On the Gauss-Lucas theorem in the quaternionic setting

Sorin G. Gal University of Oradea Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Str. Universitatii Nr. 1 410087 Oradea, Romania galsorin23@gmail.com J. Oscar González-Cervantes Departamento de Matemáticas E.S.F.M. del I.P.N. 07338 México D.F., México jogc200678@gmail.com

Irene Sabadini Dipartimento di Matematica Politecnico di Milano Via Bonardi 9 20133 Milano, Italy irene.sabadini@polimi.it

September 13, 2018

Abstract

In theory of one complex variable, Gauss-Lucas Theorem states that the critical points of a non constant polynomial belong to the convex hull of the set of zeros of the polynomial. The exact analogue of this result cannot hold, in general, in the quaternionic case; instead, the critical points of a non constant polynomial belong to the convex hull of the set of zeros of the so-called symmetrization of the given polynomial. An incomplete proof of this statement was given in [8]. In this paper we present a different but complete proof of this theorem and we discuss a consequence.

AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30G35; Secondary 30C15 **Keywords**: Quaternionic polynomials, critical ponts.

1 Introduction

The Gauss-Lucas Theorem, e.g. see [1], [3], [7], states that the critical points of a non constant complex polynomial P i.e. the zeros of the derivative P', belong to the convex hull of the set of zeros of P. It is a useful tool in classical complex analysis with several applications, for example in approximation theory. A well known direct consequence of the Gauss-Lucas theorem is that a complex polynomial has a zero of modulus which is at least a constant related with the coefficients of the polynomial. An equivalent form of the Gauss-Lucas Theorem states that given any non constant complex polynomial P, then any zero of P' is a convex combination of elements of Z_P , the set of zeros of P. In other words, if P'(z) = 0, then there exist $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in Z_p$ and there exist $\lambda_1 \ldots, \lambda_n \in [0, 1]$ such that $z = \lambda_1 z_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n z_n$, such that $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n = 1$. The theory of quaternionic polynomials with coefficients on one side, for example on the right, is rather rich (see [6] and the references therein) and it had a resurgence of interest in recent times, after that it has been observed that one sided quaternionic polynomials are a special case of slice regular functions, see [2, 4]. Quaternionic polynomials have some peculiarities, for example they can admit an infinite number of zeros. To provide an example, it is sufficient to consider the polynomial $P(q) = q^2 + 1$ whose roots are the purely imaginary quaternions of norm 1. In general, one can show that the zeros of a quaternionic polynomial are either points or 2-dimensional spheres in the set of quaternions identified with \mathbb{R}^4 . In this case, we say that the polynomial has a spherical zero. The number of zeros is related with the degree of a polynomial as it is equal to the number of isolated zeros plus twice the number of spheres.

The behaviour of the derivative of a quaternionic polynomial may be unexpected. For example, $P(q) = q^2 - q(\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j}) + \mathbf{k}$ has a zero of multiplicity 2 at the point \mathbf{i} but its derivative $P'(q) = 2q - (\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j})$ does not vanish at this point.

It is then natural to ask whether the Gauss-Lucas theorem holds directly in this framework. In [8] the author states that the critical points of a the derivative of a polynomial belong to the convex hull of the symmetrization of the polynomial. However the proof, which is done in a few lines, is very incomplete. In this paper we give a complete proof of this theorem and one direct consequence of this result.

2 Preliminary results on quaternionic polynomials

By \mathbb{H} we denote the algebra of real quaternions, namely the set of elements of the form $q = q_0 + q_1 \mathbf{i} + q_2 \mathbf{j} + q_3 \mathbf{k}$, where $q_n \in \mathbb{R}$ for n = 0, 1, 2, 3 and the imaginary units $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$ satisfy: $\mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 = -1$, $\mathbf{ij} = -\mathbf{ji} = \mathbf{k}$, $\mathbf{jk} = -\mathbf{kj} = \mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{ki} = -\mathbf{ik} = \mathbf{j}$. Moreover, q_0 is called the real part of q and it is often denoted by $\operatorname{Re}(q)$ while $\mathbf{q} = q_1 \mathbf{i} + q_2 \mathbf{j} + q_3 \mathbf{k}$ is called the vector part, or imaginary part. The norm of a quaternion is defined as $|q| = (q_0^2 + q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2)^{1/2}$ and corresponds to the Euclidean norm when we identify q with the element $(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3) \in \mathbb{R}^4$. The purely imaginary quaternions \mathbf{q} with norm 1 form a 2-dimensional sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . Any $I \in \mathbb{S}^2$ is such that $I^2 = -1$ and thus $\mathbb{C}(I) = \{x + Iy \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a complex plane whose imaginary unit is I. Given any nonreal quaternion q with nonzero vector part belongs to the complex plane $\mathbb{C}(I_q)$ where $I_q = q_1 \mathbf{i} + q_2 \mathbf{j} + q_3 \mathbf{k}/|q_1 \mathbf{i} + q_2 \mathbf{j} + q_3 \mathbf{k}|$. If a quaternion $q = x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $q = x + I \cdot 0$ for any $I \in \mathbb{S}^2$.

Given a quaternion α written in the form $\alpha = a_0 + Ia_1$, $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, the set of elements of the form $a_0 + Ja_1$ when $J \in \mathbb{S}^2$ forms a 2-dimensional sphere denoted by $[\alpha]$. This 2-sphere can equivalently be described as the set of all the elements of the form $r^{-1}\alpha r$ where $r \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$.

In this paper, we will consider quaternionic polynomials with coefficients written on the right, i.e.

$$P(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{m} q^n a_n, \qquad q \in \mathbb{H},$$

where $a_n \in \mathbb{H}$ for n = 0, ..., m. These polynomials can be multiplied via the so-called *multiplication by taking the convolution of their coefficients: if $Q(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{r} q^n b_n$ then

$$(P * Q)(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{m+r} q^n (\sum_{s+k=n} a_s b_k).$$

We note that this multiplication coincides with the pointwise multiplication only when the coefficients of P are real, otherwise we have (P * Q)(q) = 0 if P(q) = 0 and if $P(q) \neq 0$

$$(P * Q)(q) = P(q)Q(P(q)^{-1}qP(q)),$$

see [2] and [4].

Using the *-multiplication we can define the polynomial P^s which will be crucial in the sequel:

Definition 2.1. Given a quaternionic polynomial $P(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{m} q^n a_n$ we define the following polynomials:

$$P^{c}(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{m} q^{n} \overline{a}_{n};$$
$$P^{s}(q) = P(q) * P^{c}(q) = P(q)^{c} * P(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{2m} q^{n} \sum_{s+r=n} a_{s} \overline{a}_{r}.$$

The following result, see [5], [6], summarizes some important properties of the zeros of a quaternionic polynomial:

- **Theorem 2.2.** 1. A quaternion α is a zero of a (nonzero) polynomial P(q) if and only if the polynomial $q \alpha$ is a left divisor of P(q).
 - 2. A sphere α consists of zeros of a (nonzero) polynomial P(q) if and only if the polynomial $q 2\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)q + |\alpha|^2$ is a divisor of P(q).
 - 3. If $P(q) = (q \alpha_1) * \ldots * (q \alpha_n)$, where $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{H}$, then α_1 is a zero of P and every other zero of P belong to the spheres $[\alpha_i], i = 2, \ldots, n$.
 - 4. If P has two distinct zeros in an equivalence class $[\alpha]$, then all the elements in $[\alpha]$ are zeros of P. In particular, a polynomial with real coefficients has either real or spherical zeros.

We also note the following:

Remark 2.3. The zeros of the polynomial P^s are the spheres (which may be reduced to real points) associated to the zeros of P, see [2], [4]. In other words, the sphere $[\alpha] = [a_0 + Ia_1]$, $a_1 \neq 0$, consists of zeros of P^s if and only if there exists $J \in \mathbb{S}^2$ such that $a_0 + Ja_1$ is a zero of P. The real point α is a zero of P^s if and only if it is a zero of P.

3 A quaternionic version of the Gauss-Lucas Theorem

The derivative of P(q) is defined in the customary way as $P'(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} q^{n-1} n a_n$. By Z_P we denote the set of zeros of P and the zeros of P' are called critical points of P.

Let $U \subset \mathbb{H}$ be a non empty set, then Kull(U) is the intersection of all convex subsets of \mathbb{H} containing U, and it is called the convex hull of U. Since \mathbb{H} can be naturally identified with \mathbb{R}^4 , it follows that $q \in Kull(U)$ if and only if q is a convex combination of elements of U.

The following result is not surprising since it deals with polynomials with real coefficients, and thus it mimics the result in the classical case:

Proposition 3.1. Given

$$P(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{m} q^n a_n$$

where $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ for all n = 1, ..., m. Then the critical points of P belong to $Kull(Z_P)$.

Proof. It relies on the classical Gauss-Lucas theorem in the complex case. Consider $v \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $0 = P'(v) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} v^{n-1}na_n$. For a suitable $I \in \mathbb{S}^2$, we can write v = x + Iy where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $v \in \mathbb{C}(I)$ is a critical point of $P|_{\mathbb{C}(I)}$, which is a complex polynomial. From the classical Gauss-Lucas Theorem one has that v is a convex combination of elements of $Z_{P|_{\mathbb{C}(I)}}$ and thus, in particular, $v \in Kull(Z_P)$ and the assertion follows.

Remark 3.2. The previous result fails when the coefficients of a polynomial are not all real numbers. Let, for example,

$$P(q) = q^2 \frac{1}{2} + q\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} = \frac{1}{2}(q + \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{ij}) * (q + \mathbf{i} - \mathbf{ij}).$$

The equation (q-a) * (q-b) has zeros q = a and $q = (b-\bar{a})^{-1}b(b-\bar{a})$ so, in this case there is just the zero $-\mathbf{i} - ij$ with multiplicity two. It follows that $Z_P = \{-\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{ij}\}$ and $Z_{P'} = \{-\mathbf{i}\}$. Therefore, $Z_{P'} \not\subset Kull(Z_P) = \{-\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{ij}\}$.

In order to prove the appropriate generalization of the Gauss-Lucas theorem for quaternionic polynomial, namely that $Z_{P'} \subset Kull(Z_{P^s})$, we need two technical results:

Proposition 3.3. Let P(q) be a quaternionic polynomial. Then $Z_{P'|_{\mathbb{C}(I)}} \subset Kull(Z_{P^s|_{\mathbb{C}(I)}})$, for each $I \in \mathbb{S}^2$ if and only if $Z_{P'} \subset Kull(Z_{P^s})$.

Proof. For of all, let us note that if $Z_{P'|_{\mathbb{C}(I)}} \subset Kull(Z_{P^s|_{\mathbb{C}(I)}})$, for every $I \in \mathbb{S}^2$ then $Z_{P'|_{\mathbb{C}(I)}} \subset Kull(Z_{P^s|_{\mathbb{C}(I)}}) \subseteq Kull(Z_{P^s})$. Thus

$$Z_{P'} = \bigcup_{I \in \mathbb{S}^2} Z_{P'|_{\mathbb{C}(I)}} \subset Kull(Z_{P^s}).$$

To prove the converse, we assume that $Z_{P'} \subset Kull(Z_{P^s})$ and we consider $q \in Z_{P'|_{\mathbb{C}(I)}}$ and we write q = x + Iy. Since q is a zero of P' we have $q \in Kull(Z_{P^s})$ and we can write

$$q = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \rho_l a_l + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \delta_k b_k,$$

where $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in Z_{P^s} \cap \mathbb{C}(I)$, $b_1, \ldots, b_m \in Z_{P^s} \setminus \mathbb{C}(I)$, $0 \leq \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n, \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_m \leq 1$, and $1 = \sum_{l=1}^n \rho_l + \sum_{k=1}^m \delta_k$. Since P^s has real coefficients, it has spherical zeros therefore if $a_l = r_l + Is_l$ and $b_k = x_k + I_k y_k$ with $r_l, s_l, x_k, y_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $I_k \in \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{I\}$, then $c_l = r_l + I|s_l|$ and $d_k = x_k + I|y_k|$ belong to $Z_{P^s} \cap \mathbb{C}(I)$, for $l = 1, \ldots, l$ and $k = 1, \ldots, m$. Let us set

$$q' = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \rho_l c_l + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \delta_k d_k = x' + Iy'.$$

It is clear that $q', \overline{q'} \in Kull(Z_{P^s|_{\mathbb{C}(I)}})$ and that Re(q) = Re(q') while the imaginary part can be written as

$$yI = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \rho_l s_l I + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \delta_k I_k y_k.$$

Thus

$$|y| \le |\sum_{l=1}^{n} \rho_l s_l| + |\sum_{k=1}^{m} \delta_k I_k y_k| \le \sum_{l=1}^{n} \rho_l |s_l| + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \delta_k |y_k|$$
$$\le \sum_{l=1}^{n} \rho_l |s_l| + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \delta_k |y_k| = y'$$

Then since $-y' \leq y \leq y'$ i.e. q = x + Iy is in the segment with end points q' = x' + Iy' and $\overline{q'} = x' - Iy'$. Therefore $q \in Kull(Z_{P^s|_{\mathbb{C}(I)}})$.

Remark 3.4. Given the quaternionic polynomial P, its restriction to a complex plane $\mathbb{C}(I)$ can be written as $P \mid_{\mathbb{C}(I)} (z) = P_1(z) + P_2(z)J$, where P_1 and P_2 are complex-valued polynomials in $z \in \mathbb{C}(I)$ and $J \in \mathbb{S}^2$ orthogonal to I. Since, by linearity, $P' \mid_{\mathbb{C}(I)} = P'_1 + P'_2J$ one has that $Z_{P'_1} \cap Z_{P'_2} = Z_{P'\mid_{\mathbb{C}(I)}}$. The previous Proposition 2.2 gives that $Z = \mathbb{C}$ Kall $(Z_{\mathbb{T}})$ if and only if $Z = \mathbb{C}$ Kall $(Z_{\mathbb{T}})$.

The previous Proposition 3.3 gives that $Z_{P'} \subset Kull(Z_{P^s})$ if and only if $Z_{P'|_{\mathbb{C}(I)}} \subset Kull(Z_{P^s}|_{\mathbb{C}(I)})$, for every $I \in \mathbb{S}^2$, or equivalently $Z_{P'_1} \cap Z_{P'_2} \subset Kull(Z_{P^s}|_{\mathbb{C}(I)})$, for every $I \in \mathbb{S}^2$.

Another useful formula which will be used below is the following, see e.g. [2]:

$$P^{s}|_{\mathbb{C}(I)}(z) = P_{1}(z)\overline{P_{1}(\overline{z})} + P_{2}(z)\overline{P_{2}(\overline{z})}, \qquad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}(I).$$

$$(1)$$

Proposition 3.5. Let P_1 and P_2 two complex polynomials and set $Q(z) = P_1(z)\overline{P_1(\bar{z})} + P_2(z)\overline{P_2(\bar{z})}$, for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $Z_{P'_1} \cap Z_{P'_2} \subset Kull(Z_Q)$.

Proof. Set $P_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{m} z^n a_n$ and $P_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{l} z^n b_n$ and assume, without loss of generality, that $m \ge l$. Set $b_k = 0$ for $k = l+1, \ldots, m$ so that

$$Q(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{2m} z^n \left[\sum_{k=0}^n a_k \bar{a}_{n-k} + b_k \bar{b}_{n-k} \right].$$
 (2)

Let us define $L(z) = P'_1(z)\overline{P_1(\bar{z})} + P'_2(z)\overline{P_2(\bar{z})}$ for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $Z_{P'_1} \cap Z_{P'_2} \subset Z_L$ and $Q'(z) = L(z) + \overline{L(\bar{z})}$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover,

$$zL(z) = z \left(\sum_{n=1}^{m} z^{n-1} n a_n\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{m} z^n \bar{a}_n\right) + z \left(\sum_{n=1}^{l} z^{n-1} n b_n\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{l} z^n \bar{b}_n\right)$$
$$= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{m} z^n n a_n\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{m} z^n \bar{a}_n\right) + \left(\sum_{n=0}^{l} z^n n b_n\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{l} z^n \bar{b}_n\right)$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{2m} z^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} k a_k \bar{a}_{n-k} + \sum_{n=0}^{2l} z^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} k b_k \bar{b}_{n-k},$$

so that

$$zL(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{2m} z^n \sum_{k=0}^n k(a_k \bar{a}_{n-k} b_k \bar{b}_{n-k}).$$
(3)

We now prove that there exists $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_m \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k \bar{a}_{n-k} + b_k \bar{b}_{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k \bar{c}_{n-k}, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, n\},$$

for each $n = 0, 1, \ldots, m$. We have two cases:

1. If $a_0 \neq 0$ or $b_0 \neq 0$. One can always choose $c_0 = x_0 + iy_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, with $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$a_0\bar{a}_0 + b_0\bar{b}_0 = x_0^2 + y_0^2 = c_0\bar{c}_0.$$

Then we can continue and choose $c_1 = x_1 + iy_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, with $x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$a_0\bar{a}_1 + a_1\bar{a}_0 + b_0\bar{b}_1 + b_1\bar{b}_0 = 2(x_0x_1 + y_0 + y_1) = c_0\bar{c}_1 + c_1\bar{c}_0.$$

Iterating the procedure, it is possible to find $c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k \bar{a}_{n-k} + b_k \bar{b}_{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k \bar{c}_{n-k} = c_0 \bar{c}_n + c_n \bar{c}_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_k \bar{c}_{n-k}$$

in fact it is possible to find c_n such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k \bar{a}_{n-k} + b_k \bar{b}_{n-k} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_k \bar{c}_{n-k} = c_0 \bar{c}_n + c_n \bar{c}_0.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k \bar{a}_{n-k} + b_k \bar{b}_{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k \bar{c}_{n-k}.$$

2. If $a_0 = 0$ and $b_0 = 0$, let

$$r = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid a_k \neq 0 \text{ or } b_k \neq 0\}.$$

In this case, we set $c_0 = \cdots = c_{r-1} = 0$ and we look for $c_r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=r}^{2r} a_k \bar{a}_{2r-k} + b_k \bar{b}_{2r-k} = a_r \bar{a}_r + b_r \bar{b}_r = c_r \bar{c}_r.$$

The following terms c_k , with k > r are obtained reasoning as in (i).

Let us set $M(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{m} z^n c_n$, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then formula (2) gives

$$Q(z) = M(z)\overline{M(\bar{z})}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}.$$
(4)

Therefore $Z_M \cup \overline{Z_M} = Z_{P^s}$, where $\overline{Z_M} = \{\overline{z} \mid z \in Z_M\}$. On the other hand, from (3) one obtains

$$zL(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{2m} z^n \sum_{k=0}^n kc_k \bar{c}_{n-k} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^m z^n nc_n\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^m z^n \bar{c}_n\right) = z \left(\sum_{n=0}^m z^{n-1} nc_n\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^m z^n \bar{c}_n\right),$$

so that

$$zL(z) = zM'(z)\overline{M(\overline{z})}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}.$$
 (5)

Thus given $w \in Z_{P'_1} \cap Z_{P'_2}$ we have two cases:

- 1. If $w \neq 0$, recalling that $Z_{P_1} \cap Z_{P_2} \subset Z_L$, we have $w \in Z_L$. From (5) it follows that either M'(w) = 0 or $M(\bar{w}) = 0$. Then $w \in Kull(Z_M)$ or $\bar{w} \in Z_M \subset Kull(Z_M)$. Using (4) one obtains that $w \in Kull(Z_Q)$.
- 2. If w = 0, then $Q'(w) = P'_1(w)\overline{P_1(\bar{w})} + P_1(w)\overline{P'_1(\bar{w})} + P'_2(w)\overline{P_2(\bar{w})} + P_2(w)\overline{P'_2(\bar{w})} = 0$ and, immediately, $w \in Kull(Z_Q)$.

This concludes the proof. \Box We can now prove the main result:

Theorem 3.6. Let P be a quaternionic polynomial. Then $Z_{P'} \subset Kull(Z_{P^s})$.

Proof. Let us consider P^s , its restriction to the complex plane $\mathbb{C}(I)$ and the polynomial Q(z) defined in Proposition 3.5. Then if we write $P|_{\mathbb{C}(I)}(z) = P_1(z) + P_2(z)J$ where $J \in \mathbb{S}^2$ and $J \perp I$ we have that $P^s|_{\mathbb{C}(I)}(z) = Q(z)$, see (1). Proposition 3.5 shows that $Z_{P'|_{\mathbb{C}(I)}} = Z_{P'_1} \cap Z_{P'_2} \subset Kull(P^s|_{\mathbb{C}(I)})$ and the statement follows by Proposition 3.3.

A well known consequence of the complex Gauss-Lucas theorem, see [3], is that any complex polynomial $P(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{m} z^n b_n$, with $b_m \neq 0$, has a zero of modulus at least

$$\max_{0 < n \le m-1} \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} m \\ n \end{array} \right)^{-1} \frac{|b_{m-n}|}{|b_m|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$
(6)

The generalization of this result in this framework is the following:

Proposition 3.7. Given

$$P(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{m} q^n a_n,$$

where $a_n \in \mathbb{H}$ for all n = 1, ..., m, such that $\sum_{k=0}^{2m} a_k \overline{a}_{2m-k} \neq 0$. Then P or P^c has a zero with quaternionic modulus at least

$$\max_{0< n \le 2m-1} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2m \\ n \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \frac{\left|\sum_{k=0}^{2m-n} a_k \overline{a}_{2m-n-k}\right|}{\left|\sum_{k=0}^{2m} a_k \overline{a}_{2m-k}\right|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\nu}}.$$

Proof. By Definition 2.1 one has that $Z_P \cup Z_{P^c} = Z_{P^s}$ and all coefficients of P^s are the real numbers $b_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \bar{a}_{n-k}$ for $n = 0, \ldots, 2m$. Then P^s restricted to each slice can be considered as a complex polynomial and it has a zero point of modulus at least the constant given in (6).

References

- [1] L. Ahlfors, *Complex analysis*. McGraw-Hill, New York (1979).
- [2] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, and D.C. Struppa. Noncommutative functional calculus, volume 289 of Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011. Theory and applications of slice hyperholomorphic functions.
- [3] Edrei, A. Power series having partial sums with zeros in half plane. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 9 (1958), pp. 320-324.
- [4] G. Gentili, C. Stoppato, and D.C. Struppa. Regular functions of a quaternionic variable. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [5] B. Gordon, T S. Motzkin, On the zeros of polynomials over division rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 116, (1965), 218–226.
- [6] T. Y. Lam, A first course in noncommutative rings. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 123, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [7] Marden M., Geometry of the zeros of a polynomial in a complex variable. Math. Surveys, No. III, American Mathematical Society, 1949.
- [8] Fabio Vlacci, The Gauss-Lucas Theorem for Regular Quaternionic Polynomials. In Hypercomplex Analysis and its Applications, Trends in Mathematics, (2011) 275–282.