

An Equation for Predicting Binding Strengths of Metal Cations to Protein of Human Serum Transferrin

Huifang Xu^{1*} and Yifeng Wang²

¹ NASA Astrobiology Institute, Department of Geoscience,

University of Wisconsin - Madison, 1215 W Dayton Street, Madison WI 53706, USA

² Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM 87185, USA

* Corresponding author: Prof. Huifang Xu


Tel: 608-265-5887 (O); E-mail: hfxu@geology.wisc.edu

Abstract

Because human serum transferrin (hTF) exists freely in serum, it is a potential target for cancer treatment drugs and in curing iron-overloaded conditions in patients via long-term transfusion therapy. The understanding of the interactions between hTF and metal ions is very important for biological, pharmacological, toxicological, and other protein engineering purposes. In this paper, a simple linear free energy correlation is proposed to predict the binding strength between hTF protein and metal cations. The stability constants (K_{ML}) for a family of metal—hTF complexes can be correlated to the non-solvation energies ($\Delta G_{n, M^{n+}}^0$) and the radii ($r_{M^{n+}}$) of cations by equation:

$$2.303RT \log K_{ML} = -a_{ML}^* \Delta G_{n, M^{n+}}^0 - b_{ML}^{**} - \beta_{ML}^* r_{M^{n+}} + \Delta G_{f, M^{n+}}^0,$$

where the coefficients a_{ML}^* , b_{ML}^{**} , and β_{ML}^* characterize a particular family of metal-protein complexes. The binding strength is determined by both the physical properties (charge and size or ionic radius, $r_{M^{n+}}$) and chemical properties (non-



solvation energy, $\Delta G_{n, M^{n+}}^0$) of a given cation. The binding strengths of either divalent or and trivalent metals can then be predicted systematically. The predicted stability constants of Pu^{3+} —hTF, Am^{3+} —hTF, and Cm^{3+} —hTF complexes are much lower than that of Fe^{3+} —hTF complex. The predicted stability constants of Co^{3+} —hTF, Tl^{3+} —hTF, Au^{3+} —hTF, and Ru^{3+} —hTF complexes are higher than that of the Fe^{3+} —hTF complex.

Key words: Metal- Protein complex, Human Serum Transferrin, Anticancer Metals, Solvation of Ions, Linear Free Energy Relationship, Actinides, Binding Strength, Metalloprotein

Introduction

Human serum transferrin (hTF) is a single-chain glycoprotein that transports iron (Fe) in blood¹⁻⁴. Human serum transferrin has a very strong binding force to bind metal ions, such as Fe^{3+} , Ga^{3+} , and Al^{3+} . The hTF molecule contains about 700 amino acids with molecular weight of about 80 kDa². The M^{3+} cation binds with two Tyr, one His, one Asp, and one bidentate CO_3^{2-} ^{2-5, 6 7-11 12}. The coordination environment of the bonded metals is either an octahedron or a distorted octahedron^{4, 6 7-11}. The anion CO_3^{2-} is called a synergistic anion (Figure 1). The M^{3+} cations cannot bind strongly without the synergistic anion^{2, 5, 6 7-11}. Although the protein hTF is very complex and looks like poly-dendate ligands, it may be considered as a single hexadendate ligand, such as EDTA or ethylenebis (*o*-hydroxyphenylglycine). The binding strength between metal ion and protein can be characterized by the stability constant of a metal-protein complex^{5, 13, 14 15-17}. The stability constants according to the reference are expressed as^{5, 13, 17}:

$$K_1 = [\text{M}^{3+}\text{—hTF}]/[\text{M}^{3+}][\text{hTF}],$$

and

$$K_2 = [\text{M}^{3+}\text{—(hTF-M}^{3+})]/[\text{M}^{3+}][\text{M}^{3+}\text{-hTF}].$$




Figure 1: Diagrams showing protein structure of hTF (upper) drawn by using ChemTube3D online program and the coordination environment of M³⁺ cations in the M³⁺—hTF complex (modified from references ⁶).

Because human serum transferrin is only 30% saturated with Fe³⁺, the hTF has a high capacity to bind other metals ^{2 18 17}. Therefore, it is important to understand the interaction between hTF and other metals, although it is very difficult to measure the stability

constants for metal-hTF complexes. For instance, platinum and gold complexes are used as anticancer and anti-tumor agents ¹⁹⁻²³, organometallic ruthenium Complexes are considered as a potential anti-cancer drug ^{24-28 29 30}, and the metal ion Bi^{3+} is widely used for variety of gastrointestinal disorders including diarrhea, constipation, gastritis, and ulcers ^{31, 32 33 34 35 36 37 38}. There are limited number of stability constants for metal-hTF complexes and other metal-protein complexes ^{5 17, 39 40}. Binding constants for some redox-sensitive metals (e.g., Fe^{2+} , Mn^{3+} and Co^{3+}) are difficult to measure experimentally ^{41, 42}. Also, metals like Cm, Am, U and others metals associated with nuclear energy and weapons, are hazardous to human health, and it is imperative to be able to predict the transferring binding constants for these metals. These metals, however, are risky to perform experiments upon because of their transuranium radiation dangers) ^{2, 43}. The existing correlation methods for predicting the stability constants are generally based on the stability constants of metal complexes with small ligands or the hydrolysis constants ^{14 16}, which are generally not fully available, especially for metal cations like such as Pu^{3+} , and Am^{3+} . In addition, the methods based on small ligand complexes could lead to large uncertainties between experimental values and predicted values, which could be as large as two to three *log* units for Fe^{3+} , Bi^{3+} , Cu^{2+} , Gd^{3+} , In^{3+} , and Al^{3+} ¹⁴. Therefore, there is a need for developing a tool that can give a reliable prediction of unknown stability constants that can also be based on the limited number of the existing measurements. Furthermore, as even more thermodynamic data for metal-protein complexes are accumulated, such a tool is also needed for the systematic evaluation of the quality of data collected from multiple sources to develop an internally consistent reliable data set for modeling metal-protein interactions.

Proposed equation

Linear free energy relationships have been used for correlating organic reactions (Hammett equation) ⁴⁴, stabilities of crystalline solids ^{45 46}, and trace metals bindings at mineral-water interfaces ⁴⁷. A similar relationship can be also used for metal—protein binding because the coordination environment for a metal in transferrin is much like the polyhedral coordination of metal cations in crystal structures ^{5, 6 7-11}. A family of metal-proteins or metal-organic chelate refers to the complexes formed with different metals

with a given protein or organic ligand. In each family, metal cations have the same charge. A family of metal complexes can be represented by $(M^{n+}L)$, where M^{n+} is a cation with a charge $+n$, and L represents the ligand of the metal complex (e.g., in M^{3+} —hTF metal-protein complexes, the trivalent cations M^{3+} are Fe, Ga, Tl, etc., and L is hTF). The local coordination environment of metal cations in hTF is very similar to that of crystalline minerals like calcite. Because it is analogous to the crystalline structure of solids^{45 46-48}, the linear free energy correlation is expressed as:

$$\Delta G_{f, ML}^0 = a_{ML}^* \Delta G_{n, M^{n+}}^0 + b_{ML}^* - \beta_{ML}^* r_{M^{n+}}, \quad (1a)$$

where, $\Delta G_{f, ML}^0$ is the Gibbs free energy of formation of the complex ML . The stability of most metal complexes (metalloproteins), for a metal complexation reaction of $M^{n+} + L = M^{n+}L$, is expressed as a stability constant given by⁴⁷:

$$-2.303RT \log K_{ML} = a_{ML}^* \Delta G_{n, M^{n+}}^0 + b_{ML}^* + \beta_{ML}^* r_{M^{n+}} - \Delta G_{f, M^{n+}}^0 - \Delta G_{f, L}^0 \quad (1b)$$

or,

$$-2.303RT \log K_{ML} = a_{ML}^* \Delta G_{n, M^{n+}}^0 + b_{ML}^{**} + \beta_{ML}^* r_{M^{n+}} - \Delta G_{f, M^{n+}}^0 \quad (1c)$$

or,

$$\log K_{ML} = -(a_{ML}^* \Delta G_{n, M^{n+}}^0 + b_{ML}^{**} + \beta_{ML}^* r_{M^{n+}} - \Delta G_{f, M^{n+}}^0) / 2.303RT \quad (1d)$$

where,

$$b_{ML}^{**} = b_{ML}^* - \Delta G_{f, L}^0. \quad (1e)$$

In above equations, $\Delta G_{f, L}^0$ is the Gibbs free energy of formation of a ligand L or, hTF, K_{ML} is the stability constants of a metal complexation reaction $M^{n+} + L = M^{n+}L$, and the coefficients a_{ML}^* , b_{ML}^{**} , and β_{ML}^* characterize a particular family of metal complexes $M^{n+}L$, which can be calculated by fitting equation (1c) to a limited number of experimentally determined $\log K$ values; $r_{M^{n+}}$ is the ionic radius of the M^{n+} cation referring to six-fold coordination for divalent⁴⁹, trivalent and tetravalent cations^{50, 51}; K_{ML} is thermodynamic stability constant of a metal complex, and $\Delta G_{n, M^{n+}}^0$ is the standard non-solvation energy, corrected for cation radius⁵¹. Equation (1d) allows the prediction of the stability constant of a metal complex from the known thermodynamic properties of the corresponding metal cation.

The non-solvation energy $\Delta G_{n, M^{n+}}^0$ that corresponds to the unhydrated cation energy, can be calculated by:

$$\Delta G_{n, M^{n+}}^0 = \Delta G_{f, M^{n+}}^0 - \Delta G_{s, M^{n+}}^0, \quad (2)$$

where $\Delta G_{f, M^{n+}}^0$ and $\Delta G_{s, M^{n+}}^0$ represent the standard Gibbs free energy of formation and the standard solvation energy of a bare metal cation respectively. $\Delta G_{s, M^{n+}}^0$ can be calculated from the conventional Born solvation coefficients for aqueous cations⁴⁵ according to the equation:

$$\Delta G_{s, M^{n+}}^0 = \omega_{M^{n+}} (1/\varepsilon - 1). \quad (3)$$

In equation (3), ε is dielectric constant of water (78.47 at 25 °C). The parameter $\omega_{M^{n+}}$ is the Born solvation coefficients for aqueous cations and can be calculated by:

$$\omega_{M^{n+}} = \omega_{M^{n+}}^{\text{abs}} - n\omega_{H^+}^{\text{abs}}. \quad (4)$$

In equation (4), $\omega_{H^+}^{\text{abs}}$ is the absolute Born solvation coefficient of H^+ (53.87 kcal/mole), and $\omega_{M^{n+}}^{\text{abs}}$ is the absolute Born solvation coefficients of the cations with the effective electrostatic radii of aqueous ions ($r_{e, M^{n+}}$). They can be calculated by

$$\omega_{M^{n+}}^{\text{abs}} = 166.027 n^2 / (r_{e, M^{n+}}), \quad (5)$$

$$r_{e, M^{n+}} = r_{M^{n+}} + n 0.94. \quad (6)$$

The radii and non-solvation energies of trivalent and divalent cations^{49, 50} are listed in Table 1 and Table 2. The Gibbs free energies of metal cations ($\Delta G_{f, M^{n+}}^0$) basically increase with decreasing hardness of Pearson's Lewis acids. We may define cations with positive $\Delta G_{f, M^{n+}}^0$ to be soft acids, and those with negative $\Delta G_{f, M^{n+}}^0$ to be hard acids as discussed below.

Results and Discussions

All the data used for regression analysis are effective binding constants that have been corrected for bicarbonate concentration by the equation^{40, 52}:

$$\log K = \log K^* - \log \alpha \quad (7)$$

where,

$$\alpha = K_c [HCO_3^-] / (1 + K_c [HCO_3^-]). \quad (8)$$

The value of K_c for the both binding sites is $10^{2.5}$ ⁵².

Equation (1c) has been applied to M^{3+} —hTF ($\log K_1$) and M^{3+} —(M^{3+} -hTF) ($\log K_2$) complexes as well (Table 1). The coefficients of a^*_{ML} , β^*_{ML} , and b^{**}_{ML} can be obtained by regression analysis using experimental data measured at a neutral pH condition (see Table 1 for detail). Standard errors for $\log K_1$ and $\log K_2$ values are ± 0.54 and ± 0.76 , respectively. Standard error for a well-studied ligand NTA is ± 0.36 (Table 1). The discrepancies between measured and calculated values are generally within 0.6 \log units (Figure 2). Only Sc^{3+} data was not used for regression analysis, because of anomalously large discrepancies (2-3 \log units) between the measured and the calculated values (Table 1). There are two sets of data for Al. The data by Harris and Sheldon ⁵² are very close the calculated values (Table 1). The $\log K_1$ value by Martin et al is off by ~ 2 \log units ⁵³. There are multiple sets of reported data for Tb (11.2, 7.61; 10.96, 8.52) ⁴⁰. The most recent published values (**9.96, 6.37**) listed in Table 1 are used for regression analysis ⁵⁴. It may be necessary to re-determine the binding constants for Sc^{3+} , because there are large discrepancies among experimentally measured values similar to Tb and Al ^{40 17}.

Table 1: Ionic radii, thermodynamic data for trivalent cations, and stability constants for three families of metal complexes.

Insert Table 1 Here

Note: hTF = human serum transferrin; NTA = Nitrilotriacetic acid ($C_6H_9O_6N$)

Note: Radii of the trivalent cations are from reference ⁵⁰. The values of ΔG_f of the cations are from references ^{51, 55} except for Bi^{3+} from reference ⁵⁶, Ti^{3+} from reference ⁵⁷, and Pu^{3+} , Np^{3+} , and Am^{3+} from references ^{58 59}. The $\log K$ values of Fe—hTF are from references ¹³; the values of Bi—hTF, Ga—hTF, and In—hTF are from references ^{15, 60 61 62}; the values of Al—hTF are from references ^{53 52}; the values of Nd—hTF and Sm—hTF are from reference ⁴²; the values of Lu—hTF and Gd—hTF are from reference ⁴⁰; the values of Tb—hTF (in bolder) are from reference ⁵⁴; the values of Sc—hTF are from reference ¹⁴. All the data of metal

complexes of rare earth elements with CO_3^{2-} and HCO_3^- are from reference ⁶³. The data of other metal complexes of rare earth elements and CO_3^{2-} are from reference ⁶⁴. The thermodynamic data for aqueous Ru^{3+} is from reference ⁶⁵ with large uncertainty (~ 4.7 kcal/mole). The free energy units are in kcal/mole. Data in parentheses are not used for regression analyses. The data for NTA is from reference ⁶⁶.




Figure 2: Linear free energy relationship of equation (1d) for M^{3+} —hTF (upper plot, $\log K_1$) and M^{3+} —(M^{3+} - hTF) (lower plot, $\log K_2$) complexes.




Figure 3: Linear free energy relationship of equation (1d) for M^{2+} —hTF (upper plot, $\log K_1$) and M^{2+} —(M^{2+} -hTF) (lower plot, $\log K_2$) complexes.

Table 2: Ionic radii, thermodynamic data for divalent cations, and stability constants for metal—hTF, metal— carboxy-peptidase, and metal—ATP complex / chelate families.

Insert Table 2 Here

Note: Radii of the cations are from references ^{45, 49}, effective radii of NpO_2^{2+} , PuO_2^{2+} , and AmO_2^{2+} are assumed similar to that of UO_2^{2+} . The values of ΔG_f of the cations are from reference ⁴⁵ except for NpO_2^{2+} from reference ⁵⁸, and Pt^{2+} , Pd^{2+} , PuO_2^{2+} and AmO_2^{2+} from reference ⁶⁷. The log K values of Mn—hTF, Zn—hTF, and Cd—hTF are from references ^{68 16 69}; the values of and Cu—hTF are from reference ⁷⁰; the values of and Ni—hTF are from reference ⁴¹. The values of log K metal—ligand complexes are from reference ⁶⁶. The log K values of M — carboxy-peptidase are from reference ⁷¹.

The equation (1c) also applies to M^{2+} —hTF ($\log K_1$) and M^{2+} —(M^{2+} -hTF) ($\log K_2$) complexes as well (Figure 3, Table 2). There are two sets of data for Zn. We used the values selected by Harris ⁶² (Table 2). Standard errors (± 0.16 and ± 0.27) for the divalent cations are low due to limited experimental data. Only Ni^{2+} data were not used for regression analysis, because of anomalously large discrepancies between the measured and calculated values. The predicted values of $\log K_1$ and $\log K_2$ for Ni^{2+} are 9.6 and 7.9 respectively. By comparing the stability constants for Cu^{2+} and Co^{2+} , it is expected that the predicted stability constants for Ni^{2+} are between those for Cu^{2+} and Co^{2+} based on their positions in the Periodic table. It was explained that distortion of the octahedral site may result in the reduction of stabilization energy of the Ni^{2+} ⁴¹. We do not think this would cause such a large discrepancy. One possibility for the large difference between measured and calculated values is the coordination environment for Ni is different than for other divalent cations. This has been observed in divalent cations in calcite (C. N. = 6) and aragonite (C. N. = 9) polymorphs ⁴⁷. Future experimentation using different methods may be helpful to solve this problem. The equation also fits the experimentally determined stability constants of inorganic and organic metal complex families (Tables 1, 2, and 3), thus demonstrating the robustness of the proposed linear free energy relationship. Using the obtained a^*_{ML} , b^{**}_{ML} , and β^*_{ML} values, the unknown stability

constants metal—hTF complexes can be calculated (Table 1, Table 2). Given limited data availability for the divalent metals, the resulting predicted values should be considered as the first order approximation of the stability constants.

Our model shows that trivalent cations of Au^{3+} , Tl^{3+} , Co^{3+} , V^{3+} , In^{3+} , Bi^{3+} , Cr^{3+} , and Mn^{3+} tend to compete with Fe^{3+} . The stability constants of Tl^{3+} , Ru^{3+} , Au^{3+} and Co^{3+} are higher than that of Fe^{3+} . It is reported that Co^{3+} —hTF complex is more stable than Fe^{3+} —hTF complex ⁷². If Co^{3+} is reduced to Co^{2+} , the stability constant of the Co^{2+} —hTF complex will be much lower than that of the Co^{3+} —hTF complex. The binding strengths for Ru^{3+} ($\log K_1 = 23.8$ and $\log K_2 = 23.0$) are also predicted because Ru^{3+} -complexes were suggested as a potential anti-cancer agent ^{24 26 28 29 73}. It was suggested that compounds of Ru can be administered in Ru^{3+} oxidation state (relatively inert), causing minimal damage to healthy cells, but being reduced to active in Ru^{2+} oxidation state in cancer cells ^{74, 75}. The new binding constants may be used for calculating partitioning of between the Ru^{3+} -complexes and Ru^{3+} —hTF. Because of relatively strong binding between Tl^{3+} and hTF and between Co^{3+} and hTF, Tl^{3+} and Co^{3+} can compete with Fe^{3+} in the Fe^{3+} —hTF complex. The predicted values of $\log K_1$ and $\log K_2$ for Hg^{2+} are 11.1 and 10.3 respectively.

The linear free energy relationship can be used to predict the binding strengths of actinides to human serum transferring, which are difficult and dangerous to obtain experimentally ^{43, 76}. The predicted stability constants for Cm^{3+} —hTF and Cm^{3+} —(hTF- Cm^{3+}) complexes are 8.7 and 6.4 respectively. The predicted stability constants for Am^{3+} —hTF and Am^{3+} —(hTF- Am^{3+}) complexes are 8.2 and 6.0 respectively (Table 1). The values obtained here are larger than those predicted by Harris (1986) ⁴¹. Using the obtained coefficients for divalent and trivalent cations (Table 3), we can also estimate the coefficients for tetravalent cations like U, Th, and Hf. There are very limited data for tetravalent cations. The behaviors of tetravalent cations may be different from those of trivalent cations ⁷⁷. Early results show that Ti may binds stronger than ferric Fe ⁷⁸. The coefficient β^*_{ML} is proportional to the cation charge. Using available thermodynamic properties of tetravalent cations (Table 4), and the stability data for the Pu-hTF complex ($\log K_1 = 21.25 \pm 0.75$) ⁴³ and the Ti-hTF complex ($\log K_1 = 26.8$) ⁷⁹, it is possible to

estimate the stability constants for other tetravalent cations if we can estimate values of β^*_{ML} or a^*_{ML} . The value of β^*_{ML} is related to ion charges based on regression values (Table 3). Based on β^*_{ML} values for divalent cations and trivalent cations, we estimated a value of 150 kcal/mole (very similar to that for EDTA listed in Table 3) for the coefficients β^*_{ML} , for tetravalent cations. We can calculate the coefficient of a^*_{ML} to be 0.9563. The predicted stability constants for tetravalent cations are listed in table 4. The predicted stability constant for U-hTF complex is about 20. That is slightly lower than the value for the Fe^{3+} -hTF complex (Table 4). It is reported that the binding of the tetravalent cations (Ce, Hf, and Pu) could prevent normal closure of the transferrin interdomain cleft⁸⁰⁻⁸². It is proposed that the cations with large hydration or solvation energies (like tetravalent cations) may attract water molecules at the binding sites, which affects the normal closure of the transferring domains⁴⁰.

Table 3: Summary of regression coefficients for hTF and some metal complex families.

Insert Table 3 Here

Table 4: Table 4: Ionic radii, thermodynamic data for tetravalent cations, and predicted conditional stability constants of the M^{4+} -hTF complex.

Insert Table 4 Here

*Note: Thermodynamic properties of tetravalent cations are from references^{46, 50 51}. Gibbs free energies of formation (ΔG_f) for M^{4+} -oxides are from references^{58, 83}, and⁸⁴ are also listed as an example. The predicted difference is small. Only stability data for Pu-hTF complex (21.25 ± 0.75)⁴³ and Ti-hTF (26.8)⁷⁹ are available and used to constrain coefficient b^*_{ML} . Experimental data of Pu-hTF and Ti-hTF are in bold.*

With the calculated values, we can also estimate redox potentials for M-hTF with different oxidation states, such as, Fe^{3+} -hTF and Fe^{2+} -hTF using Nernst equation

$$E = 0.770 - 0.059 \log (K_c-Fe^{3+} / K_c-Fe^{2+}), \quad (9)$$

Where 0.770V is the formal potential of the ferric to ferrous couple, and $(K_c-Fe^{3+} / K_c-Fe^{2+})$ are the site-specific binding constants for ferric and ferrous ion, respectively¹⁶.

As opposed to purely empirical methods, the linear free energy equation established above has a clear physical meaning for each term and thus provides a mechanistic basis for data extrapolation and interpolation. By substituting equation (2) into (1c), the following relationship can be obtained:

$$2.303RT \log K_{ML} = (1 - a^*_{ML}) \Delta G^0_{f, Mn^{n+}} - b^{**}_{ML} - \beta^*_{ML} r_{Mn^{n+}} + a^*_{ML} \Delta G^0_{s, Mn^{n+}}. \quad (10)$$

Interestingly, Gibbs free energies of formation of cations ($\Delta G^0_{f, Mn^{n+}}$) can be used as an index for the hardness/softness of metal cations (i.e., Lewis acids) proposed by Pearson^{85, 86}. The more negative the value of the Gibbs free energy, the harder the acid will be. It is logical to postulate that the coefficient a^*_{ML} or term $1 - a^*_{ML}$ characterizes the softness or hardness of complexing ligands (bases). A positive value of $(1 - a^*_{ML})$ indicates a soft base or ligand, and a negative value of $(1 - a^*_{ML})$ indicates a hard base or ligand. Therefore, the coefficient a^*_{ML} can be used as an index of the hardness of ligands. Soft bases have values of $a^*_{ML} < 1$; and hard bases have values of $a^*_{ML} > 1$. Because of the correlation from the above equation, one is able to, as opposed to previous qualitative and or arbitrary numbering scales of hardness/softness, definitively express the hardness/softness based on the value of a^*_{ML} relative to 1.

Overall, the stability constants are determined by both ionic radii of metal cations, hardness of cations (acids) and ligands (bases). The solvation energy ($\Delta G^0_{s, Mn^{n+}}$) term is also related to the radii of cations. Similarly, the coefficient β^*_{ML} reflects the coordination environments of cations or the structural effects from metal-ligand binding. The term $\beta^*_{MXv} r_{Mn^{n+}}$ is similar to the steric effect; a large β^*_{ML} value indicates a smaller coordination environment (polyhedron).

Previously proposed linear free energy relationships are based on M-hTF and metals with other ligands^{5, 14}, or they correlate $\log K$ values for one metal cation with $\log K$ values for another metal cation⁴¹. It is impossible to estimate $\log K$ for an element (like

Ru^{3+}) without a known $\log K$ value with other ligand. Based on our linear free energy relationship, however, the $\log K$ values are determined by both the size and the energy ($\Delta G_{n, \text{M}^{n+}}^0$) of cations only. The previous methods may produce large uncertainties if they do not account for the effects from ionic radii and non-solvation energies. We can correlate the $\log K$ for M-hTF with $\log K$ for other metal-ligands using the obtained relationship. For instance, the difference between $\log K$ for hTF and $\log K$ for NTA (nitrilotriacetic acid) can be illustrated by using equation (1c):

$$\log K_{\text{hTF}} = -(a_{\text{hTF}}^* \Delta G_{n, \text{M}^{3+}}^0 + b_{\text{hTF}}^{**} + \beta_{\text{hTF}}^* r_{\text{M}^{3+}} - \Delta G_{f, \text{M}^{3+}}^0) / 2.303RT \quad (11)$$

$$\log K_{\text{NTA}} = -(a_{\text{NTA}}^* \Delta G_{n, \text{M}^{3+}}^0 + b_{\text{NTA}}^{**} + \beta_{\text{NTA}}^* r_{\text{M}^{3+}} - \Delta G_{f, \text{M}^{3+}}^0) / 2.303RT \quad (12)$$

$$2.303RT (\log K_{\text{NTA}} - \log K_{\text{hTF}}) = (a_{\text{hTF}}^* - a_{\text{NTA}}^*) \Delta G_{n, \text{M}^{3+}}^0 + (\beta_{\text{hTF}}^* - \beta_{\text{NTA}}^*) r_{\text{M}^{3+}} + (b_{\text{hTF}}^{**} - b_{\text{NTA}}^{**}), \text{ or} \quad (13)$$

$$2.303RT (\log K_{\text{NTA}} - \log K_{\text{hTF}}) = \Delta a^* \Delta G_{n, \text{M}^{3+}}^0 + \Delta \beta^* r_{\text{M}^{3+}} + \Delta b^{**}. \quad (14)$$

Using the coefficients in table 3, we can get

$$2.303RT (\log K_{\text{NTA}} - \log K_{\text{hTF}}) = -0.0312 \Delta G_{n, \text{M}^{3+}}^0 + 14.5 r_{\text{M}^{3+}} - 7.44. \quad (15)$$

The relationship can be schematically illustrated in Figure 4. For this particular case (see Table 3 for values of all coefficients), negative Δa^* means NTA prefers hard acid (i.e., cations with large negative values of $\Delta G_{f, \text{M}^{n+}}^0$, such as rare earth elements and actinides); positive $\Delta \beta^*$ indicates that NTA (with lower β^* value) prefers to bind large cations; Negative Δb^{**} value means that hTF binds metals stronger than NTA does. However, in order to obtain a good correlation for the previous methods, we would need to find a ligand with same or close β_{ML}^* without explicitly considering effects from radii of cations. The previous relationship overestimates $\log K$ values for large ions like rare earth elements because of the term $\Delta \beta^* r_{\text{M}^{n+}}$, and underestimate $\log K$ values for small ions, thus neglecting the importance of ionic radii.




Figure 4: Diagram illustrates the binding strength relationship between M-hTF and M-NTA for trivalent cations. Both size and non-solvation energy of trivalent cations affect the difference between their stability constants.

The Fe^{3+} -hTF complex binds strongly to a receptor protein on the surface of cells. Inside the cell, the pH is lowering from 7.4 (extracellular) to about 5.5 may cause the release of Fe^{3+} from the Fe^{3+} -hTF complex^{2, 3, 6, 10, 11, 13}. There are four proposed mechanisms for the release of Fe^{3+} inside the cell^{1, 2}. The four are acidification, ligand exchange, reduction of Fe^{3+} , and synergistic anion exchange². The reduction mechanism explains Fe well^{87, 88}, but not for the non-redox elements. A recent molecular dynamic modeling result indicates that the pH dependent change in the dynamics is traced to the

altered electrostatic potential distribution along the surface ⁸⁹. It was proposed that protonation of carbonate ¹⁰, protonation of His 249 ¹¹, and both carbonate and His 249 ligand protonation ⁶ are key steps in iron release. According to our linear free energy relationship for ligands and protonated ligands, we propose that the protonation of a ligand (e.g., ATP, and carbonate) can result in hardness increasing (i.e., larger a^*_{ML} value) besides overall binding strength decrease (Table 3), which can lower the stability constants of soft acids (i.e., cations with high Gibbs free energy of formation in here) dramatically⁸⁵.

We can apply this concept to protonated hTF (or the formation of the H-hTF protein ligand) in acidic inside cell environment, which can lower the stability constant ($\log K$) of the M^{3+} —H-hTF complexes for the soft acids like Fe^{3+} dramatically and result in M^{3+} being released from the M^{3+} —H-hTF complex. The protonation of ligand hTF is similar to the mechanism of acidification. The protonation of a ligand decreases stability of protonated metal complexes. For instance, in basic environment, CO_2 in water is dominated by CO_3^{2-} ligand. As the pH of a solution decreases, the CO_3^{2-} ligand becomes protonated (or, ligand HCO_3^- will form). The protonated carbonate HCO_3^- ligand is harder than the unprotonated CO_3^{2-} ligand (i.e., larger a^*_{ML} value with respect to that of carbonate ligand, Table 3). We propose that the overall function of hTF ligand in basic and acidic solutions is similar to the protonation of CO_3^{2-} ligand, even though the function and property of the synergistic anion CO_3^{2-} is not the same as that of isolated CO_3^{2-} . Such kind of a transformation mechanism may be useful for designing engineered proteins that can uptake toxic metals in a relatively basic solution and release the coordinated metals in a relatively acidic solution.

Conclusions

The linear free energy relationship developed here can be used to predict unknown stability constants of metal—hTF protein complexes from limited experimental data. The discrepancies between the predicted and experimental data are generally less than 0.6 \log units, far better than other empirical methods. The stability constants for actinides (e.g., Pu and Am) and tetravalent cations are also predicted. This relationship can be applied to the binding between metal cations and enzymes, and between metal metals and engineered proteins reported by Vita et al. ⁹⁰. It can also be used to systematically evaluate the quality of data collected from multiple sources and to select

internally consistent and reliable data sets for metal speciation in physiological fluid. It is also proposed that the release of Fe^{3+} inside cells results from protonation of the protein hTF and formation of the harder ligand H-hTF with a large α^*_{ML} value that reduces the binding strength of soft Lewis acids like Fe^{3+} dramatically. The proposed method can be used as a guideline for designing engineered proteins and compounds with desired selectivity and strength^{91, 92 93}.

Acknowledgment: Authors thank David C. Xu for helping to organize some data. This work is supported by the NASA Astrobiology Institute under grant N07-5489.

Notes and References

- (1) Brock, J. H. In *Metalloproteins, part II*; Harrison, P. M., Ed.; MacMillan, Houndmills: 1985; pp 183-262.
- (2) Welch, S. *Transferrin: the Iron Carrier*. CRC Press: Boca Raton, 1992; p 291.
- (3) Dautry-Varsat, A. Receptor-mediated endocytosis: The intracellular journey of transferrin and its receptor. *Biochimie* **1986**, 68 (3), 375-381.
- (4) Octave, J. N.; Schneider, Y. J.; Trouet, A.; Crichton, R. R. Iron uptake and utilization by mammalian-cells. 1. Cellular uptake of transferrin and iron. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* **1983**, 8 (6), 217-220.
- (5) Sun, H.; Cox, M. C.; Li, H.; Sadler, P. J. In *Structure and Bonding*; Springer Berlin / Heidelberg: 1997; Vol. 88, pp 71-102.
- (6) Baker, H. M.; He, Q.-Y.; Briggs, S. K.; Mason, A. B.; Baker, E. N. Structural and Functional Consequences of Binding Site Mutations in Transferrin: Crystal Structures of the Asp63Glu and Arg124Ala Mutants of the N-Lobe of Human Transferrin. *Biochemistry* **2003**, 42, 7084-7089.
- (7) Bailey, S.; Evans, R. W.; Garratt, R. C.; Gorinsky, B.; Hasnain, B.; Horsburgh, C.; Jhoti, H.; Lindley, P. F.; Mydin, A.; Sarra, R.; Watson, J. L. Molecular Structure of Serum Transferrin at 3.3-Å Resolution. *Biochemistry* **1988**, 27, 5804-5812.
- (8) Smith, C. A.; Anderson, B. F.; Baker, H. M.; Baker, E. N. Metal Substitution in Transferrins: The Crystal Structure of Human Copper-Lactoferrin at 2.1 Å Resolution. *Biochemistry* **1992**, 31, 4527-4533.
- (9) Mizutani, K.; Mikami, B.; Hirose, M. Domain Closure Mechanism in Transferrins: New Viewpoints about the Hinge Structure and Motion as Deduced from High Resolution Crystal Structures of Ovotransferrin N-lobe. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **2001**, 309 (4), 937-947.
- (10) MacGillivray, R. T. A.; Moore, S. A.; Chen, J.; Anderson, B. F.; Baker, H.; Luo, Y.; Bewley, M.; Smith, C. A.; Murphy, M. E. P.; Wang, Y.; Mason, A. B.; Woodworth, R. C.; Brayer, G. D.; Baker, E. N. Two High-Resolution Crystal Structures of the Recombinant N-lobe of Human Transferrin Reveal a Structural Change Implicated in Iron Release. *Biochemistry* **1998**, 37 (22), 7919-7928.
- (11) Jeffrey, P. D.; Bewley, M.; MacGillivray, R. T. A.; Mason, A. B.; Woodworth, R. C.; Brayer, G. D.; Baker, E. N. Ligand-Induced Conformational Change in Transferrins: Crystal Structure of the Open Form of the N-Terminal Half-Molecule of Human Transferrin. *Biochemistry* **1998**, 37 (40), 13978-13986.
- (12) Yang, N.; Zhang, H. M.; Wang, M. J.; Hao, Q.; Sun, H. Z. Iron and bismuth bound human serum transferrin reveals a partially-opened conformation in the N-lobe. *Sci Rep-Uk* **2012**, 2, DOI: ARTN 999
10.1038/srep00999.
- (13) Aisen, P.; Leibman, A.; Zweier, J. Stoichiometric and site characteristics of the binding of iron to human transferrin. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **1978**, 253, 1930-1937.
- (14) Li, H.; Sadler, P. J.; Sun, H. Rationalization of the Strength of Metal Binding to Human Serum Transferrin. *European Journal of Biochemistry* **1996**, 242 (2), 387-393.
- (15) Li, H.; Sadler, P. J.; Sun, H. Unexpectedly Strong Binding of a Large Metal Ion (Bi^{3+}) to Human Serum Transferrin. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **1996**, 271 (16), 9483-9489.
- (16) Harris, W. R. Equilibrium constants for the complexation of metal ions by serum transferrin. *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology* **1989**, 249, 67-93.

- (17) Harris, W. R.; Messori, L. A comparative study of aluminum(III), gallium(III), indium(III) and thallium(III) binding to human serum transferrin. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews* **2002**, 228 (2), 237-262.
- (18) Chasteen, N. D. Transferrin: a perspective. *Advances in inorganic biochemistry* **1983**, 5, 201-233.
- (19) Tusek-Bozic, L.; Frausin, F.; Scarcia, V.; Furlani, A. Synthesis, characterization and antitumor activity of platinum(II) complexes with diethyl and monoethyl 2-quinolylmethylphosphonates. *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry* **2003**, 95 (4), 259-269.
- (20) Dyson, P. J.; Sava, G. Metal-based antitumour drugs in the post genomic era. *Dalton Transactions* **2006**, (16), 1929-1933.
- (21) Timerbaev, A. R.; Hartinger, C. G.; Aleksenko, S. S.; Keppler, B. K. Interactions of antitumor metallodrugs with serum proteins: Advances in characterization using modern analytical methodology. *Chemical Reviews* **2006**, 106 (6), 2224-2248.
- (22) Hartinger, C. G.; Ang, W. H.; Casini, A.; Messori, L.; Keppler, B. K.; Dyson, P. J. Mass spectrometric analysis of ubiquitin-platinum interactions of leading anticancer drugs: MALDI versus ESI. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* **2007**, 22 (8), 960-967.
- (23) Gabbiani, C.; Casini, A.; Messori, L. Gold(III) compounds as anticancer drugs. *Gold Bulletin* **2007**, 40 (1), 73-81.
- (24) Kratz, F.; Hartmann, M.; Keppler, B.; Messori, L. The binding properties of two antitumor ruthenium(III) complexes to apotransferrin. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **1998**, 269 (4), 2581-2588.
- (25) Alessio, E.; Mestroni, G.; Bergamo, A.; Sava, G. Ruthenium antimetastatic agents. *Current Topics in Medicinal Chemistry* **2004**, 4 (15), 1525-1535.
- (26) Bergamo, A.; Sava, G. Ruthenium complexes can target determinants of tumour malignancy. *Dalton Transactions* **2007**, (13), 1267-1272.
- (27) Casini, A.; Gabbiani, C.; Mastrobuoni, G.; Pellicani, R. Z.; Intini, F. P.; Arnesano, F.; Natile, G.; Moneti, G.; Francese, S.; Messori, L. Insights into the molecular mechanisms of protein platination from a case study: The reaction of anticancer Platinum(II) iminoethers with horse heart cytochrome c. *Biochemistry* **2007**, 46 (43), 12220-12230.
- (28) Casini, A.; Mastrobuoni, G.; Terenghi, M.; Gabbiani, C.; Monzani, E.; Moneti, G.; Casella, L.; Messori, L. Ruthenium anticancer drugs and proteins: a study of the interactions of the ruthenium(III) complex imidazolium trans-[tetrachloro(dimethyl sulfoxide)(imidazole)ruthenate(III)] with hen egg white lysozyme and horse heart cytochrome c. *Journal of Biological Inorganic Chemistry* **2007**, 12 (8), 1107-1117.
- (29) Iida, J.; Bell-Loncella, E. T.; Purazo, M. L.; Lu, Y. F.; Dorchak, J.; Clancy, R.; Slavik, J.; Cutler, M. L.; Shriver, C. D. Inhibition of cancer cell growth by ruthenium complexes. *J Transl Med* **2016**, 14, DOI: ARTN 48
10.1186/s12967-016-0797-9.
- (30) Guo, W.; Zheng, W.; Luo, Q.; Li, X. C.; Zhao, Y.; Xiong, S. X.; Wang, F. Y. Transferrin Serves As a Mediator to Deliver Organometallic Ruthenium(II) Anticancer Complexes into Cells. *Inorganic Chemistry* **2013**, 52 (9), 5328-5338 DOI: 10.1021/ic4002626.
- (31) Baxter, G. F. Bismuth: from alchemy to campylobacter. *Pharmaceutical Journal* **1989**, 243, 805-810.
- (32) Bierer, D. W. Bismuth subsalicylate: history, chemistry and safety. *Reviews of Infectious Diseases* **1990**, 12 S3-8.
- (33) Abrams, M. J.; Murrer, B. A. Metal compounds in therapy and diagnosis. *Science* **1993**, 261 (5122), 725-730.

- (34) Lambert, J. R.; Midolo, P. The actions of bismuth in the treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics* **1997**, 11, S27-33.
- (35) Li, H. Y.; Sun, H. Z. Recent advances in bioinorganic chemistry of bismuth. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* **2012**, 16 (1-2), 74-83 DOI: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2012.01.006.
- (36) Malfertheiner, P. Bismuth improves PPI-based triple therapy for *H. pylori* eradication. *Nat Rev Gastro Hepat* **2010**, 7 (10), 538-539 DOI: 10.1038/nrgastro.2010.131.
- (37) Ge, R. G.; Sun, H. Z. Bioinorganic chemistry of bismuth and antimony: Target sites of metallodrugs. *Accounts Chem Res* **2007**, 40 (4), 267-274 DOI: 10.1021/ar600001b.
- (38) Keogan, D. M.; Griffith, D. M. Current and Potential Applications of Bismuth-Based Drugs. *Molecules* **2014**, 19 (9), 15258-15297 DOI: 10.3390/molecules190915258.
- (39) Sun, H.; Li, H.; Sadler, P. J. Transferrin as a metal ion mediator. *Chemical Reviews* **1999**, 99 (9), 2817-2842.
- (40) Harris, W. R.; Yang, B.; Abdollahi, S.; Hamada, Y. Steric restrictions on the binding of large metal ions to serum transferrin. *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry* **1999**, 76, 231-242.
- (41) Harris, W. R. Estimation of the ferrous-transferrin binding constants based on thermodynamic studies of nickel(II)-transferrin. *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry* **1986**, 27, 41-52.
- (42) Harris, W. R. Binding Constants for Neodymium(III) and Samarium(III) with Human Serum Transferrin. *Inorganic Chemistry* **1986**, 25, 2041-2045.
- (43) Taylor, D. M. The bioinorganic chemistry of actinides in blood. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds* **1998**, 271, 6-10.
- (44) Hammett, L. P. *Physical Organic Chemistry*. McGraw-Hill: New York, 1970.
- (45) Sverjensky, D. A.; Molling, P. A. A Linear Free-Energy Relationship for Crystalline Solids and Aqueous Ions. *Nature* **1992**, 356 (6366), 231-234.
- (46) Xu, H.; Wang, Y.; Barton, L. L. Application of a linear free energy relationship to crystalline solids of MO_2 and $\text{M}(\text{OH})_4$. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* **1999**, 273 (3), 343-346.
- (47) Wang, Y.; Xu, H. Prediction of trace metal partitioning between minerals and aqueous solutions: a linear free energy correlation approach. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **2001**, 65 (10), 1529-1543.
- (48) Xu, H. F.; Wang, Y. F. Use of linear free energy relationship to predict Gibbs free energies of formation of MUO_4 phases. *Radiochimica Acta* **1999**, 87 (1-2), 37-40.
- (49) Shannon, R. D.; Prewitt, C. T. Effective Ionic Radii in Oxides and Fluorides. *Acta Crystallographica* **1969**, B25, 925-946.
- (50) Shannon, R. D. Revised Effective Ionic-Radii and Systematic Studies of Interatomic Distances in Halides and Chalcogenides. *Acta Crystallographica Section A* **1976**, 32 (SEP1), 751-767.
- (51) Shock, E. L.; Helgeson, H. C. Calculation of the thermodynamic and transport properties of aqueous species at high pressures and temperatures: Correlation algorithms for ionic species and equation of state predictions to 5 kb and 1000 degree C. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **1988**, 52 (8), 2009-2036.
- (52) Harris, W. R.; Sheldon, J. Equilibrium Constants for the Binding of Aluminum to Human Serum Transferrin. *Inorganic Chemistry* **1990**, 29, 119-124.
- (53) Martin, R. B.; Savory, J.; Brown, S.; Bertholf, R. L.; Wills, M. R. Transferrin Binding of Al^{3+} and Fe^{3+} . *Clinical Chemistry* **1987**, 33 (3), 405-407.

- (54) Abdollahi, S.; Harris, W. R. Determination of the binding constant of terbium-transferrin. *Iran J Chem Chem Eng* **2006**, 25 (1), 45-52.
- (55) Shock, E. L.; Sassani, D. C.; Willis, M.; Sverjensky, D. A. Inorganic species in geologic fluids: Correlations among standard molal thermodynamic properties of aqueous ions and hydroxide complexes. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **1997**, 61 (5), 907-950.
- (56) Wagman, D. D.; Evans, W. H.; Parker, V. B.; Schumm, R. H.; Halow, I.; Bailey, S. M.; Churney, K. L.; Buttall, R. L. The NBS Tables of Chemical Thermodynamic Properties. *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data* **1982**, 11 (Supplement 2), 392.
- (57) Latimer, W. M. *The Oxidation States of the Elements and Their Potentials in Aqueous Solutions, 2nd ed.* Princeton Hall: Princeton, N. J., 1952; p 392.
- (58) Brookins, D. G. *Eh-pH diagrams for geochemistry*. Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1988; p 176.
- (59) Bard, A. J.; Parsons, R.; Jordan, J. In *Standard Potentials in Aqueous Solution*, 1985; Marcel Dekker, Inc: 1985; pp 631-573.
- (60) Zak, O.; Aisen, P. Spectroscopic and Thermodynamic Studies on the Binding of Gadolinium(III) to Human Serum Transferrin. *Biochemistry* **1988**, 27, 1075-1080.
- (61) Harris, W. R.; Pecoraro, V. L. Thermodynamic Binding Constants for Gallium Transferrin. *Biochemistry* **1983**, 22, 292-299.
- (62) Harris, W. R.; Chen, Y.; Wein, K. Equilibrium Constants for the Binding of Indium(III) to Human Serum Transferrin. *Inorganic Chemistry* **1994**, 33 (22), 4991-4998.
- (63) Millero, F. J. Stability constants for the formation of rare earth-inorganic complexes as a function of ionic strength. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **1992**, 56 (8), 3123-3132.
- (64) Turner, D. R.; Whitfield, M.; Dickson, A. G. The equilibrium speciation of dissolved components in freshwater and sea water at 25°C and 1 atm pressure. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **1981**, 45 (6), 855-881.
- (65) Rard, J. A. Chemistry and thermodynamics of ruthenium and some of its inorganic compounds and aqueous species. *Chemical Reviews* **1985**, 85 (1), 1-39.
- (66) Martell, A. E.; Smith, R. M. *Critical Stability Constants*. Plenum Press: New York, 1974-1989; Vol. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6.
- (67) Pourbaix, M. *Atlas of Electrochemical Equilibria in Aqueous Solutions*. Cebacor: Brussels, 1974; p 644.
- (68) Harris, W. R.; Chen, Y. Electron paramagnetic resonance and difference ultraviolet studies of Mn²⁺ binding to serum transferrin. *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry* **1994**, 54 (1), 1-19.
- (69) Harris, W. R.; Madsen, L. J. Equilibrium Studies on the Binding of Cadmium(II) to Human Serum Transferrin. *Biochemistry* **1988**, 27, 284-288.
- (70) Hirose, J.; Fujjiwara, H.; Magarifuchi, T.; Iguti, Y.; Iwamoto, H.; Kominami, S.; Hiromi, K. Copper binding selectivity of N- and C-sites in serum (human) and ovo-transferrin. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Protein Structure and Molecular Enzymology* **1996**, 1296 (1), 103-111.
- (71) Piras, R.; Vallee, B. L. Procarboxypeptidase a-Carboxypeptidase a Interrelationships . Metal and Substrate Binding. *Biochemistry* **1967**, 6 (1), 348-&.
- (72) Inman, J. K. Ph. D. Dissertation. Harvard University, 1956.
- (73) Garcia, M. H.; Morais, T. S.; Florindo, P.; Piedade, M. F. M.; Moreno, V.; Ciudad, C.; Noe, V. Inhibition of cancer cell growth by ruthenium(II) cyclopentadienyl derivative complexes with heteroaromatic ligands. *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry* **2009**, 103 (3), 354-361 DOI: 10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2008.11.016.

- (74) Page, S. Ruthenium compounds as anticancer agents. *Education in Chemistry* **2012**, (January), 4.
- (75) Schluga, P.; Hartinger, C. G.; Egger, A.; Reisner, E.; Galanski, M.; Jakupec, M. A.; Keppler, B. K. Redox behavior of tumor-inhibiting ruthenium(III) complexes and effects of physiological reductants on their binding to GMP. *Dalton Transactions* **2006**, (14), 1796-1802 DOI: 10.1039/b511792e.
- (76) Paquet, F.; Ramounet, B.; Metivier, H.; Taylor, D. M. The bioinorganic chemistry of Np, Pu and Am in mammalian liver. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds* **1998**, 271, 85-88.
- (77) Tinoco, A. D.; Saxena, M.; Sharma, S.; Noinaj, N.; Delgado, Y.; Gonzalez, E. P. Q.; Conklin, S. E.; Zambrana, N.; Loza-Rosas, S. A.; Parks, T. B. Unusual Synergism of Transferrin and Citrate in the Regulation of Ti(IV) Speciation, Transport, and Toxicity. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2016**, 138 (17), 5659-5665 DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b01966.
- (78) Sun, H. Z.; Li, H. Y.; Weir, R. A.; Sadler, P. J. The first specific Ti-IV-protein complex: Potential relevance to anticancer activity of titanocenes. *Angew Chem Int Edit* **1998**, 37 (11), 1577-1579 DOI: Doi 10.1002/(Sici)1521-3773(19980619)37:11<1577::Aid-Anie1577>3.0.Co;2-M.
- (79) Tinoco, A.; Valentine, A. M. Ti(IV) Binds to Human Serum Transferrin More Tightly Than Does Fe(III). *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2005**, 127 (32), 11218-11219.
- (80) Smith, C. A.; Ainscough, E. W.; Baker, H. M.; Brodie, A. M.; Baker, E. N. Specific Binding of Cerium by Human Lactoferrin Stimulates the Oxidation of Ce³⁺ to Ce⁴⁺. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1994**, 116 (17), 7889-7890.
- (81) Planasbohne, F.; Rau, W. Comparison of the Binding of Fe-59-Pu-Transferrin and Pu-239-Transferrin to Rat-Liver Cell-Membranes. *Human & Experimental Toxicology* **1990**, 9 (1), 17-24.
- (82) Schuler, F.; Csovcics, C.; Taylor, D. M. Differences in the Uptake of Transferrin Bound Pu-239 and Fe-59 into Multicellular Spheroids of Hepatocytes from Adult Male-Rats. *International Journal of Radiation Biology* **1987**, 52 (6), 883-892.
- (83) OCED. *Compilation of Selected Thermodynamic Data*. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development: 1985.
- (84) Cox, J. D., Wagman, D. D., Medvedev, V. A. *CODATA: Thermodynamic Tables Hemisphere*, New York. : 1989.
- (85) Xu, H. F.; Xu, D. C., Wang, F. Y. Natural Indices for the Chemical Hardness/Softness of Metal Cations and Ligands. *ACS Omega* **2017**, 2, 9 DOI: 10.1021/acsomega.7b01039.
- (86) Pearson, R. G. Hard and Soft Acids and Bases. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1963**, 85 (22), 3533-3539.
- (87) Dhungana, S.; Taboy, C. H.; Zak, O.; Larvie, M.; Crumbliss, A. L.; Aisen, P. Redox properties of human transferrin bound to its receptor. *Biochemistry* **2004**, 43 (1), 205-209 DOI: 10.1021/bi0353631.
- (88) Terpstra, T.; McNally, J.; Han, T. H. L.; Ha-Duong, N. T.; El-Hage-Chahine, J. M.; Bou-Abdallah, F. Direct thermodynamic and kinetic measurements of Fe²⁺ and Zn²⁺ binding to human serum transferrin. *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry* **2014**, 136, 24-32 DOI: 10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2014.03.007.
- (89) Abdizadeh, H.; Atilgan, C. Predicting long term cooperativity and specific modulators of receptor interactions in human transferrin from dynamics within a single microstate. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* **2016**, 18 (11), 7916-7926 DOI: 10.1039/c5cp05107j.

- (90) Vita, C.; Roumestand, C.; Toma, F.; Menez, A. Scorpion Toxins as Natural Scaffolds for Protein Engineering. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **1995**, 92 (14), 6404-6408.
- (91) Matzapetakis, M.; Pecoraro, V. L. Site-selective metal binding by designed alpha-helical peptides. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2005**, 127 (51), 18229-18233 DOI: 10.1021/ja055433m.
- (92) Prinsep, M. R.; Appleton, T. G.; Hanson, G. R.; Lane, I.; Smith, C. D.; Puddick, J.; Fairlie, D. P. Tolyporphin Macrocycles from the Cyanobacterium *Tolypothrix nodosa* Selectively Bind Copper and Silver and Reverse Multidrug Resistance. *Inorganic Chemistry* **2017**, 56 (10), 5577-5585 DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.6b03000.
- (93) Motekaitis, R. J.; Sun, Y. Z.; Martell, A. E. New Synthetic, Selective, High-Affinity Ligands for Effective Trivalent Metal-Ion Binding and Transport. *Inorganica Chimica Acta* **1992**, 198, 421-428 DOI: Doi 10.1016/S0020-1693(00)92385-5.

Table 1: Ionic radii, thermodynamic data for trivalent cations, and stability constants for three families of metal complexes.

M^{3+}	$r_{M^{3+}}$ (Å)	ΔG_s $M^{3+}_{(aq)}$	ΔG_f $M^{3+}_{(aq)}$	ΔG_n $M^{3+}_{(aq)}$	$\log K_{ML}$							
					M—hTF (Exper.)	M—hTF (Calc. ± 0.54)	M—(hTF-M) (Exper.)	M—(hTF-M) (Calc. ± 0.76)	(M—CO ₃) ⁺ (Exper.)	(M—CO ₃) ⁺ (Calc. ± 0.24)	M-NTA (Exper.)	M-NTA (Calc. ± 0.36)
Al	0.53	-288.10	-115.38	172.73	12.4; 13.72	14.63	11.8; 12.72	12.98	8.43	8.41		10.49
Cr	0.62	-276.92	-51.50	225.42		18.40		17.12		8.97		13.91
Fe	0.65	-273.11	-4.12	268.99	21.90	21.06	20.60	20.08	9.72	9.38	15.90	15.87
Co	0.63	-275.01	32.03	307.04		23.16		22.43		9.71		16.94
Ga	0.62	-276.28	-38.00	238.28	19.75	19.17	18.80	17.98	8.72	9.09	13.80	14.43
Ti	0.76	-259.08	-83.60	175.48		15.96		14.34		8.52		14.05
V	0.64	-273.74	-57.90	215.84		18.00		16.66		8.90		13.98
Mn	0.58	-281.46	-20.30	261.16		20.18		19.14		9.26		14.52
Sc	0.81	-253.25	-140.20	113.05	(14.60)	12.30	(13.30)	10.25		7.91	12.70	12.31
Tl	0.95	-237.77	51.30	289.07		21.46		20.62		9.27		18.84
Bi	0.96	-236.71	19.79	256.50	19.42	19.50	18.58	18.45		8.96	18.20	17.73
In	0.81	-253.25	-23.40	229.85	18.30	18.96	16.60	17.72		8.96		16.31
Y	0.92	-240.99	-163.80	77.19		9.62		7.34	6.94	7.43	11.47	11.56
La	1.14	-218.51	-164.00	54.51		5.58		3.14	6.82	6.64	10.47	10.22
Ce	1.07	-225.39	-161.60	63.79		7.19		4.81	6.95	6.94	10.70	10.92
Pr	1.06	-226.39	-162.60	63.79		7.33		4.95	7.03	6.97	10.87	10.96
Nd	1.04	-228.41	-160.60	67.81	7.33	7.82	6.28	5.47	7.13	7.06	11.10	11.17
Pm	1.06	-226.39	-158.00	68.39		7.59		5.24	7.22	7.01		11.12
Sm	1.00	-232.52	-159.10	73.42	8.37	8.63	6.63	6.32	7.30	7.22	11.32	11.45
Eu	0.98	-234.60	-137.30	97.30	9.66	10.21	7.27	8.06	7.37	7.48	11.32	12.28
Gd	0.97	-235.65	-158.60	77.05	9.20	9.16	7.18	6.87	7.44	7.32	11.35	11.59
Tb	0.93	-239.91	-159.50	80.41	11.2; 10.96; 9.96	9.73	8.52; 7.61; 6.34	7.46	7.50	7.44	11.50	11.68
Dy	0.92	-240.99	-158.70	82.29		9.92		7.66	7.55	7.47	11.63	11.73
Ho	0.91	-242.08	-161.40	80.68		9.90		7.64	7.59	7.48	11.76	11.66
Er	0.89	-244.26	-159.90	84.36		10.26		8.02	7.63	7.55	11.90	11.73
Tm	0.87	-246.59	-159.90	86.69		10.52		8.30	7.66	7.60	12.07	11.73

Yb	0.86	-247.81	-153.00	94.81		11.05		8.88	7.67	7.69	12.21	11.96
Lu	0.85	-248.94	-159.40	89.54	11.08	10.80	7.93	8.59	7.70	7.66	12.32	11.73
U	1.12	-220.45	-113.88	106.57		8.88		6.80		7.17		12.13
Pu	1.08	-224.39	-138.15	86.24		8.33		6.11		7.12		11.65
Np	1.10	-222.41	-123.59	98.82		8.75		6.61		7.17		11.98
Am	1.07	-225.39	-143.19	82.20		8.24		5.99	(6.5)	7.11	11.50	11.55
Ac	1.20	-212.80	-152.96	59.84		4.83		2.42		6.47		9.94
Cm	1.05	-227.40	-142.40	85.00		8.67		6.44		7.19	11.80	11.72
Bk	1.04	-228.41	-138.86	89.55		9.07		6.86		7.26		11.91
Au	0.85	-248.94	103.60	352.31		25.79		25.38		10.01		20.73
Ru	0.67	-269.98	41.44	311.42		23.60		22.91		9.76		17.68

hTF = human serum transferrin; NTA = Nitrilotriacetic acid (C₆H₉O₆N)

Note: Radii of the trivalent cations are from reference ⁵⁰. The values of ΔG_f of the cations are from references ^{51, 55} except for Bi³⁺ from reference ⁵⁶, Ti³⁺ from reference ⁵⁷, and Pu³⁺, Np³⁺, and Am³⁺ from references ^{58 59}. The *log K* values of Fe—hTF are from references ¹³; the values of Bi—hTF, Ga—hTF, and In—hTF are from references ^{15, 60 61 62}; the values of Al—hTF are from references ^{53 52}; the values of Nd—hTF and Sm—hTF are from reference ⁴²; the values of Lu—hTF and Gd—hTF are from reference ⁴⁰; the values of Tb—hTF (in bolder) are from reference ⁵⁴; the values of Sc—hTF are from reference ¹⁴. All the data of metal complexes of rare earth elements with CO₃²⁻ and HCO₃⁻ are from reference ⁶³. The data of other metal complexes of rare earth elements and CO₃²⁻ are from reference ⁶⁴. The thermodynamic data for aqueous Ru³⁺ is from reference ⁶⁵ with large uncertainty (~ 4.7 kcal/mole). The free energy units are in kcal/mole. Data in parentheses are not used for regression analyses. The data for NTA are from reference ⁶⁶.

Table 2 Ionic radii, thermodynamic data for divalent cations, and stability constants for metal—hTF, metal— carboxy-peptidase, and metal—ATP complex / chelate families.

M ²⁺	r _{M²⁺} (Å)	ΔG _s M ²⁺ _(aq)	ΔG _f M ²⁺ _(aq)	ΔG _n M ²⁺ _(aq)	M—hTF (Exper.)	log K _{ML}								
						M—hTF (Calc. ±0.16)	M— (M-hTF) (Exper.)	M— (M-hTF) (Calc. ±0.27)	M— ATP (Exper.)	M—ATP (Calc. ±0.28)	M— (H-ATP) (Exper.)	M— (H-ATP) (Calc. ±0.19)	M-carboxy- peptidase (Exper.)	M-carboxy- peptidase (Calc. ±0.94)
Be	0.45	-175.02	-89.80	85.22		2.29		0.86	4.06	3.93	4.55	4.69		-11.86
Mg	0.72	-145.80	-108.83	36.97		-0.03		-1.37	4.76	4.72	4.14	4.27		-5.34
Ca	1.00	-121.28	-132.12	-10.84		-6.45		-7.70	4.85	4.91	4.35	4.18		-2.52
Mn	0.82	-136.46	-55.20	81.26	4.06	4.26	2.96	3.00	3.77	3.76	4.69	4.79	4.60	4.20
Fe	0.77	-141.04	-21.87	119.17		8.20		6.94		5.21		4.10		7.42
Co	0.74	-144.35	-13.00	131.35		9.45		8.18	4.63	5.17	4.19	4.05	7.00	7.71
Ni	0.70	-147.75	-10.90	136.85	(4.10)	9.96	(3.23)	8.68	5.02	5.14	4.23	4.06	8.20	7.05
Cu	0.73	-144.83	15.55	160.38	12.26	12.36	11.08	10.11	6.13; 6.0	5.52	3.52	3.86	10.60	11.35
Zn	0.75	-143.30	-35.17	108.13	7.42	7.19	5.91	5.91		3.49		5.04	(10.50)	5.10
Sr	1.16	-109.30	-133.72	-24.42		-10.24		-11.42		5.10		4.08		-0.59
Cd	0.95	-125.31	-18.57	106.74	5.95	5.89	4.86	4.70	3.54	3.61	5.04	4.92	10.80	11.63
Sn	1.11	-112.91	-6.63	106.28		3.73		2.61		5.22		4.02		15.60
Ba	1.36	-95.99	-132.73	-36.74		-15.72		-16.82		5.28		4.03		1.22
Eu	1.17	-108.59	-129.10	-20.51		-10.02		-11.20	3.29	3.29	5.09	5.19		0.13
Hg	1.02	-119.71	39.36	159.07		10.34		9.21		3.66		4.90	21.00	20.43
Pb	1.18	-107.89	-5.79	102.10		2.09		1.00		5.93		3.67		16.52
Ra	1.39	-94.14	-134.20	-40.06		-16.80		-17.89		3.21		5.25		1.19
UO ₂	0.754	-142.54	-227.70	-85.16		-12.27		-13.68		2.47		5.45		-20.15
NpO ₂	0.73	-148.60	-190.20	-41.60		-10.67		-12.05		2.53		5.50		-18.09
PuO ₂	0.71	-150.59	-183.50	-32.91		-9.85		-11.24		2.59		5.48		-17.77
AmO ₂	0.70	-151.60	-156.70	-5.10		-7.10		-8.48		2.91		5.32		-14.52
Pd	0.80	-141.87	42.49	184.36		12.03		10.83		5.58		3.91		14.48
Pt	0.80	-141.87	54.80	196.67		13.27		10.98		5.73		3.83		16.10

Note: Radii of the cations are from references ^{45, 49}, effective radii of NpO₂²⁺, PuO₂²⁺, and AmO₂²⁺ are assumed similar to that of UO₂²⁺. The values of ΔG_f of the cations are from reference ⁴⁵ except for NpO₂²⁺ from references ⁵⁸, and Pt²⁺, Pd²⁺, PuO₂²⁺ and AmO₂²⁺ from reference ⁶⁷. The log K values of Mn—hTF,

Zn—hTF, and Cd—hTF are from reference ²⁷68¹⁶69; the values of and Cu—hTF are from reference ⁷⁰; the values of and Ni—hTF are from reference ⁴¹. The values of *log K* metal—ligand complexes are from reference ⁶⁶. The *log K* values of M — carboxy-peptidase are from reference ⁷¹. Values in parentheses are not used for regression analysis due to large difference between experimental and calculated values. The large difference for Zn-carboxy-peptidase between measured and calculated values may tell that the coordination environment for Zn is different from other divalent cations.

Table 3: Summary of regression coefficients for hTF and some metal complex families.

Metal complex type	Regression coefficients			Data points
$M^{n+}L$	a^*_{ML}	b^{**}_{ML}	β^*_{ML}	
Divalent Cations				
M^{2+} -Carboxypeptidase	0.8199	-162.89	43.1	6
M^{2+} —hTF	0.8632	-209.46	95.5	4
M^{2+} —(hTF- M^{2+})	0.8623	-207.17	94.9	4
M^{2+} —EDTA *	0.9046	-207.33	71.9	12
M^{2+} —ATP [#]	0.9749	-27.96	8.4	9
M^{2+} —(H-ATP) [#]	1.0090	+15.79	-8.7	9
Trivalent Cations				
M^{3+} —hTF	0.9221	-357.79	119.2	11
M^{3+} —(hTF- M^{3+})	0.9128	-353.92	119.2	11
M^{3+} —EDTA *	0.9284	-350.35	107.8	26
M^{3+} —NTA *	0.9533	-350.35	105.7	22
M^{3+} — CO_3^{2+}	0.9894	-358.57	117.0	18
M^{3+} — HCO_3^{2+}	1.0300	-345.41	108.0	15
Tetravalent Cations				
M^{4+} —EDTA *	0.9688	-527.42	153.5	4
M^{4+} —hTF	0.9563	-514.33	150.0	

Note: All values refer to standard condition (25°C, 1 bar).

hTF = human serum transferrin; NTA = Nitritolotriacetic acid (C₆H₉O₆N)

ATP = Adenosine 5'-triphosphate; H-ATP = protonated ATP

* Use the available data for ionic strength of 0.1 for metal-EDTA and M^{3+} —NTA chelates.

Aqueous M^{2+} —ligand mono-dentate complexes require additional term from solvation energy

$(c_{ML} \cdot \Delta G_{s, M^{2+}}^0)$ because of strong effect from solvent water, i.e.,

$$\Delta G_{f, ML}^0 = a_{ML} \Delta G_{n, M^{2+}}^0 + c_{ML} \Delta G_{s, M^{2+}}^0 + \beta_{ML}^* r_{M^{2+}} + b_{ML}, \text{ or}$$

$$2.303RT \log K_{ML} = (1 - a_{ML}) \Delta G_{f, M^{n+}}^0 - \beta_{ML}^* r_{M^{n+}} + (a_{ML} - c_{ML}) \Delta G_{s, M^{n+}}^0 - b_{ML}^{**}.$$

The c_{ML} values for M^{2+} —ATP and M^{2+} —AH-TP are 0.9576 and 1.0180 respectively

(see Xu et al., 2017)⁸⁵ for details.

Table 4: Ionic radii, thermodynamic data for tetravalent cations, and predicted conditional stability constants of M^{4+} -hTF complex

M^{4+}	$r_{M^{4+}}$ (Å)	ΔG_s $M^{4+}_{(aq)}$	ΔG_f $M^{4+}_{(aq)}$	ΔG_n $M^{4+}_{(aq)}$	ΔG_f		calculated $\log K_1$
					MO_2 (experimental)	MO_2 (calculated)	M-hTF ($\beta^* = 150$)
Zr	0.79	-373.11	-141.00	232.11	-248.50	-249.26	23.44
Hf	0.78	-374.41	-156.80	217.61	-260.09	-259.32	23.13
Ce	0.94	-354.23	-120.44	233.79	-244.40	-243.32	20.83
Th	1.02	-344.65	-168.52	176.13	-279.34	-279.50	17.24
U	0.97	-350.60	-124.40	226.20	-246.62	-247.46	19.96
Np	0.95	-353.02	-120.20	232.82	-244.22	-243.66	20.59
Pu	0.93	-355.45	-114.96	240.49	-238.53	-239.14	21.25
Am	0.92	-356.68	-89.20	267.48	(-230; -210.4)	-221.34	22.30
Po	1.06	-339.98	70.00	409.98		-121.13	23.65
Pb	0.84	-366.68	143.50	510.18		-60.87	31.44
Sn	0.71	-383.69	40.50	424.19		-122.79	30.55
Ti	0.68	-387.76	-93.00	294.76		-210.69	26.78
Mn	0.60	-398.88	58.00	456.88		-104.35	32.54

Note: Thermodynamic properties of tetravalent cations are from references ^{46, 50 51}. Gibbs free energies of formation (ΔG_f) for M^{4+} -oxides are from references ^{58, 83}, and ⁸⁴ are also listed as an example. The predicted difference is small. Only stability data for Pu-hTF complex (21.25 ± 0.75) ⁴³ and Ti-hTF (26.8) ⁷⁹ are available and used to constrain coefficient b^{**}_{ML} . Experimental data of Pu^{4+} -hTF and Ti^{4+} -hTF are in bold cases.