

On the denominators of harmonic numbers ^{*}

Bing-Ling Wu and Yong-Gao Chen[†]

School of Mathematical Sciences and Institute of Mathematics,

Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, P. R. China

Abstract. Let H_n be the n -th harmonic number and let v_n be its denominator. It is well known that v_n is even for every integer $n \geq 2$. In this paper, we study the properties of v_n . One of our results is: the set of positive integers n such that v_n is divisible by the least common multiple of $1, 2, \dots, \lfloor n^{1/4} \rfloor$ has density one. In particular, for any positive integer m , the set of positive integers n such that v_n is divisible by m has density one.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B75, 11B83

Keywords and phrases: Harmonic numbers; p -adic valuation; Asymptotic density

1 Introduction

For any positive integer n , let

$$H_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n} = \frac{u_n}{v_n}, \quad (u_n, v_n) = 1, \quad v_n > 0.$$

The number H_n is called n -th harmonic number. In 1991, Eswarathasan and Levine [2] introduced I_p and J_p . For any prime number p , let J_p be the set of

^{*}This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11771211) and a project funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

[†]Corresponding author, E-mail: 390712592@qq.com (B.-L. Wu), ygchen@njnu.edu.cn (Y.-G. Chen)

positive integers n such that $p \mid u_n$ and let I_p be the set of positive integers n such that $p \nmid v_n$. Here I_p and J_p are slightly different from those in [2]. In [2], Eswarathasan and Levine considered $0 \in I_p$ and $0 \in J_p$. It is clear that $J_p \subseteq I_p$.

In 1991, Eswarathasan and Levine [2] conjectured that J_p is finite for any prime number p . In 1994, Boyd [1] confirmed that J_p is finite for $p \leq 547$ except 83, 127, 397. For any set S of positive integers, let $S(x) = |S \cap [1, x]|$. In 2016, Sanna [3] proved that

$$J_p(x) \leq 129p^{\frac{2}{3}}x^{0.765}.$$

Recently, Wu and Chen [4] proved that

$$J_p(x) \leq 3x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log p}}. \quad (1.1)$$

For any positive integer m , let I_m be the set of positive integers n such that $m \nmid v_n$. In this paper, the following results are proved.

Theorem 1.1. *The set of positive integers n such that v_n is divisible by the least common multiple of $1, 2, \dots, \lfloor n^{1/4} \rfloor$ has density one.*

Theorem 1.2. *For any positive integer m and any positive real number x , we have*

$$I_m(x) \leq 4m^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log q_m}},$$

where q_m is the least prime factor of m .

From Theorem 1.1 or Theorem 1.2, we immediately have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.3. *For any positive integer m , the set of positive integers n such that $m \mid v_n$ has density one.*

2 Proofs

We always use p to denote a prime. Firstly, we give the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *For any prime p and any positive integer k , we have*

$$I_{p^k} = \{p^k n_1 + r : n_1 \in J_p \cup \{0\}, 0 \leq r \leq p^k - 1\} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Proof. For any integer a , let $\nu_p(a)$ be the p -adic valuation of a . For any rational number $\alpha = \frac{a}{b}$, let $\nu_p(\alpha) = \nu_p(a) - \nu_p(b)$. It is clear that $n \in I_{p^k}$ if and only if $\nu_p(H_n) > -k$.

If $n < p^k$, then $\nu_p(H_n) \geq -\nu_p([1, 2, \dots, n]) > -k$. So $n \in I_{p^k}$. In the following, we assume that $n \geq p^k$. Let

$$n = p^k n_1 + r, \quad 0 \leq r \leq p^k - 1, \quad n_1, r \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then $n_1 \geq 1$. Write

$$H_n = \sum_{m=1, p^k \nmid m}^n \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{p^k} H_{n_1} = \frac{b}{p^{k-1}a} + \frac{u_{n_1}}{p^k v_{n_1}} = \frac{pbv_{n_1} + au_{n_1}}{p^k av_{n_1}}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $p \nmid a$ and $(u_{n_1}, v_{n_1}) = 1$.

If $n_1 \in J_p$, then $p \mid u_{n_1}$ and $p \nmid v_{n_1}$. Thus $p \mid au_{n_1} + pbv_{n_1}$ and $\nu_p(p^k av_{n_1}) = k$. By (2.1), $\nu_p(H_n) > -k$. So $n \in I_{p^k}$.

If $n_1 \notin J_p$, then $p \nmid u_{n_1}$. Thus $p \nmid au_{n_1} + pbv_{n_1}$. It follows from (2.1) that $\nu_p(H_n) \leq -k$. So $n \notin I_{p^k}$.

Now we have proved that $n \in I_{p^k}$ if and only if $n_1 \in J_p \cup \{0\}$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. \square

Lemma 2.2. *For any prime power p^k and any positive number x , we have*

$$I_{p^k}(x) \leq 4(p^k)^{\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{25 \log p}} x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log p}}.$$

Proof. If $x \leq p^k$, then

$$I_{p^k}(x) \leq x < 4x^{\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{25 \log p}} x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log p}} \leq 4(p^k)^{\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{25 \log p}} x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log p}}.$$

Now we assume that $x > p^k$. By Lemma 2.1 and (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{p^k}(x) &= |\{p^k n_1 + r \leq x : n_1 \in J_p \cup \{0\}, 0 \leq r \leq p^k - 1\}| - 1 \\ &\leq p^k \left(J_p\left(\frac{x}{p^k}\right) + 1 \right) \leq 4(p^k)^{\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{25 \log p}} x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log p}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let m_n be the least common multiple of $1, 2, \dots, \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor$ and let $\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor$ denote the greatest integer not exceeding the real number n^θ , where $0 < \theta < 1$ which will be given later. Let $T = \{n : m_n \nmid v_n\}$. For any prime p and

any positive number x with $p \leq x^\theta$, let α_p be the integer such that $p^{\alpha_p} \leq x^\theta < p^{\alpha_p+1}$.

By the definition of m_n and T ,

$$T(x) \leq \sum_{p \leq x^\theta} I_{p^{\alpha_p}}(x).$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\sum_{p \leq x^\theta} I_{p^{\alpha_p}}(x) \leq 4 \sum_{p \leq x^\theta} (p^{\alpha_p})^{\frac{1}{3}} x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log p}} := I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$I_1 = 4 \sum_{x^\delta < p \leq x^\theta} (p^{\alpha_p})^{\frac{1}{3}} x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log p}}, \quad I_2 = 4 \sum_{p \leq x^\delta} (p^{\alpha_p})^{\frac{1}{3}} x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log p}}$$

and δ is a positive constant less than θ which will be given later.

If $p > x^\delta$, then

$$x^{\frac{1}{25 \log p}} = e^{\frac{\log x}{25 \log p}} \leq e^{\frac{\log x}{25 \delta \log x}} = e^{\frac{1}{25 \delta}}.$$

It follows from $p^{\alpha_p} \leq x^\theta$ that

$$I_1 = 4 \sum_{x^\delta < p \leq x^\theta} (p^{\alpha_p})^{\frac{1}{3}} x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log p}} \leq 4e^{\frac{1}{25 \delta}} \sum_{x^\delta < p \leq x^\theta} x^{\frac{\theta}{3} + \frac{2}{3}} \ll \frac{1}{\log x} x^{\frac{4\theta}{3} + \frac{2}{3}}.$$

For I_2 , by $p^{\alpha_p} \leq x^\theta$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= 4 \sum_{p \leq x^\delta} (p^{\alpha_p})^{\frac{1}{3}} x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log p}} \\ &\leq 4 \sum_{p \leq x^\delta} x^{\frac{\theta}{3} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log 2}} \\ &\ll \frac{1}{\log x} x^{\delta + \frac{\theta}{3} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log 2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We choose $\theta = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\delta = 0.1$. Then

$$I_1 \ll \frac{x}{\log x}, \quad I_2 \ll x^{0.91}.$$

Therefore,

$$T(x) \leq \sum_{p \leq x^\theta} I_{p^{\alpha_p}}(x) = I_1 + I_2 \ll \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

It follows that the set of positive integers n such that v_n is divisible by the least common multiple of $1, 2, \dots, \lfloor n^{1/4} \rfloor$ has density one. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We use induction on m to prove Theorem 1.2.

By Lemma 2.2, Theorem 1.2 is true for $m = 2$. Suppose that Theorem 1.2 is true for all integers less than m ($m > 2$).

If $x \leq m$, then

$$I_m(x) \leq x < 4x^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log qm}} \leq 4m^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log qm}}.$$

In the following, we always assume that $x > m$.

If m is a prime power, then, by Lemma 2.2, Theorem 1.2 is true. Now we assume that m is not a prime power. Let p^α be the least prime power divisor of m such that $m = p^\alpha m_1$ with $p \nmid m_1$. Then $m_1 > p^\alpha$. It is clear that $I_m = I_{m_1} \cup (I_{p^\alpha} \setminus I_{m_1})$. By Lemma 2.1 and the definition of p^α , $\{1, 2, \dots, p^\alpha - 1\} \subseteq I_{m_1}$. Hence

$$I_m(x) = I_{m_1}(x) + (I_{p^\alpha} \setminus I_{m_1})(x) \leq I_{m_1}(x) + I_{p^\alpha}(x) - (p^\alpha - 1).$$

By the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$I_{m_1}(x) \leq 4m_1^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log qm_1}} \leq 4m_1^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log qm}}.$$

It follows that

$$I_m(x) \leq 4m_1^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log qm}} + I_{p^\alpha}(x) - (p^\alpha - 1). \quad (2.2)$$

We divide into the following three cases:

Case 1: $p^\alpha \geq 8$. Then $m_1 > p^\alpha \geq 8$. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$I_{p^\alpha}(x) \leq 4(p^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log qm}}.$$

It follows from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_m(x) &\leq 4m_1^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log qm}} + 4(p^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log qm}} \\ &= 4 \left(\frac{1}{(p^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \frac{1}{m_1^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right) m_1^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log qm}} \\ &\leq 4m_1^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log qm}}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $p^\alpha < 8$, $p = 2$. Then $p^\alpha = 2$ or 4 and $x > m \geq 2 \times 3 = 6$. By Lemma 2.1 and $J_2 = \emptyset$, we have $I_4 = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $I_2 = \{1\}$. It is clear that $I_{p^\alpha}(x) - (p^\alpha - 1) = 0$. It follows from (2.2) that

$$I_m(x) \leq 4m_1^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log qm}} < 4m_1^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{25 \log qm}}.$$

Case 3: $p^\alpha < 8$, $p \neq 2$. Then $\alpha = 1$ and $p = 3, 5$ or 7 . In addition, $x > m \geq 3 \times 4 = 12$. Noting that $m^{\frac{1}{3}} - m_1^{\frac{1}{3}} = m_1^{\frac{1}{3}}(p^{\frac{\alpha}{3}} - 1) \geq 4^{\frac{1}{3}}(3^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1) > \frac{1}{2}$, by (2.2), it is enough to prove that $I_p(x) - (p - 1) \leq 2x^{\frac{2}{3}}$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$I_p = \{pn_1 + r : n_1 \in J_p \cup \{0\}, 0 \leq r \leq p - 1\} \setminus \{0\}.$$

By [2], $J_3 = \{2, 7, 22\}$, $J_5 = \{4, 20, 24\}$ and

$$J_7 = \{6, 42, 48, 295, 299, 337, 341, 2096, 2390, 14675, 16731, 16735, 102728\}.$$

If $x \geq 7^3$, then $I_p(x) - (p - 1) \leq 91 \leq 2x^{\frac{2}{3}}$. If $35 < x < 7^3$, then $I_p(x) - (p - 1) \leq 21 \leq 2x^{\frac{2}{3}}$. If $12 < x \leq 35$, then $I_p(x) - (p - 1) \leq 6 \leq 2x^{\frac{2}{3}}$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

References

- [1] D.W. Boyd, A p -adic study of the partial sums of the harmonic series, *Exp. Math.* 3 (4) (1994) 287–302.
- [2] A. Eswarathasan, E. Levine, p -integral harmonic sums, *Discrete Math.* 91(3) (1991) 249–257.
- [3] C. Sanna, On the p -adic valuation of harmonic numbers, *J. Number Theory* 166 (2016) 41–46.
- [4] B.-L. Wu, Y.-G. Chen, On certain properties of harmonic numbers, *J. Number Theory*. 175 (2017) 66–86.