Delocalization of charge and current in a chiral quasiparticle wave-packet

Subhajit Sarkar^{1,*}

¹Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, Sachivalaya Marg, Bhubaneswar, Odisha 751005, India.

(Dated: July 4, 2022)

A chiral quasiparticle wave packet (QPWP) is defined as a conventional superposition of chiral quasiparticle states corresponding to an interacting electron system in two dimensions (2D) in the presence of Rashba spin-orbit coupling (RSOC). Its internal structure is investigated via studying the charge and the current densities within the first order perturbation in the electron-electron interaction. It is found that the QPWP contains a localized charge which is less than the bare charge and remaining charge resides at the boundary. The amount of charge delocalized turns out to be inversely proportional to the strength of RSOC when the later is weak, and therefore externally tunable. For strong RSOC, the magnitudes of both the delocalized charge and the current strongly depend on the direction of propagation of the wave packet. Both the charge and the current densities consist of an anisotropic r^{-2} tail away from the center of the wave packet. Possible implications of such delocalizations in real systems corresponding to 2D semiconductor hetero-structures are also discussed within the context of particle injection experiments.

PACS numbers: 71.10.Hf, 71.27.+a, 71.70.Ej

I. INTRODUCTION

The interplay of the chirality and the electron-electron (e-e) interaction is a very important issue from both fundamental and applied perspectives in many-body quantum systems. Bare electron develops chirality when its spin and momentum get locked because of the presence of spin-orbit (SO) coupling^{1,2}. Moreover, in an interacting electron system the additional presence of SO coupling brings one more energy scale, apart from the Fermi energy and the Coulomb energy which were already present. The analysis of different phases in interacting electron systems usually starts from a Fermi liquid theoretic point of view. Within the paradigm of the well established Landau Fermi liquid theory different phases are classified according to the Pomeranchuk instability criteria^{3,4}. In the presence of SO coupling the interplay of all the above mentioned energy scales lead to the formation of new phases of matter and therefore obviously have been investigated extensively 5-10. In this regard a theory of a chiral Fermi liquid (CFL) has been put forward recently by focusing on the Rashba SO $coupling^{11}$. Like in the conventional Landau Fermi liquid, the concept of quasi-particle is the central pillar in this CFL theory, but these quasi-particles are chiral in the sense that they are distinct in terms of chirality index 's' instead of spin index ' σ '. Furthermore, these quasi-particles are valid only near the Fermi surfaces of the corresponding Rashba sub $bands^{11}$.

The Landau Fermi liquid theory is formulated in terms of the distribution function of quasi-particles $n(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r})$, and this can be obtained from the well established microscopic calculations^{3,12}. It has been shown that the interpretation of this distribution function as a semi-classical quasi-particle wave packet (QPWP) of mean momentum \mathbf{k} , mean position \mathbf{r} , and charge e, is not possible owing to the delocalization of charge and

current in the $QPWP^{13}$. In a spin-1/2 Landau quasiparticle wave packet (Landau-QPWP) with spin $\sigma = \uparrow$, the particle density consists of three parts, a spherically symmetric part which integrates to a charge $e' \neq 1$, a higher harmonic part which is regular at origin and vanishes at infinity, and does not represent any net charge. The last part is a correction because of interparticle interaction. This part is uniformly distributed at the surface of the infinite volume and has a charge (1 - e') < 1. The charge density of a bare particle wave packet on the other hand consists of a spatially uniform part coming from the N electrons within the Fermi sphere, and a unit charge with spin of magnitude 1/2 localized within the spatial spread of the wave packet. Moreover, the Landau-QPWP contains a localized spin $\sigma' > \frac{1}{2}$, leading to the spin-charge separation in the Lanau-QPWP¹⁴. The concept of QPWP is important in the tunnelling experiments in relation to reflection and transmission through a barrier^{15,16}. It has been found in experiment that when two particles are injected from two different sources spatially located in such a way that the electrons injected are intially separated by a barrier, there exists a finite probability of finding both the electrons on the same side of the barrier¹⁵. This phenomenon has been attributed as due to the fundamental wavepacket nature of the electron $quasiparticles^{16}$.

In view of the importance of the spin-orbit coupling in the real systems, in this article I consider the issue of delocalization of charge and current in the chiral QPWP corresponding to the Rashba spin-orbit (SO) coupled interacting electron liquid¹¹. This SO coupling is relevant for a number of 2D electron heterostructures where experiments are performed in a well controllable manner and the strength of the SOC is tunable externally. Therefore, in these systems the concept of chiral QPWP is expected to be fundamental too. Such a study further provides insights on the internal structure of a chiral QPWP. In this article, a chiral QPWP of specific chirality 's' corresponding to the chiral Fermi liquid has been defined as a conventional superposition of chiral quasiparticle states. Such a definition is valid only near the respective Fermi surfaces of the corresponding chiral subbands. The results corresponding to the study of charge, and current densities are obtained within the first order perturbation theory of Rayleigh-Schrödinger (RS) type, following Ref. [13]. Both weak and strong SOC have been considered. The results show that charge and current are expelled to the boundary because of the effect of the e-e interaction, as found in the case of Landau-QPWP however, the corresponding magnitudes depend on the strength of the SO coupling and also on the direction of propagation of the wave packet.

The article is organized as follows. The calculational details regarding the charge and current densities have been presented in section (II). In section (III), I shall calculate the amount of charge and current delocalized to the boundary. The results shall be discussed in section (IV).

II. CHARGE AND CURRENT OF A CHIRAL QUASI-PARTICLE WAVE PACKET: GENERAL FORMULA

In this article, I consider the Hamiltonian corresponding to the 2D CFL which is described by,

$$H = H_0 + H_{int} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma,\sigma'} [c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \frac{k^2}{2m} c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma'} + c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} \alpha (\tau_{\sigma\sigma'} \times \mathbf{k})_z c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma'}] + H_{int}, \quad (1)$$

where α is the strength of Rashba SO coupling (RSOC), H_{int} is the conventional e-e interaction, $k^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2$, with $\hbar = e(\text{charge of electron}) = 1$, and m being the effective mass¹¹. The non-interacting part, H_0 is nondiagonal in the spin basis and is diagonalized using the following unitary matrix,

$$U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1\\ -ie^{i\theta_{\mathbf{k}}} & ie^{i\theta_{\mathbf{k}}} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (2)$$

where $\theta_{\mathbf{k}} = \tan^{-1} \frac{k_y}{k_x}$ is the azimuth of \mathbf{k} . The diagonalized non-interacting Hamiltonian reads as,

$$H_0 = \sum_{\mathbf{k},s} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},s} \xi_{\mathbf{k},s} c_{\mathbf{k},s} \tag{3}$$

where $\xi_{\mathbf{k},s} = \frac{k^2}{2m} + s\alpha k$ and $s = \pm 1$ denotes the chirality or the winding direction of the spins around the Fermi surface. The normalized plane wave states corresponding to the these chiral electrons are given by,

$$|\mathbf{k},s\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ -ise^{i\theta_{\mathbf{k}}} \end{pmatrix} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}},\tag{4}$$

where the 2D volume of the system Ω has been taken to be unity and standard periodic boundary conditions are assumed. The Fermi momenta and the Fermi velocities of individual sub bands are given by, $k_F^{\pm} = m(v_0 \mp \alpha)$ and $v_0 = \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\mu/m}$ respectively where μ is the chemical potential¹¹. The strength of the RSOC is considered to be small thereby ensuring the Fermi velocities of two sub-bands to be same. However, in the case of strong RSOC the Fermi velocities corresponding to the individual sub-bands no longer remains degenerate and are self-consistently determined by the renormalized Fermi momenta¹¹. The ground state of the non-interacting system is the filled Fermi circle since the chiral electron also satisfy the Pauli statistics. Therefore, the ground state is constructed by filling up all the single chiral particle states till the respective Fermi momentum, viz.,

$$|F\rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{k}' < k_F^+} \prod_{\mathbf{k}'' < k_F^-} c_{\mathbf{k}',+}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}'',-}^{\dagger} |0\rangle, \qquad (5)$$

 $|0\rangle$ being the vacuum. If there are N chiral electrons N/2 electrons with chirality '+' shall be within the Fermi 2-sphere (i.e., circle) of radius k_F^+ and rest N/2 electrons with chirality '-' shall be within the Fermi 2-sphere of radius k_F^- . Then one can find a one particle state by adding a bare electron of specified chirality above the respective Fermi sea, viz., $|{\bf k},s\rangle=c_{{\bf k},s}^{\dagger}|F\rangle$, for all ${\bf k}>k_F^s$.

 H_{int} further can expressed in the chiral basis by expanding the field operator $\hat{\psi}$ corresponding to the two body interaction as $\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k},s} c_{\mathbf{k},s} |\mathbf{k},s\rangle$, and the form is given by¹⁷,

$$H_{int} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}, \mathbf{p}, s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}} V_{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}}^{\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}, \mathbf{p}} c_{\mathbf{k}_{1} - \mathbf{q}, s_{1}} c_{\mathbf{k}_{2} + \mathbf{q}, s_{2}}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}_{2}, s_{2}} c_{\mathbf{k}_{1}, s_{1}}, \qquad (6)$$

where

$$V_{s_{1},s_{2},s_{3},s_{4}}^{\mathbf{k_{1},k_{2},p}}(p) = V(p)\frac{1}{4}[1 + s_{1}s_{4}e^{i(\theta_{\mathbf{k_{1}}}-\theta_{\mathbf{k_{1}-p}})} + s_{2}s_{3}e^{i(\theta_{\mathbf{k_{2}}}-\theta_{\mathbf{k_{2}+p}})} + s_{1}s_{2}s_{3}s_{4}e^{i(\theta_{\mathbf{k_{1}}}-\theta_{\mathbf{k_{1}-p}}+\theta_{\mathbf{k_{2}}}-\theta_{\mathbf{k_{2}+p}})}]$$
(7)

In this article, I consider $V(p) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{|\mathbf{p}|^2 + \delta^2}}$ as the Fourier transform of the Coulomb (2D-projected) interaction in two dimensions (2D), where for the purpose it is sufficient to consider δ to be a term regularizing the Coulomb interaction so that V(p) does not diverge as $\mathbf{p} \to 0^{18-20}$. By switching on the interaction (represented by the above Hamiltonian (6)) adiabatically the one particle state $|\mathbf{k}, s\rangle$ can be evolved into a chiral quasiparticle state $|\psi_{\mathbf{k},s}\rangle$.

I define a chiral QPWP with an average momentum \mathbf{k}_0 (propagating in the direction $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_0$) and chirality 's', as a superposition of the chiral quasiparticle states,

$$|\Psi_{\mathbf{k}_{0},s}\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k} > \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{F}}^{s}} A_{\mathbf{k}} |\psi_{\mathbf{k},s}\rangle, \qquad (8)$$

where for simplicity the envelop function $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ is considered to be a Gaussian, $A_{\mathbf{k}} = Ce^{-a^2(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k_0})^2/2}$. Such a definition is similar in spirit to the definition of Landau QPWP corresponding to an SU(2) symmetric Landau Fermi liquid¹³. The basic requirements for the envelop function remain same as that have been taken in Ref. [13], i.e., it is a smooth function, sufficiently sharply peaked near $\mathbf{k_0}$ with spread $a^{-1} = \Delta k \ll k_0 - k_f^s$ and vanishes for $k < k_F^s$. This means that for all practical purposes, $k_0 \approx k_f^s$ for the chiral QPWP of specific chirality 's'. However, on top of these the spread $\Delta k \ll \alpha$. This restriction is fundamentally different from the restriction (in the sense of disallow) on the inter-sub-band transition corresponding to CFL description¹¹. Furthermore, considering a similar definition of bare chiral particle wave packet one can find $\sum_{\mathbf{k}} |A_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 = 1$ by normalizing the wave packet. In this way one may think of the factor $|A_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \simeq (2\pi)^2 \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k_0})$ in the limit $\Delta k \to 0$ however, this is not of absolute necessity. In this article, I want to calculate the total charge and current in the chiral QPWP, by supposing s = +1, to be specific. Therefore, in the following the charge and current density operators are expressed in the chiral representation.

The charge density operator $\hat{n}(\mathbf{q})$ is expressed in the chiral representation as,

$$\hat{n}(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}',s,s'} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q},s} c_{\mathbf{k}',s'} \frac{1}{2} [1 + ss' e^{-i(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}}-\theta_{\mathbf{k}'})}], \quad (9)$$

where the charge e = 1, as I have already mentioned. The charge-current or simply current density operator $\hat{j}(\mathbf{q})$ is obtained in two steps, viz., first current density operator is obtained in the Pauli basis, which is given by,

$$\hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{q}) = \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{kin}(\mathbf{q}) + \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{SO}(\mathbf{q}) \\
= \sum_{\mathbf{k}',\sigma,\sigma'} \frac{1}{m} (\mathbf{k}' - \frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}) \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q},\sigma} c_{\mathbf{k}',\sigma'} \\
+ \sum_{\mathbf{k}',\sigma,\sigma'} \alpha (\tau^{x}_{\sigma,\sigma'} \hat{y} - \tau^{y}_{\sigma,\sigma'} \hat{x}) c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q},\sigma} c_{\mathbf{k}',\sigma'}, \quad (10)$$

where \mathbf{k}' in the sum is unrestricted. Because of the presence of spin-orbit coupling the above equation for the current density operator consists of two parts, viz., a kinetic part, $\hat{\mathbf{j}}_{kin}(\mathbf{q})$ and a spin-orbit (SO) part, $\hat{\mathbf{j}}_{SO}(\mathbf{q})$. It can be easily seen that the spir-orbit part of the current density is composed of components of in-plane spindensity operators, thereby signifying a spin-charge coupled transport²¹. Then in the second step, one expresses the current in the chiral basis, and the kinetic part and the spin-orbit part corresponding to the current density take the form,

$$\mathbf{j}_{kin}(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}',s,s'} \frac{1}{m} (\mathbf{k}' - \frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}) \frac{1}{2} [1 + ss' e^{-i(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}'})}] c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q},s} c_{\mathbf{k}',s'},$$

and

$$\mathbf{j}_{SO}(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}',s,s'} \frac{\alpha}{2} [s' e^{i\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}} (\hat{x} - i\hat{y}) + s e^{-i\theta_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}}} (\hat{x} + i\hat{y})] c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q},s} c_{\mathbf{k}',s'}$$
$$= \sum_{\mathbf{k}',s,s'} \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{s,s'} (\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{q}) c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q},s} c_{\mathbf{k}',s'} \tag{11}$$

The expectation values, $\langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}_0,+} | \hat{n}(\mathbf{q}) | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}_0,+} \rangle$ and $\langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}_0,+} | \hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{q}) | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}_0,+} \rangle$ are calculated to first order in H_{int} using the non-degenerate RS perturbation theory applied to $|\psi_{\mathbf{k},+}\rangle$. The presence of Rashba SO coupling does not impose any extra difficulty, and although the states $|\mathbf{k},+\rangle$ are in continuum the divergences originating from this are assumed to integrable¹³. Within 1st order perturbation in H_{int} , the chiral QPWP is given by,

$$\begin{split} |\Psi_{\mathbf{k}_{0},+}\rangle &= \sum_{\mathbf{k} > \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{F}}^{+}} A_{\mathbf{k}} |\psi_{\mathbf{k},+}\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{k} > \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{F}}^{+}} A_{\mathbf{k}} (|\mathbf{k},+\rangle + |\mathbf{k},+\rangle_{1}), \end{split}$$
(12)

where $|\mathbf{k}, +\rangle_1 = \frac{P}{\xi_{\mathbf{k},+}-H_0}H_{int}|\mathbf{k},+\rangle$, and $H_0|\mathbf{k},+\rangle = \xi_{\mathbf{k},+}|\mathbf{k},+\rangle$. The operator $P = (I - |\mathbf{k},+\rangle\langle\mathbf{k},+|)$ is the projection operator which rules out the scattering of the state $|\mathbf{k},+\rangle$ by H_{int} to itself, and thereby get rid of the divergences originating from $\frac{1}{\xi_{\mathbf{k},+}-H_0}^{22}$. The expectation value of $\hat{n}(\mathbf{q})$ in the state given by (12) can be calculated to be,

$$n(\mathbf{q}) = N + 1, \text{ for } \mathbf{q} = 0,$$

$$= Q(\mathbf{q}) - \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', s} Q(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}) \frac{n_0(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{q}, s) - n_0(\mathbf{k}', s)}{\frac{1}{m}(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{q} - \alpha(\frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{k_F^+} - s\frac{\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{q}}{k_F^s})} [V(\mathbf{q}) - (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{2}\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}}))V(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k})], \text{ for } \mathbf{q} \neq 0,$$

(13)

where $Q(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} Q(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} A^*_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}} A_{\mathbf{k}}$, and $Q(\mathbf{q} = 0) = 1$. In obtaining the above expression any occur-

rence of $(\frac{q}{k_F^+})^2$ has been neglected by assuming $q \ll k_F^+$. Furthermore, it is also assumed that for small values of $|\mathbf{q}|$, $\theta_{\mathbf{k}} \approx \theta_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}$. With this assumption, the term $\frac{1}{2}[1+ss'e^{-i(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}}-\theta_{\mathbf{k}'})}]$ appearing in (9) and (11) becomes

 $\frac{1}{2}[1+ss']$, which vanishes when s' = -s thereby allowing only s' = s. Therefore, in the limit $|\mathbf{q}| \to 0$ the above expression takes the form,

$$\lim_{|\mathbf{q}|\to 0} n(\mathbf{q}) = 1 - \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',s} |A_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 [V(\mathbf{0}) - \frac{1}{2} (1 + s\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}}))V(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k})] \frac{\hat{\mathbf{k}'} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}} \,\delta(k' - k_F^s)}{\frac{1}{m} (\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}} - \alpha(\frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}}{k_F^s} - s\frac{\mathbf{k}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}}{k_F^s})$$
(14)

where $\hat{\mathbf{k}}'$ and $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ are unit vectors. Here $n_0(\mathbf{k}, s) = 1$, for $k > k_F^s$ and $n_0(\mathbf{k}, s) = 0$, for $k < k_F^s$, i.e., $n_0(\mathbf{k}, s)$ denotes the expectation values in the non-interacting ground state. In deriving the above result in the small $|\mathbf{q}| = q$ limit the following simplifications have been performed,

$$n_{0}(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{q}, s) - n_{0}(\mathbf{k}', s)$$

$$= -(\xi_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q},s} - \xi_{\mathbf{k}',s}) \left(\frac{\partial \xi_{\mathbf{k}',s}}{\partial k'}\right)^{-1} \delta(k' - k_{F}^{s})$$

$$= \frac{(1/m + s\alpha/k')\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{q}}{(1/m + s\alpha/k')k'} \delta(k' - k_{F}^{s})$$

$$= \hat{\mathbf{k}}' \cdot \mathbf{q} \delta(k' - k_{F}^{s}), \qquad (15)$$

where terms of $O(q^2)$ has been neglected since $|\mathbf{k}| = k \approx k_F^s$ and $q \ll k_F^s$. It is worthwhile to note that for $\alpha = 0$ the chiral basis coincides with the spin basis and the expressions corresponding to (14) coincides with the equations obtained in Ref. [14]. It is easy to see from (14) that, $n(\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}) = \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}_0,+} | \hat{n}(\mathbf{0}) | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}_0,+} \rangle = N + 1$, while in the limit $|\mathbf{q}| \to 0$ it turns out that $n(\mathbf{q}) \neq 1$. This signals to a discontinuity in $n(\mathbf{q})$ at $\mathbf{q} = 0$, thereby indicating a delocalization of charge . This delocalization shall further be explored in detail in section III, and the amount of charge delocalized will be estimated. Similarly expression for $\mathbf{j}_{kin}(\mathbf{q}) = \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}_0,+} | \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{kin}(\mathbf{q}) | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}_0,+} \rangle$ can be easily obtained using the first equation of (11), and (14). It can be shown that in the limit of very small $|\mathbf{q}|$,

$$\lim_{|\mathbf{q}|\to 0} \mathbf{j}_{kin}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{\mathbf{k}_0}{m} - \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',s} |A_\mathbf{k}|^2 \frac{\mathbf{k}'}{m} \Big[V(\mathbf{0}) - \frac{1}{2} (1 + s\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}})) V(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}) \Big] \frac{\hat{\mathbf{k}}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}} \,\delta(k' - k_F^s)}{\frac{1}{m} (\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}} - \alpha(\frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}}{k_F^+} - s\frac{\mathbf{k}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}}{k_F^s}) \Big]$$
(16)

The expectation value of the spin-orbit part of the cur-

rent density in the chiral-QPWP state is given by,

$$\lim_{|\mathbf{q}|\to 0} \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{SO}(\mathbf{q}) = \alpha \hat{\mathbf{k}}_0 - \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',s} |A_\mathbf{k}|^2 s \alpha \hat{\mathbf{k}'} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{k}'} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}} \,\delta(k'-k_F^s)}{\frac{1}{m}(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{q} - \alpha(\frac{\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{q}}{k_F^s} - s\frac{\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{q}}{k_F^s})} \Big[V(\mathbf{q}) - \frac{1}{2}(1 + s\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}}))V(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k}) \Big]$$
(17)

while the total current in the system is conserved, i.e., $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}) = \frac{\mathbf{k}_0}{m} + \alpha \hat{\mathbf{k}}_0$. In the above equation the unit vectors are given by, $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\mathbf{k}}{k_F^s}$, and $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_0 = \frac{\mathbf{k}_0}{k_F^s}$. From (16) and (17) it is easy to see that $\lim_{\mathbf{q}\to 0} j(\mathbf{q}) \neq \frac{\mathbf{k}_0}{m} + \alpha \hat{\mathbf{k}}_0$

thereby signaling in a discontinuity in the current density too at $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}$. Furthermore, it can be shown that (see Appendix A) the following continuity equation,

$$\frac{\partial n(\mathbf{q},t)}{\partial t} + i\mathbf{q} \cdot \left[\mathbf{j}_{kin}(\mathbf{q},t) + \mathbf{j}_{SO}(\mathbf{q},t)\right] = 0 \qquad (18)$$

is satisfied to first order in the inter-particle interaction for every \mathbf{q} . This implies that at each point \mathbf{r} in the real space the charge-current conservation is satisfied to first order in the interaction.

III. DELOCALIZATION OF CHARGE AND CURRENT

For $|\mathbf{q}| \neq 0$, following Ref. [13], the following quantity can be defined,

$$\Delta n(\mathbf{q}) = n(\mathbf{q}) - Q(\mathbf{q})$$
$$= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} Q(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}) f(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}), \qquad (19)$$

where the quantity $f(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q})$ is given by,

 $f(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}) = -\sum_{\mathbf{k}',s} \frac{n_0(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q},s) - n_0(\mathbf{k}',s)}{\frac{1}{m}(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{q} - \alpha(\frac{\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{q}}{k_F^+} - s\frac{\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{q}}{k_F^+})} [V(\mathbf{q}) - \frac{1}{2}(1 + s\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}}))V(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k})].$ (20)

Owing to the sharpness of the envelop function $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ the above function can be approximated in the small $|\mathbf{q}|$ limit as, $f(\mathbf{k_0}, \mathbf{q}) = f(\theta_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k_0}})$, where $\theta_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k_0}}$ is the angle between the vector \mathbf{q} and $\mathbf{k_0}$. I consider these individuals to be of the form, $\mathbf{k_0} \approx k_F^+(\cos\theta_{k_0}, \sin\theta_{k_0})$ and $\mathbf{q} = q(\cos\theta_q, \sin\theta_q)$. Therefore, in the limit $|\mathbf{q}| \to 0$ the above equation takes the form,

$$f(\theta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k_0}}) = f^+(\theta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k_0}}) + f^-(\theta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k_0}}), \text{ where,}$$

$$f^s(\theta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k_0}}) = -\sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \left(\left[V(\mathbf{0}) - \frac{1}{2} [1 - s\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k_0}})] V(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k_0}) \right] \frac{\hat{\mathbf{k}'} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}} \,\delta(k' - k_F^s)}{\frac{1}{m} (\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k_0}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}} - \alpha(\frac{\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}}{k_F^s} - s\frac{\mathbf{k}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}}{k_F^s}) \right)$$

$$(21)$$

and $\Delta n(\mathbf{q}) = Q(\mathbf{q})[f^+(\theta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k_0}}) + f^-(\theta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k_0}})]$. In this analysis, for simplicity and ease of estimation of the amount of charge/current delocalized, I take $Q(\mathbf{q}) = e^{-q^2a^2/4}$, i.e., a spherically symmetric Gaussian function. However, the qualitative results do not depend on this particular choice. Using symmetry arguments one can write in two dimensions (2D),

$$f^{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k_{0}}}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} f_{l}^{s} \cos(l\theta_{\mathbf{q}})$$
(22)

where s denotes the chirality index. Therefore, the first order correction to the charge density in the real space is given by,

$$\Delta n(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} Q(\mathbf{q}) f_l \cos(l\theta_{\mathbf{q}}) e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$$
(23)

where $f_l = (f_l^+ + f_l^-)$. In calculating the sum over **q**'s, the standard replacement $\sum_{\mathbf{q}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2q$ shall be used where the 2D volume Ω has been taken to be unity as

mentioned earlier. Following Ref. [13], I divide the real space charge density corrections in a symmetric part corresponding to l = 0 and higher harmonic parts $l \neq 0$. Evaluating the Fourier transform it can be shown that,

$$\Delta n_0(\mathbf{r})|_{loc} = \frac{f_0}{2\pi a^2} e^{-\frac{r^2}{a^2}}$$
(24)

which represents a localized distribution of the charge density, being finite at the origin and integrable. For the charge density, the Fourier transform corresponding to the higher harmonic terms can be evaluated and it can be shown (See Appendix B) that the dominant behaviour at very large distance from the center of the spatial QPWP (say $r \to \infty$) is given by,

$$\Delta n_{l\neq0}(\mathbf{r}) \approx \frac{i^l}{4\pi} \cos(l\theta) f_l \frac{l}{ar^2}.$$
 (25)

In the limit $r \to 0$, the charge density correction vanishes at least as r^l (See Appendix B). Because of the typical behavior of the higher harmonic terms they do not represent any physical distribution of charge¹³. From (19), (22) and (23) it is easy to see that,

$$\Delta n_0(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{f_0}{2\pi a^2} e^{-\frac{r^2}{a^2}} - f_0, \qquad (26)$$

and since $\Delta n_0(\mathbf{q}) = 0$ for $\mathbf{q} = 0$ it follows $\int \Delta n_0(\mathbf{r}) d^2 r = 0$ where the first term in the above equation represents a localized charge. Therefore, the charge $-f_0 = -(f_0^+ + f_0^-)$ must reside at the boundary. In Appendix C, the value of f_0 is estimated and it is found that $f_0 < 0$. Furthermore, it is inversely proportional to the degenerate Fermi velocity and therefore the magnitude of the SOC. This represents an excess charge residing at the boundary. On the other hand in the case of strong SOC this depends very strongly on the direction of propagation $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_0$ of the wave packet. Here, the quantity f_0^s , corresponding to the delocalized charge results from the interaction when the added bare particle of chirality '+' is dressed by $\frac{N}{2}$ particles present within each Fermi sphere/circle of raduii k_F^+ and k_F^- .

Similarly one can define for the components of the current density, $\Delta \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{q}) = Q(\mathbf{q})\mathbf{g}(\theta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k_0}})$, and use symmetry arguments to write,

$$\mathbf{g}(\theta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k}_{0}}) = \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{0} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (g_{l}) \cos(l\theta_{\mathbf{q}})$$
(27)

where $g_l = (g_l^+ + g_l^-)$. Using the above equations, the spherically symmetric part of the current density can be easily shown to be,

$$\Delta \mathbf{j}_0(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2\pi a^2} e^{-\frac{r^2}{a^2}} g_0 \hat{\mathbf{k}}_0 - g_0 \hat{\mathbf{k}}_0$$
(28)

where a Fourier transform of $\Delta j^i(\mathbf{q})$ similar to (23) has been considered. Repeating the arguments corresponding to the $\Delta n_0(\mathbf{r})$, it is easy to see that there is a current $-g_0 \hat{\mathbf{k}}_0$ residing at the boundary. In Appendix C, I have shown that $g_0 > 0$ and therefore delocalized current moves in the direction opposite to the propagation of the wave packet. In the case of weak RSOC its magnitude remains same irrespective of the direction of propagation of the wave packet. On the other hand, when the RSOC is strong the magnitude of the delocalized current strongly depend on the direction of propagation of the wave packet as shown in Appendix C.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this paper, a very natural definition of a chiral-QPWP of average momentum \mathbf{k}_0 using the conventional superposition of chiral quasiparticle states has been considered to analyze the microscopic structure of such QPWP. The validity of the definition is limited near the respective chiral Fermi surfaces. This is very similar in spirit to the Landau QPWP¹³. It is found that the interaction between the chiral electrons indeed expel some charge and current to the boundary of the system. The internal structure of the chiral-QPWP has the following properties. The charge/particle density consists of three parts; a spherically symmetric part indicating a localized charge corresponding to the QPWP. This part when integrated gives the value e' of the charge less than the bare charge of chiral quasiparticle. The second part is a higher harmonic part which vanishes at the origin and behaves as r^{-2} far away from the origin. It has been already mentioned that this part does not represent any net charge available in the system. Finally, the remaining part represents the effect of interaction and signifies a charge 1 - e' which resides at the boundary. Therefore, total charge in the system is 1. However, the amount of charge expelled to the bounary depends on the strength of the SO coupling and turns out to be inversely proportional to the SOC strength α when the SOC is weak. At this point it is worthwhile to point out that the effect of electron-electron interaction corresponding to the QPWP is the delocalization of charge, which turns out to be quite general be it a Landau QPWP or chiral QPWP. Higher order contributions corresponding to the perturbation is not expected to alter the qualitative results corresponding to the SO strength dependent delocalization of charge. Similar decomposition of current density has been found and the spatial behaviour of remains same. Fractions of current are expelled to the boundary although the bare chiral particle wave packet is localized. Interestingly enough, both the charge and current corresponding to the chiral QPWP contains effects from both the Rashba sub-bands. Although, I have started from a quasiparticle of specific chirality the magnitude of delocalization turns out to be a sum total of the effect of e-e interaction both within sub-band and inter subband. This additive nature of the contributions (within the first order perturbation in interaction) from both the sub-bands further seems to indicate that the delocalization of charge and current in a two component Fermi liquid should be similar. Therefore the internal structure of the QPWP corresponding to the two-component Fermi liquid is expected to be same²⁴

Furthermore, when the strength of RSOC becomes strong, i.e., $m\alpha^2/2 \gg \mu$, the Fermi velocities corresponding to two sub-bands no longer remain degenerate. Within first order perturbation the difference between the Fermi velocities is given by $v_+ - v_- = \frac{1}{\pi} \ln(\frac{k_F^2}{k_F^2})$, where the Fermi momenta are now renormalized one¹¹. However, this does not alter the qualitative nature of the internal structute of chiral QPWP, and the delocalization effect as well. Instead, this makes the magnitudes of both the delocalized charge and the current to depend strongly on the direction of propagation of the wave packet. On the other hand, a similar analysis of the spin-density and the spin current of the chiral QPWP would be more interesting, and important too from the experimental point of view. This shall be taken up in future. Since spin is not a conserved quantity in the presence of spin-orbit coupling

the conventional method of continuity equation fails in determining the form of the spin current²⁵. The nonuniqueness of the definition of equilibrium spin-current in the presence of spin-orbit coupling makes the analysis more challenging^{25–27}.

With linear Dresselhaus coupling (DSOC) instead of RSOC the results remain same even quantitatively²⁸. This is because, in case of DSOC the phase $\theta_{\mathbf{k}}$ corresponding to the chiral bare particle states differs by $\pi/2$ from the case of RSOC and phases cancel out in expectation values of every density operators mentioned above. However, if both DSOC and RSOC are present the situations should be a topic of further investigations which could be a natural extension too.

Lastly, experiments similar to that reported in Ref. [15] (and theoretically analysed in Ref. [16]) may be performed on systems where a chiral Fermi liquid can be realized to see if and how the outcome (the reported Pauli dip) depends on the strength of the SOC.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Author acknowledges valuable discussions with Saptarshi Mandal.

Appendix A: Continuity equation

The time evolution of the operators are considered in the interaction representation and the time dependent charge density operator is given by,

$$\hat{n}(\mathbf{q},t) = e^{i\mathcal{H}_0 t} \hat{n}(\mathbf{q}) e^{-i\mathcal{H}_0 t},\tag{A1}$$

where $\hat{n}(\mathbf{q})$ is given by (9). A similar expression corresponding to $\hat{\mathbf{j}}_{kin}(\mathbf{q},t)$ and $\hat{\mathbf{j}}_{SO}(\mathbf{q},t)$ shall also be considered in the interaction representation in order to derive the continuity equation. The time dependent chiral-QPWP state is given by,

$$|\Psi_{\mathbf{k}_{0},+}(t)\rangle = \left(1 - i \int_{0}^{t} dt' e^{i\mathcal{H}_{0}t'} \mathcal{H}_{int} e^{-i\mathcal{H}_{0}t'}\right) |\Psi_{\mathbf{k}_{0},+}\rangle,\tag{A2}$$

where only the first order contribution from the interaction has been taken into account. In order to arrive at the continuity equation I first derive $n(\mathbf{q}, t) = \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}_0,+}(t) | \hat{n}(\mathbf{q}, t) | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}_0,+}(t) \rangle$, for $\mathbf{q} \neq 0$, within the first order perturbation theory. Using (8), (A1) and (A2), the expression for the time dependent charge density can be calculated to be,

$$n(\mathbf{q},t) = \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}_{0},+}(t) | \hat{n}(\mathbf{q},t) | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}_{0},+}(t) \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} Q(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}) e^{i(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q},+}-\epsilon_{\mathbf{k},+})t} \left[1 - \sum_{\mathbf{k}',s} \frac{n_{0}(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q},s) - n_{0}(\mathbf{k}',s)}{\frac{1}{m}(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k})\cdot\mathbf{q} - \alpha(\frac{\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{q}}{k_{F}^{+}} - s\frac{\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{q}}{k_{F}^{*}})} \left[V(\mathbf{q}) - \frac{1}{2}(1 + s\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}}))V(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k}) \right] \right]$$

$$- i\sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} A_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}^{*} A_{\mathbf{k}} \langle \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}, + |e^{i\mathcal{H}_{0}t}\hat{n}(\mathbf{q})e^{-i\mathcal{H}_{0}t} \left(\int_{0}^{t} dt'e^{i\mathcal{H}_{0}t'}\mathcal{H}_{int}e^{-i\mathcal{H}_{0}t'} \right) |\mathbf{k}, + \rangle$$

$$+ i\sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} A_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}^{*} A_{\mathbf{k}} \langle \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}, + | \left(\int_{0}^{t} dt'e^{i\mathcal{H}_{0}t'}\mathcal{H}_{int}e^{-i\mathcal{H}_{0}t'} \right) e^{i\mathcal{H}_{0}t}\hat{n}(\mathbf{q})e^{-i\mathcal{H}_{0}t} |\mathbf{k}, + \rangle.$$
(A3)

In the last two terms corresponding to the above equation, only the self energy part corresponding to the FIG. 1 survive and all the other scattering events corresponding to FIG. 2 get canceled out. Carrying out the time integration it can be found that,

$$n(\mathbf{q},t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} Q(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}) e^{i(\xi_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q},+}-\xi_{\mathbf{k},+})t} \left(1 - it \Big[\Sigma(\mathbf{k}) - \Sigma(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}) \Big] - \sum_{\mathbf{k}',s} \frac{n_0(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q},s) - n_0(\mathbf{k}',s)}{\frac{1}{m}(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{q} - \alpha(\frac{\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{q}}{k_F^+} - s\frac{\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{q}}{k_F^s})} \Big[V(\mathbf{q}) - \frac{1}{2} (1 + s\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}}))V(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k}) \Big] \right),$$
(A4)

where $\Sigma(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}',s} [V(0) - \frac{1}{2} \{1 + s \cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}}) V(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k})\}] n_0(\mathbf{k}', s)$ is the first order self energy (corresponding to FIG. 1). Similarly, it is easy to compute the kinetic part of the time dependent current density which is given by,

$$\mathbf{j}_{kin}(\mathbf{q},t) = \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}_{0},+}(t) | \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{kin}(\mathbf{q},t) | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}_{0},+}(t) \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} Q(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}) e^{i(\xi_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q},+}-\xi_{\mathbf{k},+})t} \left(\frac{1}{m} \left(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}/2\right) - it \frac{1}{m} \left(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}/2\right) \left[\Sigma(\mathbf{k}) - \Sigma(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}) \right] \right)$$

$$- \sum_{\mathbf{k}',s} \frac{1}{m} \left(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}/2\right) \frac{n_{0}(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q},s) - n_{0}(\mathbf{k}',s)}{\frac{1}{m}(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k})\cdot\mathbf{q} - \alpha(\frac{\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{q}}{k_{F}^{+}} - s\frac{\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{q}}{k_{F}^{*}})} \left[V(\mathbf{q}) - \frac{1}{2}(1+s\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}-\theta_{\mathbf{k}}))V(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k}) \right] \right).$$
(A5)

Furthermore, by expressing (11) in the interaction representation one can obtain the corresponding time dependent spin-orbit part of the current density operator, and using (A2) it can be easily shown that,

$$\mathbf{j}_{SO}(\mathbf{q},t) = \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}_{0},+}(t) | \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{SO}(\mathbf{q},t) | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}_{0},+}(t) \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} Q(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}) e^{i(\xi_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q},+}-\xi_{\mathbf{k},+})t} \left(\alpha \hat{\mathbf{k}} - it \alpha \hat{\mathbf{k}} \Big[\Sigma(\mathbf{k}) - \Sigma(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}) \Big] \right)$$

$$- \sum_{\mathbf{k}',s} s \alpha \hat{\mathbf{k}'} \frac{n_{0}(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q},s) - n_{0}(\mathbf{k}',s)}{\frac{1}{m}(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{q} - \alpha(\frac{\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{q}}{k_{F}^{4}} - s\frac{\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{q}}{k_{F}^{5}})} \Big[V(\mathbf{q}) - \frac{1}{2} (1 + s\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}}))V(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k}) \Big] \Big). \tag{A6}$$



FIG. 1. self energy diagrams

Furthermore, it can be easily shown that, $\Sigma(\mathbf{k}) - \Sigma(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}',s} [n_0(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{q}, s) - n_0(\mathbf{k}', s)] \frac{1}{2} [1 + s \cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}})] V(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k})$, when we neglect the difference between $\theta_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\theta_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}$ for small values of $|\mathbf{q}|$. This is going to be used in the continuity equation below. For $\mathbf{q} \neq 0$ one can show that the continuity equation is indeed satisfied in the following



FIG. 2. other scattering processes

way,

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial n(\mathbf{q},t)}{\partial t} + i\,\mathbf{q}\cdot[j_{kin}(\mathbf{q},t) + j_{SO}(\mathbf{q},t)] = \\ &i\sum_{\mathbf{k}} Q(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q})e^{i(\xi_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q},+}-\xi_{\mathbf{k},+})} \left[-\left(\frac{1}{m}(\mathbf{k}-\frac{\mathbf{q}}{2})\cdot\mathbf{q}+\alpha\hat{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\mathbf{q}\right) \left\{1 - it[\Sigma(\mathbf{k})-\Sigma(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})] \right. \\ &- \sum_{\mathbf{k}',s} \frac{n_0(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q},s)-n_0(\mathbf{k}',s)}{\frac{1}{m}(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k})\cdot\mathbf{q}-\alpha(\frac{\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{q}}{k_F^*}-s\frac{\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{q}}{k_F^*})} [V(\mathbf{q}) - \frac{1}{2}(1+s\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}-\theta_{\mathbf{k}}))V(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k})] \right\} - [\Sigma(\mathbf{k})-\Sigma(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})] \right] \\ &+ i\sum_{\mathbf{k}} Q(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q})e^{i(\xi_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q},+}-\xi_{\mathbf{k},+})} \left[\left(\frac{1}{m}(\mathbf{k}-\frac{\mathbf{q}}{2})\cdot\mathbf{q}+\alpha\hat{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\mathbf{q}\right) \left\{1 - it[\Sigma(\mathbf{k})-\Sigma(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})]\right\} \\ &- \sum_{\mathbf{k}',s} \left(\frac{1}{m}(\mathbf{k}'-\frac{\mathbf{q}}{2})\cdot\mathbf{q}+s\alpha\hat{\mathbf{k}'}\cdot\mathbf{q}\right) \frac{n_0(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q},s)-n_0(\mathbf{k}',s)}{\frac{1}{m}(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k})\cdot\mathbf{q}-\alpha(\frac{\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{q}}{k_F^*}-s\frac{\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{q}}{k_F^*})} [V(\mathbf{q}) - \frac{1}{2}(1+s\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}-\theta_{\mathbf{k}}))V(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k})] \right] \\ &= i\sum_{\mathbf{k}} Q(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q})e^{i(\xi_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q},+}-\xi_{\mathbf{k},+})} \left[\sum_{\mathbf{k}',s} (-1)\left[\frac{1}{m}(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k})\cdot\mathbf{q}-\alpha(\hat{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\mathbf{q}-s\hat{\mathbf{k}'}\cdot\mathbf{q})\right] \frac{n_0(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q},s)-n_0(\mathbf{k}',s)}{\frac{1}{m}(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k})\cdot\mathbf{q}-\alpha(\frac{\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{q}}{k_F^*}-s\frac{\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{q}}{k_F^*})} \left[V(\mathbf{q}) - \frac{1}{2}(1+s\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}-\theta_{\mathbf{k}}))V(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k}) \right] - \left[\Sigma(\mathbf{k})-\Sigma(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}) \right] \right] \\ &= i\sum_{\mathbf{k}} Q(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q})e^{i(\xi_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q},+}-\xi_{\mathbf{k},+})} \left[\sum_{\mathbf{k}',s} (-1)[n_0(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q},s)-n_0(\mathbf{k}',s)]\left[V(\mathbf{q}) - \frac{1}{2}(1+s\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}-\theta_{\mathbf{k}}))V(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k}) \right] - \left[\Sigma(\mathbf{k})-\Sigma(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}) \right] \right] \\ &= i\sum_{\mathbf{k}} Q(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q})e^{i(\xi_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q},+}-\xi_{\mathbf{k},+})} \left[\sum_{\mathbf{k}',s} (-1)[n_0(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q},s)-n_0(\mathbf{k}',s)]\left[V(\mathbf{q}) - \frac{1}{2}(1+s\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}-\theta_{\mathbf{k}}))V(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k}) \right] \\ &- \left[\Sigma(\mathbf{k})-\Sigma(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}) \right] = 0, \quad (A7)$$

since $\sum_{\mathbf{k}',s} \left[n_0(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{q}, s) - n_0(\mathbf{k}', s) \right] V(\mathbf{q}) = 0$. On the other hand, for $\mathbf{q} = 0$ the continuity equation is trivially satisfied. Therefore, to the first order in the interaction the continuity equation is satisfied at each point \mathbf{r} .

Appendix B: Calculation of assymptotic behaviour of higher harmonic terms

From (23), it is easy to see that,

$$\Delta n_0(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{f_0}{4\pi} \int dq \, q \, J_0(qr) e^{-q^2 a^2/4},\tag{B1}$$

which when evaluated lead to (24), where the Fourier-Bessel expansion, $e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} = e^{iqr\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{q}}-\theta)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} i^n J_n(qr)\cos(l\theta_{\mathbf{q}}-l\theta)$ has been used, and $J_n(qr)$ is the Bessel function of first kind. Here the angle θ corresponds to $\mathbf{r} = r(\cos\theta, \sin\theta)$. Similarly for $l \neq 0$,

$$\Delta n_l(\mathbf{r}) = i^l \frac{f_l}{4\pi} \cos(l\theta) \frac{r}{a^3} \sqrt{\pi} e^{-r^2/2a^2} \left[I_{\frac{l-1}{2}} \left(\frac{r^2}{2a^2} \right) - I_{\frac{l+1}{2}} \left(\frac{r^2}{2a^2} \right) \right], \tag{B2}$$

where $I_n(z)$ is the modified Bessel function of first kind²³. In the limit $z \to 0$, $I_n(z) \approx (\frac{z}{2})^n [\Gamma(n+1)]^{-1}$ for all n > 0which is satisfied for all $l \neq 0$ in the above equation²³. Therefore, $\lim_{r\to 0} \Delta n_l(\mathbf{r}) \sim i^l \frac{f_l}{4\pi} \cos(l\theta) \frac{r}{a^2} 2\sqrt{\pi} e^{-r^2/2a^2} \frac{r^l}{(2a)^l}$, i.e., the first order correction to the charge density is regular at the origin and vanishes as r^l . On the other hand, one can use the asymptotic expression (in the limit of very large z) for $I_n(z)^{23}$, and from the above equation it can be easily shown that the dominant behavior for r >> a is, $\Delta n_l(\mathbf{r}) \sim \frac{i^l f_l}{4\pi} \cos(l\theta) \frac{l}{ar^2}$ thereby, exhibiting a r^{-2} tail. Similarly, from (27) it is easy to show that for $l \neq 0$,

$$\Delta \mathbf{j}_{l}(\mathbf{r}) = \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{0} \left(i^{l} \frac{g_{l}}{4\pi} \cos(l\theta) \frac{r}{a^{3}} \sqrt{\pi} e^{-r^{2}/2a^{2}} \left[I_{\frac{l-1}{2}} \left(\frac{r^{2}}{2a^{2}} \right) - I_{\frac{l+1}{2}} \left(\frac{r^{2}}{2a^{2}} \right) \right] \right), \tag{B3}$$

Therefore, the components of the current density too have the same behavior, i.e., they go to zero as r^l when $r \ll a$ and have a r^{-2} tail as $r \to \infty$.

Appendix C: Calculation of f_0 , \bar{f}_0 , h_0 for

Converting the sum corresponding to (21) into an integral it can be shown that

$$f^{+}(\theta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k}_{0}}) = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} \left[\left[V(\mathbf{0}) - \frac{1}{2} [1 + \cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}_{0}})] V(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}_{0}) \right] \frac{\hat{\mathbf{k}'} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}} k_{F}^{+}}{\left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{\alpha}{k_{F}^{+}}\right) (\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}_{0}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}} \right],$$

$$f^{-}(\theta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k}_{0}}) = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} \left[\left[V(\mathbf{0}) - \frac{1}{2} [1 - \cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}_{0}})] V(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}_{0}) \right] \frac{\hat{\mathbf{k}'} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}} k_{F}^{-}}{\frac{1}{m} (\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}_{0}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}} - \alpha(\frac{\mathbf{k}_{0} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}}{k_{F}^{+}} + \frac{\mathbf{k}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}}{k_{F}^{-}}) \right]. (C1)$$

Next step is to consider the direction $\hat{\mathbf{k}}'$ to be fixed so that $\mathbf{k}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}} = \cos \theta_{\mathbf{q}}$ and $\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}} = \cos \theta_{\mathbf{k}_0,\mathbf{q}}$ and determine f_l^s using the following formula,

$$f_l^s = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_{\mathbf{q}} \cos(l\theta_{\mathbf{q}}) f^s(\theta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k}_0}), \text{ with } s = \pm.$$
(C2)

Case 1, for $\alpha \ll v_0$ i.e., very small strength of RSOC: Using (C1) and the above equation, and evaluating the $\theta_{\mathbf{q}}$ integration first one arrive at the following expressions for f_0^s ,

$$f_{0}^{+} = -\frac{k_{F}^{+}}{4\pi^{2}v_{0}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} \left[V(\mathbf{0}) - \frac{1}{2} [1 + \cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}_{0}})] V(k_{F}^{+}\hat{\mathbf{k}'} - k_{F}^{+}\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{0}) \right]$$

$$f_{0}^{-} = -\frac{k_{F}^{-}}{4\pi^{2}v_{0}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} \left[V(\mathbf{0}) - \frac{1}{2} [1 - \cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}_{0}})] V(k_{F}^{-}\hat{\mathbf{k}'} - k_{F}^{+}\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{0}) \right], \tag{C3}$$

$$f_0^s = -\frac{k_F^s}{v_0\delta} + \frac{k_F^s}{4\pi v_0} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} \frac{(1 + s\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}_0}))}{\sqrt{(k_F^s)^2 + (k_F^+)^2 - 2k_F^s k_F^+ \cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}_0}) + \delta^2}}.$$
 (C4)

Evaluating the above integral one can find the following expression for the magnitude of delocalization of charge resulting from the interactions coming from both the Rashba sub-bands,

$$f_0^+ = -\frac{k_F^+}{v_0\delta} + \frac{1}{4\pi v_0} \left[4\sqrt{b+2} \left(K\left(\frac{2}{b+2}\right) - E\left(\frac{2}{b+2}\right) \right) \right]$$
(C5)

and

$$f_0^- = -\frac{k_F^-}{v_0\delta} + \frac{k_F^-}{4\pi v_0 \sqrt{(k_F^-)^2 + (k_F^+)^2}} \left[4\sqrt{b'} \left(E\left(-\frac{2}{b'}\right) - K\left(-\frac{2}{b'}\right) \right) \right]$$
(C6)

where $b = \frac{\delta^2}{2(k_F^+)^2}$, and $b' = \frac{\delta^2}{(k_F^-)^2 + (k_F^+)^2}$ and both b and b' are of $\mathcal{O}(r_s^2)$, where r_s is the dimensionless electron gas parameter. Here K(z) and E(z) are the complete elliptic integrals of first and second kind respectively²³. For InGaAs 2DEG, $r_s = 0.18$ and $f_0^+ \approx -\frac{4}{v_0}$. In the limit of very small b using $E(-z) \approx \sqrt{z}$ and $K(-z) \approx \frac{\ln(4\sqrt{z})}{\sqrt{z}}$, the equation (C6) turns out to be²³,

$$f_0^- = -\frac{k_F^-}{v_0\delta} + \frac{k_F^-}{4\pi v_0 \sqrt{(k_F^-)^2 + (k_F^+)^2}} \left[4\sqrt{b} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{b}} - \frac{\ln(4\sqrt{2/b})}{\sqrt{2/b}} \right) \right]$$
(C7)

which in the limit of $\alpha \ll v_0$ takes the form $f_0^- = -\frac{1}{r_s v_0} + \frac{1}{v_0} [0.45 - 0.4r_s^2 + \ln(r_s)]$ and for InGaAs 2DEG we get $f_0^- \approx \frac{-5.4}{v_0}$.

 $f_0^- \approx \frac{-5.4}{v_0}$. Following the steps similar to that corresponding to the charge density, and using (16) and (17) it can be easily shown that,

$$\Delta \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{q}) = Q(\mathbf{q}) \left[\mathbf{g}^+(\theta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k_0}}) + \mathbf{g}^-(\theta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k_0}}) \right]$$

where

$$\mathbf{g}^{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k_{0}}}) = -\sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}'}{m} + s\alpha\hat{\mathbf{k}'}\right) \left[V(\mathbf{0}) - \frac{1}{2}\left[1 + s\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k_{0}}})\right]V(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k_{0}})\right] \frac{\hat{\mathbf{k}'} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}\,\delta(k' - k_{F}^{s})}{\frac{1}{m}(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k_{0}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}} - \alpha(\hat{\mathbf{k_{0}}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}} - s\hat{\mathbf{k}'} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}})} (C8)$$

By fixing the $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_0$, i.e., the direction of propagation of the wave packet as the reference axis, the term $\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}_0})$ can be replaced by $\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'})$ in the second one of the above equations. Then, converting the sum into integral over $d\mathbf{k}'$ and doing the k' integration the above equation turns out to be,

$$\mathbf{g}^{s}(\theta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k}_{0}}) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} \frac{k_{F}^{s}}{4\pi} (\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}\hat{x} + \sin\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}\hat{y}) \frac{(1 + s\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'})}{\sqrt{(k_{F}^{s})^{2} + (k_{F}^{+})^{2} - 2k_{F}^{s}k_{F}^{+}\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} + \delta^{2}}} \times \frac{\cos\theta_{\mathbf{q}}}{(1 - \cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}_{0}})\cos\theta_{\mathbf{q}} - \sin\theta_{\mathbf{k}_{0}}\sin\theta_{\mathbf{q}}}.$$
(C9)

Then it is easy to determine the following,

$$g_{0}^{s}\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{0} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} \frac{k_{F}^{s}}{4\pi} \left(\frac{\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}\hat{x}(1+s\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'})}{\sqrt{(k_{F}^{s})^{2} + (k_{F}^{+})^{2} - 2k_{F}^{s}k_{F}^{+}\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} + \delta^{2}}} + \frac{\sin\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}\hat{y}(1+s\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'})}{\sqrt{(k_{F}^{s})^{2} + (k_{F}^{+})^{2} - 2k_{F}^{s}k_{F}^{+}\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} + \delta^{2}}} \right)$$
(C10)

where the second integration vanishes as the integrand is an odd function, and we get the following expressions for g_0^+ and g_0^- respectively,

$$g_0^+ = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{\pi} d\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} \left(\frac{\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}\hat{x}(1+\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'})}{\sqrt{1+b-\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}}} \right)$$

$$g_0^- = \frac{k_F^-}{2\pi\sqrt{(k_F^-)^2 + (k_F^+)^2}} \int_0^{\pi} d\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} \left(\frac{\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}\hat{x}(1-\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'})}{\sqrt{1+b'-\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}}} \right)$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{\pi} d\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} \left(\frac{\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}\hat{x}(1-\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'})}{\sqrt{1+b'-\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}}} \right)$$
(C11)

where it is assumed that $\alpha \ll v_0$. If we assume that $b \approx b'$ then the value of $g_0 = g_0^+ + g_0^-$ can be found to be,

$$g_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi} \left[\frac{E(\frac{2}{b+2})\left((b+1)\left[\frac{K(\frac{2}{b+2})}{E(\frac{2}{b+2})} - 1\right] - 1\right)}{\sqrt{b+2}} \right].$$
 (C12)

For any finite but small values of b the argument of the complete elliptic integral $0 \ll z (= \frac{2}{b+2}) \ll 1$ and in this interval $K(z) \gg E(Z)^{23}$, thereby signifying $g_0 > 0$. On the other hand when one considers b = 0, corresponding to $r_s = 0$, one get a divergent g_0 , however this limit corresponds to the Wigner crystallization where the notion of quasiparticle breaks.

Case 2, for strong RSOC: In the case of strong SOC, when $m\alpha^2/2 \gg \mu > 0$, the Fermi velocities corresponding to both the Rashba sub-bands no longer remain degenerate and the renormalized Fermi velocities follow, $\frac{v_{\pm}}{v_0} = 1 + \frac{1}{v_0} \ln(\frac{k_F^{\pm}}{\delta})$, where $v_0 \approx \alpha$ and $\delta \sim k_{TF}$; k_{TF} being the Thomas Fermi wave vector¹¹. Then from (C1) and (C2) it can be shown that,

$$f_{0}^{+} = -\frac{k_{F}^{+}}{4\pi^{2}v_{+}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} \left[V(\mathbf{0}) - \frac{1}{2} [1 + \cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{0}}})] V(k_{F}^{+}\hat{\mathbf{k}'} - k_{F}^{+}\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{0}}) \right]$$

$$f_{0}^{-} = -\frac{k_{F}^{-}(v_{-} - v_{+}\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{0}}})}{4\pi^{2}((v_{+})^{2} + (v_{-})^{2} - 2v_{+}v_{-}\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{0}}})} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} \left[V(\mathbf{0}) - \frac{1}{2} [1 - \cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{0}}})] V(k_{F}^{-}\hat{\mathbf{k}'} - k_{F}^{+}\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{0}}) \right], \quad (C13)$$

Rest of the calculations simply follow that corresponding to the equations (C4), (C5) and (C6), and one can again show that both f_0^+ and $f_0^- < 0$. A similar analysis can be performed for the current density too and one finds,

$$g_{0}^{+} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{0}^{\pi} d\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} \left(\frac{\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}\hat{x}(1+\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'})}{\sqrt{1+b-\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}}} \right)$$

$$g_{0}^{-} = \frac{k_{F}^{-}(v_{-}-v_{+}\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}_{0}})}{2\pi\sqrt{(k_{F}^{-})^{2}+(k_{F}^{+})^{2}}\left[(v_{+})^{2}+(v_{-})^{2}-2v_{+}v_{-}\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}_{0}}\right]} \int_{0}^{\pi} d\theta_{\mathbf{k}'} \left(\frac{\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}\hat{x}(1-\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'})}{\sqrt{1+b'-\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}'}}} \right).$$
(C14)

It can now be easily shown by following the steps corresponding to the case 1, that $g_0 > 0$. However, the magnitude strongly depends on the direction of propagation $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_0$, of the wave packet since the angle $\theta_{\mathbf{k}_0}$ determines the magnitude in this case.

* sbhjt72@gmail.com, subhajit@iopb.res.in

- ¹ I. Žutić, J. Fabian, and S. Das Sarma, Rev. Mod. Phys **76**, 323 (2004).
- ² H. Zhai, Rep. Prog. Phys. **78** (2015) 026001 (25pp).
- ³ A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gor'kov, and I. E. Dzyaloshinskii, "Methods of quantum field theory in statistical physics," English Translation by R. A. Silverman, Dover Publication

INC, New York, 1963, Chapter 4.

- ⁴ I. Pomeranchuk, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R) 35, 524 (1958).
- ⁵ S. Chesi, G. Simion, and G. F. Giuliani, arXiv:condmat/0702060.
- ⁶ L. O. Juri and P. I. Tamborenea, Phys. Rev. B 77, 233310 (2008).

- ⁷ C. Wu and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 036403 (2004)
- ⁸ C. Wu, K. Sun, E. Fradkin, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 115103 (2007).
- ⁹ A. V. Chubukov and D. L. Maslov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 216401 (2009).
- ¹⁰ E. Berg, M. S. Rudner, and S. A. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. B 85, 035116 (2012).
- ¹¹ A. Ashrafi, E. I. Rashba, and D. L. Maslov, Phys. Rev. B 88, 075115 (2013).
- ¹² P. Noziere and J. M. Luttinger, Phys. Rev. **127**, 1423 (1962).
- ¹³ O. Heinonen and W. Kohn, Phyr. Rev. B **36**, 3565 (1987).
- ¹⁴ O. Heinonen, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 7298 (1989).
- ¹⁵ E. Bocquillon, et.al., Science **339**, 1054-1057 (2013).
- ¹⁶ D. Marian, E. Colomes and X. Oriols, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **27**, 245302 (2015).
- ¹⁷ D. S. Saraga and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 195319 (2005).
 ¹⁸ G. F. Giuliani and G. Vignale, Quantum Theory of The Electron Liquid (Cambridge University Press, 2005), Section 1.3.2, Page 14.
- ¹⁹ In this analysis, the coulomb interaction is considered to be of the form $V(r) \sim \frac{1}{r}e^{-\delta r}$, i.e., it is operative in 3D,

while the electrons are constrained to move in 2D. In the case of a truly 2D coulomb interaction, being of the form $\ln(r)$, the Fermi liquid become unstable as has been shown in Ref. [20].

- ²⁰ R. Chaudhury and D. Gangopadhyay, Mod. Phys. Lett B
 9, 1657-1664 (1995).
- ²¹ A. A. Burkov, Alvaro S. Núñez, A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. B70, 155308 (2004).
- ²² G. Esposito, G. Marmo, and G. Sudarshan, "From Classical to Quantum Mechanics, An Introduction to the Formalism, Foundations and Applications," Cambridge University Press, New York, 2004, Page 246.
- ²³ M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, "Handbook of Mathematical Functions," Dover Publication, New York, 1965.
- ²⁴ J. Oliva and N. W. Ashcroft, Phys. Rev. B **23**, 6399 (1981).
- ²⁵ E. I. Rashba, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 241315(R) (2003).
- ²⁶ Junren Shi, Ping Zhang, Di Xiao, and Qian Niu, Phys. Rev. Lett **96**, 076604 (2006).
- ²⁷ N. Bray-Ali and Z. Nussinov, Phys. Rev. B 80, 012401 (2009).
- ²⁸ G. Dresselhaus, Phys. Rev. **100**, 580 (1955).