# AdS and Lifshitz black hole solutions in conformal gravity sourced with a scalar field

Felipe Herrera<sup>1, \*</sup> and Yerko Vásquez<sup>1, [†](#page-0-1)</sup>

 ${}^{1}$ Departamento de Física y Astronomía, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de La Serena,

Avenida Cisternas 1200, La Serena, Chile.

(Dated: June 22, 2019)

In this paper we obtain asymptotically anti-de Sitter black hole solutions and asymptotically Lifshitz black hole solutions with dynamical exponents  $z = 0$  and  $z = 4$  of conformal gravity with a self-interacting conformally coupled scalar field. Then, we compute their thermodynamical quantities, such as the mass, the Wald entropy and the Hawking temperature. The mass expression is obtained by using the generalized off-shell Noether potential formulation. It is found that the anti-de Sitter black holes as well as the Lifshitz black holes with  $z = 0$  have zero mass and zero entropy, although they have non-zero temperature. A similar behavior has been observed in previous works, where the integration constant has not associated a conserved charge, and it was interpreted as a kind of gravitational hair. On the other hand, the Lifshitz black holes with dynamical exponent  $z = 4$  have non-zero conserved charges and the first law of black hole thermodynamics holds. Finally, we analyze the horizon thermodynamics for the Lifshitz black holes with  $z = 4$  and we show that the first law of black hole thermodynamics arises from the field equations.

PACS numbers:

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>∗</sup>Electronic address: [felipe˙hleon@hotmail.com](mailto:felipe_hleon@hotmail.com)

<span id="page-0-1"></span><sup>†</sup>Electronic address: [yvasquez@userena.cl](mailto:yvasquez@userena.cl)



# <span id="page-2-0"></span>I. INTRODUCTION

An interesting class of space-times that have received considerable attention from the point of view of condensed matter physics are the Lifshitz space-times, which are described by the metrics [\[1\]](#page-8-3)

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
ds^{2} = -\frac{r^{2z}}{\ell^{2z}}dt^{2} + \frac{\ell^{2}}{r^{2}}dr^{2} + \frac{r^{2}}{\ell^{2}}d\vec{x}^{2} , \qquad (1.1)
$$

where  $\vec{x}$  represents a D−2 dimensional spatial vector, with D corresponding to the space-time dimension and  $\ell$  denotes the length scale in this geometry. These geometries are characterized by the parameter  $z$  known as the dynamical or critical exponent. For  $z = 1$  this space-time is the usual anti-de Sitter (AdS) metric in Poincaré coordinates with AdS radius  $\ell$ . According to the AdS/CFT correspondence [\[2](#page-8-4)] and its generalizations beyond the high energy physics, these metrics are important in the search for gravity duals of systems that appear in condensed matter physics and in quantum chromodynamics [\[1,](#page-8-3) [6\]](#page-8-5). There are many theories of interest when studying such critical points, such theories exhibit the anisotropic scale invariance  $t \to \lambda^z t$ ,  $x \to \lambda x$ , where z is the dynamical exponent accounting for the different scale transformation between the temporal and spatial coordinates, and they are of particular interest in studies of critical exponent theory and phase transitions. The Lifshitz space-time exhibits these symmetries along with the scaling of the radial coordinate  $r \to \lambda^{-1}r$ . Asymptotically Lifshitz black holes are represented by metrics whose asymptotic behavior is given by [\(1.1\)](#page-2-1), and represents gravity duals of theories with an anisotropic scale invariance at finite temperature. Several Lifshitz black hole metrics have been found, which are solutions of different gravity theories in vacuum and also with matter fields, see for instance [\[7–](#page-8-6)[19\]](#page-8-7). According to the AdS/CFT correspondence, the search of black hole solutions to theories of gravity coupled with scalar fields and electromagnetic fields is a important task in the studies of holographic superconductor [\[4](#page-8-8)], which is dual to a system consisting of a black hole with a scalar field minimally coupled to gravity, which below a critical temperature condenses outside the black hole horizon. The dual description of a superconductor also works for scalar fields non-minimally coupled to gravity [\[5\]](#page-8-9). Also, the Lifshitz holographic superconductivity has been a topic of numerous studies with interesting properties when the duality principle is generalized to non-relativistic systems [\[6,](#page-8-5) [20\]](#page-8-10).

In four space-time dimensions, Conformal Gravity (CG) is a four-derivative theory constructed by the Weyl-square term, which is invariant under conformal transformations and it is perturbatively renormalizable [\[21](#page-8-11), [22](#page-8-12)]. The spherically symmetric asymptotically AdS vacuum solution of four-dimensional CG was obtained in [\[23\]](#page-8-13), and it has been argued that CG may be able to explain the galactic rotation curves without dark matter [\[24\]](#page-8-14). Furthermore, any space-time that is conformal to an Einstein manifold is solution of conformal gravity [\[25\]](#page-8-15). CG contains ghostlike modes, in the form of massive spin-2 excitations. However, it was shown that by using the method of Dirac constraints [\[26\]](#page-8-16) to quantize a prototype second plus fourth order theory, viz. the Pais-Uhlenbeck fourth order oscillator model [\[27](#page-9-0)], it becomes apparent that the limit in which the second order piece is switched off is a highly singular one in which the would-be ghost states move off the mass shell [\[28\]](#page-9-1). Additional solutions to the field equations of four-dimensional CG are boson stars with a self-interacting complex scalar field [\[29](#page-9-2)], charged and rotating AdS black holes [\[30](#page-9-3)], C-metric with a conformally coupled scalar field [\[31\]](#page-9-4) and AdS black holes and Lifshitz black holes with dynamical exponents  $z = 0$  and  $z = 4$  [\[14](#page-8-17)]. On the other hand, in three space-time dimensions the equations of motion of CG contains third derivatives of the metric and some black hole solutions have been studied in [\[32](#page-9-5)[–34\]](#page-9-6). Additionally, three-dimensional Lifshitz black holes with  $z = 0$  were obtained in [\[18\]](#page-8-18). In CG in six space-time dimensions, AdS black holes and Lifshitz black holes in vacuum and coupled to conformal matter were obtained in [\[35\]](#page-9-7). A consequence of the conformal invariance is that the gravitational field equations of CG, which are given by the vanishing of the Bach tensor in four space-time dimensions, imply that the trace of the energy-momentum tensor of matter sources must vanish, this is because the Bach tensor is traceless; therefore, among the matter sources which can be coupled to CG, one can consider a minimally coupled electromagnetic field, which is invariant under conformal transformations, or a conformally coupled scalar field.

The aim of this paper is to present exact asymptotically AdS black hole solutions and asymptotically Lifshitz black hole solutions to CG sourced with a self-interacting conformally coupled scalar field, and then study the thermodynamical properties of the solutions. As in the free source case, we find that there exist AdS black holes and Lifshitz black holes with  $z = 0$  and  $z = 4$ . The calculation of conserved charges of Lifshitz black holes it is a difficult task; however, progress was made on the computation of the mass and the related thermodynamics quantities by using the ADT method [\[36,](#page-9-8) [37\]](#page-9-9) and its off-shell generalization [\[38\]](#page-9-10), as well as the Euclidean action approach [\[39,](#page-9-11) [40](#page-9-12)]. In order to calculate the conserved charges we shall employ the generalized ADT formalism proposed in [\[41](#page-9-13)], which corresponds to the off-shell generalization of the on-shell Noether potential of the ADT formalism [\[42,](#page-9-14) [43](#page-9-15)]. On the other hand, the entropy is computed employing the Wald's formula [\[44](#page-9-16)].

The paper is organized as follows: In section II we study analytic AdS black holes and Lifshitz black holes to CG with a conformally coupled scalar field. In Section III we study the thermodynamical properties: we compute the Wald entropy, the Hawking temperature and the mass of the solutions; in addition, we study the horizon thermodynamics of the Lifshitz black holes with  $z = 4$ . We conclude with final remarks in Section IV.

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>II. ADS AND LIFSHITZ BLACK HOLE SOLUTIONS

In this section we present AdS black hole solutions and Lifshitz black hole solutions of conformal gravity with a self-interacting conformally coupled scalar field. The Lifshitz black holes are characterize by dynamical exponents  $z = 0$  and  $z = 4$ , while the AdS black holes correspond to the case  $z = 1$ . The action is given by

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
I = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2\alpha} C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - \left( \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu}\phi \nabla_{\nu}\phi + \frac{1}{12} \phi^2 R + \nu \phi^4 \right) \right],
$$
 (2.1)

where  $C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$  is the Weyl tensor, R is the Ricci scalar,  $\phi$  is the scalar field,  $\alpha$  is a dimensionless gravitational coupling constant and  $\nu$  is the dimensionless coupling constant of the potential  $\phi^4$ . Varying the action with respect to the metric and with respect to the scalar field, the following field equations are obtained:

$$
2\nabla^{\rho}\nabla^{\sigma}C_{\mu\rho\sigma\nu} + R^{\rho\sigma}C_{\mu\rho\sigma\nu} = -\frac{\alpha}{2}T_{\mu\nu},\qquad(2.2)
$$

where the energy-momentum tensor is given by

$$
T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi - g_{\mu\nu}\left(\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{\alpha}\phi\nabla^{\alpha}\phi + \nu\phi^{4}\right) + \frac{1}{6}\left(g_{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla^{\alpha} - \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu} + G_{\mu\nu}\right)\phi^{2},\tag{2.3}
$$

and the Klein-Gordon equation reads

$$
\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla^{\alpha}\phi - 4\nu\phi^3 - \frac{R}{6}\phi = 0.
$$
\n(2.4)

Now, in order to solve the field equations, we will consider the following ansatz for the Lifshitz black hole metric

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
ds^{2} = -\left(\frac{r}{\ell}\right)^{2z} f(r)dt^{2} + \frac{\ell^{2}}{r^{2}f(r)}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega_{k}^{2},
$$
\n(2.5)

where

$$
d\Omega_k^2 = \begin{cases} d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi & k = 1\\ d\theta^2 + d\phi^2 & k = 0\\ d\theta^2 + \sinh^2 \theta d\phi & k = -1 \end{cases}
$$
 (2.6)

and where k parameterizes the curvature of the spatial transverse section; thus, with this ansatz we permit the possibility of black holes with spherical, flat and hyperbolic event horizons. Also, in order to the metric (2.[5\)](#page-3-1) tends asymptotically to the Lifshitz space-time, it is required that  $f(r) \to 1$  when  $r \to \infty$ . On the other hand, we will consider a scalar field regular outside and on the event horizon which only depends on the radial coordinate  $\phi = \phi(r)$ . We obtain analytical solutions for  $z = 0$ ,  $z = 1$  and  $z = 4$ , which are given by:

• Solution with null dynamical exponent  $z = 0$ :

$$
\phi(r) = \frac{\phi_0}{r},\tag{2.7}
$$

$$
f(r) = 1 + \frac{\ell^2 (k + 12\nu\phi_0^2)}{4r^2},
$$
\n(2.8)

where  $\phi_0$  is an integration constant, and the parameters must satisfy the condition  $\phi_0^2(k + 6\nu\phi_0^2)(\alpha + 96\nu) = 0$ . Notice that in the case  $k + 6\nu\phi_0^2 = 0$ , the single integration constant is fixed to  $\phi_0^2 = -k/(6\nu)$ . In what follows we will focus our attention to the case  $\alpha + 96\nu = 0$ . When  $k + 12\nu\phi_0^2 < 0$  there is an event horizon located at  $r_+ = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\ell^2(k+12\nu\phi_0^2)}$ .

• Solution with dynamical exponent  $z = 1$ , which corresponds to asymptotically AdS black holes:

$$
\phi(r) = \frac{\phi_0}{r},\tag{2.9}
$$

$$
f(r) = 1 + \frac{A}{r} + \frac{\ell^2 (k + 12\nu\phi_0^2)}{r^2},
$$
\n(2.10)

where A and  $\phi_0$  are integration constants, and the parameters must satisfy the condition  $\phi_0^2(k+6\nu\phi_0^2)(\alpha+96\nu)$ 0. An event horizon can be located at  $\frac{1}{2}(-A+\sqrt{A^2-4\ell^2(k+12\nu\phi_0^2)})$  for some conditions on the parameters. For  $A \geq 0$ , then  $4\ell^2(k+12\nu\phi_0^2) < 0$  is required for the existence of the event horizon, while for  $A < 0$ , it is required  $A^2 > 4\ell^2(k+12\nu\phi_0^2)$ . As before, in the case  $k+6\nu\phi_0^2=0$  the integration constant  $\phi_0$  is fixed to  $\phi_0^2 = -k/(6\nu)$ . In what follows we will focus our attention to the case  $\alpha + 96\nu = 0$ .

• Solution with dynamical exponent  $z = 4$ :

$$
\phi(r) = \frac{\phi_0}{r^3},\tag{2.11}
$$

$$
f(r) = 1 + \frac{k\ell^2}{4r^2} + \frac{\nu\ell^2\phi_0^2}{2r^6},
$$
\n(2.12)

where  $\phi_0$  is an integration constant. The analytical expression for the event horizon is more complicated for this metric, and we will not show it.

As final comment, the curvature invariants R,  $R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}$  and  $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$  of the solutions presented here are all regular outside and on the event horizon and they are singular at  $r = 0$ .

# <span id="page-4-0"></span>III. THERMODYNAMICS

In this section we will determine the thermodynamical properties of the black hole solutions presented in the previous section, such as the mass, the Wald entropy and the Hawking temperature, and we will show that they satisfy the first law of black hole thermodynamics.

#### <span id="page-4-1"></span>A. Entropy and temperature

In this section we shall compute the Wald entropy to the black hole solutions, which is given by

$$
S = -2\pi \int_{\Sigma} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} \right) \hat{\epsilon}_{\mu\nu} \hat{\epsilon}_{\rho\sigma} \sqrt{h} d\theta d\phi, \qquad (3.1)
$$

where  $\hat{\epsilon}_{\mu\nu}$  is the binormal vector to the bifurcation surface  $\Sigma$  normalized to  $\hat{\epsilon}_{\mu\nu}\hat{\epsilon}^{\mu\nu} = -2$ , h is the metric determinant on the surface and

$$
P^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} = \frac{1}{\alpha} C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{24} \phi^2 (g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} - g^{\mu\sigma} g^{\nu\rho}). \tag{3.2}
$$

On the other hand, the Hawking temperature of the Lifshitz black holes can be found by evaluating the surface gravity κ, which is defined by  $κ^2 = -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_\mu \chi_\nu)(\nabla^\mu \chi^\nu)$ , where  $\chi$  is the time-like Killing vector  $\chi^\nu = (1,0,0,0)$ ; thus, for the metric [\(2.5\)](#page-3-1) we obtain  $\kappa = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{r_+}{\ell} \right)^{1+z} f'(r_+)$  and

$$
T = \frac{\left(\frac{r_+}{\ell}\right)^{1+z} f'(r_+)}{4\pi}.
$$
\n(3.3)

The Wald entropy of the Lifshitz black holes with  $z = 4$  is given by

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
S = -8\pi r_{+}^{2} \left( \frac{\phi_{0}^{2}}{24r_{+}^{6}} + \frac{4}{\alpha \ell^{2}} \right) \omega_{k}
$$
  
= 
$$
\left( \frac{2\pi r_{+}^{2} (\alpha - 48\nu)}{3\alpha \nu \ell^{2}} + \frac{k\pi}{6\nu} \right) \omega_{k},
$$
 (3.4)

where  $\omega_k$  denotes the volume of  $d\Omega_k$ . In this case, the positivity of the entropy requires that  $\alpha < 0$ . On the other hand, the Hawking temperature of the Lifshitz black holes with  $z = 4$  is given by

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
T = \frac{r_+^2}{4\pi\ell^3} \left(\frac{6r_+^2}{\ell^2} + k\right). \tag{3.5}
$$

For the AdS black holes and the Lifshitz black holes with  $z = 0$ , the following expression for the Wald entropy is obtained

$$
S = -\frac{\pi \omega_k (\alpha + 96\nu)\phi_0^2}{3\alpha} = 0.
$$
\n(3.6)

where, by imposing the condition  $\alpha + 96\nu = 0$ , it gives a null entropy. In the next section, we will show that these solutions also have zero mass, and therefore the first law of black hole thermodynamics is trivially satisfied. The Hawking temperature of the Lifshitz black holes with  $z = 0$  is given by

$$
T = \frac{1}{2\pi\ell},\tag{3.7}
$$

notice that the same temperature is obtained for the Lifshitz black hole with  $z = 0$  in three-dimensional conformal gravity [\[19\]](#page-8-7), while the temperature of the AdS black holes is found to be

$$
T = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \frac{r_+}{\ell^2} - \frac{k + 12\nu\phi_0^2}{r_+} \right) \,. \tag{3.8}
$$

#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>B. Conserved charges

In order to compute the conserved charges we shall employ the generalized ADT formalism proposed in [\[41](#page-9-13)], which corresponds to the off-shell generalization of the on-shell Noether potential of the ADT formalism [\[42](#page-9-14), [43\]](#page-9-15). Also, this formalism was implemented with a one parameter path in the solution space, such as in [\[46,](#page-9-17) [47\]](#page-9-18). See also the Refs. [\[48,](#page-9-19) [49](#page-9-20)] where the off-shell Noether current was constructed using the variation of the Bianchi identity. In those references the method was applied to compute the mass of some black hole solutions in conformal Weyl gravity, in Einstein Gauss-Bonnet gravity and in Horndeski theory. This method have been used also to compute the conserved charges of asymptotically Lifshitz black holes of gravity theories whose action contains higher order curvature terms [\[38\]](#page-9-10). Here, we shall apply the method to CG with scale invariant matter fields.

Now, we will review briefly the method. The variation of the action [\(2.1\)](#page-3-2) yields

$$
\delta I = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{E}_{(\phi)} \delta \phi + \nabla_{\mu} \Theta^{\mu} (g; \delta g) \right) , \qquad (3.9)
$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = 0$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{(\phi)} = 0$  denotes the equations of motion (EOM) for the metric and for the scalar field, respectively, and  $\Theta^{\mu}(q;\delta q)$  is a surface term.

By equating a variation induced by a diffeomorphism generated by a smooth vector field  $\xi$  with the generic variation, an off-shell conserved current can be obtained [\[50](#page-9-21), [51](#page-9-22)]

$$
J^{\mu}(g;\xi) = \frac{L}{\sqrt{-g}} \xi^{\mu} + 2\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}\xi_{\nu} - \Theta^{\mu}(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}g, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\phi), \qquad (3.10)
$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}$  denotes the Lie derivative with respect to the vector field  $\xi$ . From this current an off-shell Noether potential  $K^{\mu\nu}$  can be defined by  $J^{\mu} = \nabla_{\nu} K^{\mu\nu}$ . On the other hand, an off-shell Noether current can be defined as [\[52\]](#page-9-23)

$$
J_{ADT}^{\mu} = \delta \mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} \xi_{\nu} + \mathcal{E}^{\mu\alpha} h_{\alpha\nu} \xi^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \xi^{\mu} \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}^{\mu}_{\ \nu} \xi^{\nu} h \,, \tag{3.11}
$$

where  $h_{\mu\nu}$  denotes the variation of the background metric

$$
h_{\mu\nu} = \delta g_{\mu\nu} \,, \tag{3.12}
$$

and the indices are raised an lowered with the background metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  or  $g^{\mu\nu}$ , and  $h = g^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu}$ . The off-shell ADT potential  $Q_{ADT}^{\mu\nu}$  is defined by  $J_{ADT}^{\mu} = \nabla_{\nu} Q_{ADT}^{\mu\nu}$ . A very important relation between the off-shell Noether potential and the ADT potential is:

$$
\sqrt{-g}Q_{ADT}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\delta(\sqrt{-g}K^{\mu\nu}) - \sqrt{-g}\xi^{[\mu}\Theta^{\nu]}(g;\delta g). \tag{3.13}
$$

Using this Noether potential, one can define the quasi-local conserved charge by

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{Q}(\xi) = 2 \int_0^1 ds \int d^2 x_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{-g} Q^{\mu\nu}_{ADT}(g; s) \n= \int d^2 x_{\mu\nu} \Delta \hat{K}^{\mu\nu} - 2 \int_0^1 ds \int \sqrt{-g} d^2 x_{\mu\nu} \xi^{[\mu} \Theta^{\nu]}(g; s) ,
$$
\n(3.14)

where  $d^2x_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{-g}} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} dx^{\rho} \wedge dx^{\sigma}$  and

$$
\Delta \hat{K} = \sqrt{-g} K^{\mu\nu} \Big|_{s=1} - \sqrt{-g} K^{\mu\nu} \Big|_{s=0} . \tag{3.15}
$$

The integration is performed along a path with parameter s in the solution space  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ , and a free parameter  $Q$  in the solutions of EOM is parametrized as  $sQ$ . Eq. [\(3.14\)](#page-6-0) is the main result that will allows us to evaluate the conserved charges (mass) of the black hole solutions.

The boundary term can be written as

$$
\Theta^{\mu}(g,\delta g) = \Theta^{\mu}_{W} + \Theta^{\mu}_{(\phi)}, \qquad (3.16)
$$

where the first term  $\Theta_W^{\mu}$  denotes the contribution from the Weyl-squared term and the second term  $\Theta_{(\phi)}^{\mu}$  denotes the contribution coming from the scalar field. The generic formula for the surface term in theories which contains only invariants of the curvature tensor can be taken by [\[41](#page-9-13)]

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
\Theta_W^{\mu}(\delta g) = 2[P^{\mu(\alpha\beta)\gamma}\nabla_{\gamma}\delta g_{\alpha\beta} - \delta g_{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\gamma}P^{\mu(\alpha\beta)\gamma}], \qquad (3.17)
$$

where

$$
P^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}.
$$
\n(3.18)

On the other hand, we have found that the boundary term coming from the self-interacting conformally coupled scalar field reads

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
\Theta^{\mu}_{(\phi)} = -\delta\phi\nabla^{\mu}\phi - \frac{1}{12}\left(\phi^2\nabla_{\nu}h^{\mu\nu} - \phi^2\nabla^{\mu}h + h\nabla^{\mu}\phi^2 - h^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\nu}\phi^2\right). \tag{3.19}
$$

Using Eqs. [\(3.17\)](#page-6-1) and [\(3.19\)](#page-6-2), the Noether potentials are found to be

$$
K_W^{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\alpha} \left( C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \nabla_{[\rho} \xi_{\sigma]} - 2\xi_{\sigma} (\nabla^{[\mu} R^{\nu]\sigma} + \frac{1}{6} g^{\sigma[\mu} \nabla^{\nu]} R) \right)
$$
(3.20)

$$
K^{\mu\nu}_{(\phi)} = \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{1}{2} \phi^2 \nabla^\nu \xi^\mu - \frac{1}{2} \phi^2 \nabla^\mu \xi^\nu + \xi^\nu \nabla^\mu \phi^2 - \xi^\mu \nabla^\nu \phi^2 \right). \tag{3.21}
$$

Using expression [\(3.14\)](#page-6-0), we shall compute the masses associated to our solutions. For the time-like Killing vector  $\xi = -\partial_t$  the charge [\(3.14\)](#page-6-0) corresponds to the mass.

Considering the Lifshitz black holes with  $z = 4$  and taking an infinitesimal parametrization of a single parameter path, by considering the perturbation

$$
\phi_0 \to \phi_0 + d\phi_0, \qquad (3.22)
$$

the Noether potentials related to the energy reads

$$
\sqrt{-g}K^{tr} = -\frac{16r^6\sigma_k(\theta)}{\alpha\ell^7} - \frac{(5\alpha - 48\nu)\phi_0^2\sigma_k(\theta)}{3\alpha\ell^5} + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{r^2})
$$
\n(3.23)

$$
\sqrt{-g}Q^{tr} = -\frac{(\alpha - 48\nu)\phi_0 d\phi_0 \sigma_k(\theta)}{6\alpha^{\xi}}, \qquad (3.24)
$$

where  $\sigma_k(\theta) = \sin \theta$ , 1,  $\sinh \theta$  for  $k = 1, 0, -1$  respectively. So, the mass of the Lifshitz black holes with  $z = 4$  is given by

$$
\mathcal{M} = -\frac{(\alpha - 48\nu)\phi_0^2 \omega_k}{6\alpha \ell^5} \n= (\alpha - 48\nu) \left( \frac{r_+^6}{3\alpha \nu \ell^7} + \frac{kr_+^4}{12\alpha \nu \ell^5} \right) \omega_k.
$$
\n(3.25)

Using this result for the mass together with the entropy given in Eq. [\(3.4\)](#page-5-1) and the temperature given in Eq. [\(3.5\)](#page-5-2), it is straightforward to show that the first law of black hole thermodynamics  $d\mathcal{M} = T dS$  holds.

For the asymptotically AdS black holes and the Lifshitz black holes with  $z = 0$ , we obtain that they have zero mass  $M = 0$  once we impose the condition  $\alpha + 96\nu = 0$ , and this result does not depends of the values of k. Also, as we found in the previous section, they have zero entropy, therefore there is not a conserved charge associated to the integration constants, which can be interpreted as a kind of gravitational hair. Black hole solutions with planar horizon having zero mass and zero entropy have been reported in Lovelock gravity with non-minimally coupled scalar fields [\[53](#page-9-24)] and also in the three-dimensional New Massive Gravity with non-minimally coupled scalar fields [\[16\]](#page-8-19). The solutions presented in those papers depends on a single integration constant which was interpreted as a gravitational hair, due to there is not a conserved charge associated to it. On the other hand, in pure Lovelock gravity black holes with gravitational hair have been found [\[45](#page-9-25)].

## <span id="page-7-0"></span>C. Horizon thermodynamics

In this section, we will employ the method developed by Padmanabhan in [\[54](#page-9-26)] in order to study the conserved quantities of the Lifshitz black holes with dynamical exponent  $z = 4$ , which, as we have seen, are the only solutions with non-trivial thermodynamics. The method is based on the relation between the first law of thermodynamics and the equations of motion, and it seems to be applicable to a very wide class of theories. In this approach, the conserved quantities can be obtained directly from the equations of motion evaluated on the event horizon. So, considering the  ${\cal E}^r_r$  component of the field equations, and evaluating the second and third derivatives of  $f(r)$  at the horizon  $r_+$ , we arrive to the following equation:

$$
\frac{1}{48r_+^{12}\ell^4} \left( (-kr_+^4 - 6\nu\phi_0^2)\ell^2 - 2r_+^7 f'(r_+) \right) \left( (-7kr_+^4 + 2(4\alpha + 147\nu)\phi_0^2)\ell^2 + 14r_+^7 f'(r_+) \right) = 0 \tag{3.26}
$$

Now, considering that only the first factor in parenthesis can be zero, this equation reduces to

$$
(-kr_{+}^{4} - 6\nu\phi_{0}^{2})\ell^{2} - 2r_{+}^{7}f'(r_{+}) = 0.
$$
\n(3.27)

Writing  $\phi_0$  in terms of the horizon radius  $\phi_0^2 = -(k + \frac{4r_+^2}{\ell^2})\frac{r_+^4}{2\nu}$ , and multiplying the above equation by the factor  $\frac{(\alpha-48\nu)\omega_k dr_{\pm}}{6\alpha \ell^2 \nu r_{\pm}}$  we obtain

$$
d\left[\left(\alpha - 48\nu\right)\left(\frac{r_+^6}{3\alpha\nu\ell^7} + \frac{kr_+^4}{12\alpha\nu\ell^5}\right)\omega_k\right] = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{r_+}{\ell}\right)^5 f'(r_+) d\left(\frac{2\pi r_+^2(\alpha - 48\nu)\omega_k}{3\alpha\nu\ell^2} + a\right),\tag{3.28}
$$

where  $a$  is an additive constant. Therefore, we arrive to an equation with the same form of the first law of black hole thermodynamics. Finally, identifying the Hawking temperature and choosing the constant as  $a = \frac{k\pi}{6\nu}$ , the conserved quantities coincide with the mass and entropy obtained before.

# <span id="page-8-0"></span>IV. FINAL REMARKS

In this note we studied analytical AdS black hole solutions and Lifshitz black hole solutions to conformal gravity with a conformally coupled scalar field as a source. The Lifshitz black hole solutions presented are characterized by the dynamical exponents  $z = 0$  and  $z = 4$ , and we have considered black holes with spherical, flat and hyperbolic event horizons. Then, we computed the conserved charges of the solutions. The calculation of the mass was performed by using the generalized off-shell Noether potential method [\[41\]](#page-9-13). Also, we computed the Wald entropy of the solutions, and we showed that the black holes satisfy the first law of black hole thermodynamics. It was found that the AdS black holes and the Lifshitz black holes with  $z = 0$  have zero mass and zero entropy, although they have a nonzero temperature; therefore, there is not a conserved charge associated to the integration constants, which can be interpreted as a kind of gravitational hair. A similar behavior also occurs to black hole solutions with planar horizon obtained in other gravity theories which contains higher curvature terms in the action, such as Lovelock gravity and three-dimensional New Massive Gravity with non-minimally coupled scalar fields. On the other hand, we found that the Lifshitz black hole with  $z = 4$  has non-zero values of the conserved quantities. In addition, we studied the horizon thermodynamics of the Lifshitz black hole solutions with  $z = 4$ , and we demonstrated that the first law of black hole thermodynamics emerges from the field equations evaluated on the horizon. It would be interesting to explore the possible holographic applications of the solutions found here.

### <span id="page-8-2"></span><span id="page-8-1"></span>Acknowledgments

F.H. would like to thank P.A. González. This work was partially funded by the Dirección de Investigación y Desarrollo de la Universidad de La Serena (F.H. and Y.V.).

- <span id="page-8-3"></span>[1] S. Kachru, X. Liu and M. Mulligan, Phys. Rev. D 78, 106005 (2008) [\[arXiv:0808.1725](http://arxiv.org/abs/0808.1725) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>[2] J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 231 (1998) [\[hep-th/9711200\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9711200).
- [3] S. A. Hartnoll, J. Polchinski, E. Silverstein and D. Tong, JHEP 1004, 120 (2010) [\[arXiv:0912.1061](http://arxiv.org/abs/0912.1061) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>[4] S. A. Hartnoll, C. P. Herzog, and G. T. Horowitz, "Building a Holographic Superconductor," Phys.Rev.Lett. 101 (2008) 031601, [\[arXiv:0803.3295](http://arxiv.org/abs/0803.3295) [hep-th]]
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>[5] X. M. Kuang and E. Papantonopoulos, "Building a Holographic Superconductor with a Scalar Field Coupled Kinematically to Einstein Tensor," JHEP 1608, 161 (2016) [\[arXiv:1607.04928](http://arxiv.org/abs/1607.04928) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>[6] S. A. Hartnoll, J. Polchinski, E. Silverstein and D. Tong, JHEP 1004, 120 (2010) doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2010)120 [\[arXiv:0912.1061](http://arxiv.org/abs/0912.1061) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>[7] K. Balasubramanian and J. McGreevy, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 104039 [\[arXiv:0909.0263](http://arxiv.org/abs/0909.0263) [hep-th]].
- [8] R. -G. Cai, Y. Liu and Y. -W. Sun, JHEP 0910 (2009) 080 [\[arXiv:0909.2807](http://arxiv.org/abs/0909.2807) [hep-th]].
- [9] E. Ayon-Beato, A. Garbarz, G. Giribet and M. Hassaine, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 104029 [\[arXiv:0909.1347](http://arxiv.org/abs/0909.1347) [hep-th]].
- [10] R. B. Mann, JHEP 0906 (2009) 075 [\[arXiv:0905.1136](http://arxiv.org/abs/0905.1136) [hep-th]].
- [11] G. Bertoldi, B. A. Burrington and A. Peet, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 126003 [\[arXiv:0905.3183](http://arxiv.org/abs/0905.3183) [hep-th]].
- [12] M. H. Dehghani and R. B. Mann, JHEP 1007 (2010) 019 [\[arXiv:1004.4397](http://arxiv.org/abs/1004.4397) [hep-th]].
- [13] E. Ayon-Beato, A. Garbarz, G. Giribet and M. Hassaine, JHEP 1004 (2010) 030 [\[arXiv:1001.2361](http://arxiv.org/abs/1001.2361) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>[14] H. Lu, Y. Pang, C. N. Pope and J. F. Vazquez-Poritz, Phys. Rev. D 86, 044011 (2012) [\[arXiv:1204.1062](http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.1062) [hep-th]].
- [15] M. Bravo-Gaete and M. Hassaine, [arXiv:1312.7736](http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.7736) [hep-th].
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>[16] F. Correa, M. Hassaine and J. Oliva, Phys. Rev. D 89, no. 12, 124005 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.124005 [\[arXiv:1403.6479](http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.6479) [hep-th]].
- [17] A. Alvarez, E. Ayon-Beato, Hernan A. Gonzalez and M. Hassaine, [arXiv:1403.5985](http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.5985) [gr-qc].
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>[18] M. Cataln and Y. Vsquez, Phys. Rev. D 90, no. 10, 104002 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.104002 [\[arXiv:1407.6394](http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.6394)  $\left[\text{gr-qc}\right]$ .
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>[19] P. A. Gonzlez, Y. Vsquez and R. N. Villalobos, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) no.9, 579 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5148-3 [\[arXiv:1704.00413](http://arxiv.org/abs/1704.00413) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>[20] E. J. Brynjolfsson, U. H. Danielsson, L. Thorlacius and T. Zingg, J. Phys. A 43, 065401 (2010) doi:10.1088/1751- 8113/43/6/065401 [\[arXiv:0908.2611](http://arxiv.org/abs/0908.2611) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>[21] K. S. Stelle, Phys. Rev. D 16 (1977) 953.
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>[22] K. S. Stelle, Gen. Rel. Grav. 9 (1978) 353.
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>[23] R. J. Riegert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 315 (1984). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.53.315
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>[24] P. D. Mannheim, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 56, 340 (2006) doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2005.08.001 [\[astro-ph/0505266\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0505266).
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>[25] J. Maldacena, [arXiv:1105.5632](http://arxiv.org/abs/1105.5632) [hep-th].
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>[26] P. A. M. Dirac, Lectures on Quantum Mechanics, Belfer Graduate School of Science,Yeshiva University Press, New York (1964).
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[27] A. Pais and G. E. Uhlenbeck, Phys. Rev. 79 (1950) 145.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[28] P. D. Mannheim, Found. Phys. 37 (2007) 532 [\[hep-th/0608154\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0608154).
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>[29] Y. Brihaye and Y. Verbin, Phys. Rev. D 80, 124048 (2009) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.80.124048 [\[arXiv:0907.1951](http://arxiv.org/abs/0907.1951) [gr-qc]].
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[30] H. S. Liu and H. Lu, JHEP 1302, 139 (2013) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2013)139 [\[arXiv:1212.6264](http://arxiv.org/abs/1212.6264) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>[31] K. Meng and L. Zhao, Annals Phys. 377, 466 (2017) doi:10.1016/j.aop.2017.01.001 [\[arXiv:1601.07634](http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.07634) [gr-qc]].
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>[32] J. Oliva, D. Tempo and R. Troncoso, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 24, 1588 (2009) [\[arXiv:0905.1510](http://arxiv.org/abs/0905.1510) [hep-th]].
- [33] G. Guralnik, A. Iorio, R. Jackiw and S. Y. Pi, Annals Phys. **308**, 222 (2003) [\[hep-th/0305117\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0305117).
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>[34] D. Grumiller and W. Kummer, Annals Phys. 308, 211 (2003) [\[hep-th/0306036\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0306036).
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>[35] H. L, Y. Pang and C. N. Pope, Phys. Rev. D 87, no. 10, 104013 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.104013 [\[arXiv:1301.7083](http://arxiv.org/abs/1301.7083) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>[36] D. O. Devecioglu and O. Sarioglu, Phys. Rev. D 83, 021503 (2011) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.021503 [\[arXiv:1010.1711](http://arxiv.org/abs/1010.1711) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>[37] D. O. Devecioglu and O. Sarioglu, Phys. Rev. D 83, 124041 (2011) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.124041 [\[arXiv:1103.1993](http://arxiv.org/abs/1103.1993) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>[38] Y. Gim, W. Kim and S. H. Yi, JHEP 1407, 002 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)002 [\[arXiv:1403.4704](http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.4704) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>[39] H. A. Gonzalez, D. Tempo and R. Troncoso, JHEP 1111, 066 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2011)066 [\[arXiv:1107.3647](http://arxiv.org/abs/1107.3647) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>[40] Y. S. Myung and T. Moon, Phys. Rev. D 86, 024006 (2012) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.024006 [\[arXiv:1204.2116](http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.2116) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>[41] W. Kim, S. Kulkarni and S. H. Yi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, no. 8, 081101 (2013) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, no. 7, 079902 (2014)] doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.079902, 10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.081101 [\[arXiv:1306.2138](http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.2138) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>[42] L. F. Abbott and S. Deser, Nucl. Phys. B 195, 76 (1982). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(82)90049-9
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>[43] S. Deser and B. Tekin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 101101 (2002) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.101101 [\[hep-th/0205318\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0205318).
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>[44] R. M. Wald, Phys. Rev. D 48, no. 8, R3427 (1993) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.48.R3427 [\[gr-qc/9307038\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9307038).
- <span id="page-9-25"></span>[45] A. Anabalon, F. Canfora, A. Giacomini and J. Oliva, Phys. Rev. D 84, 084015 (2011) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.84.084015 [\[arXiv:1108.1476](http://arxiv.org/abs/1108.1476) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>[46] G. Barnich and F. Brandt, Nucl. Phys. B 633, 3 (2002) doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00251-1 [\[hep-th/0111246\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0111246).
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>[47] G. Barnich, Class. Quant. Grav. 20, 3685 (2003) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/20/16/310 [\[hep-th/0301039\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0301039).
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>[48] J. J. Peng, Eur. Phys. J. C 74, no. 11, 3156 (2014) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3156-0 [\[arXiv:1407.4875](http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.4875) [gr-qc]].
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>[49] J. J. Peng, Phys. Lett. B 752, 191 (2016) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.11.041 [\[arXiv:1511.06516](http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.06516) [gr-qc]].
- <span id="page-9-21"></span>[50] N. Deruelle, J. Katz and S. Ogushi, Class. Quant. Grav. 21, 1971 (2004) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/21/8/004 [\[gr-qc/0310098\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0310098).
- <span id="page-9-22"></span>[51] T. Padmanabhan, Rept. Prog. Phys. 73, 046901 (2010) doi:10.1088/0034-4885/73/4/046901 [\[arXiv:0911.5004](http://arxiv.org/abs/0911.5004) [gr-qc]].
- <span id="page-9-23"></span>[52] A. Bouchareb and G. Clement, Class. Quant. Grav. 24, 5581 (2007) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/24/22/018 [\[arXiv:0706.0263](http://arxiv.org/abs/0706.0263)  $\left[\text{gr-qc}\right]$ .
- <span id="page-9-24"></span>[53] F. Correa and M. Hassaine, JHEP 1402, 014 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2014)014 [\[arXiv:1312.4516](http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.4516) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-9-26"></span>[54] T. Padmanabhan, Res. Astron. Astrophys. 12, 891 (2012) [\[arXiv:1207.0505](http://arxiv.org/abs/1207.0505) [astro-ph.CO]].