A NOTE ON MAXIMAL PLURIFINELY PLURISUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS

MOHAMED EL KADIRI

ABSTRACT. In this note we study the plurifinely locally maximal plurifinely plurisubharmonic functions and improve some known results on these functions. We prove in particular that any locally bounded plurifinely locally maximal plurifinely plurisubharmonic function is maximal, and that any finite plurisubharmonic function on an open subset of \mathbb{C}^n is maximal if and only if it is plurifinely locally maximal.

1. INTRODUCTION

The plurifine topology \mathcal{F} on a Euclidean open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is the smallest topology that makes all plurisubharmonic functions on Ω continuous. This construction is completely analogous to the better known fine topology in classical potential theory of H. Cartan. Good references for the latter are [1, 4]. The topology \mathcal{F} was introduced in [16], and studied e.g. by Bedford and Taylor in [2], and by El Marzguioui and Wiegerinck in [9, 10], where they proved in particular that this topology is locally connected. Notions related to the topology \mathcal{F} are provided with the prefix \mathcal{F} , e.g. an \mathcal{F} -domain is an \mathcal{F} -open set that is connected in \mathcal{F} .

Just as one introduces finely subharmonic functions on fine domains in \mathbb{R}^n , cf. Fugledes book [12], one can introduce plurifinely plurisubharmonic functions on \mathcal{F} -domains in \mathbb{C}^n . These functions are called \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic (or \mathcal{F} -psh in abbreviated form). In case n = 1, we merely recover the finely subharmonic functions on fine domains in \mathbb{R}^2 .

The definition of \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic functions on an \mathcal{F} -open set of \mathbb{C}^n was first given in [6] and [10], where some properties of these functions were studied. The \mathcal{F} -continuity of the \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic functions was established in [11].

In [7], the most important properties of the \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic functions were obtained. This paper included a convergence theorem, and the characterization of \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic functions as \mathcal{F} -locally bounded finely subharmonic functions with the property that they remain finely subharmonic under

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 32U05, 32U15, 31C10, 31C40.

Key words and phrases. Plurisubharmonic function; Maximal plurisubharmonic function; Plurifine topolgy; Plurifinely subharmonic function; Maximal plurifinely subharmonic function.

composition with \mathbb{C} -isomorphisms of \mathbb{C}^n . Hence the most important properties of plurisubharmonic functions on Euclidean opens of \mathbb{C}^n were extended to \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic functions on \mathcal{F} -open sets of \mathbb{C}^n .

In [8], the authors obtained a local approximation of \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic functions by plurisubharmonic functions, outside a pluripolar set. They also defined the Monge-Ampère measure for finite \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic functions on an \mathcal{F} -domain U. This construction was based on the fact (established in [11]) that such a function can be \mathcal{F} -locally represented as a difference between two bounded plurisubharmonic functions defined on some Euclidean open set, and the quasi-Lindelöf property of the plurifine topology. The local approximation property allowed them to prove that this Monge-Ampère measure is a positive Borel measure on U which is \mathcal{F} -locally finite and does not charge the pluripolar sets. It is σ -finite by the quasi-Lindelöf property of the plurifine topology.

In the theory of plurisubharmonic functions on a Euclidean domain, the socalled maximal functions are the analog of the harmonic functions in classical potential theory. They play an important role in the resolution of the Dirichlet problem for the Monge-Ampère operator. In [5], El Kadiri and Smit introduced the notion \mathcal{F} -maximal \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic functions, extending the notion of maximal plurisubharmonic functions on a Euclidean domain to an \mathcal{F} -domain, and they studied some basic properties of these functions. They also studied the connection between \mathcal{F} -maximality of functions and the Monge-Ampère operator. In particular, they proved that a finite \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic function u on an \mathcal{F} -domain U satisfies $(dd^c u)^n = 0$ if and only if u is \mathcal{F} -locally \mathcal{F} maximal outside some pluripolar set.

The problem to know whether an \mathcal{F} -locally \mathcal{F} -maximal \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic function is \mathcal{F} -maximal was settled in [5]. In [18] and [19], it is proved that any continuous or bounded \mathcal{F} -locally \mathcal{F} -maximal \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic function is \mathcal{F} -maximal. In the present note we shall prove that, more generally, any locally bounded \mathcal{F} -locally \mathcal{F} -maximal \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic function is \mathcal{F} -maximal. As a consequence of this result we prove that any finite \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic u function on an \mathcal{F} -open subset of \mathbb{C}^n is \mathcal{F} -locally maximal if and only if $(dd^c u)^n = 0$, and that any finite \mathcal{F} -locally maximal function on a Euclidean open subset of \mathbb{C}^n is maximal, improving some results in [5]. The last result cannot be improved as it is shown by the Example 4.19 in [5].

2. Preliminaries

Some elements of (resp. plurifine) pluripotential theory that will be used throughout the paper can be found in [2, 20]. The plurifine topology \mathcal{F} on a Euclidean open set of \mathbb{C}^n is the smallest topology that makes all plurisubharmonic functions on it continuous.

3

For this article let n be an integer ≥ 1 . If $A \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, we denote the closure of A in the Euclidean, respectively plurifine topologies by \overline{A} and $\overline{A}^{\mathcal{F}}$. The Euclidean and the \mathcal{F} boundaries of A are denoted by ∂A and $\partial_{\mathcal{F}} A$ respectively. For a function f on A with values in \mathbb{R} , we denote by $\limsup_{x \in A, x \to y} f(x)$, and \mathcal{F} -lim $\sup_{x \in A, x \to y} f(x)$ the lim sup with respect to the Euclidean topology of \mathbb{C}^n and the plurifine topology of \mathbb{C}^n respectively, and likewise for other limits.

Definition 2.1. Let U be an \mathcal{F} open set in \mathbb{C}^n . A function $u : U \longrightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$ is said to be an \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic function if it is \mathcal{F} -upper semicontinuous, and for every complex line l in \mathbb{C}^n , the restriction of u to any \mathcal{F} component of the finely open subset $l \cap U$ of l is either finely subharmonic or $= -\infty$.

The set of all \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic functions in U is denoted by \mathcal{F} -PSH(U).

Definition 2.2. Let U be an \mathcal{F} -open set in \mathbb{C}^n and let QB(U) be the trace of $QB(\mathbb{C}^n)$ on U, where $QB(\mathbb{C}^n)$ denotes the σ -algebra on \mathbb{C}^n generated by the Borel sets and the pluripolar subsets of \mathbb{C}^n . Assume that $u \in \mathcal{F}$ -PSH(U)is finite. Using the quasi-Lindelöf property of the plurifine topology and [8, Theorem 2.17], there exist a pluripolar set $E \subset U$, a sequence of \mathcal{F} -open subsets $\{O_j\}$ and bounded plurisubharmonic functions f_j, g_j defined on Euclidean open neighborhoods of $\overline{O_j}$ such that $U = E \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} O_j$ and $u = f_j - g_j$ on O_j . We define $O_0 := \emptyset$ and

(2.1)
$$\int_{A} (dd^{c}u)^{n} := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{A \cap (O_{j} \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{j-1} O_{k})} (dd^{c}(f_{j} - g_{j}))^{n}, \ A \in QB(U).$$

By [8, Theorem 3.6], the measure defined by Eq. (2.1) is independent of E, $\{O_j\}$, $\{f_j\}$ and $\{g_j\}$. This measure is called the complex Monge-Ampère measure for the \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic function u.

Theorem 2.3 ([8, Theorem 4.6]). Let U be an \mathcal{F} -open set in \mathbb{C}^n and let $u \in \mathcal{F}$ -PSH(U) be finite. Then $(dd^c u)^n$ is a nonnegative \mathcal{F} -locally finite measure on QB(U) (cf. [8, Remark 4.7]).

3. Maximal and \mathcal{F} -locally maximal \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic functions

In analogy with maximal plurisubharmonic functions, which play a role in pluripotential theory comparable to that of harmonic functions in classical potential theory, we will introduce \mathcal{F} -maximal \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic functions. These relate similarly to finely harmonic functions and constitute the plurifine analog of maximal plurisubharmonic functions on Euclidean open sets.

Definition 3.1. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an \mathcal{F} -open set and let $u \in \mathcal{F}$ -PSH(U). We say that u is \mathcal{F} -maximal if for every bounded \mathcal{F} -open set G of \mathbb{C}^n such that $\overline{G} \subset U$, and for every function $v \in \mathcal{F}$ -PSH(G) that is bounded from above on G and extends \mathcal{F} -upper semicontinuously to $\overline{G}^{\mathcal{F}}$, the following holds: $v \leq u$ on $\partial_{\mathcal{F}} G \Rightarrow v \leq u$ on G.

We denote by \mathcal{F} -MPSH(U) the set of \mathcal{F} -maximal \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic functions on U.

Theorem 3.2 ([5, Theorem 4.8]). Let U be an \mathcal{F} -open set in \mathbb{C}^n and let u be a finite \mathcal{F} -maximal \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic function in U. Then $(dd^c u)^n = 0$ in QB(U).

Definition 3.3. An \mathcal{F} -psh function u in an \mathcal{F} -open set U of \mathbb{C}^n is said to be \mathcal{F} -locally maximal if each point of U has an \mathcal{F} -open neighborhood V such that the restriction of u to V is \mathcal{F} -maximal.

It is clear that an \mathcal{F} - maximal \mathcal{F} -psh in an \mathcal{F} domain U of \mathbb{C}^n is \mathcal{F} -locally maximal in U. The question to know whether the converse of this result is true was raised in [5]. A partial answer to this question is given by the following:

Proposition 3.4 ([18, Proposition 3.2]). Let U be an \mathcal{F} -open set in \mathbb{C}^n and $u \in \mathcal{F}$ -PSH(U). If u is a bounded \mathcal{F} -locally maximal function on U, then u is \mathcal{F} -maximal on U.

The following result is more general than the main theorems of [18] and [19]:

Theorem 3.5. Let U be an \mathcal{F} -open set in \mathbb{C}^n and $u \in \mathcal{F}$ -PSH(U). If u is locally bounded (relatively to the Euclidean topology) \mathcal{F} -locally maximal function on U, then u is \mathcal{F} -maximal on U.

Proof. Suppose that u is a locally bounded \mathcal{F} -locally maximal function in U. By the Lindelöf property there is an increasing sequence (V_j) of Euclidean open sets such that $U \subset \bigcup_j V_j$ and u is bounded on each \mathcal{F} -open set $V_j \cap U$. Since for any j the function u is \mathcal{F} -locally maximal and bounded on $V_j \cap U$, it follows from Proposition 3.4 that the function u is \mathcal{F} -maximal on each $V_j \cap U$. Now let G be a bounded \mathcal{F} -open set of \mathbb{C}^n such that $\overline{G} \subset U$, then there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\overline{G} \subset V_j \cap U$. Let v be an \mathcal{F} -upper semicontinuous function on $\overline{G}^{\mathcal{F}}$ that is bounded from above and such that $v \in \mathcal{F}$ -PSH(G) and $v \leq u$ on $\partial_{\mathcal{F}}G$, then we have $v \leq u$ on G because u is \mathcal{F} -maximal on $U \cap V_j$. It follows that u is \mathcal{F} -maximal. \Box

As corollary we obtain the following result of Hong and Viet [18, Main Theorem]:

Corollary 3.6. Let U be an \mathcal{F} -open set in \mathbb{C}^n and $u \in \mathcal{F}$ -PSH(U). If u is a continuous \mathcal{F} -locally maximal function on U, then u is \mathcal{F} -maximal on U.

4

5

The following corollaries follow easily from Theorem 3.5 and [5, Proposition 2.5, Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.14]:

Corollary 3.7. Let u be a locally bounded \mathcal{F} -psh function on an \mathcal{F} -open subset U of \mathbb{C}^n . Then u is \mathcal{F} -maximal if and only if $(dd^c u)^n = 0$.

Corollary 3.8. Let u be a continuous \mathcal{F} -psh function on an \mathcal{F} -open subset U of \mathbb{C}^n . Then u is \mathcal{F} -maximal if and only if $(dd^c u)^n = 0$.

4. The case n = 1

For more details on finely superharmonic functions on a finely open subset of $\mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ we refer the reader to the monograph of Fuglede [12] on the subject. We recall here the definition of the sweeping in a fine domain U of \mathbb{C} : let U a fine domain of \mathbb{C} , $f: U \longrightarrow [0, +\infty]$ and $A \subset U$. Then we define

$$R_f^A := \inf\{s \in \mathcal{S}^+(U) \cup \{+\infty\} : s \ge f \text{ on } A\}$$

and \widehat{R}_{f}^{A} the finely lower semicontinuous regularized function of R_{f}^{A} on U, that is the function defined on U by $\widehat{R}_{f}^{A}(z)=$ f-lim $\inf_{y\to z} R_{f}^{A}(z)$ for every $z \in U$, where $\mathcal{S}^{+}(U)$ denotes the cone of nonnegative finely superharmonic functions on U.

A function $s \in S^+(U)$ is called invariant if there exists a sequence (V_j) of finely open subsets of U such that $\bigcup_j V_j = U$, $\overline{V_j} \subset U$ and $\widehat{R}_u^{U \setminus V_j} = u$ for every j.

In [5, Proposition 2.10] we have proved that for any invariant function u on a finely open set of \mathbb{C} , the function -u is \mathcal{F} -locally maximal. Conversely, we have the following:

Theorem 4.1. Let U be a regular finely open subset of \mathbb{C} and u a nonnegative finely superharmonic function on U. If -u is maximal then u is invariant.

Proof. We may suppose that U is a fine domain of \mathbb{C} . Suppose that -u maximal. By the Riesz decomposition of u, there exist a fine potential p on U and an invariant function h on U such that u = p + h. By [14, Theorem 4.4] and [15, Corollaire, p. 132], there exists a increasing sequence (V_j) of finely open subsets of U such that $\bigcup_j V_j = U$, $\overline{V_j} \subset V_{j+1}$ for each j, $\inf_j \widehat{R}_p^{U \setminus V_j} = 0$ q.e. (that is, outside of a polar subset of U) and $R_h^{U \setminus V_j} = h$ for any j. Let j be an integer > 0 and s a finely superharmonic and nonnegative function on U such that $u \leq R_u^{U \setminus V_j}$. Since -u is maximal we have $u \leq s$ on V_j . We then deduce that $u \leq R_u^{U \setminus V_j}$ and hence $u = R_u^{U \setminus V_j} = \widehat{R}_u^{U \setminus V_j}$. It follows that $p = \widehat{R}_p^{U \setminus V_j}$ for every j and, by passing to the infimum over j, we obtain p = 0 so that u = h is invariant.

Corollary 4.2. Let u be a finely subharmonic function on a finely open subset U of \mathbb{C} bounded from above by some constant c. Then u is maximal if and only c - u is invariant.

Proof. The corollary is an immediate consequence of the above theorem applied to the function c - u.

Remarks 4.3. 1. It follows from [13, Théorème 2.3] that any finely harmonic function on U is \mathcal{F} -maximal. Conversely, any finite nonnegative \mathcal{F} -maximal function on U is finely harmonic because any finite invariant function is finely harmonic.

2. The Example 4.19 in [5] shows that the converse of Proposition 2.10 in [5] is not true. However, we do not know if, in general, the converse of Theorem 4.1 is true.

5. \mathcal{F} -local maximality and \mathcal{F} -maximality for finite \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic functions

In [5, Example 4.19], it is proved that an \mathcal{F} -locally \mathcal{F} -maximal \mathcal{F} -psh function need not be \mathcal{F} -maximal. In the present section we study the \mathcal{F} -local maximality and \mathcal{F} -maximality for finite \mathcal{F} -psh functions.

Let us recall the following result proven in [5]:

Theorem 5.1 ([5, Theorem 4.15]). Let U be an \mathcal{F} -open subset of \mathbb{C}^n and let $u \in \mathcal{F}$ -PSH(U) be finite. Then $(dd^c u)^n = 0$ if and only if u is \mathcal{F} -locally \mathcal{F} -maximal on the complement of an \mathcal{F} -closed pluripolar subset of U.

The following theorem improves Theorem 5.1:

Theorem 5.2. Let u be a finite \mathcal{F} -psh function on an \mathcal{F} -open subset U of \mathbb{C}^n . Then u is \mathcal{F} -locally \mathcal{F} -maximal if and only if $(dd^c u)^n = 0$.

Proof. The part "only if" follows from Theorem 5.1. Let us prove the part "if". Suppose that $(dd^c u)^n = 0$. For any integer j > 0 put $V_j = \{-j < u < j\}$. Then V_j is an \mathcal{F} -open and u is bounded on V_j and therefore u is maximal on V_j by Corollary 3.7. Since $U = \bigcup_j V_j$, it follows that u is \mathcal{F} -locally \mathcal{F} -maximal on U.

In a Euclidean open subset of \mathbb{C}^n , it is proved in [5] that any finite plurisubharmonic function which is locally maximal is necessarily maximal (see [5, Theorem 4.22]). This leads us to ask the following question:

Question 5.3. Is any \mathcal{F} -locally \mathcal{F} -maximal finite \mathcal{F} -plurisubharmonic function on an \mathcal{F} -open subset of $\mathbb{C}^n \mathcal{F}$ -maximal?

The answer is yes if n = 1. Indeed a finite \mathcal{F} -locally \mathcal{F} -maximal function on an \mathcal{F} -open U subset of \mathbb{C} is just finely locally finely harmonic on U and hence finely harmonic on U by [12, 8.6, p. 70], that is, \mathcal{F} -maximal on U.

6

 $\overline{7}$

The following theorem improves Theorem 4.22 in [5]:

Theorem 5.4. Let u be a finite psh function on an open subset U of \mathbb{C}^n . Then u is maximal if and only if u is \mathcal{F} -locally \mathcal{F} -maximal.

Proof. There is to prove only the part "if". Suppose that u is \mathcal{F} -locally \mathcal{F} -maximal, then u is \mathcal{F} -maximal on each \mathcal{F} -open set $V_j = \{-j < u\}, j \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Hence u is maximal by Theorem 3.5 on each V_j because it is locally bounded there $(u_j$ being upper semicontinuous on U and hence on V_j). It follows that $(dd^c u)^n = 0$ on V_j for any j so that $(dd^c u)^n = 0$ on U. For each j, let $u_j = \max\{u, -j\}$, then we have, as in the proof of [5, Proposition 4.22], $\lim(dd^c u_j)^n = 0$ weakly on U. It follows by [3, Theorem 4.4] that u is maximal.

Remark 5.5. We cannot drop the hypothesis that u is finite in the above theorem, see Example 4.19 in [5].

Corollary 5.6. Let u be a finite plurisubharmonic function on an open subset of \mathbb{C}^n . Then u is maximal if and only if $(dd^c u)^n = 0$.

Proof. The part "only if" follows by Theorem 4.8 in [5]. If $(dd^c u)^n = 0$, then u is \mathcal{F} -locally maximal by Theorem 5.2, hence it is maximal by the Theorem 5.4.

In [19] it is proved that if u is a bounded \mathcal{F} -psh function on an \mathcal{F} -open subset U of \mathbb{C}^n , then for any integer $m \geq 1$ the function $u \circ \pi$ is \mathcal{F} -maximal on $U \times \mathbb{C}^m$ where π is the canonical projection from \mathbb{C}^{n+m} into \mathbb{C}^n . Here we generalize this result and give a simple and direct proof of it.

Proposition 5.7. Let u be a finite \mathcal{F} -psh function on an \mathcal{F} -open subset U of \mathbb{C}^n , then for any integer $m \geq 1$ the function $u \circ \pi$ is \mathcal{F} -locally \mathcal{F} -maximal on $U \times \mathbb{C}^m$, where π is the canonical projection from \mathbb{C}^{n+m} into \mathbb{C}^n .

Proof. For any $z \in U$, there exist by [11, Theorem 3.1] an \mathcal{F} -open neighborhood V_z of z and a Euclidean open set O_z such that $z \in O_z$, $V_z \subset U$, and two bounded plurisubharmonic functions u_1 and u_2 on O_z such that $u = u_1 - u_2$ on $O_z \cap V_z$. The functions $u_1 \circ \pi$ and $u_2 \circ \pi$ are maximal plurisubharmonic functions on $O_z \times \mathbb{C}^m$ by [17, Proposition 3.5] and we have $u \circ \pi = u_1 \circ \pi - u_2 \circ \pi$ on $(O_z \times \mathbb{C}^m) \cap (V_z \times \mathbb{C}^m)$ (this last set being an \mathcal{F} -open subset of \mathbb{C}^{n+m}). Let G be a bounded \mathcal{F} -open subset of $U \times \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $G \subset \overline{G} \subset (O_z \times \mathbb{C}^m) \cap (V_z \times \mathbb{C}^m)$ and let v be a \mathcal{F} -psh function on G that can be extended upper semicontinuously to $\overline{G}^{\mathcal{F}}$ denoted also by v and such that $v \leq u \circ \pi$ on $\partial_{\mathcal{F}} G$. Then we have $v + u_2 \circ \pi \leq u_1 \circ \pi$ on $\partial_{\mathcal{F}} G$ and hence $v + u_2 \circ \pi \leq u_1 \circ \pi$ on G since $u_1 \circ \pi$ is \mathcal{F} -maximal on $O_z \times \mathbb{C}^m$ (and hence on $(O_z \times \mathbb{C}^m) \cap (V_z \times \mathbb{C}^m)$). It follows that $v \leq u \circ \pi$ on G. Hence the function $u \circ \pi$ is \mathcal{F} -locally maximal on $U \times \mathbb{C}^m$. \Box

Corollary 5.8. Let u be a locally bounded \mathcal{F} -psh function on an \mathcal{F} -open subset U of \mathbb{C}^n , then for any integer $m \geq 1$ the function $u \circ \pi$ is \mathcal{F} -locally \mathcal{F} -maximal on $U \times \mathbb{C}^m$, where π is the canonical projection from \mathbb{C}^{n+m} into \mathbb{C}^n .

Proof. The corollary is an immediate consequence of Proposition 5.7 and Theorem 3.5. $\hfill \Box$

Lemma 5.9. Let (u_j) be a decreasing sequence of maximal psh functions on an \mathcal{F} -open U of \mathbb{C}^n . Then $u = \inf u_j$ is maximal on U.

Proof. Let G be a bounded \mathcal{F} -open subset of \mathbb{C}^n such that $\overline{G} \subset U$ and let v be an \mathcal{F} -upper semicontinuous function on $\overline{G}^{\mathcal{F}}$ that is bounded from above such that $v \in \mathcal{F}$ -PSH(G) and $v \leq u$ on $\partial_{\mathcal{F}}G$. Then for any j we have $v \leq u_j$ on Gbecause u_j is \mathcal{F} -maximal on U. It follows then that $v \leq u$ on U. Hence u is \mathcal{F} -maximal on U.

Corollary 5.10. Let u is a locally upper bounded \mathcal{F} -psh function on an \mathcal{F} open subset U of \mathbb{C}^n , then for any integer $m \geq 1$ the function $u \circ \pi$ is \mathcal{F} -locally \mathcal{F} -maximal on $U \times \mathbb{C}^m$ where π is the canonical projection from \mathbb{C}^{n+m} into \mathbb{C}^n .

Proof. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the function $u_j = \max(u, -j)$ is locally bounded on U, hence $u_j \circ \pi$ is maximal in U by Corollary 5.8 and Theorem 3.5. It follows from Lemma 5.9 that $u \circ \pi = \inf_j (u_j \circ \pi)$ is \mathcal{F} -maximal in U.

Corollary 5.11. Let v is an invariant function on a finely open subset U of \mathbb{C} , then for any integer $m \geq 1$ the function $u = -v \circ \pi$ is \mathcal{F} -locally \mathcal{F} -maximal on $U \times \mathbb{C}^m$, where π is the canonical projection from \mathbb{C}^{1+m} into \mathbb{C} .

References

- Armitage, D. H., S. J. Gardiner: *Classical potential theory*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2001.
- [2] Bedford, E. and B. A. Taylor: *Fine topology, Silov boundary and* $(dd^c)^n$, J. Funct. Anal. **72** (1987), 225–251.
- [3] Błocki, Z.: Estimates for the Complex Monge-Ampère Operator Bull. Polish. Acad. 41, no 2 (1993), 151-157.
- [4] Doob, J.L.: Classical Potential Theory and Its Probabilistic Counterpart, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 262, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [5] El Kadiri, M., Smit, I. M.: Maximal plurifinely plurisubharmonic functions, Potential Anal. 41 (2014), no. 4, 1329–1345.
- [6] El Kadiri, M.: Fonctions finement plurisousharmoniques et topologie plurifine, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat. Appl. (5) 27, (2003), 77–88.
- [7] El Kadiri, M., B. Fuglede, J. Wiegerinck: *Plurisubharmonic and holomorphic functions relative to the plurifine topology*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **381** (2011), no 2, 107–126.
- [8] El Kadiri, M., Wiegerinck, J. Plurisubharmonic functions and the Monge-Ampère Operator, Potential Anal. 41 (2014), no 2, 469–485.
- [9] El Marzguioui, S., Wiegerinck, J.: The plurifine topology is locally connected, Potential Anal. 25 (2006), no. 3, 283–288.

8

- [10] El Marzguioui, S., Wiegerinck, J.: Connectedness in the plurifine topology, Functional Analysis and Complex Analysis, Istanbul 2007, 105-115, Contemp. Math. 481, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2009.
- [11] El Marzguioui, S., Wiegerinck, J.: Continuity properties of finely plurisubharmonic functions, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 59 (2010), no 5, 1793–1800.
- [12] Fuglede, B.: Finely harmonic functions, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 289, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972.
- [13] Fuglede, B.: Fonctions harmoniques et fonctions finement harmoniques, Ann. Inst. Fourier. Grenoble, tome 24, n 4 (1974), 77–91.
- [14] Fuglede B.: Integral representation of fine Potential, Math. Ann., 262 (1983).
- [15] Fuglede B.: Représentation integrale des potentiels fins, Comptes Rendus, 300, Série I, 129-132 (1985).
- [16] Fuglede, B.: Fonctions finement holomorphes de plusieurs variables un essai, Séminaire d'Analyse P. Lelong–P. Dolbeault–H. Skoda, 1983/85, 133–145, Lecture Notes in Math. 1198, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [17] Hai, L.M., Hong, N.X.: Maximal q-plurisubharmonic functions in \mathbb{C}^n . Results in Math. 63(12), 6377 (2013).
- [18] Hong, N. X., Viet, H.: Local property of maximal plurifinely plurisubharmonic functions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 441 (2016), no. 2, 586–592.
- [19] Hong, N. X., Viet, H.: Local maximality for bounded plurifinely plurisubharmonic functions. To appear in Potential Anal.
- [20] Wiegerinck, J.: Plurifine potential theory. Ann. Polon. Math. 106, 275-292 (2012).

Résidence Hasnae B1, Appt 14, rue chorafa, Salé-Tabriquet, Morocco *E-mail address*: elkadiri@fsr.ac.ma