

REAL HYPERSURFACES WITH *-RICCI SOLITONS OF NON-FLAT COMPLEX SPACE FORMS

XIAOMIN CHEN

ABSTRACT. Kaimakamis and Panagiotidou in [11] introduced the notion of *-Ricci soliton and studied the real hypersurfaces of a non-flat complex space form admitting a *-Ricci soliton whose potential vector field is the structure vector field. In this article, we consider that a real hypersurface of a non-flat complex space form admits a *-Ricci soliton whose potential vector field belongs to the principal curvature space and the holomorphic distribution.

1. INTRODUCTION

An n -dimensional complex space form is an n -dimensional Kähler manifold with constant sectional curvature c . A complete and simple connected complex space form with $c \neq 0$ (i.e. a complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$ or a complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^n$) is called a *non-flat complex space form* and denoted by $\widetilde{M}^n(c)$.

Let M be a real hypersurface of $\widetilde{M}^n(c)$, then there exists an almost contact structure (ϕ, η, ξ, g) on M induced from $\widetilde{M}^n(c)$. The study of real hypersurfaces in a non-flat complex space form is a very interesting and active field in recent decades and many results of the classification of real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms were achieved (cf.[1, 13, 17, 18, 20]). In particular, if ξ is an eigenvector of shape operator A then M is called a *Hopf hypersurface*, and we note that the following conclusion is due to Kimura and Takagi for $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and Berndt for $\mathbb{C}H^n$.

Theorem 1.1 ([12],[19],[1]). *Let M be a Hopf hypersurface in non-flat complex space form $\widetilde{M}^n(c)$, $n \geq 2$. If M has constant principal curvatures, then the classification is as follows:*

- *In case of $\mathbb{C}P^n$, M is locally congruent to one of the following:*
 - (1) A_1 : *Geodesic hyperspheres.*
 - (2) A_2 : *Tubes over a totally geodesic complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$.*
 - (3) B : *Tubes over a complex quadric Q_{n-1} and $\mathbb{R}P^n$.*
 - (4) C : *Tubes over Segre embedding of $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ and $n(\geq 5)$ and is odd.*
 - (5) D : *Tubes over Plücker embedding of the complex Grassmannian manifold $G_{2,5}$. Occur only for $n = 9$.*
 - (6) E : *Tubes over the canonical embedding Hermitian symmetry space $SO(10)/U(5)$. Occur only for $n = 15$.*
- *In case of $\mathbb{C}H^n$, M is locally congruent to one of the following:*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C40, 53C15.

Key words and phrases. *-Ricci solitons; Hopf hypersurfaces; non-flat complex space forms; principal direction; holomorphic distribution.

The author is supported by the Science Foundation of China University of Petroleum-Beijing(No.2462015YQ0604) and partially by the Personnel Training and Academic Development Fund (2462015QZDX02).

- (1) A_1 : Geodesic hyperspheres (Type A_{11}) and tubes over totally geodesic complex hyperbolic hyperplanes (Type A_{12}).
- (2) A_2 : Tubes over totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}H^k \subset \mathbb{C}H^n$ for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$.
- (3) B : Tubes over a totally geodesic real hyperbolic space $\mathbb{R}H^n \subset \mathbb{C}H^n$.
- (4) N : Horospheres.

In particular, if M has two distinct constant principal curvatures, the classification is as follows:

Theorem 1.2 ([17], Corollary 2 in [3]). *Let M be a hypersurface in non-flat complex space form $\widetilde{M}^n(c)$, $n \geq 2$, with two distinct constant principal curvatures. Then*

- in case of $\mathbb{C}P^n$, M is locally congruent geodesic hyperspheres in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ (Type A_1);
- in case of $\mathbb{C}H^n$, M is locally congruent to one of the following:
 - (1) A_{11} : Geodesic hyperspheres in $\mathbb{C}H^n$.
 - (2) A_2 : Tubes around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}H^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}H^n$.
 - (3) B : Tubes of radius $r = \ln(2 + \sqrt{3})$ around a totally geodesic real hyperbolic space $\mathbb{R}H^n \subset \mathbb{C}H^n$.
 - (4) N : Horospheres in $\mathbb{C}H^n$.

Since there are no Einstein real hypersurfaces in $\widetilde{M}^n(c)$ (see [4] and [14]), Cho and Kimura in [5] considered a real hypersurface in $\widetilde{M}^n(c)$ admitting a Ricci soliton. The notion of Ricci soliton, introduced firstly by Hamilton in [7], is the generalization of Einstein metric, that is, a Riemannian metric g satisfying

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_W g + Ric - \lambda g = 0,$$

where λ is a constant and Ric is the Ricci tensor of M . The vector field W is called *potential vector field*. Moreover, the Ricci soliton is called shrinking, steady and expanding according as λ is positive, zero and negative respectively. In [5], it is proved that there does not admit a Ricci soliton on M when the potential vector field is the structure field ξ . At the same time, by introducing a so-called η -Ricci soliton (η, g) on M , which satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_W g + Ric - \lambda g - \mu\eta \otimes \eta = 0,$$

for constants λ, μ , they gave a classification of a real hypersurface admitting an η -Ricci soliton whose potential vector is the structure field ξ . In [6], Cho and Kimura also proved that a compact real hypersurface of contact-type in a complex number space admitting a Ricci soliton is a sphere and a compact Hopf hypersurface in a non-flat complex space form does not admit a Ricci soliton.

As the corresponding of Ricci tensor, in [8] Hamada defined the *-Ricci tensor Ric* in real hypersurfaces of complex space form as

$$Ric^*(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}(\text{trace}\{\phi \circ R(X, \phi Y)\}), \quad \text{for all } X, Y \in TM,$$

and if the *-Ricci tensor is a constant multiple of $g(X, Y)$ for all X, Y orthogonal to ξ , then M is said to be a *-Einstein manifold. Furthermore, Hamada gave the following result of the *-Einstein Hopf hypersurfaces in non-flat space forms.

Theorem 1.3 ([8]). *Let M be a *-Einstein Hopf hypersurface in non-flat complex space form $\widetilde{M}^n(c)$, $n \geq 2$.*

- In case of $\mathbb{C}P^n$, M is an open part of one of the following:

- (1) A_1 : a geodesic hypersphere;
 - (2) A_2 : a tuber over a totally geodesic complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^k$ of radius $\frac{\pi r}{4}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$, where $r = \frac{2}{\sqrt{c}}$;
 - (3) B : a tuber over a complex quadric Q_{n-1} and $\mathbb{R}P^n$.
- In case of $\mathbb{C}H^n$, M is an open part of one of the following
 - (1) A_{11} : a geodesic hypersphere;
 - (2) A_{12} : a tube around a totally geodesic complex hyperbolic hyperplane;
 - (3) B : a tube around a totally geodesic real hyperbolic space $\mathbb{R}H^n$;
 - (4) N : a horosphere.

Motivated by the work in [5, 6, 8], Kaimakamis and Panagiotidou in [11] introduced a so-called *-Ricci soliton, that is, a Riemannian metric g on M satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_W g + Ric^* - \lambda g = 0,$$

where λ is constant and Ric^* is the *-Ricci tensor of M . They considered the case where W is the structure field ξ and obtained that a real hypersurface in complex projective space does not admit a *-Ricci soliton and a real hypersurface in complex hyperbolic space admitting a *-Ricci soliton is locally congruent to a geodesic hypersphere.

It is well-known that the tangent bundle TM can be decomposed as $TM = \mathbb{R}\xi \oplus \mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D} = \{X \in TM, \eta(X) = 0\}$ is called *holomorphic distribution*. In the last part of [11], they proposed two open problems:

- Problem 1:** Are there real hypersurfaces admitting a *-Ricci soliton whose potential vector field is a principal vector field of the real hypersurface?
- Problem 2:** Are there real hypersurfaces admitting a *-Ricci soliton whose potential vector field belongs to the holomorphic distribution \mathcal{D} ?

In the present paper, we shall consider the above two problems. For the Problem 1, we consider the case of 2-dimensional non-flat complex space forms. Denote by T_χ the distribution on M formed by principal curvature spaces of χ and $\Gamma(T_\chi)$ by the all smooth sections of T_χ . We obtain the following conclusions:

Theorem 1.4. *Let M be a hypersurface of non-flat complex space form $\widetilde{M}^2(c)$ with a *-Ricci soliton whose potential vector field $W \in \Gamma(T_\chi), \chi \neq 0$. If the principal curvatures are constant along ξ and $A\xi$ then*

- in case of $\mathbb{C}P^2$, M is an open part of a tube around the complex quadric, or a geodesic hypersphere;
- in case of $\mathbb{C}H^2$, M is an open part of
 - (1) a geodesic hypersphere, or
 - (2) a tubes around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}H^1$, or
 - (3) a tubes around a totally geodesic real hyperbolic space $\mathbb{R}H^2$, or
 - (4) a horosphere.

Theorem 1.5. *Let M be a hypersurface of complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^2$, admitting a *-Ricci soliton whose potential vector field $W \in \Gamma(T_0)$. Then M is an open part of a tube around the complex quadric.*

For the Problem 2, we first obtain the following result:

Theorem 1.6. *Let M be a hypersurface of complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with a *-Ricci soliton whose potential vector field $W \in \mathcal{D}$. If the principal curvatures are constant along*

ξ and $A\xi$, then M is locally congruent to a geodesic hypersphere in $\mathbb{C}P^2$. Moreover, if $g(A\xi, \xi) = 0$ then W is Killing.

Furthermore, due to the decomposition $TM = \mathbb{R}\xi \oplus \mathcal{D}$, we have $A\xi = a\xi + V$, where $V \in \mathcal{D}$ and a is a smooth function on M . The following conclusion is obtained:

Theorem 1.7. *Let M^{2n-1} be a hypersurface of complex space form $\widetilde{M}^n(c)$, $n \geq 2$. Then*

- *in case of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ there are no real hypersurfaces admitting a *-Ricci soliton with potential vector field $W = V$;*
- *in case of $\mathbb{C}H^n$, if M admits a *-Ricci soliton with potential vector field $W = V$, it is locally congruent to a geodesic hypersphere.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some basic concepts and formulas are presented. To prove M is Hopf under the assumptions of theorems, in Section 3 we give some formulas for the non-Hopf hypersurfaces with *-Ricci solitons, and the proofs of theorems are given in Section 4, Section 5 and Section 6, respectively.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $(\widetilde{M}^n, \widetilde{g})$ be a complex n -dimensional Kähler manifold and M be an immersed real hypersurface of \widetilde{M}^n with induced metric g . We denote by J the complex structure on \widetilde{M}^n . There exists a local defined unit normal vector field N on M and we write $\xi := -JN$ by the structure vector field of M . An induced one-form η is defined by $\eta(\cdot) = \widetilde{g}(J\cdot, N)$, which is dual to ξ . For any vector field X on M the tangent part of JX is denoted by $\phi X = JX - \eta(X)N$. Moreover, the following identities hold:

$$(2.1) \quad \phi^2 = -Id + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0, \quad \phi \circ \xi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1,$$

$$(2.2) \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

$$(2.3) \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X),$$

where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. By (2.1)-(2.3), we know that (ϕ, η, ξ, g) is an almost contact metric structure on M .

Denote by ∇, A the induced Riemannian connection and the shape operator on M , respectively. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given by

$$(2.4) \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(AX, Y)N, \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_X N = -AX,$$

where $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is the connection on \widetilde{M}^n with respect to \widetilde{g} . Also, we have

$$(2.5) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi, \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi AX.$$

M is said to be a *Hopf hypersurface* if the structure vector field ξ is an eigenvector of A .

From now on we always assume that the sectional curvature of \widetilde{M}^n is constant $c \neq 0$, i.e. \widetilde{M}^n is a non-flat complex space form, denoted by $\widetilde{M}^n(c)$, then the curvature tensor R of M is given by

$$(2.6) \quad R(X, Y)Z = \frac{c}{4} \left(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y \right. \\ \left. + 2g(X, \phi Y)\phi Z \right) + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY,$$

and the shape operator A satisfies

$$(2.7) \quad (\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = \frac{c}{4} \left(\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi \right),$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z on M .

Recall that the *-Ricci operator Q^* of M is defined by

$$g(Q^*X, Y) = Ric^*(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}trace\{\phi \circ R(X, \phi Y)\}, \quad \text{for all } X, Y \in TM.$$

By (2.6), it is proved in Theorem 2 of [9] that the *-Ricci operator is expressed as

$$(2.8) \quad Q^* = -\left[\frac{cn}{2}\phi^2 + (\phi A)^2\right].$$

In particular, if $Q^* = 0$ then M is said to be a *-Ricci flat hypersurface. Due to (2.1) the *-Ricci soliton equation (1.1) becomes

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} g(\nabla_X W, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Y W) + ncg(X, Y) - nc\eta(X)\eta(Y) \\ + 2g(\phi AX, A\phi Y) - 2\lambda g(X, Y) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M .

3. NON-HOPF HYPERSURFACES WITH *-RICCI SOLITONS

In this section we assume that M is a non-Hopf hypersurface in $\widetilde{M}^2(c)$ with a *-Ricci soliton. Since M is not Hopf, due to the decomposition $TM = \mathbb{R}\xi \oplus \mathcal{D}$, we can write $A\xi$ as

$$(3.1) \quad A\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U,$$

where $\alpha = \eta(A\xi)$, $\beta = |\phi\nabla_\xi\xi|$ are the smooth functions on M and $U = -\frac{1}{\beta}\phi\nabla_\xi\xi \in \mathcal{D}$ is a unit vector field with $\beta \neq 0$. Write

$$\mathcal{N} := \{p \in M : \beta \neq 0 \text{ in a neighbourhood of } p\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *On \mathcal{N} , we have $A\phi U = 0$.*

Proof. In view of *-Ricci soliton equation (1.1), we know $Ric^*(X, Y) = Ric^*(Y, X)$ for every vector fields $X, Y \in TM$. That means that for every vector field X ,

$$(3.2) \quad \phi A\phi AX = A\phi A\phi X.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^2 A\phi AX &= -A\phi AX + \eta(A\phi AX)\xi \\ &= -A\phi AX + g(\alpha\xi + \beta U, \phi AX)\xi \\ &= -A\phi AX - \beta g(\phi U, AX)\xi \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi A\phi A\phi X &= A\phi A\phi^2 X \\ &= -A\phi AX + \eta(X)A\phi A\xi \\ &= -A\phi AX + \beta\eta(X)A\phi U. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta \neq 0$ on \mathcal{N} , we get from (3.2) that $-g(\phi U, AX)\xi = \eta(X)A\phi U$. Taking $X = \xi$ in this formula we obtain the desired result. \square

Since $\{\xi, U, \phi U\}$ is a locally orthonormal frame on \mathcal{N} , there are smooth functions γ, μ, δ such that

$$(3.3) \quad AU = \beta\xi + \gamma U + \delta\phi U, \quad A\phi U = \delta U + \mu\phi U.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have $\delta = \mu = 0$. Moreover, in [16] the following lemma was proved:

Lemma 3.2. *With respect to the orthonormal basis $\{\xi, U, \phi U\}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_U \xi &= \gamma \phi U, & \nabla_{\phi U} \xi &= 0, & \nabla_\xi \xi &= \beta \phi U, \\ \nabla_U U &= k_1 \phi U, & \nabla_{\phi U} U &= k_2 \phi U, & \nabla_\xi U &= k_3 \phi U, \\ \nabla_U \phi U &= -k_1 U - \gamma \xi, & \nabla_{\phi U} \phi U &= -k_2 U, & \nabla_\xi \phi U &= -k_3 U - \beta \xi,\end{aligned}$$

where k_1, k_2, k_3 are smooth functions on M .

Applying Lemma 3.2, we have

Proposition 3.1. *The following formulas on \mathcal{N} are valid:*

$$(3.4) \quad k_3 \beta + \alpha \beta - \phi U(\alpha) = 0, \quad k_2 = 0,$$

$$(3.5) \quad k_3 \gamma + \beta^2 - \phi U(\beta) = -\frac{c}{4},$$

$$(3.6) \quad \xi(\beta) = U(\alpha), \quad \xi(\gamma) = U(\beta),$$

$$(3.7) \quad \beta^2 + k_3 \gamma - \alpha \gamma - \beta k_1 = \frac{c}{4},$$

$$(3.8) \quad k_1 \beta + \alpha \gamma - \phi U(\beta) = -\frac{c}{2}.$$

Proof. By taking $X = \xi$ and $Y = \phi U$ in (2.7), we obtain

$$(\nabla_\xi A)\phi U - (\nabla_{\phi U} A)\xi = -\frac{c}{4}U.$$

In view of (3.3) and Lemma 3.2, the above formula leads to $k_2 = 0$ since $\beta \neq 0$. Also (3.4) and (3.5) are attained. By a straightforward computation, the relation (2.7) for $X = \xi$ and $Y = U$ implies (3.6) and (3.7). And the relation (2.7) for $X = U$ and $Y = \phi U$ gives (3.8). \square

Let us assume that W is an eigenvector of A , namely, there is a smooth function χ such that $AW = \chi W$ holds. On \mathcal{N} , in the basis of $\{\xi, U, \phi U\}$ the potential vector W may be expressed as

$$W = f_1 \xi + f_2 U + f_3 \phi U,$$

where f_1, f_2, f_3 are the smooth functions on \mathcal{N} .

In view of Lemma 3.2, by a direct computation, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \nabla_\xi W = (\xi(f_1) - f_3 \beta)\xi + (\xi(f_2) - f_3 k_3)U + (f_1 \beta + f_2 k_3 + \xi(f_3))\phi U,$$

$$(3.10) \quad \nabla_U W = (U(f_1) - f_3 \gamma)\xi + (U(f_2) - f_3 k_1)U + (f_1 \gamma + f_2 k_1 + U(f_3))\phi U,$$

$$(3.11) \quad \nabla_{\phi U} W = \phi U(f_1)\xi + \phi U(f_2)U + \phi U(f_3)\phi U.$$

Putting $X = Y = \xi$ in (2.9), by (3.9) we find

$$(3.12) \quad \xi(f_1) - f_3 \beta = \lambda.$$

Furthermore, putting $X = Y = U$ and $X = Y = \phi U$ in (2.9) respectively, we get from (3.10) and (3.11) that

$$(3.13) \quad U(f_2) - f_3 k_3 + c - \lambda = 0,$$

$$(3.14) \quad \phi U(f_3) + c - \lambda = 0.$$

Also, when X and Y are taken as the different vectors of ξ, U , and ϕU in (2.9), a similar computation leads to

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{cases} \xi(f_2) - f_3 k_3 + U(f_1) - f_3 \gamma = 0, \\ f_1 \beta + f_2 k_3 + \xi(f_3) + \phi U(f_1) = 0, \\ f_1 \gamma + f_2 k_1 + U(f_3) + \phi U(f_2) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Actually, Lemma 3.1 shows that at every point of \mathcal{N} there exists a principal curvature 0 and ϕU is the corresponding principal vector. It turns out that there are at least two distinct principal curvatures in non-flat complex space forms (see [15, Theorem 1.5]).

Let λ_i be the principal curvatures for $i = 1, 2, 3$, where $\lambda_3 = 0$. We may assume that $e_1 = \cos \theta \xi + \sin \theta U$, $e_2 = \sin \theta \xi - \cos \theta U$ are the unit principal vectors corresponding to λ_1 and λ_2 , respectively, where θ is the angle between principal vector e_1 and ξ . It is clear that $\{e_1, e_2, e_3 = \phi U\}$ is also an orthonormal frame. Namely,

$$A(e_1, e_2, e_3) = (e_1, e_2, e_3) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \lambda_2 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote by

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

the transformation matrix of two frames, i.e.

$$(e_1, e_2, e_3) = (\xi, U, \phi U) B.$$

Moreover, since

$$A(\xi, U, \phi U) = (\xi, U, \phi U) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & 0 \\ \beta & \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & 0 \\ \beta & \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = B \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \lambda_2 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} B^T.$$

A straightforward calculation leads to

$$(3.16) \quad \alpha = \lambda_1 \cos^2 \theta + \lambda_2 \sin^2 \theta, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \sin 2\theta, \quad \gamma = \lambda_1 \sin^2 \theta + \lambda_2 \cos^2 \theta.$$

If M has only two distinct principal curvatures at any point $p \in \mathcal{N}$, then either $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \neq 0$, or one of λ_1 and λ_2 vanishes. However, the second of (3.16) will come to $\beta = 0$ if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, thus it is impossible. Without loss generality, we set $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\lambda_2 \neq 0$. In terms of [10, Theorem 4], α, β and γ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\alpha) &= \xi(\beta) = \xi(\gamma) = 0, \\ U(\alpha) &= \beta(\alpha + \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.6), we thus derive $\alpha + \gamma = 0$ because $\beta \neq 0$. This shows $\lambda_2 = 0$ from the first and third of (3.16). It is a contradiction. Therefore on \mathcal{N} there are three distinct principal curvatures, i.e. λ_1, λ_2 are not zero and $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$.

Using (3.6) again, we derive from (3.16) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& U(\lambda_1) \cos^2 \theta + U(\lambda_2) \sin^2 \theta - (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \sin 2\theta U(\theta) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \xi(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \sin 2\theta + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \cos 2\theta \xi(\theta), \\
& \xi(\lambda_1) \sin^2 \theta + \xi(\lambda_2) \cos^2 \theta + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \sin 2\theta \xi(\theta) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} U(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \sin 2\theta + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \cos 2\theta U(\theta).
\end{aligned}$$

From which we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi(\theta) &= \frac{U(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) + U(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \cos 2\theta - \xi(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \sin 2\theta}{2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)}, \\
U(\theta) &= \frac{-\xi(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) + \xi(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \cos 2\theta + U(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \sin 2\theta}{2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

Proposition 3.2. *If on \mathcal{N} the principal curvatures are constant along ξ and $A\xi$, then the following equations hold:*

$$(3.17) \quad \xi(\theta) = U(\theta) = 0,$$

$$(3.18) \quad \xi(\beta) = U(\alpha) = \xi(\gamma) = U(\beta) = 0.$$

4. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.4 AND THEOREM 1.5

In order to prove our theorems, we first prove the following two conclusions.

Proposition 4.1. *Let M be a real hypersurface in $\widetilde{M}^2(c)$ with a *-Ricci soliton whose potential vector field $W \in \Gamma(T_\chi)$, $\chi \neq 0$. If the principal curvatures are constant along ξ and $A\xi$ then M is Hopf.*

Proof. Suppose that M is not Hopf, then \mathcal{N} is not empty. Write $W = a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3$, where a_1, a_2, a_3 are the smooth functions on \mathcal{N} . Since $\chi \neq 0$, $a_3 = 0$ and $\chi = \lambda_1$ or λ_2 . Since a_1, a_2 are not all zero, without loss of generality, we may assume $a_1 \neq 0$, then

$$AW = \chi W \Rightarrow \chi = \lambda_1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_2 = 0 \quad \text{since} \quad \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2.$$

Thus the potential vector field can be written as

$$W = a_1 \cos \theta \xi + a_1 \sin \theta U.$$

Replacing f_1 in (3.12) and f_2 in (3.13) by $a_1 \cos \theta$ and $a_1 \sin \theta$, respectively, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \xi(a_1 \cos \theta) = \lambda, \quad U(a_1 \sin \theta) = 0$$

because $c = \lambda$ followed from (3.14). Similarly, in view of the first equation of (3.15), we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \xi(a_1 \sin \theta) + U(a_1 \cos \theta) = 0.$$

With the help of (4.1) and (4.2), we further obtain

$$a_1(\sin \theta \xi(\theta) - \cos \theta U(\theta)) = -\lambda \sin^2 \theta.$$

By (3.17), $\lambda \sin^2 \theta = 0$. If $\sin \theta \neq 0$ then $\lambda = 0$. This leads to a contradiction because $\lambda = c \neq 0$. If $\sin \theta = 0$ then $W = a_1 \cos \theta \xi$, i.e. ξ is a principal vector, which is also a contradiction. Therefore we complete the proof. \square

Proposition 4.2. *A real hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}P^2$, admitting a *-Ricci soliton whose potential vector field $W \in \Gamma(T_0)$, is Hopf.*

Proof. Suppose that M is not Hopf, then \mathcal{N} is not empty. We may write $W = b_1e_1 + b_2e_2 + b_3e_3$ in the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, where b_1, b_2, b_3 are smooth functions on \mathcal{N} . By Lemma 3.1, $A\phi U = 0$, so $AW = 0$ implies $b_1 = b_2 = 0$, i.e. $W = b_3\phi U$ with $b_3 \neq 0$. Hence (3.15) becomes

$$(4.3) \quad k_3 = -\gamma, \quad \xi(b_3) = 0, \quad U(b_3) = 0.$$

And the formula (3.13) becomes

$$(4.4) \quad -b_3\gamma = c - \lambda.$$

Since $b_1 = 0$, the relation (3.12) becomes

$$(4.5) \quad -b_3\beta = \lambda.$$

So by taking the differentiation of the formula (4.4) along ϕU , we derive from (3.14) that

$$(4.6) \quad b_3\phi U(\beta) = (c - \lambda)\beta.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (4.4) and (4.5) that

$$(4.7) \quad \frac{\gamma}{\beta} = \frac{c}{\lambda} - 1.$$

If $c = \lambda$, then the equation (4.7) shows $\gamma = 0$. Further, in view of (3.14) we find $\phi U(b_3) = \lambda - c = 0$, which means that b_3 is constant since $\xi(b_3) = U(b_3) = 0$. Now we derive from (4.5) that β is constant. Hence together (3.7) with (3.8), we obtain $\beta^2 = -\frac{c}{4}$. It is impossible.

Next we assume $c \neq \lambda$. Thus the relation (4.7) follows $\gamma \neq 0$ and the formula (3.5) follows from (4.3)

$$\phi U(\beta) = \beta^2 - \gamma^2 + \frac{c}{4}.$$

Substituting this into (4.6), we get from (4.4) that

$$(\beta^2 - \gamma^2 + \frac{c}{4})\frac{1}{\gamma} = -\beta \Rightarrow 1 - (\frac{\gamma}{\beta})^2 + \frac{c}{4\beta^2} = -\frac{\gamma}{\beta},$$

which reduces from (4.7) that β is constant. Finally we derive a contradiction from (4.6). Hence we complete the proof of proposition. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.4, by Proposition 4.1, M is a Hopf hypersurface of $\widetilde{M}^2(c)$, i.e. $A\xi = \alpha\xi$. Due to [15, Theorem 2.1], α is constant. We consider a point $p \in M$ and a unit vector field $e \in \mathcal{D}_p$ such that $Ae = \kappa e$ and $A\phi e = \nu\phi e$, where κ, ν are smooth functions on M . Then $\{\xi, e, \phi e\}$ is a local orthonormal basis of M . By Corollary 2.3 in [15],

$$(4.8) \quad \kappa\nu = \frac{\kappa + \nu}{2}\alpha + \frac{c}{4}.$$

Moreover, by a straightforward computation, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *With respect to $\{\xi, e, \phi e\}$ the Levi-Civita connection is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_e \xi &= \kappa\phi e, & \nabla_{\phi e} \xi &= -\nu e, & \nabla_\xi \xi &= 0, \\ \nabla_e e &= a_1\phi e, & \nabla_{\phi e} e &= \nu\xi + a_2\phi e, & \nabla_\xi e &= a_3\phi e, \\ \nabla_e \phi e &= -a_1e - \kappa\xi, & \nabla_{\phi e} \phi e &= -a_2e, & \nabla_\xi \phi e &= -a_3e, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_1 = g(\nabla_e e, \phi e)$, $a_2 = g(\nabla_{\phi e} e, \phi e)$, $a_3 = g(\nabla_\xi e, \phi e)$ are smooth functions on M .

Under the orthonormal basis $\{\xi, e, \phi e\}$ we may assume that there are smooth functions g_1, g_2, g_3 such that the potential vector field W can be written as

$$W = g_1\xi + g_2e + g_3\phi e.$$

Since $AW = \chi W$ with $\chi \neq 0$, we get $\alpha g_1 = \chi g_1$, $\kappa g_2 = \chi g_2$ and $\nu g_3 = \chi g_3$.

Next we consider the following cases:

- Case I: g_1, g_2, g_3 are not equal zero.

Then $\kappa = \nu = \alpha$, which leads to $c = 0$ from (4.8). This is a contradiction.

- Case II: Only one of g_1, g_2, g_3 is equal zero.

If $g_1 = 0$, then $\kappa = \nu$. The relation (4.8) yields $(\kappa - \frac{\alpha}{2})^2 = \frac{\alpha^2 + c}{4}$, which shows $\kappa = \nu = \text{constant}$ and $\alpha \neq \kappa$; If $g_2 = 0$, then $\alpha = \nu$, (4.8) implies $\kappa = \frac{c + 2\alpha^2}{2\alpha}$ with $\kappa \neq \alpha$; If $g_3 = 0$, then $\kappa = \alpha$, which implies $\nu = \frac{c + 2\alpha^2}{2\alpha}$, $\nu \neq \alpha$ by (4.8).

- Case III: Two of g_1, g_2, g_3 are equal zero.

When $g_1 = g_2 = 0$. The formula (2.9) for $X = \xi$ and $Y = e$ implies

$$g(\nabla_\xi W, e) + g(\xi, \nabla_e W) = 0.$$

In view of Lemma 4.1, a simple calculation leads to $\kappa = -a_3$. On the other hand, the relation (2.7) for $X = e$ and $Y = \xi$ yields $(\nabla_e A)\xi - (\nabla_\xi A)e = -\frac{c}{4}\phi e$. By Lemma 4.1, we find

$$(4.9) \quad \alpha\kappa - \kappa\nu - \kappa a_3 + a_3\nu = -\frac{c}{4}.$$

A similar computation using relation (2.7) for $X = \phi e, Y = \xi$ yields

$$(4.10) \quad -\alpha\nu + \kappa\nu - \kappa a_3 + a_3\nu = \frac{c}{4}.$$

Moreover, inserting $\kappa = -a_3$ into the above equation gives

$$(4.11) \quad \kappa^2 - \alpha\nu = \frac{c}{4}.$$

The combination of (4.9) and (4.10) leads to $(\kappa - \nu)(2\kappa + \alpha) = 0$ because $a_3 = -\kappa$. If $\nu = \kappa$ then $\alpha \neq \kappa$, otherwise, the formula (4.11) will lead to $c = 0$. If $\nu \neq \kappa$ then $\kappa = -\frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $\nu = \frac{\alpha^2 - c}{4\alpha}$.

When $g_1 = g_3 = 0$, we put $X = \xi, Y = \phi e$ in (2.9). By Lemma 4.1, $a_3 = -\nu$, so we get $(\kappa - \nu)(2\nu + \alpha) = 0$ from (4.9) and (4.10). If $\kappa = \nu$ then $\alpha \neq \nu$ as before. If $\kappa \neq \nu$ then $\nu = -\frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $\kappa = \frac{\alpha^2 - c}{4\alpha}$.

When $g_2 = g_3 = 0$ the relation (2.7) for $X = e, Y = \phi e$ leads to $c = 0$ by Lemma 4.1, which is a contradiction.

In a word we have proved that there are two or three distinct constant principal curvatures on M . For the case of $\mathbb{C}P^2$, by Theorem 1.2 and [20, Theorem 4.1], M is an open part of a hypersphere, or a tuber around the complex quadric.

For the case of $\mathbb{C}H^2$, if M has three distinct principal curvatures, by the proof of [2], we know that the ruled real hypersurfaces can not be Hopf, which is a contradiction with

Proposition 4.1. Thus in this case M has only two distinct constant principle curvatures. In view of Theorem 1.2, the real hypersurface M is one of Type A_{11}, A_2, B and N .

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.4. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Under the assumption of Theorem 1.5, by Proposition 4.2 we know that M is a Hopf hypersurface of $\mathbb{C}P^2$. Hence the equation (4.8) and Lemma 4.1 are valid. We adopt the same notations as the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Since $AW = 0$, we have $\alpha g_1 = \kappa g_2 = \nu g_3 = 0$. If $\alpha = 0$ then it follows from (4.8) that $\kappa\nu = \frac{c}{4}$, which means that κ, ν are non-zero. So we get $g_2 = g_3 = 0$. From the Case III in the proof of Theorem 1.4, we know it is impossible.

In the following we assume $\alpha \neq 0$, then $g_1 = 0$. If g_2 is also equal zero, then g_3 must be non-zero, and further we obtain $\nu = 0$ and $\kappa = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \neq 0$ from the Case III in the proof of Theorem 1.4. If g_2 is non-zero then $\kappa = 0$. The formula (4.8) implies $\alpha\nu = -\frac{c}{2}$, that shows ν is a non-zero constant. Further we know $\alpha \neq \nu$ since $c > 0$.

Summarizing the above discussion, we have proved that there are three distinct constant principal curvatures in M . Therefore we complete the proof of Theorem 1.5 by [20, Theorem 4.1]. \square

5. PROOF THEOREM 1.6

In this section we suppose that M is a real hypersurface of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with a *-Ricci soliton whose potential vector field W belongs to the holomorphic distribution \mathcal{D} . First we prove the following result:

Proposition 5.1. *Let M be a real hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with a *-Ricci soliton whose potential vector field $W \in \mathcal{D}$. If the principal curvatures are constant along ξ and $A\xi$ then M is Hopf.*

Proof. If M is not Hopf then \mathcal{N} is not empty. Let $W = c_1e_1 + c_2e_2 + c_3e_3 \in \mathcal{D}$, where c_i are smooth functions on \mathcal{N} , then

$$(5.1) \quad c_1 \cos \theta + c_2 \sin \theta = 0.$$

The formula (3.12) becomes

$$(5.2) \quad -c_3\beta = \lambda.$$

And by Proposition 3.2, the equations (3.13)-(3.15) accordingly become

$$(5.3) \quad U(c_1) \sin \theta - U(c_2) \cos \theta - c_3k_3 + c - \lambda = 0,$$

$$(5.4) \quad \phi U(c_3) + c - \lambda = 0$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{cases} \xi(c_1) \sin \theta - \xi(c_2) \cos \theta - c_3k_3 - c_3\gamma = 0, \\ (c_1 \sin \theta - c_2 \cos \theta)k_3 + \xi(c_3) = 0, \\ (c_1 \sin \theta - c_2 \cos \theta)k_1 + U(c_3) + \phi U(c_1 \sin \theta - c_2 \cos \theta) = 0. \end{cases}$$

If $c_3 = 0$, then the equations (5.2) and (5.4) show $c = \lambda = 0$. It is impossible. Thus $c_3 \neq 0$, which further implies $\lambda \neq 0$ from (5.2). By (3.5) and (5.4), differentiating (5.2) along ϕU gives

$$(5.6) \quad k_3\gamma + \beta^2 \frac{c}{\lambda} + \frac{c}{4} = 0.$$

When $\gamma = 0$, this shows β is constant. So it follows from the formula (3.5) that $\beta^2 = -\frac{c}{4}$, which is impossible because $c > 0$. Hence $\gamma \neq 0$ and we get from (5.6) that

$$k_3 = -\frac{\beta^2 \frac{c}{\lambda} + \frac{c}{4}}{\gamma}$$

If $c_1 = c_2 = 0$, as the proof of Proposition 4.2, by using (5.2)-(5.5), we arrive at a contradiction. Thus one of c_1, c_2 must be not zero.

Without loss of generality we set $c_1 \neq 0$. Taking the differentiation of (5.2) along ξ and U , respectively, we obtain from (3.18) that $\xi(c_3) = U(c_3) = 0$ since $\beta \neq 0$. In view of the second equation of (5.5) and (5.1), we find $k_3 = 0$, that is,

$$\beta^2 \frac{c}{\lambda} + \frac{c}{4} = 0,$$

thus β is constant. As before from (3.5) we have $\beta^2 = -\frac{c}{4}$, which is impossible. This finishes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.6, by Proposition 5.1 we know that M is a Hopf hypersurface of $\mathbb{C}P^2$. That means that the structure vector field ξ is a principal vector field, i.e. $A\xi = a\xi$ and a is constant as before.

For any point $p \in M$ we consider a unit vector $Z \in \mathcal{D}_p$ such that $AZ = \mu Z$, then the following relation holds (see [15, Corollary 2.3]):

$$\left(\mu - \frac{a}{2}\right)A\phi Z = \left(\frac{\mu a}{2} + \frac{c}{4}\right)\phi Z.$$

If $\mu = \frac{a}{2}$ the above equation implies $\frac{\mu a}{2} + \frac{c}{4} = 0$, i.e. $\mu^2 + \frac{c}{4} = 0$, that is impossible. Hence $\mu \neq \frac{a}{2}$, which means that ϕZ is a principal vector with principal curvature ν satisfying

$$(5.7) \quad \mu\nu = \frac{\mu + \nu}{2}a + \frac{c}{4}.$$

Now we know that $\text{Span}\{Z, \phi Z\} = \mathcal{D}_p$ and $\{\xi, Z, \phi Z\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_p M$. By a straightforward computation, we have

$$\nabla_Z \phi Z = -g(\nabla_Z Z, \phi Z)Z - \mu\xi, \quad \nabla_{\phi Z} Z = \nu\xi + g(\nabla_{\phi Z} Z, \phi Z)\phi Z.$$

Taking $X = Z$ and $Y = \phi Z$ in (2.7) and using the above formulas, we get

$$\mu\nu - \nu a = \frac{c}{4}.$$

Next we divide into two cases to discuss.

Case 1. If $a \neq 0$ then it follows $\mu = \nu$ by combining with (5.7) and further μ, ν are constant. Furthermore, we find $\mu = \nu \neq a$, otherwise, the above formula will lead to $c = 0$. By Theorem 1.2 we get that M is of Type A_1 .

Case 2. We assume $a = 0$, then $\mu\nu = \frac{c}{4}$. In this case M is a *-Einstein hypersurface (see [9, Remark 1]). The *-Ricci soliton equation (1.1) shows W is a conformal Killing vector field, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_W g = 2(\lambda - 5c)g$. From (2.6), we calculate the Ricci operator

$$QX = \frac{c}{4}\{5X - 3\eta(X)\xi\} + hAX - A^2X, \quad \text{for all } X \in TM,$$

where $h = \text{trace}(A)$. Hence by a direct computation we can get that the scalar curvature $r = 3c + 2\mu\nu$.

Notice that on an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold a conformal Killing vector field X , i.e. $\mathcal{L}_X g = 2\rho g$, satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_X r = 2(n-1)\Delta\rho - 2\rho r,$$

where r is the scalar curvature (see Eq.(5.38) in [21]). Since $\mu\nu = \frac{c}{4}$, the scalar curvature $r = \frac{7c}{2} \neq 0$. Using the above formula we find that W is a Killing vector field.

Moreover, since M is *-Einstein, we derive from Theorem 1.3 that M is one of Type A_1, A_2 , and B . But according to the list of principal curvatures of Type A_1, A_2 and B hypersurfaces(see [15, Theorem 3.13-3.15]), we find that in this case only Type A_1 is satisfied.

Therefore we complete the proof of Theorem 1.6. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.7

Since the tangent bundle TM can be decomposed as $TM = \mathbb{R}\xi \oplus \mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D} = \{X \in TM : \eta(X) = 0\}$. Then $A\xi$ can be written as

$$(6.1) \quad A\xi = a\xi + V,$$

where $V \in \mathcal{D}$ and a is a smooth function on M . In this section we assume that the hypersurface M of $\widetilde{M}^n(c)$ is equipped with a *-Ricci soliton such that the potential vector field $W = V$.

Lemma 6.1. *On M the following equation is valid:*

$$(6.2) \quad (\nabla_\xi A)\xi = Da + 2A\phi V,$$

where Da denotes the gradient vector field of a .

Proof. By (2.5) and (6.1), for any vector field X

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla_X A)\xi &= \nabla_X(A\xi) - A\nabla_X\xi \\ &= X(a)\xi + a\nabla_X\xi + \nabla_X V - A\phi AX. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X A)\xi, \xi) &= X(a) + g(\nabla_X V, \xi) - g(A\phi AX, \xi) \\ &= X(a) - g(V, \nabla_X \xi) - g(\phi AX, A\xi) \\ &= X(a) + 2g(AX, \phi V). \end{aligned}$$

From the well-known relation $g((\nabla_X A)\xi, \xi) = g((\nabla_\xi A)\xi, X)$ (see [15, Corollary 2.1]), we arrive at (6.2). \square

Next it follows from (6.3) and (2.7) that

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_X V &= (\nabla_X A)\xi - X(a)\xi - a\nabla_X \xi + A\phi AX \\ &= (\nabla_\xi A)\xi - \frac{c}{4}\phi X - X(a)\xi - a\phi AX + A\phi AX. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 6.1 we have

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_\xi V &= (\nabla_\xi A)\xi - \xi(a)\xi - a\phi A\xi + A\phi A\xi \\ &= -a\phi V + Da - \xi(a)\xi + 3A\phi V. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta(V) = 0$, differentiating this along any vector X , we have

$$(6.6) \quad g(\nabla_X V, \xi) + g(V, \phi AX) = 0.$$

In particular, by taking $X = \xi$ in (6.6), we find $g(\nabla_\xi V, \xi) = 0$ because of $\nabla_\xi \xi = \phi V$. Hence, taking into account $X = Y = \xi$ in (2.9), we conclude that $\lambda = 0$.

Take $X = \xi$ and $Y = \xi$ respectively in (2.9), and it follows from (6.5) and (6.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} -a\phi V + Da - \xi(a)\xi + 4A\phi V - 2\phi A\phi V &= 0, \\ -a\phi V + Da - \xi(a)\xi + 4A\phi V &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\phi A\phi V = 0$, which implies $A\phi V = 0$ because of (2.2) and (6.1). Differentiating $A\phi V = 0$ along vector field ξ and using the first equation of (2.5), (6.4) and (6.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla_{\xi}(A\phi V) = (\nabla_{\xi}A)\phi V + A(\nabla_{\xi}\phi)V + A\phi(\nabla_{\xi}V) \\ &= \nabla_{\phi V}V - \frac{c}{4}V + (\phi V)(a)\xi + aAV + A\phi(Da). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(6.7) \quad \nabla_{\phi V}V = \frac{c}{4}V - (\phi V)(a)\xi - aAV - A\phi(Da).$$

If we put $X = Y = \phi V$ in (2.9), then the Eq.(6.7) leads to $nc|V|^2 = 0$, i.e. V is a zero vector field. Since $\lambda = 0$, the following proposition is proved:

Proposition 6.1. *Every real hypersurface in a non-flat complex space form $\widetilde{M}^n(c)$, $n \geq 2$, admitting a *-Ricci soliton with potential vector field V , is a *-Ricci flat Hopf hypersurface.*

Proof Theorem 1.7. Let M be a *-Ricci flat Hopf hypersurface, namely, $A\xi = a\xi$ and $Q^*X = 0$ for all X , where a is constant. In view of (2.8), we have $\frac{cn}{2}\phi^2X + (\phi A)^2X = 0$ for all X , which further implies

$$(6.8) \quad \frac{cn}{2}\phi X + A\phi AX = 0.$$

For any point $p \in M$, let $Z \in \mathcal{D}_p$ is a principal vector, namely, there is a certain function μ_1 such that $AZ = \mu_1 Z$, then

$$(6.9) \quad \mu_1 A\phi Z = -\frac{cn}{2}\phi Z,$$

which shows that ϕZ is also a principal curvature vector, i.e. $A\phi Z = \nu\phi Z$ with $\nu = -\frac{cn}{2\mu_1}$. On the other hand, as before we know the following relation is also valid:

$$(6.10) \quad (\mu_1 - \frac{a}{2})A\phi Z = (\frac{\mu_1 a}{2} + \frac{c}{4})\phi Z.$$

In the following we divide into two cases.

- Case I: $a^2 + c \neq 0$.

If $\mu_1 = \frac{a}{2}$ then $\frac{\mu_1 a}{2} + \frac{c}{4} = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\mu_1 \neq \frac{a}{2}$ and from (6.10) we find that the principal curvature ν is also equal $(\frac{\mu_1 a}{2} + \frac{c}{4}) / (\mu_1 - \frac{a}{2})$. Hence we obtain that μ_1 satisfies

$$(6.11) \quad 2a\mu_1^2 + (1 + 2n)c\mu_1 - acn = 0,$$

from which we can see that μ_1 is constant. Thus M has constant principal curvatures. However, since M is *-Ricci flat, in view of Theorem 1.1 and Section 3 in [8], we find that there are no hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ satisfying this case.

For the case of $\mathbb{C}H^n$, in terms of Section 3 in [8], only Type A_{11} and A_{12} hypersurfaces may be *-Ricci flat. But for the Type A_{12} , we further get $2n = \tanh^2(u)$, which is impossible since $0 < \tanh(u) < 1$.

- Case II: $a^2 + c = 0$.

In this case the ambient space is $\mathbb{C}H^n$, since $c = -a^2 < 0$, $a \neq 0$. If $\mu_1 \neq \frac{a}{2}$, by (6.11), we get $\mu_1 = na$ and $\nu = \frac{a}{2}$. If $\mu_1 = \frac{a}{2}$ then $\nu = na$. Hence it is proved that there are three distinct constant principal curvatures for all $p \in M$.

However, since M is a Hopf, in terms of Theorem 1.1 and the analysis of Section 3 in [8], we know that the Type A_2 hypersurfaces can not be *-Einstein, and the Type B and Type N hypersurfaces can not be *-Ricci flat.

Summarizing this two cases we complete the proof of Theorem 1.7. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank the referees for the helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. BERNDT, *Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in complex hyperbolic space*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **395**(1989), 132-141.
- [2] J. BERNDT, J. C. DÍAZ-RAMOS, *Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in the complex hyperbolic plane*, Pro. A.M.S. **135**(10), 2007, 3349-3357.
- [3] J. BERNDT, J. C. DÍAZ-RAMOS, *Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in the complex hyperbolic spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. **26**(2), 2006, 778-798.
- [4] T. E. CECIL, P. J. RYAN, *Focal set and real hypersurfaces in complex projective spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **269**(1982), 481-499.
- [5] J. T. CHO, M. KIMURA, *Ricci solitons and real hypersurfaces in a complex space form*, Tohoku Math. J. **61**(2009), 205-212.
- [6] J. T. CHO, M. KIMURA, *Ricci solitons of compact real hypersurfaces in Kähler manifolds*, Math. Nachr. **284**(2011), 1385-1393.
- [7] R. HAMILTON, *The Ricci flow on surfaces, mathematics and general relativity* (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986), Contemp. Math. **71** Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI. pp.237-262(1988).
- [8] T. HAMADA, *Real hypersurfaces of complex space forms in terms of Ricci *-tensor*, Tokyo J. Math. **25**(2002), 473-483.
- [9] T. IVEY, P. J. RYAN, *The *-Ricci tensor for hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and $\mathbb{C}H^n$* , Tokyo J. Math. **34**(2011), 445-471.
- [10] T. IVEY, P. J. RYAN, *Hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and $\mathbb{C}H^2$ with two distinct principal curvatures*, Glasgow Math. J. **58**(2016), 137-152.
- [11] G. KAIMAKAMIS, K. PANAGIOTIDOU, **-Ricci solitons of real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms*, J. Geom. Phys. **86**(2014), 408-413.
- [12] M. KIMURA, *Real hypersurfaces and complex submanifolds in a complex projective space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **296**(1986), 137-149.
- [13] M. KON, *On a Hopf hypersurface of a complex space form*, Diff. Geom. Appl. **28**(2010), 295-300.
- [14] S. MONTOEL, *Real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **35**(1985), 515-535.
- [15] R. NIEBERGALL, P. J. RYAN, *Real hypersurfaces in complex space forms*, Tight and taut submanifolds (eds. T. E. Cecil and S. S. Chern), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **32**(1997), Cambridge Univ. Press, 233-305.
- [16] K. PANAGIOTIDOU, PH. J. XENOS, *Real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and $\mathbb{C}H^2$ whose structure Jacobi operator is Lie \mathbb{D} -parallel*, Note Math. **32**(2012), 89-99.
- [17] R. TAGAKI, *Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **27**(1975), 43-53.
- [18] R. TAGAKI, *Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures II*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **27**(1975), 507-516.
- [19] R. TAGAKI, *On homogenous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space*, Osaka J. Math. **10**(1973), 495-506.
- [20] Q. M. WANG, *Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in complex projective spaces (I)*, Sci. Sinica. Ser.A **26**(1983), 1017-1024.
- [21] K. YANO, *Integral Formulas in Riemannian Geometry*, Marcel Dekker Inc.(1970).

COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, CHINA UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM-BEIJING, BEIJING, 102249, CHINA
E-mail address: xmchen@cup.edu.cn