

# Diophantine equations involving Euler's totient function

Yong-Gao Chen\* and Hao Tian

School of Mathematical Sciences and Institute of Mathematics,

Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, P. R. China

## Abstract

In this paper, we consider the equations involving Euler's totient function  $\phi$  and Lucas type sequences. In particular, we prove that the equation  $\phi(x^m - y^m) = x^n - y^n$  has no solutions in positive integers  $x, y, m, n$  except for the trivial solutions  $(x, y, m, n) = (a + 1, a, 1, 1)$ , where  $a$  is a positive integer, and the equation  $\phi((x^m - y^m)/(x - y)) = (x^n - y^n)/(x - y)$  has no solutions in positive integers  $x, y, m, n$  except for the trivial solutions  $(x, y, m, n) = (a, b, 1, 1)$ , where  $a, b$  are integers with  $a > b \geq 1$ .

**2010 MR Subject Classification:** 11A25, 11D61, 11D72

**Keywords:** Diophantine equations; Euler's totient function; primitive divisors; applications of sieve methods

## 1 Introduction

There are many famous problems on Euler's totient function  $\phi$ . For example, the equation  $\phi(n) = \phi(n + k)$  has brought many interests (see Ballew, Case and Higgins [2], Holt [16], Lal and Gillard [17], Schinzel [27]). In 1932, Lehmer [18]

---

\*Corresponding author, E-mail: ygchen@njnu.edu.cn(Y.-G. Chen)

asked whether there are composite numbers  $n$  for which  $n - 1$  is divisible by  $\phi(n)$ . In 1922, Carmichael [5] conjectured that, for every positive integer  $n$ , there exists a positive integer  $m \neq n$  such that  $\phi(m) = \phi(n)$ . For related progress, one may see Banks etc [3], Bateman [4], Contini, Croot and Shparlinski [7], Erdős [8] and [9], Erdős and Hall [10, 11, 12], Ford [13], Guderson [14], Guy [15, B36-B42], Pomerance [24], and Rotkiewicz [25].

In this paper, we consider the equations involving Euler's totient function  $\phi$  and Lucas type sequences. In particular, we consider the following equations

$$\phi(x^m - y^m) = x^n - y^n \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\phi\left(\frac{x^m - y^m}{x - y}\right) = \frac{x^n - y^n}{x - y} \quad (1.2)$$

in positive integers  $x, y, m, n$ .

Luca [20] proved that, if  $b \geq 2$  is a fixed integer, then the equation

$$\phi\left(x \frac{b^m - 1}{b - 1}\right) = y \frac{b^n - 1}{b - 1}, \quad x, y \in \{1, 2, \dots, b - 1\}$$

has only finitely many positive integer solutions  $(x, y, m, n)$ . In 2015, Faye and Luca [21] proved that, if  $(m, n, x)$  is a solution of

$$\phi(x^m - 1) = x^n - 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \phi\left(\frac{x^m - 1}{x - 1}\right) = \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} \quad (1.3)$$

in positive integers  $x, m, n$  with  $m > n$ , then

$$x < e^{e^{8000}}.$$

Currently, even for a given odd number  $x > 2$ , there is no method to know rapidly whether the equations of (1.3) have solutions in positive integers  $m, n$  with  $m > n$ . In 2015, Faye, Luca and Tall [22] proved that the equation

$$\phi(5^m - 1) = 5^n - 1$$

has no solutions in positive integers  $m, n$ . This solves a problem in [19].

In this paper, the following results are proved.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The equation (1.1) has no solutions in positive integers  $x, y, m, n$  except for the trivial solutions  $(x, y, m, n) = (a + 1, a, 1, 1)$ , where  $a$  is a positive integer.*

**Theorem 1.2.** *The equation (1.2) has no solutions in positive integers  $x, y, m, n$  except for the trivial solutions  $(x, y, m, n) = (a, b, 1, 1)$ , where  $a, b$  are integers with  $a > b \geq 1$ .*

## 2 Generalization and Crucial Reduction

In this paper we will concern the following equation:

$$\phi\left(z\frac{x^m - y^m}{x - y}\right) = z\frac{x^n - y^n}{x - y} \quad (2.1)$$

in positive integers  $x, y, z, m, n$  with  $x > y$ . Now Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are two special cases for  $z = x - y$  and  $z = 1$ , respectively.

It is clear that

$$(x, y, z, m, n) = (a, b, 1, 1, 1), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+, a > b \geq 1$$

are solutions of (2.1). Such solutions are called the trivial solutions of (2.1). Since  $\phi(k) = k$  if and only if  $k = 1$ , it follows that  $m > n$  if  $(x, y, z, m, n)$  is a nontrivial solution of (2.1).

For the equation (2.1), we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The equation (2.1) has no nontrivial solutions in positive integers  $x, y, z, m, n$  with  $1 \leq z \leq x - y$ .*

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 follow from Theorem 2.1 by taking  $z = x - y$  and  $z = 1$ , respectively. It is interesting that it is difficult directly to give proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. But general Theorem 2.1 is “easily” proved. Our another key observation is to find that we may assume that  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . Theorem 2.1 is equivalent to the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** *The equation (2.1) has no nontrivial solutions in positive integers  $x, y, z, m, n$  with  $1 \leq z \leq x - y$  and  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .*

Now we prove that Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 are equivalent each other. It is clear that Theorem 2.1 implies Theorem 2.2.

Suppose that Theorem 2.2 is true and  $(x, y, z, m, n)$  is a nontrivial solution of the equation (2.1) in positive integers  $x, y, z, m, n$  with  $1 \leq z \leq x - y$ . Then  $m > n \geq 1$ . Let

$$\gcd(m, n) = d', \quad m = d'm', \quad n = d'n', \quad x^{d'} = x', \quad y^{d'} = y'$$

and

$$z \frac{x^{d'} - y^{d'}}{x - y} = z'.$$

Then  $\gcd(m', n') = 1$ ,  $m' > n' \geq 1$ ,

$$\phi \left( z' \frac{(x')^{m'} - (y')^{m'}}{x' - y'} \right) = z' \frac{(x')^{n'} - (y')^{n'}}{x' - y'} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$1 \leq z' = z \frac{x^{d'} - y^{d'}}{x - y} \leq x^{d'} - y^{d'} = x' - y'.$$

Thus  $(x', y', z', m', n')$  is a nontrivial solution of the equation (2.1) in positive integers  $x', y', z', m', n'$  with  $1 \leq z' \leq x' - y'$  and  $\gcd(m', n') = 1$ . This contradicts Theorem 2.2. Now we have proved that Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 are equivalent each other.

In the following, our task is to prove Theorem 2.2. One may see that the condition  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$  plays a key role in our proof.

*From now on, we always assume that  $(x, y, z, m, n)$  is a nontrivial solution of the equation (2.1) in positive integers  $x, y, z, m, n$  with  $1 \leq z \leq x - y$  and  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . Since  $(x, y, z, m, n)$  is a nontrivial solution of the equation (2.1), it follows that  $m > n$ . Let*

$$\gcd(x, y) = d_1, \quad x = x_1 d_1, \quad y = y_1 d_1.$$

*In this paper,  $p, q, r$  and  $\gamma$  always denote odd primes. Let  $p(m)$  be the least prime divisor of  $m$ . For each prime  $p \geq 3$  with  $p \nmid x_1 y_1$ , let  $\ell_p$  be the least positive integer  $\ell$  such that  $p \mid x_1^\ell - y_1^\ell$ . Then  $p \mid x_1^m - y_1^m$  if and only if  $\ell_p \mid m$ . For the convenience of the reader, we will repeat some statements in the proof.*

In Section 3, we solve the equation (2.1) in positive integers  $x, y, z, m, n$  with  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  having different parities and no constrains on the size of  $z$ . It follows that Theorem 2.2 is true for  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  having different parities. Since  $\gcd(x_1, y_1) = 1$ , we may assume that  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  are both odd. In Section 4, we give the preliminary lemmas. We prove Theorem 2.2 in two sections. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 2.2 for  $x > 80$ . For this, we divide into two subsections:  $p(m) \leq x$  and  $p(m) > x$ . In Section 6, we prove Theorem 2.2 for  $x \leq 80$ .

### 3 The equation without constrains on $z$

For any prime  $p$  and any positive integer  $a$ , let  $\nu_p(a)$  denote the integer  $k$  with

$$p^k \mid a, \quad p^{k+1} \nmid a.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *The only nontrivial solutions of the equation (2.1) in positive integers  $x, y, z, m, n$  with  $\nu_2(x) \neq \nu_2(y)$  are*

$$(x, y, z, m, n) = (2, 1, 2^\beta p^u, q, q - 1),$$

where  $q, p = 2^q - 1$  are both primes and  $\beta, u$  are two integers with  $\beta \geq 1$  and  $u \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(x, y, z, m, n)$  is a nontrivial solution of the equation (2.1) in positive integers  $x, y, z, m, n$ . Then  $m > n \geq 1$ . As in the previous section, first we reduce the problem to the case  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

Let

$$\gcd(m, n) = d', \quad m = d'm', \quad n = d'n', \quad x^{d'} = x', \quad y^{d'} = y'$$

and

$$z \frac{x^{d'} - y^{d'}}{x - y} = z'.$$

Then

$$\phi \left( z' \frac{(x')^{m'} - (y')^{m'}}{x' - y'} \right) = z' \frac{(x')^{n'} - (y')^{n'}}{x' - y'} \quad (3.1)$$

and  $\nu_2(x') = d'\nu_2(x) \neq d'\nu_2(y) = \nu_2(y')$ . Suppose that the only nontrivial solutions of the equation (3.1) in positive integers  $x', y', z', m', n'$  with  $\gcd(m', n') = 1$  are

$$(x', y', z', m', n') = (2, 1, 2^\beta p^u, q, q - 1),$$

where  $q, p = 2^q - 1$  are both primes and  $\beta, u$  are nonnegative integers with  $\beta \geq 1$ . Then  $x^{d'} = x' = 2$ . So  $d' = 1$  and  $x = 2$ . Thus the only nontrivial solutions of the equation (2.1) in positive integers  $x, y, z, m, n$  are

$$(x, y, z, m, n) = (2, 1, 2^\beta p^u, q, q - 1),$$

where  $q, p = 2^q - 1$  are both primes and  $\beta, u$  are nonnegative integers with  $\beta \geq 1$ . Now we have reduced the problem to the case  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

Let

$$\gcd(x, y) = d_1, \quad x = x_1 d_1, \quad y = y_1 d_1.$$

Now (2.1) becomes

$$\phi \left( z d_1^{m-1} \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1} \right) = z d_1^{n-1} \frac{x_1^n - y_1^n}{x_1 - y_1}. \quad (3.2)$$

Since  $\nu_2(x) \neq \nu_2(y)$ , it follows that  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  have different parities. Let

$$z = 2^\beta t_1, \quad d_1 = 2^\alpha w_1, \quad 2 \nmid t_1 w_1.$$

Now (3.2) becomes

$$\phi \left( 2^{\beta+\alpha(m-1)} t_1 w_1^{m-1} \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1} \right) = 2^{\beta+\alpha(n-1)} t_1 w_1^{n-1} \frac{x_1^n - y_1^n}{x_1 - y_1}.$$

Since  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  have different parities, it follows that

$$\frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1}$$

and

$$\frac{x_1^n - y_1^n}{x_1 - y_1}$$

are both odd. Let

$$A = t_1 w_1^{m-1} \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1}, \quad B = t_1 w_1^{n-1} \frac{x_1^n - y_1^n}{x_1 - y_1}. \quad (3.3)$$

Then  $A$  and  $B$  are both odd and

$$\phi \left( 2^{\beta+\alpha(m-1)} A \right) = 2^{\beta+\alpha(n-1)} B. \quad (3.4)$$

By  $m > n \geq 1$  and (3.3), we have

$$A = t_1 w_1^{m-1} \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1} \geq \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1} > 1.$$

Noting that  $A$  is odd,  $\phi(A)$  is even. We divide into two cases:

**Case 1:**  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ . Then (3.4) becomes  $\phi(A) = B$ . Since  $A$  and  $B$  are both odd, it follows that  $A = B = 1$ , a contradiction with  $A > 1$ .

**Case 2:**  $\alpha + \beta > 0$ . Then (3.4) becomes

$$2^{\beta+\alpha(m-1)-1} \phi(A) = 2^{\beta+\alpha(n-1)} B. \quad (3.5)$$

Since  $2 \mid \phi(A)$  and  $B$  is odd, it follows that

$$\beta + \alpha(m - 1) \leq \beta + \alpha(n - 1).$$

Noting that  $m > n$ , we have  $\alpha = 0$ . Thus  $\beta = \alpha + \beta \geq 1$  and (3.5) becomes  $\phi(A) = 2B$ . Hence there exist an odd prime  $p$  and a positive integer  $t$  such that

$$A = p^t, \quad 2B = p^{t-1}(p - 1).$$

By

$$p^t = A = t_1 w_1^{m-1} \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1}, \quad p^{t-1}(p - 1) = 2B = 2t_1 w_1^{n-1} \frac{x_1^n - y_1^n}{x_1 - y_1},$$

there exist nonnegative integers  $u, v, k$  such that

$$t_1 = p^u, \quad w_1 = p^v, \quad \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1} = p^k, \quad t = u + (m - 1)v + k,$$

$$p^{t-1-u-(n-1)v}(p - 1) = 2 \frac{x_1^n - y_1^n}{x_1 - y_1}.$$

By  $m > n \geq 1$ , we have  $k \geq 1$  and  $t - 1 - u - (n - 1)v \geq 0$ . So  $p \mid x_1^m - y_1^m$ . If

$$t - 1 - u - (n - 1)v \geq 1,$$

then

$$p \mid x_1^n - y_1^n.$$

Thus

$$p \mid x_1^{(m,n)} - y_1^{(m,n)}.$$

That is,  $p \mid x_1 - y_1$ . By  $\gcd(x_1, y_1) = 1$ ,  $p \nmid x_1 y_1$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} p^k &= \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1} \\ &= x_1^{m-1} + x_1^{m-2}y_1 + \cdots + y_1^{m-1} \\ &\equiv m y_1^{m-1} \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &p^{t-1-u-(n-1)v}(p - 1) \\ &= 2 \frac{x_1^n - y_1^n}{x_1 - y_1} \\ &= 2(x_1^{n-1} + x_1^{n-2}y_1 + \cdots + y_1^{n-1}) \\ &\equiv 2n y_1^{n-1} \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $p \mid m$  and  $p \mid n$ , a contradiction with  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . So

$$t - 1 - u - (n - 1)v = 0.$$

Noting that  $t = u + (m - 1)v + k$ , we have

$$u + (m - 1)v + k - 1 - u - (n - 1)v = 0.$$

That is,  $(m - n)v + k - 1 = 0$ . Since  $m > n$  and  $k \geq 1$ , it follows that  $v = 0$  and  $k = 1$ . Thus  $d_1 = 2^\alpha w_1 = 1$ ,

$$p = \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1} = \frac{x^m - y^m}{x - y},$$

and

$$p - 1 = 2 \frac{x_1^n - y_1^n}{x_1 - y_1} = 2 \frac{x^n - y^n}{x - y}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{x^m - y^m}{x - y} - 1 = 2 \frac{x^n - y^n}{x - y}.$$

By  $m > n$  and  $x > y \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \frac{x^n - y^n}{x - y} &= \frac{x^m - y^m}{x - y} - 1 \\ &= y^m \frac{(x/y)^m - 1}{x - y} - 1 \\ &\geq y^{n+1} \frac{(x/y)^{n+1} - 1}{x - y} - 1 \\ &= \frac{x^{n+1} - y^{n+1}}{x - y} - 1 \\ &= x^n + x^{n-1}y + \cdots + xy^{n-1} + y^n - 1 \\ &\geq x^n + x^{n-1}y + \cdots + xy^{n-1} \\ &= x \frac{x^n - y^n}{x - y} \\ &\geq 2 \frac{x^n - y^n}{x - y}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $x = 2$ ,  $y = 1$  and  $m = n + 1$ . Since

$$p = \frac{x^m - y^m}{x - y} = 2^m - 1$$

is a prime, it follows that  $m$  is a prime. Write  $m = q$ . Then

$$(x, y, z, m, n) = (2, 1, 2^\beta p^u, q, q - 1),$$



where  $q, p = 2^q - 1$  are both primes and  $\beta, u$  are nonnegative integers with  $\beta \geq 1$ . It is easy to verify that these are solutions of the equation (2.1). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

## 4 Preliminary Lemmas

In this section, we give some preliminary lemmas. We always assume that  $(x, y, z, m, n)$  is a nontrivial solution of the equation (2.1) in positive integers  $x, y, z, m, n$  with  $1 \leq z \leq x - y$  and  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . Then  $m > n \geq 1$ . Recall that

$$\gcd(x, y) = d_1, \quad x = x_1 d_1, \quad y = y_1 d_1.$$

If  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  have different parities, then  $\nu_2(x) \neq \nu_2(y)$ . By Theorem 3.1, there exist two primes  $q, p = 2^q - 1$  and two integers  $\beta \geq 1$  and  $u \geq 0$  such that  $(x, y, z, m, n) = (2, 1, 2^\beta p^u, q, q - 1)$ . This contradicts  $1 \leq z \leq x - y$ . Hence  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  have the same parity. Since  $\gcd(x_1, y_1) = 1$ , it follows that  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  are both odd. Noting that  $x_1 > y_1 \geq 1$ , we have  $x_1 \geq 3$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $(x, y, z, m, n)$  be a nontrivial solution of the equation (2.1) in positive integers  $x, y, z, m, n$  with  $1 \leq z \leq x - y$  and  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . Then  $2 \nmid m$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the lemma by a contradiction. Suppose that  $2 \mid m$ . Let

$$m = 2^\alpha m_1, \quad d_1 = 2^\beta w_1, \quad z = 2^\delta t_1,$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \delta, m_1, w_1, t_1$  are nonnegative integers with  $2 \nmid m_1 w_1 t_1$  and  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Let

$$A' = t_1 w_1^{m-1} \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1}, \quad B' = t_1 w_1^{n-1} \frac{x_1^n - y_1^n}{x_1 - y_1}.$$

Since  $2 \mid m$ , we have  $2 \nmid n$ . It follows that  $B'$  is odd. Since  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  are odd,

$$A' = t_1 w_1^{m-1} \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1} = t_1 w_1^{m-1} \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1^2 - y_1^2} (x_1 + y_1)$$

is even and more than 2. Let  $A' = 2^\mu A_1$  with  $2 \nmid A_1$  and  $\mu \geq 1$ . By  $A' > 2$ , we have either  $\mu \geq 2$  or  $A_1 \geq 3$ . Hence

$$\phi \left( z \frac{x^m - y^m}{x - y} \right) = \phi(2^{\delta+\beta(m-1)+\mu} A_1) = 2^{\delta+\beta(m-1)+\mu-1} \phi(A_1)$$

is divisible by

$$2^{\delta+\beta(m-1)+1}.$$

By (2.1) and

$$z \frac{x^n - y^n}{x - y} = 2^{\delta+\beta(n-1)} B',$$

we have  $\delta + \beta(m - 1) + 1 \leq \delta + \beta(n - 1)$ , a contradiction with  $m > n$ .

Therefore,  $2 \nmid m$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $q$  be a prime divisor of  $m$ . Then*

$$q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)-1} \mid x_1^{q-1} - y_1^{q-1},$$

where  $d(m)$  is the number of positive divisors of  $m$  and  $\alpha_q$  is the integer with  $q^{\alpha_q} \mid m$  and  $q^{\alpha_q+1} \nmid m$ .

Furthermore, if  $q \nmid z$ , then

$$q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)} \mid x_1^{q-1} - y_1^{q-1}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $m = q^{\alpha_q} m_q$  and let  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_t$  be all positive divisors of  $m_q$ . Then  $q^i l_j$  ( $1 \leq i \leq \alpha_q, 1 \leq j \leq t$ ) are  $\alpha_q t$  distinct positive divisors of  $m$ . By Lemma 4.1,  $q^i l_j \neq 2, 6$ . By Carmichael's primitive divisor theorem (see [6]), each of  $x_1^{q^i l_j} - y_1^{q^i l_j}$  has a primitive prime divisor  $p_{i,j} \equiv 1 \pmod{q^i l_j}$ . It is clear that

$$p_{i,j} \mid \frac{x_1^{q^i l_j} - y_1^{q^i l_j}}{x_1 - y_1}, \quad \frac{x_1^{q^i l_j} - y_1^{q^i l_j}}{x_1 - y_1} \mid \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1}.$$

It follows that

$$\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \alpha_q \\ 1 \leq j \leq t}} p_{i,j} \mid \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1}.$$

Let

$$z = q^{\delta_q} t_q, \quad d_1 = q^{\beta_q} d_q, \quad q \nmid t_q d_q.$$

Noting that

$$z \frac{x^m - y^m}{x - y} = q^{\delta_q + \beta_q(m-1)} t_q d_q^{m-1} \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1},$$

we have

$$q^{\delta_q + \beta_q(m-1)} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \alpha_q \\ 1 \leq j \leq t}} p_{i,j} \mid z \frac{x^m - y^m}{x - y}.$$

So

$$\phi\left(q^{\delta_q+\beta_q(m-1)}\right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \alpha_q \\ 1 \leq j \leq t}} (p_{i,j} - 1) \mid \phi\left(z \frac{x^m - y^m}{x - y}\right).$$

It follows from (2.1) that

$$\phi\left(q^{\delta_q+\beta_q(m-1)}\right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \alpha_q \\ 1 \leq j \leq t}} (p_{i,j} - 1) \mid z \frac{x^n - y^n}{x - y}.$$

So

$$\phi\left(q^{\delta_q+\beta_q(m-1)}\right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \alpha_q \\ 1 \leq j \leq t}} q^i \mid z \frac{x^n - y^n}{x - y}.$$

That is,

$$\phi\left(q^{\delta_q+\beta_q(m-1)}\right) q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q(\alpha_q+1)t} \mid q^{\delta_q+\beta_q(n-1)} t_q d_q^{m-1} \frac{x_1^n - y_1^n}{x_1 - y_1}.$$

Noting that  $d(m) = (\alpha_q + 1)t$ , we have

$$\phi\left(q^{\delta_q+\beta_q(m-1)}\right) q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)} \mid q^{\delta_q+\beta_q(n-1)} t_q d_q^{m-1} (x_1^n - y_1^n). \quad (4.1)$$

We divide into three cases:

**Case 1:**  $q \mid d_1$ . Then  $\beta_q \geq 1$ . By (4.1),

$$q^{\delta_q+\beta_q(m-1)-1} q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)} \mid q^{\delta_q+\beta_q(n-1)} (x_1^n - y_1^n).$$

That is,

$$q^{\beta_q(m-n)-1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)} \mid x_1^n - y_1^n.$$

Since  $m > n$  and  $\beta_q \geq 1$ , it follows that

$$q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)} \mid x_1^n - y_1^n. \quad (4.2)$$

Since  $\gcd(x_1, y_1) = 1$ , it follows from (4.2) that  $q \nmid x_1 y_1$ . By Euler's theorem,

$$q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)} \mid x_1^{q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)-1}(q-1)} - y_1^{q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)-1}(q-1)}. \quad (4.3)$$

In view of (4.2) and (4.3),

$$q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)} \mid x_1^{(q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)-1}(q-1), n)} - y_1^{(q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)-1}(q-1), n)}.$$

By  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , we have  $\gcd(q, n) = 1$ . It follows that

$$q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)} \mid x_1^{(q-1, n)} - y_1^{(q-1, n)}.$$

Noting that  $(q-1, n) \mid q-1$ , we have

$$q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)} \mid x_1^{q-1} - y_1^{q-1}.$$

**Case 2:**  $q \nmid d_1$  and  $q \nmid z$ . Then  $\delta_q = \beta_q = 0$ . By (4.1),

$$q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)} \mid x_1^n - y_1^n.$$

Similar to Case 1, we have

$$q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)} \mid x_1^{q-1} - y_1^{q-1}.$$

**Case 3:**  $q \nmid d_1$  and  $q \mid z$ .

By (4.1),

$$q^{\delta_q - 1} q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)} \mid q^{\delta_q} (x_1^n - y_1^n).$$

It follows that

$$q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m) - 1} \mid x_1^n - y_1^n.$$

If  $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m) - 1 \geq 1$ , then, similar to Case 1, we have

$$q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m) - 1} \mid x_1^{q-1} - y_1^{q-1}. \quad (4.4)$$

It is clear that (4.4) also holds if  $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m) - 1 = 0$ .

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.2.  $\square$

**Remark 4.3.** In 1943, Guderson [14] proved that, if  $a > b \geq 1$  are two integers and  $n$  is a positive integer, then  $n^2(\text{rad}(n))^{-1} \mid \phi(a^n - b^n)$ , where  $\text{rad}(n)$  is the radical of  $n$ , i.e, the product of all distinct prime divisors of  $n$ . In 1961, Rotkiewicz [25] proved that  $n^{d(n)/2} \mid \phi(a^n - b^n)$ , where  $d(n)$  is the number of positive divisors of  $n$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $\mathcal{Q}_m$  be the set of all prime divisors of  $m$  and let  $d(m)$  be defined as in Lemma 4.2. Then

$$d(m) < 2 \max\{p(m), x\}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{Q}_m| < \frac{\log(2 \max\{p(m), x\})}{\log 2}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.1,  $p(m) \geq 3$ .

If  $p(m) \mid z$ , then, by  $p(m) \mid m$  and Lemma 4.2, we have

$$p(m)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{p(m)}d(m)-1} \mid x_1^{p(m)-1} - y_1^{p(m)-1}.$$

Noting that  $1 \leq z \leq x - y < x$ , for  $p(m) \mid z$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(m)^{\frac{1}{2}d(m)-1} &\leq p(m)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{p(m)}d(m)-1} \\ &\leq x_1^{p(m)-1} - y_1^{p(m)-1} \\ &< x_1^{p(m)-1} \\ &\leq x^{p(m)-1} \\ &< z^{-1}x^{p(m)} \\ &\leq p(m)^{-1}x^{p(m)}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$d(m) < \frac{2p(m)}{\log p(m)} \log x.$$

If  $p(m) \nmid z$ , then, by  $p(m) \mid m$  and Lemma 4.2, we have

$$p(m)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{p(m)}d(m)} \mid x_1^{p(m)-1} - y_1^{p(m)-1}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} p(m)^{\frac{1}{2}d(m)} &\leq p(m)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{p(m)}d(m)} \\ &\leq x_1^{p(m)-1} - y_1^{p(m)-1} \\ &< x^{p(m)}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$d(m) < \frac{2p(m)}{\log p(m)} \log x.$$

In any way, we have

$$d(m) < \frac{2p(m)}{\log p(m)} \log x. \tag{4.5}$$

If  $p(m) \geq x$ , then  $\log p(m) \geq \log x$ . It follows from (4.5) that

$$d(m) < 2p(m).$$

If  $p(m) < x$ , then, by  $p(m) \geq 3$ ,

$$\frac{2p(m)}{\log p(m)} < \frac{2x}{\log x}.$$

It follows from (4.5) that

$$d(m) < 2x.$$

In any way, we have

$$d(m) < 2 \max\{p(m), x\}.$$

Noting that

$$2^{|\mathcal{Q}_m|} \leq d(m),$$

we have

$$|\mathcal{Q}_m| < \frac{\log(2 \max\{p(m), x\})}{\log 2}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.4.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** ([21, Lemma 1.2]) For  $N \geq 3$ , we have

$$\frac{N}{\phi(N)} \leq 1.79 \log \log N + \frac{2.5}{\log \log N}.$$

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $(x, y, z, m, n)$  be a nontrivial solution of the equation (2.1) in positive integers  $x, y, z, m, n$  with  $1 \leq z \leq x - y$  and  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . Then

$$x < \prod_{p|z(x^m - y^m)/(x - y)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p - 1}\right).$$

*Proof.* We follow the proof of [21, Lemma 2.1]. Since  $(x, y, z, m, n)$  is a nontrivial solution of the equation (2.1), it follows that  $m > n$ . By (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} x &\leq x^{m-n} \\ &< \frac{z(x^m - y^m)/(x - y)}{z(x^n - y^n)/(x - y)} \\ &= \frac{z(x^m - y^m)/(x - y)}{\phi(z(x^m - y^m)/(x - y))} \\ &= \prod_{p|z(x^m - y^m)/(x - y)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{p|z(x^m - y^m)/(x - y)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p - 1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.6.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $d$  be a divisor of  $m$  with  $d \geq 40$  and let*

$$S_d = \sum_{\ell_p=d} \frac{1}{p}.$$

*Then*

$$S_d < \frac{1}{4d} + \frac{1}{d \log(d+1)} + \frac{2 \log \log d}{\phi(d)} + \frac{2 \log \log x}{\phi(d) \log d}.$$

*Proof.* We follow the proof of [21, Lemma 2.1]. For the convenience of the reader, we give the details here. Recall that, for  $p \nmid x_1 y_1$ ,  $\ell_p$  is the least positive integer  $\ell$  such that  $p \mid x_1^\ell - y_1^\ell$ . By Fermat's theorem,  $p \mid x_1^{p-1} - y_1^{p-1}$  for  $p \nmid x_1 y_1$ . It follows that  $\ell_p \mid p-1$ . Let

$$\mathcal{P}_d = \{p : \ell_p = d\}.$$

Then  $d \mid p-1$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{P}_d$ . Hence

$$(d+1)^{|\mathcal{P}_d|} \leq \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_d} p \leq x_1^d - y_1^d < x_1^d \leq x^d.$$

It follows that

$$|\mathcal{P}_d| \leq \frac{d \log x}{\log(d+1)}. \quad (4.6)$$

Let  $\pi(X; d, 1)$  denote the number of primes  $p \leq X$  with  $d \mid p-1$ . By the Brun-Titchmarsh theorem due to Montgomery and Vaughan [23],

$$\pi(X; d, 1) < \frac{2X}{\phi(d) \log(X/d)} \quad \text{for all } X > d \geq 2.$$

Let  $A_d = \{p \leq 4d : d \mid p-1\}$ . We split  $S_d$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S_d &= \sum_{\substack{p \leq 4d \\ \ell_p=d}} \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{\substack{4d < p \leq d^2 \log x \\ \ell_p=d}} \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{\substack{p > d^2 \log x \\ \ell_p=d}} \frac{1}{p} \\ &\leq \sum_{p \in A_d} \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{\substack{4d < p \leq d^2 \log x \\ d \mid p-1}} \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{\substack{p > d^2 \log x \\ p \in \mathcal{P}_d}} \frac{1}{p} \\ &:= T_1 + T_2 + T_3. \end{aligned}$$

For  $T_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_2 &= \int_{4d}^{d^2 \log x} \frac{1}{t} d\pi(t; d, 1) \\
&= \frac{\pi(t; d, 1)}{t} \Big|_{t=4d}^{d^2 \log x} + \int_{4d}^{d^2 \log x} \frac{\pi(t; d, 1)}{t^2} dt \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\phi(d) \log(d \log x)} - \frac{\pi(4d; d, 1)}{4d} + \frac{2}{\phi(d)} \int_{4d}^{d^2 \log x} \frac{1}{t \log(t/d)} dt \\
&= \frac{2 \log \log(d \log x)}{\phi(d)} - \frac{\pi(4d; d, 1)}{4d} + \frac{2}{\phi(d)} \left( \frac{1}{\log(d \log x)} - \log \log 4 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $d \geq 40$  and  $x \geq x_1 \geq 3$ , it follows that

$$\frac{1}{\log(d \log x)} - \log \log 4 \leq \frac{1}{\log 40} - \log \log 4 < 0.$$

Hence

$$T_1 + T_2 \leq \frac{2 \log \log(d \log x)}{\phi(d)} - \frac{\pi(4d; d, 1)}{4d} + \sum_{p \in A_d} \frac{1}{p}.$$

By Lemma 4.1,  $2 \nmid m$ . So  $d$  is odd. Thus

$$A_d \subseteq \{2d + 1\}, \quad \pi(4d; d, 1) = |A_d| \leq 1.$$

It follows that

$$-\frac{\pi(4d; d, 1)}{4d} + \sum_{p \in A_d} \frac{1}{p} \leq -\frac{1}{4d} + \frac{1}{2d + 1} < \frac{1}{4d}.$$

So

$$T_1 + T_2 < \frac{1}{4d} + \frac{2 \log \log(d \log x)}{\phi(d)}.$$

For  $T_3$ , by (4.6),

$$T_3 < \frac{|\mathcal{P}_d|}{d^2 \log x} < \frac{1}{d \log(d + 1)}.$$

Therefore,

$$S_d < \frac{1}{4d} + \frac{1}{d \log(d + 1)} + \frac{2 \log \log(d \log x)}{\phi(d)}.$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \log(d \log x) &= \log(\log d + \log \log x) \\
&= \log \log d + \log \left( 1 + \frac{\log \log x}{\log d} \right) \\
&< \log \log d + \frac{\log \log x}{\log d},
\end{aligned}$$



we have

$$S_d < \frac{1}{4d} + \frac{1}{d \log(d+1)} + \frac{2 \log \log d}{\phi(d)} + \frac{2 \log \log x}{\phi(d) \log d}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.7.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8.** *The function  $f(x) = \log \log x$  is sub-multiplicative on  $[78, +\infty)$ , that is, for any  $x_1, x_2 \geq 78$ , we have*

$$\log \log(x_1 x_2) \leq (\log \log x_1)(\log \log x_2).$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x_1, x_2 \geq 78$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{\log x_1} + \frac{1}{\log x_2} < 0.4591.$$

It follows that

$$\log x_1 + \log x_2 < 0.4591(\log x_1)(\log x_2).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \log(x_1 x_2) &= \log(\log x_1 + \log x_2) \\ &< \log(0.4591(\log x_1)(\log x_2)) \\ &= \log \log x_1 + \log \log x_2 + \log 0.4591 \\ &= (\log \log x_1)(\log \log x_2) \\ &\quad - (\log \log x_1 - 1)(\log \log x_2 - 1) + 1 + \log 0.4591 \\ &< (\log \log x_1)(\log \log x_2). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality holds since

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\log \log x_1 - 1)(\log \log x_2 - 1) + 1 + \log 0.4591 \\ & \leq -(\log \log 78 - 1)^2 + 1 + \log 0.4591 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.8.  $\square$

## 5 Proof of Theorem 2.2 for $x > 80$

In this section, we always assume that  $(x, y, z, m, n)$  is a nontrivial solution of the equation (2.1) in positive integers  $x, y, z, m, n$  with  $x > 80$ ,  $1 \leq z \leq x - y$  and  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . Then  $m > n \geq 1$ . Recall that

$$\gcd(x, y) = d_1, \quad x = x_1 d_1, \quad y = y_1 d_1.$$

Then  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  are both odd (see Section 4). For each prime  $p \geq 3$  with  $p \nmid x_1 y_1$ , let  $\ell_p$  be the least positive integer  $\ell$  such that  $p \mid x_1^\ell - y_1^\ell$ . Then  $p \mid x_1^m - y_1^m$  if and only if  $\ell_p \mid m$ . Let  $p(m)$  be the least prime divisor of  $m$ .

We divide into two subsections:  $p(m) \leq x$  and  $p(m) > x$ .

### 5.1 $p(m) \leq x$

In this subsection, we always assume that  $p(m) \leq x$  and  $x > 80$ .

By Lemma 4.6, we have

$$x < \prod_{p \mid z(x^m - y^m)/(x-y)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \log x &< \sum_{p \mid z(x^m - y^m)/(x-y)} \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \\ &\leq \log \frac{15}{4} + \sum_{\substack{p \mid z(x^m - y^m)/(x-y) \\ p \geq 7}} \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \\ &\leq \log \frac{15}{4} + \sum_{\substack{p \mid z(x^m - y^m)/(x-y) \\ p \geq 7}} \frac{1}{p-1} \\ &\leq \log \frac{15}{4} + \sum_{p \geq 7} \frac{1}{p(p-1)} + \sum_{\substack{p \mid z(x^m - y^m)/(x-y) \\ p \geq 7}} \frac{1}{p} \\ &< \log \frac{15}{4} + \sum_{7 \leq p < 547} \frac{1}{p(p-1)} + \frac{1}{546} + \sum_{\substack{p \mid z(x^m - y^m)/(x-y) \\ p \geq 7}} \frac{1}{p} \\ &< 1.38 + \sum_{\substack{p \mid z(x^m - y^m)/(x-y) \\ p \geq 7}} \frac{1}{p} \\ &\leq 1.38 + \sum_{7 \leq p \leq x^4} \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{\substack{p \mid z(x^m - y^m)/(x-y) \\ p > x^4}} \frac{1}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

By [26], for  $t \geq 286$ ,

$$\sum_{p \leq t} \frac{1}{p} < \log \log t + 0.2615 + \frac{1}{2 \log^2 t} < \log \log t + 0.2772.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{7 \leq p \leq x^4} \frac{1}{p} &< \log \log x^4 + 0.2772 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{5} \\ &< \log \log x + 0.6302. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \log x &< \log \log x + 1.38 + 0.6302 + \sum_{\substack{p|z(x^m-y^m)/(x-y) \\ p>x^4}} \frac{1}{p} \\ &< \log \log x + 2.011 + \sum_{\substack{p|z(x^m-y^m)/(x-y) \\ p>x^4}} \frac{1}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that, if  $p > x^4$ , then  $p \nmid x_1 y_1$ . Recall that  $\ell_p$  is the least positive integer  $\ell$  with  $p \mid x_1^\ell - y_1^\ell$ . If  $p > x^4$ , then  $\ell_p \geq 5$ . Otherwise,  $\ell_p \leq 4$  and  $p \leq x_1^{\ell_p} - y_1^{\ell_p} < x_1^4 \leq x^4$ , a contradiction. If  $p > x^4$ , then, by  $x = d_1 x_1$  and  $1 \leq z \leq x - y$ , we have  $p \nmid d_1 z$ . Hence, if  $p \mid z(x^m - y^m)/(x - y)$  and  $p > x^4$ , then  $p \mid x_1^m - y_1^m$ . It follows that  $\ell_p \mid m$ . Thus

$$\sum_{\substack{p|z(x^m-y^m)/(x-y) \\ p>x^4}} \frac{1}{p} = \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d \geq 5}} T_d,$$

where

$$T_d = \sum_{\substack{\ell_p=d \\ p>x^4}} \frac{1}{p}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{P}'_d = \{p : \ell_p = d, p > x^4\}.$$

Then

$$x^{4|\mathcal{P}'_d|} < \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}'_d} p \leq x_1^d - y_1^d < x^d.$$

It follows that

$$|\mathcal{P}'_d| < \frac{1}{4}d.$$

If  $d \leq x^2$ , then

$$T_d \leq \frac{|\mathcal{P}'_d|}{x^4} < \frac{d}{4x^4} \leq \frac{1}{4x^2}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{\substack{d|m \\ 5 \leq d \leq x^2}} T_d < x^2 \frac{1}{4x^2} = 0.25.$$

Now we estimate

$$\sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d > x^2}} T_d.$$

By Lemma 4.7, for  $d > x^2 > 80^2$ , we have

$$T_d \leq S_d < \frac{1}{4d} + \frac{1}{d \log(d+1)} + \frac{2 \log \log d}{\phi(d)} + \frac{2 \log \log x}{\phi(d) \log d}.$$

By Lemma 4.5,

$$\frac{d}{\phi(d)} \leq 1.79 \log \log d + \frac{2.5}{\log \log d}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} T_d &< \frac{1}{4d} + \frac{1}{d \log(d+1)} + \frac{3.58(\log \log d)^2}{d} \\ &\quad + \frac{3.58 \log \log d}{d \log d} \log \log x + \frac{5}{d} + \frac{5 \log \log x}{d(\log d)(\log \log d)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

For  $d > x^2$ , by (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_d &< \frac{1}{4x^2} + \frac{1}{x^2 \log(x^2+1)} + \frac{3.58(\log \log x^2)^2}{x^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{3.58 \log \log x^2}{x^2 \log x^2} \log \log x + \frac{5}{x^2} + \frac{5 \log \log x}{x^2(\log x^2)(\log \log x^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.4 and  $p(m) \leq x$ , we have  $d(m) < 2x$ . Hence

$$|\{d : d \mid m, d > x^2\}| < d(m) < 2x.$$

Hence, by  $x > 80$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d > x^2}} T_d &< \frac{1}{2x} + \frac{2}{x \log(x^2+1)} + \frac{7.16(\log \log x^2)^2}{x} \\ &\quad + \frac{7.16 \log \log x^2}{x \log x^2} \log \log x + \frac{10}{x} + \frac{10 \log \log x}{x(\log x^2)(\log \log x^2)} \\ &< 0.6. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\log x &< \log \log x + 2.011 + \sum_{\substack{p|z(x^m-y^m)/(x-y) \\ p>x^4}} \frac{1}{p} \\
&= \log \log x + 2.011 + \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d \geq 5}} T_d \\
&\leq \log \log x + 2.011 + \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ 5 \leq d \leq x^2}} T_d + \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d > x^2}} T_d \\
&\leq \log \log x + 2.011 + 0.25 + 0.6 \\
&= \log \log x + 2.861.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $x > 80$ , it follows that

$$\log x - \log \log x > \log 80 - \log \log 80 > 2.9,$$

a contradiction.

## 5.2 $p(m) > x$

In this subsection, we always assume that  $p(m) > x > 80$ . Since  $p(m)$  is a prime, it follows that  $p(m) \geq 83$ .

By Lemma 4.6, we have

$$x < \prod_{p|z(x^m-y^m)/(x-y)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right).$$

Similar to the arguments in the previous subsection, by  $1 \leq z \leq x - y < x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\log x &< 1.38 + \sum_{\substack{p|z(x^m-y^m)/(x-y) \\ p \geq 7}} \frac{1}{p} \\
&< 1.38 + \sum_{7 \leq p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{\substack{p|(x^m-y^m)/(x-y) \\ p > x}} \frac{1}{p}.
\end{aligned}$$

By [26], for  $t \geq 286$ ,

$$\sum_{p \leq t} \frac{1}{p} < \log \log t + 0.2615 + \frac{1}{2 \log^2 t} < \log \log t + 0.2772.$$

A simple calculation shows that, for  $80 \leq t \leq 286$ ,

$$\sum_{p \leq t} \frac{1}{p} < \log \log t + 0.2965.$$

It follows from  $x > 80$  that

$$\sum_{7 \leq p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} < \log \log x + 0.2965 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{5} < \log \log x - 0.7368.$$

It is clear that, if  $p > x$ , then  $p \nmid x_1 y_1$ , and by the definition of  $\ell_p$ , we have  $\ell_p > 1$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \log x &< 1.38 + \log \log x - 0.7368 + \sum_{\substack{p | (x^m - y^m)/(x-y) \\ p > x}} \frac{1}{p} \\ &= \log \log x + 0.6432 + \sum_{\substack{p | (x^m - y^m)/(x-y) \\ p > x}} \frac{1}{p} \\ &\leq \log \log x + 0.6432 + \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>1}} S_d, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S_d = \sum_{\ell_p=d} \frac{1}{p}.$$

For  $d \mid m$  and  $d > 1$ , we have  $d \geq p(m) > x > 80$ . By Lemma 4.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_d &< \frac{1}{4d} + \frac{1}{d \log(d+1)} + \frac{2 \log \log d}{\phi(d)} + \frac{2 \log \log x}{\phi(d) \log d} \\ &< \frac{1}{4d} + \frac{1}{d \log x} + \frac{2 \log \log d}{\phi(d)} + \frac{2 \log \log x}{\phi(d) \log x} \\ &< \frac{1}{4\phi(d)} + \frac{1}{\phi(d) \log 80} + \frac{2 \log \log d}{\phi(d)} + \frac{2 \log \log 80}{\phi(d) \log 80} \\ &< \frac{1.2}{\phi(d)} + \frac{2 \log \log d}{\phi(d)} \\ &< \frac{3 \log \log d}{\phi(d)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>1}} S_d < 3 \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>1}} \frac{\log \log d}{\phi(d)}.$$

By Lemma 4.4 and  $p(m) > x$ ,

$$|\mathcal{Q}_m| < \frac{\log(2p(m))}{\log 2} := g(m),$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}_m$  is the set of all prime divisors of  $m$ .

Since  $p(m) \geq 83$ , it follows from Lemma 4.8 that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>1}} \frac{\log \log d}{\phi(d)} &< \prod_{q \in \mathcal{Q}_m} \left( 1 + \frac{\log \log q}{\phi(q)} + \frac{\log \log q^2}{\phi(q^2)} + \dots \right) - 1 \\ &\leq \prod_{q \in \mathcal{Q}_m} \left( 1 + \frac{\log \log q}{\phi(q)} + \frac{(\log \log q)^2}{\phi(q^2)} + \dots \right) - 1 \\ &= \prod_{q \in \mathcal{Q}_m} \left( 1 + \frac{\log \log q}{q-1} \frac{1}{1 - (\log \log q)/q} \right) - 1 \\ &\leq \left( 1 + \frac{\log \log p(m)}{p(m)-1} \frac{1}{1 - (\log \log p(m))/p(m)} \right)^{g(m)} - 1 \\ &:= T - 1. \end{aligned}$$

By  $p(m) \geq 83$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \log T &= g(m) \log \left( 1 + \frac{\log \log p(m)}{p(m)-1} \frac{1}{1 - (\log \log p(m))/p(m)} \right) \\ &< g(m) \frac{\log \log p(m)}{p(m)-1} \frac{1}{1 - (\log \log p(m))/p(m)} \\ &= \frac{\log(2p(m))}{\log 2} \frac{\log \log p(m)}{p(m)-1} \frac{p(m)}{p(m) - \log \log p(m)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\log 2} \frac{\log(2p(m))}{\sqrt{p(m)}} \frac{\log \log p(m)}{\sqrt{p(m)}} \frac{p(m)}{p(m)-1} \frac{p(m)}{p(m) - \log \log p(m)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\log 2} \frac{\log 166}{\sqrt{83}} \frac{\log \log 83}{\sqrt{83}} \frac{83}{83-1} \frac{83}{83 - \log \log 83} \\ &< 0.137 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>1}} \frac{\log \log d}{\phi(d)} < e^{0.137} - 1 < 0.147.$$

By  $x > 80$  we have

$$\sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>1}} S_d < 3 \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>1}} \frac{\log \log d}{\phi(d)} < 3 \times 0.147 < 0.45.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \log x &< \log \log x + 0.6432 + \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>1}} S_d \\ &< \log \log x + 0.6432 + 0.45 \\ &< \log \log x + 1.1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x > 80$ , it follows that

$$\log x - \log \log x > \log 80 - \log \log 80 > 2.9,$$

a contradiction.

## 6 Proof of Theorem 2.2 for $x \leq 80$

In this section, we always assume that  $(x, y, z, m, n)$  is a nontrivial solution of the equation (2.1) in positive integers  $x, y, z, m, n$  with  $x \leq 80$ ,  $1 \leq z \leq x - y$  and  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . Then  $m > n \geq 1$ . Recall that

$$\gcd(x, y) = d_1, \quad x = x_1 d_1, \quad y = y_1 d_1.$$

Then  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  are both odd (see Section 4). For each prime  $p \geq 3$  with  $p \nmid x_1 y_1$ , let  $\ell_p$  be the least positive integer  $\ell$  such that  $p \mid x_1^\ell - y_1^\ell$ . Then  $p \mid x_1^m - y_1^m$  if and only if  $\ell_p \mid m$ . Let  $p(m)$  be the least prime divisor of  $m$ . Now (2.1) becomes

$$\phi \left( z d_1^{m-1} \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1} \right) = z d_1^{m-1} \frac{x_1^n - y_1^n}{x_1 - y_1}. \quad (6.1)$$

First, we give the following lemmas.

**Lemma 6.1.** *If  $q$  is a prime factor of  $m$ , then  $q \nmid x_1 - y_1$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $q$  is a prime with  $q \mid m$  and  $q \mid x_1 - y_1$ . We will derive a contradiction. By Lemma 4.1,  $q \geq 3$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} m &= q^\alpha m_1, \quad x_1 - y_1 = q^\beta w_0, \quad z = q^\mu z_1, \quad d_1 = q^\delta t_1, \\ \alpha, \beta, m_1, w_0, z_1, t_1 &\in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad q \nmid m_1 w_0 z_1 t_1, \quad \mu, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction on  $k$ ,

$$x_1^{q^k} = y_1^{q^k} + q^{k+\beta} w_k, \quad w_k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$



It follows that

$$x_1^{q^\alpha} - y_1^{q^\alpha} = q^{\alpha+\beta} w_\alpha, \quad w_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

So

$$q^\alpha \mid \frac{x_1^{q^\alpha} - y_1^{q^\alpha}}{x_1 - y_1}. \quad (6.2)$$

In view of  $q^\alpha \mid m$  and (6.2), we have

$$q^\alpha \mid \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1}.$$

By Carmichael's primitive divisor theorem (see [6]), the integer  $x_1^q - y_1^q$  has a primitive prime divisor  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ . Since  $q \mid m$ , it follows that

$$\frac{x_1^q - y_1^q}{x_1 - y_1} \mid \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1}.$$

So

$$p \mid \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1}.$$

Thus

$$q^\alpha p \mid \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1}.$$

So

$$q^{\alpha+\mu+\delta(m-1)} p \mid z d_1^{m-1} \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1}.$$

Hence

$$q^{\alpha+\mu+\delta(m-1)-1} (q-1)(p-1) \mid \phi \left( z d_1^{m-1} \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1} \right).$$

Since  $q \mid p-1$ , it follows that

$$q^{\alpha+\mu+\delta(m-1)} \mid \phi \left( z d_1^{m-1} \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1} \right). \quad (6.3)$$

By (6.1) and (6.3),

$$q^{\alpha+\mu+\delta(m-1)} \mid z d_1^{n-1} \frac{x_1^n - y_1^n}{x_1 - y_1}.$$

So

$$q^{\alpha+\mu+\delta(m-1)} \mid q^{\mu+\delta(n-1)} \frac{x_1^n - y_1^n}{x_1 - y_1}. \quad (6.4)$$

By  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , we have  $q \nmid n$ . It follows from  $x_1 - y_1 = q^\beta x_0$  ( $q \nmid x_0, \beta \geq 1$ ) that

$$q \nmid \frac{x_1^n - y_1^n}{x_1 - y_1}.$$

In view of (6.4),

$$\alpha + \mu + \delta(m - 1) \leq \mu + \delta(n - 1).$$

Noting that  $m > n$ , we have  $\alpha = 0$ , a contradiction with  $\alpha > 0$ . Therefore,  $q \nmid x_1 - y_1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.** *We have*

$$x_1 \frac{\phi(zd_1)}{z} < \prod_{\substack{\ell_p | m \\ \ell_p > 1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &< \prod_{p|z(x^m - y^m)/(x-y)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \\ &\leq \prod_{p|zd_1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \prod_{p|(x_1^m - y_1^m)/(x_1 - y_1)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \\ &= \frac{zd_1}{\phi(zd_1)} \prod_{p|(x_1^m - y_1^m)/(x_1 - y_1)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from  $x = d_1x_1$  that

$$x_1 \frac{\phi(zd_1)}{z} < \prod_{p|(x_1^m - y_1^m)/(x_1 - y_1)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right). \quad (6.5)$$

Now we prove that, if

$$p \mid \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1}, \quad (6.6)$$

then  $\ell_p \mid m$  and  $\ell_p > 1$ .

Suppose that (6.6) holds. Then  $p \mid x_1^m - y_1^m$ . Noting that  $\gcd(x_1, y_1) = 1$ , we have  $p \nmid x_1y_1$ . By the properties of  $\ell_p$  and  $p \mid x_1^m - y_1^m$ , we have  $\ell_p \mid m$ . Now we prove  $\ell_p > 1$  by a contradiction. Suppose that  $\ell_p = 1$ . Then  $p \mid x_1 - y_1$ . So

$$\frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1} = x_1^{m-1} + x_1^{m-2}y_1 + \cdots + x_1y_1^{m-2} + y_1^{m-1} \equiv my_1^{m-1} \pmod{p}.$$

It follows from (6.6) that  $p \mid m$ . This contradicts Lemma 6.1 since  $p \mid m$  and  $p \nmid x_1 - y_1$ .

Now we have proved that, if (6.6) holds, then  $\ell_p$  exists,  $\ell_p \mid m$  and  $\ell_p > 1$ . Lemma 6.2 follows from (6.5).  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3.** *If  $x \leq 80$  and  $d$  is a divisor of  $m$  with  $d \geq 173$ , then*

$$\log \prod_{\ell_p=d} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) < \frac{3.3 \log \log d}{\phi(d)}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $p$  is a prime with  $\ell_p = d$ . By the definition of  $\ell_p$ , we have  $p \nmid x_1 y_1$ . By Fermat's theorem,  $p \mid x_1^{p-1} - y_1^{p-1}$ . It follows that  $d \mid p-1$  when  $\ell_p = d$ . So  $p \geq d+1$  when  $\ell_p = d$ . It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \prod_{\ell_p=d} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) &= \sum_{\ell_p=d} \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \\ &< \sum_{\ell_p=d} \frac{1}{p-1} \\ &= \sum_{\ell_p=d} \frac{1}{p(p-1)} + \sum_{\ell_p=d} \frac{1}{p} \\ &< \sum_{n=d+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} + \sum_{\ell_p=d} \frac{1}{p} \\ &= \frac{1}{d} + S_d, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S_d = \sum_{\ell_p=d} \frac{1}{p}.$$

Since  $d \geq 173$  and  $x \geq x_1 \geq 3$ , it follows from Lemma 4.7 that

$$S_d < \frac{1}{4d} + \frac{1}{d \log(d+1)} + \frac{2 \log \log d}{\phi(d)} + \frac{2 \log \log x}{\phi(d) \log d}.$$

Noting that  $d \geq 173$  and  $x \leq 80$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \prod_{\ell_p=d} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) &\leq \frac{5}{4d} + \frac{1}{d \log(d+1)} + \frac{2 \log \log d}{\phi(d)} + \frac{2 \log \log x}{\phi(d) \log d} \\ &\leq \frac{5}{4\phi(d)} + \frac{1}{\phi(d) \log 174} + \frac{2 \log \log d}{\phi(d)} + \frac{2 \log \log 80}{\phi(d) \log 173} \\ &< \frac{2.1}{\phi(d)} + \frac{2 \log \log d}{\phi(d)} \\ &< \frac{3.3 \log \log d}{\phi(d)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 6.3. □

**Lemma 6.4.** *If  $x \leq 80$  and  $d$  is a divisor of  $m$  with  $p(d) \geq 173$ , where  $p(d)$  is the least prime divisor of  $d$ , then*

$$\log \prod_{\ell_p=d} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) < 0.032.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$d = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t,$$

where  $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_t$  are primes. It is easy to see that

$$\phi(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t) \geq (p_1 - 1) \cdots (p_t - 1). \quad (6.7)$$

By Lemma 4.8 and  $p(d) \geq 173$ ,

$$\log \log d = \log \log(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t) \leq (\log \log p_1) \cdots (\log \log p_t). \quad (6.8)$$

In view of Lemma 6.3, (6.7) and (6.8),

$$\begin{aligned} \log \prod_{\ell_p=d} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) &< \frac{3.3 \log \log d}{\phi(d)} \\ &\leq 3.3 \frac{\log \log p_1}{p_1 - 1} \cdots \frac{\log \log p_t}{p_t - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p_i \geq p(d) \geq 173$  ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ), it follows that

$$\frac{\log \log p_i}{p_i - 1} \leq \frac{\log \log 173}{172} < 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t.$$

Therefore,

$$\log \prod_{\ell_p=d} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) < 3.3 \frac{\log \log p_1}{p_1 - 1} \leq 3.3 \frac{\log \log 173}{172} < 0.032.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 6.4.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.5.** *If  $q$  is a prime factor of  $m$  with  $q < 173$ , then  $q^6 \nmid x_1^{q-1} - y_1^{q-1}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.1,  $q \nmid x_1 - y_1$ . Since  $x \leq 80$ , it follows that  $x_1 \leq 80$ . By Lemma 4.1,  $q \geq 3$ . A simple calculation by a computer shows that, for any integers  $1 \leq y_1 < x_1 \leq 80$ , there are no odd primes  $p < 173$  such that

$$p \nmid x_1 - y_1, \quad p^6 \mid x_1^{p-1} - y_1^{p-1}.$$

Hence  $q^6 \nmid x_1^{q-1} - y_1^{q-1}$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 6.5.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.6.** *If  $q$  is a prime factor of  $m$  and  $k$  is a positive integer such that*

$$q^k \nmid x_1^{q-1} - y_1^{q-1},$$

*then there are at most  $k$  distinct primes  $p$  with  $\ell_p \mid m$  and  $q \mid \ell_p$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the lemma by a contradiction. Suppose that there are at least  $k+1$  primes  $p$  with  $\ell_p \mid m$  and  $q \mid \ell_p$ . Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{k+1}$  be  $k+1$  distinct primes with  $\ell_{p_i} \mid m$  and  $q \mid \ell_{p_i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k+1$ ). Then, for  $1 \leq i \leq k+1$ ,

$$p_i \mid \frac{x_1^{\ell_{p_i}} - y_1^{\ell_{p_i}}}{x_1 - y_1}$$

and

$$\frac{x_1^{\ell_{p_i}} - y_1^{\ell_{p_i}}}{x_1 - y_1} \mid \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1}.$$

It follows that

$$p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{k+1} \mid \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1}.$$

Let

$$z = q^\mu z_1, \quad d_1 = q^\delta t_1,$$

$$z_1, t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad q \nmid z_1 t_1, \quad \mu, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Then

$$q^{\mu+(m-1)\delta} p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{k+1} \mid z d_1^{m-1} \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1}.$$

It follows that

$$\phi(q^{\mu+(m-1)\delta})(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) \cdots (p_{k+1} - 1) \mid \phi\left(z d_1^{m-1} \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1}\right). \quad (6.9)$$

By the definition of  $\ell_p$ ,  $p \nmid x_1 y_1$ . Thus  $p_i \nmid x_1 y_1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k+1$ ). By Fermat's theorem,  $p_i \mid x_1^{p_i-1} - y_1^{p_i-1}$ . So  $\ell_{p_i} \mid p_i - 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k+1$ ). Since  $q \mid \ell_{p_i}$ , it follows that  $q \mid p_i - 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k+1$ ). By (6.9),

$$q^{\mu+(m-1)\delta+k} \mid \phi\left(z d_1^{m-1} \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1}\right).$$

It follows from (6.1) that

$$q^{\mu+(m-1)\delta+k} \mid z d_1^{m-1} \frac{x_1^m - y_1^m}{x_1 - y_1}.$$

So

$$q^{\mu+(m-1)\delta+k} \mid q^{\mu+(n-1)\delta} \frac{x_1^n - y_1^n}{x_1 - y_1}. \quad (6.10)$$

Noting that  $m > n$ , we have  $\mu + (m - 1)\delta \geq \mu + (n - 1)\delta$ . It follows from (6.10) that

$$q^k \mid \frac{x_1^n - y_1^n}{x_1 - y_1}.$$

So

$$q^k \mid x_1^n - y_1^n.$$

It follows from  $\gcd(x_1, y_1) = 1$  that  $q \nmid x_1 y_1$ . By Euler's theorem,

$$q^k \mid x_1^{q^{k-1}(q-1)} - y_1^{q^{k-1}(q-1)}.$$

It follows that

$$q^k \mid x_1^{(n, q^{k-1}(q-1))} - y_1^{(n, q^{k-1}(q-1))}. \quad (6.11)$$

Since  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$  and  $q \mid m$ , we have  $q \nmid n$ . By (6.11),

$$q^k \mid x_1^{(n, q-1)} - y_1^{(n, q-1)}.$$

Noting that  $(n, q - 1) \mid q - 1$ , we have

$$q^k \mid x_1^{q-1} - y_1^{q-1},$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof of Lemma 6.6.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.7.** *If  $a$  and  $b$  are two positive integers with  $b \geq 2$ , then*

$$\phi(ab) \geq \phi(2a).$$

*Proof.* If  $2 \mid a$ , then  $\phi(2a) = 2\phi(a)$ . If  $2 \nmid a$ , then  $\phi(2a) = \phi(a)$ . In any way, we have  $2\phi(a) \geq \phi(2a) \geq \phi(a)$ .

If  $p$  is an odd prime and  $p \nmid a$ , then

$$\phi(ap) = \phi(a)(p - 1) \geq 2\phi(a) \geq \phi(2a).$$

If  $p$  is an odd prime and  $p \mid a$ , then

$$\phi(ap) = p\phi(a) > 2\phi(a) \geq \phi(2a).$$

In any way, if  $p$  is a prime, then

$$\phi(ap) \geq \phi(2a) \geq \phi(a).$$

Let  $b = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t$ , where  $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_t$  are primes. Then

$$\phi(ab) = \phi(ap_1 p_2 \cdots p_t) \geq \phi(ap_1 p_2 \cdots p_{t-1}) \geq \cdots \geq \phi(ap_1) \geq \phi(2a).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 6.7.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.8.** *If  $m$  has a prime divisor  $q < 173$ , then  $d(m) \leq 12$ , where  $d(m)$  is defined as in Lemma 4.2.*

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 6.5,

$$q^6 \nmid x_1^{q-1} - y_1^{q-1}.$$

By Lemma 4.2,

$$q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)-1} \mid x_1^{q-1} - y_1^{q-1}, \quad (6.12)$$

where  $\alpha_q$  is defined as in Lemma 4.2. Hence

$$\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m) - 1 \leq 5.$$

That is,  $\alpha_q d(m) \leq 12$ . Therefore,  $d(m) \leq 12$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 6.8.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.9.** *If  $q$  is a prime factor of  $m$  with  $q < 173$ , then  $q^3 \nmid x_1^{q-1} - y_1^{q-1}$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the lemma by a contradiction. Suppose that

$$q^3 \mid x_1^{q-1} - y_1^{q-1}.$$

By Lemma 6.1,  $q \nmid x_1 - y_1$ . Hence

$$q \nmid x_1 - y_1, \quad q^3 \mid x_1^{q-1} - y_1^{q-1}. \quad (6.13)$$

It is clear that  $x_1 \leq x \leq 80$ . A simple calculation by a computer shows that,

- (i) for  $1 \leq y_1 < x_1 \leq 9$ , there is no prime  $\gamma < 173$  satisfying (6.13);
- (ii) for  $1 \leq y_1 < x_1$  and  $10 \leq x_1 \leq 80$ , there are at most two primes  $\gamma < 173$  satisfying (6.13).

Since  $q < 173$ , it follows from (i) and (ii) that  $10 \leq x_1 \leq 80$ .

In order to derive a contradiction, we divide all positive divisors of  $m$  into two classes:  $D_1$  is the set of all positive divisors of  $m$  which have at least one prime divisor  $< 173$  and

$$D_2 = \{d : d \mid m, d > 1, d \notin D_1\}.$$

By Lemma 6.2, we have

$$x_1 \frac{\phi(zd_1)}{z} < \prod_{\ell_p \in D_1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \prod_{\ell_p \in D_2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right).$$

By Lemma 4.1,  $2 \nmid m$ . It follows that, if  $\ell_p > 1$  and  $\ell_p \mid m$ , then, by  $\ell_p \mid p-1$ , we have  $p \geq 7$ . Let  $p_i$  be the  $i$ -th prime. If  $\ell_{p_i} > 1$  and  $\ell_{p_i} \mid m$ , then  $i \geq 4$ . By Lemmas 6.5 and 6.6, there are at most 6 primes  $p$  with  $\ell_p \mid m$  and  $q \mid \ell_p$ . So, for any given prime  $q < 173$ ,

$$|\{p : \ell_p \in D_1, q \mid \ell_p\}| \leq 6. \quad (6.14)$$

By (ii) and (6.14),

$$|\{p : \ell_p \in D_1\}| \leq 11.$$

It follows that

$$\prod_{\ell_p \in D_1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \leq \prod_{i=4}^{14} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_i-1}\right) < 2.$$

By  $q < 173$ , we have  $q \notin D_2$ . Noting that  $1 \notin D_2$ , by Lemma 6.8 we have  $|D_2| \leq d(m) - 2 \leq 10$ . By Lemma 6.4 and  $p(d) \geq 173$  for  $d \in D_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\ell_p \in D_2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) &= \prod_{d \in D_2} \exp \left( \log \prod_{\ell_p=d} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \right) \\ &\leq \prod_{d \in D_2} e^{0.032} \leq e^{10 \times 0.032} < 1.4. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$x_1 \frac{\phi(zd_1)}{z} < \prod_{\ell_p \in D_1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \prod_{\ell_p \in D_2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) < 2 \times 1.4 < 3.$$

It is clear that  $z \leq x - y < x \leq 80$ . Since  $10 \leq x_1 \leq 80$  and  $x_1$  is odd, it follows that  $x_1 \geq 11$ .



If  $d_1 \geq 2$ , then, by Lemma 6.7, we have  $\phi(zd_1) \geq \phi(2z)$ . A simple calculation gives that, for  $1 \leq z \leq 80$ ,

$$x_1 \frac{\phi(zd_1)}{z} \geq 11 \frac{\phi(2z)}{z} > 3,$$

a contradiction. Hence  $d_1 = 1$ . If  $x_1 \geq 13$ , then, by a simple calculation, for  $1 \leq z \leq 80$ ,

$$x_1 \frac{\phi(zd_1)}{z} \geq 13 \frac{\phi(z)}{z} > 3,$$

a contradiction. So  $x_1 = 11$ . Then  $x = 11$  and  $z \leq x - y \leq 10$ . A simple calculation gives that, for  $1 \leq z \leq 10$ ,

$$x_1 \frac{\phi(zd_1)}{z} = 11 \frac{\phi(z)}{z} > 3,$$

a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Lemma 6.9.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.10.** *We have  $p(m) \geq 173$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $p(m) < 173$ . We will derive a contradiction. Let  $q$  be a prime divisor of  $m$  with  $q < 173$ . By Lemma 6.9,

$$q^3 \nmid x_1^{q-1} - y_1^{q-1}.$$

By Lemma 4.2,

$$q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)-1} \mid x_1^{q-1} - y_1^{q-1}, \quad (6.15)$$

where  $\alpha_q$  and  $d(m)$  are defined as in Lemma 4.2. Hence

$$\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m) - 1 \leq 2.$$

That is,  $\alpha_q d(m) \leq 6$ . So  $m \in \{q, q^2, q\gamma, q\gamma^2\}$ .

We divide all positive divisors of  $m$  into two classes:  $D_1$  is the set of all positive divisors of  $m$  which have at least one prime divisor  $< 173$  and

$$D_2 = \{d : d \mid m, d > 1, d \notin D_1\}.$$

By Lemma 4.1,  $2 \nmid m$ . It follows that, if  $\ell_p > 1$  and  $\ell_p \mid m$ , then, by  $\ell_p \mid p - 1$ , we have  $p \geq 7$ . Let  $p_i$  be the  $i$ -th prime. If  $\ell_{p_i} > 1$  and  $\ell_{p_i} \mid m$ , then  $i \geq 4$ .

By Lemma 6.2, we have

$$x_1 \frac{\phi(zd_1)}{z} < \prod_{\ell_p \in D_1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \prod_{\ell_p \in D_2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right).$$

Since  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  are odd and  $x_1 > y_1 \geq 1$ , it follows that  $x_1 \geq 3$ . So  $x \geq 3$ .

We divide into two cases:

**Case 1:**  $x > 3$ . Then either  $x_1 \geq 5$  or  $d_1 \geq 2$ .

If  $d_1 \geq 2$ , then, by Lemma 6.7, we have  $\phi(zd_1) \geq \phi(2z)$ . It is clear that  $z \leq x - y < x \leq 80$ . A simple calculation shows that, for  $x_1 \geq 3$ ,  $1 \leq z \leq 80$  and  $d_1 \geq 2$ ,

$$x_1 \frac{\phi(zd_1)}{z} \geq 3 \frac{\phi(2z)}{z} > 1.59.$$

If  $d_1 = 1$  and  $x_1 = 5$ , then  $x = x_1 d_1 = 5$  and  $z \leq x - y \leq 4$ . It is easy to see that, for  $1 \leq z \leq 4$ ,

$$x_1 \frac{\phi(zd_1)}{z} = 5 \frac{\phi(z)}{z} > 1.59.$$

If  $d_1 = 1$  and  $x_1 \geq 7$ . A simple calculation shows that, for  $x_1 \geq 7$  and  $1 \leq z \leq 80$ ,

$$x_1 \frac{\phi(zd_1)}{z} \geq 7 \frac{\phi(z)}{z} > 1.59.$$

In any way, we have

$$x_1 \frac{\phi(zd_1)}{z} > 1.59.$$

Now we divide into two subcases:

**Subcase 1.1:** All prime factors of  $m$  are less than 173. Then,  $D_2 = \emptyset$  and  $D_1 \subseteq \{q, q^2, q\gamma, \gamma, \gamma^2, q\gamma^2\}$ . By Lemmas 6.6 and 6.9, there are at most 3 primes  $p$  with  $\ell_p \mid m$  and  $q \mid \ell_p$  and at most 3 primes  $p'$  with  $\ell_{p'} \mid m$  and  $\gamma \mid \ell_{p'}$ . It follows that

$$|\{p : \ell_p \in D_1\}| \leq 5.$$

So

$$\prod_{\ell_p \in D_1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \leq \prod_{i=4}^8 \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_i-1}\right) < 1.56.$$

Thus  $1.59 < 1.56$ , a contradiction.

**Subcase 1.2:** Only one of prime factors of  $m$  is less than 173. Then  $D_1 \subseteq \{q, q^2, q\gamma, q\gamma^2\}$  and  $D_2 \subseteq \{\gamma, \gamma^2\}$ . By Lemmas 6.6 and 6.9, there are at most 3 primes  $p$  with  $\ell_p \mid m$  and  $q \mid \ell_p$ . It follows that

$$|\{p : \ell_p \in D_1\}| \leq 3.$$

So

$$\prod_{\ell_p \in D_1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \leq \prod_{i=4}^6 \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_i-1}\right) < 1.4.$$

By Lemma 6.4 and  $p(d) \geq 173$  for  $d \in D_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\ell_p \in D_2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) &= \prod_{d \in D_2} \exp \left( \log \prod_{\ell_p=d} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \right) \\ &\leq \prod_{d \in D_2} e^{0.032} \leq e^{2 \times 0.032} < 1.1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $1.59 < 1.4 \times 1.1 = 1.54$ , a contradiction.

**Case 2:**  $x = 3$ . Then  $x_1 = 3$ ,  $y_1 = 1$ ,  $d_1 = 1$  and  $z \leq x - y \leq 2$ . By  $q \mid m$  and  $m$  being odd (Lemma 4.1), we have  $q \nmid z$ . By Lemma 4.2,

$$q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m)} \mid 3^{q-1} - 1, \quad (6.16)$$

where  $\alpha_q$  and  $d(m)$  are defined as in Lemma 4.2. A simple calculation shows that, there are no odd primes  $p < 173$  with

$$p^3 \mid 3^{p-1} - 1.$$

So  $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m) \leq 2$ . It follows that  $m \in \{q, q\gamma\}$ .

Now we divide into two subcases:

**Subcase 2.1:**  $m = q\gamma$ . Then  $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_q d(m) = 2$ . By (6.16),

$$q^2 \mid 3^{q-1} - 1.$$

Since  $q < 173$ , it follows from a simple calculation that  $q = 11$ . This implies that  $\gamma \geq 173$ , otherwise  $\gamma = 11$ , a contradiction. So  $D_1 = \{11, 11\gamma\}$  and  $D_2 = \{\gamma\}$ . Since  $3^{11} - 1 = 2 \times 23 \times 3851$ , it follows that

$$\{p : \ell_p = 11\} = \{23, 3851\}.$$

By Carmichael's primitive divisor theorem (see [6]), the integer  $3^{11\gamma} - 1$  has at least one primitive prime divisor  $p' \equiv 1 \pmod{11\gamma}$ . By the definition of  $\ell_{p'}$ , we have  $\ell_{p'} = 11\gamma$ . Hence

$$|\{p : \ell_p \in D_1\}| \geq 3.$$

Let  $p'_1, p'_2, p'_3$  be three distinct primes with  $\ell_{p'_i} \in D_1$ . Since

$$p'_i \mid \frac{3^{\ell_{p'_i}} - 1}{2}, \quad \frac{3^{\ell_{p'_i}} - 1}{2} \mid \frac{3^m - 1}{2},$$

it follows that

$$p'_1 p'_2 p'_3 \mid z \frac{3^m - 1}{2}.$$

So

$$(p'_1 - 1)(p'_2 - 1)(p'_3 - 1) \mid \phi\left(z \frac{3^m - 1}{2}\right).$$

Since  $11 \mid \ell_{p'_i}$  and  $\ell_{p'_i} \mid p'_i - 1$ , it follows that

$$11^3 \mid \phi\left(z \frac{3^m - 1}{2}\right).$$

In view of (2.1),

$$11^3 \mid z \frac{3^n - 1}{2}.$$

By  $z \leq 2$ ,  $11^3 \mid 3^n - 1$ . Noting that  $3^5 - 1 = 2 \times 11^2$ , we have  $11^2 \mid 3^{(5, n)} - 1$ . It follows that  $\gcd(5, n) = 5$ . Let  $n = 5n_1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 3^n - 1 &= 3^{5n_1} - 1 = (2 \times 11^2 + 1)^{n_1} - 1 \\ &= \binom{n_1}{1} 2 \times 11^2 + \binom{n_1}{2} (2 \times 11^2)^2 + \cdots + \binom{n_1}{n_1} (2 \times 11^2)^{n_1}. \end{aligned}$$

By  $11^3 \mid 3^n - 1$ , we have  $11 \mid n_1$  and then  $11 \mid n$ . Since  $11 = q \mid m$ , it contradicts  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

**Subcase 2.2:**  $m = q$ . Then  $D_1 = \{q\}$  and  $D_2 = \emptyset$ . By Lemmas 6.6 and 6.9,  $|\{p : \ell_p = q\}| \leq 3$ . Noting that  $x_1 = 3$  and  $d_1 = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 3 \frac{\phi(z)}{z} &< \prod_{\ell_p \in D_1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \prod_{\ell_p \in D_2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2q}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{4q}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{6q}\right) \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{6}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{12}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{18}\right) \\ &< 1.4. \end{aligned}$$

But, for  $z \in \{1, 2\}$ ,

$$3 \frac{\phi(z)}{z} \geq 1.5,$$

a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Lemma 6.10. □

Now we prove **Theorem 2.2** for  $x \leq 80$ .

By Lemma 6.10,  $p(m) \geq 173$ . It follows that  $p(m) > x$ . For  $d \mid m$  and  $d > 1$ , we have  $d \geq p(m) \geq 173$ .

In view of Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \left( x_1 \frac{\phi(zd_1)}{z} \right) &< \log \prod_{\substack{\ell_p > 1 \\ \ell_p \mid m}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p-1} \right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{d \mid m \\ d > 1}} \log \prod_{\ell_p = d} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p-1} \right) \\
&< 3.3 \sum_{\substack{d \mid m \\ d > 1}} \frac{\log \log d}{\phi(d)}. \tag{6.17}
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.4 and  $p(m) > x$ ,

$$|\mathcal{Q}_m| < \frac{\log(2p(m))}{\log 2} := g(m),$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}_m$  is the set of all prime divisors of  $m$ .

Since  $p(m) \geq 173$ , similar to the arguments in the previous section, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{d \mid m \\ d > 1}} \frac{\log \log d}{\phi(d)} &< \left( 1 + \frac{\log \log p(m)}{p(m) - 1} \frac{p(m)}{p(m) - \log \log p(m)} \right)^{g(m)} - 1 \\
&:= T - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

By  $p(m) \geq 173$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\log T &= g(m) \log \left( 1 + \frac{\log \log p(m)}{p(m) - 1} \frac{p(m)}{p(m) - \log \log p(m)} \right) \\
&< g(m) \frac{\log \log p(m)}{p(m) - 1} \frac{p(m)}{p(m) - \log \log p(m)} \\
&= \frac{\log(2p(m)) \log \log p(m)}{\log 2} \frac{p(m)}{p(m) - 1} \frac{p(m)}{p(m) - \log \log p(m)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\log 2} \frac{\log(2p(m)) \log \log p(m)}{\sqrt{p(m)}} \frac{p(m)}{\sqrt{p(m)}} \frac{p(m)}{p(m) - 1} \frac{p(m)}{p(m) - \log \log p(m)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\log 2} \frac{\log 346 \log \log 173}{\sqrt{173}} \frac{173}{\sqrt{173}} \frac{173}{172} \frac{173}{173 - \log \log 173} \\
&< 0.082.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>1}} \frac{\log \log d}{\phi(d)} < e^{0.082} - 1 < 0.086.$$

By (6.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left( x_1 \frac{\phi(zd_1)}{z} \right) &< 3.3 \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>1}} \frac{\log \log d}{\phi(d)} \\ &< 3.3 \times 0.086 \\ &< 0.3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$x_1 \frac{\phi(zd_1)}{z} < e^{0.3} < 1.35.$$

Since  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  are odd and  $x_1 > y_1 \geq 1$ , it follows that  $x_1 \geq 3$ .

If  $d_1 \geq 2$ , then, by Lemma 6.7, we have  $\phi(zd_1) \geq \phi(2z)$ . For  $x_1 \geq 3$ ,  $1 \leq z \leq 80$  and  $d_1 \geq 2$ , we have

$$x_1 \frac{\phi(zd_1)}{z} \geq 3 \frac{\phi(2z)}{z} > 1.4,$$

a contradiction. Hence  $d_1 = 1$ . If  $x_1 \geq 7$ , then, by  $1 \leq z \leq x - y \leq 80$ , we have

$$x_1 \frac{\phi(zd_1)}{z} \geq 7 \frac{\phi(z)}{z} > 1.4,$$

a contradiction. Hence  $x_1 < 7$ . Since  $x_1$  is odd and  $x_1 > 1$ , we have  $x_1 \in \{3, 5\}$ .

So  $x \leq 5$  and  $z \leq x - y \leq 4$ . It is easy to see that, for  $1 \leq z \leq 4$ ,

$$x_1 \frac{\phi(zd_1)}{z} \geq 3 \frac{\phi(z)}{z} > 1.4,$$

a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No. 11371195 and a project funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

## References

- [1] W. R. Alford, A. Granville, and C. Pomerance, *There are infinitely many Carmichael numbers*, Ann. of Math. (2) 139 (1994), 703–722.
- [2] D. Ballew, J. Case, and R. N. Higgins, *Table of  $\phi(n) = \phi(n + 1)$* , Math. Comp. 29 (1975), 329–330.
- [3] William D. Banks, John B. Friedlander, F. Luca, F. Pappalardi, and Igor E. Shparlinski, *Coincidences in the values of the Euler and Carmichael functions*, Acta Arith. 122 (2006), 207–234.
- [4] P. T. Bateman, *The distribution of values of the Euler function*, Acta Arith. 21(1972), 329–345.
- [5] R. D. Carmichael, *Note on Euler’s  $\phi$ -function*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (1922), 109–110.
- [6] R. D. Carmichael, *On the numerical factors of the arithmetic forms  $\alpha^n \pm \beta^n$* , Ann. of Math. (2) 15 (1913/14), 30–70.
- [7] S. Contini, E. Croot, and Igor E. Shparlinski, *Complexity of inverting the Euler function*, Math. Comp. 75 (2006), 983–996.
- [8] P. Erdős, *Some remarks on Euler’s  $\phi$ -function and some related problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1945), 540–545.
- [9] P. Erdős, *Some remarks on Euler’s  $\phi$  function*, Acta Arith. 4 (1958), 10–19.
- [10] P. Erdős and R. R. Hall, *On the values of Euler’s  $\phi$ -function*, Acta Arith. 22 (1973), 201–206.
- [11] P. Erdős and R. R. Hall, *Distinct values of Euler’s  $\phi$ -function*, Mathematika 23 (1976), 1-3.
- [12] P. Erdős and R. R. Hall, *Euler’s  $\phi$ -function and its iterates*, Mathematika 24 (1977), 173–177.
- [13] K. Ford, *The number of solutions of  $\phi(x) = m$* , Ann. of Math. 150 (1999), 283–311.

- [14] N. G. Guderson, *Some theorems of Euler  $\phi$ -function*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 49 (1943), 278–280
- [15] R. K. Guy, *Unsolved problems in number theory*, Third Ed., Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- [16] J. J. Holt, *The minimal number of solutions to  $\phi(n) = \phi(n + k)$* , Math. Comp. 72 (2003), 2059–2061.
- [17] M. Lal and P. Gillard, *On the equation  $\phi(n) = \phi(n + k)$* , Math. Comp. 26 (1972), 579–583.
- [18] D. H. Lehmer, *On Euler's totient function*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (1932), 745–751.
- [19] F. Luca, *Problem 10626*, Amer. Math. Monthly **104** (1997), 871.
- [20] F. Luca, *On the Euler function of repdigits*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **58** (2008), 51–59.
- [21] B. Faye and F. Luca, *On the equation  $\phi(X^m - 1) = X^n - 1$* , Int. J. Number Theory **11** (2015), 1691–1700.
- [22] B. Faye, F. Luca and A. Tall, *On the equation  $\phi(5^m - 1) = 5^n - 1$* , Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **52** (2015), 513–524.
- [23] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, *The large sieve*, Mathematika 20 (1973), 119–134.
- [24] C. Pomerance, *Popular values of Euler's function*, Mathematika 27 (1980), 84–89
- [25] A. Rotkiewicz, *On the numbers  $\phi(a^n \pm b^n)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12(1961), 419–421.
- [26] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, *Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers*, Illinois J. Math. **6** (1962), 64–94.
- [27] A. Schinzel, *Sur l'équation  $\phi(x + k) = \phi(x)$* , Acta Arith. 4 (1958), 181–184.