sup_{u < 0} \varphi(u)$. Then, for any $u_0 \geq u_m$, the trajectory of (3.26) with $u(0) = u_0$ and $v(0) = 0$ will not pass through the line $u + v = 0$ for $x < 0$.

Proof of Claim 3. We only need to show that any trajectory of (3.26) with $(u(0), v(0))$ in the region $\tilde{R} = \{(u, v) \mid v > 0, u + v < 0\}$ will intersect the negative axis of u for some $x < 0$. If this is not true, then $v(x) > v_0 > 0$ for any $x < 0$. Then, it must have

$$(3.29) \quad \liminf_{u \rightarrow -\infty} \left| \frac{dv}{du} \right| \rightarrow 0.$$

However, by (3.26),

$$(3.30) \quad \frac{dv}{du} = -\frac{(v+u)^3}{v} \rightarrow +\infty$$

as $u \rightarrow -\infty$ which is a contradiction.

Claim 4. Let $\psi : [u_m, +\infty) \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$ be such that for any $u_0 \geq u_m$, $\psi(u_0) = \inf_{x < 0} u(x)$ where $(u(x), v(x))$ is the trajectory with $u(0) = u_0$ and $v(0) = 0$. Then, ψ is increasing and surjective.

Proof of Claim 4. By Claim 3, the trajectory $(u(x), v(x))$ will stay in the region $\{(u, v) \mid v > 0 \text{ and } u + v > 0\}$. So u is decreasing with respect to v along the trajectory by (3.26) and $v \rightarrow \infty$ as x decreases. Therefore, $\psi(u_0) = \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} u(v)$ along the trajectory. Then, by that two different trajectories will not intersect, we know that ψ is increasing.

Moreover, to show that ψ is surjective, we only need to show that $\psi(u_m) = -\infty$. Written the curve Y in the form $(x(y), y)$, then similarly as in Theorem 3.1, we have

$$(3.31) \quad x_{yy} = (yx_y + 1)^3.$$

The equation has a solution $x(y)$ for any given initial data $x(y_0) = 0$ and $x_y(y_0) = 0$. By (3.31), $x_{yy}(y_0) = 1$. So, $x_y(y) > 0$ for $y_0 + \epsilon > y > y_0$ with ϵ small enough. Thus $(y, \frac{dy}{dx})$ of the solution $x(y)$ with $y > y_0$ is part of a trajectory of (3.26), such that y tends to y_0 as $x \rightarrow 0^+$ and $y_x(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $x \rightarrow 0^+$. By Claim 1, we know that this trajectory will pass through the positive axis of u . Therefore y_0 is in the image of ψ . Because y_0 is arbitrary, $\psi(u_m) = -\infty$. This completes the proof of the claim.

Claim 5. Let $(u(x), v(x))$ be a trajectory of (3.26) with $u(0) = u_0 \geq u_m$ and $v(0) = 0$ such that $\psi(u_0) = -\infty$. Then,

$$u(x) \leq -v(x) + 2v(x)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

for any $v(x) > 1$. This implies that $x \rightarrow -\infty$ along the trajectory.

Proof of Claim 5. Suppose that the claim is not true. Then, there is a $v_0 > 1$ such that

$$(3.32) \quad u(v_0) > -v_0 + 2v_0^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Let $a = -v_0 + v_0^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Since $u(v) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $v \rightarrow +\infty$, let $\xi_0 > v_0$ be the first time with $u(\xi_0) = a$. Then, for any $v \in (v_0, \xi_0)$, $u(v) > a$. Hence, by (3.26),

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{aligned} -v_0 + v_0^{\frac{1}{3}} &= u(\xi_0) \\ &= u(v_0) - \int_{v_0}^{\xi_0} \frac{v}{(u(v) + v)^3} dv \\ &\geq -v_0 + 2v_0^{\frac{1}{3}} - \int_{v_0}^{\infty} \frac{v}{(a + v)^3} dv \\ &= -v_0 - \frac{1}{2}v_0^{-\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{3}{2}v_0^{\frac{1}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible for $v_0 > 1$. So, we obtain a contradiction.

Moreover, by (3.26),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.34) \quad x &= x_1 - \int_1^{v(x)} \frac{1}{(u+v)^3} dv \\
 &\leq x_1 - \frac{1}{8} \int_1^{v(x)} \frac{1}{v} dv \\
 &= x_1 - \frac{1}{8} \ln v(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $v(x_1) = 1$. Since $v \rightarrow +\infty$ as x decreasing, $x \rightarrow -\infty$ along the trajectory. This completes the proof of the claim.

Note that a trajectory of (3.26) intersects the line $v = 0$ at extremal points of $y = y(x)$ and intersects the line $u + v = 0$ at inflection points of $y = y(x)$, and two trajectories of (3.26) with the same limits of u as v tending to $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ respectively will match up to form a complete curve in (2). Moreover, those trajectories of (3.26) with $u \rightarrow -\infty$ as $v \rightarrow +\infty$ correspond to curves in (3) by Claim 5 (see Figure 9). So, we have shown (2) and (3) except the last statements which are direct corollaries of Claim 6 and Claim 7 below.

Claim 6. For any $n \geq 1$,

$$y_n - x_n < \frac{A}{\sqrt{|f'(y_n)|}} < x_{n+1} - y_n,$$

where

$$A = 2^{-\frac{3}{4}} \int_0^1 (1-x^2)^{-\frac{3}{4}} dx.$$

Proof of Claim 6. Let $w = v_x$. Then, by (3.26), we have the following planar dynamical system:

$$(3.35) \quad \begin{cases} v_x = w \\ w_x = -3w^{\frac{2}{3}}(v+w). \end{cases}$$

By (3.35), along a trajectory,

$$(3.36) \quad \left(2v^2 + w^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)_x = -4w^2 \leq 0.$$

Hence, when $x > y_n$,

$$(3.37) \quad 2v^2 + w^{\frac{4}{3}} \leq 2v(y_n)^2 = 2f'(y_n)^2.$$

Moreover, when n is odd, $v(y_n) = f'(y_n) < 0$ and

$$(3.38) \quad 0 < \frac{dv}{dx} \leq (2f'(y_n)^2 - 2v^2)^{\frac{3}{4}}$$

for $x \in (y_n, x_{n+1}]$. Hence

$$(3.39) \quad \frac{d}{dx} \left(\int_{f'(y_n)}^v (2f'(y_n)^2 - 2\xi^2)^{-\frac{3}{4}} d\xi - x \right) \leq 0$$

and

$$(3.40) \quad x - y_n \geq \int_{f'(y_n)}^{v(x)} (2f'(y_n)^2 - 2\xi^2)^{-\frac{3}{4}} d\xi$$

for any $x \in [y_n, x_{n+1}]$. Then,

$$(3.41) \quad x_{n+1} - y_n > \int_{f'(y_n)}^0 (2f'(y_n)^2 - 2\xi^2)^{-\frac{3}{4}} d\xi = \frac{A}{\sqrt{|f'(y_n)|}}$$

Similarly, when n is even, then $v(y_n) = f'(y_n) > 0$ and

$$(3.42) \quad 0 > \frac{dv}{dx} \geq - (2f'(y_n)^2 - 2v^2)^{\frac{3}{4}}$$

for any $x \in (y_n, x_{n+1}]$. So,

$$(3.43) \quad \frac{d}{dx} \left(\int_v^{f'(y_n)} (2f'(y_n)^2 - 2\xi^2)^{-\frac{3}{4}} d\xi - x \right) \leq 0.$$

This also gives us (3.41).

On the other hand, by (3.36), for $x \leq y_n$, we have

$$(3.44) \quad 2v^2 + w^{\frac{4}{3}} \geq 2f'(y_n)^2.$$

So, when n is odd,

$$(3.45) \quad \frac{dv}{dx} \leq - (2f'(y_n)^2 - 2v^2)^{\frac{3}{4}}$$

and hence

$$(3.46) \quad \frac{d}{dx} \left(x + \int_{f'(y_n)}^v (2f'(y_n)^2 - 2\xi^2)^{-\frac{3}{4}} d\xi \right) \leq 0$$

for $x \in [x_n, y_n]$. This gives us

$$(3.47) \quad y_n - x_n \leq \frac{A}{\sqrt{|f'(y_n)|}}.$$

The case that n is even can be similarly shown as before. This completes the proof of the claim.

Claim 7. For any $n \geq 1$,

$$x_{n+1} - z_n < \frac{B}{|f(x_{n+1})|} < z_{n+1} - x_{n+1}$$

where

$$B = \sqrt{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^4}} dx.$$

Proof of Claim 7. The proof is similar with that of Claim 6 by using (3.27). \square

Theorem 3.6 (Skew steady). *Solutions of the equation (1.9) are the following curves:*

- (1) The lines $y = C$;
- (2) The curves $x = \frac{1}{20}y^5 + C_1y + C_2$.

Proof. Assume that the curve Y is of the form $(x(y), y)$. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$(3.48) \quad x_{yy} = y^3.$$

So $x = \frac{1}{20}y^5 + C_1y + C_2$ where C_1 and C_2 are any constants.

On the other hand, when locally written Y in the form $(x, y(x))$, similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$(3.49) \quad y_{xx} = -(yy_x)^3.$$

It is clear that $y = C$ is a solution of the equation.

This completes the proof of the Theorem. \square

Theorem 3.7 (Skew steady with translation). *Solutions of the equation (1.10) are the following curves:*

- (1) The parabolas: $x = \frac{1}{2}y^2 - y + C$.
- (2) The curves given in the following parametrization form:

$$(3.50) \quad x(w) = \begin{cases} \int_w^\infty \frac{y_+(\xi)}{\xi^3-1} d\xi + (C_1 - 1)y_+(w) + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \arctan\left(\frac{2w+1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) - \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} + C_2 & 1 \leq w \leq \infty \\ \int_{-\infty}^w \frac{y_-(\xi)}{1-\xi^3} d\xi + (C_1 - 1)y_-(w) + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \arctan\left(\frac{2w+1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} + C_2 & w < 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.51) \quad y(w) = \begin{cases} y_+(w) + C_1 & 1 < w \leq \infty \\ y_-(w) + C_1 & w < 1 \end{cases}$$

where $y_\pm(w)$ are defined in (3.55) and (3.57) respectively.

Proof. Assume that the curve Y is of the form $(x(y), y)$. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$(3.52) \quad x_{yy} = (y - x_y)^3.$$

Let $w = y - x_y$. Then, by (3.52),

$$(3.53) \quad \frac{dw}{dy} = 1 - w^3.$$

First of all, $w = 1$ is a solution of (3.53). Then, by the definition of w , we obtain (1).

Moreover, when $w > 1$, y is decreasing with respect to w and

$$(3.54) \quad y(w) = \int_w^\infty \frac{1}{\xi^3 - 1} d\xi + C_1 = y_+(w) + C_1$$

where

$$(3.55) \quad y_+ = -\frac{1}{3} \ln(w-1) + \frac{1}{6} \ln(1+w+w^2) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \arctan\left(\frac{2w+1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) - \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{3}}.$$

Similarly, when $w < 1$, y is increasing with respect to w and

$$(3.56) \quad y(w) = \int_{-\infty}^w \frac{1}{1-\xi^3} d\xi + C_1 = y_-(w) + C_1$$

where

$$(3.57) \quad y_- = -\frac{1}{3} \ln(1-w) + \frac{1}{6} \ln(1+w+w^2) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \arctan\left(\frac{2w+1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) + \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{3}}.$$

Moreover, by the definition of w , we have $x_y = y - w$. So, by (3.53),

$$(3.58) \quad \frac{dx}{dw} = \frac{dx}{dy} \frac{dy}{dw} = \frac{y-w}{1-w^3} = \frac{y_\pm(w)}{1-w^3} + \frac{C_1-1}{1-w^3} + \frac{1}{1+w+w^2}.$$

So,

$$(3.59) \quad x = \begin{cases} \int_w^\infty \frac{y_+(\xi)}{\xi^3-1} d\xi + (C_1-1)y_+ + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \arctan\left(\frac{2w+1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) - \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} + C_2 & w > 1 \\ \int_{-\infty}^w \frac{y_-(\xi)}{1-\xi^3} d\xi + (C_1-1)y_- + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \arctan\left(\frac{2w+1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} + C_2 & w < 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that $y_+(\infty) = y_-(\infty) = 0$. So, the two parts of x and y with $w > 1$ and $w < 1$ will match up to form a complete curve at $w = \pm\infty$. From this, we obtain (2). This completes the proof of the Theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Abresch U., Langer J. *The normalized curve shortening flow and homothetic solutions*. J. Differential Geom. 23 (1986), no. 2, 175–196.
- [2] Angenent Sigurd, Sapiro Guillermo, Tannenbaum Allen. *On the affine heat equation for non-convex curves*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1998), no. 3, 601–634.
- [3] Altschuler Steven J. *Singularities of the curve shrinking flow for space curves*. J. Differential Geom. 34 (1991), no. 2, 491–514.
- [4] Altschuler Dylan J., Altschuler Steven J., Angenent Sigurd B., Wu Lani F. *The zoo of solitons for curve shortening in \mathbb{R}^n* . Nonlinearity 26 (2013), no. 5, 1189–1226.

- [5] Andrews Ben. *Contraction of convex hypersurfaces by their affine normal*. J. Differential Geom. 43 (1996), no. 2, 207–230.
- [6] Andrews, Ben Classification of limiting shapes for isotropic curve flows. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (2003), no. 2, 443–459.
- [7] Andrews Ben. *Evolving convex curves*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 7 (1998), no. 4, 315–371.
- [8] Angenent Sigurd. *On the formation of singularities in the curve shortening flow*. J. Differential Geom. 33 (1991), no. 3, 601–633
- [9] Calabi Eugenio, Olver Peter J., Tannenbaum Allen. *Affine geometry, curve flows, and invariant numerical approximations*. Adv. Math. 124 (1996), no. 1, 154–196.
- [10] Chou Kai-Seng, Li Guan-Xin. *Optimal systems and invariant solutions for the curve shortening problem*. Comm. Anal. Geom. 10 (2002), no. 2, 241–274.
- [11] Chou Kai-Seng, Zhu Xi-Ping. *The curve shortening problem*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2001. x+255 pp.
- [12] Gage Michael E. *An isoperimetric inequality with applications to curve shortening*. Duke Math. J. 50 (1983), no. 4, 1225–1229.
- [13] Gage M., Hamilton R. S. *The heat equation shrinking convex plane curves*. J. Differential Geom. 23 (1986), no. 1, 69–96.
- [14] Grayson Matthew A. *The heat equation shrinks embedded plane curves to round points*. J. Differential Geom. 26 (1987), no. 2, 285–314.
- [15] Halldorsson Hoeskuldur P. *Self-similar solutions to the curve shortening flow*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 364 (2012), no. 10, 5285–5309.
- [16] Halldorsson Hoeskuldur P. *Self-similar solutions to the mean curvature flow in the Minkowski plane $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$* . J. Reine Angew. Math. 704 (2015), 209–243.
- [17] Huisken Gerhard. *A distance comparison principle for evolving curves*. Asian J. Math. 2 (1998), no. 1, 127–133.
- [18] Ishimura Naoyuki. *Curvature evolution of plane curves with prescribed opening angle*. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 52 (1995), no. 2, 287–296.
- [19] Nien Chia-Hsing, Tsai Dong-Ho. *Convex curves moving translationally in the plane*. J. Differential Equations 225 (2006), no. 2, 605–623.
- [20] Sapiro Guillermo, Tannenbaum Allen. *On affine plane curve evolution*. J. Funct. Anal. 119 (1994), no. 1, 79–120.
- [21] Urbas John. *Complete noncompact self-similar solutions of Gauss curvature flows. I. Positive powers*. Math. Ann. 311 (1998), no. 2, 251–274.
- [22] Wo Weifeng, Yang Shuxin, Wang Xiaoliu. *Group invariant solutions to a centro-affine invariant flow*. Arch. Math. (Basel) 108 (2017), no. 5, 495–505.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANTOU UNIVERSITY, SHANTOU, GUANGDONG, 515063, CHINA

E-mail address: cjyu@stu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANTOU UNIVERSITY, SHANTOU, GUANGDONG, 515063, CHINA

E-mail address: 14ffzhao@stu.edu.cn