ANNUAL OF SOFIA UNIVERSITY "ST. KLIMENT OHRIDSKI" FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS

LOWER BOUNDING THE FOLKMAN NUMBERS $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; m-1)$

ALEKSANDAR BIKOV, NEDYALKO NENOV

For a graph G the expression $G \stackrel{v}{\rightarrow} (a_1, ..., a_s)$ means that for every s-coloring of the vertices of G there exists $i \in \{1, ..., s\}$ such that there is a monochromatic a_i -clique of color i. The vertex Folkman numbers

 $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; m-1) = \min\{|V(G)| : G \stackrel{v}{\to} (a_1, ..., a_s) \text{ and } K_{m-1} \not\subseteq G\}.$

are considered, where $m = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (a_i - 1) + 1$. We know the exact values of all the numbers $F_v(a_1,...,a_s;m-1)$ when $\max\{a_1,...,a_s\} \leq 6$ and also the number $F_v(2, 2, 7; 8) = 20$. In [\[1\]](#page-13-0) we present a method for obtaining lower bounds on these numbers. With the help of this method and a new improved algorithm, in the special case when $\max\{a_1, ..., a_s\} = 7$ we prove that $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; m-1) \ge m+11$ and this bound is exact for all m. The known upper bound for these numbers is $m + 12$. At the end of the paper we also prove the lower bounds $19 \leq F_v(2, 2, 2, 4; 5)$ and $29 \leq F_v(7, 7; 8).$

Keywords: Folkman number, clique number, independence number, chromatic number.

2000 Math. Subject Classification: 05C35

1. INTRODUCTION

Only finite, non-oriented graphs without loops and multiple edges are considered in this paper. $G_1 + G_2$ denotes the graph G for which $V(G) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and $E(G) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup E'$, where $E' = \{ [x, y] : x \in V(G_1), y \in V(G_2) \}$, i.e. G is obtained by connecting with an edge every vertex of G_1 to every vertex of G_2 . All undefined terms can be found in [\[19\]](#page-14-0).

Let $a_1, ..., a_s$ be positive integers. The expression $G \stackrel{v}{\rightarrow} (a_1, ..., a_s)$ means that for every coloring of $V(G)$ in s colors (s-coloring) there exists $i \in \{1, ..., s\}$ such that there is a monochromatic a_i -clique of color *i*. In particular, $G \stackrel{v}{\rightarrow} (a_1)$ means that $\omega(G) \ge a_1$. Further, for convenience, instead of $G \stackrel{v}{\rightarrow} (2, ..., 2)$ \sum_{r}) we write $G \stackrel{v}{\rightarrow} (2_r)$

and instead of $G \stackrel{v}{\rightarrow} (2, ..., 2)$ \overline{r} $(a_1, ..., a_s)$ we write $G \stackrel{v}{\rightarrow} (2_r, a_1, ..., a_s)$.

Define:

 $\mathcal{H}(a_1, ..., a_s; q) = \left\{ G : G \stackrel{v}{\to} (a_1, ..., a_s) \text{ and } \omega(G) < q \right\}.$ $\mathcal{H}(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n) = \{G : G \in \mathcal{H}(a_1, ..., a_s; q) \text{ and } |V(G)| = n\}.$ The vertex Folkman number $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; q)$ is defined by the equality:

 $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; q) = \min \{ |V(G)| : G \in \mathcal{H}(a_1, ..., a_s; q) \}.$

The graph G is called an extremal graph in $\mathcal{H}(a_1, ..., a_s; q)$ if $G \in \mathcal{H}(a_1, ..., a_s; q)$ and $|V(G)| = F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; q)$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_{extr}(a_1, ..., a_s; q)$ the set of all extremal graphs in $\mathcal{H}(a_1, ..., a_s; q)$.

Folkman proves in [\[6\]](#page-13-1) that:

$$
F_v(a_1, \ldots, a_s; q) \text{ exists } \Leftrightarrow q > \max\{a_1, \ldots, a_s\}. \tag{1.1}
$$

Other proofs of [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) are given in [\[5\]](#page-13-2) and [\[8\]](#page-13-3). In the special case $s = 2$, a very simple proof of this result is given in [\[12\]](#page-13-4) with the help of corona product of graphs. Obviously $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; q)$ is a symmetric function of $a_1, ..., a_s$, and if $a_i = 1$, then

$$
F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; q) = F_v(a_1, ..., a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, ..., a_s; q).
$$

Therefore, it is enough to consider only such Folkman numbers $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; q)$ for which

$$
2 \le a_1 \le \dots \le a_s. \tag{1.2}
$$

We call the numbers $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; q)$ for which the inequalities [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) hold canonical vertex Folkman numbers.

In [\[9\]](#page-13-5) for arbitrary positive integers $a_1, ..., a_s$ the following terms are defined

$$
m(a_1, ..., a_s) = m = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (a_i - 1) + 1
$$
 and $p = \max\{a_1, ..., a_s\}.$ (1.3)

It is easy to see that $K_m \stackrel{v}{\rightarrow} (a_1, ..., a_s)$ and $K_{m-1} \stackrel{y}{\not\rightarrow} (a_1, ..., a_s)$. Therefore

$$
F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; q) = m, \quad q \ge m + 1.
$$

The following theorem for the numbers $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; m)$ is true:

Theorem 1.1. Let $a_1, ..., a_s$ be positive integers and let m and p be defined by the equalities [\(1.3\)](#page-1-2). If $m \geq p+1$, then:

(a)
$$
F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; m) = m + p
$$
, [9],[8].

(b) $K_{m+p} - C_{2p+1} = K_{m-p-1} + \overline{C}_{2p+1}$

is the only extremal graph in $\mathcal{H}(a_1, ..., a_s; m)$, [\[8\]](#page-13-3).

The condition $m \geq p+1$ is necessary according to [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0). Other proofs of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-3) are given in [\[13\]](#page-13-6) and [\[14\]](#page-13-7).

Very little is known about the numbers $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; m-1)$. According to [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) we have

$$
F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; m-1) \text{ exists } \Leftrightarrow m \ge p+2. \tag{1.4}
$$

The following general bounds are known:

$$
m + p + 2 \le F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; m - 1) \le m + 3p,\tag{1.5}
$$

where the lower bound is true if $p \geq 2$ and the upper bound is true if $p \geq 3$. The lower bound is obtained in [\[13\]](#page-13-6) and the upper bound is obtained in [\[7\]](#page-13-8). In the border case $m = p + 2$ the upper bounds in [\(1.5\)](#page-2-0) are significantly improved in [\[18\]](#page-13-9).

We know all the numbers $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; m-1)$ when $\max\{a_1, ..., a_s\} \leq 6$, see [\[4\]](#page-13-10) for details. Regarding the numbers $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; m-1)$ when $\max\{a_1, ..., a_s\} = 7$ it is known that $F_v(2, 2, 7; 8) = 20$ [\[4\]](#page-13-10), and

$$
m + 10 \le F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; m - 1) \le m + 12, [4].
$$

The lower bound $F_v(2, 2, 7; 8) \ge 20$ is obtained with the help of Algorithm [3.5,](#page-5-0) and the upper bound is obtained by constructing 20-vertex graphs in $\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 7; 8)$. An example for such a graph is given on Figure [1.](#page-3-0)

In this paper we present an algorithm (Algorithm [3.9\)](#page-6-0), with the help of which we can obtain lower bounds on the numbers $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; m-1)$. Using Algorithm [3.9](#page-6-0) and $F_v(2, 2, 7; 8) = 20$ we improve the lower bound on the numbers $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; m-$ 1) when max $\{a_1, ..., a_s\} = 7$ by proving the following:

Main Theorem. Let $a_1, ..., a_s$ be positive integers, such that $\max\{a_1, ..., a_s\}$ 7 and $m = \sum_{i=1}^{s}$ $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i - 1) + 1 \ge 9$. Then

$$
F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; m-1) \ge m + 11.
$$

Remark 1.2. According to [\(1.4\)](#page-2-1) the condition $m \geq 9$ in the Main Theorem is necessary.

2. BOUNDS ON THE NUMBERS $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; q)$

Let m and p be positive integers. Denote by $\mathcal{S}(m, p)$ the set of all $(b_1, ..., b_r)$ $\sum_{i=1}^{r} (b_i - 1) + 1 = m$. Let $(a_1, ..., a_s) \in \mathcal{S}(m, p)$. Then obviously (r is not fixed), where b_i are positive integers such that max $\{b_1, ..., b_r\} = p$ and

$$
\min_{(b_1,\ldots,b_r)\in\mathcal{S}(m,p)} F_v(b_1,\ldots,b_r;q) \le F_v(a_1,\ldots,a_s;q) \le \max_{(b_1,\ldots,b_r)\in\mathcal{S}(m,p)} F_v(b_1,\ldots,b_r;q).
$$

Figure 1: An example of a 20-vertex graph in $\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 7; 8)$ from [\[4\]](#page-13-10)

Note that $(2_{m-p}, p) \in \mathcal{S}(m, p), p \ge 2$ and it is easy to prove that

$$
\min_{(b_1,\ldots,b_r)\in\mathcal{S}(m,p)} F_v(b_1,\ldots,b_r;q) = F_v(2_{m-p},p;q) [1].
$$

We see that the lower bounding of the vertex Folkman numbers can be achieved by computing or lower bounding the numbers $F_v(2_{m-p}, p; q)$. In general, this is a hard problem. However, in the case $q = m - 1$, in [\[1\]](#page-13-0) we presented a method for the computation of these numbers, which is based on the following:

Theorem 2.1. [\[1\]](#page-13-0) Let $r_0(p) = r_0$ be the smallest positive integer for which

$$
\min_{r\geq 2} \{ F_v(2_r, p; r+p-1) - r \} = F_v(2_{r_0}, p; r_0 + p - 1) - r_0.
$$

Then:

\n- (a)
$$
F_v(2_r, p; r + p - 1) = F(2_{r_0}, p; r_0 + p - 1) + r - r_0, r \ge r_0.
$$
\n- (b) If $r_0 = 2$, then $F_v(2_r, p; r + p - 1) = F_v(2, 2, p; p + 1) + r - 2, r \ge 2.$
\n

(c) If $r_0 > 2$ and G is an extremal graph in $\mathcal{H}(2_{r_0}, p; r_0 + p - 1)$, then $G \stackrel{v}{\rightarrow} (2, r_0 + p - 2)$.

(d)
$$
r_0 < F_v(2, 2, p; p+1) - 2p
$$
.

From this theorem it becomes clear, that for fixed p the computation of the members of the infinite sequence $F_v(2_{m-p}, p; m-1), m \geq p+2$, is reduced to the computation of its first r_0 members, where $r_0 < F_v(2, 2, p; p + 1) - 2p$. We conjecture that it is enough to know only its first member $F_v(2, 2, p; p+1)$.

Conjecture 2.2. [\[1\]](#page-13-0) If $p \geq 4$, then

$$
\min_{r\geq 2} \{ F_v(2_r, p; r+p-1) - r \} = F_v(2, 2, p; p+1) - 2,
$$

i.e. $r_0(p) = 2$ and therefore

$$
F_v(2_r, p; r + p - 1) = F_v(2, 2, p; p + 1) + r - 2, \quad r \ge 2.
$$

This conjecture is proved for $p = 4, 5$ and 6 in [\[16\]](#page-13-11), [\[1\]](#page-13-0) and [\[4\]](#page-13-10) respectively. In [\[4\]](#page-13-10) it is also proved that the conjecture is true when $F_v(2, 2, p; p + 1) \leq 2p + 5$. In this paper we will prove, that Conjecture [2.2](#page-4-0) is also true when $p = 7$:

Theorem 2.3. $F_v(2_{m-7}, 7; m-1) = m + 11$.

The Main Theorem follows easily from Theorem [2.3.](#page-4-1)

Remark 2.4. This method is not suitable for upper bounding the vertex Folkman numbers, since it is not clear how $\max_{(b_1,...,b_r)\in S(m,p)} F_v(b_1,...,b_r;q)$ is computed or bounded. In [\[2\]](#page-13-12) we present another method for upper bounding the vertex Folkman numbers (see also [\[1\]](#page-13-0) and $[4]$).

3. ALGORITHMS

Finding all graphs in $\mathcal{H}(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$ using a brute force approach is practically impossible for $n > 13$. In this section we present algorithms for obtaining these graphs.

We say that G is a maximal graph in $\mathcal{H}(a_1, ..., a_s; q)$ if $G \in \mathcal{H}(a_1, ..., a_s; q)$ but $G + e \notin \mathcal{H}(a_1, ..., a_s; q), \forall e \in E(\overline{G}),$ i.e. $\omega(G + e) = q, \forall e \in E(\overline{G}).$ The graphs in $\mathcal{H}(a_1, ..., a_s; q)$ can be obtained by removing edges from the maximal graphs in this set.

For convenience, we also define the following term:

Definition 3.1. The graph G is called a $(+K_t)$ -graph if $G + e$ contains a new t-clique for all $e \in E(G)$.

Obviously, $G \in \mathcal{H}(a_1, ..., a_s; q)$ is a maximal graph in $\mathcal{H}(a_1, ..., a_s; q)$ if and only if G is a $(+K_q)$ -graph. We shall denote by $\mathcal{H}_{+K_t}(a_1, ..., a_s; q)$ the set of all $(+K_t)$ graphs in $\mathcal{H}(a_1, ..., a_s; q)$, and by $\mathcal{H}_{max}(a_1, ..., a_s; q)$ all maximal K_q -free graphs in this set. The sets $\mathcal{H}_{max}(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{+K_t}(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$ are defined in the same way as $\mathcal{H}(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$.

We shall denote by $\mathcal{H}_{max}^t(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{+K_t}^t(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$ the subsets of all graphs with independence number not greater than t in the sets $\mathcal{H}_{max}(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{+K_t}(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$ respectively.

Remark 3.2. In the special case $s = 1$ we have

 $\mathcal{H}(a_1; q; n) = \{G : a_1 \leq \omega(G) \leq q \text{ and } |V(G)| = n\}.$ Obviously, if $a_1 \leq n \leq q-1$ then $\mathcal{H}_{max}(a_1; q; n) = \{K_n\}.$ If $a_1 \leq q-1 \leq n$, then $\mathcal{H}_{max}(a_1; q; n) = \mathcal{H}_{max}(q-1; q; n)$.

Further, we will use the following propositions, which are easy to prove:

Proposition 3.3. [\[4\]](#page-13-10) Let G be a graph, $G \stackrel{v}{\rightarrow} (a_1, ..., a_s)$ and $a_i \geq 2$. Then for every independent set A in G

$$
G - A \stackrel{v}{\rightarrow} (a_1, ..., a_{i-1}, a_i - 1, a_{i+1}, ..., a_s).
$$

Proposition 3.4. [\[4\]](#page-13-10) Let $G \in \mathcal{H}_{max}(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$ and A be an independent set of vertices of G. Then $G - A \in \mathcal{H}_{+K_{q-1}}(a_1 - 1, ..., a_s; q; n - |A|)$.

In [\[4\]](#page-13-10) we present the following algorithm for finding all graphs $G \in \mathcal{H}_{max}(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$ with $r \leq \alpha(G) \leq t$:

Algorithm 3.5. [\[4\]](#page-13-10) The input of the algorithm is the set $A = H_{max}^t(a_1 - a_2)$ $1, ..., a_s; q; n-r$). The output of the algorithm is the set B of all graphs $G \in$ $\mathcal{H}_{max}^{t}(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$ with $\alpha(G) \geq r$.

1. By removing edges from the graphs in A obtain the set

 $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{H}_{+K_{q-1}}^t (a_1 - 1, ..., a_s; q; n-r).$

2. For each graph $H \in \mathcal{A}'$:

2.1. Find the family $\mathcal{M}(H) = \{M_1, ..., M_l\}$ of all maximal K_{q-1} -free subsets of $V(H)$.

2.2. Find all r-element multisets $N = \{M_{i_1}, M_{i_2}, ..., M_{i_r}\}\;$ of elements of $\mathcal{M}(H)$, which fulfill the conditions:

(a) $K_{q-2} \subseteq M_{i_j} \cap M_{i_k}$ for every $M_{i_j}, M_{i_k} \in N$.

(b) $\alpha(H - \bigcup_{M_{i_j} \in N'} M_{i_j}) \leq t - |N'|$ for subtuple N' of N.

2.3. For each r-element multiset $N = \{M_{i_1}, M_{i_2}, ..., M_{i_r}\}\;$ of elements of $\mathcal{M}(H)$ found in step 2.2 construct the graph $G = G(N)$ by adding new independent vertices $v_1, v_2, ..., v_r$ to $V(H)$ such that $N_G(v_j) = M_{i_j}, j = 1, ..., r$. If $\omega(G + e) = q, \forall e \in$ $E(\overline{G})$, then add G to B.

3. Remove the isomorph copies of graphs from B.

4. Remove from the obtained in step 3 set $\mathcal B$ all graphs G for which $G \nrightarrow{\mathcal V}$ $(a_1, ..., a_s).$

Theorem 3.6. [\[4\]](#page-13-10) After the execution of Algorithm [3.5,](#page-5-0) the obtained set β coincides with the set of all graphs $G \in \mathcal{H}^t_{max}(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$ with $\alpha(G) \geq r$.

Algorithm [3.5](#page-5-0) is based on a very similar algorithm that we used in [\[3\]](#page-13-13) to prove the lower bound $F_e(3, 3; 4) > 19$. It is possible to prove the Main Theorem using Algorithm [3.5,](#page-5-0) but it would take us months of computational time. For this reason,

we will present an algorithm which is a modification of Algorithm [3.5](#page-5-0) and helped us prove the Main Theorem in less than a week on a personal computer.

Further we shall use the following term:

Definition 3.7. We say that v is a cone vertex in the graph G if v is adjacent to all other vertices in G.

Suppose that $G \in \mathcal{H}_{max}(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$ and G has a cone vertex, i.e. $G =$ $K_1 + H$. According to Proposition [3.3,](#page-5-1) $H \in \mathcal{H}_{max}(a_1 - 1, ..., a_s; q - 1; n - 1)$. Therefore, if we know all the graphs in $\mathcal{H}_{max}(a_1 - 1, ..., a_s; q - 1; n - 1)$ we can easily obtain the graphs in $\mathcal{H}_{max}(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$ which have a cone vertex. We will use this fact to modify Algorithm [3.5](#page-5-0) and make it faster in the case where all graphs in $\mathcal{H}_{max}(a_1-1, ..., a_s; q-1; n-1)$ are already known. The new modified algorithm is based on the following:

Proposition 3.8. Let $G \in \mathcal{H}_{max}(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$ be a graph without cone vertices and A be an independent set in G such that $G - A$ has a cone vertex, i.e. $G - A$ $= K_1 + H$. Then $G = \overline{K}_{r+1} + H$, where $r = |A|$, H has no cone vertices and $K_1 + H \in \mathcal{H}_{max}(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n-r).$

Proof. Let $A = \{v_1, ..., v_r\}$ be an independent set in G and $G - A = K_1 + H =$ ${u}$ +H. Since G has no cone vertices, there exist $v_i \in A$ such that v_i is not adjacent to u. Then $N_G(v_i) \subseteq N_G(u)$ and since G is a maximal K_q -free graph we obtain $N_G(v_i) = N_G(u) = V(H)$. Hence, u is not adjacent to any of the vertices in A, and therefore $N_G(v_j) = N_G(u) = V(H), \forall v_j \in A$. We derived $G = \overline{K}_{r+1} + H$. The graph H has no cone vertices, since any cone vertex in H would be a cone vertex in G. It is easy to see that if $\overline{K}_{r+1} + \overline{H} \stackrel{v}{\rightarrow} (a_1, ..., a_s)$, then $K_1 + H \stackrel{v}{\rightarrow} (a_1, ..., a_s)$. Therefore $K_1 + H \in \mathcal{H}_{max}(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n-r)$.

Now we present the main algorithm used in this paper, which is a modification of Algorithm [3.5.](#page-5-0)

Algorithm 3.9. The input of the algorithm are the set $A_1 = H_{max}^t(a_1 1, ..., a_s; q; n-r)$ and the set $A_2 = H_{max}^t(a_1 - 1, ..., a_s; q-1; n-1)$. The output of the algorithm is the set B of all graphs $G \in \mathcal{H}_{max}^t(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$ with $\alpha(G) \geq r$.

1. By removing edges from the graphs in A_1 obtain the set

 $\mathcal{A}_1' = \left\{ H \in \mathcal{H}_{+K_{q-1}}^t (a_1 - 1, ..., a_s; q; n-r) : H \text{ has no cone vertices} \right\}.$

2. Repeat step 2 of Algorithm [3.5.](#page-5-0)

3. Repeat step 3 of Algorithm [3.5.](#page-5-0)

4. Repeat step 4 of Algorithm [3.5.](#page-5-0)

5. If $t > r$, find the subset \mathcal{A}_1'' of \mathcal{A}_1 containing all graphs with exactly one cone vertex. For each graph $H \in \mathcal{A}_1''$, if $K_1 + H \stackrel{v}{\rightarrow} (a_1, ..., a_s)$, then add $\overline{K}_{r+1} + H$ to \mathcal{B} .

6. For each graph H in \mathcal{A}_2 such that $\alpha(H) \geq r$, if $K_1 + H \stackrel{v}{\rightarrow} (a_1, ..., a_s)$, then add $K_1 + H$ to \mathcal{B} .

Theorem 3.10. After the execution of Algorithm [3.9,](#page-6-0) the obtained set β coincides with the set of all graphs $G \in \mathcal{H}^t_{max}(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$ with $\alpha(G) \geq r$.

Proof. Suppose that after the execution of Algorithm [3.9,](#page-6-0) $G \in \mathcal{B}$. If after step 4 $G \in \mathcal{B}$, then according to Theorem [3.6,](#page-5-2) $G \in \mathcal{H}_{max}^t(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$ and $\alpha(G) \geq r$. If G is added to B in step 5 or step 6, then clearly $G \in \mathcal{H}_{max}^t(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$ and $\alpha(G) \geq r$.

Now let $G \in \mathcal{H}_{max}^t(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$ and $\alpha(G) \geq r$. If $G = K_1 + H$ for some graph H, then, according to Proposition [3.3,](#page-5-1) $H \in \mathcal{A}_2$ and in step 6 G is added to \mathcal{B} . Suppose that G has no cone vertices and G has an independent set A such that $|A| = r$ and $G - A$ has a cone vertex, i.e. $G - A = K_1 + H$. Then, according to Proposition [3.8,](#page-6-1) $G = \overline{K}_{r+1} + H$, $K_1 + H$ has exactly one cone vertex and $K_1 + H \stackrel{v}{\rightarrow} (a_1, ..., a_s)$. It is clear that $t > r$ and hence in step 5 G is added to B. Finally, if $G-A$ has no cone vertices, then according to Proposition [3.4,](#page-5-3) $G-A \in \mathcal{A}'_1$ and it follows from Theorem [3.6](#page-5-2) that after the execution of step 4, $G \in \mathcal{B}$.

Remark 3.11. Note that if $n \geq q$ and $r = 2$ Algorithm [3.5](#page-5-0) and Algorithm [3.9](#page-6-0) *obtain all graphs in* $G \in \mathcal{H}_{max}^t(a_1, ..., a_s; q; n)$.

The nauty programs [\[10\]](#page-13-14) have an important role in this paper. We use them for fast generation of non-isomorph graphs and isomorph rejection.

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM AND THEOREM 2.3

We will first prove Theorem [2.3](#page-4-1) by proving Conjecture [2.2](#page-4-0) in the case $p = 7$. Since $F_v(2, 2, 7; 8) = 20$ [\[4\]](#page-13-10), according to Theorem [2.1\(](#page-3-1)d), to prove the conjecture in this case we need to prove the inequalities $F_v(2, 2, 2, 7; 9) > 20$, $F_v(2, 2, 2, 2, 7; 10) >$ 21 and $F_v(2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 7; 11) > 22$. It is easy to see that it is enough to prove only the last of the three inequalities (see [\[4\]](#page-13-10) for details). Using Algorithm [3.5](#page-5-0) it can be proved that $F_v(2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 7; 11) > 22$, but it would require a lot of computational time. Instead, we will prove the three inequalities successively using Algorithm [3.9.](#page-6-0) Only the proof of the first inequality is presented in details, since the proofs of the others are very similar. We will show that $\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 7; 8; 19) = \emptyset$. The proof uses the graphs $\mathcal{H}_{max}^3(4;8;8)$, $\mathcal{H}_{max}^3(5;8;10)$, $\mathcal{H}_{max}^3(6;8;12)$, $\mathcal{H}_{max}^3(7;8;14)$, $\mathcal{H}_{max}^3(2,7;8;16),\ \mathcal{H}_{max}^3(2,2,7;8;19),\ \mathcal{H}_{max}^2(4;8;9),\ \mathcal{H}_{max}^2(5;8;11),\ \mathcal{H}_{max}^2(6;8;13),$ $\mathcal{H}_{max}^2(7,8;15), \, \mathcal{H}_{max}^2(2,7;8;17), \, \mathcal{H}_{max}^2(2,2,7;8;19)$ obtained in [\[4\]](#page-13-10) in the proof of the lower bound $F_v(2, 2, 7; 8) \geq 20$ (see Table [1\)](#page-10-0).

For positive integers $a_1, ..., a_s$ and m and p defined by [\(1.3\)](#page-1-2), Nenov proved in [\[15\]](#page-13-15) that if $G \in \mathcal{H}(a_1, ..., a_s; m-1; n)$ and $n < m+3p$, then $\alpha(G) < n-m-p+1$. Suppose that $G \in \mathcal{H}(2, 2, 2, 7; 9; 20)$. It follows that $\alpha(G) \leq 3$ and it is clear that $\alpha(G) \geq 2$. Therefore, it is enough to prove that there are no graphs with independence number 2 or 3 in $\mathcal{H}_{max}(2, 2, 2, 7; 9; 20)$.

First we prove that there are no graphs in $\mathcal{H}_{max}(2, 2, 2, 7; 9; 20)$ with independence number 3. It is clear that K_7 is the only graph in $\mathcal{H}_{max}(4; 9; 7)$. By applying Algorithm [3.9\(](#page-6-0) $r = 2$; $t = 3$) with $A_1 = H_{max}^3(4; 9; 7) = \{K_7\}$ and $A_2 = \mathcal{H}_{max}^3(4; 8; 8)$ were obtained all graphs in $\mathcal{H}_{max}^3(5; 9; 9)$ (see Remark [3.11\)](#page-7-0). In the same way, we successively obtained all graphs in $\mathcal{H}_{max}^3(6; 9; 11)$, $\mathcal{H}_{max}^3(7; 9; 13)$, $\mathcal{H}_{max}^3(2,7;9;15)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{max}^3(2,2,7;9;17)$ (see Remark [3.11\)](#page-7-0). In the end, by ap-plying Algorithm [3.9\(](#page-6-0) $r = 3$; $t = 3$) with $A_1 = H_{max}^3(2, 2, 7; 9; 17)$ and $A_2 =$ $\mathcal{H}_{max}^3(2,2,7;8;19) = \emptyset$ no graphs with independence number 3 in $\mathcal{H}_{max}(2,2,2,7;9;20)$ were obtained.

It remains to prove that there are no graphs in $\mathcal{H}_{max}(2, 2, 2, 7; 9; 20)$ with independence number 2. Clearly, K_8 is the only graph in $\mathcal{H}_{max}(4; 9; 8)$. By applying Al-gorithm [3.9\(](#page-6-0) $r = 2; t = 2$) with $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{H}_{max}^2(4; 9; 8) = \{K_8\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{H}_{max}^2(4; 8; 9)$ were obtained all graphs in $\mathcal{H}_{max}^2(5; 9; 10)$ (see Remark [3.11\)](#page-7-0). In the same way, we successively obtained all graphs in $\mathcal{H}_{max}^2(6; 9; 12)$, $\mathcal{H}_{max}^2(7; 9; 14)$, $\mathcal{H}_{max}^2(2, 7; 9; 16)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{max}^2(2, 2, 7; 9; 18)$ (see Remark [3.11\)](#page-7-0). In the end, by applying Algorithm $3.9(r = 2; t = 2)$ $3.9(r = 2; t = 2)$ with $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{H}_{max}^2(2, 2, 7; 9; 18)$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{H}_{max}^2(2, 2, 7; 8; 19) = \emptyset$ no graphs with independence number 2 in $\mathcal{H}_{max}(2, 2, 2, 7; 9; 20)$ were obtained.

We proved that $\mathcal{H}_{max}(2, 2, 2, 7; 9; 20) = \emptyset$ and $F_v(2, 2, 2, 7; 9) > 20$.

In the same way, the graphs obtained in the proof of the inequality $F_v(2, 2, 2, 7; 9)$ 20 are used to prove $F_v(2, 2, 2, 2, 7; 10) > 21$ and the graphs obtained in the proof of the inequality $F_v(2, 2, 2, 2, 7; 10) > 21$ are used to prove $F_v(2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 7; 11) > 22$. The number of graphs obtained in each step of the proofs is shown in Table [2,](#page-11-0) Table [3](#page-11-1) and Table [4.](#page-12-0) Notice that the number of graphs without cone vertices is relatively small, which reduces the computation time significantly.

Thus, $r_0(7) = 2$ and

$$
F_v(2_{m-7}, 7; m-1) = F_v(2, 2, 7; 8) + m - 9 = m + 11
$$

which finishes the proof of Theorem [2.3.](#page-4-1) The Main Theorem follows easily. Indeed, let $a_1, ..., a_s$ be positive integers such that max $\{a_1, ..., a_s\} = 7$ and $m = \sum_{s=1}^{s}$ $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i 1) + 1.$ Then

$$
F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; m-1) \ge F_v(2_{m-7}, 7; m-1) = m+11.
$$

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The considered method for lower bounding the numbers $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; q)$ gives good and accurate results when $q = m - 1$. However, when $q < m - 1$ the bounds are not exact. We will consider the most interesting case $q = p + 1$, where $p =$ $\max\{a_1, ..., a_s\}$. In [\[1\]](#page-13-0) we prove the inequality

$$
F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; p+1) \ge F_v(2, 2, p; p+1) + \sum_{i=3}^{m-p} \alpha(i, p),
$$
\n(5.1)

where $\alpha(i, p) = \max \{ \alpha(G) : G \in \mathcal{H}_{extr}(2_i, p; p+1) \}.$ Since $\alpha(i, p) \geq 2$, from [\(5.1\)](#page-8-0) it follows

$$
F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; p+1) \ge F_v(2, 2, p; p+1) + 2(m - p - 2).
$$

In the special case $p = 7$, since $F_v(2, 2, 7; 8) = 20$ we obtain

$$
F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; 8) \ge 2m + 2. \tag{5.2}
$$

In particular, when $m = 13$ we have $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; 8) \geq 28$. Since the Ramsey number $R(3,8) = 28$, it follows that $\alpha(i,7) \geq 3$, when $i \geq 6$. Now from [\(5.1\)](#page-8-0) it follows easily that

Theorem 5.1. If $m \ge 13$, and $\max\{a_1, ..., a_s\} = 7$, then

$$
F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; 8) \ge 3m - 10.
$$

It is clear that when $3m - 10 \ge R(4,8)$ these bounds for $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; 8)$ can be improved considerably.

In [\[21\]](#page-14-1) it is proved the inequality $F_v(p, p; p + 1) \geq 4p - 1$. From this result it follows that $F_v(7, 7; 8) \geq 27$. From (5.2) we obtain $F_v(7, 7; 8) \geq 28$, and from Theorem [5.1](#page-9-1) we obtain $F_v(7, 7; 8) \geq 29$.

The numbers $F_v(p, p; p+1)$ are of significant interest, but so far we know very little about them. Only two of these numbers are known, $F_v(2, 2; 3) = 5$ (obvious), and $F_v(3, 3; 4) = 14$ ([\[11\]](#page-13-16) and [\[17\]](#page-13-17)). It is also known that $17 \leq F_v(4, 4; 5) \leq 23$, [\[20\]](#page-14-2), $F_v(5, 5; 6) \geq 23$, [\[1\]](#page-13-0), $28 \leq F_v(6, 6; 7) \leq 70$, [\[4\]](#page-13-10), and $F_v(7, 7; 8) \geq 29$ from this paper. Using Algorithm [3.5,](#page-5-0) we managed to improve the known lower bound $F_v(2, 2, 2, 4; 5) \ge 17$ and thus improved the lower bound on $F_v(4, 4; 5)$ as well:

Theorem 5.2. $F_v(4, 4; 5) \ge F_v(2, 3, 4; 5) \ge F_v(2, 2, 2, 4; 5) \ge 19.$

Proof. The inequalities $F_v(4, 4; 5) \ge F_v(2, 3, 4; 5) \ge F_v(2, 2, 2, 4; 5)$ are easy to prove (see (4.1) in [\[1\]](#page-13-0)). It remains to prove that $F_v(2, 2, 2, 4; 5) \geq 19$. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}_{max}(2, 2, 2, 4; 5; 18) \neq \emptyset$ and let $G \in \mathcal{H}_{max}(2, 2, 2, 4; 5; 18)$. Since the Ramsey number $R(3,5) = 14$, $\alpha(G) \geq 3$. In [\[20\]](#page-14-2) it is proved that $F_v(2, 2, 4; 5) = 13$ and $\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 4; 5; 13) = \{Q\}$, where Q is the unique 13-vertex K_5 -free graph with indepen-dence number 2. From Proposition [3.3](#page-5-1) and the equality $F_v(2, 2, 4; 5) = 13$ it follows that $\alpha(G) \leq 5$. By applying Algorithm [3.5](#page-5-0) to the graph Q it follows that there are no graphs in $\mathcal{H}_{max}(2, 2, 2, 4; 5; 18)$ with independence number 5. It remains to prove that there are no graphs in $\mathcal{H}_{max}(2, 2, 2, 4; 5; 18)$ with independence number 3 or 4. Using *nauty* it is easy to obtain the sets $\mathcal{H}_{max}^4(3,5,8)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{max}^3(3,5,9)$. By apply-ing Algorithm [3.5](#page-5-0) ($r = 2, t = 4$) starting from the set $\mathcal{H}_{max}^4(3; 5; 8)$ were successively obtained all graphs in the sets $\mathcal{H}_{max}^4(4; 5; 10)$, $\mathcal{H}_{max}^4(2, 4; 5; 12)$, $\mathcal{H}_{max}^4(2, 2, 4; 5; 14)$ (see Remark [3.11\)](#page-7-0), and by applying Algorithm [3.5](#page-5-0) ($r = 4, t = 4$) were found no graphs in $\mathcal{H}_{max}(2, 2, 2, 4; 5; 18)$ with independence number 4. Next, we applied Al-gorithm [3.5](#page-5-0) ($r = 2, t = 3$) starting from the set $\mathcal{H}_{max}^3(3, 5, 9)$ to successively obtain all graphs in the sets $\mathcal{H}_{max}^3(4;5;11), \, \mathcal{H}_{max}^3(2,4;5;13), \, \mathcal{H}_{max}^3(2,2,4;5;15)$ (see Re-mark [3.11\)](#page-7-0), and by applying Algorithm [3.5](#page-5-0) ($r = 3, t = 3$) were found no graphs in

 $\mathcal{H}_{max}(2, 2, 2, 4; 5; 18)$ with independence number 3. The number of graphs obtained in each of the steps is shown in Table [5.](#page-12-1) We obtained $\mathcal{H}_{max}(2, 2, 2, 4; 5; 18) = \emptyset$ and therefore $F_v(2, 2, 2, 4; 5) \ge 19$.

The upper bound $F_v(4, 4; 5) \leq 23$ is proved in [\[20\]](#page-14-2) with the help of a 23-vertex transitive graph. We were not able to obtain any other graphs in $\mathcal{H}(4, 4; 5; 23)$, which leads us to believe that this bound may be exact. We did find a large number of 23-vertex graphs in $\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 2, 4; 5)$, but so far we have not obtained smaller graphs in this set.

In the end, we shall pose the following question: Is it true, that the sequence $F_v(p, p; p+1), p \geq 2$, is increasing?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This paper was partially supported by the Sofia University Scientific Research Fund through Contract 80-10-74/20.04.2017.

A. RESULTS OF COMPUTATIONS

Table 1: Steps in finding all maximal graphs in $\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 7; 8; 19)$

set	ind.	max. graphs	max. graphs	$(+K_8)$ -graphs	$(+K_8)$ -graphs
	number		no cone v.		no cone v.
$\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 7; 9; 16)$	≤ 4		Ω	1	Ω
$\mathcal{H}(2,2,2,7;9;20)$	$=4$	Ω	Ω		
H(4;9;7)	≤ 3		Ω	1	Ω
$\mathcal{H}(5;9;9)$	≤ 3	1	Ω	$\overline{4}$	θ
$\mathcal{H}(6; 9; 11)$	≤ 3	3	Ω	45	Ω
$\mathcal{H}(7; 9; 13)$	≤ 3	12	Ω	3 1 1 3	9
$\mathcal{H}(2,7;9;15)$	≤ 3	169	Ω	4 783 615	7 0 9 7
$\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 7; 9; 17)$	≤ 3	36	$\overline{2}$	22 918	22
$\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 2, 7; 9; 20)$	$=$ 3	θ	Ω		
H(4;9;8)	≤ 2		Ω		Ω
$\mathcal{H}(5; 9; 10)$	≤ 2		Ω	8	θ
$\mathcal{H}(6; 9; 12)$	≤ 2	3	Ω	85	
$\mathcal{H}(7; 9; 14)$	≤ 2	10	Ω	5 4 7 4	80
$\mathcal{H}(2,7;9;16)$	≤ 2	103		5 346 982	361 988
$\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 7; 9; 18)$	≤ 2	2845	85	387 948 338	7 586 602
$\mathcal{H}(2,2,2,7;9;20)$	$=2$	Ω	Ω		
$\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 2, 7; 9; 20)$		$\overline{0}$	θ		

Table 2: Steps in finding all maximal graphs in $\mathcal{H}(2,2,2,7;9;20)$

set	ind.	max. graphs	max. graphs	$(+K_9)$ -graphs	$(+K_9)$ -graphs
	number		no cone v.		no cone v.
$\mathcal{H}(2,2,2,7;10;17)$	≤ 4	1	Ω	1	Ω
$\mathcal{H}(2,2,2,2,7;10;21)$	$=4$	$\overline{0}$	θ		
$\mathcal{H}(5; 10; 8)$	$\overline{\leq 3}$	1	Ω	$\mathbf{1}$	Ω
$\mathcal{H}(6; 10; 10)$	≤ 3	1	Ω	$\overline{4}$	Ω
$\mathcal{H}(7;10;12)$	≤ 3	3	Ω	45	0
$\mathcal{H}(2,7;10;14)$	≤ 3	12	0	3 1 1 5	$\overline{2}$
$\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 7; 10; 16)$	≤ 3	169	0	4 784 483	868
$\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 2, 7; 10; 18)$	≤ 3	36	0	22 919	1
$\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 2, 2, 7; 10; 21)$	$=$ 3	θ	Ω		
$\mathcal{H}(5; 10; 9)$	≤ 2		Ω		Ω
$\mathcal{H}(6; 10; 11)$	≤ 2		0	8	θ
$\mathcal{H}(7;10;13)$	≤ 2	3	Ω	85	Ω
$\mathcal{H}(2,7;10;15)$	≤ 2	10	Ω	5 4 9 5	21
$\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 7; 10; 17)$	≤ 2	103	0	5 371 651	24 669
$\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 2, 7; 10; 19)$	≤ 2	2848	3	387 968 658	20 320
$\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 2, 2, 7; 10; 21)$	$=2$	θ	Ω		
$\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 2, 2, 7; 10; 21)$		$\overline{0}$	θ		

Table 3: Steps in finding all maximal graphs in $\mathcal{H}(2,2,2,2,7;10;21)$

set	ind.	max. graphs	max. graphs	$(+K_{10})$ -graphs	$(+K_{10})$ -graphs
	number		no cone v.		no cone v.
$\mathcal{H}(2,2,2,2,7;11;18)$	≤ 4	1	0	1	Ω
$\mathcal{H}(2,2,2,2,2,7;11;22)$	$=4$	θ	0		
$\mathcal{H}(6; 11; 9)$	$\overline{\leq 3}$	1	Ω	1	Ω
$\mathcal{H}(7;11;11)$	≤ 3	1	0	4	Ω
$\mathcal{H}(2,7;11;13)$	≤ 3	3	0	45	Ω
H(2, 2, 7; 11; 15)	≤ 3	12	0	3 1 1 6	
$\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 2, 7; 11; 17)$	≤ 3	169	0	4 784 638	155
$\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 2, 2, 7; 11; 19)$	≤ 3	36	0	22 919	Ω
$\mathcal{H}(2,2,2,2,2,2,7;11;22)$	$=$ 3	θ	θ		
$\mathcal{H}(6; 11; 10)$	≤ 2		Ω		Ω
$\mathcal{H}(7;11;12)$	≤ 2	1	0	8	θ
H(2,7;11;14)	≤ 2	3	0	85	0
$\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 7; 11; 16)$	≤ 2	10	0	5 5 0 2	
$\mathcal{H}(2, 2, 2, 7; 11; 18)$	≤ 2	103	0	5 374 143	2 4 9 2
$\mathcal{H}(2,2,2,2,7;11;20)$	≤ 2	2848	0	387 968 676	18
$\mathcal{H}(2,2,2,2,2,2,7;11;22)$	$=2$	θ	Ω		
$\mathcal{H}(2,2,2,2,2,7;11;22)$		θ	Ω		

Table 4: Steps in finding all maximal graphs in $\mathcal{H}(2,2,2,2,2,7;11;22)$

set	ind.	maximal	$(+K_4)$ -graphs
	number	graphs	
$\mathcal{H}(2,2,4;5;13)$	≤ 5		
H(2, 2, 2, 4; 5; 18)	$=5$	$\overline{0}$	
$\mathcal{H}(3; 5; 8)$	≤ 4	7	274
H(4; 5; 10)	≤ 4	44	65 422
$\mathcal{H}(2, 4; 5; 12)$	≤ 4	1 0 5 9	18 143 174
$\mathcal{H}(2,2,4;5;14)$	≤ 4	13	71
$\mathcal{H}(2,2,2,4;5;18)$	$=4$	Ω	
$\mathcal{H}(3;5;9)$	≤ 3	11	2 2 5 2
H(4; 5; 11)	≤ 3	135	1 678 802
$\mathcal{H}(2, 4; 5; 13)$	≤ 3	11 439	2 672 047 607
$\mathcal{H}(2,2,4;5;15)$	≤ 3	1 1 0 3	78 117
$\mathcal{H}(2,2,2,4;5;18)$	$=$ 3	0	
$\mathcal{H}(2,2,2,4;5;18)$		$\overline{0}$	

Table 5: Steps in finding all maximal graphs in $\mathcal{H}(2,2,2,4;5;18)$

6. REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bikov and N. Nenov. The vertex Folkman numbers $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; m-1) = m+9$, if $\max\{a_1, ..., a_s\} = 5$. To appear in the *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and* Combinatorial Computing, preprint: [arXiv:1503.08444,](http://arxiv.org/abs/1503.08444) August 2015.
- [2] A. Bikov and N. Nenov. Modified vertex Folkman numbers. Mathematics and Education. Proceedings of the 45th Spring Conference of the Union of Bulgarian Mathematicians, 45:113–123, 2016. preprint: [arXiv:1511.02125,](http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.02125) November 2015.
- [3] A. Bikov and N. Nenov. The edge Folkman number $F_e(3, 3; 4)$ is greater than 19. GE-OMBINATORICS, 27(1):5–14, 2017. preprint: [arXiv:1609.03468,](http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.03468) September 2016.
- [4] A. Bikov and N. Nenov. On the vertex Folkman numbers $F_v(a_1, ..., a_s; m-1)$ when $\max\{a_1, ..., a_s\} = 6$ or 7. To appear in the *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics* and Combinatorial Computing, preprint: [arXiv:1512.02051,](http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.02051) April 2017.
- [5] A. Dudek and V. Rödl. New upper bound on vertex Folkman numbers. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 4557:473–478, 2008.
- [6] J. Folkman. Graphs with monochromatic complete subgraphs in every edge coloring. SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, 18:19–24, 1970.
- [7] N. Kolev and N. Nenov. New upper bound for a class of vertex Folkman numbers. The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, 13, 2006.
- [8] T. Luczak, A. Ruciński, and S. Urbański. On minimal vertex Folkman graphs. Discrete Mathematics, 236:245–262, 2001.
- [9] T. Luczak and S. Urbański. A note on restricted vertex Ramsey numbers. *Periodica* Mathematica Hungarica, 33:101–103, 1996.
- [10] B.D. McKay and A. Piperino. Practical graph isomorphism, II. J. Symbolic Computation, 60:94–112, 2013. Preprint version at [arxiv.org.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1301.1493)
- [11] N. Nenov. An example of a 15-vertex (3, 3)-Ramsey graph with clique number 4. Comptes rendus de l'Academie bulgare des Sciences, 34(11):1487–1489, 1981. (in Russian).
- [12] N. Nenov. Application of the corona-product of two graphs in Ramsey theory. Ann. Univ. Sofia Fac. Math. Inform., 79:349–355, 1985. (in Russian).
- [13] N. Nenov. On a class of vertex Folkman graphs. Ann. Univ. Sofia Fac. Math. Inform., 94:15–25, 2000.
- [14] N. Nenov. A generalization of a result of Dirac. Ann. Univ. Sofia Fac. Math. Inform., 95:59–69, 2001.
- [15] N. Nenov. Lower bound for a number of vertices of some vertex Folkman graphs. C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci., 55(4):33–36, 2002.
- [16] N. Nenov. On a class of vertex Folkman numbers. Serdica Mathematical Journal, 28:219–232, 2002.
- [17] K. Piwakowski, S. Radziszowski, and S. Urbanski. Computation of the Folkman number $F_e(3, 3; 5)$. Journal of Graph Theory, 32:41–49, 1999.
- [18] Z. Shao, X. Xu, and L. Pan. New upper bounds for vertex Folkman numbers $F_v(3, k; k + 1)$. Utilitas Mathematica, 80:91-96, 2009.
- [19] D. West. Introduction to Graph Theory. Prentice Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, 2 edition, 2001.
- [20] X. Xu, H. Luo, and Z. Shao. Upper and lower bounds for $F_v(4, 4; 5)$. Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, 17, 2010.
- [21] X. Xu and Z. Shao. On the lower bound for $F_v(k, k; k + 1)$ and $F_e(3, 4; 5)$. Utilitas Mathematica, 81:187–192, 2010.

Aleksandar Bikov, Nedyalko Nenov

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics "St. Kl. Ohridski" University of Sofia 5, J. Bourchier blvd., BG-1164 Sofia BULGARIA

e-mails: asbikov@fmi.uni-sofia.bg nenov@fmi.uni-sofia.bg