

ON THE BLOCH-TYPE SEMINORMS OF THE WEIGHTED BEREZIN TRANSFORM

PETAR MELENTIJEVIĆ

ABSTRACT. We consider a weighted Berezin transform in the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$:

$$B_\alpha : L^\infty(\mathbb{B}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, \quad \alpha > -1,$$

defined, for $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{B}^n)$ and $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$, by

$$(B_\alpha f)(z) = c_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{2n+2}} f(w) (1 - |w|^2)^\alpha dv(w),$$

where $c_\alpha = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\pi^n}$, v is the Lebesgue measure and \mathcal{B} is C^1 Bloch-type space. We prove that B_α is bounded iff $\alpha \geq 0$ and give the exact complex Bloch seminorm of B_α for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2n+3$. We also consider real Bloch seminorm and give sharp estimate for $0 \leq \alpha \leq n + \frac{1}{2}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

We use the notation from Rudin's monograph [8]. Throughout the paper n is a positive integer. We denote the inner product in \mathbb{C}^n by:

$$\langle z, w \rangle = z_1 \bar{w}_1 + z_2 \bar{w}_2 + \cdots + z_n \bar{w}_n,$$

where $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. This inner product induces the Euclidean norm

$$|z| = \sqrt{\langle z, z \rangle}.$$

Let \mathbb{B}^n be the unit ball $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid |z| < 1\}$ and \mathbb{B}_n its real counterpart. Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n be the standard base for \mathbb{C}^n .

We let v be the volume measure in \mathbb{C}^n . We will also consider a class of weighted volume measures on \mathbb{B}^n . For $\alpha > -1$ we define a finite measure v_α on \mathbb{B}^n by

$$dv_\alpha(z) = c_\alpha (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dv(z),$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 45P05; Secondary 31A10.

Key words and phrases. Berezin transform, Operator norm, Norm estimate, Bloch space.

The author is partially supported by MPNTR grant 174017, Serbia.

where c_α is a normalizing constant such that $v_\alpha(\mathbb{B}^n) = 1$. Using polar coordinates, one can easily calculate that

$$(1) \quad c_\alpha = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\pi^n}.$$

We will often use the following automorphisms of \mathbb{B}^n :

$$(2) \quad \varphi_z(\xi) = \frac{1}{1 - \langle \xi, z \rangle} \left(z - \frac{\langle \xi, z \rangle}{|z|^2} z - (1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\xi - \frac{\langle \xi, z \rangle}{|z|^2} z \right) \right).$$

Observe that $\varphi_z(0) = z$, and since φ_z is involutive i.e. $\varphi_z \circ \varphi_z = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{B}^n}$, we also have $\varphi_z(z) = 0$. We will also use the following identities

$$(3) \quad 1 - |\varphi_z(\xi)|^2 = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |\xi|^2)}{|1 - \langle z, \xi \rangle|^2},$$

$$(4) \quad 1 - |z|^2 = (1 - \langle z, \xi \rangle)(1 - \langle z, \varphi_z(\xi) \rangle),$$

for $z, \xi \in \mathbb{B}^n$, see [8] for more details.

The real Jacobian of φ_z is given by

$$(J_{\mathbb{R}}\varphi_z)(\xi) = \left(\frac{(1 - |z|^2)}{|1 - \langle z, \xi \rangle|^2} \right)^{n+1}, \quad z, \xi \in \mathbb{B}^n.$$

For a function $f \in C^1(\mathbb{B}^n)$, we define complex gradients

$$\nabla_z f(z) = \left(\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_1}, \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_n} \right),$$

$$\nabla_{\bar{z}} f(z) = \left(\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial \bar{z}_1}, \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial \bar{z}_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial \bar{z}_n} \right),$$

and real gradient

$$\nabla f(z) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_n} \right),$$

where $z_k = x_k + iy_k$, $k = \overline{1, n}$.

It is not hard to see that

$$|\nabla f|^2 = 2(|\nabla_z f|^2 + |\nabla_{\bar{z}} f|^2).$$

Let us define a C^1 Bloch-type space as:

$$\mathcal{B} = \{f \in C^1(\mathbb{B}^n) \mid \|f\|_* = \sup_{|z| < 1} (1 - |z|^2) |\nabla f(z)| < +\infty\}.$$

This is a real variable analogue of the classical analytic Bloch space. \mathcal{B} is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = |f(0)| + \|f\|_*, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}.$$

As the standard reference for the Bloch space we refer to [9] and [10].

Berezin transform is an integral operator acting on functions defined on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. For a function $f : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ its Berezin transform is a new function

$$Bf : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

defined at a point $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ by

$$(Bf)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{2n+2}} f(w) dv(w).$$

Berezin [1] introduced the notion of covariant and contravariant symbols of an operator. Successful applications of Berezin transform are so far mainly in the study of Hankel and Toeplitz operators. It should be pointed out that the Berezin transform is an analogue of the Poisson transform in Bergman space theory, see [11]. Recent results concerning the norm of the Berezin transform on $L^p(\mathbb{B}^n)$ can be found in Dostanić's and Marković's papers [2] and [4].

Along with the L^p -norm estimates, in recent years there has been increased interest in exploring the magnitude of certain operators in terms of other operator norms. Here, we consider Berezin transform as $L^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ operator. The method developed in [7] is used in the present setting as well. In part this work is motivated by papers [3] and [6], where analogous problems were investigated for the Bergman projection.

Let $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{B}^n)$ and let consider a slightly general situation – weighted Berezin transform on \mathbb{B}^n , i.e.

$$(5) \quad (B_\alpha f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{2n+2}} f(w) dv_\alpha(w), \quad f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{B}^n), z \in \mathbb{B}^n.$$

We investigated two seminorms of the operator B_α :

$$\|B_\alpha\|_{*,\mathbb{C}} = \sup_{\|f\|_\infty \leq 1} (1 - |z|^2) |\nabla_z (B_\alpha f)(z)|$$

and

$$\|B_\alpha\|_* = \sup_{\|f\|_\infty \leq 1} \|B_\alpha f\|_*.$$

Now we state the main results of this paper.

Theorem 1. *Let $\alpha > -1$, B_α is an operator defined by (5) and $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{B}^n)$. Then the following holds:*

i) If $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2n + 3$ and $\|f\|_\infty = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_\alpha\|_{*,\mathbb{C}} &= c_\alpha(n+1) \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\zeta_1| (1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha dv(\zeta) = \\ &= \frac{n+1}{2} B(n + \alpha + 1, \frac{1}{2}), \end{aligned}$$

where the equality is attained if and only if $f(w) = C \frac{|\langle w, a \rangle|}{\langle w, a \rangle}$

for some $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $|a| = 1$ and C is an unimodular constant.

ii) If $-1 < \alpha < 0$, then $\|B_\alpha\|_{*,\mathbb{C}} = +\infty$.

iii) If $\alpha > 2n + 3$ and $\|f\|_\infty = 1$, then

$$\|B_\alpha\|_{*,\mathbb{C}} < (n+1)\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1) \binom{\alpha + k_\alpha - 1}{k_\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(k_\alpha + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(k_\alpha + \alpha + n + \frac{3}{2})},$$

where

$$k_\alpha = \left\lceil \frac{\alpha - (2n + 3)}{2n + 2} \right\rceil$$

and $\lceil x \rceil$ is the smallest non-negative integer not smaller than x .

The same estimates holds for conjugate derivative seminorm.

Theorem 2. For f real valued, under the same hypothesis as in the Theorem 1, we have:

i) If $0 \leq \alpha \leq n + \frac{1}{2}$ and $\|f\|_\infty = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_\alpha\|_* &= 2c_\alpha(n+1) \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |Re\zeta_1| (1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha dv(\zeta) = \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi}(n+1)B(\alpha + n + 1, \frac{1}{2}), \end{aligned}$$

where the equality is attained if and only if $f(w) = \frac{|Re\langle w, a \rangle|}{Re\langle w, a \rangle}$

for some $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $|a| = 1$.

ii) If $-1 < \alpha < 0$, then $\|B_\alpha\|_* = +\infty$.

iii) If $\alpha > n + \frac{1}{2}$ and $\|f\|_\infty = 1$, then

$$\|B_\alpha\|_* < \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}(n+1) \binom{2k'_\alpha + 2\alpha - 1}{2k'_\alpha} \binom{k'_\alpha + \alpha - 1}{k'_\alpha}^{-1} \frac{(k'_\alpha)! \Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}{\Gamma(k'_\alpha + \alpha + n + \frac{3}{2})},$$

where

$$k'_\alpha = \left\lceil \frac{\alpha}{2n + 1} - \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil$$

and $\lceil x \rceil$ is the smallest non-negative integer not smaller than x .

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 1

In order to find partial derivatives of $B_\alpha f(z)$ we need formulae for $\frac{\partial K}{\partial z_i}$ where $K(z, w) = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{2n+2}}$. Since

$$K(z, w) = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{2n+2}} = \frac{(1 - \sum z_i \bar{z}_i)^{n+1}}{(1 - \sum z_i \bar{w}_i)^{n+1} (1 - \sum \bar{z}_i w_i)^{n+1}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial K}{\partial z_i}(z, w) &= \frac{1}{(1 - \sum \bar{z}_i w_i)^{n+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left(\frac{1 - \sum z_i \bar{z}_i}{1 - \sum z_i \bar{w}_i} \right)^{n+1} \\ &= \frac{n+1}{(1 - \sum \bar{z}_i w_i)^{n+1}} \left(\frac{1 - \sum z_i \bar{z}_i}{1 - \sum z_i \bar{w}_i} \right)^n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left(\frac{1 - \sum z_i \bar{z}_i}{1 - \sum z_i \bar{w}_i} \right) \\ &= \frac{(n+1)(1 - |z|^2)^n (-\bar{z}_i (1 - \sum z_i \bar{w}_i) + \bar{w}_i (1 - \sum z_i \bar{z}_i))}{(1 - \sum \bar{z}_i w_i)^{n+1} (1 - \sum z_i \bar{w}_i)^n (1 - \sum z_i \bar{w}_i)^2} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)(1 - |z|^2)^n}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+2} (1 - \overline{\langle z, w \rangle})^{n+1}} ((1 - |z|^2) \bar{w}_i - (1 - \langle z, w \rangle) \bar{z}_i). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_z(B_\alpha f)(z)| &= \sup_{|\xi|=1} |\langle \nabla_z(B_\alpha f)(z), \xi \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{|\xi|=1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \langle \nabla_z K(z, w) f(w), \xi \rangle dv_\alpha(w) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\langle \nabla_z K(z, w), \xi \rangle| |f(w)| dv_\alpha(w) = \\ &= \sup_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(n+1)(1 - |z|^2)^n ((1 - |z|^2) \bar{w}_i \bar{\xi}_i - (1 - \langle z, w \rangle) \bar{z}_i \bar{\xi}_i)}{(1 - \sum \bar{z}_i w_i)^{n+1} (1 - \sum z_i \bar{w}_i)^{n+2}} \right| |f(w)| dv_\alpha(w) \\ &= \sup_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \frac{(n+1)(1 - |z|^2)^n}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{2n+3}} |(1 - |z|^2) \langle \xi, w \rangle - (1 - \langle z, w \rangle) \langle \xi, z \rangle| |f(w)| dv_\alpha(w). \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote $S(z) = (1 - |z|^2) |\nabla_z(B_\alpha f)(z)|$. For $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$, we obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} S(z) &\leq (n+1) \sup_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{2n+3}} \\ &\quad \cdot |(1 - |z|^2) \langle \xi, w \rangle - (1 - \langle z, w \rangle) \langle \xi, z \rangle| dv_\alpha(w). \end{aligned}$$

In the above integral, we introduce new variable: $\zeta = \varphi_z(w)$ (or: $w = \varphi_z(\zeta)$) and this gives:

$$S(z) \leq (n+1)c_\alpha \sup_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \frac{|(1-|z|^2)\langle \xi, \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle - (1-\langle z, \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle)\langle \xi, z \rangle|}{|1-\langle z, \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle|} \cdot (1-|\varphi_z(\zeta)|^2)^\alpha dv(\zeta).$$

Using (4) we obtain

$$\frac{(1-|z|^2)\langle \xi, \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle}{1-\langle z, \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle} = (1-\langle z, \zeta \rangle)\langle \xi, \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle,$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{(1-|z|^2)\langle \xi, \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle - (1-\langle z, \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle)\langle \xi, z \rangle}{1-\langle z, \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle} \right| = \\ & = |(1-\langle z, \zeta \rangle)\langle \xi, \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle - \langle \xi, z \rangle| = \langle \xi, (1-\overline{\langle z, \zeta \rangle})\varphi_z(\zeta) - z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Next, (2) gives us:

$$(1-\overline{\langle z, \zeta \rangle})\varphi_z(\zeta) = z - \frac{\langle \zeta, z \rangle}{|z|^2}z - \sqrt{1-|z|^2}\left(\zeta - \frac{\langle \zeta, z \rangle}{|z|^2}z\right),$$

and therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{(1-|z|^2)\langle \xi, \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle - (1-\langle z, \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle)\langle \xi, z \rangle}{1-\langle z, \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle} \right| = \\ & = \left| \langle \xi, \frac{\langle \zeta, z \rangle}{|z|^2}z + \sqrt{1-|z|^2}\left(\zeta - \frac{\langle \zeta, z \rangle}{|z|^2}z\right) \rangle \right| \end{aligned}$$

for $z \neq 0$; for $z = 0$ this expression is equal to

$$|\langle \xi, (1-\overline{\langle z, \zeta \rangle})\varphi_z(\zeta) - z \rangle| = |\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle|.$$

Now having all this in mind, we have:

$$S(z) \leq (n+1)c_\alpha \sup_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \left| \langle \xi, \frac{\langle \zeta, z \rangle}{|z|^2}z + \sqrt{1-|z|^2}\left(\zeta - \frac{\langle \zeta, z \rangle}{|z|^2}z\right) \rangle \right| \cdot (1-|\varphi_z(\zeta)|^2)^\alpha dv(\zeta)$$

Now, using (3) we get:

$$(6) \quad S(z) \leq (n+1)c_\alpha \sup_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \left| \langle \xi, \frac{\langle \zeta, z \rangle}{|z|^2}z + \sqrt{1-|z|^2}\left(\zeta - \frac{\langle \zeta, z \rangle}{|z|^2}z\right) \rangle \right| \cdot \frac{(1-|z|^2)^\alpha(1-|\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1-\langle z, \zeta \rangle|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta)$$

for $z \neq 0$ or, for $z = 0$:

$$S(z) \leq (n+1)c_\alpha \sup_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle| (1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha dv(\zeta)$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume $z = (r, 0, \dots, 0)$, where $0 \leq r < 1$.

This gives us:

$$S(z) \leq (n+1)c_\alpha T(r),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T(r) &= \sup_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \left| \langle \xi, (\zeta_1, 0, \dots, 0) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{1-r^2}(0, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n) \rangle \right| \frac{(1-r^2)^\alpha (1-|\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1-r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta) \\ &= \max_{|\xi|=1} (1-r^2)^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \left| \langle \xi, \sqrt{1-r^2}\zeta + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1-\sqrt{1-r^2})\zeta'_1 \rangle \right| \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1-r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta), \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta'_1 = (\zeta_1, \dots, 0)$ and ζ_1 is the first complex coordinate of $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathbb{B}^n$.

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad & \left| \langle \xi, \sqrt{1-r^2}\zeta + (1-\sqrt{1-r^2})\zeta'_1 \rangle \right| \\ & \leq \sqrt{1-r^2} |\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle| + (1-\sqrt{1-r^2}) |\langle \xi, \zeta'_1 \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

So, for all $r \in [0, 1)$ we have, using triangle inequality (7)

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad T(r) &= (1-r^2)^\alpha \max_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \left| \langle \xi, \sqrt{1-r^2}\zeta + (1-\sqrt{1-r^2})\zeta'_1 \rangle \right| \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1-r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta) \\ &\leq (1-\sqrt{1-r^2})(1-r^2)^\alpha \max_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\langle \xi, \zeta'_1 \rangle| \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1-r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta) + \\ &\quad + \sqrt{1-r^2}(1-r^2)^\alpha \max_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle| \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1-r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

The first integral in (8) is easy to estimate, because $|\langle \xi, \zeta'_1 \rangle| = |\xi_1 \zeta_1| \leq |\zeta_1|$, and the equality is achieved for $\xi = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2})(1 - r^2)^\alpha \max_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\langle \xi, \zeta'_1 \rangle| \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta) = \\ = (1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2})(1 - r^2)^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \frac{|\zeta_1|(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate the second integral, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle| \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta) = \\ = \max_{|\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_2|^2 = 1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\zeta_1| \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\zeta_1\xi_1 - r\zeta_2\xi_2|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that there exists a unitary change of variable such that

$$Ue_1 = e_1, \quad U\xi = \xi',$$

where ξ' satisfies conditions

$$\langle \xi', e_1 \rangle = \langle \xi, e_1 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \xi' = \alpha e_1 + \beta e_2,$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle| \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\langle U\xi, U\zeta \rangle| \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta) \\ = \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\langle \xi', U\zeta \rangle| \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Introducing in the last integral a substitution $\zeta = U^*\eta$, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\langle \xi', U\zeta \rangle| \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\langle \zeta, e_1 \rangle|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\langle \xi', \eta \rangle| \frac{(1 - |\eta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\eta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\eta),$$

because

$$\langle U^*\eta, e_1 \rangle = \langle \eta, Ue_1 \rangle = \langle \eta, e_1 \rangle = \eta_1.$$

So, the maximum is already attained on vectors of the form $(\xi_1, \xi_2, 0, \dots, 0)$. For a given $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, 0, \dots, 0)$ on the unit sphere we can make substitution $\zeta = A^*\eta$, where $A\xi = e_1$, A is an appropriate unitary matrix.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle| \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta) &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\langle A\xi, A\zeta \rangle| \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\langle e_1, \eta \rangle| \frac{(1 - |\eta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\langle A^*\eta, e_1 \rangle|^{2\alpha}} dv(\eta) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\eta_1| \frac{(1 - |\eta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\eta_1\chi_1 - r\eta_2\chi_2|^{2\alpha}} dv(\eta),
\end{aligned}$$

where $|\chi_1|^2 + |\chi_2|^2 = 1$, which proves lemma. \square

Now, by Fubini's theorem:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\zeta_1| \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\zeta_1\xi_1 - r\zeta_2\xi_2|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta) = \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n-2}} \left(\int_{\sqrt{1-|\zeta'|^2}\mathbb{B}^2} |\zeta_1| \frac{(1 - |\zeta'|^2 - |\zeta_1|^2 - |\zeta_2|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\zeta_1\xi_1 - r\zeta_2\xi_2|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \right) dv(\zeta_3, \dots, \zeta_n),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta')$.

In the inner integral $I(\zeta', \xi_1, \xi_2, r)$ we use polar coordinates

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_1 &= \rho_1 e^{i\varphi_1} \sqrt{1 - |\zeta'|^2}, \quad \zeta_2 = \rho_2 e^{i\varphi_2} \sqrt{1 - |\zeta'|^2}, \\
D &= \{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \rho_1, \rho_2) | \rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 < 1, \rho_1, \rho_2 > 0, \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in [0, 2\pi]\},
\end{aligned}$$

and obtain

$$I(\zeta', \xi_1, \xi_2, r) = (1 - |\zeta'|^2)^{\alpha + \frac{5}{2}} \int_D \frac{(1 - \rho_1^2 - \rho_2^2)^\alpha \rho_1^2 \rho_2 d\varphi_1 d\varphi_2 d\rho_1 d\rho_2}{|1 - \sqrt{1 - |\zeta'|^2}(r\rho_1\xi_1 e^{i\varphi_1} + r\rho_2\xi_2 e^{i\varphi_2})|^{2\alpha}}.$$

Using a power series expansion

$$(1 - z)^{-\alpha} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \binom{\alpha + k - 1}{k} z^k, \quad |z| < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$$

and Parseval's identity, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\varphi_1 d\varphi_2}{|1 - \sqrt{1 - |\zeta'|^2}(r\rho_1\xi_1 e^{i\varphi_1} + r\rho_2\xi_2 e^{i\varphi_2})|^{2\alpha}} = \\
&= 4\pi^2 \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \binom{\alpha + k - 1}{k}^2 r^{2k} (1 - |\zeta'|^2)^k \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j}^2 (\rho_1 |\xi_1|)^{2j} (\rho_2 |\xi_2|)^{2k-2j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we integrate over the set $\{(\rho_1, \rho_2) | \rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 < 1, \rho_1, \rho_2 > 0\}$ and with $|\xi_1| = \cos \theta$, $|\xi_2| = \sin \theta$, for some $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, we get:

$$I(\zeta', \xi_1, \xi_2, r) = 4\pi^2(1 - |\zeta'|^2)^{\alpha + \frac{5}{2}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \binom{\alpha + k - 1}{k}^2 r^{2k} (1 - |\zeta'|^2)^k \cdot \left(\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j}^2 \cos^{2j} \theta \sin^{2k-2j} \theta \cdot \int_{\substack{\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 < 1 \\ \rho_1, \rho_2 > 0}} (1 - \rho_1^2 - \rho_2^2)^\alpha \rho_1^{2j+2} \rho_2^{2k-2j+1} d\rho_1 d\rho_2 \right) \right).$$

Change of variables $\rho_1 = \sqrt{s}$, $\rho_2 = \sqrt{t}$ combined with Fubini's theorem, and then a new substitution $t = u(1 - s)$ gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\substack{\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 < 1 \\ \rho_1, \rho_2 > 0}} (1 - \rho_1^2 - \rho_2^2)^\alpha \rho_1^{2j+2} \rho_2^{2k-2j+1} d\rho_1 d\rho_2 &= \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\substack{s+t < 1 \\ s, t > 0}} (1 - s - t)^\alpha s^{j+\frac{1}{2}} t^{k-j} ds dt \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{1-s} (1 - s - t)^\alpha t^{k-j} dt \right) s^{j+\frac{1}{2}} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 (1 - s)^{\alpha+k-j+1} (1 - u)^\alpha u^{k-j} du \right) s^{j+\frac{1}{2}} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \text{B}(\alpha + 1, k - j + 1) \text{B}(\alpha + k - j + 2, j + \frac{3}{2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(k - j + 1) \Gamma(\alpha + k - j + 2) \Gamma(j + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha + k - j + 2) \Gamma(\alpha + k + \frac{7}{2})} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + k + \frac{7}{2})} \Gamma(k - j + 1) \Gamma(j + \frac{3}{2}), \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$I(\zeta', \xi_1, \xi_2, r) = \pi^2 \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\binom{\alpha+k-1}{k}^2}{\Gamma(\alpha + k + \frac{7}{2})} r^{2k} (1 - |\zeta'|^2)^{k+\alpha+\frac{5}{2}} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j}^2 \Gamma(k - j + 1) \Gamma(j + \frac{3}{2}) \cos^{2j} \theta \sin^{2k-2j} \theta \right).$$

Let us prove that

$$a_{j,k} = \binom{k}{j} \Gamma(k - j + 1) \Gamma\left(j + \frac{3}{2}\right),$$

is, for a fixed k , increasing in j , $0 \leq j \leq k$. Indeed This is equal, respectively to:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{j,k} &= \frac{k!}{j!(k-j)!} (k-j)! \Gamma\left(j + \frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{k!}{j!} \Gamma\left(j + \frac{3}{2}\right) = \\ &= \frac{k!}{\Gamma(j+1)} \Gamma\left(j + \frac{3}{2}\right) = k! \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) B\left(j+1, \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

but

$$B\left(j+1, \frac{1}{2}\right) = \int_0^1 t^{j+2} (1-t)^{\frac{3}{2}} dt$$

decreases in j , so $a_{j,k}$ increases.

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j}^2 \Gamma(k-j+1) \Gamma\left(j + \frac{3}{2}\right) \cos^{2j} \theta \sin^{2k-2j} \theta &\leq \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \Gamma\left(k + \frac{3}{2}\right) \cos^{2j} \theta \sin^{2k-2j} \theta = \\ &= \Gamma\left(k + \frac{3}{2}\right) (\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta)^k = \Gamma\left(k + \frac{3}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and we have the equality for $\theta = 0$, or $\xi_1 = 1$, $\xi_2 = 0$.

Note that this means that in the second integral in (8) the supremum is also attained at $\xi = e_1$, which makes our estimates sharp.

From the above calculations we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} T(r)(1-r^2)^{-\alpha} &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\zeta_1| \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1-r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta) = \\ &= \pi^2 \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \binom{\alpha+k-1}{k}^2 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma\left(k + \frac{3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(k + \alpha + \frac{7}{2}\right)} r^{2k} \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n-2}} (1-|\zeta'|^2)^{k+\alpha+\frac{5}{2}} dv(\zeta'). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n-2}} (1-|\zeta'|^2)^{k+\frac{5}{2}+\alpha} dv(\zeta') &= \frac{2\pi^{n-2}}{\Gamma(n-2)} \int_0^1 (1-r^2)^{k+\frac{5}{2}+\alpha} r^{2n-5} dr = \\ &= \frac{\pi^{n-2}}{\Gamma(n-2)} B\left(k + \alpha + \frac{7}{2}, n-2\right) = \pi^{n-2} \frac{\Gamma\left(k + \alpha + \frac{7}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(k + \alpha + n + \frac{3}{2}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)c_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\zeta_1| \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1-r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta) &= \\ &= (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \binom{\alpha+k-1}{k}^2 \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(k+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(k+\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})} r^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

(Here we use the values of c_α and the last integral.) Observe that the above calculations are valid for $n \geq 2$. The case $n = 1$ is much easier and we leave details to the reader.

Now, we prove the following lemma:

Lemma 2. *The sequence*

$$a_k = \binom{\alpha+k-1}{k} \frac{\Gamma(k+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(k+\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

is monotone decreasing for $\alpha \leq 2n+3$, and for $\alpha > 2n+3$ it increases for $k \leq k_\alpha$ and decreases for $k > k_\alpha$.

Proof. For $k \geq 0$ we have

$$\frac{a_k}{a_{k+1}} = \frac{\binom{\alpha+k-1}{k} \Gamma(k+\frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(k+\alpha+n+\frac{5}{2})}{\binom{\alpha+k}{k+1} \Gamma(k+\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(k+\frac{5}{2})} = \frac{(k+1)(k+\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})}{(\alpha+k)(k+\frac{3}{2})}.$$

Now, it is easy to see that $\frac{a_k}{a_{k+1}} > 1$ iff $2k(n+1) + 2n+3 \geq \alpha$.

This holds for all $k \geq 0$ iff $\alpha \leq 2n+3$. If $\alpha > 2n+3$, then for $k = 0$, inequality does not hold, but $2k(n+1) + 2n+3$ increases as a function of k , so for some $k = k_\alpha$ it will be not smaller than α .

Thus, in case $\alpha > 2n+3$, for $k < k_\alpha$ we have $a_k < a_{k+1}$, and $a_k \geq a_{k+1}$, when $k \geq k_\alpha$. Here

$$k_\alpha = \left\lceil \frac{\alpha - (2n+3)}{2n+2} \right\rceil.$$

We can also conclude that for $\alpha > 2n+3$, the greatest term in this sequence is

$$a_{k_\alpha} = \binom{\alpha+k_\alpha-1}{k_\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(k_\alpha+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(k_\alpha+\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})}. \quad \square$$

With all these computations and lemmas, we can complete the proof of our Theorem.

Namely, if $\alpha \leq 2n+3$ then

$$\binom{\alpha+k-1}{k} \frac{\Gamma(k+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(k+\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})} \leq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(k+\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})}, \quad k \geq 0$$

and thus:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1-r^2)^\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (n+1) \binom{\alpha+k-1}{k}^2 \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(k+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(k+\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})} r^{2k} \leq \\
& \leq (n+1)(1-r^2)^\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})} \binom{\alpha+k-1}{k} r^{2k} = \\
& = (n+1) \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})} (1-r^2)^\alpha (1-r^2)^{-\alpha} = (n+1) \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})}
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$(1-r^2)^\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(k+n+\alpha+\frac{3}{2})} \binom{\alpha+k-1}{k}^2 r^{2k} \leq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+\frac{3}{2})}.$$

Both inequalities become equalities for $r = 0$.

This proves

$$S(z) \leq (n+1) \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})}, \quad \|f\|_\infty \leq 1.$$

Now, taking $z = 0$, $f(w) = \frac{|w_1|}{w_1} \in L^\infty$, for $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1-|z|^2)|\nabla_z(B_\alpha f)(z)| = |\nabla_z(B_\alpha f)(0)| = \\
& = \sup_{|\xi|=1} (n+1)c_\alpha \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \langle \bar{w} \frac{|w_1|}{w_1}, \xi \rangle (1-|w|^2)^\alpha d(w) \right| \geq \\
& \geq (n+1)c_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |w_1| (1-|w|^2)^\alpha dv(w) = (n+1) \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})},
\end{aligned}$$

where a choice $\xi = e_1$ justifies the inequality.

Thus, we have

$$\sup_{|z|<1} (1-|z|^2)|\nabla_z(B_\alpha f)(z)| = (n+1) \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})} = \frac{n+1}{2} B(n+\alpha+1, \frac{1}{2}).$$

For $\alpha > 2n+3$ we have boundedness but this formula for the norm does not hold. Namely, if we denote

$$c_k = \binom{\alpha+k-1}{k} \frac{\Gamma(k+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(k+\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})} \quad \text{and} \quad d_k = \binom{\alpha+k-1}{k} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})},$$

it is easy to see that $c_0 = d_0$, and $c_1 > d_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)(1-r^2)^\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \binom{\alpha+k-1}{k} \frac{\Gamma(k+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(k+\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})} r^{2k} &\leq \\ &\leq (n+1)\Gamma(n+\alpha+1) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})} \end{aligned}$$

is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} c_k r^{2k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} d_k r^{2k}.$$

(observe $c_0 = d_0$). But,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} c_k r^{2k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} d_k r^{2k}} = \frac{c_1}{d_1} > 1,$$

so the above inequality can not hold for small values of r .

We cannot expect, also, that it will be achieved for $r \rightarrow 1-$, because

$$\begin{aligned} T(r) &= (1-r^2)^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\zeta_1| \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1-r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\zeta_1| \left(\frac{(1-r^2)(1-|\zeta_1|^2)}{|1-r\zeta_1|^2} \right)^\alpha dv(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\zeta_1| (1-|\phi_r(\zeta_1)|^2)^\alpha dv(\zeta), \end{aligned}$$

but

$$|\zeta_1| (1-|\phi_r(\zeta_1)|^2)^\alpha \leq |\zeta_1| \in L^1(\mathbb{B}^n, dv(\zeta))$$

and, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem

$$0 \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow 1^-} T(r) \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} |\zeta_1| (1-|\phi_r(\zeta_1)|^2)^\alpha dv(\zeta) = 0$$

as $\phi_r(\zeta_1) = \frac{r-\zeta_1}{1-r\zeta_1} \rightarrow 1$ as $r \rightarrow 1-$. So, the maximum is not attained at $r = 0$ or $r = 1$.

In this case, we can estimate norm using observation in Lemma 2. Namely,

$$(n+1)c_\alpha T(r) < (n+1)\Gamma(n+\alpha+1) \binom{\alpha+k_\alpha-1}{k_\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(k_\alpha+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(k_\alpha+\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})}.$$

The inequality is strict, because, for $r = 0$ we already proved it, while for $r > 0$: $a_k < a_{k_\alpha}$ is strict for $k \neq k_\alpha$.

If $\alpha < 0$, the same choice of f as above, brings us, again, to a real function $T(r)$, $0 \leq r < 1$, but now this function is the product of the two monotone increasing functions: the first one is $(n+1)c_\alpha(1-r^2)^\alpha$

and the second one is $\int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\zeta_1| \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1-r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta)$, which is, by the earlier computations also increasing in r . Now, because the first of these is unbounded, we can conclude that B_α is not bounded for negative α .

It is straightforward to verify that the analogous sharp estimate holds for $|\nabla_{\bar{z}}(B_\alpha f)(z)|$ with extremal function $f(w) = \frac{|w_1|}{w_1} \in L^\infty$.

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 2

During this section we will use the same notation for complex and real scalar product, but it will be clear from the context which one is used. Let us note that the above estimates do not give the sharp constant in the appropriate inequality for real gradient. Instead, we use the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9) \quad |\nabla(B_\alpha f)(z)| &= \sup_{|l|=1} |\langle \nabla(B_\alpha f)(z), l \rangle| \\
 &= \sup_{|l|=1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \langle \nabla K(z, w) f(w), l \rangle dv_\alpha(w) \right| \\
 &\leq \sup_{|l|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\langle \nabla K(z, w), l \rangle| |f(w)| dv_\alpha(w) \\
 &\leq \sup_{|l|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\langle \nabla K(z, w), l \rangle| dv_\alpha(w) \|f\|_\infty
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $l \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

Also, we need certain connections with previous calculations to find the supremum from the last expression. Namely, since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} K(z, w) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} K(z, w) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k} K(z, w)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} K(z, w) = i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} K(z, w) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k} K(z, w) \right),$$

where $z_k = x_k + iy_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \nabla K(z, w), l \rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} K(z, w) l_{2k-1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} K(z, w) l_{2k} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} K(z, w) (l_{2k-1} + il_{2k}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k} K(z, w) (l_{2k-1} - il_{2k}) = \\
 &= 2Re \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} K(z, w) \bar{\xi}_k = 2Re \langle \nabla_z K(z, w), \xi \rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

for $\xi_k = l_{2k-1} - il_{2k}$, because kernel $K(z, w)$ is real valued.

Let us assume that $\|f\|_\infty = 1$. Hence, by the earlier computations, we obtained:

$$(1 - |z|^2)|\nabla(B_\alpha f)(z)| \leq 2(n+1)c_\alpha \sup_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{2n+2}} \cdot \left| \operatorname{Re} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)\langle \xi, w \rangle - (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)\langle \xi, z \rangle}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle} \right| dv_\alpha(w) =$$

$$2(n+1)c_\alpha \sup_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \left| \operatorname{Re} \left\langle \xi, \frac{\langle \zeta, z \rangle}{|z|^2} z + \sqrt{1 - |z|^2} \left(\zeta - \frac{\langle \zeta, z \rangle}{|z|^2} z \right) \right\rangle \right| \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha (1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - \langle z, \zeta \rangle|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta)$$

Again, assuming $z = (r, 0 \dots 0)$, $0 \leq r < 1$ we get:

$$(1 - |z|^2)|\nabla(B_\alpha f)(z)| \leq 2(n+1)c_\alpha (1 - r^2)^\alpha \times \max_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \left| \operatorname{Re} \langle \xi, \sqrt{1 - r^2} \zeta + (1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2}) \zeta'_1 \rangle \right| \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta).$$

Recall that $\zeta'_1 = \zeta_1 e_1$ for $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$.

Triangle inequality, as in (7), gives us:

$$(1 - |z|^2)|\nabla(B_\alpha f)(z)| \leq 2(n+1)c_\alpha \left[(1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2}) \times (1 - r^2)^\alpha \max_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \left| \operatorname{Re} \langle \xi, \zeta'_1 \rangle \right| \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta) \right. \\ \left. + (1 - r^2)^{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}} \max_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \left| \operatorname{Re} \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle \right| \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta) \right].$$

The first maximum is attained for $\xi = e_1$, while for the second we again use the similar argument from Lemma 1 to get:

$$\max_{|\xi|=1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \left| \operatorname{Re} \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle \right| \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\zeta_1|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta) = \\ = \max_{|\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_2|^2 = 1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \left| \operatorname{Re} \zeta_1 \right| \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\zeta_1 \xi_1 - r\zeta_2 \xi_2|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta).$$

Now, we estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \left| \operatorname{Re} \zeta_1 \right| \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r\zeta_1 \xi_1 - r\zeta_2 \xi_2|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta).$$

Denote $\zeta_j = \mu_{2j-1} + i\mu_{2j}$, $\xi_j = \nu_{2j-1} + i\nu_{2j}$. Then

$$\zeta_1 \xi_1 + \zeta_2 \xi_2 = \mu_1 \nu_1 - \mu_2 \nu_2 + \mu_3 \nu_3 - \mu_4 \nu_4 + i(\mu_1 \nu_2 + \mu_2 \nu_1 + \mu_3 \nu_4 + \mu_4 \nu_3)$$

and so:

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |Re \zeta_1| \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r \zeta_1 \xi_1 - r \zeta_2 \xi_2|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta) \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_{2n}} |\mu_1| \frac{(1 - |\mu|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r(\mu_1 \nu_1 - \mu_2 \nu_2 + \mu_3 \nu_3 - \mu_4 \nu_4)|^{2\alpha}} dv(\mu).$$

Here $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{2n})$ and $dv(\mu)$ is $2n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure. We have used the inequality $|z| \geq |Re z|$.

In the last integral we can introduce variables which rotate $(-\nu_2, \nu_3, -\nu_4)$ to $\sqrt{\nu_2^2 + \nu_3^2 + \nu_4^2}(1, 0, 0)$ and hence we have:

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |Re \zeta_1| \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r \zeta_1 \xi_1 - r \zeta_2 \xi_2|^{2\alpha}} dv(\zeta) \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_{2n}} |\mu_1| \frac{(1 - |\mu|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - r \mu_1 \nu_1 - r \mu_2 \nu_2|^{2\alpha}} dv(\mu).$$

where $\nu_1^2 + \nu_2^2 = 1, \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Using series expansion for $(1 - r \mu_1 \nu_1 - r \mu_2 \nu_2)^{-2\alpha}$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{B}_{2n}} |\mu_1| \frac{(1 - |\mu|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - r \mu_1 \nu_1 - r \mu_2 \nu_2)^{2\alpha}} dv(\mu) = \\ & \int_{\mathbb{B}_{2n}} |\mu_1| (1 - |\mu|^2)^\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \binom{k + 2\alpha - 1}{k} r^k \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \mu_1^{k-j} \nu_1^{k-j} \mu_2^j \nu_2^j dv(\mu) = \\ & \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \binom{k + 2\alpha - 1}{k} r^k \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \nu_1^{k-j} \nu_2^j \int_{\mathbb{B}_{2n}} |\mu_1| (1 - |\mu|^2)^\alpha \mu_1^{k-j} \mu_2^j dv(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that for j or $k - j$ odd, the integral over \mathbb{B}_{2n} is zero, so the last sum is equal to:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \binom{2k + 2\alpha - 1}{2k} r^{2k} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{2k}{2j} \nu_1^{2k-2j} \nu_2^{2j} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{2n}} |\mu_1| (1 - |\mu|^2)^\alpha \mu_1^{2k-2j} \mu_2^{2j} dv(\mu).$$

By Fubini's theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{B}_{2n}} |\mu_1|^{2k-2j+1} \mu_2^{2j} (1 - |\mu|^2)^\alpha dv(\mu) = \\ & \int_{\mathbb{B}_2} |\mu_1|^{2k-2j+1} \mu_2^{2j} \int_{\sqrt{1-\mu_1^2-\mu_2^2} \mathbb{B}_{2n-2}} (1 - \mu_1^2 - \mu_2^2 - \mu'^2)^\alpha dv(\mu') dv(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{B}_{2n-2}} (1 - \tau^2)^\alpha dv(\tau) \int_{\mathbb{B}_2} |\mu_1|^{2k-2j+1} \mu_2^{2j} (1 - \mu_1^2 - \mu_2^2)^{\alpha+n-1} d\mu_1 d\mu_2 = \\
& \frac{\pi^{n-1} \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + n)} \int_{u+v \leq 1, u, v \geq 0} u^{k-j} v^{j-\frac{1}{2}} (1 - u - v)^{\alpha+n-1} du dv = \\
& \frac{\pi^{n-1} \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + n)} B(k - j + 1, \alpha + n) B(\alpha + n + k - j + 1, j + \frac{1}{2}) = \\
& \frac{\pi^{n-1} \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + n)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n)}{\Gamma(\alpha + n + k + \frac{3}{2})} \Gamma(k - j + 1) \Gamma(j + \frac{1}{2}) = \\
& \frac{\pi^{n-1} \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + n + k + \frac{3}{2})} \Gamma(k - j + 1) \Gamma(j + \frac{1}{2}) =
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used change of variables and then calculations of some integrals and very familiar relation between Gamma and Beta functions.

Now, we estimate the double sum:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \binom{2k + 2\alpha - 1}{2k} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + n + k + \frac{3}{2})} r^{2k} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{2k}{2j} \Gamma(k - j + 1) \Gamma(j + \frac{1}{2}) \nu_1^{2k-2j} \nu_2^{2j}.$$

Let us note that sequence $b_{j,k} = \frac{\binom{2k}{2j}}{\binom{k}{j}} \Gamma(k - j + 1) \Gamma(j + \frac{1}{2})$ is decreasing in j for fixed k . Indeed, from

$$b_{j,k} = \frac{\binom{2k}{2j}}{\binom{k}{j}} \Gamma(k - j + 1) \Gamma(j + \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{(2k)! j! (k - j)!^2}{(2j)! (2k - 2j)! k!} \Gamma(j + \frac{1}{2})$$

we get

$$\frac{b_{j+1,k}}{b_{j,k}} = \frac{(j + 1)! (k - j - 1)!^2 \Gamma(j + \frac{3}{2}) (2j)! (2k - 2j)!}{(2j + 2)! (2k - 2j - 2)! j! (k - j)!^2 \Gamma(j + \frac{1}{2})} = \frac{2k - 2j - 1}{2k - 2j} < 1$$

for $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$.

Hence we obtain the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \binom{2k + 2\alpha - 1}{2k} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + n + k + \frac{3}{2})} r^{2k} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{2k}{2j} \Gamma(k - j + 1) \Gamma(j + \frac{1}{2}) \nu_1^{2k-2j} \nu_2^{2j} \leq \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \binom{2k + 2\alpha - 1}{2k} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + n + k + \frac{3}{2})} r^{2k} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} k! \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \nu_1^{2k-2j} \nu_2^{2j} = \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \binom{2k + 2\alpha - 1}{2k} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + n + k + \frac{3}{2})} k! \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) r^{2k} (\nu_1^2 + \nu_2^2)^k =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \binom{2k+2\alpha-1}{2k} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+n+k+\frac{3}{2})} k! \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) r^{2k}$$

It remains, now, to find the supremum of this function on $r \in [0, 1]$. From the inequality (10) and estimates of the double sum, it is clear that this estimate would be sharp only if the supremum is achieved for $r = 0$. We will find the relation between n and α for which it holds, and give an estimate for the other case. We need the following:

Lemma 3. *The sequence*

$$b_k = \binom{2\alpha+2k-1}{2k} \binom{\alpha+k-1}{k}^{-1} \frac{k!}{\Gamma(k+\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

is monotone decreasing in k iff $\alpha \leq n + \frac{1}{2}$, and for $\alpha > n + \frac{1}{2}$ it increases for $k \leq k'_\alpha$ and decreases for $k > k'_\alpha$.

Proof. For $b_k = \frac{k! \binom{2k+2\alpha-1}{2k}}{\Gamma(k+n+\alpha+\frac{3}{2}) \binom{k+\alpha-1}{k}}$ we easily calculate

$$\frac{b_{k+1}}{b_k} = \frac{(2k+2\alpha+1)(k+1)}{(2k+1)(k+n+\alpha+\frac{3}{2})},$$

which is ≤ 1 iff $\alpha \leq n + \frac{1}{2} + k(2n+1)$. This holds for all $k \geq 0$, iff $\alpha \leq n + \frac{1}{2}$. In case $\alpha > n + \frac{1}{2}$, the sequence b_k increases in k , for $k \leq k'_\alpha$ and decreases for $k \geq k'_\alpha$, where

$$k'_\alpha = \left\lceil \frac{\alpha}{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil$$

So, for $\alpha \leq n + \frac{1}{2}$ the sequence is decreasing and $b_k \leq b_0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, while for $\alpha > n + \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$b_k \leq b_{k'_\alpha} = \binom{2k'_\alpha+2\alpha-1}{2k'_\alpha} \binom{k'_\alpha+\alpha-1}{k'_\alpha}^{-1} \frac{(k'_\alpha)!}{\Gamma(k'_\alpha+\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})}.$$

□

As a consequence of the previous Lemma, we conclude:

For $\alpha \leq n + \frac{1}{2}$ all the above estimates is sharp and we have

$$(1 - |z|^2) |\nabla(B_\alpha f)(z)| \leq 2(n+1)c_\alpha \pi^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha+n+\frac{3}{2})} \|f\|_\infty =$$

$$\frac{2}{\pi} (n+1) B(\alpha+n+1, \frac{1}{2}) \|f\|_\infty.$$

For $\alpha > n + \frac{1}{2}$ we have the estimate:

$$(1 - |z|^2) |\nabla(B_\alpha f)(z)| < \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}(n+1) \binom{2k'_\alpha + 2\alpha - 1}{2k'_\alpha} \binom{k'_\alpha + \alpha - 1}{k'_\alpha}^{-1} \frac{(k'_\alpha)! \Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}{\Gamma(k'_\alpha + \alpha + n + \frac{3}{2})} \|f\|_\infty.$$

It is important to say that choosing $z = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $r = 0$ we achieve the equality in the first estimate for $f(z) = \frac{|Re z_1|}{Re z_1}$. Also, it is clear that we have

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank prof. dr Miloš Arsenović, for his useful comments and helpful discussions and dr Marijan Marković for the suggestion of the problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Berezin F.: Covariant and contravariant symbols of operators, Math. USSR-Izv. 6 , 1117-1151 (1972)
- [2] Dostanić M.: Norm of Berezin transform on L^p space, J. Anal. Math. 104 , 13-23 (2008)
- [3] Kalaj D., Markovic M.: Norm of the Bergman projection, Mathematica Scandinavica vol. 115, no. 1, 143–160 (2014)
- [4] Marković M.: On the Forelli-Rudin Projection Theorem, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory, Volume 81, Issue 3, 409-425(2015)
- [5] Marković M.: Solution to the Khavinson Problem Near the Boundary of the Unit Ball, Constructive Approximation, Vol. 45, no. 2, 243-271(2017)
- [6] Perala A.: On the optimal constant of the Bergman projection on the Bloch space, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fen.37,245-249(2012)
- [7] Melentijević P.: Norm of the Bergman projection onto the Bloch space with \mathcal{M} -invariant gradient, arXiv:1711.08719
- [8] Rudin W.: Function Theory in the Unit Ball of \mathbb{C}^n , Springer, New York (1980)
- [9] Timoney R.M.: Bloch functions in several complex variables I, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 12, 241-267 (1980)
- [10] Timoney R.M.: Bloch functions in several complex variables II, J. Reine Angew. Math 319, 1-22 (1980)
- [11] Zhu K.: Operator Theory in Function Spaces, Marcel Dekker inc., New York (1990)

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS
 UNIVERSITY OF BELGRADE
 STUDENTSKI TRG 16
 11000 BEOGRAD, SERBIA
E-mail address: petarmel@matf.bg.ac.rs