

# Invariant characterization of third-order ODEs

$u''' = f(x, u, u', u'')$  that admit a five-dimensional point symmetry Lie algebra

Ahmad Y. Al-Dweik\*, M. T. Mustafa\*\* and F. M. Mahomed\*\*\*,

\*Department of Mathematics & Statistics, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia

\*\*Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Physics, Qatar University, Doha, 2713, State of Qatar

\*\*\*School of Computer Science and Applied Mathematics, DST-NRF Centre of Excellence in Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, Wits 2050, South Africa

aydweik@kfupm.edu.sa, tahir.mustafa@qu.edu.qa and Fazal.Mahomed@wits.ac.za

## Abstract

The Cartan equivalence method is applied to provide an invariant characterization of the third-order ordinary differential equation  $u''' = f(x, u, u', u'')$  which admits a five-dimensional point symmetry Lie algebra. The invariant characterization is given in terms of the function  $f$  in a compact form. A simple procedure to construct the equivalent canonical form by use of an obtained constant invariant is also presented. We also show how one obtains the point transformation that does the reduction to linear form. Moreover, some applications are provided.

Keywords: Invariant characterization, scalar third-order ordinary differential equation, Lie point symmetry, Cartans equivalence method.

# 1 Introduction

Both practical and algebraic linearization criteria for scalar second-order ordinary differential equations (ODEs) by means of invertible point transformations were first obtained by Lie ([1, 2]). Lie [2] showed that the most general form of a scalar second-order ODE which is reducible to a linear equation via maps of the independent and dependent variables is at most cubic in the first-order derivative and he moreover provided a practical linearization test in terms of the coefficients of the cubic equation. Lie [1] also worked out the algebraic criteria for linearizability for such equations. Any scalar second-order ODE with the maximum number which is eight of point symmetries is linearizable. Lie [1] further showed that if a second-order ODE admits a two-dimensional Lie algebra of point symmetries which is of rank 1, then it is linearizable by point transformation (see e.g. Mahomed [3]).

Tressé proved that the scalar second-order ODE

$$y'' = f(x, y, y') \tag{1.1}$$

is linearizable by means of a point transformation if and only if the Tressé [4] relative invariants

$$\begin{aligned} I &= f_{y'y'y'}, \\ J &= \frac{d^2}{dx^2} f_{y'y'} - 4 \frac{d}{dx} f_{y'y} - 3 f_y f_{y'y'} + 6 f_{yy} + f_{y'} (4 f_{y'y} - \frac{d}{dx} f_{y'y'}) \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

both vanish identically for the said equation (1.1). Thus Tressé [4] considered the linearization problem for scalar second-order ODEs in terms of the Tressé relative invariants of the equivalence group of point transformations. The setting to zero of the Tressé invariants is equivalent to the compatibility of the over-determined Lie conditions for linearization [5].

Another method for studying the equivalence problem, in particular the linearization problem, for ODEs was considered by Cartan [6] called the Cartan equivalent method (see [7, 8]). This approach associates a geometric structure with each differential equation. Grissom et. al. [9] used the Cartan equivalence method to arrive at the Lie invariant criteria for linearization for scalar second-order ODEs.

Yet another approach is a geometric one, viz. that of projection of the geodesic equations by dimension one as proposed in [10]. This enables a geometric re-derivation of Lie's linearization conditions for a scalar second-order ODE. Furthermore, it is shown how the point transformations for reduction to a linear equation can be constructed in the higher space and by utilization of the coefficients of the original ODE.

Our focus here is to study the linearization problem via invertible maps for scalar third-order ODEs which admit a five dimensional point symmetry algebra using the Cartan approach. Thus we firstly review works relevant to scalar third-order ODEs. Mahomed and Leach [11] found the algebraic criteria for linearization for scalar  $n$ th-order ( $n > 2$ ) ODEs. For scalar linear third-order ODEs they deduced three forms. The Laguerre-Forsyth (see [11]) canonical form for such third-order equations is given by

$$u''' + au = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

where  $a = a(x)$ . If  $a = 0$ , (1.3) has the maximal symmetry Lie algebra of dimension 7. Otherwise the equation (1.3) admits a five- or four-dimensional symmetry algebra.

After the pioneering works of Lie [1, 2] and Tressé [4], there has been a renewal of interest in invariant linearization criteria for ODEs. Here we pay attention to scalar third-order ODEs. Chern [12] provided a major impetus in the solution of the linearization problem of scalar third-order equations by means of contact transformations by invocation of the Cartan equivalence method. He derived conditions for equivalence to (1.3) for  $a = 0$  and  $a = 1$ . The linearization conditions are in terms of geometric invariants of contact

transformations. Neut and Petitot [13] investigated conditions on equivalence to (1.3). We mention and review these below. Grebot [14] also focused on the linearization of third-order ODEs. However, this was via fibre preserving or a restricted class of point transformations. Ibragimov and Meleshko [15] studied the linearization problem for scalar third-order ODEs by means of point and contact transformations. The conditions on the linearizing transformations are provided in their works as well. They invoke the Laguerre-Forsyth canonical form for reduction. Conditional invariant linearization criteria for scalar third-order ODEs are given in Mahomed and Qadir [16]. These conditions are for third-order ODEs which are solvable in terms of two arbitrary constants.

Our main purpose in this work is to study the linearization problem via invertible transformations for scalar third-order ODE  $u''' = f(x, u, u', u'')$  by the Cartan equivalence method which enables reduction to the canonical form with five symmetries and to provide compact criteria in terms of  $f$ . The case of seven point symmetries ( $k = 0$ ) was addressed by Al-Dweik [18] (see below).

Neut and Petitot [13] proved that the necessary and sufficient conditions for linearization of the third-order ODE  $u''' = f(x, u, u', u'')$  to the normal form  $u''' = 0$  under *contact transformation* are vanishing of the Wünschmann relative invariants (1.4) as stated in the next theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** [13] *The equation  $u''' = f(x, u, u', u'')$  is equivalent to the normal form  $u''' = 0$  with seven point symmetries under contact transformations if and only if the relative invariants*

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= f_{u'', u'', u'', u''} \\ I_2 &= 4 f_{u''}^3 + 18 f_{u''} \left( f_{u'} - \dot{D}_x f_{u''} \right) + 9 \dot{D}_x^2 f_{u''} + 54 f_u - 27 \dot{D}_x f_{u'} \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

*both vanish identically, where  $I_2$  is the well-known Wünschmann relative invariant [13, 17].*

Invariant characterization of third-order ODEs  $u''' = f(x, u, u', u'')$  which admit a seven-dimensional point symmetry algebra was given in terms of the function  $f$  in a compact form in the following theorem. In the sequel, we denote  $u', u''$  by  $p, q$ , respectively.

**Theorem 1.2.** [18] *The necessary and sufficient conditions for equivalence of a third-order equation  $u''' = f(x, u, u', u'')$  to the canonical form  $u''' = 0$  with seven symmetries via point transformation are the identically vanishing of the system of relative invariants*

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_{q,q,q} \\
& f_{q,q}^2 + 6 f_{p,q,q} \\
& 4 f_q^3 + 18 f_q (f_p - \dot{D}_x f_q) + 9 \dot{D}_x^2 f_q + 54 f_u - 27 \dot{D}_x f_p \\
& f_{q,q} (f_q^2 + 9 f_p - 3 \dot{D}_x f_q) - 9 f_{p,p} + 18 f_{u,q} - 6 f_q f_{p,q},
\end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

where  $\dot{D}_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + p \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + q \frac{\partial}{\partial p} + f \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$ .

Our aim in this paper is to give the necessary and sufficient conditions for equivalence of third-order equations  $u''' = f(x, u, u', u'')$  via *point transformations* to the canonical form with five symmetries, in terms of  $f$ .

Mahomed and Leach [11] showed that a scalar third-order ODE with a 5-dimensional symmetry algebra is linearizable via a point transformation and is equivalent to the linear form

$$u''' = ku' + lu, \quad k, l (\neq 0) = \text{constant}. \tag{1.6}$$

The transformation  $x = \frac{t}{l^{\frac{1}{3}}}$  maps the canonical form (1.6) to

$$\frac{d^3}{dt^3} u = \frac{k}{l^{\frac{2}{3}}} \frac{d}{dt} u + u. \tag{1.7}$$

Therefore, the canonical form for third-order equations with a 5-dimensional point symmetry algebra can be simplified further to the following canonical form

$$u''' = s u' + u, \quad s = \text{constant}, \tag{1.8}$$

In this paper, we consider the canonical form (1.8) with five point symmetries instead of the Laguerre-Forsyth canonical form for third-order ODEs. The reason is that the canonical form (1.8) has constant coefficients, while the Laguerre-Forsyth form may have variable coefficient  $a(x)$  for third-order ODEs with five point symmetries. For example  $u''' = \frac{1}{x^6}u$  has the following five point symmetries

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, & X_2 &= x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2xu \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, & X_3 &= x^2 e^{-\frac{1}{x}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \\ X_4 &= x^2 e^{\frac{1}{2x}} \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2x}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, & X_5 &= x^2 e^{\frac{1}{2x}} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2x}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

Also it is important to mention here that apart from not utilizing the Laguerre-Forsyth canonical form as in [15], we also wish to distinguish the five symmetry linear canonical form and to provide compact criteria in terms of  $f(x, u, u', u'')$  as well as to utilize the Cartan equivalence method whereas the work [15] used the direct method. Moreover, by use of the Cartan method, we for the first time provide how one obtains the point transformation to the five symmetry linear canonical form via the Cartan approach.

## 2 Application of Cartans equivalence method for third-order ODEs with five point symmetries

Let  $x := (x, u, p = u', q = u'') \in \mathbb{R}^4$  be local coordinates of  $J^2$ , the space of the second order jets. In local coordinates, the equivalence of

$$u''' = f(x, u, u', u''), \quad \bar{u}''' = \bar{f}(\bar{x}, \bar{u}, \bar{u}', \bar{u}''), \quad (2.10)$$

under a point transformation

$$\bar{x} = \phi(x, u), \quad \bar{u} = \psi(x, u), \quad \phi_x \psi_u - \phi_u \psi_x \neq 0, \quad (2.11)$$

is expressed as the local equivalence problem for the  $G$ -structure

$$\Phi^* \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\omega}^1 \\ \bar{\omega}^2 \\ \bar{\omega}^3 \\ \bar{\omega}^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & a_3 & 0 & 0 \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 & 0 \\ a_7 & 0 & 0 & a_8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega^1 \\ \omega^2 \\ \omega^3 \\ \omega^4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\omega}^1 &= d\bar{u} - \bar{p}d\bar{x}, & \bar{\omega}^2 &= d\bar{p} - \bar{q}d\bar{x}, & \bar{\omega}^3 &= d\bar{q} - \bar{f}d\bar{x}, & \bar{\omega}^4 &= d\bar{x}, \\ \omega^1 &= du - pdx, & \omega^2 &= dp - qdx, & \omega^3 &= dq - fdx, & \omega^4 &= dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

One can evaluate the functions  $a_i = a_i(x, u, p, q)$ ,  $i = 1..8$ , explicitly. For instance,  $a_1 = \frac{\phi_x \psi_u - \phi_u \psi_x}{D_x \phi}$ .

Now, let us define  $\theta$  to be the lifted coframe with an eight-dimensional group  $G$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & a_3 & 0 & 0 \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 & 0 \\ a_7 & 0 & 0 & a_8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega^1 \\ \omega^2 \\ \omega^3 \\ \omega^4 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.14)$$

Cartans method, when applied to this equivalence problem, leads to an  $e$ -structure, which is invariantly associated to the given equation.

The first structure equation is

$$d \begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_4 & \alpha_5 & \alpha_6 & 0 \\ \alpha_7 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_8 \end{pmatrix} \wedge \begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} T_{24}^1 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 \\ T_{34}^2 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.15)$$

The infinitesimal action on the torsion is

$$\left. \begin{aligned} d T_{24}^1 &\equiv (\alpha_1 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_8) T_{24}^1 \\ d T_{34}^2 &\equiv (\alpha_3 - \alpha_6 - \alpha_8) T_{34}^2 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{mod } (\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3, \theta^4) \quad (2.16)$$

and a parametric calculation gives  $T_{24}^1 = -\frac{a_1}{a_3 a_8} \neq 0$  and  $T_{34}^2 = -\frac{a_3}{a_6 a_8} \neq 0$ . We normalize the torsion by setting

$$T_{24}^1 = -1, \quad T_{34}^2 = -1. \quad (2.17)$$

This leads to the principal components

$$\alpha_6 = 2\alpha_3 - \alpha_1, \quad \alpha_8 = \alpha_1 - \alpha_3. \quad (2.18)$$

The normalizations force relations on the group  $G$  in the form

$$a_6 = \frac{a_3^2}{a_1}, \quad a_8 = \frac{a_1}{a_3}. \quad (2.19)$$

The *first-order* normalizations yield an adapted coframe with the *six-dimensional* group  $G_1$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & a_3 & 0 & 0 \\ a_4 & a_5 & \frac{a_3^2}{a_1} & 0 \\ a_7 & 0 & 0 & \frac{a_1}{a_3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega^1 \\ \omega^2 \\ \omega^3 \\ \omega^4 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.20)$$

This leads to the structure equation

$$d \begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_4 & \alpha_5 & 2\alpha_3 - \alpha_1 & 0 \\ \alpha_7 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix} \wedge \begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 \\ -\theta^3 \wedge \theta^4 \\ T_{34}^3 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.21)$$

The infinitesimal action on the torsion is

$$d T_{34}^3 \equiv (\alpha_3 - \alpha_1) T_{34}^3 + 3(\alpha_2 - \alpha_5) \pmod{(\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3, \theta^4)} \quad (2.22)$$

and we can translate  $T_{34}^3$  to zero:

$$T_{34}^3 = 0. \quad (2.23)$$

This leads to the principal components

$$\alpha_5 = \alpha_2. \quad (2.24)$$



The normalizations force relations on the group  $G_1$  in the form

$$a_5 = \frac{a_2 a_3}{a_1} - \frac{a_3^2}{3a_1} s_1, \quad (2.25)$$

where  $s_1 = f_q$ .

The *second-order* normalizations yield an adapted coframe with the *five-dimensional* group  $G_2$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & a_3 & 0 & 0 \\ a_4 & \frac{a_2 a_3}{a_1} - \frac{a_3^2}{3a_1} s_1 & \frac{a_3^2}{a_1} & 0 \\ a_7 & 0 & 0 & \frac{a_1}{a_3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega^1 \\ \omega^2 \\ \omega^3 \\ \omega^4 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.26)$$

This leads to the structure equation

$$d \begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_4 & \alpha_2 & 2\alpha_3 - \alpha_1 & 0 \\ \alpha_7 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix} \wedge \begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 \\ -\theta^3 \wedge \theta^4 \\ T_{24}^3 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 \\ T_{24}^4 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.27)$$

The infinitesimal action on the torsion is

$$\left. \begin{aligned} d T_{24}^3 &\equiv 2(\alpha_3 - \alpha_1) T_{24}^3 - 2\alpha_4 \\ d T_{24}^4 &\equiv -\alpha_3 T_{24}^4 - \alpha_7 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{mod } (\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3, \theta^4) \quad (2.28)$$

and we can translate  $T_{24}^3$  and  $T_{24}^4$  to zero:

$$T_{24}^3 = 0, \quad T_{24}^4 = 0. \quad (2.29)$$

This leads to the principal components

$$\alpha_4 = 0, \quad \alpha_7 = 0. \quad (2.30)$$

The normalizations force relations on the group  $G_2$  as

$$a_4 = \frac{a_2^2}{2a_1} - \frac{a_3^2}{18a_1} s_2, \quad a_7 = \frac{a_1}{6a_3} s_3, \quad (2.31)$$

where  $s_2 = 2f_q^2 + 9f_p - 3D_x f_q$ ,  $s_3 = f_{q,q}$ .

The *third-order* normalizations yield an adapted coframe with the *three-dimensional* group  $G_3$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & a_3 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{a_2^2}{2a_1} - \frac{a_3^2}{18a_1} s_2 & \frac{a_2 a_3}{a_1} - \frac{a_3^2}{3a_1} s_1 & \frac{a_3^2}{a_1} & 0 \\ \frac{a_1}{6a_3} s_3 & 0 & 0 & \frac{a_1}{a_3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega^1 \\ \omega^2 \\ \omega^3 \\ \omega^4 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.32)$$

This gives rise to the structure equation

$$d \begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & 2\alpha_3 - \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix} \wedge \begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 \\ -\theta^3 \wedge \theta^4 \\ T_{14}^3 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4 \\ T_{12}^4 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 + T_{13}^4 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.33)$$

The infinitesimal action on the torsion is

$$\left. \begin{aligned} d T_{14}^3 &\equiv -3(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3) T_{14}^3 \\ d T_{12}^4 &\equiv -2\alpha_3 T_{12}^4 - \alpha_2 T_{13}^4 \\ d T_{13}^4 &\equiv (\alpha_1 - 3\alpha_3) T_{13}^4 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{mod } (\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3, \theta^4) \quad (2.34)$$

and here we have a bifurcation in the flowchart depending on whether  $T_{14}^3$ ,  $T_{12}^4$  and  $T_{13}^4$  are zero. A parametric calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} T_{14}^3 &= -\frac{a_3^3 I_3}{a_1^3}, \\ T_{13}^4 &= -\frac{a_1 I_1}{6 a_3^3}, \\ T_{12}^4 &= -\frac{I_2}{36 a_3^2} \text{ mod } T_{13}^4, \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= s_{3q} = f_{q,q,q}, \\ I_2 &= s_3^2 + 6s_{3p} = f_{q,q}^2 + 6f_{p,q,q}, \\ I_3 &= J^3 = \frac{1}{54} (2s_1 s_2 - 3D_x s_2 + 54f_u) \\ &= \frac{1}{54} (4f_q^3 + 18f_q(f_p - D_x f_q) + 9D_x^2 f_q - 27D_x f_p + 54f_u). \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

**Branch 1.**  $I_1 = I_2 = 0$  and  $I_3 \neq 0$ .

We choose this branch since the third-order ODEs with five point symmetries have the canonical form  $u''' = s u' + u$ , where  $s$  is constant. Clearly,  $I_1 = I_2 = 0$  and  $I_3 = 1$  for this canonical form.

We normalize the torsion  $T_{14}^3$  by setting

$$T_{14}^3 = -1. \quad (2.37)$$

This leads to the principal components

$$\alpha_3 = \alpha_1. \quad (2.38)$$

The normalizations force relations on the group  $G_3$  in the form

$$a_3 = \frac{a_1}{J}. \quad (2.39)$$

The *fourth-order* normalizations yield an adapted coframe with the *two-dimensional* group  $G_4$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & \frac{a_1}{J} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{a_2^2}{2a_1} - \frac{a_1}{18J^2} s_2 & \frac{a_2}{J} - \frac{a_1}{3J^2} s_1 & \frac{a_1}{J^2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{6} J s_3 & 0 & 0 & J \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega^1 \\ \omega^2 \\ \omega^3 \\ \omega^4 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.40)$$

This leads to the structure equation

$$d \begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \wedge \begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 \\ T_{23}^2 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 + T_{24}^2 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 - \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4 \\ -\theta^1 \wedge \theta^4 + T_{23}^3 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 + 2 T_{24}^2 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4 \\ T_{14}^4 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4 - \frac{1}{2} T_{23}^3 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 + T_{23}^2 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.41)$$

The infinitesimal action on the torsion is

$$\left. \begin{aligned} d T_{23}^2 &\equiv -\alpha_1 T_{23}^2 \\ d T_{24}^2 &\equiv -\alpha_2 \\ d T_{23}^3 &\equiv -\alpha_1 T_{23}^3 + 2\alpha_2 T_{23}^2 \\ d T_{14}^4 &\equiv -\alpha_1 T_{14}^4 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2 T_{23}^3 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{mod } (\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3, \theta^4) \quad (2.42)$$

and here we have a bifurcation in the flowchart depending on whether  $T_{23}^2$ ,  $T_{23}^3$  and  $T_{14}^4$  are zero. A parametric calculation provides

$$\begin{aligned} T_{23}^2 &= \frac{JI_4}{a_1}, \\ T_{24}^2 &= -\frac{a_2}{a_1} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{J^2} s_4, \\ T_{23}^3 &= \frac{I_5}{3a_1} \text{ mod } T_{23}^2, \\ T_{14}^4 &= \frac{I_6}{a_1 J} \text{ mod } (T_{23}^2, T_{23}^3), \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= J_q, \\ I_5 &= f_{q,q} J - 6 J_p, \\ I_6 &= J_u - D_x J_p, \\ s_4 &= 3D_x J - J f_q. \end{aligned} \quad (2.44)$$

### Branch 1.1. $I_4 = I_5 = I_6 = 0$ .

Similarly, we choose this branch as  $I_4 = I_5 = I_6 = 0$  for the canonical form  $u''' = s u' + u$ , where  $s$  is constant.

We can translate  $T_{24}^2$  to zero:

$$T_{24}^2 = 0. \quad (2.45)$$

This yields the principal components

$$\alpha_2 = 0. \quad (2.46)$$

The normalizations force relations on the group  $G_4$  in the form

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{3} \frac{a_1}{J^2} s_4. \quad (2.47)$$

The *fifth-order* normalizations give an adapted coframe with the *one-dimensional group*  $G_5$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} \frac{a_1}{J^2} s_4 & \frac{a_1}{J} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{18} \frac{a_1}{J^4} s_4^2 - \frac{a_1}{18J^2} s_2 & \frac{1}{3} \frac{a_1}{J^3} s_4 - \frac{a_1}{3J^2} s_1 & \frac{a_1}{J^2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{6} J s_3 & 0 & 0 & J \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega^1 \\ \omega^2 \\ \omega^3 \\ \omega^4 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.48)$$

This results in the structure equation

$$d \begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \wedge \begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 \\ T_{14}^2 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4 - \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4 \\ T_{12}^3 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 - \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4 + T_{14}^2 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.49)$$

The infinitesimal action on the torsion is

$$\left. \begin{aligned} d T_{14}^2 &\equiv 0 \\ d T_{12}^3 &\equiv -\alpha_1 T_{12}^3 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{mod } (\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3, \theta^4) \quad (2.50)$$

and in this case we have a bifurcation in the flowchart depending on the value of  $T_{14}^2$  and whether  $T_{12}^3$  is zero. A parametric calculation provides

$$\begin{aligned} T_{14}^2 &= -\frac{I_8}{2J^4}, \\ T_{12}^3 &= -\frac{I_7}{9a_1 J}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.51)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_7 &= f_{q,q} (f_q^2 + 9f_p - 3D_x f_q) - 9f_{p,p} + 18f_{u,q} - 6f_q f_{p,q}, \\ I_8 &= \frac{1}{3} ((f_q^2 + 3f_p - 3D_x f_q) J^2 + 6J D_x^2 J - 9(D_x J)^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.52)$$

It should be noted here that the relative invariant  $I_7 = 0$  and the invariant  $\frac{I_8}{J^4} = s$  for the canonical form  $u''' = s u' + u$ . Therefore, we choose the branch  $I_7 = 0, \frac{I_8}{J^4} = K$  where  $K$  is constant. Equivalently, we choose the branch  $I_7 = K_q = K_p = K_u = K_x = 0$ .

**Branch 1.1.1.**  $I_7 = K_q = K_p = K_u = K_x = 0$ .

In this branch, there is no more unabsorbable torsion left, so the final remaining group variable  $a_1$  cannot be normalized. Moreover,  $\alpha_1$  is now uniquely defined, so the problem is determinant. This results in the following e-structure on the five-dimensional prolonged space  $M^{(1)} = M \times G_5$

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta^1 &= a_1 \omega^1, \\
\theta^2 &= \frac{1}{3} \frac{a_1}{J^2} s_4 \omega^1 + \frac{a_1}{J} \omega^2, \\
\theta^3 &= \frac{1}{18} \left( \frac{a_1}{J^4} s_4^2 - \frac{a_1}{J^2} s_2 \right) \omega^1 + \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{a_1}{J^3} s_4 - \frac{a_1}{J^2} s_1 \right) \omega^2 + \frac{a_1}{J^2} \omega^3, \\
\theta^4 &= \frac{1}{6} J s_3 \omega^1 + J \omega^4, \\
\alpha_1 &= \frac{da_1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{36J} (4s_3 s_4 - 18s_4 p + J s_1 s_3 - 6J s_1 p) \omega^1 + \frac{1}{6} s_3 \omega^2 - \frac{1}{3} \frac{s_4}{J} \omega^4.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.53}$$

This results in the structure equations

$$\begin{aligned}
d\theta^1 &= -\theta^1 \wedge \alpha_1 - \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 \\
d\theta^2 &= -\frac{K}{2} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4 - \theta^2 \wedge \alpha_1 - \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4 \\
d\theta^3 &= -\theta^1 \wedge \theta^4 - \frac{K}{2} \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 - \theta^3 \wedge \alpha_1 \\
d\theta^4 &= 0 \\
d\alpha_1 &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{2.54}$$

The invariant structure of the prolonged coframe are all constant. We have produced an invariant coframe with rank zero on the five-dimensional space coordinates  $x, u, p, q, a_1$ . Any such differential equation admits a five-dimensional symmetry group of point transformations.

Moreover, the symmetrical version of the Cartan formulation mod  $(\omega^1, \omega^2, \omega^3)$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
J dx &= \bar{J} d\bar{x} \\
\frac{da_1}{a_1} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{s_4}{J} dx &= \frac{d\bar{a}_1}{\bar{a}_1} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\bar{s}_4}{\bar{J}} d\bar{x}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.55}$$

Inserting the point transformation (2.11) into (2.55) and using  $\bar{J} = 1$ ,  $\bar{s}_4 = 0$  for  $\bar{f} =$

$s\bar{u}' + \bar{u}$  and  $\bar{a} = 1$ , results in

$$\begin{aligned} J &= D_x \phi, \\ \frac{D_x a_1}{a_1} &= \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{3D_x J - J f_q}{J} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.56)$$

where the auxiliary function  $a_1(x, u, p) = \frac{\phi_x \psi_u - \phi_u \psi_x}{D_x \phi}$ . This proves the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The necessary and sufficient conditions for equivalence of a third-order equation  $u''' = f(x, u, u', u'')$  to the canonical form  $\bar{u}''' = s \bar{u}' + \bar{u}$ ,  $s = \text{constant}$ , with five point symmetries via point transformation (2.11) are the identically vanishing of the relative invariants*

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= f_{q,q,q} \\ I_2 &= f_{q,q}^2 + 6 f_{p,q,q} \\ I_4 &= J_q \\ I_5 &= f_{q,q} J - 6 J_p \\ I_6 &= J_u - D_x J_p \\ I_7 &= f_{q,q} (9 f_p + f_q^2 - 3 D_x f_q) - 9 f_{p,p} + 18 f_{u,q} - 6 f_q f_{p,q} \\ I_9 &= K_q \\ I_{10} &= K_p \\ I_{11} &= K_u \\ I_{12} &= K_x, \end{aligned} \quad (2.57)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= J^3 = \frac{1}{54} (4 f_q^3 + 18 f_q (f_p - D_x f_q) + 9 D_x^2 f_q - 27 D_x f_p + 54 f_u) \neq 0, \\ I_8 &= \frac{1}{3} ((f_q^2 + 3 f_p - 3 D_x f_q) J^2 + 6 J D_x^2 J - 9 (D_x J)^2), \\ K &= \frac{I_8}{J^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.58)$$

Given that the the system of relative invariants (2.57) is zero, the linearizing point transformation (2.11) is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} J &= D_x \phi, \\ \frac{D_x a_1}{a_1} &= \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{3D_x J - J f_q}{J} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.59)$$

where the auxiliary function  $a_1(x, u, p) = \frac{1}{J}(\phi_x \psi_u - \phi_u \psi_x)$ .

Finally, the constant  $s$  of the resulting canonical form is given by the equation  $s = K$ .

### 3 Illustration of the theorem

**Example 3.1.** [15] Consider the nonlinear ODE

$$u''' = \frac{3u''^2}{u'} + xu'^4. \quad (3.60)$$

The function

$$f(x, u, p, q) = \frac{3q^2}{p} + xp^4 \quad (3.61)$$

satisfies the constraints  $I_1 = I_2 = I_4 = I_5 = I_6 = I_7 = I_9 = I_{10} = I_{11} = I_{12} = 0$  while  $I_3 \neq 0$ ; consequently, this equation admits the five-dimensional point symmetry group. Moreover, since  $I_8 = 0$  and  $K = \frac{I_8}{J^4} = 0$ , then it is equivalent to the canonical form  $\bar{u}''' = \bar{u}$ .

Since  $J = -p$ , then the linearizing transformation (2.11) can be obtained by (2.59) as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_x &= 0, & \phi_u &= -1 \\ \frac{1}{a_1} \left( \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial u} p \right) &= 0, & \frac{1}{a_1} \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial p} &= -\frac{1}{p}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.62)$$

A solution of the system (3.62) is  $\phi = -u$  and  $a_1 = \frac{1}{p}$ . Since, the auxiliary function  $a_1 = \frac{\psi_x}{p}$ , then  $\psi = x$ . Therefore, the canonical form  $\bar{u}''' = \bar{u}$  can be obtained for the ODE (3.60) via the point transformation

$$\bar{x} = -u, \bar{u} = x.$$

**Example 3.2.** We now focus on the linear ODE with variable coefficients

$$u''' = -\frac{3}{x}u'' + \left(8x^2 + \frac{3}{x^2}\right)u' + 8x(x^2 + 2)u. \quad (3.63)$$



The function

$$f(x, u, p, q) = -\frac{3}{x}q + (8x^2 + \frac{3}{x^2})p + 8x(x^2 + 2)u \quad (3.64)$$

satisfies the constraints  $I_1 = I_2 = I_4 = I_5 = I_6 = I_7 = I_9 = I_{10} = I_{11} = I_{12} = 0$  whereas  $I_3 \neq 0$ ; consequently, this equation admits the five-dimensional point symmetry group. Moreover, since  $J = 2x$ ,  $I_8 = 32x^4$  and  $K = \frac{I_8}{J^4} = 2$ , then it is equivalent to the canonical form  $\bar{u}''' = 2\bar{u}' + \bar{u}$ .

The linearizing transformation can be obtained via (2.59) by solving the following system

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_x &= 2x, & \phi_u &= 0 \\ \frac{1}{a_1} \left( \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial u} p \right) &= \frac{2}{x}, & \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial p} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.65)$$

A solution of the system (3.65) is  $\phi = x^2$  and  $a_1 = x^2$ . Since, the auxiliary function  $a_1 = \psi_u$ , then  $\psi = x^2 u$ . Therefore, the canonical form  $\bar{u}''' = 2\bar{u}' + \bar{u}$  can be achieved for the ODE (3.63) via the transformation

$$\bar{x} = x^2, \bar{u} = x^2 u.$$

**Example 3.3.** Consider now the linear ODE with variable coefficients in the Laguerre-Forsyth canonical form

$$u''' = \frac{1}{x^6} u. \quad (3.66)$$

The function

$$f(x, u, p, q) = \frac{u}{x^6} \quad (3.67)$$

satisfies the constraints  $I_1 = I_2 = I_4 = I_5 = I_6 = I_7 = I_9 = I_{10} = I_{11} = I_{12} = 0$  whereas  $I_3 \neq 0$ ; consequently, this equation admits the five-dimensional point symmetry group. Moreover, since  $J = \frac{1}{x^2}$ ,  $I_8 = 0$  and  $K = \frac{I_8}{J^4} = 0$ , then it is equivalent to the canonical form  $\bar{u}''' = \bar{u}$ .

The linearizing transformation can be obtained via (2.59) by solving the following system

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_x &= \frac{1}{x^2}, & \phi_u &= 0 \\ \frac{1}{a_1} \left( \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial u} p \right) &= -\frac{2}{x}, & \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial p} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.68)$$

A solution of the system (3.68) is  $\phi = -\frac{1}{x}$  and  $a_1 = \frac{1}{x^2}$ . Since, the auxiliary function  $a_1 = \psi_u$ , then  $\psi = \frac{u}{x^2}$ . Therefore, the canonical form  $\bar{u}''' = \bar{u}$  can be achieved for the ODE (3.66) via the transformation

$$\bar{x} = -\frac{1}{x}, \bar{u} = \frac{u}{x^2}.$$

**Example 3.4.** Consider now the nonlinear ODE

$$u''' = \frac{3}{2} \frac{u''^2}{u'}. \quad (3.69)$$

The function

$$f(x, u, p, q) = \frac{3}{2} \frac{q^2}{p} \quad (3.70)$$

gives  $I_3 = 0$ ; consequently, this equation can not admit five-dimensional point symmetry group. This agrees with the fact that the ODE (3.69) has six point symmetries [19].

## 4 Conclusion

We have invoked the Cartan equivalence method to effectively and compactly solve the linearization problem for a scalar third-order ODE to enable its reduction to a linear third-order ODE with five point symmetries. Moreover, we were able to obtain the point transformation that does the reduction to this canonical form. In previous work as in [15], inter alia, the authors utilize the direct method, to find reduction to the Laguerre-Forsyth canonical form which can have four, five or seven point symmetries so there isn't a unique form. Notwithstanding, the five symmetry case in the Laguerre-Forsyth canonical form as we have pointed out can have variable coefficient while in the canonical we have utilized the coefficient is constant and the form simpler.

It is important to also mention herein that in the Cartan approach used, we have for the first time provided how one can deduce the point transformations that does the reduction to the linear canonical form. This was previously done for the direct method in [15].

Amongst the basic approaches to the linearization problem via point transformation are the two prominent methods of Lie and Cartan. The first has been nicely utilized in [15] and here we have effectively invoked the Cartan approach for the five symmetry case. It remains to pursue the four symmetry case. The reader is referred to [18] for the maximal symmetry case wherein compact criteria is provided.

We also have amply demonstrated our results by means of examples.

## Acknowledgments

Ahmad Y. Al-Dweik would like to thank the King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals for its support and excellent research facilities. FMM thanks the NRF of South Africa for research support.

## References

- [1] Lie, S., Klassifikation und Integration von gewöhnlichen Differentialgleichungen zwischen  $x, y$ , die eine Gruppe von Transformationen gestatten *Arch. Math.* **VIII, IX** (1883), 187.
- [2] Lie, S., *Arch. Mat. Nat.* **8**, (1883) 371427 (reprinted in Lies Gessammelte Abhandlungen, **5**, (1924), paper XIY, pp 362427).
- [3] Mahomed, F.M., Point symmetry group classification of ordinary differential equations: a survey of some results, *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* **30**, (2007), 1995-2012.

- [4] Tresse, A. M. Determination des invariants ponctuels de lequation differentielle ordinaire du second ordre  $y'' = (x, y, y')$  Preisschriften der furstlichen Jablonowskischen Gesellschaft XXXII 1896 Leipzig, Herzel.
- [5] Mahomed, F.M. and Leach, P.G.L., The Lie algebra  $sl(3, \mathbb{R})$  and linearization, *Quaestiones Mathematicae* **12**, (1989), 121.
- [6] Cartan, E., *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **52**, (1924), 20541.
- [7] Gardner, R. B., The Method of Equivalence and Its Applications, Philadelphia, SIAM, 1989.
- [8] Olver, P.J., Equivalence, Invariants and Symmetry, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [9] Grissom, C., Thompson, G. and Wilkens, G., Linearization of second-order ordinary differential equations via Cartan's equivalence method, *J. Differential Equations* **77**, (1989), 1.
- [10] Mahomed, F.M. and Qadir, A., Invariant Linearization Criteria for Systems of Cubically Nonlinear Second-Order Ordinary Differential Equations, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **16**(3), (2009), 283-298.
- [11] Mahomed, F. M. and Leach P. G. L., Symmetry Lie Algebras of  $n$ th Order Ordinary Differential Equations. *J Math Anal Applic* **151**, (1990), 80.
- [12] Chern, S.S., The geometry of the differential equation  $y''' = F(x, y, y, y'')$ , Sci. Rep. Nat. Tsing Hua Univ. 4 (1940), 97-111.
- [13] Neut, S. and Petitot, M., La géométrie de l'équation  $y''' = f(x, y, y', y'')$  *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér I* **335**, (2002), 515-518.

- [14] Grebot, G., The characterization of third order ordinary differential equations admitting a transitive fibre-preserving point symmetry group, *J. Math. Anal. Applic.* **206**, (1997), 364-388.
- [15] N.H. Ibragimov, S.V. Meleshko, Linearization of third-order ordinary differential equations by point and contact transformations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **308**, (2005), 266-289.
- [16] Mahomed, F.M. and Qadir, A., Conditional Linearizability Criteria for Third Order Ordinary Differential Equations, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **15**, (2008), 124.
- [17] K. Wünschmann, Über Berührungsbedingungen bei Differentialgleichungen, *Enzyklopädie der Math. Wiss.* **3**, (1905), 490-492.
- [18] Al-Dweik, A.Y.. Third-order ordinary differential equations  $y''' = f(x, y, y', y'')$  with maximal symmetry group, *Quaestiones Mathematicae* **39**(5), (2016), 595-601.
- [19] N.H. Ibragimov and F.M. Mahomed, Ordinary differential equations, CRC Handbook of Lie Group Analysis of Differential Equations, vol.3. N.H. Ibragimov ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton (1996) 191.