

A partial inverse problem for the Sturm-Liouville operator on the graph with a loop

Chuan-Fu Yang, Natalia P. Bondarenko

Abstract. The Sturm-Liouville operator with singular potentials on the lasso graph is considered. We suppose that the potential is known a priori on the boundary edge, and recover the potential on the loop from a part of the spectrum and some additional data. We prove the uniqueness theorem and provide a constructive algorithm for the solution of this partial inverse problem.

Keywords: partial inverse spectral problem; Sturm-Liouville operator on a lasso graph; quantum graph; singular potential.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 34A55; 34B05; 34B09; 34B45; 34L20; 34L40; 47E05

1. Introduction

Differential operators on geometrical graphs, also called quantum graphs, are intensively studied by mathematicians in recent years, and have applications in organic chemistry, mechanics, mesoscopic physics, nanotechnology, theory of waveguides and other branches of science [1]. There is an extensive literature, devoted to quantum graphs. We mention here works [2, 3], where the further references could be found. A nice elementary introduction to the theory of quantum graphs is provided in [4]. The recent paper [5] contains a good overview of results on inverse spectral problems for differential operators on graphs, which consist in recovering differential operators (especially coefficients of differential expressions) from various types of spectral data.

In this paper, we consider the Sturm-Liouville operator on the graph with a loop. We suppose that the potential is known a priori on a part of the graph, and recover the potential on the remaining part from a part of the spectrum and some additional data. Such partial inverse problems have been studied in papers [6–12] for star-shaped graphs. In the present paper, we obtain the first results in this direction for the graph with a loop. We formulate a partial inverse problem on a lasso graph (see Figure 1), prove the uniqueness theorem and provide a constructive algorithm for solution of this problem. Note that complete inverse problems for differential operators on lasso graphs were studied in [13–15]. We hope that in the future, our results will be generalized for graphs with a more complicated structure. We develop the technique of [10–12], based on the Riesz basis property of some systems of vector functions. We also mention that our problem on a graph is related to the Hochstadt-Lieberman problem on a finite interval [16].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state the boundary value problem on the lasso graph and study asymptotic properties of its eigenvalues. Section 3 is devoted to the periodic inverse Sturm-Liouville problem, which is further used as an auxiliary step for recovering the potential on the loop. In Section 4, we formulate the partial inverse problem, provide our main results and proofs.

2. Asymptotic formulas for eigenvalues

Consider the lasso graph G , represented in Figure 1. The edge e_1 is a boundary edge of length $l_1 = m \in \mathbb{N}$, the edge e_2 is a loop of length $l_2 = 1$. Introduce a parameter x_j for each edge e_j , $j = 1, 2$, $x_j \in [0, l_j]$. The value $x_1 = 0$ corresponds to the boundary vertex, and $x_1 = m$

corresponds to the internal vertex. For the loop e_2 , both ends $x_2 = 0$ and $x_2 = 1$ correspond to the internal vertex.




Figure 1. Lasso graph

Let $y = [y_j(x_j)]_{j=1,2}$ be a vector function on the graph G . Consider Sturm-Liouville expressions

$$\ell_j y_j := -y_j'' + q_j(x_j)y_j, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

on the edges of G , where q_j , $j = 1, 2$, are real-valued functions from $W_2^{-1}(0, l_j)$. This means that $q_j = \sigma_j'$, $\sigma_j \in L_2(0, l_j)$, where the derivative is understood in the sense of distributions. We call the functions σ_j the *potentials*. Define the *quasi-derivatives* $y_j^{[1]} = y_j' - \sigma_j y_j$, $j = 1, 2$. Then the differential expressions ℓ_j can be understood in the following sense:

$$\ell_j y_j = -(y_j^{[1]})' - \sigma_j(x_j)y_j^{[1]} - \sigma_j^2(x_j)y_j,$$

on the domain

$$\mathcal{D}(\ell_j) = \{y_j \in W_2^1[0, l_j] : y_j^{[1]} \in W_1^1[0, l_j], \ell_j y_j \in L_2(0, l_j)\}.$$

Inverse problems for Sturm-Liouville operators with singular potentials $q \in W_2^{-1}$ on a finite interval were studied in papers [17–19]. However, there are only a few results for such operators on graphs (see [12, 21]). For the purposes of the present paper, the more general class W_2^{-1} causes no additional difficulties in comparison with L_2 .

We study the boundary value problem L for the Sturm-Liouville equations on the graph G :

$$(\ell_j y_j)(x_j) = \lambda y_j(x_j), \quad x_j \in (0, l_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (1)$$

with the standard matching conditions

$$y_1(m) = y_2(0) = y_2(1), \quad y_1^{[1]}(m) - y_2^{[1]}(0) + y_2^{[1]}(1) = 0$$

in the internal vertex, and the Dirichlet boundary condition $y_1(0) = 0$ in the boundary vertex.

For each fixed $j = 1, 2$, let $C_j(x_j, \lambda)$ and $S_j(x_j, \lambda)$ be the solutions of the corresponding equation (1) under the initial conditions

$$C_j(0, \lambda) = S_j^{[1]}(0, \lambda) = 1, \quad C_j^{[1]}(0, \lambda) = S_j(0, \lambda) = 0.$$

Further we use the following notations. Let $B_{2,a}$ be the class of Paley-Wiener functions of exponential type not greater than a , belonging to $L_2(\mathbb{R})$. The symbols $\varkappa_{k,odd}(\rho)$ and $\varkappa_{k,even}(\rho)$ denote various odd and even functions from $B_{2,k}$, respectively. Note that

$$\varkappa_{k,odd}(\rho) = \int_0^k \mathcal{K}(t) \sin \rho t \, dt, \quad \varkappa_{k,even}(\rho) = \int_0^k \mathcal{N}(t) \cos \rho t \, dt,$$

where $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{N} \in L_2(0, k)$. The notation $\{\varkappa_n\}$ stands for various sequences in l_2 .

Relying on the results of papers [17, 18, 20], we obtain the following relations for $j = 1, 2$:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} C_j(l_j, \lambda) &= \cos \rho l_j + \varkappa_{l_j, \text{even}}(\rho), \\ S_j(l_j, \lambda) &= \frac{\sin \rho l_j}{\rho} + \frac{\varkappa_{l_j, \text{odd}}(\rho)}{\rho}, \\ S_j^{[1]}(l_j, \lambda) &= \cos \rho l_j + \varkappa_{l_j, \text{even}}(\rho). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2)$$

The boundary value problem L has a purely discrete spectrum, consisting of real eigenvalues. The eigenvalues of L coincide with the zeros of the characteristic function

$$\Delta(\lambda) = S_1^{[1]}(m, \lambda)S_2(1, \lambda) + S_1(m, \lambda) \left(S_2^{[1]}(1, \lambda) + C_2(1, \lambda) - 2 \right) \quad (3)$$

with respect to their multiplicities. The asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalues is described by the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *The problem L has a countable set of eigenvalues, which can be numbered as $\{\lambda_{nk}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, k = \overline{1, m}} \cup \{\lambda_{n0}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (counting with the multiplicities), satisfying*

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \rho_{nk} &:= \sqrt{\lambda_{nk}} = |2\pi n + \alpha_k| + \varkappa_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, k = \overline{1, m}, \\ \rho_{n0} &:= \sqrt{\lambda_{n0}} = \pi n + \varkappa_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4)$$

where

$$\alpha_k \in \left(\frac{(k-1)\pi}{m}, \frac{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi}{m} \right), \quad k = \overline{1, m}. \quad (5)$$

Proof. In the case $\sigma_j = 0$, $j = 1, 2$, the characteristic function (3) takes the form

$$\Delta_0(\lambda) = \cos \rho m \frac{\sin \rho}{\rho} + \frac{\sin \rho m}{\rho} (2 \cos \rho - 2),$$

where $\rho = \sqrt{\lambda}$. Note that the function $D(\rho) := \rho \Delta_0(\rho^2)$ is odd and 2π -periodic, so it is sufficient to investigate its zeros on $[0, \pi)$. On the one hand, $D(\rho) = \sin \rho P_m(\cos \rho)$, where $P_m(z)$ is a polynomial of degree m , so $D(\rho)$ has no more than $m+1$ zeros (counting with their multiplicities) on $[0, \pi)$. On the other hand, for $\rho \neq 0$ the equation $D(\rho) = 0$ is equivalent to the following one

$$\tan \rho m = -\frac{\sin \rho}{2 \cos \rho - 2}. \quad (6)$$

From the plots in Figure 2, one can easily see that this equation has exactly m simple roots α_k on the interval $(0, \pi)$, satisfying (5). Moreover, $D(0) = 0$. Thus, the function $\Delta_0(\lambda)$ has the zeros

$$\lambda_{nk}^0 = (2\pi n + \alpha_k)^2, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, k = \overline{1, m}, \quad \lambda_{n0}^0 = (\pi n)^2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now we turn to the case of nonzero potentials. Using (2), we obtain the relation

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \Delta_0(\lambda) + \frac{\varkappa_{m+1, \text{odd}}(\rho)}{\rho}.$$

Applying the standard argument, based on Rouché's theorem (see, for example, [27, Theorem 1.1.3]), we arrive at the asymptotic formulas (4) for the eigenvalues of the problem L . \square




Figure 2. Plots for equation (6), $m = 5$

3. Periodic inverse Sturm-Liouville problem

Inverse spectral problems on graphs with cycles usually generalize the periodic inverse problem on a finite interval. We describe the periodic problem on a loop e_2 in this section, because we need it for statement and solution of our partial inverse problem.

Define

$$h(\lambda) := S_2(1, \lambda), \quad H(\lambda) := C_2(1, \lambda) - S_2^{[1]}(1, \lambda), \quad d(\lambda) := S_2^{[1]}(1, \lambda) + C_2(1, \lambda) - 2.$$

Denote by $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ the zeros of the entire function $h(\lambda)$ and put $\omega_n := \text{sign } H(\nu_n)$, $\Omega := \{\omega_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. The periodic inverse problem is formulated as follows.

Inverse Problem 1. Given the functions $h(\lambda)$, $d(\lambda)$ and the sequence of signs Ω , construct the potential σ_2 .

Analog of Inverse Problem 1 for the case of a regular potential $q_2 \in L_2(0, 1)$ has been studied in [24, 25] (see also paper [26], where the solution of the periodic problem has been applied to the inverse problem on a graph). However, the known results can be easily generalized for the case $q_2 \in W_2^{-1}(0, 1)$. Indeed, it is easy to check that

$$S_2^{[1]}(1, \nu_n) = (d(\nu_n) + 2 - H(\nu_n))/2, \quad (7)$$

$$C_2(1, \lambda)S_2^{[1]}(1, \lambda) - C_2^{[1]}(1, \lambda)S_2(1, \lambda) \equiv 1. \quad (8)$$

Consequently, we have

$$H^2(\lambda) - (d(\lambda) + 2)^2 = -4(1 + C_2^{[1]}(1, \lambda)h(\lambda)).$$

Hence

$$H(\nu_n) = \omega_n \sqrt{d(\nu_n)(d(\nu_n) + 2)}. \quad (9)$$

Introduce the norming constants

$$\beta_n := \int_0^1 S_2^2(x, \nu_n) dx.$$

Using the standard methods (see [27, Lemma 1.1.1]), one can show that

$$\beta_n = \frac{d}{d\lambda} h(\lambda)|_{\lambda=\nu_n} S_2^{[1]}(1, \nu_n). \quad (10)$$

It is proved in [17], that the spectral data $\{\nu_n, \beta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ uniquely specify the potential σ_2 , and an algorithm for the reconstruction is provided. Thus, Inverse Problem 1 has a unique solution, which can be found by the following algorithm.

Algorithm 1. Let the functions $h(\lambda)$, $d(\lambda)$ and the signs Ω be given.

1. Find $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ as the zeros of $h(\lambda)$.
2. Calculate $H(\nu_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by (9).
3. Find $S_2^{[1]}(1, \nu_n)$ by (7).
4. Calculate the norming constants $\{\beta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, using (10).
5. Recover the potential σ_2 from the spectral data $\{\nu_n, \beta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, applying the algorithm from [17].

4. Partial inverse problem

In this section, we give the statement of the studied partial inverse problem, prove the uniqueness theorem and develop a constructive algorithm for its solution.

Fix a $k = \overline{1, m}$, and denote by \mathcal{I} the set of indices $\{(n, k) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{(n, 0) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Consider the subspectrum $\Lambda := \{\lambda_{nj}\}_{(n,j) \in \mathcal{I}}$. Here and below we assume that the eigenvalues are numbered with respect to their asymptotics according to Lemma 1. Note that this numeration is not unique, so a finite number of first eigenvalues in Λ can be chosen arbitrarily.

Impose the following **assumptions**:

- (A₁) All the values in Λ are distinct.
- (A₂) All the values in Λ are positive.
- (A₃) The functions $h(\lambda)$ and $d(\lambda)$ do not have common zeros.

Assumption (A₁) is used for simplicity, the case of multiple eigenvalues require some technical modifications (see discussion in [10]). Assumption (A₂) can be achieved by a shift of the spectrum. Assumption (A₃) is the only principal one. One can easily check, that (A₃) is equivalent to the condition $\omega_n \neq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Under assumptions (A₁)–(A₃), we study the following partial inverse problem.

Inverse Problem 2. Given the potential σ_1 , the subspectrum Λ and the signs Ω , find the potential σ_2 .

Proceed to the solution of the formulated problem. Using relations (2), we get

$$\left. \begin{aligned} S_2(1, \lambda) &= \frac{\sin \rho}{\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^1 K(t) \sin \rho t \, dt, \\ d(\lambda) &= 2 \cos \rho + 2 \int_0^1 N(t) \cos \rho t \, dt - 2, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (11)$$

where K and N are some real-valued functions from $L_2(0, 1)$. Substituting (11) into (3), we derive the relation

$$\int_0^1 K(t) a_{nj} \sin \rho_{nj} t \, dt + \int_0^1 N(t) b_{nj} \cos \rho_{nj} t \, dt = f_{nj}, \quad (n, j) \in \mathcal{I}, \quad (12)$$

where

$$a_{nj} = S_1^{[1]}(m, \lambda_{nj}), \quad b_{nj} = 2\rho_{nj} S_1(m, \lambda_{nj}), \quad f_{nj} = -a_{nj} \sin \rho_{nj} - b_{nj} (\cos \rho_{nj} - 1). \quad (13)$$

Introduce the real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} := L_2(0, 1) \oplus L_2(0, 1)$ with the scalar product

$$(g, h)_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^1 (g_1(t)h_1(t) + g_2(t)h_2(t)) \, dt, \quad g, h \in \mathcal{H}, \quad g = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad h = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Obviously, the vector functions

$$f(t) := \begin{bmatrix} K(t) \\ N(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad v_{nj}(t) := \begin{bmatrix} a_{nj} \sin \rho_{nj} t \\ b_{nj} \cos \rho_{nj} t \end{bmatrix}, \quad (n, j) \in \mathcal{I}, \quad (14)$$

belong to \mathcal{H} , and relation (12) can be rewritten in the form

$$(f, v_{nj})_{\mathcal{H}} = f_{nj}, \quad (n, j) \in \mathcal{I}. \quad (15)$$

Lemma 2. *The system of vector functions $\mathcal{V} := \{v_{nj}\}_{(n,j) \in \mathcal{I}}$ is complete in \mathcal{H} .*

Proof. Suppose $w_1, w_2 \in L_2(0, 1)$ are such functions, that

$$\int_0^1 (w_1(t) a_{nj} \sin \rho_{nj} t + w_2(t) b_{nj} \cos \rho_{nj} t) dt = 0, \quad (n, j) \in \mathcal{I}. \quad (16)$$

Let $S_1(m, \lambda_{nj}) \neq 0$ for some $(n, j) \in \mathcal{I}$. By assumption (A_3) , we have $S_2(1, \lambda_{nj}) \neq 0$. Therefore, using (3), (13) and taking assumption (A_2) into account, we get

$$a_{nj} = -\frac{b_{nj} d(\lambda_{nj})}{2\rho_{nj} S_2(1, \lambda_{nj})}.$$

Substituting this relation into (16), we obtain

$$\int_0^1 \left(w_1(t) d(\lambda_{nj}) \frac{\sin \rho_{nj} t}{\rho_{nj}} - 2w_2(t) S_2(1, \lambda_{nj}) \cos \rho_{nj} t \right) dt = 0. \quad (17)$$

In the case $S_1(m, \lambda_{nj}) = 0$ we have $S_1^{[1]}(m, \lambda_{nj}) \neq 0$. In view of (3), $S_2(1, \lambda_{nj}) = 0$. Assumption (A_3) yields $d(\lambda_{nj}) \neq 0$. Consequently, the relation (16) implies (17). Thus, (17) holds for all $(n, j) \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence the entire function

$$W(\lambda) := \int_0^1 \left(w_1(t) d(\lambda) \frac{\sin \rho t}{\rho} - 2w_2(t) S_2(1, \lambda) \cos \rho t \right) dt \quad (18)$$

has zeros Λ . Clearly, together with (11), we get

$$W(\lambda) = O(|\rho|^{-1} \exp(2|\operatorname{Im} \rho|)), \quad |\rho| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (19)$$

Taking assumption (A_2) into account, construct the infinite product

$$D(\lambda) := \prod_{(n,j) \in \mathcal{I}} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{nj}} \right).$$

In view of assumption (A_1) , the function $\frac{W(\lambda)}{D(\lambda)}$ is entire. According to the asymptotic formulas (4), the function $D(\lambda)$ can be represented in the following form (see [12, Appendix B]):

$$D(\lambda) = C(\cos \rho - \cos \alpha_k) \frac{\sin \rho}{\rho} + \frac{\varkappa_{2, \text{odd}}(\rho)}{\rho}, \quad (20)$$

where C is a nonzero constant. Moreover, one has the following estimate

$$|D(\rho^2)| \geq C|\rho|^{-1} \exp(2|\operatorname{Im} \rho|), \quad \varepsilon < \arg \rho < \pi - \varepsilon, \quad |\rho| \geq \rho^*$$

for some positive ε and ρ^* . Together with (19) it yields

$$\frac{W(\lambda)}{D(\lambda)} = O(1), \quad \lambda = \rho^2, \quad \varepsilon < \arg \rho < \pi - \varepsilon, \quad |\rho| \geq \rho^*.$$

By Phragmen-Lindelöf's and Liouville's theorems we get $W(\lambda) \equiv CD(\lambda)$. Using (18), one can show that $\rho W(\rho^2) \in B_{2,2}$ (as a function of the variable ρ). However, relation (20) implies $\rho D(\rho^2) \notin B_{2,2}$. Hence $C \equiv 0$ and $W(\lambda) \equiv 0$.

Recall that $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are the zeros of $S_2(1, \lambda)$. Assumption (A_3) requires $d(\nu_n) \neq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, it follows from (18), that

$$\int_0^1 w_1(t) \frac{\sin \sqrt{\nu_n} t}{\sqrt{\nu_n}} dt = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Note that $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are the eigenvalues of the boundary value problem

$$\ell_2 y_2 = \lambda y_2, \quad y_2(0) = y_2(1) = 0,$$

therefore $\sqrt{\nu_n} = \pi n + \varkappa_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [22]). This asymptotic relation implies that the system $\{(\sqrt{\nu_n})^{-1} \sin \sqrt{\nu_n} t\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is complete in $L_2(0, 1)$ (see, for example, [23]). Hence $w_1 = 0$. Then we conclude from (19) and $W(\lambda) \equiv 0$, that $w_2 = 0$. Thus, the system \mathcal{V} is complete in \mathcal{H} . \square

Relying on Lemma 2, we shall prove the uniqueness theorem for the solution of Inverse Problem 2. Along with the boundary value problem L , consider the problem \tilde{L} of the same form, but with different potentials $\tilde{\sigma}_j \in L_2(0, l_j)$, $j = 1, 2$. We agree that if a certain symbol γ denotes an object related to L , the corresponding symbol $\tilde{\gamma}$ denotes an analogous object related to \tilde{L} .

Theorem 1. *Suppose that the boundary value problems L and \tilde{L} together with their subspectra Λ and $\tilde{\Lambda}$ of the form described above satisfy assumptions (A_1) – (A_3) , and $\sigma_1(x) = \tilde{\sigma}_1(x)$ a.e. on $(0, m)$, $\Lambda = \tilde{\Lambda}$, $\Omega = \tilde{\Omega}$. Then $\sigma_2(x) = \tilde{\sigma}_2(x)$ a.e. on $(0, 1)$. Thus, Inverse Problem 2 has a unique solution.*

Proof. The relation $\sigma_1(x) = \tilde{\sigma}_1(x)$ a.e. on $(0, m)$ implies $S_1(m, \lambda) \equiv \tilde{S}_1(m, \lambda)$, $S_1^{[1]}(m, \lambda) \equiv \tilde{S}_1^{[1]}(m, \lambda)$. In view of (13), (14) and $\Lambda = \tilde{\Lambda}$, we have $v_{nj} = \tilde{v}_{nj}$ in \mathcal{H} and $f_{nj} = \tilde{f}_{nj}$ for $(n, j) \in \mathcal{I}$. Since by Lemma 2 the system \mathcal{V} is complete in \mathcal{H} , we conclude from (15), that $K(t) = \tilde{K}(t)$ and $N(t) = \tilde{N}(t)$ a.e. on $(0, 1)$. Then relation (11) yields $S_2(1, \lambda) \equiv \tilde{S}_2(1, \lambda)$, $d(\lambda) \equiv \tilde{d}(\lambda)$. In addition, we have $\Omega = \tilde{\Omega}$, so $\sigma_2(x) = \tilde{\sigma}_2(x)$ follows from the uniqueness of the solution of periodic Inverse Problem 1. \square

Theorem 2. *The system of vector functions \mathcal{V} is a Riesz basis in \mathcal{H} .*

Proof. Using (4) and (13), we get

$$a_{nj} = \cos \rho_{nj} m + \varkappa_n, \quad b_{nj} = 2 \sin \rho_{nj} m + \varkappa_n, \quad (n, j) \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Consequently, we have $\{\|v_{nj} - v_{nj}^0\|_{\mathcal{H}}\}_{(n,j) \in \mathcal{I}} \in l_2$, where

$$v_{nk}^0(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha_k m \sin |2\pi n + \alpha_k| t \\ 2 \sin \alpha_k m \cos |2\pi n + \alpha_k| t \end{bmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad v_{n0}^0(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \sin \pi n t \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Note that (5) implies $\cos \alpha_k m \neq 0$, $\sin \alpha_k m \neq 0$.

Let us show that the system $\mathcal{V}^0 := \{v_{nj}^0\}_{(n,j) \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a Riesz basis in \mathcal{H} . It follows from the results of [12, Appendix A], that the systems $\{\sin(2\pi n + \alpha_k)t\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{\cos(2\pi n + \alpha_k)t\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are Riesz bases in $L_2(0, 1)$. Consider the linear operator $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, defined as follows.

$$Av = A \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 - \frac{1}{2} \cot(\alpha_k m) g(v_2) \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad v \in \mathcal{H},$$

where

$$g(u)(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n(u) \sin |2\pi n + \alpha_k|t, \quad u(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n(u) \cos |2\pi n + \alpha_k|t,$$

i.e. $c_n(u)$ are the coordinates of the function $u \in L_2(0, 1)$ with respect to the Riesz basis $\{\cos |2\pi n + \alpha_k|t\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. It follows from the Riesz-basis property, that there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$C_1 \|u\|_{L_2} \leq \|g(u)\|_{L_2} \leq C_2 \|u\|_{L_2}.$$

Consequently, the operator A and its inverse:

$$A^{-1}v = A \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 + \frac{1}{2} \cot(\alpha_k m) g(v_2) \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad v \in \mathcal{H},$$

are bounded in \mathcal{H} . Note that the operator A transforms the sequence \mathcal{V}^0 into a Riesz basis in \mathcal{H} :

$$(Av_{nk}^0)(t) = 2 \sin \alpha_k m \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \cos(2\pi n + \alpha_k)t \end{bmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (Av_{n0}^0)(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \sin \pi n t \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence the system \mathcal{V}^0 is also a Riesz basis.

Since the system \mathcal{V} is complete by Lemma 2 and l_2 -close to the Riesz basis \mathcal{V}^0 , we conclude that \mathcal{V} is a Riesz basis in \mathcal{H} . \square

Recovering the vector function f from its coordinates with respect to the Riesz basis, one can solve Inverse Problem 2 by the following algorithm.

Algorithm 2. Let the potential σ_1 , the eigenvalues Λ and the signs Ω be given.

1. Construct the functions $S_1(m, \lambda)$ and $S_1^{[1]}(m, \lambda)$.
2. Find the vector functions v_{nj} and the numbers f_{nj} , using (13) and (14).
3. Construct the vector function f by its coordinates with respect to the Riesz basis (see (15)), i.e. find the functions $K(t)$ and $N(t)$.
4. Construct the functions $h(\lambda) := S_2(1, \lambda)$ and $d(\lambda)$ by (11).
5. Recover the potential σ_1 from $h(\lambda)$, $d(\lambda)$ and Ω , using Algorithm 1.

Acknowledgment. The author C.-F. Yang was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11171152, 11611530682 and 91538108) and by the Natural Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Province of China (BK 20141392). The author N. P. Bondarenko was supported by the Russian Federation President Grant MK-686.2017.1, by Grant 1.1660.2017/4.6 of the Russian Ministry of Education and Science, and by Grants 16-01-00015, 17-51-53180 of the Russian Foundation for Basic Research.

References

- [1] Kuchment, P. Graph models for waves in thin structures, *Waves in Random Media* 12:4 (2002), R1–R24.
- [2] *Analysis on Graphs and Its Applications*, edited by P. Exner, J.P. Keating, P. Kuchment, T. Sunada and Teplyaev, A. *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, AMS, 77. (2008).
- [3] Pokornyy, Yu. V.; Penkin, O. M.; Pryadiev, V. L. et al. *Differential Equations on Geometrical Graphs*, Fizmatlit, Moscow (2004) (Russian).
- [4] Kuchment, P. Quantum graphs. Some basic structures. *Waves Random Media* 14 (2004), S107–S128.
- [5] Yurko, V. A. Inverse spectral problems for differential operators on spatial networks, *Russian Mathematical Surveys* 71:3 (2016), 539–584.
- [6] Pivovarchik, V. N. Inverse problem for the Sturm-Liouville equation on a simple graph, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 32:4 (2000), 801–819.
- [7] Yang, C.-F. Inverse spectral problems for the Sturm-Liouville operator on a d -star graph, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 365 (2010), 742–749.
- [8] Yang, C.-F.; Yang X.-P. Uniqueness theorems from partial information of the potential on a graph, *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.* 19 (2011), 631–639.
- [9] Yurko, V. A. Inverse nodal problems for the Sturm-Liouville differential operators on a star-type graph, *Siberian Math. J.* 50:2 (2009), 373–378.
- [10] Bondarenko, N. P. A partial inverse problem for the SturmLiouville operator on a star-shaped graph, *Anal. Math. Phys.* (2017), DOI: 10.1007/s13324-017-0172-x
- [11] Bondarenko, N. P. Partial inverse problems for the Sturm-Liouville operator on a star-shaped graph with mixed boundary conditions, *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.* (2017), published online 2017-03-16, DOI: 10.1515/jiip-2017-0001.
- [12] Bondarenko, N. P. A 2-edge partial inverse problem for the Sturm-Liouville operators with singular potentials on a star-shaped graph, *Tamkang J. Math.* (2017, accepted for publication), preprint: arXiv:1702.08293 [math.SP].
- [13] Marchenko, V.; Mochizuki, K.; Trooshin, I. Inverse scattering on a graph containing circle. *Analytic Methods of Analysis and Differ. Equations: AMADE 2006*, 237–243. Cambridge Sci. Publ., Cambridge, 2008.
- [14] Mochizuki, K.; Trooshin, I. On the scattering on a loop shaped graph. *Evolution Equations of hyperbolic and Schroedinger type*, 227–245, *Progr. Math.*, 301, Birkhauser/Springer. Basel A6, Basel, 2012.
- [15] Kurasov P. Inverse scattering for lasso graph. *J. Math. Phys.* 54 (2013), No. 4, 04210314
- [16] Hochstadt, H.; Lieberman, B. An inverse Sturm-Liouville problem with mixed given data, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 34 (1978), 676–680.
- [17] Hryniv, R. O.; Mykytyuk, Ya. V. Inverse spectral problems for Sturm-Liouville operators with singular potentials, *Inverse Problems* 19 (2003), 665–684.

- [18] Hryniv, R.O.; Mykytyuk, Ya. V. Inverse spectral problems for Sturm-Liouville operators with singular potentials, II. Reconstruction by two spectra, in: V. Kadets, W. Zelazko (Eds.), *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, in: North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 197, North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam (2004), 97114.
- [19] Hryniv, R.O.; Mykytyuk, Ya. V. Half-inverse spectral problems for Sturm-Liouville operators with singular potentials, *Inverse Problems* 20 (2004), 1423–1444.
- [20] Hryniv, R. O.; Mykytyuk, Ya. V. Transformation operators for Sturm-Liouville operators with singular potentials, *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* 7 (2004), 119–149.
- [21] Freiling, G.; Ignatiev, M.; Yurko, V. An inverse spectral problem for Sturm-Liouville operators with singular potentials on star-type graph, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* 77 (2008), 397–408.
- [22] Savchuk, A. M. On the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Sturm-Liouville operator with a singular potential, *Mathematical Notes* 69:2 (2001), 245–252.
- [23] He, X.; Volkmer, H. Riesz bases of solutions of Sturm-Liouville equations, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 7:3 (2001), 297–307.
- [24] Stankevich, I. V. An inverse problem of spectral analysis for Hill’s equations, *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR* 192, no. 1 (1970), 34–37 (Russian).
- [25] Marchenko, V. A.; Ostrovskii, I. V. A characterization of the spectrum of the Hill operator, *Mat. Sbornik* 97 (1975), 540–606 (Russian); English transl. in *Math. USSR Sbornik* 26 (1975), 4, 493–554.
- [26] Yurko, V. A. Inverse problems for Sturm-Liouville operators on graphs with a cycle, *Operators and Matrices* 2:4 (2008), 543–553.
- [27] Freiling, G.; Yurko, V. *Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problems and Their Applications*. Huntington, NY: Nova Science Publishers (2001).

Chuan-Fu Yang

Department of Applied Mathematics, Nanjing University of Sciences and Technology,
Nanjing, 210094, Jiangsu, China,
email: *chuanfuyang@njust.edu.cn*

Natalia Pavlovna Bondarenko

1. Department of Applied Mathematics, Samara National Research University,
Moskovskoye Shosse 34, Samara 443086, Russia,
2. Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Saratov State University,
Astrakhanskaya 83, Saratov 410012, Russia,
e-mail: *BondarenkoNP@info.sgu.ru*