Symmetries, Holography and Quantum Phase Transition in Two-dimensional Dilaton AdS Gravity

Mariano Cadoni,^{*} Matteo Ciulu,[†] and Matteo Tuveri[‡]

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Cagliari & INFN, Sezione di Cagliari

Cittadella Universitaria, 09042 Monserrato, Italy

(Dated: 8th November 2017)

We present a revisitation of the Almheiri-Polchinski dilaton gravity model from a two-dimensional (2D) bulk perspective. We describe a peculiar feature of the model, namely the pattern of conformal symmetry breaking using bulk Killing vectors, a covariant definition of mass and the flow between different vacua of the theory. We show that the effect of the symmetry breaking is both the generation of an infrared scale (a mass gap) and to make local the Goldstone modes associated with the asymptotic symmetries of the 2D spacetime. In this way a non vanishing central charge is generated in the dual conformal theory, which accounts for the microscopic entropy of the 2D black hole. The use of covariant mass allows to compare energetically the two different vacua of the theory and to show that at zero temperature the vacuum with a constant dilaton is energetically preferred. We also translate in the bulk language several features of the dual CFT discussed by Maldacena et al. The uplifting of the 2D model to (d + 2)-dimensional theories exhibiting hyperscaling violation is briefly discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Two-dimensional (2D) dilaton gravity models have a long history (see Ref. [1] for a review). They have been first proposed for studying quantum gravity in a simplified context [2]. Later, they have been developed along several different directions as an arena to understand gravity in a simplified setting, as effective description of the radial modes (the S-wave sector) of black holes [3] and as toy models for black hole evaporation and related information puzzles [4]. 2D dilaton gravity models have been also used to explore the Anti de Sitter/Conformal field theory (AdS/CFT) correspondence in two dimensions [5–8] and to investigate the microscopic origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking black hole entropy [8].

Recently, a 2D AdS dilaton gravity model has been proposed by Almheiri and Polchinski (AP) [9]. The main motivation behind this proposal was to understand the infrared (IR) behaviour of higher-dimensional black holes, which flow in the IR to an $AdS_2 \times S^d$ spacetime. Among many others, this is for instance the case of charged Reissner-Nordström black holes in General Relativity. The IR behaviour of these black holes is problematic for several reasons. In fact, the T = 0 extremal black hole is a zero temperature vacuum state with non vanishing entropy and the backreaction is so strong that there are no finite energy excitations above the vacuum [10–12].

The AP dilaton gravity model coupled to a matter field f is described by the action [9] (we set the dimensionless

Newton constant to $G_2 = 1/8\pi$)

$$S = \int d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-g} \left(\eta R - V(\eta) \right) + \mathcal{L}_m \right] + \int dt \mathcal{L}_b, \quad (1)$$

where η is a scalar field (the dilaton). The matter and boundary Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_m , \mathcal{L}_b are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_m = -\frac{1}{8}\sqrt{-g}\,(\nabla f)^2,\,\mathcal{L}_b = \sqrt{|h|}\,\eta\mathcal{K},\qquad(2)$$

where h_{ij} is the induced metric on the boundary and \mathcal{K} is the trace of the second fundamental form. The potential for the dilaton is

$$V(\eta) = 2\lambda^2(\alpha^2 - \eta). \tag{3}$$

Notice that the potential contains a dimensionless parameter α^2 and a parameter λ with dimensions $[L]^{-1}$.

The AP model extends the well-known Jackiw-Teitelboim (JT) model [2], characterised by a simple homogeneous potential, by including the constant term $2\lambda^2\alpha^2$.

The most important feature of the AP model is that it allows for two kinds of vacuum AdS solutions. One with constant dilaton, pure AdS₂, to which we will refer as constant dilaton vacuum (CDV). The other one is a solution with a non-constant, linearly varying, dilaton which we will call linear dilaton vacuum (LDV). When uplifted to (d + 2)-dimensions, these vacuum solutions produce different spacetimes. The CDV produces a spacetime of the form AdS₂ × R^d , i.e. an intrinsically 2D spacetime. On the other hand, the uplifting of the LDV leads to a hyperscaling violating geometry H^{d+2} [13], which describes the warping of AdS₂ with R^d . In this case, the dilaton plays the role of the radius of R^d .

The JT model has been widely used as a 2D toy model for higher-dimensional black holes, to give a microscopic interpretation of black entropy [8] and to understand the AdS/CFT correspondence in 2D in terms of asymptotic

^{*} mariano.cadoni@ca.infn.it

 $^{^\}dagger$ matteo.ciulu@gmail.com

 $^{^{\}ddagger}$ matteo.tuveri@ca.infn.it

symmetries [5, 6, 14]. Conversely, the extended AP model has been recently used as a description of extremal black holes in 2D (in particular to investigate the breakdown of semi-classical thermodynamics and the flow from LDV to CDV). Moreover it has also been used to describe the back-reaction on holographic correlators [9, 10] and to investigate its relation with the conformal symmetry breaking [15].

In this paper we present a revisitation of the AP model from a 2D bulk perspective. The goal of our reconsinderation is twofolds. On the one hand, we want to describe the pattern of conformal symmetry breaking and to explain its dynamical consequences (generation of an IR scale and the appearance of Goldstone modes) focusing mainly on bulk gravitational features of the solutions. On the other hand, we would like to connect and translate the formulation of the boundary theory in terms of the Schwarzian action of Ref. [15] in the language of Refs. [5, 6, 14], i.e. in the language of canonical realisation of the asymptotic symmetry group of AdS_2 .

We will show that the pattern of conformal symmetry breaking and its dynamical consequences can be simply described using bulk Killing vectors, the covariant (bulk) mass definition of Refs. [16–18] and the flow between a "symmetry-respecting" vacuum and a "symmetry-violating" vacuum. In this way we can easily understand, from a purely 2D bulk gravitational perspective, the generation of an IR scale (the mass gap/scale of conformal symmetry breaking in the conformal correlators) and the appearance of local Goldstone modes.

Our bulk perspective will also allows us to compare, energetically, the CDV and LDV vacuum. We will show that whereas at non-vanishing temperature the LDV is always energetically preferred, at T = 0 the situation is reversed and the CDV is favourite. This signalises a T = 0 quantum phase transition which, from an higherdimensional perspective, can be thought as a spontaneous dimensional reduction from a d + 2 to d = 2 dimensions spacetime, whose possible role in quantum gravity has been emphasised in Ref. [19].

The structure of the paper is the following. In Sect. II we revisit the various solutions of the AP model. In Sect. III we define the covariant mass and explain its leading role as the physical mass of the solutions. In Sect. IV we discuss the symmetries of the model, the pattern of the conformal symmetry breaking as well as their consequences for the boundary theory. In Sect. V we discuss the free energy of the solutions and the quantum phase transition. In Sect. VI we present our conclusions. In the appendix VII we discuss the uplifting of a 2D model to a (d + 2)-dimensions model exhibiting hyperscaling violation in the ultraviolet (UV).

II. SOLUTIONS AND VACUA

In Schwarzschild coordinates and in absence of matter (f = 0), owing to 2D Birkhoff theorem, the most general solution of the model (2) is a two-parameter family of solutions,

$$ds^{2} = -(\lambda^{2}x^{2} - a^{2})dt^{2} + (\lambda^{2}x^{2} - a^{2})^{-1}dx^{2},$$

$$\eta = \alpha^{2} + \eta_{0}\lambda x.$$
(4)

where a^2 and η_0 are dimensionless integration constants. The ADM mass of the solution depends on both η_0 and a and on the parameter λ [9]

$$M_{\rm ADM} = \frac{\eta_0 \lambda a^2}{2}.$$
 (5)

It is important to stress that the ADM mass does not depend on the parameter α^2 appearing in the AP potential (3) for the dilaton.

An important feature of the AP model is that it allows for two different vacuum solutions, i.e. solutions with $M_{ADM} = 0$. In fact, for $\eta_0 = 0$ and $\alpha^2 \neq 0$ we have the constant dilaton vacuum. It describes the AdS_2 spacetime with a constant dilaton. It is already well known that this vacuum does not allow for finite energy excitations [9–11]. It is separated from the continuous part of the spectrum by a mass gap, M_{gap} . This is immediately evident from Eq. (5): for $\eta_0 = 0$, M_{ADM} identically vanishes, independently from the value of a. Conversely, for $\eta_0 \neq 0$ we have the *linear dilaton vacuum*, which is AdS_2 endowed with a linear dilaton. Differently from the CDV, this vacuum allows for continuous excitations with $a^2 > 0$.

It is important to stress that we have two different LDV depending on the value of the parameters (η_0, a) and α . For $\alpha^2 = 0$ the AP model reduces to the JT model and the solution for the dilaton is linear and homogeneous. Notice that this is not an exact solution of the AP model (i.e. the model with $\alpha^2 \neq 0$) but appears only as an asymptotic solution for $x \to \infty$. On the other hand, for $\eta_0 \neq 0$ and $\alpha^2 \neq 0$, the LDV is an exact solution of the AP model and a linear, homogeneous dilaton at large x. Whenever the distinction between these two LDV will be necessary, we will call the LDV with $\alpha^2 \neq 0$ interpolating linear dilaton vacuum (ILDV).

If one uses M_{ADM} as the mass of the solution the three vacuua become completely degenerate: the CDV simply does not allow for $M_{ADM} \neq 0$ excitation, whereas LDV and ILDV have the same energy because M_{ADM} does not depend on α .

The finite, $M_{ADM} > 0$, excitations of the LDV and ILDV can be interpreted as 2D black holes with horizon radius $x = a/\lambda$ and temperature and entropy given by

$$T = \frac{\lambda a}{2\pi}, \quad S = 2\pi\eta_h = 2\pi\alpha^2 + 2\pi\eta_0 a, \tag{6}$$

$$M_{ADM} = \frac{2\pi^2 \eta_0}{\lambda} T^2. \tag{7}$$

Notice that the interpretation of the $a^2 > 0$ solutions as 2D black holes is not completely straightforward. In fact, it is well known that the metric (4) can be brought by a coordinate transformation in the maximally extended form $ds^2 = -\cosh^2 \rho \, d\tau^2 + \frac{d\rho^2}{\lambda^2}, -\infty < \tau, \rho < +\infty$, which describes full, geodesically complete AdS_2 (see e.g. Ref. [20]). This is not anymore true if one takes into account the fact that points where the dilaton vanishes have to be considered spacetime singularities. This makes solutions with different a^2 as globally inequivalent and allows for the interpretation of the $a^2 > 0$ solution as a 2D black hole [21].

The previous argument forbids the existence of 2D black hole solutions with constant dilaton, in agreement with the absence of finite energy excitations of the CDV. On the other hand, we can formally consider zero mass thermal excitation of the CDV of the form

$$ds^{2} = -(\lambda^{2}x^{2} - \frac{4\pi^{2}T^{2}}{\lambda^{2}})dt^{2} + (\lambda^{2}x^{2} - \frac{4\pi^{2}T^{2}}{\lambda^{2}})^{-1}dx^{2},$$

$$\eta = \alpha^{2}.$$
(8)

The discussion of the spacetime singularities is much simpler using light-cone coordinates x^{\pm} . Using the SL(2, R) isometric transformations, the solution (4) becomes

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{4}{\lambda^{2}(x^{+} - x^{-})^{2}}dx^{+}dx^{-},$$

$$\eta = \alpha^{2} + \frac{2\frac{\eta_{0}}{\lambda} - M_{ADM}x^{+}x^{-}}{x^{+} - x^{-}}.$$
(9)

The $\eta = 0$ singularity is located at

$$\left(x^{+} + \frac{\alpha^{2}}{M_{ADM}}\right)\left(x^{-} - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{M_{ADM}}\right) = \frac{2\eta_{0}}{\frac{\lambda}{M}M_{ADM}} = \frac{2\eta_{0}}{M_{ADM}^{2}},$$
(10)

whereas the timelike asymptotic boundary of AdS₂ is located at $x^+ = x^- = t = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2\eta_0}{\lambda M_{ADM}}}$. The nature of the singularity depends on the value of M_{ADM} . For $M_{ADM} > \lambda \alpha^4/(2\eta_0)$ the singularity is spacelike whereas for $M_{ADM} < \lambda \alpha^4/(2\eta_0)$ it is timelike.

For planar spatial topology the ILDV gives a nice, effective, 2D description of the flow from an $AdS_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ geometry in the IR to a hyperscaling violating geometry [13] in (d + 2)-dimension in the UV, of which AdS_{d+2} is a particular case. From the 2D perspective this flow is a simple consequence of both the relation $\mathbb{R} \propto \eta^p$ between the dilaton and the radius \mathbb{R} of \mathbb{R}^d and of the constant/linear behaviour of the dilaton at small/large x. We briefly discuss the uplifting of the AP model to a (d+2)-dimensional theory with hyperscaling violation in the appendix VII.

From the thermodynamical point of view, the CDV gives the typical T = 0, extremal, state with non vanishing entropy of a large class of (d + 2)-dimensional extremal black holes, like e.g. charged Reissner-Nordström black holes in four dimensions. Near extremality, the mass-temperature relation for the excitations, $M_{ADM} \propto T^2$ in Eq. (7), implies the breakdown of the thermodynamical semi-classical description and the appearance of a mass gap [10, 12]

$$M_{gap} = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi^2 \eta_0}.$$
 (11)

This mass gap is the consequence of the absence of finite energy excitations of the CDV [11], which in turns is related to the strong backreaction on AdS₂. From the AdS/CFT correspondence point of view, the appearance of the mass gap (11) can be also explained in terms of the pattern of breakdown of the conformal symmetry which generates, in the IR, a mass scale of order λ [9, 10, 15].

Despite the successes of the AP model described above, two aspects are still not completely clear. The first is the characterisation of the energy of the solution trough the ADM mass (5). This mass does not distinguish between the different vacua of the theory, in fact it is independent from α . Due to the different features of the two vacua, the ADM-mass degeneracy between the CDV and LDV becomes particularly ambiguous. Moreover, M_{ADM} does not keep informations about the presence of the mass gap. Last but not least, it also does not seem a suitable physical parameter to characterise the singularity. Indeed, the transition between spacelike and timelike singularities occurs not when M_{ADM} changes sign, as expected, but rather at strictly positive values.

The second aspect is the characterisation of the pattern of conformal symmetry breaking. This pattern has been described using correlation functions in the dual conformal field theory. However, in the spirit of the AdS/CFT correspondence one should be able to characterise completely this pattern also using only the 2D gravity theory. In what follows, we will show how the peculiarities of dilaton gravity in 2D spacetime can help us to clarify the picture presented above.

III. COVARIANT MASS

The first peculiarity of 2D dilaton gravity is that the metric always admits the existence of a Killing vector whose explicit form depends on the dilaton [14, 16, 18]

$$\chi^{\mu} = F_0 \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} \eta, \qquad (12)$$

where F_0 is a normalisation factor. The second is the existence of a covariant, conserved mass [16, 17]

$$M = -\frac{F_0}{2} \left[\int^{\eta} V(s) ds + (\nabla \eta)^2 \right].$$
 (13)

In this paper we will use the normalisation prescription of Refs. [16, 18], i.e $F_0 = (\lambda \eta_0)^{-1}$.

 $^{^1~\}mathrm{AdS}_2\times S^d$ in the case of spherical spacial topology

The covariant mass M gives a definition of the energy of the solution, which is invariant under Weyl transformation of the metric [18]. It may differ from the standard ADM mass only by a constant (temperature independent) term. For this reason it is particularly appropriate to quantify the energy of the different vacua of the AP model.

Computing the covariant mass for the general solution (4) of our model we get,

$$M = \frac{a^2 \eta_0 \lambda}{2} - \frac{\alpha^4 \lambda}{2\eta_0} = M_{ADM} - \frac{\alpha^4 \lambda}{2\eta_0}.$$
 (14)

There are several reasons indicating that the covariant mass M and not the ADM mass M_{ADM} has to be considered as the physical mass of the solutions. For $\alpha = 0$ we have $M = M_{ADM}$. By using M instead of M_{ADM} we remove the degeneracy between the CDV and the LDV and keep also track about the nonexistence of finite energy excitations of the CDV. The ILDV has negative energy $M = -\frac{\alpha^4 \lambda}{2\eta_0}$, whereas for the CDV we have $M \to -\infty$. Moreover, the r.h.s. of Eq. (10) can be written as $2\eta_0 M/M_{ADM}^2$. Thus, the spacetime singularity is spacelike for M > 0, whereas it becomes timelike for M < 0.

IV. SYMMETRIES AND SYMMETRY BREAKING

Let us now discuss the symmetries of the different vacua of the AP model. The isometry group of AdS_2 is the $SL(2,R) \sim SO(1,2)$ group generated by three Killing vectors. In Schwarzschild coordinates (4) they represent time translations \mathcal{T} , dilatations and special conformal transformations. However, the SL(2, R) symmetry is only a symmetry of the metric. The whole solution contains also the dilaton which, under isometric transformations generated by the Killing vector χ , transforms as $\delta \eta = \mathcal{L}_{\chi} \eta = \chi^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \eta$ [14]. Notice that a constant dilaton will preserve the SL(2, R) symmetries of the metric, whereas a non constant dilaton will necessarily break explicitly the SL(2, R) symmetry. On the other hand, the 2D metric allows for the killing vector (12), which is also always a symmetry of the dilaton ($\delta \eta = 0$). Thus, a non constant dilaton breaks explicitly the full SL(2, R)symmetry group of AdS_2 down to its subgroup H generated by the Killing vector (12).

In the case of the static solutions (4) the residual symmetry is the time translations \mathcal{T} and the symmetry breaking pattern is $SL(2, R) \to \mathcal{T}$ [14]. As a consequence, the CDV of the AP model preserves the full SL(2, R) symmetries of AdS₂, whereas the LDV breaks $SL(2, R) \to \mathcal{T}$. In this way we can describe the IR/UV flow CDV \rightarrow LDV as a symmetry breaking of the full SL(2, R) group down to time translations.

The parameter controlling the symmetry breaking is $\partial_x \eta = \eta_0 \lambda$. Any $\eta_0 \neq 0$ value breaks the SL(2, R) symmetry to \mathcal{T} and generates a mass-scale in the IR, set by

 λ , which is of the same order of magnitude of the mass gap (11).

Thus, the presence of a non constant dilaton breaks the conformal symmetry of the AdS₂ background and generates a mass gap through $\eta_0 \neq 0$. Further, it also affects the asymptotic symmetries of AdS₂ [14] and the dynamics of the boundary theory. In particular, the latter can be constructed using boundary curves t(u), where u is the time coordinate in the one-dimensional regularised boundary of AdS₂ [15].

Actually, the two descriptions, that of Refs. [5, 14], which uses canonical realisation of the asymptotic symmetry group (ASG) of AdS_2 and that of Ref. [15] give similar results but using different languages and a different coordinate system.

The ASG of AdS₂ [6, 14] is given by reparametrisations of the type $\xi^t = \epsilon(t)$, $\xi^x = x\epsilon'(t)$ and is generated by a single copy of the Virasoro algebra. This transformations map the boundary curves t(u) into curves $t(u) = u + \epsilon(u)$. On the other hand, they act on the asymptotic expansion of the metric [5, 8, 14]

$$g_{tt} = -\lambda^2 x^2 + \gamma_{tt}(t) + o(x^{-2}), \qquad (15)$$

$$g_{tx} = \frac{\gamma_{tx}(t)}{\lambda^3 x^3} + o(x^{-5}),$$
 (16)

$$g_{xx} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 x^2} + \frac{\gamma_{xx}(t)}{\lambda^4 x^4} + o(x^{-6}), \qquad (17)$$

by transforming the values of the boundary fields γ .

In the case of the CDV (which is called "pure AdS₂" in Ref. [15]), the dilaton is constant, $\eta_0 = 0$, and we do not have the explicit breaking of the SL(2, R) conformal symmetry. The full Virasoro ASG is spontaneously broken by the AdS₂ bulk geometry down to the SL(2, R) group of isometries. The zero modes can be characterised either by the boundary curves t(u) (in the language of Ref. [15]) or by the boundary deformations γ (in the language of Ref. [6, 14]). These zero modes can be viewed as the Goldstone modes associated to the spontaneous breaking of the ASG [15]. However, these modes are not local, there is no local action one can write for them and this is related to the fact that the central charge c in the Virasoro algebra is zero.

In the case of the LDV (which is called "nearly AdS_2 " in Ref. [15]), as discussed above, the non constant value of the dilaton breaks explicitly $SL(2R) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$. This gives, in the language of Ref. [15] a new dimensional coupling constant, the renormalised boundary value of the dilaton $\phi_r(u)$, which can be used to constrain the shape of t(u)and to produce a local, Schwarzian action for the pseudo-Goldstone bosons t(u).

Conversely, in the language of Refs. [6, 14], the explicit breaking of the conformal symmetry is described by the asymptotic expansion for the dilaton $\eta = \eta_0 \rho(t)x +$ $+o(x^2)$ with the boundary field $\rho(t)$ transforming as $\delta \rho = \epsilon \dot{\rho} + \dot{\epsilon} \rho$ under the action of the ASG [6, 14].

The two boundary fields can be identified: $\phi_r(u) = \eta_0 \rho(t(u))$. In both descriptions the physical effect of

the explicit symmetry breaking is to make the Goldstone modes local and to generate a non vanishing central charge in the Virasoro algebra,

$$c = 12\eta_0,\tag{18}$$

through the anomalous transformation of the boundary stress energy tensor T_{tt} under the action of the ASG. In fact, we have $T_{tt}^{(1)} = \phi_r \{t(u), u\}$ for the boundary theory of Ref. [15], whereas $T_{tt}^{(2)} = -2\eta_0/\lambda\ddot{\rho}$ for the boundary theory of Refs. [6, 14]. The central charge c takes the form given by Eq. (18) if we choose $\rho = 1$. We can always make this choice by fixing the u-reparametrisation in the boundary which corresponds, in the language of Refs. [6, 14], to consider deformations of the dilaton near the on-shell solution (see [6, 14] for details).

The stress energy tensor $T_{tt}^{(2)}$ can be brought in the form $T_{tt}^{(1)}$. In fact, by considering finite transformations associated with the infinitesimal ones characterised by $\epsilon = u$, by using the transformation of the boundary field ρ and by setting $\rho = 1$ one finds $T_{tt}^{(2)} = (c/12)\{t(u), u\}$. The link between the origin of Schwarzian action and the presence of a non constant dilaton was emphasised also in Ref. [22], where it was shown that in a holographic framework the effective action of the AP model can be put in a Schwarzian form using the anomalous trace Ward identity. In particular, the anomaly turns out to be proportional to the source of the scalar operator dual to the dilaton, which is the analogue of our function $\rho(t)$.

Summarising, the explicit breaking of the conformal symmetry, $SL(2, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ generated by a non-constant dilaton has two effects. First, it generates an IR scale in the form of mass gap, $M_{gap} \sim \lambda$, separating the CDV from the LDV.

Second, it transforms the global Goldstone modes of the CDV associated with the spontaneous breaking of the ASG into local pseudo-Goldstone modes, producing a central charge $c = 12\eta_0$ in the Virasoro algebra associated to the ASG. This central charge therefore counts the number of pseudo-Goldstone modes. From this perspective we can identify the degrees of freedom responsible for the entropy of the 2D dilatonic black hole as these pseudo-Goldstone modes. The microscopic derivation of the entropy of the 2D dilatonic black hole given in Ref. [5] can be seen as counting the states of these modes. It is also interesting to notice that the mass gap in the chiral 2D CFT can be also understood as finite size effect generated by a plane/cylinder transformation of the vacuum of a CFT with non vanishing central charge $c = 12\eta_0$ [23, 24].

V. QUANTUM PHASE TRANSITION AND SPONTANEOUS DIMENSIONAL REDUCTION

The AP model allows for two different class of solutions, namely the 2D black hole (4) and the zero mass thermal excitations of the CDV (8). One important question is to determine which of these two solutions is, from the thermodynamic point of view, globally favourite. Using the 2D Hamiltonian formalism [7], this can be done by computing the difference ΔF between the free energy, F, of the two solutions. In the case under consideration this computation is not straightforward because ΔF is usually computed for solutions having the same asymptotical behaviour.

The presence of the dilaton makes the asymptotics of the two classes of solutions of the AP model (linear and constant dilaton, respectively) different, thus preventing the standard computation of ΔF . This problem can be circumvented by defining the free energy of the solution with respect to its own vacuum [25]. This method has been applied, for example, in Ref. [25] to calculate ΔF for two classes of 4D solutions approaching asymptotically to AdS and to a solution with hyperscaling violation, respectively.

Using this prescription for the free energy F in the Euclidean action formalism, for the case under consideration we get:

$$F^{BH} = -\frac{2\pi^2 \eta_0}{\lambda} T^2 - 2\pi \alpha^2 T,$$

$$F^T = -\kappa \eta_h^C = -2\pi \alpha^2 T,$$

$$\Delta F = F^{BH} - F^T = -\frac{2\pi^2 \eta_0}{\lambda} T^2,$$
(19)

where F^{BH} is the free energy of the 2D black hole (4) obtained by subtracting the contribution of the ILDV, whereas F^T is the free energy of the thermal excitation of the CDV obtained by subtracting the contribution of its own vacuum. From Eq. (19) follows immediately that for any $T \neq 0$, $\Delta F < 0$ and the 2D dilatonic black hole is energetically preferred.

By construction, Eq. (19) does not give any information about the behaviour at T = 0, being F defined with reference to the respective vacua at T = 0. Formally, at T = 0 the two vacua are degenerate, consistently with the degeneracy of the CDV and ILDV when the ADM mass is used to characterise the two solutions.

Moreover, the semi-classical approximation, on which the euclidean action formalism is based, breaks down at $T \sim M_{\text{gap}}$, so that Eq. (19) cannot be trusted at T = 0. At T = 0 there is no thermal contribution to the free energy and ΔF is given by the mass difference between the two vacua, $\Delta F_{T=0} = \Delta M = M^{ILDV} - M^{CDV}$.

We have already argued that we should use the covariant mass (14) as the physical mass instead of the ADM mass. Using this mass in the computation we find $\Delta F_{T=0} \rightarrow \infty > 0$. This means that the CDV is energetically preferred and that at T = 0 the 2D dilatonic black hole undergoes a quantum phase transition to the CDV. The free energy of the CDV diverges. Obviously, this is a manifestation of the presence of a mass gap in a classical theory. We expect a full quantum treatment of the topic to remove this divergence but not to change the $\Delta F_{T=0}$ result. From a four-dimensional perspective this quantum phase transition can be interpreted as a spontaneous dimensional reduction. In fact, the (d + 2)-dimensional uplifting of the ILDV is a scale covariant geometry H^{d+2} with hyperscaling violation in (d+2)-dimensions, whereas the uplifting of the CDV is $\operatorname{AdS}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^d$, i.e. a geometry which is intrinsically two-dimensional, being the radius of \mathbb{R}^d not dynamical. In terms of the uplifted geometries we have the T = 0 phase transition $H^{d+2} \to \operatorname{AdS}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

This phase transition supports the suggestion of Ref. [19] about the existence of a spontaneous dimensional reduction of the spacetime to two dimensions near the Planck scale.

Let us conclude with some remarks about one loop corrections to the free energy (19). Our calculation is based on the semi-classical approximation. One loop corrections to F have been shown in Ref. [9, 15] to have the typical log T behaviour, which gives a dangerous divergent term in the IR. However, this term does not contribute to the entropy of the CDV [15], we therefore expect our result to extend also beyond the semi-classical approximation.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have revisited the AP dilaton gravity model focusing mainly on bulk features of the model. Using a covariant definition of the mass, bulk Killing vectors and the flow between the two different vacua of the theory characterised, respectively, by a constant and linear varying dilaton, we have given a description of the pattern of conformal symmetry breaking, which is complementary to that emerging in the dual CFT [15].

This pattern is quite similar to that pertinent to hyperscaling-violating geometries in higher dimensions, to which we show the AP model can be uplifted. In fact, as a result of the flow between a "symmetry-violating" vacuum and a "symmetry-respecting" vacuum an IR scale is generated in the form of a mass gap. The other effect of the conformal symmetry breaking is to make local the Goldstone modes associated with the asymptotic symmetries of the 2D spacetime. This generates a nonvanishing central charge in the dual conformal theory, which explains at microscopic level the entropy of the 2D black hole [5].

We have also shown that several features of the boundary theory described in Ref. [15] can be easily translated in our language, which is based on bulk quantities and on the asymptotic symmetries of the spacetime.

Finally, the use of the covariant mass as measure of the energy of the solutions, allowed us to compare energetically the two different vacua of the theory, showing the existence of a zero temperature phase transition in which the vacuum with constant dilaton is energetically preferred. We speculate that this quantum phase transition could be related to the spontaneous dimensional reduction of the spacetime to two dimensions near the Planck scale described in Ref. [19].

VII. APPENDIX

Let us briefly show how the solution (4) can be uplifted to a (d + 2)-dimensional geometry describing the flow from a $\operatorname{AdS}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^d$ geometry in the IR to a (d + 2)-dimensional geometry with hyperscaling violation in the UV.

In (d+2)-dimensions the model is described by the action

$$S = \int d^{d+2}x \sqrt{-g_{(d+2)}} R_{(d+2)} + \mathcal{L}_M, \qquad (20)$$

where L_M is the Lagrangian for matter fields, which may also contains explicit coupling of matter fields to the dilaton.

For simplicity we assume that after dimensional reduction to two spacetime dimensions, the term \mathcal{L}_M either reproduce exactly the potential (3) or a potential, which can be approximated by (3). We look for brane solutions of the model, i.e. solutions for which the *d*-dimensional spatial sections have planar topology R^d and the dilaton, η , plays the role of the radius: $ds_{(d+2)}^2 = ds_{(2)}^2 + \eta^{2/d} dx_i dx^i$. In general, the dimensional reduction of the action (20) on this background produces kinetic terms for the dilaton η in the 2D dilaton gravity action. This terms can be put to zero by a Weyl rescaling of the 2D metric [18]. This corresponds to use, instead, the dimensional reduction

$$ds_{(d+2)}^2 = \eta^{\frac{1-d}{d}} ds_{(2)}^2 + \eta^{\frac{2}{d}} dx_i dx^i.$$
 (21)

One can check that the dimensional reduction now produces the AP action (1). Using Eq. (21) and the form of the 2D solution given by Eq. (4), one can easily realise that the (d + 2)-dimensional solution interpolates between an hyperscaling violating geometry at large xand an $\operatorname{AdS}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^d$ geometry at small x. In fact, for $x \to \infty$ the term proportional to x in the dilaton dominates, and the change of radial coordinate, $x \propto r^{-2d/(d+1)}$, brings the metric in the scale covariant form given in Ref. [13]

$$ds_{(d+2)}^2 = r^{-2\frac{d-\theta}{d}} \left(-r^{-2(z-1)}dt^2 + dr^2 + dx_i dx^i \right).$$
(22)

The hyperscaling violating parameter θ and the dynamical exponent z are:

$$\theta = \frac{d(d-1)}{d+1}, \quad z = \frac{2d}{d+1}.$$
 (23)

Conversely, in the near horizon limit the term proportional to x in the dilaton, can be neglected with respect to the constant term and the metric (21) gives an $\operatorname{AdS}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^d$ geometry. This can be also considered as the limiting case $\theta = 0, z = \infty$ of the hyperscaling violating geometry (22).

- D. Grumiller, W. Kummer and D. V. Vassilevich, Phys. Rept. 369 (2002) 327 [hep-th/0204253].
- [2] R. Jackiw, Nucl. Phys. B **252** (1985) 343.
- [3] A. Strominger, hep-th/9501071.
- [4] C. G. Callan, Jr., S. B. Giddings, J. A. Harvey and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) no.4, R1005 [hepth/9111056].
- [5] M. Cadoni and S. Mignemi, Nucl. Phys. B 557 (1999) 165 [hep-th/9902040].
- [6] M. Cadoni, P. Carta, D. Klemm and S. Mignemi, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 125021 [hep-th/0009185].
- [7] M. Caldarelli, G. Catelani and L. Vanzo, JHEP 0010 (2000) 005 [hep-th/0008058].
- [8] M. Cadoni and S. Mignemi, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 081501 [hep-th/9810251].
- [9] A. Almheiri and J. Polchinski, JHEP 1511 (2015) 014 [arXiv:1402.6334 [hep-th]].
- [10] A. Almheiri and B. Kang, JHEP **1610** (2016) 052 [arXiv:1606.04108 [hep-th]].
- [11] J. M. Maldacena, J. Michelson and A. Strominger, JHEP 9902 (1999) 011 [hep-th/9812073].
- [12] J. Preskill, P. Schwarz, A. D. Shapere, S. Trivedi and F. Wilczek, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 6 (1991) 2353.
- [13] X. Dong, S. Harrison, S. Kachru, G. Torroba and H. Wang, JHEP **1206** (2012) 041 [arXiv:1201.1905 [hep-

th]].

- [14] M. Cadoni and S. Mignemi, Phys. Lett. B 490 (2000) 131 [hep-th/0002256].
- [15] J. Maldacena, D. Stanford and Z. Yang, PTEP 2016 (2016) no.12, 12C104 [arXiv:1606.01857 [hep-th]].
- [16] R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 4438 [hepth/9206044].
- [17] J. Gegenberg, G. Kunstatter and D. Louis-Martinez, grqc/9501017.
- [18] M. Cadoni, Phys. Lett. B **395** (1997) 10 [hepth/9610201].
- [19] S. Carlip, AIP Conf. Proc. 1483 (2012) 63 [arXiv:1207.4503 [gr-qc]].
- [20] A. Achucarro and M. E. Ortiz, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 3600 [hep-th/9304068].
- [21] M. Cadoni and S. Mignemi, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 4319 [hep-th/9410041].
- [22] M. Cvetic and I. Papadimitriou, JHEP 1612 (2016) 008
 Erratum: [JHEP 1701 (2017) 120] [arXiv:1608.07018
 [hep-th]].
- [23] M. Cadoni and M. Cavaglia, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 084024 [hep-th/0008084].
- [24] M. Cadoni and P. Carta, Phys. Lett. B 522 (2001) 126 [hep-th/0107234].
- [25] M. Cadoni and S. Mignemi, JHEP **1206** (2012) 056 [arXiv:1205.0412 [hep-th]].