

## DIVISOR GRAPH OF COMPLEMENT OF $\Gamma(R)$

RAVINDRA KUMAR AND OM PRAKASH

ABSTRACT. Let  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  be the complement of zero divisor graph of a finite commutative ring  $R$ . In this article, we have provided the answer of the question (ii) raised by Osba and Alkam in their paper and prove that  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is a divisor graph if  $R$  is a local ring. It is shown that when  $R$  is a product of two local rings, then  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is a divisor graph if one of them is an integral domain. Also, we prove that if  $|Ass(R)| = 2$ , then  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is a divisor graph.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the manuscript,  $R$  represents a finite commutative principal ideal ring with unity. Let  $Z(R)$  be the set of zero divisors of  $R$  and  $Reg(R) = R \setminus Z(R)$ , be the set of regular elements of  $R$ . An element  $a \in R$  is called a regular element if there exists  $b \in R$  such that  $a = a^2b$ , the element  $b$  is called a von Neumann inverse for  $a$ . In 1980, zero divisor graph was introduced by I. Beck[4] in context of coloring and redefined by Anderson and Livingston[2] in 1999. In  $R$ , a zero divisor graph is denoted by  $\Gamma(R)$ , and defined by a graph whose vertex set is the set of all non-zero zero divisors of  $R$  and any two elements  $a, b$  are adjacent if and only if their product is zero i.e  $a.b = 0$ . Complement graph  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is defined on the same vertex set of  $\Gamma(R)$  such that two distinct vertices  $a$  and  $b$  are adjacent if and only if  $a.b \neq 0$ . Anderson and Livingston also proved that  $\Gamma(R)$  is connected with at most diameter 3.

Divisor graph were introduced by Chartrand et al.[5] in 2001. Let  $S$  be a nonempty set of positive integers, the divisor graph  $G(S)$  of  $S$  has vertex set  $S$  and two vertices  $i$  and  $j$  of  $G(S)$  are adjacent if  $i$  divides  $j$  or  $j$  divides  $i$ . A graph  $G$  is a divisor graph if there exists a set  $S$  of positive integers such that  $G$  is isomorphic to  $G(S)$ . In a directed graph  $G$ , a vertex is said to be a receiver if its out-degree is zero and its in-degree is positive. A transmitter is a vertex having positive out-degree and zero in-degree. A vertex  $t$  with positive in-degree and positive out-degree is transitive if whenever  $u \rightarrow t$  and  $t \rightarrow v$  are edges in  $G$ , then  $u \rightarrow v$  is an edge in  $G$ . It has shown in [5] that if  $G$  be

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C20, 05C25, 05C78.

*Key words and phrases.* Zero divisor graph, Divisor graph, Principal ideal ring, Reduced ring, Associated prime ideal.

a graph, then  $G$  is a divisor graph if and only if there exists an orientation  $D$  of  $G$  such that every vertex of  $D$  is a transmitter, a receiver, or a transitive vertex. Further, divisor graph are also studied in[1]

In this article, we will study the complement graph  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$ . We have investigated for what diameter of the ring  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  is a divisor graph, where  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are commutative rings with unity. Moreover, we observe the condition in which  $\overline{\Gamma(R[x])}$  to be a divisor graph.

The distance between two vertices of a graph  $G$  is the number of edges in a minimal path between the vertices. The diameter of  $G$  (denoted  $\text{diam}(G)$ ) is the maximal distance between any pair of vertices. A cycle is a closed path, excluding loops, from a vertex to itself that does not repeat edges. For basic definitions and results of graph theory, we prefer [6].

A ring  $R$  is said to be a local if it has unique maximal ideal. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module, and  $P$  a prime ideal of  $R$ . Then  $P$  is an associated prime of  $M$  (or that  $P$  is associated to  $M$ ) if  $P$  is the annihilator of some non-zero  $x \in M$ . The set of associated primes of  $M$  is denoted by  $\text{Ass}(M)$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULT

It is known from Theorem 8.7 of [3] that if  $R$  is a non-local ring, then  $R$  is a direct product of local rings. Therefore, we start our results with local rings and finally present some results for the direct product of local rings.


**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $R$  is a local ring, then  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is a divisor graph.*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be the maximal ideal of the given local ring  $R$ . Since  $M$  is principal so there exists  $a \in R$  such that  $M = \langle a \rangle$  i.e  $M = aR$ . Since  $R$  is finite, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a^n = 0$  and  $a^{n-1} \neq 0$ . Now,  $V(\overline{\Gamma(R)}) = ua^i$  where  $u$  is a unit in  $R$  and  $i = \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Also, two vertices  $ua^i$  and  $va^j$  are adjacent if and only if  $i + j < n$ . Let  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$  be the set of units. Also, here  $M$  can be written as  $\{u_i a^j \mid i \leq m, j < n\}$  (with distinct elements). We consider an orientation for  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  for any adjacent vertices  $u_{i_1} a^j$  and  $u_{i_2} a^k$  as follows:

If  $j < k$ , then the orientation is  $u_{i_1} a^j \longrightarrow u_{i_2} a^k$ , if  $j = k$  and  $i_1 < i_2$ , then the orientation is  $u_{i_1} a^j \longrightarrow u_{i_2} a^k$ . It can be easily checked that the under above orientation for  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$ , every vertex is either transitive or receiver or transmitter except the isolated vertex of the form  $u_i a^{n-1}$ . Here,  $u_i a^j$  is a receiver when  $j > \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ ,  $j \neq n-1$ . If  $j < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ ,  $j \neq 1$ , then  $u_i a^j$  is a transitive except  $u_m a^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$  (this is a receiver). Also,  $u_1 a^1$  is a transmitter.

If  $n$  is even, then apart from above transitive/ receiver/transmitter, all vertices of  $u_i a^{\frac{n}{2}}$  form are receiver.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *A graph contains the below given induced subgraph  $fig(1)$  is not a divisor graph.*



*Figure 1*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be an orientation of above graph  $fig(1)$  in which every vertex is either receiver or a transmitter or transitive. We assume that  $A \rightarrow G$  in  $D$ , then  $A \rightarrow F$  in  $D$ , otherwise orientation does not make sense. Now, we consider the orientation given in  $fig(2)$ . To complete this orientation we must have either  $G \rightarrow D$  or  $D \rightarrow G$ . If  $G \rightarrow D$ , then  $G$  is neither receiver nor transmitter nor transitive. Again, if  $D \rightarrow G$ , then in this case  $D$  is neither receiver nor transmitter nor transitive. So, the graph of  $fig(1)$  is not a divisor graph.  $\square$





Figure 2

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be two commutative rings and  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  where  $\text{diam}(\Gamma(R_1)) = \text{diam}(\Gamma(R_2)) = 0$ . Then  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is a divisor graph if and only if at least one of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  is an integral domain.

*Proof.* Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be integral domains. Then  $\Gamma(R)$  is a complete bipartite graph and in this case  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  has two components in which each of them is complete. So  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is a divisor graph. Suppose  $R_1$  is an integral domain, then  $Z(R_2) = \{0, a\}$ ,  $\text{Reg}(R_2) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $\text{Reg}(R_1) = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ , being  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are finite. To prove  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is a divisor graph, we consider the orientation in Fig(3) as follows:



**Figure 3**

Define a labelling  $f : V(\overline{\Gamma(R)}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by


$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 2 & (x, y) = (0, a) \\ 2 \times p^i & (x, y) = (0, v_i) \\ p^j & (x, y) = (u_j, a) \\ q \times p^j & (x, y) = (u_j, 0) \end{cases},$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  are distinct primes. Clearly,  $f$  is a 1 – 1 function and  $(x, y)(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$  if and only if  $f(x, y)/f(\alpha, \beta)$  or  $f(\alpha, \beta)/f(x, y)$ . Hence,  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is a divisor graph.

Conversely, let  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  be a divisor graph. If possible, assume  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are not integral domains. Then  $Z(R_1) = \{0, b\}$ ,  $Z(R_2) = \{0, a\}$ . So, there are distinct vertices  $(0, a), (0, v_1), (b, 0), (b, a), (b, v_2), (u_1, 0), (u_2, a)$  are in  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  and This will give an induced subgraph given in *fig(1)*. Therefore, the graph  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is not a divisor graph, which contradict the assumption. □

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  such that  $\text{diam}(\Gamma(R_1)) = 0$  &  $\text{diam}(\Gamma(R_2)) = 1$ . Then  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is a divisor graph if and only if  $R_1$  is an integral domain.*

*Proof.* Let  $R_1$  be an integral domain and  $\text{diam}(\Gamma(R_1)) = 1$ . Then  $Z(R_2) = \{0, x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ ,  $\text{Reg}(R_1) = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$  and  $\text{Reg}(R_2) = v_1, \dots, v_n$ . Now, we draw a graph (*figure 4*) with orientation  $D$ .



**Figure 4**

Now, we define a function  $f : V(\overline{\Gamma(R)}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by


$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} p_i & (x, y) = (0, x_i) \\ 3^{N_{j,i}} & (x, y) = (u_j, x_i) \\ 3^{N_{n,t}} \times \prod_{i=1}^k p_i & (x, y) = (0, v_k) \\ 3^{N_{n,t}} \times \prod S_{j,i} & (x, y) = (u_j, 0) \end{cases} ,$$

where  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k, S_{1,1}, \dots, S_{j,i}$  are distinct primes and  $N_{1,1}, \dots, N_{n,t}$  are ascending positive integers. Clearly  $f$  is 1-1 and  $(x, y)(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$  if and only if  $f(x, y)/f(a, b)$  or  $f(a, b)/f(x, y)$ . Hence  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is a divisor graph.

Conversely, let  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  be a divisor graph where  $R_1$  is not an integral domain. Then  $Z(R_1) = \{0, a\}$ ,  $Reg(R_1) = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ ,  $Z(R_2) = \{0, x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  and  $Reg(R_2) = v_1, \dots, v_n$ . Again, we have an induced subgraph of  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  as in *fig(1)* and by Lemma(2.1),  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is not a divisor graph. Which is a contradiction. Hence  $R_1$  is an integral domain.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  such that  $diam(\Gamma(R_1)) = diam(\Gamma(R_2)) = 1$ . Then  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is not a divisor graph.*

*Proof.* Since  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are finite rings, let  $Z(R_1) = \{0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ ,  $Reg(R_1) = \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ ,  $Z(R_2) = \{0, z_1, \dots, z_k\}$  and  $Reg(R_2) = \{w_1, \dots, w_l\}$ . Now, we find distinct vertices  $(0, z_1), (0, w_2), (x_1, 0), (x_2, z_2), (x_3, w_1), (y_1, 0)$  and  $(y_2, z_3)$  such that *fig(5)* is an induced subgraph of  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$ . Therefore, by Lemma(2.1),  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is not a divisor graph.  $\square$



**Figure 5**

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be local rings and  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  such that  $\text{diam}(\Gamma(R_1)) = 0$  and  $\text{diam}(\Gamma(R_2)) = 2$ . Then  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is a divisor graph if and only if  $R_1$  is an integral domain.*

*Proof.* Since  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are finite principal ideal rings, let  $Z(R_2)$  be generated by  $y \in R_2$  with  $y^l = 0, y^{l-1} \neq 0$ . Then  $Z(R_2) = yR_2$ . Let  $R_1$  be an integral domain and  $\text{Reg}(R_1) = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ ,  $Z(R_2) = \{0, v_1y, v_2y, \dots, v_ny^{l-1}\}$  and  $\text{Reg}(R_2) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . Clearly, if  $(v_iy^j)(v_sy^r) \neq 0$ , then  $j + r < l$ . Consider the following orientation  $D$ : if  $j < r$ , then we set  $(0, v_iy^j) \rightarrow (0, v_sy^r)$  and  $(u_\alpha, v_sy^r) \rightarrow (u_\alpha, v_iy^j)$ . If  $j = r$ , then we set  $(0, v_sy^r) \rightarrow (0, v_iy^j)$  and  $(u_\alpha, v_iy^j) \rightarrow (u_\alpha, v_sy^r)$  if  $s < i$ . Here, the vertices  $(0, v_i)$  and  $(u_j, 0)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq m-1$  are all transitive. Here,  $(0, v_n)$  and  $(u_m, 0)$  are receivers. If  $(0, v_1y^{r_1}) \rightarrow (0, v_2y^{r_2}) \rightarrow (\alpha, v_3y^{r_3})$  where  $\alpha \in \{0, u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ , then  $r_1 + r_2 < l, r_2 + r_3 < l$  and so  $r_1 + r_3 < l$ , since  $r_1 < r_2$ . By this orientation,  $(0, v_1y^{r_1}) \rightarrow (\alpha, v_3y^{r_3})$ . If  $(\alpha, v_1y^{r_1}) \rightarrow (0, v_2y^{r_2}) \rightarrow (0, v_i)$ , then  $(\alpha, v_1y^{r_1}) \rightarrow (0, v_i)$ , therefore  $(0, v_2y^{r_2})$  is transitive. If  $(\alpha, v_1y^{r_1}) \rightarrow (u_i, v_2y^{r_2}) \rightarrow (u_j, v_3y^{r_3})$ , then  $r_1 + r_2 < l, r_2 + r_3 < l$  and so  $r_1 + r_3 < l$  because  $r_2 > r_3$ . Hence,  $(\alpha, v_1y^{r_1}) \rightarrow (u_j, v_3y^{r_3})$ ,  $\alpha \in \{0, u_1, \dots, u_m\}$  and finally from  $D$ ,  $(u_i, v_1y^{r_1}) \rightarrow (u_j, v_2y^{r_2}) \rightarrow (u_m, 0)$ , therefore,  $(u_i, v_1y^{r_1}) \rightarrow (u_m, 0)$ . Hence  $(u_j, v_2y^{r_2})$  is transitive. Thus,  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is a divisor graph.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be local rings and  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  such that  $\text{diam}(\Gamma(R_1)) = 1$  or  $2$  and  $\text{diam}(\Gamma(R_2)) = 2$ . Then  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is not a divisor graph.*

*Proof.* It can easily be proved by Lemma (2.1) that the graph is not a divisor graph.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.8.** *Complement of a complete bipartite graph is a divisor graph.*

*Proof.* We know by Corollary (2.10) of [5], complete bipartite graph is a divisor graph. Also, complement of the complete bipartite graph has two components such that each component is a complete graph. Hence, by Proposition (2.5) of [5], it is a divisor graph.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. If  $|\text{Ass}(R)| = 2$  and  $p_1 \cap p_2 = \{0\}$ , then  $\Gamma(R)$  is a divisor graph.*

*Proof.* Let  $p_1, p_2 \in \text{Ass}(R)$  such that  $p_1 \cap p_2 = \{0\}$ . Since  $p_1 \cup p_2 \subseteq Z(R)$ , let  $x \in Z(R) \setminus p_1 \cup p_2$ . Then there exists  $0 \neq y \in R$  such that  $xy = 0 \in p_1 \cap p_2$ . So  $y \in p_1 \cap p_2 = \{0\}$ , which is a contradiction. So  $Z(R) = p_1 \cup p_2$ .

Now, we have two sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  such that  $V_1 = p_1 \setminus \{0\}, V_2 = p_2 \setminus \{0\}$ . In order to prove  $\Gamma(R)$  is a divisor graph, we prove no two elements of  $V_1$  are adjacent

and same for  $V_2$ . If  $0 \neq a, b \in V_1$  such that  $ab = 0$ , then  $ab \in p_2$ . Since  $p_2$  is a prime ideal so either  $a \in V_2$  or  $b \in V_2$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\Gamma(R)$  is a bipartite graph. Now, we take  $a \in V_1$  and  $b \in V_2$  for all  $a, b$ . If  $ab \in p_1$  since  $p_1$  is an ideal and  $ab \in p_2$  since  $p_2$  is an ideal. Then  $ab \in p_1 \cap p_2 = \{0\}$  so  $ab = 0$  and hence  $\Gamma(R)$  is a complete bipartite graph. Thus, by *Thm(1.1)*,  $\Gamma(R)$  is a divisor graph.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. If  $|Ass(R)| = 2$  and  $p_1 \cap p_2 = \{0\}$ , then  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is a divisor graph.*

Few results for the polynomial ring over the ring  $R$ , we found interesting, specially, in [7], if  $f(x) \in Z(R[x])$ , then there exists a constant  $c \in R \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $cf(x) = 0$ . Also in [8], if  $R$  is a finite commutative principal ideal ring with unity and  $T = R[x]$  or  $R[[x]]$ , then for each  $f \in T$ , there exists  $c_f \in R$ ,  $f_1 \in T \setminus Z(T)$  such that  $f = c_f f_1$ .

**Corollary 2.11.** [8] *Let  $R$  be a finite commutative principal ideal ring with unity and  $T = R[x]$  or  $R[[x]]$ . Then for each  $f, g \in Z(T)$ ,  $fg = 0$  if and only if  $c_f c_g = 0$ .*

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $R$  be a finite commutative principal ideal ring with unity and  $T = R[x]$ . Then  $\overline{\Gamma(T)}$  is a divisor graph if  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  is a divisor graph.*

*Proof.* Let  $\overline{\Gamma(R)}$  be a divisor graph with orientation  $D$ . If  $f(x), g(x) \in Z(T)$  with  $f(x)g(x) \neq 0$ , then there is an edge between them in  $\overline{\Gamma(T)}$ . Now from corollary [2.1],  $f(x)g(x) = 0$  if and only if  $c_f c_g = 0$  so  $f(x)g(x) \neq 0$  this implies  $c_f c_g \neq 0$ . We define an orientation  $K$  in  $\overline{\Gamma(T)}$ . If  $c_f \rightarrow c_g$  in  $D$ , then  $f(x) \rightarrow g(x)$  in  $K$ . Let  $f(x) = c_f f_1$  be a vertex in  $\overline{\Gamma(T)}$ . If  $c_f$  is a receiver(transmitter) in  $D$ , then  $f(x)$  is clearly a receiver(transmitter) in  $K$ . Assume  $c_f$  is transitive in  $D$  with  $h(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  and  $f(x) \rightarrow g(x)$  in  $K$ . Then  $h(x)f(x) \neq 0$  and  $f(x)g(x) \neq 0$  and these imply  $c_h c_f \neq 0$  and  $c_f c_g \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $c_h \rightarrow c_f, c_f \rightarrow c_g$  in  $D$ . Since  $c_f$  is transitive in  $D$ ,  $c_h \rightarrow c_g$  in  $D$ , therefore,  $c_h c_g \neq 0$ . Hence  $h(x) \rightarrow g(x)$  in  $K$  and  $h(x)g(x) \neq 0$ , i.e.  $f(x)$  is transitive in  $K$ . Thus,  $\overline{\Gamma(T)}$  is a divisor graph.  $\square$

### 3. CONCLUSION

In this manuscript, we prove that if  $R$  is a finite commutative principal ideal ring with unity, then  $\overline{\Gamma(T)}$  is a divisor graph if and only if  $R$  is a local ring and  $R$  is a product of two local rings such that one of them is an integral domain.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Govt. of India for financial support under Ref. No. 22/06/2014(i)EU-V, Sr. No. 1061440753 dated 29/12/2014 and Indian Institute of Technology Patna for providing the research facilities.



## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Al-Addasi, OA. Abu Ghnaim and H. Al-Ezeh, Further new properties of divisor graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* **81** (2012), 261-272.
- [2] D. F. Anderson and P. S. Livingston, The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring, *J. Algebra* **217**(2) (1999), 434-447.
- [3] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. MacDONald, Introduction to commutative algebra, Reading, MA, USA Addison-Wesley, 1969.
- [4] I. Beck, Coloring of commutative rings, *J. Algebra* **116**(1) (1988), 208-226.
- [5] G. Chartrand, R. Muntean, V. Saenpholphat and P. Zhang, Which graphs are divisor graphs?, *Congr. Numer.* **151** (2001), 189-200.
- [6] F. Harary, Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Inc., Boston, 1969.
- [7] N. H. McCoy, Remarks on divisors of zero, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **49**(5) (1942), 286-295.
- [8] E. A. Osba and O. Alkam, When zero-divisor graphs are divisor graphs, *Turkish J. Math.* **41**(4) (2017), 797-807.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IIT PATNA, BIHTA CAMPUS, BIHTA-801 106  
*E-mail address:* `ravindra.pma15@iitp.ac.in`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IIT PATNA, BIHTA CAMPUS, BIHTA-801 106  
*E-mail address:* `om@iitp.ac.in`