

# ESSENTIAL NORMS OF WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS BETWEEN LIPSCHITZ SPACES OF ARBITRARY ORDER

EVGUENI DOUBTSOV

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathbb{D}$  denote the unit disk of  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  denote the scale of holomorphic Lipschitz spaces extended to all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . For arbitrary  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , we characterize the bounded weighted composition operators from  $\Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D})$  into  $\Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  and estimate their essential norms.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $H(\mathbb{D})$  denote the space of holomorphic functions on the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , the space  $\Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  consists of those  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$  for which

$$|f^{(J)}(z)|(1-|z|)^{J-\alpha} \leq C, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where  $C = C(f)$  is a constant,  $f^{(J)}$  is the derivative of order  $J$ ,  $J$  is a non-negative integer such that  $J > \alpha$ . It is well known that the definition of the space  $\Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  does not depend on  $J$  whenever  $J > \alpha$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $J > \alpha$ ,  $\Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  is a Banach space with respect to the following norm:

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda^{\alpha,J}(\mathbb{D})} = \sum_{j=0}^{J-1} |f^{(j)}(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f^{(J)}(z)|(1-|z|)^{J-\alpha},$$

where the first summand is defined to be zero for  $J = 0$ . The above norms are equivalent for different parameters  $J$ ,  $J > \alpha$ , so in what follows, we use the brief notation  $\|\cdot\|_{\Lambda^\alpha}$  in the place of  $\|\cdot\|_{\Lambda^{\alpha,J}(\mathbb{D})}$  with the smallest  $J$ ,  $J > \alpha$ .

**Holomorphic Lipschitz spaces.** If  $\alpha > 0$ , then each  $f \in \Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  extends to a Lipschitz function on the unit circle  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ . So, we say that  $\Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , is a holomorphic Lipschitz space. The standard holomorphic Lipschitz spaces  $\Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  are those with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Also,  $\Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  with  $\alpha < 1$  are often called Bloch type spaces because the Bloch space  $\Lambda^0(\mathbb{D})$  is defined with  $J = 1$  (see, for example, [7, 9]). One has  $J = 2$  in the definition of the classical Zygmund space  $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{D})$ , so  $\Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha < 2$  or  $\alpha < 2$ , are sometimes called Zygmund type spaces (see, for example, [4]).

The scale  $\Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  splits at the point  $\alpha = 0$ . Indeed,  $\Lambda^0(\mathbb{D})$  is the classical Bloch space;  $\Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $\alpha < 0$ , is the growth space defined by the following condition:

$$|f(z)| \leq C(1-|z|)^\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B33; Secondary 30H30, 30H99, 46E15, 47B38.

*Key words and phrases.* Holomorphic Lipschitz space, composition operator, essential norm. The author was partially supported by RFBR (grant No. 17-01-00607).

**Weighted composition operators.** Given a function  $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$  and a holomorphic mapping  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ , the weighted composition operator  $C_\varphi^g : H(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{D})$  is defined by the formula

$$(C_\varphi^g f)(z) = g(z)f(\varphi(z)), \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

If  $g \equiv 1$  then  $C_\varphi^g$  is denoted by the symbol  $C_\varphi$  and it is called a composition operator.

For  $\alpha, \beta < 1$ , the bounded and compact weighted composition operators  $C_\varphi^g : \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  were characterized by Ohno, Stroethoff and Zhao [9]. The corresponding estimates for the essential norms were obtained by MacCluer and Zhao [7]. For arbitrary  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , the bounded and compact operators  $C_\varphi^g : \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  were characterized in [3]. See also [2, 12] for general approaches to related problems.

For  $\alpha, \beta < 0$ , a different approach to estimates of the corresponding essential norms was proposed by Bonet, Domański and Lindström [1]. They applied the theory of associated weights to obtain certain discrete conditions in terms of the monomials  $z^n$  and powers  $\varphi^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Further results in this direction were obtained, in particular, by Wulan, Zheng and Zhu [10] for  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ , by Zhao [11] for  $\alpha, \beta < 1$ , and by Esmaeili and Lindström [4] for  $\alpha, \beta < 2$ .

We realize both approaches mentioned above to estimate the essential norms of the operators  $C_\varphi^g : \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  for arbitrary  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ; see Theorem 3.8. Clearly, the computational complexity of the problem under consideration increases for large  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . So the main issue is to find appropriate test functions for the proof of the corresponding lower estimates; see Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.6.

**Organization of the paper.** In Section 2, we prove discrete versions of the function-theoretic characterizations obtained in [3] for the bounded operators  $C_\varphi^g : \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . The main results related to two-sided estimates of the corresponding essential norms are presented in Section 3.

**Notation.** As usual,  $C$  denotes a constant  $C > 0$  whose value may change from line to line. We write  $A \lesssim B$  if  $A \leq CB$  for a constant  $C > 0$ ;  $A \asymp B$  means that  $A \lesssim B$  and  $B \lesssim A$ .

## 2. BOUNDED OPERATORS

**2.1. Derivatives of the weighted composition.** Let  $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Given an  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ , we formally calculate the derivative  $(C_\varphi^g f)^{(J)}$ . Clearly, the Leibniz rule gives

$$(gf(\varphi))^{(J)} = \sum_{j=0}^J \binom{J}{j} g^{(J-j)}(f(\varphi))^{(j)}.$$

Next, Faà di Bruno's formula allows to compute the derivatives  $(f(\varphi))^{(j)}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, J$ . We omit the combinatorial details and use the formal identity

$$(C_\varphi^g f)^{(J)}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^J G_j[g, \varphi, J](z) f^{(j)}(\varphi(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

to define the functions  $G_j[g, \varphi, J]$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, J$ . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} G_0[g, \varphi, 0] &= g; \\ G_0[g, \varphi, 1] &= g', \quad G_1[g, \varphi, 1] = g\varphi'; \\ G_0[g, \varphi, 2] &= g'', \quad G_1[g, \varphi, 2] = 2g'\varphi' + g\varphi'', \quad G_2[g, \varphi, 2] = g(\varphi')^2. \end{aligned}$$

**2.2. Weight functions for  $\Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D})$ .** Given  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  be the minimal number such that  $N \geq \beta$ . For  $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , define auxiliary functions  $\Omega_{j,\beta}(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t < 1$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, J$ , by the following rule:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{if } N > 0, \text{ then } \Omega_{j,\beta} \equiv 1 \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \dots, \min\{N-1, J\}; \\ &\text{if } \beta < N \leq J, \text{ then } \Omega_{j,\beta}(t) = (1-t)^{\beta-j} \text{ for } j = N, \dots, J; \\ &\text{if } \beta = N < J, \text{ then } \Omega_{j,\beta}(t) = (1-t)^{\beta-j} \text{ for } j = N+1, \dots, J; \\ &\text{if } \beta = N \leq J, \text{ then } \Omega_{N,\beta}(t) = \log \frac{e}{1-t}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $\Omega_{j,\beta} : [0, 1) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  is a weight, that is, a continuous increasing unbounded function. Given a weight  $\Omega$ , recall that the associated weight  $\tilde{\Omega}$  is defined as follows (see [1]):

$$\tilde{\Omega}(t) = \sup \{ |f(t)| : f \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{D}), |f(z)| \leq \Omega(|z|) \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D} \}, \quad 0 \leq t < 1.$$

It is well-known that  $\Omega_{j,\beta} \asymp \tilde{\Omega}_{j,\beta}$  for all parameters  $j$  and  $\beta$  under consideration.

**2.3. Characterizations.** Combining Propositions 2.1 and 3.1 from [3], we have the following characterization:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $J > \alpha$ . Fix the minimal  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $\beta \leq N$ . The operator  $C_\varphi^\alpha : \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  is bounded if and only if*

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{|G_j[g, \varphi, J](z)| \Omega_{j,\beta}(|\varphi(z)|)}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha-J}} < \infty, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, J,$$

where the weight functions  $\Omega_{j,\beta}$  are defined by (2.1).

To work with associated weights, consider the growth spaces. Given a weight  $\omega : [0, 1) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ , the growth space  $H_\omega^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  consists of those  $f \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{D})$  for which

$$\|f\|_{H_\omega^\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{|f(z)|}{\omega(|z|)} < \infty.$$

We will need the following result:

**Proposition 2.2** (see [1, 8]). *Let  $\omega, \nu$  be weights on  $[0, 1)$ ,  $g \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{D})$  and let  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  be a holomorphic mapping. Then*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{|g(z)| \tilde{\omega}(|\varphi(z)|)}{\nu(|z|)} \asymp \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\|g\varphi^n\|_{H_\nu^\infty}}{\|z^n\|_{H_\omega^\infty}}.$$

Recall that  $\Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $\beta < 0$ , is the growth space which consists of  $f \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $|f(z)| \leq C(1-|z|)^\beta$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $J > \alpha$ . Fix the minimal  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $\beta \leq N$ . The operator  $C_\varphi^g : \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  is bounded if and only if*

$$(2.3) \quad G_j[g, \varphi, J] \in \Lambda^{\alpha-J}(\mathbb{D}), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, \min\{N-1, J\},$$

$$(2.4) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\|G_j[g, \varphi, J]\varphi^n\|_{\Lambda^{\alpha-J}}}{\|z^n\|_{H_{\Omega_{j,\beta}}^\infty}} < \infty, \quad j = N, \dots, J,$$

where the weight functions  $\Omega_{j,\beta}$  are defined by (2.1).

*Proof.* For  $j = 0, 1, \dots, \min\{N-1, J\}$ , we have  $\Omega_{j,\beta} \equiv 1$ , so (2.3) coincides with (2.2). If  $J \geq N$ , then  $\Omega_{j,\beta}$ ,  $j = N, \dots, J$ , is a weight function. As mentioned above,  $\Omega_{j,\beta} \asymp \tilde{\Omega}_{j,\beta}$ ; so we apply Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 with  $\omega = \Omega_{j,\beta}$ ,  $\nu(t) = (1-t)^{\alpha-J}$  and  $g = G_j[g, \varphi, J]$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.1.* The norms  $\|z^n\|_{H_{\Omega_{j,\beta}}^\infty}$  used in (2.4) are known up to multiplicative constants (see, for example, [6, Lemma 2.1]):

$$\begin{aligned} \|z^n\|_{H_{\Omega_{j,\beta}}^\infty} &\asymp (n+1)^{\beta-j} \text{ for } \Omega_{j,\beta}(t) = (1-t)^{\beta-j}, \quad \beta < j; \\ \|z^n\|_{H_{\Omega_{N,N}}^\infty} &\asymp \frac{1}{\log(n+2)} \text{ for } \Omega_{N,N}(t) = \log \frac{e}{1-t}. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. ESSENTIAL NORMS

**3.1. Upper estimates.** Let  $D_\beta : \Lambda^\beta \rightarrow \Lambda^{\beta-1}$  denote the differentiation operator and let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  be the smallest number such that  $k > \beta$ . Put

$$\Lambda_0^\beta(\mathbb{D}) = \{f \in \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D}) : f(0) = 0 \text{ and } f'(0) = \dots = f^{(k-1)}(0) = 0 \text{ if } k \geq 2\}.$$

Then  $D_\beta$  is an isometry on  $\Lambda_0^\beta(\mathbb{D})$  for  $k \geq 1$  and  $D_\beta$  is an isomorphism on  $\Lambda_0^\beta$  for  $k = 0$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\alpha-J+1} \dots D_{\alpha-1} D_\alpha C_\varphi^g D_\beta^{-1} D_{\beta-1}^{-1} \dots D_{\beta-J+1}^{-1} \\ = \sum_{j=0}^{J-1} C_\varphi^{G_j} D_{\beta-j}^{-1} \dots D_{\beta-J+1}^{-1} + C_\varphi^{G_j}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $G_j = G_j[g, \varphi, J]$ . Therefore,

$$(3.1) \quad \|C_\varphi^g\|_{e, \Lambda_0^\beta \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha} \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^J \|C_\varphi^{G_j}\|_{e, \Lambda_0^{\beta-j} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\alpha-J}}.$$

Observe that every compact operator  $Q : \Lambda_0^\beta(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  extends to a compact operator from  $\Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D})$  into  $\Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ . Moreover, standard arguments guarantee that  $\|C_\varphi^g\|_{e, \Lambda^\beta \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha} = \|C_\varphi^g\|_{e, \Lambda_0^\beta \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha}$  (see, for example, [4, Lemma 3.1], where  $\alpha, \beta < 2$ ). Also, by Proposition 2.1, if  $C_\varphi^g : \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  is bounded, then  $C_\varphi^{G_j} : \Lambda^{\beta-j}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\alpha-J}(\mathbb{D})$  is bounded,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, J$ . Thus, (3.1) implies the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $J > \alpha$ . Assume that the weighted composition operator  $C_\varphi^g : \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  is bounded. Then  $C_\varphi^{G_j} : \Lambda^{\beta-j}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\alpha-j}(\mathbb{D})$  is bounded,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, J$ , and*

$$\|C_\varphi^g\|_{e, \Lambda^\beta \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha} \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^J \|C_\varphi^{G_j}\|_{e, \Lambda^{\beta-j} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\alpha-j}}.$$

Various modifications of the following compactness criterium are well-known.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $C_\varphi^g : \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  be bounded. Then  $C_\varphi^g : \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  is compact if and only if  $\|C_\varphi^g f_n\|_{\Lambda^\alpha} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for any bounded sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ .*

Also, we will use the following standard property of sequences in  $\Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D})$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  be the minimal number such that  $N \geq \beta$ . Assume that  $\|f_n\|_{\Lambda^\beta} \leq C$  and  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ . If  $N \geq 1$ , then  $f_n^{(j)} \rightarrow 0$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ .*

*Proof.* For  $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$  and  $1 > R > r > 0$ , we have

$$|f_n^{(N-1)}(R\zeta)| \leq |f_n^{(N-1)}(r\zeta)| + C \int_r^1 \Omega_{\beta, N}(t) dt,$$

where  $\Omega_{\beta, N}$  is defined by (2.1) with  $N = J$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Clearly, the above integral converges, so fix an  $r$  so close to 1 that the integral is estimated by  $\varepsilon/C$ . Since  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ , the derivative  $f_n^{(N-1)}$  has the same property; thus,  $|f_n^{(N-1)}(r\zeta)| < \varepsilon$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ . Therefore,  $f_n^{(N-1)} \rightarrow 0$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ , so  $f_n^{(j)} \rightarrow 0$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $J > \alpha$  and let  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  be the minimal number such that  $N \geq \beta$ . Let the weight functions  $\Omega_{j, \beta}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, J$ , be those defined by (2.1). Assume that the weighted composition operator  $C_\varphi^g : \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  is bounded. Then*

$$(3.2) \quad \|C_\varphi^g\|_{e, \Lambda^\beta \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha} \lesssim \sum_{j=N}^J \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1^-} |G_j[g, \varphi, J](z)| (1 - |z|)^{J-\alpha} \Omega_{j, \beta}(|\varphi(z)|).$$

*In particular,  $C_\varphi^g : \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  is compact if  $N > J$ .*

*Proof.* We will apply Lemma 3.1. So, fix  $j \in \{N, N+1, \dots, J\}$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $G_j = G_j[g, \varphi, J]$ . By Lemma 3.1, the operator  $C_\varphi^{G_j} : \Lambda^{\beta-j}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\alpha-j}(\mathbb{D})$  is bounded. Now, let  $\{r_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset (0, 1)$  be an increasing sequence converging to 1. For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the operator  $C_{r_m\varphi}^{G_j} : \Lambda^{\beta-j}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\alpha-j}(\mathbb{D})$  is compact, hence,

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|C_\varphi^{G_j}\|_{e, \Lambda^{\beta-j} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\alpha-j}} &\leq \|C_\varphi^{G_j} - C_{r_m\varphi}^{G_j}\|_{\Lambda^{\beta-j} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\alpha-j}} \\ &\leq \sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq \delta} \sup_{\|f\|_{\Lambda^{\beta-j}} \leq 1} (1 - |z|)^{J-\alpha} |G_j(z)| |f(\varphi(z)) - f(r_m\varphi(z))| \\ &\quad + \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > \delta} \sup_{\|f\|_{\Lambda^{\beta-j}} \leq 1} (1 - |z|)^{J-\alpha} |G_j(z)| |f(\varphi(z)) - f(r_m\varphi(z))|. \end{aligned}$$

The family  $\{f : \|f\|_{\Lambda^{\beta-j}} \leq 1\}$  is uniformly bounded on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ , hence  $f(\varphi(z)) - f(r_m \varphi(z)) \rightrightarrows 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  if  $|\varphi(z)| \leq \delta$  and  $\|f\|_{\Lambda^{\beta-j}} \leq 1$ . Also,

$$(3.4) \quad \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|)^{J-\alpha} |G_j(z)| < \infty,$$

since  $C_\varphi^{G_j} : \Lambda^{\beta-j}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\alpha-J}(\mathbb{D})$  is bounded. So, in (3.3), the supremum over the set  $\{|\varphi(z)| \leq \delta\}$  tends to zero as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

For  $f \in \Lambda^{(\beta-j)}(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $\|f\|_{\Lambda^{\beta-j}} \leq 1$ , we have

$$|f(\varphi(z))| \lesssim \Omega_{0,\beta-j}(|\varphi(z)|) = \Omega_{j,\beta}(|\varphi(z)|), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

by Proposition 3.1 from [3]. Therefore, Lemma 3.1 and (3.3) guarantee that

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\varphi^g\|_{e,\Lambda^\beta \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha} &\lesssim \sum_{j=N}^J \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1^-} |G_j[g, \varphi, J](z)| (1 - |z|)^{J-\alpha} \Omega_{j,\beta}(|\varphi(z)|) \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|C_\varphi^{G_j}\|_{e,\Lambda^{\beta-j} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\alpha-J}}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the latter sum is equal to zero. Indeed, for  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ , let  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a bounded sequence in  $\Lambda^{\beta-j}(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Since  $\beta - j > 0$ ,  $f_n \rightrightarrows 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by Lemma 3.3. Hence, (3.4) guarantees that  $\|C_\varphi^{G_j} f_n\|_{\Lambda^{\alpha-J}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $C_\varphi^{G_j} : \Lambda^{\beta-j}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\alpha-J}(\mathbb{D})$  is a compact operator by Lemma 3.2. So, the proof of (3.2) is finished.  $\square$

### 3.2. Lower estimates.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  be the smallest number such that  $\beta \leq N$ . Assume that  $N \leq J$ . Then there exist  $f_{w,j} \in \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $j = N, \dots, J$ , such that  $\|f_{w,j}\|_{\Lambda^\beta} \leq 1$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} f_{w,j}^{(j)}(w) &\geq C \Omega_{j,\beta}(|w|), \quad j = N, \dots, J, \quad C = C(j, \beta) > 0; \\ \text{if } j \geq 1, \text{ then } f_{w,j}^{(k)}(w) &= 0 \text{ for } k = 0, \dots, j-1; \\ \text{if } j < J, \text{ then } |f_{w,j}^{(m)}(w)| &\leq C \Omega_{m,\beta}(|w|), \quad m = j+1, \dots, J, \quad C = C(m, \beta) > 0; \\ f_{w,j}(z) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly on compact subsets of } \mathbb{D} \text{ as } |w| \rightarrow 1. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For  $w \in \mathbb{D}$ , define the functions  $f_{w,j}$  as follows:

$$\text{if } \beta < N, \text{ then } f_{w,N}(z) = \frac{(1 - |w|)(z - w)^N}{(1 - z\bar{w})^{N-\beta+1}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D};$$

$$\text{if } \beta = N, \text{ then } f_{w,N}(z) = \frac{\left(\log \frac{e}{1-z\bar{w}}\right)^2 (z - w)^N}{\log \frac{e}{1-|w|}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D};$$

$$\text{if } N < J, \text{ then } f_{w,j}(z) = \frac{(1 - |w|)(z - w)^j}{(1 - z\bar{w})^{j-\beta+1}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad j = N+1, \dots, J.$$

To show that the above functions have the required properties, it suffices to use direct computations and the estimate  $|z - w| \leq |1 - z\bar{w}|$ ,  $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ . In fact, we have  $\|f_{w,j}\|_{\Lambda^\beta} \leq C(j, \beta)$ , so we obtain functions in the unit ball of  $\Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D})$  after appropriate normalization.

Also, the following observation is useful in the only nonstandard case  $\beta = N = j$ : to prove the upper estimates for  $|f_{w,j}^{(m)}(w)|$  with  $m = N + 1, \dots, J$ , it suffices to show that  $\|f_{w,N}\|_{\Lambda^N} \leq C$ , that is,

$$\left| f_{w,N}^{(N+1)} \right| \leq \frac{C}{1-|z|} = C\Omega_{N,N+1}(|z|), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Indeed, the above inequality implies the required upper estimates for  $m = N + 2, \dots, J$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $J > \alpha$  and let  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  be the minimal number such that  $N \geq \beta$ . Let the weight functions  $\Omega_{j,\beta}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, J$ , be those defined by (2.1). Assume that the weighted composition operator  $C_\varphi^g : \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  is bounded. Then*

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|C_\varphi^g\|_{e, \Lambda^\beta \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha} \\ & \gtrsim \max_{N \leq j \leq J} \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1-} |G_j[g, \varphi, J](z)|(1-|z|)^{J-\alpha} \Omega_{j,\beta}(|\varphi(z)|). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Clearly, we may assume that  $J \geq N$ . We start with  $j = J$  in the right-hand side of (3.5); for smaller parameters  $j$  we will proceed by induction.

So, let  $\{z_n\} \subset \mathbb{D}$  be a sequence such that  $|\varphi(z_n)| \rightarrow 1-$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let the functions  $f_{n,J} = f_{\varphi(z_n),J}$ ,  $\|f_{n,J}\|_{\Lambda^\beta} \leq 1$ , be those provided by Lemma 3.5. Given a compact operator  $Q : \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ , we have  $\|Qf_{n,J}\|_{\Lambda^\alpha} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; therefore, using the property  $f_{n,J}^{(k)}(\varphi(z_n)) = 0$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, J-1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\varphi^g - Q\|_{\Lambda^\beta \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha} & \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi^g f_{n,J}\|_{\Lambda^\alpha} - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi^g f_{n,J}\|_{\Lambda^\alpha} \\ & \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |G_J[g, \varphi, J](z_n)| |f_{n,J}^{(J)}(\varphi(z_n))| (1-|z_n|)^{J-\alpha} \\ & \geq C \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |G_J[g, \varphi, J](z_n)| \Omega_{J,\beta}(|\varphi(z_n)|) (1-|z_n|)^{J-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Q$  is an arbitrary compact operator and  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{D}$  is an arbitrary sequence such that  $|\varphi(z_n)| \rightarrow 1-$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that

$$\|C_\varphi^g\|_{e, \Lambda^\beta \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha} \gtrsim \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1-} |G_J[g, \varphi, J](z)| \Omega_{J,\beta}(|\varphi(z)|) (1-|z|)^{J-\alpha}.$$

In other words, the required lower estimate holds for  $j = J$ ; in particular, the proof is finished if  $J = N$ .

If  $J > N$ , then we argue by induction. Namely, let  $J-1 \geq j \geq N$ . Assume, as the induction hypothesis, that

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & C_{j+1} \|C_\varphi^g\|_{e, \Lambda^\beta \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha} \\ & \geq \sum_{m=j+1}^J \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1-} |G_m[g, \varphi, J](z)|(1-|z|)^{J-\alpha} \Omega_{m,\beta}(|\varphi(z)|). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\{z_n\} \subset \mathbb{D}$  be a sequence such that  $|\varphi(z_n)| \rightarrow 1-$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Applying Lemma 3.5, we obtain test functions  $f_{n,j} = f_{\varphi(z_n),j}$ . In particular, we have

$$|f_{n,j}^{(m)}(z)| \lesssim \Omega_{m,\beta}(|z|), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad m = j+1, \dots, J.$$

So, without loss of generality, we assume that

$$(3.7) \quad |f_{n,j}^{(m)}(z)| \leq k_{j+1} \Omega_{m,\beta}(|z|), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

for a constant  $k_{j+1} > 0$  and for  $m = j + 1, \dots, J$ .

Now, for a compact operator  $Q : \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|C_\varphi^g - Q\|_{\Lambda^\beta \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha} &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi^g f_{n,j}\|_{\Lambda^\alpha} - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi^g f_{n,j}\|_{\Lambda^\alpha} \\
&\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |G_j[g, \varphi, J](z_n)| |f_{n,j}^{(j)}(\varphi(z_n))| (1 - |z_n|)^{J-\alpha} \\
&\quad - \sum_{m=j+1}^J \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |G_m[g, \varphi, J](z_n)| |f_{n,j}^{(m)}(\varphi(z_n))| (1 - |z_n|)^{J-\alpha} \\
&\geq C \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |G_j[g, \varphi, J](z_n)| \Omega_{j,\beta}(|\varphi(z_n)|) (1 - |z_n|)^{J-\alpha} \\
&\quad - k_{j+1} \sum_{m=j+1}^J \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |G_m[g, \varphi, J](z_n)| \Omega_{m,\beta}(|\varphi(z_n)|) (1 - |z_n|)^{J-\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

by (3.7) and Lemma 3.5.

Since  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{D}$  is an arbitrary sequence such that  $|\varphi(z_n)| \rightarrow 1-$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|C_\varphi^g\|_{e, \Lambda^\beta \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha} &\geq \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1-} |G_j[g, \varphi, J](z)| \Omega_{j,\beta}(|\varphi(z)|) (1 - |z|)^{J-\alpha} \\
&\quad - k_{j+1} \sum_{m=j+1}^J \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1-} |G_m[g, \varphi, J](z)| \Omega_{m,\beta}(|\varphi(z)|) (1 - |z|)^{J-\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the induction hypothesis (3.6) guarantees that

$$\begin{aligned}
(C_{k+1}k_{j+1} + 1) \|C_\varphi^g\|_{e, \Lambda^\beta \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha} &\geq \\
&C \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1-} |G_j[g, \varphi, J](z)| \Omega_{j,\beta}(|\varphi(z)|) (1 - |z|)^{J-\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

In other words, the induction hypothesis (3.6) holds with  $j$  in the place of  $j + 1$ . So, the induction construction proceeds and we obtain the required lower estimate for all  $j$ ,  $N \leq j \leq J$ .  $\square$

**3.3. Main result.** We will use the following auxiliary result:

**Proposition 3.7** (see [5, Theorem 2.4]). *Let  $\omega, \nu$  be weights on  $[0, 1)$ ,  $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$  and let  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  be a holomorphic mapping. Then*

$$\limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{|g(z)| \tilde{\omega}(|\varphi(z)|)}{\nu(|z|)} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|g\varphi^n\|_{H_\nu^\infty}}{\|z^n\|_{H_\omega^\infty}}.$$

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $J > \alpha$  and let  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  be the minimal number such that  $N \geq \beta$ . Let the weight functions  $\Omega_{j,\beta}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, J$ , be those defined by (2.1). Assume that the weighted composition operator  $C_\varphi^g : \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  is bounded. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|C_\varphi^g\|_{e, \Lambda^\beta \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha} &\asymp \max_{N \leq j \leq J} \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1-} \frac{|G_j[g, \varphi, J](z)| \Omega_{j,\beta}(|\varphi(z)|)}{(1 - |z|)^{\alpha - j}} \\
(3.8) \quad &= \max_{N \leq j \leq J} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|G_j[g, \varphi, J]\varphi^n\|_{\Lambda^{\alpha-j}}}{\|z^n\|_{H_{\Omega_{j,\beta}}^\infty}}
\end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $C_\varphi^g : \Lambda^\beta(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  is compact if  $N > J$ .



*Proof.* Firstly, combining Propositions 3.4 and 3.6, we have the required equivalence. Secondly, if  $N \leq j \leq J$ , then  $\Omega_{j,\beta}$  is a weight function and  $\tilde{\Omega}_{j,\beta} = \Omega_{j,\beta}$ ; hence, Proposition 3.7 applies with  $\omega = \Omega_{j,\beta}$ ,  $\nu(t) = (1-t)^{\alpha-J}$  and  $g = G_j[g, \varphi, J]$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. J. Bonet, P. Domański, and M. Lindström, *Essential norm and weak compactness of composition operators on weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions*, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **42** (1999), no. 2, 139–148.
2. F. Colonna and M. Tjani, *Operator norms and essential norms of weighted composition operators between Banach spaces of analytic functions*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **434** (2016), no. 1, 93–124.
3. E. S. Dubtsov, *Weighted composition operators with values in Lipschitz spaces*, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)* **389** (2011), 85–100, 286 (Russian); translation in *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)* **182** (2012), no. 5, 630–638.
4. K. Esmaeili and M. Lindström, *Weighted composition operators between Zygmund type spaces and their essential norms*, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **75** (2013), no. 4, 473–490.
5. O. Hyvärinen, M. Kemppainen, M. Lindström, A. Rautio, and E. Saukko, *The essential norm of weighted composition operators on weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions*, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **72** (2012), no. 2, 151–157.
6. O. Hyvärinen and M. Lindström, *Estimates of essential norms of weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **393** (2012), no. 1, 38–44.
7. B. D. MacCluer and R. Zhao, *Essential norms of weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces*, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **33** (2003), no. 4, 1437–1458.
8. A. Montes-Rodríguez, *Weighted composition operators on weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions*, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **61** (2000), no. 3, 872–884.
9. S. Ohno, K. Stroethoff, and R. Zhao, *Weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces*, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **33** (2003), no. 1, 191–215.
10. H. Wulan, D. Zheng, and K. Zhu, *Compact composition operators on BMOA and the Bloch space*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137** (2009), no. 11, 3861–3868.
11. R. Zhao, *Essential norms of composition operators between Bloch type spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **138** (2010), no. 7, 2537–2546.
12. N. Zorboska, *Intrinsic operators from holomorphic function spaces to growth spaces*, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **87** (2017), no. 4, 581–600.

ST. PETERSBURG DEPARTMENT OF V.A. STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, FONTANKA 27,  
ST. PETERSBURG 191023, RUSSIA  
*E-mail address:* dubtsov@pdmi.ras.ru