

Grand Fujii-Fujii-Nakamoto operator inequality dealing with operator order and operator chaotic order

Jian Shi^{a*}

^aCollege of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei University,

Baoding, 071002, P.R. China.

* Corresponding author, E-mail: mathematic@126.com

Abstract. In this paper, we shall prove that a grand Fujii-Fujii-Nakamoto operator inequality implies operator order and operator chaotic order under different conditions.

Key words. operator order, operator chaotic order, Furuta inequality.

AMS subject classifications. 47A63

1 Introduction

A capital letter, such as T , stands for a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . $T \geq 0$ means T is a positive operator and $T > 0$ means T is a positive and invertible operator.

$A \geq B$ and $\log A \geq \log B$ are called operator order and operator chaotic order, respectively.

There are many sufficient conditions and necessary conditions of operator order and operator chaotic order, such as [1], [2], [3], [5].

In 1993, M. Fujii, T. Furuta and E. Kamei obtained the following result on operator chaotic order.

Theorem 1.1([3]). If $A, B > 0$ satisfy

$$(B^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{\frac{1-t}{2}} B^t A^{\frac{1-t}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq B \quad (1.1)$$

for all $t > 1$, then $\log B \geq \log A$.

In 2014, J. I. Fujii, M. Fujii and R. Nakamoto proposed an extension of Theorem 1.1, by which they make use of a beautiful operator inequality (we call it Fujii-Fujii-Nakamoto operator inequality here).

Theorem 1.2([2]). If $A, B > 0$ satisfy

$$(B^{\frac{s}{2}} A^{\frac{s-t}{2}} B^t A^{\frac{s-t}{2}} B^{\frac{s}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2s}} \geq B \quad (1.2)$$

for some $t > s > 0$, then the following result hold:

- (I) If $t \geq 3s - 2 \geq 0$, then $\log B \geq \log A$, and if $t \geq s + 2$ is additionally assumed, then $B \geq A$;
- (II) If $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$, then $\log B \geq \log A$, and if $t \geq s + 2$ is additionally assumed, then $B \geq A$.

In this paper, we will extend Theorem 1.2 and show some results on operator order and operator chaotic order.

In order to prove our results, we list a famous operator inequality here, which is called Furuta inequality.

Theorem 1.3 ([4].) If $A \geq B \geq 0$, then

$$(A^{\frac{r}{2}} A^p A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

and

$$(B^{\frac{r}{2}} A^p B^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq (B^{\frac{r}{2}} B^p B^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

hold for $r \geq 0, p \geq 0, q \geq 1$ with $(1+r)q \geq p+r$.

2 Main Results

In this section, we shall show the main results and prove them.

Theorem 2.1. If $A, B > 0$, satisfying

$$\underbrace{(B^{\frac{s}{n}} A^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B^{\frac{2t}{n}} A^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B^{\frac{2t}{n}} \cdots B^{\frac{2t}{n}} A^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B^{\frac{2t}{n}} A^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B^{\frac{s}{n}})}_{A^{\frac{s-t}{n}} \text{ appears } n \text{ times, } B^{\frac{2t}{n}} \text{ appears } n-1 \text{ times}}^{\frac{n}{(n+2)s+(n-2)t}} \geq B \quad (2.1)$$

for some $t > s > 0$ and $n \geq 2$, then the following results hold:

- (I) If $(n+2)s + (n-2)t > n \geq 3s - t$, then $\log B \geq \log A$, and if additional condition $t - s \geq n$ is assumed, then $B \geq A$;

(II) If $(n+2)s + (n-2)t \leq n$, then $\log B \geq \log A$, and if additional condition $t - s \geq n$ is assumed, then $B \geq A$.

Proof of (I). Firstly, put $A_1 = \underbrace{\left(B_n^{\frac{s}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{2t}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{2t}{n}} \cdots B_n^{\frac{2t}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{2t}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{s}{n}} \right)}_{A^{\frac{s-t}{n}} \text{ appears } n \text{ times, } B^{\frac{2t}{n}} \text{ appears } n-1 \text{ times}}^{\frac{n}{(n+2)s+(n-2)t}}$,
 $l = \frac{(n+2)s+(n-2)t}{n}$. Obviously, $l > 1$, $2\frac{t-s}{n} > 0$ and conditions in Furuta inequality are satisfied.

It follows that

$$\left(B_n^{\frac{t-s}{n}} A_1^l B_n^{\frac{t-s}{n}} \right)^{\frac{1+2\frac{t-s}{n}}{l+2\frac{t-s}{n}}} \geq B^{1+2\frac{t-s}{n}}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{\left(B_n^{\frac{t}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{2t}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{2t}{n}} \cdots B_n^{\frac{2t}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{2t}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{t}{n}} \right)}_{A^{\frac{s-t}{n}} \text{ appears } n \text{ times, } B^{\frac{2t}{n}} \text{ appears } n-1 \text{ times}}^{\frac{n+2(t-s)}{nl+2(t-s)}} \geq B^{\frac{n+2(t-s)}{n}} \\ & = \left(B_n^{\frac{t}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{t}{n}} \right)^n n^{\frac{n+2(t-s)}{nl+2(t-s)}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Notice that $n^{\frac{n+2(t-s)}{nl+2(t-s)}} \geq 1$ due to the fact that $n \geq 3s-t$. Apply Löwner-Heinz inequality to (2.2), then the following inequality is obtained.

$$B_n^{\frac{t}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{t}{n}} \geq B_n^{\frac{s+t}{n}}, \quad (2.3)$$

which is equivalent to

$$A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} \geq B_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Taking reverse and then taking logarithm on both sides of (2.4), $\log B \geq \log A$ is obtained.

If $t - s \geq n$, taking reverse and applying Löwner-Heinz inequality to $0 < \frac{n}{t-s} \leq 1$, $B \geq A$ holds, obviously.

Proof of (II). According to Löwner-Heinz inequality, (2.1) implies that

$$\underbrace{\left(B_n^{\frac{s}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{2t}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{2t}{n}} \cdots B_n^{\frac{2t}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{2t}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{s}{n}} \right)}_{A^{\frac{s-t}{n}} \text{ appears } n \text{ times, } B^{\frac{2t}{n}} \text{ appears } n-1 \text{ times}} \geq B^{\frac{(n+2)s+(n-2)t}{n}}. \quad (2.5)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{\left(B_n^{\frac{t}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{2t}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{2t}{n}} \cdots B_n^{\frac{2t}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{2t}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{t}{n}} \right)}_{A^{\frac{s-t}{n}} \text{ appears } n \text{ times, } B^{\frac{2t}{n}} \text{ appears } n-1 \text{ times}} \geq B^{s+t} \\ & = \left(B_n^{\frac{t}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{t}{n}} \right)^n \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Then we have

$$B_n^{\frac{t}{n}} A_n^{\frac{s-t}{n}} B_n^{\frac{t}{n}} \geq B_n^{\frac{s+t}{n}} \quad (2.7)$$

by Löwner-Heinz inequality.

$$(2.7) \text{ is equivalent to } A^{\frac{s-t}{n}} \geq B^{\frac{s-t}{n}}.$$

By the same discussion as in proof in (I), we complete the proof. \square

Corollary 2.1. If $A, B > 0$, satisfying

$$(B^{\frac{s}{3}} A^{\frac{s-t}{3}} B^{\frac{2t}{3}} A^{\frac{s-t}{3}} B^{\frac{2t}{3}} A^{\frac{s-t}{3}} B^{\frac{s}{3}})^{\frac{3}{5s+t}} \geq B \quad (2.8)$$

for some $t > s > 0$, then the following results hold:

(I) If $5s + t > 3 \geq 3s - t$, then $\log B \geq \log A$, and if additional condition $t - s \geq 3$ is assumed, then $B \geq A$;

(II) If $5s + t \leq 3$, then $\log B \geq \log A$.

Proof. We only need to set $n = 3$ in Theorem 2.1.

Remark 2.1. If $n = 2$, Theorem 2.1 is just Theorem 1.2.

Similarly, we can obtain another generalized Fujii-Fujii-Nakamoto operator inequality which extend Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 2.2. If $A, B > 0$ satisfy

$$(B^{\frac{s}{p}} A^{\frac{s-t}{p}} B^{\frac{2t}{p}} A^{\frac{s-t}{p}} B^{\frac{s}{p}})^{\frac{p}{4s}} \geq B \quad (2.9)$$

for some $t > s > 0$, then the following result hold:

(I) If $4s > p \geq 3s - t$, then $\log B \geq \log A$, and if $t - s \geq p$ is additionally assumed, then $B \geq A$;

(II) If $p \geq 4s$, then $\log B \geq \log A$, and if $t - s \geq p$ is additionally assumed, then $B \geq A$.

Remark 2.2. The proof of Theorem 2.2 is the same as in [2], and we omit it here. If $p = 2$, Theorem 2.2 is just Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 2.2. If $A, B > 0$ satisfy

$$(B^{\frac{s}{3}} A^{\frac{s-t}{3}} B^{\frac{2t}{3}} A^{\frac{s-t}{3}} B^{\frac{s}{3}})^{\frac{3}{4s}} \geq B \quad (2.10)$$

for some $t > s > 0$, then the following result hold:

(I) If $4s > 3 \geq 3s - t$, then $\log B \geq \log A$, and if $t - s \geq 3$ is additionally assumed, then $B \geq A$;

(II) If $3 \geq 4s$, then $\log B \geq \log A$, and if $t - s \geq 3$ is additionally assumed, then $B \geq A$.

Proof. We only need to set $p = 3$ in Theorem 2.2.

Acknowledgements. J. Shi (the corresponding author) is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China(No. 11702078 and No. 61702019), Hebei Education Department (No. ZC2016009).

References

- [1] T. Ando, On some operator inequality, *Math. Ann.*, 279 (1987), 157-159.
- [2] J. I. Fujii, M. Fujii, R. Nakamoto, An operator inequality implying the usual and chaotic orders, *Ann. Funct. Anal.*, 5 (2014), no. 1, 24-29.
- [3] M. Fujii, T. Furuta and E. Kamei, Furutas inequality and its application to Ando's theorem, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 179 (1993), 161-169.
- [4] T. Furuta, $A \geq B \geq 0$ assures $(B^r A^p B^r)^{1/q} \geq B^{(p+2r)/q}$ for $r \geq 0, p \geq 0, q \geq 1$ with $(1 + 2r)q \geq p + 2r$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 101 (1987), 85-88.
- [5] T. Yamazaki, Characterizations of $\log A \geq \log B$ and normaloid operators via Heinz inequality, *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, 43 (2002), 237-247.