

COMPLEX LIPSCHITZ STRUCTURES AND BUNDLES OF COMPLEX CLIFFORD MODULES

C. LAZAROIU AND C. S. SHAHBAZI

ABSTRACT. Let (M, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (p, q) . We construct mutually quasi-inverse equivalences between the groupoid of bundles of weakly-faithful complex Clifford modules on (M, g) and the groupoid of reduced complex Lipschitz structures on (M, g) . As an application, we show that (M, g) admits a bundle of irreducible complex Clifford modules if and *only if* it admits either a $\text{Spin}^c(p, q)$ structure (when $p + q$ is odd) or a $\text{Pin}^c(p, q)$ structure (when $p + q$ is even). When $p - q \equiv_{\mathbb{8}} 3, 4, 6, 7$, we compare with the classification of bundles of irreducible real Clifford modules which we obtained in previous work. The results obtained in this note form a counterpart of the classification of bundles of faithful complex Clifford modules which was previously given by T. Friedrich and A. Trautman.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Complexified Clifford algebras and complex Clifford groups	2
3. Complex Clifford representations and complex Lipschitz groups	4
4. Elementary complex Lipschitz groups	7
5. Complex pinor bundles and complex Lipschitz structures	11
References	19

1. INTRODUCTION

In reference [1], T. Friedrich and A. Trautman classified bundles of *faithful* complex Clifford modules over a connected pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) in terms of complex Lipschitz structures (see also [2]). In this note, we establish a general equivalence of categories between the groupoid of *weakly-faithful*¹ complex Clifford modules over a connected pseudo-Riemannian manifold of arbitrary signature (p, q) and the groupoid of complex Lipschitz structures associated to the corresponding fiberwise representation. This equivalence, which we give in Proposition 5.17 and Theorem 5.19, clarifies and extends the correspondence given in [1, Sec. 5, Theorems 2 and 3] between bundles of faithful complex Clifford modules and the corresponding complex Lipschitz structures.

The fibers of a bundle S of *irreducible* complex Clifford modules are faithful iff the dimension $d = p + q$ of M is even (in which case they are “Dirac representations” in the language of [1]). In this case, the results of [1] imply that the corresponding complex Lipschitz structure is homotopy-equivalent with a $\text{Pin}^c(p, q)$ structure. When d is odd, the fibers of a bundle S of irreducible complex Clifford modules are weakly-faithful but not faithful (they are “Pauli representations” in the language of [1, 2]). In this case, we show that the corresponding complex Lipschitz structure is homotopy-equivalent with a $\text{Spin}^c(p, q)$ structure. In particular, this shows that an odd-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold admits a bundle of irreducible complex Clifford modules if and *only if* it admits a $\text{Spin}^c(p, q)$ structure, in which case any such bundle is associated to the appropriate

Key words and phrases. Spin geometry, Clifford bundles, Lipschitz structures.
2010 MSC. Primary: 53C27. Secondary: 53C50.

¹See Definition 3.4.

$\text{Spin}^c(p, q)$ structure through the tautological representation. We also show that the classifications of $\text{Spin}^c(p, q)$ structures and of bundles of irreducible complex Clifford modules agree and hence the isomorphism classes of the latter form a torsor over $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ when (M, g) admits a $\text{Spin}^c(p, q)$ structure.

Reference [3] solved a similar classification problem for bundles of irreducible *real* Clifford modules in terms of real Lipschitz structures. The two problems are related by the faithful *realification functor*, which maps a bundle S of complex Clifford modules to the underlying bundle of real Clifford modules. When the fibers of S are complex-irreducible, they are also real irreducible exactly when $p - q \equiv_8 3, 4, 6, 7$, so in these cases it is natural to compare the two categories. For such signatures, we show that the realification functor is faithful and strictly surjective but not full and we describe its preimage. When M is unorientable with $p - q \equiv_8 3, 7$, the pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) does not admit bundles of complex irreducible Clifford modules. However, such (M, g) can admit $\text{Spin}^o(p, q)$ structures (and hence bundles of real irreducible Clifford modules) provided that the topological conditions given in [3] are satisfied.

Notations and conventions. All manifolds considered are smooth, paracompact, Hausdorff and connected. All fiber bundles and sections thereof are smooth. For a field $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, let $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{K}}$ denote the category of unital associative \mathbb{K} -algebras and unital algebra morphisms. The unit groupoid of any category \mathcal{C} is denoted by \mathcal{C}^\times ; it is defined as the groupoid obtained from \mathcal{C} by keeping only the invertible morphisms.

2. COMPLEXIFIED CLIFFORD ALGEBRAS AND COMPLEX CLIFFORD GROUPS

A *real quadratic space* is a pair (V, h) , where V is a finite-dimensional \mathbb{R} -vector space and h is a symmetric and non-degenerate \mathbb{R} -bilinear pairing defined on V . Given a real quadratic space (V, h) , let $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ denote its real Clifford algebra. By definition, the *complexified Clifford algebra* of (V, h) is the complexification $\text{Cl}(V, h) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \text{Cl}(V, h) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. There exists a natural unital isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras:

$$\text{Cl}(V, h) \simeq_{\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{C}}} \text{Cl}(V_{\mathbb{C}}, h_{\mathbb{C}}) ,$$

where $V_{\mathbb{C}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is the complexification of V and $h_{\mathbb{C}} : V_{\mathbb{C}} \times V_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the \mathbb{C} -bilinear extension of $h : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

2.1. The categories $\text{Cl}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\text{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let Quad be the category whose objects are real quadratic spaces and whose arrows are isometries between such and let Quad^\times denote the unit groupoid of Quad . The Clifford algebra construction gives two functors:

- The *real Clifford functor* $\text{Cl} : \text{Quad} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{R}}$, which maps a real quadratic space (V, h) to its real Clifford algebra and maps an isometry $\varphi_0 : (V, h) \rightarrow (V', h')$ to the map $\text{Cl}(\varphi_0) : \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(V', h')$ defined as the unique unital morphism of associative \mathbb{R} -algebras which satisfies the condition $\text{Cl}(\varphi_0)|_V = \varphi_0$. The image of this functor is a *non-full* sub-category of $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{R}}$ which we denote by $\text{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- The *complexified Clifford functor* $\text{Cl} : \text{Quad} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{C}}$, which maps a quadratic real vector space (V, h) to its complexified Clifford algebra $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ and maps an isometry $\varphi_0 : (V, h) \rightarrow (V', h')$ to the \mathbb{C} -linear extension $\text{Cl}(\varphi_0) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \text{Cl}(\varphi_0) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ of $\text{Cl}(\varphi_0)$. The image of this functor is a *non-full* sub-category of $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{C}}$ which we denote by $\text{Cl}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

The corestrictions of the functors Cl and Cl to their images give isomorphisms (i.e., strictly surjective equivalences) of categories between Quad and $\text{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}}$, respectively Quad and $\text{Cl}_{\mathbb{C}}$. The category Quad admits a skeleton whose objects are the *standard quadratic spaces* $\mathbb{R}^{p,q} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\mathbb{R}^{p+q}, h_{p,q})$, where $h_{p,q} : \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \times \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the standard symmetric bilinear form of signature (p, q) . The objects of this skeleton form a countable set indexed by the pairs $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Accordingly, the category $\text{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}}$ admits a skeleton whose objects are given by the real Clifford algebras

$\text{Cl}_{p,q} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\mathbb{R}^{p,q}, h_{p,q})$, while $\text{Cl}_{\mathbb{C}}$ admits a skeleton whose objects are given by the complex Clifford algebras $\text{Cl}_{p,q} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \text{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{p,q}, h_{p,q}) = \text{Cl}_{p,q} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 2.1. A morphism $\psi: \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(V', h')$ in the category $\text{Cl}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a morphism of unital associative algebras which satisfies $\psi(V) \subset V'$ and hence is necessarily of the form $\psi = \text{Cl}(\varphi_0)$ for a uniquely-determined isometry $\varphi_0: (V, h) \rightarrow (V', h')$, given by $\varphi_0 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \psi|_V$. Notice that $\text{Cl}_{\mathbb{C}}$ does not contain any isomorphism between complexified Clifford algebras associated to quadratic real vector spaces of different signatures.

2.2. The complex Clifford group. Let (V, h) be a quadratic real vector space. Let π be the parity involution of $\text{Cl}(V, h)$, τ be the reversion anti-automorphism and $\tilde{\tau} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \tau \circ \pi$ be the twisted reversion. Let $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}$ be automorphism of $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ given by the \mathbb{C} -linear extension of π and $\tau_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the *antilinear* extension of τ :

$$\tau_{\mathbb{C}}(x \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} z) = \tau(x) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{z}, \quad x \in \text{Cl}(V, h), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Finally, let $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{C}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \tau_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \pi_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let $\text{Cl}(V, h)^{\times}$ be the group of invertible elements of the algebra $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ and $\widetilde{\text{Ad}}: \text{Cl}(V, h)^{\times} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Cl}(V, h))$ be the twisted adjoint action:

$$\widetilde{\text{Ad}}(x)(y) = \pi(x)yx^{-1} \quad \forall x \in \text{Cl}(V, h)^{\times} \quad \forall y \in V_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Recall that the *twisted norm* of $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ is the map $N: \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(V, h)$ given by:

$$N(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{C}}(x)x, \quad \forall x \in \text{Cl}(V, h).$$

Also recall the following (see [4]):

Definition 2.2. The *complex Clifford group* is the subgroup of $\text{Cl}(V, h)^{\times}$ defined through:

$$\Gamma(V, h) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \left\{ x \in \text{Cl}(V, h)^{\times} \mid \widetilde{\text{Ad}}(x)(V) = V \right\}.$$

The *complex special Clifford group* is the subgroup consisting of all even elements of $\Gamma(V, h)$:

$$\Gamma^s(V, h) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Gamma(V, h) \cap \text{Cl}^+(V, h),$$

where $\text{Cl}^+(V, h)$ denotes the ‘‘even subalgebra’’ of $\text{Cl}(V, h)$.

The twisted norm restricts to a group morphism $N: \Gamma(V, h) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$.

Remark 2.3. We have $\Gamma(V, h) \subset \text{G}(V, h)$, where:

$$\text{G}(V, h) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \left\{ x \in \text{Cl}(V, h)^{\times} \mid \widetilde{\text{Ad}}(x)(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = V_{\mathbb{C}} \right\}$$

is the ordinary Clifford group of $(V_{\mathbb{C}}, h_{\mathbb{C}})$. Moreover, an element x of $\text{G}(V, h)$ belongs to $\Gamma(V, h)$ if and only if the orthogonal transformation $\widetilde{\text{Ad}}(x) \in \text{O}(V_{\mathbb{C}}, h_{\mathbb{C}})$ preserves the real subspace $V \subset V_{\mathbb{C}}$. Equivalently, if $c: V_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the real structure (antilinear involution) on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ with real subspace (subspace of fixed points) $V \subset V_{\mathbb{C}}$, then an element x of $\text{G}(V, h)$ belongs to $\Gamma(V, h)$ if and only if $c \circ \widetilde{\text{Ad}}(x) = \widetilde{\text{Ad}}(x) \circ c$. In contrast with $\text{G}(V, h)$, the complex Clifford group $\Gamma(V, h)$ depends on the signature of the real quadratic space (V, h) .

Finally, recall that the groups $\text{Pin}^c(V, h)$ and $\text{Spin}^c(V, h)$ are defined as follows [4, 5]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pin}^c(V, h) &= \text{Ker}(|N|: \Gamma(V, h) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}), \\ \text{Spin}^c(V, h) &= \text{Ker}(|N|: \Gamma^s(V, h) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.4. *One has short exact sequences:*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \longrightarrow \text{Pin}^c(V, h) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(V, h) \xrightarrow{|N|} \mathbb{R}_{>0} \longrightarrow 1, \\ 1 \longrightarrow \text{Spin}^c(V, h) \hookrightarrow \Gamma^s(V, h) \xrightarrow{|N|} \mathbb{R}_{>0} \longrightarrow 1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $\Gamma(V, h)$ is homotopy-equivalent with $\text{Pin}^c(V, h)$ and $\Gamma^s(V, h)$ is homotopy-equivalent with $\text{Spin}^c(V, h)$.

Proof. Exactness of both sequences is obvious. The map:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} r: \Gamma(V, h) &\rightarrow \text{Pin}^c(V, h), \\ r(x) &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{x}{\sqrt{|N(x)|}}, \end{aligned}$$

is a homotopy retraction of $\Gamma(V, h)$ onto $\text{Pin}^c(V, h)$. The proof for $\text{Spin}^c(V, h)$ is similar, the corresponding homotopy retraction being given by $r|_{\Gamma^s(V, h)}$. Notice the relation:

$$\text{Ad}(r(x)) = \text{Ad}(x) \quad \forall x \in \Gamma(V, h).$$

□

We have $\Gamma(V, h) \simeq \text{Pin}^c(V, h) \cdot \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\Gamma^s(V, h) \simeq \text{Spin}^c(V, h) \cdot \mathbb{C}^\times$. The following theorem summarizes the key properties of $\Gamma(V, h)$, $\Gamma^s(V, h)$, $\text{Pin}^c(V, h)$ and $\text{Spin}^c(V, h)$.

Theorem 2.5. [4] *There exist short exact sequences:*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \hookrightarrow \Gamma(V, h) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{Ad}}} \text{O}(V, h) \longrightarrow 1, \\ 1 \longrightarrow \text{U}(1) \hookrightarrow \text{Pin}^c(V, h) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{Ad}}} \text{O}(V, h) \longrightarrow 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{U}(1)$ is the subgroup of $\text{Cl}(V, h)^\times$ consisting of elements of the form $1 \otimes z$ with $|z| = 1$. Likewise, one has short exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \hookrightarrow \Gamma^s(V, h) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{Ad}}} \text{SO}(V, h) \longrightarrow 1, \\ 1 \longrightarrow \text{U}(1) \hookrightarrow \text{Spin}^c(V, h) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{Ad}}} \text{SO}(V, h) \longrightarrow 1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, there exist canonical isomorphisms of groups:

$$\text{Pin}^c(V, h) \simeq \text{Pin}(V, h) \cdot \text{U}(1) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\text{Pin}(V, h) \times \text{U}(1)) / \{(1, 1), (-1, -1)\}$$

and:

$$\text{Spin}^c(V, h) \simeq \text{Spin}(V, h) \cdot \text{U}(1) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\text{Spin}(V, h) \times \text{U}(1)) / \{(1, 1), (-1, -1)\}.$$

3. COMPLEX CLIFFORD REPRESENTATIONS AND COMPLEX LIPSCHITZ GROUPS

Let (V, h) be a real quadratic space.

Definition 3.1. A *complex Clifford representation* is a unital morphism of associative \mathbb{R} -algebras $\gamma: \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$, where S is a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} .

A complex Clifford representation γ endows S with the structure of (unital) left module over $\text{Cl}(V, h)$, while the multiplication of vectors with complex scalars endows S with a compatible structure of (unital) finite rank (and necessarily free) right module over the field of complex numbers. Conversely, any such bimodule (which we shall call a *complex Clifford module*) can be viewed as a complex Clifford representation.

Extending γ by complex linearity gives a unital morphism of associative \mathbb{C} -algebras:

$$(2) \quad \gamma_{\mathbb{C}}: \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S).$$

Conversely, every unital morphism of associative \mathbb{C} -algebras from $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ to $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$ restricts to a complex representation of $\text{Cl}(V, h)$. Any complex Clifford representation $\gamma: \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$ also induces a real Clifford representation $\gamma_{\mathbb{R}}: \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(S_{\mathbb{R}})$ on the *realification* $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ of

S (defined as the underlying real vector space of S), which is an \mathbb{R} -vector space of dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} S_{\mathbb{R}} = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} S$.

3.1. Unbased morphisms of complex Clifford representations. Let $\gamma: \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$ and $\gamma': \text{Cl}(V', h') \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S')$ be two complex Clifford representations.

Definition 3.2. A *morphism of complex Clifford representations* from γ to γ' is a pair (φ_0, φ) such that:

1. $\varphi_0: V \rightarrow V'$ is an isometry from (V, h) to (V', h')
2. $\varphi: S \rightarrow S'$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear map
3. $\gamma'(\text{Cl}(\varphi_0)(x)) \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \gamma(x)$ for all $x \in \text{Cl}(V, h)$.

A morphism of complex Clifford representations is called *based* if $V' = V$ and $\varphi_0 = \text{id}_V$. A (not necessarily based) isomorphism of complex Clifford representations from γ to itself is called an *automorphism* of γ .

In our language, a morphism of complex representations in the traditional sense corresponds to a *based* morphism. Since $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ is generated by V and $\text{Cl}(V', h')$ is generated by V' while the morphism $\text{Cl}(\varphi_0)$ is \mathbb{R} -linear, condition 3. in Definition 3.2 is equivalent with:

$$\gamma'(\varphi_0(v)) \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \gamma(v) \quad \forall v \in V,$$

which can also be written as:

$$R_{\varphi} \circ \gamma' \circ \varphi_0 = L_{\varphi} \circ \gamma|_V,$$

or

$$R_{\varphi} \circ \gamma' \circ \text{Cl}(\varphi_0) = L_{\varphi} \circ \gamma,$$

where $L_{\varphi}: \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(S, S')$ and $R_{\varphi}: \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(S, S')$ are defined as follows:

$$L_{\varphi}(A) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \varphi \circ A, \quad R_{\varphi}(B) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} B \circ \varphi, \quad \forall A \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S), \quad \forall B \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S').$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Cl}(V', h') & \xrightarrow{\gamma'} & \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S') \\ \uparrow \text{Cl}(\varphi_0) & & \downarrow R_{\varphi} \\ & & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(S, S') \\ & & \uparrow L_{\varphi} \\ \text{Cl}(V, h) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S) \end{array}$$

With morphisms defined as above, complex Clifford representations form a category denoted ClRep , were compatible morphisms (φ_0, φ) and (φ'_0, φ') compose pairwise, that is $(\varphi'_0, \varphi') \circ (\varphi_0, \varphi) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\varphi'_0 \circ \varphi_0, \varphi' \circ \varphi)$. The functor $\Pi: \text{ClRep} \rightarrow \text{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}}$ which takes γ into $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ and (φ_0, φ) into $\text{Cl}(\varphi_0)$ is a fibration whose fiber above $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ is the usual category $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Cl}(V, h))$ of complex representations of $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ (whose morphisms are the based morphisms of complex representations). Isomorphisms in $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Cl}(V, h))$ are the usual equivalences of complex representations. Hence equivalences of complex representations of real Clifford algebras coincide with based isomorphisms of ClRep ; in particular, any isomorphism class of complex Clifford representations in the category ClRep decomposes as a disjoint union of equivalence classes. A morphism (φ_0, φ) is an isomorphism in ClRep if and only if both φ_0 and φ are bijective.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $(\varphi_0, \varphi): \gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \gamma'$ be an isomorphism of complex Clifford representations. Then (φ_0, φ) satisfies $\text{Ad}(\varphi)(\gamma(V)) = \gamma'(V')$ and $\gamma' \circ \varphi_0 = \text{Ad}(\varphi) \circ \gamma|_V$, where the unital isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras $\text{Ad}(\varphi): \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S')$ is defined through:*

$$\text{Ad}(\varphi)(A) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \varphi \circ A \circ \varphi^{-1}$$

for all $A \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$.

Proof. When (φ_0, φ) is an isomorphism, condition 3. in Definition 3.2 becomes:

$$\gamma' \circ \text{Cl}(\varphi_0) = \text{Ad}(\varphi) \circ \gamma ,$$

being equivalent with the condition $\gamma' \circ \varphi_0 = \text{Ad}(\varphi) \circ \gamma|_V$, which states that φ *implements* the isometry $\varphi_0: (V, h) \rightarrow (V', h')$ at the level of the representation spaces. The Proposition now follows from the fact that $\text{Cl}(\varphi_0)|_V = \varphi_0$ and $\varphi_0(V) = V'$. \square

3.2. Weakly-faithful complex Clifford representations.

Definition 3.4. A complex Clifford representation $\gamma: \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$ is called *weakly-faithful* if the restriction $\gamma_0 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \gamma|_V: V \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$ is an injective map.

Remark 3.5. Notice that $\gamma|_V = \gamma_{\mathbb{C}}|_V$ and that any faithful complex Clifford representation is weakly-faithful.

Let ClRep_w denote the full sub-category of ClRep whose objects are the weakly-faithful complex Clifford representations and let ClRep_w^{\times} denote the corresponding unit groupoid. When γ and γ' are weakly-faithful and $(\varphi_0, \varphi): \gamma \rightarrow \gamma'$ is an *isomorphism* of Clifford representations, Proposition 3.3 shows that φ_0 is uniquely determined by φ through the relation:

$$(3) \quad \varphi_0 = (\gamma'|_{V'})^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}(\varphi) \circ \gamma|_V .$$

It is easy to see that the converse also holds, so we have:

Proposition 3.6. *Assume that γ and γ' are weakly-faithful. Then any isomorphism $(\varphi_0, \varphi): \gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \gamma'$ is determined by the linear isomorphism $\varphi: S \xrightarrow{\sim} S'$. We have $\text{Ad}(\varphi)(\gamma(V)) = \gamma'(V')$ and φ_0 is given by relation (3). Conversely, any linear isomorphism $\varphi: S \rightarrow S'$ which satisfies $\text{Ad}(\varphi)(\gamma(V)) = \gamma'(V')$ determines an isomorphism of quadratic spaces $\varphi_0: (V, h) \rightarrow (V', h')$ through the relation (3) and we have $(\varphi_0, \varphi) \in \text{Hom}_{\text{ClRep}_w^{\times}}(\gamma, \gamma')$.*

In view of this, we denote isomorphisms of weakly-faithful complex Clifford representations only by φ (since φ determines φ_0 in this case). From the previous proposition, we obtain:

Corollary 3.7. *The group $\text{Hom}_{\text{ClRep}_w^{\times}}(\gamma, \gamma')$ can be identified with the following subset of the set $\text{Iso}_{\mathbb{C}}(S, S')$ of linear isomorphisms from S to S' :*

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{ClRep}_w^{\times}}(\gamma, \gamma') \equiv \{ \varphi \in \text{Iso}_{\mathbb{C}}(S, S') \mid \text{Ad}(\varphi)(\gamma(V)) = \gamma'(V') \} , \quad \gamma, \gamma' \in \text{Ob}(\text{ClRep}_w) .$$

3.3. Complex Lipschitz groups. When γ is weakly-faithful, we can identify V with its image $W \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \gamma(V)$ inside $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$. Equip W with the bilinear form μ induced by γ from (V, h) , so that (W, μ) is a real quadratic space isometric with (V, h) .

Definition 3.8. The *complex Lipschitz group* of a weakly-faithful complex Clifford representation $\gamma: \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$ is defined as the following sub-group of $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$:

$$L_{\gamma} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{ \varphi \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(S) \mid \text{Ad}(\varphi)(W) = W \} ,$$

where $W = \gamma(V)$.

Notice that L_{γ} consists of \mathbb{C} -linear transformations of S .

Definition 3.9. Given a weakly-faithful complex Clifford representation $\gamma \in \text{Ob}(\text{ClRep}_w)$, we define the *adjoint representation* $\text{Ad}_{\gamma}: L_{\gamma} \rightarrow \text{O}(V, h)$ of L_{γ} by:

$$\text{Ad}_{\gamma}(\varphi) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\gamma|_V)^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}(\varphi) \circ (\gamma|_V) ,$$

for all $\varphi \in L_{\gamma}$.

The following proposition partially characterizes the image of the adjoint representation Ad_{γ} and in particular shows that Ad_{γ} is well-defined.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $\gamma : \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$ be a weakly-faithful complex Clifford representation and w be an element of the space $W = \gamma(V)$. Then w is an element of L_{γ} and $\text{Ad}_{\gamma}(w) = -R_{w_0}$, where R_{w_0} denotes the orthogonal reflection of (V, h) with respect to the hyperplane orthogonal to the vector $w_0 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\gamma|_V)^{-1}(w) \in V$. If $d \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V$ is even, then we have $\text{Ad}_{\gamma}(L_{\gamma}) = \text{O}(V, h)$. If d is odd, then we have $\text{SO}(V, h) \subseteq \text{Ad}_{\gamma}(L_{\gamma})$.*

Proof. Explicit computation shows that:

$$\text{Ad}_{\gamma}(w)(v) = w_0 v w_0^{-1} = -v + 2 \frac{g(w_0, v)}{g(w_0, w_0)} w_0 = -R_{w_0}(v),$$

for every $v \in V$, where $w_0 = \gamma^{-1}(w) \in V$. The Cartan-Dieudonné theorem implies that $\text{Ad}_{\gamma}(L_{\gamma}) = \text{O}(V, h)$ for even d and $\text{SO}(V, h) \subseteq \text{Ad}_{\gamma}(L_{\gamma})$ for odd d . \square

Remark 3.11. For d odd, the image $\text{Ad}_{\gamma}(L_{\gamma}) \subseteq \text{O}(V, h)$ of L_{γ} in $\text{O}(V, h)$ depends on the details of the particular weakly-faithful representation γ under consideration. We will see for instance in Proposition 2.4 that if γ is irreducible, then $\text{Ad}_{\gamma}(L_{\gamma}) = \text{SO}(V, h)$.

The following Proposition follows from Corollary 3.7, but we give a direct proof because of its importance later.

Proposition 3.12. *Let γ be a weakly-faithful complex Clifford representation. Then the Lipschitz group L_{γ} is canonically isomorphic with the automorphism group $\text{Aut}_{\text{ClRep}_w}(\gamma)$ of γ in the category ClRep_w of weakly faithful complex Clifford representations. In particular, the isomorphism class of the group L_{γ} depends only on the isomorphism class of γ in that category.*

Proof. Any $\varphi \in L_{\gamma}$ induces an invertible isometry $\varphi_0 \in \text{O}(V, h)$ through relation (3), namely:

$$(4) \quad \varphi_0 = (\gamma|_V)^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}(\varphi) \circ (\gamma|_V) \in \text{O}(V, h),$$

which implies:

$$\gamma \circ \text{Cl}(\varphi_0) = \text{Ad}(\varphi) \circ \gamma.$$

Thus (φ_0, φ) is the unique automorphism of γ in the category ClRep_w whose second component equals φ . Conversely, we have $\varphi \in L_{\gamma}$ for any $(\varphi_0, \varphi) \in \text{Aut}_{\text{ClRep}_w}(\gamma)$ (see Proposition 3.3) and φ_0 is determined by φ through relation (4) (see Proposition 3.6). Hence the map $F : \text{Aut}_{\text{ClRep}}(\gamma) \rightarrow L_{\gamma}$ given by $F(\varphi_0, \varphi) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \varphi$ is an isomorphism of groups which allows us to identify L_{γ} with $\text{Aut}_{\text{ClRep}}(\gamma)$. \square

4. ELEMENTARY COMPLEX LIPSCHITZ GROUPS

Definition 4.1. The complex Lipschitz group L_{γ} of an irreducible complex Clifford representation is called an *elementary complex Lipschitz group*.

Let (V, h) be a real quadratic space of signature (p, q) and dimension $d = p + q$. When d is even, all irreducible complex Clifford representation $\gamma : \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$ are mutually \mathbb{C} -equivalent and faithful (these are called *Dirac representations* in [1]). When d is odd, there exist up to \mathbb{C} -equivalence exactly two complex Clifford representations $\gamma : \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$, none of which is faithful (these are called *Pauli representations* in op. cit.). As we shall see below, both of these representations are weakly-faithful. Moreover, they are unbasedly isomorphic in the category ClRep_w . In particular, the category ClRep_w contains a single unbased isomorphism class of irreducible complex Clifford representations of any given quadratic space (V, h) (and this isomorphism class is uniquely determined by the dimension and signature of (V, h)).

4.1. The case when d is even. In this case, there exists a single \mathbb{C} -equivalence class of irreducible complex Clifford representations $\gamma : \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$ and any such representation is faithful and satisfies $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S = 2^{\frac{d}{2}}$ (being a ‘‘Dirac representation’’); in fact, the map γ is bijective. The elementary complex Lipschitz group of such representations was determined in [1, Theorem 1]:

Proposition 4.2. [1] *When d is even, we have $L_{\gamma} = \text{Pin}(V, h) \cdot \mathbb{C}^{\times} \simeq \Gamma(V, h)$, which is homotopy-equivalent with $\text{Pin}^c(V, h)$.*

4.2. The case when d is odd. When d is odd, an irreducible complex representation of $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ is non-faithful of dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S = 2^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$. Up to \mathbb{C} -equivalence, there exist two such representations γ^+ and γ^- (called ‘‘Pauli representations’’ in [1]), which can be described as follows. Since d is odd, the Clifford volume element $\nu \in \text{Cl}(V, h)$ determined by some fixed orientation of V is central in $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ and satisfies $\nu^2 = \sigma_{p,q} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (-1)^{\frac{p-q-1}{2}}$. Since $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ is generated by V over \mathbb{C} , the real volume element is also central in $\text{Cl}(V, h)$, where it can be rescaled to a *complex volume element*² $\nu_{\mathbb{C}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \lambda \nu \in \text{Cl}(V, h)$, where λ is one of the two complex square roots of $\sigma_{p,q}$. The complex volume element is central in $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ and satisfies $\nu_{\mathbb{C}}^2 = 1$. Since $\nu_{\mathbb{C}}$ is central and squares to $+1$, we can decompose $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ as a direct sum of two-sided ideals:

$$\text{Cl}(V, h) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu_{\mathbb{C}})\text{Cl}(V, h) \oplus \frac{1}{2}(1 - \nu_{\mathbb{C}})\text{Cl}(V, h),$$

which are unital \mathbb{C} algebras of dimension 2^{d-1} , with units given respectively by $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\frac{1}{2}(1 - \nu_{\mathbb{C}})$ (note however that they are not unital subalgebras of $\text{Cl}(V, h)$). There exist two representations $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}}^+$ and $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}}^-$ of $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ which can be realized on the same \mathbb{C} -vector space S and are distinguished by their value on $\nu_{\mathbb{C}}$:

$$\gamma_{\mathbb{C}}^{\pm}(\nu_{\mathbb{C}}) = \pm \text{id}_S .$$

The kernel of $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}}^{\pm}$ is given by:

$$(5) \quad \ker \gamma_{\mathbb{C}}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \mp \nu_{\mathbb{C}})\text{Cl}(V, h) .$$

Restricting $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}}^{\pm}$ gives unital isomorphisms of \mathbb{C} -algebras:

$$\gamma_{\mathbb{C}}^{\pm} : \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \nu_{\mathbb{C}})\text{Cl}(V, h) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S) .$$

We have $\text{Cl}(V, h) = \text{Cl}(V, h) \oplus \mathbf{i}\text{Cl}(V, h)$, where \mathbf{i} denotes the imaginary unit. The irreducible complex representations γ^{\pm} are now obtained by restricting $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}}^{\pm}$ to $\text{Cl}(V, h)$:

$$\gamma^{\pm} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \gamma_{\mathbb{C}}^{\pm}|_{\text{Cl}(V, h)} .$$

Proposition 4.3. *The Pauli representations γ^{\pm} are weakly-faithful and isomorphic in the category ClRep_w .*

Proof. The restriction $\gamma^{\pm}|_V = \gamma_{\mathbb{C}}^{\pm}|_V$ is injective iff $V \cap \ker \gamma_{\mathbb{C}} = 0$. The Chevalley-Crumeyrolle-Riesz isomorphism identifies $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ with $\wedge V^{\vee}$. Using this identification, we have $x \nu_{\mathbb{C}} \in \wedge^{d-1} V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee}$ for any vector $x \in V$. Relation (5) shows that one can have $\ker \gamma_{\mathbb{C}}^{\pm} \cap V \neq 0$ only when $d = 2$, which is disallowed since d is odd. This shows that we must have $\ker \gamma_{\mathbb{C}}^{\pm} \cap V = 0$, which implies $\ker \gamma^{\pm} \cap V = 0$. This shows that γ^{\pm} are weakly-faithful.

It is well-known that $\gamma^- = \gamma^+ \circ \pi$, where π is the parity involution of $\text{Cl}(V, h)$. Since $\pi = \text{Cl}(-\text{id}_V)$ is the automorphism of $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ which is induced by minus the identity map of V (which is an isometry of V), it follows that γ^+ and γ^- are isomorphic in the category ClRep_w , being related by the involutive unbased isomorphism:

$$(-\text{id}_V, \text{id}_S) : \gamma^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \gamma^- .$$

□

²Notice that $\nu_{\mathbb{C}}$ belongs to $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ iff $\sigma_{p,q} = +1$ (i.e. if and only if $p - q \equiv 8, 1, 5$), in which case we have $\nu_{\mathbb{C}} = \pm \nu$.

Lemma 4.4. *When d is odd, the restriction of $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}}^{\pm}$ gives a unital isomorphism of associative \mathbb{C} -algebras from $\text{Cl}^+(V, h)$ to $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$.*

Proof. It is obvious that $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}}^{\pm}$ restricts to a unital morphism of algebras from $\text{Cl}^+(V, h)$ to $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$. The equation $x\nu_{\mathbb{C}} = \epsilon x$ has no solutions in $\text{Cl}^+(V, h)$ because the left-hand side is odd while the right-hand side is even; this shows that $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}}^{\pm}|_{\text{Cl}^+(V, h)}$ is injective. On the other hand, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Cl}^+(V, h) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S) = 2^{d-1}$, so $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}}^{\pm}|_{\text{Cl}^+(V, h)}$ is bijective. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\gamma : \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$ be an irreducible complex Clifford representation such that $d = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V$ is odd. Then $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}}$ restricts to an isomorphism between $\Gamma^s(V, h) \simeq \text{Spin}^c(V, h) \cdot \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ and L_{γ} . In particular, the elementary complex Lipschitz group L_{γ} is homotopy-equivalent with $\text{Spin}^c(V, h)$.*

Proof. From Lemma 4.4 we have a unital isomorphism of algebras $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}} : \text{Cl}^+(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$ which restricts to an isomorphism of groups $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}} : \text{Cl}^+(V, h)^{\times} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$. In turn, this further restricts to an isomorphism of groups:

$$\gamma_{\mathbb{C}} : \Gamma^s(V, h) \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{\gamma}.$$

The fact that L_{γ} is homotopy-equivalent to $\text{Spin}^c(V, h)$ now follows from Proposition 2.4. \square

Since for odd d and complex irreducible γ the kernel of Ad_{γ} is given by the complex multiples of the identity, the previous proposition gives the short exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times} \rightarrow L_{\gamma} \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}_{\gamma}} \text{SO}(V, h) \rightarrow 1.$$

Remark 4.6. For odd d , reference [1] determines the *non-elementary* complex Lipschitz group of the faithful but reducible complex Cartan representation of $\text{Cl}(V, h)$. As shown in [1], that complex Lipschitz group is isomorphic with $[\text{Pin}(V, h) \rtimes (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^2]/\mathbb{Z}_2$, where the semidirect product in the numerator is determined explicitly in op. cit.

4.3. Realification of Clifford representations. Let (V, h) be a real quadratic space of signature (p, q) and dimension $d = p + q$. For $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, let $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(\text{Cl}(V, h))$ denote the ordinary category of \mathbb{K} -linear representations of $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ (whose morphisms are the *based* morphisms of representations). Let $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}^w(\text{Cl}(V, h))$ denote the full sub-category of $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(\text{Cl}(V, h))$ whose objects are the weakly-faithful representations. Given a complex Clifford representation $\gamma : \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$, let $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the underlying real vector space of S and $\gamma_{\mathbb{R}} : \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(S_{\mathbb{R}})$ be the *realification* of γ , i.e. the real Clifford representation obtained from γ by forgetting the complex structure of S . Given two complex Clifford representations $\gamma_i : \text{Cl}(V_i, h_i) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S_i)$ (with $i = 1, 2$) and a based morphism of complex representations $\varphi : \gamma_1 \rightarrow \gamma_2$, let $\varphi_{\mathbb{R}} : \gamma_{1\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \gamma_{2\mathbb{R}}$ be the based morphism of real representations obtained by forgetting the complex structures of S_1 and S_2 .

Definition 4.7. The *realification functor* $R : \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Cl}(V, h)) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Cl}(V, h))$ is the functor which maps a complex representation $\gamma : \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$ to the real representation $R(\gamma) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \gamma_{\mathbb{R}} : \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(S_{\mathbb{R}})$ and a based morphism $\varphi : \gamma_1 \rightarrow \gamma_2$ of complex representations to the based morphism of real representations $R(\varphi) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \varphi_{\mathbb{R}} : \gamma_{1\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \gamma_{2\mathbb{R}}$.

It is clear that R is faithful and that it maps $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}^w(\text{Cl}(V, h))$ to $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}^w(\text{Cl}(V, h))$.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $\gamma : \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$ be an irreducible complex Clifford representation, where (V, h) has signature (p, q) and dimension $d = p + q$. Then the realification $\gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ of γ is \mathbb{R} -irreducible iff $p - q \equiv_{\mathbb{8}} 3, 4, 6, 7$.*

Proof. Distinguish the cases:

1. When d is even. Then γ is a Dirac representation and we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S = 2^{\frac{d}{2}}$. Thus $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} S_{\mathbb{R}} = 2^{\frac{d}{2}+1}$. Comparing with Table 4 of [6, Sec. 2.4], we see that $\gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ is irreducible iff $p - q \equiv_{\mathbb{9}} 4, 6$ (which corresponds to the “quaternionic simple case” of op. cit.).

2. When d is odd. Then we can take γ to be one of the Pauli representations γ^\pm , both of which satisfy $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S = 2^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor}$. Thus $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} S_{\mathbb{R}} = 2^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + 1}$. Comparing with Table 4 of [6, Sec. 2.4], we see that $\gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ is irreducible iff $p - q \equiv_9 3, 7$ (which corresponds to the ‘‘complex case’’ of op. cit.). \square

Let $\text{Irrep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Cl}(V, h))$ denote the full subcategory of $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{irr}}(\text{Cl}(V, h))$ whose objects are the irreducible representations.

Proposition 4.9. *When $p - q \equiv_8 3, 4, 6, 7$, the restriction $R : \text{Irrep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Cl}(V, h))^{\times} \rightarrow \text{Irrep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Cl}(V, h))^{\times}$ of the realification functor is faithful and strictly surjective but not full. Moreover:*

1. *When $p - q \equiv_8 3, 7$, the R -preimage of a real irreducible representation $\eta : \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Sigma)$ has cardinality two and consists of the \mathbb{C} -inequivalent complex Pauli representations of $\text{Cl}(V, h)$ whose representation space is obtained by endowing Σ with the complex structures $J_{\pm} = \pm\gamma(\nu)$, where ν is the Clifford volume form determined by some orientation of V .*
2. *When $p - q \equiv_8 4, 6$, the R -preimage of a real irreducible representation $\eta : \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Sigma)$ is in bijection with the unit sphere S^2 and consists of the complex Dirac representations whose complex structures are the unit imaginary elements of the commutant of $\text{im}(\eta)$ (which in these cases is a quaternion algebra).*

Proof. The fact that R is faithful follows immediately from its definition. For the remaining statements, consider the cases:

1. $p - q \equiv_8 3, 7$. In this case, there exist exactly two complex structures J_{\pm} on the \mathbb{R} -vector space Σ which lie in the commutant of $\text{im}(\eta)$ (see [6, Section 2.7]). Namely, one has $J_{\pm} = \pm\gamma(\nu)$, where $\nu \in \text{Cl}(V, h)$ is the Clifford element defined by a fixed orientation of V . Since J_{\pm} commute with $\eta(x)$ for all $x \in \text{Cl}(V, h)$, it follows that η coincides with the realification of any of the complex representations $\gamma^{\pm} : \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S^{\pm})$ obtained from η by endowing Σ with the complex structure J_{\pm} to obtain a complex vector space S^{\pm} . Notice that S^{-} coincides with the complex-conjugate of S^{+} , hence γ^{+} and γ^{-} are mutually inequivalent Pauli representations (the dimension $d = p + q$ of V is odd when $p - q \equiv_8 3, 7$). We have $\Sigma = (S^{\pm})_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\eta = (\gamma_{\pm})_{\mathbb{R}}$, which shows that the restriction of R is (strictly) surjective. Moreover, the R -preimage of η consists of the two complex representations γ_{+} and γ_{-} .

Let $\eta' : \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Sigma')$ is a real irreducible representation which is equivalent with γ and $J'_{\pm} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \pm\gamma'(\nu)$. Given a based isomorphism (equivalence of representations) $\psi : \eta \xrightarrow{\sim} \eta'$, we have $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ and:

$$\psi \circ \eta(x) = \eta'(x) \circ \psi \quad \forall x \in \text{Cl}(V, h) .$$

Since J_{\pm} lies in the commutant of $\text{im}(\eta)$, this relation implies that the complex structure $\psi \circ J_{\pm} \circ \psi^{-1} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Sigma')$ lies in the commutant of $\text{im}(\eta')$ and hence that we must have $\psi \circ J_{\pm} \circ \psi^{-1} = \epsilon_{\psi} J'_{\pm}$, i.e. $\psi \circ J_{\pm} = \epsilon_{\psi} J'_{\pm} \circ \psi$ for some $\epsilon_{\psi} \in \{-1, 1\}$. This give the disjoint union decomposition:

$$\text{Iso}_{\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Cl}(V, h))}(\eta, \eta') = \text{Iso}_{\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Cl}(V, h))}^{+}(\eta, \eta') \sqcup \text{Iso}_{\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Cl}(V, h))}^{-}(\eta, \eta') ,$$

where:

$$\text{Iso}_{\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Cl}(V, h))}^{\pm}(\eta, \eta') \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{ \psi \in \text{Iso}_{\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Cl}(V, h))}(\eta, \eta') \mid \epsilon_{\psi} = \pm 1 \} .$$

It is clear from the above that:

$$(6) \quad R(\text{Iso}_{\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Cl}(V, h))}(\gamma^{\epsilon}, \gamma'^{\epsilon'})) = \text{Iso}_{\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Cl}(V, h))}^{\epsilon\epsilon'}(\eta, \eta') \quad \forall \epsilon, \epsilon' \in \{-1, 1\} .$$

In particular, each of the sets $\text{Iso}_{\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Cl}(V, h))}^{\pm}(\eta, \eta')$ is non-empty and relation (6) shows that R is not full.

2. $p - q \equiv_8 4, 6$. In this case, the commutant of $\text{im}(\eta)$ inside the \mathbb{R} -algebra $\text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Sigma)$ (which is called the *Schur algebra* of η) is a unital associative algebra isomorphic with the algebra \mathbb{H} of quaternions. The set of those complex structures on Σ which lie in the commutant of $\text{im}(\eta)$ corresponds to the unit imaginary quaternions, being in bijection with the unit two-sphere S^2 .

It is clear that η coincides with the realification of any of the complex Dirac representations obtained from η by endowing Σ with one of these complex structures. This immediately implies that R is not full. □

4.4. Comparison of complex and real elementary Lipschitz groups when $p - q \equiv_8 3, 4, 6, 7$. For $p - q \equiv_8 3, 7$, the elementary complex Lipschitz group of an irreducible complex Clifford representation γ is homotopy-equivalent to $\text{Spin}^c(V, h)$, while the reduced elementary real Lipschitz group associated to $\gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ is homotopy-equivalent to $\text{Spin}^o(V, h)$ [3]. The latter group is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded with even component equal to the $\text{Spin}^c(V, h)$ subgroup consisting of those elements of $\text{Spin}^o(V, h)$ which are \mathbb{C} -linear automorphisms of S :

$$\text{Spin}^c(V, h) \simeq \{a \in \text{Spin}^o(V, h) \mid a \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)\}.$$

Unlike $\text{Spin}^c(V, h)$, the reduced elementary real Lipschitz group $\text{Spin}^o(V, h)$ also contains \mathbb{R} -linear endomorphisms of S which are \mathbb{C} -antilinear; these form the odd component of $\text{Spin}^o(V, h)$.

For $p - q \equiv_8 4, 6$, the elementary complex Lipschitz group of an irreducible complex Clifford representation γ is homotopy-equivalent to $\text{Pin}^c(V, h)$, while the reduced elementary real Lipschitz group associated to $\gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ is homotopy-equivalent to $\text{Pin}^q(V, h)$ [3].

Remark 4.10. When $p - q \not\equiv_8 3, 4, 6, 7$, the real Clifford representation obtained by realification from an irreducible complex Clifford representation is reducible. In that case, there is no simple relation between real and complex elementary Lipschitz groups.

5. COMPLEX PINOR BUNDLES AND COMPLEX LIPSCHITZ STRUCTURES

5.1. Complex Lipschitz structures. Let (V, h) be a quadratic real vector space and $\eta: \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Sigma)$ be a weakly-faithful complex Clifford representation, where Σ is a \mathbb{C} -vector space. We denote by L_{η} the corresponding complex Lipschitz group and by $\text{Ad}_{\eta}: L_{\eta} \rightarrow \text{O}(V, h)$ its adjoint representation.

Definition 5.1. Let M be a connected manifold and P_{O} be a principal $\text{O}(V, h)$ -bundle over M . A *complex Lipschitz structure* of type η on P_{O} is a pair (Q, Λ) , where Q is a principal L_{η} -bundle over M and $\Lambda: Q \rightarrow P_{\text{O}}$ is a bundle map fitting into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q \times L_{\eta} & \longrightarrow & Q \\ \Lambda \times \text{Ad}_{\eta} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Lambda \\ P_{\text{O}} \times \text{O}(V, h) & \longrightarrow & P_{\text{O}} \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows denote the right action of the group on the corresponding bundle.

Definition 5.2. Let (Q^1, Λ^1) and (Q^2, Λ^2) be two complex Lipschitz structures of type η over P_{O} . A *morphism of complex Lipschitz structures* from (Q^1, Λ^1) to (Q^2, Λ^2) is a morphism $F: Q^1 \rightarrow Q^2$ of principal L_{η} -bundles such that $\Lambda^2 \circ F = \Lambda^1$, i.e. such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q^1 & \xrightarrow{F} & Q^2 \\ \Lambda^1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \Lambda^2 \\ P_{\text{O}} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & P_{\text{O}} \end{array}$$

Let (M, g) be a connected pseudo-Riemannian manifold. In the following we take P_{O} to be the orthogonal coframe bundle $P_{\text{O}}(M, g)$ of (M, g) , so (V, h) is an isometric model of any of the quadratic spaces (T_p^*M, g_p^*) , where p is a point of M and g_p^* is the contragradient metric induced by g on T_p^*M . A complex Lipschitz structure on $P_{\text{O}}(M, g)$ be called a *complex Lipschitz structure on (M, g)* .

Definition 5.3. Let $L_\eta(M, g)$ be the category whose objects are the complex Lipschitz structures of type η on (M, g) and whose arrows are morphisms of complex Lipschitz structures. We denote by $L_\eta(M, g)^\times$ the corresponding groupoid.

5.2. Reduced complex Lipschitz structures. Let $\eta : \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Sigma)$ be a weakly-faithful Clifford representation and L_η be the corresponding complex Lipschitz group.

Definition 5.4. A *reduction* of L_η is a closed subgroup $L_\eta^0 \subset L_\eta$ such that there exists a surjective morphism of Lie groups $r : L_\eta \rightarrow L_\eta^0$ which satisfies the conditions:

- (1) r is a homotopy retraction of the inclusion map $\iota : L_\eta^0 \hookrightarrow L_\eta$, i.e. we have $r \circ \iota = \text{id}_{L_\eta^0}$ and the map $\iota \circ r : L_\eta \rightarrow L_\eta$ is homotopic to id_{L_η} .
- (2) We have $\text{Ad}_\eta \circ r = \text{Ad}_\eta^0$, i.e. r fits into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & L_\eta^0 & \\
 r \nearrow & & \searrow \text{Ad}_\eta \circ \iota \\
 L_\eta & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}_\eta} & \text{O}(V, h)
 \end{array}$$

In this case, r is called an *equivariant homotopy retraction* of L_η onto L_η^0 .

Remark 5.5. Since $r : L_\eta \rightarrow L_\eta^0$ is a retraction, the Lie group $K_\eta \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \ker r = r^{-1}(1)$ is contractible (indeed, the restriction $r|_{K_\eta} : K_\eta \rightarrow 1$ is a homotopy retraction).

Example 5.6. When $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V$ is even, the group $\text{Pin}^c(V, h)$ is a reduction of the elementary complex Lipschitz group $\text{Pin}(V, h) \cdot \mathbb{C}^\times \simeq \Gamma(V, h)$, an equivariant homotopy retraction being given in equation (1). When $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V$ is odd, the group $\text{Spin}^c(V, h)$ is a reduction of the elementary complex Lipschitz group $\text{Spin}(V, h) \cdot \mathbb{C}^\times \simeq \Gamma^s(V, h)$, an equivariant homotopy retraction being given by $r|_{\text{Spin}^c(V, h)}$.

Let L_η^0 be a reduction of L_η , with inclusion map ι and equivariant retraction map r . Let $\text{Ad}_\eta^0 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \text{Ad}_\eta|_{L_\eta^0}$ and $K_\eta \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \ker r$. A *reduced complex Lipschitz structure* with structure group L_η^0 is defined as in Definition 5.1, but replacing L_η with L_η^0 and Ad_η with Ad_η^0 . A morphism of reduced complex Lipschitz structures is defined similarly to Definition 5.2. With these definitions, reduced complex Lipschitz structures with structure group L_η^0 and defined on (M, g) form a category denoted $L_\eta^0(M, g)$, whose unit groupoid we denote by $L_\eta^0(M, g)^\times$.

The right-split short exact sequence of groups:

$$1 \longrightarrow K_\eta \longrightarrow L_\eta \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{r} \\ \xleftarrow{\iota} \end{array} L_\eta^0 \longrightarrow 1$$

induces mutually inverse isomorphisms in cohomology:

$$H^1(M, L_\eta) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{r_*} \\ \xleftarrow{\iota_*} \end{array} H^1(M, L_\eta^0) \quad ,$$

where we used the fact that K_η is contractible. This shows that r_* and ι_* give inverse bijections between the sets of isomorphism classes of principal L_η -bundles and principal L_η^0 -bundles defined on M . The maps r_* and ι_* are induced by the associated fiber bundle construction, which gives mutually quasi-inverse functors between the corresponding categories of principal bundles. Below, we show that these functors in turn induce mutually quasi-inverse equivalences between the categories $L_\eta(M, g)$ and $L_\eta^0(M, g)$.

Definition 5.7. Let (Q, Λ) be a complex Lipschitz structure of type η over (M, g) . An L_η^0 -*reduction* of (Q, Λ) is a triple (Q_0, Λ_0, I) , where $(Q_0, \Lambda_0) \in \text{Ob}(L_\eta^0(M, g))$ and $I : Q_0 \rightarrow Q$ is an injective fiber bundle map such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. I is an L_η^0 -reduction of the principal bundle Q . Thus $I(q_0 u_0) = I(q_0)\iota(u_0)$ for all $q_0 \in Q_0$ and all $u_0 \in L_\eta^0$.

2. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_0 & \xrightarrow{I} & Q \\ & \searrow \Lambda_0 & \downarrow \Lambda \\ & & P_O(M, g) \end{array}$$

Definition 5.8. Let (Q, Λ) be a complex Lipschitz structure of type η over (M, g) . An L_η^0 -retraction of (Q, Λ) along r is a triple (Q_0, Λ_0, R) , where $(Q_0, \Lambda_0) \in \text{Ob}(L_\eta^0(M, g))$ and $R : Q \rightarrow Q_0$ is a surjective fiber bundle map such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $R(qu) = R(q)r(u)$ for all $q \in Q$ and all $u \in L_\eta$.
2. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q & \xrightarrow{R} & Q_0 \\ & \searrow \Lambda & \downarrow \Lambda_0 \\ & & P_O(M, g) \end{array}$$

Remark 5.9. Given an L_η^0 -retraction (Q_0, Λ_0, R) of (Q, Λ) along r , the map R makes Q into a principal fiber bundle over Q_0 with structure group $K_\eta = \ker r$. This principal bundle is trivial since K_η is contractible (which implies that the bundle has a section).

Proposition 5.10. Consider the functor $\mathcal{I} : L_\eta^0(M, g) \rightarrow L_\eta(M, g)$ defined as follows:

- For any $(Q_0, \Lambda_0) \in \text{Ob}(L_\eta^0(M, g))$, define $(Q, \Lambda) := \mathcal{I}(Q_0, \Lambda_0) \in \text{Ob}(L_\eta(M, g))$ through:

$$Q \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} Q_0 \times_\iota L_\eta, \quad \Lambda([q_0, u]_\iota) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Lambda_0(q_0) \circ \text{Ad}_\eta(u) \quad \forall [q_0, u]_\iota \in Q.$$

- For any morphism $F_0 : (Q_0^1, \Lambda_0^1) \rightarrow (Q_0^2, \Lambda_0^2)$ in $L_\eta^0(M, g)$, define $F := \mathcal{I}(F_0)$ through:

$$F([q_0, u]_\iota) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [F_0(q_0), u]_\iota \quad \forall [q_0, u]_\iota \in \mathcal{I}(Q_0^1).$$

Then (Q_0, Λ_0, I) is an L_η^0 -reduction of (Q, Λ) , where the injective fiber bundle map $I : Q_0 \rightarrow Q$ is given by:

$$(7) \quad I(q_0) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [q_0, 1]_\iota \quad \forall q_0 \in Q_0.$$

Moreover, the surjective map $\pi : Q \rightarrow Q_0$ defined through:

$$\pi([q_0, u]_\iota) = q_0 r(u)$$

satisfies $\pi \circ I = \text{id}_{Q_0}$ and makes (Q_0, Λ_0, π) into an L_η^0 -retraction of (Q, Λ) along r .

Proof. The morphism Λ makes Q into a Lipschitz structure since $\Lambda([q_0, u] \cdot w) = \Lambda_0(q_0) \circ \text{Ad}_\eta(uw) = \Lambda([q_0, u]) \circ \text{Ad}_\eta(w)$ for all $w \in L_\eta$. Given $[q_0, u]_\iota \in Q^1$ and $u_0 \in L_\eta^0$, we have:

$$F([q_0 u_0, u_0^{-1} u]_\iota) = [F_0(q_0 u_0), u_0^{-1} u]_\iota = [F_0(q_0) u_0, u_0^{-1} u]_\iota = [F(q_0), u]_\iota = F([q_0, u]_\iota),$$

which shows that F is well-defined. The fact that (Q_0, Λ_0, I) is an L_η^0 -reduction of (Q, Λ) follows from:

$$(\Lambda \circ I)(q_0) = \Lambda([q_0, 1]_\iota) = \Lambda_0(q_0), \quad \forall q_0 \in L_\eta^0.$$

Any element $q \in Q$ can be written as $q = [q_0, x]_\iota$, where $x \in \ker r$ and $q_0 := \pi_0(q) \in Q_0$ are uniquely determined by q . Indeed, any $u \in L_\eta$ can be written uniquely as $u = u_0 x$ with $u_0 \in L_\eta^0$ and $x \in K_\eta = \ker r$, namely $u_0 = r(u)$ and $x = u_0^{-1} u$.

The map π is well-defined since:

$$\pi([q_0 u_0, u]_\iota) = q_0 u_0 r(u) = q_0 r(u_0 u),$$

where we used the fact that $r|_{\Gamma_\eta^0} = \text{id}_{\Gamma_\eta^0}$ and that fact that r is a morphism of groups. We have $\pi^{-1}(q_0) = \{[q_0, x]_\iota | x \in K_\eta\}$ and $\pi(qu) = \pi(q)r(u)$ for all $q \in Q$ and $u \in L_\eta$. Moreover, we have:

$$\Lambda_0(\pi([q_0, u]_\iota)) = \Lambda_0(q_0 r(u)) = \Lambda_0(q_0) \text{Ad}_\eta(r(u)) = \Lambda_0(q_0) \text{Ad}_\eta(u) = \Lambda([q_0, u]_\iota) ,$$

where we used the relation $\text{Ad}_\eta \circ r = \text{Ad}_\eta$. This shows that (Q_0, Λ_0, π) is an L_η^0 -retraction of (Q, Λ) along r . It is clear that we have $\pi \circ I = \text{id}_{Q_0}$. \square

Proposition 5.11. *Consider the functor $\mathcal{R} : L_\eta(M, g) \rightarrow L_\eta^0(M, g)$ defined as follows:*

- *For any $(Q, \Lambda) \in \text{Ob}(L_\eta(M, g))$, define $(Q_0, \Lambda_0) := \mathcal{R}(Q, \Lambda) \in \text{Ob}(L_\eta^0(M, g))$ through:*

$$Q_0 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} Q \times_r L_\eta^0 , \quad \Lambda_0([q, u_0]_r) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Lambda(q) \circ \text{Ad}_\eta^0(u_0) \quad \forall [q, u_0]_r \in Q \times_r L_\eta^0$$

- *For any morphism $F : (Q^1, \Lambda^1) \rightarrow (Q^2, \Lambda^2)$ in $L_\eta(M, g)$, define $F_0 := \mathcal{R}(F)$ through:*

$$F_0([q, u_0]_r) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [F(q), u_0]_r \quad \forall [q, u_0]_r \in \mathcal{R}(Q^1) .$$

Then (Q_0, Λ_0, R) is an L_η^0 -retraction of (Q, Λ) along r , where the surjective fiber bundle map $R : Q \rightarrow Q_0$ is given by:

$$(8) \quad R(q) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [q, 1]_r \quad \forall q \in Q .$$

Moreover, there exists an injective fiber bundle map $j : Q_0 \rightarrow Q$ which makes (Q_0, Λ_0, j) into an L_η^0 -reduction of (Q, Λ) and satisfies $R \circ j = \text{id}_{Q_0}$.

Proof. Clearly Q_0 is a principal L_η^0 -bundle. To see that Λ_0 is well-defined, note that for all $u \in L_\eta$ we have:

$$\Lambda_0([qu, r(u)^{-1}u_0]_r) = \Lambda(qu) \circ \text{Ad}_\eta^0(r(u)^{-1}u_0) = \Lambda(q) \circ \text{Ad}_\eta(u) \circ \text{Ad}_\eta(r(u))^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}_\eta(u_0) = \Lambda_0([q, u_0]_r) ,$$

where we used the relation $\text{Ad}_\eta \circ r = \text{Ad}_\eta$. The fact that F_0 is well-defined follows from direct computation by using the equivariance of F . To show that R is an L_η^0 -retraction of (Q, Λ) along r , we compute:

$$(\Lambda_0 \circ R)(q) = \Lambda_0([q, 1]_r) = \Lambda(q) \text{Ad}_\eta^0(1) = \Lambda(q) .$$

Since $r : L_\eta \rightarrow L_\eta^0$ is surjective, any $q_0 \in Q_0$ can be written in the form $q_0 = [q, 1]_r$, where $q \in Q$ is determined by q_0 up to a transformation of the form $q \rightarrow qx$, where $x \in \ker r$. Hence $R^{-1}(q)$ is a $\ker r$ -torsor and the map $R : Q \rightarrow Q_0$ presents Q as a principal $\ker r$ -bundle over Q_0 . Hence the structure group of the principal bundle $R : Q \rightarrow Q_0$ is contractible, which implies that this principal bundle is trivial and thus has a section. We claim that we can find a section $j : Q_0 \rightarrow Q$ of R which is L_η^0 -equivariant.

Let $\text{Diff}(K_\eta)$ denote the group of diffeomorphisms of K_η . The map $\varphi : L_\eta \rightarrow \text{Diff}(K_\eta)$ defined through:

$$\varphi(u)(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} r(u) x u^{-1} \quad \forall u \in L_\eta \quad \forall x \in K_\eta$$

is a morphism of groups which defines a smooth left action of the Lie group L_η on the underlying manifold of K_η . Consider the fiber bundle defined through $T \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} Q \times_\varphi K_\eta$.

We next show existence³ of an L_η^0 -equivariant section of R . Since K_η is contractible, the fiber bundle T admits a section $s \in \Gamma(M, T)$. This section corresponds to a map $\sigma : Q \rightarrow K_\eta$ such that $\sigma(qu) = \varphi(u)^{-1} \sigma(q)$, i.e.:

$$(9) \quad \sigma(qu) = r(u)^{-1} \sigma(q) u \quad \forall q \in Q \quad \forall u \in L_\eta .$$

Let $j : Q_0 \rightarrow Q$ be the map defined through:

$$j([q, u_0]_r) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} q \sigma(q)^{-1} u_0 , \quad \forall q \in Q \quad \forall u_0 \in L_\eta^0 .$$

Then (9) implies that j is well-defined, since for all $u \in L_\eta$ we have:

$$j([qu, u_0]_r) = qu \sigma(qu)^{-1} u_0 = qu u^{-1} \sigma(q)^{-1} r(u) u_0 = q \sigma(q)^{-1} r(u) u_0 = j([q, r(u) u_0]_r) .$$

³This argument is based on a suggestion of A. Moroianu.

Moreover, we have:

$$(R \circ j)([q, u_0]_r) = R(q\sigma(q)^{-1}u_0) = R(q)r(\sigma(q)^{-1}u_0) = R(q)r(\sigma(q)^{-1})r(u_0) = R(q)u_0 = [q, 1]_r u_0 = [q, u_0]_r,$$

where we used the fact that $\sigma(q)^{-1} \in K_\eta = \ker r$ and the identity $r|_{L_\eta^0} = \text{id}_{L_\eta^0}$. Thus $R \circ j = \text{id}_{Q_0}$.

We also have:

$$j([q, u_0]_r v_0) = j([q, u_0 v_0]_r) = j([q, u_0]_r) v_0 \quad \forall v_0 \in L_\eta^0.$$

Hence j is an L_η^0 -equivariant section of $R : Q \rightarrow Q_0$:

$$(10) \quad j(q_0 u_0) = j(q_0) u_0 \quad \forall q_0 \in Q_0 \quad \forall u_0 \in L_\eta^0.$$

□

Theorem 5.12. *The functors \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{R} give mutually quasi inverse equivalences between the categories $L_\eta^0(M, g)$ and $L_\eta(M, g)$.*

Proof. Composition of \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{R} gives functors:

$$\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{R} : L_\eta(M, g) \rightarrow L_\eta(M, g) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{I} : L_\eta^0(M, g) \rightarrow L_\eta^0(M, g).$$

We will construct isomorphisms of functors:

$$\mathcal{N} : \text{id}_{L_\eta(M, g)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K} : \text{id}_{L_\eta^0(M, g)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{I}.$$

1. Construction of \mathcal{N} . Let (Q, Λ) be a complex Lipschitz structure of type η and set $(Q_0, \Lambda_0) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{R}(Q, \Lambda)$. Let $R : Q \rightarrow Q_0$ be the map which makes (Q_0, Λ_0, R) into an L_η^0 -retraction of (Q, Λ) along r and let $j : Q_0 \rightarrow Q$ be an L_η^0 -equivariant section of R which makes (Q_0, Λ_0, j) into an L_η^0 -reduction of (Q, Λ) (see Proposition 5.11). For every $q \in Q$, let x_q be the unique element of L_η such that $q = j(R(q))x_q$. For any $u \in L_\eta$, direct computation using L_η^0 -equivariance of j shows that $x_{qu} = r(u)^{-1}x_q u$. Applying R to the relation $q = j(R(q))x_q$ gives:

$$(11) \quad R(q) = (R \circ j \circ R)(q)r(x_q) = R(q)r(x_q),$$

where we used the identity $R \circ j = \text{id}_{Q_0}$. Since the action of L_η on Q is free, relation (11) gives $r(x_q) = 1$. Let $(Q', \Lambda') \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{R})(Q, \Lambda) = \mathcal{I}(Q_0, \Lambda_0)$ and consider the bijective fiber bundle map:

$$\mathcal{N}_{Q, \Lambda} : Q \rightarrow Q'$$

given by $\mathcal{N}_{Q, \Lambda}(q) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [[q, 1]_r, x_q]_\iota$. For any $u \in L_\eta$, we have:

$$\mathcal{N}_{Q, \Lambda}(qu) = [[qu, 1]_r, r(u)^{-1}x_q u]_\iota = [[q, 1]_r r(u), r(u)^{-1}x_q u]_\iota = [[q, 1]_r, x_q u]_\iota = \mathcal{N}_{Q, \Lambda}(q)u.$$

Hence $\mathcal{N}_{Q, \Lambda}$ is an isomorphism of principal L_η -bundles. Moreover, we have:

$$\Lambda' \circ \mathcal{N}_{Q, \Lambda}(q) = \Lambda'([q, 1]_r, x_q)_\iota = \Lambda_0([q, 1]_r) \circ \text{Ad}_\eta(x_q) = \Lambda_0([q, 1]_r) = \Lambda(q),$$

where we used the fact that $\text{Ad}_\eta(x_q) = \text{Ad}_\eta(r(x_q)) = \text{Ad}_\eta(1) = 1$. Hence $\mathcal{N}_{Q, \Lambda}$ is an isomorphism of Lipschitz structures from (Q, Λ) to $(Q', \Lambda') = (\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{R})(Q, \Lambda)$. Given any morphism of Lipschitz structures $F : (Q^1, \Lambda^1) \rightarrow (Q^2, \Lambda^2)$, it is easy to see that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{R})(Q^1, \Lambda^1) & \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{R})(F)} & (\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{R})(Q^2, \Lambda^2) \\ \uparrow \mathcal{N}_{Q^1, \Lambda^1} & & \uparrow \mathcal{N}_{Q^2, \Lambda^2} \\ (Q^1, \Lambda^1) & \xrightarrow{F} & (Q^2, \Lambda^2) \end{array}$$

showing that \mathcal{N} is a natural transformation.

2. Construction of \mathcal{K} . For any $(Q_0, \Lambda_0) \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{L}_\eta^0(M, g))$, there exists an isomorphism in $\mathbf{L}_\eta^0(M, g)$:

$$\mathcal{K}_{Q_0, \Lambda_0} : (Q_0, \Lambda_0) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{I})(Q_0, \Lambda_0) .$$

given by $\mathcal{K}_{Q_0, \Lambda_0}(q_0) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [[q_0, 1]_\iota, 1]_r = (R \circ I)(q_0)$. It is easy to see $\mathcal{K} : \text{id}_{\mathbf{L}_\eta^0(M, g)} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{I}$ is an invertible natural transformation. \square

5.3. Complex pinor bundles.

Definition 5.13. A *complex pinor bundle* over (M, g) is a pair (S, γ) , where S is a complex vector bundle over M and $\gamma : \text{Cl}(M, g) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$ is a unital morphism of bundles of algebras such that $\gamma_p : \text{Cl}(T_p^*M, g_p^*) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S_p)$ is a weakly-faithful complex representation of $\text{Cl}(T_p^*M, g_p^*)$ for any $p \in M$. We say that (S, γ) is of *type* η if, for every $p \in M$, the complex Clifford representations η and γ_p are (unbasedly) isomorphic. We say that (S, γ) is *elementary* if its type is an irreducible complex Clifford representation.

Remark 5.14. A complex pinor bundle is the same as a bundle of complex Clifford modules defined on (M, g) . We use the name ‘‘pinor’’ (rather than ‘‘spinor’’) in order to distinguish such bundles from bundles of modules defined over the even sub-bundle of the Clifford bundle. Notice that the type η of a complex pinor bundle (S, γ) is well-defined up to (unbased) isomorphism of complex Clifford representations.

Definition 5.15. A *based morphism of complex pinor bundles* $F : (S, \gamma) \rightarrow (S', \gamma')$ is a based morphism $F : S \rightarrow S'$ of complex vector bundles such that:

$$L_F \circ \gamma = R_F \circ \gamma' ,$$

i.e. such that the fiber map $F_p : S_p \rightarrow S'_p$ at any point $p \in M$ is a *based* morphism of Clifford representations from $\gamma_p : \text{Cl}(T_p^*M, g_p^*) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S_p)$ to $\gamma'_p : \text{Cl}(T_p^*M, g_p^*) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S'_p)$.

Since M is connected by assumption, all quadratic spaces (T_p^*M, g_p^*) are mutually isometric and isometric to some model quadratic space (V, h) . Similarly, all fibers of S are isomorphic as \mathbb{C} -vector spaces and hence isomorphic with some model vector space Σ . Using a common trivializing cover of TM and S , this implies that the complex Clifford representations $\gamma_p : \text{Cl}(T_p^*M, g_p^*) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S_p)$ ($p \in M$) are mutually isomorphic in the category ClRep_w and hence isomorphic with some model weakly-faithful representation $\eta : \text{Cl}(V, h) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Sigma)$, which defines the type of (S, γ) . The isomorphism class of η in the category ClRep_w is invariant under isomorphism of complex pinor bundles.

Definition 5.16. Let $\text{ClB}_\eta(M, g)$ be the category whose objects are complex pinor bundles of type η and whose arrows are based morphisms of complex pinor bundles. We denote by $\text{ClB}_\eta(M, g)^\times$ the corresponding groupoid.

5.4. Relation between complex pinor bundles and complex Lipschitz structures. The following proposition is the analogue of [3, Proposition 6.1] for complex pinor bundles.

Proposition 5.17. *Let $\eta \in \text{Ob}(\text{ClRep}_w)$. Consider the functors $Q_\eta : \text{ClB}_\eta(M, g)^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_\eta(M, g)^\times$ and $S_\eta : \mathbf{L}_\eta(M, g)^\times \rightarrow \text{ClB}_\eta(M, g)^\times$ defined as follows:*

A. *Let (S, γ) denote a complex pinor bundle of type η on (M, g) . Let $Q := Q_\eta(S, \gamma)$ denote the principal bundle with structure group $\mathbf{L} := \mathbf{L}_\eta = \text{Aut}_{\text{ClRep}_w}(\eta)$, total space:*

$$Q \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \sqcup_{p \in M} \text{Hom}_{\text{ClRep}_w^\times}(\eta, \gamma_p) ,$$

projection given by $\pi(q) = p$ for $q \in Q_p = \text{Hom}_{\text{ClRep}_w^\times}(\eta, \gamma_p)$ and right \mathbf{L} -action given by $q \cdot g \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} q \circ g$ for all $g \in \mathbf{L}$. We topologize Q in the obvious way. Let $\Lambda \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Lambda_\eta(S, \gamma) : Q_\eta(S, \gamma) \rightarrow P_{\mathbb{O}}(M, g)$ be the map defined through:

$$(12) \quad \Lambda_p(q) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} q_0 \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Quad}^\times}((V, h), (T_p^*M, g_p^*)) = P_{\mathbb{O}}(M, g)_p .$$

Then, (Q, Λ) is a Lipschitz structure on (M, g) relative to η , which we call the Lipschitz structure induced by (S, γ) . A based isomorphism of complex pinor bundles $F: (S, \gamma) \rightarrow (S', \gamma')$ of type η induces an isomorphism $Q_\eta(F): (Q_\eta(S, \gamma), \Lambda_\eta(S, \gamma)) \rightarrow (Q_\eta(S', \gamma'), \Lambda_\eta(S', \gamma'))$ of complex Lipschitz structures relative to η , which is defined as follows (recall that $F_p \in \text{Hom}_{\text{ClRep}_w^\times}(\gamma_p, \gamma'_p)$ and $(F_p)_0 = \text{id}_{T_p^*M}$):

$$Q_\eta(F)(q) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\text{id}_{T_p^*M}, F_p) \circ q, \quad \forall q \in Q_\eta(S, \gamma)_p = \text{Hom}_{\text{ClRep}_w^\times}(\eta, \gamma_p).$$

B. Let (Q, Λ) denote a complex Lipschitz structure of type η on (M, g) . Then, the vector bundle $S \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} S_\eta(Q, \Lambda) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} Q \times_{\rho_\eta} \Sigma$ associated to Q through the complex tautological representation $\rho_\eta: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Sigma)$ of \mathbb{L} becomes a complex pinor bundle of type η when equipped with the Clifford structure morphism $\gamma \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \gamma(Q, \Lambda): \text{Cl}(M, g) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$ defined as follows:

$$(13) \quad \gamma_p(y)([q, s]) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [q, \eta(\text{Cl}(\Lambda_p(q)^{-1})(y))(s)], \quad \forall y \in \text{Cl}(T_p^*M, g_p^*),$$

for all $q \in Q_p$ and $s \in \Sigma$. We call the pair $S_\eta(Q, \Lambda) = (S, \gamma)$ thus constructed the complex pinor bundle defined by the Lipschitz structure (Q, Λ) . An isomorphism of complex Lipschitz structures $F: (Q, \Lambda) \rightarrow (Q', \Lambda')$ relative to η induces a based isomorphism of complex pinor bundles $S_\eta(F) = (S_\eta(Q, \Lambda), \gamma_\eta(Q, \Lambda)) \rightarrow (S_\eta(Q', \Lambda'), \gamma_\eta(Q', \Lambda'))$ defined as follows:

$$(14) \quad S_\eta(F)_p([q, s]) = [F_p(q), s], \quad \forall q \in Q_p, \forall s \in \Sigma.$$

Proof. The fact that (12) is Ad_η -equivariant follows from the relation $(q \circ \varphi)_0 = q_0 \varphi_0 = q_0 \circ \text{Ad}_\eta(\varphi)$ for all $\varphi \in \mathbb{L}$, which implies that the following equation holds:

$$(15) \quad \Lambda_p(q\varphi) = \Lambda_p(q) \circ \varphi_0 = \Lambda_p(q) \circ \text{Ad}_\eta(\varphi).$$

This in turn implies that (Q, Λ) is an elementary complex Lipschitz structure of type η .

It remains to show that (13) is well-defined. In order to do this, notice that $\text{Ad}(\varphi) \circ \eta = \eta \circ \text{Cl}(\varphi_0)$ for any $\varphi \in \mathbb{L}$, which implies (using $[\text{Cl}(\Lambda_p(q))]^{-1} = \text{Cl}(\Lambda_p(q)^{-1})$ and $\text{Ad}(\varphi^{-1}) = \text{Ad}(\varphi)^{-1}$):

$$\text{Ad}(\varphi^{-1}) \circ \eta \circ \text{Cl}(\Lambda_p(q)^{-1}) = \eta \circ \text{Cl}(\varphi_0^{-1} \circ \Lambda_p(q)^{-1}) = \eta \circ [\text{Cl}(\Lambda_p(q) \circ \varphi_0)]^{-1}.$$

Using relation (15), this gives:

$$(16) \quad \text{Ad}(\varphi^{-1}) \circ \eta \circ \text{Cl}(\Lambda_p(q)^{-1}) = \eta \circ \text{Cl}(\Lambda_p(q\varphi)^{-1}), \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{L}.$$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} [q\varphi^{-1}, \eta(\text{Cl}(\Lambda_p(q\varphi^{-1})^{-1})(x))(\varphi s)] &= [q, \varphi^{-1} \eta(\text{Cl}(\Lambda_p(q\varphi^{-1})^{-1})(x))(\varphi s)] = \\ &= [q, (\text{Ad}(\varphi^{-1}) \circ \eta \circ \text{Cl}(\Lambda_p(q\varphi^{-1})^{-1}))(x)(s)] = [q, \eta(\text{Cl}(\Lambda_p(q)^{-1})(x))(s)], \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used (16). This shows that (13) is well-defined. The fact that γ_p defined in (13) is a Clifford representation is clear, as is the fact that P_η and S_η define functors. \square

Remark 5.18. The same construction used above for S_η allows us to define a functor $S_\eta^0: \mathbb{L}_\eta^0(M, g) \rightarrow \text{ClB}_\eta(M, g)$ which associates a complex pinor bundle to any reduced complex Lipschitz structure.

The following theorem establishes an equivalence between complex pinor bundles and complex Lipschitz structures defined over (M, g) .

Theorem 5.19. *Let $\eta \in \text{Ob}(\text{ClRep}_w)$. The functors Q_η and S_η are mutually quasi-inverse equivalences between the groupoids $\text{ClB}_\eta(M, g)^\times$ and $\mathbb{L}_\eta(M, g)^\times$.*

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of [3, Theorem 6.2], so we leave it to the reader. \square

Corollary 5.20. *Let $\eta \in \text{Ob}(\text{ClRep}_w)$ and \mathbb{L}_η^0 be a reduction of \mathbb{L}_η . Then there exists an equivalence of groupoids between $\text{ClB}_\eta(M, g)^\times$ and $\mathbb{L}_\eta^0(M, g)^\times$.*

Proof. Follows immediately from Theorems 5.12 and 5.19. \square

Proposition 5.21. *Let (Q, Λ) be a complex Lipschitz structure of type η and let (Q_0, Λ_0, I) be an L_η^0 -reduction of (Q, Λ) . Then the complex pinor bundles S and S_0 associated to (Q, Λ) and (Q_0, Λ_0) are naturally isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $S = Q \times_\rho \Sigma$ and $S_0 = Q_0 \times_{\rho_0} \Sigma$ denote the vector bundles associated to Q and Q_0 through the tautological representations ρ and $\rho_0 = \rho|_{L_\eta^0}$ of L_η and L_η^0 . Let γ and γ_0 denote the structure morphisms making (S, γ) and (S_0, γ_0) into complex pinor bundles. A natural isomorphism $F: S_0 \rightarrow S$ is given by:

$$F([q_0, s]_{\rho_0}) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [I(q_0), s]_\rho \quad \forall q_0 \in Q_0 \quad \forall s \in \Sigma .$$

It is clear that F is well-defined. To show that it is an isomorphism of vector bundles, let p be any point in M . Since the fiber Q_p of Q at p is an L_η -torsor, it follows that for every $q \in Q_p$ there exists $q'_0 \in Q_p^0$ such that $q = I(q'_0)u$ for some $u \in L_\eta$. Writing $u = u_0v$ with $u_0 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} r(u) \in L_\eta^0$ and $v \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} u_0^{-1}u \in L_\eta$, we have $q = I(q'_0)u_0v = I(q)v$, where $q_0 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} q'_0u_0 \in Q_p^0$. Thus:

$$[q, s]_\rho = [I(q_0)v, s]_\rho = [I(q_0), \rho(v)s]_\rho = F_p([q_0, \rho(v)s]_{\rho_0}) .$$

This shows that the linear map $F_p: S_p^0 \rightarrow S_p$ is surjective and hence bijective (since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_{0p} = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{C}} S_0 = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{C}} S = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_p$). Thus F is an isomorphism of vector bundles. For any $x \in \text{Cl}(T_p^*M, g_p^*)$, any $q_0 \in Q_p^0$ and any $s \in \Sigma$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (F_p \circ \gamma_{0,p}(x))([q_0, s]_{\rho_0}) &= [I(q_0), \eta(\text{Cl}(\Lambda_0(q_0)^{-1})(x))(s)]_\rho = [I(q_0), \eta(\text{Cl}(\Lambda(I(q_0))^{-1})(x))(s)]_\rho \\ &= \gamma_p(x)([I(q_0), s]_\rho) = (\gamma_0(x) \circ F)([q, s]_\rho) , \end{aligned}$$

where we used the relation $\Lambda \circ I = \Lambda_0$. This shows that (S, γ) and (S_0, γ_0) are isomorphic as complex pinor bundles. \square

5.5. Topological obstructions for elementary complex Lipschitz structures. The topological obstructions to existence of complex Lipschitz structures associated to faithful complex Clifford representations were determined in [1]. Since for even d such representations are \mathbb{C} -irreducible, the results of op. cit. together with those of [5] give:

Theorem 5.22. *[1, 5] Suppose that d is even. Then (M, g) admits an elementary complex Lipschitz structure if and only if it admits a $\text{Pin}^c(V, h)$ structure, i.e. if and only if there exists a principal $U(1)$ -bundle E over M such that:*

$$(17) \quad w_2^-(M) + w_2^+(M) + w_1^-(M)^2 + w_1^-(M)w_1^+(M) = w_2(E) .$$

When d is odd, the results of [1] concern *non-elementary* complex Lipschitz structures. The following Corollary of Theorem 5.19 shows that on an odd-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold any bundle of irreducible complex Clifford modules over $\text{Cl}(M, g)$ can be understood as a complex spinor bundle associated to a $\text{Spin}^c(V, h)$ structure on (M, g) through the tautological irreducible representation of $\text{Spin}^c(V, h)$.

Corollary 5.23. *Suppose that d is odd. Then (M, g) admits an elementary complex pinor bundle (S, γ) if and only if it admits a $\text{Spin}^c(V, h)$ -structure (Q, Λ) such that S is a vector bundle associated to Q through the tautological representation of $\text{Spin}^c(V, h)$. Furthermore, the groupoid of elementary complex pinor bundles is isomorphic to the groupoid of $\text{Spin}^c(V, h)$ structures. When (M, g) admits a $\text{Spin}^c(V, h)$ structure, then isomorphism classes of elementary complex pinor bundles form a torsor over $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. Since an irreducible complex representation is weakly faithful, the first statement in Theorem 5.19 implies that (M, g) admits an elementary complex pinor bundle (S, γ) of type η if and only if it admits a Lipschitz structure (Q, Λ) of irreducible type η . Proposition 4.5 implies that the associated Lipschitz group L_η is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}_{>0}\text{Spin}(V, h)$. By Proposition 2.4 we deduce that L_η is homotopy-equivalent to $L_\eta^0 = \text{Spin}^c(V, h)$ via the normalization morphism r of equation (1), a homotopy inverse of which is provided by the inclusion $\iota: \text{Spin}^c(V, h) \hookrightarrow L_\eta$. Hence Theorem 5.19 applies and we conclude that the groupoid of elementary complex pinor bundles is isomorphic to the

groupoid of $\text{Spin}^c(V, h)$ structures on (M, g) . In particular, every elementary complex pinor bundle is associated to a $\text{Spin}^c(V, h)$ structure on (M, g) by means of the tautological representation. The remaining statement follows since $\text{Spin}^c(V, h)$ structures on (M, g) form a torsor over $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. \square

Corollary 5.24. *A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) admits an elementary complex pinor bundle if and only if each of the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. $w_1(M) = 0$
2. *There exists a principal $U(1)$ -bundle E over M such that:*

$$w_2^-(M) + w_2^+(M) = w_2(E) .$$

In particular, M must be orientable.

Proof. Follows immediately from Corollary 5.23 and the results of [5]. \square

5.6. Realification of complex pinor bundles. The realification functor $R : \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}^w(\text{Cl}(V, h)) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}^w(\text{Cl}(V, h))$ of Subsection 4.3 induces a *pinor bundle realification functor* $\mathfrak{R} : \text{ClB}_{\eta}(M, g) \rightarrow \text{ClB}_{\eta_{\mathbb{R}}}(M, g)$, where $\text{ClB}_{\eta_{\mathbb{R}}}(M, g)$ denotes the category of real pinor bundles of type $\eta_{\mathbb{R}}$ and based morphisms of such (see [3]). When $p - q \equiv_{\mathbb{8}} 3, 4, 6, 7$, this restricts to a functor which maps elementary complex pinor bundles to elementary real pinor bundles. Since this restricted functor is determined on fibers, the results of Subsection 4.3 imply that it is faithful but not full. The restricted functor need not be essentially surjective since a real elementary pinor bundle need not admit a globally-defined complex structure which is a section of its Schur sub-bundle. However, we have:

Proposition 5.25. *Assume that $p - q \equiv_{\mathbb{8}} 3, 7$ and that M is orientable. Then \mathfrak{R} restricts to a strictly surjective functor from the groupoid of elementary complex pinor bundles defined on (M, g) to the groupoid of elementary real pinor bundles defined on (M, g) .*

Proof. Let $\nu \in \Omega(M)$ be the volume form of (M, g) determined by some fixed orientation of M . Let (Σ, ρ) be an elementary real pinor bundle on (M, g) . Since $p - q \equiv_{\mathbb{8}} 3, 7$, the endomorphism $J \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \gamma(\nu) \in \Gamma(M, \text{End}(S))$ is a globally-defined complex structure on S which is a section of the Schur bundle of Σ (see [6]). Thus J_p lies in the commutant of the image of the real Clifford representation $\rho_p : \text{Cl}(T_p^*M, g_p^*) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Sigma_p)$ for any $p \in M$. This implies that (Σ, ρ) is the realification of the elementary complex pinor bundle obtained by endowing Σ with the complex structure J . \square

Acknowledgements. The work of C. I. L. was supported by grant IBS-R003-S1. The work of C. S. S. is supported by a Humboldt Research Fellowship from the Alexander Von Humboldt Foundation. The authors thank A. Moroianu for stimulating discussions and for interest in their work.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Friedrich, A. Trautman, *Spin spaces, Lipschitz groups and spinor bundles*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **18** (2000) 3-4, 221–240.
- [2] M. Bobiński, A. Trautman, *Pin^c and Lipschitz structures on products of manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **22** (2002), 291–300.
- [3] C. I. Lazaroiu, C. S. Shahbazi, *Real pinor bundles and real Lipschitz structures*, arXiv:1606.07894 [math.DG].
- [4] M. Atiyah, R. Bott, A. Schapiro, *Clifford modules*, Topology **3** (1964) 3–38.
- [5] M. Karoubi, *Algebres de Clifford et K-theorie*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. **1** (1968) 2, 161 – 270.
- [6] C. I. Lazaroiu, E. M. Babalic, I. A. Coman, *The geometric algebra of Fierz identities in arbitrary dimensions and signatures*, JHEP **09** (2013) 156.

CENTER FOR GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS, INSTITUTE FOR BASIC SCIENCE, POHANG, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
E-mail address: `calin@ibs.re.kr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAMBURG, GERMANY
E-mail address: `carlos.shahbazi@uni-hamburg.de`