The rules of long DNA-sequences and tetra-groups of oligonucleotides

Sergey V. Petoukhov

Head of Laboratory of Biomechanical System, Mechanical Engineering Research Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow

spetoukhov@gmail.com, http://petoukhov.com/

Comment: Some materials of this article were presented by the author in the keynote speech at the congress on energy and information medicine «Energiemedizin 2017» (10-11 June 2017, Bad Soden, Germany,

http://dgeim.de/energiemedizin-kongress-2017/ and in the keynote speech at the international conference "Artificial Intelligence, Medical Engineering, Education" (Moscow, Russia, 21-23 August 2017, http://www.ruscnconf.org/aimee2017/index.html).

Abstract. The article is devoted to hidden symmetries in long sequences of oligonucleotides of single stranded DNA. Represented author's results have revealed a new class of symmetries in long DNA-sequences from their representative set. These symmetries are an addition to symmetries described by the second Chargaff's parity rule (%A \cong %T and %G \cong %C). These new symmetries and their rules are called "tetra-group symmetries and rules" since they are connected with tetra-groups of oligonucleotides and with probabilities of members of these tetra-groups in long DNA-sequences. The tetra-group rules are considered as possible candidacies for the role of universal rules of long DNA-sequences. A quantum-informational model of origin of the tetra-group symmetries is proposed taking into account that DNA-texts should obey principles of quantum mechanics and quantum informatics. In this model, nitrogenous bases C, T, G, A of DNA are represented as computational basis states of 2-qubit quantum CTGA-systems. A model explanation of the second Chargaff's rule from the point of view of quantum informatics is proposed. An important role of resonances and photons in quantum-informational genetics is noted.

Key words. Chargaff's rules, symmetry, long DNA-sequence, tetra-group of oligonucleotides, probabilities, tensor product, quantum informatics, qubit, resonance, photon

CONTENT

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Tetra-groups of oligonucleotides and probabilities of tetra-group members
- 3. Initial arguments in favor of tetra-group rules of long sequences of oligonucleotides
- 4. DNA-alphabets, genetic binary oppositions and the tensor product of matrices

- 5. DNA-texts and quantum informatics
- 6. The explanation of the second Chargaff's parity rule on the basis of the quantum-informational approach
- 7. About short DNA-texts
- 8. Resonances, photons and quantum-informational genetics
- 9. Some concluding remarks

Appendix 1. Additional data about tetra-group rules and tetra-group

symmetries in long DNA-texts

References

1. Introduction.

Two Chargaff's parity rules are well known in genetics. They are important because they point to a kind of "grammar of biology" (these words were used by E.Chargaff in the title of his article [Chargaf, 1971]): a set of hidden rules that govern the structure of DNA. The first Chargaff's parity rule states that in any double-stranded DNA segment, the number of occurrences (or frequencies) of adenine A and thymine T are equal, and so are frequencies of cytosine C and guanine G [Chargaff, 1951, 1971]. The rule was an important clue that J.Watson and F.Crick used to develop their model of the double helix structure of DNA.

The second Chargaff's parity rule states that both %A \cong %T and %G \cong %C are approximately valid in single stranded DNA for long nucleotide sequences. Many works of different authors are devoted to confirmations and discussions of this second Chargaff's rule [Albrecht-Buehler, 2006, 2007; Baisnee, Hampson, Baldi, 2002; Bell, Forsdyke, 1999; Chargaff, 1971, 1975; Dong, Cuticchia, 2001; Forsdyke, 1995, 2002, 2006; Forsdyke, Bell, 2004; Mitchell, Bridge, 2006; Okamura, Wei, Scherer, 2007; Perez, 2013; Prabhu, 1993; Rapoport, Trifonov, 2012; Sueoka, 1995; Yamagishi, Herai, 2011]. Originally, CSPR is meant to be valid only to mononucleotide frequencies (that is quantities of monoplets) in single stranded DNA. "But, it occurs that oligonucleotide frequencies follow a generalized Chargaff's second parity rule (GCSPR) where the frequency of an oligonucleotide is approximately equal to its complement reverse oligonucleotide frequency [Prahbu, 1993]. This is known in the literature as the Symmetry Principle" [Yamagishi, Herai, 2011, p. 2]. The work [Prahbu, 1993] shows the implementation of the Symmetry Principle in long DNA-sequences for cases of complementary reverse n-plets with n = 2, 3, 4, 5 at least. (In literature, a few synonimes of the term "n-plets" are used: n-tuples, n-words or n-mers).

These parity rules, including generalized Chargaff's second parity rule for n-plets in long nucleotide sequences, concerns the equality of frequencies of two separate mononucleotides or two separate oligonucleotides, for example: the equality of frequencies of adenine and thymine; the equality of frequences of the doublet CA and its complement-reverse doublet TG; the equality of the triplets CAT and its complement-reverse triplet ATG, etc. By contrast to this, to study hidden symmetries in long sequences of oligonucleotides of single stranded DNA, we apply a comparative analysis of equalities not for frequencies of separate oligonucleotides but for aggregated frequencies (or collective frequences) of oligonucleotides from separate members of their certain tetra-groups. Below we explain the notion of these tetra-groups of oligonucleotides and represent new tetra-group rules of long sequences of oligonucleotides for single stranded DNA.

2. Tetra-groups of oligonucleotides and probabilities of tetra-group members

Information in DNA strands is written by means of the tetra-group of nitrogenous bases: adenine A, cytosine C, guanine G and thymine T (in RNA the tetra-group of nitrogenous bases contains uracil U instead of thymine T). E.Chargaff has received both his parity rules by comparative analysis of frequencies of each of 4 members of this tetra-group of mononucleotides in DNA. He and his followers studied DNA sequences as sequences of mononucleotides, frequences of separate fragments of which were compared. In other words, Chargaff studied long DNA-texts in a form of texts of 1-letter words and calculated frequencies (or probabilities) of each of 4 members of the genetic tetra-group of 1-letter words A, T, C and G.

But it is obvious that each of long DNA-sequences (for example, the sequence CAGGTATCGAAT...) can be represented not only in the form of the text of 1-letter words (C-A-G-G-T-A-T-C-G-A-A-T-...) but also in the form of the text of 2-letter words (CA-GG-TA-TC-GA-AT-...) or in the form of the text of 3-letter words (CAG-GTA-TCG-AAT-...) or in the form of the text of n-letter words in a general case. Any of such long DNA-texts of n-letter words can be considered as a collection of 4 members of possible tetra-groups, each of which is defined by an attribute of one of 4 letters A, T, C at a certain position inside n-letter words of the DNA-text. As we know, till now nobody studied systematically frequencies and probabilities of members of such tetra-groups in DNA-texts of n-letter words. This article repreprents some results of author's study of hidden symmetries in long DNA-texts of n-letter words.

In other speaking, in contrast to Chargaff, in our approach, firstly, we analyzes DNA sequences not as sequences of mononucleotides but as sequences of oligonucleotides: doublets, triplets, 4-plets, 5-plets, etc. Secondly, we compare not values of separate frequencies of individual oligonucleotides in long nucleotide sequences but values of sums of indvidual frequencies of all oligonucleotides, which belong to each of 4 members of special tetra-groups of oligonucleotides of the same length (such sum is called a collective frequency of the member of the tetra-group). At the final stage of the analysis we compare collective probabilities (or percentage) of collective frequencies of different members of such tetra-groups in the considered sequence. The mentioned tetragroups are formed in each of considered cases by means of certain positional attributes of the letters A, T, C and G inside oligonucleotides of the same length. Each of 4 members of such tetra-group combines all oligonucleotides of the same length n (n-plets), which possess the same letter at their certain position. To simplify the explanation, Fig. 1 shows the example of two tetra-groups, which are formed and studyed by us for the analysis of long sequences of doublets.

Members of tetra- groups	Composition of the tetra-group of doublets with the same letter at their first position	Composition of the tetra-group of doublets with the same letter at their second position
A-member	AA, AC, AG, AT	AA, CA, GA, TA
T-member	TC, TA, TT, TG	CT, AT, TT, GT
C-member	CC, CA, CT, CG	CC, AC, TC, GC
G-member	GC, GA, GT, GG	CG, AG, TG, GG

Fig. 1. Compositions of two tetra-groups of doublets with 4 doublets in each of their 4 members.

In the first tetra-group in Fig. 1, the complete alphabet of 16 doublets is divided into 4 subsets with 4 doublets in each by the attribute of the same letter on the first position in each of doublets. The complect of these 4 subsets is called the tetra-group of doublets on the basis of this attribute; each of the 4 subsets is called a member of the tetra-group; each of 4 members has its individual name with an indication of its characteristic letter (A-member, T-member, C-member and G-member). In the second tetra-group (Fig. 1, right) the complete set of 16 doublets is divided into 4 subsets with 4 doublets in each by the attribute of the same letter on the second position in each of doublets. It is obvious that corresponding members of both tetra-groups of doublets in Fig. 1 (left and right columns) are interrelated on the basis of cyclic shifts of positions in doublets. For example, the set of doublets AA, AC, AG, AT in the A-member of the first tetragroup (Fig. 1, left column) is transformed into the set of doublets AA, CA, GA, TA of the second tetra-group (Fig. 1, right column) by the cyclic shift of positions in doublets. Similar mutual transformations of tetra-groups on the basis of cyclic shifts are also valid in the case of alphabets of triplets, 4-plets, etc. Fig. 2 shows three tetra-groups of triplets, which are used for the analysis of long sequences of triplets.

r			
	Composition of the	Composition of the	Composition of the
	tetra-group of	tetra-group of	tetra-group of
	triplets with the	triplets with the	triplets with the
	same letter at their	same letter at their	same letter at their
	1st position	2nd position	3rd position
A-member	AAA, AAC, AAG, AAT,	AAA, CAA, GAA, TAA,	AAA, CAA, GAA, TAA,
of the	ATA, ATC, ATG, ATT,	AAT, CAT, GAT, TAT,	CTA, ATA, TTA, GTA,
group	ACA, ACC, ACG, ACT,	AAC, CAC, GAC, TAC,	CCA, CAA, CTA, CGA,
	AGA, AGC, AGG, AGT	AAG, CAG, GAG, TAG	CGA, AGA, TGA, GGA
T-member	TAA, TAC, TAG, TAT,	ΑΤΑ, СΤΑ, GΤΑ, ΤΤΑ,	AAT, CAT, GAT, TAT,
of the	TTA, TTC, TTG, TTT,	ATT, CTT, GTT, TTT,	CTT, ATT, TTT, GTT,
group	TCA, TCC, TCG, TCT,	ATC, CTC, GTC, TTC,	CCT, CAT, CTT, CGT,
	TGA, TGC, TGG, TGT	ATG, CTG, GTG, TTG	CGT, AGT, TGT, GGT

C-member	CAA, CAC, CAG, CAT,	ACA, CCA, GCA, TCA,	AAC, CAC, GAC, TAC,
of the	CTA, CTC, CTG, CTT,	ACT, CCT, GCT, TCT,	CTC, ATC, TTC, GTC,
group	CCA, CCC, CCG, CCT,	ACC, CCC, GCC, TCC,	CCC, CAC, CTC, CGC,
	CGA, CGC, CGG, CGT	ACG, CCG, GCG, TCG	CGC, AGC, TGC, GGC
G-member	GAA, GAC, GAG, GAT,	AGA, CGA, GGA, TGA,	AAG, CAG, GAG, TAG,
of the	GTA, GTC, GTG, GTT,	AGT, CGT, GGT, TGT,	CTG, ATG, TTG, GTG,
group	GCA, GCC, GCG, GCT,	AGC, CGC, GGC, TGC,	CCG, CAG, CTG, CGG,
	GGA, GGC, GGG, GGT	AGG, CGG, GGG, TGG	CGG, AGG, TGG, GGG

Fig. 2. Compositions of three tetra-groups of triplets with 16 triplets in each of their 4 members.

In a general case of a sequence of n-plets, the complete alphabet of 4^n n-plets is divided into 4 subsets with 4^{n-1} n-plets in each by the attribute of the identical letter on the chosen position inside n-plets. In this case n tetra-groups of n-plets are formed:

- The tetra-group on the basis of the attribute of the same letter on the 1st position of n-plets;
- The tetra-group on the basis of the attribute of the same letter on the 2nd position of n-plets;
-
- The tetra-group on the basis of the attribute of the same letter on the n-th position of n-plets.

We use the symbol Σ_n (n = 1,2, 3, 4,...) to denote the total quantity of n-plets in the considered DNA-sequence of n-plets; for example, the expression Σ_3 =100000 means that an analyzed sequence of triplets contains 100000 triplets. Let us define notions and symbols of collective frequences and collective probabilities of members of an individual tetra-groups in long sequences of nplets, where each of n-plets has its individual frequency (or number of its occurrences): for example, doublets have their individual frequencies F(CC), F(CA), etc.

In a long sequence of n-plets, collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$ and $F_n(G_k)$ of 4 members of a tetra-group of n-plets are defined as the sum of all individual frequencies of n-plets belong to this member (here the index n denotes the length of n-plets; the index k = 1, 2, 3, ..., n denotes the position of the letter in n-plets). For example, in the case of a sequence of triplets with the letters A, C, G and G on the second positions of triplets, these collective frequencies are denoted $F_3(A_2)$, $F_3(T_2)$, $F_3(C_2)$ and $F_3(G_2)$; correspondingly the expression $F_3(A_2)$ = 50000 means that a considered sequence of triplets contains 50000 triplets with the letter A in their second positions. Fig. 3 shows appropriate definitions of collective frequencies $F_2(A_k)$, $F_2(T_k)$, $F_2(C_k)$ and $F_2(G_k)$ for the case of sequences of doublets (here k=1,2), which are analyzed from the standpoint of both tetra-groups from Fig. 1. Fig. 3 also shows – for the case of sequences of tetra-groups; in general case these probalities are defined by expressions $P_n(A_k) = F_n(A_k)/\Sigma_n$, $P_n(T_k) = F_n(T_k)/\Sigma_n$, $P_n(C_k) = F_n(C_k)/\Sigma_n$

and $P_n(G_k) = F_n(G_k)/\Sigma_n$. Below we represent the tetra-group rules for these collective probabilities, which are sum of individual probabilities of separate n-plets. More precisely, in the case of a sequence of n-plets, the probabilities of such of 4 members of its 4 tetra-groups is sum of 4^{n-1} individual probabilities of such n-plets. For example, in the case of sequence of 5-plets, the probability $P_5(A_1)$ of the tetra-group member, which combines 5-plets with the letter A at their first position, contains 4^4 =256 individual probabilities of 5-plets: $P_5(A_1) = P(AAAAA) + P(AAAAT) + P(AAAAC) + ...,$ etc.

$F_2(A_1)=F(AA)+F(AC)+F(AG)+F(AT)$	$F_2(A_2)=F(AA)+F(CA)+F(GA)+F(TA)$
$P_2(A_1) = F_2(A_1) / \Sigma_2$	$P_2(A_2) = F_2(A_2) / \Sigma_2$
$F_2(T_1)=F(TC)+F(TA)+F(TT)+F(TG)$	$F_2(T_2)=F(CT)+F(AT)+F(TT)+F(GT)$
$P_2(T_1) = F_2(T_1) / \Sigma_2$	$P_2(T_2) = F_2(T_2) / \Sigma_2$
$F_2(C_1)=F(CC)+F(CA)+F(CT)+F(CG)$	$F_2(C_2)=F(CC)+F(AC)+F(TC)+F(GC)$
$P_2(C_1) = F_2(C_1) / \Sigma_2$	$P_2(C_2) = F_2(C_2) / \Sigma_2$
$F_2(G_1)=F(GC)+F(GA)+F(GT)+F(GG)$	$F_2(G_2)=F(CG)+F(AG)+F(TG)+F(GG)$
$P_2(G_1) = F_2(G_1) / \Sigma_2$	$P_2(G_2) = F_2(G_2) / \Sigma_2$

Fig. 3. The definition of collective frequences $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective propabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$, $P_n(G_k)$ for long sequences of doublets. Left: the case of the tetra-group of doublets with the same letter at their first position (Fig. 1). Right: the case of the tetra-group of doublets with the same letter at their second position (Fig. 1). The symbols F(AA), F(AC), ... denote individual frequencies of doublets.

Two members of any tetra-group of n-plets with the complementary letters on the characteristic positions are conditionally called complementary members of the appropriate tetra-group. For example, the A-member and the T-member are complementary members in each of two tetra-groups in Fig. 1. Such complementary members participate in one of the represented tetra-group rules of long sequences of n-plets in single stranded DNA.

3. Initial arguments in favor of tetra-group rules of long sequences of oligonucleotides

It is generally accepted that long sequences contain more than 50 thousands or 100 thousands nucleotides [Albrecht-Buehler, 2006; Prahbu, 1993; Rapoport, Trifonov, 2012]. In this Section we show data of analysis of two sequences of Homo sapiens chromosomes, each of which has its length of exactly one million nucleotides (only two these sequences of such length are retrieved from Entrez Search Field of Genbank by the known range operator 1000000:1000001[SLEN], https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Sitemap/samplerecord.html). Fig. 4 shows calculation data of the first of them from the standpoint of the proposed tetragroup approach: Homo sapiens chromosome 7 sequence, ENCODE region ENm012. accession NT 086368, NT 086368.3, version https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NT_086368.3. These data include collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$, $P_n(G_k)$ of members of appropriate tetra-groups of doublets, triplets, 4-plets and 5-plets of the sequence. We use the data in Fig. 4 to formulate the suppositional tetra-group rules for long sequences of oligonucleotides in single stranded DNA. Below the formulated tetra-group rules

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$\Sigma_1 = 1000000$	$\Sigma_2 = 500000$	$\Sigma_3 = 3333333$	$\Sigma_4 = 250000$	$\Sigma_5 = 200000$
F ₁ (A ₁)=307519	$F_2(A_1)=153652$	$F_3(A_1)=102657$	$F_4(A_1) = 76990$	F ₅ (A ₁)=61097
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,3075	$P_2(A_1)=0,3073$	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,3080	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,3080	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,3055
$F_1(T_1)=335023$	$F_2(T_1)=167514$	$F_3(T_1)=111609$	F ₄ (T ₁)=83590	$F_5(T_1)=67004$
$P_1(T_1)=0,3350$	$P_2(T_1)=0,3350$	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,3348	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,3344	P ₅ (T ₁)=0,3350
$F_1(C_1)=176692$	$F_2(C_1)=88158$	$F_3(C_1)=58893$	$F_4(C_1)=44181$	$F_5(C_1)=35525$
$P_1(C_1)=0,1767$	$P_2(C_1)=0,1763$	$P_3(C_1)=0,1767$	P ₄ (C ₁)=0,1767	$P_5(C_1)=0,1776$
$F_1(G_1)=180766$	$F_2(G_1)=90676$	$F_3(G_1) = 60174$	$F_4(G_1)=45239$	$F_5(G_1)=36374$
$P_1(G_1)=0,1808$	$P_2(G_1)=0,1813$	$P_3(G_1)=0,1805$	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,1810	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,1819
	$F_2(A_2)=153867$	$F_3(A_2)=102597$	$F_4(A_2) = 77218$	$F_5(A_2)=61441$
	$P_2(A_2)=0,3077$	$P_3(A_2)=0,3078$	$P_4(A_2)=0,3089$	$P_5(A_2)=0,3072$
	$F_2(T_2)=167509$	$F_3(T_2)=111658$	$F_4(T_2)=83756$	$F_5(T_2)=67042$
	$P_2(T_2)=0,3350$	$P_3(T_2)=0,3350$	$P_4(T_2)=0,3350$	$P_5(T_2)=0,3352$
	$F_2(C_2)=88534$	$F_3(C_2)=58812$	$F_4(C_2)=44168$	$F_5(C_2)=35440$
	$P_2(C_2)=0,1771$	$P_3(C_2)=0,1764$	$P_4(C_2)=0,1767$	$P_5(C_2)=0,1772$
	$F_2(G_2) = 90090$	$F_3(G_2) = 60266$	$F_4(G_2) = 44858$	$F_5(G_2)=36077$
	$P_2(G_2)=0,1802$	$P_3(G_2)=0,1808$	P ₄ (G ₂)=0,1794	$P_5(G_2)=0,1804$
		$F_3(A_3) = 102265$	$F_4(A_3) = 76662$	$F_5(A_3)=61621$
		$P_3(A_3)=0,3068$	$P_4(A_3)=0,3066$	$P_5(A_3)=0,3081$
		$F_3(T_3)=111755$	$F_4(T_3) = 83924$	$F_5(T_3) = 67118$
		$P_3(T_3)=0,3353$	$P_4(T_3)=0,3357$	$P_5(T_3)=0,3356$
		$F_3(C_3) = 58987$	$F_4(C_3)=43977$	$F_5(C_3)=35198$
		$P_3(C_3)=0,1770$	$P_4(C_3)=0,1759$	$P_5(C_3)=0,1760$
		$F_3(G_3) = 60326$	$F_4(G_3) = 45437$	$F_5(G_3)=36063$
		$P_3(G_3)=0,1810$	$P_4(G_3)=0,1817$	$P_5(G_3)=0,1803$
			$F_4(A_4) = 76649$	$F_5(A_4) = 61706$
			$P_4(A_4)=0,3066$	$P_5(A_4)=0,3085$
			$F_4(T_4) = 83753$	$F_5(T_4) = 66970$
			$P_4(T_4)=0,3350$	$P_5(T_4)=0,3348$
			$F_4(C_4) = 44366$	$F_5(C_4)=35313$
			$P_4(C_4)=0,1775$	$P_5(C_4)=0,1766$
			$F_4(G_4) = 45232$	$F_5(G_4)=36011$
			$P_4(G_4)=0,1809$	$P_5(G_4)=0,1801$
				$F_5(A_5)=61654$
				$P_5(A_5)=0,3083$
				$F_5(I_5)=000000000000000000000000000000000000$
				$F_5(15)=0,3344$
				$\Gamma_5(U_5)=35210$ $P_2(C_2)=0.1761$
				$F_{15}(C_{2}) - 26241$
				$\Gamma_{5}(U_{5}) = 30241$ $P_{-}(C_{-}) = 0.1912$
				r5[65]=0,1812

Fig. 4. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$ and $F_n(G_k)$ and also collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and k ≤ n) of members of tetra-groups for sequences of n-plets, which have the same letter in their position k, in the case of the following sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 7 sequence, 1000000 bp, encode region ENm012, accession NT_086368, version NT_086368.3, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NT_086368.3. Collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ are marked by red for a visual comfort of their comparison each with other.

will be confirmed by similar analysis of a representative set of other long nucleotide sequences from Genbank. By analogy with the generalized Chargaff's

second rule, in cases of these new rules it is assumed that the length "n" of considered n-plets is much smaller than the length of the studied sequence. At this stage we study only long DNA-sequences of doublets, triplets, 4-plets and 5-plets (or, in other words, we study long DNA-texts in their different forms of representations: as texts of 1-letter words; as texts of 2-letter words; as texts of 3-letter words; as texts of 5-letter words).

From data in Fig. 4 one can assume existence of the following tetra-group rules for long sequences of oligonucleotides (these rules are confirmed by similar analizes of a set of other long DNA-sequences represented below).

The first tetra-group rule (the rule of approximate equality of the collective probabilities of n-plets with the same letter in their fixed position k, regardless of the length n of the considered n-plets):

• In long sequences of n-plets of single stranded DNA, collective probabilites $P_n(X_k)$ (X = A, T, C, G; k ≤ n; n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,.. is not too large) of those subset of n-plets, which have the letter X in their position k, are approximately equal to the individual probability of the nucleotide X independently on values n.

This first rule can be also formulated in another way:

• If a long sequence of single stranded DNA is represented in different forms of texts of n-letter words (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.... is not too large), then - in these texts - probabilities of words with the letter X (X = A, T, C, G) in their position $k \le n$ are approximately equal to each other independently on values n.

For example, Fig. 4 shows the following approximate symmetries:

- $-P_1(A_1)=0,3075 \cong P_2(A_1)=0,3073 \cong P_3(A_1)=0,3080 \cong P_4(A_1)=0,3080 \cong P_5(A_1)=0,3055;$
- $-P_{1}(T_{1})=0,3350\cong P_{2}(T_{1})=0,3350\cong P_{3}(T_{1})=0,3348\cong P_{4}(T_{1})=0,3344\cong P_{5}(T_{1})=0,3350;$
- $-P_{1}(C_{1})=0,1767\cong P_{2}(C_{1})=0,1763\cong P_{3}(C_{1})=0,1767\cong P_{4}(C_{1})=0,1767\cong P_{5}(C_{1})=0,1776;$
- $-P_{1}(G_{1})=0,1808\cong P_{2}(G_{1})=0,1813\cong P_{3}(G_{1})=0,1805\cong P_{4}(G_{1})=0,1810\ \cong\ P_{5}(G_{1})=0,1819.$

For a more convenient vision of this rule and corresponding symmetrical relations, Fig. 5 reproduces separately data about collective frequencies $P_n(X_k)$ (where X = A, T, C, G) from Fig. 4. Each of tabular rows contains approximately identical values of collective probalities and – for emphasizing this fact – all its cells are marked by the same color.

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$\Sigma_1 = 1000000$	$\Sigma_2 = 500000$	$\Sigma_3 = 3333333$	$\Sigma_4 = 250000$	$\Sigma_5 = 200000$
$P_1(A_1) = 0,3075$	$P_2(A_1)=0,3073$	$P_3(A_1)=0,3080$	$P_4(A_1)=0,3080$	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,3055
$P_1(T_1)=0,3350$	$P_2(T_1)=0,3350$	$P_3(T_1)=0,3348$	$P_4(T_1)=0,3344$	$P_5(T_1)=0,3350$
$P_1(C_1)=0,1767$	$P_2(C_1)=0,1763$	$P_3(C_1)=0,1767$	$P_4(C_1)=0,1767$	$P_{5}(C_{1})=0,1776$
$P_1(G_1)=0,1808$	$P_2(G_1)=0,1813$	$P_3(G_1)=0,1805$	$P_4(G_1)=0,1810$	$P_5(G_1)=0,1819$
	$P_2(A_2)=0,3077$	$P_3(A_2)=0,3078$	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,3089	$P_5(A_2)=0,3072$
	$P_2(T_2)=0,3350$	$P_3(T_2)=0,3350$	$P_4(T_2)=0,3350$	$P_5(T_2)=0,3352$
	$P_2(C_2)=0,1771$	$P_3(C_2)=0,1764$	$P_4(C_2)=0,1767$	$P_5(C_2)=0,1772$
	$P_2(G_2)=0,1802$	$P_3(G_2)=0,1808$	$P_4(G_2)=0,1794$	$P_5(G_2)=0,1804$
		$P_3(A_3)=0,3068$	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,3066	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,3081
		$P_3(T_3)=0,3353$	$P_4(T_3)=0,3357$	$P_5(T_3)=0,3356$
		$P_3(C_3)=0,1770$	$P_4(C_3)=0,1759$	$P_5(C_3)=0,1760$
		$P_3(G_3)=0,1810$	$P_4(G_3)=0,1817$	$P_5(G_3)=0,1803$
			P ₄ (A ₄)=0,3066	$P_5(A_4)=0,3085$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0,3350$	$P_5(T_4)=0,3348$
			P ₄ (C ₄)=0,1775	$P_5(C_4)=0,1766$
			$P_4(G_4)=0,1809$	$P_5(G_4)=0,1801$
				P ₅ (A ₅)=0,3083
				$P_5(T_5)=0,3344$
				$P_5(C_5)=0,1761$
				$P_5(G_5)=0,1812$

Fig. 5. Collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ from Fig. 4.

The first tetra-group rule can be graphically illustrated by a particular example in Fig. 6 for the same long DNA-sequence (Fig. 4).



Fig. 6. The illustration of the dependence of collective probabilities $P_n(A_1)$, $P_n(T_1)$, $P_n(C_1)$ and $P_n(G_1)$ from the length "n" of n-plets in the case of Homo sapiens chromosome 7 sequence, 1000000 bp. Numerical data are taken from Fig. 4.

The first tetra-group rule and corresponding symmetrical relations can be briefly expressed by the following expression (1) for any of values n = 1,2, 3, 4, ... under the condition of a fixed value of the index k:

$$P_1(X_1) \cong P_n(X_k), \ k \le n, \tag{1}$$

where X means any of letters A, T, C and G; n = 1, 2, 3, 4,... is not too large.

One should remind here that in the expression (1) various collective probabilities $P_n(X_k)$ are sum of individual probabilities of very different quantities of n-plets: the collective probability $P_2(A_1)$ is sum of individual probabilities of 4 doublets, the collective probability $P_3(A_1)$ is sum of individual probabilities of 16 triplets, the collective probability $P_4(A_1)$ is sum of individual probabilities of 64 tetraplets and the collective probability $P_5(A_1)$ is sum of individual probabilities of 256 pentaplets.

The second tetra-group rule (the rule of approximate equality of collective probabilities of n-plets with the same letter in their position k, regardless of the value of the positional index k in the considered n-plets):

• In long sequences of n-plets of single stranded DNA, collective probabilites $P_n(X_k)$ (X = A, T, C, G; k ≤ n; n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,.. is not too large) of those subset of n-plets, which have the letter X in their position k, are approximately equal to the individual probability of the nucleotide X independently on values k.

This second rule can be also formulated in another way:

• If a long sequence of single stranded DNA is represented in different forms of texts of n-letter words (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.... is not too large), then - in these texts - probabilities of words with the letter X (X = A, T, C, G) in

their position $k \le n$ are approximately equal to each other independently on values k.

Fig. 5 facilitates a vision of this rule and corresponding symmetrical relations in the considered DNA-sequence: each of tabular columns contains approximately identical values of collective probalities and – for emphasizing this fact – all such cells are marked by the same color.

For example, one can see from data in Fig. 4 the following approximate symmetries:

 $-P_{5}(A_{1})=0,3055 \cong P_{5}(A_{2})=0,3072 \cong P_{5}(A_{3})=0,3081 \cong P_{5}(A_{4})=0,3085 \cong P_{5}(A_{5})=0,3083;$ $-P_{5}(T_{1})=0,3350 \cong P_{5}(T_{2})=0,3352 \cong P_{5}(T_{3})=0,3356 \cong P_{5}(T_{4})=0,3348 \cong P_{5}(T_{5})=0,3344;$ $-P_{5}(C_{1})=0,1776 \cong P_{5}(C_{2})=0,1772 \cong P_{5}(C_{3})=0,1760 \cong P_{5}(C_{4})=0,1766 \cong P_{5}(C_{5})=0,1761;$ $-P_{5}(G_{1})=0,1819 \cong P_{5}(G_{2})=0,1804 \cong P_{5}(G_{3})=0,1803 \cong P_{5}(G_{4})=0,1801 \cong P_{5}(G_{5})=0,1812.$

The second tetra-group rule and corresponding approximate symmetries can be graphically illustrated by a particular example in Fig. 7 for the same long DNAsequence (Fig. 4).



Fig. 7. The illustration of the dependence of collective probabilities $P_5(A_k)$, $P_5(T_k)$, $P_5(C_k)$ and $P_5(G_k)$ of appropriate members of tetra-groups from the index k of a position of the letter in 5-plets in the case of the sequence of 5-plets of Homo sapiens chromosome 7 sequence, 1000000 bp. Numerical data are taken from Fig. 4.

The second tetra-group rule and corresponding approximate symmetries can be briefly expressed by the following expression (2) for any of values k under the condition of a fixed value of a length n of n-plets:

$$P_n(X_1) \cong P_n(X_k), \quad k \le n, \tag{2}$$

where X means any of letters A, T, C and G; n = 1, 2, 3, 4,... is not too large.

One can see from expressions (1) and (2) that the first rule and the second rule can be jointly expressed in a brief way by the expression (3) without the mentioned conditions of a fixation of values n and k:

$$P_1(X_1) \cong P_n(X_k), \quad k \le n, \tag{3}$$

where X means any of letters A, T, C and G; n = 1, 2, 3, 4,... is not too large.

The third tetra-group rule (the rule of approximate equality of collective probabilities of complementary members of tetra-groups):

• in tetra-groups of long sequences of n-plets of single stranded DNA, collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$ and $P_n(T_k)$ of the complementary A- and T-members of tetra-groups are approximately equal to each other. The same is true for collective probabilities $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ of the complementary C- and G-members of tetra-groups.

This third rule can be also formulated in another way:

• If a long sequence of single stranded DNA is represented in different forms of texts of n-letter words (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.... is not too large), then - in these texts - probabilities of words with the complementary letters A and T in their position k are approximately equal to each other. The same is true for probabilities of words with the complementary letters C and G in their position k.

This rule is expressed by expressions (4) for any of considered values of n and k, where $k \le n$ and n = 1, 2, 3, 4, ... is not too large:

$$P_n(A_k) \cong P_n(T_k) \text{ and } P_n(C_k) \cong P_n(G_k).$$
 (4)

For example, one can see from data in Fig. 4 for the case k=1 the following:

- $P_1(A_1)=0,3075 \cong P_1(T_1)=0,3350; P_2(A_1)=0,3073 \cong P_2(T_1)=0,3350;$ $P_3(A_1)=0,3080 \cong P_3(T_1)=0,3348; P_4(A_1)=0,3080 \cong P_4(T_1)=0,3344;$ $P_5(A_1)=0,3055 \cong P_5(T_1)=0,3350;$
- P₁(C₁)=0,1767 \cong P₁(G₁)=0,1808; P₂(C₁)=0,1763 \cong P₂(G₁)=0,1813; P₃(C₁)=0,1767 \cong P₃(G₁)=0,1805; P₄(C₁)=0,1767 \cong P₄(G₁)=0,1810; P₅(C₁)=0,1776 \cong P₅(G₁)=0,1819.

The similar situation is true for cases k = 2, 3, 4, 5 in Fig. 4.

We emphasize that approximately equal collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$ and $F_n(T_k)$ of the complementary A- and T-members of tetra-groups (as well as $F_n(C_k)$ and $F_n(G_k)$ of the complementary C- and G-members), which are used in expressions (4), can differ significantly by values of individual frequences of nplets in them. For example, for the sequence of doublets in Fig. 4, these collective frequencies are sum of the following individual frequencies of separate doublets:

 $-F_{2}(A_{1}) = F(AA) + F(AC) + F(AG) + F(AT) = 52506 + 23434 + 31500 + 46212,$ $-F_{2}(T_{1}) = F(TT) + F(TG) + F(TC) + F(TA) = 61483 + 35978 + 29290 + 40763,$ $-F_{2}(C_{1}) = F(CA) + F(CC) + F(CG) + F(CT) = 32721 + 32721 + 2800 + 33533,$ $-F_{2}(G_{1}) = F(GT) + F(GG) + F(GC) + F(GA) = 26281 + 19812 + 16706 + 27877$ (5)

One can see from (5) that, for example, the individual frequency F(CG)=2800, which is used in the expression of the collective frequency $F_2(C_1)$ of the C-member, differs by a factor of 6 from the individual frequency of the

complementary doublet F(GC)=16706, which is used in the expression of the collective frequency $F_2(G_1)$ of the complementary G-member. Correspondingly the individual probability $P(CG) = F(CG)/\Sigma_2 = 2800/500000 = 0,0056$ differs by a factor of 6 from the individual probability of the complementary doublet $P(GC) = F(GC)/\Sigma_2 = 16706/500000 = 0,0334$. This indicates that the described tetra-group rules can't be reduced to rules of individual n-plets, but they form a special class of rules of a collective organization in oligonucleotide sequences of single stranded DNA.

The third tetra-group rule can be considered as a generalization of the second Chargaff's parity rule, which states an approximate equality of individual frequences of complementary letters $F(A) \cong F(T)$ and $F(A) \cong F(T)$ (or probabilities $P(A) \cong P(T)$ and $P(A) \cong P(T)$ in long nucleotide sequences of single stranded DNA. In the case of the sequence in Fig. 4, the second Chargaff's parity rule is expressed by expressions $P_1(A_1)=0,3075 \cong P_1(T_1)=0,3350$ and $P_1(C_1)=0,1767 \cong$ $P_1(G_1)=0,1808$. The level of accuracy of the second Chargaff's rule execution for this sequence is determined by the difference of probabilities: for the probabilities of complementary letters A and T in the mononucleotide sequence, this difference is equal to $P_1(T_1)-P_1(A_1) = 0,3350-0,3075 = 0,0275$, and for the probabilities of complementary letters C and G it is equal to $P_1(G_1)-P_1(C_1) =$ 0,1808-0,1767 = 0,0041. For the analyzed sequence (Fig. 4), Fig. 8 shows that the same level of accuracy $P_1(T_1)-P_1(A_1)=0,0275$ is approximately executed for all differencies $P_n(T_k)$ - $P_n(A_k)$, and that the same level of accuracy $P_1(G_1)$ - $P_1(C_1)=0.0041$ is approximately executed for all differencies $P_n(G_k)-P_n(C_k)$. It testifies that the second Chargaff's parity rule can be considered as a particular case of the third tetra-group rule.

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(T_1)-P_1(A_1)$	$P_2(T_1)-P_2(A_1)$	$P_3(T_1)-P_3(A_1)$	$P_4(T_1)-P_4(A_1)$	$P_5(T_1)-P_5(A_1)$
= 0,0275	=0,0277	= 0,0268	= 0,0264	= 0,0295
$P_1(G_1)-P_1(C_1)$	$P_2(G_1)-P_2(C_1)$	$P_3(G_1)-P_3(C_1)$	$P_4(G_1)-P_4(C_1)$	$P_5(G_1)-P_5(C_1)$
= 0,0041	= 0,005	= 0,0038	= 0,0043	= 0,0043
	$P_2(T_2)-P_2(A_2)$	$P_3(T_2)-P_3(A_2)$	$P_4(T_2)-P_4(A_2)$	$P_5(T_2)-P_5(A_2)$
	= 0,0273	= 0,0272	= 0,0261	= 0,028
	$P_2(G_2)-P_2(C_2)$	$P_3(G_2)-P_3(C_2)$	$P_4(G_2) - P_4(C_2)$	$P_5(G_2)-P_5(C_2)$
	= 0,0031	= 0,0044	= 0,0027	= 0,0032
		$P_3(T_3)-P_3(A_3)$	$P_4(T_3)-P_4(A_3)$	$P_5(T_3)-P_5(A_3)$
		= 0,0285	= 0,0291	= 0,0275
		$P_3(G_3)-P_3(C_3)$	$P_4(G_3)-P_4(C_3)$	$P_5(G_3)-P_5(C_3)$
		= 0,004	= 0,0058	= 0,0043
			$P_4(T_4)-P_4(A_4)$	$P_5(T_4)-P_5(A_4)$
			= 0,0284	= 0,0263
			$P_4(G_4)-P_4(C_4)$	$P_5(G_4)-P_5(C_4)$
			= 0,0034	= 0,0035
				$P_5(T_5)-P_5(A_5)$
				= 0,0261
				$P_5(G_5)-P_5(C_5)$
				= 0,0051

Fig. 8. Levels of accuracy between values of collective propabilities (shown in Fig. 4) of complemetary members of tetra-groups of n-plets (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) for the sequence Homo sapiens chromosome 7 sequence, encode region ENm012, accession NT_086368, version NT_086368.3, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NT_086368.3. Numerical data are taken from Fig. 4.

The second Chargaff's parity rule is known in the literature as the Symmetry Principle. New kinds of approximate symmetries in long DNA-texts can be conditionally named as tetra-group symmetries. They expand scientific knowledge about Symmetry Principles in organization of long DNA-texts.

For long DNA-sequences of n-plets, the described tetra-group rules have a predictive power: knowing the collective probabilities of only two of 4 members of one of the tetra-groups (for example, $P_1(A_1)$ and $P_1(C_1)$), one can predict approximate values of probabilities of other members of this and other tetra-groups on the basis of the expressions (1-4).

Fig. 9 shows a joint representation of all three tetra-group rules described above: sectors of the same color contain approximately the same values of collective probabilities. Each of its rings corresponds to an appropriate length "n" of n-plets: the smallest ring corresponds to the case of doublets (in this case k = 1, 2); the next ring corresponds to the case of triplets (in this case k = 1, 2, 3), etc.



Fig. 9. The joint representation of all three tetra-group rules: sectors of the same color contain approximately the same values of collective probabilities.

Now let us turn to the second DNA-sequence with one million nucleotides, which was retrieved from Entrez Search Field of Genbank by the range operator 1000000:1000001[SLEN]: Homo sapiens chromosome 5 sequence, ENCODE region ENm002; accession NT_086358, version NT_086358.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NT_086358, version NT_086358.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NT_086358, version NT_086358.1. Fig. 10 shows our results of the analysis of this long sequence from the standpoint of tetra-groups of its oligonucleotides (by analogy with data in Fig. 4).

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$\Sigma_1 = 1000000$	$\Sigma_2 = 500000$	$\Sigma_3 = 3333333$	$\Sigma_4 = 250000$	$\Sigma_5 = 200000$
F ₁ (A ₁)=283765	$F_2(A_1)=142054$	$F_3(A_1) = 94805$	$F_4(A_1) = 70944$	$F_5(A_1) = 56865$
$P_1(A_1)=0,2838$	P ₂ (A ₁)=0,2841	$P_3(A_1)=0,2844$	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,2838	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,2843
$F_1(T_1)=270465$	$F_2(T_1)=135082$	$F_3(T_1) = 90432$	$F_4(T_1) = 67590$	$F_5(T_1) = 53956$
$P_1(T_1)=0,2705$	$P_2(T_1)=0,2702$	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,2713	$P_4(T_1)=0,2704$	P ₅ (T ₁)=0,2698
$F_1(C_1) = 226576$	$F_2(C_1)=113153$	$F_3(C_1) = 75409$	$F_4(C_1) = 56607$	$F_5(C_1) = 45425$
P ₁ (C ₁)=0,2267	$P_2(C_1)=0,2263$	$P_3(C_1)=0,2262$	$P_4(C_1)=0,2264$	$P_5(C_1)=0,227$

F ₁ (G ₁)=219194	F ₂ (G ₁)=109711	$F_3(G_1)=72687$	$F_4(G_1) = 54859$	$F_5(G_1)=43754$
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,2192	P ₂ (G ₁)=0,2194	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,2181	$P_4(G_1)=0,2194$	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,2188
	F ₂ (A ₂)=141711	$F_3(A_2)=94612$	$F_4(A_2)=71111$	$F_5(A_2)=56643$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0,2834$	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,2838	$P_4(A_2)=0,2844$	$P_5(A_2)=0,2832$
	$F_2(T_2)=135383$	$F_3(T_2)=89797$	$F_4(T_2)=67600$	$F_5(T_2)=54278$
	P ₂ (T ₂)=0,2708	$P_3(T_2)=0,2694$	$P_4(T_2)=0,2704$	P ₅ (T ₂)=0,2714
	$F_2(C_2)=113423$	$F_3(C_2)=75549$	$F_4(C_2)=56616$	$F_5(C_2) = 45153$
	$P_2(C_2)=0,2268$	$P_3(C_2)=0,2266$	$P_4(C_2)=0,2265$	$P_5(C_2)=0,2258$
	$F_2(G_2)=109483$	$F_3(G_2) = 73375$	$F_4(G_2) = 54673$	$F_5(G_2) = 43926$
	$P_2(G_2)=0,2190$	$P_3(G_2)=0,2201$	$P_4(G_2)=0,2187$	P ₅ (G ₂)=0,2196
		F ₃ (A ₃)=94347	$F_4(A_3) = 71110$	$F_5(A_3) = 56493$
		P ₃ (A ₃)=0,2830	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,2844	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,2825
		F ₃ (T ₃)=90236	$F_4(T_3)=67492$	$F_5(T_3) = 54239$
		P ₃ (T ₃)=0,2707	$P_4(T_3)=0,2700$	P ₅ (T ₃)=0,2712
		$F_3(C_3) = 75618$	$F_4(C_3) = 56546$	$F_5(C_3)=45223$
		P ₃ (C ₃)=0,2268	$P_4(C_3)=0,2262$	P ₅ (C ₃)=0,2261
		$F_3(G_3) = 73132$	$F_4(G_3) = 54852$	$F_5(G_3) = 44045$
		$P_3(G_3)=0,2194$	$P_4(G_3)=0,2194$	$P_5(G_3)=0,2202$
			$F_4(A_4) = 70600$	$F_5(A_4) = 56932$
			P ₄ (A ₄)=0,2824	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,28467
			$F_4(T_4) = 67783$	$F_5(T_4) = 54087$
			P ₄ (T ₄)=0,2711	P ₅ (T ₄)=0,2704
			$F_4(C_4) = 56807$	$F_5(C_4) = 45309$
			$P_4(C_4)=0,2272$	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,2265
			$F_4(G_4) = 54810$	$F_5(G_4) = 43672$
			P ₄ (G ₄)=0,2192	$P_5(G_4)=0,2184$
				$F_5(A_5) = 56832$
				$P_5(A_5)=0,2842$
				$F_5(T_5) = 53905$
				P ₅ (T ₅)=0,2695
				$F_5(C_5)=45466$
				P ₅ (C ₅)=0,2273
				$F_5(G_5) = 43797$
				$P_{r}(G_{r})=0.2190$

Fig. 10. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$ and $F_n(G_k)$ and also collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and k \leq n) of members of tetra-groups for sequences of n-plets, which have the same letter in their position k, in the case of the following sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 5 sequence, 1000000 bp, encode region ENm002; accession NT_086358, version NT_086358.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NT_086358.1. Collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ are marked by red for a visual comfort of their comparison each with other.

One can see from data in Fig. 10 that they satisfy the three tetra-group rules by analogy with data in Fig. 4. Fig. 11 shows levels of accuracy between values of collective propabilities (shown in Fig. 10) of complemetary members of tetra-groups of n-plets for this new long DNA-sequence. One can see that these levels of accuracy are approximately equal to the levels of accuracy in Fig. 8 for the previously considered sequence.

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1)-P_1(T_1) =$	$P_2(A_1)-P_2(T_1)$	$P_3(A_1)-P_3(T_1) =$	$P_4(A_1)-P_4(T_1)=$	$P_5(A_1)-P_5(T_1)=$
0,0133	= 0,0139	0,0131	0,0134	0,0145
$P_1(C_1)-P_1(G_1) =$	$P_2(C_1)-P_2(G_1) =$	$P_3(C_1)-P_3(G_1) =$	$P_4(C_1)-P_4(G_1)=$	$P_5(C_1)-P_5(G_1)=$
0,0075	0,0069	0,0081	0,007	0,0082
	$P_2(A_2) - P_2(T_2 =$	$P_3(A_2)-P_3(T_2)=$	$P_4(A_2)-P_4(T_2)=$	$P_5(A_2)-P_5(T_2)=$
	0,0126	0,0144	0,014	0,0118
	$P_2(C_2)-P_2(G_2)=$	$P_3(C_2)-P_3(G_2)=$	$P_4(C_2)-P_4(G_2)=$	$P_5(C_2)-P_5(G_2)=$
	0,0078	0,0065	0,0078	0,0062
		$P_3(A_3) - P_3(T_3) =$	$P_4(A_3) - P_4(T_3) =$	$P_5(A_3)-P_5(T_3)=$
		0,0123	0,0144	0,0113
		$P_3(C_3)-P_3(G_3) =$	$P_4(C_3) - P_4(G_3) =$	$P_5(C_3)-P_5(G_3)=$
		0,0074	0,0068	0,0059
			$P_4(A_4)-P_4(T_4)=$	$P_5(A_4)-P_5(T_4)=$
			0,0113	0,0143
			$P_4(C_4)-P_4(G_4)=$	$P_5(C_4)-P_5(G_4)=$
			0,008	0,0081
				$P_5(A_5)-P_5(T_5)=$
				0,0147
				$P_5(C_5)-P_5(G_5) =$
				0,0083

Fig. 11. Levels of accuracy between values of collective propabilities (shown in Fig. 6) of complemetary members of tetra-groups of n-plets (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) for the sequence Homo sapiens chromosome 5 sequence, 1000000 bp, encode region ENm002; accession NT_086358, version NT_086358.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NT_086358.1.

And what about the implementation of tetra-group rules for the whole human genome, which contains about 3 billion nucleotides? Fig. 12 shows data, which are taken from [Perez, 2013, Table 3] about individual frequencies of all 64 triplets in the whole human genome.

triple t	triplet frequenc	triple t	triplet frequenc	triple t	triplet frequenc	triple t	triplet frequenc
	У		У	'	У	'	У
AAA	36381293	CAA	17927956	GAA	18678084	TAA	19721149
AAC	13794251	CAC	14214421	GAC	8938833	TAC	10755607
AAG	18894716	CAG	19176935	GAG	15939419	TAG	12240281
AAT	23634011	CAT	17423117	GAT	12658530	TAT	19568343
ACA	19073189	CCA	17444649	GCA	13635427	ТСА	18565027
ACC	11007307	CCC	12428986	GCC	11268094	ТСС	14614789
ACG	2372235	CCG	2606672	GCG	2247440	TCG	2087242
ACT	15251455	ССТ	16835177	GCT	13252828	ТСТ	20990387
AGA	20948987	CGA	2085226	GGA	14619310	TGA	18562015
AGC	13242724	CGC	2244432	GGC	11258126	TGC	13649076
AGG	16810797	CGG	2604253	GGG	12446600	TGG	17480496
AGT	15266057	CGT	2379612	GGT	11026602	TGT	19152113

ATA	19548709	СТА	12217331	GTA	10766854	TTA	19750578
ATC	12650299	СТС	15942742	GTC	8955434	TTC	18708048
ATG	17409063	CTG	19195946	GTG	14252868	TTG	18005020
ATT	23669701	СТТ	18944797	GTT	13852086	TTT	36530115

Fig. 12. Frequencies of 64 triplets in the whole human genome in accordance with data from [Perez, 2013, Table 3].

In accordance with these data, the human genome contains 947.803.867 triplets and 2.843.411.601 (=947803867*3) nucleotides. From the data in Fig. 12, one can calculate propabilities $P_1(A)$, $P_1(T)$, $P_1(C)$ and $P_1(G)$ of members of the tetra-group of nucleotides A, T, C, G and also probabilities of members of three tetra-groups of triplets: 1) $P_3(A_1)$, $P_3(T_1)$, $P_3(C_1)$, $P_3(G_1)$; 2) $P_3(A_2)$, $P_3(T_2)$, $P_3(C_2)$, $P_3(G_2)$; 3) $P_3(A_3)$, $P_3(T_3)$, $P_3(C_3)$ and $P_3(G_3)$. Results of such calculations are represented in Fig. 13.

NUCLEOTIDES	TRIPLETS
$\Sigma_1 = 2843411601$	$\Sigma_3 = 947803867$
P ₁ (A) = 0,295359	$P_3(A_1) = 0,295372$
$P_1(T) = 0,295847$	$P_3(T_1) = 0,295821$
$P_1(C) = 0,204341$	$P_3(C_1) = 0,204338$
$P_1(G) = 0,204453$	$P_3(G_1) = 0,204469$
	P ₃ (A ₂) = 0,295364
	$P_3(T_2) = 0,295841$
	$P_3(C_2) = 0,204347$
	$P_3(G_2) = 0,204448$
	$P_3(A_3) = 0,295341$
	$P_3(T_3) = 0,295879$
	$P_3(C_3) = 0,204339$
	$P_3(G_3) = 0,204441$

Fig. 13. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups of nucleotides and triplets in the case of the whole human genome. Initial data about frequencies of triplets are shown in Fig. 12 from [Perez, 2013, Table 3].

One can see in Fig. 13 the very high level of accuracy of compliance of the whole human genome with the tetra-group rules of these probabilities (up to the fourth decimal place in each of equalities $P_1(X) = P_3(X_1) = P_3(X_2) = P_3(X_3)$, where X = A, T, C or G):

 $\begin{aligned} P_1(A) &= P_3(A_1) = P_3(A_2) = P_3(A_3) \approx 0,2953 \\ P_1(T) &= P_3(T_1) = P_3(T_2) = P_3(T_3) \approx 0,2958 \\ P_1(C) &= P_3(C_1) = P_3(C_2) = P_3(C_3) \approx 0,2043 \\ P_1(G) &= P_3(G_1) = P_3(G_2) = P_3(G_3) \approx 0,2044 \end{aligned}$

Is it accidental that the highest species of living organisms - the human organism - has a genome with such high accuracy of realization of tetra-group symmetries (and of compliance with tetra-group rules)? In the course of biological evolution, does the accuracy of the realization of tetra-group symmetries in the genomes of biological species increase up to the highest accuracy in the human genome?

The level of accuracy of the realization of tetra-group symmetries in the genomes of different organisms can be used as a criterion for a formal ranking different species of living organisms. Will this ranking of biological species with different levels of this accuracy correspond to the stages of evolutionary development of biological species or to some other biological characteristics of species? These and other questions - arising in connection with the described tetra-group symmetries in long DNA-texts - are open at this stage of our knowledge and subject to study.

The author also analyzed the implementation of tetra-group rules in a representative set of other long DNA-sequences. These sequences were taken from their lists in articles of other authors in order to avoid suspicion of a special choise of DNA-texts. The results of this analysis are presented in Appendix 1 in Fig. A1/1-A1/35. They show that all considered sequences satisfy the three tetra-group rules and demonstrate the existence of tetra-group symmetries in these long DNA-texts.

4. DNA-alphabets, genetic binary oppositions and the tensor product of matrices

It is desirable to create a mathematical model of origin of the described tetra-group symmetries of probabilities of oligonucleotides in long DNAsequences. A great discovery of twentieth century physics was the probabilistic nature of physical phenomena at atomic scales, described in quantum mechanics. Molecules of heredity DNA and RNA belong to the microworld of quantum mechanics and they should obey to principles of quantum mechanics. In this Section the author proposes one of possible models, which is connected with principles and formalisms of quantum mechanics and quantum informatics.

	N⁰	Binary Symbols	C		G	T/U
	1	0 ₁ — pyrimidines	01	11	11	01
2		1 ₁ — purines				
	2	02 — amino	02	02	12	12
• C		1 ₂ — keto				
	3	0_3 — three hydrogen bonds;	O 3	13	O 3	13
0		1_3 — two hydrogen bonds				

Fig. 14. Left: the four nitrogenous bases of DNA: adenine A, guanine G, cytosine C, and thymine T. Right: three binary sub-alphabets of the genetic alphabet on the basis of three pairs of binary-oppositional traits or indicators.

Science does not know why the nucleotide alphabet of DNA has been created by nature from just four letters (A, T, C, G), and why just these very simple molecules were chosen for the DNA-alphabet (out of millions of possible molecules). But science knows [Fimmel, Danielli, Strüngmann, 2013; Petoukhov, 2008; Petoukhov, He, 2009; Stambuk, 1999] that these four molecules are interrelated by means of their symmetrical peculiarities into the united molecular ensemble with its three pairs of binary-oppositional traits or indicators (Fig. 14):

- (1) Two letters are purines (A and G), and the other two are pyrimidines (C and T). From the standpoint of these binary-oppositional traits one can denote C = T = 0, A = G = 1. From the standpoint of these traits, any of the DNA-sequences are represented by a corresponding binary sequence. For example, GCATGAAGT is represented by 101011110;
- (2) Two letters are amino-molecules (A and C) and the other two are ketomolecules (G and T). From the standpoint of these traits one can designate A = C = 0, G = T = 1. Correspondingly, the same sequence, GCATGAAGT, is represented by another binary sequence, 100110011;
- (3) The pairs of complementary letters, A-T and C-G, are linked by 2 and 3 hydrogen bonds, respectively. From the standpoint of these binary traits, one can designate C = G = 0, A = T = 1. Correspondingly, the same sequence, GCATGAAGT, is read as 001101101.

Accordingly, each of the DNA-sequences of nucleotides is the carrier of three parallel messages on three different binary languages. At the same time, these three types of binary representations form a common logic set on the basis of logic operation of modulo-2 addition denoted by the symbol \oplus : modulo-2 addition of any two such binary representations of the DNA-sequence coincides with the third binary representation of the same DNA-sequence: for example, 101011110 \oplus 100110011 = 001101101. One can be reminded here of the rules of the bitwise modulo-2 addition: $0 \oplus 0 = 0$; $0 \oplus 1 = 1$; $1 \oplus 0 = 1$; $1 \oplus 1 = 0$. (The logic operation of modulo-2 addition is actively used in quantum informatics, which will be considered below in a connection with DNA-texts).

Taking into account the phenomenologic fact that each of DNA-letters C, A, T and G is uniquely defined by any two kinds of mentioned binary-oppositional indicators (Fig. 14), these genetic letters can be represented as corresponding pairs of binary symbols, for example, from the standpoint of two first binaryoppositional indicators. It is convenient for us for further decription to show at the first position of each of letters its binary symbol from the second pair of binary-oppositional indicators (the indicator "amino or keto": C=A=0, T=G=1) and at the second positions of each of letters its binary symbol from the first pair of binary-oppostional indicators (the indicator "pyrimidine or purine": C=T=0, A=G=1). In this case the letter C is represented by the binary symbol 0_20_1 (that is as 2-bit binary munber), A – by the symbol 0_21_1 , T – by the symbol 1_20_1 , G – by the symbol 1_21_1 . Using these representations of separate letters, each of 16 doublets is represented as concatenation of the binary symbols of its letters (that is as 4-bit binary number): for example, the doublet CC is represented as 4-bit binary number $0_20_10_20_1$, the doublet CA – as 4-bit binary number $0_20_10_21_1$, etc. By analogy, each of 64 triplets is represented as concatenation of the binary symbols of its letters (that is as 6-bit binary number): for example, the triplet CCC is represented as 6-bit binary number $0_20_10_20_10_20_1$, the triplet CCA – as 6bit binary number $0_2 0_1 0_2 0_1 0_2 1_1$, etc. In general, each of n-plets is represented as concatenation of the binary symbols of its letters (below we will not show these indexes 2 and 1 of separate letters in binary representations of n-plets but will remember that each of positions corresponds to its own kind of indicators from the first or from the second set of indicators in Fig. 14).

It is convenient to represent DNA-alphabets of 4 nucleotides, 16 doublets, 64 triplets, ... 4ⁿ n-plets in a form of appropriate square tables (Fig. 15), which rows and columns are numerated by binary symbols in line with the following principle. Entries of each column are numerated by binary symbols in line with the first set of binary-oppositional indicators in Fig. 14 (for example, the triplet CAG and all other triplets in the same column are the combination "pyrimidine-purin-purin" and so this column is correspondingly numerated 011). By contrast, entries of each row are numerated by binary numbers in line with the same row are the combination "amino-amino-keto" and so this row is correspondingly numerated 001). In such tables (Fig. 15), each of 4 letters, 16 doublets, 64 triplets, ... takes automatically its own individual place and all components of the alphabets are arranged in a strict order.

			_		00	01	10	11
	0	1		00	CC	CA	AC	AA
0	С	A		01	СТ	CG	AT	AG
1	Т	G		00	CC	CA	AC	AA
			•	01	СТ	CG	AT	AG

	000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111
000	CCC	CCA	CAC	CAA	ACC	ACA	AAC	AAA
001	ССТ	CCG	CAT	CAG	ACT	ACG	AAT	AAG
010	СТС	СТА	CGC	CGA	ATC	ATA	AGC	AGA
011	СТТ	CTG	CGT	CGG	ATT	ATG	AGT	AGG
100	тсс	TCA	TAC	TAA	GCC	GCA	GAC	GAA
101	ТСТ	TCG	TAT	TAG	GCT	GCG	GAT	GAG
110	TTC	TTA	TGC	TGA	GTC	GTA	GGC	GGA
111	TTT	TTG	TGT	TGG	GTT	GTG	GGT	GGG

Fig. 15. The square tables of DNA-alphabets of 4 nucleotides, 16 doublets and 64 trilets with a strict arrangement of all components. Each of tables is constructed in line with the principle of binary numeration of its column and rows (see explanations in text).

Here one can remind a historical fact that the same principle of constructing square tables with quite similar binary numerations of their columns and rows was used else in the Ancient Chinese book «I-Ching», which was written a few thousand years ago and which is the most ancient historical example of systematic usage of binary numbers. The famous table of 64 hexagrams in Fu-Xi's order exists there, which has many deep analogies with the genetic matrix of 64 triplets [Hu, Petoukhov, Petukhova, 2017; Petoukhov, 1999, 2008; Petoukhov, He, 2009]. The ancient Chinese claimed that this table is the universal archetype of nature. They knew nothing about the genetic code, but the genetic code is constructed in line with the "I-Ching".

For our article about tetra-group symmetries in long DNA-texts it is more important the following: these 3 separate genetic tables form the joint tensor (!) family of matrices since they are interrelated by the known operation of the tensor (or Kronecker) product of matrices. By definition, under tensor multiplication of two matrices, each of entries of the first matrix is multiplied with the whole second matrix [Bellman, 1960]. The second tensor power of the (2*2)-matrix [C, A; T, G] of 4 DNA-letters gives automatically the matrix of 16 doublets; the third tensor power of the matrix of the same matrix of 4 DNAletters gives the matrix of 64 triplets with the same strict arrangement of entries (Fig. 16).

		С	Α		С	Α		СС	CA	AC	AA
C A C A	C*	Т	G	, A*	Т	G		СТ	CG	AT	AG
$\mathbf{T} \mathbf{G} \otimes \mathbf{T} \mathbf{G} =$		С	Α		С	Α	=	тс	ТА	GC	GA
	Т*	Т	G	, G*	Т	G		ТТ	TG	GT	GG

	CCC	CCA	CAC	CAA	ACC	ACA	AAC	AAA
	CCT	CCG	CAT	CAG	ACT	ACG	AAT	AAG
	CTC	CTA	CGC	CGA	ATC	ATA	AGC	AGA
	CTT	CTG	CGT	CGG	ATT	ATG	AGT	AGG
$\mathbf{I} \mathbf{G} \otimes \mathbf{I} \mathbf{G} \otimes \mathbf{T} \mathbf{G}^{-}$	TCC	TCA	TAC	TAA	GCC	GCA	GAC	GAA
	TCT	TCG	TAT	TAG	GCT	GCG	GAT	GAG
	TTC	TTA	TGC	TGA	GTC	GTA	GGC	GGA
	TTT	TTG	TGT	TGG	GTT	GTG	GGT	GGG

Fig. 16. The tensor family of genetic matrices [C, A; T, G]⁽ⁿ⁾ (here tensor power n = 1, 2, 3) of DNA-alphabets of 4 nucleotides, 16 doublets and 64 triplets. The symbol \otimes means the tensor product.

One can see from a comparison of Fig. 16 with Fig. 15 that the tensor powers of the genetic matrix of 4 letters [C, A; T, G] produce - in a new way - the same square tables of the DNA-alphabets with the same strict arrangements of alphabetic components without any mention about binary-oppositional traits of genetic letters C, A, T and G, which were used to construct the square tables in Fig. 15.

So, the structural organization of the system of DNA-alphabets is connected with the algebraic operation of the tensor product. It is important since the operation of the tensor product is well known in mathematics, physics and informatics, where it gives a way of putting vector spaces together to form larger vector spaces. The following quotation speaks about the meaning of the tensor product: *«This construction is crucial to understanding the quantum mechanics of multiparticle systems»* [Nielsen, Chuang, 2010, p. 71]. For us the most interesting is that the tensor product is one of basis instruments in quantum informatics. Notions and formalisms of quantum informatics are used in the next Section to simulate phenomena of the tetra-group symmetries in long DNA-texts and for creation of new quantum-informational approaches in mathematical genetics.

5. DNA-texts and quantum informatics

DNA- and RNA-molecules belong to the microworld of quantum mechanics. By this reason their informational features should be studied on the basis of quantum informatics. Below the author proposes a possible model approach to DNA-texts from the standpoint of quantum informatics. This Section shows how notions and formalisms of quantum informatics can be introduced into the field of genetic informatics in connection with described tetra-group symmetries and rules of long DNA-texts. Here we use typical notions, denotations and formalisms of quantum informatics from the fundamental book [Nielsen, Chuang, 2010]. In particular we use the notion of quantum bits (or qubits) for model representations of elements of single stranded DNA. We also use ordinary Dirac notations for vectors and operations with them:

- |ψ> means a vector, which is known also as a ket-vector;
- $\langle \psi |$ means a vector, which is dual to $|\psi \rangle$ and known as a bra-vector;
- $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle$ means scalar (or inner) product between the vectors $\langle \phi |$ and $| \psi \rangle$;
- $|\phi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle$ means the tensor product of $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$;
- $|\varphi\rangle|\psi\rangle$ is abbreviated notation for tensor product of $|\varphi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$;
- M^T transpose of the M matrix;
- $\langle \phi \rangle |M|\psi \rangle$ scalar product between $|\phi \rangle$ and $M|\psi \rangle$.

In quantum informatics, such vector spaces H are considered, which are equipped with the scalar (or inner) product (so called Hilbert spaces). Let H₁ and H₂ be quantum mechanical state spaces, that is, finite dimensional Hilbert spaces with orthonormal basis states $|\alpha_i\rangle$ and $|\beta_j\rangle$, where i = 1, ..., n and j = 1, ..., m. By a postulate of quantum mechanics, the state space of the composite system is given by the tensor product H₁ \otimes H₂ with base states { $|\alpha_i\rangle \otimes |\beta_j\rangle$ }, or in more compact notation { $|\alpha_i\beta_j\rangle$. "The state space of a composite physical systems is the tensor product of the state spaces of the component physical systems. Moreover, if we have systems numbered 1 through n and system number i is prepared in the state ρ_i , then the joint state of the total system is $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \otimes ... \rho_n$ " [Nielsen, Chuang, 2010, p. 102]. If a quanum state can be represented as a vector of a Hilbert space, such state is called a pure quantum state. If a pure state $|\psi\rangle \in H_1 \otimes H_2$ can be written in the form $|\psi\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle$, where $|\psi_i\rangle$ is a pure state of the i-th subsystem, it is said to be separable. Otherwise it is called entangled.

As known, a quantum bit (or qubit) is a unit of quantim information. For two-level quantum systems used as qubits, the state $|0\rangle$ is identified with the vector (1, 0), and similarly the state $|1\rangle$ with the vector (0, 1). Two possible states for a qubit are the states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, which correspond to the states of 0 and 1 for a classical bit. The difference between bits and qubits is that a qubit can be in a state other than $|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle$. It is possible to form linear combinations of states, often called superpositions (6):

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle, \tag{6}$$

The symbol $|\psi\rangle$ means a state of a gubit. The numbers α and β can be complex numbers but in our case it is enough to think of them as real numbers. Put another way, the state of a qubit is a vector in a two-dimensional vector space. The standart notation for states in quantum mechanics is the Dirac notation "| >". The special states |0> and |1> are known as computational basis states, and form an orthonormal basis for this vector space [Nielsen, Chuang, 2010, p. 13]. As known, we cannot examine a qubit to determine its quantum state, that is, the values of α and β . Instead, quantum mechanics tells us that we can only acquire much more restricted information about the quantum state. When we measure a qubit we get either the result 0, with probability $|\alpha|^2$, or the result 1, with probability $|\beta|^2$. Naturally, $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$, since the probabilities must sum to one. Geometrically, we can interpret this as the condition that the qubit's state be normalized to length 1. Values α and β are called amplitudes of probabilities. Thus, in general a qubit's state is a unit vector in a two-dimensional complex vector space. Let us emphasize again that when a qubit is measured, it only ever gives "0" or "1" as the measurement result – probabilistically.

In more general case a system of "n" qubits is considered in quantum informatics. The computational basis states of this system are written in the form $|x_1x_2...x_n\rangle$; a quantum state of such a system is specified by 2^n amplitudes [Nielsen, Chuang, 2010, p. 17]. In our model approach we interpret DNA-texts as quantum systems of "n" qubits.

In technical devices of quantum informatics, a qubit can be represented by many ways on the basis of different pairs of binary-oppositional indicators: for example, by two electronic levels in an atom; by two kinds of polarization of a single photon (vertical polarization and horizontal polarization), etc.

In our model approach for genetic informatics, we represent qubits on the basis of different pairs of binary-oppositional indicators of adenine A, guanine G, cytosine C, and thymine T, which were shown above in Fig. 14. As we noted, each of these DNA bases can be uniqually defined by any two kinds of mentioned binary-oppositional indicators (Fig. 14). By analogy with the previous Section, to characterized each of the DNA-letters C, A, T, G we will use the first kind of indicators («pyrimidine or purine») and the second kind of indicators («three hydrogen bonds or two hydrogen bonds»). On the basis of each of these pairs of binary-oppositional indicators, a corresponding two-level quantum system can be formally introduced with a definition of its appropriate qubit (those qubits, which are introduced in genetic informatics on the basis of binary-oppositional indicators of genetic molecules, can be conditionally called «genetic qubits» or briefly «g-qubits»).

Let us introduce, firstly, the notion of a genetic qubit as a two-level quantum system on the basis of the indicators «pyrimidine or purine»: in this quantum system one level corresponds to the indicator «pyrimidine» and the second level – to the indicator «purine». In other words, such genetic qubit is represented by these two indicators and the state of such qubit is a vector in its appropriate two-dimensional Hilbert space H₁. One can assume that the state $|0\rangle$ corresponds to the state «pyrimidine», and the state $|1\rangle$ - to the state «purine». By analogy with the expression (6), a state of such genetic qubit can be

expressed by the expression (7), where α_0 and α_1 are amplitudes of probabilities of these computational basis states "pyrimidine" and "purine":

$$|\psi_1\rangle = \alpha_0 |0\rangle + \alpha_1 |1\rangle, \qquad \alpha_0^2 + \alpha_1^2 = 1$$
(7)

In a particular case, a qubit (7) can represent a pure state of a quantum system in a form of a sequence, which consists of pyrimidines and purines.

Secondly, let us introduce the notion of another genetic qubit as a twolevel quantum system on the basis of the indicators «three hydrogen bonds or two hydrogen bonds»: in this quantum system one level corresponds to the indicator «three hydrogen bonds»» and the second level – to the indicator «two hydrogen bonds». In other words, such genetic qubit is represented by these two indicators and the state of such qubit is a vector in its appropriate twodimensional Hilbert space H₂. One can assume that the state $|0\rangle$ corresponds to the state «three hydrogen bonds», and the state $|1\rangle$ - to the state «two hydrogen bonds». By analogy with the expression (6), a state of such genetic qubit can be expressed by the expression (8), where β_0 and β_1 are amplitudes of probabilities of these computational basis states:

$$|\psi_2\rangle = \beta_0 |0\rangle + \beta_1 |1\rangle, \quad \beta_0^2 + \beta_1^2 = 1$$
 (8)

In a particular case, a qubit (8) can represent a pure state of a quantum system in a form of a sequence, which consists of elements with three and two hydrogen bonds.

So we have two different two-dimensional Hilbert spaces H_1 and H_2 , to which pure states of genetic qubits (7) and (8) belong correspondingly.

In our genetic case, the tensor product of the two-dimensional Hilbert spaces $H_1 \otimes H_2$ gives one four-dimensional Hilbert space with the following separable pure state of a quantum 2-qubit system:

$$|\psi_{12}\rangle = |\psi_{1}\rangle \otimes |\psi_{2}\rangle = (\alpha_{0} |0\rangle + \alpha_{1} |1\rangle) \otimes (\beta_{0} |0\rangle + \beta_{1} |1\rangle) = \alpha_{0}\beta_{0} |00\rangle + \alpha_{0}\beta_{1} |01\rangle + \alpha_{1}\beta_{0} |10\rangle + \alpha_{1}\beta_{1} |11\rangle$$
(9)

Such 2-qubit system has four computational basis states denoted $|00\rangle$, $|01\rangle$, $|10\rangle$, $|11\rangle$. Amplitudes $\alpha_0\beta_0$, $\alpha_0\beta_1$, $\alpha_1\beta_0$ and $\alpha_1\beta_1$ of probabilities satisfy the normalization condition: $(\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2 = 1$. One can note that two kinds of indicators "pyrimidine-purine" and «three hydrogen bonds or two hydrogen bonds» for nitrogenous bases C, A, T, G define the following correspondence:

- Cytosine C corresponds to the computational basis state |00> of the 2-qubit system (9) since cytosine C is characterized by the indicator "pyrimidine", which is the computational basis state |0> in the first qubit (7), and also by the indicator "three hydrogen bonds", which is the computational basis state |0> in the second qubit (8). In the four-dimensional Hilbert space H₁⊗H₂, these computational basis states |0> and |0> of two genetic qubits (7) and (8) define the computational basis state |0> of the 2-qubit system (9);
- Thymine T corresponds to the computational basis state |01> of the two qubit system (9) since thymine T is characterized by the indicator

"pyrimidine", which is the computational basis state $|0\rangle$ in the first qubit (7), and also by the indicator "two hydrogen bonds", which is the computational basis state $|1\rangle$ in the second qubit (8). In the fourdimensional Hilbert space H₁ \otimes H₂, these computational basis states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ of two genetic qubits (7) and (8) define the computational basis state $|01\rangle$ of the 2-qubit system (9);

- Guanine G corresponds to the computational basis state $|10\rangle$ of the two qubit system (9) since guanine G is characterized by the indicator "purine", which is the computational basis state $|1\rangle$ in the first qubit (7), and also by the indicator "three hydrogen bonds", which is the computational basis state $|0\rangle$ in the second qubit (8). In the four-dimensional Hilbert space H₁ \otimes H₂, these computational basis states $|1\rangle$ and $|0\rangle$ of two genetic qubits (7) and (8) define the computational basis state $|10\rangle$ of the 2-qubit system (9).
- Adenine A corresponds to the computational basis state |11> of the two qubit system (9) since adenine A is characterized by the indicator "purine", which is the computational basis state |1> in the first qubit (7), and also by the indicator "two hydrogen bonds", which is the computational basis state |1> in the second qubit (8). In the four-dimensional Hilbert space H₁⊗H₂, these computational basis states |1> and |1> of two genetic qubits (7) and (8) define the computational basis state |11> of the 2-qubit system (9).

By this way, members of the tetra-group of nucleotides C, T, G and A get their representations as computational basis states of a 2-qubit system (9) in a four-dimensional Hilbert space $H_1 \otimes H_2$ with conditional denotations |C>=|00>, |T>=|01>, |G>=|10> and |A>=|11>. (Below we will show that these computational basis states can be connected with pairs of photons of different frequencies, radiated by molecular elements of these dual indicators). Correspondingly the expression (9) can be rewritten in the following conditional form (10):

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{12}\rangle &= \alpha_0 \beta_0 |00\rangle + \alpha_0 \beta_1 |01\rangle + \alpha_1 \beta_0 |10\rangle + \alpha_1 \beta_1 |11\rangle = \\ &= \alpha_0 \beta_0 |C\rangle + \alpha_0 \beta_1 |T\rangle + \alpha_1 \beta_0 |G\rangle + \alpha_1 \beta_1 |A\rangle \end{aligned}$$
(10)

We call the 2-qubit quantum system with its separable pure state (10) as a «CTGA-system». In a particular case, the state (10) of a 2-qubits CTGA-system can represent a separable pure state of a quantum system, which is a sequence of elements, where each of elements is pyrimidine or purin and simultaneously has three or two hydrogen bonds by analogy with nucleotides. From (10), the probabilities of computational basis states of separate nucleotides C, T, G and A are equal to the following:

$$P_1(C_1) = (\alpha_0 \beta_0)^2, P_1(T_1) = (\alpha_0 \beta_1)^2, P_1(G_1) = (\alpha_1 \beta_0)^2, P_1(A_1) = (\alpha_1 \beta_1)^2$$
(11)

They should satisfy the normalization condition (12):

$$(\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2 = 1$$
(12)

Now let us consider a 2^n -dimensional Hilbert space, which is tensor power (n) of the initial 4-dimensional Hilbert space $(H_1 \otimes H_2)$: $(H_1 \otimes H_2)^{(n)}$, that is, $(H_1 \otimes H_2) \otimes (H_1 \otimes H_2) \otimes ... \otimes (H_1 \otimes H_2)$. In this 2^n -dimensional Hilbert space, we concentrate our attention on those separable pure state (12) of a quantum system, which is represented by the tensor power (n) of the state (10) of the 2qubit CTGA-system, where |C>=|00>, |T>=|01>, |G>=|10> and |A>=|11>:

$$(|\psi_{12}\rangle)^{(n)} = (\alpha_0\beta_0 |C\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1 |T\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0 |G\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1 |A\rangle)^{(n)}$$
(13)

In the case n=2, the expression (13) corresponds to the following separable pure state (14) of a quantum 4-qubit system:

$$(\alpha_{0}\beta_{0}|C>+\alpha_{0}\beta_{1}|T>+\alpha_{1}\beta_{0}|G>+\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}|A>) \otimes (\alpha_{0}\beta_{0}|C>+\alpha_{0}\beta_{1}|T>+\alpha_{1}\beta_{0}|G>+\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}|A>) = = \alpha_{0}\beta_{0}\alpha_{0}\beta_{0}|CC>+\alpha_{0}\beta_{0}\alpha_{0}\beta_{1}|CT>+\alpha_{0}\beta_{0}\alpha_{1}\beta_{0}|CG>+\alpha_{0}\beta_{0}\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}|CA>+ \alpha_{0}\beta_{1}\alpha_{0}\beta_{0}|TC>+\alpha_{0}\beta_{1}\alpha_{0}\beta_{1}|TT>+\alpha_{0}\beta_{1}\alpha_{1}\beta_{0}|TG>+\alpha_{0}\beta_{1}\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}|TA>+ \alpha_{1}\beta_{0}\alpha_{0}\beta_{0}|GC>+\alpha_{1}\beta_{0}\alpha_{0}\beta_{1}|GT>+\alpha_{1}\beta_{0}\alpha_{1}\beta_{0}|GG>+\alpha_{1}\beta_{0}\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}|GA>+ \alpha_{1}\beta_{1}\alpha_{0}\beta_{0}|AC>+\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}\alpha_{0}\beta_{1}|AT>+\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}\alpha_{1}\beta_{0}|AG>+\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}|AA>$$
(14)

In the state (14) of a 4-qubit system, 16 computational basis states are represented by 16 doublets: $|0000\rangle=|CC\rangle$, $|0001\rangle=|CT\rangle$, $|0010\rangle=|CG\rangle$, $|0011\rangle=|CA\rangle$, $|0100\rangle=|TC\rangle$, $|0101\rangle=|TT\rangle$, $|0110\rangle=|TG\rangle$, $|0111\rangle=|TA\rangle$, $|1000\rangle=|GC\rangle$, $|1001\rangle=|GT\rangle$, $|1010\rangle=|GG\rangle$, $|1011\rangle=|GA\rangle$, $|1100\rangle=|AC\rangle$, $|1101\rangle=|AT\rangle$, $|1110\rangle=|AG\rangle$, $|1111\rangle=|AA\rangle$. In our model approach, these 16 computational basis states are interpreted as representations of appropriate 16 genetic doublets. In particular, the state (14) of a 4-qubit CTGA-system can represent a separable pure state of a quantum system for modelling sequences of doublets with special properties.

In the case n=3, the expression (13) corresponds to a separable pure state of a 6-qubit system with those 64 computational basis states, which represent 64 genetic triplets. In this case, a state of a 6-qubit CTGA-system can represent a separable pure state of a quantum system for modelling sequences of triplets with special properties.

In a general case of an arbitrary n, the expression (13) corresponds to a separable pure state of a 2n-qubit CTGA-system with those 4^n computational basis states, which represent 4^n n-plets. In this case, a state of a 2n-qubit CTGA-system can represent a separable pure state of a quantum system for modelling a sequence of n-plets with special properties.

Now let us calculate from the expression (14) probabilities $P_2(X_1)$ of all doublets (that is, computational basis states in the 4-qubit CTGA-system) with the letter X (X = C, T, G, A) at their first position. The obtained results (15) show the equality of probabilities $P_2(X_1)=P_1(X_1)$ for the case of such 4-qubit system of 16 doublets (taking into account the normalization condition (12)):

- $P_2(C_1) = (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_1\beta_1)^2 = (\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 \{(\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2\} = (\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 = P_1(C_1);$
- $P_2(T_1) = (\alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_1\beta_1)^2 = = (\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 \{(\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2\} = (\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 = P_1(T_1);$
- $P_2(G_1) = (\alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_1\beta_1)^2 = = (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 \{(\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2\} = (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 = P_1(G_1);$

•
$$P_2(A_1) = (\alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_1\beta_1)^2 = = (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2 \{(\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2\} = (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2 = P_1(A_1).$$
 (15)

From (12), one can also calculate probabilities $P_2(X_2)$ of all doublets (that is, appropriate computational basis states) with the letter X (X = C, T, G, A) at their second position. The calculation results (16) are consistent with the tetra-group rules about probabilities $P_2(X_2)=P_1(X_1)$ for the case of such doublets (taking into account the normalization condition (12)):

- $P_2(C_2) = (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 =$ $= (\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 \{(\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2\} = (\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 = P_1(C_1);$
- $P_2(T_2) = (\alpha_0 \beta_0 \alpha_0 \beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_0 \beta_1 \alpha_0 \beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1 \beta_0 \alpha_0 \beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1 \beta_1 \alpha_0 \beta_1)^2 =$
- $= (\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 \{ (\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2 \} = (\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 = P_1(T_1);$
- $P_2(G_2) = (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 = = (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 \{(\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2\} = (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 = P_1(G_1);$
- $P_2(A_2) = (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_1\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_1\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_1\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_1\beta_1)^2 = (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2 \{(\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2\} = (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2 = P_1(A_1).$ (16)

By analogy with the case of 16 doublets, one can receive from (13) similar results (17) for the probabilities $P_n(X_k)$ in cases of separable pure states of 2nqubit CTGA-systems with those 4^n computational basis states, which represent 4^n n-plets:

$$P_1(X_1) = P_n(X_k)$$
, where X = C, T, G, A; $k \le n$; n = 2, 3, 4,... (17)

The results (17) have a general character and here the general theorem can be formulated: in a set of 2n-qubit CTGA-systems (n = 1, 2, 3, ...), which have separable pure states (13), the equalities (17) for probabilities $P_n(X_k)$ of their computational basis states are always realized.

In a particular case of long DNA-texts, this theorem and the equalities (17) can be used for an explanation of the first two tetra-group rules, which say about similar approximate equalities (3): $P_1(X_1) \cong P_n(X_k)$. Correspondingly in this model approach, we explain the existence of tetra-group symmetries, which are described by the first two tetra-group rules for long DNA-texts, by the following statement: long DNA-texts having such tetra-group symmetries are quantum CTGA-systems in separable pure states (13) with some disturbances, which decrease the level of accuracy of the equalities (17). Due to the properties of the tensor decomposition (13), any long DNA-sequence of n-plets, which is a quantum 2n-qubit CTGA-system in the separable pure state (13), can be also represented in a form of a long DNA-sequence of nucleotides (that is, in a form of a quantum 2-qubit system in its pure state (10)) with the implementation of equalities of probabilities $P_1(X_1) \cong P_n(X_k)$, where X = C, T, G, A.

The third tetra-group rule says about approximate equalities (4): $P_n(A_k) \cong P_n(T_k)$ and $P_n(C_k) \cong P_n(G_k)$. It is obvious that this third rule can be interpreted as a consequence of the first two tetra-group rules ($P_1(X_1) \cong P_n(X_k)$) and the second Chargaff's parity rule ($P_1(A_1) \cong P_1(T_1)$ and $P_1(C_1) \cong P_1(G_1)$).

But can the proposed quantum-informational approach to long DNA-texts reveal a reason of implementation of the second Chargaff's parity rule? The next Section gives the positive answer on this question.

6. The explanation of the second Chargaff's parity rule on the basis of the quantum-informational approach

The second Chargaff's parity rule says that in long DNA-texts of nucleotides (or in DNA-texts of 1-letter words) the following approximate equalities are true: $P_1(A_1) \cong P_1(T_1)$ and $P_1(C_1) \cong P_1(G_1)$. For a possible explanation of this rule, let us return to the separate pure state $|\psi_{12}\rangle = \alpha_0\beta_0 |C\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1 |T\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0 |G\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1 |A\rangle$ (10) of a quantum 2-qubit CTGA-system, which contains amplitudes $\alpha_0\beta_0$, $\alpha_0\beta_1$, $\alpha_1\beta_0$, $\alpha_1\beta_1$ of probabilities $P_1(C_1)$, $P_1(T_1)$, $P_1(G_1)$, $P_1(A_1)$ of computational basis states $|C\rangle$, $|T\rangle$, $|G\rangle$ and $|A\rangle$.

In the quantum-informational notations of (10), $P_1(C_1)=(\alpha_0\beta_0)^2$, $P_1(T_1)=(\alpha_0\beta_1)^2$, $P_1(G_1)=(\alpha_1\beta_0)^2$, $P_1(A_1)=(\alpha_1\beta_1)^2$. In these notations, the second Chargaff's parity rule says the following (18):

$$(\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 \cong (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2 \text{ and } (\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 \cong (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2$$
 (18)

It is obvious that the both equalities (18) are implemented only if the following single equality (19) is implemented:

$$\alpha_0{}^2 \cong \alpha_1{}^2 \tag{19}$$

But in accordance with the expression (7), α_0 and α_1 denote amplitudes of probabilities of the computational basis states "pyrimidine" and "purine" in a 1qubit quantum state, which is used for writing separable pure states of 2n-qubit CTGA-systems. The expression (19) shows that the second Chargaff's rule can be considered as the consequence of the condition of the approximate equality of probabilities of these computational basis states - «pyrimidine» or «purine» - in long DNA-sequences of nucleotides (or in DNA-sequences of 1-letter words) as 2-qubit quantum systems.

It means that the genetic system does not give a quantitative preference to pyrimidines or purines, both of them are in equal situations of their informational usage. One should specially note the following difference between the second Chargaff's rule and this principle of approximate equality of probabilities of pyrimidines and purines (for brevity, the last can be called «the principle of pyrimidine-purine equiality) in long DNA-sequences:

- The second Chargaff's rule deals with two separate equalities $(P_1(A_1) \cong P_1(T_1) \text{ and } P_1(C_1) \cong P_1(G_1))$, origin of which is difficult to explain in terms of common sense;
- The principle of pyrimidine-purine equiality deals only with the single equality (19), origin of which is simply explained by the thought that the genetic system does not give quantitative preference to pyrimidines or purines (the genetic system doesn't need additional mechanisms to provide a quantitative preference to pyrimidines or purines).

Outside of the Section's topic about the second Chargaff's rule, one can make the following small remark of a general type. The described tetra-group rules concern each of two strands of DNA, the complementary nitrogenous bases of which are bound together into pairs (A with T, and C with G). Taking into account that the two strands of DNA run in opposite directions to each other (they are antiparallel), any long DNA-sequence of n-plets (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,...) of one of DNA-strand corresponds to an appropiate sequence of complementreverse n-plets of its second strand. This leads to questions about complementreverse tetra-groups of oligonucleotides and about a possible existence - in single stranded DNA - additional tetra-group rules connected with such complement-reverse tetra-groups. Fig. 17 shows the complement-reverse tetragroups of doublets, which are received from the «direct» tetra-group of doublets in Fig. 1 by the replacement of each of doublets by its complement-reverse doublet. One can see from the comparison of Fig. 1 and Fig. 17 that the initial tetra-groups of doublets in Fig. 1 distinguish from the new complement-reverse tetra-groups of doublets in Fig. 17 only permutations without arising essential new members of tetra-groups: the set of members of the tetra-group of doublets with the same letter at their first (second) position from Fig. 1 is identical to the set of the complement-reverse tetra-group of doublets with the same letter at their second (first) position in Fig. 17. This leads to the conclusion that additional tetra-group rules connected with such complement-reverse tetragroups are absent since these tetra-groups are simple repetitions - by their essential aspects - of known tetra-groups of doublets. The same is true for tetragroups in cases of triplets and other n-plets.

Composition of the complement-	Composition of the complement-
reverse tetra-group for doublets with	reverse tetra-group for doublets with
the same letter at their second position	the same letter at their first position
TT, GT, CT, AT	TT, TG, TC, TA
GA, TA, AA, CA	AG, AT, AA, AC
GG, TG, AG, CG	GG, GT, GA, GC
GC, TC, AC, CC	CG, CT, CA, CC

Fig. 17. Compositions of the complement-reverse tetra-groups, which are received by the replacement of each of doublets in Fig. 1 by its complement-reverse doublet.

The Chargaff's parity rules are frequently called in the literature as Symmetry Principles [Yamagishi, Herai, 2011, p. 2]. The represented tetra-group rules give new data about hidden symmetries of long sequencies in single stranded DNA. This continues the theme of molecular-genetic symmetries described, in particular, in our works [Petoukhov, 2008, 2011, 2012; Petoukhov, He, 2009; Petoukhov, Petukhova, 2017a, b; Petoukhov, Svirin, 2012].

7. About short DNA-texts

The last two Sections have shown a possibility and effectiveness of usage of quantum informatics to model properties of long DNA-texts by means of the formalisms of separable pure states of 2n-qubit quantum CTGA-systems. Now let us pay attention to a possible meaning for genetic systems other types of states of 2n-qubit quantum CTGA-systems. One can see from expression (10), which

describes a separable pure state of 2-qubit system, that its amplitudes $\alpha_0\beta_0$, $\alpha_0\beta_1$, $\alpha_1\beta_0$, $\alpha_1\beta_1$ are closely interrelated with each other. Tetra-group symmetries in long DNA-texts say that these amplitudes in the expressions (10, 13) are interrelated in an appropriate manner. If one of these amplitudes is changed and the corresponding interrelation disappears, then the changed expression (10) will correspond to non-separable pure state.

In a general case, the tetra-group rules are not met for short DNA-texts (for example, for separate genes), and short DNA-texts cannot be represented in a form of separable quantum states $|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi_1\rangle \otimes |\Psi_2\rangle$. In quantum informatics, a state of a composite system, which can't be written as a tensor product of states of its component systems, is called an entangled state [Nielsen, Chuang, 2010, p. 96; https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Separable_state]. Correspondingly, genes and other relative short DNA-texts can be considered as quantum CTGA-systems in entangled states. In quantum informatics, entangled states play very important roles. The study and use of entangled states is one of the main problems of quantum computing: "...entanglement is a key element in effects such as quantum teleportation, fast quantum algorithms, and quantum error-correstion. It is, in short, a resource of great utility in quantum computation and quantum information. ... entangled states play a crucial role in quantum computation and quantum information" [Nielsen, Chuang, 2010, p. XXIII and p. 96].

The quantum operator, which allows you to entangle two qubits |x> and |y>, is called the operator CNOT and is given by the expression (20):

$$P_{12}|x, y\rangle = |x, x \oplus y\rangle$$
 (20)

where $x \oplus y$ is the logic operation of modulo-2 addition. Above in the Section 3 we shown that the DNA-alphabet of nucleotides C, T, G, A is connected with the logic operation of modulo-2 addition: any DNA-text is the carrier of three parallel messages on three different binary languages, and these three types of binary representations of the DNA-text form a common logic set on the basis of logic operation of modulo-2 addition. This connection of DNA-texts with the logic operation of modulo-2 addition can be used to represented fragments of long DNA-texts as entangled states of quantum CTGA-systems for study hidden requliarities and meanings of genetic messages. Beside this, the connection of DNA-structures with the logic operation of modulo-2 addition testifies additionally in favor of adequacy of the quantum-information approach to analyze DNA-texts.

In quantum mechanics, matrix operators play a significant role. One of them is the density operator (or the density matrix) that describes quantum systems in pure and mixed states. All the postulates of quantum mechanics can be reformulated in terms of the density operator language [Nielsen, Chuang, 2010, p. 99]. Quantum informatics possesses a rich set of other useful notions and mathematical formalisms including quantum search algorithms, quantum algorithms for encoding information with error-corrections, the quantum Fourier transform, the Schmidt decomposition, quantum logic, trace distances, stabilizer codes, von Neumann entropy, quantum circuits, etc. In our opinion, apllications of these notions and formalisms in genetic informatics will be very useful for progress of theoretical biology, medicine, systems of artificial intelligence and other fields including the quantum computer science itself. In particular, it will lead to development of quantum-informational genetics as a perspective scientific direction.

9. Resonances, photons and quantum-informational genetics

From the point of view of quantum mechanics, the interaction of molecules is based on the emission and absorption of photons with the participation of resonance correspondences.

In modern molecular biology, when considering the interaction of molecules, the idea of the stereochemical correspondence of molecules is usually used, that is, the idea of the correspondence of the spatial configurations of molecules (the model of the "lock-and-key" correspondence). In our quantum-informational approach, quite another idea is in the centre of attention: the idea of exchanges of information among energetical states of interrelated molecules by means of photons on principles of resonances. In our approach, nucleotides and their combinations are represented by not their spatial configurations but, first of all, their energetical perculiarities, which provide opportunities to exchange intermolecular information due to the emission and absorption of photons of certain energies (wavelengths) with the participation of resonance correspondences.

This Section is devoted to additional explanations of this quantuminformational approach, which is connected with the fundamental notion "resonance" and photons as carriers of intermolecular information and also as the force carriers for electromagnetic force. Below we represent arguments why one can think about a connection of tetra-group symmetries in long DNA-texts with phenomena of resonances.

Quantum mechanics is closely connected with phenomena of resonances. This science has begun from 1900 year with the pioneer work of M.Planck (1936), who has analyzed a great set of resonant oscillators inside the special cavity to receive his famous law of electromagnetic radiation emitted by a black body in thermal equilibrium. One can say that Planck has represented the matter as a set of vibrating oscillators and set the task to study the equilibrium, which was established in the result of the exchange of energy between the oscillators and radiation. This work has introduced into science the Planck's constant as the quantum of action, central in quantum mechanics. It was originally the proportionality constant between the minimal increment of energy of a hypothetical electrically charged oscillator in the mentioned cavity and the frequency of its associated electromagnetic wave.

Later, after more than 50 years of successful development of quantum mechanics, E. Schrodinger emphasised the basic meaning of resonances: "*The one thing which one has to accept and which is the inalienable consequence of the wave-equation as it is used in every problem, under the most various forms, is this: that the interaction between two microscopic physical systems is controlled by a peculiar law of resonance*» [Schrodinger, 1952, p. 115]. In considering an exact

balance in nature between bundles of energy, lost by one system and gained by another, he noted: «*I maintain that it can in all cases be understood as a resonance phenomenon*» (ibid, p. 114). He wrote in his resonance concept of quantum interactions that chemical reactions, including photochemical reactions, can be explained on the base of resonances. One of examples considered in his article was a production of water molecules H₂O from a suitable mixture of hydrogen gas H₂ and oxygen gas O₂ under action of ultraviolet light. In this example, "*wavemechanically the gaseous mixture is represented by a vibration of the combined system, and, by the way, not by one proper vibration since there is anyhow the vast variety of translational and rotational modes, and, of course, the electronic modes. The gaseous compound, H*₂O, *is represented by an entirely different vibration of the same system*" (ibid, p. 118).

His book [Schrodinger, 1944] declared that the chromosome is an aperiodic crystal since its atoms are connected each with other by forces of the same nature that atoms in crystals. This standpoint attracts an attention to a very important role of vibrations and resonances in crystals. The presence of the interaction of atoms in the crystal lattice together with the resonance phenomenon leads to the fact that oscillatory motions of lattice elements are combined in a collective oscillation process in a form of a wave propagating in the crystal. In the course of the normal vibrations, all the atoms in the crystal lattice oscillate about their equilibrium positions by harmonic law with the same frequency. As it is known, in a quantum description of small oscillations of a crystal, it is possible to interpreted normal fluctuations of the crystal as special quasiparticles, which are quanta of the field of elastic vibrations of the crystal and which are called phonons. The theory of phonons is one of the bases of physics of crystalls. One can hope that a similar resonance approach can usefully serve in genetics.

The notion "resonance" was introduced into quantum mechanics by W. Heisenberg in 1926 year in connection with analyzes of multi-body systems. He emphasized that in quantum mechanics the phenomenon of resonances has much more general character than in classical physics. In classic theory, two periodic oscillating systems come into their own resonance only in the case when a frequency of a separate sub-system doesn't depend on energy of the system and when this frequency is approximately equal in both sub-systems. In quantum mechanics, two atomic systems come into their resonance only in the case when a frequency of absorption of one system coincides with a frequency of emitting another system, or vice versa [Heisenberg, 1926, §2]. Quantized electromagnetic field is represented as a set of oscillators.

L.Pauling used ideas of resonances in quantum mechanical systems in his theory of resonance in structural chemistry. His book [Pauling, 1940] about this theory is the most quoted among scientific books of the XX century. The theory was developed to explain the formation of hybrid bonds in molecules. The actual molecule, as Pauling proposed, is a sort of hybrid, a structure that resonates between the two alternative extremes; and whenever there is a resonance between the two forms, the structure is stabilized. His theory uses the fundamental principle of a minimal energy because – in resonant combining of parts into a single unit – each of members of the ensemble requires less energy for performing own work than when working individually. Of course, this fundamental principle can be used in many other cases of resonances in different systems as the physical base. The principle of energetic minimum in resonance processes has some correlations with the principle of relaxation in morphogenetic processes proposed in [Igamberdiev, 2012].

Concerning problems of bioenergetics, McClare (1974) put forward the hypothesis about long-lived vibrational excitations in proteins, which could play an important role in protein functions. The results of researches on this hypothesis was summarized in the work [Turin, 2009]: "Colin McClare was the first scientist to envision the potential importance of long-lived vibrational excitations in proteins. He did so at a time when there was no experimental evidence for their existence, no plausible mechanism to make them happen, and no interest in the possibility among his peers... Thirty years later (after his death in 1977 – S.P.), we can see that McClare had got one fundamental thing right: vibrational energy can be stored and transmitted in proteins». This hypothesis of McClare is associated with the idea of Bauer (1935) that living systems work in expense of non-equilibrium, and the external energy is used not directly to perform work but to support the stable non-equilibrium state; most of this energy is transformed into the kinetic energy.

For a theme of a general importance of resonances in living matter, interesting materials are represented in works [Ji, 2012, 2015, 2017]. Their author has postulated analogy between enzymic catalysis and blackbody radiation, which was modelled by Planck due to his idea about huge number of resonances. This postulation was made by Ji on the base, first of all, of his observations that some important biological phenomena have their graphical representations analogical to ones of blackbody radiations. This author has proposed a generalization of the Planck equation for modeling different biological phenomena, having long-tailed histograms, from the general single-molecule standpoint: protein folding. enzymology, whole-cell transcriptomics, T-cell receptor variable region diversity, brain neurophysiology, RNA levels in budding yeast, human breast cancer tissues, codon profile in the human genome, etc. By analogy with the principle of quantization of energy in quantum mechanics, Ji postulates a quantization of free energy levels in enzymes. He also proposes an original theory of molecular machines with using Franck-Condon mechanisms concerning vibronic transitions, which are simultaneous changes in electronic and vibrational energy levels of a molecule. His thoughts about musical-wave harmony in organization of living matter are correlated with musical aspects of our concept of systemic-resonance genetics, where an organisms is considered as a very complex and developing music synthesizer and some kinds of so called «genetic music» are developed and represented in musical concerts of Moscow P.I.Tchaikovsky Conservatory [Hu, Petoukhov, 2017; Petoukhov, 2015b].

The articles [Petoukhov, 2015a, 2016; Petoukhov, Petukhova, 2017b] describe our concept about the important role of resonances in genetic structures. This concept is based on impressive analogies of some genetic structures and phenomena, including Mendelian laws, with eigenvalues and eigenvectors of tensor families of matrix operators representing oscillatory systems with many degrees of freedom (these operators can be interpreted as quantum operators). In these works we described data to the idea about existence of biological resonant computers inside any organism, which are based on binary-oppositional resonances in genetic systems.

Let us repeate that the interaction of molecules is based on the emission and absorption of photons (particles of light) with the participation of resonance correspondences. Therefore, special attention should be paid to the important role of photons in genetic informatics.

As known, a photon is a type of elementary particle, the quantum of electromagnetic field including electromagnetic radiation such as light, and the force carrier for electromagnetic force (in particle physics, force carriers or messenger particles or intermediate particles are particles that give rise to forces between other particles (<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Force_carrier</u>)). The photon has zero rest mass and always moves at the speed of light within a vacuum. A photon has two possible polarization states. Like all elementary particles, photons are currently best explained by quantum mechanics and exhibit wave-particle duality, exhibiting properties of both waves and particles. The photon's wave and quanta qualities are two observable aspects of a single phenomenon, and cannot be described by any mechanical model; a representation of this dual property of light, which assumes certain points on the wavefront to be the seat of the energy, is not possible. The quanta in a light wave cannot be spatially localized (<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Photon</u>).

Photon energy is the energy carried by a single photon. The amount of energy is directly proportional to the photon's electromagnetic frequency and inversely proportional to the wavelength. Photon energy is solely a function of the photon's wavelength. Other factors, such as the intensity of the radiation, do not affect photon energy. In other words, two photons of light with the same «color» and therefore, same frequency, will have the same photon energy. The equation for photon energy E is the following: $E = hc/\lambda = hf$, where «h» is the Planck constant, «c» is the speed of light in vacuum, « λ » is the photon's wavelength and «f» is the photon's wavefrequency.

Photons, which are radiated by different molecular elements, can differ by their frequencies. In systems of genetic molecules, where each of nitrogenous bases C. T. G. A is a carrier of its individual pair of binary-oppositional molecular indicators (Fig. 14), each of these two molecular indicators can define a radiation of photons with an individual frequency. Jointly the two molecular indicators can radiate a beam of photons with two kinds of frequencies, which defines its appropriate computational basis state of a 2-qubit system in the expression (10) with the conditional denotations $|C>=|00\rangle$, $|T>=|01\rangle$, $|G>=|10\rangle$ and $|A>=|11\rangle$. The existence of DNA-texts is accompanied by a rich set of appropriate beams of multicolor photons to provide a cooperative informational functioning of ensembles of genetic elements. From this point of view, nitrogenous bases C, T/U, A and G and their combinations in DNA and RNA are resonance directors of frequencies of biophotonic ensembles wihin living bodies (or briefly, "biophotons directors"). The reading and transmission of genetic information from DNA and RNA molecules occurs by means of a set of resonance frequencies of biological photons. DNA encodes quantum states of biophoton beams. The photons language is a serious candidacy for the role of a basic language of molecular-genetic information. Figuratively speaking, from this point of view, life in its information aspects is woven from the light.

Photons are actively studied in modern science as elements of quantum computers and devices of quantum cryptography. In models of quantum computers, conventional light polarizers are used to create pure and mixed states of n-qubit systems of light beams. The idea of ensembles of «multicolor» photons for a creation of n-qubit states, which was noted by us above in the connection with DNA-texts, was independently used in the recent engineering work of Canadian scientists [Caspani et al., 2016]. This work has revealed a new perspective way to create quantum computers. For increasing dimensionality of the photon quantum state, its authors used the ability to generate multiple photon pairs on a frequency comb, correpsponding to resonances in specifically designed microcavities. The posibility of fully exploiting the polarization degree of freedom, even for integrated devices, exists for further achievements.

Classical electrodynamics describes a photon as an electromagnetic wave with its circular right or left polarization. To the theme "life and photons", one can add many interesting connections of these polarization properties of photons with inherited properties of living bodies, for example, the following:

- One of the biggest mysteries of nature is the asymmetry of biological molecules, accompanied by a preferred direction of the rotation - to the left or to the right - of the polarization plane of light by these molecules (this was discovered by Louis Pasteur). For example, all biological amino acids (except the simplest glycine, which is symmetric), from which the proteins of all living organisms are composed, exist only in one of two possible asymmetric forms - in the left form. Amino acids in this form rotate the plane of polarization of light to the left. Our body doesn't use amino acids with the opposite right form, rotating the plane of polarization of light to the right. Biological catalysts - enzymes -, being built asymmetrically, act only on one optical antipode, without touching the other. The same asymmetry with respect to the right and left is inherent not only in amino acids, but also in the nucleotides that form DNA and RNA. The reason for this is the asymmetry of the components of the sugar, which is part of the nucleotides and which provides the optical activity of DNA and RNA molecules: they rotate the plane of polarization of light to the right.
- Millions of species of living organisms (insects, mollusks, arthropods, etc.) are endowed with inherited ability to see in polarized light (a human organism does not possess this ability).

At the end of the Section, one can say that our quantum-informational approach for modeling and analysis of the genetic system provides new materials for understanding the role of photons in the organization and functioning of the genetic system.

9. Some concluding remarks

The Chargaff's rules played an important role in development of bioinformatics. We hope that the represented tetra-group rules will be also useful for further development of bioinformatics. The study of these tetra-group rules continues now with including, in particular, relations of the tetra-groups of triplets with groups of amino acids and stop-codons. Interesting branches of continuations of studying tetra-group symmetries in long DNA-sequences are connected with fractal-genetic nets and "the method of tetra-groupic convolution", which were noted in the Section 17 of our first publication from 8 August 2017 about the tetra-group rules [Petoukhov, 2017, 9th version].

What is the degree of universality of these tetra-group rules? Are these rules (or some of them) true for all long DNA-sequences? Or are there any exceptions or limitations to them? Only further research can provide answers to these questions.

The author very much hopes that the further usage in genetics the concepts and formalisms of quantum informatics, which was undertaken in this article in connection with tetra-group symmetries, will lead to the development of substantial quantum-information genetics. This will promote the inclusion of genetics and all biology in the field of profound mathematical natural science. Consideration of biological phenomena, including the phenomena of inheritance of the intellectual abilities of biological bodies, from the standpoint of the theory of quantum computers, gives many valuable opportunities for their comprehension and also for development of artificial intelligence systems [Petoukhov et al., 2017]. For example, an adult human organism has around 10 trillion (10¹⁴) human cells and each of cells containts an identical complect of DNA, genetic information from which is used for physiological functioning organism as the holistic system of cells. How such huge number of cells can reliably functioning as a cooperative whole? Quantum informatics and associations with quantum computers can help to model and understand such holistic biological systems with their ability to compute complex tasks and to transfer genetic information from one generation to another. The fundamental question - whether the quantum device can solve some tasks more effectively than a classical computer - was first touched upon in the book [Manin, 1980].

Henri Poincaré wrote that the true goal of science is the disclosure of unity: "*the true, the sole aim is unity*» [Poincare, 2009, in «Science and hypothesis», p. 151]. It seems that the discovery of tetra-group symmetries in long DNA-texts can be one of important steps to the disclosure of unity.

Appendix 1. Additional data about tetra-group rules and tetra-group symmetries in long DNA-texts

The Appendix represents data about executions of the described tetragroup rules in a representative set of long sequences of oligonucleotides of single stranded DNA from GenBank. Kinds of studied sequences here are taken from articles of other authors to avoid a suspicion about a special choice of sequences. The results, presented below, confirm the fulfillment of the tetra-group rules and the existence of tetra-group symmetries in all the cases considered.

First of all, we represent results of analysis of tetra-group probabilities of 19 sequences from their list in the article about the second Chargaff's parity rule [Rapoport, Trifonov, 2012, p. 2]: [Rapoport, Trifonov, 2012, p. 2]: "Nucleotide disparities for prokaryotic coding sequences were taken from bacterial genomes of different groups both from Bacteria and Archea. All together 19 genomes were used: Aquifex aeolicus, Acidobacteria bacterium, Bradyrhizobium japonicum, Bacillus subtilis, Chlamydia trachomatis, Chromobacterium violaceum, Dehalococcoides ethenogenes, Escherichia coli, Flavobacterium psychrophilum, Gloeobacter violaceus, Helicobacter pilory, Methanosarcina acetivorans, Nanoarchaeum equitans, Syntrophus aciditrophicus, Streptomyces coelicolor, Sulfolobus solfataricus, Treponema denticola, Thermotoga maritima and Thermus thermophiles". Fig. A1/1-A1/19 show results of analysis of tetra-group probabilities in these 19 DNA-sequences.

Then, Fig. A1/20-A1/35 show results of analysis of tetra-group symmetries in DNA-sequences, the length of which is which is approximately equal to 100000 nucleotides and more, from the article [Prahbu, 1993] devoted also to the second Chargaff's rule.

NUCLEO	DTIDES	DOUB	LETS	TRIP	LETS	4-PL	ETS	5-PL	ETS
$P_1(A_1)=$	0,2841	$P_2(A_1)=$	0,2834	$P_3(A_1)=$	0,2820	$P_4(A_1)=$	0,2834	$P_5(A_1)=$	0,2843
$P_1(T_1) =$	0,2811	$P_2(T_1)=$	0,2818	$P_3(T_1)=$	0,2815	$P_4(T_1) =$	0,2820	$P_5(T_1)=$	0,2821
$P_1(C_1) =$	0,2168	$P_2(C_1) =$	0,2173	$P_3(C_1)=$	0,2167	$P_4(C_1)=$	0,2170	$P_5(C_1) =$	0,2160
$P_1(G_1)=$	0,2179	$P_2(G_1)=$	0,2176	$P_3(G_1) =$	0,2198	$P_4(G_1)=$	0,2174	$P_5(G_1)=$	0,2178
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0,2849	$P_3(A_2)=$	0,2859	$P_4(A_2)=$	0,2843	$P_{5}(A_{2})=$	0,2845
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0,2805	$P_3(T_2)=$	0,2827	$P_4(T_2)=$	0,2807	$P_5(T_2)=$	0,2814
		$P_2(C_2) =$	0,2163	$P_3(C_2)=$	0,2156	$P_4(C_2)=$	0,2161	$P_5(C_2)=$	0,2161
		$P_2(G_2) =$	0,2183	$P_3(G_2) =$	0,2157	$P_4(G_2)=$	0,2188	$P_5(G_2)=$	0,2180
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0,2845	$P_4(A_3)=$	0,2831	$P_5(A_3)=$	0,2844
				$P_3(T_3)=$	0,2791	$P_4(T_3) =$	0,2815	$P_5(T_3) =$	0,2806
				$P_3(C_3) =$	0,2181	$P_4(C_3) =$	0,2176	$P_5(C_3) =$	0,2171
				$P_3(G_3) =$	0,2183	$P_4(G_3)=$	0,2177	$P_5(G_3)=$	0,2178
						$P_4(A_4)=$	0,2855	$P_5(A_4)=$	0,2840
						$P_4(T_4) =$	0,2802	$P_5(T_4) =$	0,2804
						$P_4(C_4) =$	0,2165	$P_5(C_4) =$	0,2174
						$P_4(G_4) =$	0,2178	$P_5(G_4) =$	0,2182
								$P_{5}(A_{5})=$	0,2835
								$P_{5}(T_{5}) =$	0,2810
								$P_5(C_5) =$	0,2177
								$P_5(G_5) =$	0,2180

Fig. A1/1. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups in the sequence: Aquifex aeolicus VF5, complete genome https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AE000657.1?report=fasta; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AE000657.1?report=genbank: LOCUS AE000657, 1551335 bp DNA circular BCT 30-JAN-2014 DEFINITION Aquifex aeolicus VF5, complete genome. ACCESSION AE000657 AE000669-AE000777 VERSION AE000657.1

NUCLEOTIDES		DOUBLETS		TRIP	TRIPLETS		ETS	5-PLETS	
$P_1(A_1) =$	0,2155	$P_2(A_1)=$	0,2156	$P_3(A_1)=$	0,2165	$P_4(A_1)=$	0,2157	$P_5(A_1) =$	0,2159
$P_1(T_1) =$	0,2171	$P_2(T_1) =$	0,2169	$P_3(T_1) =$	0,2167	$P_4(T_1) =$	0,2167	$P_5(T_1) =$	0,2171
$P_1(C_1) =$	0,2855	$P_2(C_1) =$	0,2856	$P_3(C_1)=$	0,2836	$P_4(C_1) =$	0,2856	$P_5(C_1) =$	0,2850
$P_1(G_1) =$	0,2819	$P_2(G_1) =$	0,2819	$P_3(G_1) =$	0,2833	$P_4(G_1)=$	0,2820	$P_5(G_1) =$	0,2821
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0,2153	$P_3(A_2)=$	0,2154	$P_4(A_2)=$	0,2155	$P_5(A_2)=$	0,2153
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0,2173	$P_3(T_2)=$	0,2186	$P_4(T_2) =$	0,2171	$P_5(T_2)=$	0,2172
		$P_2(C_2)=$	0,2855	$P_3(C_2)=$	0,2863	$P_4(C_2)=$	0,2853	$P_5(C_2) =$	0,2855
		$P_2(G_2) =$	0,2818	$P_3(G_2)=$	0,2798	$P_4(G_2)=$	0,2821	$P_5(G_2) =$	0,2820
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0,2146	$P_4(A_3) =$	0,2155	$P_5(A_3) =$	0,2159
				$P_3(T_3) =$	0,2161	$P_4(T_3) =$	0,2171	$P_5(T_3) =$	0,2168

$P_3(C_3) =$	0,2868	$P_4(C_3) =$	0,2855	$P_5(C_3) =$	0,2855
$P_3(G_3) =$	0,2825	$P_4(G_3) =$	0,2819	$P_5(G_3) =$	0,2818
		$P_4(A_4) =$	0,2151	$P_{5}(A_{4})=$	0,2154
		$P_4(T_4) =$	0,2175	$P_5(T_4) =$	0,2176
		$P_4(C_4) =$	0,2858	$P_5(C_4) =$	0,2858
		$P_4(G_4) =$	0,2815	$P_5(G_4) =$	0,2812
				$P_5(A_5) =$	0,2149
				$P_5(T_5)=$	0,21694
				$P_5(C_5) =$	0,2859
				$P_5(G_5) =$	0,2823

Fig. A1/2. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups in the sequence: Acidobacteria bacterium KBS 146 M015DRAFT_scf718000000004_quiver.1_C, whole genome shotgun sequence, GenBank: JHVA01000001.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/JHVA01000001.1?report=fasta

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/JHVA01000001.1?report=genbank : LOCUS JHVA01000001, 4996384 bp , DNA linear BCT 08-APR-2014 DEFINITION Acidobacteria bacterium KBS 146 M015DRAFT_scf718000000004_quiver.1_C, whole genome shotgun sequence. ACCESSION JHVA01000001 JHVA01000000 VERSION JHVA01000001.

NUCLEO	DTIDES	DOUB	LETS	TRIP	LETS	4-PL	ETS	5-PL	ETS
$P_1(A_1) =$	0,1819	$P_2(A_1)=$	0,1820	$P_3(A_1)=$	0,1806	$P_4(A_1)=$	0,1816	$P_5(A_1) =$	0,1814
$P_1(T_1) =$	0,1815	$P_2(T_1)=$	0,1814	$P_3(T_1) =$	0,1797	$P_4(T_1) =$	0,1817	$P_5(T_1) =$	0,1818
$P_1(C_1) =$	0,3184	$P_2(C_1) =$	0,3185	$P_3(C_1) =$	0,3197	$P_4(C_1) =$	0,3184	$P_5(C_1) =$	0,3182
$P_1(G_1) =$	0,3182	$P_2(G_1) =$	0,3182	$P_3(G_1)=$	0,3201	$P_4(G_1)=$	0,3183	$P_5(G_1) =$	0,3186
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0,1818	$P_3(A_2)=$	0,1836	$P_4(A_2)=$	0,1816	$P_5(A_2) =$	0,1819
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0,1816	$P_3(T_2) =$	0,1825	$P_4(T_2) =$	0,1815	$P_5(T_2)=$	0,1815
		$P_2(C_2)=$	0,3183	$P_3(C_2)=$	0,3166	$P_4(C_2)=$	0,3184	$P_5(C_2) =$	0,3186
		$P_2(G_2)=$	0,3182	$P_3(G_2)=$	0,3173	$P_4(G_2)=$	0,3185	$P_5(G_2) =$	0,3179
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0,1815	$P_4(A_3)=$	0,1823	$P_5(A_3) =$	0,1820
				$P_3(T_3) =$	0,1824	$P_4(T_3) =$	0,1811	$P_5(T_3) =$	0,1815
				$P_3(C_3)=$	0,3189	$P_4(C_3)=$	0,3185	$P_5(C_3) =$	0,3186
				$P_3(G_3) =$	0,3173	$P_4(G_3)=$	0,3181	$P_5(G_3) =$	0,3180
						$P_4(A_4)=$	0,1820	$P_5(A_4) =$	0,1817
						$P_4(T_4) =$	0,1818	$P_5(T_4) =$	0,1815
						$P_4(C_4) =$	0,3182	$P_5(C_4) =$	0,3183
						$P_4(G_4) =$	0,3180	$P_5(G_4) =$	0,3185
								$P_{5}(A_{5})=$	0,1824
								$P_{5}(T_{5})=$	0,1814
								$P_5(C_5) =$	0,3183
								$P_5(G_5) =$	0,3180

Fig. A1/3. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups in the sequence: Bradyrhizobium japonicum strain E109, complete genome GenBank: CP010313.1,

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP010313.1?report=fasta https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP010313.1?report=genbank :

ACCESS	SION CH	010313	VERSIO	IN CPU	10313.1				
NUCLEO	OTIDES	DOUB	SLETS	TRIP	LETS	4-PL	ETS	5-PL	ETS
$P_1(A_1)=$	0,2805	$P_2(A_1)=$	0,2802	$P_3(A_1)=$	0,2813	$P_4(A_1)=$	0,2801	$P_5(A_1) =$	0,2800
$P_1(T_1) =$	0,2806	$P_2(T_1)=$	0,2806	$P_3(T_1) =$	0,2809	$P_4(T_1) =$	0,2807	$P_5(T_1) =$	0,2805
$P_1(C_1) =$	0,2192	$P_2(C_1) =$	0,2196	$P_3(C_1) =$	0,2181	$P_4(C_1) =$	0,2195	$P_5(C_1) =$	0,2197
$P_1(G_1) =$	0,2197	$P_2(G_1) =$	0,2197	$P_3(G_1)=$	0,2196	$P_4(G_1) =$	0,2198	$P_5(G_1) =$	0,2198
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0,2809	$P_3(A_2)=$	0,2795	$P_4(A_2)=$	0,2811	$P_5(A_2)=$	0,2805
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0,2805	$P_3(T_2) =$	0,2803	$P_4(T_2) =$	0,2801	$P_5(T_2)=$	0,2807
		$P_2(C_2)=$	0,2189	$P_3(C_2)=$	0,2196	$P_4(C_2)=$	0,2190	$P_5(C_2) =$	0,2188
		$P_2(G_2)=$	0,2197	$P_3(G_2)=$	0,2206	$P_4(G_2)=$	0,2198	$P_5(G_2) =$	0,2200
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0,2807	$P_4(A_3)=$	0,2802	$P_5(A_3)=$	0,2811
				$P_3(T_3) =$	0,2805	$P_4(T_3) =$	0,2806	$P_5(T_3)=$	0,2805
				$P_3(C_3)=$	0,2200	$P_4(C_3)=$	0,2197	$P_5(C_3) =$	0,2195
				$P_3(G_3) =$	0,2189	$P_4(G_3)=$	0,2196	$P_5(G_3) =$	0,2190
						$P_4(A_4)=$	0,2806	$P_5(A_4) =$	0,2804
						$P_4(T_4) =$	0,2810	$P_5(T_4) =$	0,2812
						$P_4(C_4) =$	0,2188	$P_5(C_4) =$	0,2190
						$P_4(G_4) =$	0,2195	$P_5(G_4) =$	0,2194
								$P_{5}(A_{5})=$	0,2805
								$P_{5}(T_{5})=$	0,2800
								$P_5(C_5) =$	0,2193
								$P_5(G_5) =$	0,2201

LOCUS CP010313, 9224208 bp, DNA circular BCT 24-FEB-2015 DEFINITION Bradyrhizobium japonicum strain E109, complete genome. ACCESSION CP010313 VERSION CP010313.1

Fig. A1/4. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Bacillus subtilis strain UD1022, complete genome, GenBank: CP011534.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP011534.1?report=fasta https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP011534.1?report=genbank : LOCUS CP011534, 4025326 bp, DNA circular BCT 18-FEB-2016 DEFINITION Bacillus subtilis strain UD1022, complete genome. ACCESSION CP011534 VERSION CP011534.1

NUCLEO	TIDES	DOUBLE	ТS	TRIPLET	S	4-PLETS		5-PLETS	
$P_1(A_1) =$	0,2942	$P_2(A_1) =$	0,2939	$P_3(A_1) =$	0,2927	$P_4(A_1) =$	0,2939	$P_5(A_1) =$	0,2933
$P_1(T_1)=$	0,2930	$P_2(T_1) =$	0,2931	$P_3(T_1) =$	0,2921	$P_4(T_1) =$	0,2933	$P_5(T_1) =$	0,2932
$P_1(C_1) =$	0,2067	$P_2(C_1) =$	0,2066	$P_3(C_1) =$	0,2074	$P_4(C_1) =$	0,2059	$P_5(C_1) =$	0,2066
$P_1(G_1) =$	0,2061	$P_2(G_1) =$	0,2063	$P_3(G_1) =$	0,2078	$P_4(G_1)=$	0,2069	$P_5(G_1) =$	0,2068
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0,2945	$P_3(A_2)=$	0,2963	$P_4(A_2)=$	0,2941	$P_5(A_2)=$	0,2937
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0,2930	$P_3(T_2) =$	0,2935	$P_4(T_2) =$	0,2922	$P_5(T_2) =$	0,2937
		$P_2(C_2) =$	0,2067	$P_3(C_2)=$	0,2049	$P_4(C_2)=$	0,2076	$P_5(C_2) =$	0,2062
		$P_2(G_2)=$	0,2058	$P_3(G_2)=$	0,2053	$P_4(G_2)=$	0,2061	$P_5(G_2) =$	0,2064
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0,2936	$P_4(A_3)=$	0,2939	$P_5(A_3)=$	0,2943
				$P_3(T_3) =$	0,2935	$P_4(T_3) =$	0,2930	$P_5(T_3)=$	0,2932
				$P_3(C_3) =$	0,2077	$P_4(C_3)=$	0,2073	$P_5(C_3) =$	0,2070
				$P_3(G_3) =$	0,2051	$P_4(G_3) =$	0,2058	$P_5(G_3) =$	0,2055
						$P_4(A_4) =$	0,2948	$P_5(A_4) =$	0,2943
						$P_4(T_4) =$	0,2938	$P_5(T_4) =$	0,2925
						$P_4(C_4) =$	0,2059	$P_5(C_4) =$	0,20743
						$P_4(G_4) =$	0,2056	$P_5(G_4) =$	0,2058
								$P_5(A_5) =$	0,2953
								$P_5(T_5)=$	0,2926
								$P_5(C_5) =$	0,2061
								$P_5(G_5) =$	0,2059

Fig. A1/5. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Chlamydia trachomatis strain QH111L, complete genome GenBank: CP018052.1,

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP018052.1?report=fasta https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP018052.1?report=genbank : LOCUS CP018052, 1025839 bp, DNA circular BCT 22-NOV-2016 DEFINITION Chlamydia trachomatis strain QH111L, complete genome. ACCESSION CP018052 VERSION CP018052.1

NUCLEO	DTIDES	DOUB	LETS	TRIP	LETS	4-PL	ETS	5-PL	ETS
$P_1(A_1) =$	0,1670	$P_2(A_1)=$	0,1658	$P_3(A_1)=$	0,1758	$P_4(A_1)=$	0,1640	$P_{5}(A_{1})=$	0,1678
$P_1(T_1)=$	0,1819	$P_2(T_1)=$	0,1812	$P_3(T_1)=$	0,1883	$P_4(T_1) =$	0,1809	$P_5(T_1) =$	0,1823
$P_1(C_1) =$	0,3049	$P_2(C_1) =$	0,3044	$P_3(C_1)=$	0,3009	$P_4(C_1) =$	0,3042	$P_5(C_1) =$	0,3055
$P_1(G_1) =$	0,3461	$P_2(G_1) =$	0,3486	$P_3(G_1)=$	0,3350	$P_4(G_1) =$	0,3509	$P_5(G_1) =$	0,3444
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0,1682	$P_3(A_2)=$	0,1651	$P_4(A_2)=$	0,1683	$P_{5}(A_{2})=$	0,1679
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0,1827	$P_3(T_2)=$	0,1808	$P_4(T_2) =$	0,1805	$P_5(T_2)=$	0,1850
		$P_2(C_2)=$	0,3055	$P_3(C_2)=$	0,3112	$P_4(C_2) =$	0,3062	$P_5(C_2) =$	0,2997
		$P_2(G_2) =$	0,3437	$P_3(G_2)=$	0,3429	$P_4(G_2) =$	0,3450	$P_5(G_2) =$	0,3474
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0,1602	$P_4(A_3)=$	0,1677	$P_{5}(A_{3})=$	0,1697
				$P_3(T_3) =$	0,1766	$P_4(T_3) =$	0,1814	$P_5(T_3) =$	0,1810
				$P_3(C_3)=$	0,3027	$P_4(C_3) =$	0,3046	$P_5(C_3) =$	0,3044
				$P_3(G_3)=$	0,3606	$P_4(G_3)=$	0,3463	$P_5(G_3) =$	0,3453
						$P_4(A_4) =$	0,1681	$P_{5}(A_{4})=$	0,1674
						$P_4(T_4) =$	0,1849	$P_5(T_4) =$	0,1816
						$P_4(C_4) =$	0,3047	$P_5(C_4) =$	0,3048
						$P_4(G_4) =$	0,3424	$P_5(G_4) =$	0,3463
								$P_{5}(A_{5})=$	0,1627
								$P_{5}(T_{5}) =$	0,1797
								$P_5(C_5) =$	0,3103
								$P_5(G_5) =$	0,3472

Fig. A1/6. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Chromobacterium violaceum strain LK30 1, whole genome shotgun sequence GenBank: LDUX01000001.1,

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/LDUX01000001.1?report=fasta https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/LDUX01000001.1?report=genbank : LOCUS LDUX01000001, 127377 bp, DNA linear BCT 30-JUN-2015 DEFINITION Chromobacterium violaceum strain LK30 1, whole genome shotgun sequence. ACCESSION LDUX01000001 LDUX01000000 VERSION LDUX01000001.1

NUCLEOTIDES		DOUBLETS		TRIP	TRIPLETS		4-PLETS		5-PLETS	
$P_1(A_1) =$	0,2664	$P_2(A_1)=$	0,2665	P ₃ (A ₁)=	0,2706	$P_4(A_1)=$	0,2668	$P_5(A_1)=$	0,2673	
$P_1(T_1) =$	0,2645	$P_2(T_1)=$	0,2647	$P_3(T_1) =$	0,2653	$P_4(T_1) =$	0,2649	$P_5(T_1) =$	0,2638	
$P_1(C_1) =$	0,2338	$P_2(C_1)=$	0,2337	$P_3(C_1)=$	0,2295	$P_4(C_1)=$	0,2337	$P_5(C_1) =$	0,2340	
$P_1(G_1) =$	0,2353	$P_2(G_1)=$	0,2351	$P_3(G_1)=$	0,2346	$P_4(G_1)=$	0,2346	$P_5(G_1) =$	0,2349	
		$P_2(A_2) =$	0,2663	$P_3(A_2) =$	0,2617	$P_4(A_2) =$	0,2659	$P_5(A_2) =$	0,2662	

$P_2(T_2)=$	0,2643	$P_3(T_2) =$	0,2686	$P_4(T_2) =$	0,2642	$P_5(T_2)=$	0,2648
$P_2(C_2) =$	0,2339	$P_3(C_2)=$	0,2376	$P_4(C_2) =$	0,2335	$P_5(C_2)=$	0,2336
$P_2(G_2) =$	0,2355	$P_3(G_2) =$	0,2321	$P_4(G_2)=$	0,2364	$P_5(G_2) =$	0,2354
		$P_3(A_3)=$	0,2668	$P_4(A_3)=$	0,2661	$P_5(A_3) =$	0,2659
		$P_3(T_3) =$	0,2596	$P_4(T_3) =$	0,2644	$P_5(T_3) =$	0,2651
		$P_3(C_3)=$	0,2343	$P_4(C_3) =$	0,2338	$P_5(C_3) =$	0,2339
		$P_3(G_3) =$	0,2396	$P_4(G_3) =$	0,2356	$P_5(G_3) =$	0,2350
				$P_4(A_4) =$	0,2666	$P_5(A_4) =$	0,2664
				$P_4(T_4) =$	0,2644	$P_5(T_4) =$	0,2649
				$P_4(C_4) =$	0,2342	$P_5(C_4) =$	0,2328
				$P_4(G_4) =$	0,2347	$P_5(G_4) =$	0,2360
						$P_{5}(A_{5})=$	0,2660
						$P_{5}(T_{5})=$	0,2639
						$P_5(C_5) =$	0,2346
						$P_5(G_5) =$	0,2354

Fig. A1/7. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Dehalococcoides mccartyi strain CG3, complete genome NCBI Reference Sequence: NZ_CP013074.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NZ_CP013074.1?report=fasta https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NZ_CP013074.1?report=genbank : LOCUS NZ_CP013074 1521287 bp DNA circular CON 06-APR-2017 DEFINITION Dehalococcoides mccartyi strain CG3, complete genome. ACCESSION NZ_CP013074 VERSION NZ_CP013074.1

NUCLEO	OTIDES	DOUE	LETS	TRIP	LETS	4-PL	ETS	5-PL	ETS
$P_1(A_1) =$	0,2480	$P_2(A_1)=$	0,2481	$P_3(A_1)=$	0,2479	$P_4(A_1)=$	0,2479	$P_{5}(A_{1})=$	0,2483
$P_1(T_1) =$	0,2472	$P_2(T_1)=$	0,2470	$P_3(T_1) =$	0,2489	$P_4(T_1) =$	0,2472	$P_5(T_1) =$	0,2472
$P_1(C_1) =$	0,2526	$P_2(C_1) =$	0,2523	$P_3(C_1)=$	0,2519	$P_4(C_1) =$	0,2522	$P_5(C_1) =$	0,2529
$P_1(G_1) =$	0,2522	$P_2(G_1) =$	0,2526	$P_3(G_1)=$	0,2514	$P_4(G_1) =$	0,2526	$P_5(G_1) =$	0,2516
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0,2480	$P_3(A_2)=$	0,2468	$P_4(A_2)=$	0,2474	$P_{5}(A_{2})=$	0,2479
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0,2474	$P_3(T_2) =$	0,2461	$P_4(T_2) =$	0,2477	$P_5(T_2)=$	0,2475
		$P_2(C_2)=$	0,2528	$P_3(C_2)=$	0,2544	$P_4(C_2)=$	0,2530	$P_5(C_2)=$	0,2522
		$P_2(G_2) =$	0,2518	$P_3(G_2)=$	0,2527	$P_4(G_2) =$	0,2519	$P_5(G_2) =$	0,2523
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0,2494	$P_4(A_3)=$	0,2483	$P_5(A_3)=$	0,2485
				$P_3(T_3) =$	0,2466	$P_4(T_3) =$	0,2467	$P_5(T_3)=$	0,2470
				$P_3(C_3)=$	0,2515	$P_4(C_3) =$	0,2525	$P_5(C_3) =$	0,2527
				$P_3(G_3) =$	0,2525	$P_4(G_3) =$	0,2526	$P_5(G_3) =$	0,2518
						$P_4(A_4) =$	0,2486	$P_{5}(A_{4})=$	0,2479
						$P_4(T_4) =$	0,2472	$P_5(T_4) =$	0,2472
						$P_4(C_4) =$	0,2527	$P_5(C_4) =$	0,2523
						$P_4(G_4) =$	0,2516	$P_5(G_4) =$	0,2526
								$P_{5}(A_{5})=$	0,2475
								$P_{5}(T_{5}) =$	0,2471
								$P_5(C_5) =$	0,2529
								$P_5(G_5) =$	0,2525

Fig. A1/8. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Escherichia coli CFT073, complete genome, GenBank: AE014075.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AE014075.1?report=fasta https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AE014075.1?report=genbank: LOCUS AE014075 5231428 bp DNA circular BCT 31-JAN-2014 DEFINITION Escherichia coli CFT073, complete genome. ACCESSION AE014075 AE016755 AE016756 AE016757 AE016758 AE016759 AE016760 AE016761 AE016762 AE016763 AE016764 AE016765 AE016766 AE016767 AE016768 AE016769 AE016770 AE016771 AE016772 VERSION AE014075.1

NUCLEO	OTIDES	DOUB	LETS	TRIP	LETS	4-PL	ETS	5-PI	LETS
$P_1(A_1) =$	0,3326	$P_2(A_1)=$	0,3326	$P_3(A_1)=$	0,3335	$P_4(A_1)=$	0,3323	$P_5(A_1) =$	$P_1(A_1)=$
$P_1(T_1) =$	0,3420	$P_2(T_1) =$	0,3416	$P_3(T_1) =$	0,3411	$P_4(T_1) =$	0,3419	$P_5(T_1) =$	0,3421
$P_1(C_1) =$	0,1640	$P_2(C_1) =$	0,1643	$P_3(C_1)=$	0,1616	$P_4(C_1) =$	0,1645	$P_5(C_1) =$	0,1637
$P_1(G_1) =$	0,1614	$P_2(G_1) =$	0,1615	$P_3(G_1)=$	0,1638	$P_4(G_1) =$	0,1613	$P_5(G_1) =$	0,1612
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0,3326	$P_3(A_2)=$	0,3306	$P_4(A_2)=$	0,3334	$P_5(A_2) =$	0,3324
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0,3425	$P_3(T_2) =$	0,3425	$P_4(T_2) =$	0,3413	$P_5(T_2)=$	0,3425
		$P_2(C_2) =$	0,1637	$P_3(C_2)=$	0,1665	$P_4(C_2)=$	0,1638	$P_5(C_2)=$	0,1640
		$P_2(G_2) =$	0,1612	$P_3(G_2)=$	0,1606	$P_4(G_2)=$	0,1615	$P_5(G_2) =$	0,1611
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0,3338	$P_4(A_3)=$	0,3330	$P_5(A_3) =$	0,3328
				$P_3(T_3) =$	0,3427	$P_4(T_3) =$	0,3413	$P_5(T_3)=$	0,3422
				$P_3(C_3)=$	0,1638	$P_4(C_3)=$	0,1640	$P_5(C_3) =$	0,1635
				$P_3(G_3) =$	0,1597	$P_4(G_3) =$	0,1617	$P_5(G_3) =$	0,1614
						$P_4(A_4) =$	0,3319	$P_5(A_4) =$	0,3326
						$P_4(T_4) =$	0,3436	$P_5(T_4) =$	0,3414
						$P_4(C_4) =$	0,1636	$P_5(C_4) =$	0,1639
						$P_4(G_4) =$	0,1610	$P_5(G_4) =$	0,1620
								$P_5(A_5) =$	0,3324
								$P_{5}(T_{5}) =$	0,3420
								$P_5(C_5) =$	0,1647
								$P_{5}(G_{5}) =$	0,16095

Fig. A1/9. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups in the sequence: Flavobacterium psychrophilum,

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_009613.3:

LOCUS NC_009613, 2860382 bp, DNA circular CON 03-AUG-2016 DEFINITION Flavobacterium psychrophilum JIP02/86 complete genome. ACCESSION NC_009613 VERSION NC_009613.3

NUCLEC	DTIDES	DOUB	LETS	TRIP	LETS	4-PL	ETS	5-PL	ETS
$P_1(A_1) =$	0,1906	$P_2(A_1) =$	0,1905	$P_3(A_1)=$	0,1892	$P_4(A_1)=$	0,1910	$P_5(A_1) =$	0,1906
$P_1(T_1) =$	0,1894	$P_2(T_1) =$	0,1899	$P_3(T_1) =$	0,1881	$P_4(T_1) =$	0,1898	$P_5(T_1) =$	0,1895
$P_1(C_1) =$	0,3101	$P_2(C_1) =$	0,3098	$P_3(C_1) =$	0,3120	$P_4(C_1) =$	0,3096	$P_5(C_1) =$	0,3104
$P_1(G_1) =$	0,3099	$P_2(G_1) =$	0,3099	$P_3(G_1) =$	0,3107	$P_4(G_1) =$	0,3095	$P_5(G_1) =$	0,3095
		$P_2(A_2) =$	0,1907	$P_3(A_2)=$	0,1925	$P_4(A_2)=$	0,1906	$P_5(A_2) =$	0,1907
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0,1890	$P_3(T_2) =$	0,1899	$P_4(T_2) =$	0,1892	$P_5(T_2)=$	0,1894
		$P_2(C_2) =$	0,3104	$P_3(C_2)=$	0,3074	$P_4(C_2) =$	0,3105	$P_5(C_2) =$	0,3100
		$P_2(G_2) =$	0,3099	$P_3(G_2)=$	0,3103	$P_4(G_2)=$	0,3097	$P_5(G_2) =$	0,3100
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0,1901	$P_4(A_3)=$	0,1900	$P_5(A_3) =$	0,1908
				$P_3(T_3) =$	0,1903	$P_4(T_3) =$	0,1899	$P_5(T_3) =$	0,1892
				$P_3(C_3)=$	0,3108	$P_4(C_3)=$	0,3099	$P_5(C_3) =$	0,3097
				$P_3(G_3) =$	0,3088	$P_4(G_3)=$	0,3103	$P_5(G_3) =$	0,3104
						$P_4(A_4)=$	0,1908	$P_5(A_4) =$	0,1905
						$P_4(T_4) =$	0,1889	$P_5(T_4) =$	0,1896
						$P_4(C_4) =$	0,3102	$P_5(C_4) =$	0,3095
						$P_4(G_4) =$	0,3102	$P_5(G_4) =$	0,3105
								$P_5(A_5) =$	0,1904
								$P_5(T_5)=$	0,1896

$P_5(C_5) =$	0,3107
$P_5(G_5) =$	0,3093

Fig. A1/10. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Gloeobacter violaceus PCC 7421 DNA, complete genome, GenBank: BA000045.2, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/BA000045.2?report=fasta https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/BA000045.2?report=genbank : LOCUS BA000045 4659019 bp DNA circular BCT 07-OCT-2016 DEFINITION Gloeobacter violaceus PCC 7421 DNA, complete genome. ACCESSION BA000045 AP006568-AP006583 VERSION BA000045.2

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1) = 0,3033$	$P_2(A_1) = 0,3034$	$P_3(A_1) = 0,3033$	$P_4(A_1) = 0,3031$	$P_5(A_1) = 0,3040$
$P_1(T_1) = 0,3048$	$P_2(T_1) = 0,3049$	$P_3(T_1) = 0,3037$	$P_4(T_1) = 0,3047$	$P_5(T_1) = 0,3051$
$P_1(C_1) = 0,1970$	$P_2(C_1) = 0,1969$	$P_3(C_1) = 0,1989$	$P_4(C_1) = 0,1971$	$P_5(C_1) = 0,1963$
$P_1(G_1) = 0,1949$	$P_2(G_1) = 0,1949$	$P_3(G_1) = 0,1941$	$P_4(G_1) = 0,1951$	$P_5(G_1) = 0,1946$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0,3032$	$P_3(A_2) = 0,3042$	$P_4(A_2) = 0,3036$	$P_5(A_2) = 0,3029$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0,3048$	$P_3(T_2) = 0,3028$	$P_4(T_2) = 0,3051$	$P_5(T_2) = 0,3062$
	$P_2(C_2) = 0,1970$	$P_3(C_2) = 0,1958$	$P_4(C_2) = 0,1968$	$P_5(C_2) = 0,1963$
	$P_2(G_2) = 0,1950$	$P_3(G_2) = 0,1972$	$P_4(G_2) = 0,1945$	$P_5(G_2) = 0,1946$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0,3022$	$P_4(A_3) = 0,3037$	$P_5(A_3) = 0,3045$
		$P_3(T_3) = 0,3080$	$P_4(T_3) = 0,3050$	$P_5(T_3) = 0,3028$
		$P_3(C_3) = 0,1962$	$P_4(C_3) = 0,1967$	$P_5(C_3) = 0,1974$
		$P_3(G_3) = 0,1936$	$P_4(G_3) = 0,1946$	$P_5(G_3) = 0,1954$
			$P_4(A_4) = 0,3028$	$P_5(A_4) = 0,3027$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0,3045$	$P_5(T_4) = 0,3052$
			$P_4(C_4) = 0,1973$	$P_5(C_4) = 0,1974$
			$P_4(G_4) = 0,1954$	$P_5(G_4) = 0,1946$
				$P_5(A_5) = 0,3022$
				$P_5(T_5) = 0,3049$
				$P_5(C_5) = 0,1974$
				$P_5(G_5) = 0,1955$

Fig. A1/11. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups in the sequence: Helicobacter pilory, NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000921.1 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000921.1

LOCUS NC_000921 1643831 bp DNA circular CON 22-MAR-2017 DEFINITION Helicobacter pylori J99, complete genome. ACCESSION NC_000921 NZ_AE001440-NZ_AE001571 VERSION NC_000921.1

NUCLEO	DTIDES	DOUB	LETS	TRIP	TRIPLETS		ETS	5-PL	ETS
$P_1(A_1) =$	0,2848	$P_2(A_1)=$	0,2848	$P_3(A_1) =$	0,2830	$P_4(A_1)=$	0,2850	$P_5(A_1) =$	0,2843
$P_1(T_1) =$	0,2884	$P_2(T_1) =$	0,2881	$P_3(T_1) =$	0,2890	$P_4(T_1) =$	0,2881	$P_5(T_1) =$	0,2882
$P_1(C_1) =$	0,2136	$P_2(C_1) =$	0,2139	$P_3(C_1) =$	0,2148	$P_4(C_1) =$	0,2134	$P_5(C_1) =$	0,2137
$P_1(G_1) =$	0,2132	$P_2(G_1) =$	0,2132	$P_3(G_1) =$	0,2132	$P_4(G_1) =$	0,2134	$P_5(G_1) =$	0,2138
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0,2848	$P_3(A_2)=$	0,2851	$P_4(A_2)=$	0,2846	$P_5(A_2) =$	0,2850
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0,2887	$P_3(T_2) =$	0,2875	$P_4(T_2) =$	0,2888	$P_5(T_2) =$	0,2879
		$P_2(C_2) =$	0,2132	$P_3(C_2)=$	0,2133	$P_4(C_2) =$	0,2133	$P_5(C_2)=$	0,2133
		$P_2(G_2) =$	0,2133	$P_3(G_2) =$	0,2141	$P_4(G_2) =$	0,2134	$P_5(G_2) =$	0,2137
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0,2863	$P_4(A_3)=$	0,2847	$P_5(A_3) =$	0,2852
				$P_3(T_3) =$	0,2887	$P_4(T_3) =$	0,2880	$P_5(T_3) =$	0,2886

$P_3(C_3) = 0,212$	$6 P_4(C_3) =$	0,2144	$P_5(C_3) =$	0,2137
$P_3(G_3) = 0,212$	4 $P_4(G_3)=$	0,2129	$P_5(G_3) =$	0,2126
	$P_4(A_4) =$	0,2849	$P_5(A_4) =$	0,2846
	$P_4(T_4) =$	0,2887	$P_5(T_4) =$	0,2889
	$P_4(C_4) =$	0,2132	$P_5(C_4) =$	0,2133
	$P_4(G_4) =$	0,2131	$P_5(G_4) =$	0,2132
			$P_5(A_5) =$	0,2848
			$P_{5}(T_{5})=$	0,2883
			$P_5(C_5) =$	0,2140
			$P_5(G_5) =$	0,2129

Fig. A1/12. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Methanosarcina acetivorans str. C2A, complete genome,

GenBank: AE010299.1,

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AE010299.1?report=fasta https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AE01029 -

LOCUS AE010299 5751492 bp, DNA circular BCT 01-OCT-2014 DEFINITION Methanosarcina acetivorans str. C2A, complete genome. ACCESSION AE010299 AE010656-AE011189 VERSION AE010299.1

NUCLEO	OTIDES	DOUB	LETS	TRIP	LETS	4-PL	LETS	5-PL	ETS
$P_1(A_1) =$	0,3422	$P_2(A_1)=$	0,3424	$P_3(A_1)=$	0,3413	$P_4(A_1) =$	0,3422	$P_{5}(A_{1})=$	0,3413
$P_1(T_1) =$	0,3422	$P_2(T_1) =$	0,3419	$P_3(T_1) =$	0,3420	$P_4(T_1) =$	0,3422	$P_5(T_1)=$	0,3426
$P_1(C_1) =$	0,1576	$P_2(C_1) =$	0,1581	$P_3(C_1)=$	0,1593	$P_4(C_1)=$	0,1572	$P_5(C_1) =$	0,1585
$P_1(G_1) =$	0,1580	$P_2(G_1) =$	0,1577	$P_3(G_1)=$	0,1573	$P_4(G_1) =$	0,1584	$P_5(G_1) =$	0,1576
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0,3420	$P_3(A_2)=$	0,3423	$P_4(A_2)=$	0,3408	$P_5(A_2)=$	0,3433
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0,3425	$P_3(T_2)=$	0,3413	$P_4(T_2) =$	0,3425	$P_5(T_2)=$	0,3411
		$P_2(C_2) =$	0,1571	$P_3(C_2)=$	0,1571	$P_4(C_2)=$	0,1572	$P_5(C_2)=$	0,1575
		$P_2(G_2) =$	0,1583	$P_3(G_2)=$	0,1594	$P_4(G_2) =$	0,1596	$P_5(G_2) =$	0,1582
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0,3431	$P_4(A_3)=$	0,3425	$P_5(A_3)=$	0,3430
				$P_3(T_3) =$	0,3433	$P_4(T_3) =$	0,3416	$P_5(T_3)=$	0,3439
				$P_3(C_3)=$	0,1563	$P_4(C_3) =$	0,1590	$P_5(C_3) =$	0,1586
				$P_3(G_3)=$	0,1573	$P_4(G_3) =$	0,1570	$P_5(G_3) =$	0,1545
						$P_4(A_4) =$	0,3433	$P_{5}(A_{4})=$	0,3417
						$P_4(T_4) =$	0,3426	$P_5(T_4) =$	0,3432
						$P_4(C_4) =$	0,1571	$P_5(C_4) =$	0,1574
						$P_4(G_4) =$	0,1570	$P_5(G_4) =$	0,1577
								$P_{5}(A_{5})=$	0,3418
								$P_5(T_5) =$	0,3403
								$P_5(C_5) =$	0,1560
								$P_5(G_5) =$	0,1619

Fig. A1/13. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Nanoarchaeum equitans Kin4-M, complete genome, GenBank: AE017199.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AE017199.1?report=fasta https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AE017199.1?report=genbank -LOCUS AE017199 490885 bp DNA circular BCT 30-JAN-2014 DEFINITION Nanoarchaeum equitans Kin4-M, complete genome. ACCESSION AE017199 AACL01000000 AACL01000001 VERSION AE017199.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1) = 0,2431$	$P_2(A_1) = 0,2431$	$P_3(A_1) = 0,2441$	$P_4(A_1) = 0,2435$	$P_5(A_1) = 0,2425$
$P_1(T_1) = 0,2423$	$P_2(T_1) = 0,2423$	$P_3(T_1) = 0,2446$	$P_4(T_1) = 0,2423$	$P_5(T_1) = 0,2425$
$P_1(C_1) = 0,2556$	$P_2(C_1) = 0,2556$	$P_3(C_1) = 0,2546$	$P_4(C_1) = 0,2557$	$P_5(C_1) = 0,2560$
$P_1(G_1) = 0,2590$	$P_2(G_1) = 0,2590$	$P_3(G_1) = 0,2567$	$P_4(G_1) = 0,2585$	$P_5(G_1) = 0,2590$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0,2431$	$P_3(A_2) = 0,2418$	$P_4(A_2) = 0,2432$	$P_5(A_2) = 0,2435$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0,2424$	$P_3(T_2) = 0,2413$	$P_4(T_2) = 0,2423$	$P_5(T_2) = 0,2418$
	$P_2(C_2) = 0,2557$	$P_3(C_2) = 0,2573$	$P_4(C_2) = 0,2557$	$P_5(C_2) = 0,2554$
	$P_2(G_2) = 0,2589$	$P_3(G_2) = 0,2597$	$P_4(G_2) = 0,2588$	$P_5(G_2) = 0,2592$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0,2433$	$P_4(A_3) = 0,2426$	$P_5(A_3) = 0,2434$
		$P_3(T_3) = 0,2412$	$P_4(T_3) = 0,2422$	$P_5(T_3) = 0,2422$
		$P_3(C_3) = 0,2551$	$P_4(C_3) = 0,2556$	$P_5(C_3) = 0,2557$
		$P_3(G_3) = 0,2604$	$P_4(G_3) = 0,2596$	$P_5(G_3) = 0,2587$
			$P_4(A_4) = 0,2429$	$P_5(A_4) = 0,2427$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0,2425$	$P_5(T_4) = 0,2427$
			$P_4(C_4) = 0,2556$	$P_5(C_4) = 0,2561$
			$P_4(G_4) = 0,2590$	$P_5(G_4) = 0,2584$
				$P_5(A_5) = 0,2431$
				$P_5(T_5) = 0,2425$
				$P_5(C_5) = 0,2550$
				$P_5(G_5) = 0,2595$

Fig. A1/14. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Syntrophus aciditrophicus SB, complete genome, GenBank: CP000252.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP000252.1?report=fasta

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP000252.1?report=genbank -

LOCUS CP000252, 3179300 bp, DNA circular BCT 31-JAN-2014 DEFINITION Syntrophus aciditrophicus SB, complete genome. ACCESSION CP000252 VERSION CP000252.1

NUCLEO	DTIDES	DOUBLETS TRIPLETS		LETS	4-PL	ETS	5-PL	ETS	
$P_1(A_1) =$	0,1389	$P_2(A_1)=$	0,1386	$P_3(A_1)=$	0,1366	$P_4(A_1)=$	0,1385	$P_5(A_1)=$	0,1392
$P_1(T_1) =$	0,1400	$P_2(T_1) =$	0,1400	$P_3(T_1) =$	0,1381	$P_4(T_1) =$	0,1399	$P_5(T_1) =$	0,1401
$P_1(C_1) =$	0,3601	$P_2(C_1) =$	0,3600	$P_3(C_1)=$	0,3614	$P_4(C_1) =$	0,3606	$P_5(C_1) =$	0,3598
$P_1(G_1) =$	0,3611	$P_2(G_1) =$	0,3613	$P_3(G_1)=$	0,3639	$P_4(G_1) =$	0,3611	$P_5(G_1) =$	0,3609
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0,1391	$P_3(A_2)=$	0,1408	$P_4(A_2)=$	0,1388	$P_{5}(A_{2})=$	0,1388
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0,1399	$P_3(T_2)=$	0,1414	$P_4(T_2) =$	0,1402	$P_5(T_2)=$	0,1400
		$P_2(C_2) =$	0,3602	$P_3(C_2)=$	0,3580	$P_4(C_2)=$	0,3601	$P_5(C_2) =$	0,3597
		$P_2(G_2) =$	0,3608	$P_3(G_2)=$	0,3598	$P_4(G_2)=$	0,3610	$P_5(G_2) =$	0,3615
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0,1392	$P_4(A_3)=$	0,1388	$P_5(A_3)=$	0,1389
				$P_3(T_3) =$	0,1403	$P_4(T_3) =$	0,1401	$P_5(T_3)=$	0,1397
				$P_3(C_3)=$	0,3609	$P_4(C_3)=$	0,3595	$P_5(C_3) =$	0,3610
				$P_3(G_3) =$	0,3596	$P_4(G_3) =$	0,3616	$P_5(G_3) =$	0,3605
						$P_4(A_4) =$	0,1394	$P_{5}(A_{4})=$	0,1389
						$P_4(T_4) =$	0,1397	$P_5(T_4) =$	0,1398
						$P_4(C_4) =$	0,3603	$P_5(C_4) =$	0,3600
						$P_4(G_4) =$	0,3606	$P_5(G_4) =$	0,3614
								$P_{5}(A_{5})=$	0,1386
								$P_{5}(T_{5}) =$	0,1403
								$P_5(C_5) =$	0,3601
								$P_5(G_5) =$	0,3611

Fig. A1/15. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Streptomyces coelicolor A3(2) complete genome, GenBank: AL645882.2, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AL645882.2?report=fasta https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AL645882.2?report=genbank : LOCUS AL645882, 8667507 bp, DNA linear CON 06-FEB-2015 DEFINITION Streptomyces coelicolor A3(2) complete genome. ACCESSION AL645882 VERSION AL645882.2

NUCLEO	TIDES	DOUBLE	TS	TRIPLET	S	4-PLETS		5-PLETS	
$P_1(A_1) =$	0,3181	$P_2(A_1) =$	0,3179	$P_3(A_1) =$	0,3182	$P_4(A_1) =$	0,3177	$P_5(A_1) =$	0,3185
$P_1(T_1) =$	0,3233	$P_2(T_1) =$	0,3231	$P_3(T_1) =$	0,3225	$P_4(T_1) =$	0,3234	$P_5(T_1) =$	0,3236
$P_1(C_1) =$	0,1798	$P_2(C_1) =$	0,1797	$P_3(C_1) =$	0,1798	$P_4(C_1) =$	0,1792	$P_5(C_1) =$	0,1793
$P_1(G_1) =$	0,1788	$P_2(G_1) =$	0,1793	$P_3(G_1)=$	0,1795	$P_4(G_1) =$	0,1798	$P_5(G_1) =$	0,1787
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0,3184	$P_3(A_2)=$	0,3185	$P_4(A_2)=$	0,3183	$P_5(A_2)=$	0,3183
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0,3234	$P_3(T_2) =$	0,3230	$P_4(T_2) =$	0,3237	$P_5(T_2)=$	0,3228
		$P_2(C_2) =$	0,1800	$P_3(C_2)=$	0,1782	$P_4(C_2) =$	0,1801	$P_5(C_2) =$	0,1801
		$P_2(G_2) =$	0,1783	$P_3(G_2)=$	0,1803	$P_4(G_2) =$	0,1779	$P_5(G_2) =$	0,1787
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0,3177	$P_4(A_3)=$	0,3181	$P_5(A_3) =$	0,3165
				$P_3(T_3) =$	0,3243	$P_4(T_3) =$	0,3229	$P_5(T_3)=$	0,3236
				$P_3(C_3) =$	0,1814	$P_4(C_3) =$	0,1803	$P_5(C_3) =$	0,1809
				$P_3(G_3)=$	0,1765	$P_4(G_3) =$	0,1788	$P_5(G_3) =$	0,1790
						$P_4(A_4) =$	0,3185	$P_5(A_4) =$	0,3187
						$P_4(T_4) =$	0,3232	$P_5(T_4) =$	0,3232
						$P_4(C_4) =$	0,1797	$P_5(C_4) =$	0,1794
						$P_4(G_4) =$	0,1786	$P_5(G_4) =$	0,1788
								$P_5(A_5)=$	0,3187
								$P_5(T_5) =$	0,3232
								$P_5(C_5) =$	0,1795
								$P_5(G_5) =$	0,1786

Fig. A1/16. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Sulfolobus solfataricus strain SULA, complete genome, GenBank: CP011057.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP011057.1?report=fasta https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP011057.1?report=genbank -LOCUS CP011057, 2727337 bp, DNA circular BCT 10-JUN-2015 DEFINITION Sulfolobus solfataricus strain SULA, complete genome. ACCESSION CP011057 VERSION CP011057.1

NUCLEO	NUCLEOTIDES DOUBLETS		TRIP	TRIPLETS		4-PLETS		5-PLETS	
$P_1(A_1) =$	0,3095	$P_2(A_1)=$	0,3095	$P_3(A_1)=$	0,3079	$P_4(A_1)=$	0,3095	$P_5(A_1) =$	0,3089
$P_1(T_1) =$	0,3106	$P_2(T_1)=$	0,3104	$P_3(T_1) =$	0,3120	$P_4(T_1) =$	0,3106	$P_5(T_1) =$	0,3106
$P_1(C_1) =$	0,1880	$P_2(C_1)=$	0,1877	$P_3(C_1)=$	0,1882	$P_4(C_1) =$	0,1871	$P_5(C_1) =$	0,1885
$P_1(G_1) =$	0,1919	$P_2(G_1)=$	0,1923	$P_3(G_1)=$	0,1918	$P_4(G_1)=$	0,1928	$P_5(G_1) =$	0,1920
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0,3096	$P_3(A_2)=$	0,3097	$P_4(A_2)=$	0,3102	$P_5(A_2)=$	0,3095
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0,3107	$P_3(T_2) =$	0,3089	$P_4(T_2) =$	0,3108	$P_5(T_2) =$	0,3110
		$P_2(C_2) =$	0,1882	$P_3(C_2)=$	0,1879	$P_4(C_2)=$	0,1879	$P_5(C_2) =$	0,1871
		$P_2(G_2) =$	0,1915	$P_3(G_2)=$	0,1934	$P_4(G_2) =$	0,1911	$P_5(G_2) =$	0,1924
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0,3109	$P_4(A_3)=$	0,3095	$P_5(A_3)=$	0,3102
				$P_3(T_3) =$	0,3108	$P_4(T_3) =$	0,3102	$P_5(T_3) =$	0,3103

$P_3(C_3) =$	0,1877	$P_4(C_3) =$	0,1883	$P_5(C_3) =$	0,1876
$P_3(G_3) =$	0,1906	$P_4(G_3) =$	0,1919	$P_5(G_3) =$	0,1918
		$P_4(A_4) =$	0,3089	$P_5(A_4) =$	0,3092
		$P_4(T_4) =$	0,3107	$P_5(T_4) =$	0,3103
		$P_4(C_4) =$	0,1884	$P_5(C_4) =$	0,1882
		$P_4(G_4) =$	0,1920	$P_5(G_4) =$	0,1923
				$P_{5}(A_{5})=$	0,3099
				$P_5(T_5) =$	0,3106
				$P_5(C_5) =$	0,1883
				$P_5(G_5) =$	0,1911

Fig. A1/17. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Treponema denticola SP33 supercont1.1, whole genome shotgun sequence NCBI Reference Sequence: NZ_KB442453.1,

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NZ_KB442453.1?report=fasta https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NZ_KB442453.1?report=genbank -LOCUS NZ_KB442453, 1850823 bp, DNA linear CON 02-APR-2017 DEFINITION Treponema denticola SP33 supercont1.1, whole genome shotgun sequence. ACCESSION NZ_KB442453 NZ_AGDZ01000000 VERSION NZ_KB442453.1

NUCLEO	DTIDES	DOUB	LETS	TRIP	LETS	4-PLETS		5-PL	5-PLETS	
$P_1(A_1) =$	0,2695	$P_2(A_1)=$	0,2700	$P_3(A_1)=$	0,2703	$P_4(A_1)=$	0,2700	$P_{5}(A_{1})=$	0,2692	
$P_1(T_1) =$	0,2678	$P_2(T_1) =$	0,2681	$P_3(T_1) =$	0,2689	$P_4(T_1) =$	0,2680	$P_5(T_1)=$	0,2672	
$P_1(C_1) =$	0,2281	$P_2(C_1) =$	0,2277	$P_3(C_1) =$	0,2271	$P_4(C_1) =$	0,2276	$P_5(C_1) =$	0,2291	
$P_1(G_1) =$	0,2347	$P_2(G_1) =$	0,2341	$P_3(G_1) =$	0,2337	$P_4(G_1) =$	0,2345	$P_5(G_1) =$	0,2346	
		$P_2(A_2) =$	0,2689	$P_3(A_2) =$	0,2697	$P_4(A_2) =$	0,2688	$P_5(A_2) =$	0,2691	
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0,2675	$P_3(T_2) =$	0,2694	$P_4(T_2) =$	0,2671	$P_5(T_2)=$	0,2678	
		$P_2(C_2) =$	0,2284	$P_3(C_2) =$	0,2289	$P_4(C_2) =$	0,2295	$P_5(C_2) =$	0,2275	
		$P_2(G_2) =$	0,2352	$P_3(G_2) =$	0,2320	$P_4(G_2) =$	0,2345	$P_5(G_2) =$	0,2356	
				$P_3(A_3) =$	0,2684	$P_4(A_3) =$	0,2701	$P_5(A_3) =$	0,2703	
				$P_3(T_3) =$	0,2651	$P_4(T_3) =$	0,2683	$P_5(T_3) =$	0,2674	
				$P_3(C_3) =$	0,2282	$P_4(C_3) =$	0,2279	$P_5(C_3) =$	0,2285	
				$P_3(G_3) =$	0,2383	$P_4(G_3) =$	0,23371	$P_5(G_3) =$	0,2339	
						$P_4(A_4) =$	0,2690	$P_5(A_4) =$	0,2700	
						$P_4(T_4) =$	0,2679	$P_5(T_4) =$	0,2683	
						$P_4(C_4) =$	0,2272	$P_5(C_4) =$	0,2272	
						$P_4(G_4) =$	0,2359	$P_5(G_4) =$	0,2345	
								$P_5(A_5) =$	0,2689	
								$P_5(T_5) =$	0,2684	
								$P_5(C_5)=$	0.2280	
								$P_5(G_5) =$	0.2347	

Fig. A1/18. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Thermotoga maritima strain Tma200, complete genome, GenBank: CP010967.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP010967.1?report=fasta https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP010967.1?report=genbank -LOCUS CP010967, 1859582 bp, DNA circular BCT 10-JUN-2015 DEFINITION Thermotoga maritima strain Tma200, complete genome. ACCESSION CP010967 VERSION CP010967.1

NUCLEO	OTIDES	DOUE	BLETS	TRIP	LETS	4-PL	ETS	5-PL	5-PLETS	
$P_1(A_1) =$	0,1543	$P_2(A_1)=$	0,1543	$P_3(A_1)=$	0,1515	$P_4(A_1) =$	0,1541	$P_5(A_1)=$	0,1539	
$P_1(T_1) =$	0,1557	$P_2(T_1)=$	0,1556	$P_3(T_1) =$	0,1556	$P_4(T_1) =$	0,1549	$P_5(T_1)=$	0,1559	
$P_1(C_1) =$	0,3461	$P_2(C_1) =$	0,3458	$P_3(C_1) =$	0,3490	$P_4(C_1) =$	0,3460	$P_5(C_1) =$	0,3461	
$P_1(G_1) =$	0,3439	$P_2(G_1) =$	0,3443	$P_3(G_1)=$	0,3439	$P_4(G_1) =$	0,3450	$P_5(G_1) =$	0,3441	
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0,1542	$P_3(A_2)=$	0,1542	$P_4(A_2)=$	0,1541	$P_5(A_2)=$	0,1547	
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0,1558	$P_3(T_2)=$	0,1529	$P_4(T_2) =$	0,1555	$P_5(T_2)=$	0,1561	
		$P_2(C_2) =$	0,3464	$P_3(C_2)=$	0,3465	$P_4(C_2)=$	0,3467	$P_5(C_2)=$	0,3447	
		$P_2(G_2) =$	0,3435	$P_3(G_2)=$	0,3464	$P_4(G_2) =$	0,3436	$P_5(G_2) =$	0,3445	
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0,1571	$P_4(A_3) =$	0,1545	$P_5(A_3) =$	0,1544	
				$P_3(T_3)=$	0,1586	$P_4(T_3) =$	0,1563	$P_5(T_3)=$	0,1555	
				$P_3(C_3)=$	0,3428	$P_4(C_3) =$	0,3456	$P_5(C_3) =$	0,3465	
				$P_3(G_3)=$	0,3414	$P_4(G_3) =$	0,3436	$P_5(G_3) =$	0,3436	
						$P_4(A_4) =$	0,1543	$P_5(A_4) =$	0,1546	
						$P_4(T_4) =$	0,1562	$P_5(T_4) =$	0,1551	
						$P_4(C_4) =$	0,3461	$P_5(C_4) =$	0,3465	
						$P_4(G_4) =$	0,3434	$P_5(G_4) =$	0,3438	
								$P_5(A_5) =$	0,1536	
								$P_{5}(T_{5})=$	0,1560	
								$P_{5}(C_{5}) =$	0,3468	
								$P_5(G_5) =$	0,3436	

Fig. A1/19. Probabilities of members of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Thermus thermophilus DNA, complete genome, strain: TMY GenBank: AP017920.1, -

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AP017920.1?report=fasta https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AP017920.1?report=genbank LOCUS AP017920, 2121526 bp, DNA circular BCT 26-NOV-2016 DEFINITION Thermus thermophilus DNA, complete genome, strain: TMY. ACCESSION AP017920 VERSION AP017920.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
Σ ₁ = 229354	Σ ₂ =114677	Σ ₃ =76451	Σ ₄ = 57338	Σ ₅ = 45870
F ₁ (A ₁)=49475	$F_2(A_1)=24800$	$F_3(A_1)=16825$	F ₄ (A ₁)=12423	F ₅ (A ₁)=9981
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,2157	$P_2(A_1)=0,2163$	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,2201	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,2167	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,2176
$F_1(T_1)=48776$	$F_2(T_1)=24340$	$F_3(T_1)=16601$	$F_4(T_1)=12266$	$F_5(T_1) = 9660$
$P_1(T_1)=0,2127$	$P_2(T_1)=0,2122$	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,2171	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,2139	P ₅ (T ₁)=0,2106
F ₁ (C ₁)=64911	$F_2(C_1)=32407$	$F_3(C_1)=21303$	$F_4(C_1) = 16132$	$F_5(C_1)=12992$
$P_1(C_1) = 0,2830$	$P_2(C_1)=0,2826$	P ₃ (C ₁)=0,2786	P ₄ (C ₁)=0,2813	$P_5(C_1)=0,2832$
$F_1(G_1) = 66192$	$F_2(G_1)=33130$	$F_3(G_1)=21722$	$F_4(G_1)=16517$	$F_5(G_1)=13237$
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,2886	P ₂ (G ₁)=0,2889	$P_3(G_1)=0,2841$	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,2881	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,2886
	$F_2(A_2)=24675$	$F_3(A_2)=16002$	$F_4(A_2) = 12396$	$F_5(A_2) = 9846$
	$P_2(A_2)=0,2152$	$P_3(A_2)=0,2093$	$P_4(A_2) = 0,2161$	$P_5(A_2) = 0,2146$
	$F_2(T_2)=24436$	$F_3(T_2)=15964$	$F_4(T_2) = 12116$	$F_5(T_2) = 9707$
	$P_2(T_2)=0,2131$	$P_3(T_2)=0,2088$	$P_4(T_2) = 0,2113$	$P_5(T_2) = 0,2116$
	$F_2(C_2)=32504$	$F_3(C_2)=22117$	$F_4(C_2) = 16329$	F ₅ (C ₂)= 13119
	$P_2(C_2)=0,2834$	$F_3(C_2)=0,2893$	$P_4(C_2) = 0,2848$	$P_5(C_2) = 0,2860$
	$F_2(G_2)=33062$	$F_3(G_2)=22368$	$F_4(G_2) = 16497$	$F_5(G_2) = 13198$

$P_2(G_2)=0,2883$	F ₃ (G ₂)=0,2926	$P_4(G_2) = 0,2877$	$P_5(G_2) = 0,2877$
	F ₃ (A ₃)=16648	$F_4(A_3) = 12377$	$F_5(A_3) = 9747$
	P ₃ (A ₃)=2178	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2159	$P_5(A_3) = 0,2125$
	$F_3(T_3)=16211$	$F_4(T_3) = 12074$	$F_5(T_3) = 9842$
	P ₃ (T ₃)=0,2120	P ₄ (T ₃)= 21,06%	$P_5(T_3) = 0,2146$
	$F_3(C_3)=21491$	$F_4(C_3) = 16275$	$F_5(C_3) = 12970$
	$P_3(C_3)=0,2811$	$P_4(C_3) = 0,2838$	$P_5(C_3) = 0,2828$
	$F_3(G_3)=22101$	$F_4(G_3) = 16612$	$F_5(G_3) = 13311$
	$P_3(G_3)=0,2891$	$P_4(G_3) = 0,2897$	$P_5(G_3) = 0,2902$
		$F_4(A_4) = 12279$	$F_5(A_4) = 9843$
		$P_4(A_4) = 0,2142$	$P_5(A_4) = 0,2146$
		$F_4(T_4)=12320$	$F_5(T_4) = 9778$
		$P_4(T_4) = 0,2149$	$P_5(T_4) = 0,2132$
		F ₄ (C ₄)=16175	$F_5(C_4) = 12916$
		$P_4(C_4) = 0,2821$	$P_5(C_4) = 0,2816$
		$F_4(G_4) = 16564$	$F_5(G_4) = 13333$
		$P_4(G_4) = 0,2889$	$P_5(G_4) = 0,2907$
			$F_5(A_5)=10057$
			$P_5(A_5)=0,2193$
			$F_5(T_5)=9789$
			$P_5(T_5)=0,2134$
			$F_5(C_5)=12914$
			P ₅ (C ₅)=0,2815
			$F_5(G_5)=13110$
			$P_5(G_5)=0,2858$

Fig. A1/20. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilties $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and $k \le n$) of tetra-group members in sequences of n-plets in the case of the Human cytomegalovirus strain AD169 complete genome, 229354 bp, GenBank, accession X17403.1. The index k denotes a position of the letter inside n-plets.

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
Σ ₁ = 191737	$\Sigma_2 = 95868$	$\Sigma_3 = 63912$	Σ ₄ = 47934	$\Sigma_5 = 38347$
F ₁ (A ₁)=63921	$F_2(A_1)=31832$	$F_3(A_1)=21310$	$F_4(A_1) = 15932$	$F_5(A_1)=12872$
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,3334	$P_2(A_1)=0,3320$	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,3334	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,3324	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,3357
$F_1(T_1)=63776$	$F_2(T_1)=31540$	$F_3(T_1)=21513$	$F_4(T_1)=15720$	$F_5(T_1)=12796$
$P_1(T_1)=0,3326$	$P_2(T_1)=0,3290$	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,33,66	$P_4(T_1)=0,3280$	$P_5(T_1)=0,3337$
$F_1(C_1) = 32010$	$F_2(C_1) = 16257$	$F_3(C_1)=10452$	$F_4(C_1) = 8137$	$F_5(C_1) = 6355$
P ₁ (C ₁)=0,1669	$P_2(C_1)=0,1696$	P ₃ (C ₁)=0,1635	P ₄ (C ₁)=0,1698	$P_5(C_1)=0,1657$
$F_1(G_1) = 32030$	$F_2(G_1)=16239$	$F_3(G_1)=10637$	F ₄ (G ₁)=8145	$F_5(G_1)=6324$
$P_1(G_1)=0,1671$	$P_2(G_1)=0,1694$	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,1664	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,1699	$P_5(G_1)=0,1649$
	$F_2(A_2)=32088$	$F_3(A_2) = 21273$	$F_4(A_2)=16064$	$F_5(A_2)=12661$
	$P_2(A_2)=0,3347$	$P_3(A_2) = 0,3328$	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,3351	$P_5(A_2)=0,3302$
	$F_2(T_2)=32236$	$F_3(T_2)=21024$	$F_4(T_2)=16132$	$F_5(T_2)=12832$
	$P_2(T_2)=0,3363$	$P_3(T_2)=0,3290$	P ₄ (T ₂)=0,3365	P ₅ (T ₂)=0,3346
	F ₂ (C ₂)=15753	F ₃ (C ₂)=10551	F ₄ (C ₂)=7882	F ₅ (C ₂)=6439
	$P_2(C_2)=0,1643$	$P_3(C_2)=0,1651$	$P_4(C_2)=0,1644$	$P_5(C_2)=0,1679$
	$F_2(G_2)=15791$	$F_3(G_2)=11064$	$F_4(G_2) = 7856$	$F_5(G_2) = 6415$
	$P_2(G_2)=0,1647$	$P_3(G_2)=0,1731$	$P_4(G_2)=0,1639$	$P_5(G_2)=0,1673$

F ₃ (A ₃)=21337	F ₄ (A ₃)=15900	$F_5(A_3) = 12785$
P ₃ (A ₃)=0,3338	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,3317	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,3334
$F_3(T_3)=21239$	$F_4(T_3)=15820$	$F_5(T_3)=12666$
P ₃ (T ₃)=0,3323	P ₄ (T ₃)=0,3300	$P_5(T_3)=0,3303$
F ₃ (C ₃)=11007	$F_4(C_3)=8120$	$F_5(C_3)=6480$
$P_3(C_3)=0,1722$	P ₄ (C ₃)=0,1694	P ₅ (C ₃)=0,1690
$F_3(G_3)=10329$	$F_4(G_3) = 8094$	$F_5(G_3)=6416$
P ₃ (G ₃)=0,1616	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,3300	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,1673
	F ₄ (A ₄)=16024	F ₅ (A ₄)=12890
	P ₄ (A ₄)=0,3343	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,3361
	$F_4(T_4)=16104$	$F_5(T_4)=12635$
	P ₄ (T ₄)=0,3360	P ₅ (T ₄)=0,3295
	$F_4(C_4) = 7871$	$F_5(C_4) = 6429$
	$P_4(C_4)=0,1642$	$P_5(C_4)=0,1677$
	$F_4(G_4) = 7935$	$F_5(G_4) = 6393$
	P ₄ (G ₄)=0,1655	P ₅ (G ₄)=0,1667
		$F_5(A_5)=12711$
		P ₅ (A ₅)=0,3315
		$F_5(T_5)=12847$
		$P_5(T_5)=0,3350$
		$F_5(C_5) = 6307$
		P ₅ (C ₅)=0,1645
		$F_5(G_5) = 6482$
		$P_5(G_5)=0,1690$

Fig. A1/21. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilties $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and $k \le n$) of tetra-group members in sequences of n-plets in the case of the VACCG, Vaccinia virus Copenhagen, complete genome, 191737 bp., accession M35027.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/335317

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
Σ ₁ = 186609	$\Sigma_2 = 93304$	Σ ₃ =62203	Σ ₄ =46652	Σ ₅ =37321
$F_1(A_1) = 53206$	$F_2(A_1) = 26575$	$F_3(A_1) = 17874$	$F_4(A_1) = 13285$	$F_5(A_1) = 10665$
$P_1(A_1) = 0,2851$	$P_2(A_1)=0,2848$	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,2873	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,2848	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,2858
$F_1(T_1) = 54264$	$F_2(T_1) = 27190$	$F_3(T_1) = 17831$	$F_4(T_1) = 13477$	$F_5(T_1) = 10782$
P ₁ (T ₁)=0,2908	$P_2(T_1)=0,2914$	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,2867	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,2889	P ₅ (T ₁)=0,2889
F ₁ (C ₁)= 39215	$F_2(C_1) = 19603$	F ₃ (C ₁)= 12979	$F_4(C_1) = 9882$	$F_5(C_1) = 7742$
$P_1(C_1)=0,2101$	$P_2(C_1)=0,2101$	$P_3(C_1)=0,2087$	$P_4(C_1)=0,2118$	$P_5(C_1)=0,2074$
$F_1(G_1) = 39924$	$F_2(G_1) = 19936$	$F_3(G_1) = 12979$	$F_4(G_1) = 9882$	$F_5(G_1) = 7742$
$P_1(G_1)=0,2139$	$P_2(G_1)=0,2137$	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,2087	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,2118	$P_5(G_1)=0,2074$
	$F_2(A_2) = 26631$	F ₃ (A ₂)=17725	$F_4(A_2)=13424$	F ₅ (A ₂)=10815
	$P_2(A_2)=0,2854$	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,2850	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,2877	P ₅ (A ₂)=0,2898
	$F_2(T_2) = 27073$	$F_3(T_2)=18094$	$F_4(T_2)=13473$	$F_5(T_2)=10781$
	$P_2(T_2)=0,2902$	$P_3(T_2)=0,2909$	$P_4(T_2)=0,2888$	$P_5(T_2)=0,2889$
	$F_2(C_2) = 19612$	F ₃ (C ₂)=13077	$F_4(C_2) = 9746$	$F_5(C_2)=7721$
	$P_2(C_2)=0,2102$	P ₃ (C ₂)=0,2102	P ₄ (C ₂)=0,2089	$P_5(C_2)=0,2069$
	$F_2(G_2) = 19988$	$F_3(G_2)=13307$	$F_4(G_2) = 10009$	$F_5(G_2)=8004$
	$P_2(G_2)=0,2142$	$P_3(G_2)=0,2139$	$P_4(G_2)=0,2145$	$P_5(G_2)=0,2144$
		$F_3(A_3) = 17607$	$F_4(A_3) = 13290$	$F_5(A_3) = 10608$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0,2831$	$P_4(A_3) = 0,2849$	$P_5(A_3) = 0,2842$

$F_3(T_3) = 18339$	$F_4(T_3)=13713$	$F_5(T_3)=10949$
P ₃ (T ₃)=0,2948	P ₄ (T ₃)=0,2939	P ₅ (T ₃)=0,2934
F ₃ (C ₃)= 13159	F ₄ (C ₃)=9721	F ₅ (C ₃)=7829
P ₃ (C ₃)=0,2115	$P_4(C_3)=0,2084$	P ₅ (C ₃)=0,2098
$F_3(G_3) = 13098$	$F_4(G_3) = 9928$	$F_5(G_3) = 7935$
P ₃ (G ₃)=0,2106	$P_4(G_3)=0,2128$	$P_5(G_3)=0,2126$
	$F_4(A_4) = 13207$	$F_5(A_4)=10611$
	P ₄ (A ₄)=0,2831	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,2843
	$F_4(T_4) = 13600$	$F_5(T_4)=10891$
	P ₄ (T ₄)=0,2915	P ₅ (T ₄)=0,2918
	F ₄ (C ₄)= 9866	$F_5(C_4) = 7902$
	P ₄ (C ₄)=0,2115	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,2117
	$F_4(G_4) = 9979$	$F_5(G_4) = 7917$
	$P_4(G_4)=0,2139$	$P_5(G_4) = 0,2121$
		$F_5(A_5) = 10504$
		P ₅ (A ₅)=0,2815
		$F_5(T_5) = 10860$
		P ₅ (T ₅)=0,2910
		$F_5(C_5) = 8021$
		$P_5(C_5)=0,2149$
		$F_5(G_5) = 7936$
		P ₅ (G ₅)=0,2126

Fig. A1/22. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilties $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and $k \le n$) of tetra-group members in sequences of n-plets in the case of the MPOMTCG, Marchantia paleacea isolate A 18 mitochondrion, complete genome, 186609 bp, accession M68929.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/786182

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
Σ ₁ = 184113	$\Sigma_2 = 92056$	Σ ₃ =61371	Σ ₄ =46028	Σ ₅ = 36822
$F_1(A_1) = 36002$	$F_2(A_1) = 18098$	F ₃ (A ₁)= 11910	$F_4(A_1) = 9044$	$F_5(A_1) = 7210$
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,1955	P ₂ (A ₁)=0,1966	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,1941	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,1965	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,1958
$F_1(T_1) = 37665$	$F_2(T_1) = 18887$	$F_3(T_1) = 12160$	$F_4(T_1) = 9394$	$F_5(T_1) = 7571$
$P_1(T_1)=0,2046$	$P_2(T_1)=0,2052$	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,1981	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,2041	$P_5(T_1)=0,2056$
$F_1(C_1) = 55824$	$F_2(C_1) = 27823$	F ₃ (C ₁)= 19144	F ₄ (C ₁)= 13990	$F_5(C_1) = 11162$
$P_1(C_1) = 0,3032$	$P_2(C_1)=0,3022$	$P_3(C_1)=0,3119$	$P_4(C_1)=0,3039$	$P_5(C_1)=0,3031$
$F_1(G_1) = 54622$	$F_2(G_1) = 27248$	$F_3(G_1) = 18157$	$F_4(G_1) = 13600$	$F_5(G_1) = 10879$
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,2967	$P_2(G_1)=0,2960$	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,2959	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,2955	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,2954
	$F_2(A_2) = 17903$	$F_3(A_2) = 11597$	$F_4(A_2)=8758$	$F_5(A_2) = 7145$
	$P_2(A_2)=0,1945$	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,1890	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,1903	P ₅ (A ₂)=0,1940
	$F_2(T_2) = 18778$	$F_3(T_2) = 12565$	$F_4(T_2)=9279$	$F_5(T_2)=7464$
	$P_2(T_2)=0,2040$	$P_3(T_2)=0,2047$	$P_4(T_2)=0,2016$	$P_5(T_2)=0,2027$
	$F_2(C_2) = 28001$	F ₃ (C ₂)= 18517	$F_4(C_2)=14361$	$F_5(C_2) = 11163$
	$P_2(C_2)=0,3042$	P ₃ (C ₂)=0,3017	$P_4(C_2)=0,3120$	P ₅ (C ₂)=0,3032
	$F_2(G_2) = 27374$	$F_3(G_2)=18692$	$F_4(G_2)=13630$	$F_5(G_2) = 11050$
	$P_2(G_2)=0,2974$	$P_3(G_2)=0,3046$	$P_4(G_2)=0,2961$	$P_5(G_2)=0,3001$
		$F_3(A_3) = 12495$	$F_4(A_3) = 9054$	$F_5(A_3) = 7232$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0,2036$	$P_4(A_3) = 0,1967$	$P_5(A_3)=0,1964$

$F_3(T_3) = 12940$	$F_4(T_3) = 9493$	$F_5(T_3) = 7570$
P ₃ (T ₃)=0,2108	P ₄ (T ₃)=0,2062	$P_5(T_3) = 0,2056$
F ₃ (C ₃)= 18163	F ₄ (C ₃)= 13833	F ₅ (C ₃)=11189
P ₃ (C ₃)=0,2960	$P_4(C_3) = 0,3005$	P ₅ (C ₃)=0,3039
$F_3(G_3) = 17773$	$F_4(G_3)=13648$	$F_5(G_3)=10831$
P ₃ (G ₃)=0,2896	$P_4(G_3) = 0,2062$	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,2941
	$F_4(A_4) = 9145$	$F_5(A_4) = 7236$
	P ₄ (A ₄)=0,1987	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,1965
	$F_4(T_4) = 9499$	$F_5(T_4) = 7475$
	P ₄ (T ₄)=0,2064	$P_5(T_4)=0,2030$
	F ₄ (C ₄)= 13640	F ₅ (C ₄)=11165
	P ₄ (C ₄)=0,2963	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,3032
	$F_4(G_4) = 13744$	$F_5(G_4) = 10946$
	P ₄ (G ₄)=0,2986	$P_5(G_4) = 10946$
		$F_5(A_5) = 7178$
		P ₅ (A ₅)=0,1949
		$F_5(T_5) = 7583$
		P ₅ (T ₅)=0,2059
		$F_5(C_5) = 11145$
		$P_5(C_5)=0,3027$
		F ₅ (G ₅)= 10916
		P ₅ (G ₅)=0,2965

Fig. A1/23. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilties $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and $k \le n$) of tetra-group members in sequences of n-plets in the case of the HS4B958RAJ, Epstein-Barr virus, 184113 bp, accession M80517.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/330330

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
Σ ₁ = 155943	Σ ₂ = 77971	Σ ₃ =51981	Σ ₄ =38985	Σ ₅ =31188
$F_1(A_1) = 47860$	$F_2(A_1) = 23952$	$F_3(A_1) = 16025$	$F_4(A_1) = 12043$	$F_5(A_1) = 9661$
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,3069	$P_2(A_1)=0,3072$	$P_3(A_1)=0,3083$	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,3089	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,3098
$F_1(T_1) = 49064$	$F_2(T_1) = 24497$	$F_3(T_1) = 16460$	$F_4(T_1) = 12313$	$F_5(T_1) = 9743$
P ₁ (T ₁)=0,3146	$P_2(T_1)=0,3142$	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,3167	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,3158	P ₅ (T ₁)=0,3124
$F_1(C_1) = 30014$	$F_2(C_1) = 15095$	$F_3(C_1) = 9974$	$F_4(C_1) = 7452$	$F_5(C_1) = 5997$
P ₁ (C ₁)=0,1925	$P_2(C_1)=0,1936$	$P_3(C_1)=0,1919$	$P_4(C_1)=0,1912$	$P_5(C_1)=0,1923$
$F_1(G_1) = 29005$	$F_2(G_1) = 14427$	$F_3(G_1) = 9522$	$F_4(G_1) = 7177$	$F_5(G_1) = 5787$
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,1860	$P_2(G_1)=0,1850$	$P_3(G_1)=0,1832$	$P_4(G_1)=0,1841$	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,1856
	$F_2(A_2) = 23907$	$F_3(A_2)=16233$	$F_4(A_2) = 12039$	$F_5(A_2) = 9605$
	$P_2(A_2)=0,3066$	$P_3(A_2)=0,3123$	$P_4(A_2)=0,3088$	$P_5(A_2)=0,3080$
	$F_2(T_2) = 24567$	$F_3(T_2)=16124$	$F_4(T_2)=12192$	$F_5(T_2)=9680$
	$P_2(T_2)=0,3151$	$P_3(T_2)=0,3102$	$P_4(T_2)=0,3127$	P ₅ (T ₂)=0,3104
	$F_2(C_2) = 14919$	F ₃ (C ₂)=9963	F ₄ (C ₂)=7486	F ₅ (C ₂)=6021
	$P_2(C_2)=0,1913$	$P_3(C_2)=0,1917$	$P_4(C_2)=0,1920$	$P_5(C_2)=0,1930$
	$F_2(G_2) = 14578$	$F_3(G_2)=9661$	$F_4(G_2) = 7268$	$F_5(G_2)=5882$
	$P_2(G_2)=0,1870$	$P_3(G_2)=0,1859$	$P_4(G_2)=0,1864$	$P_5(G_2)=0,1886$
		$F_3(A_3) = 15602$	$F_4(A_3)=11909$	$F_5(A_3)=9461$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0.3001$	$P_4(A_3) = 0,3055$	$P_5(A_3) = 0,3034$

$F_3(T_3) = 16480$	$F_4(T_3)=12183$	$F_5(T_3)=9958$
P ₃ (T ₃)=0,3170	P ₄ (T ₃)=0,3125	P ₅ (T ₃)=0,3193
F ₃ (C ₃)= 10077	F ₄ (C ₃)=7643	F ₅ (C ₃)=6022
P ₃ (C ₃)=0,1939	P ₄ (C ₃)=0,1960	P ₅ (C ₃)=0,1931
$F_3(G_3) = 9822$	$F_4(G_3) = 7250$	$F_5(G_3) = 5747$
$P_3(G_3)=0,1890$	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,1860	$P_5(G_3)=0,1843$
	$F_4(A_4) = 11867$	$F_5(A_4) = 9641$
	P ₄ (A ₄)=0,3044	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,3091
	$F_4(T_4) = 12375$	$F_5(T_4) = 9791$
	P ₄ (T ₄)=0,3174	P ₅ (T ₄)=0,3139
	$F_4(C_4) = 7433$	$F_5(C_4) = 6004$
	P ₄ (C ₄)=0,1907	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,1925
	$F_4(G_4) = 7310$	$F_5(G_4) = 5752$
	P ₄ (G ₄)=0,1875	$P_5(G_4)=0,1844$
		$F_5(A_5) = 9490$
		$P_5(A_5)=0,3043$
		$F_5(T_5) = 9891$
		P ₅ (T ₅)=0,3171
		$F_5(C_5) = 5970$
		P ₅ (C ₅)=0,1914
		$F_5(G_5) = 5837$
		P ₅ (G ₅)=0,1872

Fig. A1/24. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilties $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and $k \le n$) of tetra-group members in sequences of n-plets in the case of the Nicotiana tabacum chloroplast genome DNA,155943 bp, accession Z00044.2, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/Z00044

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
Σ ₁ = 150224	$\Sigma_2 = 75112$	$\Sigma_3 = 50074$	Σ ₄ =37556	Σ ₅ = 30044
$F_1(A_1) = 32616$	$F_2(A_1) = 16273$	$F_3(A_1) = 11331$	$F_4(A_1) = 8082$	$F_5(A_1) = 6480$
$P_1(A_1)=0,2171$	$P_2(A_1)=0,2166$	$P_3(A_1)=0,2263$	$P_4(A_1) = 0,2152$	$P_5(A_1)=0,2157$
$F_1(T_1) = 32482$	$F_2(T_1) = 16191$	$F_3(T_1) = 10871$	$F_4(T_1) = 8106$	$F_5(T_1) = 6514$
$P_1(T_1)=0,2162$	$P_2(T_1)=0,2156$	$P_3(T_1)=0,2171$	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,2158	$P_5(T_1)=0,2168$
$F_1(C_1) = 43173$	$F_2(C_1) = 21746$	$F_3(C_1) = 14287$	F ₄ (C ₁)= 10735	$F_5(C_1) = 8646$
$P_1(C_1) = 0,2874$	$P_2(C_1)=0,2895$	$P_3(C_1)=0,2853$	P ₄ (C ₁)=0,2858	P ₅ (C ₁)=0,2878
$F_1(G_1) = 41953$	$F_2(G_1) = 20902$	$F_3(G_1) = 13585$	$F_4(G_1) = 10633$	$F_5(G_1) = 8404$
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,2793	$P_2(G_1)=0,2783$	$P_3(G_1)=0,2713$	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,2831	$P_5(G_1)=0,2797$
	$F_2(A_2) = 16343$	$F_3(A_2)=10347$	$F_4(A_2)=8121$	$F_5(A_2) = 6551$
	$P_2(A_2)=0,2176$	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,2066	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,2162	P ₅ (A ₂)=0,2180
	$F_2(T_2) = 16291$	$F_3(T_2)=10789$	$F_4(T_2)=8144$	$F_5(T_2)=6599$
	$P_2(T_2)=0,2169$	$P_3(T_2)=0,2155$	$P_4(T_2)=0,2168$	$P_5(T_2)=0,2196$
	$F_2(C_2) = 21427$	F ₃ (C ₂)=14889	F ₄ (C ₂)=10703	F ₅ (C ₂)=8569
	$P_2(C_2)=0,2853$	$P_3(C_2)=0,2973$	$P_4(C_2)=0,2850$	P ₅ (C ₂)=0,2852
	$F_2(G_2) = 21051$	$F_3(G_2)=14049$	$F_4(G_2)=10588$	F ₅ (G ₂)=8325
	$P_2(G_2)=0,2803$	$P_3(G_2)=0,2806$	$P_4(G_2)=0,2819$	$P_5(G_2)=0,2771$
		F ₃ (A ₃)= 10938	F ₄ (A ₃)=8191	$F_5(A_3) = 6492$
		$P_3(A_3)=0,2184$	$P_4(A_3) = 0,2181$	$P_5(A_3) = 0,2161$

$F_3(T_3) = 10822$	F ₄ (T ₃)=8085	$F_5(T_3)=6475$
P ₃ (T ₃)=0,2161	P ₄ (T ₃)=0,2153	P ₅ (T ₃)=0,2155
$F_3(C_3) = 13997$	F ₄ (C ₃)=11011	F ₅ (C ₃)=8519
P ₃ (C ₃)=0,2795	P ₄ (C ₃)=0,2932	P ₅ (C ₃)=0,2835
$F_3(G_3) = 14317$	$F_4(G_3)=10269$	$F_5(G_3) = 8558$
$P_3(G_3)=0,2859$	$P_4(G_3)=0,2734$	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,2848
	$F_4(A_4) = 8222$	$F_5(A_4) = 6551$
	P ₄ (A ₄)=0,2189	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,2180
	$F_4(T_4) = 8147$	$F_5(T_4) = 6423$
	P ₄ (T ₄)=0,2169	$P_5(T_4)=0,2138$
	$F_4(C_4) = 10724$	$F_5(C_4)=8716$
	P ₄ (C ₄)=0,2855	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,2901
	$F_4(G_4) = 10463$	$F_5(G_4) = 8354$
	P ₄ (G ₄)=0,2786	P ₅ (G ₄)=0,2781
		$F_5(A_5) = 6542$
		$P_5(A_5)=0,2177$
		$F_5(T_5) = 6471$
		$P_5(T_5)=0,2154$
		$F_5(C_5) = 8723$
		$P_5(C_5)=0,2903$
		$F_5(G_5) = 8308$
		$P_5(G_5)=0,2765$

Fig. A1/25. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilties $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and $k \le n$) of tetra-group members in sequences of n-plets in the case of the Equine herpesvirus 1 strain Ab4, complete genome, 150224 bp, accession AY665713.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AY665713.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
Σ ₁ = 134502	$\Sigma_2 = 67251$	$\Sigma_3 = 44834$	Σ ₄ =33625	Σ ₅ =26900
$F_1(A_1) = 41231$	$F_2(A_1) = 20572$	$F_3(A_1) = 13637$	$F_4(A_1) = 10209$	$F_5(A_1) = 8302$
$P_1(A_1)=0,3065$	$P_2(A_1)=0,3059$	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,3042	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,3036	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,3086
$F_1(T_1) = 40818$	$F_2(T_1) = 20391$	$F_3(T_1) = 13675$	$F_4(T_1) = 10159$	$F_5(T_1) = 8094$
$P_1(T_1)=0,3035$	$P_2(T_1)=0,3032$	$P_3(T_1)=0,3050$	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,3021	$P_5(T_1)=0,3009$
$F_1(C_1) = 26129$	$F_2(C_1) = 13035$	$F_3(C_1) = 8815$	$F_4(C_1) = 6576$	$F_5(C_1) = 5341$
$P_1(C_1)=0,1943$	$P_2(C_1)=0,1938$	P ₃ (C ₁)=0,1966	P ₄ (C ₁)=0,1956	$P_5(C_1)=0,1986$
$F_1(G_1) = 26324$	$F_2(G_1) = 13253$	$F_3(G_1) = 8707$	$F_4(G_1) = 6681$	$F_5(G_1) = 5163$
$P_1(G_1)=0,1957$	$P_2(G_1)=0,1971$	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,1942	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,1987	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,1919
	$F_2(A_2) = 20659$	F ₃ (A ₂)=13872	$F_4(A_2)=10372$	$F_5(A_2)=8240$
	$P_2(A_2)=0,3072$	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,3094	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,3085	$P_5(A_2)=0,3063$
	$F_2(T_2) = 20427$	$F_3(T_2)=13761$	$F_4(T_2)=10178$	$F_5(T_2)=8209$
	$P_2(T_2)=0,3037$	P ₃ (T ₂)=0,3069	P ₄ (T ₂)=0,3027	P ₅ (T ₂)=0,3052
	F ₂ (C ₂)= 13094	F ₃ (C ₂)=8610	F ₄ (C ₂)=6498	F ₅ (C ₂)=5195
	P ₂ (C ₂)=0,1947	P ₃ (C ₂)=0,1920	P ₄ (C ₂)=0,1932	P ₅ (C ₂)=0,1931
	$F_2(G_2) = 13071$	$F_3(G_2)=8591$	$F_4(G_2)=6577$	$F_5(G_2) = 5256$
	$P_2(G_2)=0,1944$	P ₃ (G ₂)=0,1916	P ₄ (G ₂)=0,1956	$P_5(G_2)=0,1954$
		$F_3(A_3) = 13722$	$F_4(A_3) = 10363$	$F_5(A_3)=8236$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0.3061$	$P_4(A_3) = 0.3082$	$P_5(A_3)=0.3062$

F ₃ (T ₃)=13382	$F_4(T_3)=10231$	$F_5(T_3)=8206$
P ₃ (T ₃)=0,2985	P ₄ (T ₃)=0,3043	P ₅ (T ₃)=0,3051
F ₃ (C ₃)= 8704	F ₄ (C ₃)=6459	F ₅ (C ₃)=5129
P ₃ (C ₃)=0,1941	$P_4(C_3)=0,1921$	P ₅ (C ₃)=0,1907
$F_3(G_3) = 9026$	$F_4(G_3) = 6572$	$F_5(G_3) = 5329$
P ₃ (G ₃)=0,2013	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,1954	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,1981
	$F_4(A_4) = 10286$	F ₅ (A ₄)=8255
	P ₄ (A ₄)=0,3059	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,3069
	$F_4(T_4) = 10249$	F ₅ (T ₄)=8179
	P ₄ (T ₄)=0,3048	P ₅ (T ₄)=0,3041
	$F_4(C_4) = 6596$	$F_5(C_4) = 5157$
	P ₄ (C ₄)=0,1962	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,1917
	$F_4(G_4) = 6494$	$F_5(G_4) = 5309$
	P ₄ (G ₄)=0,1931	P ₅ (G ₄)=0,1974
		$F_5(A_5) = 8197$
		P ₅ (A ₅)=0,3047
		$F_5(T_5) = 8129$
		P ₅ (T ₅)=0,3022
		$F_5(C_5) = 5307$
		P ₅ (C ₅)=0,1973
		$F_5(G_5) = 5267$
		P ₅ (G ₅)=0,1958

Fig. A1/26. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilties $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and $k \le n$) of tetra-group members in sequences of n-plets in the case of the Oryza sativa cultivar TN1 chloroplast, complete genome, 134502 bp, accession NC_031333.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_031333.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
Σ ₁ = 134226	$\Sigma_2 = 67113$	$\Sigma_3 = 44742$	Σ ₄ =33556	Σ ₅ =26845
$F_1(A_1) = 28727$	$F_2(A_1) = 14299$	$F_3(A_1) = 9855$	$F_4(A_1) = 7148$	$F_5(A_1) = 5795$
$P_1(A_1)=0,2140$	$P_2(A_1)=0,2131$	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,2203	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,2130	$P_5(A_1) = 0,2159$
$F_1(T_1) = 30025$	$F_2(T_1) = 15047$	$F_3(T_1) = 10633$	$F_4(T_1) = 7535$	$F_5(T_1) = 5969$
$P_1(T_1)=0,2237$	$P_2(T_1)=0,2242$	$P_3(T_1)=0,2377$	$P_4(T_1)=0,2246$	$P_5(T_1)=0,2224$
$F_1(C_1) = 37767$	$F_2(C_1) = 18943$	$F_3(C_1) = 12066$	$F_4(C_1) = 9436$	$F_5(C_1) = 7480$
$P_1(C_1)=0,2814$	$P_2(C_1)=0,2823$	$P_3(C_1)=0,2697$	$P_4(C_1)=0,2812$	P ₅ (C ₁)=0,2786
$F_1(G_1) = 37707$	$F_2(G_1) = 18824$	$F_3(G_1) = 12188$	$F_4(G_1) = 9437$	$F_5(G_1) = 7601$
$P_1(G_1)=0,2809$	$P_2(G_1)=0,2805$	$P_3(G_1)=0,2724$	$P_4(G_1)=0,2812$	$P_5(G_1)=0,2831$
	$F_2(A_2) = 14428$	$F_3(A_2)=8918$	$F_4(A_2) = 7195$	$F_5(A_2)=5812$
	$P_2(A_2)=0,2150$	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,1993	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,2144	$P_5(A_2)=0,2165$
	$F_2(T_2) = 14978$	$F_3(T_2)=9597$	$F_4(T_2)=7472$	$F_5(T_2)=6090$
	$P_2(T_2)=0,2232$	$P_3(T_2)=0,2145$	$P_4(T_2)=0,2227$	$P_5(T_2)=0,2266$
	$F_2(C_2) = 18824$	F ₃ (C ₂)=13595	$F_4(C_2)=9411$	$F_5(C_2)=7444$
	$P_2(C_2)=0,2805$	$P_3(C_2)=0,3038$	P ₄ (C ₂)=0,2805	$P_5(C_2)=0,2793$
	$F_2(G_2) = 18883$	$F_3(G_2)=12632$	$F_4(G_2) = 9478$	$F_5(G_2)=7499$
	$P_2(G_2)=0,2814$	$P_3(G_2)=0,2824$	$P_4(G_2)=0,2825$	$P_5(G_2)=0,2793$
		$F_3(A_3) = 9954$	$F_4(A_3) = 7151$	$F_5(A_3) = 5737$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0.2225$	$P_4(A_3) = 0.2131$	$P_5(A_3)=0.2137$

$F_3(T_3) = 9795$	$F_4(T_3)=7512$	$F_5(T_3)=6059$
P ₃ (T ₃)=0,2189	P ₄ (T ₃)=0,2239	P ₅ (T ₃)=0,2257
F ₃ (C ₃)= 12106	F ₄ (C ₃)=9506	F ₅ (C ₃)=7527
P ₃ (C ₃)=0,2706	P ₄ (C ₃)=0,2833	P ₅ (C ₃)=0,2804
$F_3(G_3) = 12887$	$F_4(G_3) = 9387$	$F_5(G_3) = 7522$
P ₃ (G ₃)=0,2880	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,2797	$P_5(G_3)=0,2802$
	$F_4(A_4) = 7233$	$F_5(A_4) = 5627$
	P ₄ (A ₄)=0,2156	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,2096
	F ₄ (T ₄)= 7506	$F_5(T_4)=6042$
	P ₄ (T ₄)=0,2237	P ₅ (T ₄)=0,2251
	$F_4(C_4) = 9413$	$F_5(C_4) = 7634$
	P ₄ (C ₄)=0,2805	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,2844
	$F_4(G_4) = 9404$	$F_5(G_4) = 7542$
	$P_4(G_4)=0,2802$	$P_5(G_4)=0,2809$
		$F_5(A_5) = 5756$
		$P_5(A_5)=0,2144$
		$F_5(T_5) = 5865$
		P ₅ (T ₅)=0,2185
		$F_5(C_5) = 7682$
		$P_5(C_5)=0,2862$
		$F_5(G_5) = 7542$
		$P_5(G_5)=0,2809$

Fig. A1/27. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilties $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and $k \le n$) of tetra-group members in sequences of n-plets in the case of the IH1CG, Ictalurid herpesvirus 1 strain Auburn 1, complete genome, 134226 bp, accession M75136.2, <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/519868059</u>

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
Σ ₁ = 124884	$\Sigma_2 = 62442$	$\Sigma_3 = 41628$	Σ ₄ =31221	Σ ₅ = 24976
$F_1(A_1) = 33782$	$F_2(A_1) = 16995$	$F_3(A_1) = 11327$	$F_4(A_1) = 8467$	$F_5(A_1) = 6724$
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,2705	$P_2(A_1)=0,2722$	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,2721	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,2712	$P_5(A_1)=0,2692$
$F_1(T_1) = 33623$	$F_2(T_1) = 16785$	$F_3(T_1) = 11586$	$F_4(T_1) = 8445$	$F_5(T_1) = 6767$
$P_1(T_1)=0,2692$	$P_2(T_1)=0,2688$	$P_3(T_1)=0,2783$	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,2705	P ₅ (T ₁)=0,2709
$F_1(C_1) = 29295$	$F_2(C_1) = 14590$	$F_3(C_1) = 9512$	$F_4(C_1) = 7336$	$F_5(C_1) = 5826$
$P_1(C_1)=0,2346$	$P_2(C_1)=0,2337$	$P_3(C_1)=0,2285$	$P_4(C_1)=0,2350$	$P_5(C_1)=0,2333$
$F_1(G_1) = 28184$	$F_2(G_1) = 14072$	$F_3(G_1) = 9203$	$F_4(G_1) = 6973$	$F_5(G_1) = 5659$
$P_1(G_1)=0,2257$	$P_2(G_1)=0,2254$	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,2211	$P_4(G_1)=0,2233$	$P_5(G_1)=0,2266$
	$F_2(A_2) = 16787$	$F_3(A_2)=11097$	$F_4(A_2)=8363$	$F_5(A_2) = 6808$
	$P_2(A_2)=0,2688$	$P_3(A_2)=0,2666$	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,2679	$P_5(A_2)=0,2726$
	$F_2(T_2) = 16838$	$F_3(T_2)=11042$	$F_4(T_2) = 8448$	$F_5(T_2)=6689$
	$P_2(T_2)=0,2697$	$P_3(T_2)=0,2653$	$P_4(T_2)=0,2706$	P ₅ (T ₂)=0,2678
	$F_2(C_2) = 14705$	$F_3(C_2)=9814$	$F_4(C_2) = 7387$	F ₅ (C ₂)=5797
	$P_2(C_2)=0,2355$	$P_3(C_2)=0,2358$	P ₄ (C ₂)=0,2366	P ₅ (C ₂)=0,2321
	$F_2(G_2) = 14112$	$F_3(G_2) = 9675$	$F_4(G_2)=7023$	$F_5(G_2)=5682$
	$P_2(G_2)=0,2260$	$P_3(G_2)=0,2324$	$P_4(G_2)=0,2249$	$P_5(G_2)=0,2275$
		$F_3(A_3) = 11358$	$F_4(A_3)=8528$	$F_5(A_3)=6678$
		$P_3(A_3)=0,2728$	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,2731	$P_5(A_3)=0,2674$
		$F_3(T_3) = 10995$	$F_4(T_3) = 8340$	$F_5(T_3) = 6737$
		$P_3(T_3)=0,2641$	$P_4(T_3)=0,2671$	$P_5(T_3)=0,2697$

$F_3(C_3) = 9969$	$F_4(C_3) = 7254$	$F_5(C_3)=5980$
P ₃ (C ₃)=0,2395	$P_4(C_3)=0,2323$	P ₅ (C ₃)=0,2394
$F_3(G_3) = 9306$	$F_4(G_3) = 7099$	$F_5(G_3) = 5581$
P ₃ (G ₃)=0,2236	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,2274	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,2235
	$F_4(A_4) = 8424$	$F_5(A_4) = 6818$
	P ₄ (A ₄)=0,2698	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,2730
	$F_4(T_4) = 8390$	$F_5(T_4) = 6623$
	P ₄ (T ₄)=0,2687	P ₅ (T ₄)=0,2652
	$F_4(C_4) = 7318$	F ₅ (C ₄)=5846
	$P_4(C_4)=0,2344$	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,2341
	$F_4(G_4) = 7089$	$F_5(G_4) = 5689$
	P ₄ (G ₄)=0,2271	P ₅ (G ₄)=0,2278
		$F_5(A_5) = 6753$
		$P_5(A_5)=0,2704$
		$F_5(T_5) = 6807$
		P ₅ (T ₅)=0,2725
		$F_5(C_5) = 5846$
		$P_5(C_5)=0,2341$
		$F_5(G_5) = 5570$
		P ₅ (G ₅)=0,2230

Fig. A1/28. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilties $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and $k \le n$) of tetra-group members in sequences of n-plets in the case of the Human herpesvirus 3 isolate 667/2005, complete genome, 124884 bp, accession JN704693.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/JN704693.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
Σ ₁ = 121024	$\Sigma_2 = 60512$	Σ ₃ =40341	Σ ₄ =30256	Σ ₅ =24204
$F_1(A_1) = 42896$	$F_2(A_1) = 21385$	$F_3(A_1) = 14349$	$F_4(A_1) = 10739$	$F_5(A_1) = 8517$
$P_1(A_1)=0,3544$	$P_2(A_1)=0,3534$	$P_3(A_1)=0,3557$	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,3549	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,3519
$F_1(T_1) = 43263$	$F_2(T_1) = 21703$	$F_3(T_1) = 14637$	$F_4(T_1) = 10870$	$F_5(T_1) = 8677$
P ₁ (T ₁)=0,3575	$P_2(T_1)=0,3587$	$P_3(T_1)=0,3628$	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,3593	P ₅ (T ₁)=0,3585
$F_1(C_1) = 17309$	$F_2(C_1) = 8677$	$F_3(C_1) = 5687$	$F_4(C_1) = 4340$	$F_5(C_1) = 3448$
$P_1(C_1)=0,1430$	$P_2(C_1)=0,1434$	$P_3(C_1)=0,1410$	$P_4(C_1)=0,1434$	$P_5(C_1)=0,1425$
$F_1(G_1) = 17556$	$F_2(G_1) = 8747$	$F_3(G_1) = 5668$	$F_4(G_1) = 4307$	$F_5(G_1) = 3562$
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,1451	$P_2(G_1)=0,1445$	$P_3(G_1)=0,1405$	$P_4(G_1)=0,1424$	$P_5(G_1)=0,1472$
	$F_2(A_2) = 21511$	$F_3(A_2)=14274$	$F_4(A_2)=10782$	$F_5(A_2)=8550$
	$P_2(A_2)=0,3555$	$P_3(A_2)=0,3538$	$P_4(A_2)=0,3564$	$P_5(A_2)=0,3532$
	$F_2(T_2)=21560$	$F_3(T_2)=14746$	$F_4(T_2)=10815$	$F_5(T_2)=8658$
	$P_2(T_2)=0,3563$	$P_3(T_2)=0,3655$	$P_4(T_2)=0,3574$	$P_5(T_2)=0,3577$
	$F_2(C_2) = 8632$	$F_3(C_2)=5657$	$F_4(C_2)=4288$	$F_5(C_2)=3533$
	$P_2(C_2)=0,1426$	$P_3(C_2)=0,1402$	$P_4(C_2)=0,1417$	$P_5(C_2)=0,1460$
	$F_2(G_2) = 8809$	$F_3(G_2) = 5664$	$F_4(G_2)=4371$	$F_5(G_2)=3463$
	$P_2(G_2)=0,1456$	$P_3(G_2)=0,1404$	$P_4(G_2)=0,1445$	$P_5(G_2)=0,1431$
		$F_3(A_3) = 14273$	$F_4(A_3)=10646$	$F_5(A_3)=8596$
		$P_3(A_3)=0,3538$	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,3519	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,3551
		$F_3(T_3) = 13879$	$F_4(T_3)=10833$	F ₅ (T ₃)=8694
		$P_3(T_3)=0,3440$	$P_4(T_3)=0,3580$	$P_5(T_3)=0,3592$
		$F_3(C_3) = 5965$	$F_4(C_3) = 4337$	$F_5(C_3)=3416$
		$P_3(C_3)=0,1479$	$P_4(C_3)=0,1433$	$P_5(C_3)=0,1411$

$F_3(G_3) = 6224$	$F_4(G_3)=4440$	F ₅ (G ₃)=3498
P ₃ (G ₃)=0,1543	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,1467	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,1445
	$F_4(A_4) = 10729$	F ₅ (A ₄)=8680
	P ₄ (A ₄)=0,3546	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,3586
	$F_4(T_4) = 10745$	$F_5(T_4)=8552$
	P ₄ (T ₄)=0,3551	$P_5(T_4)=0,3533$
	$F_4(C_4) = 4344$	F ₅ (C ₄)=3475
	P ₄ (C ₄)=0,1436	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,1436
	$F_4(G_4) = 4438$	F ₅ (G ₄)=3497
	$P_4(G_4)=0,1467$	P ₅ (G ₄)=0,1445
		$F_5(A_5) = 8552$
		$P_5(A_5)=0,3533$
		$F_5(T_5) = 8680$
		$P_5(T_5)=0,3586$
		$F_5(C_5) = 3436$
		P ₅ (C ₅)=0,1420
		$F_5(G_5) = 3536$
		$P_5(G_5)=0,1461$

Fig. A1/29. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilties $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and $k \le n$) of tetra-group members in sequences of n-plets in the case of the Marchantia paleacea chloroplast genome DNA, 121024 bp, accession X04465.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/X04465

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
Σ ₁ = 132464	$\Sigma_2 = 66232$	$\Sigma_3 = 44154$	Σ ₄ =33116	Σ ₅ = 26492
$F_1(A_1) = 33030$	$F_2(A_1) = 16477$	$F_3(A_1) = 11106$	$F_4(A_1) = 8304$	$F_5(A_1) = 6592$
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,2494	$P_2(A_1)=0,2488$	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,2515	$P_4(A_1)=0,2508$	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,2488
$F_1(T_1) = 33824$	$F_2(T_1) = 16910$	$F_3(T_1) = 11340$	$F_4(T_1) = 8526$	$F_5(T_1) = 6907$
$P_1(T_1)=0,2553$	$P_2(T_1)=0,2553$	$P_3(T_1)=0,2568$	$P_4(T_1)=0,2575$	$P_5(T_1)=0,2607$
$F_1(C_1) = 34062$	$F_2(C_1) = 17075$	$F_3(C_1) = 11321$	$F_4(C_1) = 8428$	$F_5(C_1) = 6813$
$P_1(C_1) = 0,2571$	$P_2(C_1)=0,2578$	$P_3(C_1)=0,2564$	$P_4(C_1) = 0,2545$	$P_5(C_1)=0,2572$
$F_1(G_1) = 31548$	$F_2(G_1) = 15770$	$F_3(G_1) = 10387$	$F_4(G_1) = 7858$	$F_5(G_1) = 6180$
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,2382	$P_2(G_1)=0,2381$	$P_3(G_1)=0,2352$	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,2373	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,2333
	$F_2(A_2) = 16553$	$F_3(A_2)=11103$	F ₄ (A ₂)=8196	$F_5(A_2)=6647$
	P ₂ (A ₂)=0,2499	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,2515	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,2475	P ₅ (A ₂)=0,2509
	$F_2(T_2) = 16914$	$F_3(T_2)=11245$	$F_4(T_2)=8491$	$F_5(T_2)=26492$
	$P_2(T_2)=0,2554$	$P_3(T_2)=0,2547$	$P_4(T_2)=0,2564$	$P_5(T_2)=0,2530$
	F ₂ (C ₂)= 16987	F ₃ (C ₂)=11149	F ₄ (C ₂)=8478	F ₅ (C ₂)=6817
	$P_2(C_2)=0,2565$	$P_3(C_2)=0,2525$	$P_4(C_2)=0,2560$	$P_5(C_2)=0,2573$
	$F_2(G_2) = 15778$	$F_3(G_2)=10657$	$F_4(G_2) = 7951$	$F_5(G_2)=6326$
	$P_2(G_2)=0,2382$	$P_3(G_2)=0,2414$	$P_4(G_2)=0,2401$	$P_5(G_2)=0,2388$
		$F_3(A_3) = 10821$	$F_4(A_3)=8173$	$F_5(A_3) = 6649$
		P ₃ (A ₃)=0,2451	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,2468	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,2510
		$F_3(T_3) = 11239$	$F_4(T_3) = 8384$	$F_5(T_3) = 6801$
		$P_3(T_3)=0,2545$	$P_4(T_3)=0,2532$	$P_5(T_3)=0,2567$
		$F_3(C_3) = 11592$	$F_4(C_3) = 8647$	$F_5(C_3) = 6815$
		$P_3(C_3)=0.2625$	$P_4(C_3) = 0.2611$	$P_5(C_3) = 0.2572$

$F_3(G_3) = 10502$	$F_4(G_3)=7912$	$F_5(G_3)=6227$
$P_3(G_3)=0,2378$	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,2389	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,2351
	$F_4(A_4) = 8357$	$F_5(A_4) = 6547$
	P ₄ (A ₄)=0,2524	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,2471
	$F_4(T_4) = 8423$	$F_5(T_4)=6723$
	$P_4(T_4)=0,2543$	$P_5(T_4)=0,2538$
	F ₄ (C ₄)= 8509	F ₅ (C ₄)=6812
	P ₄ (C ₄)=0,2569	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,2571
	$F_4(G_4) = 7827$	F ₅ (G ₄)=6410
	$P_4(G_4)=0,2364$	$P_5(G_4)=0,2420$
		$F_5(A_5) = 6595$
		P ₅ (A ₅)=0,2489
		$F_5(T_5) = 6691$
		$P_5(T_5)=0,2526$
		$F_5(C_5) = 6805$
		P ₅ (C ₅)=0,2569
		$F_5(G_5) = 6401$
		P ₅ (G ₅)=0,2416

Fig. A1/30. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilties $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and $k \le n$) of tetra-group members in sequences of n-plets in the case of the Escherichia coli strain PSU078 plasmid pPSU078_1, complete sequence, 132464 bp, accession CP012113.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP012113.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
Σ ₁ = 152261	$\Sigma_2 = 76130$	$\Sigma_3 = 50753$	Σ ₄ =38065	Σ ₅ =30452
$F_1(A_1) = 24240$	$F_2(A_1) = 12192$	$F_3(A_1) = 7949$	$F_4(A_1) = 6121$	$F_5(A_1) = 4926$
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,1592	$P_2(A_1)=0,1601$	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,1566	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,1608	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,1618
$F_1(T_1) = 24050$	$F_2(T_1) = 11941$	$F_3(T_1) = 7848$	$F_4(T_1) = 5945$	$F_5(T_1) = 4862$
$P_1(T_1)=0,1580$	$P_2(T_1)=0,1569$	$P_3(T_1)=0,1546$	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,1562	$P_5(T_1)=0,1597$
$F_1(C_1) = 51458$	$F_2(C_1) = 25758$	$F_3(C_1) = 17447$	F ₄ (C ₁)= 12906	$F_5(C_1) = 10344$
$P_1(C_1)=0,3380$	$P_2(C_1)=0,3383$	$P_3(C_1)=0,3438$	P ₄ (C ₁)=0,3391	$P_5(C_1)=0,3397$
$F_1(G_1) = 52513$	$F_2(G_1) = 26239$	$F_3(G_1) = 17509$	$F_4(G_1) = 13093$	$F_5(G_1) = 10320$
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,3449	$P_2(G_1)=0,3447$	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,3450	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,3440	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,3389
	$F_2(A_2) = 12048$	$F_3(A_2)=8057$	$F_4(A_2) = 6079$	$F_5(A_2)=4882$
	P ₂ (A ₂)=0,1583	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,1587	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,1597	P ₅ (A ₂)=0,1603
	$F_2(T_2) = 12109$	$F_3(T_2)=7837$	$F_4(T_2)=6061$	$F_5(T_2)=4809$
	$P_2(T_2)=0,1591$	$P_3(T_2)=0,1544$	$P_4(T_2)=0,1592$	$P_5(T_2)=0,1579$
	$F_2(C_2) = 25699$	F ₃ (C ₂)=17182	$F_4(C_2) = 12881$	F ₅ (C ₂)=10219
	$P_2(C_2)=0,3376$	$P_3(C_2)=0,3385$	$P_4(C_2)=0,3384$	$P_5(C_2)=0,3356$
	$F_2(G_2) = 26274$	F ₃ (G ₂)=17677	$F_4(G_2)=13044$	$F_5(G_2)=10542$
	$P_2(G_2)=0,3451$	$P_3(G_2)=0,3483$	$P_4(G_2)=0,3427$	$P_5(G_2)=0,3462$
		$F_3(A_3) = 8234$	$F_4(A_3) = 6071$	$F_5(A_3) = 4785$
		P ₃ (A ₃)=0,1622	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,1595	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,1571
		$F_3(T_3) = 8365$	$F_4(T_3)=5996$	$F_5(T_3)=4806$
		$P_3(T_3)=0,1648$	$P_4(T_3)=0,1575$	P ₅ (T ₃)=0,1578
		$F_3(C_3) = 16828$	$F_4(C_3) = 12852$	$F_5(C_3) = 10270$
		$P_3(C_3)=0,3316$	$P_4(C_3) = 0,3376$	$P_5(C_3)=0,3373$

$F_3(G_3) = 17326$	F ₄ (G ₃)=13146	F ₅ (G ₃)=10591
P ₃ (G ₃)=0,3414	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,3455	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,3478
	F ₄ (A ₄)= 5969	$F_5(A_4) = 4772$
	P ₄ (A ₄)=0,1568	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,1567
	$F_4(T_4) = 6048$	$F_5(T_4) = 4771$
	P ₄ (T ₄)=0,1589	$P_5(T_4)=0,1567$
	F ₄ (C ₄)= 12818	F ₅ (C ₄)=10352
	P ₄ (C ₄)=0,3367	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,3399
	$F_4(G_4) = 13230$	$F_5(G_4) = 10557$
	$P_4(G_4)=0,3476$	P ₅ (G ₄)=0,34677
		$F_5(A_5) = 4875$
		P ₅ (A ₅)=0,1601
		$F_5(T_5) = 4802$
		$P_5(T_5)=0,1577$
		$F_5(C_5) = 10272$
		P ₅ (C ₅)=0,3373
		$F_5(G_5) = 10503$
		P ₅ (G ₅)=0,3449

Fig. A1/31. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilties $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and $k \le n$) of tetra-group members in sequences of n-plets in the case of the HSIULR, Human herpesvirus 1 complete genome, 152261 bp, accession X14112.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/X14112.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
Σ ₁ = 100849	$\Sigma_2 = 50424$	Σ ₃ =33616	Σ ₄ =25212	Σ ₅ = 20169
$F_1(A_1) = 30346$	$F_2(A_1) = 15271$	$F_3(A_1) = 10157$	$F_4(A_1) = 7636$	$F_5(A_1) = 5978$
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,3009	P ₂ (A ₁)=0,3029	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,3021	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,3029	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,2964
$F_1(T_1) = 32481$	$F_2(T_1) = 16175$	$F_3(T_1) = 10746$	$F_4(T_1) = 8030$	$F_5(T_1) = 6570$
$P_1(T_1)=0,3221$	$P_2(T_1)=0,3208$	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,3197	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,3185	$P_5(T_1)=0,3257$
$F_1(C_1) = 18635$	$F_2(C_1) = 9390$	$F_3(C_1) = 6302$	$F_4(C_1) = 4727$	$F_5(C_1) = 3709$
$P_1(C_1)=0,1848$	$P_2(C_1) = 18,62$	P ₃ (C ₁)=0,1875	P ₄ (C ₁)=0,1875	P ₅ (C ₁)=0,1839
$F_1(G_1) = 19387$	$F_2(G_1) = 9588$	$F_3(G_1) = 6411$	$F_4(G_1) = 4819$	$F_5(G_1) = 3912$
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,1922	$P_2(G_1)=0,1901$	$P_3(G_1)=0,1907$	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,1911	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,1940
	$F_2(A_2) = 15075$	$F_3(A_2)=10055$	$F_4(A_2) = 7552$	$F_5(A_2) = 6095$
	$P_2(A_2)=0,2990$	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,2991	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,2995	P ₅ (A ₂)=0,3022
	$F_2(T_2) = 16306$	$F_3(T_2)=10870$	$F_4(T_2)=8147$	$F_5(T_2)=6461$
	$P_2(T_2)=0,3234$	$P_3(T_2)=0,3234$	$P_4(T_2)=0,3231$	$P_5(T_2)=0,3203$
	$F_2(C_2) = 9245$	$F_3(C_2)=6166$	$F_4(C_2)=4624$	F ₅ (C ₂)=3795
	$P_2(C_2)=0,1833$	$P_3(C_2)=0,1834$	$P_4(C_2)=0,1834$	$P_5(C_2)=0,1882$
	$F_2(G_2) = 9798$	$F_3(G_2)=6525$	$F_4(G_2)=4889$	$F_5(G_2)=3818$
	$P_2(G_2)=0,1943$	$P_3(G_2)=0,1941$	P ₄ (G ₂)=0,1939	$P_5(G_2)=0,1893$
		$F_3(A_3) = 10134$	$F_4(A_3)=25212$	$F_5(A_3) = 6045$
		P ₃ (A ₃)=0,3015	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,3028	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,2997
		$F_3(T_3) = 10865$	$F_4(T_3)=8145$	$F_5(T_3)=6413$
		$P_3(T_3)=0,3232$	P ₄ (T ₃)=0,3231	P ₅ (T ₃)=0,3180
		$F_3(C_3) = 6167$	$F_4(C_3) = 4663$	F ₅ (C ₃)=3798
		$P_3(C_3) = 0,1835$	$P_4(C_3) = 0,1850$	$P_5(C_3) = 0,1883$

$F_3(G_3) = 6450$	$F_4(G_3)=4769$	F ₅ (G ₃)=3913
P ₃ (G ₃)=0,1919	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,1892	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,1940
	$F_4(A_4) = 7523$	$F_5(A_4) = 6102$
	P ₄ (A ₄)=0,2984	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,3025
	$F_4(T_4) = 8159$	$F_5(T_4) = 6534$
	P ₄ (T ₄)=0,3236	P ₅ (T ₄)=0,3240
	$F_4(C_4) = 4621$	$F_5(C_4)=3702$
	P ₄ (C ₄)=0,1833	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,1835
	$F_4(G_4) = 4909$	F ₅ (G ₄)=3831
	P ₄ (G ₄)=0,1947	P ₅ (G ₄)=0,1899
		$F_5(A_5) = 6125$
		P ₅ (A ₅)=0,3037
		$F_5(T_5) = 6502$
		$P_5(T_5)=0,3224$
		$F_5(C_5) = 3630$
		P ₅ (C ₅)=0,1800
		$F_5(G_5) = 3912$
		P ₅ (G ₅)=0,1940

Fig. A1/32. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilties $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and $k \le n$) of tetra-group members in sequences of n-plets in the following case: HUMNEUROF, Human oligodendrocyte myelin glycoprotein (OMG) exons 1-2; neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1) exons 28-49; ecotropic viral integration site 2B (EVI2B) exons 1-2; ecotropic viral integration site 2A (EVI2A) exons 1-2; adenylate kinase (AK3) exons 1-2, 100849 bp, accession L05367.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/189152

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$\Sigma_1 = 100314$	$\Sigma_2 = 50157$	$\Sigma_3 = 33438$	Σ ₄ =25078	Σ ₅ =20062
$F_1(A_1) = 35804$	$F_2(A_1) = 17906$	$F_3(A_1) = 11917$	$F_4(A_1) = 8814$	$F_5(A_1) = 7101$
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,3569	$P_2(A_1)=0,3570$	$P_3(A_1)=0,3564$	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,3515	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,3540
$F_1(T_1) = 34358$	$F_2(T_1) = 17236$	$F_3(T_1) = 11802$	$F_4(T_1) = 8693$	$F_5(T_1) = 6967$
P ₁ (T ₁)=0,3425	$P_2(T_1)=0,3436$	$P_3(T_1)=0,3530$	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,3466	P ₅ (T ₁)=0,3473
F ₁ (C ₁)= 13428	F ₂ (C ₁)= 6669	F ₃ (C ₁)= 4415	F ₄ (C ₁)= 3413	$F_5(C_1) = 2614$
P ₁ (C ₁)=0,1339	$P_2(C_1)=0,1330$	$P_3(C_1)=0,1320$	P ₄ (C ₁)=0,1361	$P_5(C_1)=0,1303$
$F_1(G_1) = 16724$	$F_2(G_1) = 8346$	$F_3(G_1) = 5304$	$F_4(G_1) = 4158$	$F_5(G_1) = 3380$
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,1667	$P_2(G_1)=0,1664$	$P_3(G_1)=0,1586$	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,1658	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,1685
	$F_2(A_2) = 17898$	$F_3(A_2)=12161$	F ₄ (A ₂)=8975	$F_5(A_2)=7134$
	$P_2(A_2)=0,3568$	$P_3(A_2)=0,3637$	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,3579	P ₅ (A ₂)=0,3556
	$F_2(T_2) = 17122$	$F_3(T_2)=11010$	$F_4(T_2)=8550$	$F_5(T_2)=6849$
	$P_2(T_2)=0,3414$	$P_3(T_2)=0,3293$	$P_4(T_2)=0,3409$	$P_5(T_2)=0,3414$
	$F_2(C_2) = 6759$	$F_3(C_2)=4448$	$F_4(C_2)=3344$	$F_5(C_2)=2707$
	$P_2(C_2)=0,1348$	$P_3(C_2)=0,1330$	$P_4(C_2)=0,1333$	P ₅ (C ₂)=0,1349
	$F_2(G_2) = 8378$	$F_3(G_2) = 5819$	$F_4(G_2)=4209$	$F_5(G_2)=3372$
	$P_2(G_2)=0,1670$	$P_3(G_2)=0,1740$	$P_4(G_2)=0,1678$	$P_5(G_2)=0,1681$
		$F_3(A_3) = 11726$	$F_4(A_3)=9092$	$F_5(A_3) = 7130$
		P ₃ (A ₃)=0,3507	$P_4(A_3)=0,3625$	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,3554
		$F_3(T_3) = 11546$	$F_4(T_3)=8542$	F ₅ (T ₃)=6833
		$P_3(T_3)=0,3453$	$P_4(T_3)=0,3406$	$P_5(T_3)=0,3406$
		$F_3(C_3) = 4565$	$F_4(C_3)=3256$	$F_5(C_3)=2677$
		$P_3(C_3)=0.1365$	$P_4(C_3) = 0.1298$	$P_5(C_3) = 0.1334$

$F_3(G_3) = 5601$	$F_4(G_3)=4188$	$F_5(G_3)=3422$
P ₃ (G ₃)=0,1675	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,1670	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,1706
	$F_4(A_4) = 8922$	$F_5(A_4) = 7177$
	P ₄ (A ₄)=0,3558	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,3577
	$F_4(T_4) = 8572$	$F_5(T_4)=6914$
	P ₄ (T ₄)=0,3418	P ₅ (T ₄)=0,3446
	$F_4(C_4) = 3415$	F ₅ (C ₄)=2730
	P ₄ (C ₄)=0,1362	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,1361
	$F_4(G_4) = 4169$	F ₅ (G ₄)=3241
	$P_4(G_4)=0,1662$	P ₅ (G ₄)=0,1615
		$F_5(A_5) = 7261$
		P ₅ (A ₅)=0,3619
		$F_5(T_5) = 6792$
		$P_5(T_5)=0,3386$
		$F_5(C_5) = 2700$
		P ₅ (C ₅)=0,1346
		$F_5(G_5) = 3309$
		P ₅ (G ₅)=0,1649

Fig. A1/33. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilties $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and $k \le n$) of tetra-group members in sequences of n-plets in the case of the Podospora anserina mitochondrion, complete genome, 100314 bp, accession NC_001329.3, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_001329.3

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
Σ ₁ = 97630	$\Sigma_2 = 48815$	Σ ₃ =32543	Σ ₄ =24407	Σ ₅ = 19526
$F_1(A_1) = 28063$	$F_2(A_1) = 13947$	$F_3(A_1) = 9319$	$F_4(A_1) = 6869$	$F_5(A_1) = 5662$
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,2874	P ₂ (A ₁)=0,2857	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,2864	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,2814	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,2900
$F_1(T_1) = 26383$	$F_2(T_1) = 13185$	$F_3(T_1) = 8723$	$F_4(T_1) = 6622$	$F_5(T_1) = 5189$
P ₁ (T ₁)=0,2702	$P_2(T_1)=0,2701$	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,2680	$P_4(T_1)=0,2713$	$P_5(T_1)=0,2657$
$F_1(C_1) = 20949$	F ₂ (C ₁)= 10479	F ₃ (C ₁)= 6987	F ₄ (C ₁)= 5254	$F_5(C_1) = 4242$
$P_1(C_1) = 0,2146$	$P_2(C_1)=0,2147$	$P_3(C_1)=0,2147$	$P_4(C_1) = 0,2153$	$P_5(C_1)=0,2172$
$F_1(G_1) = 22235$	$F_2(G_1) = 11204$	$F_3(G_1) = 7514$	$F_4(G_1) = 5662$	$F_5(G_1) = 4433$
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,2277	$P_2(G_1)=0,2295$	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,2309	$P_4(G_1)=0,2320$	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,2270
	$F_2(A_2) = 14116$	$F_3(A_2)=9440$	$F_4(A_2) = 7077$	$F_5(A_2)=5677$
	$P_2(A_2)=0,2892$	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,2901	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,2900	$P_5(A_2)=0,2907$
	$F_2(T_2) = 13198$	$F_3(T_2)=8787$	$F_4(T_2)=6630$	$F_5(T_2)=5202$
	$P_2(T_2)=0,2704$	$P_3(T_2)=0,2700$	$P_4(T_2)=0,2716$	P ₅ (T ₂)=0,2664
	$F_2(C_2) = 10470$	F ₃ (C ₂)=6991	$F_4(C_2) = 5212$	$F_5(C_2)=4153$
	P ₂ (C ₂)=0,2145	P ₃ (C ₂)=0,2148	P ₄ (C ₂)=0,2135	P ₅ (C ₂)=0,2127
	$F_2(G_2) = 11031$	$F_3(G_2)=6991$	$F_4(G_2) = 5488$	$F_5(G_2)=4494$
	$P_2(G_2)=0,2260$	$P_3(G_2)=0,2251$	$P_4(G_2)=0,2249$	$P_5(G_2)=0,2302$
		$F_3(A_3) = 9304$	$F_4(A_3) = 7078$	$F_5(A_3) = 5570$
		P ₃ (A ₃)=0,2859	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,2900	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,2853
		$F_3(T_3) = 8873$	$F_4(T_3) = 6563$	$F_5(T_3)=5250$
		$P_3(T_3)=0,2727$	$P_4(T_3)=0,2689$	$P_5(T_3)=0,2689$
		$F_3(C_3) = 6970$	$F_4(C_3) = 5224$	$F_5(C_3)=4184$
		$P_3(C_3)=0,2142$	$P_4(C_3) = 0,2140$	$P_5(C_3)=0,2143$

$F_3(G_3) = 7396$	$F_4(G_3) = 5542$	$F_5(G_3)=4522$
$P_3(G_3)=0,2273$	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,2271	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,2316
	$F_4(A_4) = 7039$	$F_5(A_4) = 5561$
	P ₄ (A ₄)=0,2884	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,2848
	$F_4(T_4) = 6568$	$F_5(T_4) = 5356$
	P ₄ (T ₄)=0,2691	$P_5(T_4)=0,2743$
	$F_4(C_4) = 5257$	F ₅ (C ₄)=4207
	P ₄ (C ₄)=0,2154	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,2155
	$F_4(G_4) = 5543$	$F_5(G_4) = 4402$
	$P_4(G_4)=0,2271$	$P_5(G_4)=0,2254$
		$F_5(A_5) = 5593$
		P ₅ (A ₅)=0,2864
		$F_5(T_5) = 5386$
		$P_5(T_5)=0,2758$
		$F_5(C_5) = 4163$
		P ₅ (C ₅)=0,2132
		$F_5(G_5) = 4384$
		P ₅ (G ₅)=0,2245

Fig. A1/34. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilties $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and $k \le n$) of tetra-group members in sequences of n-plets in the case of the HUMTCRADCV, Human T-cell receptor genes (Human Tcr-C-delta gene, exons 1-4; Tcr-V-delta gene, exons 1-2; T-cell receptor alpha (Tcr-alpha) gene, J1-J61 segments; and Tcr-C-alpha gene, exons 1-4), 97630 bp, accession M94081.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/2627263

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
Σ ₁ = 94647	$\Sigma_2 = 47323$	Σ ₃ = 31549	Σ ₄ =23661	Σ ₅ = 18929
$F_1(A_1) = 26359$	$F_2(A_1) = 13093$	$F_3(A_1) = 8879$	$F_4(A_1) = 6527$	$F_5(A_1) = 5303$
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,2785	P ₂ (A ₁)=0,2767	$P_3(A_1) = 0,2814$	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,2759	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,2802
$F_1(T_1) = 25769$	$F_2(T_1) = 13008$	$F_3(T_1) = 8621$	$F_4(T_1) = 6476$	$F_5(T_1) = 5124$
$P_1(T_1)=0,2723$	$P_2(T_1)=0,2749$	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,2733	$P_4(T_1)=0,2737$	$P_5(T_1)=0,2707$
$F_1(C_1) = 20790$	$F_2(C_1) = 10284$	$F_3(C_1) = 6911$	$F_4(C_1) = 5166$	$F_5(C_1) = 4108$
$P_1(C_1)=0,2197$	$P_2(C_1)=0,2173$	P ₃ (C ₁)=0,2191	$P_4(C_1)=0,2183$	$P_5(C_1)=0,2170$
$F_1(G_1) = 21729$	$F_2(G_1) = 10938$	$F_3(G_1) = 7138$	$F_4(G_1) = 5492$	$F_5(G_1) = 4394$
$P_1(G_1)=0,2296$	$P_2(G_1)=0,2311$	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,2263	$P_4(G_1)=0,2321$	$P_5(G_1)=0,2321$
	$F_2(A_2) = 13265$	$F_3(A_2)=8763$	$F_4(A_2)=6632$	$F_5(A_2)=5317$
	$P_2(A_2)=0,2803$	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,2778	$P_4(A_2)=0,2803$	$P_5(A_2)=0,2809$
	$F_2(T_2) = 12761$	$F_3(T_2)=8621$	$F_4(T_2)=6381$	$F_5(T_2)=5184$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0,2697$	$P_3(T_2)=0,2733$	$P_4(T_2)=0,2697$	$P_5(T_2)=0,2739$
	$F_2(C_2) = 10506$	F ₃ (C ₂)=6875	$F_4(C_2) = 5247$	$F_5(C_2)=4152$
	$P_2(C_2)=0,2220$	$P_3(C_2)=0,2179$	$P_4(C_2)=0,2218$	$P_5(C_2)=0,2193$
	$F_2(G_2) = 10791$	$F_3(G_2)=7290$	$F_4(G_2) = 5401$	$F_5(G_2)=4276$
	$P_2(G_2)=0,2280$	P ₃ (G ₂)=0,2311	$P_4(G_2)=0,2283$	$P_5(G_2)=0,2259$
		F ₃ (A ₃)= 8717	$F_4(A_3) = 6566$	$F_5(A_3) = 5242$
		P ₃ (A ₃)=0,2763	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,2775	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,2769
		$F_3(T_3) = 8527$	$F_4(T_3)=6532$	$F_5(T_3)=5160$
		$P_3(T_3)=0,2703$	$P_4(T_3)=0,2761$	$P_5(T_3)=0,2726$
		F ₃ (C ₃)= 7004	F ₄ (C ₃)=5117	F ₅ (C ₃)=4163
		$P_3(C_3) = 0,2220$	$P_4(C_3) = 0,2163$	$P_5(C_3) = 0,2199$

$F_3(G_3) = 7301$ $P_2(G_2) = 0.2314$	$F_4(G_3)=5446$ $P_4(G_3)=0.2302$	$F_5(G_3)=4364$ $P_5(G_3)=0.2305$
13(03)=0,2314	$F_4(A_4) = 6633$	$F_5(A_4) = 52.61$
	$P_4(A_4)=0,2803$	$P_5(A_4)=0,2779$
	$F_4(T_4) = 6380$	$F_5(T_4)=5137$
	P ₄ (T ₄)=0,2696	$P_5(T_4)=0,2714$
	F ₄ (C ₄)= 5259	F ₅ (C ₄)=4217
	$P_4(C_4)=0,2223$	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,2228
	$F_4(G_4) = 5389$	$F_5(G_4)=4314$
	$P_4(G_4)=0,2278$	$P_5(G_4)=0,2279$
		$F_5(A_5) = 5235$
		$P_5(A_5)=0,2766$
		$F_5(T_5) = 5164$
		$P_5(T_5)=0,2728$
		$F_5(C_5) = 4150$
		$P_5(U_5)=0,2192$
		$\Gamma_5(G_5) = 4300$ $P_2(C_2) = 0.2214$
		r5(05)-0,2314

Fig. A1/35. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilties $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and $k \le n$) of tetra-group members in sequences of n-plets in the case of the MUSTCRA, Mouse T-cell receptor alpha/delta chain locus, 94647 bp, accession M64239.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/201744

One can see from the data of the long DNA-sequences in Fig. A1/1- A1/35 that all these sequences satisfy the three tetra-group rules and demonstrate the existence of tetra-group symmetries in them.

Acknowledgments: Some results of this paper have been possible due to a longterm cooperation between Russian and Hungarian Academies of Sciences on the topic "Non-linear models and symmetrologic analysis in biomechanics, bioinformatics, and the theory of self-organizing systems", where S.V. Petoukhov was a scientific chief from the Russian Academy of Sciences. The author is grateful to G. Darvas and I. Stepanyan for their collaboration. A special thanks is to V. Svirin for his computer program, which was made on the basis of the author's technical tasks and descriptions, to study automatically long DNAsequences (initial author's results were obtained by a half-manually manner).

REFERENCES

Albrecht-Buehler G. (2006) Asymptotically increasing compliance of genomes with Chargaff's second parity rules through inversions and inverted transpositions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. November 21; 103(47): 17828–17833.

Albrecht-Buehler G. (2007) Inversions and inverted transpositions as the basis for an almost universal "format" of genome sequences. *Genomics*, 90, 297– **Baisnee P-F., Hampson S., Baldi P**. (2002) Why are complementary DNA strands symmetric? – *Bioinformatics*, 18(8),1021-33. **Bauer, E.S**. (1935). Theoretical Biology. VIEM, Moscow and Leningrad (in Russian **Bauer, E.S**. (1982). Theoretical Biology, with English translation. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1982).

Bell, S. J., Forsdyke, D. R. (1999) Deviations from Chargaff's second parity rule correlate with direction of transcription - *J. Theo. Bio.*, 197, 63-76.

Bellman, R. (1960) *Introduction to Matrix Analysis*. New-York: Mcgraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., 351 pp.

Caspani L., Reimer Ch., Kues M., Roztocki P., Clerici M., Wetzel B., Jestin Y., Ferrera M., Peccianti M., Pasquazi A., Razzari L., Little B.E., Sai Chu T., Moss D.J., Morandotti R. (2016). Multifrequency sources of quantum correlated photon pairs on-chip: a path toward integrated Quantum Frequency Combs. – Nanophotonics, 5, p. 351–362 (doi:10.1515/nanoph-2016-0029),

http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/118888/.

Chargaff, E. (1951). Structure and function of nucleic acids as cell constituents. - *Fed. Proc.*, 10, 654-659.

Chargaff, E. (1971). Preface to a Grammar of Biology: A hundred years of nucleic acid research. - *Science*, 172, 637-642

Chargaff E. (1975). A fever of reason. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, 44:1-20 **Dong Q., Cuticchia A. J**. (2001). Compositional symmetries in complete genomes. *Bioinformatics*, 17, 557-559.

Fimmel, E., Danielli A., Strüngmann L. (2013). On dichotomic classes and bijections of the genetic code. *J. Theor. Biol., 336*, 221–230.

Forsdyke D. R. (1995). A stem-loop "kissing" model for the initiation of recombination and the origin of introns. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 12, 949–958.

Forsdyke D.R. (2002). Symmetry observations in long nucleotide sequences: a commentary on the discovery of Qi and Cuticchia. *Bioinformatics letter*, v.18, Nº 1, p.215-217.

Forsdyke D. R. (2006). Evolutionary Bioinformatics. New-York: Springer Verlag. **Forsdyke D. R., Bell S. J**. (2004). Purine-loading, stem-loops, and Chargaff's second parity rule. *Applied Bioinformatics*, 3, 3–8.

Heisenberg W. (1926). Mehrkorperproblem und Resonanz in Quantenmechanik. – Zs. Phys., 38, 411-426.

Holevo A. S. (2013). *Quantum systems, channels, information*. De Gruyter Studies in Mathematical Physics 16, 349 p.

Hu Z.B., Petoukhov S.V. (2017). Generalized crystallography, the genetic system and biochemical esthetics. - *Structural Chemistry*, v. 28, №1, pp. 239-247. doi:10.1007/s11224-016-0880-0,

http://link.springer.com/journal/11224/28/1/page/2

Hu Z.B., Petoukhov S.V., Petukhova E.S. (2017). I-Ching, dyadic groups of binary numbers and the geno-logic coding in living bodies. - *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology* (in print,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2017.08.018).

Igamberdiev, A.U. (2012). Biomechanical and coherent phenomena in morphogenetic relaxation processes. *Biosystems*, 109, p. 336–345.

Ji, S. (2012). Molecular Theory of the Living Cell: Concepts, Molecular Mechanisms, and Biomedical Applications, New York: Springer.

Ji, **S**. (2015). Planckian distributions in molecular machines, living cells, and brains: The wave-particle duality in biomedical sciences, *- Proc. Intern. Conf. on Biology and Biomedical Engineering*. Vienna, March 15-17, pp. 115-137. **Ji S**. (2017). The Cell Language Theory: Connecting Mind and Matter. - World Scientific Publishing Europe Ltd. , 450 p. ISBN-13: 978-1848166608.

Manin Yu. I. (1980). *Computable and Uncomputable*, Moscow Sovetskoie Radio (in Russian).

McClare, C.W.F. (1974). Resonance in bioenergetics. - Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 227, 74–97.

Mitchell, D., Bridge, R. (2006). A test of Chargaff's second rule, *BBRC*, 340, 90-94.

Nielsen M.A., Chuang I.L. (2010). *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Okamura K., Wei J., Scherer S. (2007). Evolutionary implications of inversions that have caused intra-strand parity in DNA. *Bmc Genomics*, 8, 160–166. http://www.gutenberg.org/files/39713/39713-h/39713-h.htm#Page 264

Pauling, L. (1940). *The Nature of the Chemical Bond and the Structure of Molecules and Crystals: An Introduction to Modern Structural Chemistry*. Oxford University Press, London, 2nd edn, 664 p.

Perez J.-Cl. (2013). The "3 Genomic Numbers" Discovery: How Our Genome Single-Stranded DNA Sequence Is "Self-Designed" as a Numerical Whole. -*Applied Mathematics*, 4, 37-53, http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/am.2013.410A2004

Petoukhov S.V. (1999). Genetic Code and the Ancient Chinese "Book of Changes".- Symmetry: Culture & Science, vol. 10, №3-4, 1999, p.211-226. **Petoukhov S.V.** (2008) *Matrix genetics, algebras of the genetic code, noise immunity*. M., RCD, 316 p. (in Russian).

Petoukhov S.V. (2011). Matrix genetics and algebraic properties of the multilevel system of genetic alphabets. - *Neuroquantology*, 2011, Vol 9, No 4, p. 60-81, http://www.neuroquantology.com/index.php/journal/article/view/501

Petoukhov S.V. (2012) Symmetries of the genetic code, hypercomplex numbers and genetic matrices with internal complementarities. - "*Symmetry: Culture and Science*", vol. 23, № 3-4, p. 275-301. <u>http://symmetry.hu/scs_online/SCS_23_3-4.pdf</u>

Petoukhov S.V. (2015а). Resonances and genetic biomechanics. - *Symmetry: Culture and Science*, т. 26, №3, р. 379-397,

http://petoukhov.com/PETOUKHOV_IN_SCS_2015.pdf

Petoukhov S.V. (2015b). Music and the modeling approach to genetic systems of biological resonances. – Lecture at the International conference «ISIS Summit Vienna 2015. The information society at the crossroads», 3-7 June, 2015, Vienna, Austria, http://sciforum.net/conference/70/paper/2812.

Petoukhov S.V. (2016). The system-resonance approach in modeling genetic structures. – *Biosystems*, January 2016, v. 139, p. 1-11,

http://petoukhov.com/PETOUKHOV_ARTICLE_IN_BIOSYSTEMS.pdf.

Petoukhov S.V. (2017). The genetic code, algebra of projection operators and problems of inherited biological ensembles. - <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1307.7882</u>, 9th version from 8 August 2017.

Petoukhov S.V., He M. (2009) *Symmetrical Analysis Techniques for Genetic Systems and Bioinformatics: Advanced Patterns and Applications*. Hershey, USA: IGI Global. 271 p.

Petoukhov S.V., Petukhova E.S. (2017a). Symmetries in genetic systems and the concept of geno-logical coding. - *Information*, 2017, *8*(1), 2; doi:10.3390/info8010002, http://www.mdpi.com/2078-2489/8/1/2/htm

Petoukhov S.V., Petukhova E.S. (2017b). Resonances and the quest for transdisciplinarity. - *Information Studies and the Quest for Transdisciplinarity*. Editors M. Burgin, W.Hofkirchner, World Scientific, p. p. 467-487.

Petoukhov S.V., Svirin V.I. (2012). Fractal genetic nets and symmetry principles in long nucleotide sequences. - *Symmetry: Culture and Science*, vol. 23, № 3-4, p. 303-322. <u>http://petoukhov.com/PETOUKHOV_SVIRIN_FGN.pdf</u>

Petoukhov S.V., Petukhova E.S., Hazina L.V., Svirin V.I., Stepanyan I.V., Silova T.V. (2017). Geno-logical coding, united-hypercomplex numbers and systems of artificial intelligence. – In the book "*Advances in Artificial Systems for Medicine and Education*", Editors Z.B. Hu, S.V.Petoukhov and M.He (the conference proceedings "Artificial Intelligence, Medical Engineering, Education", Moscow, Russia, 21-23 August 2017). Part of the "Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing" book series (AISAC, volume 658), pages 2-13, Springer, https://link.springer.com/search?query=978-3-319-67348-6.

Poincare H. (2009). The foundations of science. - (In the Chapter «Science and hypothesis»), Cornell University Library, 580 p.

http://www.gutenberg.org/files/39713/39713-h/39713-h.htm#Page_140 **Prabhu, V. V**. (1993). Symmetry observation in long nucleotide sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 21, 2797-2800.

Rapoport A.E., Trifonov E.N. (2012): Compensatory nature of Chargaff's second parity rule. *Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics*, November, 1-13, DOI:10.1080/07391102.2012.736757

Schrödinger E. (1944). *What is Life?* – United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press, 194 p.

Schrödinger E. (1952). Are there quantum jumps? Part I. - *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, Vol. 3, No. 10, pp. 109-123. Published by: Oxford University Press on behalf of The British Society for the Philosophy of Science Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/685552.

Stambuk N. (1999). Circular coding properties of gene and protein sequences. *Croat. Chem. Acta*, *72*, 999–1008.

Sueoka N. (1995). Intrastrand parity rules of DNA base composition and usage biases of synonymous codons. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, 40, 318–325.

Turin, L. (2009). Colin McClare (1937–1977): a tribute. - *Journal of Biological Physics*, vol. 35, <u>issue 1</u>, 9-15.

Yamagishi, M., Herai, R. (2011). Chargaff's "Grammar of Biology": New Fractal-like Rules. <u>http://128.84.158.119/abs/1112.1528v1</u>