

BOUNDED H^∞ -CALCULUS FOR A DEGENERATE ELLIPTIC BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

THORBEN KRIETENSTEIN AND ELMAR SCHROHE

ABSTRACT. On a manifold X with boundary and bounded geometry we consider a strongly elliptic second order operator A together with a degenerate boundary operator T of the form $T = \varphi_0\gamma_0 + \varphi_1\gamma_1$. Here γ_0 and γ_1 denote the evaluation of a function and its exterior normal derivative, respectively, at the boundary. We assume that $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 \in C_b^\infty(\partial X)$, $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 \geq 0$, and $\varphi_0 + \varphi_1 \geq c$, for some $c > 0$. We also assume that the highest order coefficients of A belong to $C^\tau(X)$ for some $\tau > 0$ and the lower order coefficients are in $L_\infty(X)$. We show that the $L_p(X)$ -realization of A with respect to the boundary operator T has a bounded H^∞ -calculus.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Bounded H^∞ calculus	3
Main results	4
Relation to previous work	5
Outline of the paper	5
2. An Extended Boutet de Monvel Type Calculus	7
Operator-valued symbols	8
The transmission condition	8
Potential, trace and singular Green symbols	8
Boundary symbols and operators	10
3. The Resolvent	11
The dependence on the spectral parameter μ	13
The principal symbol of the degenerate singular Green operator	16
The parametrix on the boundary	18
4. Bounded H^∞ -calculus	19
The half space and constant coefficients	19
The euclidean half space	21
Manifolds	25
5. The Porous Medium Equation	27
References	29

1. INTRODUCTION

Maximal regularity has become an indispensable tool in the analysis of evolution equations as it can be used to establish in an uncomplicated way

the existence of short time solutions to a large class of quasilinear parabolic problems. Maximal regularity in turn is implied by the existence of a bounded H^∞ -calculus, a concept introduced by McIntosh in 1986, [26], of angle $< \pi/2$. Many elliptic operators are known to have a bounded H^∞ -calculus, see e.g. Amann, Hieber, Simonett [5] for the case of differential operators. Already in 1971 Seeley [32] had shown that differential boundary value problems have bounded imaginary powers, a property which is very close to that of having a bounded H^∞ -calculus and can often be shown by the same methods. Ellipticity, however, is not necessary in this context as shown in [9]; a hypoellipticity condition in the spirit of Hörmander's conditions (4.2)' and (4.4)' in [21] is sufficient. In the present article, we establish the existence of a bounded H^∞ -calculus for a degenerate elliptic boundary value problem. We consider a strongly elliptic operator A , endowed with a boundary operator that, in general, will not satisfy the Lopatinsky-Shapiro ellipticity condition. The key point of our analysis then is the construction of a parameter-dependent parametrix to the resolvent with the help of Boutet de Monvel's calculus for boundary value problems [8]. As a consequence of the non-ellipticity, however, this parametrix will only belong to an extended version of Boutet de Monvel's calculus that we sketch, below. Still, this will enable us to deduce the necessary estimates for the existence of the bounded H^∞ -calculus.

Here are the details. Let X be a manifold with boundary ∂X and bounded geometry. Let A be a strongly elliptic second order partial differential operator on X which in local coordinates can be written in the form

$$(1.1) \quad A = \sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} a^{kl}(x) D_k D_l + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} b^k(x) D_k + c^0(x),$$

where $a^{kl} \in C^\tau(X)$ are real-valued, the matrix $(a^{kl}(x))_{1 \leq k, l \leq n}$ is positive definite with a uniform positive lower bound, $b^k, c^0 \in L_\infty(X)$, and $D_k = -i\partial_{x_k}$. Furthermore, we say that A is M -elliptic, if all the norms of the coefficients are bounded by $M > 0$ and the positive lower bound of the matrix is given by $1/M$. Obviously, this is no restriction as every operator as above is M -elliptic for some M . The operator A is endowed with a boundary operator T of the form

$$(1.2) \quad T = \varphi_0 \gamma_0 + \varphi_1 \gamma_1.$$

Here γ_0 denotes the trace operator and γ_1 the exterior normal derivative at ∂X . Moreover, $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 \in C_b^\infty(\partial X)$ are real-valued functions on the boundary with $\varphi_1 \geq 0$ and $\varphi_0 + \varphi_1 \geq c > 0$. We obtain the classical Dirichlet problem for $\varphi_0 = 1, \varphi_1 = 0$. The choice $\varphi_0 = 0, \varphi_1 = 1$ yields Neumann boundary conditions, and Robin problems correspond to the case where φ_1 is nowhere zero.

For given functions f and ϕ we consider the boundary value problem with spectral parameter λ

$$(A - \lambda)u = f \text{ in } X, \quad Tu = \phi \text{ on } \partial X,$$

in $L_p(X)$, $1 < p < \infty$. To this end we introduce the L_p -realization of the above boundary value problem, i.e. the unbounded operator A_T , acting like

A on the domain

$$\mathcal{D}(A_T) := \{u \in L_p(X) : Au \in L_p(X), Tu = 0 \text{ on } \partial X\}.$$

This problem has been investigated by many authors, see e.g. Egorov-Kondrat'ev [15], Kannai [22] or Taira [35], [36], [38], also for the case where the boundary operator T involves an additional first order tangential differential operator. This makes the analysis more subtle and will be treated in a subsequent publication.

We recall the notion of sectoriality:

Definition 1.1. A closed and densely defined operator $B : \mathcal{D}(B) \in E \rightarrow E$, acting in a Banach space E that is injective with dense range is called sectorial of type $\omega < \pi$, if for every $\omega < \theta < \pi$ there exists a constant C_θ , such that

$$\sigma(B) \subset \Sigma_\theta \text{ and } \|\lambda(B - \lambda)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C_\theta \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_\theta.$$

Here $\Sigma_\theta = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : |\arg(\lambda)| \leq \theta\} \cup \{0\}$ is the sector of angle θ around the positive real axis.

It has been shown by Taira that, for a bounded domain X , the L_p -realization A_T is sectorial of type ε for every $\varepsilon > 0$, possibly after replacing A by $A + c$ for a positive constant c . In particular, it generates an analytic semigroup. For details see e.g. [36, Theorem 1.2].

Bounded H^∞ calculus. By $H^\infty(\Sigma_\theta)$ we denote the space of bounded holomorphic functions in the interior of the sector Σ_θ and by $H_*^\infty(\Sigma_\theta)$ the subspace of all functions f such that $|f(\lambda)| \leq C(|\lambda|^\varepsilon + |\lambda|^{-\varepsilon})^{-1}$ for suitable $C, \varepsilon > 0$. It is well-known that this is a dense subspace with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets.

For a sectorial operator B of type ω , $\theta' \in]\omega, \theta[$ and $f \in H_*^\infty(\Lambda_{\theta'})$ let

$$f(B) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Lambda_{\theta'}} f(\lambda)(B - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(E).$$

The integral exists due to the sectoriality and is independent of the choice of θ' by Cauchy's integral theorem. Given $f \in H^\infty(\Sigma_\theta)$, we can approximate f by a sequence $(f_n) \subset H_*^\infty(\Sigma_\theta)$ and define

$$f(B)x := \lim f_n(B)x \text{ for } x \in \mathcal{D}(B) \cap \text{range}(B).$$

It can be shown that $\mathcal{D}(B) \cap \text{range}(B)$ is dense in E and that the above equation defines a closable operator. The closure is again denoted by $f(B)$.

Definition 1.2. We say that a sectorial operator B of type ω admits a bounded H^∞ calculus of angle ω , if for any $\omega < \theta < \pi$ there exists a constant $C_\theta > 0$, such that

$$(1.3) \quad \|f(B)\|_{\mathcal{L}(E)} \leq C_\theta \|f\|_\infty, \quad f \in H^\infty(\Sigma_\theta).$$

According to the principle of uniform boundedness it is sufficient to verify estimate (1.3) for all $f \in H_*^\infty(\Sigma_\theta)$.

Main results.

Theorem 1.3. *Let (X, g) be a manifold with boundary and bounded geometry. Let T be as in (1.2) and A_T be the realization given above of an M -elliptic sufficiently regular second order differential operator. Then, for every $0 < \vartheta < \pi$ a constant $\nu = \nu(M, |t|_*, \vartheta) \geq 0$ exists such that $A_T + \nu$ allows an $H^\infty(\Sigma_\vartheta)$ -calculus in $L_p(X)$. Moreover, a constant $C = C(M, |t|_*, \vartheta) > 0$ exists such that for all $f \in H^\infty(\Sigma_\vartheta)$ the following estimate holds:*

$$\|f(A_T)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_p(X))} \leq C\|f\|_\infty.$$

As a corollary, we obtain unique solvability for the full boundary value problem. For this we need some notation. As before, (X, g) is a manifold with boundary and bounded geometry, $1 < p < \infty$.

We denote by $B_p^s(\partial X) := B_{p,p}^s(\partial X)$ the L_p -Besov space of order $s \in \mathbb{R}$ on ∂X as defined in [16]. According to [16, Theorem 4.10], $B_p^{s-1/p}(\partial X)$, $1 < p < \infty$, $s > 1/p$, coincides with the space of all restrictions to ∂X of functions in $H_p^s(X)$. The theorem, below, can be shown by modifying the proof of [16, Theorem 4.10] in the spirit of the proof of [39, Theorem 2.9.2].

Theorem 1.4. *Let $s > 1 + 1/p$. Then, given $v_0 \in B_p^{s-1/p}(\partial X)$ and $v_1 \in B_p^{s-1-1/p}(\partial X)$ there exists $u \in H_p^s(X)$ such that $\gamma_0 u = v_0$ and $\partial_\nu u = v_1$.*

Definition 1.5. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and the boundary condition T in (1.2), we define $B_{p,T}^{s-1-1/p}(\partial X) = \{v = \varphi_0 v_0 + \varphi_1 v_1 \mid v_0 \in B_p^{s-1/p}(\partial X), v_1 \in B_p^{s-1-1/p}(\partial X)\}$.

Clearly, this is a Banach space with the topology of the non-direct sum.

Proposition 1.6. *For $s > 1 + 1/p$ the mapping $T : H_p^s(X) \rightarrow B_{p,T}^{s-1-1/p}(\partial X)$ is surjective.*

In fact, given $v = \varphi_0 v_0 + \varphi_1 v_1$ in $B_{p,T}^{s-1-1/p}(\partial X)$, Theorem 1.4 implies that we find u_0 and u_1 in $H_p^s(X)$ such that $\gamma_0 u_0 = v_0$, $\gamma_1 u_0 = 0$, $\gamma_0 u_1 = 0$ and $\gamma_1 u_1 = v_1$. Then $u_0 + u_1$ is a preimage of v under T .

Theorem 1.7. *For every $0 < \vartheta < \pi$ the operator*

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} A - \lambda \\ T \end{pmatrix} : H_p^2(X) \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} L_p(X) \\ \oplus \\ B_{p,T}^{1-1/p}(\partial X) \end{array}$$

is a topological isomorphism for $\lambda \in \Sigma_\vartheta$, $|\lambda|$ sufficiently large.

This is immediate from Theorem 1.3 and the surjectivity of T : Given $f \in L_p(X)$ and $v \in B_{p,T}^{1-1/p}(\partial X)$, we first fix $w_0 \in H_p^2(X)$ with $T w_0 = v$. By Theorem 1.3, the problem $(A - \lambda)w = f - (A - \lambda)w_0$, $T u = 0$ has a unique solution $w \in H_p^2(X)$. Then $u = w + w_0$ is the (unique) solution to $(A - \lambda)u = f$, $T u = v$. Hence (1.4) is a bijection. As it is continuous, it is a topological isomorphism in view of the closed graph theorem.

Finally, we apply our results to the porous medium equation with time-independent boundary condition T and strictly positive initial value. Details can be found in Section 5. We obtain:

Theorem 1.8. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$, $n/p + 2/q < 1$, $m > 0$, $v_0 \in H_p^2(X)$ with $v_0 \geq c > 0$, and $\phi = Tv_0$. Then the porous medium equation*

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{v} - \Delta_g v^m = 0 \\ Tv = \phi \\ v|_{t=0} = v_0 \end{cases} .$$

has a unique short time solution of maximal regularity, i.e. there exist $\tau > 0$ and a unique solution

$$v \in L_q(0, \tau; H_p^2(X) \cap \{Tv = Tv_0\}) \cap W_q^1(0, \tau; L_p(X))$$

of the porous medium equation (1.5).

Relation to previous work. In [1], Abels developed a (different) variant of Boutet de Monvel’s calculus with non-smooth symbols in order to construct parametrices to elliptic operators with Hölder regularity.

Theorem 1.3 extends [36, Theorem 1.2] in that (i) one can now treat manifolds of bounded geometry instead of bounded domains, (ii) the differentiability assumptions on the coefficients are reduced from C^∞ to C^τ , $\tau > 0$, for the top order terms and L^∞ for the lower order terms and (iii) one obtains the existence of a bounded H^∞ -calculus rather than the existence of a holomorphic semigroup. Theorem 1.7 extends [36, Theorem 1.1] to the case of manifolds with boundary and bounded geometry and operators with non-smooth coefficients, with the restriction that $\lambda \in \Sigma_\theta$ has to be large and we work on $H_p^2(X)$ as a consequence of the non-smoothness of the coefficients.

In [37] and [34] Taira treats more general Waldenfels integro-differential operators to which the present methods should also be applicable.

While there is a wealth of literature on the porous medium equation, it seems to be new to study it on manifolds of bounded geometry and with degenerate boundary condition.

Outline of the paper. In order to establish (1.3) for $A_T + c$ we have to show that for every fixed $0 < \theta < \pi$

$$(1.6) \quad \left\| \int_{\partial\Lambda_\theta} f(\lambda)(A_T + c - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_p(X))} \leq C_\theta \|f\|_\infty, \quad f \in H_*^\infty(\Lambda_\theta).$$

It is clear that a good understanding of $(A_T + c - \lambda)^{-1}$ on the rays $\arg \lambda = \pm\theta$, $0 < \theta < \pi$ is essential for this task.

The main tool we use in this paper is Boutet de Monvel’s calculus for boundary value problems [8]. Details can be found e.g. in the monographs by Rempel and Schulze [27] and Grubb [18] or in the short introduction [30]. We will also need a slight generalization for which details will be given below. Recall that an operator of order $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and class (or type) $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ in Boutet de Monvel’s calculus on \mathbb{R}_+^n is a matrix of operators

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_+ + G & K \\ T & S \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, E_0) \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, F_0) \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, E_1) \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, F_1) \end{array} .$$

Here E_0 and E_1 are vector bundles over \mathbb{R}^n , and F_0, F_1 are vector bundles over $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Moreover, P is a pseudodifferential operator on \mathbb{R}^n

satisfying the transmission condition, and P_+ denotes its truncation to \mathbb{R}_+^n : $P_+ = r^+ P e^+$, where e^+ denotes extension by zero from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, E_0)$ to, say, $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n, E_0)$, and r^+ denotes the restriction of distributions on \mathbb{R}^n to those on \mathbb{R}_+^n . The operators G and T are singular Green and trace operators of order m and class d , respectively; K is a potential operator of order m . Finally S is a pseudodifferential operator on the boundary \mathbb{R}^{n-1} of order m .

Boutet de Monvel's calculus is closed under compositions provided the vector bundles fit together. Via coordinate maps the calculus can be transferred to smooth manifolds with boundary.

Boutet de Monvel's calculus has a symbolic structure with a notion of ellipticity, and there exist parametrices to elliptic elements in the calculus. Moreover, the calculus contains its inverses whenever these exist. An operator of order m and class d as above extends to a bounded map

$$H_p^{s+m}(X, E_0) \oplus B_p^{s+m-1/p}(\partial X, F_0) \rightarrow H_p^s(X, E_1) \oplus B_p^{s-1/p}(\partial X, F_1),$$

provided $s > d - 1 + 1/p$, where H_p^s denotes the usual Sobolev space and $B_p^s = B_{p,p}^s$ the Besov space of order s , see Grubb [17].

It is well-known that the operator

$$\begin{pmatrix} (A - \lambda)_+ \\ \gamma_0 \end{pmatrix} : H_p^2(X) \rightarrow \begin{matrix} L_p(X) \\ \oplus \\ B_p^{2-1/p}(\partial X) \end{matrix}$$

is invertible for $\lambda \in \Lambda_\theta$, $\theta > 0$, $|\lambda|$ sufficiently large, whenever X is a compact manifold with boundary or \mathbb{R}_+^n . In particular, it is invertible for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_\theta$, if we replace A by $A + c$ for $c > 0$ sufficiently large. In order to keep the notation simple, we will assume from now on that A has been replaced by $A + c$ for such c and write A instead of $A + c$.

Apart from the fact that γ_0 is formally not of the right order (which is of no importance here and can be easily be arranged), the problem fits into Boutet de Monvel's calculus and one obtains the inverse in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} (A - \lambda)_+ \\ \gamma_0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (A - \lambda)_+^{-1} + G_\lambda^D & K_\lambda^D \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $(A - \lambda)^{-1}$ is the resolvent on a closed manifold with boundary into which X embeds (in case X is compact) or on \mathbb{R}^n (if $X = \mathbb{R}_+$), see [18].

We will denote the corresponding truncation by $Q_{\lambda,+}$:

$$Q_{\lambda,+} = ((A - \lambda)^{-1})_+.$$

As a consequence,

$$\begin{pmatrix} (A - \lambda)_+ \\ T \end{pmatrix} (Q_{\lambda,+} + G_\lambda^D \quad K_\lambda^D) = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ T(Q_{\lambda,+} + G_\lambda^D) & TK_\lambda^D \end{pmatrix}$$

Assuming that $S_\lambda := TK_\lambda^D$ is invertible with inverse S_λ^{-1} , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} (A - \lambda)_+ \\ T \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ (1.7) \quad & = (Q_{\lambda,+} + G_\lambda^D - K_\lambda^D S_\lambda^{-1} T(Q_{\lambda,+} + G_\lambda^D) \quad K_\lambda^D S_\lambda^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

For the realization $(A - \lambda)_T$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} (A - \lambda)_T^{-1} &= Q_{\lambda,+} + G_\lambda^D - K_\lambda^D S_\lambda^{-1} T(Q_{\lambda,+} + G_\lambda^D) \\ &= Q_{\lambda,+} + G_\lambda^D + G_\lambda^T \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(1.8) \quad G_\lambda^T := -K_\lambda^D S_\lambda^{-1} T(Q_{\lambda,+} + G_\lambda^D).$$

Lemma 1.9. *For every choice of $\theta \in]0, \pi[$, there exists a constant $C_\theta \geq 0$ such that*

$$\left\| \int_{\partial\Lambda_\theta} f(\lambda)(Q_{\lambda,+} + G_\lambda^D) d\lambda \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_p(X))} \leq C_\theta \|f\|_\infty \text{ for all } f \in H_*^\infty(\Lambda_\theta).$$

Lemma 1.9 is well-known, the proof relies on the fact that the operators Q_λ and G_λ^D are parameter-dependent operators of order -2 in Boutet de Monvel's calculus, if one writes $-\lambda = \mu^2 e^{i\theta}$ and considers Q_λ and G_λ^D as functions of μ , see e.g. Grubb [18]. For the more general situation of a manifold with boundary and conic singularities, see [11].

It remains to study the term G_λ^T . It will turn out that TK_λ^D is a hypoelliptic pseudodifferential operator of order 1 on the boundary. As we will see, it has a parametrix with local symbols in the Hörmander class $S_{1,1/2}^0$ which then agrees with S_λ^{-1} up to a regularizing operator. In order to treat the composition of S_λ^{-1} with the operators K_λ^D and $Q_{\lambda,+} + G_\lambda^D$, we will need an extension of the classical Boutet de Monvel calculus.

Acknowledgement. The results in this article are based on the second authors thesis, see [23]. The authors thank K. Taira for helpful discussions.

2. AN EXTENDED BOUTET DE MONVEL TYPE CALCULUS

We recall the algebra \mathcal{H} of functions on \mathbb{R} : It is the direct sum

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-1}^- \oplus \mathcal{H}',$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}^+ := \{\mathcal{F}(e^+ u) : u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+)\}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{-1}^- := \{\mathcal{F}(e^- u) : u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_-)\}$$

and \mathcal{H}' is the space of all polynomials on \mathbb{R} . The sum is direct, since the functions in \mathcal{H}^+ and \mathcal{H}_{-1}^- decay to first order.

It will be helpful to use also weighted Sobolev spaces on \mathbb{R}_+ : For $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we let $H_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ denote the space of all $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $\langle x \rangle^{s_2} u$ belongs to the ordinary Sobolev space $H_p^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. We then have

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+) = \text{proj-lim } H_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}_+) \text{ and}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_+) = \text{ind-lim}(H_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}_+))' = \text{ind-lim } \dot{H}_{1-1/p}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}_+),$$

where the limits are taken over $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\dot{H}_q^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ denotes all distributions u in $H_q^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R})$ for which $\text{supp } u \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}_+}$.

Operator-valued symbols. Let E, F be Banach spaces with strongly continuous group actions $\kappa_\lambda^E, \kappa_\lambda^F$, $\lambda > 0$, as introduced by Schulze in [31]. Given $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$, $\delta < 1$, we call a function $a = a(y, \eta) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^q, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$ an operator-valued symbol in $S_{1,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^q; E, F)$ if, for all multi-indices α, β there exist constants $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ such that

$$\|\kappa_{\langle \eta \rangle}^F{}^{-1} D_\eta^\alpha D_y^\beta a(y, \eta) \kappa_{\langle \eta \rangle}^E\|_{\mathcal{L}(E,F)} \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \langle \eta \rangle^{m-|\alpha|+|\beta|}.$$

In the sequel, we will mostly have the case where E and F are either \mathbb{C} or (weighted) Sobolev spaces over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{R}_+ . On \mathbb{C} we will use the trivial group action; on the Sobolev spaces we will use the action given by $\kappa_\lambda u(t) = \sqrt{\lambda} f(\lambda t)$. Note that this group action is unitary on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Using the representations (2.1) and (2.2), the above definition extends to the case, where $E = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $E = \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_+)$ or $F = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, see [30] for details.

The transmission condition.

Definition 2.1. A symbol $p \in S_{1,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the transmission condition at $x_n = 0$ provided that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$p_{[k]}(x', \xi', \xi_n) := (\partial_{x_n}^k p)(x', 0, \xi', \langle \xi' \rangle \xi_n) \in S_{1,\delta}^{m+\delta k}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}$$

We write $p \in \mathcal{P}_{1,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$.

Remark 2.2. $\mathcal{P}_{1,\delta}^\infty := \bigcup_m \mathcal{P}_{1,\delta}^m$ is closed under the usual symbol operations, i.e. addition, pointwise multiplication and inversion, differentiation, Leibniz product and asymptotic summation. We also have $S^{-\infty} = \bigcap_m \mathcal{P}_{1,\delta}^m$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}_{1,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\text{op}_n(p)_+ \in S_{1,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+)).$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that $\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle}^{-1} \text{op}_n(p) \kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle} = \text{op}_n(q_{x', \xi'})$, where $q_{x', \xi'}(x_n, \xi_n) = p(x', x_n / \langle \xi' \rangle, \xi', \langle \xi' \rangle \xi_n)$ and the corresponding proof for Hörmander type $(1, 0)$; this is Theorem 2.12 in [30]. The arguments carry over to general $(1, \delta)$. \square

Potential, trace and singular Green symbols.

Definition 2.4. Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$. All functions, below, may be matrix valued.

- A function $k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{K}_{1,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ of potential symbols of order m and Hörmander type $(1, \delta)$, if $k_{[0]}(x', \xi'; \xi_n) := k(x', \xi'; \langle \xi' \rangle \xi_n) \in S_{1,\delta}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_n}^+$.

- A function $t \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{T}_{1,\delta}^{m,d}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ of trace symbols of order m , class d and Hörmander type $(1, \delta)$, if

$$t_{[0]}(x', \xi'; \xi_n) := t(x', \xi'; \langle \xi' \rangle \xi_n) \in S_{1,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{d-1}^-.$$

- A function $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{G}_{1,\delta}^{m,d}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ of singular Green symbols of order m , class d and Hörmander type $(1, \delta)$, if

$$g_{[0]}(x', \xi'; \xi_n, \eta_n) := g(x', \xi'; \langle \xi' \rangle \xi_n, \langle \xi' \rangle \eta_n) \in S_{1,\delta}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_n}^+ \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{d-1, \eta_n}^-.$$

The spaces $\mathcal{K}_{1,0}^m$, $\mathcal{T}_{1,0}^m$ and $\mathcal{G}_{1,0}^m$ are denoted by Grubb in [18] as $S_{1,0}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathcal{H}^+)$, $S_{1,0}^m(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathcal{H}_{d-1}^-)$, and $S_{1,0}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathcal{H}^+ \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{d-1}^-)$. Rempel and Schulze denote them in [27] by $\mathfrak{K}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathfrak{T}^{m,d}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathfrak{B}^{m-1,d}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. They are Fréchet spaces with the topologies induced by the scaled functions. For fixed (x', ξ') the symbols above define Wiener-Hopf operators. Hence we obtain an action in the normal direction:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{op}_n k](x', \xi') &:= r^+ \mathcal{F}_{\xi_n \rightarrow x_n}^{-1} k(x', \xi') : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+), \\ [\text{op}_n t](x', \xi') &:= I_{\xi_n}^+ t(x', \xi'; \xi_n) \mathcal{F}_{y_n \rightarrow \xi_n} e^+ : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ and} \\ [\text{op}_n g](x', \xi') &:= r^+ \mathcal{F}_{\xi_n \rightarrow x_n}^{-1} I_{\eta_n}^+ g(x', \xi'; \xi_n, \eta_n) \mathcal{F}_{y_n \rightarrow \eta_n} e^+ : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+), \end{aligned}$$

where I^+ is the plus-integral, see [18, p.166]. We can interpret $\text{op}_n k$, $\text{op}_n t$ and $\text{op}_n g$ as operator-valued symbols. Depending on the class there are several extensions possible.

Theorem 2.5 (Description by operator-valued symbols). *Let $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $s_1 > d - 1/2$. The following maps are bounded and linear.*

- (1) $\text{op}_n : \mathcal{G}_{1,\delta}^{m,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rightarrow S_{1,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_+), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+))$
- (2) $\text{op}_n : \mathcal{G}_{1,\delta}^{m,d}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rightarrow S_{1,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}; H_2^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}_+), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+))$
- (3) $\text{op}_n : \mathcal{K}_{1,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rightarrow S_{1,\delta}^{m-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+))$
- (4) $\text{op}_n : \mathcal{T}_{1,\delta}^{m,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rightarrow S_{1,\delta}^{m+1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_+), \mathbb{C})$
- (5) $\text{op}_n : \mathcal{T}_{1,\delta}^{m,d}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rightarrow S_{1,\delta}^{m+1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}; H_2^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}_+), \mathbb{C})$

We omit the proof, which is straightforward. We also need the description via symbol-kernels. To this end we define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{1,\delta}^m := \mathcal{F}_{\xi_n \rightarrow x_n}^{-1} \mathcal{K}_{1,\delta}^m, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{1,\delta}^m := \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\xi_n \rightarrow y_n}^{-1} \mathcal{T}_{1,\delta}^{m,0} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{1,\delta}^m := \mathcal{F}_{\xi_n \rightarrow x_n}^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\eta_n \rightarrow y_n}^{-1} \mathcal{G}_{1,\delta}^{m,0}.$$

Theorem 2.6 (Description by symbol-kernels). *The following assertions hold:*

- (i) *For every operator-valued symbol $k \in S_{1,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+))$ there exists a unique $\tilde{k} \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{1,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, such that*

$$[k(x', \xi')c](x_n) = \tilde{k}(x', \xi'; x_n)c, \quad c \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- (ii) *For every operator-valued symbol $t \in S_{1,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_+), \mathbb{C})$ there exists a unique $\tilde{t} \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{1,\delta}^{m,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, such that*

$$t(x', \xi')u = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \tilde{t}(x', \xi'; y_n)u(y_n) dy_n, \quad u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

- (iii) *For every operator-valued symbol $g \in S_{1,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_+), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+))$ there exists a unique $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{1,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, such that*

$$[g(x', \xi')u](x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \tilde{g}(x', \xi'; x_n, y_n)u(y_n) dy_n, \quad u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Proof. See Theorems 3.7 and 3.9 in [30]. □

Corollary 2.7. *The maps (1), (3), and (4) in Theorem 2.5 are bijections. The maps (2) and (5) are bijections onto their image, which is the set of all operators of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{op}_n g_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \text{op}_n k_j \gamma_j^+, \quad g_0 \in \mathcal{G}_{1,\delta}^{m,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}), k_j \in \mathcal{K}_{1,\delta}^{m-j}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \quad \text{resp.} \\ \text{op}_n t_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} s_j \gamma_j^+, \quad t_0 \in \mathcal{T}_{1,\delta}^{m,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}), s_j \in \mathcal{S}_{1,\delta}^{m-j}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We get from symbols to operator-valued symbols, to symbol-kernels, and back to symbols by Theorem 2.5, Theorem 2.6 and the Fourier transform. For non-zero class we use the fact $I^+ \xi^j \mathcal{F} e^+ \phi = (-i)^j \gamma_j^+ \phi$. \square

Boundary symbols and operators. We next define the space of boundary symbols of order m , class d and Hörmander type $(1, \delta)$ by

$$\mathcal{BM}_{1,\delta}^{m,d} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{1,\delta}^m + \mathcal{G}_{1,\delta}^{m,d} & \mathcal{K}_{1,\delta}^m \\ \mathcal{T}_{1,\delta}^{m,d} & \mathcal{S}_{1,\delta}^m \end{pmatrix}$$

It is clear from Theorems 2.5 and 2.3 that the action of $b \in \mathcal{BM}_{1,\delta}^{m,d}$ in the normal direction defines a matrix of operator-valued symbols

$$\text{op}_n(b) := \begin{pmatrix} \text{op}_n(p)_+ + \text{op}_n(g) & \text{op}_n(k) \\ \text{op}_n(t) & s \end{pmatrix}$$

We write $B := \text{op}[\text{op}_n b]$ for the associated operator. We denote the components of B associated with p, g, k, t and s by P_+, G, K, T , and S , respectively. It is well-known that these operators form an algebra for Hörmander type $(1, 0)$. The proof given in [30] extends to the case $(1, \delta)$ with obvious modifications.

Theorem 2.8 (Composition). *Composition yields a bilinear and continuous map*

$$\mathcal{BM}_{1,\delta}^{m,d} \times \mathcal{BM}_{1,\delta}^{m',d'} \rightarrow \mathcal{BM}_{1,\delta}^{m+m', \max(m'+d, d')}, \quad (b, b') \mapsto b \# b',$$

where $\#$ is the Leibniz product of operator-valued symbols, given by the property that $\text{op}(\text{op}_n b) \text{op}(\text{op}_n b') = \text{op}(\text{op}_n b \# b')$. Moreover

$$b \# b' = pp' - p_0 p'_0 + b_0 \circ_n b'_0 + \mathcal{BM}_{1,\delta}^{m+m'-(1-\delta), \max(m+d', d)}.$$

Here the subscript 0 denotes the restriction to $x_n = 0$ and \circ_n denotes the point-wise composition, [18, Theorem 2.6.1].

The well-known mapping properties of Boutet de Monvel operators extend to operators of Hörmander type $(1, \delta)$. We refer to [17] for the proof of the following statement (in the case $\delta = 0$).

Theorem 2.9. *Let $b \in \mathcal{BM}_{1,\delta}^{m,d}$ and $s > d + 1/p - 1$. Then*

$$B = \text{op}(\text{op}_n b) : H_p^s(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \oplus B_p^{s-1/p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow H_p^s(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \oplus B_p^{s-m-1/p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$$

is bounded. The map $b \mapsto B$ is continuous.

Remark 2.10. *The above calculus and the continuity properties naturally extend to the case of operators acting on vector bundles over compact manifolds with boundary.*

3. THE RESOLVENT

For the proof of Theorem 1.3, a suitable description of the resolvent $(A_T - \lambda)^{-1}$ is mandatory. We explain the key idea of how this description is derived in the simple example, where $A = -\Delta$, $T = \gamma_0$, and $\nu = 1$. Here, the benefit is that we can point out the main ideas. However, the majority of abstract arguments can be replaced by explicit computations.

In the article [2], Shmuel Agmon proved a priori estimates for solutions of the following boundary value problem with spectral parameter:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} (1 - \Delta - \lambda)_+ u &= f \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^n \\ \gamma_0 u &= \phi \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

Writing $\lambda = \mu^2 e^{i\theta}$, we observe that, given a solution u of (3.1), the function $\tilde{u} := u \otimes e_\mu$ with $e_\mu(z) = e^{i\mu z}$ solves the elliptic boundary problem

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} (1 - \Delta + e^{i(\pi+\theta)} D_z^2)_+ \tilde{u} &= \tilde{f} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \\ \gamma_0 \tilde{u} &= \tilde{\phi} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

with $\tilde{f} = f \otimes e_\mu$ and $\tilde{\phi} = \phi \otimes e_\mu$. For (3.2), a priori estimates are well-known, but for our purpose, they are not sufficient. However, the basic idea can be extended to provide a relation between the inverses of (3.2) and (3.1). The following three operators are of interest:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\theta &:= r^+ \mathcal{F}^{-1} (\langle \xi \rangle^2 + e^{i(\pi+\theta)} \zeta^2)^{-1} \mathcal{F} e^+, \\ K_\theta &:= r^+ \mathcal{F}'^{-1} e^{-\kappa_\theta(\xi', \zeta) x_n} \mathcal{F}', \text{ and} \\ G_\theta &:= -K_\theta \gamma_0 Q_\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\kappa_\theta(\xi', \zeta)$ is the root of the polynomial $\xi_n \mapsto a_\theta(\xi, \zeta) := \langle \xi \rangle^2 + e^{i(\pi+\theta)} \zeta^2$, with positive real part. Furthermore, \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' , respectively, denote the Fourier transform with respect to all variables and the tangential variables, respectively. The identities $A_\theta Q_\theta = 1$, $A_\theta K_\theta = 0$, $\gamma_0 K_\theta = 1$, and $\gamma_0(Q_\theta + G_\theta) = 0$ can be verified in a quick calculation. Therefore:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} A_{\theta,+} \\ \gamma_0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = (Q_{\theta,+} + G_\theta \quad K_\theta).$$

The operators belong to Boutet de Monvel's calculus. We denote the symbols by lower case letters. The solution operators to Problem (3.2) and (3.1) are related. In order to reveal this relation, we need the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $p \in S_{1,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; E, F)$. Then $p_\mu := p|_{\zeta=\mu} \in S_{1,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; E, F)$ and the associated operators are related as follows:*

$$(3.4) \quad P(u \otimes e_\mu) = (P_\mu u) \otimes e_\mu.$$

Proof. For fixed μ , p_μ is a symbol in view of the estimate:

$$c\langle \xi \rangle \leq \langle \xi, \mu \rangle \leq C\langle \xi \rangle, \text{ with } C = C(\mu).$$

The following formal computation can be justified using oscillatory integrals.

$$\begin{aligned} [P(u \otimes e_\mu)](x, z) &= \int e^{ix\xi + iz\zeta} p(x, \xi, \zeta) [\mathcal{F}u](\xi) \delta(\zeta - \mu) d\zeta d\xi \\ &= e^{iz\mu} \int e^{ix\xi} p(x, \xi, \mu) [\mathcal{F}u](\xi) d\xi \\ &= [(P_\mu u) \otimes e_\mu](x, z). \end{aligned}$$

The above computation holds for each point, thus Equation (3.4) holds. \square

Now, we verify that the function $u := (Q_{\theta, \mu, +} + G_{\theta, \mu})f + K_{\theta, \mu}\phi$ solves Problem (3.1) for given f and ϕ :

$$\begin{aligned} [(A - \lambda)_+ u] \otimes e_\mu &= A_{\theta, +}[u \otimes e_\mu] = A_{\theta, +}[(Q_{\theta, \mu, +} + G_{\theta, \mu})f + K_{\theta, \mu}\phi] \otimes e_\mu \\ &= A_\theta(Q_{\theta, +} + G_\theta)(f \otimes e_\mu) + A_\theta K_\theta(\phi \otimes e_\mu) \stackrel{(3.3)}{=} f \otimes e_\mu. \\ [\gamma_0 u] \otimes e_\mu &= \gamma_0(Q_\theta + G_\theta)(f \otimes e_\mu) + \gamma_0 K_\theta(\phi \otimes e_\mu) \stackrel{(3.3)}{=} \phi \otimes e_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the inverse of the parameter-dependent problem can be constructed for the inverse of the associated extended problem. For $\lambda = \mu^2 e^{i\theta}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (A - \lambda)_+ \\ \gamma_0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = (Q_{\theta, \mu, +} + G_{\theta, \mu} \quad K_{\theta, \mu}).$$

What we are especially interested in is the left entry on the right hand side. Here, we observe:

$$(Q_{\theta, \mu, +} + G_{\theta, \mu})L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \subset \mathcal{D}(A_{\gamma_0}) := \{u \in L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n) : A_+ u \in L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \gamma_0 u = 0\}.$$

Therefore, we obtain an explicit formula for the resolvent:

$$(A_{\gamma_0} - \lambda)^{-1} = Q_{\theta, \mu, +} + G_{\theta, \mu}, \quad \text{on the ray } \lambda = e^{i\theta} \mu^2.$$

The example encourages us to initially solve the extended problem:

$$\begin{aligned} (A + e^{i(\pi+\theta)} D_z^2)_+ \tilde{u} &= \tilde{f} \\ T\tilde{u} &= \tilde{\phi}. \end{aligned}$$

In general, no explicit formulas for the inverse of the above problem exist. We will therefore replace the inverse by a parametrix and analyze the resulting error term.

According to Equation (3.4), the restriction $\zeta = \mu$ in Lemma 3.1 commutes with composition. Therefore, for an elliptic symbol p with parametrix $p^{-\#}$ and remainder r we obtain:

$$P_\mu P_\mu^{-\#} = 1 + R_\mu.$$

To estimate the error term, we need to analyze the dependence on the parameters θ, μ and thus on λ of the operators above. The dependence on θ for $0 < \vartheta \leq |\theta| \leq \pi$ is not essential. In fact, we obtain uniform estimates on operator norms that only depend on ϑ . However, the dependence on μ is essential and will be discussed next.

The dependence on the spectral parameter μ . We consider general Boutet de Monvel symbols which have a covariable ζ with no space dependence, i.e. they are constant with respect to the variable z . By restriction $\zeta = \mu$, we obtain again Boutet de Monvel symbols. The norms of the associated operators depend on the parameter μ .

Theorem 3.2. *Let $0 \leq \delta < 1$.*

(a) *Let $p \in S_{1,\delta}^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and $m \geq 0$. Then*

$$(3.5) \quad \|P_\mu\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C|p|_* \langle \mu \rangle^{-m}.$$

(b) *Let $g \in \mathcal{G}_{1,\delta}^{-m,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $m > 0$. Then*

$$(3.6) \quad \|G_\mu\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq C|g|_* \langle \mu \rangle^{-m}.$$

(c) *Let $k \in \mathcal{K}_{1,\delta}^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $m \geq 0$. Then*

$$(3.7) \quad \|K_\mu\|_{\mathcal{L}(B_p^{-1/p}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}); L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq C|k|_* \langle \mu \rangle^{-m}.$$

(d) *Let $t \in \mathcal{T}_{1,\delta}^{-m,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $m \geq 1$. Then*

$$(3.8) \quad \|T_\mu\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n); B_p^{-1/p}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq C|t|_* \langle \mu \rangle^{-m+1}.$$

Here, C denotes a suitable constant and $|p|_*$, $|g|_*$, $|k|_*$, $|t|_*$ suitable seminorms for p , g , k and t , respectively.

Before we turn our attention to the proof, let us draw a conclusion from the above theorem which demonstrates its value.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $m \geq m' \geq 0$. Let $b \in \mathcal{BM}_{1,\delta}^{m,d}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ have a parametrix $b^{-\#} \in \mathcal{BM}_{1,\delta}^{-m',0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. Then B_μ is invertible for large μ , and $\|B_\mu^{-1} - B_\mu^{-\#}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \oplus B_p^{-1/p}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq C|b|_* \langle \mu \rangle^{-N}$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Proof. By assumption $b\#b^{-\#} = 1 - r$ with $r \in \mathcal{BM}_{1,\delta}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. As $B_\mu B_\mu^{-\#} = 1 - R_\mu$, Theorem 3.2 implies that $\|R_\mu\| \leq C \langle \mu \rangle^{-N}$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For large μ , the inverse of $1 - R_\mu$ is given by a Neumann series. Therefore, B_μ has a right inverse for large μ :

$$B_\mu^{-1} = B_\mu^{-\#} + B_\mu^{-\#} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} R_\mu^j.$$

Clearly the second summand is rapidly decreasing in μ . Similarly we obtain a left inverse. \square

For the proof of Theorem 3.2 we need the following observation. Since there is no dependence on the space variable z we can interpret a pseudodifferential operator P with symbol in $S_{1,\delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ as a pseudodifferential operator on the cylinder $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_L$, where \mathbb{S}_L is the circle with radius $L/2\pi$. Then we obtain:

Lemma 3.4. *If $p \in S_{1,\delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, then for all $L > 0$ we have*

$$P := \text{op}(p) \in \mathcal{L}(L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_L)) \quad \text{and} \quad \|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_L))} \leq C|p|_*.$$

Here C is a constant independent of L .

Proof. We first note that P preserves L -periodicity:

$$\begin{aligned} [Pu](x, z + kL) &:= \int e^{i(x-y)\xi + i((z+kL)-w)\zeta} p(x, \xi, \zeta) u(y, w) dy dw d\xi d\zeta \\ &= \int e^{i(x-y)\xi + i(z-(w-kL))\zeta} p(x, \xi, \zeta) u(y, w) dy dw d\xi d\zeta \\ &= \int e^{i(x-y)\xi + i(z-\tilde{w})\zeta} p(x, \xi, \zeta) u(y, \tilde{w}) dy d\tilde{w} d\xi d\zeta \\ &= [Pu](x, z), \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_L). \end{aligned}$$

We identify $u \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_L)$ with an L -periodic function by letting

$$u = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} u_j \quad \text{with} \quad u_j(x, z) := u|_{\mathbb{R}^n \times [-L/2, L/2]}(x, z - Lj).$$

Note that for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $u_j \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ and $\|u_j\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})} = \|u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_L)}$. The integral kernel $k = k(x, z, y, w)$ of the pseudodifferential operator P is given by

$$k(x, z, y, w) = \iint e^{i(x-y)\xi + i(z-w)\zeta} p(x, \xi, \zeta) d\xi d\zeta.$$

Since p is of order zero, we obtain the estimate

$$|k(x, z, y, w)| \leq C|p|_* (|x - y|^2 + |z - w|^2)^{-l/2}$$

for all even $l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $l > n$ with a suitable seminorm $|p|_*$ for p . For $|j| \geq 2$, $z \in [-L/2, L/2]$ and $w \in \text{supp } u_j$ we have $|z - w| \geq (j - 1)L$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} |k(x, z, y, w)| &\leq C|p|_* (|x - y|^2 + (|j| - 1)^2 L^2)^{-(n+2)/2} \\ &\leq C|p|_* (|j| - 1)L^{-(n+2)} \langle |x - y| / (|j| - 1)L \rangle^{-(n+2)}. \end{aligned}$$

We write χ_j for the indicator function of $[-L/2 + jL, L/2 + jL]$. A quick computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \chi_0(z) |k(x, z, y, w)| \chi_j(w) dw dy &\leq C|p|_* L^{-1} (|j| - 1)^{-2} \quad \text{and} \\ \int \chi_0(z) |k(x, z, y, w)| \chi_j(w) dz dx &\leq C|p|_* L^{-1} (|j| - 1)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get L_p -estimates by Schur's test. More explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Pu_j\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_L)} &= \|\chi_0 P \chi_j u_j\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq C|p|_* L^{-1} (|j| - 1)^{-2} \|u_j\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})} = C|p|_* L^{-1} (|j| - 1)^{-2} \|u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_L)} \end{aligned}$$

In particular the right hand side is summable, and for $L \geq 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|Pu\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_L)} \\ &= \sum_{j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}} \|Pu_j\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_L)} + \sum_{|j| \geq 2} \|Pu_j\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_L)} \\ &\leq C \left(3|p|_* \|u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_L)} + 2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} j^{-2} |p|_* \|u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_L)} \right) \\ &\leq C|p|_* \|u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_L)} \end{aligned}$$

We still need to prove that the bound also holds for $L < 1$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so large that $NL \geq 1$, and consider an L -periodic function as an NL -periodic

function. We have $\|u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_{NL})} = N^{1/p} \|u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_L)}$ and hence, by the above argument,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Pu\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_L)} &= N^{-1/p} \|Pu\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_{NL})} \\ &\leq C|p|_* N^{-1/p} \|u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_{NL})} = C|p|_* \|u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_L)} \end{aligned}$$

for a constant C independent of NL . □

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let us first assume that $p \in S_{1,\delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. We write e_μ for the $2\pi/\mu$ -periodic function $[x \mapsto e^{i\mu x}]$. For $u \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we take the L_p -norm of both sides of Equation (3.4):

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P(u \otimes e_\mu)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_{2\pi/\mu})} \\ &= \|[P_\mu u] \otimes e_\mu\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_{2\pi/\mu})} = \|P_\mu u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|e_\mu\|_{L_p(\mathbb{S}_{2\pi/\mu})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since P is of order zero, Lemma 3.4 yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P_\mu u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|e_\mu\|_{L_p(\mathbb{S}_{2\pi/\mu})} \\ &\leq C|p|_* \|u \otimes e_\mu\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}_{2\pi/\mu})} = C|p|_* \|u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|e_\mu\|_{L_p(\mathbb{S}_{2\pi/\mu})}, \end{aligned}$$

and part (a) follows for $m = 0$. For $m < 0$ we can use what we did so far to reduce to the case $p(x, \xi, \mu) = \langle \xi, \mu \rangle^{-m}$. But for this symbol the statement is a consequence of the L_p -mapping property of pseudodifferential operators and the following simple estimates.

$$|D_\xi^\alpha \langle \xi, \mu \rangle^{-m}| \leq C_\alpha \langle \xi, \mu \rangle^{-m-|\alpha|} \leq C_\alpha \langle \mu \rangle^{-m} \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|}.$$

Now for part (b). We recall that $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{1,\delta}^{m,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} &\|[D_{x_n}^l x_n' D_{y_n}^{l''} y_n^{l'''} D_{\xi'}^\alpha D_{x'}^\beta \tilde{g}_\mu](x', \xi', x_n, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C|g|_* \langle \xi', \mu \rangle^{m-|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|+l-l'+l''-l'''} \\ &\|[D_{x_n}^l x_n' D_{y_n}^{l''} y_n^{l'''} D_{\xi'}^\alpha D_{x'}^\beta \tilde{g}_\mu](x', \xi', \cdot, y_n)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C|g|_* \langle \xi', \mu \rangle^{m-|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|+l-l'+l''-l'''} \end{aligned}$$

So Schur's test implies that $\|D_{\xi'}^\alpha \text{op}_n \tilde{g}_\mu\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_p(\mathbb{R}_+))} \leq C|g|_* \langle \xi', \mu \rangle^{m-|\alpha|}$. We are interested in the integral kernel

$$K(x', y', \mu) = \int e^{i(x'-y')\xi'} \text{op}_n \tilde{g}_\mu(x', \xi') d\xi' = \int L^N \left(e^{i(x'-y')\xi'} - 1 \right) \text{op}_n \tilde{g}_\mu(x', \xi') d\xi'.$$

with $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L := \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \frac{(x'-y')^\alpha}{|x'-y'|^2} D_{\xi'}^\alpha$. We take $N = n - 1$ and use the fact that $|e^{it} - 1| \leq 2|t|^\theta$ for $0 < \theta < \min(1, |m|)$, to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|K(x', y', \mu)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_p(\mathbb{R}_+))} \\ &\leq C|g|_* |x' - y'|^{-n+1+\theta} \int |\xi'|^\theta \langle \xi', \mu \rangle^{-m-n+1} d\xi' \leq C|g|_* |x' - y'|^{-n+1+\theta} \langle \mu \rangle^{-m+\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $N = n$ we obtain $\|K(x', y', \mu)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_p(\mathbb{R}_+))} \leq C|g|_* |x' - y'|^{-n} \langle \mu \rangle^{-m-1}$. The first estimate for $\langle \mu \rangle |x' - y'| \leq 1$ and the second for $\langle \mu \rangle |x' - y'| > 1$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} &\|K(x', \cdot, \mu)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathcal{L}(L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)))} \leq C|g|_* \langle \mu \rangle^{-m} \quad \text{and} \\ &\|K(\cdot, y', \mu)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathcal{L}(L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)))} \leq C|g|_* \langle \mu \rangle^{-m}. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, this follows from the the identities

$$\int_{\langle \mu \rangle |x' - y'| \leq 1} |x' - y'|^{-n+1+\theta} \langle \mu \rangle^\theta dx'$$

$$= \int_{\langle \mu \rangle |x' - y'| \leq 1} (\langle \mu \rangle |x' - y'|)^{-n+1+\theta} \langle \mu \rangle^{n-1} dx' = \int_{|w| \leq 1} |w|^{-n+1+\theta} dw < \infty$$

and

$$\int_{\langle \mu \rangle |x' - y'| \geq 1} |x' - y'|^{-n} \langle \mu \rangle^{-1} dx' = \int_{|w| \geq 1} |w|^{-n} dw < \infty.$$

Hence the assertion follows with Schur's test.

For part (c): We recall the well-known fact that every potential operator K can be written as $r^+ P \tilde{\gamma}_0^*$, where P is a pseudodifferential operator of order $-m - 1$ whose symbol-kernel is given by $\tilde{p} = E\tilde{k}$; E is Seeley's extension operator applied to x_n , and $\tilde{\gamma}_0^*$ is the adjoint to the evaluation $\tilde{\gamma}_0 : H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow B_p^{s-1/p}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, $s > 1/p$. It is clear that $K_\mu = r^+ P_\mu \tilde{\gamma}_0^*$. The map

$$S_{1,0}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \ni \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \langle \xi, \mu \rangle^{-1} \in S_{1,0}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$

is uniformly bounded with respect to μ . In view of the continuity of $\tilde{\gamma}_0^*$ from $B_p^{-1/p}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ to $H_p^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\|\text{op}(\langle \xi, \mu \rangle^{-1}) \tilde{\gamma}_0^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(B_p^{-1/p}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}), L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C.$$

Define $q = p \# \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle^1 \in S_{1,\delta}^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. By part (a)

$$\|Q_\mu\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C |q|_* \langle \mu \rangle^{-m} \leq C |k|_* \langle \mu \rangle^{-m}.$$

The estimate for K_μ follows.

For part (d) we use a similar aproch. We write $T = \gamma_0 P e^+$, where P is a pseudodifferential operator of order m with symbol-kernel $\tilde{p} = E\tilde{t}$. Clearly $T_\mu = \gamma_0 P_\mu e^+$. By the same argument as in part (c) we have

$$\|\gamma_0 \text{op}(\langle \xi, \mu \rangle^{-1})\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_p(\mathbb{R}^n); B_p^{-1/p}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq C.$$

Define $q = \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle^1 \# p \in S_{1,\delta}^{-m+1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. By part (a)

$$\|Q_\mu\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C |q|_* \langle \mu \rangle^{-m} \leq C |k|_* \langle \mu \rangle^{-m+1}.$$

The estimate for T_μ follows. \square

The principal symbol of the degenerate singular Green operator.

We will now apply Agmon's trick to our problem. We introduce the operator $A_\theta := A + e^{i\theta} D_z^2$ acting on $\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}$. The symbol of A_θ is $a_\theta(x, \xi, \zeta) = a(x, \xi) + e^{i\theta} \zeta^2 \in S_{1,0}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, where $a(x, \xi)$ is the symbol of A . Assuming that a is homogeneous of degree 2, there exists a constant $c = c(M, \vartheta)$ such that for all $0 < \vartheta \leq |\theta| \leq \pi$ the estimate $|a_\theta(x, \xi, \zeta)| \geq c |\xi, \zeta|^2$ holds. In particular, A_θ is elliptic. After possibly replacing A by $A - c$ for some positive constant c we may and will assume that the Dirichlet problem for A_θ is invertible. In the introduction we already pointed out that the solution operator to the Dirichlet problem is an operator in the Boutet de Monvel calculus, i.e.

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{pmatrix} (A_\theta)_+ \\ \gamma_0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{\theta,+} + G_\theta^D & K_\theta^D \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will need the principal symbols of the operators G_θ^D and K_θ^D and collect the results to fix some notation.

- Remark 3.5.** (a) For fixed (x', ξ') , the restriction to the boundary of the principal symbol of A_θ is a polynomial of degree two in ξ_n . It therefore has two roots, say $\pm i\kappa_\theta^\pm(x', \xi', \zeta)$, with $\operatorname{Re} \kappa_\theta^\pm \geq 0$.
- (b) We have $\kappa_\theta^\pm \in S_{1,0}^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Both are strongly elliptic, i.e. $\operatorname{Re} \kappa_\theta^\pm \geq \omega|\xi', \zeta|$ for suitable $\omega > 0$.
- (c) The principal symbol of $K_\theta^D \in \mathcal{K}_{1,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is $(\kappa_\theta^+ + i\xi_n)^{-1}$.
- (d) The principal symbol of $G_\theta^D \in \mathcal{G}_{1,0}^{-2,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is $a_{nn}^{-1}(\kappa_\theta^+ + \kappa_\theta^-)^{-1}(\kappa_\theta^+ + i\xi_n)^{-1}(\kappa_\theta^- - i\eta_n)^{-1}$.
- For details see [20, Section 2]

For large $-\lambda = e^{i\theta} \mu^2$ define

$$(3.10) \quad G_\theta^T := -K_\theta^D (TK_\theta^D)^{-\#} T((A_\theta^{-1})_+ + G_\theta^D).$$

The operator G_λ^T defined in (1.8) coincides with $G_{\theta,\mu}^T \bmod \mathcal{O}(\langle \lambda \rangle^{-N})$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, as operators in $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. Moreover, let $G_\theta^{T,*}$ be any operator with the same principal symbol as G_θ^T . Then according to Theorem 3.2, $G_\lambda^T = G_{\theta,\mu}^{T,*} \bmod o(\langle \lambda \rangle^{-1})$, as operators on $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$.

Lemma 3.6. *The operator G_θ^T is a singular Green operator with symbol $g_\theta^T \in \mathcal{G}_{1,1/2}^{-2,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and principal symbol*

$$g_{\theta(-2)}^T(x', \xi', \zeta; \xi_n, \eta_n) = s_\theta^T(x', \xi', \zeta)(\kappa_\theta^+(x', \xi', \zeta) + i\xi_n)^{-1}(\kappa_\theta^-(x', \xi', \zeta) - i\eta_n)^{-1}$$

for suitable $s_\theta^T \in S_{1,1/2}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. The corresponding symbol-kernel is

$$\tilde{g}_{\theta(-2)}^T(x', \xi', \zeta; x_n, y_n) = s_\theta^T(x', \xi', \zeta) e^{-\kappa_\theta^+(x', \xi', \zeta)x_n} e^{-\kappa_\theta^-(x', \xi', \zeta)y_n}.$$

Proof. Modulo smoothing operators G_θ^T is the composition of the potential operator K_θ^D , a parametrix $S_\theta^{-\#}$ to the pseudodifferential operator $S_\theta := TK_\theta^D$ on the boundary, multiplication by the function φ_1 introduced in (1.2) and the trace operator $\gamma_1(Q_{\theta,+} + G_\theta^D)$. Note that $Q_{\theta,+} + G_\theta^D$ maps into the kernel of γ_0 so that there is no contribution from $\varphi_0\gamma_0$. Hence the principal symbol of G_θ^T is given by multiplication of the principal symbols of these operators. For the proof of the lemma it is therefore sufficient to combine the following three statements.

- (i) $K_\theta^D = \operatorname{op} k_\theta$ with $k_\theta \in \mathcal{K}_{1,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and principal symbol

$$k_{\theta(0)}(x', \xi', \zeta, \xi_n) = (\kappa_\theta^+(x', \xi', \zeta) + i\xi_n)^{-1},$$

which is Remark 3.5(c).

- (ii) The symbol $s_\theta^{-\#} \# \varphi_1$ of $S_\theta^{-\#} \varphi_1$ is an element of $S_{1,1/2}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

This is the content of Lemma 3.8, below.

- (iii) $\gamma_1(Q_{\theta,+} + G_\theta^D) = \operatorname{op} t_\theta$ with $t_\theta \in \mathcal{T}_{1,0}^{-1,0}$ and principal symbol

$$t_{\theta(-1)}(x', \xi', \zeta, \xi_n) = -a_n(x')^{-1}(\kappa_\theta^-(x', \xi', \zeta) - i\xi_n)^{-1},$$

which follows from Remark 3.5 and the composition rules.

□

The parametrix on the boundary. We recall a sufficient condition for the existence of a parametrix.

Theorem 3.7 (Parametrix). *Let $m \geq 0$ and $p \in S_{1,0}^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose there exists a $0 \leq \delta < 1$, such that for sufficiently large $|\xi|$ we have the estimates*

$$(3.11) \quad |p(x, \xi)| \geq c \text{ and}$$

$$(3.12) \quad |\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha p(x, \xi) p(x, \xi)^{-1}| \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha| + \delta |\beta|} \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n.$$

Then there exists a parametrix $p^{-\#} \in S_{1,\delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e.,

$$p^{-\#} \# p = 1 + r_1 \text{ and } p \# p^{-\#} = 1 + r_2,$$

with $r_1, r_2 \in S^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. See [25, Chapter 2, Theorem 5.4]. \square

Lemma 3.8. *The operator $S_\theta := TK_\theta^D$ has a parametrix with symbol $s_\theta^{-\#}$ in $S_{1,1/2}^0(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover $s_\theta^{-\#} \# \varphi_1 \in S_{1,1/2}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Before going into the proof let us point out that the difference between the Robin and the degenerate boundary value problem is the order of the operator S_θ which here is zero due to the zeros of φ_1 and the resulting loss of ellipticity. The key observation is that we gain back the loss in order by composing with the multiplication operator φ_1 .

Proof. We want to show that the symbol of $S_\theta = TK_\theta^D$ satisfies inequalities (3.11) and (3.12). Write

$$TK_\theta^D = \varphi_1 \gamma_1 K_\theta^D + \varphi_0 \gamma_0 K_\theta^D = \varphi_1 \Pi_\theta + \varphi_0,$$

where $\Pi_\theta := \gamma_1 K_\theta^D$ is the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator. It is well-known and a consequence of Remark 3.5(c) that its symbol π_θ is an element of $S_{1,0}^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$; its principal symbol is κ_θ^+ . By Remark 3.5(b) we have $\text{Re } \pi_\theta \geq 1$ for sufficiently large $|\xi, \zeta|$. Hence, the symbol s_θ of S_θ satisfies:

$$(3.13) \quad |s_\theta| \geq |\text{Re}(\varphi_1 \pi_\theta + \varphi_0)| = \varphi_1 \text{Re } \pi_\theta + \varphi_0 \geq \varphi_1 + \varphi_0 \geq c > 0.$$

The constant c exists by assumption. We have to verify the estimates

$$|\partial_{x_j}^\beta \partial_{\xi'}^\alpha \partial_\zeta^l s_\theta s_\theta^{-1}| \leq \langle \xi', \zeta \rangle^{-|\alpha| - l + |\beta|/2} \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n-1}, l \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The estimate is trivial for $|\beta| \geq 2$, as $s_\theta \in S_{1,0}^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $|s_\theta^{-1}| \leq c^{-1}$ by Equation (3.13). Equation (3.13) also shows that $(\varphi_1 \pi_\theta)^{k/2} s_\theta^{-1}$ is bounded for $k = 1, 2$. The ellipticity of π_θ implies that $|\pi_\theta|^{-k/2} \lesssim \langle \xi', \zeta \rangle^{-k/2}$. We obtain the remaining estimates:

$$|\partial_{\xi'}^\alpha \partial_\zeta^l s_\theta s_\theta^{-1}| \equiv |\varphi_1 \partial_{\xi'}^\alpha \partial_\zeta^l \pi_\theta s_\theta^{-1}| = |\partial_{\xi'}^\alpha \partial_\zeta^l \pi_\theta \pi_\theta^{-1}| |\varphi_1 \pi_\theta (\varphi_1 \pi_\theta + \varphi_0)^{-1}| \lesssim \langle \xi' \rangle^{-|\alpha| - l}$$

and with the help of the inequality $|\partial_{x_j} \varphi_1(t)|^2 \leq \|\varphi_1''\|_\infty |\varphi_1(t)|$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{x_j} \partial_{\xi'}^\alpha \partial_\zeta^l s_\theta s_\theta^{-1}| &\equiv |\partial_{x_j} \varphi_1 \partial_{\xi'}^\alpha \partial_\zeta^l \pi_\theta s_\theta^{-1}| \lesssim \|\varphi_1''\|_\infty^{1/2} (\varphi_1 \pi_\theta)^{1/2} s_\theta^{-1} |\pi_\theta|^{-1/2} |\partial_{\xi'}^\alpha \partial_\zeta^l \pi_\theta| \\ &\lesssim \langle \xi' \rangle^{1/2 - |\alpha| - l}. \end{aligned}$$

Here \equiv means equality modulo terms that satisfy the estimate. According to Theorem 3.7, there exists a parametrix to S_θ with symbol $s_\theta^{-\#} \in$

$S_{1,1/2}^0(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. We still need to show that multiplication by φ_1 reduces the order. As π_θ is elliptic, there exists a parametrix $\pi_\theta^{-\#}$ such that $\pi_\theta \pi_\theta^{-\#} - 1 = r'_\theta$ is regularizing, and we find that

$$\varphi_1 = s_\theta \# \pi_\theta^{-\#} - \varphi_1 \# r'_\theta - \varphi_0 \# \pi_\theta^{-\#}.$$

Composition with φ_1 or φ_0 from the left is just pointwise multiplication. Hence we obtain the improved order of $s_\theta^{-\#} \# \varphi_1$ from the identities

$$\begin{aligned} s_\theta^{-\#} \# \varphi_1 &\equiv s_\theta^{-\#} \# [s_\theta \# \pi_\theta^{-\#} - \varphi_0 \pi_\theta^{-\#}] \quad \text{mod } S^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{and} \\ &\equiv \pi_\theta^{-\#} - s_\theta^{-\#} \# \varphi_0 \pi_\theta^{-\#} \quad \text{mod } S^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n). \end{aligned}$$

As $\varphi_0 \pi_\theta^{-\#}, \pi_\theta^{-\#} \in S_{1,0}^{-1}$ and $s_\theta^{-\#} \in S_{1,1/2}^0$, this completes the proof. \square

4. BOUNDED H^∞ -CALCULUS

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.3.

The half space and constant coefficients. First, we consider the case where the underlying manifold is the euclidean half-space, the coefficients of the differential operator are constant and only the top order terms are non-zero. In symbols, $X = \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $a_{ij}(x) = a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$, $b_j(x) = 0$ and $c(x) = 0$. According to the last section, the resolvent of $A_T + \nu$ has the following structure:

$$(A_T + \nu - \lambda)^{-1} = Q'_{\theta,\mu,+} + G'_{\theta,\mu} + R(\lambda),$$

where $R(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}(L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))$ and $\|R(\lambda)\| = \mathcal{O}(\langle \lambda \rangle^{-1-\varepsilon})$ for some $0 < \varepsilon$. For the proof of Theorem 1.3 it is sufficient to provide Estimate (1.3). According to the equation above, we may estimate the three terms on the right hand side separately. The estimate for the first term is well-known, in fact it is the same as in the non-degenerate case. Any operator whose norm in $\mathcal{L}(L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))$ is $\mathcal{O}(\langle \lambda \rangle^{-1-\varepsilon})$, for some $0 < \varepsilon$, is integrable along the boundary of Σ_θ and therefore the estimate holds. To provide the estimate for the singular Green part we need the following.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\sigma \in S_{1,0}^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{Re } \sigma(x', \xi', \zeta) \geq c|\xi', \zeta|$. Then the map*

$$\mathbb{R}_+ \ni t \mapsto \exp(-\sigma(x', \xi', \zeta)t) \in S_{1,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$

is uniformly bounded. In fact, we have a bound $C = C(|\sigma|_, c)$ on the seminorms.*

Proof. Induction over $|\alpha| + |\beta| + l = N$ shows that $D_{\xi'}^\alpha D_{x'}^\beta D_\zeta^l \exp(-\sigma(x', \xi', \zeta)t)$ is a linear combination over all $k \leq N$, $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k = \alpha$, $\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k = \beta$, and $l_1 + \dots + l_k = l$. The terms in the linear combination have the following structure:

$$\left(D_{\xi'}^{\alpha_1} D_{x'}^{\beta_1} D_\zeta^{l_1} \sigma(x', \xi', \zeta) \cdots D_{\xi'}^{\alpha_k} D_{x'}^{\beta_k} D_\zeta^{l_k} \sigma(x', \xi', \zeta) \right) (-t)^k \exp(-\sigma(x', \xi', \zeta)t).$$

Furthermore, the assumption $\sigma \in S_{1,0}^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ implies:

$$\left| D_{\xi'}^{\alpha_1} D_{x'}^{\beta_1} D_\zeta^{l_1} \sigma(x', \xi', \zeta) \cdots D_{\xi'}^{\alpha_n} D_{x'}^{\beta_k} D_\zeta^{l_k} \sigma(x', \xi', \zeta) \right|$$

$$\leq \prod_{i=1}^k |\sigma|_* |\xi', \zeta|^{1-|\alpha_i|-l_i} = |\sigma|_*^k |\xi', \zeta|^{k-|\alpha|-l}.$$

Moreover, we use the fact that $s^k \exp(-s)$ is bounded on the positive real axis in order to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (-t)^k \exp(-\sigma(x', \xi', \zeta)t) \right| \\ &= t^k \exp(-\operatorname{Re} \sigma(x', \xi', \zeta)t) \leq t^k \exp(-c|\xi', \zeta|t) \leq c^{-k} |\xi', \zeta|^{-k} C. \end{aligned}$$

According to the last two estimates, all terms in the linear combination can be estimated by $C|\xi', \zeta|^{-|\alpha|-l}$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *A constant $C = C(|t|_*, M, \vartheta)$ exists such that*

$$\left\| \int_{\partial \Sigma_\theta} f(\lambda) G'_\lambda d\lambda \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq C \|f\|_{L_\infty(\Sigma_\theta)} \text{ for all } f \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\theta).$$

Proof. The boundary of Σ_θ consists of the two rays $e^{\pm i\theta} \mathbb{R}$, which can be treated separately and analogously. Thus, providing the estimate for the following operator is sufficient:

$$I^+ := 2^{-1} e^{-i\theta} \int_{\lambda=e^{i\theta}\mu^2} f(\lambda) G'_\lambda d\lambda = \int_0^\infty \mu f(\mu^2 e^{i\theta}) G'_{\theta,\mu} d\mu.$$

For the estimate, we use the explicit description of the symbol-kernel of G'_θ in Lemma 3.6. Since $s_\theta^T \in S_{1,1/2}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\zeta s_\theta^T(x', \xi', \zeta) \in S_{1,1/2}^0(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. According to Remark 3.5, the roots κ_θ^\pm are strongly elliptic and a constant $c = c(M, \vartheta) > 0$ exists such that:

$$\operatorname{Re} \kappa_\theta^\pm(x', \xi', \zeta) \geq 2c|\xi, \zeta|.$$

Thus, $\sigma_\theta^\pm(x', \xi', \zeta) := \kappa_\theta^\pm(x', \xi', \zeta) - c\zeta$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 4.1 and the map, below, is uniformly bounded:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_{++}^2 \ni (x_n, y_n) &\mapsto h_\theta(x', \xi', \zeta; x_n, y_n) \\ &:= \zeta e^{c\zeta(x_n+y_n)} \tilde{g}'_\theta(x', \xi', \zeta; x_n, y_n) \in S_{1,1/2}^0(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we analyze the action of $G'_{\theta,\mu}$ in the direction transversal to the boundary. To this end, we define a family of operators that act on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$:

$$[G'_{\theta,\mu}(x_n, y_n)v](x') := \int e^{ix'\xi'} \tilde{g}'_{\theta,\mu}(x', \xi'; x_n, y_n) \hat{v}(\xi') d\xi'.$$

Correspondingly, we define $H_{\theta,\mu}(x_n, y_n)$ from h_θ . Please note that:

$$\mu e^{c\mu(x_n+y_n)} G'_{\theta,\mu}(x_n, y_n) = H_{\theta,\mu}(x_n, y_n).$$

Since the seminorms of h_θ are uniformly bounded with respect to $(x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^2$, Theorem 3.2 shows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu G'_{\theta,\mu}(x_n, y_n)v\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} &\leq e^{-c\mu(x_n+y_n)} \|H_{\theta,\mu}v\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\ &\leq e^{-c\mu(x_n+y_n)} C \|v\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if $u = v \otimes w \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \otimes \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is a simple tensor, then:

$$[I^+u](x', x_n) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(\mu^2 e^{i\theta}) [\mu G'_{\theta,\mu}(x_n, y_n)v](x') w(y_n) dy_n d\mu.$$

In order to provide the estimate for I^+ , it is sufficient to consider simple tensors because they span a dense subset of $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \|I^+u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} &\leq \|f\|_\infty \left\| \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \|\mu G_{\theta,\mu}(x_n, y_n)v\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} |w(y_n)| dy_n d\mu \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_\infty \|v\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \left\| \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \exp(-c\mu(x_n + y_n)) |w(y_n)| dy_n d\mu \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_\infty \|v\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \left\| \int_0^\infty \frac{|w(y_n)|}{x_n + y_n} dy_n \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_\infty \|v\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \|w\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)} = C \|f\|_\infty \|u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used L_p -boundedness of the Hilbert transform for the latter inequality. The estimate implies that $I^+ \in \mathcal{B}(L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))$ and $\|I^+\| \leq C \|f\|_{L_\infty(\Sigma_\vartheta)}$. Here, $C = C(M, |t|_*, \vartheta)$ is the constant in the estimate above. \square

We now have proven Theorem 1.3 for differential operator with constant coefficients.

Remark 4.3. *The above arguments also provide the result for the case of smooth coefficients. However, in this case the constants also depend on the symbol seminorms of the differential operator.*

The euclidean half space. Now, we treat the situation where $X = \mathbb{R}_+^n$, but the coefficients of the differential operator may non be constant. We assume that $a_{ij} \in C^\tau(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ for some $\tau > 0$ and $b_j, c \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. We use the classical approach of freezing coefficients. We only freeze the coefficients of the differential operator, *not* those of the boundary operator. We use a localization scheme similar to that used by Kunstmann and Weis in [24]. This provides a family of operators that are small perturbations of an operator with frozen coefficients. We will prove that they allow a bounded H^∞ -calculus in a uniform manner. By patching together these operators, we can conclude that A_T itself allows a bounded H^∞ -calculus. We choose a small $r > 0$, how small we have to chose r will become clear later on. We define the cubes $Q = (-r, r)^n$ and $Q_l := Q + l$, with $l \in \Gamma := r(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_0)$. Observe that $\mathbb{R}_+^n \subset \cup_{l \in \Gamma} Q_l$. We fix a positive function $\psi \in C_c^\infty(Q)$ such that $\gamma_1 \psi = 0$ and

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{l \in \Gamma} \psi_l(x) = 1 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \text{ where } \psi_l(x) = \psi(x - l).$$

Moreover, we choose a cut-off function $\chi \in C_c^\infty(Q)$ such that $\chi = 1$ on $\text{supp } \psi$ and define $\chi_l(x) := \chi(x - l)$. We define A_l as the L_p -realization with respect to the boundary operator T of the following differential operator.

$$A_l = \mathcal{A}_l^c + \mathcal{A}_l^s = \sum_{|\alpha|=2} a_\alpha(l) D^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \chi_l(x) [a_\alpha(x) - a_\alpha(l)] D^\alpha$$

Observe that $A_l \psi_l = A'_T \psi_l$, where A'_T denotes the L_p -realization of the principal part of \mathcal{A} . The major technical difficulty is to show that the each operator in the family $(A_l)_{l \in \Gamma}$ allows a bounded H^∞ -calculus, with uniform estimates. More precisely, for suitably chosen $r > 0$:

Lemma 4.4. *The operator A_l belongs to $H^\infty(\Sigma_\theta)$ for all $\theta > 0$ and $l \in \Gamma$. Moreover there exists a $C := C(M, \theta, \|a_\alpha\|_{C^\tau}, |t|_*) > 0$ such that*

$$\|f(A_l)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq C\|f\|_\infty \text{ for all } f \in H^\infty(\Sigma_\theta) \text{ and } l \in \Gamma.$$

We can choose $r > 0$ such that A_l^s is a small perturbation of $A_l^c + \nu$, in the sense of the following result. Recall that the shift ν was introduced to ensure the existence of a unique solution to the boundary problem.

Theorem 4.5. *Let E be a Banach space with the UMD property, let $A \in \mathcal{S}(E)$ have a bounded $H^\infty(\Sigma_\vartheta)$ -calculus, and $0 \in \rho(A)$. Suppose that B is a linear operator in E such that $\mathcal{D}(A) \subset \mathcal{D}(B)$ and*

$$\|Bu\|_E \leq \varepsilon\|Au\|_E \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{D}(A),$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose further that $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and a constant $C > 0$ exist such that

$$B(\mathcal{D}(A^{1+\gamma})) \subset \mathcal{D}(A^\gamma) \text{ and } \|A^\gamma Bx\|_E \leq C\|A^{1+\gamma}x\|_E \text{ for } x \in \mathcal{D}(A^{1+\gamma}).$$

Then $A + B$ has a bounded $H^\infty(\Sigma_\vartheta)$ -calculus in E , provided ε is sufficiently small. Moreover, a constant $C_{A+B} = C_{A+B}(C_A, \varepsilon, C)$ exists such that

$$\|f(A + B)\|_{\mathcal{B}(E)} \leq C_{A+B}\|f\|_\infty.$$

For the proof we refer to [12]. To verify the assumptions of the theorem above, we observe:

Lemma 4.6. *A constant $C > 0$ exists such that for $a_{l,\alpha}^s := \chi_l(a_\alpha - a_\alpha(l))$:*

$$\|a_{l,\alpha}^s\|_\infty \leq C\|a_\alpha\|_{C^\tau(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}r^\tau \text{ and } \|a_{l,\alpha}^s\|_{C^\sigma(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C\|a_\alpha\|_{C^\tau(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}r^{\tau-\sigma},$$

given that $0 < \sigma \leq \tau$.

Proof. We recall that r is proportional to the diameter of the cube Q . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_{l,\alpha}^s\|_\infty &\leq \sup \left\{ \frac{|a_\alpha(x) - a_\alpha(l)|}{|x - l|^\tau} |x - l|^\tau : x \in \text{supp}(\chi_l) \right\} \\ &\leq C\|a_\alpha\|_{C^\tau(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}r^\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument, we obtain the second estimate. \square

Next, we verify that the lemma above implies the following estimate:

$$(4.2) \quad \|A_l^s u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq Cr^\tau \|(A_l^c + \nu)u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \text{ for all } u \in H_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cap \ker T.$$

It is well-known that $C^\tau(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_p^s(\mathbb{R}_+^n))$ as a multiplication operator for $0 \leq s \leq \tau$. Therefore, with $s = 0$ we obtain:

$$\|A_l^s u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \|a_{l,ij}^s\|_{C(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \|u\|_{H_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq Cr^\tau \|u\|_{H_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.$$

Furthermore, on $H_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cap \ker T$, the norm $\|(A_l^c + \nu) \cdot\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}$ and the $H_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ norm are equivalent because $(A_l^c + \nu)$ is invertible. Hence, Equation (4.2) holds. Now, we compute the domain of $(A_l^c + \nu)^\gamma$ for $2\gamma < \min\{1/p, \tau\}$. According to Theorem [39, Theorem 1.15.2], the domain is:

$$\mathcal{D}((A_l^c + \nu)^\gamma) = [L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n), H_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cap \ker T]_\gamma.$$

We write $\dot{H}_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ for the closure of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ in $H_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. By interpolation, the embedding $\dot{H}_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \hookrightarrow H_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cap \ker T \hookrightarrow H_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ implies:

$$\dot{H}_p^{2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \hookrightarrow [L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n), H_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cap \ker T]_\gamma \hookrightarrow H^{2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^n).$$

As $H_p^{2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) = \dot{H}_p^{2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ for $2\gamma < 1/p$, we conclude that $\mathcal{D}((A_l^c + \nu)^\gamma) = H_p^{2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. Furthermore, the operator $(A_l^c + \nu)^\gamma$ is invertible. Thus, $\|(A_l^c + \nu)^\gamma \cdot\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H_p^{2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}$ are equivalent norms on $\mathcal{D}((A_l^c + \nu)^\gamma)$. We make use of Lemma 4.6 and the embedding $C^\sigma(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_p^s(\mathbb{R}_+^n))$ to obtain the following estimate:

$$\|(A_l^c + \nu)^\gamma A_l^s u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C \|A_l^s u\|_{H_p^{2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq Cr^{\tau-2\gamma} \|u\|_{H_p^{2+2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.$$

We can further estimate the right hand side with [23, p. 70]:

$$\|u\|_{H_p^{2+2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C \|(\nu + A_l^c)u\|_{H_p^{2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq \|(\nu + A_l^c)^{1+\gamma}u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.$$

In sum, the following estimate holds for all $u \in \mathcal{D}((\nu + A_l^c)^{1+\gamma})$:

$$(4.3) \quad \|(\nu + A_l^c)^\gamma A_l^s u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq Cr^{\tau-2\gamma} \|(\nu + A_l^c)^{1+\gamma}u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.$$

The constants in Equation (4.2) and (4.3) are independent of l and r . Therefore, we can choose r such that Theorem 4.5 applies to $\nu + A_l^c + A_l^s$ and thus Lemma 4.4 holds.

Now we describe the localization scheme. We define $\mathbb{H}_p^s(\mathbb{R}_+^n) := l_p(\Gamma, H_p^s(\mathbb{R}_+^n))$ and we write $\mathbb{L}_p^s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ if $s = 0$. We introduce the localization operator L and the patching operator P with the help of partition of unity (4.1):

$$\begin{aligned} L : L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n) &\rightarrow \mathbb{L}_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \quad u \mapsto (\psi_l u)_{l \in \Gamma}. \\ P : \mathbb{L}_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n) &\rightarrow L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \quad (u_l)_{l \in \Gamma} \mapsto \sum_{l \in \Gamma} \chi_l u_l. \end{aligned}$$

We also define the operator $\mathbb{T} : \mathbb{H}_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow l_p(\Gamma; B_p^{1-1/p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n))$, $(u_l)_{l \in \Gamma} \mapsto (Tu_l)_{l \in \Gamma}$. We collect some properties of these operators, which follow directly from the definitions:

Lemma 4.7. *Let L , P and \mathbb{T} be as above. Then*

- (1) $L \in \mathcal{B}(H_p^s(\mathbb{R}_+^n); \mathbb{H}_p^s(\mathbb{R}_+^n))$
- (2) $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H}_p^s(\mathbb{R}_+^n); H_p^s(\mathbb{R}_+^n))$
- (3) $PL = 1$
- (4) $L : H_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cap \ker T \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_p^2 \cap \ker \mathbb{T}$
- (5) $P : \mathbb{H}_p^2 \cap \ker \mathbb{T} \rightarrow H_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cap \ker T$

We write $A_{lk} := \delta_{lk} A_l$, with domain $\mathcal{D}(A_{lk}) = H_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cap \ker T$. We define

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbb{A} : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{A}) := \mathbb{H}_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cap \ker \mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{L}_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \quad (u_k)_{k \in \Gamma} \mapsto \left(\sum_{k \in \Gamma} A_{lk} u_k \right)_{l \in \Gamma}.$$

Similar we define \mathbb{B} and \mathbb{D} for the following families of operators.

$$B_{lk} := \delta_{lk} A_{low} + [\psi_l, A] \psi_k \quad \text{and} \quad D_{lk} = \delta_{lk} A_{low} + \psi_l [A_k + A_{low}, \psi_k].$$

Here A_{low} denotes the L_p -realisation with respect to the boundary operator T of $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}'$. All sums in (4.4) are finite. In fact, we have a symmetric

relation $l \bowtie k :\Leftrightarrow \text{supp } \psi_l \cap \text{supp } \psi_k \neq \emptyset$ on Γ . The definition of ψ_l implies that for fixed $l \in \Gamma$ the set $\Gamma_l := \{k \in \Gamma : k \bowtie l\}$ is finite. Obviously $B_{lk} = 0$ and $D_{lk} = 0$ if $k \notin \Gamma_l$. The operators above are defined such that they satisfy the following relations.

$$(4.5) \quad LA = (\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{B})L \text{ on } \mathcal{D}(A) \text{ and}$$

$$(4.6) \quad AP = P(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{D}) \text{ on } \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{A}).$$

For suitably chosen $r > 0$ we obtain:

Lemma 4.8. *The operator \mathbb{A} belongs to $H^\infty(\Sigma_\theta)$ for each $\theta > 0$.*

Proof. We fix $\theta > 0$ and choose $r > 0$ such that Lemma 4.4 applies. In particular, $\Sigma_\theta \subset \rho(A_l)$ for all $l \in \Gamma$ with uniform bounds on the inverse. Therefore, the inverse of $\lambda - \mathbb{A}$ exists and is given by $(\lambda - \mathbb{A})^{-1}(u_l)_{l \in \Gamma} = ((\lambda - A_l)^{-1}u_l)_{l \in \Gamma}$. For each $l \in \Gamma$ we have a bounded operator

$$\hat{l} : \mathbb{L}_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \quad (u_k)_{k \in \Gamma} \rightarrow u_l.$$

Let C be as in Lemma 4.4 and $f \in H_*^\infty(\Sigma_\theta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(\mathbb{A})(u_k)_{k \in \Gamma}\|_{\mathbb{L}_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^p &= \sum_{l \in \Gamma} \left\| \hat{l} \int_{\partial \Sigma_\theta} f(\lambda)(\lambda + \mathbb{A})^{-1}(u_k)_{k \in \Gamma} d\lambda \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^p \\ &= \sum_{l \in \Gamma} \left\| \int_{\partial \Sigma_\theta} f(\lambda)(\lambda - A_l)^{-1}u_l d\lambda \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^p \\ &\leq \sum_{l \in \Gamma} C^p \|f\|_\infty^p \|u_l\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^p = C^p \|f\|_\infty^p \|u\|_{\mathbb{L}_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

This estimate is sufficient to see that $\mathbb{A} \in H^\infty(\Sigma_\theta)$. \square

Next, we observe that both \mathbb{B} and \mathbb{D} are lower order perturbations of \mathbb{A} in the sense of the following well-known perturbations theorem going back to Amann. For a proof we refer to [24, Proposition 13.1].

Theorem 4.9. *Let $A \in \mathcal{S}(E)$ have a bounded $H^\infty(\Sigma_\theta)$ -calculus in E and assume $0 \in \rho(A)$. Let $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and suppose that B is a linear operator in E satisfying $\mathcal{D}(B) \supset \mathcal{D}(A)$, and*

$$\|Bu\|_E \leq C\|A^{1-\gamma}u\|_E \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{D}(A),$$

where $C > 0$. Then $\nu + A + B$ has a bounded $H^\infty(\Sigma_\theta)$ -calculus in E for $\nu \geq 0$ sufficiently large.

In particular, for suitably chosen $r > 0$ we obtain:

Lemma 4.10. *For each $\theta > 0$ a constant $\nu \geq 0$ exists such that both $\nu + \mathbb{A} + \mathbb{B}$ and $\nu + \mathbb{A} + \mathbb{D}$ belong to $H^\infty(\Sigma_\theta)$.*

Proof. We can assume that $0 \in \rho(\mathbb{A})$, otherwise we consider $\nu + \mathbb{A}$. Thus, $\mathbb{A}^{(1-\gamma)}$ is invertible and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{A}^{1-\gamma})}$ is equivalent to $\|\mathbb{A}^{1-\gamma} \cdot\|_{\mathbb{L}_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}$. According to Lemma 4.8, the operator \mathbb{A} belongs to $H^\infty(\Sigma_\theta)$ and therefore has bounded imaginary powers. According to [39, Theorem 1.15.2], the domain of $\mathbb{A}^{1-\gamma}$ is given by complex interpolation.

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{A}^{1-\gamma}) = [\mathbb{L}_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{A})]_{1-\gamma} \hookrightarrow [\mathbb{L}_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \mathbb{H}_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)]_{1-\gamma} = \mathbb{H}_p^{2-2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$$

We can focus on \mathbb{B} , because the arguments for \mathbb{D} are the same. A closer look on the definition of B_{lk} reveals that it is a first order differential operator. In particular, for each $\gamma < 1/2$ we have the standard estimate:

$$(4.7) \quad \|B_{lk}u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C\|u\|_{H_p^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C\|u\|_{H_p^{2-2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.$$

Note that the constant $C > 0$ only depends on the L_∞ -norm of the coefficients and thus can be chosen independent of k and l . We write $N := \sup_{l \in \Gamma} \#\{k \in \Gamma : k \bowtie l\}$. Then by estimate (4.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{B}(u_k)_{k \in \Gamma}\|_{L_p(\Gamma)}^p &= \sum_{l \in \Gamma} \left\| \sum_{k \bowtie l} B_{lk}u_k \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^p \leq \sum_{l \in \Gamma} \left(\sum_{k \bowtie l} C \|u_k\|_{H_p^{2-2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \right)^p \\ &\leq \sum_{l \in \Gamma} C^p N^p \sup_{k \bowtie l} \|u_k\|_{H_p^{2-2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^p \leq C^p N^p \sum_{l \in \Gamma} \sum_{k \bowtie l} \|u_k\|_{H_p^{2-2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^p \\ &\leq C^p N^{p+1} \sum_{l \in \Gamma} \|u_l\|_{H_p^{2-2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^p = C^p N^{p+1} \|(u_l)_{l \in \Gamma}\|_{H^{2-2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^p \\ &\leq C^p N^{p+1} \|\mathbb{A}^{1-\gamma}(u_l)_{l \in \Gamma}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

In the fourth inequality we used the symmetry of the relation \bowtie to change the order of summation. We finish the proof by the application of Theorem 4.9 to $\nu + \mathbb{A} + \mathbb{B}$. \square

Now, we can prove Theorem 1.3 for the case $X = \mathbb{R}_+^n$.

Proof. For given $\theta > 0$ we choose $\nu, r > 0$ such that Lemma 4.10 applies. For each $\lambda \in \Sigma_\theta$ the operator $\lambda - (\nu + A_T)$ is invertible with left inverse $P(\lambda - (\nu + \mathbb{A} + \mathbb{B}))^{-1}L$ and right inverse $P(\lambda - (\nu + \mathbb{A} + \mathbb{D}))^{-1}L$. For all $f \in H_*^\infty(\Sigma_\theta)$ we have

$$\|f(\nu + A_T)\| \leq \|P\| \|f(\nu + \mathbb{A} + \mathbb{B})\| \|L\| \leq C\|f\|_\infty.$$

Therefore, $\nu + A_T$ allows a bounded $H^\infty(\Sigma_\theta)$ -calculus. \square

Manifolds. Now, let (X, g) be a manifold with boundary and bounded geometry as in [16], see also [29]. We choose an atlas of Fermi coordinates $\kappa_l : U_l \subset X \rightarrow V_l \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}$ with index set Γ such that $\sup_{l \in \Gamma} |\{k \in \Gamma : U_k \cap U_l \neq \emptyset\}| =: N < \infty$. We also choose a subordinate partition of unity $(\psi_l)_{l \in \Gamma}$ such that $\partial_\nu \psi_l = 0$ for all $l \in \Gamma$. Here, ν denotes an outward unit normal vector field on ∂X . For each ψ_l , we choose positive functions $\chi'_l, \chi_l \in C_c^\infty(U_l)$ such that $\chi_l = 1$ on $\text{supp } \psi_l$ and $\chi'_l = 1$ on $\text{supp } \chi_l$. We denote $\chi_{l,*} = \kappa_{l,*} \chi_l \in C_c^\infty(V_l) \subset C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$. Similarly, we define $\chi'_{l,*}$. Moreover, we write $\tilde{\kappa}_l(x') := \kappa_l(x', 0)$ for the induced chart on the boundary. Let \mathcal{A} be a sufficiently regular M -elliptic second order differential operator on X as in (1.1) and T be a boundary operator as in (1.2). For each $l \in \Gamma$, we define the following operators:

$$\mathcal{A}_l := -\Delta(1 - \chi'_{l,*}) + \kappa_{l,*} \mathcal{A} \kappa_l^* \chi'_{l,*} \quad \text{and} \quad T_l := \gamma_0(1 - \chi'_{l,*}) + \tilde{\kappa}_{l,*} T \kappa_l^* \chi'_{l,*}.$$

Then \mathcal{A}_l is an M -elliptic second order differential operator on euclidean space with sufficiently regular coefficients. Moreover, the norms of the coefficients of the local representations of \mathcal{A} are bounded by M . Therefore, the

norms of the coefficients of \mathcal{A}_l are uniformly bounded with respect to $l \in \Gamma$ and so are the seminorms $|t_l|_*$. We define:

$$A_l : \mathcal{D}(A_l) := \{u \in H_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) : T_l u = 0\} \rightarrow L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \quad u \mapsto r^+ \mathcal{A}_l e^+ u.$$

Each operator A_l satisfies the assumptions in the last subsection. Therefore, we can apply Theorem 1.3 to A_l , which implies that Lemma 4.4 continues to hold. We define the localization operator and the patching operator by:

$$\begin{aligned} L : L_p(X) &\rightarrow \mathbb{L}_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \quad u \mapsto (\kappa_{l,*} \psi_l u)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}. \\ P : \mathbb{L}_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n) &\rightarrow L_p(X), \quad (u_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}} \kappa_l^* \chi_{l,*} u_l. \end{aligned}$$

By definition, u belongs to $H_p^s(X)$ if and only if Lu belongs to $\mathbb{H}_p^s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. Moreover, the norms of u and Lu coincide. Therefore:

- $L \in \mathcal{B}(H_p^s(X); \mathbb{H}_p^s(\mathbb{R}_+^n))$,
- $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H}_p^s(\mathbb{R}_+^n); H_p^s(X))$, and
- $PL = 1$.

Remark 4.11. *Spaces on manifolds with boundary and bounded geometry:*

- (a) *It is natural to define $H_p^s(X)$ as $r^+ H_p^s(\hat{X})$. Here r^+ is the restriction in the sense of distributions, $H_p^s(\hat{X}) = (I - \Delta_g)^{-s/2} L_p(\hat{X})$ and \hat{X} is a manifold with bounded geometry which contains X . For the existence of \hat{X} we refer to [6]. The operator $(I - \Delta_g)^{-s/2}$ is well defined for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, due to the result of Strichartz in [33]. Since the restriction can be treated analogously to the euclidean or compact case, we may only consider $H_p^s(\hat{X})$. Let L be defined as above with respect to an atlas of normal coordinates. Then $\|L \cdot\|_{\mathbb{H}_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\|(I - \Delta_g)^s \cdot\|_{L_p(\hat{X})}$ are equivalent norms; this result is due to H. Triebel, see [40, Theorem 7.4.5]. In [16], it was observed that an atlas of Fermi coordinates also gives rise to an equivalent norm.*
- (b) *The interpolation results for $H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ extend to $H_p^s(\hat{X})$. This follows from two facts. First $H_p^s(\hat{X})$ is a retract of $\mathbb{H}_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Second $\mathbb{H}_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of p -summable sequences with values in $H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*
- (c) *We may define Besov-spaces via real interpolation or via the localization operator L . According to part (b) both definitions coincide. The trace theorem holds on manifolds with boundary and bounded geometry, see [16] for the details.*

Furthermore, we define $\mathbb{T} : \mathbb{H}_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_p^{1-1/p}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, $(u_l)_{l \in \mathcal{I}} \mapsto (T_l u_l)_{l \in \mathcal{I}}$. Using the fact $\partial_\nu \psi_l = 0$ for all $l \in \Gamma$ we obtain: The localization operator maps the kernel of T to the kernel of \mathbb{T} and the patching operator maps the kernel of \mathbb{T} into the kernel of T . We define $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{A}) := \mathbb{H}_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cap \ker \mathbb{T}$. Note that $(u_l)_{l \in \Gamma} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{A})$ implies that $u_l \in \mathcal{D}(A_l)$ for all $l \in \Gamma$. Therefore, the following definition is reasonable:

$$\mathbb{A} : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{A}) := \mathbb{H}_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cap \ker \mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{L}_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \quad (u_l)_{l \in \Gamma} \mapsto (A_l u_l)_{l \in \Gamma}.$$

Lemma 4.8 continues to hold as it only relies on Lemma 4.4. We define $\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{D} : \mathbb{H}_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \subset \mathbb{L}_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ as infinite matrices with entries:

$$B_{lk} := \kappa_{l,*} [\psi_l, A_T] \chi_{k,*}' \kappa_k^* \quad \text{resp.} \quad D_{lk} := \kappa_{l,*} \psi_l \kappa_k^* [A_k, \chi_{k,*}].$$

Again, the definition is motivated by the Relations (4.5) and (4.6). The operators $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{D}, L$ and P have the same properties as those on the euclidean space. Therefore, the proof of Theorem 1.3 carries over.

5. THE POROUS MEDIUM EQUATION

In this section, we illustrate the applicability of the theory developed so far to nonlinear parabolic partial differential equations. A prominent example for this type of equations is the porous medium equation (1.5). It arises for instance in the description of the gas flow through a porous medium. As pointed out, we consider the case where the initial value $v_0 \in H_p^2(X)$ satisfies $v_0 \geq c$ for some $c > 0$ and the boundary value is independent of time and compatible with the initial value, i.e., $\phi = Tv_0$. Under this assumption, Theorem 1.8 provides the short time existence of a solution.

The proof, below, is inspired by [28]. We define $u := v - v_0$ and consider the following equivalent parabolic problem:

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{u} - \Delta_g(u + v_0)^m = 0 \\ Tu = 0 \\ u|_{t=0} = 0. \end{cases}$$

A quick computation shows that v solves (1.5) if and only if u solves (5.1). Therefore, we focus on Problem (5.1) which we rewrite as an abstract parabolic problem. To this end, we need the following identity which can easily be verified in local coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_g(u + v_0)^m &= m(u + v_0)^{m-1} \Delta_g u \\ &\quad + m(m-1)(u + v_0)^{m-2} |\nabla(u + v_0)|_g^2 + m((u + v_0))^{m-1} \Delta_g v_0. \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right hand side is the highest order term. Therefore, we define $A(u) := -m(u + v_0)^{m-1} \Delta_{g,T}$ and:

$$f(u) := m(m-1)(u + v_0)^{m-2} |\nabla(u + v_0)|_g^2 + m((u + v_0))^{m-1} \Delta_{g,T} v_0.$$

According to the definitions above, Problem (5.1) is the abstract parabolic problem:

$$(5.2) \quad \dot{u} + A_T(u)u = f(u); \quad u|_{t=0} = 0.$$

In the following, we verify that the theorem, below, can be applied to (5.2).

Theorem 5.1 (Clément & Li, [10]). *Given an equation in $L_q(0, T; E_0)$:*

$$(5.3) \quad \dot{u}(t) + A(u(t))u(t) = f(t, u(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad u(t_0) = u_0,$$

for some $1 < q < \infty$, for some finite T , and $\mathcal{D}(A(u(t))) = E_1$. We assume that $A(u_0)$ has maximal regularity and a neighborhood U of u_0 exists in $E_q = [E_1, E_0]_{1/q, q}$ such that for all $u, u' \in U$:

$$(CL1) \quad \|A(u) - A(u')\|_{\mathcal{B}(E_1; E_0)} \leq C \|u - u'\|_{E_q}.$$

$$(CL2) \quad \|f(t, u) - f(t', u')\|_{E_0} \leq C (\|u - u'\|_{E_q} + |t - t'|).$$

Then, a $\tau > 0$ exists such that the Equation (5.3) has a unique solution in:

$$L_q(0, \tau; E_1) \cap H_q^1(0, \tau; E_0).$$

To verify the assumptions, we define $E_0 = L_p(X)$ and $E_1 = H_p^2(X) \cap \ker T$. The trace space is defined as:

$$(5.4) \quad E_q := [E_1, E_0]_{1/q, q} \hookrightarrow [H_p^2(X), L_p(X)]_{1/q, q} = B_{p, q}^{2-2/q}(X) \hookrightarrow C^\tau(X).$$

Here, the last embedding holds since $2 - 2/q - n/p > \tau > 0$ by assumption. The operator $A(u_0) = -mv_0^{m-1}\Delta_{g, T}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 as v_0 is strictly positive. Therefore, a suitable shift of $A(u_0)$ allows a bounded H^∞ -calculus and thus $A(u_0)$ has maximal L_q -regularity. Maximal regularity is part of the assumptions of Theorem 5.1. Next, we consider the remaining assumptions of the theorem. To this end, we need the following result:

Lemma 5.2. *Let $v_0 \in C^\tau(X)$ with $\operatorname{Re} v_0 \geq \delta > 0$. We define:*

$$W := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < \|v_0\|_{C^\tau} + 3\delta/4, \operatorname{Re} z > \delta/4\}.$$

A neighborhood V of v_0 in $C^\tau(X)$ and a constant $C := C(\delta, \|v_0\|_{C^\tau(X)})$ exist such that for all $f \in H^\infty(W)$ and $u, u' \in V$ the following estimates hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(u)\|_{C^\tau(X)} &\leq C\|f\|_{L^\infty(W)} \quad \text{and} \\ \|f(u) - f(u')\|_{C^\tau(X)} &\leq C\|f\|_{L^\infty(W)}\|u - u'\|_{C^\tau(X)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We choose $V := B(v_0, \delta/4)$. Since all functions in V are continuous, we obtain:

$$\operatorname{im} V := \cup_{u \in V} \operatorname{im} u \subset W'' := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < \|v_0\|_{C^\tau} + \delta/4, \operatorname{Re} z > \delta(1 - 1/4)\}.$$

Furthermore, we define $W' := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < \|v_0\|_{C^\tau} + \delta/2, \operatorname{Re} z > \delta(1 - 1/2)\}$. By definition, some distance between the boundary of W'' and the boundary of W' exists, i.e., $d(\partial W'', \partial W') \geq \delta/4$. Therefore, $|\eta - u(x)| \geq \delta/4$ for all $u \in V$, $\eta \in \partial W'$ and $x \in X$. It is well-known that such a lower bound implies that $(\eta - u)^{-1} \in C^\tau(X)$. Moreover, the following estimate holds:

$$\|(\eta - u)^{-1}\|_{C^\tau(X)} \leq 16/\delta^2 \|\eta - u\|_{C^\tau(X)} \leq 16/\delta^2 (2\|v_0\|_{C^\tau(X)} + 3\delta/4) =: S.$$

We can estimate the length of the boundary: $|\partial W'| \leq 2\pi(\|v_0\|_{C^\tau(X)} + \delta/2) := 2\pi L$. For all $u \in V$ and $x \in X$, we obtain the following identity from the Cauchy integral representation:

$$f(u(x)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial W'} f(\eta)(\eta - u(x))^{-1} d\eta.$$

Thus, we obtain the first estimate $\|f(u)\|_{C^\tau(X)} \leq LS\|f\|_{H^\infty(W)}$. For $u, u' \in V$, we use the resolvent identity to obtain:

$$f(u(x)) - f(u'(x)) = \frac{u'(x) - u(x)}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial W'} f(\eta)(\eta - u(x))^{-1}(\eta - u'(x))^{-1} d\eta$$

We can estimate the $C^\tau(X)$ -norm as before. Therefore, the $C^\tau(X)$ -norm of the left hand side can be estimated as stated in the lemma. \square

According to the assumptions of Theorem 1.8 and Embedding (5.4), the function v_0 satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 5.2. We choose a neighborhood V of v_0 , according to Lemma 5.2. Additionally, we choose a neighborhood U of zero in E_q such that the image of $U + v_0$ under the Embedding

(5.4) belongs to V . For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, Lemma 5.2 applies to $f(z) := z^{m-i}$. Therefore:

$$(5.5) \quad \|(u + v_0)^{m-i}\|_{C^\tau(X)} \leq C \text{ for all } u \in U \text{ and}$$

$$(5.6) \quad \|(u + v_0)^{m-i} - (u' + v_0)^{m-i}\|_{C^\tau(X)} \leq C\|u - u'\|_{E_q} \text{ for all } u, u' \in U.$$

We recall that $C^\tau(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(E_0)$ as multiplication operators. Thus, Estimate (5.6) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(u) - A(u')\|_{\mathcal{B}(E_1; E_0)} &\leq m\|(u + v_0)^{m-1} - (u' + v_0)^{m-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(E_0)} \|\Delta_{g,T}\|_{\mathcal{B}(E_1; E_0)} \\ &\leq C\|u - u'\|_{E_q} \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, u' \in U$. Therefore, Assumption (CL1) in Theorem 5.1 is satisfied. Next, we verify Assumption (CL2). To this end, we define

$h(u) = (u + v_0)^{m-2} |\nabla(u + v_0)|_g^2$ and observe:

$$\begin{aligned} h(u) - h(u') &= (u + v_0)^{m-2} |\nabla(u + v_0)|_g^2 - (u' + v_0)^{m-2} |\nabla(u' + v_0)|_g^2 \\ &= ((u + v_0)^{m-2} - (u' + v_0)^{m-2}) |\nabla(u + v_0)|_g^2 \\ &\quad + (u' + v_0)^{m-2} (|\nabla(u + v_0)|_g^2 - |\nabla(u' + v_0)|_g^2) \\ &= ((u + v_0)^{m-2} - (u' + v_0)^{m-2}) |\nabla(u + v_0)|_g^2 \\ &\quad + (u' + v_0)^{m-2} \langle \nabla(u - u'), \nabla(u + v_0) \rangle_g \\ &\quad + (u' + v_0)^{m-2} \langle \nabla(u' + v_0), \nabla(u - u') \rangle_g. \end{aligned}$$

The assumption $1 > n/p + 2/q$ and the Embedding (5.4) imply that $E_q \hookrightarrow C^1(X)$ and $E_q \hookrightarrow H_p^1(X)$. Thus, for all $u, u' \in E_q$, the following estimate holds:

$$\|\langle \nabla_g u, \nabla_g u' \rangle_g\|_{E_0} = \|\langle \nabla_g u, \nabla_g u' \rangle_g\|_{L_p(X)} \leq \|u\|_{C^1(X)} \|u'\|_{H_p^1(X)} \leq \|u\|_{E_q} \|u'\|_{E_q}.$$

Therefore, for $u, u' \in U$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \||\nabla(u + v_0)|^2\|_{E_0} &\leq C, \\ \|\langle \nabla(u - u'), \nabla(u - v_0) \rangle_g\|_{E_0} &\leq C\|u - u'\|_{E_q}, \text{ and} \\ \|\langle \nabla(u' - v_0), \nabla(u - u') \rangle_g\|_{E_0} &\leq C\|u - u'\|_{E_q}. \end{aligned}$$

The Estimates (5.5), (5.6), and those above imply $\|h(u) - h(u')\|_{E_0} \leq C\|u - u'\|_{E_q}$. We obtain $\|((u - v_0)^{m-1} - (u' - v_0)^{m-1}) \Delta_g v_0\|_{E_0} \leq C\|u - u'\|_{E_q}$ for all $u, u' \in U$ from the assumption $v_0 \in H_p^2(X)$ and Estimate (5.6). Thus, $\|f(u) - f(u')\|_{E_0} \leq C\|u - u'\|_{E_q}$ for all $u, u' \in U$. In other words, Assumption (CL2) is satisfied. Therefore, Theorem 5.1 can be applied to Problem (5.2) which completes the proof of Theorem 1.8.

REFERENCES

- [1] Helmut Abels, *Pseudodifferential boundary value problems with non-smooth coefficients*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **30** (2005), no. 10-12, 1463–1503. MR2182301
- [2] Shmuel Agmon, *On the eigenfunctions and on the eigenvalues of general elliptic boundary value problems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **15** (1962), 119–147. MR0147774
- [3] Herbert Amann, *Linear and quasilinear parabolic problems. Vol. I*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 89, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1995. Abstract linear theory. MR1345385

- [4] ———, *Linear and quasilinear parabolic problems. Vol. II*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 106, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2019. Function spaces. MR3930629
- [5] Herbert Amann, Matthias Hieber, and Gieri Simonett, *Bounded H_∞ -calculus for elliptic operators*, Differential Integral Equations **7** (1994), no. 3-4, 613–653. MR1270095
- [6] Bernd Ammann, Nadine Große, and Victor Nistor, *Well-posedness of the Laplacian on manifolds with boundary and bounded geometry*, Mathematische Nachrichten **292** (2019), no. 6, 1213–1237.
- [7] Jöran Bergh and Jörgen Löfström, *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. 223. MR0482275
- [8] Louis Boutet de Monvel, *Boundary problems for pseudo-differential operators*, Acta Math. **126** (1971), no. 1-2, 11–51. MR0407904
- [9] Olesya Bilyj, Elmar Schrohe, and Jörg Seiler, *H_∞ -calculus for hypoelliptic pseudodifferential operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 5, 1645–1656. MR2587449
- [10] Philippe Clément and Shuanhu Li, *Abstract parabolic quasilinear equations and application to a groundwater flow problem*, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. **3** (1993/94), no. Special Issue, 17–32. MR1287921
- [11] S. Coriasco, E. Schrohe, and J. Seiler, *Bounded H_∞ -calculus for differential operators on conic manifolds with boundary*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **32** (2007), no. 1-3, 229–255. MR2304149
- [12] Robert Denk, Giovanni Dore, Matthias Hieber, Jan Prüss, and Alberto Venni, *New thoughts on old results of R. T. Seeley*, Math. Ann. **328** (2004), no. 4, 545–583. MR2047641
- [13] Robert Denk, Matthias Hieber, and Jan Prüss, *\mathcal{R} -boundedness, Fourier multipliers and problems of elliptic and parabolic type*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **166** (2003), no. 788. MR2006641
- [14] Giovanni Dore and Alberto Venni, *On the closedness of the sum of two closed operators*, Math. Z. **196** (1987), no. 2, 189–201. MR910825
- [15] Ju. V. Egorov and V. A. Kondrat'ev, *The oblique derivative problem*, Mat. sb. (N.S.) **78 (120)** (1969), 148–176 (Russian). MR0237953
- [16] Nadine Große and Cornelia Schneider, *Sobolev spaces on Riemannian manifolds with bounded geometry: general coordinates and traces*, Math. Nachr. **286** (2013), no. 16, 1586–1613. MR3126616
- [17] Gerd Grubb, *Pseudo-differential boundary problems in L_p spaces*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **15** (1990), no. 3, 289–340. MR1044427
- [18] ———, *Functional calculus of pseudodifferential boundary problems*, 2nd ed., Progress in Mathematics, vol. 65, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996. MR1385196
- [19] Gerd Grubb and Lars Hörmander, *The transmission property*, Math. Scand. **67** (1990), no. 2, 273–289. MR1096462
- [20] Gerd Grubb and Elmar Schrohe, *Trace expansions and the noncommutative residue for manifolds with boundary*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **536** (2001), 167–207. MR1837429
- [21] Lars Hörmander, *Pseudo-differential operators and hypoelliptic equations*, Singular integrals (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. X, Chicago, Ill., 1966), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1967, pp. 138–183. MR0383152
- [22] Yakar Kannai, *Hypoellipticity of certain degenerate elliptic boundary value problems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **217** (1976), 311–328. MR407436
- [23] Thorben Krietenstein, *Bounded H^∞ -calculus for a degenerate elliptic boundary value problem*, Dissertation, Leibniz Universität Hannover, 2019, <https://doi.org/10.15488/8829>.
- [24] Peer C. Kunstmann and Lutz Weis, *Maximal L_p -regularity for parabolic equations, Fourier multiplier theorems and H^∞ -functional calculus*, Functional analytic methods for evolution equations, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1855, Springer, Berlin, 2004, pp. 65–311. MR2108959

- [25] Hitoshi Kumano-go, *Pseudodifferential operators*, MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass.-London, 1981. Translated from the Japanese by the author, Rémi Vaillancourt and Michihiro Nagase. MR666870
- [26] Alan McIntosh, *Operators which have an H^∞ functional calculus*, Miniconference on operator theory and partial differential equations (North Ryde, 1986), Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ., vol. 14, Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1986, pp. 210–231. MR912940
- [27] Stephan Rempel and Bert-Wolfgang Schulze, *Index theory of elliptic boundary problems*, North Oxford Academic Publishing Co. Ltd., London, 1985. Reprint of the 1982 edition. MR829709
- [28] Nikolaos Roidos and Elmar Schrohe, *Existence and maximal L^p -regularity of solutions for the porous medium equation on manifolds with conical singularities*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **41** (2016), no. 9, 1441–1471. MR3551464
- [29] Thomas Schick, *Manifolds with boundary and of bounded geometry*, Math. Nachr. **223** (2001), 103–120. MR1817852
- [30] Elmar Schrohe, *A short introduction to Boutet de Monvel’s calculus*, Approaches to singular analysis (Berlin, 1999), Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 125, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001, pp. 85–116. MR1827171
- [31] B.-W. Schulze, *Pseudo-differential operators on manifolds with singularities*, Studies in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 24, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1991. MR1142574
- [32] Robert Seeley, *Norms and domains of the complex powers A_{Bz}* , Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), 299–309. MR287376
- [33] Robert S. Strichartz, *Analysis of the Laplacian on the complete Riemannian manifold*, J. Functional Analysis **52** (1983), no. 1, 48–79. MR705991
- [34] Kazuaki Taira, *Boundary value problems and Markov processes*, 3rd ed., Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1499, Springer Nature Switzerland, Cham, 2020.
- [35] ———, *On some degenerate oblique derivative problems*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **23** (1976), no. 2, 259–287. MR435583
- [36] ———, *Analytic semigroups and semilinear initial boundary problems*, 2nd ed., London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 434, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016. MR3444791
- [37] ———, *Semigroups, boundary value problems and Markov processes*, 2nd ed., Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Heidelberg, 2014. MR3308364
- [38] ———, *Bifurcation curves in a combustion problem with general Arrhenius reaction-rate laws*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat. **62** (2016), no. 2, 337–371. MR3570361
- [39] Hans Triebel, *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, vol. 18, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1978. MR503903
- [40] ———, *Theory of function spaces. II*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 84, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992. MR1163193

INSTITUT FÜR ANALYSIS, LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER, WELFENGARTEN 1, 30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY

E-mail address: `krietenstein@math.uni-hannover.de`, `schrohe@math.uni-hannover.de`