ON GEODESIC FLOWS WITH SYMMETRIES AND CLOSED MAGNETIC GEODESICS ON ORBIFOLDS

LUCA ASSELLE AND FELIX SCHMÄSCHKE

ABSTRACT. Let Q be a closed manifold admitting a locally-free action of a compact Lie group G. In this paper we study the properties of geodesic flows on Q given by Riemannian metrics which are invariant by such an action. In particular, we will be interested in the existence of geodesics which are closed up to the action of some element in the group G, since they project to closed magnetic geodesics on the quotient orbifold Q/G.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Orbifolds	4
3. Locally free actions	6
3.1. Horizontally lifted metrics	6
3.2. Constructing the bundle.	8
4. Symplectic reduction	11
5. The functional \mathbb{S}_k	13
5.1. The gauge group	16
5.2. The Palais-Smale condition up to gauge transformations.	17
5.3. A complete gradient vector field for \mathbb{S}_k .	20
6. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3	23
6.1. The minimax class for Theorem 1.1	24
6.2. The minimax class for Theorem 1.3	26
6.3. End of proofs	26
7. Proof of Theorem 1.5	27
Appendix A. A complete proof of Lemma 5.10	29
Appendix B. Stratification by orbit types	30
References	31

1. Introduction

Let Q be a closed locally-free principal G-bundle, that is a smooth closed manifold equipped with a smooth, effective and locally-free action of a compact Lie group G. On Q we consider a Riemannian metric g_Q , which is G-invariant and restricts to an $\mathrm{Ad}(G)$ -invariant bilinear form on the subspace of fundamental vector fields. The study of the geodesic flow of such a Riemannian manifold (Q, g_Q) is made particularly interesting by the fact that from the existence of geodesics which are closed up to G-action and satisfy some additional constraint (roughly speaking, the angle that such geodesics form with fundamental vector fields is in any point constant and equal to some a priori fixed angle), constrained G-closed geodesics for

Date: November 21, 2017.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 37J15, 37J45, 53C35, 53D20, 58E05.

Key words and phrases. Magnetic flows, periodic orbits, Mañé critical values, Rabinowitz action functional, Symplectic reduction.

short, one obtains the existence of closed magnetic geodesics with given energy on the quotient orbifold Q/G. This approach has been already implemented in [11] in the particular case of free principal S^1 -bundle and yielded an alternative proof of the existence of one closed magnetic geodesic for almost evergy energy level on every closed non-aspherical Riemannian manifold equipped with a closed two-form representing an integer cohomology class.

More precisely, let (M, g_M) be a closed (throughout this paper always effective) Riemannian orbifold and σ be a closed two-form on M. A closed magnetic geodesic for the pair (q_M, σ) is a loop $\mu : [0, T] \to M$ which locally lifts to a classical magnetic geodesic, meaning that for every $t \in [0,T]$ there exists $\epsilon > 0$ small enough such that the restriction of μ to $(t-\epsilon,t+\epsilon)$ is entirely contained in an orbifold chart (U, Γ, φ) and any lift $\tilde{\mu}: (t - \epsilon, t + \epsilon) \to U$ of μ to U is a magnetic geodesic (in the classical sense) for the Riemannian metric \tilde{q}_M and the two-form $\tilde{\sigma}$ obtained respectively by lifting the Riemannian metric g_M and the two-form σ to U. We say that the closed magnetic geodesic μ has energy k, if every local lift $\tilde{\mu}$ has energy k in the classical sense. We readily see that this definition naturally extends the usual definition of closed geodesics for Riemannian orbifolds (see e.g. [27] or [30]). As far was we know, magnetic flows on Riemannian orbifolds - in contrast with geodesic flows (see e.g. [27, 30]) - have not been studied yet. Nevertheless, the corresponding problem for manifolds has received the attention of numerous great mathematicians over the past few decades (e.g. Contreras, Ginzburg, Taimanov, just to mention some of them) and a rich literature on the topic is nowadays available (see e.g. [3, 10, 13, 14, 19, 24, 25, 32, 33, 34, 37, 38]). For generalities about magnetic flows on manifolds we refer to [8] and references therein.

Closed magnetic geodesics on orbifolds are related with constrained G-closed geodesics by - roughly speaking - projection, meaning that every closed magnetic geodesic on M for the pair (g_M, σ) lifts to a constrained G-closed geodesic on a suitable Riemannian locally free G principal bundle (Q, g_Q) over M and, conversely, every constrained G-closed geodesic on (Q, g_Q) projects to a closed magnetic geodesic on M for the pair (g_M, σ) . The latter (and hence also the former via projection) turn out correspond to critical points of the functional $\mathbb{S}_k: W^{1,2}(S^1, Q) \times L^2(S^1, \mathfrak{g}) \times (0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\mathbb{S}_k(\gamma, \phi, T) = \frac{1}{2T} \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}(t) + \underline{\phi(t)}(\gamma(t))|^2 dt + \int_0^1 \langle \phi(t), Z \rangle dt + kT. \tag{1.1}$$

Here $\mathfrak g$ denotes the Lie algebra of the compact Lie group G acting on $Q, Z \in \mathfrak g$ is a suitable central vector of unit length with respect to some fixed Ad-invariant metric on $\mathfrak g$, and $\underline{\phi(t)}$ denotes the fundamental vector field on Q associated with the Lie algebra element $\phi(t)$. All definitions will be given rigorously in Sections 2 and 3; the relation between constrained G-closed geodesics and critical points of $\mathbb S_k$ will be explained in detail in Section 5.

The main result of the present paper is the following generalization of the main theorem in [8]. In the statement below $\pi_{\ell}^{\text{orb}}(M)$ denote the orbifold-theoretic homotopy groups as defined in [5, Def. 1.50].

Theorem 1.1. Let (M, g_M) be a closed non-rationally aspherical Riemannian orbifold, i.e. such that $\pi_{\ell}^{\text{orb}}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \neq 0$ for some $\ell \geq 2$, and σ be a closed 2-form on M. Then for almost every k > 0 there exists a contractible closed magnetic geodesic for the pair (g_M, σ) with energy k.

Corollary 1.2. Suppose that (M, g_M) is a closed Riemannian orbifold and σ is a closed 2-form on M. Assume further that at least one of the following holds:

- (i) The fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(M)$ is finite.
- (ii) σ is not weakly-exact (i.e. its lifts to any orbicover of M are not exact). Then for almost every k > 0 there exists a closed magnetic geodesic for the pair

Then for almost every k > 0 there exists a closed magnetic geodesic for the pair (g_M, σ) with energy k.

An orbifold M is said developable if it is isomorphic to a quotient \tilde{M}/Λ where \tilde{M} is a manifold and Λ is a discrete (not necessarily finite) group acting properly on \tilde{M} . Clearly, not all orbifolds (e.g. weighted projective spaces, see [5, Example 1.15]) are of this type. Such orbifolds are called non-developable. In this case, adapting the argument in [27] to our setting yields the following

Theorem 1.3. Let (M, g_M) be a non-developable Riemmanian orbifold and $\sigma \in \Omega^2(M)$ be a closed two form on M. Then for almost every k > 0 there exists a contractible closed magnetic geodesic for the pair (g_M, σ) with energy k.

The method we will use to prove Theorems 1.1, 1.3 and Corollary 1.2 is based on critical point theory for the functional \mathbb{S}_k and consists roughly speaking on building, under the given assumptions, a non-trivial minimax class for \mathbb{S}_k to which (an infinite dimensional version of) Morse theory will be applied.

Remark 1.4. We claim Theorem 1.1 to be true also if M is merely non-aspherical, i.e. $\pi_{\ell}^{\text{orb}}(M) \neq 0$ for some $\ell \geq 2$. However, in this case we are not able to prove an analogue of Lemma 6.1, which is the key tool to build a non-trivial minimax class for \mathbb{S}_k . If this could be fixed, then we would automatically obtain the existence of a contractible closed magnetic geodesic for almost every energy, provided the orbifold is non-developable or non-aspherical. We shall also notice that this is the best result one can hope for; indeed, developable aspherical orbifolds might not have contractible closed magnetic geodesics at all, since this is already the case for aspherical manifolds (at least if the energy is "large enough", see e.g. [1]).

With the same approach we can also study the existence of closed geodesics on Riemannian orbifolds, for they correspond to critical points of the functional

$$\mathbb{E}: W^{1,2}(S^1,Q)\times L^2(S^1,\mathfrak{g})\to \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbb{E}(\gamma,\phi):=\int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}+\underline{\phi}(\gamma)|^2\,dt.$$

Going along the line of the proof of Theorem 1.1, in Section 7 we prove the following

Theorem 1.5. Every closed Riemannian orbifold (M, g_M) carries a closed geodesic.

What is remarkable about the theorem above is that it holds true without any additional assumption on M. Indeed, to the author's best knowledge, all known methods to produce closed geodesics with positive length fail if M is developable and $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(M)$ is a so called *monster group*, that is an infinite finitely presented group whose elements are all torsion (see [27]). It is however not known whether this situation can actually occur or not, for it is still an open problem to determine whether there are examples of such monster groups¹.

¹There are actually evidences that such groups might exist (see e.g. [31]). Moreover, many similar examples are known under the assumption for the group to be only finitely generated.

We finish this introduction with a brief summary of the contents of the paper:

- In Section 2 we recall definition and basic properties of orbifolds.
- In Section 3 we construct the locally-free principal G-bundle Q starting from a closed Riemannian orbifold (M, g_M) and a closed two-form σ on M.
- In Section 4 we explain the relation between G-geodesics and critical points of a suitable Rabinowitz-type action functional \mathbb{A}_k defined over the space of loops in $T^*Q \times \mathfrak{g}$.
- \bullet In Section 5 we introduce the functional \mathbb{S}_k and study its properties.
- In Section 6 we prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2.
- Finally, in Section 7 we prove Theorem 1.5.

Acknowledgments. L. A. is partially supported by the DFG grants AB 360/2-1 "Periodic orbits of conservative systems below the Mañé critical energy value" and AS 546/1-1 "Morse theoretical methods in Hamiltonian dynamics".

2. Orbifolds

Orbifolds appear naturally in several different fields of mathematics, including representation theory, algebraic geometry, physics and topology. Roughly speaking, they are generalizations of manifolds by allowing certain singularities. As such they have many properties in common with manifolds and share known constructions, such as tangent bundles, differential forms, vector fields etc. We quickly recall these definitions and highlight basic properties, accounting to [5].

Let M be a paracompact Hausdorff space and fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. An orbifold chart is a triple (U, Γ, φ) such that $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a connected open subset, Γ is a finite group acting effectively on U by smooth automorphisms, and $\varphi: U \to M$ is a Γ -invariant map inducing a homeomorphism of U/Γ onto its image. An embedding $(U, \Gamma, \varphi) \hookrightarrow (U', \Gamma', \varphi')$ of orbifold charts is an embedding $\lambda: U \hookrightarrow U'$ such that $\varphi \circ \lambda = \varphi'$. An orbifold atlas $\mathcal{U} = \{(U, \Gamma, \varphi)\}$ is a set of compatible orbifold charts such that $\bigcup_{\mathcal{U}} \varphi(U) = M$: for all $p \in M$ and all orbifold charts $(U_1, \Gamma_1, \varphi_1), (U_2, \Gamma_2, \varphi_2) \in \mathcal{U}$ with $p \in \varphi_1(U_1) \cap \varphi_2(U_2)$ there exists $(U, \Gamma, \varphi) \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $p \in \varphi(U)$ and embeddings $(U, \Gamma, \varphi) \hookrightarrow (U_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)$ for i = 1, 2. We define the transition function near p as the diffeomorphism $\phi_{12} = \lambda_2 \circ \lambda_1^{-1} : \lambda_1(U) \to \lambda_2(U)$. We say that an atlas \mathcal{U} is a refinement of \mathcal{U}' if for every chart of \mathcal{U} there exists an embedding into some chart of \mathcal{U}' . Two atlases are equivalent if they have a common refinement. Every orbifold atlas has a unique maximal refinement and two orbifold atlases are equivalent if and only if they have the same maximal refinement.

Definition 2.1. An effective orbifold of dimension n is a paracompact Hausdorff space M equipped with an equivalence class of n-dimensional orbifold atlases.

As the definition above suggests, there is a further generalization of the notion of an orbifold by allowing non-effective local actions. However, we will not consider these objects in this paper and refer to effective orbifolds simply as orbifolds.

Definition 2.2. A map $f: M \to N$ between two orbifolds is *smooth*, if for every $p \in M$ there exist charts (U, Γ, φ) and (U', Γ', φ') with $p \in \varphi(U)$ and $f(p) \in \varphi'(U')$ together with a smooth map $\tilde{f}: U \to U'$ satisfying $f \circ \varphi = \varphi' \circ \tilde{f}$.

Exactly as for manifolds we define the tangent bundle of an orbifold M by gluing together tangent bundles of the local charts using transition functions.

Definition 2.3. We define the tangent bundle as the space

$$TM = \bigsqcup_{(U,\Gamma,\varphi)\in\mathcal{U}} (U \times_{\Gamma} \mathbb{R}^n) / \sim,$$

where $U \times_{\Gamma} \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes the quotient space of $U \times \mathbb{R}^n$ by the diagonal action of Γ and \sim is defined via $[p, v] \sim [p', v']$ if and only if $\phi_{12}(p) = p'$ and $d_p \phi_{12}(v) = v'$ for all charts (U, Γ, φ) , $(U', \Gamma', \varphi') \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $p \in U$ and $p' \in U'$.

The tangent bundle of an orbifold carries a natural orbifold structure and there is a canonical foot-point projection map $TM \to M$. It is worth mentioning that fibres are no longer vector spaces but rather quotients \mathbb{R}^n/Γ_p , where the finite group Γ_p varies with $p \in M$. By a similar gluing construction we define the cotangent bundle T^*M and its exterior as well as symmetric tensor powers. Further we define vector fields, differential forms and Riemannian metrics as smooth sections of such bundles. One shows that by virtue of the definitions we find local representatives of such sections which in addition of satisfying the usual transformation rules are equivariant with respect to the local group action. In particular integral curves to a local representative of a vector field depend equivariantly on the starting point, which implies that vector fields on orbifolds induce flows as usual. We define metric connections verbatim to the manifold definition and by the previous observation we see that the geodesic flow and the magnetic geodesic flow on an orbifold are well-defined. In this sense, a magnetic geodesic on M is a curve which locally (i.e. in every orbifold chart) lifts to a classical magnetic geodesic. More precisely, given a Riemannian metric g_M and a closed 2-form σ on M, a path

$$\mu:(a,b)\to M$$

is said to be a magnetic geodesic if for each $t_0 \in (a, b)$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, an orbifold chart (U, Γ, φ) such that $\mu(t) \in \varphi(U)$ for all $t \in (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + t)$, and a smooth map $\tilde{\mu} : (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon) \to U$ with $\mu|_{(t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon)} = \varphi \circ \tilde{\mu}$, such that

$$\nabla_t \dot{\tilde{\mu}} = Y_{\tilde{\mu}}(\dot{\tilde{\mu}}). \tag{2.1}$$

Here ∇ and Y are respectively the Levi-Civita connection and the Lorenz force associated with the local representatives of g_M and σ . We say that the magnetic geodesic μ has energy k, if every lift $\tilde{\mu}$ has energy k in the classical sense.

In the statement of the next theorem $\Omega^*(M)$ denotes the deRahm cochain complex of M.

Theorem 2.4 (Satake, [5, Page 34]). The cohomology of $(\Omega^*(M), d)$ is canonically isomorphic to $H^*(M; \mathbb{R})$.

An important source of examples of orbifolds are quotients of smooth manifolds by compact Lie groups which act *locally-freely*, i.e. all stabilizer groups are finite. Orbifold charts for such quotients are provided by the slice theorem. As it turns out, every orbifold is of this form. We cite [5, Corollary 1.24].

Proposition 2.5. Every n-orbifold is diffeomorphic to a quotient orbifold for a smooth, effective and locally-free O(n)-action on a smooth manifold.

3. Locally free actions

Let Q be a smooth manifold equipped with a smooth action of a compact Lie group G, denoted $G \times Q \to Q$, $(g,q) \mapsto g \cdot q$. Throughout the paper we will assume the action to be *effective* and *locally free*, i.e. with all stabilizer groups finite. Let \mathfrak{g} denote the Lie-algebra of G and $\mathrm{Ad}_g : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ the adjoint action map for $g \in G$. For any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ we denote by $X : Q \to TQ$ the fundamental vector field on Q defined by

$$\underline{X}_q = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \exp(tX) \cdot q \in T_q Q, \quad \forall q \in Q.$$
 (3.1)

Observe that the map $\mathfrak{g} \to T_qQ$, $X \mapsto \underline{X}_q$ is injective for all $q \in Q$. Since locally there is no difference between locally free and free actions, the definition of a principal connection form literally carries over.

Definition 3.1. A principal connection form for Q is a \mathfrak{g} -valued differential one-form $\theta \in \Omega^1(Q; \mathfrak{g})$ such that

- (i) $\theta(\underline{X}) = X$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$,
- (ii) $\varphi_q^*\theta = \operatorname{Ad}_g \theta$ for all $g \in G$, where $\varphi_g : Q \to Q$, $q \mapsto g \cdot q$.

Lemma 3.2. The set of principal connections for Q is a non-empty affine space.

Proof. The fact that the set of principal connections is an affine space follows directly from the definition. Consider now any metric g_Q on Q. After averaging we assume that g_Q is G-invariant. Given a point $q \in Q$, denote with $G \cdot q \subset Q$ its orbit under the G-action. We define the connection form θ at q as the g_Q -orthogonal projection $T_qQ \to T_q(G \cdot q)$ composed with the inverse of the canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \cong T_q(G \cdot q)$. By construction θ satisfies the first property. The second property follows from the identity

$$(d\varphi_g \underline{X})_{gq} = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} g \exp(tX) q = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} g \exp(tX) g^{-1} g q = \underline{\operatorname{Ad}_g X}_{gq},$$

for all $q \in Q$ and $g \in G$ and the fact that $d\varphi_q$ is an isometry.

Definition 3.3. Given a principal connection form θ we define its *curvature form* by

$$\sigma = d\theta + [\theta, \theta] \in \Omega^2(Q; \mathfrak{q}).$$

More precisely, for any $Z \in \mathfrak{g}^{\vee}$, $p \in Q$ and $u, v \in T_pQ$ we define

$$\sigma_Z(u,v) = d\theta_Z(u,v) + \langle Z, [\theta(u), \theta(v)] \rangle, \qquad (3.2)$$

where $\sigma_Z = \langle Z, \sigma \rangle$, $\theta_Z = \langle Z, \theta \rangle$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing.

3.1. Horizontally lifted metrics. For further computations, we give another description of the curvature form σ in terms of a particular metric on Q obtained from a given metric on the quotient and a connection form. To this end fix an Ad-invariant positive bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} , which is possible as we assume G to be compact. As already mentioned in Section 2.1, the quotient space M:=Q/G carries a canonical orbifold structure. We denote by $\tau:Q\to M$ the quotient map, which is smooth in the sense of Definition 2.2. Assume that M is equipped with a metric g_M and Q is equipped with a connection form θ . The connection form induces a splitting of the tangent bundle of Q into horizontal and vertical vectors

$$T_pQ = \ker \theta_p \oplus T_p(G \cdot p). \tag{3.3}$$

For any $p \in Q$ let $\tilde{\tau}: U \to U'$ be the local representative of τ with respect to the orbifold charts $(U,0,\varphi)$ and (U',Γ',φ') around p and $\tau(p)$ respectively and observe that the metric g_M induces a metric on U' which is Γ' -invariant. We now define the metric g_Q on Q at p by requiring that:

- the splitting (3.3) is orthogonal,
- the isomorphism $d_p \tilde{\tau} : \ker \theta_p \to T_{\tilde{\tau}(p)} U'$ is an isometry,
- the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \to T_p(G \cdot p), X \mapsto \underline{X}$ is an isometry.

It is easy to see that the metric g_Q is smooth, G-invariant and well-defined regardless of the choice of the charts. In the following we will denote the metrics g_Q , g_M and the bilinear form on $\mathfrak g$ all with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ if they can not be confused. Furthermore, we identify $\mathfrak g^\vee$ with $\mathfrak g$ using the bilinear form. In what follows, ∇ denote the Levi-Civita connection for g_Q .

Lemma 3.4. For every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and all $p \in Q$ the bilinear form

$$T_nQ \times T_nQ \ni (u,v) \mapsto \langle u, \nabla_v \underline{X} \rangle$$

is anti-symmetric.

Proof. Let $\Phi_{\underline{X}}^t$ denote the flow of \underline{X} . Extend u,v via $u(t)=d\Phi_{\underline{X}}^t u$ and $v(t)=d\Phi_{\underline{X}}^t(v)$. In particular, $[\underline{X},u]=[\underline{X},v]=0$. Since $d\Phi_{\underline{X}}^t$ is an isometry we have $\underline{X}\langle u,v\rangle=0$ and hence $\langle \nabla_{\underline{X}}u,v\rangle=-\langle u,\nabla_{\underline{X}}v\rangle$. It follows that

$$\langle u, \nabla_v \underline{X} \rangle = \langle u, \nabla_X v \rangle = -\langle \nabla_X u, v \rangle = -\langle \nabla_u \underline{X}, v \rangle.$$

Lemma 3.5. We have

$$\sigma_X(u, v) = -2\langle u, \nabla_v X \rangle, \tag{3.4}$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $p \in Q$ and horizontal vectors $u, v \in \ker \theta_p$. Moreover both sides vanish if one of u, v is horizontal and the other vertical.

Proof. Extend u and v to vector fields, which we still denoted by u and v respectively. If u and v are horizontal, then by (3.2) we have

$$\begin{split} \sigma_X(u,v) &= u(\theta_X(v)) - v(\theta_X(u)) - \theta_X([u,v]) \,. \\ &= -\langle [u,v],\underline{X}\rangle \\ &= -\langle \nabla_u v,\underline{X}\rangle + \langle \nabla_v u,\underline{X}\rangle \\ &= \langle v,\nabla_u\underline{X}\rangle - \langle u,\nabla_v\underline{X}\rangle \\ &= -2\langle u,\nabla_v\underline{X}\rangle \,. \end{split}$$

where in the last step used the anti-symmetry and in the second-last the identity

$$0 = u\langle v, X \rangle = \langle \nabla_u v, X \rangle + \langle v, \nabla_u X \rangle.$$

Assume now that u is horizontal and v is vertical. We also assume without loss of generality that $v = \underline{V}$ is the fundamental vector field associated with $V \in \mathfrak{g}$. Again by (3.2) we have

$$\sigma_X(u, v) = d\theta_X(u, v) = u(\theta_X(v)) - v(\theta_X(u)) - \theta_X([u, v]).$$

= $u\langle X, V \rangle - \langle X, [u, V] \rangle = 0,$

as $\langle \underline{X}, \underline{V} \rangle = \langle X, V \rangle$ is constant and $[u, \underline{V}] = 0$, for horizontal vector fields are invariant under the flow of \underline{V} . In remains to check that $\langle u, \nabla_v X \rangle$ vanishes. Indeed, since

Remark 3.6. Equation (3.4) is not true for all u, v. In fact, if u and v are both vertical and respectively given by the fundamental vector fields $u = \underline{U}$ and $v = \underline{V}$ with $U, V \in \mathfrak{g}$, then we have $\sigma_X(u, v) = 0$ but $\langle u, \nabla_v \underline{X} \rangle = \langle [\underline{U}, \underline{V}], \underline{X} \rangle$.

3.2. Constructing the bundle. We now show that for any closed orbifold M together with a closed two-form σ , we can find a locally-free principal G-bundle Q, G compact Lie group, such that Q/G and M are isomorphic as orbifolds and σ arises as a curvature form. Recall that $Z \in \mathfrak{g}^{\vee}$ is called *central* if $\mathrm{Ad}_q^* Z = Z$ for all $g \in G$.

Proposition 3.7. Let M be a closed orbifold and $\sigma \in \Omega^2(M)$ be a closed 2-form. Then there exist:

- a locally-free principal G-bundle Q, G compact Lie group,
- a connection form $\theta \in \Omega^1(Q, \mathfrak{g})$,
- a central covector $Z \in \mathfrak{g}^{\vee}$ with $Z \neq 0$,

such that $M \cong Q/G$ as orbifolds and $\tau^*\sigma = \sigma_Z$, where σ_Z is defined as in (3.2).

Before proving the proposition we recall the definition of the Euler class for (orientable) circle bundles or, equivalently, principal S^1 -bundles, where $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is viewed as a Lie group. We give the definition of the Euler class using Čech cohomology (see [26, pp. 35] for generalities about sheaves and Čech cohomology). Let P be a smooth manifold, $\rho: Q \to P$ be a principal S^1 -bundle. Choose an open cover $\mathcal{U} = (U_i \subset P)_{i \in I}$ of P such that $Q|_{U_i}$ is trivial for all $i \in I$. Let $g_{ij}: U_i \cap U_j \to S^1$ be the corresponding trivialization change maps for all $i, j \in I$. The family $g = (g_{ij})$ is a Čech-cocycle and defines a Čech-cohomology class $[g] \in \check{H}^1(P, \mathcal{O}_{S^1})$, where by \mathcal{O}_{S^1} we denote the sheaf of smooth maps with values in S^1 . The short exact sequence of groups $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R} \to S^1 \to 0$ induces a long exact sequence for the Čech-cohomology groups

$$\cdots \to \check{H}^1(P; \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \to \check{H}^1(P; \mathcal{O}_{S^1}) \stackrel{\delta}{\to} \check{H}^2(P; \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \to \check{H}^2(P; \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \to \ldots, \tag{3.5}$$

where by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ we denote the sheaf of smooth maps with values in \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{Z} respectively. Note that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is also the sheaf of locally constant maps denoted $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$. There is canonical isomorphism $\check{H}^2(P;\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \cong H^2(P;\mathbb{Z})$ where the right-hand side denotes the singular cohomology with integer coefficients (cf. [18, Theorem III.1.1]). We identify these groups without further mentioning and define the *Euler class*

$$\operatorname{eu}(Q) := \delta([g]) \in H^2(P; \mathbb{Z}).$$

The Lie algebra of S^1 is canonically identified with \mathbb{R} and a connection form on Q is simply a one form $\theta \in \Omega^1(Q)$ which is S^1 -invariant and satisfies $\theta(\underline{Z}) = 1$ where \underline{Z} is the fundamental vector field of the fibre rotations. By that requirement we have $d\theta(\underline{Z}, \cdot) = 0$ and hence the curvature form $\sigma \in \Omega^2(P)$ is well-defined via $\rho^*\sigma = d\theta$. By construction we have $d\sigma = 0$. We identify the deRahm cohomology with $H^2(P; \mathbb{R})$ and denote by $[\sigma] \in H^2(P; \mathbb{R})$ the cohomology class represented by σ . Further we denote by $\mathrm{eu}(Q)_{\mathbb{R}} \in H^2(P; \mathbb{R})$ the element $\mathrm{eu}(Q) \otimes 1$ under the canonical isomorphism $H^2(P; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{R} \cong H^2(P; \mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 3.8. We have $\operatorname{eu}(Q)_{\mathbb{R}} = [\sigma] \in H^2(P; \mathbb{R}).$

Proof. See [26, Page 141] for the corresponding proof for complex line bundles. Assume without loss of generality that \mathcal{U} is a good cover (i.e. finite intersections $U_{i_1} \cap U_{i_2} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_k}$ are contractible for all $(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k) \subset I$ and $k \geq 1$) so that $\check{H}^*(\mathcal{U}; \mathcal{O}_{S^1}) \cong \check{H}^*(P; \mathcal{O}_{S^1})$. Let $f_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \to \mathbb{R}$ be lifts of g_{ij} for all $i, j \in I$. The tuple $f = (f_{ij}) \in \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}; \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a Čech-cochain with differential

$$w = (w_{ijk}) = \check{d}f, \qquad w_{ijk} = f_{ij} - f_{ik} + f_{jk}.$$

Since (g_{ij}) is a cocycle we must have $w_{ijk} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i, j, k \in I$. Thus $w \in \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}; \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ is a cocycle, which by definition of the connecting homomorphism δ represents the cohomology class of $\mathrm{eu}(Q) = [w]$.

To compare [w] with $[\sigma]$ we need to examine the isomorphism between deRahm cohomology and Čech cohomology as given in [16, Section 8] or [26, Page 43]. In short, the cocycle representing the class corresponding to $[\sigma]$ under the isomorphism is constructed as follows: First find one-forms $\eta_i \in \Omega^1(U_i)$ such that $d\eta_i = \sigma|_{U_i}$ for all $i \in I$. Second, find functions $h_{ij} \in \Omega^0(U_i \cap U_j)$ such that $dh_{ij} = \eta_i - \eta_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$. Then $u_{ijk} = h_{ij} - h_{ik} + h_{jk}|_{U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k}$ is constant for all $i, j, k \in I$ and the tuple $u = (u_{ijk}) \in \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \underline{\mathbb{R}})$ represents the image of $[\sigma]$, where $\underline{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the sheaf of locally constant functions with values in \mathbb{R} .

On the other hand if $\varphi_i: U_i \times S^1 \to Q|_{U_i}$ denotes the trivializations we have that $\varphi_i^*\theta = \tilde{\eta}_i + dt$ for some $\tilde{\eta}_i \in \Omega^1(U_i)$. Thus $\sigma|_{U_i} = d\tilde{\eta}_i$ and we assume without loss of generality that $\tilde{\eta}_i = \eta_i$. The trivialization change maps are defined via $\varphi_i(p,[t]) = \varphi_j(p,g_{ij}(p)+[t])$ for all $[t] \in S^1$ and $p \in U_i \cap U_j$. We conclude that $\eta_i = \eta_j + dg_{ij}$ or equivalently $\eta_i - \eta_j = dg_{ij}$. Thus, without loss of generality $h_{ij} = f_{ij}$ and hence $u_{ijk} = w_{ijk}$.

Corollary 3.9. Given any $[\sigma] \in H^2(P; \mathbb{R})$ representing an integer cohomology class, there is a circle bundle $Q \to P$ such that $\operatorname{eu}(Q)_{\mathbb{R}} = [\sigma]$.

Proof. By definition $[\sigma]$ represents an integer cohomology class, if there exists $e \in H^2(P; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $e_{\mathbb{R}} = [\sigma]$. The existence of partition of unity implies that $\check{H}^p(P; \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ vanishes for all $p \geq 1$ (cf. [26, Page 42]). Hence by exactness of (3.5) the map δ is an isomorphism. In particular we find $[(g_{ij})] \in \check{H}^1(P; \mathcal{O}_{S^1})$ such that $\delta[(g_{ij})] = e$. We obtain the corresponding line bundle with trivialization change maps (g_{ij}) by the gluing construction, i.e. as the space

$$Q := \coprod_{i} U_{i} \times S^{1} / \sim \tag{3.6}$$

where $(p,[t]) \sim (p',[t'])$ if and only if

$$(p,[t]) \in U_i \times S^1, (p',[t']) \in U_j \times S^1, p = p', [t] = [t'] + g_{ij}(p).$$

Assume now that there is a locally-free G-action $\varphi: G \times P \to P$, $\varphi(g, \cdot) = \varphi_g$, on P. Then, the quotient M := P/G is an orbifold and we denote by $\tau: P \to M$ the quotient map.

Lemma 3.10. Given $e \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$, there exists a principal circle bundle $Q \to P$ equipped with a G-action $\tilde{\varphi}: G \times Q \to Q$, $\tilde{\varphi}(g,\cdot) = \tilde{\varphi}_g$ such that the G-action commutes with the S^1 -action, $\tau \circ \tilde{\varphi}_g = \varphi_g \circ \tau$ for all $g \in G$, and $\operatorname{eu}(Q) = \tau^* e$.

Proof. It suffices to find an open cover $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$ of P by G-invariant open subsets $U_i \subset P$ and a cocycle $g = (g_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \to S^1)_{i,j \in I}$ such that

- g_{ij} is G-invariant
- $\delta([g]) = e$.

Indeed, the product action of $G \times S^1$ on the patches $U_i \times S^1$ descends to an action of $G \times S^1$ on the quotient E obtained by the gluing construction (3.6).

Since M admits a partition of unity, we have $\check{H}^i(M;\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R})=0$ for $i\geq 1$ and replacing P with M in the sequence (3.5) we conclude that we have a canonical isomorphism $\bar{\delta}:\check{H}^1(M;S^1)\cong\check{H}^2(M;\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$. Also we a have canonical isomorphism $\check{H}^2(M;\underline{\mathbb{Z}})\cong H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ (in fact for any paracompact Hausdorff space M, cf. [18, Theorem III.1.1]) and we identify these groups via the isomorphism. Pick a good cover $\bar{U}=\{\bar{U}_i\}_{i\in I}$ of M and consider the pull-back cover $\mathcal{U}=\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of P where $U_i=\tau^{-1}(\bar{U}_i)$. Let $[\bar{g}]\in\check{H}^1(\bar{U};S^1)\cong\check{H}^1(M;S^1)$ be a class such that $\bar{\delta}([\bar{g}])=e$ and let $g=\tau^*\bar{g}$ be the pull-back. Recall that this means that $g=(g_{ij})$ is defined by $g_{ij}:U_i\cap U_j\to S^1,\,g_{ij}=\bar{g}_{ij}\circ\tau$. Obviously g_{ij} is G-invariant and since all involved isomorphism are canonical we also have $\delta([g])=\tau^*\bar{\delta}([\bar{g}])=\tau^*e$.

Proof of Proposition 3.7. By Proposition 2.5 we know that there exists a smooth manifold P equipped with a locally-free O(n)-action such that $M \cong P/O(n)$. Let $\tau: P \to M$ be the quotient map.

Suppose that σ is exact and let η be such that $d\eta = \sigma$. Then define $Q := S^1 \times P$ equipped with the product action of the Lie group $G = S^1 \times O(n)$. Pick any Ad-invariant positive bilinear form on $\mathfrak g$ such that the splitting $\mathfrak g = \mathbb R \oplus \mathfrak o(n)$ is orthogonal, where $\mathfrak o(n)$ denotes the Lie algebra of O(n), and identify $\mathfrak g^\vee$ with $\mathfrak g$ using the bilinear form. Then $Z = (1,0) \in \mathbb R \oplus \mathfrak o(n)$ and the connection form is given by

$$\theta = \rho^* \theta_0 + (\hat{\tau}^* \eta + dt) \otimes Z,$$

where t denotes the variable in S^1 , θ_0 is any connection for P, $\rho: S^1 \times P \to P$ the projection to the second coordinate and $\hat{\tau} = \tau \circ \rho$. By construction we have $d\langle \theta, Z \rangle = \hat{\tau}^* d\eta = \hat{\tau}^* \sigma$. Therefore, we can assume hereafter that σ is not exact.

Let e_1, \ldots, e_m be a basis of the free part of $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ which we identify under the isomorphism $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{R} \cong H^2(M; \mathbb{R})$ with a basis of $H^2(M; \mathbb{R})$. Let Q_1, \ldots, Q_m be principal circle bundles over P with Euler classes $\tau^* e_1, \ldots, \tau^* e_m$ respectively and which are equipped with lifted O(n)-actions in the sense of Lemma 3.10. Consider the fibre-product

$$Q = \{(p_1, \dots, p_m) \in Q_1 \times \dots \times Q_m \mid \rho_1(p_1) = \dots = \rho_m(p_m)\},\$$

where $\rho_i: Q_i \to P$ denotes the quotient map. The space Q is a principal \mathbb{T}^m -bundle over P. Moreover the diagonal O(n)-action on $Q_1 \times \cdots \times Q_m$ leaves Q invariant and commutes with the \mathbb{T}^m -action, so that the corresponding $G := \mathbb{T}^m \times O(n)$ -action restricted to Q has only finite stabilizers and the quotient is isomorphic to M. By Theorem 2.4 we find closed forms $\sigma_i \in \Omega^2(M)$ such that $[\sigma_i] = e_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Further we find constants $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in \mathbb{R}$ which are not all zero such that $[\sigma] = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i e_i$. Thus, up to changing representatives if necessary, we have

 $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \sigma_i$. By Lemma 3.8 we can choose connection forms θ_i on Q_i such that $d\theta_i = \tau_i^* \sigma_i$, where $\tau_i = \tau \circ \rho_i$. We identify the Lie algebra of \mathbb{T}^m with \mathbb{R}^m , so that the quotient map is the exponential map. Further we pick any Ad-invariant positive bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} such that the splitting $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}^m \oplus \mathfrak{o}(n)$ is orthogonal and which is standard, when restricted to $\mathbb{R}^m \oplus 0$. We identify \mathfrak{g}^\vee with \mathfrak{g} using the bilinear form. Then $Z = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m, 0)$ and the connection form is

$$\theta = \rho^* \theta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m \operatorname{pr}_i^* \theta_i \otimes Z_i,$$

where Z_i is the *i*-th unit vector if \mathbb{R}^m , $\rho: Q \to P$ is the quotient map and $\operatorname{pr}_i: Q \to Q_i$ the projection to the *i*-th factor. By construction we have

$$d\langle \theta, Z \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \operatorname{pr}_i^* d\theta_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \operatorname{pr}_i^* \tau_i^* \sigma_i = \hat{\tau}^* \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \sigma_i = \hat{\tau}^* \sigma,$$

where $\hat{\tau}: Q \to M$ denotes the quotient map.

4. Symplectic reduction

As usual we assume that G is a compact Lie group acting smoothly on a manifold Q. It is well-known that the action of G on Q lifts to an Hamiltonian action of G on T^*Q given by $g \cdot (q,p) = (\varphi_g(q), \varphi_{g^{-1}}^*p)$, where $\varphi_g : Q \to Q$ denotes the action by $g \in G$. The corresponding moment map is

$$A: T^*Q \to \mathfrak{g}^{\vee}, \qquad (q,p) \mapsto (X \mapsto \langle p, \underline{X} \rangle) ,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing. Given a central $Z \in \mathfrak{g}^{\vee}$ such that G acts freely on $A^{-1}(Z)$, we consider the Marsden-Weinstein quotient by

$$((T^*Q)_Z, \omega_Z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (A^{-1}(Z)/G, \omega_{\text{red}}),$$

in which $\omega_{\rm red}$ is uniquely determined by ${\rm pr}^*\omega_{\rm red}=\imath^*\omega$, where ω denotes the standard symplectic form on T^*Q and where $\imath:A^{-1}(Z)\to T^*Q$ and ${\rm pr}:A^{-1}(Z)\to A^{-1}(Z)/G$ denote the canonical inclusion and the quotient projection respectively. The construction carries over also if G acts merely locally-freely; in this case $(T^*Q)_Z$ is a symplectic orbifold.

We now recall the well-known fact that $((T^*Q)_Z, \omega_Z)$ is symplectmorphic to a twisted cotangent bundle. To this end fix a principal connection $\theta \in \Omega^1(Q, \mathfrak{g})$ and denote with M = Q/G and $\tau: Q \to M$ the base and the quotient map respectively. We define the map $\Pi: A^{-1}(Z) \to T^*M$ implicitly via

$$\langle \Pi(q,p), d_q \tau(v) \rangle = \langle p, v \rangle - \langle Z, \theta_q(v) \rangle \quad \forall v \in T_q Q,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing. Note that Π is well-defined because the kernel of $d_q \tau$ consists precisely of vectors on which the right-hand side vanishes. It is not hard to see that Π is a bundle map, G-invariant and that the fibres are G-orbits. We conclude that Π induces a diffeomorphism $(T^*Q)_Z \cong T^*M$.

Lemma 4.1. The map Π induces a symplectomorphism

$$((T^*Q)_Z, \omega_Z)) \cong (T^*M, \bar{\omega} + \bar{\pi}^* \bar{\sigma}_Z),$$

where $\bar{\omega}$ is the standard symplectic form on T^*M , $\bar{\pi}: T^*M \to M$ the canonical projection and $\bar{\sigma}_Z \in \Omega^2(M)$ is such that $\sigma_Z = \tau^*\bar{\sigma}_Z$ and σ_Z is given in (3.2).

Proof. See [11, Prop. 2.1].

By the previous observation we see that the magnetic flow on M with respect to $(g_M, \bar{\sigma}_Z)$ lifts to a geodesic flow on Q with respect to the metric g_Q as constructed in Section 3.1. Let $\bar{H}: T^*M \to \mathbb{R}$ and $H: T^*Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be the kinetic Hamiltonians with respect to g_M and g_Q respectively. We denote the corresponding Hamiltonian vector fields by $X_{\bar{H}}$ and X_H , where of course $X_{\bar{H}}$ is defined with respect to the twisted symplectic form $\bar{\omega} + \bar{\pi}^* \bar{\sigma}_Z$.

Lemma 4.2. The geodesic flow on T^*Q with respect to g_Q leaves the subset $A^{-1}(Z) \cap H^{-1}(k)$ invariant and projects to the magnetic flow on $\bar{H}^{-1}(\bar{k}) \subset T^*M$ with respect to $(g_M, \bar{\sigma}_Z)$, where $k = \bar{k} + \frac{1}{2}|Z|^2$.

Proof. See [11, Lemma 2.2].
$$\blacksquare$$

In virtue of the previous lemma, any curve $\bar{x}: \mathbb{R} \to T^*M$ satisfying

$$\dot{\bar{x}} = X_{\bar{H}}(\bar{x}), \quad x(T) = x(0), \quad \bar{H}(x) = \bar{k},$$
(4.1)

for some T > 0, lifts to a curve $x : \mathbb{R} \to T^*Q$

$$\dot{x} = X_H(x), \quad x(T) = gx(0), \quad H(x) = k, \quad A(x) = Z,$$
 (4.2)

for some element $g \in G$. We call such x a constrained G-closed geodesic. Conversely, every constrained G-closed geodesic clearly projects to a curve satisfying (4.1).

Remark 4.3. Denote with \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra of G, write $\mathfrak{g} \to T_qQ, X \mapsto \underline{X}_q$ and set $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}:T_qQ o\mathfrak{g}$ to be the orthogonal projection for all $q\in Q,$ and finally fix an element $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then, we see that the affine subbundle $\mathcal{D} \subset TQ$, given by $\mathcal{D}_q = \{v \in T_qQ \mid$ $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}(v)=Z$ for all $q\in Q$, is invariant under the geodesic flow of g_Q . Furthermore, the projection of a geodesic $\gamma(t)$ satisfying $\dot{\gamma}(t) \in \mathcal{D}_{\gamma(t)}$, that is a constrained G-closed geodesic, is a magnetic geodesic in the quotient Q/G with respect to a two-from σ determined by the element Z. Conversely, given any magnetic geodesic in Q/G one constructs a lifted curve, which is a geodesic satisfying the constraint. From this it readily follows that the question of the existence of constrained Gclosed geodesics is strictly related to the field of dynamics of mechanical systems with non-holonomic constraints (cf. [15, 21] and references therein). Such systems are given by a Lagrangian L defined on TQ, the tangent bundle of the configuration space Q, and constraints determined by a nonintegrable distribution $\mathcal{D} \subset TQ$. The equations of motion are then derived by the Lagrange d'Alembert principle on curves $\gamma(t)$ that satisfy the constrain $\dot{\gamma}(t) \in \mathcal{D}_{\gamma(t)}$. A particular case – known as (generalized) Chaplygin systems – arises when Q is the total space of a principal bundle, \mathcal{D} is the horizontal distribution of a principal connection and the Lagrangian L is invariant under the group action. To the author's best knowledge, even though several authors have been studying the problem of reducing the phase space of such nonholonomic systems, there seems to be no general existence results (such as e.g. Theorem 1.1) in this setting.

Observe that, if $g = \exp(X)$ for some $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, then the rescaled curve

$$y: \mathbb{R} \to T^*Q, \quad y(t) = \exp(-tX)x(tT)$$

satisfies

$$\dot{y} = -X_{(A|X)}(y) + TX_H(y), \quad y(1) = y(0), \quad H(y) = k, \quad A(y) = Z,$$
 (4.3)

where by $X_{\langle A,X\rangle}$ we denote the Hamiltonian vector field associated with the Hamiltonian function $\langle A,X\rangle:T^*Q\to\mathbb{R}$ and the standard symplectic form. Conversely, every loop y satisfying (4.3) defines a curve x satisfying (4.2) by simply reversing the scaling. Following [23, Section 4.2], we see that such loops satisfying (4.3) correspond to the critical points of

$$\mathbb{A}_k: C^{\infty}(S^1, T^*Q \times \mathfrak{g}) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$

given by

$$\mathbb{A}_k(y,\phi,T) = \int_0^1 y^* \lambda - \int_0^1 \left(T(H(y) - k) - \langle A(y) - Z, \phi \rangle \right) dt,$$

where λ denotes the Liouville 1-form on T^*Q . We will not insist further on the functional \mathbb{A}_k , since it is not well-suited for finding critical points using Morse theory. Nevertheless, we find important to introduce \mathbb{A}_k as motivation on how we will deduce the functional \mathbb{S}_k in the next section.

5. The functional \mathbb{S}_k

Let M be a closed orbifold equipped with a Riemannian metric g_M and a closed 2form σ . In the previous section we have reformulated the closed magnetic geodesics problem in M as the problem of finding G-geodesics on a suitable Riemannian locally-free G-bundle (Q, g_Q) , G compact Lie group, or, equivalently, critical points of the Rabinowitz-type action functional \mathbb{A}_k . Inspired by this, we now define a functional over a suitable space of loops in $Q \times \mathfrak{g}$, whose critical points correspond precisely to periodic magnetic geodesics in M of fixed energy.

Thus, fix $k > \frac{1}{2}$ and - with the notation introduced in Section 3 - we define

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{S}_k : W^{1,2}(S^1,Q) \times L^2(S^1,\mathfrak{g}) \times (0,\infty) &\to \mathbb{R}, \\ \mathbb{S}_k(\gamma,\phi,T) &= \frac{1}{2T} \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}(t) + \underline{\phi(t)}(\gamma(t))|^2 \, dt + \int_0^1 \langle \phi(t),Z \rangle \, dt + kT \, . \end{split}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is any Ad-invariant metric on $\mathfrak g$ such that $\langle Z, Z \rangle = 1$ and $\overline{\phi(t)}$ denotes the fundamental vector field associated with the Lie algebra element $\overline{\phi}(t)$. For notational convenience we will hereafter omit the t-dependence everywhere. We set

$$\mathcal{M} := W^{1,2}(S^1, Q) \times L^2(S^1, \mathfrak{g}) \times (0, +\infty),$$

and observe that \mathcal{M} has a natural structure of (non-complete) product Hilbert manifold; we denote with $g_{\mathcal{M}}$ the product metric. We also notice that the functional \mathbb{S}_k is smooth on \mathcal{M} and that the connected components of \mathcal{M} are in one-to-one correspondence with conjugacy classes in $\pi_1(Q)$.

Remark 5.1. The functional \mathbb{S}_k can be thought of as the Legendre dual of the functional \mathbb{A}_k introduced in Section 4. Indeed, computing for every fixed (ϕ, T) the Lagrangian $L_{\phi,T}$ which is the Fenchel dual of the Hamiltonian $H_{\phi,T} := T \cdot H - \langle A, \phi \rangle$ and then letting (ϕ, T) free yields precisely the functional \mathbb{S}_k above.

Lemma 5.2. If (γ, ϕ, T) is a critical point of \mathbb{S}_k , then the curve

$$t \mapsto \tau(\gamma(t/T))$$
 (5.1)

is a closed magnetic geodesic with energy $k - \frac{1}{2}$ and period T for the magnetic flow on T^*M defined by the Riemannian metric g_M and the 2-form σ .

Proof. By rescaling it is enough to show that the loop $\bar{\gamma} = \tau \circ \gamma$ is a magnetic geodesic for $T\sigma$ with energy $T^2(k-1/2)$. We have for all $\xi \in W^{1,2}(\gamma^*TQ)$ and $\eta \in L^2(S^1,\mathfrak{g})$

$$0 = d\mathbb{S}_{k}(\gamma, \phi, T)[0, 0, 1] = -\frac{1}{2T^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} |\dot{\gamma} + \underline{\phi}(\gamma)|^{2} dt + k$$

$$0 = d\mathbb{S}_{k}(\gamma, \phi, T)[0, \eta, 0] = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{1} \langle \dot{\gamma} + \underline{\phi}(\gamma), \underline{\eta} \rangle dt + \int_{0}^{1} \langle \eta, Z \rangle dt \qquad (5.2)$$

$$0 = d\mathbb{S}_{k}(\gamma, \phi, T)[\xi, 0, 0] = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{1} \langle \dot{\gamma} + \underline{\phi}(\gamma), \nabla_{t} \xi + \nabla_{\xi} \underline{\phi}(\gamma) \rangle dt.$$

By assumption $\dot{\gamma} \in L^2(\gamma^*TQ)$ and hence the function $\psi : t \mapsto \theta_{\gamma(t)}(\dot{\gamma}(t)) \in \mathfrak{g}$ is defined almost everywhere. This shows that for almost all $t \in S^1$ the vertical part of $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ is the fundamental vector field of $\psi(t)$ at $\gamma(t)$. We reformulate the second equation of (5.2) as

$$0 = \int_0^1 \langle \dot{\gamma} + \underline{\phi}(\gamma) + T\underline{Z}(\gamma), \underline{\eta}(\gamma) \rangle dt = \int_0^1 \langle \psi + \phi + TZ, \eta \rangle dt.$$

Therefore,

$$\psi(t) + \phi(t) = -TZ$$

for almost all $t \in S^1$. We now show that $\bar{\gamma} = \tau \circ \gamma$ is a magnetic geodesic for $T\sigma$. Fix any $t_0 \in S^1$, pick charts $(U,0,\varphi)$ and (U',Γ',φ') about $\gamma(t_0)$ and $\bar{\gamma}(t_0)$ respectively and let $\tilde{\tau}: U \to U'$ be the local representative of τ in the sense of Definition 2.2. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\gamma(t) \in U$ for all $t \in I := (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon)$. We need to check that the curve $\tilde{\gamma} = \tilde{\tau} \circ \gamma|_I : I \to U'$ is smooth and satisfies

$$\nabla_t \tilde{\gamma} = TY_{\tilde{\gamma}}(\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}), \tag{5.3}$$

where Y is definded via $\tilde{\sigma}_p(u,v) = \langle Y_p(u),v \rangle$ for all $p \in U'$ and $u,v \in T_pU'$. Given any $\tilde{\xi} \in W^{1,2}(\tilde{\gamma}^*TU')$ let $\xi = \tilde{\xi}^{\text{hor}} \in W^{1,2}((\gamma|_I)^*TQ)$ be the horizontal lift. We claim that it suffices to show that for almost all $t \in I$ we have

$$\langle \dot{\gamma} + \underline{\phi}(\gamma), \nabla_t \xi + \nabla_{\xi} \underline{\phi}(\gamma) \rangle = \langle \dot{\tilde{\gamma}}, \nabla_t \tilde{\xi} \rangle + T \tilde{\sigma}(\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}, \tilde{\xi}),$$
 (5.4)

where $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the local representative of σ . Indeed, integrating (5.4) over I and using the last equation in (5.2), we get for all $\tilde{\xi}$ with compact support

$$0 = \int_{0}^{1} \langle \dot{\gamma} + \underline{\phi}(\gamma), \nabla_{t}\xi + \nabla_{\xi}\underline{\phi}(\gamma) \rangle dt$$

$$= \int_{I} \langle \dot{\gamma} + \underline{\phi}(\gamma), \nabla_{t}\xi + \nabla_{\xi}\underline{\phi}(\gamma) \rangle dt$$

$$= \int_{I} (\langle \dot{\tilde{\gamma}}, \nabla_{t}\tilde{\xi} \rangle + T\tilde{\sigma}(\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}, \tilde{\xi})) dt$$

$$= \int_{I} (\langle \dot{\tilde{\gamma}}, \nabla_{t}\tilde{\xi} \rangle + T\langle Y_{\gamma}(\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}), \tilde{\xi} \rangle) dt,$$

where we have extended ξ by zero outside I. By elliptic bootstrapping we conclude that $\tilde{\gamma}$ is smooth and solves (5.3). Hence we are left to show (5.4). First, one checks directly that the function mapping $\tilde{\xi}$ to "right-hand sinde of (5.4) minus left-hand side of (5.4)" is linear over the ring $W^{1,2}(S^1,\mathbb{R})$. Hence, we can assume without loss of generality that $\tilde{\xi}$ is a pull-back of a smooth vector field on U' and ξ is the pull-back of the horizontal lift of that vector field. By abuse of notation we

denote these vector fields by the same symbol. For sake of simplicity, the following computation will be made pointwise, even though everything makes sense only almost everywhere. Also, we omit the reference to t in the notation. We compute

$$\langle \dot{\gamma} + \phi, \nabla_t \xi + \nabla_\xi \phi \rangle = \langle \dot{\gamma}, \nabla_t \xi \rangle + \langle \dot{\gamma}, \nabla_\xi \phi \rangle + \langle \phi, \nabla_t \xi \rangle + \langle \phi, \nabla_\xi \phi \rangle.$$

The function $|\underline{\phi}|^2 = |\phi|^2$ is (for fixed $t \in I$) constant on Q and hence $0 = \xi |\underline{\phi}|^2 = 2\langle \underline{\phi}, \nabla_{\xi} \underline{\phi} \rangle$. We split $\dot{\gamma}(t) = u(t) + v(t)$ into horizontal and vertical vector. Recall that v(t) is the fundamental vector field associated to $\psi(t)$ at $\gamma(t)$; therefore

$$\langle \dot{\gamma} + \underline{\phi}, \nabla_t \xi + \nabla_{\xi} \underline{\phi} \rangle = \langle u, \nabla_t \xi \rangle + \langle \dot{\gamma}, \nabla_{\xi} (\underline{\phi} + \underline{\psi}) \rangle + \langle \underline{\psi} + \underline{\phi}, \nabla_t \xi \rangle - \langle \dot{\gamma}, \nabla_{\xi} \underline{\psi} \rangle.$$

Using the fact that $\psi + \phi = -TZ$ we obtain

$$\langle \dot{\gamma} + \underline{\phi}, \nabla_t \xi + \nabla_{\xi} \underline{\phi} \rangle = \langle u, \nabla_t \xi \rangle - T \langle \dot{\gamma}, \nabla_{\xi} \underline{Z} \rangle - T \langle \underline{Z}, \nabla_t \xi \rangle - \langle \dot{\gamma}, \nabla_{\xi} \underline{\psi} \rangle.$$

Now use the fact that ξ is horizontal by construction and Lemma 3.4 to infer

$$\langle \dot{\gamma} + \underline{\phi}, \nabla_t \xi + \nabla_{\xi} \underline{\phi} \rangle = \langle u, \nabla_t \xi \rangle - T \langle \dot{\gamma}, \nabla_{\xi} \underline{Z} \rangle + T \langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \underline{Z}, \xi \rangle - T \partial_t \langle \underline{Z}, \xi \rangle - \langle \dot{\gamma}, \nabla_{\xi} \underline{\psi} \rangle$$
$$= \langle u, \nabla_t \xi \rangle - 2T \langle \dot{\gamma}, \nabla_{\xi} \underline{Z} \rangle - \langle \dot{\gamma}, \nabla_{\xi} \psi \rangle.$$

and Lemma 3.5 together with the fact that $\nabla_t \xi = \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \xi$ to obtain

$$\langle \dot{\gamma} + \underline{\phi}, \nabla_t \xi + \nabla_{\xi} \underline{\phi} \rangle = \langle u, \nabla_u \xi \rangle + \langle u, \nabla_{\underline{\psi}} \xi \rangle + T \sigma_Z (\dot{\gamma}, \xi) - \langle u, \nabla_{\xi} \underline{\psi} \rangle$$
$$= \langle u, \nabla_u \xi \rangle + T \sigma_Z (\dot{\gamma}, \xi) - \langle u, [\psi, \xi] \rangle.$$

Since the horizontal lift is invariant under the flow of $\underline{\psi}$, we conclude that $[\underline{\psi}, \xi]$ and hence the last term vanishes. Also, since by construction

$$\sigma_Z(\dot{\gamma}, \xi) = \tilde{\sigma}(\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}, \tilde{\xi})$$

(cf. Proposition 3.7), it remains only to show that

$$\langle u, \nabla_u \xi \rangle = \langle \dot{\tilde{\gamma}}, \nabla_t \tilde{\xi} \rangle.$$
 (5.5)

For every $t \in I$ extend $\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(t)$ to a vector field, denoted \tilde{u} , and let u be its horizontal lift. We compute

$$\langle u, \nabla_u \xi \rangle = \langle u, \nabla_{\xi} u \rangle + \langle u, [u, \xi] \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \xi(|u|^2) + \langle u, [u, \xi] \rangle,$$

and similarly

$$\langle \dot{\tilde{\gamma}}, \nabla_t \tilde{\xi} \rangle = \langle \tilde{u}, \nabla_{\tilde{u}} \tilde{\xi} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\xi} (|\tilde{u}|^2) + \langle \tilde{u}, [\tilde{u}, \tilde{\xi}] \rangle.$$

Using the chain-rule, the functoriality properties of the Lie bracket and the specific choice of the metric, it is easy to check that the right-hand sides of the last two equations are equal. We conclude (5.5) and thus (5.4).

We come to the last statement. Since horizontal and vertical components are orthogonal by construction we have

$$|\dot{\gamma} + \underline{\phi}(\gamma)|^2 = |\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}|^2 + |\psi + \phi|^2 = |\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}|^2 + T^2.$$

Now since $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a magnetic geodesic the function $t \mapsto |\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(t)|$ is constant and so is $t \mapsto |\dot{\gamma}(t) + \phi(t)(\gamma(t))|$. Together with the first equation of (5.2) we get

$$|\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}|^2 + T^2 = |\dot{\gamma} + \phi(\gamma)|^2 = 2T^2k$$
.

This shows the energy claim.

Remark 5.3. If G is abelian then the fundamental vector fields associated to (constant) Lie algebra elements give already enough symmetry to infer that critical points of \mathbb{S}_k project to closed magnetic geodesics in M. In fact, in this setting closed magnetic geodesics turn out to correspond to critical points of the functional $\mathbb{S}_k: W^{1,2}(S^1,Q) \times \mathfrak{g} \times (0,+\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\mathbb{S}_k(\gamma, X, T) = \frac{1}{2T} \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma} + \underline{X}(\gamma)|^2 dt + \langle X, Z \rangle + kT.$$

In the special case $G = S^1$ we retrieve precisely the functional considered in [11].

5.1. The gauge group. The domain of \mathbb{S}_k has many degrees of freedom which do not play any role for the magnetic geodesic obtained in the quotient. By the same token, different critical points of \mathbb{S}_k might correspond to the same periodic magnetic geodesic in M via (5.1). To remedy this fact we introduce on \mathcal{M} the action of a group \mathcal{G} which leaves the critical points set invariant. The group is the *loop group*

$$\mathcal{G} = W^{1,2}(S^1, G)$$

with group law given by pointwise multiplication and the action of $\rho \in \mathcal{G}$ on \mathcal{M} is given by

$$\rho \cdot (\gamma, \phi, T) = (\rho \gamma, \operatorname{Ad}_{\rho} \phi - \partial_t \rho \rho^{-1}, T), \quad \forall \ (\gamma, \phi, T) \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Lemma 5.4. If (γ, ϕ, T) is a critical point of \mathbb{S}_k , then $\rho \cdot (\gamma, \phi, T)$ is also a critical point of \mathbb{S}_k for any $\rho \in \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. Set $\gamma^{\rho} := \rho \gamma$ and $\phi^{\rho} := \operatorname{Ad}_{\rho} \phi - \partial_{t} \rho \rho^{-1}$. We compute directly $\dot{\gamma}^{\rho} = d\rho \dot{\gamma} + \underline{\partial_{t} \rho \rho^{-1}}$, where $d\rho$ denotes the differential of the ρ -action. Using the identity

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{\rho}\,\phi = d\rho\underline{\phi}\,,\tag{5.6}$$

we conclude that $\dot{\gamma}^{\rho} + \phi^{\rho} = d\rho(\dot{\gamma} + \phi)$ almost everywhere. In particular

$$\int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma} + \underline{\phi}(\gamma)|^2 dt = \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}^\rho + \underline{\phi}^\rho(\gamma^\rho)|^2 dt.$$
 (5.7)

Moreover

$$\int_0^1 \langle \dot{\gamma}^\rho + \underline{\phi}^\rho(\gamma^\rho), \underline{\eta}(\gamma^\rho) \rangle dt = \int_0^1 \langle \dot{\gamma} + \underline{\phi}(\gamma), d\rho^{-1}\underline{\eta}(\gamma) \rangle dt, \quad \forall \eta \in L^2(S^1, \mathfrak{g})$$

and, since Z is central,

$$\int_0^1 \langle \operatorname{Ad}_{\rho}^{-1} \eta, Z \rangle \, dt = \int_0^1 \langle \eta, Z \rangle \, dt.$$

This shows that the first two equations of (5.2) are satisfied if γ and ϕ are replaced by γ^{ρ} and ϕ^{ρ} respectively. We now consider the last equation in (5.2). Given a vector field ξ in γ^*TQ , we consider a map $u: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times S^1 \to Q$ such that $u(0, \cdot) = \gamma$ and $\partial_s u(s, \cdot)|_{s=0} = \xi$. We set $\gamma_s := u(s, \cdot)$ and $\gamma_s^{\rho} = \rho \gamma^s$ for all $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ and observe that, by the same computation as above,

$$|\dot{\gamma}_s^{\rho} + \underline{\phi}^{\rho}| = |\dot{\gamma}_s + \underline{\phi}|, \quad \forall s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon).$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{S}_k(\gamma_s, \phi, T) = \mathbb{S}_k(\gamma_s^{\rho}, \phi^{\rho}, T) - \Delta_{\rho}, \qquad \forall \ s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \,,$$

where

$$\Delta_{\rho} := \int_{0}^{1} \langle \partial_{t} \rho \rho^{-1}, Z \rangle dt \tag{5.8}$$

is independent of s. Differentiating in s and evaluating at s=0 yields

$$0 = d_{\gamma} \mathbb{S}_k(\gamma, \phi, T)[\xi] = d_{\gamma^{\rho}} \mathbb{S}_k(\gamma^{\rho}, \phi^{\rho}, T)[d\rho \xi].$$

The computations above show that the functional \mathbb{S}_k is not invariant under the action of \mathcal{G} , although the set of critical points does. In fact, we have

$$\mathbb{S}_{k}(\rho \cdot (\gamma, \phi, T)) = \mathbb{S}_{k}(\gamma, \phi, T) + \Delta_{\rho}, \quad \forall \rho \in \mathcal{G}, \ \forall (\gamma, \phi, T) \in \mathcal{M}, \tag{5.9}$$

where Δ_{ρ} is given by (5.8). In case $\rho = \exp(\eta)$ for some path $\eta : [0,1] \to \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$\Delta_{\rho} = \langle \eta(1) - \eta(0), Z \rangle. \tag{5.10}$$

Indeed, $\partial_t \rho \rho^{-1} = \operatorname{Ad}_{\rho} \partial_t \eta$ almost everywhere and hence

$$\int_0^1 \langle \partial_t \rho \rho^{-1}, Z \rangle \, dt = \int_0^1 \langle \partial_t \eta, Z \rangle \, dt$$

since Z is central. The claim follows then by the fundamental theorem of calculus. It is worth to notice that, if G is not connected, then not every $\rho \in \mathcal{G}$ can be written as $\exp(\eta)$ for some $\eta:[0,1]\to\mathfrak{g}$. However, for our purposes, it will always be sufficient to consider elements ρ which admit such a representation.

From (5.9) we can also deduce that \mathbb{S}_k is not bounded from above nor from below. Indeed, consider $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\langle X, Z \rangle \neq 0$ and $\exp(X) = e$, where e is the neutral element of G. For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $\rho_m \in \mathcal{G}$ via $\rho_m(t) = \exp(mXt)$. By (5.9) we then get

$$\mathbb{S}_k(\rho_m \cdot (\gamma, \phi, T)) = \mathbb{S}_k(\gamma, \phi, T) + m\langle X, Z \rangle.$$

5.2. The Palais-Smale condition up to gauge transformations. In infinite dimensional Morse theory, the Palais-Smale condition plays the role of compactness, since it roughly speaking allows to find critical points of a functional on a Hilbert manifold from a sequence of approximately critical points. The lack of such a compactness property poses therefore major difficulties and one is forced to look for additional informations in order to prove existence of critical points. An evidence of this is represented precisely by the functional $\mathbb{S}_k : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, in the case of S^1 -actions treated in [11], the Palais-Smale condition for \mathbb{S}_k does not hold on \mathcal{M} , but rather on subsets $\mathcal{M}_{[T_*,T^*]} \subset \mathcal{M}$ of triples (γ,X,T) with $0 < T_* \leq T \leq T^*$. As it turns out, this is enough to show existence of critical points of \mathbb{S}_k - for almost every k - by means of a clever monotonicity argument, better known as the *Struwe monotonicity argument* [36] (for other applications we refer e.g. to [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 19]).

In the setting considered in this paper the situation becomes even more delicate, since if $G \neq S^1$ the functional \mathbb{S}_k fails to satisfy the Palais-Smale condition even on the subsets $\mathcal{M}_{[T_*,T^*]}$. Nevertheless, a suitable generalization of the Palais-Smale condition (namely, the Palais-Smale condition "up to gauge transformations") for \mathbb{S}_k on $\mathcal{M}_{[T_*,T^*]}$ turns out to hold true. Recall that a sequence $(\gamma_h,\phi_h,T_h)_{h\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{M}$ is called a *Palais-Smale sequence for* \mathbb{S}_k if there exists $c\in\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lim_{h \to +\infty} \mathbb{S}_k(\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h) = c, \qquad \lim_{h \to +\infty} |d\mathbb{S}_k(\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h)| = 0.$$

More precisely, we say that $(\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h)_h$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for \mathbb{S}_k at c. In the definition above $|\cdot|$ denotes, with slight abuse of notation, the (dual) norm on

 $T^*\mathcal{M}$ induced by the metric $g_{\mathcal{M}}$. The functional \mathbb{S}_k is said to satisfy the Palais-Smale condition if every Palais-Smale sequence admits a converging subsequence.

Definition 5.5. A sequence $(\rho_h) \subset \mathcal{G}$ is called *admissible*, if the sequence $(\Delta_{\rho_h})_h \subset \mathbb{R}$, Δ_{ρ_h} defined as in (5.8) for every $h \in \mathbb{N}$, is bounded from above and below.

Let $(\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h)_h \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a Palais-Smale sequence for \mathbb{S}_k and $(\rho_h)_{h \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{G}$ be an admissible sequence. Then, up to passing to a subsequence if necessary, $(\rho_h \cdot (\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h))_h$ is again a Palais-Smale sequence for \mathbb{S}_k . Indeed, after passing to a subsequence we can assume that $\Delta_{\rho_h} \to \Delta$ converges. That $(\rho_h \cdot (\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h))_h$ is a Palais-Smale sequence at level $c + \Delta$ follows now from the same computations as in Lemma 5.4 and Equation (5.9). If $G \neq S^1$, then it is easy to construct an admissible sequence $(\rho_h) \subset \mathcal{G}$ such that $(\rho_h \cdot (\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h))$ does not contain a converging subsequence, even though $(\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{[T_*, T^*]}$. Observe that the gauged sequence still belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{[T_*, T^*]}$, since $\mathcal{M}_{[T_*, T^*]}$ is obviously \mathcal{G} -invariant. Therefore, \mathbb{S}_k does not satisfy the Palais-Smale condition on $\mathcal{M}_{[T_*, T^*]}$.

On the other hand, given a Palais-Smale sequence $(\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{[T_*, T^*]}$, one might hope to find an admissible sequence $(\rho_h) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ such that $(\rho_h \cdot (\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h))$ has a converging subsequence. By this observation we are naturally led to the following

Definition 5.6. A \mathcal{G} -invariant subset $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{M}$ is said to satisfy the Palais-Smale condition up to gauge transformations if for any Palais-Smale sequence $(\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h)_h \subset \mathcal{K}$ there exists an admissible sequence $(\rho_h) \subset \mathcal{G}$ such that $(\rho_h \cdot (\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h))_h$ contains a converging subsequence.

Before we come to the main result of this subsection, namely that $\mathcal{M}_{[T_*,T^*]}$ does satisfy the Palais-Smale condition up to gauge transformations, we prove some elementary estimates for Palais-Smale sequences which will be useful later on.

Lemma 5.7. Suppose (γ_h, ϕ_h, T_h) is a Palais-Smale sequence for \mathbb{S}_k at level c, then

$$\int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}_h + \underline{\phi_h}(\gamma_h)|^2 dt = O(T_h^2), \quad \left| \int_0^1 \langle \phi_h, Z \rangle dt \right| = O(T_h). \tag{5.11}$$

Moreover, $T_h \to 0$ if and only if $\int_0^1 \langle \phi_h, Z \rangle dt \to c$. In this case we have

$$\int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}_h + \underline{\phi_h}(\gamma_h)|^2 dt \to 0.$$

Proof. We have

$$c + o(1) = \frac{1}{2T_h} \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}_h + \underline{\phi_h}(\gamma_h)|^2 dt + \int_0^1 \langle \phi_h, Z \rangle dt + kT_h, \tag{5.12}$$

$$o(1) = \frac{\partial \mathbb{S}_k}{\partial T}(\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h) = k - \frac{1}{2T_h^2} \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}_h + \underline{\phi_h}(\gamma_h)|^2 dt.$$
 (5.13)

From (5.13) it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2T_h} \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}_h + \underline{\phi_h}(\gamma_h)|^2 dt = kT_h + T_h o(1).$$

The first equation in (5.11) follows. Replacing the last expression in (5.12) we get

$$\int_0^1 \langle \phi_h, Z \rangle \, dt = c - 2kT_h - T_h o(1) + o(1) \,.$$

This shows the rest of the claim.

Lemma 5.8. Suppose $(\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h)_h \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for \mathbb{S}_k such that $T_h = O(1)$. Then there exists an admissible sequence $(\rho_h) \subset \mathcal{G}$ such that the gauged sequence $(\rho_h \cdot \phi_h = \operatorname{Ad}_{\rho_h} \phi_h - \partial_t \rho_h \rho_h^{-1})_h$ admits a (strongly in L^2) converging subsequence.

Proof. We divide the proof in two steps:

Step 1: Fix a maximal torus $T \subset G$ (that is, a connected, closed and abelian subgroup of maximal dimension) with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} . For every $h \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$\overline{\phi}_h := \int_0^1 \phi_h(t) dt \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

By [17, Thm. 6.4] there exists $g_h \in G$ such that $\operatorname{Ad}_{g_h} \overline{\phi}_h \in \mathfrak{t}$. Set $\Lambda := \{X \in \mathfrak{t} \mid \exp(X) = e\}$, where as usual e denotes the neutral element in G. The subgroup $\Lambda \subset \mathfrak{t}$ is a lattice and so we find $X'_h \in \Lambda$ such that $|\operatorname{Ad}_{g_h} \overline{\phi}_h - X'_h|$ is uniformly bounded. With $X_h := \operatorname{Ad}_{g_h}^{-1} X'_h$ we now have

$$\left| \overline{\phi}_h - X_h \right| = O(1) \,. \tag{5.14}$$

Now for all $h \in \mathbb{N}$ define $\eta_h : [0,1] \to \mathfrak{g}$ and $\rho_h : [0,1] \to G$ by

$$\eta_h(t) := \int_0^t \phi_h(s) \, ds - t(\overline{\phi}_h - X_h), \quad \rho_h(t) := \exp(\eta_h(t)).$$

Notice that $\eta_h(0) = 0$ and $\eta_h(1) = X_h$. Therefore, $\rho_h \in \mathcal{G}$ for all $h \in \mathbb{N}$ as by construction ρ_h is of class $W^{1,2}$ and $\exp(X_h) = e$. Gauging ϕ_h by ρ_h yields

$$\rho_h \cdot \phi_h = \operatorname{Ad}_{\rho_h} \phi_h - \partial_t \rho_h \rho_h^{-1} = \operatorname{Ad}_{\rho_h} \phi_h - \operatorname{Ad}_{\rho_h} \partial_t \eta_h = \operatorname{Ad}_{\rho_h} (\overline{\phi}_h - X_h); \quad (5.15)$$

in particular, the gauged sequence $(\rho_h \cdot \phi_h)$ is contained in $W^{1,2}(S^1, \mathfrak{g})$, as Ad is smooth and $\overline{\phi}_h - X_h$ is constant. Moreover, we have the pointwise estimate

$$|\rho_h \cdot \phi_h| = |\operatorname{Ad}_{\rho_h} \phi_h - \partial_t \rho_h \rho_h^{-1}| = |\phi_h - \partial_t \eta_h| = |\overline{\phi}_h - X_h| = O(1).$$

This shows that the gauged sequence is uniformly bounded in L^{∞} and hence also in L^2 . Thus, it only remains to to check that the sequence $(\rho_h)_h$ is admissible. By Lemma 5.7 we have

$$\langle \overline{\phi}_h, Z \rangle = \int_0^1 \langle \phi_h(t), Z \rangle dt = O(T_h) = O(1).$$

and thus by (5.10) and (5.14)

$$|\Delta_{\rho_h}| = |\langle X_h, Z \rangle| \le |X_h - \overline{\phi}_h| + |\langle \overline{\phi}_h, Z \rangle| = O(1).$$

Step 2: By the first step, after gauging and passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that (γ_h, ϕ_h, T_h) is a Palais-Smale sequence for \mathbb{S}_k with $(\phi_h) \subseteq W^{1,2}(S^1,\mathfrak{g})$ uniformly bounded in L^{∞} . We now repeat the gauge procedure as in Step 1 taking $X_h = 0$ and observe that the gauged sequence $(\rho_h \cdot \phi_h)$ is contained in

 $W^{2,2}(S^1,\mathfrak{g})$. Therefore, we can compute the derivative with respect to t of $\rho_h \cdot \phi_h$ and, using (5.15), we obtain

$$\partial_t(\rho_h \cdot \phi_h) = \operatorname{Ad}_{\rho_h} \left(\operatorname{ad}_{\partial_t \eta_h} \left(\overline{\phi}_h \right) \right).$$

In particular we obtain the pointwise estimate

$$\left| \partial_t (\rho_h \cdot \phi_h) \right| = \left| \operatorname{ad}_{\partial_t \eta_h} \overline{\phi}_h \right| \le \left| \partial_t \eta_h \right| \left| \overline{\phi}_h \right| \le \left(\left| \phi_h \right| + \left| \overline{\phi}_h \right| \right) \left| \overline{\phi}_h \right| = O(1)$$

which shows that $(\rho_h \cdot \phi_h)_h$ is uniformly bounded in $W^{1,\infty}$, hence in $W^{1,2}$. The claim follows using the compactness of the embedding $W^{1,2} \hookrightarrow L^2$.

Remark 5.9. In the proof of the lemma above we actually showed that we can choose the admissible sequence $(\rho_h) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ in such a way that the gauged sequence $(\rho_h \cdot \phi_h)$ is uniformly bounded in $W^{1,2}(S^1, \mathfrak{g})$ and hence, in particular admits, a weakly (in $W^{1,2}$) converging subsequence.

Lemma 5.10. Suppose (γ_h, ϕ_h, T_h) is a Palais-Smale sequence for \mathbb{S}_k such that $0 < T_* \leq T_h \leq T^*$ for all $h \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists an admissible sequence $(\rho_h) \subset \mathcal{G}$ such that $(\rho_h \cdot (\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h))$ contains a strongly converging subsequence.

Proof. By Lemma 5.8, up to taking a subsequence and applying a gauge transformation we can assume that ϕ_h converges weakly in $W^{1,2}$ (and strongly in L^2) to some $\phi \in W^{1,2}(S^1,\mathfrak{g})$, and that $T_h \to T \in [T_*,T^*]$ as $h \to \infty$. Using the inequality $(a+b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$ and Lemma 5.7 we obtain

$$\int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}_h|^2 dt \le 2 \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}_h + \underline{\phi_h}(\gamma_h)|^2 dt + 2 \int_0^1 |\phi_h|^2 dt = O(1).$$
 (5.16)

This shows that $\|\dot{\gamma}_h\|_2$ is uniformly bounded and, hence, that the sequence $(\gamma_h)_h$ is $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder equicontinuous. Up to taking a subsequence, the theorem of Arzela-Ascoli yields the existence of $\gamma \in C^0(S^1, E)$ such that $\gamma_h \to \gamma$ uniformly as $h \to \infty$. The fact that the convergence of γ_h to γ is actually strong in $W^{1,2}$ follows now by standard arguments, as we show in Lemma A.1 (see also [1, Lemma 5.3]).

5.3. A complete gradient vector field for \mathbb{S}_k . Consider the bounded vector field

$$\mathcal{X}_k := \frac{-\operatorname{grad} \mathbb{S}_k}{\sqrt{1 + |\operatorname{grad} \mathbb{S}_k|^2}} \tag{5.17}$$

conformally equivalent to $-\operatorname{grad} \mathbb{S}_k$, where the gradient of \mathbb{S}_k is defined with respect to the Riemannian metric $g_{\mathcal{M}}$. Since \mathbb{S}_k is smooth, the vector field \mathcal{X}_k is locally Lipschitz continuous and hence its flow Φ_k is well-defined. However, Φ_k is not complete, since there are flow-lines on which the variable T approaches zero in finite time. On the other hand, the only source of non completeness for Φ_k is represented by such flow-lines; hence, "stopping them" in a suitable fashion yields a complete flow. However, while doing this we should be careful not to lose any geometric property of the functional \mathbb{S}_k . To this purpose, we need to know more about the behavior of the functional \mathbb{S}_k in a neighborhood of elements that are approached by finite maximal flow-lines on which $T \to 0$.

We call an element $(\gamma, \phi, T) \in \mathcal{M}$ vertical, if $\dot{\gamma} + \underline{\phi}(\gamma) = 0$ almost everywhere. We see that if (γ, ϕ, T) is vertical then necessarily the vector $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ is vertical for almost every $t \in S^1$ and γ projects to a constant loop in the quotient M. Moreover by (5.9) we see immediately that

$$\mathbb{S}_k(\gamma, \phi, T) = \int_0^1 \langle \phi, Z \rangle \, dt + kT \,. \tag{5.18}$$

We now examine neighborhoods of vertical elements in \mathcal{M} . For $\delta > 0$ define

$$\mathcal{V}_{\delta} := \left\{ (\gamma, \phi, T) \in \mathcal{M} \mid \int_{0}^{1} |\dot{\gamma} + \underline{\phi}(\gamma)|^{2} dt < \delta \right\}.$$

Our first goal is to show that, for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, the space \mathcal{V}_{δ} is a disjoint union of neighborhoods of "local minima" of \mathbb{S}_k . To this purpose we need some notation: we set $Z(G) := \{g \in G \mid gh = hg, \forall h \in G\}$ to be the center of G, \mathfrak{z} to be its Lie algebra and $p_{\mathfrak{z}} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{z}$ to be the orthogonal projection. Note that \mathfrak{z} is non-trivial because $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$ is non-trivial by Proposition 3.7. Further we denote with $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{z}} := \{X \in \mathfrak{z} \mid \exp(X) = e\}$ the unit lattice in \mathfrak{z} , where $e \in G$ is the neutral element of G. Finally, for every $\phi \in L^2(S^1, \mathfrak{g})$ we define

$$\overline{\phi} := \int_0^1 \phi(t) \, dt \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{5.19}$$

Lemma 5.11. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following property holds: for all $(\gamma, \phi, T) \in \mathcal{V}_{\delta}$ there exists $X \in \frac{1}{N}\Lambda_{\mathfrak{z}}$ such that

$$|X - p_3 \overline{\phi}| < \sqrt{\delta}.$$

Proof. Define the path $\mu:[0,1]\to Q,\ \mu(t):=\rho(t)\gamma(t)$, where

$$\rho: [0,1] \to G, \quad \rho(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t \phi(s) \, ds\right), \quad \forall \ t \in [0,1].$$

By (5.6) we have

$$\int_0^1 |\dot{\mu}|^2 \, dt = \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma} + \underline{\phi}(\gamma)| \, dt < \delta \, .$$

This shows in particular that

$$\operatorname{dist}(\gamma(0), \exp(\overline{\phi})\gamma(0)) = \operatorname{dist}(\mu(0), \mu(1)) < \sqrt{\delta}.$$

If $\Gamma_{\gamma(0)} \subset G$ denotes the stabilizer at $\gamma(0)$, then the previous inequality yields

$$\operatorname{dist}(g,h) < \sqrt{\delta}, \qquad h = \exp(\overline{\phi}),$$

for some $g \in \Gamma_{\gamma(0)}$. Since Q is compact there exists – up to conjugation – only finitely many different subgroups which appear as stabilizer groups. Let N be the product of their orders. It follows that $g^N = e$. Using the triangle inequality combined with the invariance of the distance on G with respect to right and left multiplication we then obtain

$$\operatorname{dist}(e, h^N) < N\sqrt{\delta}, \qquad h^N = \exp(N\overline{\phi}).$$
 (5.20)

Now let $T \subset G$ be a maximal torus with Lie algebra $\mathfrak t$ and integer lattice $\Lambda = \{X \in \mathfrak t \mid \exp(X) = e\}$. By [17, Thm. 6.4] there exists $\ell \in G$ such that $\operatorname{Ad}_{\ell} \overline{\phi} \in \mathfrak t$. Since $\exp: \mathfrak t \to T$ is a local isometry we deduce from (5.20) that there exists $X \in \Lambda$ such that

$$|\operatorname{Ad}_{\ell} N\overline{\phi} - X| < N\sqrt{\delta}.$$

Moreover, since the splitting $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \ker p_{\mathfrak{z}}$ is left invariant by the adjoint action we have $p_{\mathfrak{z}} \operatorname{Ad}_{\ell} \overline{\phi} = p_{\mathfrak{z}} \overline{\phi}$ and hence

$$|Np_3\overline{\phi} - p_3X| = |p_3(N\operatorname{Ad}_{\ell}\overline{\phi} - X)| \le |\operatorname{Ad}_{\ell}N\overline{\phi} - X| < N\sqrt{\delta}.$$

The claim follows from the fact that $p_3(\Lambda) = \Lambda_3$.

By the previous lemma we see that for all $\delta > 0$ small enough we have

$$\mathcal{V}_{\delta} = \bigsqcup_{X \in \frac{1}{N} \Lambda_{\delta}} \mathcal{V}_{\delta, X}, \qquad \mathcal{V}_{\delta, X} := \left\{ (\gamma, \phi, T) \in \mathcal{V}_{\delta} \mid |p_{\mathfrak{z}}\overline{\phi} - X| < \sqrt{\delta} \right\}.$$

Observe that $\mathcal{V}_{\delta,X}$ might be empty. However if there exists $p \in Q$ such that $\exp(X) \in \Gamma_p$, where $\Gamma_p \subset G$ denotes the stabilizer subgroup at p, then $\mathcal{V}_{\delta,X}$ is non-empty and contains the vertical element (γ_X, ϕ_X, T) given by $\phi_X(t) = X$ and $\gamma_X(t) = \exp(tX)p$, for all $t \in [0,1]$. For such a vertical element we have

$$\mathbb{S}_k(\gamma_X, \phi_X, T) = \langle X, Z \rangle + kT.$$

Lemma 5.12. For $\delta > 0$ small enough there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $X \in \frac{1}{N}\Lambda_{\mathfrak{z}}$ with $\mathcal{V}_{\delta,X} \neq \emptyset$ we have

$$\inf_{\mathcal{V}_{\delta,X}} \mathbb{S}_k = \langle X, Z \rangle \,, \qquad \inf_{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\delta,X}} \mathbb{S}_k > \langle X, Z \rangle + \epsilon \,.$$

Proof. For every $(\gamma, \phi, T) \in \partial \mathcal{V}_{\delta, X}$ we compute

$$\mathbb{S}_{k}(\gamma, \phi, T) = \frac{\delta}{2T} + \langle \overline{\phi}, Z \rangle + kT \ge \sqrt{2\delta k} + \langle \overline{\phi}, Z \rangle \ge \sqrt{2\delta k} - \sqrt{\delta} + \langle X, Z \rangle,$$

where the first inequality is obtained by minimizing in T and the last is given by Lemma 5.11. Notice indeed that by construction $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$ and hence

$$\langle \overline{\phi}, Z \rangle = \langle p_3 \overline{\phi}, Z \rangle.$$

The second assertion follows, as $(\sqrt{2k}-1)\sqrt{\delta}>0$. The first assertion follows as well, since any $(\gamma,\phi,T)\in\mathcal{V}_{\delta,X}$ is contained $\partial\mathcal{V}_{\delta',X}$ for $\delta':=\int_0^1|\dot{\gamma}+\underline{\phi}(\gamma)|^2$.

Corollary 5.13. Let (γ_h, ϕ_h, T_h) be a Palais-Smale sequence for \mathbb{S}_k such that $T_h \to 0$. Then, after gauging and taking a subsequence if necessary, we have that

$$\mathbb{S}_k(\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h) \to \langle X, Z \rangle$$
,

for some $X \in \frac{1}{N}\Lambda_{\mathfrak{z}}$ and, for any $\delta > 0$, the sequence (γ_h, ϕ_h, T_h) eventually enters the set $\mathcal{V}_{\delta,X}$.

Proof. Fix $\delta > 0$. By the first equation of (5.13) we see that

$$\int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}_h + \underline{\phi_h}(\gamma_h)|^2 dt = 2T_h^2(k + o(1)) = 2T_h^2k + o(T_h^2).$$

In particular $(\gamma_h, T_h, Z_h) \in \mathcal{V}_{\delta}$ for h large enough. By Step 1 in the proof of Lemma 5.8 we can find an admissible sequence $(\rho_h)_h \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ such that the gauged sequence $(\rho_h \cdot \phi_h)$ is uniformly bounded in L^2 . We pick one such admissible sequence and consider the gauged sequence $(\rho_h \cdot (\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h))$. For sake of simplicity we will denote the gauged sequence again with (γ_h, ϕ_h, T_h) .

By Lemma 5.11, for every $h \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $X_h \in \frac{1}{N}\Lambda_3$ such that

$$|p_3\overline{\phi}_h - X_h| < \sqrt{2(k+o(1))}T_h = \sqrt{2k}T_h + o(T_h).$$
 (5.21)

It follows that

$$\mathbb{S}_{k}(\gamma_{h}, \phi_{h}, T_{h}) = \frac{1}{2T_{h}} \int_{0}^{1} |\dot{\gamma}_{h} + \underline{\phi_{h}}(\gamma_{h})|^{2} dt + \langle \overline{\phi}_{h}, Z \rangle + kT_{h}$$

$$\leq 2kT_{h} + \langle X_{h}, Z \rangle + \sqrt{2k}T_{h} + o(T_{h})$$

$$< \langle X_{h}, Z \rangle + o(1),$$

for h large enough. On the other hand

$$\mathbb{S}_k(\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h) \ge 2kT_h + \langle X_h, Z \rangle - \sqrt{2k}T_h + o(T_h) \ge \langle X_h, Z \rangle,$$

for h large enough, as k>1/2. Since ϕ_h is uniformly bounded in L^2 , $|\overline{\phi}_h|$ is uniformly bounded as well. Therefore, the set

$$\{\langle X_h, Z \rangle \mid h \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$$

is discrete (actually finite). We conclude that there exists $X \in \frac{1}{N}\Lambda_{\mathfrak{z}}$ such that $X_h = X$ for every h large enough. In particular, $\mathbb{S}_k(\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h) \to \langle X, Z \rangle$ and, in virtue of (5.21), $(\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h) \in \mathcal{V}_{\delta,X}$ for h large enough, as we wished to prove.

The next lemma shows that maximal flow-lines of \mathcal{X}_k that are defined on a finite interval have to approach vertical elements. The proof is analogous to the one of [11, Lemma 4.9] and will be omitted.

Lemma 5.14. Suppose $u:[0,R) \to \mathcal{M}$ is a maximal flow-line of \mathcal{X}_k , then there exist $X \in \frac{1}{N}\Lambda_{\mathfrak{z}}$ and a sequence $r_h \uparrow R$ such that $u(r_h) \in \mathcal{V}_{\delta,X}$ for all h large enough and with $(\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h) := u(r_h)$ we have

$$\int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}_h + \underline{\phi_h}(\gamma_h)|^2 dt \to 0, \quad \mathbb{S}_k(u(r_h)) \to \langle X, Z \rangle.$$

Using Lemma 5.14 it is now easy to get from Φ^k a complete flow by stopping flow-lines which enter the sets

$$\{\mathbb{S}_k < \langle X, Z \rangle + \epsilon\} \cap \mathcal{V}_{\delta, X}, \quad \forall X \in \frac{1}{N} \Lambda_{\mathfrak{z}}.$$

With slight abuse of notation, we denote the complete flow also with Φ^k .

6. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3

In this section, building on the results of the previous ones, we prove Theorem 1.1 and 1.3. In order to show the existence of critical points of \mathbb{S}_k , we will use the topological assumption on M to find a suitable (non-trivial) minimax class on the Hilbert manifold \mathcal{M} and a corresponding minimax function. We will then show that such a minimax function yields critical points of \mathbb{S}_k for almost every $k > \frac{1}{2}$.

The proof for Theorem 1.1 follows closely [11]; however, some extra care is needed in the whole line of argument. Indeed, on the one hand the functional \mathbb{S}_k satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on $\mathcal{M}_{[T_*,T^*]}$ only up to gauge transformations and, on the other hand, the construction of the minimax class requires techniques coming from rational homotopy theory and orbifold theoretical homotopy theory.

6.1. The minimax class for Theorem 1.1. We call M rationally aspherical if $\pi_{\ell}^{\mathrm{orb}}(M)$ $\otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is trivial for all $\ell \geq 2$, where by $\pi_{\ell}^{\mathrm{orb}}(M)$ we denote the orbifold-theoretic homotopy group as defined in [5, Def. 1.50]. Recall that with notation from Proposition 3.7 and [5, Prop. 1.51] the orbifold homotopy group of M are the (classical) homotopy groups of the Borel quotient $BM := Q \times_G EG$, where EG denotes the universal G-bundle. For every $k \geq 1$ we obtain an homomorphism

$$\tau_k : \pi_k(Q) \to \pi_k^{\text{orb}}(M),$$
(6.1)

which is induced by the quotient map $Q \times EG \to BM$.

Lemma 6.1. Assume that $\pi_k^{\text{orb}}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \neq 0$ for some $k \geq 2$, then there exists a class $a \in \pi_{\ell}(Q)$ for some $\ell \geq 2$, such that $\tau_{\ell}(a)$ has infinite order.

Proof. The fibration $G \hookrightarrow Q \times EG \to BM$ induces an exact homotopy sequence

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\tau_{k+1}} \pi_{k+1}^{\text{orb}}(M) \longrightarrow \pi_k(G) \longrightarrow \pi_k(Q) \xrightarrow{\tau_k} \pi_k^{\text{orb}}(M) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

If $\tau_k \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is trivial for all $k \geq 2$, then the sequence splits into short exact sequences

$$0 \to \pi_{k+1}^{\mathrm{orb}}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \pi_k(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \pi_k(Q) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to 0$$
, $\forall k \ge 1$.

In particular we have that

$$\dim \pi_{k+1}^{\operatorname{orb}}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \leq \dim \pi_k(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \quad \forall \ k \geq 1.$$

Let \widetilde{BM} be the (classical) universal cover of BM. Since $\pi_k^{\text{orb}}(M) = \pi_k(BM) \cong \pi_k(\widetilde{BM})$ for all $k \geq 2$, we obtain

$$\dim \pi_{k+1}(\widetilde{BM}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \leq \dim \pi_k(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \quad \forall \ k \geq 1.$$

Furthermore, since $\pi_{2j}(G)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ is trivial for all $j\geq 1$ (cf. [22, §15(f)]) we see that $\pi_{2j+1}(\widetilde{BM})\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ is trivial for all $j\geq 0$. By the same inequality we conclude that $\pi_*(\widetilde{BM})\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ has finite type and thus $H_*(\widetilde{BM},\mathbb{Q})$ as well, following the remark after [22, Thm. 15.11]. By [22, Thm. 15.11] we see that the minimal model (V,d) of \widetilde{BM} has only even generators, which implies that the differential d is trivial. The same theorem implies that V^k is non-trivial for some $k\geq 2$, since by assumption $\pi_k^{\mathrm{orb}}(M)\otimes\mathbb{Q}\cong\pi_k(\widetilde{BM})\otimes\mathbb{Q}\neq 0$. Let $x\in V^k$ be a non-trivial element. Since V is a free algebra, its powers $x^j\in V^{jk}$ are non-trivial for all $j\geq 1$. By the property of a minimal model and the vanishing of the differential of V, we have that $V^*\cong H^*(\widetilde{BM},\mathbb{Q})$ and in particular $H^{jk}(\widetilde{BM},\mathbb{Q})$ is non-trivial for all $j\geq 1$.

Now let \widetilde{M} be the universal orbifold cover of M in the sense of [5, Def. 2.16]. By [5, Prop. 2.17] the Borel quotient corresponding to \widetilde{M} is \widetilde{BM} . The Vietoris-Begle theorem yields an isomorphism $H^*(\widetilde{BM},\mathbb{Q})\cong H^*(\widetilde{M},\mathbb{Q})$ where the right-hand denotes the singular cohomology of the underlying topological space (cf. [5, Prop. 2.12]). It follows that $H^*(\widetilde{M},\mathbb{Q})$ is non-trivial in arbitrary large degrees, which is impossible for the finite-dimensional orbifold \widetilde{M} .

For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ let $B^{\ell} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ the standard ball with boundary $S^{\ell-1}$. We identify the space Q with the subspace of constant loops in $W^{1,2}(S^1,Q)$. Further we set $Q_{T_0} := Q \times \{0\} \times (0,T_0] \subset \mathcal{M}$, for $T_0 > 0$ fixed. By equation (5.18) we have

$$\max_{Q_{T_0}} \mathbb{S}_k = kT_0 \le \varepsilon/2$$

if $T_0 > 0$ is chosen small enough, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is the constant from Lemma 5.12. It is well-known that with any continuous map

$$u: (B^{\ell-1}, S^{\ell-2}) \to (\mathcal{M}, Q_{T_0}),$$

we can associate a continuous map $v = v_u : S^{\ell} \to Q \times \mathfrak{g} \times (0, \infty)$ and, conversely, with every smooth map $v : S^{\ell} \to Q \times \mathfrak{g} \times (0, T_0]$ we can associate a continuous map of pairs of spaces

$$u = u_v : (B^{\ell-1}, S^{\ell-2}) \to (\mathcal{M}, Q_{T_0}),$$

such that v_{u_v} is homotopic to v. Moreover a homotopy of u induces a homotopy of u_v and vice versa (cf. [29, Proof of Theorem 2.4.20] for more details). By abuse of notation we denote by $[u] \in \pi_{\ell}(Q)$ the homotopy class associated to v_u , where we have additionally identified $\pi_{\ell}(Q \times \mathfrak{g} \times (0, \infty)) \cong \pi_{\ell}(Q)$ canonically.

Lemma 6.2. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $u : (B^{\ell-1}, S^{\ell-2}) \to (\mathcal{M}, Q_{T_0})$ satisfying $u(x) \in \mathcal{V}_{\delta}$ for all $x \in B^{\ell-1}$ we have that $\tau_{\ell}([u]) = 0$ in $\pi_{\ell}^{\mathrm{orb}}(M)$.

Proof. Let $T\subset G$ be a maximal tours with Lie algebra $\mathfrak t$ and unit lattice $\Lambda\subset \mathfrak t$. For $x\in B^{\ell-1}$ write $u(x)=(\gamma_x,\phi_x,T_x)$ and let $\overline{\phi}_x\in \mathfrak g$ be as defined in (5.19). As explained in the proof of Lemma 5.11 for any $x\in B^{\ell-1}$ we find $g=g_x\in G$ and $X=X_x\in \frac{1}{N}\Lambda$ such that $|\operatorname{Ad}_g\overline{\phi}_x-X|<\sqrt{\delta}$. Hence, either

$$|\overline{\phi}_x| < \sqrt{\delta},$$

or

$$|\overline{\phi}_x| > \Delta - \sqrt{\delta}, \quad \Delta := \min_{X \in \frac{1}{N} \Lambda \backslash \{0\}} |X| > 0.$$

For δ small enough the statements are mutually exclusive and since $x\mapsto |\overline{\phi}_x|$ is continuous and vanishes for all $x\in S^{\ell-2}$, we conclude that $|\overline{\phi}_x|<\sqrt{\delta}$ for all $x\in B^{\ell-1}$. Now set $(\gamma_x^{\rho_x},\phi_x^{\rho_x},T_x):=\rho_x\cdot(\gamma_x,\phi_x,T_x)$, where

$$\rho_x: S^1 \to G, \qquad t \mapsto \exp\left(\int_0^t \phi_x(t') dt' - \overline{\phi}_x t'\right).$$

As in the proof of Lemma 5.8 we see that $|\phi_x^{\rho_x}| \leq |\overline{\phi}_x| < \sqrt{\delta}$ and hence, using (5.16),

$$\int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}_x^{\rho_x}|^2 \, dt < 4\delta.$$

In particular, for $\delta > 0$ small enough the map $x \mapsto \gamma_x^{\rho_x}$ defines the trivial homotopy class in $\pi_{\ell}(Q)$ (cf. [28, Thm. 1.4.15]). We conclude that [u] is in the image of $\pi_{\ell}(G) \to \pi_{\ell}(Q)$ and in particular mapped to the trivial class to $\pi_{\ell}^{\text{orb}}(M)$.

Given the homotopy class $a \in \pi_{\ell}(Q)$ as in Lemma 6.1, we now define

$$\mathcal{P} := \left\{ u : (B^{\ell-1}, S^{\ell-2}) \to (\mathcal{M}, Q_{T_0}) \middle| \ [u] = a \right\}.$$

We readily see that $\mathcal{P} \neq \emptyset$, since $u_v \in \mathcal{P}$ for any $v : S^{\ell} \to Q \times \{0\} \times (0, T_0]$ smooth such that [v] = a. Obviously, \mathcal{P} is invariant under the complete flow defined in Subsection 5.3, provided that $T_0 > 0$ is small enough. The last property of \mathcal{P} we need is that every element $u \in \mathcal{P}$ has to intersect $\partial \mathcal{V}_{\delta}$ (more precisely, $\partial \mathcal{V}_{\delta,0}$). This follows trivially from Lemma 6.2.

6.2. The minimax class for Theorem 1.3. We adapt the argument of [27] to our setting. Consider a tube $G \times_{\Gamma} U$, where $\Gamma \subset G$ is a stabilizer group and $U \subset Q$ is a contractible slice (i.e. a submanifold which is Γ invariant). From the G-equivariant embedding $G \times_{\Gamma} U \hookrightarrow Q$ we obtain an embedding

$$U \times_{\Gamma} EG \cong (G \times_{\Gamma} U) \times_G EG \hookrightarrow Q \times_G EG = BM$$

which induces a group homomorphism

$$\rho: \Gamma \cong \pi_1(U \times_{\Gamma} EG) \to \pi_1(BM) \cong \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(M). \tag{6.2}$$

Such a homomorphism is precisely the homomorphism defined in [5, Lemma 2.22]. We deduce that, if M is not developable, we can find a tube $U \times_{\Gamma} EG$ and a non-trivial element $[\bar{\gamma}]$ in $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(U)$ which is trivial in $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(M)$. Consider the diagram with exact rows

$$\pi_1(G) \longrightarrow \pi_1(G \times_{\Gamma} U) \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(U) \longrightarrow \pi_0(G)$$

$$\downarrow = \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow =$$

$$\pi_1(G) \longrightarrow \pi_1(Q) \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(M) \longrightarrow \pi_0(G)$$

A simple diagram chase shows that there exists also a class $[\gamma]$ in $\pi_1(G \times_{\Gamma} U)$ which is trivial in $\pi_1(Q)$. Without loss of generality we assume that the representative γ is vertical and $X = \theta(\dot{\gamma})$ constant in t. Using the homotopy of γ to a constant loop in Q we now define a non-trivial minimax class for the functional \mathbb{S}_k . More precisely, consider the space of continuous maps

$$\mathcal{P} := \{ u : [0,1] \to \mathcal{M} \mid u(0) = (\gamma, -X, T_0), \ u(1) \in Q_{T_0} \}.$$

Clearly, \mathcal{P} is non-empty and invariant under the flow Φ^k defined in Section 5.3, provided that $T_0 > 0$ is chosen small enough. The last thing we need to check is that every $u \in \mathcal{P}$ has to intersect $\partial \mathcal{V}_{\delta}$ for all $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small. For any $x \in [0,1]$ we write $u(x) = (\gamma_x, \phi_x, T_x)$, set $\overline{\phi}_x$ as in (5.19), and assume by contradiction that $u(x) \in \mathcal{V}_{\delta}$ for all $x \in [0,1]$. Exactly as in the proof of Lemma 6.2 we see that for all $x \in [0,1]$ we have either $|\overline{\phi}_x| < \sqrt{\delta}$ or $|\overline{\phi}_x| > \Delta - \sqrt{\delta}$. Since $|\overline{\phi}_0| = |X| > \Delta - \sqrt{\delta}$, $|\overline{\phi}_1| = 0$, and the two conditions above are mutually exclusive if δ is small enough, we obtain a contraction to the continuity of the map $x \mapsto |\overline{\phi}_x|$.

6.3. **End of proofs.** We define the minimax function

$$c:(1/2,+\infty)\to (0,+\infty), \qquad c(k):=\inf_{u\in\mathcal{P}}\max\mathbb{S}_k\circ u,$$

where \mathcal{P} is the minimax class defined in Section 6.1 resp. 6.2. By Lemma 5.12 we have $c(k) \geq \epsilon$ for all k > 1/2, for every $u \in \mathcal{P}$ has to intersect $\partial \mathcal{V}_{\delta}$. However, this is not enough to exclude that T_h converges to zero as $h \to +\infty$ for some Palais-Smale sequence (γ_h, Φ_h, T_h) for \mathbb{S}_k at level c(k), as it might be that $c(k) = \langle X, Z \rangle$ for some $X \in \frac{1}{N}\Lambda_3$. For that we will need the piece of additional information given by the following lemma. For the proof we refer to [11, Lemma 5.3].

Lemma 6.3. Let u be any element of \mathcal{P} . Suppose that $x^* \in B^{\ell-1}$ (resp. [0,1]) is such that

$$\mathbb{S}_k(u(x^*)) \ge \max \mathbb{S}_k \circ u - \epsilon/2.$$
 (6.3)

Then $u(x^*) \notin \bigcup_{X \in \frac{1}{N} \Lambda_1} \{ \mathbb{S}_k < \langle X, Z \rangle + \epsilon/2 \} \cap \mathcal{V}_{\delta, X}.$

The function $c(\cdot)$ is monotonically increasing in k and hence almost everywhere differentiable. The next proposition shows there exist Palais-Smale sequences $(\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ for \mathbb{S}_k with with T_h 's bounded away from zero and uniformly bounded, provided k is a point of differentiability for $c(\cdot)$.

Proposition 6.4. Let k^* be a point of differentiability for $c(\cdot)$. Then there exists a Palais-Smale sequence $(\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ for \mathbb{S}_{k^*} such that the T_h 's are uniformly bounded and bounded away from zero.

The proof relies on the celebrated Struwe monotonicity argument [36] and will be omitted since it is a plain adaptation of the proof of [11, Proposition 5.4] (see also [1, Lemma 8.1] and [19, Proposition 7.1]) and [8, Proposition 4.1]), taking into account the fact that \mathbb{S}_k satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on $\mathcal{M}_{[T_*,T^*]}$ only up to gauge transformations.

Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. Follows combining Proposition 6.4 with Lemma 5.10.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. We prove (i). Up to passing to the compact orbifold universal cover we can assume that $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(M) = 0$. Notice that in this case M must be orientable. Clearly, it suffices to show that M is not rationally aspherical. Suppose by contradiction that $\pi_*(BM) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is trivial for all $* \geq 2$, where BM is the classifying space of M. By assumption $\pi_1(BM) = 0$. In particular BM is simply connected and its minimal model is trivial (cf. [22, Thm. 15.11]). This implies that $H^*(BM;\mathbb{Q}) \cong 0$ for all $* \geq 1$. By [5, Prop. 2.12] we have $H^n(M;\mathbb{Q}) \cong H^n(BM;\mathbb{Q}) \cong 0$ with $n = \dim M$, which is impossible by Poincaré duality.

Now we prove (ii). Assume by contradiction that the universal orbicover M is rationally aspherical. As in the proof of Lemma 6.1 we see it must have a minimal model (V^*,d) with vanishing differential. Further by assumption $V^2 \cong H^2(\widetilde{M},\mathbb{Q})$ is non-trivial, because the pull-back of σ to \widetilde{M} yields a non-trivial cohomology class. This shows that $V^* \cong H^*(\widetilde{M},\mathbb{Q})$ is infinite dimensional in contradiction to the assumption that M is a finite dimensional orbifold.

7. Proof of Theorem 1.5

Let (M, g_M) be a closed Riemannian orbifold. By Proposition 2.5 there exists a smooth manifold Q equipped with a locally free action of a compact group G such that $Q/G \cong M$ as orbifolds. Without loss of generality we assume that G is connected. Indeed, if $G_0 \subset G$ denotes the connected component of the neutral element, the quotient Q/G_0 is a finite cover of Q/G and a closed geodesic in the former yields one in the latter. Also, by Corollary B.5 we can assume without loss of generality that the singular set of M is finite. Now consider the metric g_Q on Q associated with g as explained in Section 2 and define the functional

$$\mathbb{E}: W^{1,2}(S^1,Q)\times L^2(S^1,\mathfrak{g})\to \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbb{E}(\gamma,\phi):=\int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}+\underline{\phi}(\gamma)|^2\,dt\,,$$

where \mathfrak{g} denotes the Lie algebra of G and $|\cdot|$ the norm on TQ induced by g_Q . From the discussion in Section 5 it follows immediately that the functional \mathbb{E} is smooth and bounded from below (by zero). Moreover, it is invariant under gauge transformations, satisfies the Palais-Smale condition up to gauge transformations,

and its critical points project to closed geodesic in (M, g_M) . In particular, critical points contained in $\mathbb{E}^{-1}(0)$ project to point curves.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. If $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(M)$ is finite, then up to passing to the orbifold universal cover, we see that M is not rationally aspherical and hence the proof follows by the same argument used in Section 6. Indeed, consider an element $a \in \pi_{\ell}(Q) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\tau_{\ell}(a) \neq 0 \in \pi_{\ell}^{\text{orb}}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ for some $\ell \geq 2$. Denote by \mathcal{P} the space of continuous maps

$$u: (B^{\ell-1}, S^{\ell-2}) \to (W^{1,2}(S^1, Q) \times L^2(S^1, \mathfrak{g}), Q \times \{0\}),$$

representing the homotopy class a. As explained in Section 6, every $u \in \mathcal{P}$ has to intersect the boundary of the set

$$\mathcal{V}_{\delta} := \left\{ (\gamma, \phi) \in W^{1,2}(S^1, Q) \times L^2(S^1, \mathfrak{g}) \; \middle| \; \mathbb{E}(\gamma, \phi) < \delta \right\}$$

for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small. Therefore, the minimax value

$$c := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{P}} \max_{x \in B^{\ell-1}} \mathbb{E}(u(x))$$

is strictly larger than δ . This yields the existence of a critical point for \mathbb{E} at level c, thus of a closed geodesic in M, as the functional \mathbb{E} satisfies the Palais-Smale condition up to gauge transformations.

From now on we can assume that $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(M)$ is infinite. We call a free homotopy class $[\gamma] \in [S^1,Q]$ local, if there is a representative $\gamma:S^1 \to Q$ such that the image $\tau \circ \gamma:S^1 \to M$ is completely contained in an orbifold chart (U,Γ,φ) with $\bar{U}=\varphi(U)\cong U/\Gamma$ contractible. It suffices to prove that there is a free homotopy class $a=[\gamma]\in [S^1,Q]$ which is not local. Indeed, suppose that $a=[\gamma]\in [S^1,Q]$ is not local and consider the connected component $\mathcal C$ of $W^{1,2}(S^1,Q)\times L^2(S^1,\mathfrak g)$ associated to a. We claim, that there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}(\gamma, \phi) \geq \delta, \quad \forall (\gamma, \phi) \in \mathcal{C}_a.$$

To show that, assume by contradiction that we can find a sequence $(\gamma_h, \phi_h) \subset \mathcal{C}$, such that $\mathbb{E}(\gamma_h, \phi_h)$ converges to zero, then $\gamma = \gamma_h$ lies in some tube $G \times_{\Gamma} U$ with U/Γ contractible for some h large enough. However this implies that $a = [\gamma]$ has a representative with the property that the image $\tau \circ \gamma$ is contained in a contractible orbifold chart. The existence of the required closed geodesic in M follows now by minimizing the functional \mathbb{E} over the connected component \mathcal{C} .

Thus, it remains to show that there exists a non-local free homotopy class. We identify free homotopy classes $[S^1,Q]$ with conjugacy classes in $\pi_1(Q)$ and denote with $\mathrm{Cl}(a) \subset \pi_1(Q)$ the conjugacy class corresponding to $a \in \pi_1(Q)$. Given any class $\mathrm{Cl}(a)$, by push-forward we obtain a conjugacy class $^2\mathrm{Cl}(\bar{a}) \subset \pi_1(M)$, where $\bar{a} = \pi_1(\tau)(a) \in \pi_1(M)$. Clearly, $\mathrm{Cl}(\bar{a}) = \{e\}$, the conjugacy class of the neutral element, if $\mathrm{Cl}(a)$ is local. Since the homomorphism $\pi_1(Q) \to \pi_1(M)$ is surjective (see [17, Cor. 4.3]), we deduce that there exist non-local classes whenever $\pi_1(M) \neq 0$. Therefore, we can assume without loss of generality that $\pi_1(M) = 0$. Notice that this automatically rules out the case dim M = 2, for in this case by [35, p. 424] we would have $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(M)$ finite, in contradiction with our assumption. Therefore, suppose dim $M \geq 3$. Since the singular set $M_{\Sigma} = \{p_1, \ldots, p_{\ell}\} \subset M$ is finite by assumption, for every $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, we can find orbifold charts $(U_i, \Gamma_i, \varphi_i)$ such that

 $^{^2}$ Here we consider the classical fundamental group of M rather than the orbifold-theoretical fundamental group.

 $U_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a ball about the origin, the group Γ_i acts on U_i freely except for one fixed point at the origin and φ_i maps the origin to p_i . Let $\bar{U}_i = \varphi_i(U_i) \cong U_i/\Gamma_i$. Since dim $M \geq 3$, the inclusion $\bar{U}_i \setminus \{p_i\} \subset \bar{U}_i$ induces an isomorphism of orbifold fundamental groups. By the Seifert-van Kampen theorem for orbifold fundamental groups we conclude that for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$

$$\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(M \setminus \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_i\}) \cong \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(M \setminus \{(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{i-1})\}.$$

In particular

$$\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(M) \cong \pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(M \setminus M_{\Sigma}) = \pi_1(M \setminus M_{\Sigma}).$$

On the other hand, by the classical Seifert-van Kampen theorem we see that for all i = 1, ..., k there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \Gamma_i \to \pi_1(M \setminus \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_i\}) \to \pi_1(M \setminus \{(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{i-1})\}) \to 0.$$

In particular for all $i=1,\ldots,k$ the group $\pi_1(M\setminus\{p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_i\})$ is finite and hence also $\pi_1(M\setminus M_\Sigma)\cong \pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(M)$ is finite, in contradiction to our assumption.

APPENDIX A. A COMPLETE PROOF OF LEMMA 5.10

Lemma A.1. Suppose (γ_h, ϕ_h, T_h) is a Palais-Smale sequence for \mathbb{S}_k with (ϕ_h) uniformly bounded in $W^{1,2}$ and strongly converging (in L^2) to ϕ , $T_h \to T$, and that γ_h converges uniformly to some $\gamma \in C^0(S^1, Q)$. Then $\gamma \in W^{1,2}(S^1, Q)$ and $\gamma_h \to \gamma$ strongly in $W^{1,2}$.

Proof. Let γ_0 be a smooth curve sufficiently close to γ . For all h sufficiently large define sections in $\gamma_0^* TQ$ via

$$\exp_{\gamma_0(t)} \zeta_h(t) := \gamma_h(t), \quad \forall t \in S^1.$$

Similarly define ζ via $\exp_{\gamma_0(t)} \zeta(t) := \gamma(t)$, $\forall t \in S^1$. Since γ_h converges uniformly to γ , the sections ζ_h converge uniformly to ζ . Moreover since $\|\dot{\gamma}_h\|_2$ is uniformly bounded, so is $\|\nabla_t \zeta_h\|_2$. Thus ζ_h is bounded in $W^{1,2}(S^1, \gamma_0^* TQ)$ and hence converges weakly in $W^{1,2}$ to ζ . This shows first of all that $\zeta \in W^{1,2}$ and thus $\gamma \in W^{1,2}$. Thus, it remains to show that $\zeta_h \to \zeta$ in $W^{1,2}$. Since we already know that $\zeta_h \to \zeta$ uniformly it suffices to show that $\nabla_t \zeta \to \nabla_t \zeta$ strongly in L^2 . We compute

$$\|\nabla_t(\zeta_h - \zeta)\|_2^2 \le \langle \nabla_t \zeta_h, \nabla_t(\zeta_h - \zeta) \rangle_2 + \langle \nabla_t \zeta, \nabla_t(\zeta_h - \zeta) \rangle_2. \tag{A.1}$$

The last term is infinitesimal because $\nabla_t \zeta_h$ weakly converges to $\nabla_t \zeta$ in L^2 . For each $t \in S^1$ let $\Pi_h(t) : T_{\gamma_0(t)}Q \to T_{\gamma_h(t)}Q$ be the parallel transport along the shortest curve from $\gamma_0(t)$ to $\gamma_h(t)$, i.e. the curve

$$[0,1]\ni s\mapsto \exp_{\gamma_0(t)}(s\zeta_h(t)).$$

Consider $\xi_h(t) := \Pi_h(t)(\zeta_h(t) - \zeta(t))$. One shows that $\xi_h \in W^{1,2}(\gamma_h^* TQ)$ and

$$\langle \nabla_t \zeta_h, \nabla_t (\zeta_h - \zeta) \rangle = O(1) \langle \dot{\gamma}_h, \nabla_t \xi_h \rangle_2 + O(1) \langle \dot{\gamma}_0, \nabla_t (\zeta_h - \zeta) \rangle_2.$$

Again, the last term is infinitesimal because $\nabla_t \zeta_h$ weakly converges to $\nabla_t \zeta$ in L^2 . Using (A.1) we obtain

$$\|\nabla_t(\zeta_h - \zeta)\|_2^2 = O(1)\langle \dot{\gamma}_h, \nabla_t \xi_h \rangle_2 + o(1). \tag{A.2}$$

Since the norm is preserves by parallel transport we have

$$\|\xi_h\|_{\infty} = \|\zeta_h - \zeta\|_{\infty} = o(1).$$
 (A.3)

Moreover, we have that

$$\|\xi_h\|_{1,2} = O(1)\|\zeta_h - \zeta\|_{1,2} = O(1)$$

is uniformly bounded. Since (γ_h, ϕ_h, T_h) is a Palais-Smale sequence, for any uniformly bounded sequence of sections $(\xi_h) \subset W^{1,2}(\gamma_h^* TQ)$ we have that

$$o(1) = d\mathbb{S}_k(\gamma_h, \phi_h, T_h)[\xi_h, 0, 0] = \frac{1}{2T_h} \int_0^1 \langle \dot{\gamma}_h + \underline{\phi_h}(\gamma_h), \nabla_t \xi_h + \nabla_{\xi_h} \underline{\phi_h} \rangle dt.$$

Multiplying by $2T_h$ and applying the same steps as in the proof of Lemma 5.2 yields

$$o(1) = \int_0^1 \langle \dot{\gamma}_h, \nabla_t \xi_h \rangle + \sigma_{\phi_h}(\dot{\gamma}_h, \xi_h) + \langle \underline{\partial_t \phi_h}(\gamma_h), \xi_h \rangle dt,$$

from which we conclude, using uniform boundedness of $\|\phi_h\|_2$, $\|\partial_t\phi_h\|_2$ and $\|\dot{\gamma}_h\|_2$, that

$$\int_0^1 \langle \dot{\gamma}_h, \nabla_t \xi_h \rangle dt = O(1) \|\xi_h\|_{\infty} + o(1).$$

The claim follows combining the inequality above with (A.2) and (A.3).

APPENDIX B. STRATIFICATION BY ORBIT TYPES

Let (M, g_M) be a closed Riemannian orbifold and Q be a closed manifold equipped with a locally free action of a compact group G such that $Q/G \cong M$ as given by Proposition 2.5. Assume also that G is connected. We say that two points $p, q \in Q$ are orbit-type equivalent if the isotropy group G_p is conjugated to G_q . It is wellknown that the equivalence classes with respect to orbit-type equivalence yield a stratification of Q. More precisely, given a subgroup $\Gamma \subset G$ we define

$$Q_{(\Gamma)} = \{ p \in Q \mid G_p \text{ is conjugated to } \Gamma \}.$$

Obviously $Q_{(\Gamma)}$ is a G-invariant subset and inherits a G action by restriction. We denote the quotient by $M_{(\Gamma)}$.

Lemma B.1. The subspace $Q_{(\Gamma)} \subset Q$ is a submanifold. Moreover $M_{(\Gamma)}$ is a manifold and the canonical projection $Q_{(\Gamma)} \to M_{(\Gamma)}$ is a submersion.

Definition B.2. A stratification of a topological space X is a locally finite partition into locally closed connected manifolds X_i ($i \in I$), called strata, such that for each $i \in I$ the closure of X_i is the union of X_i with certain lower-dimensional X_i 's.

Proposition B.3. The connected components of $Q_{(\Gamma)} \subset Q$ ($\Gamma \subset G$) yield a stratification of Q. Also the connected components of $M_{(\Gamma)} \subset M$ ($\Gamma \subset G$) yield a stratification of M.

The stratification induces a partial order on the different strata (given by inclusion in the closure). It is known that there is a unique maximal stratum $Q_{\text{reg}} \subset Q$, called the *principal orbit*, that is an open, dense and connected subset [12, Prop. I.2.5]. As we have required that G acts effectively, Q_{reg} is the subspace of points on which the group G acts freely. One shows that points in the quotient $M_{\text{reg}} = Q_{\text{reg}}/G$

have honest manifold charts (i.e. orbifold charts with trivial symmetry group). In this sense, we call the complement $M_{\Sigma} = M \setminus M_{\text{reg}}$, the *singular set*. It consists of points which have orbifold charts with non-trivial symmetry group.

We call a suborbifold $M' \subset M$ totally geodesic, if every geodesic in M' (with respect to the restricted metric) is a geodesic in M.

Lemma B.4. The closure of the strata of M are totally geodesic suborbifolds.

Proof. Given any point \bar{p} in the closure of $M_{(\Gamma)}$ with lift it to $p \in Q$. Without loss of generality, we identify a neighbourhood of p in Q with a tube $G \times_{\Gamma'} U$ where $\Gamma' = G_p$ is the isotropy at $p, U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open set with a linear action of Γ' . The group Γ is conjugated to a subgroup of Γ' . We assume without loss of generality that $\Gamma \subset \Gamma'$. We obtain an orbifold chart about \bar{p} via (U, Γ', φ) , where φ is the restriction of the quotient map to U. In the proof of [12, Prop. I.2.2] it is shown that the points of $Q_{(\Gamma)}$ in the tube $G \times_{\Gamma'} U$ are [g, x] with $x \in \operatorname{Fix}(\Gamma)$, the fix point set of Γ . By definition the group action Γ' on U is an isometry for the local representative of the metric g in U. In particular it is an isometry for the subgroup Γ . This shows that in the chart, the points of the closure of $M_{(\Gamma)}$ are the fixed point set $\operatorname{Fix}(\Gamma) \subset U$, which is totally geodesic.

Corollary B.5. Given a closed Riemannian orbifold (M, g), there exists totally geodesic suborbifold $M' \subset M$ such that the singular set of M' is discrete (and hence finite by compactness of M).

Proof. We construct recursively a finite sequence of strata M_0, M_1, \ldots, M_k . Start with $M_0 = M_{\text{reg}}$, the principal stratum. Suppose now that M_j for some $j \geq 0$ has been constructed and has a stratum of positive dimension in its closure. Then let M_{j+1} be that stratum and repeat. Otherwise j = k and terminate the algorithm. Let M' denote the closure of M_k . Obviously by construction M' has discrete singular points and by Lemma B.4 is totally geodesic.

References

- A. Abbondandolo. Lectures on the free period Lagrangian action functional. J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 13(2):397–430, 2013.
- [2] A. Abbondandolo, L. Asselle, G. Benedetti, M. Mazzucchelli, and I. A. Taimanov. The multiplicity problem for periodic orbits of magnetic flows on the 2-sphere. Adv. Nonlinear Stud., 1:1–17, 2017.
- [3] A. Abbondandolo, L. Macarini, M. Mazzucchelli, and G. P. Paternain. Infinitely many periodic orbits of exact magnetic flows on surfaces for almost every subcritical energy level. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 19(2):551–579, 2017.
- [4] A. Abbondandolo, L. Macarini, and G. P. Paternain. On the existence of three closed magnetic geodesics for subcritical energies. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 90(1):155–193, 2015.
- [5] Alejandro Adem, Johann Leida, and Yongbin Ruan. Orbifolds and Stringy Topology. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [6] L. Asselle. On the existence of Euler-Lagrange orbits satisfying the conormal boundary conditions. J. Funct. Anal., 271:3513–3553, 2016.
- [7] L. Asselle and G. Benedetti. Infinitely many periodic orbits in non-exact oscillating magnetic fields on surfaces with genus at least two for almost every low energy level. Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ., 54(2):1525-1545, 2015.
- [8] L. Asselle and G. Benedetti. The Lusternik-Fet theorem for autonomous Tonelli Hamiltonian systems on twisted cotangent bundles. J. Topol. Anal., 8(3):545–570, 2016.

- [9] L. Asselle and G. Benedetti. On the periodic motions of a charged particle in an oscillating magnetic flows on the two-torus. *Math. Z.*, 2016. online first.
- [10] L. Asselle and M. Mazzucchelli. On Tonelli periodic orbits with low energy on surfaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 2017. to appear.
- [11] L. Asselle and F. Schmäschke. On the existence of closed magnetic geodesics via symplectic reduction. https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.04098, 2017.
- [12] Michèle Audin. Torus Actions on Symplectic Manifolds, volume 93 of Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Boston, Berlin, second revised edition, 2004.
- [13] G. Benedetti. The contact property for symplectic magnetic fields on s². Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, pages 1–32, 2014.
- [14] G. Benedetti and K. Zehmisch. On the existence of periodic orbits for magnetic systems on the two-sphere. J. Mod. Dyn., 9:141–146, 2015.
- [15] Anthony M. Bloch, Jerrold E. Marsden, P.S. Krishnaprasad, and Richard M. Murray. Non-holonomic Mechanical Systems with Symmetry. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 136:21–99, 1996.
- [16] Raoul Bott and Loring W. Tu. Differential forms in algebraic topology, volume 82 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [17] Glen E. Bredon. Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups, volume 46 of Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, New York, London, 1972.
- [18] Glen E. Bredon. Sheaf Theory. Springer Verlag, second edition, 1997.
- [19] G. Contreras. The Palais-Smale condition on contact type energy levels for convex Lagrangian systems. Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ., 27(3):321–395, 2006.
- [20] J.J. Duistermaat and J.A.C. Kolk. Lie groups. Springer Verlag, 2004.
- [21] Yuri N. Fedorov and Bo^{*} zidar Jovanović. Integrable nonholonomic geodesic flows on compact Lie groups. In *Topological methods in the theory of integrable systems*, pages 115–152. Camb. Sci. Publ., Cambridge, 2006.
- [22] Yves Félix, Stephen Halperin, and Jean-Claude Thomas. Rational Homotopy Theory. Springer-Verlag New York, 2001.
- [23] U. Frauenfelder. The Arnold-Givental conjecture and moment Floer homology. Int. Math. Res. Not., 42:2179–2269, 2008.
- [24] V. L. Ginzburg. On closed trajectories of a charge in a magnetic field. An application of symplectic geometry., volume 8, pages 131–148. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1996.
- [25] V. L. Ginzburg and B. Z. Gürel. Periodic orbits of twisted geodesic flows and the weinsteinmoser theorem. Comment. Math. Helv., pages 865–907, 2009.
- [26] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris. Principles of Algebraic Geometry. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1978.
- [27] K. Guruprasad and A. Haefliger. Closed geodesics on orbifolds. Topology, 45(3):611–641, 2006.
- [28] Wilhelm Klingenberg. Lectures on Closed Geodesics. Springer-Verlag Berlin, 1978.
- [29] Wilhelm Klingenberg. Riemannian geometry. De Gruyter, 1995.
- [30] C. Lange. On the existence of closed geodesics on two-orbifolds. Pacific J. Math., 2017. to appear.
- [31] A. Yu. Ol'shanskii and Mark V. Sapir. Non-amenable finitely presented torsion-by-cyclic groups. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., 96:43–169, 2002.
- [32] M. Schneider. Closed magnetic geodesics on S². J. Differential Geom., 87(2):343–388, 2011.
- [33] M. Schneider. Alexandrov-embedded closed magnetic geodesics on S². Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 32(4):1471–1480, 2012.
- [34] M. Schneider. Closed magnetic geodesics on closed hyperbolic Riemann surfaces. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), 105(2):424–446, 2012.
- [35] Peter Scott. The Geometries of 3-Manifolds. Bull. London Math. Soc., 15:401-487, 1983.
- [36] M. Struwe. Existence of periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems on almost every energy surface. Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.), 20(2):49-58, 1990.
- [37] I. A. Taimanov. Non-self-itersecting closed extremals of multivalued or not everywhere positive functionals. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 55(2):367–383, 1991.
- [38] I. A. Taimanov. Closed extremals on two-dimensional manifolds. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 47(2(284)):143–185, 223, 1992.

Ruhr Universität Bochum, Fakultät für Mathematik, Universitätsstrasse $150\,$

Gebäude NA 4/35, D-44801 Bochum, Germany $E\text{-}mail\ address$: luca.asselle@ruhr-uni-bochum.de

HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN, UNTER DEN LINDEN 6

10099, Berlin, Germany

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \verb|felix.schmaeschke@math.hu-berlin.de||}$