

On the distribution of the number of internal equilibria in random evolutionary games

Manh Hong Duong¹, Hoang Minh Tran^{2,3}, and The Anh Han⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK.

²Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843. Email: tran@tamu.edu

³School of Applied Mathematics and Informatics, Hanoi University of Science & Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam

⁴School of Computing, Media and Art, Teeside University, TS1 3BX, UK. Email: T.Han@tees.ac.uk

October 27, 2021

Abstract

In this paper, we study the distribution of the number of internal equilibria of a multi-player two-strategy random evolutionary game. Using techniques from the random polynomial theory, we obtain a closed formula for the probability that the game has a certain number of internal equilibria. In addition, by employing Descartes' rule of signs and combinatorial methods, we provide useful estimates for this probability. Finally, we also compare our analytical results with those obtained from samplings.

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation

Evolutionary Game Theory (EGT) [MSP73] has become one of the most diverse and far reaching theories in biology finding applications in a plethora of disciplines such as ecology, population genetics, social sciences, economics and computer science [MS82, Axe84, HS98, Now06, BR13, PS10, San10, HPL17]. For example, in economics, EGT has been employed to make predictions in situations where traditional assumptions about agents' rationality and knowledge may not be justified [Fri98, San10]. In computer science, EGT has been used extensively to model dynamics and emergent behaviour in multiagent systems [TP07, Han13]. Furthermore, EGT has provided explanations for the basic of cooperative behaviours which is one of the most well-studied and challenging interdisciplinary problems in science [Pen05, HS98, Now06]. Of particular importance subclass in EGT is random evolutionary games in which the payoff entries are random variables. They are useful to model social and biological systems in which very limited information is available, or where the environment changes so rapidly and frequently that one cannot predict the payoffs of their inhabitants [May01, FH92, HTG12, GRLD09]. In addition, as argued in [GF13], even when random games are not directly representative for real world scenarios, they are valuable as a null hypothesis that can be used to sharpen our understanding of what makes real games special.

Similar to the foundational concept of Nash equilibrium in classical game theory [Nas50], the analysis of equilibrium points is of great importance in evolutionary game theory providing essential implications for understanding of complexity in a dynamical system, such as its behavioural,

cultural or biological diversity [BCV97, Bro03, GT10, HTG12, GT14, DH15, DH16, BR16]. There are a considerable number of papers in the literature that study the number of equilibria, their stability and attainability in concrete strategic scenarios such as public goods games, see for example [BCV97, Bro00, PSSH09, SPS09, SCP15]. However, despite its importance, equilibrium properties in random games is far less understood with, to the best of our knowledge, only a few recent efforts [GT10, HTG12, GF13, GT14, DH15, DH16, BR16]. One of the most challenging problems in the study of equilibrium properties in random games is to characterise the distribution of the number of equilibria [GT10, HTG12]

Can one compute the distribution of the number of (internal) equilibria in a d -player two-strategy random evolutionary game?

In this paper, we address this question by providing closed formulas for the probability p_m ($0 \leq m \leq d-1$) that a d -player two strategy game has m internal equilibria.

Using the replicator dynamics approach, to find an internal equilibrium in a d -player two-strategy game, one needs to solve the following polynomial equation for $y > 0$ (see Equation (5) and its derivation in Section 2),

$$P(y) := \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \beta_k \binom{d-1}{k} y^k = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $\beta_k = a_k - b_k$, with a_k and b_k being random variables representing the entries of the game payoff matrix. In [GT10, HTG12, GT14], the authors provide both numerical and analytical results for games with a small number of players ($d \leq 4$), focusing on the probability of attaining a maximal number of equilibrium points. These works use a direct approach by solving Equation (1), expressing the positivity of its zeros as domains of conditions for the coefficients and then integrating over these domains to obtain the corresponding probabilities. However, in general, a polynomial of degree five or higher is not analytically solvable [Abe24]. Therefore, it is impossible to extend the direct approach to the case of large number of players. In recent works [DH15, DH16, DTH17a] we have developed links between random evolutionary games and random polynomial theory [EK95] as well as the classical polynomial theory (particularly Legendre polynomials) employing techniques from the latter to study *the expected number*, $E = \sum_{m=0}^{d-1} m p_m$, of internal equilibria. More specifically, we provided closed formulas for E , characterized its asymptotic limits as d tends to infinity and investigated the effect of correlation in the case of correlated payoff entries. The derivation of the individual probabilities p_m ($0 \leq m \leq d-1$) is harder than that of the expectation; however, it will provide more insights into the understanding of the equilibrium properties of the game such as the probabilities of having no/unique/maximal number of internal equilibria. In this paper we explore deeper links between random polynomial theory and random evolutionary game theory.

1.2 Summary of main results

We now summarize our main results. Detailed statements and proofs will be given in the sequel sections. The first main result of the paper is explicit formulas for the distribution of the number of internal equilibria.

Theorem 1.1 (The distribution of the number of internal equilibria in a d -player two-strategy random evolutionary game). *Suppose that the coefficients $\{\beta_k\}$ in (1) are either normally distributed or uniformly distributed or being the difference of uniformly distributed random variables. The probability that a d -player two-strategy random evolutionary game has m , $0 \leq m \leq d-1$, internal equilibria, is given by*

$$p_m = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-1-m}{2} \rfloor} p_{m,2k,d-1-m-2k}, \quad (2)$$

where $p_{m,2k,d-1-m-2k}$ are given in (19)-(20)-(21) respectively.

In Section 3, we will derive Theorem 1.1 from a more general theorem, Theorem 3.1, where we provide explicit formulas for the probability $p_{m,2k,n-m-2k}$ that a random polynomial of degree n has m ($0 \leq m \leq n$) positive, $2k$ ($0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n-m}{2} \rfloor$) complex and $n - 2m - 2k$ negative roots. We expect that Theorem 3.1 is also useful in a more general context in the random polynomial theory.

Theorem 1.1 is theoretically interesting; however, to obtain p_m one needs to calculate all the probabilities $p_{m,2k,d-1-2k}$, $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n-m}{2} \rfloor$, which are complex multiple integrals. In Section 5 we do compute all the probabilities p_m , $0 \leq m \leq d-1$, for d is up to 5 and compare the result with the sampling method. When d is larger it becomes computationally expensive to compute these probabilities using formula (2). Our second main result offers simpler explicit estimates of p_m in terms of d and m . It turns out that the symmetry of the coefficients β_k plays a significant role. We consider two cases

$$\text{Case 1 : } \mathbf{P}(\beta_k > 0) = \mathbf{P}(\beta_k < 0) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and Case 2 : } \mathbf{P}(\beta_k > 0) = \mathbf{P}(\beta_k < 0) = \alpha$$

for all $k = 0, \dots, d-1$ and for some $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Case 1 is obviously a particular instance of Case 2 when $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$; however, due to its special symmetric property, we will provide a much more simpler treatment for Case 1 than the general one.

Theorem 1.2. *We have the following estimates for p_m*

$$p_m \leq \sum_{\substack{k \geq m \\ k-m \text{ even}}} p_{k,d-1}, \quad (3)$$

where $p_{k,d-1} = \frac{1}{2^{d-1}} \binom{d-1}{k}$ if $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, in this case the sum on the right hand side of (3) can be computed explicitly in terms of m and d , and can be computed explicitly according to Theorem 4.10 for the general case. Estimate (3) has several interesting consequences such as explicit bounds for p_{d-2} and p_{d-1} as well as the following assertions

- 1) For $d = 2$: $p_0 = \alpha^2 + (1 - \alpha)^2$ and $p_1 = 2\alpha(1 - \alpha)$;
- 2) For $d = 3$: $p_1 = 2\alpha(1 - \alpha)$.

We will derive Theorem 1.2 in Section 4 from Descartes' rule of signs applied to random polynomials and combinatorial techniques. Our technique can be used to obtain estimates for the probability that a random polynomial has a certain number of positive roots which is an interesting problem on its own right.

1.3 Organisation of the paper

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we summarize the replicator dynamics for multi-player two-strategy games. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1 on the probability distribution. The proof of Theorem 1.2 will be given in Section 4. In Section 5 we show some numerical simulations to demonstrate analytical results. Finally, further discussions are given in Section 6.

2 Replicator dynamics

A fundamental model of evolutionary game theory is replicator dynamics [TJ78, Zee80, HS98, SS83, Now06], describing that whenever a strategy has a fitness larger than the average fitness

of the population, it is expected to spread. For the sake of completeness, below we derive the replicator dynamics for multi-player two-strategy games.

Consider an infinitely large population with two strategies, A and B. Let x , $0 \leq x \leq 1$, be the frequency of strategy A. The frequency of strategy B is thus $(1 - x)$. The interaction of the individuals in the population is in randomly selected groups of d participants, that is, they play and obtain their fitness from d -player games. The game is defined through a $(d - 1)$ -dimensional payoff matrix [GT10], as follows. Let a_k (resp., b_k) be the payoff of an A-strategist (resp., B) in a group containing k A strategists (i.e. $d - k$ B strategists). In this paper, we consider symmetric games where the payoffs do not depend on the ordering of the players. Asymmetric games will be studied in our forthcoming paper [DTH17b]. In the symmetric case, the probability that an A strategist interacts with k other A strategists in a group of size d is

$$\binom{d-1}{k} x^k (1-x)^{d-1-k}. \quad (4)$$

Thus, the average payoffs of A and B are, respectively

$$\pi_A = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} a_k \binom{d-1}{k} x^k (1-x)^{d-1-k}, \quad \pi_B = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} b_k \binom{d-1}{k} x^k (1-x)^{d-1-k}.$$

The replicator equation of a d -player two-strategy game is given by [HS98, Sig10, GT10]

$$\dot{x} = x(1-x)(\pi_A - \pi_B).$$

Since $x = 0$ and $x = 1$ are two trivial equilibrium points, we focus only on internal ones, i.e. $0 < x < 1$. They satisfy the condition that the fitnesses of both strategies are the same $\pi_A = \pi_B$, which gives rise to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \beta_k \binom{d-1}{k} x^k (1-x)^{d-1-k} = 0,$$

where $\beta_k = a_k - b_k$. Using the transformation $y = \frac{x}{1-x}$, with $0 < y < +\infty$, dividing the left hand side of the above equation by $(1-x)^{d-1}$ we obtain the following polynomial equation for y

$$P(y) := \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \beta_k \binom{d-1}{k} y^k = 0. \quad (5)$$

Note that this equation can also be derived from the definition of an evolutionary stable strategy, see e.g., [BCV97]. As in [GT10, DH15, DH16], we are interested in random games where a_k and b_k (thus β_k), for $0 \leq k \leq d - 1$, are random variables.

In Section 3 where we provide estimates for the number of internal equilibria in a d -player two-strategy game, we will use the information on the symmetry of β_k . The following lemma gives a necessary condition to determine when the difference of two random variables is symmetrically distributed.

Lemma 2.1. [DTH17a, Lemma 3.5] *Let X and Y be two exchangeable random variables, i.e. their joint probability distribution $f_{X,Y}(x,y)$ is symmetric, $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_{X,Y}(y,x)$. Then $Z = X - Y$ is symmetrically distributed about 0, i.e., its probability distribution satisfies $f_Z(z) = f_Z(-z)$. In addition, if X and Y are iid then they are exchangeable.*

3 The distribution of the number of positive zeros of random polynomial and applications to EGT

In this section, we are interested in finding the distribution of the number of internal equilibria of a d -player two-strategy random evolutionary game. We recall that an internal equilibria is a

real and positive zero of the polynomial $P(y)$ in (5)

$$P(y) := \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \beta_k \binom{d-1}{k} y^k = 0. \quad (6)$$

Denote by κ the number of positive zeros of this polynomial. For a given m , $0 \leq m \leq d-1$, we need to compute the probability p_m that $\kappa = m$. To this end, we first adapt a recent method introduced in [Zap06] (see also [BZ17, GKZ17] for its applications to other problems) to establish a formula to compute the probability that a general random polynomial has a given number of real and positive zeros. Then we apply the general theory to the polynomial (6) above.

3.1 The distribution of the number of positive zeros of a random polynomials

Consider a general random polynomial

$$\mathbf{P}(t) = \xi_0 t^n + \xi_1 t^{n-1} + \dots + \xi_{n-1} t + \xi_n. \quad (7)$$

We use the following notations for the elementary symmetric polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0(y_1, \dots, y_n) &= 1, \\ \sigma_1(y_1, \dots, y_n) &= y_1 + \dots + y_n, \\ \sigma_2(y_1, \dots, y_n) &= y_1 y_2 + \dots + y_{n-1} y_n \\ &\vdots \\ \sigma_{n-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n) &= y_1 y_2 \dots y_{n-1} + \dots + y_2 y_3 \dots y_n, \\ \sigma_n(y_1, \dots, y_n) &= y_1 \dots y_n; \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and denote

$$\Delta(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |y_i - y_j|. \quad (9)$$

the Vandermonde determinant.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that the random variables $\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ have a joint density $p(a_0, \dots, a_n)$. Let $0 \leq m \leq d-1$ and $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n-m}{2} \rfloor$. The probability $p_{m,2k,n-m-2k}$ that \mathbf{P} has m positive, $2k$ complex and $n-m-2k$ negative zeros is given by*

$$p_{m,2k,n-m-2k} = \frac{2^k}{m!k!(n-m-2k)!} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^m} \int_{\mathbf{R}_-^{n-m-2k}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^k} \int_{[0,\pi]^k} \int_{\mathbf{R}} r_1 \dots r_k p(a\sigma_0, \dots, a\sigma_n) |a^n \Delta| da d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_k dr_1 \dots dr_k dx_1 \dots dx_{n-2k}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\sigma_j = \sigma_j(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2k}, r_1 e^{i\alpha_1}, r_1 e^{-i\alpha_1}, \dots, r_k e^{i\alpha_k}, r_k e^{-i\alpha_k}), \quad (11)$$

$$\Delta = \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2k}, r_1 e^{i\alpha_1}, r_1 e^{-i\alpha_1}, \dots, r_k e^{i\alpha_k}, r_k e^{-i\alpha_k}). \quad (12)$$

As consequences,

(1) The probability that \mathbf{P} has m positive zeros is

$$p_m = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-m}{2} \rfloor} p_{m,2k,n-m-2k}. \quad (13)$$

(2) In particular, the probability that \mathbf{P} has the maximal number of positive zeros is

$$p_n = \frac{2^k}{k!(n-2k)!} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^m} \int_{\mathbf{R}} p(a\sigma_0, \dots, a\sigma_n) |a^n \Delta| da dx_1 \dots dx_n, \quad (14)$$

where

$$\sigma_j = \sigma_j(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad \Delta = \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Proof. The reference [Zap06, Theorem 1] provides a formula to compute the probability that the polynomial \mathbf{P} has $n - 2k$ real and $2k$ complex roots. In the present paper, we need to distinguish between positive and negative real zeros. We now sketch and adapt the proof of [Zap06, Theorem 1] to obtain the formula (10) for the probability that the polynomial \mathbf{P} has m positive, $2k$ complex and $n - m - 2k$ negative roots. Consider a $(n + 1)$ -dimensional vector space \mathbf{V} of polynomials of the form

$$Q(t) = a_0 t^n + a_1 t^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} t + a_n$$

and a measure μ on this space defined as the integral of the differential form

$$dQ = p(a_0, \dots, a_n) da_0 \wedge \dots \wedge da_n. \quad (15)$$

Our goal is to find $\mu(V_{m,2k})$ where $V_{m,2k}$ is the set of polynomials having m positive, $2k$ complex and $n - m - 2k$ negative roots. Let $Q \in V_{m,2k}$. Denote all zeros of Q as

$$z_1 = x_1, \dots, z_{n-2k} = x_{n-2k}, \quad z_{n-2k+1} = r_1 e^{i\alpha_1}, \quad z_{n-2k+2} = r_1 e^{-i\alpha_1}, \dots, z_{n-1} = r_k e^{i\alpha_k}, \quad z_n = r_k e^{-i\alpha_k},$$

where

$$0 < x_1, \dots, x_m < \infty; \quad -\infty < x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{n-2k} < 0; \quad 0 < r_1, \dots, r_k < \infty; \quad 0 < \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k < \pi.$$

To find $\mu(V_{m,2k})$ we need to integrate the differential form (15) over the set $V_{m,2k}$. The key idea in the proof of [Zap06, Theorem 1] is to make a change of coordinates $(a_0, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (a_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-2k}, r_1, \dots, r_k, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ and find dQ in the new coordinates. The derivation of the following formula is carried out in detail in [Zap06, Theorem 1]:

$$\begin{aligned} dQ = & 2^k r_1 \dots r_k p(a_0, a_0 \sigma_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2k}, r_1 e^{i\alpha_1}, r_1 e^{-i\alpha_1}, \dots, r_k e^{i\alpha_k}, r_k e^{-i\alpha_k}), \dots \\ & a_0 \sigma_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2k}, r_1 e^{i\alpha_1}, r_1 e^{-i\alpha_1}, \dots, r_k e^{i\alpha_k}, r_k e^{-i\alpha_k})) \\ & \times |a_0^n \Delta((x_1, \dots, x_{n-2k}, r_1 e^{i\alpha_1}, r_1 e^{-i\alpha_1}, \dots, r_k e^{i\alpha_k}, r_k e^{-i\alpha_k}))| \\ & \times dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{n-2k} \wedge dr_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dr_k \wedge d\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha_k \wedge da_0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Now we integrate this equation over all polynomials Q that have m positive zeros, $n - m - 2k$ negative zeros and k complex zeros in the upper half-plane. Since there are $m!$ permutations of the positive zeros, $(n - m - 2k)!$ permutations of the negative zeros, and $k!$ permutations of the complex zeros, after integrating each polynomial in the left-hand side will occur $m!k!(n - m - 2k)!$ times. Hence the integral of the left-hand side is equal to $m!k!(n - m - 2k)! p_{m,2k,n-m-2k}$. The integral on the right-hand side equals

$$2^k \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^m} \int_{\mathbf{R}_-^{n-m-2k}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^k} \int_{[0,\pi]^k} \int_{\mathbf{R}} r_1 \dots r_k p(a\sigma_0, \dots, a\sigma_n) |a^n \Delta| da d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_k dr_1 \dots dr_k dx_1 \dots dx_{n-2k}, \quad (17)$$

hence the assertion (10) follows. \square

3.2 The distribution of the number of internal equilibria

Next we apply Theorem 3.1 to compute the probability that a random evolutionary game has m , $0 \leq m \leq d-1$, internal equilibria. We derive formulas for the most three common cases [HTG12]:

- C1) $\{\beta_j, 0 \leq j \leq d-1\}$ are i.i.d. standard normally distributed,
 C2) $\{\beta_j\}$ are i.i.d uniformly distributed with the common distribution $f_j(x) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]}(x)$,
 C3) $\{a_k\}$ and $\{b_k\}$ are i.i.d uniformly distributed with the common distribution $f_j(x) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]}(x)$.

The main result of this section is the following theorem (cf. Theorem 1.2).

Theorem 3.2. *The probability that a d -player two-strategy random evolutionary game has m ($0 \leq m \leq d-1$) internal equilibria is*

$$p_m = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-1-m}{2} \rfloor} p_{m,2k,d-1-m-2k}, \quad (18)$$

where $p_{m,2k,d-1-m-2k}$ is given below for each of the case above:

- for the case C1)

$$\begin{aligned} & p_{m,2k,d-1-m-2k} \\ &= \frac{2^k}{m!k!(d-1-m-2k)!} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{(\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \delta_i} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^m} \int_{\mathbf{R}_-^{d-1-2k-m}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^k} \int_{[0,\pi]^k} r_1 \dots r_k \left(\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \frac{\sigma_i^2}{\delta_i^2} \right)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \Delta \\ & \quad d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_k dr_1 \dots dr_k dx_1 \dots dx_{d-1-2k} \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

where σ_i , for $i = 0, \dots, d-1$, and Δ are given in (11)-(12) and $\delta_i = \binom{d-1}{i}$.

-for the case C2)

$$\begin{aligned} p_{m,2k,d-1-m-2k} &= \frac{2^{k+1-d}}{d m!k!(d-1-m-2k)! \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \delta_i} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^m} \int_{\mathbf{R}_-^{d-1-2k-m}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^k} \int_{[0,\pi]^k} r_1 \dots r_k \left(\min \{|\delta_i/\sigma_i|\} \right)^d \Delta \\ & \quad d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_k dr_1 \dots dr_k dx_1 \dots dx_{d-1-2k}. \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

-for the case C3)

$$\begin{aligned} p_{m,2k,d-1-m-2k} &= \frac{2^{k+1}(-1)^d}{m!k!(d-1-m-2k)! \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \delta_j^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^m} \int_{\mathbf{R}_-^{d-1-2k-m}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^k} \int_{[0,\pi]^k} \\ & r_1 \dots r_k \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} |\sigma_j| \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i \frac{K_i}{2^{d-i}} \left(\min \{|\delta_i/\sigma_i|\} \right)^{2d-i} \Delta d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_k dr_1 \dots dr_k dx_1 \dots dx_{d-1-2k}. \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the probability that a d -player two-strategy random evolutionary game has the maximal number of internal equilibria is

1) for the case C1)

$$p_{d-1} = \frac{1}{(d-1)!} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{(\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \delta_i} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{d-1}} q(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{d-1}) dx_1 \dots dx_{d-1}, \quad (22)$$

2) for the case C2)

$$p_{d-1} = \frac{2^{1-d}}{d! \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \delta_i} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{d-1}} \left(\min \{|\delta_i/\sigma_i|\} \right)^d \Delta dx_1 \dots dx_{d-1}, \quad (23)$$

3) for the case C3)

$$p_{d-1} = \frac{2(-1)^d}{(d-1)! \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \delta_j^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{d-1}} \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} |\sigma_j| \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i \frac{K_i}{2d-i} \left(\min \{|\delta_i/\sigma_i|\} \right)^{2d-i} \Delta dx_1 \dots dx_{d-1}. \quad (24)$$

Note that in formulas (22)-(24) above, $\sigma_j = \sigma_j(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})$, $\Delta = \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})$.

Proof. 1) Since $\{\beta_j, 0 \leq j \leq d-1\}$ are i.i.d. standard normally distributed and for $i \neq j$ the joint distribution $p(y_0, \dots, y_{d-1})$ of $\left\{ \binom{d-1}{j} \beta_j, 0 \leq j \leq d-1 \right\}$ is given by

$$p(y_0, \dots, y_{d-1}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{i}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \frac{y_i^2}{\binom{d-1}{i}} \right] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\mathcal{C}|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{y}^T \mathcal{C}^{-1} \mathbf{y} \right],$$

where $\mathbf{y} = [y_0 \ y_1 \ \dots \ y_{d-1}]^T$ and \mathcal{C} is the covariance matrix

$$\mathcal{C}_{ij} = \binom{d-1}{i} \binom{d-1}{j} \delta_{ij}.$$

Therefore,

$$p(a\sigma_0, \dots, a\sigma_{d-1}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\mathcal{C}|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left(-\frac{a^2}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^T \mathcal{C}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) \quad \text{where} \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} = [\sigma_0 \ \sigma_1 \ \dots \ \sigma_{d-1}]^T. \quad (25)$$

Using the following formula for moments of a normal distribution,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} |x|^n \exp(-\alpha x^2) dx = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\alpha^{\frac{n+1}{2}}},$$

we compute

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} |a|^{d-1} \exp \left(-\frac{a^2}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^T \mathcal{C}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) da = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}^T \mathcal{C}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{2}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}} = \frac{2^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^T \mathcal{C}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma})^{\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

Applying Theorem 3.1 to the polynomial P given in (1) and using the above identity we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p_{m,2k,d-1-m-2k} &= \frac{2^k}{m!k!(d-1-m-2k)!} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^m} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{d-1-2k-m}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^k} \int_{[0,\pi]^k} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \\ &\quad r_1 \dots r_k p(a\sigma_0, \dots, a\sigma_{d-1}) |a|^{d-1} \Delta da d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_k dr_1 \dots dr_k dx_1 \dots dx_{d-1-2k} \\ &= \frac{2^k}{m!k!(d-1-m-2k)!} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\mathcal{C}|^{\frac{1}{2}}} 2^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right) \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^m} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{d-1-2k-m}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^k} \int_{[0,\pi]^k} \\ &\quad r_1 \dots r_k (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^T \mathcal{C}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \Delta d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_k dr_1 \dots dr_k dx_1 \dots dx_{d-1-2k} \\ &= \frac{2^k}{m!k!(d-1-m-2k)!} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{(\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\mathcal{C}|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^m} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{d-1-2k-m}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^k} \int_{[0,\pi]^k} \\ &\quad r_1 \dots r_k (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^T \mathcal{C}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \Delta d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_k dr_1 \dots dr_k dx_1 \dots dx_{d-1-2k}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired equality (19) by definition of \mathcal{C} and q .

2) Now since $\{\beta_j\}$ are i.i.d uniformly distributed with the common distribution $f_j(x) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]}(x)$, the joint distribution $p(y_0, \dots, y_{d-1})$ of $\left\{ \binom{d-1}{j} \beta_j, 0 \leq j \leq d-1 \right\}$ is given by

$$p(y_0, \dots, y_{d-1}) = \frac{1}{2^d \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \delta_i} \mathbb{1}_{\times_{i=0}^{d-1} [-\delta_i, \delta_i]}(y_0, \dots, y_{d-1}) \quad \text{where } \delta_i = \binom{d-1}{i}.$$

Therefore,

$$p(a\sigma_0, \dots, a\sigma_{d-1}) = \frac{1}{2^d \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \delta_i} \mathbb{1}_{\times_{i=0}^{d-1} [-\delta_i, \delta_i]}(a\sigma_0, \dots, a\sigma_{d-1}).$$

Since $\mathbb{1}_{\times_{i=0}^{d-1} [-\delta_i, \delta_i]}(a\sigma_0, \dots, a\sigma_{d-1}) = 1$ if and only if $a\sigma_i \in [-\delta_i, \delta_i]$ for all $i = 0, \dots, d-1$; i.e.,

$$a \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{d-1} [-|\delta_i/\sigma_i|, |\delta_i/\sigma_i|] = [-\min\{|\delta_i/\sigma_i|\}, \min\{|\delta_i/\sigma_i|\}],$$

We compute

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} |a|^{d-1} p(a\sigma_0, \dots, a\sigma_{d-1}) da = \frac{1}{2^d \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \delta_i} \int_{-\min\{|\delta_i/\sigma_i|\}}^{\min\{|\delta_i/\sigma_i|\}} |a|^{d-1} da = \frac{1}{d 2^{d-1} \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \delta_i} \left(\min\{|\delta_i/\sigma_i|\} \right)^d.$$

Similarly as in the Gaussian case, using this identity and applying Theorem 3.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p_{m,2k,d-1-m-2k} &= \frac{2^k}{m!k!(d-1-m-2k)!} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^m} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{d-1-2k-m}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^k} \int_{[0,\pi]^k} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \\ &\quad r_1 \dots r_k p(a\sigma_0, \dots, a\sigma_{d-1}) |a|^{d-1} \Delta da d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_k dr_1 \dots dr_k dx_1 \dots dx_{d-1-2k} \\ &= \frac{2^{k+1-d}}{d m! k! (d-1-m-2k)! \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \delta_i} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^m} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{d-1-2k-m}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^k} \int_{[0,\pi]^k} \\ &\quad r_1 \dots r_k \left(\min\{|\delta_i/\sigma_i|\} \right)^d \Delta da d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_k dr_1 \dots dr_k dx_1 \dots dx_{d-1-2k}. \end{aligned}$$

3) Now we assume that a_j and b_j are i.i.d uniformly distributed with the common distribution $\gamma(x) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]}(x)$. Since $\beta_j = a_j - b_j$, its probability density is given by

$$\gamma_\beta(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(y)f(x+y) dy = (1-|x|)\mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]}(x).$$

The probability density of $\delta_j\beta_j$ is

$$\gamma_j(x) = \frac{1}{\delta_j} \left(1 - \frac{|x|}{\delta_j} \right) \mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]}(x/\delta_j) = \frac{\delta_j - |x|}{\delta_j^2} \mathbb{1}_{[-\delta_j, \delta_j]}(x),$$

and the joint distribution $p(y_0, \dots, y_{d-1})$ of $\{\delta_j\beta_j, 0 \leq j \leq d-1\}$ is given by

$$p(y_0, \dots, y_{d-1}) = \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \frac{\delta_j - |y_j|}{\delta_j^2} \mathbb{1}_{\times_{i=0}^{d-1} [-\delta_i, \delta_i]}(y_0, \dots, y_{d-1}).$$

Therefore

$$p(a\sigma_0, \dots, a\sigma_{d-1}) = \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \frac{\delta_j - |a\sigma_j|}{\delta_j^2} \mathbb{1}_{\times_{i=0}^{d-1} [-\delta_i, \delta_i]}(a\sigma_0, \dots, a\sigma_{d-1}).$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{R}} |a|^{d-1} p(a\sigma_0, \dots, a\sigma_{d-1}) da &= \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \delta_j^2} \int_{-\min\{|\delta_i/\sigma_i|\}}^{\min\{|\delta_i/\sigma_i|\}} |a|^{d-1} \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} (\delta_j - |a\sigma_j|) da \\
&= \frac{2}{\prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \delta_j^2} \int_0^{\min\{|\delta_i/\sigma_i|\}} a^{d-1} \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} (\delta_j - a|\sigma_j|) da \\
&= 2(-1)^d \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \frac{|\sigma_j|}{\delta_j^2} \int_0^{\min\{|\delta_i/\sigma_i|\}} a^{d-1} \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \left(a - \frac{\delta_j}{|\sigma_j|}\right) da \\
&= 2(-1)^d \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \frac{|\sigma_j|}{\delta_j^2} \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i K_i \int_0^{\min\{|\delta_i/\sigma_i|\}} a^{2d-1-i} da \\
&= 2(-1)^d \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \frac{|\sigma_j|}{\delta_j^2} \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i \frac{K_i}{2d-i} \left(\min\{|\delta_i/\sigma_i|\}\right)^{2d-i}
\end{aligned}$$

where $K_i = \sigma_i(\delta_0/|\sigma_0|, \dots, \delta_{d-1}/|\sigma_{d-1}|)$ for $i = 0, \dots, d$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_{m,2k,d-1-m-2k} \\
&= \frac{2^k}{m!k!(d-1-m-2k)!} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^m} \int_{\mathbf{R}_-^{d-1-2k-m}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^k} \int_{[0,\pi]^k} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \\
&\quad r_1 \dots r_k p(a\sigma_0, \dots, a\sigma_{d-1}) |a|^{d-1} \Delta da d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_k dr_1 \dots dr_k dx_1 \dots dx_{d-1-2k} \\
&= \frac{2^{k+1}(-1)^d}{m!k!(d-1-m-2k)! \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \delta_j^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^m} \int_{\mathbf{R}_-^{d-1-2k-m}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^k} \int_{[0,\pi]^k} \\
&\quad r_1 \dots r_k \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} |\sigma_j| \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i \frac{K_i}{2d-i} \left(\min\{|\delta_i/\sigma_i|\}\right)^{2d-i} \Delta d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_k dr_1 \dots dr_k dx_1 \dots dx_{d-1-2k}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.3. *The expected numbers of the internal equilibria and stable internal equilibria, $E(d)$ and $SE(d)$, respectively, of a d -player two-strategy can be computed via*

$$E(d) = \sum_{m=0}^{d-1} mp_m, \quad SE(d) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{d-1} mp_m.$$

Note that these formulas are applicable for non-Gaussian distributions in contrast to the method used in the previous section that can only be used for the Gaussian case.

Remark 3.4. In Theorem 3.2 for the case C1), the assumption that β_k are standard Gaussians, thus particularly have variance 1, is just for simplicity. Suppose that β_k is Gaussian with mean 0 and variance η^2 . We show that the probability p_m , for $0 \leq m \leq d-1$, does not depend on η . In this case, the formula for p is given by (25) but with \mathcal{C} being replaced by $\eta^2\mathcal{C}$. To indicate its

dependence on η , we write p_η . We use a change of variable $a = \eta\tilde{a}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a^{d-1} p_\eta(a\sigma_0, \dots, a\sigma_{d-1}) da &= \eta^{d-1} \tilde{a}^{d-1} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi}\eta)^d \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{j}} \exp \left[-\frac{\tilde{a}^2}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \frac{\sigma_j^2}{\binom{d-1}{j}^2} \right] \eta d\tilde{a} \\ &= \tilde{a}^{d-1} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^d \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{j}} \exp \left[-\frac{\tilde{a}^2}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \frac{\sigma_j^2}{\binom{d-1}{j}^2} \right] d\tilde{a} \\ &= \tilde{a}^{d-1} p_1(\tilde{a}\sigma_0, \dots, \tilde{a}\sigma_{d-1}), \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce that p_m does not depend on η . Similarly for the other cases, the uniform interval can be $\frac{1}{2\alpha}[-\alpha, \alpha]$ for some $\alpha > 0$. \square

In the following examples, we apply Theorem 3.2 to obtain explicit formulas for games with small number of players ($d = 3, 4$). For illustration we consider the case of normal distributions, i.e. the case C1). All formulas in these examples are executed using Mathematica.

3.3 Concrete examples

Example 3.1 (Three-player two-strategy games: $d = 3$).

1) One internal equilibria: $p_1 = p_{1,0,1}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} m = 1, \quad k = 0, \quad \sigma_0 = 1, \quad \sigma_1 = x_1 + x_2, \quad \sigma_2 = x_1 x_2, \quad \Delta = |x_2 - x_1| \\ q(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \frac{1}{(1 + x_1^2 x_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}(x_1 + x_2)^2)^{3/2}} |x_2 - x_1| \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these values into (19) we obtain the probability that a three-player two-strategy evolutionary game has 1 internal equilibria

$$p_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \int_{\mathbf{R}_-} \frac{1}{(1 + x_1^2 x_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}(x_1 + x_2)^2)^{3/2}} |x_2 - x_1| dx_1 dx_2 = 0.5.$$

2) Two internal equilibria: $p_2 = p_{2,0,0}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} m = 2, \quad k = 0, \quad \sigma_0 = 1, \quad \sigma_1 = x_1 + x_2, \quad \sigma_2 = x_1 x_2, \quad \Delta = |x_2 - x_1| \\ q(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \frac{1}{(1 + x_1^2 x_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}(x_1 + x_2)^2)^{3/2}} |x_2 - x_1| \end{aligned}$$

The probability that a three-player two-strategy evolutionary game has 2 internal equilibria is

$$p_2 = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^2} \frac{1}{(1 + x_1^2 x_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}(x_1 + x_2)^2)^{3/2}} |x_2 - x_1| dx_1 dx_2 \approx 0.134148. \quad (26)$$

3) None-internal equilibria: the probability that a three-player two-strategy evolutionary game has none internal equilibria is $p_0 = 1 - p_1 - p_2 \approx 1 - 0.5 - 0.134148 = 0.365852$.

Example 3.2 (Four-player two-strategy games: $d = 4$).

1) One internal equilibria: $p_1 = p_{1,0,2} + p_{1,2,0}$

We first compute $p_{1,0,2}$. In this case,

$$m = 1, \quad k = 0, \quad \sigma_0 = 1, \quad \sigma_1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3, \quad \sigma_2 = x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3 + x_2 x_3, \quad \Delta = |x_2 - x_1| |x_3 - x_1| |x_3 - x_2|.$$

Substituting these into (19) we get

$$p_{1,0,2} = \frac{1}{18\pi^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_-} \int_{\mathbf{R}_-} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \left(1 + \frac{(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^2}{9} + \frac{(x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3)^2}{9} + (x_1x_2x_3)^2\right)^{-2} \\ \times |x_2 - x_1| |x_3 - x_1| |x_3 - x_2| dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \approx 0.223128.$$

Next we compute $p_{1,2,0}$. In this case,

$$m = 1, \quad k = 1, \quad \sigma_0 = 1, \quad \sigma_1 = \sigma_1(x_1, r_1 e^{i\alpha_1}, r_1 e^{-i\alpha_1}) = x_1 + r_1 e^{i\alpha_1} + r_1 e^{-i\alpha_1} = x_1 + 2r_1 \cos(\alpha_1),$$

$$\sigma_2 = \sigma_2(x_1, r_1 e^{i\alpha_1}, r_1 e^{-i\alpha_1}) = x_1(r_1 e^{i\alpha_1} + r_1 e^{-i\alpha_1}) + r_1^2 = 2x_1 r_1 \cos(\alpha_1) + r_1^2,$$

$$\sigma_3 = \sigma_3(x_1, r_1 e^{i\alpha_1}, r_1 e^{-i\alpha_1}) = x_1 r_1^2.$$

$$\Delta = \Delta(x_1, r_1 e^{i\alpha_1}, r_1 e^{-i\alpha_1}) = |r_1 e^{i\alpha_1} - x_1| |r_1 e^{-i\alpha_1} - x_1| |r_1 e^{i\alpha_1} - r_1 e^{-i\alpha_1}| = |r_1^2 - 2x_1 r_1 \cos(\alpha_1) + x_1^2| |2r_1 \sin(\alpha_1)|.$$

Substituting these into (19) yields

$$p_{1,2,0} = \frac{2}{9\pi^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \int_{[0,\pi]} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} r_1 \left(1 + \frac{(x_1 + 2r_1 \cos(\alpha_1))^2}{9} + \frac{(2x_1 r_1 \cos(\alpha_1) + r_1^2)^2}{9} + (x_1 r_1^2)^2\right)^{-2} \\ \times |r_1^2 - 2x_1 r_1 \cos(\alpha_1) + x_1^2| |2r_1 \sin(\alpha_1)| dx_1 dr_1 d\alpha_1 da \approx 0.260348.$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$p_1 = p_{1,0,2} + p_{1,2,0} \approx 0.223128 + 0.260348 = 0.483476.$$

2) Two internal equilibria: $p_2 = p_{2,0,1}$

$$m = 2, \quad k = 0, \quad \sigma_0 = 1, \quad \sigma_1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3, \quad \sigma_2 = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3, \quad \sigma_3 = x_1x_2x_3,$$

$$\Delta = |x_2 - x_1| |x_3 - x_1| |x_3 - x_2|$$

The probability that a four-player two-strategy evolutionary game has 2 internal equilibria is

$$p_2 = \frac{1}{18\pi^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \int_{\mathbf{R}_-} \left(1 + \frac{(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^2}{9} + \frac{(x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3)^2}{9} + (x_1x_2x_3)^2\right)^{-2} \\ \times |x_2 - x_1| |x_3 - x_1| |x_3 - x_2| dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \approx 0.223128. \quad (27)$$

3) Three internal equilibria: $p_3 = p_{3,0,0}$

$$m = 3, \quad k = 0, \quad \sigma_0 = 1, \quad \sigma_1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3, \quad \sigma_2 = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3, \quad \sigma_3 = x_1x_2x_3,$$

$$\Delta = |x_2 - x_1| |x_3 - x_1| |x_3 - x_2|$$

The probability that a four-player two-strategy evolutionary game has 3 internal equilibria is

$$p_3 = \frac{1}{54\pi^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^3} \left(1 + \frac{(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^2}{9} + \frac{(x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3)^2}{9} + (x_1x_2x_3)^2\right)^{-2} \\ \times |x_2 - x_1| |x_3 - x_1| |x_3 - x_2| dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \approx 0.0165236.$$

4) None-internal equilibria: the probability that a four-player two-strategy evolutionary game has none internal equilibria is: $p_0 = 1 - p_1 - p_2 - p_3 \approx 1 - 0.483476 - 0.223128 - 0.0165236 = 0.276872$.

4 Universal estimates for p_m

In Section 3, we have derived closed formulas for the probability distributions p_m ($0 \leq m \leq d-1$) of the number of internal equilibria. However, it is computationally expensive to compute these

probabilities using the closed formula since it involves complex multiple-dimensional integrals. In this section, using Descartes' rule of signs and combinatorial techniques, we provide universal estimates for p_m . Descartes' rule of signs is a technique for determining an upper bound on the number of positive real roots of a polynomial in terms of the number of sign changes in the sequence formed by its coefficients. This rule has been applied to random polynomials before in the literature [BP32]; however this paper only obtained estimates for the expected number of zeros of a random polynomial.

Theorem 4.1 (Descartes' rule of signs, see e.g., [Cur18]). *Consider a polynomial of degree n , $p(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0$ with $a_n \neq 0$. Let v be the number of variations in the sign of the coefficients a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0 and n_p be the number of real positive zeros. Then $(v - n_p)$ is an even non-negative integer.*

We recall that an internal equilibria of a d -player two-strategy game is a positive root of the polynomial P given in (1). We will apply Descartes' rule of signs to find an upper bound for the probability that a random polynomial have a certain number of positive roots. This is a problem that is of interest in its own right and may have applications elsewhere; therefore we will first study this problem for a general random polynomial of the form

$$p(y) := \sum_{k=0}^n a_k y^k, \quad (28)$$

and then apply it to the polynomial P . It turns out that the symmetry of $\{a_k\}$ will be the key: the asymmetric case requires completely different treatment from the symmetry one.

4.1 Estimates of p_m : symmetric case

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that the coefficients $a_k, 0 \leq k \leq n$ in the polynomial (28) are i.i.d and symmetrically distributed. Let $p_{k,n}, 0 \leq k \leq n$ is the probability that the sequence of coefficients (a_0, \dots, a_n) have k changes of signs. Then*

$$p_{k,n} = \frac{1}{2^n} \binom{n}{k}. \quad (29)$$

Proof. We take the sequence of coefficients (a_0, \dots, a_n) and move from the left starting from a_0 to the right ending at a_n . When there is a change of sign, we write a 1 and write a 0 when there is not. Then the changes of signs form a binary sequence of length n . There are totally 2^n of them. Thereby $p_{k,n}$ is the probability that there are exactly k numbers of 1 in the binary sequence. There are $\binom{n}{k}$ numbers of such sequence. Since $\{\beta_k\}$ are independent and symmetrically distributed, each sequence has a probability $\frac{1}{2^n}$ of occurring. From this we deduce (29). \square

The next two lemmas on the sum of binomial coefficients will be used later on.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $0 \leq k \leq n$ be positive integers. Then it holds that*

$$\sum_{\substack{j=k \\ j:\text{even}}}^n \binom{n}{j} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n}{j} + (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k-1} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\substack{j=k \\ j:\text{odd}}}^n \binom{n}{j} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n}{j} - (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k-1} \right], \quad (30)$$

where it is understood that $\binom{n}{j} = 0$ if $j < 0$. In particular, for $k = 0$, we get

$$\sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j:\text{even}}}^n \binom{n}{j} = \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j:\text{odd}}}^n \binom{n}{j} = 2^{n-1}. \quad (31)$$

Proof. Since $\sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (-1)^j = (1 + (-1))^n = 0$, we have

$$\sum_{j=k}^n \binom{n}{j} (-1)^j = -\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{j} (-1)^j$$

According to [DT17, Lemma 5.4]

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{j} (-1)^j = (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n-1}{k-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=k}^n \binom{n}{j} (-1)^j = (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k-1},$$

or equivalently:

$$\sum_{\substack{j=k \\ j: \text{ even}}}^n \binom{n}{j} - \sum_{\substack{j=k \\ j: \text{ odd}}}^n \binom{n}{j} = (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k-1}.$$

Define $\bar{S}_{k,n} := \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{n}{j}$ and $S_{k,n} := \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n}{j}$. Then using the property that $\binom{n}{j} = \binom{n}{n-j}$ we get $\bar{S}_{k,n} = S_{n-k,n}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{j=k \\ j: \text{ even}}}^n \binom{n}{j} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\bar{S}_{k,n} + (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k-1} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[S_{n-k,n} + (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k-1} \right] \quad \text{and} \\ \sum_{\substack{j=k \\ j: \text{ odd}}}^n \binom{n}{j} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\bar{S}_{k,n} - (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k-1} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[S_{n-k,n} - (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of this Lemma. \square

The following lemma provides estimates on the sum of the first k binomial coefficients.

Lemma 4.4. *Let n and $0 \leq k \leq n$ be positive integers. We have the following estimates [MS77, Lemma 8 & Corollary 9, Chapter 10] and [GKM12]*

$$\frac{2^{nH(\frac{k}{n})}}{\sqrt{8k(1-\frac{k}{n})}} \leq \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n}{j} \leq \delta 2^{nH(\frac{k}{n})} \quad \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad (32)$$

$$2^n - \delta 2^{nH(\frac{k}{n})} \leq \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n}{j} \leq 2^n - \frac{2^{nH(\frac{k}{n})}}{\sqrt{8k(1-\frac{k}{n})}} \quad \text{if } \frac{n}{2} \leq k \leq n, \quad (33)$$

where $\delta = 0.98$ and H is the binary entropy function

$$H(s) = -x \log_2(x) - (1-x) \log_2(1-x). \quad (34)$$

In addition, if $n = 2n'$ is even and $0 \leq k \leq n'$, we also have the following estimate [LPV03, Lemma 3.8.2]

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{2n'}{j} \leq 2^{2n'-1} \binom{2n'}{k} / \binom{2n'}{n'}. \quad (35)$$

We now apply Proposition 4.2 and Lemmas 4.3-4.4 to derive estimates on the probability that a d -player two-strategy evolutionary game has a certain number of internal equilibria. The main theorem of this section is the following.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $p_m, 0 \leq m \leq d-1$, be the probability that a d -player two-strategy has m number of internal equilibria. The following assertions hold*

(a) *Upper-bound for p_m , for all $0 \leq m \leq d-1$,*

$$p_m \leq \frac{1}{2^{d-1}} \sum_{\substack{j:j \geq m \\ j-m \text{ even}}} \binom{d-1}{j} = \frac{1}{2^d} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{d-1-m} \binom{d-1}{j} + \binom{d-2}{m-1} \right] \quad (36)$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^d} \left[\delta 2^{(d-1)H\left(\frac{m}{d-1}\right)} + \binom{d-2}{m-1} \right] & \text{if } \frac{d-1}{2} \leq m \leq d-1, \\ \frac{1}{2^d} \left[2^{d-1} - \frac{2^{(d-1)H\left(\frac{m}{d-1}\right)}}{8m\left(1-\frac{m}{d-1}\right)} + \binom{d-2}{m-1} \right] & \text{if } 0 \leq m \leq \frac{d-1}{2}. \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

As consequences, $0 \leq p_m \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for all $0 \leq m \leq d-1$, $p_{d-1} \leq \frac{1}{2^{d-1}}$, $p_{d-2} \leq \frac{d-1}{2^{d-1}}$ and $\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} p_{d-1} = \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} p_{d-2} = 0$.

In addition, if $d-1 = 2d'$ is even and $0 \leq m \leq d'$ then

$$p_m \leq \frac{1}{2^d} \left[2^{d-2} \binom{d-1}{m-1} / \binom{d-1}{d'} + \binom{d-2}{m-1} \right]. \quad (38)$$

(b) *Lower-bound for p_0 and p_1 :*

$$p_0 \geq \frac{1}{2^{d-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad p_1 \geq \frac{d-1}{2^{d-1}}. \quad (39)$$

(c) *For $d = 2$: $p_0 = p_1 = \frac{1}{2}$.*

(d) *For $d = 3$: $p_1 = \frac{1}{2}$.*

Proof. (a) This part is a combination of Decartes' rule of signs, Proposition 4.2 and Lemmas 4.3-4.4. In fact, as a consequence of Decartes's rule of signs and by Proposition 4.2, we have

$$p_m \leq \sum_{\substack{j:j \geq m \\ j-m: \text{ even}}} p_{j,d-1} = \frac{1}{2^{d-1}} \sum_{\substack{j:j \geq m \\ j-m: \text{ even}}} \binom{d-1}{j},$$

which is the inequality part in (36). Next, applying Lemma 4.3 for $k = m$ and $n = d-1$ and then

Lemma 4.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2^{d-1}} \sum_{\substack{k:k \geq m \\ k-m: \text{ even}}} \binom{d-1}{k} &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^d} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{d-1-m} \binom{d-1}{j} + (-1)^m \binom{d-2}{m-1} \right] & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ \frac{1}{2^d} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{d-1-m} \binom{d-1}{j} - (-1)^m \binom{d-2}{m-1} \right] & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \\
&= \frac{1}{2^d} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{d-1-m} \binom{d-1}{j} + \binom{d-2}{m-1} \right] \\
&\leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^d} \left[\delta 2^{(d-1)H\left(\frac{m}{d-1}\right)} + \binom{d-2}{m-1} \right] & \text{if } \frac{d-1}{2} \leq m \leq d-1, \\ \frac{1}{2^d} \left[2^{d-1} - \frac{2^{(d-1)H\left(\frac{m}{d-1}\right)}}{8m\left(1-\frac{m}{d-1}\right)} + \binom{d-2}{m-1} \right] & \text{if } 0 \leq m \leq \frac{d-1}{2}. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the equality part in (36) and (37). For the consequences: the estimate $p_m \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for all $0 \leq m \leq d-1$ is followed from (36) and (31); the estimates $p_{d-1} \leq \frac{1}{2^{d-1}}$ and $p_{d-2} \leq \frac{d-1}{2^{d-1}}$ are special cases of (36) for $m = d-1$ and $m = d-2$ respectively.

Finally, the estimate (38) is a consequence of (36) and (35).

(b) It follows from Descartes' rule of signs and Proposition 4.2 that

$$p_0 \geq p_{0,d-1} = \frac{1}{2^{d-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad p_1 \geq p_{1,d-1} = \frac{d-1}{2^{d-1}}.$$

(c) For $d = 2$: from parts (a) and (b) we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq p_0, p_1 \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

which implies that $p_0 = p_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ as claimed.

(d) Finally, for $d = 3$: also from parts (a) and (b) we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq p_1 \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

so $p_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. We finish the proof of the Theorem. \square

4.2 Estimates of p_m : general case

In the proof of Proposition 4.2 the assumption that $\{a_k\}$ are symmetrically distributed is crucial. Under this assumption, all 2^n binary sequences constructed there are equally distributed which results in a compact formula for $p_{k,n}$. However, when $\{a_k\}$ are not symmetrically distributed, those binary sequences are no-longer equally distributed. Thus computing $p_{k,n}$ become much more intricate. In this section, we will consider the general case where

$$\mathbf{P}(a_i > 0) = \alpha, \quad \mathbf{P}(a_i < 0) = 1 - \alpha \quad \text{for all } i = 0, \dots, n.$$

We start with the following proposition that provides explicit formulas for $p_{k,n}$ for $k \in \{0, 1, n-1, n\}$.

Proposition 4.6. *The following formulas hold*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bullet \quad p_{0,n} = \alpha^{n+1} + (1-\alpha)^{n+1}, \quad p_{1,n} = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2^n} & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \\ 2\alpha(1-\alpha)\frac{(1-\alpha)^n - \alpha^n}{1-2\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases} \\
& \bullet \quad p_{n-1,n} = \begin{cases} n\alpha^{\frac{n}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ even} \\ \alpha^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \left[\frac{n+1}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} + \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \right) + (n-1) \right] & \text{if } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \\
& \bullet \quad p_{n,n} = \begin{cases} \alpha^{\frac{n}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 2\alpha^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, then $p_{0,n} = p_{1,n} = \frac{1}{2^n}$ and $p_{1,n} = p_{n-1,n} = \frac{1}{2^n}$.

Proof. The four extreme cases $k \in \{0, 1, n-1, n\}$ are special because we can characterise explicitly the events that the sequence $\{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$ has k changes of signs. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{0,n} &= \mathbf{P}\{a_0 > 0, \dots, a_n > 0\} + \mathbf{P}\{a_0 < 0, \dots, a_n < 0\} \\
&= \alpha^{n+1} + (1-\alpha)^{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{1,n} &= \mathbf{P}\{\cup_{k=0}^{n-1} \{a_0 > 0, \dots, a_k > 0, a_{k+1} < 0, \dots, a_n < 0\} \cup \{a_0 < 0, \dots, a_k < 0, a_{k+1} > 0, \dots, a_n > 0\}\} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\alpha^{k+1}(1-\alpha)^{n-k} + (1-\alpha)^{k+1}\alpha^{n-k} \right) \\
&= \alpha(1-\alpha)^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right)^k + \alpha^n(1-\alpha) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^k \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2^n} & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \\ \alpha(1-\alpha)^n \frac{1 - \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right)^n}{1 - \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} + \alpha^n(1-\alpha) \frac{1 - \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^n}{1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} & \text{if } \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2^n} & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \\ 2\alpha(1-\alpha)\frac{(1-\alpha)^n - \alpha^n}{1-2\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{n,n} &= \mathbf{P}\{\{a_0 > 0, a_1 < 0, \dots, (-1)^n a_n > 0\} \cup \{a_0 < 0, a_1 > 0, \dots, (-1)^n a_n < 0\}\} \\
&= \begin{cases} \alpha^{\frac{n+2}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{n}{2}} + (1-\alpha)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}\alpha^{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 2\alpha^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \alpha^{\frac{n}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 2\alpha^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to compute $p_{n-1,n}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{n-1,n} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{P}\{a_k \text{ and } a_{k+1} \text{ have the same signs and there are } n-1 \text{ changes of signs in } (a_0, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n)\} \\
&=: \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_k.
\end{aligned}$$

We now compute γ_k . This depends on the parity of n and k . If both n and k are even, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_k &= \mathbf{P}(a_0 > 0, a_1 < 0, \dots, a_k > 0, a_{k+1} > 0, \dots, a_n < 0) + \mathbf{P}(a_0 < 0, a_1 > 0, \dots, a_k < 0, a_{k+1} < 0, \dots, a_n > 0) \\
&= (1-\alpha)^{\frac{n}{2}}\alpha^{\frac{n+2}{2}} + (1-\alpha)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}\alpha^{\frac{n}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

If n is even and k is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_k &= \mathbf{P}(a_0 > 0, a_1 < 0, \dots, a_k < 0, a_{k+1} < 0, \dots, a_n < 0) + \mathbf{P}(a_0 < 0, a_1 > 0, \dots, a_k > 0, a_{k+1} > 0, \dots, a_n > 0) \\ &= \alpha^{\frac{n+2}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{n}{2}} + (1-\alpha)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}\alpha^{\frac{n}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in both cases, i.e., if n is even we get

$$\gamma_k = \alpha^{\frac{n}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

From this we deduce $p_{n-1,n} = n\alpha^{\frac{n}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{n}{2}}$. Similarly if n is odd and k is even

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_k &= \mathbf{P}(a_0 > 0, a_1 < 0, \dots, a_k > 0, a_{k+1} > 0, \dots, a_n > 0) + \mathbf{P}(a_0 < 0, a_1 > 0, \dots, a_k < 0, a_{k+1} < 0, \dots, a_n < 0) \\ &= (1-\alpha)^{\frac{n+3}{2}}\alpha^{\frac{n-1}{2}} + (1-\alpha)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\alpha^{\frac{n+3}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

If both n and k are odd

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_k &= \mathbf{P}(a_0 > 0, a_1 < 0, \dots, a_k < 0, a_{k+1} < 0, \dots, a_n > 0) + \mathbf{P}(a_0 < 0, a_1 > 0, \dots, a_k > 0, a_{k+1} > 0, \dots, a_n < 0) \\ &= \alpha^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + (1-\alpha)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\alpha^{\frac{n+1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Then when n is odd, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}p_{n-1,n} &= \frac{n+1}{2} \left[(1-\alpha)^{\frac{n+3}{2}}\alpha^{\frac{n-1}{2}} + (1-\alpha)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\alpha^{\frac{n+3}{2}} \right] + (n-1)\alpha^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \\ &= \alpha^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \left[\frac{n+1}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} + \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \right) + (n-1) \right].\end{aligned}$$

In conclusion,

$$p_{n-1,n} = \begin{cases} n\alpha^{\frac{n}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ even} \\ \alpha^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \left[\frac{n+1}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} + \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \right) + (n-1) \right] & \text{if } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

□

The computations of $p_{k,n}$ for others k are more involved. We will employ combinatorial techniques and derive recursive formulas for $p_{k,n}$. We define

$$\begin{aligned}u_{k,n} &= \mathbf{P}(\text{there are } k \text{ variations of signs in } \{a_0, \dots, a_n\} | a_n > 0) \quad \text{and} \\ v_{k,n} &= \mathbf{P}(\text{there are } k \text{ variations of signs in } \{a_0, \dots, a_n\} | a_n < 0).\end{aligned}$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.7. *The following recursive relations hold*

$$u_{k,n} = \alpha u_{k,n-1} + (1-\alpha)v_{k-1,n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad v_{k,n} = \alpha u_{k-1,n-1} + (1-\alpha)v_{k,n-1}. \quad (40)$$

Proof. Applying the law of total probability $P(A|B) = P(A|B,C)P(C|B) + P(A|B,\bar{C})P(\bar{C}|B)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}(k \text{ sign switches in } \{a_0, \dots, a_n\} | a_n > 0) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(k \text{ sign switches in } \{a_0, \dots, a_n\} | a_n > 0, a_{n-1} > 0)\mathbf{P}(a_{n-1} > 0 | a_n > 0) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{P}(k \text{ sign switches in } \{a_0, \dots, a_n\} | a_n > 0, a_{n-1} < 0)\mathbf{P}(a_{n-1} < 0 | a_n > 0).\end{aligned}$$

Since a_{n-1} and a_n are independent, we have $\mathbf{P}(a_{n-1} > 0 | a_n > 0) = \mathbf{P}(a_{n-1} > 0)$ and $P(a_{n-1} < 0 | a_n > 0) = P(a_{n-1} < 0)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & P(k \text{ sign switches in } \{a_0, \dots, a_n\} | a_n > 0) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(k \text{ sign switches in } \{a_0, \dots, a_n\} | a_n > 0, a_{n-1} > 0) \mathbf{P}(a_{n-1} > 0) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{P}(k \text{ sign switches in } \{a_0, \dots, a_n\} | a_n > 0, a_{n-1} < 0) P(a_{n-1} < 0) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(k \text{ sign switches in } \{a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}\} | a_{n-1} > 0) \mathbf{P}(a_{n-1} > 0) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{P}(k-1 \text{ sign switches in } \{a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}\} | a_{n-1} < 0) \mathbf{P}(a_{n-1} < 0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain the first relationship in (40). The second one is proved similarly. \square

We can decouple the recursive relations in Lemma 4.7 to obtain recursive relations for $\{u_{k,n}\}$ and $v_{k,n}$ separately.

Lemma 4.8. *The following recursive relations hold*

$$u_{k,n} = \alpha(1 - \alpha)(u_{k-2,n-2} - u_{k,n-2}) + u_{k,n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad v_{k,n} = \alpha(1 - \alpha)(v_{k-2,n-2} - v_{k,n-2}) + v_{k,n-1} \quad (41)$$

Proof. From (40), it follows that

$$v_{k-1,n-1} = \frac{u_{k,n} - \alpha u_{k,n-1}}{1 - \alpha}, \quad v_{k,n-1} = \frac{u_{k+1,n} - \alpha u_{k+1,n-1}}{1 - \alpha} \quad (42)$$

Replace (42) to (40) we have

$$\frac{u_{k+1,n+1} - \alpha u_{k+1,n}}{1 - \alpha} = \alpha u_{k-1,n-1} + (1 - \alpha) \frac{u_{k+1,n} - \alpha u_{k+1,n-1}}{1 - \alpha}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{k+1,n+1} &= (1 - \alpha) \alpha u_{k-1,n-1} + (1 - \alpha)(u_{k+1,n} - \alpha u_{k+1,n-1}) + \alpha u_{k+1,n} \\ &= (1 - \alpha) \alpha u_{k-1,n-1} - \alpha(1 - \alpha) u_{k+1,n-1} + u_{k+1,n}. \end{aligned}$$

Re-indexing we get $u_{k,n} = (1 - \alpha) \alpha (u_{k-2,n-2} - u_{k,n-2}) + u_{k,n-1}$. Similarly we obtain the recursive formula for $v_{k,n}$. \square

Using the recursive equations for $u_{k,n}$ and $v_{k,n}$ we can also derive a recursive relation for $p_{k,n}$.

Proposition 4.9. $\{p_{k,n}\}$ satisfies the following recursive relation.

$$p_{k,n} = \alpha(1 - \alpha)(p_{k-2,n-2} - p_{k,n-2}) + p_{k,n-1}. \quad (43)$$

Proof. From Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8 we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_{k,n} &= \alpha u_{k,n} + (1 - \alpha) v_{k,n} \\ &= \alpha[\alpha(1 - \alpha)(u_{k-2,n-2} - u_{k,n-2}) + u_{k,n-1}] \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha)[\alpha(1 - \alpha)(v_{k-2,n-2} - v_{k,n-2}) + v_{k,n-1}] \\ &= \alpha(1 - \alpha)[\alpha(u_{k-2,n-2} - u_{k,n-2}) + (1 - \alpha)(v_{k-2,n-2} - v_{k,n-2})] \\ &\quad + \alpha u_{k,n-1} + (1 - \alpha) v_{k,n-1} \\ &= \alpha(1 - \alpha)(p_{k-2,n-2} - p_{k,n-2}) + p_{k,n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. \square

In the next main theorem we will find explicit formulas for $p_{k,n}$ from the recursive formula in the previous lemma using the method of generating function. The case $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ will be a special one.

Theorem 4.10. $p_{k,n}$ is given explicitly by: for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$,

$$p_{k,n} = \frac{1}{2^n} \binom{n}{k}$$

and for $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$,

If k is even, $k = 2k'$, then

$$p_{k,n} = \begin{cases} \sum_{m=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}^n \frac{n-k+1}{2^{m-n+1}} \binom{m}{k', n-k'-m, 2m-n} (-1)^{n-k'-m} (\alpha(1-\alpha))^{n-m} & \text{if } n \text{ even,} \\ \sum_{m=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}^n \frac{n-k+1}{2^{m-n+1}} \binom{m}{k', n-k'-m, 2m-n} (-1)^{n-k'-m} (\alpha(1-\alpha))^{n-m} \\ + 2 \binom{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil}{k'} (-1)^{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil - k' + 1} (\alpha(1-\alpha))^{\frac{n+1}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

If k is odd, $k = 2k' + 1$, then

$$p_{k,n} = 2 \sum_{m=\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil}^n \binom{m}{k', n-k'-m-1, 2m-n+1} (-1)^{n-k'-m-1} (\alpha(1-\alpha))^{n-m}.$$

Proof. Set $1/A^2 := \alpha(1-\alpha)$. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $\alpha(1-\alpha) \leq \frac{(\alpha+1-\alpha)^2}{4} = \frac{1}{4}$, it follows that $A^2 \geq 4$. Define $a_{k,n} := A^n p_{k,n}$. Substituting this relation into (43) we get the following recursive formula for $a_{k,n}$

$$a_{k,n} = a_{k-2,n-2} - a_{k,n-2} + A a_{k,n-1}.$$

According to Proposition 4.6

$$a_{0,n} = A^n p_{0,n} = A^n (\alpha^{n+1} + (1-\alpha)^{n+1}) = \alpha \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} + (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}}, \quad (44)$$

$$a_{1,n} = A^n p_{1,n} = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{2\alpha(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha} \left[\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} - \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \right]. \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

Also $a_{k,n} = 0$ for $k > n$. Let $F(x, y)$ be the generating function of $a_{k,n}$, that is

$$F(x, y) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{k,n} x^k y^n.$$

Define

$$g(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{0,n} y^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{1,n} x y^n.$$

From (44)-(45) we have: for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$

$$g(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y^n + x y \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n y^{n-1} = \frac{1}{1-y} + x y \frac{d}{dy} \left(\frac{1}{1-y} \right) = \frac{1-y+xy}{(1-y)^2},$$

and for $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned}
g(x, y) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\alpha \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} + (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \right] y^n + \frac{2\alpha(1-\alpha)x}{1-2\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} - \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \right] y^n \\
&= \left[\alpha - \frac{2\alpha(1-\alpha)x}{1-2\alpha} \right] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} y^n + \left[1-\alpha + \frac{2\alpha(1-\alpha)x}{1-2\alpha} \right] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} y^n \\
&= \left[\alpha - \frac{2\alpha(1-\alpha)x}{1-2\alpha} \right] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha A)^n y^n + \left[1-\alpha + \frac{2\alpha(1-\alpha)x}{1-2\alpha} \right] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ((1-\alpha)A)^n y^n \\
&= \left[\alpha - \frac{2\alpha(1-\alpha)x}{1-2\alpha} \right] \frac{1}{1-\alpha Ay} + \left[1-\alpha + \frac{2\alpha(1-\alpha)x}{1-2\alpha} \right] \frac{1}{1-(1-\alpha)Ay} \\
&= \frac{(\alpha(1-2\alpha) - 2\alpha(1-\alpha)x)(1-(1-\alpha)Ay) + ((1-\alpha)(1-2\alpha) + 2\alpha(1-\alpha)x)(1-\alpha Ay)}{(1-2\alpha)(1-\alpha y)(1-(1-\alpha)Ay)} \\
&= \frac{1 - \frac{2y}{A} + \frac{2xy}{A}}{1 - Ay + y^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in the above computations we have the following identities

$$\frac{1}{A^2} = \alpha(1-\alpha), \quad \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} = (\alpha A)^2, \quad \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} = (1-\alpha)^2 A^2, \quad (1-\alpha Ay)(1-(1-\alpha)Ay) = 1 - Ay + y^2.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x, y) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{k,n} x^k y^n \\
&= g(x, y) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (a_{k-2, n-2} - a_{k, n-2} + A a_{k, n-1}) x^k y^n \\
&= g(x, y) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{k-2, n-2} x^k y^n - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{k, n-2} x^k y^n + A \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{k, n-1} x^k y^n \quad (46) \\
&= g(x, y) + (I) + (II) + (III). \quad (47)
\end{aligned}$$

We rewrite the sums (I), (II) and (III) as follow. For the first sum

$$(I) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{k-2, n-2} x^k y^n = x^2 y^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{k,n} x^k y^n = x^2 y^2 F(x, y).$$

For the second sum

$$\begin{aligned}
(II) &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{k, n-2} x^k y^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{k, n-2} x^k y^n - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{0, n-2} y^n - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{1, n-2} x y^n \\
&= y^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{k,n} x^k y^n - y^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{0,n} y^n - y^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{1,n} x y^n \\
&= y^2 (F(x, y) - g(x, y)).
\end{aligned}$$

And finally for the last sum

$$(III) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{k, n-1} x^k y^n = y (F(x, y) - g(x, y)).$$

Substituting these sums back into (47) we get

$$F(x, y) = g(x, y) + x^2 y^2 F(x, y) - y^2 (F(x, y) - g(x, y)) + Ay(F(x, y) - g(x, y)),$$

which implies that

$$F(x, y) = \frac{g(x, y)(1 - Ay + y^2)}{(1 - Ay + y^2 - x^2 y^2)}.$$

For $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y) &= \frac{1 - y + xy}{(1 - y)^2} \frac{(1 - y)^2}{(1 - y)^2 - x^2 y^2} = \frac{1}{1 - y - xy} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + x)^n y^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k y^n, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\alpha_{k,n} = \binom{n}{k}$. Hence for the case $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain $p_{k,n} = \frac{1}{2^n} \binom{n}{k}$.

For the case $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$ we obtain

$$F(x, y) = \frac{1 - \frac{2y}{A} + \frac{2xy}{A}}{1 - Ay + y^2} \frac{1 - Ay + y^2}{1 - Ay + y^2 - x^2 y^2} = \frac{1 - \frac{2y}{A} + \frac{2xy}{A}}{1 - Ay + y^2 - x^2 y^2}.$$

Finding the series expansion for this case is much more involved than the previous one. Using the multinomial theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1 - Ay + y^2 - x^2 y^2} &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (x^2 y^2 - y^2 + Ay)^m \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i, j, l \leq m \\ i+j+l=m}} \binom{m}{i, j, l} (x^2 y^2)^i (-y^2)^j (Ay)^l \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i, j, l \leq m \\ i+j+l=m}} \binom{m}{i, j, l} (-1)^j A^l x^{2i} y^{2i+2j+l} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i, l \leq m \\ i+l \leq m}} \binom{m}{i, m-i-l, l} (-1)^{m-i-l} A^l x^{2i} y^{2m-l}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y) &= \frac{1}{A} (A - 2y + 2xy) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i, l \leq m \\ i+l \leq m}} \binom{m}{i, m-i-l, l} (-1)^{m-i-l} A^l x^{2i} y^{2m-l} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i, l \leq m \\ i+l \leq m}} \binom{m}{i, m-i-l, l} (-1)^{m-i-l} A^{l-1} (Ax^{2i} y^{2m-l} - 2x^{2i} y^{2m-l+1} + 2x^{2i+1} y^{2m-l+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

From this we deduce that:

If k is even, $k = 2k'$, then to obtain the coefficient of $x^k y^n$ on the right-hand side of (48), we select (i, m, l) such that

$$(i = k' \ \& \ 2m - l = n \ \& \ 0 \leq i, l \leq m) \quad \text{or} \quad (i = k' \ \& \ 2m - l + 1 = n \ \& \ 0 \leq i, l \leq m)$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{k,n} &= \sum_{m=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}^n \binom{m}{k', m-k'-(2m-n), 2m-n} (-1)^{m-k'-(2m-n)} A^{2m-n} \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{m=\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil}^n \binom{m}{k', m-k'-(2m-n+1), 2m-n+1} (-1)^{m-k'-(2m-n+1)+1} A^{2m-n} \\
&= \sum_{m=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}^n \binom{m}{k', n-k'-m, 2m-n} (-1)^{n-k'-m} A^{2m-n} \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{m=\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil}^n \binom{m}{k', n-k'-m-1, 2m-n+1} (-1)^{n-k'-m} A^{2m-n} \\
&= \begin{cases} \sum_{m=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}^n \left[\binom{m}{k', n-k'-m, 2m-n} + 2 \binom{m}{k', n-k'-m-1, 2m-n+1} \right] (-1)^{n-k'-m} A^{2m-n} & \text{if } n \text{ even} \\ \sum_{m=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}^n \left[\binom{m}{k', n-k'-m, 2m-n} + 2 \binom{m}{k', n-k'-m-1, 2m-n+1} \right] (-1)^{n-k'-m} A^{2m-n} \\ \quad + 2 \binom{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil}{k'} (-1)^{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil - k' + 1} A^{-1} & \text{if } n \text{ odd} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \sum_{m=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}^n \frac{n-k+1}{2m-n+1} \binom{m}{k', n-k'-m, 2m-n} (-1)^{n-k'-m} A^{2m-n} & \text{if } n \text{ even} \\ \sum_{m=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}^n \frac{n-k+1}{2m-n+1} \binom{m}{k', n-k'-m, 2m-n} (-1)^{n-k'-m} A^{2m-n} \\ \quad + 2 \binom{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil}{k'} (-1)^{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil - k' + 1} A^{-1} & \text{if } n \text{ odd} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if k is odd, $k = 2k' + 1$, then to obtain the coefficient of $x^k y^n$ on the right-hand side of (48), we select (i, m, l) such that

$$(i = k' \ \& \ 2m - l + 1 = n \ \& \ 0 \leq i, l \leq m)$$

and obtain

$$a_{k,n} = 2 \sum_{m=\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil}^n \binom{m}{k', n-k'-m-1, 2m-n+1} (-1)^{n-k'-m-1} A^{2m-n}.$$

From $a_{k,n}$ we compute $p_{k,n}$ using the relations $p_{k,n} = \frac{a_{k,n}}{A^n}$ and $A^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}$ and obtain the claimed formulas. This finishes the proof of this theorem. \square

Remark 4.11. We can find $a_{k,n}$ by establishing a recursive relation. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{F(x,y)} &= \frac{1 - Ay + y^2 - x^2y^2}{1 - \frac{2y}{A} + \frac{2xy}{A}} \\
&= -\frac{Axy}{2} - \frac{Ay}{2} + \frac{A^2}{4} + \frac{1 - A^2/4}{1 - \frac{2y}{A} + \frac{2xy}{A}} \\
&= -\frac{Axy}{2} - \frac{Ay}{2} + \frac{A^2}{4} + (1 - A^2/4) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2y}{A}(1-x)\right)^n \\
&= -\frac{Axy}{2} - \frac{Ay}{2} + \frac{A^2}{4} + (1 - A^2/4) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{A}\right)^n (1-x)^n y^n \\
&= -\frac{Axy}{2} - \frac{Ay}{2} + \frac{A^2}{4} + (1 - A^2/4) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k C_{k,n} \left(\frac{2}{A}\right)^n x^k y^n \\
&= 1 + \left(\frac{2}{A} - A\right)y - \frac{2}{A}xy + (1 - A^2/4) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k C_{k,n} \left(\frac{2}{A}\right)^n x^k y^n \\
&=: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,n} x^k y^n := B(x,y).
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$b_{0,0} = 1, \quad b_{0,1} = \frac{2}{A} - A, \quad b_{1,1} = -\frac{2}{A} \quad \text{and} \quad b_{k,n} = (1 - A^2/4)(-1)^k C_{k,n} \left(\frac{2}{A}\right)^n \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n, n \geq 2. \quad (49)$$

Using the relation that $F(x,y)B(x,y) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k,n} x^k y^n\right) \left(\sum_{n'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k'=0}^{\infty} b_{k',n'} x^{k'} y^{n'}\right) = 1$ we get the following recursive formula to determine $a_{K,N}$

$$a_{0,0} = \frac{1}{b_{0,0}} = 1, \quad a_{0,N} = -\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_{0,n} b_{0,N-n}, \quad a_{K,N} = -\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_{k,n} b_{K-k,N-n}.$$

It is not trivial to obtain an explicit formula from this recursive formula. However, it is easily implemented using a computational software such as Mathematica or Matlab. \square

Remark 4.12. Proposition 4.9 provides a second-order recursive relation for the probabilities $p_{n,k}$. This relation resembles the well-known Chu-Vandermonde identity for binomial coefficient, $b_{k,n} := \binom{n}{k}$, which is for $0 < m < n$

$$b_{k,n} = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{m}{j} b_{k-j,n-m}.$$

Particularly for $m = 2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{k,n} &= b_{k,n-2} + 2b_{k-1,n-2} + b_{k-2,n-2} \\
&= b_{k-2,n-2} - b_{k,n-2} + 2(b_{k,n-2} + b_{k-1,n-2}) \\
&= b_{k-2,n-2} - b_{k,n-2} + 2b_{k,n-1},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last identity is the Pascal' rule for binomial coefficients.

On the other hand, the recursive formula $p_{n,k}$ for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ becomes

$$p_{k,n} = \frac{1}{4}(p_{k-2,n-2} - p_{k,n-2}) + p_{k,n-1}.$$

Using the transformation $a_{k,n} := \frac{1}{2^n} p_{k,n}$ as in the proof of Theorem 4.10, then

$$a_{k,n} = a_{k-2,n-2} - a_{k,n-2} + 2a_{k,n-1},$$

which is exactly the Chu-Vandermonde identity for $m = 2$ above. Then it is no surprising that in Theorem 4.10 we obtain that $a_{k,n}$ is exactly the same as the binomial coefficient $a_{k,n} = \binom{n}{k}$. \square

Example 4.1.

- $n = 1$: $p_{0,1} = \alpha^2 + (1 - \alpha)^2$; $p_{1,1} = 2\alpha(1 - \alpha)$;
- $n = 2$: $p_{0,2} = \alpha^3 + (1 - \alpha)^3$, $p_{1,2} = 2\alpha(1 - \alpha)$, $p_{2,2} = \alpha(1 - \alpha)$;
- $n = 3$: $p_{0,3} = \alpha^4 + (1 - \alpha)^4$, $p_{1,3} = 2\alpha(1 - \alpha)(\alpha^2 - \alpha + 1)$, $p_{2,3} = 2\alpha(1 - \alpha)(\alpha^2 - \alpha + 1)$, $p_{3,3} = 2\alpha^2(1 - \alpha)^2$;
- $n = 4$: $p_{0,4} = \alpha^5 + (1 - \alpha)^5$, $p_{1,4} = 2\alpha(1 - \alpha)(2\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1)$, $p_{2,4} = 3\alpha(1 - \alpha)(2\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1)$,
 $p_{3,4} = 4\alpha^2(1 - \alpha)^2$, $p_{4,4} = \alpha^2(1 - \alpha)^2$.

Direct computations verify the recursive formula for $k = 2, n = 4$

$$p_{2,4} = \alpha(1 - \alpha)(p_{0,2} - p_{2,2}) + p_{2,3}$$

We now apply Theorem 4.10 to the polynomial P to obtain estimates for $p_m, 0 \leq m \leq d - 1$ which is the probability that a d -player two-strategy random evolutionary game has m internal equilibria. This theorem extends Theorem 4.5 for $\alpha = 1/2$ to the general case although we do not get an explicit upper bound in terms of d as in Theorem 4.5.

Theorem 4.13. *The following assertions hold*

(i) *Upper-bound for p_m*

$$p_m \leq \sum_{\substack{k \geq m \\ k-m \text{ even}}} p_{k,d-1},$$

where $p_{k,d-1}$ can be computed explicitly according to Theorem 4.10 with n replaced by $d - 1$.

(ii) *Lower-bound for p_0 : $p_0 \geq \alpha^d + (1 - \alpha)^d \geq \frac{1}{2^{d-1}}$,*

(iii) *Lower-bound for p_1 : $p_1 \geq \begin{cases} \frac{d-1}{2^{d-1}} & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \\ 2\alpha(1 - \alpha) \frac{(1-\alpha)^{d-1} - \alpha^{d-1}}{1-2\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$*

(iv) *Upper-bound for p_{d-2} :*

$$\begin{aligned} p_{d-2} &\leq \begin{cases} (d-1)\alpha^{\frac{d-1}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} & \text{if } d \text{ odd} \\ \alpha^{\frac{d}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{d}{2}} \left[\frac{d}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} + \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \right) + (d-2) \right] & \text{if } d \text{ even} \end{cases} \\ &\leq \frac{d-1}{2^{d-1}} \quad \text{when } d \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

(v) *Upper-bound for p_{d-1} :*

$$p_{d-1} \leq \begin{cases} \alpha^{\frac{d-1}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} & \text{if } d \text{ is odd} \\ 2\alpha^{\frac{d}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{d}{2}} & \text{if } d \text{ is even} \end{cases} \leq \frac{1}{2^{d-1}}.$$

As consequences

(a) *For $d = 2$: $p_0 = \alpha^2 + (1 - \alpha)^2$ and $p_1 = 2\alpha(1 - \alpha)$.*

(b) For $d = 3$, $p_1 = 2\alpha(1 - \alpha)$.

Proof. We will apply Decartes' rule of signs, Proposition 4.6 and 4.10 for the random polynomial (5). It follows from Decartes' rule of signs that

$$p_m \leq \sum_{\substack{k \geq m \\ k-m \text{ even}}} p_{k,d-1},$$

where $p_{k,d-1}$ is given explicitly in Theorem 4.10 with n replaced by $d - 1$. This proves the first statement. In addition, we can also deduce from Decartes' rule of signs and Proposition 4.6 the following estimates for special cases $m \in \{0, 1, d - 2, d - 1\}$:

- $p_0 \geq p_{0,d-1} = \alpha^d + (1 - \alpha)^d \geq \min_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} [\alpha^d + (1 - \alpha)^d] = \frac{1}{2^{d-1}},$
- $p_1 \geq p_{1,d-1} = \begin{cases} \frac{d-1}{2^{d-1}} & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \\ 2\alpha(1 - \alpha) \frac{(1-\alpha)^{d-1} - \alpha^{d-1}}{1-2\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$
- $p_{d-2} \leq p_{d-2,d-1} = \begin{cases} (d-1)\alpha^{\frac{d-1}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} & \text{if } d \text{ odd} \\ \alpha^{\frac{d}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{d}{2}} \left[\frac{d}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} + \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \right) + (d-2) \right] & \text{if } d \text{ even.} \end{cases}$
 $= \begin{cases} (d-1)(\alpha(1-\alpha))^{\frac{d-1}{2}} & \text{if } d \text{ odd} \\ \frac{d}{2}(\alpha(1-\alpha))^{d/2-1} - 2(\alpha(1-\alpha))^{d/2} =: \frac{d}{2}\beta^{d/2-1} - 2\beta^{d/2} =: f(\beta) & \text{if } d \text{ even} \end{cases}$
 $\leq \begin{cases} (d-1)(1/4)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} = \frac{d-1}{2^{d-1}} & \text{if } d \text{ odd} \\ \max_{0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{4}} f(\beta) = \frac{d-1}{2^{d-1}} & \text{if } d \geq 3 \text{ even} \end{cases}$

where to obtain the last inequality, we have used the fact that

$$0 \leq \beta = \alpha(1 - \alpha) \leq \frac{1}{4} \text{ and } f'(\beta) = d\beta^{d/2-2} \left(\frac{d}{4} - \frac{1}{2} - \beta \right) \geq 0 \text{ when } 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{4} \text{ and } d \geq 3.$$

- $p_{d-1} \leq p_{d-1,d-1} = \begin{cases} \alpha^{\frac{d-1}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} & \text{if } d \text{ is odd} \\ 2\alpha^{\frac{d}{2}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{d}{2}} & \text{if } d \text{ is even} \end{cases}$
 $\leq \begin{cases} (1/4)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2^{d-1}} & \text{if } d \text{ is odd} \\ 2(1/4)^{\frac{d}{2}} = \frac{1}{2^{d-1}} & \text{if } d \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$

This finishes the proof of the estimates (ii) – (v). For the consequences: for $d = 2$, in this case the above estimates (ii) – (v) respectively become:

$$p_0 \geq \alpha^2 + (1 - \alpha)^2, \quad p_1 \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \\ 2\alpha(1 - \alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} = 2\alpha(1 - \alpha)$$

and $p_0 \leq \alpha(1 - \alpha) \left[\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} + \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} \right] = \alpha^2 + (1 - \alpha)^2, \quad q_1 \leq 2\alpha(1 - \alpha).$

which imply that $p_0 = \alpha^2 + (1 - \alpha)^2, p_1 = 2\alpha(1 - \alpha)$.

Similarly for $d = 3$, estimates (ii) and (iii) respectively become

$$p_1 \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \\ 2\alpha(1 - \alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} = 2\alpha(1 - \alpha), \quad \text{and } p_1 \leq 2\alpha(1 - \alpha)$$

from which we deduce that $p_1 = 2\alpha(1 - \alpha)$. □

5 Numerical simulations

In this section, we perform several numerical (sampling) simulations and calculations to illustrate the analytical results obtained in the previous sections. Figure 1 shows the values of p_m for different values of d , for the three cases studied in Theorem 3.2, i.e., when β_k are i.i.d. standard normally distributed (GD), uniformly distributed (UD1) and when $\beta_k = a_k - b_k$ with a_k and b_k being uniformly distributed (UD2). We compare results obtained from analytical formulas in Theorem 3.2 and from samplings. The figure shows that they are in accordance with each other agreeing at least 2 digits after the decimal points.

Number of equilibria (m)	GD			UD1			UD2		
	GD	UD1	UD2	GD	UD1	UD2	GD	UD1	UD2
4	0.000850	0.000896	0.0009115						
3	0.047633	0.0488629	0.0486944	0.0165236	0.0178047	0.0172256			
2	0.280697	0.282079	0.282036	0.223128	0.225839	0.224682	0.134148	0.138889 (5/36)	0.135556 (61/450)
1	0.451268	0.451118	0.451268	0.483476	0.482202	0.482779	0.5	0.5 (1/2)	0.5 (1/2)
0	0.219552	0.217044	0.21709	0.276872	0.274154	0.275313	0.365852	0.361111 (13/36)	0.364444 (82/225)
	5			4			3		

(a) Analytical

Number of equilibria (m)	GD			UD1			UD2		
	GD	UD1	UD2	GD	UD1	UD2	GD	UD1	UD2
4	0.000932	0.000894	0.000888						
3	0.048744	0.048827	0.048167	0.016711	0.017603	0.017046			
2	0.281978	0.281879	0.28164	0.223235	0.226088	0.224586	0.134101	0.139299	0.135657
1	0.450594	0.451114	0.452132	0.482582	0.481941	0.48316	0.500358	0.499546	0.500416
0	0.217752	0.217286	0.217173	0.277472	0.274368	0.275208	0.365541	0.361155	0.363927
	5			4			3		

(b) Simulation

Figure 1: Probability of having a certain number (m) of internal equilibria, p_m , for different values of d . The payoff entries a_k and b_k were drawn from a Gaussian distribution with variance 1 and mean 0 (GD) and from a standard uniform distribution (UD2). We also study the case where $\beta_k = a_k - b_k$ itself is drawn from a standard uniform distribution (UD1). Results are obtained from analytical formulas (Theorem 1.2) (panel a) and are based on sampling 10^6 payoff matrices where payoff entries are drawn from the corresponding distributions. Analytical and simulations results are in accordance with each other. All results are obtained using Mathematica.

6 Further discussions and future research

In this paper, we have provided closed formulas and universal estimates for the probability distribution of the number of internal equilibria in a d -player two-strategy random evolutionary game. We have explored further connections between the evolutionary game theory and the random polynomial theory as discovered in our previous works [DH15, DH16, DTH17a]. We believe that the results reported in the present work open up a new exciting avenue of research in the study of equilibrium properties of random evolutionary games. We now provide further discussions on these issues and possible directions for future research.

Computations of probabilities p_m . Although we have found analytical formulas for p_m it is computationally challenging to deal with them because of their complexity. Obtaining an effective computational method for p_m would be an interesting problem for future investigation.

Mean-field approximation theory. Consider a general polynomial \mathbf{P} as given in (7) with dependent coefficients, and let $P_m([a, b], n)$ be the probability that \mathbf{P} has m real roots in the interval $[a, b]$ (recall that n is the degree of the polynomial, which is equal to $d - 1$ in Equation (1)). The mean-field theory in [SM08] neglects the correlations between the real roots and simply consider that these roots are randomly and independently distributed on the real axis with some local density $f(t)$ at a point t where $f(t)$ being the density that can be computed from the Edelman-Kostlan theorem [EK95]. Within this approximation in the large n limit, the probability $P_m([a, b], n)$ is given by a non-homogeneous Poisson distribution, see [SM08, Section 3.2.2 & Equation (70)],

$$P_m([a, b], n) \approx \frac{\mu^m}{m!} e^{-\mu} \quad \text{where} \quad \mu = \int_a^b f(t) dt. \quad (50)$$

Now let us apply this theory to approximate the probability p_m that a random d -player two-strategy evolutionary game has m internal equilibria. Suppose that β_k are i.i.d. normal Gaussians, then, for large d , p_m can be approximated by

$$p_m \approx \frac{E(d)^m}{m!} e^{-E(d)},$$

where $E(d)$ is the expected number of internal equilibria. According to [DTH17a], for large d , we have $E(d) \sim \frac{\sqrt{2d-1}}{2}$. Therefore, we obtain the following approximate formula for p_m for large d ,

$$p_m \approx \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2d-1}}{2} \right)^m e^{-\frac{\sqrt{2d-1}}{2}}. \quad (51)$$

This formula is simple and can be easily computed; however, it is unclear to us how to quantify the errors of approximation since we do not have an efficient method to compute p_m when d is large, see the first point of this section. We leave this topic for future research.

Acknowledgments

This paper was written partly when M. H. Duong was at the Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick and was supported by ERC Starting Grant 335120. M. H. Duong and T. A. Han acknowledge Research in Pairs Grant (No. 41606) by the London Mathematical Society to support their collaborative research. We would like to thank Dr. Dmitry Zaporozhets for his useful discussions on [Zap06, GKZ17].

References

- [Abe24] N. H. Abel. Mémoire sur les équations algébriques, où l'on démontre l'impossibilité de la résolution de l'équation générale du cinquième degré. *Abel's Ouvres*, (1):28–33, 1824.

- [Axe84] R. Axelrod. *The Evolution of Cooperation*. Basic Books, New York, 1984.
- [BCV97] M. Broom, C. Cannings, and G.T. Vickers. Multi-player matrix games. *Bull. Math. Biol.*, 59(5):931–952, 1997.
- [BP32] A. Bloch and G. Pólya. On the Roots of Certain Algebraic Equations. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, S2-33(1):102, 1932.
- [BR13] M. Broom and J. Rychtar. *Game-theoretical models in biology*. CRC Press, 2013.
- [BR16] M. Broom and J. Rychtář. *Nonlinear and Multiplayer Evolutionary Games*, pages 95–115. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016.
- [Bro00] M. Broom. Bounds on the number of esss of a matrix game. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 167(2):163 – 175, 2000.
- [Bro03] M. Broom. The use of multiplayer game theory in the modeling of biological populations. *Comments on Theoretical Biology*, 8:103–123, 2003.
- [BZ17] R. Butez and O. Zeitouni. Universal large deviations for Kac polynomials. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 22:Paper No. 6, 10, 2017.
- [Cur18] D. R. Curtiss. Recent extentions of descartes’ rule of signs. *Annals of Mathematics*, 19(4):251–278, 1918.
- [DH15] M. H. Duong and T. A. Han. On the expected number of equilibria in a multi-player multi-strategy evolutionary game. *Dynamic Games and Applications*, pages 1–23, 2015.
- [DH16] M. H. Duong and T. A. Han. Analysis of the expected density of internal equilibria in random evolutionary multi-player multi-strategy games. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, 73(6):1727–1760, 2016.
- [DT17] M. H. Duong and H. M. Tran. On the fundamental solution and a variational formulation of a degenerate diffusion of kolmogorov type. 2017.
- [DTH17a] M. H. Duong, H. M. Tran, and T. A. Han. On the expected number of internal equilibria in random evolutionary games with correlated payoff matrix. [arXiv:1708.01672](https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.01672), 2017.
- [DTH17b] M. H. Duong, H. M. Tran, and T. A. Han. Statistics of the number of internal equilibria: evolutionary game theory meets random polynomial theory. *In preparation*, 2017.
- [EK95] A. Edelman and E. Kostlan. How many zeros of a random polynomial are real? *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 32(1):1–37, 1995.
- [FH92] D. Fudenberg and C. Harris. Evolutionary dynamics with aggregate shocks. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 57(2):420–441, 1992.
- [Fri98] D. Friedman. On economic applications of evolutionary game theory. *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, 8(1):15–43, 1998.
- [GF13] T. Galla and J. D. Farmer. Complex dynamics in learning complicated games. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(4):1232–1236, 2013.
- [GKM12] L.-A. Gottlieb, A. Kontorovich, and E. Mossel. V_c bounds on the cardinality of nearly orthogonal function classes. *Discrete Mathematics*, 312(10):1766 – 1775, 2012.
- [GKZ17] F. Gtze, D. Koleda, and D. Zaporozhets. Joint distribution of conjugate algebraic numbers: a random polynomial approach. [arXiv:1703.02289](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.02289), 2017.

- [GRLD09] T. Gross, L. Rudolf, S. A. Levin, and U. Dieckmann. Generalized models reveal stabilizing factors in food webs. *Science*, 325(5941):747–750, 2009.
- [GT10] C. S. Gokhale and A. Traulsen. Evolutionary games in the multiverse. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 107(12):5500–5504, 2010.
- [GT14] C. S. Gokhale and A. Traulsen. Evolutionary multiplayer games. *Dynamic Games and Applications*, 4(4):468–488, 2014.
- [Han13] T. A. Han. *Intention Recognition, Commitments and Their Roles in the Evolution of Cooperation: From Artificial Intelligence Techniques to Evolutionary Game Theory Models*, volume 9. Springer SAPERE series, 2013.
- [HPL17] T.A Han, L. M. Pereira, and T. Lenaerts. Evolution of commitment and level of participation in public goods games. *Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems*, 31(3):561–583, 2017.
- [HS98] J. Hofbauer and K. Sigmund. *Evolutionary Games and Population Dynamics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [HTG12] T. A. Han, A. Traulsen, and C. S. Gokhale. On equilibrium properties of evolutionary multi-player games with random payoff matrices. *Theoretical Population Biology*, 81(4):264 – 272, 2012.
- [LPV03] L. Lovász, J. Pelikán, and K. Vesztegombi. *Discrete Mathematics: Elementary and Beyond*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 2003.
- [May01] R. M. May. *Stability and complexity in model ecosystems*, volume 6. Princeton university press, 2001.
- [MS77] F.J. MacWilliams and N.J.A. Sloane. *The Theory of Error-correcting Codes*. North-Holland mathematical library. North-Holland Publishing Company, 1977.
- [MS82] J. Maynard-Smith. *Evolution and the Theory of Games*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982.
- [MSP73] J. Maynard Smith and G. R. Price. The logic of animal conflict. *Nature*, 246:15–18, 1973.
- [Nas50] John F. Nash. Equilibrium points in n-person games. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 36:48–49, 1950.
- [Now06] M. A. Nowak. *Evolutionary Dynamics*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, 2006.
- [Pen05] E. Pennisi. How did cooperative behavior evolve? *Science*, 309(5731):93–93, 2005.
- [PS10] M. Perc and A. Szolnoki. Coevolutionary games – a mini review. *Biosystems*, 99(2):109 – 125, 2010.
- [PSSS09] J. M. Pacheco, F. C. Santos, M. O. Souza, and B. Skyrms. Evolutionary dynamics of collective action in n-person stag hunt dilemmas. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 276(1655):315–321, 2009.
- [San10] W. H. Sandholm. *Population games and evolutionary dynamics*. MIT press, 2010.
- [SCP15] T. Sasaki, X. Chen, and M. Perc. Evolution of public cooperation in a monitored society with implicated punishment and within-group enforcement. *Scientific Reports*, 5:112, 2015.

- [Sig10] K. Sigmund. *The calculus of selfishness*. Princeton Univ. Press, 2010.
- [SM07] G. Schehr and S. N. Majumdar. Statistics of the number of zero crossings: From random polynomials to the diffusion equation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 99:060603, Aug 2007.
- [SM08] G. Schehr and S. Majumdar. Real roots of random polynomials and zero crossing properties of diffusion equation. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 132(2):235–273, 2008.
- [SPS09] M. O. Souza, J. M. Pacheco, and F. C. Santos. Evolution of cooperation under n-person snowdrift games. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 260(4):581 – 588, 2009.
- [SS83] P. Schuster and K. Sigmund. Replicator dynamics. *J. Theo. Biol.*, 100:533–538, 1983.
- [TJ78] P. D. Taylor and L. Jonker. Evolutionary stable strategies and game dynamics. *Math. Biosci.*, 40:145–156, 1978.
- [TP07] K. Tuyls and S. Parsons. What evolutionary game theory tells us about multiagent learning. *Artificial Intelligence*, 171(7):406–416, 2007.
- [Zap06] D. N. Zaporozhets. On the distribution of the number of real zeros of a random polynomial. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 137(1):4525–4530, 2006.
- [Zee80] E. C. Zeeman. Population dynamics from game theory. *in Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 819:471–497, 1980.