

Geometry of wave propagation on active deformable surfaces

Pearson W. Miller, Norbert Stoop, and Jörn Dunkel
*Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology,
 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307, USA*
 (Dated: March 7, 2022)

Fundamental biological and biomimetic processes, from tissue morphogenesis to soft robotics, rely on the propagation of chemical and mechanical surface waves to signal and coordinate active force generation. The complex interplay between surface geometry and contraction wave dynamics, which is thought to be crucial for oogenesis, remains poorly understood. Here, we couple a dispersive wave model via local stress generation to non-Euclidean shell mechanics to identify and characterize generic features of chemo-mechanical wave propagation on active deformable surfaces. Our theoretical framework is validated against recent data from contractile wave measurements on ascidian and starfish oocytes, producing good quantitative agreement in both cases. The theory is then applied to illustrate how geometry and preexisting discrete symmetries can be utilized to focus active elastic surface waves. Generalizing to the targeted design of active morphable materials, we conclude by demonstrating that a controlled cascade of spontaneous transitions between discrete symmetries can be induced on both the shell and the traveling wave through the careful tuning of material properties, such as thickness or the coupling between the chemical wave concentration and intrinsic curvature. Altogether, our results show how geometry, elasticity and chemical signaling can be harnessed to construct dynamically adaptable, autonomous mechanical surface wave guides.

Wave propagation in complex geometries has been studied for centuries [1] in fields as diverse as optics [2], hydrodynamics [3] or gravitation [4]. The motion of a wave can be manipulated by precisely tuning the geometrical properties of its medium, an effect exploited by novel optical [5] and acoustic [6, 7] metamaterials with versatile refractive properties. Biology offers a new facet to the problem: a wide variety of cells exhibit membrane-bound chemical processes which, by coupling with local motor proteins and other active molecules, can locally contract, shear or otherwise deform the cortex and cell membrane [8–10]. In these systems, a traveling chemical wave front will significantly deform local geometry. Such deformation has been shown to exert a strong feedback on the propagation of the wave, both in theory and *in vivo* [11, 12]. Broadening our understanding of chemo-mechanical wave propagation has potential to reveal new strategies used by cells to spatially organize chemical gradients. Such understanding is also relevant to the development of smart materials and soft robotics [13, 14], particularly in relation to recent advances in producing targeted buckling patterns via self-stress [15].

Here, we connect the biology-inspired study of contractile waves with theoretical material science investigations into geometry-based wave guidance. We demonstrate the conditions under which coupling between wave propagation and surface geometry induces novel spontaneous symmetry-breaking in both the surface as well as the traveling wave front. Our results are based on a generic model of a diffusive chemical wavefront coupled to a deformable elastic surface which is shown to closely replicate the behavior of contractile chemical waves observed in nature [16–18]. By tuning the mechanical properties of a deformable surface, it is possible to directly control this symmetry breaking.

Our model contains two ingredients: An elastic shell that is described by the geometry and displacement of a two-dimensional (2D) surface ω , and a scalar concentration field c on ω equipped with wave-like dynamics. To capture the mechanics of the elastic shell, we use the well-established Koiter shell model [19], in which stresses within the shell are integrated along the thickness direction. Assuming a small thickness h , the shell’s mechanical configuration is then entirely described by the geometry of its middle-surface ω . Keeping with this, we use $h/R = 0.05$ unless stated elsewhere. Equilibrium configurations correspond to minima of the elastic energy $\mathcal{E}_{KS} = \mathcal{E}_S + \mathcal{E}_B$ with stretching and bending contributions

$$\mathcal{E}_S = \frac{Yh}{8(1-\nu^2)} \int_{\bar{\omega}} d\omega \{ (1-\nu) \text{Tr}[(\mathbf{a} - \bar{\mathbf{a}})^2] + \nu [\text{Tr}(\mathbf{a} - \bar{\mathbf{a}})]^2 \}, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_B = \frac{Yh^3}{24(1-\nu^2)} \int_{\bar{\omega}} d\omega \{ (1-\nu) \text{Tr}[(\mathbf{b} - \bar{\mathbf{b}})^2] + \nu [\text{Tr}(\mathbf{b} - \bar{\mathbf{b}})]^2 \}. \quad (2)$$

Here, ω denotes the deformed shell geometry, characterized by the metric $\mathbf{a} = (a_{\alpha\beta})$ and curvature tensor $\mathbf{b} = (b_{\alpha\beta})$, with Greek indices henceforth running from 1 to 2. $d\omega$ is the surface area element, Y the shell’s Young’s modulus, and ν the Poisson ratio (set at $\nu = 0.33$ throughout). Barred quantities $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{b}}$ are metric and curvature tensors of the reference shell geometry $\bar{\omega}$. The shell has minimal energy if its deformed surface ω coincides $\bar{\omega}$. Conventionally, $\bar{\omega}$ is thus identified with the undeformed, stress-free configuration of the shell. Active, stimulus-driven stresses can however be included in this framework by allowing local modifications of this reference configuration [20, 21]. The surface $\bar{\omega}$ then generally becomes non-Euclidean [22, 23]. Specifically, we




FIG. 1. Traveling wave model reproduces *in vivo* mechanics of embryonic surface contraction waves. (a) For $A_C = 1.5$ and $A_I = 1.6$, the contraction wave model reproduces sperm-triggered ascidian embryo shape dynamics. Top: Microscopy images of the deformed oocytes (adapted with permission from Ref. [16]), overlaid with cross-sections of our simulation. Bottom: Corresponding 3D surfaces indicating the concentration field. Scale bar $50 \mu\text{m}$. See Movie 1 for full motion. (b) Simulation with $A_C = A_I = 0.5$ reproduces the surface contraction wave in starfish oocytes during anaphase in meiosis I. Experimental images adapted with permission from [18]. Scale bar $30 \mu\text{m}$. See Movie 2 for full motion. (c) Kymographs depicting the local radius of mean curvature for the experimental (top) and simulation (bottom) cases. Regions of maximal curvature indicate the center of the wave and travel at a constant speed. $\mu_V = 1.5 \times 10^{-4}Y$.

consider here a concentration-dependent modification of metric and curvature tensor of the form

$$\bar{\mathbf{a}} \rightarrow \exp(-A_C c) \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \quad \bar{\mathbf{b}} \rightarrow (1 - A_I c) \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \quad (3)$$

where coefficients A_C and A_I have the units of inverse concentration. The exponential dependence of $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$ is chosen to ensure positive definiteness of the reference metric for all values of c . Consequently, $1/A_C$ is the characteristic concentration scale associated with the decay of the reference metric to its minimal value of zero. Since no such constraint is necessary for the curvature tensor, we choose a linear coupling. Thus, $1/A_I$ is the concentration needed to achieve a locally flat reference surface.

It remains to define the dynamics of the concentration field c . In biological systems, chemical waves often feature highly idiosyncratic behavior and strong dependence on parameter choices [8]. Despite these specific and unique aspects, we expect that generic features hold in many such systems, and thus assume c to be governed by the telegraphic equation

$$c_{tt} + \alpha c_t = \gamma^2 \nabla^2 c. \quad (4)$$

Equation (4) combines wave-like and diffusive behavior [24–26]. Specifically, parameters α and γ determine the degree of diffusivity and the wave speed, respectively. Equation (4) is implicitly coupled to the surface ω via the geometry-dependent Laplace-Beltrami operator $\nabla^2 c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|a|}} \partial_\alpha (a^{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{|a|} \partial_\beta c)$. In the following, we choose γ such that the time scale associated with the wave propagation, $\tau_w = L/\gamma$, is much greater than the elastic equilibration time scale $\tau_m = \sqrt{L^2 \rho / \mathcal{E}_{KS}}$, with ρ the material density. Separating the time scales allows for the following numerical time-stepping strategy:

We discretize the deformed surface ω as well as the concentration field c by C^1 -continuous subdivision finite elements [27]. Further, throughout we consider the weak dissipation regime $\alpha = 0.001 \ll \tau_w^{-1}$, to ensure that the wavefront remains coherent over observed timescales.

For solutions of Eq. (4), total concentration integrated over the surface does not need to be conserved. Integrating (4) over a smooth simply-connected closed surface gives $\dot{\bar{c}} + \alpha \bar{c} = 0$ for the total mass $\bar{c}(t)$. Thus, we can choose initial conditions in which the total integral is uniformly increasing; this flexibility is essential for closely approximating experimentally measured chemical waves (Fig. 1). Unless stated otherwise, we use a narrow 2D Gaussian as our initial condition, given as $c(\phi, t = 0) = C_0 \exp[-\phi^2/(2\sigma^2)]$ and $\partial_t c(\phi, t = 0) = C_0 \phi \exp[-\phi^2/(2\sigma^2)]$, where ϕ is the azimuthal angle, with the corresponding initial condition for the first derivative $\partial_t c(t = 0) = -\gamma \partial_\phi c(t = 0)$. These initial conditions were found to produce radially symmetric waves which maintained $c > 0$ everywhere as the wave expanded and then converged at the opposite pole (Movies 1, 2). Note that without loss of generality, we set the amplitude $C_0 = 1$, corresponding to a trivial rescaling of c in Eq. (4) and the coupling parameters A_I, A_C . Given an initial concentration field and shell geometry, we integrate Eq. (4) using Verlet time-stepping and update the reference surface via Eq. (3). The shell configuration ω is then updated assuming overdamped dynamics, with forces calculated from the gradient of the shell energy, Eqs. (1) and (2)[21, 28]. Due to the separation of wave and mechanical time scales, this approach ensures that the elastic shell is very close to mechanical equilibrium at all times.

The model defined by Eqs. (2)–(3) is generic and as such broadly applicable. We confirm its validity by com-




FIG. 2. For weak chemo-mechanical coupling, point wave propagation reflects the discrete symmetries of the elastic media. (a) Weakly coupled ($A_I = 0.3$, $A_C = 1$, side-length $L = 20h$) waves propagating from a point on various geometries. On discrete surfaces, such as the cube and triangular prism shown here, self-interference increases concentration of the wavefront near edges and vertices and breaks the initial radial symmetry of the wave; see Movie 3. (b) Unfolded representations of the two triangular prism cases shown in (a). Through choice of starting point $*$, the wave front can be guided to exhibit a 3-fold or 5-fold symmetry on the opposing face. Unique faces and vertices are color coded for clarity.

paring with experimental measurements of surface contraction waves *in vivo*. Among the best studied biological examples are single-cell contraction waves during oogenesis. Observed in a wide range of animal species, they occur shortly before the first cell division, when a contractile wave travels from the vegetal to the animal pole of the embryonic cell. Contraction is here driven by the localization and activation of myosin motors in the actin cortex. The dominant effect of the actin network contraction can be captured by a modification of the reference metric $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$ alone [29]. Important model systems for the study of this process are oocytes from the starfish *Patiria miniata* and the ascidian *Ascidella aspersa* [16, 18, 30] (Fig. 1a,b, top row). Since the cell membrane is essentially impermeable over the wave propagation time scales, we assume that the enclosed fluid volume remains constant during the wave-induced contraction. To match our model with experiments, we thus augment Eqs. (1) and (2) with an additional term enforcing internal volume conservation with a Lagrange multiplier $\mu_V = 1.5 \times 10^{-4}Y$. Starting from a spherical oocyte shell and the initial concentration profile defined above, we tune our coupling parameters to produce local contraction and curvature of the magnitudes observed experimentally. The narrow Gaussian profile we use approximates the point-like initial conditions observed experimentally, with the thickness σ chosen to match the wave thickness seen in experiment. Overlaying cross sections from the elastic shell with microscopy images, we find excellent agreement between model and experiment (Fig. 1a,b). We note that the wave front remains rotationally symmetric during the entire process. To gain more insights into the dynam-

ics, we construct kymographs depicting the spatial dynamics of curvature along cross section profiles (Fig. 1c). In both, simulations and experiments, the wave speed is roughly constant away from the poles (constant slopes in Fig. 1c), suggesting only a small influence of the metric contraction on the wave propagation dynamics. Near the origin of the wave, contraction is largely in-plane, effectively pulling the medium in the opposite direction of the wave propagation, while the wave maintains a constant velocity relative to local points on the surface, in the lab frame this distortion slows its progression.

Having validated the theoretical model on spherical shells, we study implications for wave propagation in more complex archetypal geometries. Focusing on weak coupling ($A_I = 0.3$, $A_C = 1$, $\mu_V = 0$), we simulate contraction waves on cuboid and prismatic shells keeping the same initial conditions as above, but varying the location of wave origin. We find that waves start propagating radially outward but quickly disperse due to the broken rotational symmetry of the underlying geometry. Nonetheless, they converge again at later times, on the side of the surface opposite to the starting position. When they converge, they carry an imprint of the geometry of the underlying surface (Fig. 2a). Since contraction is weak, this symmetry-breaking can be understood as follows: In the coupling-free limit $A_C \sim A_I \rightarrow 0$, equal-time points in the wave obey the Eikonal equation $|\nabla T| = \gamma^{-1}$, where γ is the wave speed above, and $T(\mathbf{r})$ is the time required for the wave front to reach geodesic distance \mathbf{r} from the starting point at $t = 0$ [31]. For faceted surfaces, the Eikonal equation with point-like initial conditions can be solved graphically by unwrapping the faceted surface.




FIG. 3. Dynamical and steady-state symmetry breaking induced by chemical wave propagation with strong chemo-mechanical coupling in the absence of a volume constraint, $\mu_V = 0$. (a) Starting from a Gaussian initial profile, a wave front traveling on a sphere of radius $R/h = 20$ with $A_I = 11$ triggers a dynamical symmetry breaking cascade (Movie 4). Each column represents the wave and surface at a different time t with top rows colored according to the local wave concentration and bottom rows depicting the local bending energy density \mathcal{E}_B , which concentrates at vertices. (b) A steady state exhibiting a single mode for the duration of the wave pulse can be produced by selecting a non-Gaussian initial condition (Movie 5). By alternating the thickness and inversion coefficient, we produce several different discrete symmetries based on the same initial condition of a point wave on a deformable sphere. Contraction coefficient $A_C = 0$ throughout. Color indicates normalized concentration.

For the prism surface and a wave emanating from the triangle face center (Fig. 2a, column 3), we unfold the prism around the opposite triangular face (yellow face in Fig. 2b, top) and periodically extend the unwrapped facets. Solutions of the Eikonal equation are then given as a superposition of circles centered in the origin of the wave (Fig. 2b, top). Comparing with simulations, we find a qualitative agreement of the incident wave patterns also for waves originating from an edge on the prism (Fig. 2a, column 4; Fig. 2b, bottom), suggesting that basic geometry provides means to guide and shape surface waves.

Conversely, for strong coupling, we expect that the wave may alter the shape and symmetries of the underlying surface significantly as it propagates. To demonstrate this effect, we consider a wave moving on a spherical shell with large values of A_I , such that the inverted radius of curvature is much smaller than the natural radius. For this choice of coupling parameters, regions with $c \sim 1$ impose an inversion of the local preferred curvature. Since it is impossible for the shell to adopt this preferred value everywhere, we expect buckling instabilities at least within the region of large concentration c , a common phenomenon in elastic shells subject to incompatible reference configurations [32]. Indeed, we observe a cascade of buckling transitions with increasing n -fold symmetries as the wave propagates (Fig. 3a). The symmetry breaking bears a strong resemblance to the n -fold symmetry-breaking which arises during the indentation of a spherical shell by an increasing external load [33]. The selection of the buckling mode results from a balance of bending and stretching energy: the more costly bending is, the fewer vertices are allowed. As such, the symmetry number n can be tuned by altering either the shell thickness h or the coupling coefficient A_I (Fig. 3b).

To understand the transition between buckling modes, we note that for the above initial conditions, the intensity of the wave front will decrease as it expands over a larger surface as it travels from the pole towards the equator. Consequently, the local curvature inversion and thus the bending energy in the shell is reduced. Since the symmetry number n decreases with a decrease of bending energy, the shell passes through a series of increasing buckling modes, reaching a maximum value of n when the wave front reaches the equator. As the wave continues, it converges again towards the other side of the sphere, reverting the cascade (Movie 4).

To impose a specific symmetry, the shell has to remain fixed in a single imposed shape for an extended amount of time. This can be achieved by tuning initial conditions. Specifically, we let $c(\phi, t = 0) = [1 + \exp(-\sigma k)] / [1 + \exp(k|z| - \sigma)]$ and $\partial_t c(\phi, t = 0) = -\exp(k|z|) [1 + \exp(k\sigma)] kz / (|z| [\exp(k\sigma) + \exp(k|z|)]) [\exp(k\sigma) + \exp(k|z|)]$, where z is the vertical distance from the point of origin of the wave. Such a wave is a faithful representative of traveling wave fronts in reaction-diffusion systems which often maintain a constant peak concentration. As anticipated, these initial conditions support only a single symmetry mode for the duration of the wave's travel (Movie 5). As demonstrated in Fig. 2, these shapes are sufficient to guide incoming waves; for instance, a primary wave might be employed to shape a surface to a desired symmetry, allow for properly-timed secondary waves to be dynamically guided.

To conclude, we have shown that a generic minimal model coupling dispersive chemical wave propagation with surface elasticity can reproduce quantitatively the experimentally observed surface deformation in ascidian and starfish oocytes (Fig. 1; Movies 1, 2). It was further

demonstrated that waves confined to 3D embedded surfaces are highly susceptible to the underlying geometry of their surface (Fig. 2; Movie 3). Using a novel buckling cascade, mechanically coupled waves can impose a desired symmetry upon an elastic shell (Fig. 3; Movies 4, 5), which enables the guidance of secondary chemical waves fronts traveling along that surface. With regard to future biomimetic applications, the symmetry breaking demonstrated in Fig. 3 and Movies 4, 5 might be experimentally implemented through the use of BZ driven hydrogels [34, 35] or polymer films [36]. Meanwhile, the results of Fig. 2 could be experimentally confirmed by oocytes or reconstituted actin cortices confined in PDMS shaped cavities [18, 37, 38].

This work was supported by an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellowship (J.D.), an Edmund F. Kelly Research Award (J.D.), and a Complex Systems Scholar Award of the James S. McDonnell Foundation (J.D.).

-
- [1] C. Huygens, *Traité de la lumière* (chez Pierre Van der Aa, marchand libraire, 1885).
- [2] D. Solli, C. Ropers, P. Koonath, and B. Jalali, *Nature* **450**, 1054 (2007).
- [3] J. W. M. Bush, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **47**, 269 (2015).
- [4] B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. Abbott, M. Abernathy, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, C. Adams, T. Adams, P. Addesso, R. Adhikari, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 061102 (2016).
- [5] J. B. Pendry, D. Schurig, and D. R. Smith, *Science* **312**, 1780 (2006).
- [6] Y. Wu, Y. Lai, and Z.-Q. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 105506 (2011).
- [7] P. Wang, L. Lu, and K. Bertoldi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 104302 (2015).
- [8] C. Beta and K. Kruse, *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **8**, 239 (2017).
- [9] J. Allard and A. Mogilner, *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **25**, 107 (2013).
- [10] G. Salbreux and F. Jülicher, *Phys. Rev. E* **96**, 032404 (2017).
- [11] N. S. Gov and A. Gopinathan, *Biophys. J.* **90**, 454 (2006).
- [12] C.-H. Chen, F.-C. Tsai, C.-C. Wang, and C.-H. Lee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 238101 (2009).
- [13] N. Hu and R. Burgueño, *Smart Mater. Struct.* **24**, 063001 (2015).
- [14] M. Wehner, R. L. Truby, D. J. Fitzgerald, B. Mosadegh, G. M. Whitesides, J. A. Lewis, and R. J. Wood, *Nature* **536**, 451 (2016).
- [15] J. Paulose, A. S. Meeussen, and V. Vitelli, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **112**, 7639 (2015).
- [16] M. Carroll, M. Levasseur, C. Wood, M. Whitaker, K. T. Jones, and A. McDougall, *J. Cell Sci.* **116**, 4997 (2003).
- [17] J. Bischof, C. A. Brand, K. Somogyi, I. Májer, S. Thome, M. Mori, U. S. Schwarz, and P. Lénárt, *Nat. Commun.* **8**, DOI: 10.1038/s41467 (2017).
- [18] J. Bischof, *The molecular mechanism of surface contraction waves in the starfish oocyte*, Ph.D. thesis, Universität Heidelberg (2016).
- [19] P. G. Ciarlet, *An introduction to differential geometry with applications to elasticity* (Springer, Netherlands, 2005).
- [20] M. Pezzulla, N. Stoop, X. Jiang, and D. P. Holmes, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **473** (2017), 10.1098/rspa.2017.0087.
- [21] N. C. Heer, P. W. Miller, S. Chanet, N. Stoop, J. Dunkel, and A. C. Martin, *Development* **144**, 146761 (2017).
- [22] Y. Klein, E. Efrati, and E. Sharon, *Science* **315**, 1116 (2007).
- [23] E. Efrati, E. Sharon, and R. Kupferman, *J. Mech. Phys. Solids* **57**, 762 (2009).
- [24] M. Kac, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **4**, 497 (1974).
- [25] W. Thomson, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **7**, 382 (1854).
- [26] J. Masoliver and G. H. Weiss, *Eur. J. Phys.* **17**, 190 (1996).
- [27] F. Cirak, M. Ortiz, and P. Schroder, *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Eng.* **47**, 2039 (2000).
- [28] N. Stoop, R. Lagrange, D. Terwagne, P. M. Reis, and J. Dunkel, *Nat. Mater.* **14**, 337 (2015).
- [29] D. Taniguchi, S. Ishihara, T. Oonuki, M. Honda-Kitahara, K. Kaneko, and S. Sawai, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **110**, 5016 (2013).
- [30] W. M. Bement, M. Leda, A. M. Moe, A. M. Kita, M. E. Larson, A. E. Golding, C. Pfeuti, K.-C. Su, A. L. Miller, A. B. Goryachev, *et al.*, *Nat. Cell Bio.* **17**, 1471 (2015).
- [31] K. Polthier and M. Schmies, “Geodesic flow on polyhedral surfaces,” in *Data Visualization '99: Proceedings of the Joint EUROGRAPHICS and IEEE TCVC Symposium on Visualization in Vienna, Austria, May 26–28, 1999*, edited by E. Gröller, H. Löffelmann, and W. Ribarsky (Springer, Vienna, 1999) pp. 179–188.
- [32] A. Goriely and M. Ben Amar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 198103 (2005).
- [33] A. Vaziri and L. Mahadevan, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **105**, 7913 (2008).
- [34] A. M. Zhabotinsky, F. Buchholtz, A. B. Kiyatkin, and I. R. Epstein, *J. Phys. Chem.* **97**, 7578 (1993).
- [35] L. Ionov, *Mater. Today* **17**, 494 (2014).
- [36] A. H. Gelebart, D. J. Mulder, M. Varga, A. Konya, G. Vantomme, E. Meijer, R. L. Selinger, and D. J. Broer, *Nature* **546**, 632 (2017).
- [37] E. A. Shah and K. Keren, *eLife* **3**, e01433 (2014).
- [38] T. H. Tan, M. Malik Garbi, E. Abu-Shah, J. Li, A. Sharma, F. C. MacKintosh, K. Keren, C. F. Schmidt, and N. Fakhri, “Self-organization of stress patterns drives state transitions in actin cortices,” ArXiv:1603.07600 (2016).