

The rules of long DNA-sequences and tetra-groups of oligonucleotides

Sergey V. Petoukhov

Head of Laboratory of Biomechanical System, Mechanical Engineering Research
Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow

spetoukhov@gmail.com, <http://petoukhov.com/>

Comment: Some materials of this article were presented by the author in the keynote speeches at the following conferences: the International Belgrade Bioinformatics Conference 2018 (Belgrade, Serbia, 18-22 June 2018, <http://belbi.bg.ac.rs/>); the 2nd International Conference Artificial Intelligence, Medical Engineering, Education (Moscow, Russia, 1-3 October 2019); the 3rd International Conference on Computer Science, Engineering and Education Applications (Kiev, Ukraine, 21-22 January 2020). Also an author's presentation with elements of this article was done at the 6th International Conference in Code Biology (Friedrichsdorf, Germany, 3-7 June 2019, <http://www.codebiology.org/conferences/Friedrichsdorf2019/>).

Abstract. The article represents a new class of hidden symmetries in long sequences of oligonucleotides of single stranded DNA from their representative set. These symmetries are an addition to symmetries described by the second Chargaff's parity rule ($%A \cong %T$ and $%G \cong %C$). These new symmetries and their rules concern the cooperative oligomer organization of long DNA sequences including complete sets of chromosomes of human and some model organisms. The rules on the equality of collective probabilities and the associated hyperbolic rules for the total sums of oligomers of length n were identified in long DNA sequences due to using the author's method of oligomer sums, which is also described in the article. These rules are considered as possible candidates for the role of the universal rules of long DNA-sequences. A quantum-informational model of the described genetic symmetries is proposed on the basis of the known quantum-mechanic statement that quantum state of a multicomponent system is defined by the tensor product of quantum states of its subsystems. In this model, nitrogenous bases C, T, G, A of DNA are represented as computational basis states of 2-qubit quantum CTGA-systems. An important role of resonances, photons and photonic crystals in quantum-information genetics is noted.

Key words. Chargaff's rules, symmetry, tetra-group of oligonucleotides, probabilities, total sums, hyperbolic rules, tensor product, quantum informatics, qubit, resonance, photon

CONTENT

1. Introduction
2. Tetra-groups of oligonucleotides and collective probabilities of tetra-groups in long DNA-texts
3. The first, second and third rules of symmetries of collective probabilities

- of tetra-groups in long DNA-texts
4. DNA-alphabets, genetic binary oppositions and the tensor product of matrices
 5. The quantum-information model to explain and predict symmetries of collective probabilities in tetra-groups of long DNA-texts
 6. The explanation of the second Chargaff's parity rule on the basis of the quantum-information approach.
 7. About short DNA-texts
 8. Resonances, photons and quantum-information genetics
 9. The rules of symmetries of collective probabilities in tetra-groups in the complete set of human chromosomes. The fourth rule of symmetries of tetra-group probabilities (for complete sets of chromosomes)
 10. Tetra-group rules in complete sets of chromosomes of model organisms: *Caenorhabditis elegans*, *Drosophila melanogaster*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Mus musculus*
 11. Fractal genetic nets and the fifth and sixth rules of symmetries of collective probabilities of tetra-groups. On a fractal grammar of long DNA-texts
 12. About letter-ordered representations of long DNA-texts conserving their collective probabilities in tetra-groups.
 13. On the biological sense of the symmetries of collective probabilities of tetra-groups in long DNA texts.
 14. Hyperbolic rules of the oligomer collective organization of genomes.
 15. Applications of the oligomer sums method to analysis of long genes.
 16. Some concluding remarks
- Appendix 1. Additional data about tetra-group rules and tetra-group symmetries in long DNA-texts
- Appendix 2. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in the complete set of human chromosomes
- Appendix 3. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in the complete set of chromosomes of a nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*
- Appendix 4. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in the complete set of chromosomes of *Drosophila melanogaster*
- Appendix 5. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in the complete set of chromosomes of *Arabidopsis thaliana*
- Appendix 6. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in the complete set of chromosomes of *Mus musculus*
- Appendix 7. Confirmations of model predictions on symmetries of collective probabilities for 4^s-groups of n-plets in long DNA-texts
- Appendix 8. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in genomes of microorganisms living in extreme environments
- Appendix 9. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in genomes of ferns
- Appendix 10. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in genomes of moss
- Appendix 11. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in genomes of monocots
- Appendix 12. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in genomes of shrubs
- Appendix 13. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in genomes of trees
- Acknowledgments
- References

1. Introduction.

Two Chargaff's parity rules are well known in genetics. They are important because they point to a kind of "grammar of biology" (these words were used by E.Chargaff in the title of his article [Chargaff, 1971]): a set of hidden rules that govern the structure of DNA. The first Chargaff's parity rule states that in any double-stranded DNA segment, the number of occurrences (or frequencies) of adenine A and thymine T are equal, and so are frequencies of cytosine C and guanine G [Chargaff, 1951, 1971]. The rule was an important clue that J.Watson and F.Crick used to develop their model of the double helix structure of DNA.

The second Chargaff's parity rule (CSPR) states that both $%A \cong %T$ and $%G \cong %C$ are approximately valid in single stranded DNA for long nucleotide sequences. Many works of different authors are devoted to confirmations and discussions of this second Chargaff's rule [Albrecht-Buehler, 2006, 2007; Baisnee, Hampson, Baldi, 2002; Bell, Forsdyke, 1999; Chargaff, 1971, 1975; Dong, Cuticchia, 2001; Forsdyke, 1995, 2002, 2006; Forsdyke, Bell, 2004; Mitchell, Bridge, 2006; Okamura, Wei, Scherer, 2007; Perez, 2013; Prabhu, 1993; Rapoport, Trifonov, 2012; Sueoka, 1995; Yamagishi, Herai, 2011]. Originally, CSPR is meant to be valid only to mononucleotide frequencies (that is quantities of monoplets) in single stranded DNA. *"But, it occurs that oligonucleotide frequencies follow a generalized Chargaff's second parity rule (GCSPR) where the frequency of an oligonucleotide is approximately equal to its complement reverse oligonucleotide frequency [Prabhu, 1993]. This is known in the literature as the Symmetry Principle" [Yamagishi, Herai, 2011, p. 2].* The work [Prabhu, 1993] shows the implementation of the Symmetry Principle in long DNA-sequences for cases of complementary reverse n-plets with $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$ at least. (In literature, a few synonymes of the term "n-plets" are used: n-tuples, n-words or n-mers). These parity rules, including generalized Chargaff's second parity rule for n-plets in long nucleotide sequences, concerns the equality of frequencies of two separate mononucleotides or two separate oligonucleotides, for example: the equality of frequencies of adenine and thymine; the equality of frequencies of the doublet CA and its complement-reverse doublet TG; the equality of the triplets CAT and its complement-reverse triplet ATG, etc. By contrast to this, to study hidden symmetries in long sequences of oligonucleotides of single stranded DNA, we apply a comparative analysis of equalities not for probabilities of single oligonucleotides but for collective probabilities of sets of oligonucleotides, which form subgroups of so called tetra-groups. Below we explain the notion of these tetra-groups of oligonucleotides and represent new rules of probabilities in tetra-groups of long sequences of oligonucleotides in single stranded DNA of many organisms including complete sets of chromosomes of human and some model organisms.

2. Tetra-groups of oligonucleotides and collective probabilities of tetra-groups in long DNA-texts

Information in DNA strands is written by means of the tetra-group of

nitrogenous bases: adenine A, cytosine C, guanine G and thymine T (in RNA the tetra-group of nitrogenous bases contains uracil U instead of thymine T). E.Chargaff has received both his parity rules by comparative analysis of frequencies of each of 4 members of this tetra-group of mononucleotides in DNA. He and his followers studied DNA sequences as sequences of mononucleotides, frequencies of separate fragments of which were compared. In other words, Chargaff studied long DNA-texts in a form of texts of 1-letter words and calculated frequencies (or probabilities) of each of 4 members of the genetic tetra-group of 1-letter words A, T, C and G.

But it is obvious that each of long DNA-sequences (for example, the sequence CAGGTATCGAAT...) can be represented not only in the form of the text of 1-letter words (C-A-G-G-T-A-T-C-G-A-A-T...) but also in the form of the text of 2-letter words (CA-GG-TA-TC-GA-AT...) or in the form of the text of 3-letter words (CAG-GTA-TCG-AAT...) or in the form of the text of n-letter words in a general case. We briefly call such representations “n-letter representations” of DNA-sequences. Any of such long DNA-texts of n-letter words can be considered as a collection of 4 subgroups of possible tetra-groups, each of which is defined by an attribute of one of 4 letters A, T, C, G at a certain position inside n-letter words of the DNA-text. As we know, till now nobody studied systematically frequencies and probabilities of subgroups of such tetra-groups in DNA-texts of n-letter words. The proposed set of representations of long DNA-sequences plays a key role in revealing hidden symmetries in these sequences. This article shows some results of author’s study of hidden symmetries in long DNA-texts of n-letter words.

In contrast to Chargaff, in our approach, firstly, we analyze DNA sequences not as sequences of mononucleotides but as sequences of oligonucleotides of identical lengths: as sequences of doublets, or triplets, or 4-plets, or 5-plets, etc. Secondly, we compare not values of separate frequencies of individual oligonucleotides in long nucleotide sequences but values of sums of individual frequencies of all oligonucleotides, which belong to each of 4 subgroups of special tetra-groups of oligonucleotides of an identical length (such sum is called a collective frequency of the subgroup of the tetra-group). At the final stage of the analysis we compare collective probabilities (or percentage) of collective frequencies of different subgroups of such tetra-groups in the considered sequence. The mentioned tetra-groups are formed in each of considered cases by means of certain positional attributes of the letters A, T, C and G inside oligonucleotides of an identical length. Each of 4 subgroups of such tetra-group combines all oligonucleotides of the same length n (n-plets), which possess the identical letter at their certain position. To simplify the explanation, Fig. 1 shows the example of two tetra-groups, which are formed and studied by us for the analysis of long sequences of doublets.

Subgroups of tetra-groups	Composition of subgroups of doublets with identical letters at their first positions	Composition of subgroups of doublets with identical letters at their second position
A-subgroup	AA, AC, AG, AT	AA, CA, GA, TA

T-subgroup	TC, TA, TT, TG	CT, AT, TT, GT
C-subgroup	CC, CA, CT, CG	CC, AC, TC, GC
G-subgroup	GC, GA, GT, GG	CG, AG, TG, GG

Fig. 1. Compositions of two tetra-groups of doublets with 4 doublets in each of their 4 subgroups.

In the first tetra-group in Fig. 1, the complete alphabet of 16 doublets is divided into 4 subsets with 4 doublets in each by the attribute of an identical letter on the first position in each of doublets. The complete of these 4 subsets is called the tetra-group of doublets on the basis of this attribute; each of the 4 subsets is called a subgroup of the tetra-group; each of 4 subgroup has its individual name with an indication of its characteristic letter (A-subgroup, T-subgroup, C-subgroup and G-subgroup). In the second tetra-group (Fig. 1, right) the complete set of 16 doublets is divided into 4 subsets with 4 doublets in each by the attribute of an identical letter on the second position in each of doublets. The name "tetra-group" is used since 4 subgroups exist here and 4 letters of DNA play a decisive role in the dismemberment of the set of m-plets on the regular subsets in question. It is obvious that corresponding subgroups of both tetra-groups of doublets in Fig. 1 (left and right columns) are interrelated on the basis of cyclic shifts of positions in doublets. For example, the set of doublets AA, AC, AG, AT in the A-subgroup of the first tetra-group (Fig. 1, left column) is transformed into the A-subgroup AA, CA, GA, TA of the second tetra-group (Fig. 1, right column) by the cyclic shift of positions in doublets. Similar mutual transformations of subgroups of corresponding tetra-groups on the basis of cyclic shifts are also valid in the case of alphabets of triplets, 4-plets, 5-plets, etc. Fig. 2 shows three tetra-groups of triplets, which are used for the analysis of long sequences of triplets.

Subgroups of tetra-groups	Composition of subgroups of triplets with an identical letter at their 1st position	Composition of subgroups of triplets with an identical letter at their 2nd position	Composition of subgroups of triplets with an identical letter at their 3rd position
A-subgroup	AAA, AAC, AAG, AAT, ATA, ATC, ATG, ATT, ACA, ACC, ACG, ACT, AGA, AGC, AGG, AGT	AAA, CAA, GAA, TAA, AAT, CAT, GAT, TAT, AAC, CAC, GAC, TAC, AAG, CAG, GAG, TAG	AAA, CAA, GAA, TAA, CTA, ATA, TTA, GTA, CCA, CAA, CTA, CGA, CGA, AGA, TGA, GGA
T-subgroup	TAA, TAC, TAG, TAT, TTA, TTC, TTG, TTT, TCA, TCC, TCG, TCT, TGA, TGC, TGG, TGT	ATA, CTA, GTA, TTA, ATT, CTT, GTT, TTT, ATC, CTC, GTC, TTC, ATG, CTG, GTG, TTG	AAT, CAT, GAT, TAT, CTT, ATT, TTT, GTT, CCT, CAT, CTT, CGT, CGT, AGT, TGT, GGT
C-	CAA, CAC, CAG, CAT, CTA, CTC, CTG, CTT, CCA, CCC, CCG, CCT,	ACA, CCA, GCA, TCA, ACT, CCT, GCT, TCT, ACC, CCC, GCC, TCC,	AAC, CAC, GAC, TAC, CTC, ATC, TTC, GTC, CCC, CAC, CTC, CGC,

subgroup	CGA, CGC, CGG, CGT	ACG, CCG, GCG, TCG	CGC, AGC, TGC, GGC
G-subgroup	GAA, GAC, GAG, GAT, GTA, GTC, GTG, GTT, GCA, GCC, GCG, GCT, GGA, GGC, GGG, GGT	AGA, CGA, GGA, TGA, AGT, CGT, GGT, TGT, AGC, CGC, GGC, TGC, AGG, CGG, GGG, TGG	AAG, CAG, GAG, TAG, CTG, ATG, TTG, GTG, CCG, CAG, CTG, CGG, CGG, AGG, TGG, GGG

Fig. 2. Compositions of three tetra-groups of triplets with 16 triplets in each of their 4 subgroups.

In a general case of a sequence of n-plets, the complete alphabet of 4^n n-plets is divided into 4 subgroups with 4^{n-1} n-plets in each by the attribute of an identical letter on the chosen position inside n-plets. In this case n tetra-groups of n-plets are formed:

- The tetra-group on the basis of the attribute of an identical letter on the 1st position of n-plets;
- The tetra-group on the basis of the attribute of an identical letter on the 2nd position of n-plets;
-
- The tetra-group on the basis of the attribute of an identical letter on the n-th position of n-plets.

We use the symbol Σ_n ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$) to denote the total quantity of n-plets in the considered DNA-sequence of n-plets; for example, the expression $\Sigma_3=100000$ means that an analyzed sequence of triplets contains 100000 triplets. Let us define notions and symbols of collective frequencies and collective probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in long sequences of n-plets, where each of n-plets has its individual frequency (or number of its occurrences): for example, doublets have their individual frequencies $F(CC)$, $F(CA)$, etc.

In a long sequence of n-plets, collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$ and $F_n(G_k)$ of each of 4 subgroups of a tetra-group of n-plets are defined as the sum of all individual frequencies of n-plets belong to this subgroup (here the index n denotes the length of n-plets; the index $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ denotes the position of the letter in n-plets). For example, in the case of a sequence of triplets with the letters A, C, G and G on the second positions of triplets, these collective frequencies are denoted $F_3(A_2)$, $F_3(T_2)$, $F_3(C_2)$ and $F_3(G_2)$; correspondingly the expression $F_3(A_2)= 50000$ means that a considered sequence of triplets contains 50000 triplets with the letter A in their second positions. Fig. 3 shows appropriate definitions of collective frequencies $F_2(A_k)$, $F_2(T_k)$, $F_2(C_k)$ and $F_2(G_k)$ for the case of sequences of doublets (here $k=1,2$), which are analyzed from the standpoint of both tetra-groups from Fig. 1. Fig. 3 also shows – for the case of sequences of doublets – collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ of separate subgroups of tetra-groups; in general case these probabilities are defined by expressions $P_n(A_k) = F_n(A_k)/\Sigma_n$, $P_n(T_k) = F_n(T_k)/\Sigma_n$, $P_n(C_k) = F_n(C_k)/\Sigma_n$ and $P_n(G_k) = F_n(G_k)/\Sigma_n$. Below we represent the tetra-group rules for these collective probabilities, which are sum of individual probabilities of separate n-plets. More precisely, in the case of a sequence of n-plets, the probability of each of 4 subgroups of a separate tetra-group is a sum of 4^{n-1} individual probabilities

of such n-plets. For example, in the case of sequence of 5-plets, the probability $P_5(A_1)$ of the A-subgroup, which combines 5-plets with the letter A at their first position, is a sum of $4^4=256$ individual probabilities of 5-plets: $P_5(A_1) = P(AAAAA) + P(AAAAT) + P(AAAAC) + \dots$, etc.

$F_2(A_1)=F(AA)+F(AC)+F(AG)+F(AT)$ $P_2(A_1) = F_2(A_1)/\Sigma_2$	$F_2(A_2)=F(AA)+F(CA)+F(GA)+F(TA)$ $P_2(A_2) = F_2(A_2)/\Sigma_2$
$F_2(T_1)=F(TC)+F(TA)+F(TT)+F(TG)$ $P_2(T_1) = F_2(T_1)/\Sigma_2$	$F_2(T_2)=F(CT)+F(AT)+F(TT)+F(GT)$ $P_2(T_2) = F_2(T_2)/\Sigma_2$
$F_2(C_1)=F(CC)+F(CA)+F(CT)+F(CG)$ $P_2(C_1) = F_2(C_1)/\Sigma_2$	$F_2(C_2)=F(CC)+F(AC)+F(TC)+F(GC)$ $P_2(C_2) = F_2(C_2)/\Sigma_2$
$F_2(G_1)=F(GC)+F(GA)+F(GT)+F(GG)$ $P_2(G_1) = F_2(G_1)/\Sigma_2$	$F_2(G_2)=F(CG)+F(AG)+F(TG)+F(GG)$ $P_2(G_2) = F_2(G_2)/\Sigma_2$

Fig. 3. The definition of collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$, $P_n(G_k)$ for long sequences of doublets. Left: the case of the tetra-group of doublets with an identical letter at their first position (Fig. 1). Right: the case of the tetra-group of doublets with an identical letter at their second position (Fig. 1). The symbols $F(AA)$, $F(AC)$, ... denote individual frequencies of doublets.

Two subgroups of any tetra-group of n-plets with the complementary letters on the characteristic positions are conditionally called complementary subgroups of the appropriate tetra-group. For example, the A-subgroup and the T-subgroup are complementary subgroups in each of two tetra-groups in Fig. 1. Such complementary subgroups participate in one of the represented tetra-group rules of long sequences of n-plets in single stranded DNA.

3. The first, second and third rules of symmetries of collective probabilities of tetra-groups in long DNA-texts

It is generally accepted that long sequences contain more than 50 thousands or 100 thousands nucleotides [Albrecht-Buehler, 2006; Prahbu, 1993; Rapoport, Trifonov, 2012]. In this Section we show data of analysis of two sequences of Homo sapiens chromosomes, each of which has its length of exactly one million nucleotides (only two these sequences of such length are retrieved from Entrez Search Field of Genbank by the known range operator 1000000:1000001[SLEN], <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Sitemap/samplerecord.html>). Fig. 4 shows calculation data of the first of them from the standpoint of the proposed tetra-group approach: Homo sapiens chromosome 7 sequence, ENCODE region ENm012, accession NT_086368, version NT_086368.3, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NT_086368.3. These data include collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$, $P_n(G_k)$ of subgroups of appropriate tetra-groups of doublets, triplets, 4-plets and 5-plets of the sequence. We use the data in Fig. 4 to formulate the suppositional general rules of symmetries (or rules of approximate equalities) of these collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$, $P_n(G_k)$ in long texts of single stranded DNA. These rules can be briefly named "tetra-group rules" or "rules of tetra-group symmetries". Below the formulated tetra-group rules will be confirmed by similar analysis of a representative set of other long nucleotide sequences from the Genbank. By analogy with the

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$\Sigma_1 = 1000000$	$\Sigma_2 = 500000$	$\Sigma_3 = 333333$	$\Sigma_4 = 250000$	$\Sigma_5 = 200000$
F ₁ (A ₁)=307519 P ₁ (A ₁)=0,3075	F ₂ (A ₁)=153652 P ₂ (A ₁)=0,3073	F ₃ (A ₁)=102657 P ₃ (A ₁)=0,3080	F ₄ (A ₁)=76990 P ₄ (A ₁)=0,3080	F ₅ (A ₁)=61097 P ₅ (A ₁)=0,3055
F ₁ (T ₁)=335023 P ₁ (T ₁)=0,3350	F ₂ (T ₁)=167514 P ₂ (T ₁)=0,3350	F ₃ (T ₁)=111609 P ₃ (T ₁)=0,3348	F ₄ (T ₁)=83590 P ₄ (T ₁)=0,3344	F ₅ (T ₁)=67004 P ₅ (T ₁)=0,3350
F ₁ (C ₁)=176692 P ₁ (C ₁)=0,1767	F ₂ (C ₁)=88158 P ₂ (C ₁)=0,1763	F ₃ (C ₁)=58893 P ₃ (C ₁)=0,1767	F ₄ (C ₁)=44181 P ₄ (C ₁)=0,1767	F ₅ (C ₁)=35525 P ₅ (C ₁)=0,1776
F ₁ (G ₁)=180766 P ₁ (G ₁)=0,1808	F ₂ (G ₁)=90676 P ₂ (G ₁)=0,1813	F ₃ (G ₁)=60174 P ₃ (G ₁)=0,1805	F ₄ (G ₁)=45239 P ₄ (G ₁)=0,1810	F ₅ (G ₁)=36374 P ₅ (G ₁)=0,1819
	F ₂ (A ₂)=153867 P ₂ (A ₂)=0,3077	F ₃ (A ₂)=102597 P ₃ (A ₂)=0,3078	F ₄ (A ₂)=77218 P ₄ (A ₂)=0,3089	F ₅ (A ₂)=61441 P ₅ (A ₂)=0,3072
	F ₂ (T ₂)=167509 P ₂ (T ₂)=0,3350	F ₃ (T ₂)=111658 P ₃ (T ₂)=0,3350	F ₄ (T ₂)=83756 P ₄ (T ₂)=0,3350	F ₅ (T ₂)=67042 P ₅ (T ₂)=0,3352
	F ₂ (C ₂)=88534 P ₂ (C ₂)=0,1771	F ₃ (C ₂)=58812 P ₃ (C ₂)=0,1764	F ₄ (C ₂)=44168 P ₄ (C ₂)=0,1767	F ₅ (C ₂)=35440 P ₅ (C ₂)=0,1772
	F ₂ (G ₂)=90090 P ₂ (G ₂)=0,1802	F ₃ (G ₂)=60266 P ₃ (G ₂)=0,1808	F ₄ (G ₂)=44858 P ₄ (G ₂)=0,1794	F ₅ (G ₂)=36077 P ₅ (G ₂)=0,1804
		F ₃ (A ₃)=102265 P ₃ (A ₃)=0,3068	F ₄ (A ₃)=76662 P ₄ (A ₃)=0,3066	F ₅ (A ₃)=61621 P ₅ (A ₃)=0,3081
		F ₃ (T ₃)=111755 P ₃ (T ₃)=0,3353	F ₄ (T ₃)=83924 P ₄ (T ₃)=0,3357	F ₅ (T ₃)=67118 P ₅ (T ₃)=0,3356
		F ₃ (C ₃)=58987 P ₃ (C ₃)=0,1770	F ₄ (C ₃)=43977 P ₄ (C ₃)=0,1759	F ₅ (C ₃)=35198 P ₅ (C ₃)=0,1760
		F ₃ (G ₃)=60326 P ₃ (G ₃)=0,1810	F ₄ (G ₃)=45437 P ₄ (G ₃)=0,1817	F ₅ (G ₃)=36063 P ₅ (G ₃)=0,1803
			F ₄ (A ₄)=76649 P ₄ (A ₄)=0,3066	F ₅ (A ₄)=61706 P ₅ (A ₄)=0,3085
			F ₄ (T ₄)=83753 P ₄ (T ₄)=0,3350	F ₅ (T ₄)=66970 P ₅ (T ₄)=0,3348
			F ₄ (C ₄)=44366 P ₄ (C ₄)=0,1775	F ₅ (C ₄)=35313 P ₅ (C ₄)=0,1766
			F ₄ (G ₄)=45232 P ₄ (G ₄)=0,1809	F ₅ (G ₄)=36011 P ₅ (G ₄)=0,1801
				F ₅ (A ₅)=61654 P ₅ (A ₅)=0,3083
				F ₅ (T ₅)=66889 P ₅ (T ₅)=0,3344
				F ₅ (C ₅)=35216 P ₅ (C ₅)=0,1761
				F ₅ (G ₅)=36241 P ₅ (G ₅)=0,1812

generalized Chargaff's second rule, in cases of these new rules it is assumed that the length "n" of considered n-plets is much smaller than the length of the

Fig. 4. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$ and $F_n(G_k)$ and also collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $k \leq n$) of subgroups of tetra-groups for sequences of n-plets, which have the same letter in their position k, in the case of the following sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 7 sequence, 1000000 bp, encode region ENm012, accession NT_086368, version NT_086368.3, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NT_086368.3. Collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ are marked by red for a visual comfort of their comparison each with other.

studied sequence. At this stage, we study only long DNA-sequences of doublets, triplets, 4-plets and 5-plets.

From data in Fig. 4 one can assume existence of the following tetra-group rules for long sequences of oligonucleotides (these rules are confirmed by similar analyzes of a set of other long DNA-sequences represented below).

The first tetra-group rule (the rule of an approximate equality of the collective probabilities of n-plets with an identical letter in their fixed position k, regardless of the length n of the considered n-plets):

- In long sequences of n-plets of single stranded DNA, collective probabilities $P_n(X_k)$ ($X = A, T, C, G; k \leq n; n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots$ is not too large) of those subset of n-plets, which have the letter X in their position k, are approximately equal to the individual probability of the nucleotide X regardless on values n.

This first rule can be also formulated in another way:

- If a long sequence of single stranded DNA is represented in different forms of texts of n-letter words ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots$ is not too large), then - in these texts - probabilities of words with the letter X ($X = A, T, C, G$) in their position $k \leq n$ are approximately equal to each other regardless on values n.

For example, Fig. 4 shows the following approximate symmetries:

- $P_1(A_1)=0,3075 \cong P_2(A_1)=0,3073 \cong P_3(A_1)=0,3080 \cong P_4(A_1)=0,3080 \cong P_5(A_1)=0,3055$;
- $P_1(T_1)=0,3350 \cong P_2(T_1)=0,3350 \cong P_3(T_1)=0,3348 \cong P_4(T_1)=0,3344 \cong P_5(T_1)=0,3350$;
- $P_1(C_1)=0,1767 \cong P_2(C_1)=0,1763 \cong P_3(C_1)=0,1767 \cong P_4(C_1)=0,1767 \cong P_5(C_1)=0,1776$;
- $P_1(G_1)=0,1808 \cong P_2(G_1)=0,1813 \cong P_3(G_1)=0,1805 \cong P_4(G_1)=0,1810 \cong P_5(G_1)=0,1819$.

For a more convenient vision of this rule and corresponding symmetrical relations, Fig. 5 reproduces separately data about collective frequencies $P_n(X_k)$ (where $X = A, T, C, G$) from Fig. 4. Each of tabular rows contains approximately identical values of collective probabilities and - for emphasizing this fact - all its cells are marked by the same color.

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$\Sigma_1 = 1000000$	$\Sigma_2 = 500000$	$\Sigma_3 = 333333$	$\Sigma_4 = 250000$	$\Sigma_5 = 200000$
$P_1(A_1)=0,3075$	$P_2(A_1)=0,3073$	$P_3(A_1)=0,3080$	$P_4(A_1)=0,3080$	$P_5(A_1)=0,3055$
$P_1(T_1)=0,3350$	$P_2(T_1)=0,3350$	$P_3(T_1)=0,3348$	$P_4(T_1)=0,3344$	$P_5(T_1)=0,3350$
$P_1(C_1)=0,1767$	$P_2(C_1)=0,1763$	$P_3(C_1)=0,1767$	$P_4(C_1)=0,1767$	$P_5(C_1)=0,1776$
$P_1(G_1)=0,1808$	$P_2(G_1)=0,1813$	$P_3(G_1)=0,1805$	$P_4(G_1)=0,1810$	$P_5(G_1)=0,1819$
	$P_2(A_2)=0,3077$	$P_3(A_2)=0,3078$	$P_4(A_2)=0,3089$	$P_5(A_2)=0,3072$
	$P_2(T_2)=0,3350$	$P_3(T_2)=0,3350$	$P_4(T_2)=0,3350$	$P_5(T_2)=0,3352$
	$P_2(C_2)=0,1771$	$P_3(C_2)=0,1764$	$P_4(C_2)=0,1767$	$P_5(C_2)=0,1772$
	$P_2(G_2)=0,1802$	$P_3(G_2)=0,1808$	$P_4(G_2)=0,1794$	$P_5(G_2)=0,1804$
		$P_3(A_3)=0,3068$	$P_4(A_3)=0,3066$	$P_5(A_3)=0,3081$
		$P_3(T_3)=0,3353$	$P_4(T_3)=0,3357$	$P_5(T_3)=0,3356$
		$P_3(C_3)=0,1770$	$P_4(C_3)=0,1759$	$P_5(C_3)=0,1760$
		$P_3(G_3)=0,1810$	$P_4(G_3)=0,1817$	$P_5(G_3)=0,1803$
			$P_4(A_4)=0,3066$	$P_5(A_4)=0,3085$
			$P_4(T_4)=0,3350$	$P_5(T_4)=0,3348$
			$P_4(C_4)=0,1775$	$P_5(C_4)=0,1766$
			$P_4(G_4)=0,1809$	$P_5(G_4)=0,1801$
				$P_5(A_5)=0,3083$
				$P_5(T_5)=0,3344$
				$P_5(C_5)=0,1761$
				$P_5(G_5)=0,1812$

Fig. 5. Collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ from Fig. 4.

The first tetra-group rule can be graphically illustrated by a particular example in Fig. 6 for the same long DNA-sequence (Fig. 4).




Fig. 6. The illustration of the dependence of collective probabilities $P_n(A_1)$, $P_n(T_1)$, $P_n(C_1)$ and $P_n(G_1)$ from the length $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ of n -plets in the case of Homo sapiens chromosome 7 sequence, 1000000 bp. Numerical data are taken from Fig. 4.

The first tetra-group rule and corresponding symmetrical relations can be briefly expressed by the following expression (1) for any of values $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ under the condition of a fixed value of the index k :

$$P_1(X_1) \cong P_n(X_k), \quad k \leq n, \quad (1)$$

where X means any of letters A, T, C and G; $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ is not too large.

One should remind here that in the expression (1) various collective probabilities $P_n(X_k)$ are sum of individual probabilities of very different quantities of n -plets: the collective probability $P_2(A_1)$ is sum of individual probabilities of 4 doublets; the collective probability $P_3(A_1)$ is sum of individual probabilities of 16 triplets; the collective probability $P_4(A_1)$ is sum of individual probabilities of 64 tetraplets and the collective probability $P_5(A_1)$ is sum of individual probabilities of 256 pentaplets.

The second tetra-group rule (the rule of approximate equalities of collective probabilities of n -plets with an identical letter in their position k , regardless of the value of the positional index k in the considered n -plets):

- In long sequences of n -plets of single stranded DNA, collective probabilities $P_n(X_k)$ ($X = A, T, C, G$; $k \leq n$; $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots$ is not too large) of those subset of n -plets, which have the letter X in their position k , are approximately equal to the individual probability of the nucleotide X regardless on values k .

This second rule can be also formulated in another way:

- If a long sequence of single stranded DNA is represented in different forms of texts of n -letter words ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots$ is not too large), then - in these texts - probabilities of words with the letter X ($X = A, T, C, G$) in

their position $k \leq n$ are approximately equal to each other regardless on values k .

Fig. 5 facilitates a vision of this rule and corresponding symmetrical relations in the considered DNA-sequence: each of tabular columns contains approximately identical values of collective probabilities and – for emphasizing this fact – all such cells are marked by the same color.

For example, one can see from data in Fig. 4 the following approximate symmetries:

- $P_5(A_1)=0,3055 \cong P_5(A_2)=0,3072 \cong P_5(A_3)=0,3081 \cong P_5(A_4)=0,3085 \cong P_5(A_5)=0,3083$;
- $P_5(T_1)=0,3350 \cong P_5(T_2)=0,3352 \cong P_5(T_3)=0,3356 \cong P_5(T_4)=0,3348 \cong P_5(T_5)=0,3344$;
- $P_5(C_1)=0,1776 \cong P_5(C_2)=0,1772 \cong P_5(C_3)=0,1760 \cong P_5(C_4)=0,1766 \cong P_5(C_5)=0,1761$;
- $P_5(G_1)=0,1819 \cong P_5(G_2)=0,1804 \cong P_5(G_3)=0,1803 \cong P_5(G_4)=0,1801 \cong P_5(G_5)=0,1812$.

The second tetra-group rule and corresponding approximate symmetries can be graphically illustrated by a particular example in Fig. 7 for the same long DNA-sequence (Fig. 4).




Fig. 7. The illustration of the dependence of collective probabilities $P_5(A_k)$, $P_5(T_k)$, $P_5(C_k)$ and $P_5(G_k)$ of appropriate subgroups of tetra-groups from the index k of a position of the attributive letter in 5-plets in the case of the sequence of 5-plets of Homo sapiens chromosome 7 sequence, 1000000 bp. Numerical data are taken from Fig. 4.

The second tetra-group rule and corresponding approximate symmetries can be briefly expressed by the following expression (2) for any of values k under the condition of a fixed value of a length n of n -plets:

$$P_n(X_1) \cong P_n(X_k), \quad k \leq n, \quad (2)$$

where X means any of letters A, T, C and G; $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ is not too large.

One can see from expressions (1) and (2) that the first rule and the second rule can be jointly expressed in a brief way by the expression (3) without the mentioned conditions of a fixation of values n and k :

$$P_1(X_1) \cong P_n(X_k), \quad k \leq n, \quad (3)$$

where X means any of letters A, T, C and G; $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ is not too large.

The third tetra-group rule for those long DNA-sequences, which correspond to the second Chargaff's rule (the rule of approximate equalities of collective probabilities of complementary subgroups of tetra-groups):

- in tetra-groups of long sequences of n -plets of those single-stranded DNA, that satisfy the second Chargaff's rule, collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$ and $P_n(T_k)$ of the complementary A- and T-subgroups of tetra-groups are approximately equal to each other. The same is true for collective probabilities $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ of the complementary C- and G-subgroups of tetra-groups.

This third rule can be also formulated in another way:

- If a long sequence of those single-stranded DNA, that satisfy the second Chargaff's rule, is represented in different forms of texts of n -letter words ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots$ is not too large), then - in these texts - probabilities of words with the complementary letters A and T in their position k are approximately equal to each other. The same is true for probabilities of words with the complementary letters C and G in their position k .

This rule is expressed by expressions (4) for any of considered values of n and k , where $k \leq n$ and $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ is not too large:

$$P_n(A_k) \cong P_n(T_k) \text{ and } P_n(C_k) \cong P_n(G_k). \quad (4)$$

For example, one can see from data in Fig. 4 for the case $k=1$ the following:

- $P_1(A_1)=0,3075 \cong P_1(T_1)=0,3350$; $P_2(A_1)=0,3073 \cong P_2(T_1)=0,3350$;
 $P_3(A_1)=0,3080 \cong P_3(T_1)=0,3348$; $P_4(A_1)=0,3080 \cong P_4(T_1)=0,3344$;
 $P_5(A_1)=0,3055 \cong P_5(T_1)=0,3350$;
- $P_1(C_1)=0,1767 \cong P_1(G_1)=0,1808$; $P_2(C_1)=0,1763 \cong P_2(G_1)=0,1813$;
 $P_3(C_1)=0,1767 \cong P_3(G_1)=0,1805$; $P_4(C_1)=0,1767 \cong P_4(G_1)=0,1810$;
 $P_5(C_1)=0,1776 \cong P_5(G_1)=0,1819$.

The similar situation is true for cases $k = 2, 3, 4, 5$ in Fig. 4.

We emphasize that approximately equal collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$ and $F_n(T_k)$ of the complementary A- and T-subgroups of tetra-groups (as well as $F_n(C_k)$ and $F_n(G_k)$ of the complementary C- and G-subgroups), which are used in expressions (4), can differ significantly by values of individual frequencies of n -plets in them. For example, for the sequence of doublets in Fig. 4, these collective frequencies are sum of the following individual frequencies of separate doublets:

- $F_2(A_1) = F(AA)+F(AC)+F(AG)+F(AT) = 52506+23434+31500+46212$,

$$\begin{aligned}
- F_2(T_1) &= F(TT)+F(TG)+F(TC)+F(TA) = 61483+ 35978+29290+40763, \\
- F_2(C_1) &= F(CA)+F(CC)+F(CG)+F(CT) = 32721+32721+2800+33533, \\
- F_2(G_1) &= F(GT)+F(GG)+F(GC)+F(GA) = 26281+19812+16706+27877 \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

One can see from the expression (5) that, for example, the individual frequency $F(CG)=2800$, which is used in the expression of the collective frequency $F_2(C_1)$ of the C-subgroup, differs by a factor of 6 from the individual frequency of the complementary doublet $F(GC)=16706$, which is used in the expression of the collective frequency $F_2(G_1)$ of the complementary G-subgroup. Correspondingly the individual probability $P(CG) = F(CG)/\Sigma_2 = 2800/500000 = 0,0056$ differs by a factor of 6 from the individual probability of the complementary doublet $P(GC) = F(GC)/\Sigma_2 = 16706/500000 = 0,0334$. This indicates that the described tetra-group rules can't be reduced to rules of individual n-plets, but they form a special class of rules of a collective organization in oligonucleotide sequences of single stranded DNA.

The third tetra-group rule can be considered as a generalization of the second Chargaff's parity rule, which states an approximate equality of individual frequencies of complementary letters $F(A)\cong F(T)$ and $F(C)\cong F(G)$ (or probabilities $P(A)\cong P(T)$ and $P(C)\cong P(G)$) in long nucleotide sequences of single stranded DNA. In the case of the sequence in Fig. 4, the second Chargaff's parity rule is expressed by expressions $P_1(A_1)=0,3075 \cong P_1(T_1)=0,3350$ and $P_1(C_1)=0,1767 \cong P_1(G_1)=0,1808$. The level of accuracy of the second Chargaff's rule execution for this sequence is determined by the difference of probabilities: for the probabilities of complementary letters A and T in the mononucleotide sequence, this difference is equal to $P_1(T_1)-P_1(A_1) = 0,3350-0,3075 = 0,0275$, and for the probabilities of complementary letters C and G it is equal to $P_1(G_1)-P_1(C_1) = 0,1808-0,1767 = 0,0041$. For the analyzed sequence (Fig. 4), Fig. 8 shows that the same level of accuracy $P_1(T_1)-P_1(A_1)=0,0275$ is approximately executed for all differences $P_n(T_k)-P_n(A_k)$, and that the same level of accuracy $P_1(G_1)-P_1(C_1)=0,0041$ is approximately executed for all differences $P_n(G_k)-P_n(C_k)$. It testifies that the second Chargaff's parity rule can be considered as a particular case of the third tetra-group rule.

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(T_1)-P_1(A_1)$ = 0,0275	$P_2(T_1)-P_2(A_1)$ = 0,0277	$P_3(T_1)-P_3(A_1)$ = 0,0268	$P_4(T_1)-P_4(A_1)$ = 0,0264	$P_5(T_1)-P_5(A_1)$ = 0,0295
$P_1(G_1)-P_1(C_1)$ = 0,0041	$P_2(G_1)-P_2(C_1)$ = 0,005	$P_3(G_1)-P_3(C_1)$ = 0,0038	$P_4(G_1)-P_4(C_1)$ = 0,0043	$P_5(G_1)-P_5(C_1)$ = 0,0043
	$P_2(T_2)-P_2(A_2)$ = 0,0273	$P_3(T_2)-P_3(A_2)$ = 0,0272	$P_4(T_2)-P_4(A_2)$ = 0,0261	$P_5(T_2)-P_5(A_2)$ = 0,028
	$P_2(G_2)-P_2(C_2)$ = 0,0031	$P_3(G_2)-P_3(C_2)$ = 0,0044	$P_4(G_2)-P_4(C_2)$ = 0,0027	$P_5(G_2)-P_5(C_2)$ = 0,0032
		$P_3(T_3)-P_3(A_3)$ = 0,0285	$P_4(T_3)-P_4(A_3)$ = 0,0291	$P_5(T_3)-P_5(A_3)$ = 0,0275
		$P_3(G_3)-P_3(C_3)$ = 0,004	$P_4(G_3)-P_4(C_3)$ = 0,0058	$P_5(G_3)-P_5(C_3)$ = 0,0043
			$P_4(T_4)-P_4(A_4)$ = 0,0284	$P_5(T_4)-P_5(A_4)$ = 0,0263
			$P_4(G_4)-P_4(C_4)$	$P_5(G_4)-P_5(C_4)$

			= 0,0034	= 0,0035
				$P_5(T_5) - P_5(A_5)$ = 0,0261
				$P_5(G_5) - P_5(C_5)$ = 0,0051

Fig. 8. Levels of accuracy between values of collective probabilities (shown in Fig. 4) of complementary subgroups of tetra-groups of n-plets (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) for the sequence Homo sapiens chromosome 7 sequence, encode region ENm012, accession NT_086368, version NT_086368.3, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NT_086368.3. Numerical data are taken from Fig. 4.

The second Chargaff's parity rule is known in the literature as the Symmetry Principle. New kinds of approximate symmetries in long DNA-texts can be conditionally named as tetra-group symmetries. They expand scientific knowledge about Symmetry Principles in organization of long DNA-texts.

For long DNA-sequences of n-plets, the described tetra-group rules have a predictive power: knowing the collective probabilities of only two of 4 subgroups of one of the tetra-groups (for example, $P_1(A_1)$ and $P_1(C_1)$), one can predict approximate values of probabilities of other subgroups of this and other tetra-groups on the basis of the expressions (1-4).

Fig. 9 shows a joint representation of all three tetra-group rules described above: sectors of the same color contain approximately the same values of collective probabilities. Each of its rings corresponds to an appropriate length "n" of n-plets: the smallest ring corresponds to the case of doublets (in this case k = 1, 2); the next ring corresponds to the case of triplets (in this case k = 1, 2, 3), etc.




Fig. 9. The joint representation of the mentioned tetra-group rules. Sectors of the same color contain at each level approximately the same values of collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ in long DNA sequences.

Now let us turn to the second DNA-sequence with one million nucleotides, which was retrieved from Entrez Search Field of Genbank by the range operator 1000000:1000001[SLEN]: Homo sapiens chromosome 5 sequence, ENCODE region ENm002; accession NT_086358, version NT_086358.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NT_086358.1. Fig. 10 shows our results of the analysis of this long sequence from the standpoint of tetra-groups of its oligonucleotides (by analogy with data in Fig. 4).

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
-------------	----------	----------	---------	---------

$\Sigma_1 = 1000000$	$\Sigma_2 = 500000$	$\Sigma_3 = 333333$	$\Sigma_4 = 250000$	$\Sigma_5 = 200000$
F ₁ (A ₁)=283765 P ₁ (A ₁)=0,2838	F ₂ (A ₁)=142054 P ₂ (A ₁)=0,2841	F ₃ (A ₁)=94805 P ₃ (A ₁)=0,2844	F ₄ (A ₁)=70944 P ₄ (A ₁)=0,2838	F ₅ (A ₁)=56865 P ₅ (A ₁)=0,2843
F ₁ (T ₁)=270465 P ₁ (T ₁)=0,2705	F ₂ (T ₁)=135082 P ₂ (T ₁)=0,2702	F ₃ (T ₁)=90432 P ₃ (T ₁)=0,2713	F ₄ (T ₁)=67590 P ₄ (T ₁)=0,2704	F ₅ (T ₁)=53956 P ₅ (T ₁)=0,2698
F ₁ (C ₁)= 226576 P ₁ (C ₁)=0,2267	F ₂ (C ₁)=113153 P ₂ (C ₁)=0,2263	F ₃ (C ₁)=75409 P ₃ (C ₁)=0,2262	F ₄ (C ₁)=56607 P ₄ (C ₁)=0,2264	F ₅ (C ₁)=45425 P ₅ (C ₁)=0,227
F ₁ (G ₁)=219194 P ₁ (G ₁)=0,2192	F ₂ (G ₁)=109711 P ₂ (G ₁)=0,2194	F ₃ (G ₁)=72687 P ₃ (G ₁)=0,2181	F ₄ (G ₁)=54859 P ₄ (G ₁)=0,2194	F ₅ (G ₁)=43754 P ₅ (G ₁)=0,2188
	F ₂ (A ₂)=141711 P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2834	F ₃ (A ₂)=94612 P ₃ (A ₂)=0,2838	F ₄ (A ₂)=71111 P ₄ (A ₂)=0,2844	F ₅ (A ₂)=56643 P ₅ (A ₂)=0,2832
	F ₂ (T ₂)=135383 P ₂ (T ₂)=0,2708	F ₃ (T ₂)=89797 P ₃ (T ₂)=0,2694	F ₄ (T ₂)=67600 P ₄ (T ₂)=0,2704	F ₅ (T ₂)=54278 P ₅ (T ₂)=0,2714
	F ₂ (C ₂)=113423 P ₂ (C ₂)=0,2268	F ₃ (C ₂)=75549 P ₃ (C ₂)=0,2266	F ₄ (C ₂)=56616 P ₄ (C ₂)=0,2265	F ₅ (C ₂)= 45153 P ₅ (C ₂)=0,2258
	F ₂ (G ₂)=109483 P ₂ (G ₂)=0,2190	F ₃ (G ₂)=73375 P ₃ (G ₂)=0,2201	F ₄ (G ₂)=54673 P ₄ (G ₂)=0,2187	F ₅ (G ₂)= 43926 P ₅ (G ₂)=0,2196
		F ₃ (A ₃)=94347 P ₃ (A ₃)=0,2830	F ₄ (A ₃)=71110 P ₄ (A ₃)=0,2844	F ₅ (A ₃)=56493 P ₅ (A ₃)=0,2825
		F ₃ (T ₃)=90236 P ₃ (T ₃)=0,2707	F ₄ (T ₃)=67492 P ₄ (T ₃)=0,2700	F ₅ (T ₃)=54239 P ₅ (T ₃)=0,2712
		F ₃ (C ₃)=75618 P ₃ (C ₃)=0,2268	F ₄ (C ₃)=56546 P ₄ (C ₃)=0,2262	F ₅ (C ₃)=45223 P ₅ (C ₃)=0,2261
		F ₃ (G ₃)=73132 P ₃ (G ₃)=0,2194	F ₄ (G ₃)=54852 P ₄ (G ₃)=0,2194	F ₅ (G ₃)=44045 P ₅ (G ₃)=0,2202
			F ₄ (A ₄)=70600 P ₄ (A ₄)=0,2824	F ₅ (A ₄)=56932 P ₅ (A ₄)=0,28467
			F ₄ (T ₄)=67783 P ₄ (T ₄)=0,2711	F ₅ (T ₄)=54087 P ₅ (T ₄)=0,2704
			F ₄ (C ₄)=56807 P ₄ (C ₄)=0,2272	F ₅ (C ₄)=45309 P ₅ (C ₄)=0,2265
			F ₄ (G ₄)=54810 P ₄ (G ₄)=0,2192	F ₅ (G ₄)=43672 P ₅ (G ₄)=0,2184
				F ₅ (A ₅)=56832 P ₅ (A ₅)=0,2842
				F ₅ (T ₅)=53905 P ₅ (T ₅)=0,2695
				F ₅ (C ₅)=45466 P ₅ (C ₅)=0,2273
				F ₅ (G ₅)=43797 P ₅ (G ₅)=0,2190

Fig. 10. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$ and $F_n(G_k)$ and also collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $k \leq n$) of subgroups of tetra-groups for sequences of n -plets, which have the same letter in their position k , in the case of the following sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 5 sequence, 1000000 bp, encode region ENm002; accession NT_086358, version NT_086358.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NT_086358.1. Collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ are marked by red for a visual convenient of their comparison each with other.

One can see from data in Fig. 10 that they satisfy the three tetra-group rules by analogy with data in Fig. 4. Fig. 11 shows levels of accuracy between values of collective propabilities (shown in Fig. 10) of complemetary subgroups of tetra-groups of n-plets for this new long DNA-sequence. One can see that these levels of accuracy are approximately equal to the levels of accuracy in Fig. 8 for the previously considered sequence.

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1)-P_1(T_1) = 0,0133$	$P_2(A_1)-P_2(T_1) = 0,0139$	$P_3(A_1)-P_3(T_1) = 0,0131$	$P_4(A_1)-P_4(T_1) = 0,0134$	$P_5(A_1)-P_5(T_1) = 0,0145$
$P_1(C_1)-P_1(G_1) = 0,0075$	$P_2(C_1)-P_2(G_1) = 0,0069$	$P_3(C_1)-P_3(G_1) = 0,0081$	$P_4(C_1)-P_4(G_1) = 0,007$	$P_5(C_1)-P_5(G_1) = 0,0082$
	$P_2(A_2)-P_2(T_2) = 0,0126$	$P_3(A_2)-P_3(T_2) = 0,0144$	$P_4(A_2)-P_4(T_2) = 0,014$	$P_5(A_2)-P_5(T_2) = 0,0118$
	$P_2(C_2)-P_2(G_2) = 0,0078$	$P_3(C_2)-P_3(G_2) = 0,0065$	$P_4(C_2)-P_4(G_2) = 0,0078$	$P_5(C_2)-P_5(G_2) = 0,0062$
		$P_3(A_3)-P_3(T_3) = 0,0123$	$P_4(A_3)-P_4(T_3) = 0,0144$	$P_5(A_3)-P_5(T_3) = 0,0113$
		$P_3(C_3)-P_3(G_3) = 0,0074$	$P_4(C_3)-P_4(G_3) = 0,0068$	$P_5(C_3)-P_5(G_3) = 0,0059$
			$P_4(A_4)-P_4(T_4) = 0,0113$	$P_5(A_4)-P_5(T_4) = 0,0143$
			$P_4(C_4)-P_4(G_4) = 0,008$	$P_5(C_4)-P_5(G_4) = 0,0081$
				$P_5(A_5)-P_5(T_5) = 0,0147$
				$P_5(C_5)-P_5(G_5) = 0,0083$

Fig. 11. Levels of accuracy between values of collective propabilities (shown in Fig. 6) of complemetary subgroups of tetra-groups of n-plets (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) for the sequence Homo sapiens chromosome 5 sequence, 1000000 bp, encode region ENm002; accession NT_086358, version NT_086358.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NT_086358.1.

And what about the implementation of tetra-group rules for the whole human genome, which contains about 3 billion nucleotides? Fig. 12 shows data, which are taken from [Perez, 2013, Table 3] about individual frequencies of all 64 triplets in the whole human genome.

triplet	triplet frequency	triplet	triplet frequency	triplet	triplet frequency	triplet	triplet frequency
AAA	36381293	CAA	17927956	GAA	18678084	TAA	19721149
AAC	13794251	CAC	14214421	GAC	8938833	TAC	10755607
AAG	18894716	CAG	19176935	GAG	15939419	TAG	12240281
AAT	23634011	CAT	17423117	GAT	12658530	TAT	19568343
ACA	19073189	CCA	17444649	GCA	13635427	TCA	18565027
ACC	11007307	CCC	12428986	GCC	11268094	TCC	14614789
ACG	2372235	CCG	2606672	GCG	2247440	TCG	2087242

ACT	15251455	CCT	16835177	GCT	13252828	TCT	20990387
AGA	20948987	CGA	2085226	GGA	14619310	TGA	18562015
AGC	13242724	CGC	2244432	GGC	11258126	TGC	13649076
AGG	16810797	CGG	2604253	GGG	12446600	TGG	17480496
AGT	15266057	CGT	2379612	GGT	11026602	TGT	19152113
ATA	19548709	CTA	12217331	GTA	10766854	TTA	19750578
ATC	12650299	CTC	15942742	GTC	8955434	TTC	18708048
ATG	17409063	CTG	19195946	GTG	14252868	TTG	18005020
ATT	23669701	CTT	18944797	GTT	13852086	TTT	36530115

Fig. 12. Frequencies of 64 triplets in the whole human genome in accordance with data from [Perez, 2013, Table 3].

In accordance with these data, the human genome contains 947.803.867 triplets and 2.843.411.601 (=947803867*3) nucleotides. From the data in Fig. 12, one can calculate probabilities $P_1(A)$, $P_1(T)$, $P_1(C)$ and $P_1(G)$ of members of the tetra-group of nucleotides A, T, C, G and also collective probabilities of subgroups of three tetra-groups of triplets: 1) $P_3(A_1)$, $P_3(T_1)$, $P_3(C_1)$, $P_3(G_1)$; 2) $P_3(A_2)$, $P_3(T_2)$, $P_3(C_2)$, $P_3(G_2)$; 3) $P_3(A_3)$, $P_3(T_3)$, $P_3(C_3)$ and $P_3(G_3)$. Results of such calculations are represented in Fig. 13.

NUCLEOTIDES	TRIPLETS
$\Sigma_1 = 2843411601$	$\Sigma_3 = 947803867$
$P_1(A) = 0,295359$	$P_3(A_1) = 0,295372$
$P_1(T) = 0,295847$	$P_3(T_1) = 0,295821$
$P_1(C) = 0,204341$	$P_3(C_1) = 0,204338$
$P_1(G) = 0,204453$	$P_3(G_1) = 0,204469$
	$P_3(A_2) = 0,295364$
	$P_3(T_2) = 0,295841$
	$P_3(C_2) = 0,204347$
	$P_3(G_2) = 0,204448$
	$P_3(A_3) = 0,295341$
	$P_3(T_3) = 0,295879$
	$P_3(C_3) = 0,204339$
	$P_3(G_3) = 0,204441$

Fig. 13. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups of nucleotides and triplets in the case of the whole human genome. Initial data about frequencies of triplets are shown in Fig. 12 from [Perez, 2013, Table 3].

One can see in Fig. 13 the very high level of accuracy of compliance of the whole human genome with the tetra-group rules of these probabilities (up to the fourth decimal place in each of equalities $P_1(X) = P_3(X_1) = P_3(X_2) = P_3(X_3)$, where $X = A, T, C$ or G):

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1(A) &= P_3(A_1) = P_3(A_2) = P_3(A_3) \approx 0,2953 \\
P_1(T) &= P_3(T_1) = P_3(T_2) = P_3(T_3) \approx 0,2958 \\
P_1(C) &= P_3(C_1) = P_3(C_2) = P_3(C_3) \approx 0,2043 \\
P_1(G) &= P_3(G_1) = P_3(G_2) = P_3(G_3) \approx 0,2044
\end{aligned}$$


Is it accidental that the highest species of living organisms - the human organism - has a genome with such high accuracy of realization of tetra-group symmetries (and of compliance with tetra-group rules)? In the course of biological evolution, does the accuracy of the realization of tetra-group symmetries in the genomes of biological species increase up to the highest accuracy in the human genome?

Values of tetra-group probabilities and levels of accuracy of the realization of tetra-group symmetries in the genomes of different organisms can be used as a new criteria for formal rankings different species of living organisms. Will these rankings of biological species correspond to the stages of evolutionary development of biological species or to some other biological characteristics of species? These and other questions - arising in connection with the described tetra-group symmetries in long DNA-texts - are open at this stage of our knowledge and subject to study.

The author also analyzed the implementation of tetra-group rules in a representative set of other long DNA-sequences including the complete set of 24 human chromosomes (see below). Many of these sequences were taken from their lists in articles of other authors in order to avoid suspicion of a special choice of DNA-texts. The results of this analysis are presented in Appendixes 1-7. They show that all considered sequences satisfy the three tetra-group rules and demonstrate the existence of symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in these long DNA-texts.

4. DNA-alphabets, genetic binary oppositions and the tensor product of matrices

It is desirable to create a mathematical model of origin of the described tetra-group symmetries of probabilities of oligonucleotides in long DNA-sequences. A great discovery of twentieth century physics was the probabilistic nature of physical phenomena at atomic scales, described in quantum mechanics. Molecules of heredity DNA and RNA belong to the microworld of quantum mechanics and they should obey to principles of quantum mechanics. In this Section the author proposes a possible models, which is connected with principles and formalisms of quantum mechanics and quantum informatics.



№	Binary Symbols	C	A	G	T/U
1	0 ₁ – pyrimidines 1 ₁ – purines	0 ₁	1 ₁	1 ₁	0 ₁
2	0 ₂ – amino 1 ₂ – keto	0 ₂	0 ₂	1 ₂	1 ₂
3	0 ₃ – three hydrogen bonds; 1 ₃ – two hydrogen bonds	0 ₃	1 ₃	0 ₃	1 ₃

Fig. 14. Left: the four nitrogenous bases of DNA: adenine A, guanine G, cytosine C, and thymine T. Right: three binary sub-alphabets of the genetic alphabet on the basis of three pairs of binary-oppositional traits or indicators.

Science does not know why the nucleotide alphabet of DNA has been created by nature from just four letters (A, T, C, G), and why just these very simple

molecules were chosen for the DNA-alphabet (out of millions of possible molecules). But science knows [Fimmel, Danielli, Strüngmann, 2013; Petoukhov, 2008; Petoukhov, He, 2009; Stambuk, 1999] that these four molecules are interrelated by means of their symmetrical peculiarities into the united molecular ensemble with its three pairs of binary-oppositional traits or indicators (Fig. 14):

- (1) Two letters are purines (A and G), and the other two are pyrimidines (C and T). From the standpoint of these binary-oppositional traits one can denote $C = T = 0$, $A = G = 1$. From the standpoint of these traits, any of the DNA-sequences are represented by a corresponding binary sequence. For example, GCATGAAGT is represented by 101011110;
- (2) Two letters are amino-molecules (A and C) and the other two are keto-molecules (G and T). From the standpoint of these traits one can designate $A = C = 0$, $G = T = 1$. Correspondingly, the same sequence, GCATGAAGT, is represented by another binary sequence, 100110011;
- (3) The pairs of complementary letters, A-T and C-G, are linked by 2 and 3 hydrogen bonds, respectively. From the standpoint of these binary traits, one can designate $C = G = 0$, $A = T = 1$. Correspondingly, the same sequence, GCATGAAGT, is read as 001101101.

Accordingly, each of the DNA-sequences of nucleotides is the carrier of three parallel messages on three different binary languages. At the same time, these three types of binary representations form a common logic set on the basis of logic operation of modulo-2 addition denoted by the symbol \oplus : modulo-2 addition of any two such binary representations of the DNA-sequence coincides with the third binary representation of the same DNA-sequence: for example, $101011110 \oplus 100110011 = 001101101$. One can be reminded here of the rules of the bitwise modulo-2 addition: $0 \oplus 0 = 0$; $0 \oplus 1 = 1$; $1 \oplus 0 = 1$; $1 \oplus 1 = 0$. (The logic operation of modulo-2 addition is actively used in quantum informatics, which will be considered below in a connection with DNA-texts).

Taking into account the phenomenologic fact that each of DNA-letters C, A, T and G is uniquely defined by any two kinds of mentioned binary-oppositional indicators (Fig. 14), these genetic letters can be represented as corresponding pairs of binary symbols, for example, from the standpoint of two first binary-oppositional indicators. It is convenient for us for further description to show at the first position of each of letters its binary symbol from the second pair of binary-oppositional indicators (the indicator "amino or keto": $C=A=0$, $T=G=1$) and at the second positions of each of letters its binary symbol from the first pair of binary-oppositional indicators (the indicator "pyrimidine or purine": $C=T=0$, $A=G=1$). In this case the letter C is represented by the binary symbol 0_20_1 (that is as 2-bit binary number), A – by the symbol 0_21_1 , T – by the symbol 1_20_1 , G – by the symbol 1_21_1 . Using these representations of separate letters, each of 16 doublets is represented as concatenation of the binary symbols of its letters (that is as 4-bit binary number): for example, the doublet CC is represented as 4-bit binary number $0_20_10_20_1$, the doublet CA – as 4-bit binary number $0_20_10_21_1$, etc. By analogy, each of 64 triplets is represented as concatenation of the binary symbols of its letters (that is as 6-bit binary number): for example, the triplet CCC is represented as 6-bit binary number $0_20_10_20_10_20_1$, the triplet CCA – as 6-bit binary number $0_20_10_20_10_21_1$, etc. In general, each of n-plets is represented as

Here one can remind a historical fact that the same principle of constructing square tables with quite similar binary numerations of their columns and rows was used else in the Ancient Chinese book «I-Ching», which was written a few thousand years ago and which is the most ancient historical example of systematic usage of binary numbers. The famous table of 64 hexagrams in Fu-Xi's order exists there, which has many deep analogies with the genetic matrix of 64 triplets [Hu, Petoukhov, Petukhova, 2017; Petoukhov, 1999, 2008, 2017; Petoukhov, He, 2009]. The ancient Chinese claimed that this table is the universal archetype of nature. They knew nothing about the genetic code, but the genetic code is constructed in line with the "I-Ching".

For our article about tetra-group symmetries in long DNA-texts it is more important the following: these 3 separate genetic tables form the joint tensor (!) family of matrices since they are interrelated by the known operation of the tensor (or Kronecker) product of matrices. By definition, under tensor multiplication of two matrices, each of entries of the first matrix is multiplied with the whole second matrix [Bellman, 1960]. The second tensor power of the (2*2)-matrix [C, A; T, G] of 4 DNA-letters gives automatically the matrix of 16 doublets; the third tensor power of the matrix of the same matrix of 4 DNA-letters gives the matrix of 64 triplets with the same strict arrangement of entries (Fig. 16).

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline C & A \\ \hline T & G \\ \hline \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline C & A \\ \hline T & G \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline C^* & C & A & \\ \hline T & T & G & \\ \hline , & A^* & & \\ \hline T & T & G & \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline CC & CA & AC & AA \\ \hline CT & CG & AT & AG \\ \hline TC & TA & GC & GA \\ \hline TT & TG & GT & GG \\ \hline \end{array} \\
 \\
 \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline C & A \\ \hline T & G \\ \hline \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline C & A \\ \hline T & G \\ \hline \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline C & A \\ \hline T & G \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline CCC & CCA & CAC & CAA & ACC & ACA & AAC & AAA \\ \hline CCT & CCG & CAT & CAG & ACT & ACG & AAT & AAG \\ \hline CTC & CTA & CGC & CGA & ATC & ATA & AGC & AGA \\ \hline CTT & CTG & CGT & CGG & ATT & ATG & AGT & AGG \\ \hline TCC & TCA & TAC & TAA & GCC & GCA & GAC & GAA \\ \hline TCT & TCG & TAT & TAG & GCT & GCG & GAT & GAG \\ \hline TTC & TTA & TGC & TGA & GTC & GTA & GGC & GGA \\ \hline TTT & TTG & TGT & TGG & GTT & GTG & GGT & GGG \\ \hline \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Fig. 16. The tensor family of genetic matrices [C, A; T, G]⁽ⁿ⁾ (here tensor power n = 1, 2, 3) of DNA-alphabets of 4 nucleotides, 16 doublets and 64 triplets. The symbol \otimes means the tensor product.

One can see from a comparison of Fig. 16 with Fig. 15 that the tensor powers of the genetic matrix of 4 letters [C, A; T, G] produce - in a new way - the same square tables of the DNA-alphabets with the same strict arrangements of alphabetic components without any mention about binary-oppositional traits of genetic letters C, A, T and G, which were used to construct the square tables in Fig. 15.

So, the structural organization of the system of DNA-alphabets is connected with the algebraic operation of the tensor product. It is important since the operation of the tensor product is well known in mathematics, physics

and informatics, where it gives a way of putting vector spaces together to form larger vector spaces. The following quotation speaks about the meaning of the tensor product: «*This construction is crucial to understanding the quantum mechanics of multiparticle systems*» [Nielsen, Chuang, 2010, p. 71]. For us the most interesting is that the tensor product is one of basis instruments in quantum informatics. Notions and formalisms of quantum informatics are used in the next Section to simulate phenomena of the tetra-group symmetries in long DNA-texts and for creation of new quantum-informational approaches in mathematical genetics.

5. The quantum-information model to explain and predict symmetries of collective probabilities in tetra-groups of long DNA-texts

Are there systems in nature or in mathematical natural science, for which the rules of tetra-group symmetry of probabilities are fulfilled, similar to those observed in long DNA texts? Yes. Below we show that, in the field of quantum informatics, $2n$ -qubit systems in so called separable pure states exist, for which similar rules are fulfilled precisely (in contrast to their approximate implementation in long DNA-texts). Correspondingly the author proposes using these quantum states to model phenomena of tetra-group symmetries of probabilities in long DNA-texts. It should be mentioned that general thoughts and hypotheses about using principles of quantum informatics in living organisms are discussed in interesting publications of many authors [Igamberdiev, 1993, 2012; Matsuno, 1999, 2003, 2015; Matsuno, Paton, 2000; Simeonov, 2013; and others]. For example, the book [Penrose, 1996], concerning the topic of biological quantum computers, appeals to the fact that tubulin proteins exist in two different configurations, and they can switch between these configurations by analogy with triggers to provide bio-computer functions. In contrast to this “protein standpoint”, our model approach testifies in favor that already the genetic level, which is the deepest level of living organisms, is connected with the principles of quantum computers.

DNA- and RNA-molecules belong to the microworld of quantum mechanics and perform the information function. By this reason their informational features should be studied on the basis of quantum informatics. Below the author proposes a possible model approach to DNA-texts from the standpoint of quantum informatics. This Section shows how notions and formalisms of quantum informatics can be introduced into the field of genetic informatics in connection with described tetra-group symmetries and rules of long DNA-texts. Here we use typical notions, denotations and formalisms of quantum informatics from the fundamental book [Nielsen, Chuang, 2010]. In particular we use the notion of quantum bits (or qubits) for model representations of elements of single stranded DNA. We also use ordinary Dirac notations for vectors and operations with them:

- $|\psi\rangle$ means a vector, which is known also as a ket-vector;
- $\langle\psi|$ means a vector, which is dual to $|\psi\rangle$ and known as a bra-vector;
- $\langle\varphi|\psi\rangle$ means scalar (or inner) product between the vectors $\langle\varphi|$ and $|\psi\rangle$;
- $|\varphi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle$ means the tensor product of $|\varphi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$;
- $|\varphi\rangle|\psi\rangle$ is abbreviated notation for tensor product of $|\varphi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$;
- M^T – transpose of the M matrix;

- $\langle \varphi | M | \psi \rangle$ scalar product between $|\varphi\rangle$ and $M|\psi\rangle$.

In quantum informatics, such vector spaces H are considered, which are equipped with the scalar (or inner) product (so called Hilbert spaces). Let H_1 and H_2 be quantum mechanical state spaces, that is, finite dimensional Hilbert spaces with orthonormal basis states $|\alpha_i\rangle$ and $|\beta_j\rangle$, where $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$. By a postulate of quantum mechanics, the state space of the composite system is given by the tensor product $H_1 \otimes H_2$ with base states $\{|\alpha_i\rangle \otimes |\beta_j\rangle\}$, or in more compact notation $\{|\alpha_i \beta_j\rangle\}$. *“The state space of a composite physical systems is the tensor product of the state spaces of the component physical systems. Moreover, if we have systems numbered 1 through n and system number i is prepared in the state ρ_i , then the joint state of the total system is $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \otimes \dots \rho_n$ ”* [Nielsen, Chuang, 2010, p. 102]. If a quantum state can be represented as a vector of a Hilbert space, such state is called a pure quantum state. If a pure state $|\psi\rangle \in H_1 \otimes H_2$ can be written in the form $|\psi\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle$, where $|\psi_i\rangle$ is a pure state of the i -th subsystem, it is said to be separable. Otherwise it is called entangled.

As known, a quantum bit (or qubit) is a unit of quantum information. For two-level quantum systems used as qubits, the state $|0\rangle$ is identified with the vector $(1, 0)$, and similarly the state $|1\rangle$ with the vector $(0, 1)$. Two possible states for a qubit are the states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, which correspond to the states of 0 and 1 for a classical bit. The difference between bits and qubits is that a qubit can be in a state other than $|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle$. It is possible to form linear combinations of states, often called superpositions (6):

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle, \quad (6)$$

The symbol $|\psi\rangle$ means a state of a qubit. The numbers α and β can be complex numbers but in our case it is enough to think of them as real numbers. Put another way, the state of a qubit is a vector in a two-dimensional vector space. The standard notation for states in quantum mechanics is the Dirac notation “ $| \rangle$ ”. The special states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ are known as computational basis states, and form an orthonormal basis for this vector space [Nielsen, Chuang, 2010, p. 13]. As known, we cannot examine a qubit to determine its quantum state, that is, the values of α and β . Instead, quantum mechanics tells us that we can only acquire much more restricted information about the quantum state. When we measure a qubit we get either the result 0, with probability $|\alpha|^2$, or the result 1, with probability $|\beta|^2$. Naturally, $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$, since the probabilities must sum to one. Geometrically, we can interpret this as the condition that the qubit’s state be normalized to length 1. Values α and β are called amplitudes of probabilities. Thus, in general a qubit’s state is a unit vector in a two-dimensional complex vector space. Let us emphasize again that when a qubit is measured, it only ever gives “0” or “1” as the measurement result – probabilistically.

In more general case, a system of “ n ” qubits is considered in quantum informatics. The computational basis states of this system are written in the form $|x_1 x_2 \dots x_n\rangle$; a quantum state of such a system is specified by 2^n amplitudes [Nielsen, Chuang, 2010, p. 17]. In our model approach we interpret DNA-texts as quantum systems of many qubits.

In technical devices of quantum informatics, a qubit can be represented by many ways on the basis of different pairs of binary-oppositional indicators:

for example, by two electronic levels in an atom; by two kinds of polarization of a single photon (vertical polarization and horizontal polarization), etc.

In our model approach for genetic informatics, we represent qubits on the basis of different pairs of binary-oppositional indicators of adenine A, guanine G, cytosine C, and thymine T, which were shown above in Fig. 14. As we noted, each of these DNA bases can be uniquely defined by any two kinds of mentioned binary-oppositional indicators (Fig. 14). By analogy with the previous Section, to characterized each of the DNA-letters C, A, T, G we will use the first kind of indicators («pyrimidine or purine») and the second kind of indicators («three hydrogen bonds or two hydrogen bonds»). On the basis of each of these pairs of binary-oppositional indicators, a corresponding two-level quantum system can be formally introduced with a definition of its appropriate qubit (those qubits, which are introduced in genetic informatics on the basis of binary-oppositional indicators of genetic molecules, can be conditionally called «genetic qubits» or briefly «g-qubits»).

Let us introduce, firstly, the notion of a genetic qubit as a two-level quantum system on the basis of the indicators «pyrimidine or purine»: in this quantum system one level corresponds to the indicator «pyrimidine» and the second level – to the oppositional indicator «purine». In other words, such genetic qubit is represented by these oppositional indicators and the state of such qubit is a vector in its appropriate two-dimensional Hilbert space H_1 . One can assume that the state $|0\rangle$ corresponds to the state «pyrimidine», and the state $|1\rangle$ - to the state «purine». By analogy with the expression (6), a state of such genetic qubit can be expressed by the expression (7), where α_0 and α_1 are amplitudes of probabilities of these computational basis states “pyrimidine” and “purine”:

$$|\psi_1\rangle = \alpha_0 |0\rangle + \alpha_1 |1\rangle, \quad \alpha_0^2 + \alpha_1^2 = 1 \quad (7)$$

In a particular case, a qubit (7) can represent a pure state of a quantum system in a form of a sequence, which consists of pyrimidines and purines.

Secondly, let us introduce the notion of another genetic qubit as a two-level quantum system on the basis of the indicators «three hydrogen bonds or two hydrogen bonds»: in this quantum system one level corresponds to the indicator «three hydrogen bonds» and the second level – to the indicator «two hydrogen bonds». In other words, such genetic qubit is represented by these two indicators and the state of such qubit is a vector in its appropriate 2-dimensional Hilbert space H_2 . One can assume that the state $|0\rangle$ corresponds to the state «three hydrogen bonds», and the state $|1\rangle$ - to the state «two hydrogen bonds». By analogy with the expression (6), a state of such genetic qubit can be expressed by the expression (8), where β_0 and β_1 are amplitudes of probabilities of these computational basis states:

$$|\psi_2\rangle = \beta_0 |0\rangle + \beta_1 |1\rangle, \quad \beta_0^2 + \beta_1^2 = 1 \quad (8)$$

In a particular case, a qubit (8) can represent a pure state of a quantum system in a form of a sequence, which consists of elements with three and two hydrogen bonds.

So we have two different 2-dimensional Hilbert spaces H_1 and H_2 , to which pure states of genetic qubits (7) and (8) belong correspondingly.

In our genetic case, the tensor product of the two-dimensional Hilbert space $H_1 \otimes H_2$ gives one four-dimensional Hilbert space with the following separable pure state of a quantum 2-qubit system:

$$|\psi_{12}\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle = (\alpha_0 |0\rangle + \alpha_1 |1\rangle) \otimes (\beta_0 |0\rangle + \beta_1 |1\rangle) = \alpha_0\beta_0 |00\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1 |01\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0 |10\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1 |11\rangle \quad (9)$$

Such 2-qubit system has four computational basis states denoted $|00\rangle$, $|01\rangle$, $|10\rangle$, $|11\rangle$. Amplitudes $\alpha_0\beta_0$, $\alpha_0\beta_1$, $\alpha_1\beta_0$ and $\alpha_1\beta_1$ of probabilities satisfy the normalization condition: $(\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2 = 1$. One can note that two kinds of indicators “pyrimidine-purine” and «three hydrogen bonds or two hydrogen bonds» for separate nitrogenous bases C, T, G, A define the following correspondence:

- Cytosine C corresponds to the computational basis state $|00\rangle$ of the 2-qubit system (9) since cytosine C is characterized by the indicator “pyrimidine”, which is the computational basis state $|0\rangle$ in the first qubit (7), and also by the indicator “three hydrogen bonds”, which is the computational basis state $|0\rangle$ in the second qubit (8). In the four-dimensional Hilbert space $H_1 \otimes H_2$, these computational basis states $|0\rangle$ and $|0\rangle$ of two genetic qubits (7) and (8) define the computational basis state $|00\rangle$ of the 2-qubit system (9);
- Thymine T corresponds to the computational basis state $|01\rangle$ of the 2-qubit system (9) since thymine T is characterized by the indicator “pyrimidine”, which is the computational basis state $|0\rangle$ in the first qubit (7), and also by the indicator “two hydrogen bonds”, which is the computational basis state $|1\rangle$ in the second qubit (8). In the four-dimensional Hilbert space $H_1 \otimes H_2$, these computational basis states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ of two genetic qubits (7) and (8) define the computational basis state $|01\rangle$ of the 2-qubit system (9);
- Guanine G corresponds to the computational basis state $|10\rangle$ of the 2-qubit system (9) since guanine G is characterized by the indicator “purine”, which is the computational basis state $|1\rangle$ in the first qubit (7), and also by the indicator “three hydrogen bonds”, which is the computational basis state $|0\rangle$ in the second qubit (8). In the four-dimensional Hilbert space $H_1 \otimes H_2$, these computational basis states $|1\rangle$ and $|0\rangle$ of two genetic qubits (7) and (8) define the computational basis state $|10\rangle$ of the 2-qubit system (9).
- Adenine A corresponds to the computational basis state $|11\rangle$ of the 2-qubit system (9) since adenine A is characterized by the indicator “purine”, which is the computational basis state $|1\rangle$ in the first qubit (7), and also by the indicator “two hydrogen bonds”, which is the computational basis state $|1\rangle$ in the second qubit (8). In the four-dimensional Hilbert space $H_1 \otimes H_2$, these computational basis states $|1\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ of two genetic qubits (7) and (8) define the computational basis state $|11\rangle$ of the 2-qubit system (9).

By this way, members of the tetra-group of nucleotides C, T, G and A get their representations as computational basis states of a 2-qubit system (9) in a four-dimensional Hilbert space $H_1 \otimes H_2$ with conditional denotations $|C\rangle = |00\rangle$, $|T\rangle = |01\rangle$, $|G\rangle = |10\rangle$ and $|A\rangle = |11\rangle$. (Below we will show that these computational basis states can be connected with pairs of photons of different frequencies, radiated by molecular elements of these dual indicators). Correspondingly the expression (9) can be rewritten in the following conditional form (10):

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{12}\rangle &= \alpha_0\beta_0 |00\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1 |01\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0 |10\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1 |11\rangle = \\ &= \alpha_0\beta_0 |C\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1 |T\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0 |G\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1 |A\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

We call the 2-qubit quantum system with its separable pure state (10) as a «monoplet CTGA-system». In a particular case, the state (10) of a 2-qubits monoplet CTGA-system can represent a separable pure state of a quantum system, which is a sequence of elements, where each of elements is pyrimidine or purin and simultaneously it has three or two hydrogen bonds by analogy with nucleotides. From (10), the probabilities of computational basis states of separate nucleotides C, T, G and A are equal to the following:

$$P_1(C_1) = (\alpha_0\beta_0)^2, P_1(T_1) = (\alpha_0\beta_1)^2, P_1(G_1) = (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2, P_1(A_1) = (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2 \quad (11)$$

They should satisfy the normalization condition (12):

$$(\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2 = 1 \quad (12)$$

To consider the case not single monoplets C, T, G, A but doublets CC, CT, CG, CA, TC, TT, TG, TA, GC, GT, GG, GA, AC, AT, AG, AA one should accordingly expand the 4-dimensional Hilbert space $H_1 \otimes H_2$ to the 16-dimensional Hilbert space $H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes H_3 \otimes H_4$. Here the spaces H_1 and H_2 are related with the first letters of doublets and the spaces H_3 and H_4 are related with the second letters of doublets. These spaces H_3 and H_4 are defined in a close analogy with the spaces H_1 and H_2 . Let us explain this.

By analogy with the expressions (6, 7), each of four letters C, T, G, A at the second position of doublets is interpreted firstly as a two-level quantum system on the basis of the oppositional indicators «pyrimidine or purine»: in this quantum system one level corresponds to the indicator «pyrimidine» and the second level – to the oppositional indicator «purine». In other words, a new genetic qubit arises on the basis of these oppositional indicators for the second letters inside 16 doublets; the state of such qubit is a vector in its appropriate two-dimensional Hilbert space H_3 . One can assume that the state $|0\rangle$ corresponds to the state «pyrimidine», and the state $|1\rangle$ - to the state «purine». By analogy with the expression (7), a state of such genetic qubit can be denoted by the expression (13), where α_2 and α_3 are amplitudes of probabilities of these computational basis states “pyrimidine” and “purine” for the second letters in 16 doublets:

$$|\psi_3\rangle = \alpha_2 |0\rangle + \alpha_3 |1\rangle, \quad \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2 = 1 \quad (13)$$

Secondly, for the second letters of 16 doublets, the notion of another genetic qubit as a two-level quantum system on the basis of the oppositional indicators «three hydrogen bonds or two hydrogen bonds» is defined by analogy with the expression (8). In this quantum system, one level corresponds to the indicator «three hydrogen bonds» and the second level – to the indicator «two hydrogen bonds». In other words, such genetic qubit is represented by these two indicators and the state of such qubit is a vector in its appropriate 2-dimensional Hilbert space H_4 . One can assume that the state $|0\rangle$ corresponds to the state «three hydrogen bonds», and the state $|1\rangle$ - to the state «two hydrogen bonds». By analogy with the expression (8), a state of such genetic qubit can be expressed by the expression (14), where β_2 and β_3 are amplitudes of probabilities of these computational basis states:

$$|\psi_4\rangle = \beta_2 |0\rangle + \beta_3 |1\rangle, \quad \beta_2^2 + \beta_3^2 = 1 \quad (14)$$

In such way, in the case of long DNA-texts of doublets, we have two additional 2-dimensional Hilbert spaces H_3 and H_4 , to which pure states of genetic qubits (13) and (14) belong correspondingly. The tensor product of the two-dimensional Hilbert space $H_3 \otimes H_4$ gives one four-dimensional Hilbert space with the following separable pure state of a quantum 2-qubit system for the second letters inside 16 doublets:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{34}\rangle = |\psi_3\rangle \otimes |\psi_4\rangle = (\alpha_2 |0\rangle + \alpha_3 |1\rangle) \otimes (\beta_2 |0\rangle + \beta_3 |1\rangle) = \\ \alpha_2\beta_2 |00\rangle + \alpha_2\beta_3 |01\rangle + \alpha_3\beta_2 |10\rangle + \alpha_3\beta_3 |11\rangle = \\ \alpha_2\beta_2 |C\rangle + \alpha_2\beta_3 |T\rangle + \alpha_3\beta_2 |G\rangle + \alpha_3\beta_3 |A\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Such new 2-qubit system has four computational basis states denoted $|00\rangle$, $|01\rangle$, $|10\rangle$, $|11\rangle$. By analogy with the case of the Hilbert space space $H_1 \otimes H_2$ for the first letters of doublets with conditional denotations $|C\rangle = |00\rangle$, $|T\rangle = |01\rangle$, $|G\rangle = |10\rangle$ and $|A\rangle = |11\rangle$ (see the expression (10)), in the Hilbert space $H_3 \otimes H_4$ similar denotations are used for 2-qubit systems of the second letters of doublets: $|C\rangle = |00\rangle$, $|T\rangle = |01\rangle$, $|G\rangle = |10\rangle$ and $|A\rangle = |11\rangle$. Amplitudes $\alpha_2\beta_2$, $\alpha_2\beta_3$, $\alpha_3\beta_2$ and $\alpha_3\beta_3$ of probabilities in (15) satisfy the normalization condition (16):

$$(\alpha_2\beta_2)^2 + (\alpha_2\beta_3)^2 + (\alpha_3\beta_2)^2 + (\alpha_3\beta_3)^2 = 1. \quad (16)$$

In the 16-dimensional Hilbert space $H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes H_3 \otimes H_4$ for the case of 16 doublets, we have in our model approach the following 16 computational basis states with their appropriate amplitudes of probabilities in a long DNA-text:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{12}\rangle \otimes |\psi_{34}\rangle = \\ \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2 |CC\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_3 |CT\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_2 |CG\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_3 |CA\rangle + \\ \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_2 |TC\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_3 |TT\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_2 |TG\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_3 |TA\rangle + \\ \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2 |GC\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_3 |GT\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_2 |GG\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_3 |GA\rangle + \\ \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_2 |AC\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_3 |AT\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_2 |AG\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_3 |AA\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

In the state (17) of a 4-qubit “doublet CTGA-system”, 16 computational basis states are represented by 16 doublets: $|0000\rangle = |CC\rangle$, $|0001\rangle = |CT\rangle$, $|0010\rangle = |CG\rangle$, $|0011\rangle = |CA\rangle$, $|0100\rangle = |TC\rangle$, $|0101\rangle = |TT\rangle$, $|0110\rangle = |TG\rangle$,

$|0111\rangle=|TA\rangle$, $|1000\rangle=|GC\rangle$, $|1001\rangle=|GT\rangle$, $|1010\rangle=|GG\rangle$, $|1011\rangle=|GA\rangle$,
 $|1100\rangle=|AC\rangle$, $|1101\rangle=|AT\rangle$, $|1110\rangle=|AG\rangle$, $|1111\rangle=|AA\rangle$. In our model approach, these 16 computational basis states are interpreted as representations of appropriate 16 genetic doublets.

From the expression (17), we have the following collective probability $P_2(C_1)$ of all 4 doublets with the first letter C in them (they are collected in the first row of this expression):

$$P_2(C_1) = (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_3)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_2)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_3)^2 = (\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 * \{(\alpha_2\beta_2)^2 + (\alpha_2\beta_3)^2 + (\alpha_3\beta_2)^2 + (\alpha_3\beta_3)^2\} = (\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 = P_1(C) \quad (18)$$

Here the sum in curly brackets is equal to 1 according to the normalization condition (16). The expression (18) means that the collective probability $P_2(C_1)$ of 4 doublets CC, CT, CG and CA is equal to the individual probability $P_1(C)$ of the letter C in this quantum-informational model of collective and individual probabilities inside long DNA-texts. From the expression (17), similar calculations of collective probabilities $P_2(T_1)$, $P_2(G_1)$ and $P_2(A_1)$ of doublets with the first letters T, G, A in them give analogical results (19) of their equality to individual probabilities of letters T, G and A:

$$P_2(T_1) = P_1(T), \quad P_2(G_1) = P_1(G), \quad P_2(A_1) = P_1(A) \quad (19)$$

These model results correspond to phenomenologic facts reflected in the the first rule of tetra-group symmetries in long DNA-texts (see Section 3 above).

The expression (17) allows a calculation of the collective probability $P_2(C_2)$ of all 4 doublets with the second letter C in them:

$$P_2(C_2) = (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_2)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_2)^2 = (\alpha_2\beta_2)^2 * \{(\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2\} = (\alpha_2\beta_2)^2 = P_1(C) \quad (20)$$

Here the sum in curly brackets is equal to 1 according to the normalization condition (12). The expression (20) means that the collective probability $P_2(C_2)$ of all doublets with the second letter C (that is, CC, TC, GC, AC) is also equal to the individual probability $P_1(C)$ of the letter C. From the expression (17), similar calculations of collective probabilities $P_2(T_2)$, $P_2(G_2)$ and $P_2(A_2)$ of doublets with the second letters T, G, A in them give analogical results (21) of their equality to individual probabilities of letters T, G and A:

$$P_2(T_2) = P_1(T), \quad P_2(G_2) = P_1(G), \quad P_2(A_2) = P_1(A) \quad (21)$$

These model results correspond to phenomenologic facts reflected in the the second rule of tetra-group symmetries in long DNA-texts (see Section 3 above).

To consider the case of 64 triplets one should accordingly expand the 16-dimensional Hilbert space $H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes H_3 \otimes H_4$ to the 64-dimensional Hilbert space $H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes H_3 \otimes H_4 \otimes H_5 \otimes H_6$. Here the spaces H_1 and H_2 are related with the first letters of doublets, the spaces H_3 and H_4 are related with the second letters of doublets and the additional spaces H_5 and H_6 are related with the third letters of triplets. These additional spaces H_5 and H_6 are defined in a close analogy with the

spaces H_1, H_2, H_3 and H_4 . Repeating for the spaces H_5 and H_6 those steps, which were made above to define the Hilbert spaces H_3 and H_4 for the second letters of doublets, we get for the third letter of triplets the following expressions:

$$|\psi_5\rangle = \alpha_4 |0\rangle + \alpha_5 |1\rangle, \quad \alpha_4^2 + \alpha_5^2 = 1 \quad (22)$$

$$|\psi_6\rangle = \beta_4 |0\rangle + \beta_5 |1\rangle, \quad \beta_4^2 + \beta_5^2 = 1 \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{56}\rangle &= |\psi_5\rangle \otimes |\psi_6\rangle = (\alpha_4 |0\rangle + \alpha_5 |1\rangle) \otimes (\beta_4 |0\rangle + \beta_5 |1\rangle) = \\ &= \alpha_4\beta_4 |00\rangle + \alpha_4\beta_5 |01\rangle + \alpha_5\beta_4 |10\rangle + \alpha_5\beta_5 |11\rangle = \\ &= \alpha_4\beta_4 |C\rangle + \alpha_4\beta_5 |T\rangle + \alpha_5\beta_4 |G\rangle + \alpha_5\beta_5 |A\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$(\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_4\beta_5)^2 + (\alpha_5\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_5\beta_5)^2 = 1 \quad (25)$$

Here we again use the denotations: $|C\rangle=|00\rangle$, $|T\rangle=|01\rangle$, $|G\rangle=|10\rangle$ and $|A\rangle=|11\rangle$ for the third letters of triplets in the 4-dimensional Hilbert space $H_5 \otimes H_6$.

In the 64-dimensional Hilbert space $H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes H_3 \otimes H_4 \otimes H_5 \otimes H_6$ for the case of 64 triplets, we have the following 64 computational basis states with their appropriate amplitudes of probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned} &|\psi_{12}\rangle \otimes |\psi_{34}\rangle \otimes |\psi_{56}\rangle = \\ &= \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_4 |CCC\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_5 |CCT\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_4 |CCG\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_5 |CCA\rangle \\ &+ \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_4 |CTC\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_5 |CTT\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_4 |CTG\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_5 |CTA\rangle \\ &+ \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_4 |CGC\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_5 |CGT\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_4 |CGG\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_5 |CGA\rangle \\ &+ \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_4 |CAC\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_5 |CAT\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_4 |CAG\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_5 |CAA\rangle \\ &+ \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_4 |TCC\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_5 |TCT\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_4 |TCG\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_5 |TCA\rangle \\ &+ \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_4 |TTC\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_5 |TTT\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_4 |TTG\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_5 |TTA\rangle \\ &+ \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_4 |TGC\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_5 |TGT\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_4 |TGG\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_5 |TGA\rangle \\ &+ \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_4 |TAC\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_5 |TAT\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_4 |TAG\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_5 |TAA\rangle \\ &+ \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_4 |GCC\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_5 |GCT\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_4 |GCG\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_5 |GCA\rangle \\ &+ \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_4 |GTC\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_5 |GTT\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_4 |GTG\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_5 |GTA\rangle \\ &+ \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_4 |GGC\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_5 |GGT\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_4 |GGG\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_5 |GGA\rangle \\ &+ \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_4 |GAC\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_5 |GAT\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_4 |GAG\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_5 |GAA\rangle \\ &+ \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_4 |ACC\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_5 |ACT\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_4 |ACG\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_5 |ACA\rangle \\ &+ \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_4 |ATC\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_5 |ATT\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_4 |ATG\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_5 |ATA\rangle \\ &+ \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_4 |AGC\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_5 |AGT\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_4 |AGG\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_5 |AGA\rangle \\ &+ \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_4 |AAC\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_5 |AAT\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_4 |AAG\rangle + \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_5 |AAA\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

In the state (26) of a 6-qubit “triplet CTGA-system”, 64 computational basis states represent 64 triplets in our model approach:

$$\begin{aligned} |000000\rangle &= |CCC\rangle, |000001\rangle = |CCT\rangle, |000010\rangle = |CCG\rangle, |000011\rangle = |CCA\rangle, \\ |000100\rangle &= |CTC\rangle, |000101\rangle = |CTT\rangle, |000110\rangle = |CTG\rangle, |000111\rangle = |CTA\rangle, \\ |001000\rangle &= |CGC\rangle, |001001\rangle = |CGT\rangle, |001010\rangle = |CGG\rangle, |001011\rangle = |CGA\rangle, \\ |001100\rangle &= |CAC\rangle, |001101\rangle = |CAT\rangle, |001110\rangle = |CAG\rangle, |001111\rangle = |CAA\rangle, \\ |010000\rangle &= |TCC\rangle, |010001\rangle = |TCT\rangle, |010010\rangle = |TCG\rangle, |010011\rangle = |TCA\rangle, \\ |010100\rangle &= |TTC\rangle, |010101\rangle = |TTT\rangle, |010110\rangle = |TTG\rangle, |010111\rangle = |TTA\rangle, \\ |011000\rangle &= |TGC\rangle, |011001\rangle = |TGT\rangle, |011010\rangle = |TGG\rangle, |011011\rangle = |TGA\rangle, \\ |011100\rangle &= |TAC\rangle, |011101\rangle = |TAT\rangle, |011110\rangle = |TAG\rangle, |011111\rangle = |TAA\rangle, \\ |100000\rangle &= |GCC\rangle, |100001\rangle = |GCT\rangle, |100010\rangle = |GCG\rangle, |100011\rangle = |GCA\rangle, \\ |100100\rangle &= |GTC\rangle, |100101\rangle = |GTT\rangle, |100110\rangle = |GTG\rangle, |100111\rangle = |GTA\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|101000\rangle &= |GGC\rangle, |101001\rangle = |GGT\rangle, |101010\rangle = |GGG\rangle, |101011\rangle = |GGA\rangle, \\
|101100\rangle &= |GAC\rangle, |101101\rangle = |GAT\rangle, |101110\rangle = |GAG\rangle, |101111\rangle = |GAA\rangle, \\
|110000\rangle &= |ACC\rangle, |110001\rangle = |ACT\rangle, |110010\rangle = |ACG\rangle, |110011\rangle = |ACA\rangle, \\
|110100\rangle &= |ATC\rangle, |110101\rangle = |ATT\rangle, |110110\rangle = |ATG\rangle, |110111\rangle = |ATA\rangle, \\
|111000\rangle &= |AGC\rangle, |111001\rangle = |AGT\rangle, |111010\rangle = |AGG\rangle, |111011\rangle = |AGA\rangle, \\
|111100\rangle &= |AAC\rangle, |111101\rangle = |AAT\rangle, |111110\rangle = |AAG\rangle, |111111\rangle = |AAA\rangle. \quad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

From the expression (26), we have the following collective probability $P_3(C_1)$ of all 16 triplets with the first letter C in them (they are collected in the first 4 rows of this expression):

$$\begin{aligned}
P_3(C_1) &= (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_5)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_5)^2 + \\
&+ (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_5)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_5)^2 \\
&+ (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_5)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_5)^2 \\
&+ (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_5)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_5)^2 = \\
&= (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2)^2 * \{(\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_4\beta_5)^2 + (\alpha_5\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_5\beta_5)^2\} + \\
&+ (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_3)^2 * \{(\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_4\beta_5)^2 + (\alpha_5\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_5\beta_5)^2\} + \\
&+ (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_2)^2 * \{(\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_4\beta_5)^2 + (\alpha_5\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_5\beta_5)^2\} + \\
&+ (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_3)^2 * \{(\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_4\beta_5)^2 + (\alpha_5\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_5\beta_5)^2\} = \\
&= (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_3)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_2)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_3)^2 = \\
&= (\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 * \{(\alpha_2\beta_2)^2 + (\alpha_2\beta_3)^2 + (\alpha_3\beta_2)^2 + (\alpha_3\beta_3)^2\} = (\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 = P_1(C). \quad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

In this calculations, the sums in curly brackets are equal to 1 according to the normalization conditions (16, 25).

This mathematical result (28) of the proposed model means that collective probability $P_3(C_1)$ of all 16 triplets with the first letter C is equal to the individual probability $P_1(C)$ of the letter C in the same long DNA-text.

From the expression (26), similar calculations of collective probabilities $P_3(T_1)$, $P_3(G_1)$ and $P_3(A_1)$ of triplets with the first letters T, G, A in them give analogical results (28) of their equality to individual probabilities of letters T, G and A:

$$P_3(T_1) = P_1(T), \quad P_3(G_1) = P_1(G), \quad P_3(A_1) = P_1(A) \quad (29)$$

These model results (28, 29) correspond to phenomenologic facts reflected in the the first rule of tetra-group symmetries in long DNA-texts (see Section 3 above).

Similar calculations on the basis of the expression (26) give the following results:

- collective probabilities of all triplets with the second letters C, T, G, A in them are also equal to individual probabilities of letters C, T, G and A: $P_3(C_2) = P_1(C)$, $P_3(T_2) = P_1(T)$, $P_3(G_2) = P_1(G)$ and $P_3(A_2) = P_1(A)$;
- collective probabilities of all triplets with the third letters C, T, G, A in them are also equal to individual probabilities of letters C, T, G and A: $P_3(C_3) = P_1(C)$, $P_3(T_3) = P_1(T)$, $P_3(G_3) = P_1(G)$ and $P_3(A_3) = P_1(A)$.

These model results correspond to phenomenologic facts reflected in the second rule of tetra-group symmetries in long DNA-texts (see Section 3 above).

By analogy, in this quantum-informational model approach, one can consider cases of 4^n n-plets in appropriate 4^n -dimensional Hilbert space ($n = 4, 5, \dots$) and get again and again confirmations of correspondence of this model to the described phenomenologic rules of approximate tetra-group symmetries of

collective and individual probabilities in long DNA-texts. The model describes these symmetries of probabilities as exact symmetries in contrast to phenomenological data, where they exist as approximate symmetries.

An effective model should not only explain known phenomenologic data but also should predict unknown data to search them in natural systems. Let us show now that the proposed quantum-informational model approach not only explains the phenomenological facts, but also **has predictive power, allowing to open previously unknown properties of long DNA-texts.**

For this, consider the expression (26) of separable pure state of a quantum 6-qubit “triplet CTGA-system”. The right part of the expression (26) contains 64 computational basis states $|CCC\rangle$, $|CCT\rangle$, ..., $|AAA\rangle$, which correspond to 64 genetic triplets. The set of 64 triplets contains the following 16 groups of triplets, each of which contains 4 triplets with one of 16 doublets on their identical positions. For example, the doublet CC defines one of 4^2 -groups of 4 triplets, each of which has this doublet in its beginning: CCC, CCT, CCG and CCA.

One should note that in previous Sections of this article we considered tetra-groups (or 4-groups), 4 subgroups of which were defined by monopleths: C-subgroups, T-subgroups, G-subgroups and A-subgroups (see Figs. 1, 2). Such 4-groups can be called as “4-groups of the first degree”. But now we will pay our attention to the wider sets of the following 4^s -groups (that is, tetra-groups of higher degrees $s = 2, 3, 4, \dots$):

- 4^2 -groups, each of which contains 4 subgroups defined by one of 16 doublets (like the CC-subgroup of triplets CCC, CCT, CCG and CCA);
- 4^3 -groups, each of which contains 4 subgroups defined by one of 64 triplets (like the CCC-subgroup of tetraplets CCCC, CCCT, CCCG and CCCA);
- etc.

In the right part of the expression (26), each of 16 rows represents one of 4^2 -groups of triplets with one of 16 doublets in their first positions. Let us take the first row of (26) and calculate the collective probabilities $P_3(CC_1)$ of all 4 triplets CCC, CCT, CCG and CCA with the doublet CC in their beginning, using amplitudes of individual probabilities of the computational basis states $|CCC\rangle$, $|CCT\rangle$, $|CCG\rangle$ and $|CCA\rangle$. The expression (30) shows the result of such calculation:

$$P_3(CC_1) = (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_5)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_5)^2 =$$

$$= (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2)^2 * \{(\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_4\beta_5)^2 + (\alpha_5\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_5\beta_5)^2\} = (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2)^2 = P_2(CC) \quad (30)$$

Here the sum in curly brackets is equal to 1 in accordance with the normalization condition (25). The model result (30) shows that the collective probability $P_3(CC_1)$ of the set of all 4 triplets CCC, CCT, CCG, CCA with the doublet CC in their beginning is equal to the individual probability $P_2(CC)$ of the doublet CC in the same long DNA-text.

Similar calculations of collective probabilities $P_3(CT_1)$, $P_3(CG_1)$, etc. of other 15 sets of 4 triplets in other 15 rows in (26) give analogical results (31) of their equality to individual probabilities of appropriate doublets:

$$P_3(CT_1) = (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_3)^2 = P_2(CT), \quad P_3(CG_1) = (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_2)^2 = P_2(CG),$$

$$P_3(CA_1) = (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_3)^2 = P_2(CA), \quad P_3(TC_1) = (\alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_2)^2 = P_2(TC),$$

$$P_3(TT_1) = (\alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_3)^2 = P_2(TT), \quad P_3(TG_1) = (\alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_2)^2 = P_2(TG),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_3(\text{TA}_1) &= (\alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_3)^2 = P_2(\text{TA}), & P_3(\text{GC}_1) &= (\alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2)^2 = P_2(\text{GC}), \\
P_3(\text{GT}_1) &= (\alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_3)^2 = P_2(\text{GT}), & P_3(\text{GG}_1) &= (\alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_2)^2 = P_2(\text{GG}), \\
P_3(\text{GA}_1) &= (\alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_3\beta_3)^2 = P_2(\text{GA}), & P_3(\text{AC}_1) &= (\alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_2)^2 = P_2(\text{AC}), \\
P_3(\text{AT}_1) &= (\alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_3)^2 = P_2(\text{AT}), & P_3(\text{AG}_1) &= (\alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_2)^2 = P_2(\text{AG}), \\
P_3(\text{AA}_1) &= (\alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_3\beta_3)^2 = P_2(\text{AA}). & &
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

From the expression (26), calculations of collective probabilities $P_3(\text{CC}_2)$ of all 4 triplets CCC, CCT, CCG and CCG with the doublet CC in their end, give the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_3(\text{CC}_2) &= (\alpha_0\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 = \\
&= (\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 * \{(\alpha_0\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_0\beta_1)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_0)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_1)^2\} = (\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 = P_2(\text{CC})
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Here the sum in curly brackets is equal to 1 in accordance with the normalization condition (12).

From the expression (26), similar calculations of collective probabilities $P_3(\text{CT}_2)$, $P_3(\text{CG}_2)$, etc. of other 15 sets of 4 triplets with identical doublets in their end give analogical results (33) of their equality to individual probabilities of appropriate doublets:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_3(\text{CT}_2) &= (\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_5)^2 = P_2(\text{CT}), & P_3(\text{CG}_2) &= (\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_4)^2 = P_2(\text{CG}), \\
P_3(\text{CA}_2) &= (\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_5)^2 = P_2(\text{CA}), & P_3(\text{TC}_2) &= (\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 = P_2(\text{TC}), \\
P_3(\text{TT}_2) &= (\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_5)^2 = P_2(\text{TT}), & P_3(\text{TG}_2) &= (\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_4)^2 = P_2(\text{TG}), \\
P_3(\text{TA}_2) &= (\alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_5)^2 = P_2(\text{TA}), & P_3(\text{GC}_2) &= (\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 = P_2(\text{GC}), \\
P_3(\text{GT}_2) &= (\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_4\beta_5)^2 = P_2(\text{GT}), & P_3(\text{GG}_2) &= (\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_4)^2 = P_2(\text{GG}), \\
P_3(\text{GA}_2) &= (\alpha_3\beta_2\alpha_5\beta_5)^2 = P_2(\text{GA}), & P_3(\text{AC}_2) &= (\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_4)^2 = P_2(\text{AC}), \\
P_3(\text{AT}_2) &= (\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_5)^2 = P_2(\text{AT}), & P_3(\text{AG}_2) &= (\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_4)^2 = P_2(\text{AG}), \\
P_3(\text{AA}_2) &= (\alpha_3\beta_3\alpha_5\beta_5)^2 = P_2(\text{AA}). & &
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

One can consider these model results as predictions of a possible existence of additional rules of symmetries of collective probabilities of the following sets of n-plets in long DNA-texts in cases of the mentioned 4^s -groups of oligonucleotides ($s = 2, 3, 4, \dots$), for example:

- the CC-subgroup, CT-subgroup, CG-subgroup and CA-subgroup of triplets;
- the CCC-subgroup, CCT-subgroup, CCG-subgroup, CCA-subgroup, CTC-subgroup, CTT-subgroup and other similar subgroups of 4-plets;
- the CCCC-subgroup, CCCT-subgroup, CCCG-subgroup, CCCA-subgroup and other similar subgroups of 5-plets;
- etc.

The Appendix 7 shows some initial confirmations of these theoretical predictions made on the basis of the described quantum-information model. Of course, these predictions should be checked for much more number of long DNA-texts including genomes and complete sets of chromosomes of different organisms. We hope to publish results of such checking some later.

6. About short DNA-texts

The last two Sections have shown a possibility and effectiveness of usage of quantum informatics to model properties of long DNA-texts by means of the formalisms of separable pure states of $2n$ -qubit quantum CTGA-systems. Now let us pay attention to a possible meaning for genetic systems other types of states of $2n$ -qubit quantum CTGA-systems. One can see from expression (10), which describes a separable pure state of 2-qubit system, that its amplitudes $\alpha_0\beta_0$, $\alpha_0\beta_1$, $\alpha_1\beta_0$, $\alpha_1\beta_1$ are closely interrelated with each other. Tetra-group symmetries in long DNA-texts say that these amplitudes in the expressions (10, 13) are interrelated in an appropriate manner. If one of these amplitudes is changed and the corresponding interrelation disappears, then the changed expression (10) will correspond to non-separable pure state.

In a general case, the tetra-group rules are not met for short DNA-texts (for example, for separate genes), and short DNA-texts cannot be represented in a form of separable quantum states $|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi_1\rangle \otimes |\Psi_2\rangle$. In quantum informatics, a state of a composite system, which can't be written as a tensor product of states of its component systems, is called an entangled state [Nielsen, Chuang, 2010, p. 96; https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Separable_state]. Correspondingly, genes and other relative short DNA-texts can be considered as quantum CTGA-systems in entangled states. In quantum informatics, entangled states play very important roles. The study and use of entangled states is one of the main problems of quantum computing: *"...entanglement is a key element in effects such as quantum teleportation, fast quantum algorithms, and quantum error-correction. It is, in short, a resource of great utility in quantum computation and quantum information. ... entangled states play a crucial role in quantum computation and quantum information"* [Nielsen, Chuang, 2010, p. XXIII and p. 96].

The quantum operator, which allows you to entangle two qubits $|x\rangle$ and $|y\rangle$, is called the operator CNOT and is given by the expression (36):

$$P_{12}|x, y\rangle = |x, x \oplus y\rangle \quad (36)$$

where $x \oplus y$ is the logic operation of modulo-2 addition. Above in the Section 3 we shown that the DNA-alphabet of nucleotides C, T, G, A is connected with the logic operation of modulo-2 addition: any DNA-text is the carrier of three parallel messages on three different binary languages, and these three types of binary representations of the DNA-text form a common logic set on the basis of logic operation of modulo-2 addition. This connection of DNA-texts with the logic operation of modulo-2 addition can be used to represented fragments of long DNA-texts as entangled states of quantum CTGA-systems for study hidden regularities and meanings of genetic messages. Beside this, the connection of DNA-structures with the logic operation of modulo-2 addition testifies additionally in favor of adequacy of the quantum-information approach to analyze DNA-texts. One can add that a possible important meaning of entangled

states for functioning biological ensembles of protein molecules was discussed in [Matsuno, Paton, 2000].

One should note here also that, as known, Hadamard matrices («Hadamard gates») play essential role in quantum computers; they are used in quantum mechanics in the form of unitary operators, etc. But structures of genetic alphabets are naturally connected with Hadamard matrices [Petoukhov, 2008, 2010, 2011, 2016, 2017a; Petoukhov, He, 2009]. It additionally testifies in favor of quantum-informational bases of genetic informatics.

In quantum mechanics, matrix operators play a significant role. One of them is the density operator (or the density matrix) that describes quantum systems in pure and mixed states. All the postulates of quantum mechanics can be reformulated in terms of the density operator language [Nielsen, Chuang, 2010, p. 99]. Quantum informatics possesses a rich set of other useful notions and mathematical formalisms including quantum search algorithms, quantum algorithms for encoding information with error-corrections, the quantum Fourier transform, the Schmidt decomposition, quantum logic, trace distances, stabilizer codes, von Neumann entropy, quantum circuits, etc. In our opinion, applications of these notions and formalisms in genetic informatics will be very useful for progress of theoretical biology, medicine, systems of artificial intelligence and other fields including the quantum computer science itself. In particular, it will lead to development of quantum-informational genetics as a perspective scientific direction.

7. Resonances, photons and quantum-information genetics

From the point of view of quantum mechanics, the interaction of molecules is based on the emission and absorption of photons with the participation of resonance correspondences.

In modern molecular biology, when considering the interaction of molecules, the idea of the stereochemical correspondence of molecules is usually used, that is, the idea of the correspondence of the spatial configurations of molecules (the model of the "lock-and-key" correspondence). In our quantum-informational approach, quite another idea is in the centre of attention: the idea of exchanges of information among energetic states of interrelated molecules by means of photons on principles of resonances. In our approach, nucleotides and their combinations are represented by not their spatial configurations but, first of all, by their energetic peculiarities, which provide opportunities to exchange intermolecular information due to the emission and absorption of photons of certain energies (wavelengths) with the participation of resonance correspondences.

This Section is devoted to additional explanations of this quantum-informational approach, which is connected with the fundamental notion "resonance" and with photons as carriers of intermolecular information and also as the force carriers for electromagnetic force. Below we represent arguments why one can think about a connection of tetra-group symmetries in long DNA-texts with phenomena of resonances.

Quantum mechanics is closely connected with phenomena of resonances. This science has begun from 1900 year with the pioneer work of M. Planck (1936), who has analyzed a great set of resonant oscillators inside the special

cavity to receive his famous law of electromagnetic radiation emitted by a black body in thermal equilibrium. One can say that Planck has represented the matter as a set of vibrating oscillators and set the task to study the equilibrium, which was established in the result of the exchange of energy between the oscillators and radiation. This work has introduced into science the Planck's constant as the quantum of action, central in quantum mechanics. It was originally the proportionality constant between the minimal increment of energy of a hypothetical electrically charged oscillator in the mentioned cavity and the frequency of its associated electromagnetic wave.

Later, after more than 50 years of successful development of quantum mechanics, E. Schrodinger emphasised the basic meaning of resonances: *“The one thing which one has to accept and which is the inalienable consequence of the wave-equation as it is used in every problem, under the most various forms, is this: that the interaction between two microscopic physical systems is controlled by a peculiar law of resonance»* [Schrodinger, 1952, p. 115]. In considering an exact balance in nature between bundles of energy, lost by one system and gained by another, he noted: *«I maintain that it can in all cases be understood as a resonance phenomenon»* (ibid, p. 114). He wrote in his resonance concept of quantum interactions that chemical reactions, including photochemical reactions, can be explained on the base of resonances. One of examples considered in his article was a production of water molecules H_2O from a suitable mixture of hydrogen gas H_2 and oxygen gas O_2 under action of ultraviolet light. In this example, *“wave-mechanically the gaseous mixture is represented by a vibration of the combined system, and, by the way, not by one proper vibration since there is anyhow the vast variety of translational and rotational modes, and, of course, the electronic modes. The gaseous compound, H_2O , is represented by an entirely different vibration of the same system”* (ibid, p. 118).

His book [Schrodinger, 1944] declared that the chromosome is an aperiodic crystal since its atoms are connected each with other by forces of the same nature that atoms in crystals. This standpoint attracts an attention to a very important role of vibrations and resonances in crystals. The presence of the interaction of atoms in the crystal lattice together with the resonance phenomenon leads to the fact that oscillatory motions of lattice elements are combined in a collective oscillation process in a form of a wave propagating in the crystal. In the course of the normal vibrations, all the atoms in the crystal lattice oscillate about their equilibrium positions by harmonic law with the same frequency. As it is known, in a quantum description of small oscillations of a crystal, it is possible to interpret normal fluctuations of the crystal as special quasiparticles, which are quanta of the field of elastic vibrations of the crystal and which are called phonons. The theory of phonons is one of the bases of physics of crystals. One can hope that a similar resonance approach can usefully serve in genetics.

The notion “resonance” was introduced into quantum mechanics by W. Heisenberg in 1926 year in connection with analyzes of multi-body systems. He emphasized that in quantum mechanics the phenomenon of resonances has much more general character than in classical physics. In classic theory, two periodic oscillating systems come into their own resonance only in the case when a frequency of a separate sub-system doesn't depend on energy of the system and when this frequency is approximately equal in both sub-systems. In

quantum mechanics, two atomic systems come into their resonance only in the case when a frequency of absorption of one system coincides with a frequency of emitting another system, or vice versa [Heisenberg, 1926, §2]. Quantized electromagnetic field is represented as a set of oscillators.

L. Pauling used ideas of resonances in quantum mechanical systems in his theory of resonance in structural chemistry. His book [Pauling, 1940] about this theory is the most quoted among scientific books of the XX century. The theory was developed to explain the formation of hybrid bonds in molecules. The actual molecule, as Pauling proposed, is a sort of hybrid, a structure that resonates between the two alternative extremes; and whenever there is a resonance between the two forms, the structure is stabilized. His theory uses the fundamental principle of a minimal energy because – in resonant combining of parts into a single unit – each of members of the ensemble requires less energy for performing own work than when working individually. Of course, this fundamental principle can be used in many other cases of resonances in different systems as the physical base. The principle of energetic minimum in resonance processes has some correlations with the principle of relaxation in morphogenetic processes proposed in [Igamberdiev, 2012].

Concerning problems of bioenergetics, McClare (1974) put forward the hypothesis about long-lived vibrational excitations in proteins, which could play an important role in protein functions. The results of researches on this hypothesis was summarized in the work [Turin, 2009]: *“Colin McClare was the first scientist to envision the potential importance of long-lived vibrational excitations in proteins. He did so at a time when there was no experimental evidence for their existence, no plausible mechanism to make them happen, and no interest in the possibility among his peers... Thirty years later (after his death in 1977 – S.P.), we can see that McClare had got one fundamental thing right: vibrational energy can be stored and transmitted in proteins»*. This hypothesis of McClare is associated with the idea of Bauer (1935) that living systems work in expense of non-equilibrium, and the external energy is used not directly to perform work but to support the stable non-equilibrium state; most of this energy is transformed into the kinetic energy.

For a theme of a general importance of resonances in living matter, interesting materials are represented in works [Ji, 2012, 2015, 2017]. Their author has postulated analogy between enzymic catalysis and blackbody radiation, which was modelled by Planck due to his idea about huge number of resonances. This postulation was made by Ji on the base, first of all, of his observations that some important biological phenomena have their graphical representations analogical to ones of blackbody radiations. This author has proposed a generalization of the Planck equation for modeling different biological phenomena, having long-tailed histograms, from the general standpoint: protein folding, single-molecule enzymology, whole-cell transcriptomics, T-cell receptor variable region diversity, brain neurophysiology, RNA levels in budding yeast, human breast cancer tissues, codon profile in the human genome, etc. By analogy with the principle of quantization of energy in quantum mechanics, Ji postulates a quantization of free energy levels in enzymes. He also proposes an original theory of molecular machines with using Franck-Condon mechanisms concerning vibronic transitions, which are simultaneous changes in electronic and vibrational energy levels of a molecule.

His thoughts about musical-wave harmony in organization of living matter are correlated with musical aspects of our concept of systemic-resonance genetics, where an organism is considered as a very complex and developing music synthesizer and some kinds of so called «genetic music» are developed and represented in musical concerts of Moscow P.I.Tchaikovsky Conservatory [Hu, Petoukhov, 2017; Petoukhov, 2015b].

The articles [Petoukhov, 2015a, 2016; Petoukhov, Petukhova, 2017b] describe our concept about the important role of resonances in genetic structures. This concept is based on impressive analogies of some genetic structures and phenomena, including Mendelian laws, with eigenvalues and eigenvectors of tensor families of matrix operators representing oscillatory systems with many degrees of freedom (these operators can be interpreted as quantum operators). In these works we described data to the idea about existence of biological resonant computers inside any organism, which are based on binary-oppositional resonances in genetic systems.

Let us repeat that the interaction of molecules is based on the emission and absorption of photons (particles of light) with the participation of resonance correspondences. Therefore, special attention should be paid to the important role of photons in genetic informatics.

As known, a photon is a type of elementary particle, the quantum of electromagnetic field including electromagnetic radiation such as light, and the force carrier for electromagnetic field (in particle physics, force carriers or messenger particles or intermediate particles are particles that give rise to forces between other particles (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Force_carrier). The photon has zero rest mass and always moves at the speed of light within a vacuum. A photon has two possible polarization states. Like all elementary particles, photons are currently best explained by quantum mechanics and exhibit wave-particle duality, exhibiting properties of both waves and particles. The photon's wave and quanta qualities are two observable aspects of a single phenomenon, and cannot be described by any mechanical model; a representation of this dual property of light, which assumes certain points on the wavefront to be the seat of the energy, is not possible. The quanta in a light wave cannot be spatially localized (<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Photon>).

Photon energy is the energy carried by a single photon. The amount of energy is directly proportional to the photon's electromagnetic frequency and inversely proportional to the wavelength. Photon energy is solely a function of the photon's wavelength. Other factors, such as the intensity of the radiation, do not affect photon energy. In other words, two photons of light with the same «color» and therefore, same frequency, will have the same photon energy. The equation for photon energy E is the following: $E = hc/\lambda = hf$, where « h » is the Planck constant, « c » is the speed of light in vacuum, « λ » is the photon's wavelength and « f » is the photon's wavefrequency.

Photons, which are radiated by different molecular elements, can differ by their frequencies. In systems of genetic molecules, where each of nitrogenous bases C, T, G, A is a carrier of its individual pair of binary-oppositional molecular indicators (Fig. 14), each of these two molecular indicators can define a radiation of photons with their individual frequencies. Jointly the two molecular indicators can radiate a beam of photons with two kinds of frequencies, which defines its appropriate computational basis state of a 2-qubit system in the expression (10)

with the conditional denotations $|C\rangle=|00\rangle$, $|T\rangle=|01\rangle$, $|G\rangle=|10\rangle$ and $|A\rangle=|11\rangle$. The existence of DNA-texts is accompanied by a rich set of appropriate beams of multicolor photons to provide a cooperative informational functioning of ensembles of genetic elements. From this point of view, nitrogenous bases A, C, G, T/U and A and their combinations in DNA and RNA are resonance determinant of frequencies of biophotonic ensembles within living bodies (or briefly, “biophotons determinant”). The reading and transmission of genetic information from DNA and RNA molecules occurs by means of a set of resonance frequencies of biological photons. DNA encodes quantum states of biophoton beams. The photons language is a serious candidacy for the role of a basic language of molecular-genetic information. Figuratively speaking, from this point of view, life in its information aspects is woven from the light.

Photons are actively studied in modern science as elements of quantum computers and devices of quantum cryptography. In models of quantum computers, conventional light polarizers are used to create pure and mixed states of n-qubit systems of light beams. The idea of ensembles of «multicolor» photons for a creation of n-qubit states, which was noted by us above in the connection with DNA-texts, was independently used in the recent engineering work of Canadian scientists [Caspani et al., 2016]. This work has revealed a new perspective way to create quantum computers. For increasing dimensionality of the photon quantum state, its authors used the ability to generate multiple photon pairs on a frequency comb, corresponding to resonances in specifically designed microcavities. The possibility of fully exploiting the polarization degree of freedom, even for integrated devices, exists for further achievements.

One should note that, as known, living bodies possess inherited opportunities to manage photonic beams using physical principles of photonic crystals with their properties of photon gratings, etc. Many biological phenomena of structural coloration and of animal reflectors are built on this, including a beautiful coloring of butterfly wings, peacock feathers, etc. (see details and lists of references in https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Photonic_crystal, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Animal_reflectors, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Structural_coloration). A photonic crystal is a periodic optical nanostructure that affects the motion of photons. Photonic crystals contain regularly repeating regions of high and low dielectric constant. Photons (behaving as waves) either propagate through this structure or not, depending on their wavelength. This gives rise to distinct optical phenomena, such as inhibition of spontaneous emission, high-reflecting omni-directional mirrors, and low-loss-waveguiding. The periodicity of the photonic crystal structure must be around half the wavelength of the electromagnetic waves to be diffracted.

We believe that spatial characteristics of ensembles of genetic and other biological molecules, that form complex diffraction structures, play the managing role of photonic crystals in the problem of controlling biophoton beams that are generated and absorbed by these molecules. In particular, the spatial configuration of genetic molecules as biophotonic crystals is an important factor in controlling the processes of transmission of genetic information from DNA and RNA molecules with using biophoton beams generated by them. Not without reason, Schrödinger called chromosomes aperiodic crystals [Schrodinger, 1944].

In our opinion, the inherited processes of the morphogenesis of living bodies are also determined to a large extent by bio-photon beams, the course of which is not accidental, but is strictly organized by a system of spatial characteristics of ensembles of genetic and other biological molecules as biophotonic crystals. In the course of ontogeny, on the basis of electromagnetic (photonic) interactions, new molecular materials are involved into a naturally growing biological body, which leads to the appropriate growth of the managing system of biophotonic crystals and to the growth of numbers of photon beams. Of course, quantum-mechanic laws of resonances in molecular photonic interactions play a basic role. On this basis, we develop our concept of the "morpho-resonance field" to model morphogenetic phenomena [Petoukhov, 2015c,d, 2016].

Classical electrodynamics describes a photon as an electromagnetic wave with its circular right or left polarization. To the theme "life and photons", one can add many interesting connections of these polarization properties of photons with inherited properties of living bodies, for example, the following:

- One of the biggest mysteries of nature is the asymmetry of biological molecules, accompanied by a preferred direction of the rotation - to the left or to the right - of the polarization plane of light by these molecules (this was discovered by Louis Pasteur). For example, all biological amino acids (except the simplest glycine, which is symmetric), from which the proteins of all living organisms are composed, exist only in one of two possible asymmetric forms - in the left form. Amino acids in this form rotate the plane of polarization of light to the left. Our body doesn't use amino acids with the opposite right form, rotating the plane of polarization of light to the right. Biological catalysts - enzymes -, being built asymmetrically, act only on one optical antipode, without touching the another. The same asymmetry with respect to the right and left is inherent not only in amino acids, but also in the nucleotides that form DNA and RNA. The reason for this is the asymmetry of the components of the sugar, which is part of the nucleotides and which provides the optical activity of DNA and RNA molecules: they rotate the plane of polarization of light to the right.
- Millions of species of living organisms (insects, mollusks, arthropods, etc.) are endowed with inherited ability to see in polarized light (a human organism does not possess this ability).

At the end of the Section, one can say that our quantum-informational approach for modeling and analysis of the genetic system provides new materials for understanding the role of photons in the organization and functioning of the genetic system.

8. The rules of symmetries of collective probabilities in tetra-groups in the complete set of human chromosomes. The fourth rule of symmetries of tetra-group probabilities (for complete sets of chromosomes)

This Section is devoted to results of author's study of tetra-group symmetries in the complete set of human chromosomes. Fig. A2/1-A2/24 in the Appendix 2 show resulting tables of tetra-group symmetries of all 24 human chromosomes, initial data about which were taken from the GenBank. Let us note some important aspects of the obtained results.

Human organisms contain 22 autosomes and 2 sex chromosomes X and Y. These chromosomes contain long DNA molecules, the lengths of texts in which lie in the range from 50 to 250 million letters approximately. Autosomes are numbered from 1 to 22.

First of all, our results demonstrate very high accuracy of implementation of all the rules of tetra-group symmetries for each of human chromosomes. Fig. 18 shows the average values of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$, expressed in percentage, for each of the 24 chromosomes ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; k \leq n$) where the numerical values of probabilities in percentage are rounded to the third decimal place. Fluctuations of the probabilities around these averages are also shown. One should note that these fluctuations are very small.

CHROMOSOME	AVERAGE VALUE OF $P_n(A_k)$ AND FLUCTUATIONS (%)	AVERAGE VALUE OF $P_n(T_k)$ AND FLUCTUATIONS (%)	AVERAGE VALUE OF $P_n(C_k)$ AND FLUCTUATIONS (%)	AVERAGE VALUE OF $P_n(G_k)$ AND FLUCTUATIONS (%)
1	29,100±0,005	29,176±0,006	20,850±0,006	20,874±0,005
2	29,845±0,005	29,927±0,006	20,087±0,006	20,142±0,006
3	30,131±0,006	30,204±0,005	19,805±0,006	19,861±0,006
4	30,862±0,005	30,895±0,006	19,097±0,006	19,147±0,006
5	30,176±0,006	30,317±0,006	19,712±0,006	19,794±0,006
6	30,210±0,006	30,205±0,006	19,794±0,006	19,791±0,006
7	29,602±0,006	29,701±0,006	20,330±0,006	20,368±0,006
8	29,939±0,007	29,898±0,007	20,081±0,007	20,081±0,006
9	29,280±0,007	29,263±0,007	20,736±0,007	20,721±0,007
10	29,172±0,007	29,286±0,007	20,741±0,007	20,801±0,007
11	29,202±0,007	29,258±0,007	20,741±0,007	20,799±0,007
12	29,571±0,007	29,663±0,007	20,349±0,007	20,417±0,007
13	30,688±0,007	30,788±0,006	19,259±0,007	19,265±0,007
14	29,452±0,006	29,697±0,006	20,397±0,007	20,453±0,007
15	28,956±0,007	29,009±0,006	20,974±0,006	21,061±0,007
16	27,575±0,007	27,840±0,007	22,214±0,007	22,370±0,007
17	27,303±0,006	27,382±0,006	22,581±0,007	22,734±0,007
18	30,106±0,006	30,136±0,007	19,866±0,006	19,893±0,007
19	25,911±0,006	26,151±0,006	23,878±0,007	24,060±0,007
20	27,780±0,006	28,097±0,006	22,009±0,007	22,113±0,007
21	29,644±0,006	29,463±0,007	20,465±0,006	20,428±0,006
22	26,512±0,007	26,483±0,007	23,393±0,006	23,611±0,007
X	30,185±0,005	30,290±0,005	19,706±0,005	19,819±0,006
Y	29,855±0,005	30,120±0,005	20,011±0,006	20,015±0,005

Fig. 18. The table of average values of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ in percentage for each of 24 human chromosomes ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; k \leq n$). Fluctuations of the probabilities are also shown.

Here let us explain how these average values and fluctuations in relation to them are calculated, for example, in the case of the average value of $P_n(A_k)$ for the human chromosome № 1. In the Appendix 2, each of tables of tetra-group symmetries (Fig. A2/1-A2/24) contains 15 cells with $P_n(A_k)$. When each of these probabilities $P_n(A_k)$ is calculated up to the seventh decimal place for this DNA-text, the following 15 values arise: $P_1(A_1)=0,2910013$, $P_2(A_1)=0,2910218$, $P_2(A_2)=0,2909808$, $P_3(A_1)=0,2910489$, $P_3(A_2)=0,2909651$, $P_3(A_3)=0,2909899$, $P_4(A_1)=0,2910234$, $P_4(A_2)=0,2909995$, $P_4(A_3)=0,2910202$, $P_4(A_4)=0,2909621$, $P_5(A_1)=0,2909899$, $P_5(A_2)= 0,2909896$, $P_5(A_3)= 0,2910202$, $P_5(A_4)= 0,2909677$, $P_5(A_5)= 0,2910397$. The sum of these 15 numbers divided by 15 gives their average value 0,2910013. The probability $P_3(A_1)=0,2910489$ has the strongest deviation from this average value 0,29100134. This deviation is equal to 0,0000476 \approx 0,00005. Thus, in percentage denotation, we get for the probabilities $P_n(A_k)$ their average value 29,100% and the deviation (fluctuation) 0,005% from it. The corresponding expression $(29,100 \pm 0,005)\%$ is shown in the table in Fig. 18 for the chromosome № 1. By analogy, values in all other cells of the table in Fig. 18 are calculated.

One can see from the table in Fig. 18 that average values of $P_n(A_k)$ and $P_n(T_k)$ are almost equal; the average values of $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ are also almost equal. Fig. 19 shows graphically average values of these probabilities.




Fig. 19. The graphical representation of the average values of the probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ from Fig. 18 for all 24 human chromosomes. The abscissa axis contains numberings N of chromosomes, and the ordinate axis contains average values of these probabilities in percent. The symbol "o" corresponds the average values of $P_n(C_k) \approx P_n(G_k)$, and the symbol "x"

corresponds the average values of $P_n(A_k) \approx P_n(T_k)$. Direct lines correspond values 20% and 30%.




Fig. 20. Human chromosomes (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/51>)

These 24 human chromosomes differ greatly in their molecular dimensions, their sequences of letters, kinds and quantities of genes in them, cytogenetic bands (which shows biochemical specificity of different parts of chromosomes), etc. (Fig. 20). Taking into account these great differences among human chromosomes, it was very unexpected for the author to reveal that the 24 human chromosomes are similar each to other from the point of view of tetra-group symmetries of their DNA-texts. It means that all these chromosomes are not completely individual objects but they are closely interrelated each other in relation to tetra-group symmetries of their DNA-texts. As can be seen from the tables of tetra-group symmetries in Fig. 18, 19 and in the Appendix 2 (Fig. A2/1-A2/24), the maximum similarity in average values of collective probabilities of subgroups of the tetra-groups exists for DNA-texts of chromosomes №№ 1-18, 20, 21, X and Y (that is for 22 chromosomes from 24 chromosomes). Only two chromosomes № 19 and №22 have their DNA-texts with noticeable deviations of these probabilities in their average values in 15-20% in comparison with the average values of the probabilities in DNA-texts of other 22 chromosomes.

It seems that the average values of the probabilities of $P_n(A_k) \approx P_n(T_k)$ are concentrated around the value of 30% and the average values of the probabilities of $P_n(C_k) \approx P_n(G_k)$ are concentrated around the value of 20%. In theory of musical harmony, the ratio $30/20 = 3/2$ is called "quint" (or "fifth").

It is obvious that such collective text phenomena in the complete set of human chromosomes cannot be explained on the basis of ideas of stereochemical interrelations among genetic molecules by the principle "lock-and-key". Here another direction of thoughts is needed. We think that quantum information approach should be used to explain such collective informational phenomena.

In the next Section we represent results of our study of tetra-group symmetries in complete sets of chromosomes of some model organisms, which are traditionally used in the study of genetics, development and disease. All these results show that the represented tetra-group rules are implemented not only for separate long DNA-texts but also for studied complete sets of chromosomes of eukaryotes. These initial results allow putting forward the hypothesis about the validity of the **fourth rule of tetra-group symmetries, which concerns complete sets of chromosomes of different organisms:**

- In the complete set of chromosomes of each of eukaryot organisms, characteristics of the tetra-group symmetries of separate chromosomes are approximately equal to each other for all chromosomes.

Further researches are needed to check a degree of universality of this rule.

9. Tetra-group rules in complete sets of chromosomes of model organisms: *Caenorhabditis elegans*, *Drosophila melanogaster*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Mus musculus*

This Section is devoted to consideration of peculiarities of tetra-group symmetries in complete sets of chromosomes of a few model organisms, which are used long ago in the study of genetics, development and disease. Tables of tetra-group symmetries of these sets of chromosomes are represented in Appendixes 3-5. Data of these tables confirm the implementation of the tetra-group rules for chromosomes of these organisms and they show also that – for each of the organisms - characteristics of tetra-group symmetries of each of chromosomes are approximately equal for all chromosomes.

We begin with a nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. It is a free-living soil nematode with 959 somatic cells in its body. *Caenorhabditis elegans* was the first multicellular eukaryotic organism whose genome was completely sequenced. Its nuclear genome is approximately 100 Mb, distributed among six chromosomes (see tables in the Appendix 3). Fig. 21 and 22 shows the table and the corresponding graphical representation of average values of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (all initial data were taken from the CenBank (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome?term=caenorhabditis%20elegans>)). These average values were calculated from the tables in Fig. A3/1-A3/6 by analogy with the described calculation of average values of the probabilities in cases of human chromosomes (Fig. 18).

CHROMOSOME	AVERAGE VALUE OF $P_n(A_k)$ (%)	AVERAGE VALUE OF $P_n(T_k)$ (%)	AVERAGE VALUE OF $P_n(C_k)$ (%)	AVERAGE VALUE OF $P_n(G_k)$ (%)
1	32,088	32,167	17,886	17,863
2	31,927	31,871	18,123	18,078
3	32,246	32,093	17,769	17,892
4	32,647	32,760	17,347	17,247
5	32,263	32,309	17,741	17,689
X	32,435	32,361	17,607	17,596

Fig. 21. The table of average values of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ in percentage for each of 6 chromosomes of a nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; k \leq n$).




Fig. 22. The graphic representation of average values of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ in percentage for each of 6 chromosomes of a nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; k \leq n$). The abscissa axis contains numberings of chromosomes, and the ordinate axis contains average values of these probabilities in percent. The symbol of a blue diamond corresponds the average values of $P_n(A_k) \approx P_n(T_k)$, and the symbol of a red square corresponds the average values of $P_n(C_k) \approx P_n(G_k)$.

Now let us turn to the second model organism - *Drosophila melanogaster*, which is studied in biology labs for over eighty years. Tables of tetra-group symmetries of its set of 7 chromosomes are represented in the Appendix 4. Fig. 23 and 24 shows the table and the corresponding graphical representation of average values of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for all chromosomes of *Drosophila melanogaster* (all initial data about these chromosomes were taken from the CenBank - <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/?term=drosophila+melanogaster>). These average values were calculated from the tables in Fig. A4/1-A4/7 by analogy with the described calculation of average values of the probabilities in cases of human chromosomes (Fig. 18).

CHROMOSOME	AVERAGE VALUE OF $P_n(A_k)$ (%)	AVERAGE VALUE OF $P_n(T_k)$ (%)	AVERAGE VALUE OF $P_n(C_k)$ (%)	AVERAGE VALUE OF $P_n(G_k)$ (%)
X	28,679	28,858	21,195	21,267
2L	29,145	29,074	20,889	20,892
2R	28,769	28,621	21,342	21,269
3L	29,092	29,287	20,813	20,807
3R	28,719	28,693	21,320	21,269
4	31,945	32,805	17,471	17,779
Y	30,992	29,583	20,023	19,403

Fig. 23. The table of average values of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ in percentage for each of 7 chromosomes of *Drosophila melanogaster* ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; k \leq n$).




Fig. 24. The graphic representation of average values of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ in percentage for each of 7 chromosomes of *Drosophila melanogaster*. The abscissa axis contains numberings of chromosomes, and the ordinate axis contains average values of these probabilities in percent. The symbol of a blue diamond corresponds the average values of $P_n(A_k) \approx P_n(T_k)$, and the symbol of a red square corresponds the average values of $P_n(C_k) \approx P_n(G_k)$.

The third model organism is a plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. This small flowering plant is used for over fifty years to study plant mutations and for classical genetic analysis. It became the first plant genome to be fully sequenced. Tables of tetra-group symmetries of its set of 5 chromosomes are represented in the Appendix 5. Fig. 25 and 26 shows the table and the corresponding graphical representation of average values of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for all chromosomes of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (initial data about the chromosomes were taken from the CenBank - <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/4>, the column RefSeq). These average values were calculated from the tables in Fig. A5/1-A5/5 by analogy with the described calculation of average values of the probabilities in cases of human chromosomes (Fig. 18).

CHROMOSOME	AVERAGE VALUE OF $P_n(A_k)$ (%)	AVERAGE VALUE OF $P_n(T_k)$ (%)	AVERAGE VALUE OF $P_n(C_k)$ (%)	AVERAGE VALUE OF $P_n(G_k)$ (%)
1	32,083	32,043	17,960	17,913
2	32,066	32,070	17,989	17,876
3	31,913	31,756	18,156	18,175
4	31,969	31,827	18,144	18,060
5	31,976	32,088	17,920	18,018

Fig. 25. The table of average values of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ in percentage for each of 5 chromosomes of *Arabidopsis thaliana* ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; $k \leq n$).




Fig. 26. The graphic representation of average values of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ in percentage for each of 7 chromosomes of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The abscissa axis contains numberings of chromosomes, and the ordinate axis contains average values of these probabilities in percent. The symbol of a blue diamond corresponds the average values of $P_n(A_k) \approx P_n(T_k)$, and the symbol of a red square corresponds the average values of $P_n(C_k) \approx P_n(G_k)$.

One more analysed organism is the laboratory mouse, which is a major model organism for basic mammalian biology, human disease, and genome evolution. Data about the fulfillment of the described rules of tetra-group symmetries in the complete set of chromosomes of *Mus musculus* (house mouse) are represented in the Appendix 6, where Fig. A6/22 shows the table of fluctuations of collective probabilities for DNA-texts of all chromosomes and Fig. A6/23 shows the graphical representation of these collective probabilities for all chromosomes of *Mus musculus*.

It seems interesting in the future to study the characteristics of tetra-group symmetries for karyotypes of a variety of different organisms; these characteristics (approximate constants of karyotypes?) can be useful for comparative analysis of organisms, for example, in problems of biological evolution.

10. Fractal genetic nets and the fifth and sixth rules of symmetries of collective probabilities of tetra-groups. On a fractal grammar of long DNA-texts.

The tetra-group symmetries are connected with the fractal-like principle: in n -letter representations of a long DNA-text, the individual probabilities of tetra-group subgroups of n -plets of a lower order are repeated in the collective probabilities of the corresponding subgroups of n -plets of higher orders.

Another connection of long DNA-texts with fractals was described in the article [Petoukhov, Svirin, 2012] where the notion of “fractal genetic nets” (FGN) has been introduced. Each FGN of texts can contain different fractal genetic trees (FGT). In that article we have shown hidden regularities in long DNA-texts in a connection with Chargaff’s thoughts about a “grammar of biology”: our results testified in favor of existence of new symmetry principles in long nucleotide sequences in an addition to the known symmetry principle on the basis of the generalized Chargaff’s second parity rule. Some new symmetry principles dealing with FGT and FGN have been formulated there.

Below we represent our results that show the implementation of the tetra-group symmetries in convoluted long DNA-texts at different levels of different kinds of fractal genetic nets (or trees). But initially let us remind about FGN.

In line with our article [Petoukhov, Svirin, 2012], FGT of various types are constructed by the method of sequential positional convolutions of a long DNA-text into a set of ever-shorter texts. Fig. 27 explains a construction of FGT of various types by an example of the FGT for a long DNA-text, which is represented as a sequence S_0 of 3-letter words (the sequence of triplets). In each triplet, 0, 1 and 2 numbers its three positions correspondingly. At the first level of the text convolution, an initial long sequence S_0 of triplets is transformed by means of a positional convolution into three new sequences of nucleotides $S_{1/0}$, $S_{1/1}$ and $S_{1/2}$, each of which is 3 times shorter in comparison with the initial sequence S_0 (in this notation of sequences, numerator of the index shows the level of the convolution, and the denominator - the position of the triplets, which is used for the convolution): the sequence $S_{1/0}$ includes one by one all the nucleotides that are in the initial position “0” of triplets of the original sequence S_0 ; the sequence $S_{1/1}$ includes one by one all the nucleotides that are in the middle position “1” of triplets of the original sequence S_0 ; the sequence $S_{1/2}$ includes one by one all the nucleotides that are in the last position “2” of triplets of the original sequence S_0 . At the final stage of the first level of the positional convolution, each of the sequences of nucleotides $S_{1/0}$, $S_{1/1}$, $S_{1/2}$ is represented as a sequence of triplets, where three positions inside each of triplets are numbered again by 0, 1 and 2. To construct the second level of the convolution, each of the sequences $S_{1/0}$, $S_{1/1}$, $S_{1/2}$ is transformed by means of the same positional convolution into three new sequences: $S_{1/0}$ is convolved into $S_{2/00}$, $S_{2/01}$, $S_{2/02}$; $S_{1/1}$ – into $S_{2/10}$, $S_{2/11}$, $S_{2/12}$; $S_{1/2}$ – into $S_{2/20}$, $S_{2/21}$, $S_{2/22}$. Similarly, the third level and subsequent levels of the convolution are constructed to form a multi-level tree of sequences of triplets. This tree is called “the fractal genetic tree for the triplet convolution” or briefly “FGT-3”. Texts at lower levels of any FGT can be figuratively called “daughter texts” of the initial long DNA-text S_0 .




Fig. 27. The scheme of a fractal genetic tree (FGN-3) of a long DNA-text, which is represented as a sequence of triplets (from [Petoukhov, Svirin, 2012]).

This FGT possesses a fractal-like character if the enumeration of positions is only taken into account: each of long sequences of this FGT can be taken as an initial sequence to form a similar genetic tree on its basis (Fig. 4). In general case, the FGT can be built not only for DNA-texts of triplets, but also for DNA-texts of other n -plets ($n = 2, 4, 5, \dots$) by means of the repeated positional convolution of each of texts from the previous level into " n " daughter texts of the next level of the convolution. This way one can build FGT-2, FGT-4, FGT-5, etc. for $n = 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots$ correspondingly. A set of these FGT-2, FGT-3, FGT-4, FGT-5, ... forms a net of separate trees of the initial and daughter texts; FGN is a set of such separate trees.

It is obvious that in the general case of FGT- n (where $n = 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots$), when we move to its next convolution level, the number of texts increases by a factor of n -fold and their lengths are shortened by a factor of n . A FGT- n has at its first level of convolution n daughter texts; at its second level of convolution – n^2 daughter texts; and at p -th level – n^p daughter texts. The total quantity N of texts in a FGT- n , which has p levels of convolution ($p = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$), is equal to the sum of daughter texts at all p levels:

$$N = 1+n+n^2+n^3+\dots+n^p \quad (37)$$

Each of daughter texts at different levels of a FGT- n is very individual and differs from other texts in this FGT- n in the general case.

For a long DNA-text of any biological organism, one can study implementation of the described rules of tetra-group symmetries in long texts at different levels of the convolution in cases of FGT-2, FGT-3, FGT-4, etc. Our own results of initial study of fractal genetic trees FGT-2, FGT-3, FGT-4 for enough long DNA-texts of different organisms show the implementation of these tetra-group rules in all daughter texts at initial levels of the FGT-2, FGT-3 and FGT-4. Moreover, for each of tested long DNA-tests, values of the probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ are approximately repeated in all daughter texts at different initial levels of these tested cases of FGT- n . In other words, for each daughter text at each level of these fractal genetic trees, values of each of these kinds of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ (where $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$;

$k \leq n$) lie in a narrow numerical interval (it is called an interval of fluctuations of the probability values at an appropriate level of a FGT- n). Fig. 28 and 29 illustrate this phenomenologic fact in a compressive form of tables showing these fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for daughter texts at each of two first levels of the FGT-2 and FGT-3 in cases of human sex chromosomes X and Y (their DNA-texts contain 156040895 and 57227415 letters correspondingly). Let us remind that - in line with the expression (37) - the quantity of different texts at the considered levels (from the level 0 till the level 2) is equal to $1+2+2^2 = 7$ in the case of FGT-2 and $1+3+3^2 = 13$ in the case of FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.3017÷ 0.3019	0.3017÷ 0.3019	0.3016÷ 0.3020	0.3016÷ 0.3017	0.3017÷ 0.3019	0.3015÷ 0.3015	0.3015÷ 0.3019
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.3028÷ 0.3029	0.3028÷ 0.3028	0.3027÷ 0.3027	0.3025÷ 0.3029	0.3028÷ 0.3029	0.3027÷ 0.3031	0.3027÷ 0.3027
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.197÷ 0.1971	0.1969÷ 0.1971	0.1969÷ 0.197	0.1967÷ 0.1971	0.1969÷ 0.1971	0.1968÷ 0.197	0.1971÷ 0.1971
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.1981÷ 0.1982	0.1981÷ 0.1982	0.1981÷ 0.1982	0.1981÷ 0.1982	0.1981÷ 0.1982	0.198÷ 0.1984	0.1981÷ 0.1983

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.3017÷ 0.3019	0.3016÷ 0.3020	0.3017÷ 0.3017	0.3017÷ 0.3019	0.3015÷ 0.3018	0.3016÷ 0.3019
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.3028÷ 0.3029	0.3027÷ 0.3029	0.3028÷ 0.3029	0.3027÷ 0.3029	0.3024÷ 0.3029	0.3025÷ 0.3028
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.1970÷ 0.1971	0.1970÷ 0.1970	0.1970÷ 0.1974	0.1967÷ 0.1971	0.1969÷ 0.1971	0.1967÷ 0.1968
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.1981÷ 0.1982	0.1981÷ 0.1981	0.1980÷ 0.1980	0.1981÷ 0.1982	0.198÷ 0.1981	0.1979÷ 0.1985

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.3015÷ 0.3019	0.3018÷ 0.3019	0.3015÷ 0.3018	0.3014÷ 0.3014	0.3016÷ 0.3019	0.3016÷ 0.3017	0.3015÷ 0.3018
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.3025÷ 0.3026	0.3025÷ 0.3025	0.3026÷ 0.3030	0.3024÷ 0.3030	0.3025÷ 0.3029	0.3025÷ 0.3030	0.3027÷ 0.3030
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.1966÷ 0.1973	0.1967÷ 0.1969	0.1968÷ 0.1969	0.1969÷ 0.1974	0.1968÷ 0.1971	0.1966÷ 0.1968	0.1967÷ 0.1971
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.1980÷ 0.1981	0.1977÷ 0.1987	0.1979÷ 0.1983	0.1978÷ 0.1982	0.1980÷ 0.1981	0.1980÷ 0.1985	0.1978÷ 0.1981

Fig. 28. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the human sex chromosome X (NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000023.11). Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; $k \leq n$.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2983÷ 0.2987	0.2982÷ 0.2982	0.2979÷ 0.2987	0.2980÷ 0.2989	0.2981÷ 0.2981	0.2981÷ 0.2987	0.2976÷ 0.2992
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.3009÷ 0.3012	0.3008÷ 0.3021	0.3007÷ 0.3014	0.3009÷ 0.3013	0.3005÷ 0.3020	0.3005÷ 0.3010	0.3005÷ 0.3009
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.1998÷ 0.1998	0.1997÷ 0.1997	0.1995÷ 0.1995	0.1996÷ 0.1999	0.1997÷ 0.1997	0.1996÷ 0.2001	0.1995÷ 0.1995
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.1998÷ 0.2003	0.1994÷ 0.2001	0.1999÷ 0.2004	0.1995÷ 0.1998	0.1994÷ 0.2002	0.1995÷ 0.2002	0.1996÷ 0.2003

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2983÷ 0.2987	0.2981÷ 0.2988	0.2984÷ 0.2989	0.298÷ 0.2982	0.2981÷ 0.2988	0.2976÷ 0.2984
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.3009÷ 0.3012	0.3008÷ 0.3012	0.3007÷ 0.3013	0.3008÷ 0.3012	0.300÷ 0.3013	0.3010÷ 0.3019
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.1998÷ 0.1998	0.1995÷ 0.2004	0.1999÷ 0.2002	0.1999÷ 0.1999	0.199÷ 0.1999	0.1994÷ 0.1994
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.1998÷ 0.2003	0.1996÷ 0.1996	0.1996÷ 0.1997	0.200÷ 0.2007	0.1996÷ 0.2001	0.1991÷ 0.2003

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2974÷ 0.2982	0.2978÷ 0.2991	0.2979÷ 0.2979	0.2983÷ 0.2985	0.2975÷ 0.2976	0.2974÷ 0.2990	0.2975÷ 0.2979
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.3005÷ 0.3023	0.2997÷ 0.3006	0.3002÷ 0.3010	0.3006÷ 0.3008	0.3005÷ 0.3023	0.3005÷ 0.3010	0.3005÷ 0.3006
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.1994÷ 0.2005	0.1994÷ 0.1996	0.1995÷ 0.2004	0.1996÷ 0.2011	0.1993÷ 0.2003	0.1997÷ 0.2004	0.1993÷ 0.2002
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.1990÷ 0.1990	0.2000÷ 0.2008	0.1988÷ 0.2007	0.1991÷ 0.1996	0.1997÷ 0.1998	0.1996÷ 0.1996	0.1998÷ 0.2013

Fig. 29. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and in the FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the human sex chromosome Y (NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000024.10). Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; $k \leq n$.

The same is true not only for each of human sex chromosomes X and Y (Fig. 28 and 29) but also for each of 22 human autosomes. Moreover, the same is true for long DNA-texts of all those model organisms and long DNA-texts, which are represented in the Appendixes 3-6.

These our results allow putting forward the hypothesis about the validity of **the fifth rule of tetra-group symmetries, which concerns fractal genetic trees of long DNA-texts (including chromosomes) of different organisms:**

- For each of fractal genetic trees FGT- n (where $n = 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots$ is not too large) of a long DNA-text, each of its daughter texts at different levels p of the tree (where $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ is not too large) has approximately those values of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ like the initial DNA-text.

Further researches are needed to check a degree of universality of this rule.

From Fig. 28 and 29 one can see also that fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ are approximately equal each to other for all 20 (=7+13) texts at all considered levels of the fractal genetic net, which consists of the FGT-2 and FGT-3. It is true not only for each of human sex chromosomes X and Y but also for each of 22 human autosomes. Moreover, the same is true for long DNA-texts of all those model organisms and long DNA-texts, which are represented in the Appendixes 3-6.

Our results for long DNA-texts (about the implementation of the tetra-group symmetries in long convoluted texts at different levels of their FGT-n) testify in favor of existence of a fractal grammar of genetics in line with the Chargaff's words about a grammar of biology [Chargaff, 1971]. These results about a fractal grammar of long DNA-texts are additionally interesting by the following reasons:

- Many biological organisms have fractal-like inherited configurations in their bodies. This phenomenon can be considered as a consequence of the fractal-like organization of long DNA texts with the participation of tetra-group symmetries;
- As known, fractals allow a colossal compression of information (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fractal_compression). It is obvious that an opportunity of information compression is essential for genetic systems. Modern computer science knows a great number of methods of information compression including many methods of fractal compression. Our described results about fractal genetic nets can lead to a discovery of those «genetic» methods of information compression, which are used in genetic systems and in biological bodies in the whole.
- Many authors published their ideas and materials about relations of genomes with fractal structures in different aspects [Jeffrey, 1990; Lieberman-Aiden et al., 2009; Pellionisz, 2008; Pellionisz et al., 2012; Pellionisz, Ramanujam, Rajan, 2017; Peng et al., 1992; Perez, 2010]. For example, the work [Lieberman-Aiden et al., 2009] shown an existence of fractal globules in the three dimensional architecture of whole genomes, where spatial chromosome territories exist and where maximally dense packing is provided on the basis of a special fractal packing, which provides the ability to easily fold and unfold any genomic locus. One should note that, by contrast to the work [Lieberman-Aiden et al., 2009], in our work we study not the spatial packing of whole genomes in a form of fractal globules but the quite another thing: we study the fractal organization of long DNA-texts, in particular, in the form of described fractal genetic trees and their nets of different kinds (FGT-n, where $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$), which are connected with tetra-group symmetries of these texts (these symmetries and fractals were never studied early);
- Fractals are actively used in study of cancer; some modern data testify that cancer processes are related with fractal patterns and their development [Baish, Jain, 2000; Bizzarri et al., 2011; Dokukin et al., 2015; Lennon et al., 2015; Pellionisz, Ramanujam, Rajan, 2017; Perez, 2017].
- Fractals are connected with theory of dynamic chaos, which has many applications in engineering technologies. We believe that the discovery of fractal-like properties of DNA-texts related with their tetra-group symmetries can lead to new ideas in theoretical and application areas, including problems of artificial intelligence and in-depth study of genetic

phenomena for medical and biotechnological tasks.

Fig. 27 has shown above the example of a creation of daughter texts at different levels of FGN-n from a long DNA-text by the method of sequantel positional convolutions. But one can consider the inverse method, that is the method of the sequential positional assembly those texts, which belong to lower levels of FGN-n, into the extended texts, which belong to its higher levels up to the highest level S_0 . If at a lower level (or at some lower levels) of FGN-n, its texts are permuted in their order, then the method of the sequential positional assembly from these texts leads to new extended texts at higher levels of this fractal tree. Such sequential positional assembly of permuted texts of lower levels of FGN-n can be used in biological evolution of DNA-texts of different organisms. As known, some biological organisms differ each from other by permutations of fragments of their DNA-texts. For example, mouse and human genomes can be viewed as a collection of about 200 fragments which are shuffled (rearranged) in mice as compared to humans; the chromosome 2 in humans is built from fragments that are similar to fragments from mouse DNA residing on chromosomes 1, 2, 6, 8, 11, 12, and 17 [Pevzner, 2000, Fig. 1.4 and 1.5, pp. 15, 16]. Genome rearrangements are a rather common chromosomal abnormality, which are associated with such genetic diseases as Down syndrome.

11. About letter-ordered representations of long DNA-texts conserving their collective probabilities in tetra-groups

The fifth and sixth rules of tetra-group symmetries, which are described in the previous Section about fractal genetic trees, are fulfilled at all not for all long texts of four letters C, G, A and T. To confirm this, let's us consider one of many examples of long texts, which don't satisfy the named rules. For example, take a long text, the first part of which contains only 100000 doublets CG and the second part contains only 100000 doublets AT: CG-CG-CG-...-CG-AT-AT-AT-...-AT. This text satisfies the second Chargaff's parity rule since $\%C = \%G$ and $\%A = \%T$. But at the first level of the positional convolution of this text in its dichotomic fractal genetic tree (FGT-2), two texts are generated: the first of which contains only 50000 letters C and 50000 letters A, and the second of which contains only 50000 letters G and 50000 letters T. It is obvious that each of these two texts doesn't satisfy the second Chargaff's rule and other rules of tetra-group symmetries since $\%C \neq \%G$ and $\%A \neq \%T$, etc.

Is there a variant of ordering rearrangement (shuffling) of all the letters of any long DNA-text, in which the characteristics of tetra-group symmetries of the text do not change? Let's demonstrate a possible variant of an ordered representation of any long DNA-text, which we call the "letter-ordered representation" (LO-representation). Such representation can be used as a convenient "canonical" form of representations of any long DNA-texts for some tasks of comparison analysis of such texts from the standpoint of their tetra-group symmetries.

Any long DNA-text, which contains N_C letters C, N_G letters G, N_A letters A, N_T letters T, can be formally represented by a text of the same length with an ordered sequence that contains four parts: its first part consists of N_C letters C; its second part consists of N_G letters G; its third part consists of N_A letters A; its

fourth part consists of N_T letters T. Such letter-ordered sequence can be conditionally denoted $N_C C - N_G G - N_A A - N_T T$.

We have revealed that such letter-ordered representation of long DNA-texts possesses the same symmetric characteristics of tetra-group probabilities $P_n(C_k)$, $P_5(G_k)$, $P_n(A_k)$ and $P_n(T_k)$ as the original DNA-text (here $n=1,2,3,4,\dots$ - is not too large, $k \leq n$).

With a letter-ordered representation of a long DNA-text, a 4-dimensional metric space with a Cartesian coordinate system can be used, along the axes of which frequencies (numbers) of each of the four letters are plotted. In this case, different DNA-texts will be represented by different points of the given space, and distances between them can be calculated for a comparison analysis. (Another 4-dimensional metric space can be used for probabilities $P_n(C_k)$, $P_5(G_k)$, $P_n(A_k)$ and $P_n(T_k)$). Letter-ordered representations of long DNA-text are also convenient as beginning forms to study those permutations of fragments of long DNA-texts, which conserve characteristics of tetra-group symmetries of the texts.

12. On the biological sense of the symmetries of collective probabilities of tetra-groups in long DNA texts

The world of molecules, including DNA molecules, is subordinate to the principles of quantum mechanics. DNA molecules are carriers of quantum information that modern science analyzes and models on the basis of concepts and methods of quantum information and quantum computing: multi-qubit systems, pure and mixed quantum states, entangled states, edensity matrices, etc. The discovery of the symmetries of the collective probabilities of tetra-groups of oligomers in long DNA texts allowed us to propose a new model approach in which DNA alphabets and long DNA texts are represented by the academic formalisms of quantum informatics in the form of quantum-information multi-qubit systems. From the standpoint of this model approach, the existence of symmetries of these collective probabilities is a quantum-informational phenomenon and part of the general biological quantum-information system for ensuring the transfer of hereditary information in living organisms.

What is the biological sense of the quantum-information phenomenon of the symmetry of the collective probabilities of tetra groups in long DNA texts? A common property of all living organisms, consisting of quantum-mechanical molecular subsystems, is their ability to grow and develop on the basis of incorporation into their body of new and new molecules of nutrients becoming new parts of the whole organism. In the course of evolution, many species have acquired an even greater ability to unite parts to create single colonial and multicellular organisms. This means that biological evolution endowed organisms with a fundamental way of creating single quantum states of multicomponent quantum systems from the quantum states of their subsystems. But an analogous method in quantum mechanics and quantum informatics is built on the general principles of the mathematical operation of tensor multiplication of vectors and matrices. Figuratively speaking, a whole multicomponent organism is - to a certain extent - a product of tensor multiplication of the quantum states of its subsystems, including its molecular genetic systems (our work [Petoukhov, 2011] shows a connection of the tensor

product of matrices with Punnett squares known in Mendelian genetics from 1905 year and included in text-books of genetics about Mendelian crosses of organisms). It is not for nothing that the symmetries of collective probabilities revealed in long DNA texts allow their modeling in the language of separable pure states of multi-qubit quantum systems that unite the quantum states of their subsystems. Our data testify that the biological meaning of symmetries of the collective probabilities of tetra groups in quantum-information long DNA-texts is related to the fundamental problem of the evolutionary quantum-information unification of the set of quantum subsystems into a single organism based on the principles of quantum mechanics. The genetic system, being an integral part of this quantum-information association, ensures the transfer of quantum information to descendants with the possibility of complicating the quantum-genetic messages in the process of biological evolution of organisms. Genetic symmetries of collective probabilities of tetra-groups in long quantum-information DNA texts designate the framework, inside which the evolutionary complication of quantum-genetic messages takes place. From the model standpoint, these symmetries of collective probabilities are a consequence of those quantum-information principles of creating the united states of quantum mechanical systems, which are modeled with the help of tensor products. To this we add that the reverse phenomenon - the appearance of independent "daughter" organisms or individual parts from integral biological objects - can be modeled as a result of the tensor factorization (decomposition) of the quantum states of an integral system upon its disintegration into two or more constituent parts.

The author hopes that the further usage in genetics the concepts and formalisms of quantum informatics, which was undertaken in this article in connection with symmetries of collective probabilities of tetra-groups, will lead to the development of substantial quantum-information genetics. This will promote the inclusion of genetics and all biology in the field of profound mathematical natural science. Consideration of biological phenomena, including the phenomena of inheritance of the intellectual abilities of biological bodies, from the standpoint of the theory of quantum computers, gives many valuable opportunities for their comprehension and also for development of artificial intelligence systems [Petoukhov et al., 2017]. For example, an adult human organism has around 10 trillion (10^{14}) human cells and each of cells contains an identical complex of DNA, genetic information from which is used for physiological functioning organism as the holistic system of cells. How such huge number of cells can reliably functioning as a cooperative whole? Quantum informatics and associations with quantum computers can help to model and understand such holistic biological systems with their ability to compute complex tasks and to transfer genetic information from one generation to another. The fundamental question about quantum computing was firstly touched upon in the book [Manin, 1980].

14. Hyperbolic rules of the oligomer collective organization of genomes.

The above described rules of collective probabilities of oligonucleotides (oligomers) from special tetra-groups can be reformulated into the hyperbolic

rules of the oligomer collective organization of genomes. The hyperbolic rules say about total amounts of n -plets in the special tetra-groups instead of the collective probabilities of these n -plets. This new form seems to be more simple and comfortable for the perception of the phenomenological data about cooperative regularities in genomic sequences.

Let us explain this new form. The determination of the collective probability of one or another set of n -plets of a certain kind (for example, oligomers starting with the nucleotide A) is based on two following actions: 1) their total amount in the analyzed nucleotide sequence is calculated; 2) then this amount of the special kind of oligomers is divided by the sum of n -plets of all kinds, which is the whole DNA sequence length as a sequence of oligomers of the length n . Below, we restrict ourselves to only the first step and compare only the total amounts of all n -plets, which start with one of the nucleotides A, or T, or C, or G.

Let us turn to the human chromosome №1 and calculate - step by step - total amounts of its following n -plets at $n=1, 2, 3, \dots, 20$: 1) all nucleotides A; 2) all 4 doublets starting with A (that is, AA, AT, AC, AG); 3) all 16 triplets starting with A (AAA, AAT, ..., GGG), ..., and so on (initial data on this chromosome are in the GenBank: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide/NC_000001.11).

The result of calculations of such 20 total amounts is shown graphically in Fig. 30, at left (in blue). Here the abscissa axis represents the values of n , and the ordinate axis represents the values of the total amounts $\Sigma_{A,n,1}$ of n -plets, which start with the nucleotide A. The amazing result is that all 20 phenomenological points $[n, \Sigma_{A,n,1}]$ lie - with a high level of accuracy - along the hyperbola $H_{A,1} = S_A/n = 67070277/n$ shown in red in Fig. 30, middle. Deviations of phenomenological quantities $\Sigma_{A,n,1}$ from model values S_A/n lie in the range $-0.030\% \div 0.024\%$, that is, they comprise only hundredths of a percent (Fig. 30, at right).




Fig. 30. The graphic data for the case of the OS-sequences of n -plets from the class A_1 -oligomers of the human chromosome №1. In these graphs, the abscissa axis represents the values $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 20$. **Left:** the ordinate axis represents the set of phenomenological total amounts $\Sigma_{A,n,1}$ of n -plets beginning with the nucleotide A. **Middle:** the ordinate axis represents modeling values $S_A/n = 67070277/n$. The dots with coordinates $[n, S_A/n]$ belong to the shown hyperbola $H_{A,1} = S_A/n = 67070277/n$. **Right:** deviations of the real OS-sequence $\Sigma_{A,n,1}$ from the model hyperbolic progression S_A/n in percentages.

This result is striking because it shows that knowing only the number of nucleotides A, that is, only one member of the number series shown in Fig. 30, at left, one can predict with the high accuracy all other 19 members, each of which

is a sum of 4^{n-1} possible kinds of n -plets. The number of possible kinds of n -plets in these sums is growing rapidly, becoming astronomically huge: 4, 16, 64, 256, 1024, ..., 4^{10} , ..., 4^{19} . Of course, in the human chromosome №1, for example, not all 4^{19} kinds of the mentioned 20-plets exist but the total amount of all those kinds of 20-plets, which exist in this chromosome, is practically equal to $S_A/20$ with a high level of accuracy shown below.

One can remind here that genomic sequences in the GenBank sites usually contain some letters N, indicating that there can be any nucleotide in this place (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK21136/>). By this reason, the total amount of all nucleotides A, T, C, G (that is the sum $S_A + S_T + S_C + S_G$, where S_A , S_T , S_C , and S_G refer to the sums of appropriate nucleotides), calculated for the sequence from the GenBank, is slightly less than the complete length of the DNA sequence, which is indicated in the GenBank. But practically this is not essential for the results of the application of the OS-method to analyze genomic sequences.

Similar results were obtained when studying in this chromosome the total amounts of n -plets, which start with the nucleotide T (Fig. 31, at left), and the nucleotide C (Fig. 31, at middle), and the nucleotide G (Fig. 31, at right). The phenomenological values of the total amounts $\Sigma_{T,n,1}$, $\Sigma_{C,n,1}$, and $\Sigma_{G,n,1}$ of n -plets, which start with the corresponding nucleotide T, or C, or G, are also modeled effectively by appropriate hyperbolic progressions $H_{T,1}$, $H_{C,1}$, $H_{G,1}$, which differ from each other only by their numerators S_T , S_C , and S_G (38):

$$H_{T,1} = S_T/n = 67244164/n, \quad H_{C,1} = S_C/n = 48055043/n, \quad H_{G,1} = S_G/n = 48111528/n \quad (38)$$




Fig. 31. Additional graph data on the human chromosome №1 regarding total amounts of n -plets, which start with the nucleotide T (at left), the nucleotide C (at middle), and the nucleotide G (at right). The abscissa axes represent the values $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 20$. The ordinate axes show model values $H_{T,1}(n)$, $H_{C,1}(n)$, and $H_{G,1}(n)$ (in red) from (38), which practically coincide phenomenological values $\Sigma_{T,n,1}$, $\Sigma_{C,n,1}$, and $\Sigma_{G,n,1}$ of the total amount of n -plets, which start with the nucleotide T (at the left graph), the nucleotide C (at the middle graph), and the nucleotide G (at the right graph). The numerical data on this coincidence is shown below.

Here one should note that this analysis of the oligomer cooperative organization of human chromosome №1 is made by the author's method of oligomer sums (abbreviation, the OS-method). The totality of data obtained by analyzing a nucleotide sequence by the OS-method is called its OS-representations. This method gives numeric sequences called oligomer sums sequences (or briefly, OS-sequences).

The application of the OS-method to the analysis of the human chromosome №1 and other chromosomes and genomes includes the following steps:

- Firstly, one should calculate phenomenological quantities S_A , S_T , S_C , and S_G of monomers A, T, C, and G correspondingly in the considered nucleotide sequence. In the human chromosome № 1, the following quantities exist: $S_A = 67070277$, $S_T = 67244164$, $S_C = 48055043$, $S_G = 48111528$;
- Secondly, to construct the oligomer sums sequences, one should calculate the total amounts $\Sigma_{A,n,1}$, $\Sigma_{T,n,1}$, $\Sigma_{C,n,1}$, and $\Sigma_{G,n,1}$ of n -plets, which start with corresponding nucleotides A, or T, or C, or G at $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ (here, for example, the symbol $\Sigma_{A,3,1}$ refers to the total amount of triplets, which start with the nucleotide A). These total amounts are members of the appropriate OS-sequences. For analysis of human chromosomes and various eukaryotic and prokaryotic genomes by this OS-method, the author usually takes $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 19, 20$.

N	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
A										
Real	67070277	33537501	22360413	16768845	13413532	11179286	9584038	8383461	7453552	6706672
Model	67070277	33535139	22356759	16767569	13414055	11178380	9581468	8383785	7452253	6707028
$\Delta\%A$	0.000	-0.007	-0.016	-0.008	0.004	-0.008	-0.027	0.004	-0.017	0.005
T										
Real	67244164	33620498	22412993	16808862	13445360	11207274	9606748	8405040	7470145	6724359
Model	67244164	33622082	22414721	16811041	13448833	11207361	9606309	8405521	7471574	6724416
$\Delta\%T$	0.000	0.005	0.008	0.013	0.026	0.001	-0.005	0.006	0.019	0.001
C										
Real	48055043	24024903	16012711	12013624	9612227	8005708	6865944	6008215	5336968	4803919
Model	48055043	24027522	16018348	12013761	9611009	8009174	6865006	6006880	5339449	4805504
$\Delta\%C$	0.000	0.011	0.035	0.001	-0.013	0.043	-0.014	-0.022	0.046	0.033
G										
Real	48111528	24057606	16040889	12028924	9625086	8021235	6869132	6013412	5348337	4813156
Model	48111528	24055764	16037176	12027882	9622306	8018588	6873075	6013941	5345725	4811153
$\Delta\%G$	0.000	-0.008	-0.023	-0.009	-0.029	-0.033	0.057	0.009	-0.049	-0.042

n	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
A										
Real	6095821	5588773	5160139	4792078	4472245	4192017	3946422	3726860	3531067	3354107
Model	6097298	5589190	5159252	4790734	4471352	4191892	3945310	3726127	3530015	3353514
$\Delta\%A$	0.024	0.007	-0.017	-0.028	-0.020	-0.003	-0.028	-0.020	-0.030	-0.018
T										
Real	6111970	5601854	5173904	4801395	4479492	4202773	3954021	3735327	3535288	3360459
Model	6113106	5603680	5172628	4803155	4482944	4202760	3955539	3735787	3539167	3362208
$\Delta\%T$	0.019	0.033	-0.025	0.037	0.077	0.000	0.038	0.012	0.110	0.052
C										
Real	4370502	4002753	3694018	3433636	3202830	3003511	2826568	2668499	2531448	2402186
Model	4368640	4004587	3696542	3432503	3203670	3003440	2826767	2669725	2529213	2402752
$\Delta\%C$	-0.043	0.046	0.068	-0.033	0.026	-0.002	0.007	0.046	-0.088	0.024
G										
Real	4374518	4013372	3701250	3435824	3210839	3006763	2830698	2673815	2532772	2407301
Model	4373775	4009294	3700887	3436538	3207435	3006971	2830090	2672863	2532186	2405576
$\Delta\%G$	-0.017	-0.102	-0.010	0.021	-0.106	0.007	-0.021	-0.036	-0.023	-0.072

Fig. 32. Real and model values to the OS-representations of the classes of A_1 -, T_1 -, C_1 -, and G_1 -oligomers in human chromosome №1 are shown for $n = 1, 2, \dots, 20$. The real total amounts of n -plets, which start with a certain nucleotide (A, T, C, or G), are indicated (in blue) jointly with their model values $H_{A,1}(n)$, $H_{T,1}(n)$, $H_{C,1}(n)$, and $H_{G,1}(n)$ from (2.1) (in red). The symbol $\Delta\%$ refers to deviations of real values from model values in percent (the model values are taken as 100%).

Fig. 32 shows real and model numeric values for the OS-representation of the human chromosome №1 at $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 20$. The model values of the total amounts of n -plets, which start with a certain nucleotide (A, T, C, or G), are calculated correspondingly as values of the hyperbolic progressions $H_{A,1} = S_A/n = 67070277/n$, $H_{T,1} = S_T/n = 67244164/n$, $H_{C,1} = S_C/n = 48055043/n$, and $H_{G,1} = S_G/n = 48111528/n$. Deviations of real values from model values are also shown in percent in accordance with the expression: $100(1 - \text{real value})/(\text{model value})$. One can see that these deviations are much lesser than 0,2% in all cases.

The model hyperbolic progressions $H_{A,1} = S_A/n$, $H_{T,1} = S_T/n$, $H_{C,1} = S_C/n$, and $H_{G,1} = S_G/n$ serve as mathematical standards for the described phenomenological facts. These hyperbolic progressions differ from each other only in the magnitude of numerators in their expressions, and therefore they can be specified by the general expression (39):

$$H_{N,1}(n) = S_N/n, \quad (39)$$

where N refers to any of nucleotides A, T, C, or G; S_N refers to the number of corresponding nucleotides A, T, C, or G in the analyzed nucleotide sequence. If you know the total quantity S_N of the monomer N, you can predict - with a high level of accuracy - the total amounts of n -plets belonging to the class N_1 -oligomers by using the general expression (39). These phenomenological facts testify in favor of the cooperative entity of the nucleotide sequence in the human chromosome № 1.

By the corresponding compression of the ordinate axis in these cartesian coordinate systems (that is by appropriate scaling of numerators S_A , S_T , S_C , and S_G), each of these four hyperbolic sequences $H_{A,1} = S_A/n$, $H_{T,1} = S_T/n$, $H_{C,1} = S_C/n$, and $H_{G,1} = S_G/n$ reduces to the hyperbolic sequence (40):

$$y = 1/n, \quad (40)$$

which we call the canonical (or reference) hyperbolic sequence of OS-representations (or the canonical OS-sequence) of nucleotide sequences. In mathematics, the sequence (41)

$$1/1, 1/2, 1/3, 1/4, \dots, 1/n \quad (41)$$

is known long ago as a harmonic progression (or a harmonic sequence) where each its term is the harmonic mean of the neighboring terms. For this reason, the revealed hyperbolic sequences in genomes can be also called genomic harmonic progressions and, in this mathematical sense, one can talk about the harmonic rules and the harmonious organization of genomes described below. The historically famous name "the harmonic progression" comes from the connection (41) with the series of harmonics in music. The sums of the first members of the harmonic progression (41) are called harmonic numbers. The rich centuries-old history of the study of harmonic progressions and harmonic series is associated with the names of Pythagoras, Orem (d'Oresme), Leibniz, Newton, Euler, Fourier, Dirichlet, Riemann and other researchers. The generalization of the harmonic series is known as the Riemann zeta function. Using musical terminology, where the term "timbre" refers to the totality of the set of sound frequencies in a

prolonged sound, one can conditionally say that the oligomer sums method represents the analyzed nucleotide sequence as some “oligomer timbre”. The series of harmonic numbers serves as the discrete analogue of the continuous function of natural logarithm $\ln n$ [Graham, Knuth, Parashnik, 1994, p. 276]; this, in particular, connects the harmonic progression (41) with the Weber-Fechner logarithmic law, which is the main psychophysical law and dictates informatic peculiarities for all inherited sensory channels - vision, hearing, smell, etc -, whose organs (eyes, ears, nose, etc.) very differ each other in appearance. It testifies that genetical and psychophysical levels of inherited biological informatics are structurally intercorrelated on the algebra-harmonical basis [Petoukhov, 2016, 2020].

Fig. 32 shows that the sequences of the total amounts of n -plets from the classes of A_1 -oligomers and T_1 -oligomers differ little from each other. The same is true for the sequences of the total amounts of n -plets from the classes of C_1 - and G_1 -oligomers. This fact is described by the expressions (42):

$$\Sigma_{A,n,1} \approx \Sigma_{T,n,1}, \quad \Sigma_{C,n,1} \approx \Sigma_{G,n,1} \quad (42)$$

In the particular case at $n = 1$, expressions (41) demonstrate the second Chargaff's rule on the approximate equality between the amounts of nucleotides A and T, as well as C and G in long DNA sequences. Correspondingly the phenomenological fact, described by expressions (41), is a certain generalization of the second Chargaff's rule.

The results presented indicate, at least for the human chromosome №1, that there exists two general hyperbolic (or harmonic) rules regarding the total amounts of n -plets, which start with a certain nucleotide A, T, C, or G.

The first hyperbolic rule (about interrelations of oligomers in individual chromosomes):

- In individual chromosomes, for any of classes of oligomers, which start with the nucleotide A, or T, or C, or G, the total amounts $\Sigma_{N,n,1}(n)$ of their n -plets, corresponding different n , are interrelated each other through the general expression $\Sigma_{N,n,1} \approx S_N/n$ with a high level of accuracy (here N refers to any of nucleotides A, T, C, or G; S_N refers to the quantity of monomers N; $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ is not too large compared to the full length of the nucleotide sequence). The phenomenological points with coordinates $[n, \Sigma_{N,n,1}]$ practically lie on the hyperbola having points $H_{N,1} = S_N/n$.

The second hyperbolic rule (about the similarity in the pairs of OS-sequences):

- In individual chromosomes, two numeric OS-sequences expressing the total amounts of n -plets, which start with the nucleotide A and with the nucleotide T, are approximately identical. The same is true for two numeric OS-sequences expressing the total amounts of n -plets, which start with the nucleotide C and with the nucleotide G (in accordance with the expressions (42)). Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ is not too large compared to the full length of the nucleotide sequence.

Let us continue the description of obtained results of analysis of the human genome, which contains 22 autosomes and 2 sex chromosomes X and Y. These

chromosomes are very different from each other in length, molecular weight, gene content, etc. What can be said about the other 23 human chromosomes?

№	S_A	Range %	S_T	Range %	S_C	Range %	S_G	Range %
1	67070277	-0.030 +0.024	67244164	-0.025 +0.110	48055043	-0.088 +0.068	48111528	-0.106 +0.057
2	71791213	-0.079 +0.087	71987932	-0.075 +0.095	48318180	-0.097 +0.072	48450903	-0.105 +0.141
3	59689091	-0.021 +0.045	59833302	-0.097 +0.098	39233483	-0.130 +0.081	39344259	-0.034 +0.088
4	58561236	-0.065 +0.044	58623430	-0.036 +0.128	36236976	-0.039 +0.127	36331025	-0.117 +0.075
5	54699094	-0.052 +0.040	54955010	-0.071 +0.078	35731600	-0.012 +0.132	35879674	-0.103 +0.085
6	51160489	-0.039 +0.057	51151754	-0.049 +0.022	33520786	-0.092 +0.061	33516767	-0.029 +0.069
7	47058248	-0.104 +0.040	47215040	-0.061 +0.030	32317984	-0.086 +0.091	32378859	-0.076 +0.069
8	42641072	-0.061 +0.068	42581941	-0.111 +0.071	28600559	-0.110 +0.069	28600963	-0.068 +0.050
9	31752642	-0.134 +0.090	31733822	-0.083 +0.065	22487631	-0.099 +0.141	22470915	-0.079 +0.143
10	38875926	-0.081 +0.052	39027555	-0.067 +0.099	27639505	-0.058 +0.085	27719976	-0.118 +0.085
11	39286730	-0.032 +0.084	39361954	-0.062 +0.042	27903257	-0.139 +0.056	27981801	-0.086 +0.112
12	39370109	-0.096 +0.056	39492225	-0.097 +0.094	27092804	-0.076 +0.078	27182678	-0.073 +0.105
13	29224840	-0.067 +0.077	29320872	-0.107 +0.069	18341128	-0.107 +0.141	18346620	-0.130 +0.065
14	25606393	-0.109 +0.100	25819249	-0.040 +0.086	17733667	-0.137 +0.077	17782016	-0.056 +0.142
15	24508669	-0.085 +0.179	24553812	-0.127 +0.088	17752941	-0.090 +0.162	17825903	-0.067 +0.113
16	22558319	-0.122 +0.080	22774906	-0.143 +0.104	18172742	-0.146 +0.074	18299976	-0.146 +0.173
17	22639499	-0.141 +0.105	22705261	-0.146 +0.070	18723944	-0.134 +0.072	18851500	-0.144 +0.105
18	22087028	-0.160 +0.071	22109347	-0.169 +0.121	14574701	-0.090 +0.134	14594335	-0.160 +0.210
19	15142293	-0.160 +0.024	15282753	-0.062 +0.062	13954580	-0.103 +0.097	14061132	-0.057 +0.226
20	16455618	-0.106 +0.129	16643030	-0.099 +0.089	13037092	-0.062 +0.116	13098788	-0.092 +0.155
21	9943435	-0.161 +0.083	9882679	-0.206 +0.173	6864570	-0.134 +0.277	6852178	-0.373 +0.219
22	10382214	-0.175 +0.084	10370725	-0.036 +0.209	9160652	-0.258 +0.155	9246186	-0.143 +0.235
X	46754807	-0.078 +0.084	46916701	-0.102 +0.055	30523780	-0.116 +0.179	30697741	-0.135 +0.067
Y	7886192	-0.244 +0.097	7956168	-0.063 +0.185	5285789	-0.181 +0.407	5286894	-0.247 +0.142

Fig. 33. Some results of analysis of all 24 human nuclear chromosomes by the oligomer sums method are represented. For each of the chromosomes, quantities S_A , S_T , S_C , and S_G of monomers A, T, C, and G are shown to define the model hyperbolic progressions (39). The columns «Range %» show ranges of deviations of real OS-series of corresponding n -plets ($n = 1, 2, \dots, 20$) from their appropriate model values S_A/n , S_T/n , S_C/n , and S_G/n in percentages (in each case, an appropriate model value is taken as 100%). The left column shows chromosome numbers.

Are there hyperbolic rules similar to formulated rules for the human chromosome №1 ? Yes, the author has got a positive answer to this question. For each of 24 human chromosomes, knowing its quantity S_N of the nucleotide N (that is A, T, C, or G) allows you to calculate the total amounts of n -plets, which start with the oligomer N, with a high level of accuracy by using the general expression (39). Here $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ is not very large in comparison with the length of the DNA sequence. Fig. 33 shows general confirmational results of studying all 24 human chromosomes by the OS-method under $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 20$.

These results show that both hyperbolic (or harmonic) rules № 1 and № 2 hold true for each of the human chromosomes with a high level of accuracy.

One can show that the obtained phenomenological data also lead to the third hyperbolic rule related with normalised versions of the OS-sequences S_A/n , S_T/n , S_C/n , and S_G/n . Scaling the numerators S_A , S_T , S_C , and S_G by dividing by their total amount $S = S_A + S_T + S_C + S_G$, we obtain the corresponding scaling of all these OS-sequences, which are termed as "normalized OS-sequences" (43):

$$S_A/nS, S_T/nS, S_C/nS, S_G/nS \quad (43)$$

It turns out that the normalized OS-sequences of all human chromosomes are similar to each other with a high degree of accuracy as Fig. 34 shows.

Chrom	S_A/S	S_T/S	S_C/S	S_G/S
1	0.2910	0.2918	0.2085	0.2087
2	0.2984	0.2993	0.2009	0.2014
3	0.3013	0.3020	0.1980	0.1986
4	0.3086	0.3089	0.1910	0.1915
5	0.3018	0.3032	0.1971	0.1979
6	0.3021	0.302	0.1979	0.197
7	0.2960	0.2970	0.2033	0.2037
8	0.2994	0.2990	0.2008	0.2008
9	0.2928	0.2926	0.2074	0.2072
10	0.2917	0.2929	0.2074	0.2080
11	0.2920	0.2926	0.2074	0.2080
12	0.2957	0.2966	0.2035	0.2042
13	0.3069	0.3079	0.1926	0.1926
14	0.2945	0.2970	0.2040	0.2045
15	0.2896	0.2901	0.2097	0.2106
16	0.2758	0.2784	0.2221	0.2237
17	0.2730	0.2738	0.2258	0.2273
18	0.3011	0.3014	0.1987	0.1989
19	0.2591	0.2615	0.2388	0.2406
20	0.2778	0.2810	0.2201	0.2211
21	0.2964	0.2946	0.2047	0.2043
22	0.2651	0.2648	0.2339	0.2361
X	0.3019	0.3029	0.1971	0.1982
Y	0.2985	0.3012	0.2001	0.2001

Fig. 34. Data of normalised OS-sequences S_A/nS , S_T/nS , S_C/nS , and S_G/nS of all human chromosomes are shown for a comparison. Here $S = S_A+S_T+S_C+S_G$.

The same results on the similarity of normalized OS-sequences S_A/nS , S_T/nS , S_C/nS , and S_G/nS in all chromosomes of a particular genome were obtained by the author when studying the genomes of a number of eukaryotes indicated above in Sections of this article. These results allow proposing the third hyperbolic (or harmonic) rule on the total amounts of n -plets, which start with a certain nucleotide A, T, C, or G.

The third hyperbolic rule (about the similarity of chromosomes):

- All chromosomes of any individual eukaryotic genome have approximately the same normalized OS-sequences S_A/nS , S_T/nS , S_C/nS , and S_G/nS representing classes of A_{1-} , T_{1-} , C_{1-} , and G_{1-} -oligomers ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ is not too large compared to the full length of the nucleotide sequence).

The author has also received the confirmation that the hyperbolic rules №1 and 2 are true for many prokaryotic genomes, which were analysed by the author on the basis of the OS-method (without no one exception from these rules till now). These results on prokaryotic genomes will be published some later. The author suggests that the hyperbolic rules are universal genetic rules. But at this stage of the study, they are only candidates for the role of universal rules, since analysis of the widest variety of genomes is required to verify their universality.

As is known, mutations and the pressure of natural selection influence the genomic sequences of nucleotides. For these reasons, one can assume that as a result of many millions of years of biological evolution, genomic sequences, due to various influences, receive a completely random structure as a whole. This article provides evidence that, despite mutations, the pressure of natural selection, and other evolutionary factors, the nucleotide sequences of the eukaryotic and prokaryotic genomes have universal algebraic invariants. One can believe that the algebraic unity of living organisms is found (this should be tested further and further on more and more number of genomes). The discovery of these invariants gives new knowledge about the unity of the world of all living organisms and about the features of biological evolution. At the same time, new mathematical tools and approaches for in-depth study of this biological world and its evolution appear.

The genomic invariants, described in the article, are connected with hyperbolic sequences and transformations of hyperbolic rotations that shift the hyperbolic sequence along itself. Hyperbolic rotations, also called Lorentz transformations and known in the special theory of relativity, draw attention to the structural connection of genetic phenomena with the hyperbolic geometry of the Minkowski plane. One of the well-known models of two-dimensional hyperbolic geometry is the Poincaré disk model, also called the conformal disk model. The Poincaré disk model is connected with split-quaternions by J. Cockle and seems to be interesting for studying some genetic structures and inherited physiological phenomena as it was mentioned in previous author's publications on matrix genetics (see, for example, [Petoukhov, 2012]).

Living organisms are informational entities in which everything is subordinate to the task of reliably transmitting genetic information to

descendants. All inherited physiological systems, as parts of a whole organism, must be structurally coupled with a genetic code for transmission to descendants in encoded form. Therefore, inherited physiological macrostructures can bear the imprint of structural features of the genetic code. For this reason, structural analogies exist between the genetic system and the properties of inherited physiological systems, for example, the unified properties of different sensor systems, which are reflected in the main psychophysical Weber-Fechner law [Petoukhov, 2016].

It should be noted that the genomic hyperbolic rules are cardinally different from well-known hyperbolic Zipf's law. Zipf's law was originally formulated in terms of quantitative linguistics, stating that given some corpus of natural language utterances, the frequency of any word is inversely proportional to its rank in the frequency table (see, for example, [Fagan, Gençay, 2010]). In linguistics and in other fields, Zipf's law speaks on the frequency of encounter of separate words or other separate objects. In contrast, the hyperbolic rules of the genomes focus on the OS-sequences of the total amounts of n -plets and the genomic entanglement, that is, on the relative number of not separate oligomers, but the whole sums of sets of different n -plets distributed inside the genomic sequence, where each separate nucleotide is a part of many oligomer sets existing simultaneously (each nucleotide is a distributed participant of many members of the appropriate genomic OS-sequence at once and makes a contribution to each of them). From the point of view of the quantum-information model, OS-sequences serve as quantum-information characteristics of genomic sequences.

The proposed oligomer sums method and the quantum-information model give new opportunities to study genetic systems and the inherited algebra-harmonic organization of living bodies.

15. Applications of the oligomer sums method to analysis of long genes

The application of the oligomer sums method to study long genes, whose sequences are much shorter than the complete DNA sequences in genomes, is also possible and gives interesting results. First of all, it unexpectedly reveals the phenomenon of regular rhythmic deviations of the sequences of real total sums of n -plets in the described genes from the corresponding model hyperbolic progressions.

Let us firstly consider human *TTN* gene encoding the largest known protein Titin. Titin, also known as connectin, is important in the contraction of striated muscle tissues. Figs. 33-37 show in graphical forms some results of analysis - by the oligomer sums method - of the nucleotide sequence of *TTN* gene (numeric results will be represented below). Initial data on its nucleotide sequence are taken in the GenBank <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/X90568.1>. This gene contains 26373 nucleotides A, 19569 nucleotides T, 17097 nucleotides C, and 18901 nucleotides G, that is $S_A = 26373$, $S_T = 19569$, $S_C = 17097$, and $S_G = 18901$ for the model hyperbolic progressions (39). It can be especially noted that, in this gene, the amounts of nucleotides A and T are significantly different (26373 and 19569), that is, the second Chargaff's rule on their approximate equality in long

sequences is not satisfied here since this nucleotide sequence is not enough long for the Chargaff's rule.




Fig. 35. Graphical representations of the results of analysis - by the oligomer sums method - of the human *TNT* gene. The OS-sequences of its total amounts of n -plets, which start with the nucleotide T (left) and the nucleotide G (right), are shown. The red lines refer to model hyperbolic progressions S_T/n and S_G/n correspondingly, where $S_T = 19569$ and $S_G = 18901$ are quantities of nucleotides T and G in the gene; $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 20$ as shown at the abscissa axes. The blue line (left) and the green line (right) with dots on them refer to the real OS-sequences of the total amounts of such n -plets. The ordinate axes indicate the total amounts of n -plets.

Fig. 35 shows the sequences of the highly regular significant deviations of the real total amounts of n -plets, which start with the nucleotide T and the nucleotide G, from model hyperbolic progressions $S_T/n = 19569/n$ and $S_G/n = 18901/n$. One should note that all these significant deviations happen only at $n = 3, 6, 9, \dots, 3m$, that is only for cases of $3m$ -plets (here $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Correspondingly these significant deviations can be called «triplet-deviations».

Fig. 36 shows the graph, which unites both graphs from Fig. 35 and demonstrates a few interesting features of the highly regular series of these triplet-deviations.




Fig. 36. The graph, uniting two graphs from Fig. 33 for the *TNT* gene, is shown. The blue dot line and the green dot lines correspond to those additional

hyperbolic progressions $11979/n$ and $28788/n$, which model real total amounts of $3m$ -plets. Other parts of this united graph are the same as in Fig. 33.

Firstly, one can see in Fig. 36 that, in classes of T_1 -oligomers and G_1 -oligomers, the triplet-deviations happen in opposite directions (or, figuratively speaking, in antiphase):


- in the class of T_1 -oligomers, they decrease real values compared with model values of the hyperbolic progression $19569/n$;
- in the class of G_1 -oligomers, they increase real values in comparison with model values of the hyperbolic progression $18901/n$.

Secondly, under triplet-deviations, real total amounts of $3m$ -plets from the classes of T_1 -oligomers and G_1 -oligomers belong correspondingly to another hyperbolic progressions $11979/n$ and $28788/n$. These hyperbolic progressions are indicated by the blue dot line and the green dot line in Fig. 36. Where did these numerators of model hyperbolas come from? Each of these numerators is associated with the total amount of triplets ($n = 3$) in an appropriate class of oligomers in this gene: the total amount of triplets starting with nucleotide T is equal to 3993, and the total amount of triplets starting with nucleotide G is equal to 9596. To calculate the first values of the model hyperbolas, each of these amounts of triplets must be tripled, giving the shown numerators 11979 and 28788.

Similar triplet-deviations exist in the OS-representations not only of the *TNT* gene but also of other long genes, prokaryotic genomes, and viruses in different degrees as the author has discovered in analysis of a limited set of nucleotide series by the OS-method. In the genetic code system, triplets have an important meaning, which differ them from other n -plets: they encode amino acids and punctuations of protein synthesis. One can believe that the phenomenon of the triplet-deviations is related with this special meaning of triplets. For this reason, the deeper analysis of triplet-deviations in different species can be useful to study secrets of the genetic system and biological evolution.

Fig. 36 demonstrated the highly regular rhythmic triplet-deviations for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 20$, but similar rhythmic triplet-deviations exist in a much wider range of values n .

Fig. 37 shows in graphical forms percentage values of the highly regular rhythmic deviations of the real total amounts of n -plets, which start with the nucleotide T and with the nucleotide G in the *TNT* gene, from the appropriate model values $19569/n$ and $18901/n$. Two cases of the range of values n are represented there: $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 20$, and $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 100$.






Fig. 37. Percentage representations of highly regular rhythmic sequences of the triplet-deviations of the real amounts of n -plets, which belong to classes of T_1 - and G_1 -oligomers, from the appropriate model hyperbolic values $19569/n$ and $18901/n$ in the *TNT* gene. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 20$ (upper row) and $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 100$ (bottom row) as shown at the abscissa axes. The ordinate axes show percentages of the deviations (the model values are taken as 100%).

The following Fig. 38 shows the OS-sequences of the total amounts of n -plets, which start with two other nucleotides A and C in the *TNT* gene. This gene contains 26373 nucleotides A and 17097 nucleotides C, that is $S_A = 26373$ and $S_C = 17097$ for the model hyperbolic progressions (39).




Fig. 38. Graphical representations of the results of analysis - by the oligomer sums method - of the human *TNT* gene regarding the sequences of the total amounts of n -plets, which start with the nucleotide A (left) and the nucleotide C (right). Here $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 20$ (at the absciss axes). **Upper row:** the red lines refer to model hyperbolic progressions $S_A/n = 26373/n$ and $S_C/n = 17097/n$ correspondingly. The ordinate axes show the total amounts of appropriate n -plets. The class of oligomers starting with the nucleotide C has a regular sequences of the significant triplet-deviations at $3m$ -plets shown by the blue line. **Bottom row:** percentage representations of the sequences of deviations of the real total amounts of n -plets of these classes from the appropriate model hyperbolic values $26373/n$ and $17097/n$ (the ordinate axes show these percentages). The model values are taken as 100%.

One can see in Fig. 38 that the class of oligomers, which start with the nucleotide C, has a regular sequences of the significant triplet-deviations at $3m$ -plets shown by the blue line. The class of oligomers has not such regular sequences of significant deviations; in addition, its deviations are essentially less than deviations in the class of C_1 -oligomers. In the class of A_1 -oligomers, the real and model values differ little from each other, and therefore, in Fig. 38, the red line of model values covers the line of real values.

Fig. 39 shows numeric results of analysis of the *TNT* gene by the oligomer sums method.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
A										
Real	26373	13334	8848	6656	5346	4463	3805	3315	2924	2724
Model	26373	13187	8791	6593	5275	4396	3768	3297	2930	2637
$\Delta\%$	0	-1.119	-0.648	-0.952	-1.354	-1.536	-0.993	-0.557	0.216	-3.287
T										
Real	19569	9677	3993	4857	3885	1964	2755	2426	1332	1943
Model	19569	9784.5	6523	4892	3914	3262	2796	2446	2174	1957
$\Delta\%$	0	1.099	38.786	0.721	0.736	39.782	1.451	0.823	38.740	0.710
C										
Real	17097	8522	4876	4199	3426	2431	2458	2101	1617	1707
Model	17097	8549	5699	4274	3419	2850	2442	2137	1900	1710
$\Delta\%$	0	0.310	14.441	1.761	-0.193	14.687	-0.638	1.690	14.880	0.158
G										
Real	18901	9437	9596	4773	3731	4798	2687	2400	3231	1820
Model	18901	9451	6300	4725	3780	3150	2700	2363	2100	1890
$\Delta\%$	0	0.143	-52.309	-1.011	1.302	-52.309	0.487	-1.582	-53.849	3.709

n	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
A										
Real	2403	2219	1989	1956	1819	1683	1499	1454	1415	1384
Model	2398	2198	2029	1884	1758	1648	1551	1465	1388	1319
$\Delta\%$	-0.228	-0.967	1.957	-3.833	-3.458	-2.104	3.375	0.762	-1.941	-4.956
T										
Real	1782	986	1563	1339	788	1224	1160	660	1032	974
Model	1779	1631	1505	1398	1305	1223	1151	1087	1030	978
$\Delta\%$	-0.169	39.537	-3.833	4.206	39.598	-0.077	-0.772	39.292	-0.199	0.455
C										
Real	1548	1207	1258	1227	963	1024	1038	803	932	849
Model	1554	1425	1315	1221	1140	1069	1006	950	900	855
$\Delta\%$	0.404	15.283	4.346	-0.474	15.511	4.170	-3.211	15.459	-3.574	0.684
G										
Real	1716	2416	1493	1330	1892	1190	1123	1635	933	890
Model	1718	1575	1454	1350	1260	1181	1112	1050	995	945
$\Delta\%$	0.132	-53.389	-2.688	1.487	-50.151	-0.735	-1.005	-55.706	6.211	5.825

Fig. 39. Real and model values to the OS-representations of the classes of A_1 -, T_1 -, C_1 -, and G_1 -oligomers in the human *TNT* gene are shown for $n = 1, 2, \dots, 20$. The real total amounts of n -plets, which start with a certain nucleotide (A, T, C, or G), are indicated jointly with their model values $H_{A,1}(n) = 26373/n$, $H_{T,1}(n) = 19569/n$, $H_{C,1}(n) = 17097/n$, and $H_{G,1}(n) = 18901/n$ (in red). The symbol $\Delta\%$ refers to deviations of real values from model values in percent (the model values are taken as 100%).

Let us show briefly, for a comparison, also the OS-representations of another human *NEB* gene. Figs. 40 and 41 show graphs with the results of the *NEB* gene by the oligomer sums method. Initial data on this gene were taken from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/X83957>. This gene contains 7071

nucleotides A, 4478 nucleotides T, 4578 nucleotides C, and 4754 nucleotides G, that is $S_A = 7071$, $S_T = 4478$, $S_C = 4578$, and $S_G = 4754$ for the model hyperbolic progressions (39). It can be especially noted that, in this gene, the amounts of nucleotides A and T are significantly different (7071 and 4478), that is, the second Chargaff's rule on their approximate equality in long sequences is not fulfilled here since this nucleotide sequence is not enough long for the Chargaff's rule.





Fig. 40. Graphical representations of the results of analysis - by the oligomer sums method - of the human *NEB* gene: the sequences of the total amounts of n -plets, which start with the nucleotide A (left) and the nucleotide G (right) are shown. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 20$ (at the absciss axes). **Upper row:** the red lines refer to model hyperbolic progressions $S_A/n = 7071/n$ (left) and $S_G/n = 4754/n$ (at right). The ordinate axes show the total amounts of appropriate n -plets. The highly regular sequences of the significant triplet-deviations at $3m$ -plets shown by the green line (at left) and the blue line (right). **Bottom row:** percentage representations of the sequences of deviations of the real total amounts of n -plets of these classes from the appropriate model hyperbolic values $7071/n$ and $4754/n$ (the ordinate axes show these percentages). The model values are taken as 100%.






Fig. 41. Graphical representations of the results of analysis - by the oligomer sums method - of the human *NEB* gene: the sequences of the total amounts of n -plets, which start with the nucleotide T (left) and the nucleotide C (right) are shown. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 20$ (at the absciss axes). **Upper row:** the red lines refer to model hyperbolic progressions $S_T/n = 4478/n$ (left) and $S_C/n = 4578/n$ (right). The ordinate axes show the total amounts of appropriate n -plets. The weakly regular sequences of the significant triplet-deviations at $3m$ -plets shown by the green line (left) and the blue line (right). **Bottom row:** percentage representations of the sequences of deviations of the real total amounts of n -plets of these classes from the appropriate model hyperbolic values $4478/n$ and $4578/n$ (the ordinate axes show these percentages). The model values are taken as 100%.

In the author's laboratory, the oligomer sums method is now applied to systematic study of a wide list of eukaryotic and prokaryotic genomes, genes, viruses, bacteriophages and other DNA and RNA sequences. Results of this study will be published some later.

16. Some concluding remarks

The modern situation in the theoretic field of genetic informatics, where many millions of nucleotide sequences are described, can be characterized by the following citation: "*We are in the position of Johann Kepler when he first began looking for patterns in the volumes of data that Tycho Brahe had spent his life accumulating. We have the program that runs the cellular machinery, but we know very little about how to read it.*" [Fickett & Burks, 1989]. Kepler did not make his own astronomic observations, but he found - in the huge astronomic data of Tycho Brahe - his Kepler's laws of symmetric movements of planets relative to the Sun along ellipses. What are the hidden symmetries and rules in long DNA texts, in which the living nature for some reason records hereditary information about the most diverse organisms?

The Chargaff's parity rules played an important role in development of bioinformatics. We hope that the represented in this article rules of tetra-group symmetries in long texts of single stranded DNA will be also useful for further development of bioinformatics and theoretical biology.

The study of these tetra-group rules is now continued with including, in particular, relations of the tetra-groups of triplets with groups of amino acids and stop-codons, which are considered in many publications (see for example, [Dragovich, Dragovich, 2007; Dragovich, Khrennikov, Misic, 2017]).

In our opinion, characteristics of tetra-group symmetries of collective probabilities in long DNA-sequences and in sets of chromosomes of different organisms can be useful as new criteria of comparative analysis for taxonomic classification of biological organisms, for problems of evolutionary biology, etc.

The article [Trifonov, 1993] noted that the classical triplet code is not the only code carried by the sequences. DNA is a molecular language consisting of letters, words, and texts, carrying overlapping messages embodying multiple codes (as in our broadband communication channels of the Internet; on this, see additionally [Manin, Manin, 2017]). *«The nucleotide sequences are written in an unbroken manner. One way to detect “words” in such a continuous text is to evaluate the degree of internal correlation by calculating contrast values for the words. This technique allows one to derive vocabularies, which are species- and function-specific. The nucleotide sequences, thus, carry numerous superimposed messages. We do understand only a few of these messages while many more are waiting for their turn to be deciphered»* [Trifonov, 1993]. Our discover of rules in oligomer cooperative organization of long DNA sequences gives new materials to this topic about existence of many genetic codes of quantum-information types, about the geno-logic coding [Petoukhov, 2017a,b; Petoukhov, Petukhova, 2017a] and about the fractal grammar of DNA.

The presented study is a continuation of the author's researches on symmetries in biological objects described in his publications (see References below). This study further illustrates the effectiveness of symmetry analysis in natural systems. No wonder the theory of symmetries is one of the foundations of modern mathematical natural science. The presented results reveal the existence of a new broad class of symmetries in eukaryotic and prokaryotic genomes. The importance of these results were emphasized in the article “Petoukhov’s rules on symmetries in long DNA-texts” [Darvas, 2018]. In this article, the head of the International Institute “Symmetrion” (Budapest, Hungary) proposed to launch a corresponding international project: *“Now, Petoukhov’s above rules of symmetries are candidates for the role of universal rules of long DNA-texts in living bodies. Further researches are needed to determine the degree of universality of these rules. Taking into account the huge number of species and long DNA-texts to be tested in these relations, I propose to launch an international project to study these genetic symmetries. Symmetrion initiates and can take part as a centre of such an international project”* [Darvas, 2018].

Appendix 1. Additional data about tetra-group rules and tetra-group symmetries in long DNA-texts

The Appendix 1 represents data about the fulfillment of the described tetra-group rules in a representative set of long sequences of oligonucleotides of single stranded DNA from GenBank. Kinds of studied sequences here are taken from articles of other authors to avoid a suspicion about a special choice of sequences. The results, presented below, confirm the fulfillment of the tetra-group rules and the existence of tetra-group symmetries in all the cases considered.

First of all, we represent results of analysis of tetra-group probabilities of

19 sequences from their list in the article about the second Chargaff's parity rule [Rapoport, Trifonov, 2012, p. 2]: "Nucleotide disparities for prokaryotic coding sequences were taken from bacterial genomes of different groups both from Bacteria and Archea. All together 19 genomes were used: *Aquifex aeolicus*, *Acidobacteria bacterium*, *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Chromobacterium violaceum*, *Dehalococcoides ethenogenes*, *Escherichia coli*, *Flavobacterium psychrophilum*, *Gloeobacter violaceus*, *Helicobacter pilory*, *Methanosarcina acetivorans*, *Nanoarchaeum equitans*, *Syntrophus aciditrophicus*, *Streptomyces coelicolor*, *Sulfolobus solfataricus*, *Treponema denticola*, *Thermotoga maritima* and *Thermus thermophiles*". Fig. A1/1-A1/19 show results of analysis of tetra-group probabilities in these 19 DNA-sequences.

Then, Fig. A1/20-A1/35 show results of analysis of tetra-group symmetries in DNA-sequences, the length of which is which is approximately equal to 100000 nucleotides and more, from the article [Prahbu, 1993] devoted also to the second Chargaff's rule.

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1) = 0,2841$	$P_2(A_1) = 0,2834$	$P_3(A_1) = 0,2820$	$P_4(A_1) = 0,2834$	$P_5(A_1) = 0,2843$
$P_1(T_1) = 0,2811$	$P_2(T_1) = 0,2818$	$P_3(T_1) = 0,2815$	$P_4(T_1) = 0,2820$	$P_5(T_1) = 0,2821$
$P_1(C_1) = 0,2168$	$P_2(C_1) = 0,2173$	$P_3(C_1) = 0,2167$	$P_4(C_1) = 0,2170$	$P_5(C_1) = 0,2160$
$P_1(G_1) = 0,2179$	$P_2(G_1) = 0,2176$	$P_3(G_1) = 0,2198$	$P_4(G_1) = 0,2174$	$P_5(G_1) = 0,2178$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0,2849$	$P_3(A_2) = 0,2859$	$P_4(A_2) = 0,2843$	$P_5(A_2) = 0,2845$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0,2805$	$P_3(T_2) = 0,2827$	$P_4(T_2) = 0,2807$	$P_5(T_2) = 0,2814$
	$P_2(C_2) = 0,2163$	$P_3(C_2) = 0,2156$	$P_4(C_2) = 0,2161$	$P_5(C_2) = 0,2161$
	$P_2(G_2) = 0,2183$	$P_3(G_2) = 0,2157$	$P_4(G_2) = 0,2188$	$P_5(G_2) = 0,2180$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0,2845$	$P_4(A_3) = 0,2831$	$P_5(A_3) = 0,2844$
		$P_3(T_3) = 0,2791$	$P_4(T_3) = 0,2815$	$P_5(T_3) = 0,2806$
		$P_3(C_3) = 0,2181$	$P_4(C_3) = 0,2176$	$P_5(C_3) = 0,2171$
		$P_3(G_3) = 0,2183$	$P_4(G_3) = 0,2177$	$P_5(G_3) = 0,2178$
			$P_4(A_4) = 0,2855$	$P_5(A_4) = 0,2840$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0,2802$	$P_5(T_4) = 0,2804$
			$P_4(C_4) = 0,2165$	$P_5(C_4) = 0,2174$
			$P_4(G_4) = 0,2178$	$P_5(G_4) = 0,2182$
				$P_5(A_5) = 0,2835$
				$P_5(T_5) = 0,2810$
				$P_5(C_5) = 0,2177$
				$P_5(G_5) = 0,2180$

Fig. A1/1. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: *Aquifex aeolicus* VF5, complete genome
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AE000657.1?report=fasta> ;
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AE000657.1?report=genbank> :
 LOCUS AE000657, 1551335 bp DNA circular BCT 30-JAN-2014 DEFINITION
Aquifex aeolicus VF5, complete genome. ACCESSION AE000657 AE000669-
 AE000777 VERSION AE000657.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1) = 0,2155$	$P_2(A_1) = 0,2156$	$P_3(A_1) = 0,2165$	$P_4(A_1) = 0,2157$	$P_5(A_1) = 0,2159$
$P_1(T_1) = 0,2171$	$P_2(T_1) = 0,2169$	$P_3(T_1) = 0,2167$	$P_4(T_1) = 0,2167$	$P_5(T_1) = 0,2171$

P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2855	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2856	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2836	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2856	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2850
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2819	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2819	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2833	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2820	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2821
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2153	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2154	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2155	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2153
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2173	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2186	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2171	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2172
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2855	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2863	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2853	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2855
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2818	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2798	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2821	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2820
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2146	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2155	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2159
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2161	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2171	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2168
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2868	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2855	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2855
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2825	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2819	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2818
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2151	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2154
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,2175	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,2176
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,2858	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,2858
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,2815	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2812
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,2149
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,21694
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,2859
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,2823

Fig. A1/2. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Acidobacteria bacterium KBS 146 M015DRAFT_scf718000000004_quiver.1_C, whole genome shotgun sequence, GenBank: JHVA01000001.1, - <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/JHVA01000001.1?report=fasta> <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/JHVA01000001.1?report=genbank> : LOCUS JHVA01000001, 4996384 bp, DNA linear BCT 08-APR-2014 DEFINITION Acidobacteria bacterium KBS 146 M015DRAFT_scf718000000004_quiver.1_C, whole genome shotgun sequence. ACCESSION JHVA01000001 [JHVA01000000](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/JHVA01000000) VERSION JHVA01000001.

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,1819	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,1820	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,1806	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,1816	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,1814
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,1815	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,1814	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,1797	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,1817	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,1818
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,3184	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,3185	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,3197	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,3184	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,3182
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,3182	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,3182	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,3201	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,3183	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,3186
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,1818	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,1836	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,1816	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,1819
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,1816	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,1825	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,1815	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,1815
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,3183	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,3166	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,3184	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,3186
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,3182	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,3173	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,3185	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,3179
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,1815	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,1823	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,1820
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,1824	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,1811	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,1815
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,3189	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,3185	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,3186
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,3173	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,3181	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,3180
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,1820	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,1817
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,1818	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,1815
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,3182	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,3183
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,3180	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,3185
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,1824
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,1814
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,3183
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,3180

Fig. A1/3. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence:
 Bradyrhizobium japonicum strain E109, complete genome
 GenBank: CP010313.1,
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP010313.1?report=fasta>
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP010313.1?report=genbank> :
 LOCUS CP010313, 9224208 bp, DNA circular BCT 24-FEB-2015
 DEFINITION Bradyrhizobium japonicum strain E109, complete genome.
 ACCESSION CP010313 VERSION CP010313.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLET	TRIPLET	4-PLET	5-PLET
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2805	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,2802	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2813	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2801	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2800
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,2806	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,2806	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,2809	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,2807	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,2805
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2192	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2196	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2181	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2195	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2197
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2197	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2197	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2196	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2198	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2198
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2809	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2795	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2811	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2805
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2805	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2803	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2801	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2807
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2189	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2196	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2190	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2188
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2197	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2206	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2198	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2200
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2807	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2802	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2811
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2805	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2806	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2805
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2200	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2197	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2195
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2189	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2196	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2190
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2806	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2804
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,2810	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,2812
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,2188	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,2190
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,2195	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2194
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,2805
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,2800
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,2193
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,2201

Fig. A1/4. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence:
 Bacillus subtilis strain UD1022, complete genome, GenBank: CP011534.1,
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP011534.1?report=fasta>
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP011534.1?report=genbank> : LOCUS
 CP011534, 4025326 bp, DNA circular BCT 18-FEB-2016 DEFINITION
 Bacillus subtilis strain UD1022, complete genome. ACCESSION CP011534
 VERSION CP011534.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLET	TRIPLET	4-PLET	5-PLET
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2942	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,2939	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2927	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2939	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2933
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,2930	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,2931	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,2921	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,2933	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,2932
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2067	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2066	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2074	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2059	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2066
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2061	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2063	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2078	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2069	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2068
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2945	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2963	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2941	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2937
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2930	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2935	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2922	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2937
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2067	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2049	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2076	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2062
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2058	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2053	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2061	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2064
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2936	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2939	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2943
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2935	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2930	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2932
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2077	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2073	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2070
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2051	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2058	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2055

P ₄ (A ₄)=	0,2948	P ₅ (A ₄)=	0,2943
P ₄ (T ₄)=	0,2938	P ₅ (T ₄)=	0,2925
P ₄ (C ₄)=	0,2059	P ₅ (C ₄)=	0,20743
P ₄ (G ₄)=	0,2056	P ₅ (G ₄)=	0,2058
		P ₅ (A ₅)=	0,2953
		P ₅ (T ₅)=	0,2926
		P ₅ (C ₅)=	0,2061
		P ₅ (G ₅)=	0,2059

Fig. A1/5. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Chlamydia trachomatis strain QH111L, complete genome

GenBank: CP018052.1,

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/CP018052.1?report=fasta>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/CP018052.1?report=genbank> :

LOCUS CP018052, 1025839 bp, DNA circular BCT 22-NOV-2016

DEFINITION Chlamydia trachomatis strain QH111L, complete genome.

ACCESSION CP018052 VERSION CP018052.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS					
P ₁ (A ₁)=	0,1670	P ₂ (A ₁)=	0,1658	P ₃ (A ₁)=	0,1758	P ₄ (A ₁)=	0,1640	P ₅ (A ₁)=	0,1678
P ₁ (T ₁)=	0,1819	P ₂ (T ₁)=	0,1812	P ₃ (T ₁)=	0,1883	P ₄ (T ₁)=	0,1809	P ₅ (T ₁)=	0,1823
P ₁ (C ₁)=	0,3049	P ₂ (C ₁)=	0,3044	P ₃ (C ₁)=	0,3009	P ₄ (C ₁)=	0,3042	P ₅ (C ₁)=	0,3055
P ₁ (G ₁)=	0,3461	P ₂ (G ₁)=	0,3486	P ₃ (G ₁)=	0,3350	P ₄ (G ₁)=	0,3509	P ₅ (G ₁)=	0,3444
		P ₂ (A ₂)=	0,1682	P ₃ (A ₂)=	0,1651	P ₄ (A ₂)=	0,1683	P ₅ (A ₂)=	0,1679
		P ₂ (T ₂)=	0,1827	P ₃ (T ₂)=	0,1808	P ₄ (T ₂)=	0,1805	P ₅ (T ₂)=	0,1850
		P ₂ (C ₂)=	0,3055	P ₃ (C ₂)=	0,3112	P ₄ (C ₂)=	0,3062	P ₅ (C ₂)=	0,2997
		P ₂ (G ₂)=	0,3437	P ₃ (G ₂)=	0,3429	P ₄ (G ₂)=	0,3450	P ₅ (G ₂)=	0,3474
				P ₃ (A ₃)=	0,1602	P ₄ (A ₃)=	0,1677	P ₅ (A ₃)=	0,1697
				P ₃ (T ₃)=	0,1766	P ₄ (T ₃)=	0,1814	P ₅ (T ₃)=	0,1810
				P ₃ (C ₃)=	0,3027	P ₄ (C ₃)=	0,3046	P ₅ (C ₃)=	0,3044
				P ₃ (G ₃)=	0,3606	P ₄ (G ₃)=	0,3463	P ₅ (G ₃)=	0,3453
						P ₄ (A ₄)=	0,1681	P ₅ (A ₄)=	0,1674
						P ₄ (T ₄)=	0,1849	P ₅ (T ₄)=	0,1816
						P ₄ (C ₄)=	0,3047	P ₅ (C ₄)=	0,3048
						P ₄ (G ₄)=	0,3424	P ₅ (G ₄)=	0,3463
								P ₅ (A ₅)=	0,1627
								P ₅ (T ₅)=	0,1797
								P ₅ (C ₅)=	0,3103
								P ₅ (G ₅)=	0,3472

Fig. A1/6. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Chromobacterium violaceum strain LK30 1, whole genome shotgun sequence

GenBank: LDUX01000001.1,

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/LDUX01000001.1?report=fasta>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/LDUX01000001.1?report=genbank> :

LOCUS LDUX01000001, 127377 bp, DNA linear BCT 30-JUN-2015

DEFINITION Chromobacterium violaceum strain LK30 1, whole genome shotgun

sequence. ACCESSION LDUX01000001 [LDUX01000000](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/LDUX01000000) VERSION

LDUX01000001.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS					
P ₁ (A ₁)=	0,2664	P ₂ (A ₁)=	0,2665	P ₃ (A ₁)=	0,2706	P ₄ (A ₁)=	0,2668	P ₅ (A ₁)=	0,2673

P ₁ (T ₁)=	0,2645	P ₂ (T ₁)=	0,2647	P ₃ (T ₁)=	0,2653	P ₄ (T ₁)=	0,2649	P ₅ (T ₁)=	0,2638
P ₁ (C ₁)=	0,2338	P ₂ (C ₁)=	0,2337	P ₃ (C ₁)=	0,2295	P ₄ (C ₁)=	0,2337	P ₅ (C ₁)=	0,2340
P ₁ (G ₁)=	0,2353	P ₂ (G ₁)=	0,2351	P ₃ (G ₁)=	0,2346	P ₄ (G ₁)=	0,2346	P ₅ (G ₁)=	0,2349
		P ₂ (A ₂)=	0,2663	P ₃ (A ₂)=	0,2617	P ₄ (A ₂)=	0,2659	P ₅ (A ₂)=	0,2662
		P ₂ (T ₂)=	0,2643	P ₃ (T ₂)=	0,2686	P ₄ (T ₂)=	0,2642	P ₅ (T ₂)=	0,2648
		P ₂ (C ₂)=	0,2339	P ₃ (C ₂)=	0,2376	P ₄ (C ₂)=	0,2335	P ₅ (C ₂)=	0,2336
		P ₂ (G ₂)=	0,2355	P ₃ (G ₂)=	0,2321	P ₄ (G ₂)=	0,2364	P ₅ (G ₂)=	0,2354
				P ₃ (A ₃)=	0,2668	P ₄ (A ₃)=	0,2661	P ₅ (A ₃)=	0,2659
				P ₃ (T ₃)=	0,2596	P ₄ (T ₃)=	0,2644	P ₅ (T ₃)=	0,2651
				P ₃ (C ₃)=	0,2343	P ₄ (C ₃)=	0,2338	P ₅ (C ₃)=	0,2339
				P ₃ (G ₃)=	0,2396	P ₄ (G ₃)=	0,2356	P ₅ (G ₃)=	0,2350
						P ₄ (A ₄)=	0,2666	P ₅ (A ₄)=	0,2664
						P ₄ (T ₄)=	0,2644	P ₅ (T ₄)=	0,2649
						P ₄ (C ₄)=	0,2342	P ₅ (C ₄)=	0,2328
						P ₄ (G ₄)=	0,2347	P ₅ (G ₄)=	0,2360
								P ₅ (A ₅)=	0,2660
								P ₅ (T ₅)=	0,2639
								P ₅ (C ₅)=	0,2346
								P ₅ (G ₅)=	0,2354

Fig. A1/7. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Dehalococcoides mccartyi strain CG3, complete genome

NCBI Reference Sequence: NZ_CP013074.1,

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NZ_CP013074.1?report=fasta

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NZ_CP013074.1?report=genbank :

LOCUS NZ_CP013074 1521287 bp DNA circular CON 06-APR-2017

DEFINITION Dehalococcoides mccartyi strain CG3, complete genome.

ACCESSION NZ_CP013074 VERSION NZ_CP013074.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS					
P ₁ (A ₁)=	0,2480	P ₂ (A ₁)=	0,2481	P ₃ (A ₁)=	0,2479	P ₄ (A ₁)=	0,2479	P ₅ (A ₁)=	0,2483
P ₁ (T ₁)=	0,2472	P ₂ (T ₁)=	0,2470	P ₃ (T ₁)=	0,2489	P ₄ (T ₁)=	0,2472	P ₅ (T ₁)=	0,2472
P ₁ (C ₁)=	0,2526	P ₂ (C ₁)=	0,2523	P ₃ (C ₁)=	0,2519	P ₄ (C ₁)=	0,2522	P ₅ (C ₁)=	0,2529
P ₁ (G ₁)=	0,2522	P ₂ (G ₁)=	0,2526	P ₃ (G ₁)=	0,2514	P ₄ (G ₁)=	0,2526	P ₅ (G ₁)=	0,2516
		P ₂ (A ₂)=	0,2480	P ₃ (A ₂)=	0,2468	P ₄ (A ₂)=	0,2474	P ₅ (A ₂)=	0,2479
		P ₂ (T ₂)=	0,2474	P ₃ (T ₂)=	0,2461	P ₄ (T ₂)=	0,2477	P ₅ (T ₂)=	0,2475
		P ₂ (C ₂)=	0,2528	P ₃ (C ₂)=	0,2544	P ₄ (C ₂)=	0,2530	P ₅ (C ₂)=	0,2522
		P ₂ (G ₂)=	0,2518	P ₃ (G ₂)=	0,2527	P ₄ (G ₂)=	0,2519	P ₅ (G ₂)=	0,2523
				P ₃ (A ₃)=	0,2494	P ₄ (A ₃)=	0,2483	P ₅ (A ₃)=	0,2485
				P ₃ (T ₃)=	0,2466	P ₄ (T ₃)=	0,2467	P ₅ (T ₃)=	0,2470
				P ₃ (C ₃)=	0,2515	P ₄ (C ₃)=	0,2525	P ₅ (C ₃)=	0,2527
				P ₃ (G ₃)=	0,2525	P ₄ (G ₃)=	0,2526	P ₅ (G ₃)=	0,2518
						P ₄ (A ₄)=	0,2486	P ₅ (A ₄)=	0,2479
						P ₄ (T ₄)=	0,2472	P ₅ (T ₄)=	0,2472
						P ₄ (C ₄)=	0,2527	P ₅ (C ₄)=	0,2523
						P ₄ (G ₄)=	0,2516	P ₅ (G ₄)=	0,2526
								P ₅ (A ₅)=	0,2475
								P ₅ (T ₅)=	0,2471
								P ₅ (C ₅)=	0,2529
								P ₅ (G ₅)=	0,2525

Fig. A1/8. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Escherichia coli CFT073, complete genome, GenBank: AE014075.1,

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AE014075.1?report=fasta>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AE014075.1?report=genbank>:

LOCUS AE014075 5231428 bp DNA circular BCT 31-JAN-2014
 DEFINITION Escherichia coli CFT073, complete genome. ACCESSION
 AE014075 AE016755 AE016756 AE016757 AE016758 AE016759 AE016760
 AE016761 AE016762 AE016763 AE016764 AE016765 AE016766 AE016767
 AE016768 AE016769 AE016770 AE016771 AE016772 VERSION AE014075.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,3326	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,3326	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,3335	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,3323	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,3329
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,3420	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,3416	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,3411	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,3419	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,3421
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,1640	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,1643	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,1616	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,1645	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,1637
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,1614	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,1615	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,1638	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,1613	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,1612
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,3326	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,3306	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,3334	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,3324
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,3425	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,3425	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,3413	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,3425
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,1637	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,1665	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,1638	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,1640
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,1612	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,1606	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,1615	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,1611
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,3338	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,3330	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,3328
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,3427	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,3413	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,3422
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,1638	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,1640	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,1635
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,1597	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,1617	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,1614
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,3319	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,3326
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,3436	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,3414
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,1636	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,1639
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,1610	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,1620
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,3324
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,3420
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,1647
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,16095

Fig. A1/9. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence:
 Flavobacterium psychrophilum JIP02/86,

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_009613.3 :

LOCUS NC_009613, 2860382 bp, DNA circular CON 03-AUG-2016
 DEFINITION Flavobacterium psychrophilum JIP02/86 complete genome.
 ACCESSION NC_009613 VERSION NC_009613.3

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,1906	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,1905	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,1892	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,1910	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,1906
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,1894	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,1899	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,1881	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,1898	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,1895
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,3101	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,3098	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,3120	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,3096	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,3104
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,3099	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,3099	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,3107	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,3095	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,3095
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,1907	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,1925	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,1906	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,1907
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,1890	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,1899	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,1892	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,1894
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,3104	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,3074	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,3105	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,3100
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,3099	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,3103	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,3097	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,3100
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,1901	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,1900	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,1908
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,1903	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,1899	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,1892
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,3108	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,3099	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,3097
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,3088	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,3103	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,3104
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,1908	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,1905
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,1889	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,1896
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,3102	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,3095
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,3102	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,3105
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,1904
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,1896
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,3107
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,3093

Fig. A1/10. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Gloeobacter violaceus PCC 7421 DNA, complete genome, GenBank: BA000045.2,
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/BA000045.2?report=fasta>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/BA000045.2?report=genbank> :

LOCUS BA000045 4659019 bp DNA circular BCT 07-OCT-2016

DEFINITION Gloeobacter violaceus PCC 7421 DNA, complete genome.

ACCESSION BA000045 AP006568-AP006583 VERSION BA000045.2

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,3033	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,3034	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,3033	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,3031	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,3040
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,3048	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,3049	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,3037	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,3047	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,3051
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,1970	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,1969	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,1989	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,1971	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,1963
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,1949	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,1949	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,1941	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,1951	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,1946
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,3032	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,3042	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,3036	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,3029
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,3048	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,3028	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,3051	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,3062
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,1970	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,1958	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,1968	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,1963
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,1950	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,1972	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,1945	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,1946
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,3022	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,3037	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,3045
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,3080	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,3050	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,3028
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,1962	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,1967	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,1974
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,1936	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,1946	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,1954
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,3028	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,3027
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,3045	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,3052
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,1973	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,1974
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,1954	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,1946
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,3022
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,3049
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,1974
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,1955

Fig. A1/11. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Helicobacter pilory, NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000921.1

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000921.1

LOCUS NC_000921 1643831 bp DNA circular CON 22-MAR-2017

DEFINITION Helicobacter pylori J99, complete genome. ACCESSION

NC_000921 NZ_AE001440-NZ_AE001571 VERSION NC_000921.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2848	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,2848	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2830	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2850	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2843
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,2884	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,2881	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,2890	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,2881	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,2882
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2136	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2139	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2148	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2134	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2137
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2132	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2132	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2132	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2134	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2138
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2848	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2851	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2846	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2850
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2887	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2875	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2888	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2879
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2132	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2133	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2133	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2133
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2133	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2141	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2134	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2137
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2863	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2847	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2852
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2887	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2880	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2886
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2126	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2144	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2137

P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2124	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2129	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2126
	P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2849	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2846
	P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,2887	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,2889
	P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,2132	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,2133
	P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,2131	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2132
		P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,2848
		P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,2883
		P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,2140
		P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,2129

Fig. A1/12. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Methanosarcina acetivorans str. C2A, complete genome,

GenBank: AE010299.1,

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/AE010299.1?report=fasta>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/AE01029> -

LOCUS AE010299 5751492 bp, DNA circular BCT 01-OCT-2014

DEFINITION Methanosarcina acetivorans str. C2A, complete genome.

ACCESSION AE010299 AE010656-AE011189 VERSION AE010299.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,3422	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,3424	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,3413	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,3422	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,3413
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,3422	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,3419	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,3420	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,3422	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,3426
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,1576	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,1581	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,1593	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,1572	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,1585
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,1580	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,1577	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,1573	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,1584	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,1576
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,3420	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,3423	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,3408	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,3433
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,3425	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,3413	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,3425	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,3411
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,1571	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,1571	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,1572	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,1575
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,1583	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,1594	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,1596	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,1582
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,3431	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,3425	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,3430
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,3433	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,3416	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,3439
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,1563	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,1590	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,1586
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,1573	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,1570	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,1545
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,3433	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,3417
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,3426	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,3432
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,1571	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,1574
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,1570	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,1577
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,3418
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,3403
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,1560
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,1619

Fig. A1/13. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Nanoarchaeum equitans Kin4-M, complete genome, GenBank: AE017199.1,

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/AE017199.1?report=fasta>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/AE017199.1?report=genbank> -

LOCUS AE017199 490885 bp, DNA circular BCT 30-JAN-2014

DEFINITION Nanoarchaeum equitans Kin4-M, complete genome. ACCESSION

AE017199 AACL01000000 AACL01000001 VERSION AE017199.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2431	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,2431	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2441	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2435	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2425

P ₁ (T ₁)=	0,2423	P ₂ (T ₁)=	0,2423	P ₃ (T ₁)=	0,2446	P ₄ (T ₁)=	0,2423	P ₅ (T ₁)=	0,2425
P ₁ (C ₁)=	0,2556	P ₂ (C ₁)=	0,2556	P ₃ (C ₁)=	0,2546	P ₄ (C ₁)=	0,2557	P ₅ (C ₁)=	0,2560
P ₁ (G ₁)=	0,2590	P ₂ (G ₁)=	0,2590	P ₃ (G ₁)=	0,2567	P ₄ (G ₁)=	0,2585	P ₅ (G ₁)=	0,2590
		P ₂ (A ₂)=	0,2431	P ₃ (A ₂)=	0,2418	P ₄ (A ₂)=	0,2432	P ₅ (A ₂)=	0,2435
		P ₂ (T ₂)=	0,2424	P ₃ (T ₂)=	0,2413	P ₄ (T ₂)=	0,2423	P ₅ (T ₂)=	0,2418
		P ₂ (C ₂)=	0,2557	P ₃ (C ₂)=	0,2573	P ₄ (C ₂)=	0,2557	P ₅ (C ₂)=	0,2554
		P ₂ (G ₂)=	0,2589	P ₃ (G ₂)=	0,2597	P ₄ (G ₂)=	0,2588	P ₅ (G ₂)=	0,2592
				P ₃ (A ₃)=	0,2433	P ₄ (A ₃)=	0,2426	P ₅ (A ₃)=	0,2434
				P ₃ (T ₃)=	0,2412	P ₄ (T ₃)=	0,2422	P ₅ (T ₃)=	0,2422
				P ₃ (C ₃)=	0,2551	P ₄ (C ₃)=	0,2556	P ₅ (C ₃)=	0,2557
				P ₃ (G ₃)=	0,2604	P ₄ (G ₃)=	0,2596	P ₅ (G ₃)=	0,2587
						P ₄ (A ₄)=	0,2429	P ₅ (A ₄)=	0,2427
						P ₄ (T ₄)=	0,2425	P ₅ (T ₄)=	0,2427
						P ₄ (C ₄)=	0,2556	P ₅ (C ₄)=	0,2561
						P ₄ (G ₄)=	0,2590	P ₅ (G ₄)=	0,2584
								P ₅ (A ₅)=	0,2431
								P ₅ (T ₅)=	0,2425
								P ₅ (C ₅)=	0,2550
								P ₅ (G ₅)=	0,2595

Fig. A1/14. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Syntrophus aciditrophicus SB, complete genome, GenBank: CP000252.1,
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP000252.1?report=fasta>
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP000252.1?report=genbank> -
 LOCUS CP000252, 3179300 bp, DNA circular BCT 31-JAN-2014
 DEFINITION Syntrophus aciditrophicus SB, complete genome. ACCESSION
 CP000252 VERSION CP000252.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS					
P ₁ (A ₁)=	0,1389	P ₂ (A ₁)=	0,1386	P ₃ (A ₁)=	0,1366	P ₄ (A ₁)=	0,1385	P ₅ (A ₁)=	0,1392
P ₁ (T ₁)=	0,1400	P ₂ (T ₁)=	0,1400	P ₃ (T ₁)=	0,1381	P ₄ (T ₁)=	0,1399	P ₅ (T ₁)=	0,1401
P ₁ (C ₁)=	0,3601	P ₂ (C ₁)=	0,3600	P ₃ (C ₁)=	0,3614	P ₄ (C ₁)=	0,3606	P ₅ (C ₁)=	0,3598
P ₁ (G ₁)=	0,3611	P ₂ (G ₁)=	0,3613	P ₃ (G ₁)=	0,3639	P ₄ (G ₁)=	0,3611	P ₅ (G ₁)=	0,3609
		P ₂ (A ₂)=	0,1391	P ₃ (A ₂)=	0,1408	P ₄ (A ₂)=	0,1388	P ₅ (A ₂)=	0,1388
		P ₂ (T ₂)=	0,1399	P ₃ (T ₂)=	0,1414	P ₄ (T ₂)=	0,1402	P ₅ (T ₂)=	0,1400
		P ₂ (C ₂)=	0,3602	P ₃ (C ₂)=	0,3580	P ₄ (C ₂)=	0,3601	P ₅ (C ₂)=	0,3597
		P ₂ (G ₂)=	0,3608	P ₃ (G ₂)=	0,3598	P ₄ (G ₂)=	0,3610	P ₅ (G ₂)=	0,3615
				P ₃ (A ₃)=	0,1392	P ₄ (A ₃)=	0,1388	P ₅ (A ₃)=	0,1389
				P ₃ (T ₃)=	0,1403	P ₄ (T ₃)=	0,1401	P ₅ (T ₃)=	0,1397
				P ₃ (C ₃)=	0,3609	P ₄ (C ₃)=	0,3595	P ₅ (C ₃)=	0,3610
				P ₃ (G ₃)=	0,3596	P ₄ (G ₃)=	0,3616	P ₅ (G ₃)=	0,3605
						P ₄ (A ₄)=	0,1394	P ₅ (A ₄)=	0,1389
						P ₄ (T ₄)=	0,1397	P ₅ (T ₄)=	0,1398
						P ₄ (C ₄)=	0,3603	P ₅ (C ₄)=	0,3600
						P ₄ (G ₄)=	0,3606	P ₅ (G ₄)=	0,3614
								P ₅ (A ₅)=	0,1386
								P ₅ (T ₅)=	0,1403
								P ₅ (C ₅)=	0,3601
								P ₅ (G ₅)=	0,3611

Fig. A1/15. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Streptomyces coelicolor A3(2) complete genome, GenBank: AL645882.2,
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AL645882.2?report=fasta>
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AL645882.2?report=genbank> :

LOCUS AL645882, 8667507 bp, DNA linear CON 06-FEB-2015
 DEFINITION Streptomyces coelicolor A3(2) complete genome. ACCESSION
 AL645882 VERSION AL645882.2

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLET	TRIPLET	4-PLET	5-PLET
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,3181	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,3179	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,3182	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,3177	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,3185
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,3233	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,3231	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,3225	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,3234	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,3236
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,1798	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,1797	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,1798	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,1792	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,1793
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,1788	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,1793	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,1795	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,1798	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,1787
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,3184	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,3185	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,3183	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,3183
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,3234	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,3230	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,3237	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,3228
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,1800	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,1782	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,1801	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,1801
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,1783	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,1803	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,1779	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,1787
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,3177	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,3181	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,3165
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,3243	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,3229	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,3236
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,1814	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,1803	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,1809
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,1765	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,1788	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,1790
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,3185	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,3187
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,3232	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,3232
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,1797	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,1794
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,1786	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,1788
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,3187
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,3232
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,1795
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,1786

Fig. A1/16. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Sulfolobus solfataricus strain SULA, complete genome, GenBank: CP011057.1,
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/CP011057.1?report=fasta>
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/CP011057.1?report=genbank> -
 LOCUS CP011057, 2727337 bp, DNA circular BCT 10-JUN-2015
 DEFINITION Sulfolobus solfataricus strain SULA, complete genome. ACCESSION
 CP011057 VERSION CP011057.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLET	TRIPLET	4-PLET	5-PLET
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,3095	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,3095	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,3079	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,3095	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,3089
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,3106	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,3104	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,3120	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,3106	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,3106
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,1880	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,1877	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,1882	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,1871	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,1885
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,1919	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,1923	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,1918	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,1928	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,1920
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,3096	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,3097	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,3102	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,3095
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,3107	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,3089	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,3108	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,3110
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,1882	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,1879	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,1879	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,1871
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,1915	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,1934	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,1911	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,1924
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,3109	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,3095	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,3102
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,3108	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,3102	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,3103
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,1877	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,1883	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,1876
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,1906	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,1919	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,1918
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,3089	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,3092
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,3107	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,3103
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,1884	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,1882

P ₄ (G ₄)=	0,1920	P ₅ (G ₄)=	0,1923
		P ₅ (A ₅)=	0,3099
		P ₅ (T ₅)=	0,3106
		P ₅ (C ₅)=	0,1883
		P ₅ (G ₅)=	0,1911

Fig. A1/17. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence:
Treponema denticola SP33 supercont1.1, whole genome shotgun sequence
 NCBI Reference Sequence: NZ_KB442453.1,
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NZ_KB442453.1?report=fasta
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NZ_KB442453.1?report=genbank -
 LOCUS NZ_KB442453, 1850823 bp, DNA linear CON 02-APR-2017
 DEFINITION *Treponema denticola* SP33 supercont1.1, whole genome shotgun
 sequence. ACCESSION NZ_KB442453 NZ_AGDZ01000000 VERSION
 NZ_KB442453.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2695	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,2700	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2703	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2700	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2692
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,2678	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,2681	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,2689	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,2680	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,2672
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2281	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2277	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2271	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2276	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2291
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2347	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2341	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2337	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2345	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2346
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2689	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2697	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2688	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2691
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2675	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2694	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2671	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2678
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2284	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2289	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2295	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2275
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2352	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2320	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2345	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2356
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2684	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2701	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2703
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2651	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2683	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2674
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2282	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2279	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2285
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2383	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,23371	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2339
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2690	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2700
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,2679	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,2683
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,2272	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,2272
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,2359	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2345
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,2689
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,2684
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,2280
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,2347

Fig. A1/18. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence:
Thermotoga maritima strain Tma200, complete genome, GenBank: CP010967.1,
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/CP010967.1?report=fasta>
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/CP010967.1?report=genbank> -
 LOCUS CP010967, 1859582 bp, DNA circular BCT 10-JUN-2015
 DEFINITION *Thermotoga maritima* strain Tma200, complete genome.
 ACCESSION CP010967 VERSION CP010967.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,1543	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,1543	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,1515	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,1541	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,1539
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,1557	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,1556	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,1556	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,1549	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,1559
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,3461	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,3458	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,3490	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,3460	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,3461

P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,3439	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,3443	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,3439	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,3450	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,3441
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,1542	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,1542	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,1541	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,1547
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,1558	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,1529	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,1555	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,1561
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,3464	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,3465	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,3467	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,3447
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,3435	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,3464	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,3436	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,3445
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,1571	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,1545	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,1544
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,1586	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,1563	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,1555
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,3428	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,3456	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,3465
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,3414	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,3436	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,3436
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,1543	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,1546
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,1562	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,1551
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,3461	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,3465
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,3434	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,3438
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,1536
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,1560
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,3468
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,3436

Fig. A1/19. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence:

Thermus thermophilus DNA, complete genome, strain: TMY

GenBank: AP017920.1, -

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/AP017920.1?report=fasta>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/AP017920.1?report=genbank>

LOCUS AP017920, 2121526 bp, DNA circular BCT 26-NOV-2016

DEFINITION Thermus thermophilus DNA, complete genome, strain: TMY.

ACCESSION AP017920 VERSION AP017920.1

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$\Sigma_1 = 229354$	$\Sigma_2 = 114677$	$\Sigma_3 = 76451$	$\Sigma_4 = 57338$	$\Sigma_5 = 45870$
F ₁ (A ₁)=49475	F ₂ (A ₁)=24800	F ₃ (A ₁)=16825	F ₄ (A ₁)=12423	F ₅ (A ₁)=9981
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,2157	P ₂ (A ₁)=0,2163	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,2201	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,2167	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,2176
F ₁ (T ₁)=48776	F ₂ (T ₁)=24340	F ₃ (T ₁)=16601	F ₄ (T ₁)=12266	F ₅ (T ₁)=9660
P ₁ (T ₁)=0,2127	P ₂ (T ₁)=0,2122	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,2171	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,2139	P ₅ (T ₁)=0,2106
F ₁ (C ₁)=64911	F ₂ (C ₁)=32407	F ₃ (C ₁)=21303	F ₄ (C ₁)=16132	F ₅ (C ₁)=12992
P ₁ (C ₁)=0,2830	P ₂ (C ₁)=0,2826	P ₃ (C ₁)=0,2786	P ₄ (C ₁)=0,2813	P ₅ (C ₁)=0,2832
F ₁ (G ₁)=66192	F ₂ (G ₁)=33130	F ₃ (G ₁)=21722	F ₄ (G ₁)=16517	F ₅ (G ₁)=13237
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,2886	P ₂ (G ₁)=0,2889	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,2841	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,2881	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,2886
	F ₂ (A ₂)=24675	F ₃ (A ₂)=16002	F ₄ (A ₂)= 12396	F ₅ (A ₂)= 9846
	P ₂ (A ₂)=0,2152	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,2093	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2161	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2146
	F ₂ (T ₂)=24436	F ₃ (T ₂)=15964	F ₄ (T ₂)= 12116	F ₅ (T ₂)= 9707
	P ₂ (T ₂)=0,2131	P ₃ (T ₂)=0,2088	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2113	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2116
	F ₂ (C ₂)=32504	F ₃ (C ₂)=22117	F ₄ (C ₂)= 16329	F ₅ (C ₂)= 13119
	P ₂ (C ₂)=0,2834	P ₃ (C ₂)=0,2893	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2848	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2860
	F ₂ (G ₂)=33062	F ₃ (G ₂)=22368	F ₄ (G ₂)= 16497	F ₅ (G ₂)= 13198
	P ₂ (G ₂)=0,2883	P ₃ (G ₂)=0,2926	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2877	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2877
		F ₃ (A ₃)=16648	F ₄ (A ₃)= 12377	F ₅ (A ₃)= 9747
		P ₃ (A ₃)=0,2178	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2159	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2125
		F ₃ (T ₃)=16211	F ₄ (T ₃)= 12074	F ₅ (T ₃)= 9842
		P ₃ (T ₃)=0,2120	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2106	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2146
		F ₃ (C ₃)=21491	F ₄ (C ₃)= 16275	F ₅ (C ₃)= 12970
		P ₃ (C ₃)=0,2811	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2838	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2828

F ₃ (G ₃)=22101	F ₄ (G ₃)= 16612	F ₅ (G ₃)= 13311
P ₃ (G ₃)=0,2891	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2897	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2902
	F ₄ (A ₄)=12279	F ₅ (A ₄)= 9843
	P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2142	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2146
	F ₄ (T ₄)=12320	F ₅ (T ₄)= 9778
	P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,2149	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,2132
	F ₄ (C ₄)=16175	F ₅ (C ₄)= 12916
	P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,2821	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,2816
	F ₄ (G ₄)=16564	F ₅ (G ₄)= 13333
	P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,2889	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2907
		F ₅ (A ₅)=10057
		P ₅ (A ₅)=0,2193
		F ₅ (T ₅)=9789
		P ₅ (T ₅)=0,2134
		F ₅ (C ₅)=12914
		P ₅ (C ₅)=0,2815
		F ₅ (G ₅)=13110
		P ₅ (G ₅)=0,2858

Fig. A1/20. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $k \leq n$) of tetra-group subgroups in sequences of n -plets in the case of the Human cytomegalovirus strain AD169 complete genome, 229354 bp, GenBank, accession X17403.1. The index k denotes a position of the letter inside n -plets.

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$\Sigma_1 = 191737$	$\Sigma_2 = 95868$	$\Sigma_3 = 63912$	$\Sigma_4 = 47934$	$\Sigma_5 = 38347$
F ₁ (A ₁)=63921	F ₂ (A ₁)=31832	F ₃ (A ₁)=21310	F ₄ (A ₁)=15932	F ₅ (A ₁)=12872
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,3334	P ₂ (A ₁)=0,3320	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,3334	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,3324	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,3357
F ₁ (T ₁)=63776	F ₂ (T ₁)=31540	F ₃ (T ₁)=21513	F ₄ (T ₁)=15720	F ₅ (T ₁)=12796
P ₁ (T ₁)=0,3326	P ₂ (T ₁)=0,3290	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,3366	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,3280	P ₅ (T ₁)=0,3337
F ₁ (C ₁)= 32010	F ₂ (C ₁)=16257	F ₃ (C ₁)=10452	F ₄ (C ₁)=8137	F ₅ (C ₁)=6355
P ₁ (C ₁)=0,1669	P ₂ (C ₁)=0,1696	P ₃ (C ₁)=0,1635	P ₄ (C ₁)=0,1698	P ₅ (C ₁)=0,1657
F ₁ (G ₁)= 32030	F ₂ (G ₁)=16239	F ₃ (G ₁)=10637	F ₄ (G ₁)=8145	F ₅ (G ₁)=6324
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,1671	P ₂ (G ₁)=0,1694	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,1664	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,1699	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,1649
	F ₂ (A ₂)=32088	F ₃ (A ₂)= 21273	F ₄ (A ₂)=16064	F ₅ (A ₂)=12661
	P ₂ (A ₂)=0,3347	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,3328	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,3351	P ₅ (A ₂)=0,3302
	F ₂ (T ₂)=32236	F ₃ (T ₂)=21024	F ₄ (T ₂)=16132	F ₅ (T ₂)=12832
	P ₂ (T ₂)=0,3363	P ₃ (T ₂)=0,3290	P ₄ (T ₂)=0,3365	P ₅ (T ₂)=0,3346
	F ₂ (C ₂)=15753	F ₃ (C ₂)=10551	F ₄ (C ₂)=7882	F ₅ (C ₂)=6439
	P ₂ (C ₂)=0,1643	P ₃ (C ₂)=0,1651	P ₄ (C ₂)=0,1644	P ₅ (C ₂)=0,1679
	F ₂ (G ₂)=15791	F ₃ (G ₂)=11064	F ₄ (G ₂)=7856	F ₅ (G ₂)=6415
	P ₂ (G ₂)=0,1647	P ₃ (G ₂)=0,1731	P ₄ (G ₂)=0,1639	P ₅ (G ₂)=0,1673
		F ₃ (A ₃)=21337	F ₄ (A ₃)=15900	F ₅ (A ₃)=12785
		P ₃ (A ₃)=0,3338	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,3317	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,3334
		F ₃ (T ₃)=21239	F ₄ (T ₃)=15820	F ₅ (T ₃)=12666
		P ₃ (T ₃)=0,3323	P ₄ (T ₃)=0,3300	P ₅ (T ₃)=0,3303
		F ₃ (C ₃)=11007	F ₄ (C ₃)=8120	F ₅ (C ₃)=6480
		P ₃ (C ₃)=0,1722	P ₄ (C ₃)=0,1694	P ₅ (C ₃)=0,1690
		F ₃ (G ₃)=10329	F ₄ (G ₃)=8094	F ₅ (G ₃)=6416
		P ₃ (G ₃)=0,1616	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,1689	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,1673

F ₄ (A ₄)=16024	F ₅ (A ₄)=12890
P ₄ (A ₄)=0,3343	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,3361
F ₄ (T ₄)=16104	F ₅ (T ₄)=12635
P ₄ (T ₄)=0,3360	P ₅ (T ₄)=0,3295
F ₄ (C ₄)=7871	F ₅ (C ₄)=6429
P ₄ (C ₄)=0,1642	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,1677
F ₄ (G ₄)=7935	F ₅ (G ₄)=6393
P ₄ (G ₄)=0,1655	P ₅ (G ₄)=0,1667
	F ₅ (A ₅)=12711
	P ₅ (A ₅)=0,3315
	F ₅ (T ₅)=12847
	P ₅ (T ₅)=0,3350
	F ₅ (C ₅)=6307
	P ₅ (C ₅)=0,1645
	F ₅ (G ₅)=6482
	P ₅ (G ₅)=0,1690

Fig. A1/21. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $k \leq n$) of tetra-group subgroups in sequences of n -plets in the case of the VACCG, Vaccinia virus Copenhagen, complete genome, 191737 bp., accession M35027.1, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/335317>

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$\Sigma_1 = 186609$	$\Sigma_2 = 93304$	$\Sigma_3 = 62203$	$\Sigma_4 = 46652$	$\Sigma_5 = 37321$
F ₁ (A ₁)= 53206	F ₂ (A ₁)= 26575	F ₃ (A ₁)= 17874	F ₄ (A ₁)= 13285	F ₅ (A ₁)= 10665
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2851	P ₂ (A ₁)=0,2848	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,2873	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,2848	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,2858
F ₁ (T ₁)= 54264	F ₂ (T ₁)= 27190	F ₃ (T ₁)= 17831	F ₄ (T ₁)= 13477	F ₅ (T ₁)= 10782
P ₁ (T ₁)=0,2908	P ₂ (T ₁)=0,2914	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,2867	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,2889	P ₅ (T ₁)=0,2889
F ₁ (C ₁)= 39215	F ₂ (C ₁)= 19603	F ₃ (C ₁)= 12979	F ₄ (C ₁)= 9882	F ₅ (C ₁)= 7742
P ₁ (C ₁)=0,2101	P ₂ (C ₁)=0,2101	P ₃ (C ₁)=0,2087	P ₄ (C ₁)=0,2118	P ₅ (C ₁)=0,2074
F ₁ (G ₁)= 39924	F ₂ (G ₁)= 19936	F ₃ (G ₁)= 12979	F ₄ (G ₁)= 9882	F ₅ (G ₁)= 7742
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,2139	P ₂ (G ₁)=0,2137	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,2087	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,2118	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,2074
	F ₂ (A ₂)= 26631	F ₃ (A ₂)=17725	F ₄ (A ₂)=13424	F ₅ (A ₂)=10815
	P ₂ (A ₂)=0,2854	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,2850	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,2877	P ₅ (A ₂)=0,2898
	F ₂ (T ₂)= 27073	F ₃ (T ₂)=18094	F ₄ (T ₂)=13473	F ₅ (T ₂)=10781
	P ₂ (T ₂)=0,2902	P ₃ (T ₂)=0,2909	P ₄ (T ₂)=0,2888	P ₅ (T ₂)=0,2889
	F ₂ (C ₂)= 19612	F ₃ (C ₂)=13077	F ₄ (C ₂)= 9746	F ₅ (C ₂)=7721
	P ₂ (C ₂)=0,2102	P ₃ (C ₂)=0,2102	P ₄ (C ₂)=0,2089	P ₅ (C ₂)=0,2069
	F ₂ (G ₂)= 19988	F ₃ (G ₂)=13307	F ₄ (G ₂)=10009	F ₅ (G ₂)=8004
	P ₂ (G ₂)=0,2142	P ₃ (G ₂)=0,2139	P ₄ (G ₂)=0,2145	P ₅ (G ₂)=0,2144
		F ₃ (A ₃)= 17607	F ₄ (A ₃)= 13290	F ₅ (A ₃)=10608
		P ₃ (A ₃)=0,2831	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,2849	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,2842
		F ₃ (T ₃)= 18339	F ₄ (T ₃)=13713	F ₅ (T ₃)=10949
		P ₃ (T ₃)=0,2948	P ₄ (T ₃)=0,2939	P ₅ (T ₃)=0,2934
		F ₃ (C ₃)= 13159	F ₄ (C ₃)=9721	F ₅ (C ₃)=7829
		P ₃ (C ₃)=0,2115	P ₄ (C ₃)=0,2084	P ₅ (C ₃)=0,2098
		F ₃ (G ₃)= 13098	F ₄ (G ₃)=9928	F ₅ (G ₃)=7935
		P ₃ (G ₃)=0,2106	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,2128	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,2126
			F ₄ (A ₄)= 13207	F ₅ (A ₄)=10611
			P ₄ (A ₄)=0,2831	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,2843
			F ₄ (T ₄)= 13600	F ₅ (T ₄)=10891

P ₄ (T ₄)=0,2915	P ₅ (T ₄)=0,2918
F ₄ (C ₄)= 9866	F ₅ (C ₄)= 7902
P ₄ (C ₄)=0,2115	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,2117
F ₄ (G ₄)= 9979	F ₅ (G ₄)= 7917
P ₄ (G ₄)=0,2139	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2121
	F ₅ (A ₅)= 10504
	P ₅ (A ₅)=0,2815
	F ₅ (T ₅)= 10860
	P ₅ (T ₅)=0,2910
	F ₅ (C ₅)= 8021
	P ₅ (C ₅)=0,2149
	F ₅ (G ₅)= 7936
	P ₅ (G ₅)=0,2126

Fig. A1/22. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $k \leq n$) of tetra-group subgroups in sequences of n -plets in the case of the MPOMTCG, *Marchantia paleacea* isolate A 18 mitochondrion, complete genome, 186609 bp, accession M68929.1, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/786182>

NUCLEOTIDES $\Sigma_1 = 184113$	DOUBLETS $\Sigma_2 = 92056$	TRIPLETS $\Sigma_3 = 61371$	4-PLETS $\Sigma_4 = 46028$	5-PLETS $\Sigma_5 = 36822$
F ₁ (A ₁)= 36002	F ₂ (A ₁)= 18098	F ₃ (A ₁)= 11910	F ₄ (A ₁)= 9044	F ₅ (A ₁)= 7210
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,1955	P ₂ (A ₁)=0,1966	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,1941	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,1965	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,1958
F ₁ (T ₁)= 37665	F ₂ (T ₁)= 18887	F ₃ (T ₁)= 12160	F ₄ (T ₁)= 9394	F ₅ (T ₁)= 7571
P ₁ (T ₁)=0,2046	P ₂ (T ₁)=0,2052	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,1981	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,2041	P ₅ (T ₁)=0,2056
F ₁ (C ₁)= 55824	F ₂ (C ₁)= 27823	F ₃ (C ₁)= 19144	F ₄ (C ₁)= 13990	F ₅ (C ₁)= 11162
P ₁ (C ₁)=0,3032	P ₂ (C ₁)=0,3022	P ₃ (C ₁)=0,3119	P ₄ (C ₁)=0,3039	P ₅ (C ₁)=0,3031
F ₁ (G ₁)= 54622	F ₂ (G ₁)= 27248	F ₃ (G ₁)= 18157	F ₄ (G ₁)= 13600	F ₅ (G ₁)= 10879
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,2967	P ₂ (G ₁)=0,2960	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,2959	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,2955	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,2954
	F ₂ (A ₂)= 17903	F ₃ (A ₂)= 11597	F ₄ (A ₂)=8758	F ₅ (A ₂)=7145
	P ₂ (A ₂)=0,1945	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,1890	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,1903	P ₅ (A ₂)=0,1940
	F ₂ (T ₂)= 18778	F ₃ (T ₂)= 12565	F ₄ (T ₂)=9279	F ₅ (T ₂)=7464
	P ₂ (T ₂)=0,2040	P ₃ (T ₂)=0,2047	P ₄ (T ₂)=0,2016	P ₅ (T ₂)=0,2027
	F ₂ (C ₂)= 28001	F ₃ (C ₂)= 18517	F ₄ (C ₂)=14361	F ₅ (C ₂)= 11163
	P ₂ (C ₂)=0,3042	P ₃ (C ₂)=0,3017	P ₄ (C ₂)=0,3120	P ₅ (C ₂)=0,3032
	F ₂ (G ₂)= 27374	F ₃ (G ₂)=18692	F ₄ (G ₂)=13630	F ₅ (G ₂)= 11050
	P ₂ (G ₂)=0,2974	P ₃ (G ₂)=0,3046	P ₄ (G ₂)=0,2961	P ₅ (G ₂)=0,3001
		F ₃ (A ₃)= 12495	F ₄ (A ₃)= 9054	F ₅ (A ₃)= 7232
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2036	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,1967	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,1964
		F ₃ (T ₃)= 12940	F ₄ (T ₃)= 9493	F ₅ (T ₃)= 7570
		P ₃ (T ₃)=0,2108	P ₄ (T ₃)=0,2062	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2056
		F ₃ (C ₃)= 18163	F ₄ (C ₃)= 13833	F ₅ (C ₃)=11189
		P ₃ (C ₃)=0,2960	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,3005	P ₅ (C ₃)=0,3039
		F ₃ (G ₃)= 17773	F ₄ (G ₃)=13648	F ₅ (G ₃)=10831
		P ₃ (G ₃)=0,2896	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2965	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,2941
			F ₄ (A ₄)= 9145	F ₅ (A ₄)= 7236
			P ₄ (A ₄)=0,1987	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,1965
			F ₄ (T ₄)= 9499	F ₅ (T ₄)=7475
			P ₄ (T ₄)=0,2064	P ₅ (T ₄)=0,2030
			F ₄ (C ₄)= 13640	F ₅ (C ₄)=11165

P ₄ (C ₄)=0,2963	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,3032
F ₄ (G ₄)= 13744	F ₅ (G ₄)=10946
P ₄ (G ₄)=0,2986	P ₅ (G ₄)=0,2973
	F ₅ (A ₅)= 7178
	P ₅ (A ₅)=0,1949
	F ₅ (T ₅)= 7583
	P ₅ (T ₅)=0,2059
	F ₅ (C ₅)= 11145
	P ₅ (C ₅)=0,3027
	F ₅ (G ₅)= 10916
	P ₅ (G ₅)=0,2965

Fig. A1/23. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $k \leq n$) of tetra-group subgroups in sequences of n -plets in the case of the HS4B958RAJ, Epstein-Barr virus, 184113 bp, accession M80517.1, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/330330>

NUCLEOTIDES $\Sigma_1 = 155943$	DOUBLETS $\Sigma_2 = 77971$	TRIPLETS $\Sigma_3 = 51981$	4-PLETS $\Sigma_4 = 38985$	5-PLETS $\Sigma_5 = 31188$
F ₁ (A ₁)= 47860	F ₂ (A ₁)= 23952	F ₃ (A ₁)= 16025	F ₄ (A ₁)= 12043	F ₅ (A ₁)= 9661
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,3069	P ₂ (A ₁)=0,3072	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,3083	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,3089	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,3098
F ₁ (T ₁)= 49064	F ₂ (T ₁)= 24497	F ₃ (T ₁)= 16460	F ₄ (T ₁)= 12313	F ₅ (T ₁)= 9743
P ₁ (T ₁)=0,3146	P ₂ (T ₁)=0,3142	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,3167	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,3158	P ₅ (T ₁)=0,3124
F ₁ (C ₁)= 30014	F ₂ (C ₁)= 15095	F ₃ (C ₁)= 9974	F ₄ (C ₁)= 7452	F ₅ (C ₁)= 5997
P ₁ (C ₁)=0,1925	P ₂ (C ₁)=0,1936	P ₃ (C ₁)=0,1919	P ₄ (C ₁)=0,1912	P ₅ (C ₁)=0,1923
F ₁ (G ₁)= 29005	F ₂ (G ₁)= 14427	F ₃ (G ₁)= 9522	F ₄ (G ₁)= 7177	F ₅ (G ₁)= 5787
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,1860	P ₂ (G ₁)=0,1850	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,1832	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,1841	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,1856
	F ₂ (A ₂)= 23907	F ₃ (A ₂)=16233	F ₄ (A ₂)= 12039	F ₅ (A ₂)=9605
	P ₂ (A ₂)=0,3066	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,3123	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,3088	P ₅ (A ₂)=0,3080
	F ₂ (T ₂)= 24567	F ₃ (T ₂)=16124	F ₄ (T ₂)=12192	F ₅ (T ₂)=9680
	P ₂ (T ₂)=0,3151	P ₃ (T ₂)=0,3102	P ₄ (T ₂)=0,3127	P ₅ (T ₂)=0,3104
	F ₂ (C ₂)= 14919	F ₃ (C ₂)=9963	F ₄ (C ₂)=7486	F ₅ (C ₂)=6021
	P ₂ (C ₂)=0,1913	P ₃ (C ₂)=0,1917	P ₄ (C ₂)=0,1920	P ₅ (C ₂)=0,1930
	F ₂ (G ₂)= 14578	F ₃ (G ₂)=9661	F ₄ (G ₂)=7268	F ₅ (G ₂)=5882
	P ₂ (G ₂)=0,1870	P ₃ (G ₂)=0,1859	P ₄ (G ₂)=0,1864	P ₅ (G ₂)=0,1886
		F ₃ (A ₃)= 15602	F ₄ (A ₃)=11909	F ₅ (A ₃)=9461
		P ₃ (A ₃)=0,3001	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,3055	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,3034
		F ₃ (T ₃)= 16480	F ₄ (T ₃)=12183	F ₅ (T ₃)=9958
		P ₃ (T ₃)=0,3170	P ₄ (T ₃)=0,3125	P ₅ (T ₃)=0,3193
		F ₃ (C ₃)= 10077	F ₄ (C ₃)=7643	F ₅ (C ₃)=6022
		P ₃ (C ₃)=0,1939	P ₄ (C ₃)=0,1960	P ₅ (C ₃)=0,1931
		F ₃ (G ₃)= 9822	F ₄ (G ₃)= 7250	F ₅ (G ₃)= 5747
		P ₃ (G ₃)=0,1890	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,1860	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,1843
			F ₄ (A ₄)= 11867	F ₅ (A ₄)= 9641
			P ₄ (A ₄)=0,3044	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,3091
			F ₄ (T ₄)= 12375	F ₅ (T ₄)= 9791
			P ₄ (T ₄)=0,3174	P ₅ (T ₄)=0,3139
			F ₄ (C ₄)= 7433	F ₅ (C ₄)=6004

P ₄ (C ₄)=0,1907	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,1925
F ₄ (G ₄)= 7310	F ₅ (G ₄)=5752
P ₄ (G ₄)=0,1875	P ₅ (G ₄)=0,1844
	F ₅ (A ₅)= 9490
	P ₅ (A ₅)=0,3043
	F ₅ (T ₅)= 9891
	P ₅ (T ₅)=0,3171
	F ₅ (C ₅)= 5970
	P ₅ (C ₅)=0,1914
	F ₅ (G ₅)= 5837
	P ₅ (G ₅)=0,1872

Fig. A1/24. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $k \leq n$) of tetra-group subgroups in sequences of n -plets in the case of the *Nicotiana tabacum* chloroplast genome DNA, 155943 bp, accession Z00044.2, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/Z00044>

NUCLEOTIDES $\Sigma_1 = 150224$	DOUBLETS $\Sigma_2 = 75112$	TRIPLETS $\Sigma_3 = 50074$	4-PLETS $\Sigma_4 = 37556$	5-PLETS $\Sigma_5 = 30044$
F ₁ (A ₁)= 32616	F ₂ (A ₁)= 16273	F ₃ (A ₁)= 11331	F ₄ (A ₁)= 8082	F ₅ (A ₁)= 6480
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,2171	P ₂ (A ₁)=0,2166	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,2263	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2152	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,2157
F ₁ (T ₁)= 32482	F ₂ (T ₁)= 16191	F ₃ (T ₁)= 10871	F ₄ (T ₁)= 8106	F ₅ (T ₁)= 6514
P ₁ (T ₁)=0,2162	P ₂ (T ₁)=0,2156	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,2171	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,2158	P ₅ (T ₁)=0,2168
F ₁ (C ₁)= 43173	F ₂ (C ₁)= 21746	F ₃ (C ₁)= 14287	F ₄ (C ₁)= 10735	F ₅ (C ₁)= 8646
P ₁ (C ₁)=0,2874	P ₂ (C ₁)=0,2895	P ₃ (C ₁)=0,2853	P ₄ (C ₁)=0,2858	P ₅ (C ₁)=0,2878
F ₁ (G ₁)= 41953	F ₂ (G ₁)= 20902	F ₃ (G ₁)= 13585	F ₄ (G ₁)= 10633	F ₅ (G ₁)= 8404
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,2793	P ₂ (G ₁)=0,2783	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,2713	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,2831	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,2797
	F ₂ (A ₂)= 16343	F ₃ (A ₂)=10347	F ₄ (A ₂)=8121	F ₅ (A ₂)=6551
	P ₂ (A ₂)=0,2176	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,2066	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,2162	P ₅ (A ₂)=0,2180
	F ₂ (T ₂)= 16291	F ₃ (T ₂)=10789	F ₄ (T ₂)=8144	F ₅ (T ₂)=6599
	P ₂ (T ₂)=0,2169	P ₃ (T ₂)=0,2155	P ₄ (T ₂)=0,2168	P ₅ (T ₂)=0,2196
	F ₂ (C ₂)= 21427	F ₃ (C ₂)=14889	F ₄ (C ₂)=10703	F ₅ (C ₂)=8569
	P ₂ (C ₂)=0,2853	P ₃ (C ₂)=0,2973	P ₄ (C ₂)=0,2850	P ₅ (C ₂)=0,2852
	F ₂ (G ₂)= 21051	F ₃ (G ₂)=14049	F ₄ (G ₂)=10588	F ₅ (G ₂)=8325
	P ₂ (G ₂)=0,2803	P ₃ (G ₂)=0,2806	P ₄ (G ₂)=0,2819	P ₅ (G ₂)=0,2771
		F ₃ (A ₃)= 10938	F ₄ (A ₃)=8191	F ₅ (A ₃)=6492
		P ₃ (A ₃)=0,2184	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,2181	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,2161
		F ₃ (T ₃)= 10822	F ₄ (T ₃)=8085	F ₅ (T ₃)=6475
		P ₃ (T ₃)=0,2161	P ₄ (T ₃)=0,2153	P ₅ (T ₃)=0,2155
		F ₃ (C ₃)= 13997	F ₄ (C ₃)=11011	F ₅ (C ₃)=8519
		P ₃ (C ₃)=0,2795	P ₄ (C ₃)=0,2932	P ₅ (C ₃)=0,2835
		F ₃ (G ₃)= 14317	F ₄ (G ₃)=10269	F ₅ (G ₃)=8558
		P ₃ (G ₃)=0,2859	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,2734	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,2848
			F ₄ (A ₄)= 8222	F ₅ (A ₄)=6551
			P ₄ (A ₄)=0,2189	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,2180
			F ₄ (T ₄)= 8147	F ₅ (T ₄)=6423
			P ₄ (T ₄)=0,2169	P ₅ (T ₄)=0,2138
			F ₄ (C ₄)= 10724	F ₅ (C ₄)=8716
			P ₄ (C ₄)=0,2855	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,2901

F ₄ (G ₄)= 10463	F ₅ (G ₄)=8354
P ₄ (G ₄)=0,2786	P ₅ (G ₄)=0,2781
	F ₅ (A ₅)= 6542
	P ₅ (A ₅)=0,2177
	F ₅ (T ₅)= 6471
	P ₅ (T ₅)=0,2154
	F ₅ (C ₅)= 8723
	P ₅ (C ₅)=0,2903
	F ₅ (G ₅)= 8308
	P ₅ (G ₅)=0,2765

Fig. A1/25. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $k \leq n$) of tetra-group subgroups in sequences of n -plets in the case of the Equine herpesvirus 1 strain Ab4, complete genome, 150224 bp, accession AY665713.1, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AY665713.1>

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$\Sigma_1 = 134502$	$\Sigma_2 = 67251$	$\Sigma_3 = 44834$	$\Sigma_4 = 33625$	$\Sigma_5 = 26900$
F ₁ (A ₁)= 41231	F ₂ (A ₁)= 20572	F ₃ (A ₁)= 13637	F ₄ (A ₁)= 10209	F ₅ (A ₁)= 8302
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,3065	P ₂ (A ₁)=0,3059	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,3042	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,3036	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,3086
F ₁ (T ₁)= 40818	F ₂ (T ₁)= 20391	F ₃ (T ₁)= 13675	F ₄ (T ₁)= 10159	F ₅ (T ₁)= 8094
P ₁ (T ₁)=0,3035	P ₂ (T ₁)=0,3032	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,3050	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,3021	P ₅ (T ₁)=0,3009
F ₁ (C ₁)= 26129	F ₂ (C ₁)= 13035	F ₃ (C ₁)= 8815	F ₄ (C ₁)= 6576	F ₅ (C ₁)= 5341
P ₁ (C ₁)=0,1943	P ₂ (C ₁)=0,1938	P ₃ (C ₁)=0,1966	P ₄ (C ₁)=0,1956	P ₅ (C ₁)=0,1986
F ₁ (G ₁)= 26324	F ₂ (G ₁)= 13253	F ₃ (G ₁)= 8707	F ₄ (G ₁)= 6681	F ₅ (G ₁)= 5163
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,1957	P ₂ (G ₁)=0,1971	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,1942	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,1987	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,1919
	F ₂ (A ₂)= 20659	F ₃ (A ₂)=13872	F ₄ (A ₂)=10372	F ₅ (A ₂)=8240
	P ₂ (A ₂)=0,3072	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,3094	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,3085	P ₅ (A ₂)=0,3063
	F ₂ (T ₂)= 20427	F ₃ (T ₂)=13761	F ₄ (T ₂)=10178	F ₅ (T ₂)=8209
	P ₂ (T ₂)=0,3037	P ₃ (T ₂)=0,3069	P ₄ (T ₂)=0,3027	P ₅ (T ₂)=0,3052
	F ₂ (C ₂)= 13094	F ₃ (C ₂)=8610	F ₄ (C ₂)=6498	F ₅ (C ₂)=5195
	P ₂ (C ₂)=0,1947	P ₃ (C ₂)=0,1920	P ₄ (C ₂)=0,1932	P ₅ (C ₂)=0,1931
	F ₂ (G ₂)= 13071	F ₃ (G ₂)=8591	F ₄ (G ₂)=6577	F ₅ (G ₂)=5256
	P ₂ (G ₂)=0,1944	P ₃ (G ₂)=0,1916	P ₄ (G ₂)=0,1956	P ₅ (G ₂)=0,1954
		F ₃ (A ₃)= 13722	F ₄ (A ₃)=10363	F ₅ (A ₃)=8236
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,3061	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,3082	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,3062
		F ₃ (T ₃)=13382	F ₄ (T ₃)=10231	F ₅ (T ₃)=8206
		P ₃ (T ₃)=0,2985	P ₄ (T ₃)=0,3043	P ₅ (T ₃)=0,3051
		F ₃ (C ₃)= 8704	F ₄ (C ₃)=6459	F ₅ (C ₃)=5129
		P ₃ (C ₃)=0,1941	P ₄ (C ₃)=0,1921	P ₅ (C ₃)=0,1907
		F ₃ (G ₃)= 9026	F ₄ (G ₃)=6572	F ₅ (G ₃)=5329
		P ₃ (G ₃)=0,2013	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,1954	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,1981
			F ₄ (A ₄)= 10286	F ₅ (A ₄)=8255
			P ₄ (A ₄)=0,3059	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,3069
			F ₄ (T ₄)= 10249	F ₅ (T ₄)=8179
			P ₄ (T ₄)=0,3048	P ₅ (T ₄)=0,3041
			F ₄ (C ₄)= 6596	F ₅ (C ₄)= 5157
			P ₄ (C ₄)=0,1962	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,1917

F ₄ (G ₄)= 6494	F ₅ (G ₄)= 5309
P ₄ (G ₄)=0,1931	P ₅ (G ₄)=0,1974
	F ₅ (A ₅)= 8197
	P ₅ (A ₅)=0,3047
	F ₅ (T ₅)= 8129
	P ₅ (T ₅)=0,3022
	F ₅ (C ₅)= 5307
	P ₅ (C ₅)=0,1973
	F ₅ (G ₅)= 5267
	P ₅ (G ₅)=0,1958

Fig. A1/26. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $k \leq n$) of tetra-group subgroups in sequences of n-plets in the case of the *Oryza sativa* cultivar TN1 chloroplast, complete genome, 134502 bp, accession NC_031333.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_031333.1

NUCLEOTIDES $\Sigma_1 = 134226$	DOUBLET $\Sigma_2 = 67113$	TRIPLET $\Sigma_3 = 44742$	4-PLETS $\Sigma_4 = 33556$	5-PLETS $\Sigma_5 = 26845$
F ₁ (A ₁)= 28727 P ₁ (A ₁)=0,2140	F ₂ (A ₁)= 14299 P ₂ (A ₁)=0,2131	F ₃ (A ₁)= 9855 P ₃ (A ₁)=0,2203	F ₄ (A ₁)= 7148 P ₄ (A ₁)=0,2130	F ₅ (A ₁)= 5795 P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2159
F ₁ (T ₁)= 30025 P ₁ (T ₁)=0,2237	F ₂ (T ₁)= 15047 P ₂ (T ₁)=0,2242	F ₃ (T ₁)= 10633 P ₃ (T ₁)=0,2377	F ₄ (T ₁)= 7535 P ₄ (T ₁)=0,2246	F ₅ (T ₁)= 5969 P ₅ (T ₁)=0,2224
F ₁ (C ₁)= 37767 P ₁ (C ₁)=0,2814	F ₂ (C ₁)= 18943 P ₂ (C ₁)=0,2823	F ₃ (C ₁)= 12066 P ₃ (C ₁)=0,2697	F ₄ (C ₁)= 9436 P ₄ (C ₁)=0,2812	F ₅ (C ₁)= 7480 P ₅ (C ₁)=0,2786
F ₁ (G ₁)= 37707 P ₁ (G ₁)=0,2809	F ₂ (G ₁)= 18824 P ₂ (G ₁)=0,2805	F ₃ (G ₁)= 12188 P ₃ (G ₁)=0,2724	F ₄ (G ₁)= 9437 P ₄ (G ₁)=0,2812	F ₅ (G ₁)= 7601 P ₅ (G ₁)=0,2831
	F ₂ (A ₂)= 14428 P ₂ (A ₂)=0,2150	F ₃ (A ₂)=8918 P ₃ (A ₂)=0,1993	F ₄ (A ₂)=7195 P ₄ (A ₂)=0,2144	F ₅ (A ₂)=5812 P ₅ (A ₂)=0,2165
	F ₂ (T ₂)= 14978 P ₂ (T ₂)=0,2232	F ₃ (T ₂)=9597 P ₃ (T ₂)=0,2145	F ₄ (T ₂)=7472 P ₄ (T ₂)=0,2227	F ₅ (T ₂)=6090 P ₅ (T ₂)=0,2266
	F ₂ (C ₂)= 18824 P ₂ (C ₂)=0,2805	F ₃ (C ₂)=13595 P ₃ (C ₂)=0,3038	F ₄ (C ₂)=9411 P ₄ (C ₂)=0,2805	F ₅ (C ₂)=7444 P ₅ (C ₂)=0,2793
	F ₂ (G ₂)= 18883 P ₂ (G ₂)=0,2814	F ₃ (G ₂)=12632 P ₃ (G ₂)=0,2824	F ₄ (G ₂)=9478 P ₄ (G ₂)=0,2825	F ₅ (G ₂)=7499 P ₅ (G ₂)=0,2793
		F ₃ (A ₃)= 9954 P ₃ (A ₃)=0,2225	F ₄ (A ₃)=7151 P ₄ (A ₃)=0,2131	F ₅ (A ₃)=5737 P ₅ (A ₃)=0,2137
		F ₃ (T ₃)= 9795 P ₃ (T ₃)=0,2189	F ₄ (T ₃)=7512 P ₄ (T ₃)=0,2239	F ₅ (T ₃)=6059 P ₅ (T ₃)=0,2257
		F ₃ (C ₃)= 12106 P ₃ (C ₃)=0,2706	F ₄ (C ₃)=9506 P ₄ (C ₃)=0,2833	F ₅ (C ₃)=7527 P ₅ (C ₃)=0,2804
		F ₃ (G ₃)= 12887 P ₃ (G ₃)=0,2880	F ₄ (G ₃)=9387 P ₄ (G ₃)=0,2797	F ₅ (G ₃)=7522 P ₅ (G ₃)=0,2802
			F ₄ (A ₄)= 7233 P ₄ (A ₄)=0,2156	F ₅ (A ₄)=5627 P ₅ (A ₄)=0,2096
			F ₄ (T ₄)= 7506 P ₄ (T ₄)=0,2237	F ₅ (T ₄)=6042 P ₅ (T ₄)=0,2251
			F ₄ (C ₄)= 9413 P ₄ (C ₄)=0,2805	F ₅ (C ₄)=7634 P ₅ (C ₄)=0,2844

F ₄ (G ₄)= 9404	F ₅ (G ₄)=7542
P ₄ (G ₄)=0,2802	P ₅ (G ₄)=0,2809
	F ₅ (A ₅)= 5756
	P ₅ (A ₅)=0,2144
	F ₅ (T ₅)= 5865
	P ₅ (T ₅)=0,2185
	F ₅ (C ₅)= 7682
	P ₅ (C ₅)=0,2862
	F ₅ (G ₅)= 7542
	P ₅ (G ₅)=0,2809

Fig. A1/27. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $k \leq n$) of tetra-group subgroups in sequences of n -plets in the case of the IH1CG, Ictalurid herpesvirus 1 strain Auburn 1, complete genome, 134226 bp, accession M75136.2, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/519868059>

NUCLEOTIDES $\Sigma_1 = 124884$	DOUBLETS $\Sigma_2 = 62442$	TRIPLETS $\Sigma_3 = 41628$	4-PLETS $\Sigma_4 = 31221$	5-PLETS $\Sigma_5 = 24976$
F ₁ (A ₁)= 33782	F ₂ (A ₁)= 16995	F ₃ (A ₁)= 11327	F ₄ (A ₁)= 8467	F ₅ (A ₁)= 6724
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,2705	P ₂ (A ₁)=0,2722	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,2721	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,2712	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,2692
F ₁ (T ₁)= 33623	F ₂ (T ₁)= 16785	F ₃ (T ₁)= 11586	F ₄ (T ₁)= 8445	F ₅ (T ₁)= 6767
P ₁ (T ₁)=0,2692	P ₂ (T ₁)=0,2688	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,2783	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,2705	P ₅ (T ₁)=0,2709
F ₁ (C ₁)= 29295	F ₂ (C ₁)= 14590	F ₃ (C ₁)= 9512	F ₄ (C ₁)= 7336	F ₅ (C ₁)= 5826
P ₁ (C ₁)=0,2346	P ₂ (C ₁)=0,2337	P ₃ (C ₁)=0,2285	P ₄ (C ₁)=0,2350	P ₅ (C ₁)=0,2333
F ₁ (G ₁)= 28184	F ₂ (G ₁)= 14072	F ₃ (G ₁)= 9203	F ₄ (G ₁)= 6973	F ₅ (G ₁)= 5659
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,2257	P ₂ (G ₁)=0,2254	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,2211	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,2233	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,2266
	F ₂ (A ₂)= 16787	F ₃ (A ₂)=11097	F ₄ (A ₂)=8363	F ₅ (A ₂)= 6808
	P ₂ (A ₂)=0,2688	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,2666	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,2679	P ₅ (A ₂)=0,2726
	F ₂ (T ₂)= 16838	F ₃ (T ₂)=11042	F ₄ (T ₂)= 8448	F ₅ (T ₂)=6689
	P ₂ (T ₂)=0,2697	P ₃ (T ₂)=0,2653	P ₄ (T ₂)=0,2706	P ₅ (T ₂)=0,2678
	F ₂ (C ₂)= 14705	F ₃ (C ₂)=9814	F ₄ (C ₂)=7387	F ₅ (C ₂)=5797
	P ₂ (C ₂)=0,2355	P ₃ (C ₂)=0,2358	P ₄ (C ₂)=0,2366	P ₅ (C ₂)=0,2321
	F ₂ (G ₂)= 14112	F ₃ (G ₂)=9675	F ₄ (G ₂)=7023	F ₅ (G ₂)=5682
	P ₂ (G ₂)=0,2260	P ₃ (G ₂)=0,2324	P ₄ (G ₂)=0,2249	P ₅ (G ₂)=0,2275
		F ₃ (A ₃)= 11358	F ₄ (A ₃)=8528	F ₅ (A ₃)=6678
		P ₃ (A ₃)=0,2728	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,2731	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,2674
		F ₃ (T ₃)= 10995	F ₄ (T ₃)=8340	F ₅ (T ₃)=6737
		P ₃ (T ₃)=0,2641	P ₄ (T ₃)=0,2671	P ₅ (T ₃)=0,2697
		F ₃ (C ₃)= 9969	F ₄ (C ₃)=7254	F ₅ (C ₃)=5980
		P ₃ (C ₃)=0,2395	P ₄ (C ₃)=0,2323	P ₅ (C ₃)=0,2394
		F ₃ (G ₃)= 9306	F ₄ (G ₃)=7099	F ₅ (G ₃)= 5581
		P ₃ (G ₃)=0,2236	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,2274	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,2235
			F ₄ (A ₄)= 8424	F ₅ (A ₄)=6818
			P ₄ (A ₄)=0,2698	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,2730
			F ₄ (T ₄)= 8390	F ₅ (T ₄)= 6623
			P ₄ (T ₄)=0,2687	P ₅ (T ₄)=0,2652
			F ₄ (C ₄)= 7318	F ₅ (C ₄)=5846
			P ₄ (C ₄)=0,2344	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,2341
			F ₄ (G ₄)= 7089	F ₅ (G ₄)=5689
			P ₄ (G ₄)=0,2271	P ₅ (G ₄)=0,2278

F ₅ (A ₅)= 6753
P ₅ (A ₅)=0,2704
F ₅ (T ₅)= 6807
P ₅ (T ₅)=0,2725
F ₅ (C ₅)= 5846
P ₅ (C ₅)=0,2341
F ₅ (G ₅)= 5570
P ₅ (G ₅)=0,2230

Fig. A1/28. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $k \leq n$) of tetra-group subgroups in sequences of n-plets in the case of the Human herpesvirus 3 isolate 667/2005, complete genome, 124884 bp, accession JN704693.1, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/JN704693.1>

NUCLEOTIDES $\Sigma_1 = 121024$	DOUBLETS $\Sigma_2 = 60512$	TRIPLETS $\Sigma_3 = 40341$	4-PLETS $\Sigma_4 = 30256$	5-PLETS $\Sigma_5 = 24204$
F ₁ (A ₁)= 42896	F ₂ (A ₁)= 21385	F ₃ (A ₁)= 14349	F ₄ (A ₁)= 10739	F ₅ (A ₁)= 8517
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,3544	P ₂ (A ₁)=0,3534	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,3557	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,3549	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,3519
F ₁ (T ₁)= 43263	F ₂ (T ₁)= 21703	F ₃ (T ₁)= 14637	F ₄ (T ₁)= 10870	F ₅ (T ₁)= 8677
P ₁ (T ₁)=0,3575	P ₂ (T ₁)=0,3587	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,3628	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,3593	P ₅ (T ₁)=0,3585
F ₁ (C ₁)= 17309	F ₂ (C ₁)= 8677	F ₃ (C ₁)= 5687	F ₄ (C ₁)= 4340	F ₅ (C ₁)= 3448
P ₁ (C ₁)=0,1430	P ₂ (C ₁)=0,1434	P ₃ (C ₁)=0,1410	P ₄ (C ₁)=0,1434	P ₅ (C ₁)=0,1425
F ₁ (G ₁)= 17556	F ₂ (G ₁)= 8747	F ₃ (G ₁)= 5668	F ₄ (G ₁)= 4307	F ₅ (G ₁)= 3562
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,1451	P ₂ (G ₁)=0,1445	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,1405	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,1424	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,1472
	F ₂ (A ₂)= 21511	F ₃ (A ₂)=14274	F ₄ (A ₂)=10782	F ₅ (A ₂)=8550
	P ₂ (A ₂)=0,3555	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,3538	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,3564	P ₅ (A ₂)=0,3532
	F ₂ (T ₂)= 21560	F ₃ (T ₂)=14746	F ₄ (T ₂)=10815	F ₅ (T ₂)=8658
	P ₂ (T ₂)=0,3563	P ₃ (T ₂)=0,3655	P ₄ (T ₂)=0,3574	P ₅ (T ₂)=0,3577
	F ₂ (C ₂)= 8632	F ₃ (C ₂)=5657	F ₄ (C ₂)=4288	F ₅ (C ₂)=3533
	P ₂ (C ₂)=0,1426	P ₃ (C ₂)=0,1402	P ₄ (C ₂)=0,1417	P ₅ (C ₂)=0,1460
	F ₂ (G ₂)= 8809	F ₃ (G ₂)=5664	F ₄ (G ₂)=4371	F ₅ (G ₂)=3463
	P ₂ (G ₂)=0,1456	P ₃ (G ₂)=0,1404	P ₄ (G ₂)=0,1445	P ₅ (G ₂)=0,1431
		F ₃ (A ₃)= 14273	F ₄ (A ₃)=10646	F ₅ (A ₃)=8596
		P ₃ (A ₃)=0,3538	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,3519	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,3551
		F ₃ (T ₃)= 13879	F ₄ (T ₃)=10833	F ₅ (T ₃)=8694
		P ₃ (T ₃)=0,3440	P ₄ (T ₃)=0,3580	P ₅ (T ₃)=0,3592
		F ₃ (C ₃)= 5965	F ₄ (C ₃)=4337	F ₅ (C ₃)=3416
		P ₃ (C ₃)=0,1479	P ₄ (C ₃)=0,1433	P ₅ (C ₃)=0,1411
		F ₃ (G ₃)= 6224	F ₄ (G ₃)=4440	F ₅ (G ₃)=3498
		P ₃ (G ₃)=0,1543	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,1467	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,1445
			F ₄ (A ₄)= 10729	F ₅ (A ₄)=8680
			P ₄ (A ₄)=0,3546	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,3586
			F ₄ (T ₄)= 10745	F ₅ (T ₄)=8552
			P ₄ (T ₄)=0,3551	P ₅ (T ₄)=0,3533
			F ₄ (C ₄)= 4344	F ₅ (C ₄)=3475
			P ₄ (C ₄)=0,1436	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,1436
			F ₄ (G ₄)= 4438	F ₅ (G ₄)=3497
			P ₄ (G ₄)=0,1467	P ₅ (G ₄)=0,1445
				F ₅ (A ₅)= 8552
				P ₅ (A ₅)=0,3533

F ₅ (T ₅)= 8680
P ₅ (T ₅)=0,3586
F ₅ (C ₅)= 3436
P ₅ (C ₅)=0,1420
F ₅ (G ₅)= 3536
P ₅ (G ₅)=0,1461

Fig. A1/29. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $k \leq n$) of tetra-group subgroups in sequences of n -plets in the case of the *Marchantia paleacea* chloroplast genome DNA, 121024 bp, accession X04465.1, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/X04465>

NUCLEOTIDES $\Sigma_1 = 132464$	DOUBLETS $\Sigma_2 = 66232$	TRIPLETS $\Sigma_3 = 44154$	4-PLETS $\Sigma_4 = 33116$	5-PLETS $\Sigma_5 = 26492$
F ₁ (A ₁)= 33030	F ₂ (A ₁)= 16477	F ₃ (A ₁)= 11106	F ₄ (A ₁)= 8304	F ₅ (A ₁)= 6592
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,2494	P ₂ (A ₁)=0,2488	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,2515	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,2508	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,2488
F ₁ (T ₁)= 33824	F ₂ (T ₁)= 16910	F ₃ (T ₁)= 11340	F ₄ (T ₁)= 8526	F ₅ (T ₁)= 6907
P ₁ (T ₁)=0,2553	P ₂ (T ₁)=0,2553	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,2568	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,2575	P ₅ (T ₁)=0,2607
F ₁ (C ₁)= 34062	F ₂ (C ₁)= 17075	F ₃ (C ₁)= 11321	F ₄ (C ₁)= 8428	F ₅ (C ₁)= 6813
P ₁ (C ₁)=0,2571	P ₂ (C ₁)=0,2578	P ₃ (C ₁)=0,2564	P ₄ (C ₁)=0,2545	P ₅ (C ₁)=0,2572
F ₁ (G ₁)= 31548	F ₂ (G ₁)= 15770	F ₃ (G ₁)= 10387	F ₄ (G ₁)= 7858	F ₅ (G ₁)= 6180
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,2382	P ₂ (G ₁)=0,2381	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,2352	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,2373	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,2333
	F ₂ (A ₂)= 16553	F ₃ (A ₂)=11103	F ₄ (A ₂)=8196	F ₅ (A ₂)=6647
	P ₂ (A ₂)=0,2499	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,2515	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,2475	P ₅ (A ₂)=0,2509
	F ₂ (T ₂)= 16914	F ₃ (T ₂)=11245	F ₄ (T ₂)=8491	F ₅ (T ₂)=26492
	P ₂ (T ₂)=0,2554	P ₃ (T ₂)=0,2547	P ₄ (T ₂)=0,2564	P ₅ (T ₂)=0,2530
	F ₂ (C ₂)= 16987	F ₃ (C ₂)=11149	F ₄ (C ₂)=8478	F ₅ (C ₂)=6817
	P ₂ (C ₂)=0,2565	P ₃ (C ₂)=0,2525	P ₄ (C ₂)=0,2560	P ₅ (C ₂)=0,2573
	F ₂ (G ₂)= 15778	F ₃ (G ₂)=10657	F ₄ (G ₂)=7951	F ₅ (G ₂)=6326
	P ₂ (G ₂)=0,2382	P ₃ (G ₂)=0,2414	P ₄ (G ₂)=0,2401	P ₅ (G ₂)=0,2388
		F ₃ (A ₃)= 10821	F ₄ (A ₃)=8173	F ₅ (A ₃)=6649
		P ₃ (A ₃)=0,2451	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,2468	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,2510
		F ₃ (T ₃)= 11239	F ₄ (T ₃)=8384	F ₅ (T ₃)=6801
		P ₃ (T ₃)=0,2545	P ₄ (T ₃)=0,2532	P ₅ (T ₃)=0,2567
		F ₃ (C ₃)= 11592	F ₄ (C ₃)=8647	F ₅ (C ₃)=6815
		P ₃ (C ₃)=0,2625	P ₄ (C ₃)=0,2611	P ₅ (C ₃)=0,2572
		F ₃ (G ₃)= 10502	F ₄ (G ₃)=7912	F ₅ (G ₃)=6227
		P ₃ (G ₃)=0,2378	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,2389	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,2351
			F ₄ (A ₄)= 8357	F ₅ (A ₄)=6547
			P ₄ (A ₄)=0,2524	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,2471
			F ₄ (T ₄)= 8423	F ₅ (T ₄)=6723
			P ₄ (T ₄)=0,2543	P ₅ (T ₄)=0,2538
			F ₄ (C ₄)= 8509	F ₅ (C ₄)=6812
			P ₄ (C ₄)=0,2569	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,2571
			F ₄ (G ₄)= 7827	F ₅ (G ₄)=6410
			P ₄ (G ₄)=0,2364	P ₅ (G ₄)=0,2420
				F ₅ (A ₅)= 6595
				P ₅ (A ₅)=0,2489

F ₅ (T ₅)= 6691
P ₅ (T ₅)=0,2526
F ₅ (C ₅)= 6805
P ₅ (C ₅)=0,2569
F ₅ (G ₅)= 6401
P ₅ (G ₅)=0,2416

Fig. A1/30. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $k \leq n$) of tetra-group subgroups in sequences of n -plets in the case of the Escherichia coli strain PSU078 plasmid pPSU078_1, complete sequence, 132464 bp, accession CP012113.1, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/CP012113.1>

NUCLEOTIDES $\Sigma_1 = 152261$	DOUBLETS $\Sigma_2 = 76130$	TRIPLETS $\Sigma_3 = 50753$	4-PLETS $\Sigma_4 = 38065$	5-PLETS $\Sigma_5 = 30452$
F ₁ (A ₁)= 24240	F ₂ (A ₁)= 12192	F ₃ (A ₁)= 7949	F ₄ (A ₁)= 6121	F ₅ (A ₁)= 4926
P ₁ (A ₁)=0,1592	P ₂ (A ₁)=0,1601	P ₃ (A ₁)=0,1566	P ₄ (A ₁)=0,1608	P ₅ (A ₁)=0,1618
F ₁ (T ₁)= 24050	F ₂ (T ₁)= 11941	F ₃ (T ₁)= 7848	F ₄ (T ₁)= 5945	F ₅ (T ₁)= 4862
P ₁ (T ₁)=0,1580	P ₂ (T ₁)=0,1569	P ₃ (T ₁)=0,1546	P ₄ (T ₁)=0,1562	P ₅ (T ₁)=0,1597
F ₁ (C ₁)= 51458	F ₂ (C ₁)= 25758	F ₃ (C ₁)= 17447	F ₄ (C ₁)= 12906	F ₅ (C ₁)= 10344
P ₁ (C ₁)=0,3380	P ₂ (C ₁)=0,3383	P ₃ (C ₁)=0,3438	P ₄ (C ₁)=0,3391	P ₅ (C ₁)=0,3397
F ₁ (G ₁)= 52513	F ₂ (G ₁)= 26239	F ₃ (G ₁)= 17509	F ₄ (G ₁)= 13093	F ₅ (G ₁)= 10320
P ₁ (G ₁)=0,3449	P ₂ (G ₁)=0,3447	P ₃ (G ₁)=0,3450	P ₄ (G ₁)=0,3440	P ₅ (G ₁)=0,3389
	F ₂ (A ₂)= 12048	F ₃ (A ₂)=8057	F ₄ (A ₂)=6079	F ₅ (A ₂)=4882
	P ₂ (A ₂)=0,1583	P ₃ (A ₂)=0,1587	P ₄ (A ₂)=0,1597	P ₅ (A ₂)=0,1603
	F ₂ (T ₂)= 12109	F ₃ (T ₂)=7837	F ₄ (T ₂)=6061	F ₅ (T ₂)=4809
	P ₂ (T ₂)=0,1591	P ₃ (T ₂)=0,1544	P ₄ (T ₂)=0,1592	P ₅ (T ₂)=0,1579
	F ₂ (C ₂)= 25699	F ₃ (C ₂)=17182	F ₄ (C ₂)=12881	F ₅ (C ₂)=10219
	P ₂ (C ₂)=0,3376	P ₃ (C ₂)=0,3385	P ₄ (C ₂)=0,3384	P ₅ (C ₂)=0,3356
	F ₂ (G ₂)= 26274	F ₃ (G ₂)=17677	F ₄ (G ₂)=13044	F ₅ (G ₂)=10542
	P ₂ (G ₂)=0,3451	P ₃ (G ₂)=0,3483	P ₄ (G ₂)=0,3427	P ₅ (G ₂)=0,3462
		F ₃ (A ₃)= 8234	F ₄ (A ₃)=6071	F ₅ (A ₃)=4785
		P ₃ (A ₃)=0,1622	P ₄ (A ₃)=0,1595	P ₅ (A ₃)=0,1571
		F ₃ (T ₃)= 8365	F ₄ (T ₃)=5996	F ₅ (T ₃)=4806
		P ₃ (T ₃)=0,1648	P ₄ (T ₃)=0,1575	P ₅ (T ₃)=0,1578
		F ₃ (C ₃)= 16828	F ₄ (C ₃)=12852	F ₅ (C ₃)=10270
		P ₃ (C ₃)=0,3316	P ₄ (C ₃)=0,3376	P ₅ (C ₃)=0,3373
		F ₃ (G ₃)= 17326	F ₄ (G ₃)=13146	F ₅ (G ₃)=10591
		P ₃ (G ₃)=0,3414	P ₄ (G ₃)=0,3455	P ₅ (G ₃)=0,3478
			F ₄ (A ₄)= 5969	F ₅ (A ₄)=4772
			P ₄ (A ₄)=0,1568	P ₅ (A ₄)=0,1567
			F ₄ (T ₄)= 6048	F ₅ (T ₄)=4771
			P ₄ (T ₄)=0,1589	P ₅ (T ₄)=0,1567
			F ₄ (C ₄)= 12818	F ₅ (C ₄)=10352
			P ₄ (C ₄)=0,3367	P ₅ (C ₄)=0,3399
			F ₄ (G ₄)= 13230	F ₅ (G ₄)=10557
			P ₄ (G ₄)=0,3476	P ₅ (G ₄)=0,34677
				F ₅ (A ₅)= 4875
				P ₅ (A ₅)=0,1601
				F ₅ (T ₅)= 4802
				P ₅ (T ₅)=0,1577

F₅(C₅)= 10272
P₅(C₅)=0,3373
F₅(G₅)= 10503
P₅(G₅)=0,3449

Fig. A1/31. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $k \leq n$) of tetra-group subgroups in sequences of n -plets in the case of the HSIULR, Human herpesvirus 1 complete genome, 152261 bp, accession X14112.1, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/X14112.1>

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$\Sigma_1 = 100849$	$\Sigma_2 = 50424$	$\Sigma_3 = 33616$	$\Sigma_4 = 25212$	$\Sigma_5 = 20169$
F ₁ (A ₁)= 30346 P ₁ (A ₁)=0,3009	F ₂ (A ₁)= 15271 P ₂ (A ₁)=0,3029	F ₃ (A ₁)= 10157 P ₃ (A ₁)=0,3021	F ₄ (A ₁)= 7636 P ₄ (A ₁)=0,3029	F ₅ (A ₁)= 5978 P ₅ (A ₁)=0,2964
F ₁ (T ₁)= 32481 P ₁ (T ₁)=0,3221	F ₂ (T ₁)= 16175 P ₂ (T ₁)=0,3208	F ₃ (T ₁)= 10746 P ₃ (T ₁)=0,3197	F ₄ (T ₁)= 8030 P ₄ (T ₁)=0,3185	F ₅ (T ₁)= 6570 P ₅ (T ₁)=0,3257
F ₁ (C ₁)= 18635 P ₁ (C ₁)=0,1848	F ₂ (C ₁)= 9390 P ₂ (C ₁)=0,1862	F ₃ (C ₁)= 6302 P ₃ (C ₁)=0,1875	F ₄ (C ₁)= 4727 P ₄ (C ₁)=0,1875	F ₅ (C ₁)= 3709 P ₅ (C ₁)=0,1839
F ₁ (G ₁)= 19387 P ₁ (G ₁)=0,1922	F ₂ (G ₁)= 9588 P ₂ (G ₁)=0,1901	F ₃ (G ₁)= 6411 P ₃ (G ₁)=0,1907	F ₄ (G ₁)= 4819 P ₄ (G ₁)=0,1911	F ₅ (G ₁)= 3912 P ₅ (G ₁)=0,1940
	F ₂ (A ₂)= 15075 P ₂ (A ₂)=0,2990	F ₃ (A ₂)=10055 P ₃ (A ₂)=0,2991	F ₄ (A ₂)=7552 P ₄ (A ₂)=0,2995	F ₅ (A ₂)= 6095 P ₅ (A ₂)=0,3022
	F ₂ (T ₂)= 16306 P ₂ (T ₂)=0,3234	F ₃ (T ₂)=10870 P ₃ (T ₂)=0,3234	F ₄ (T ₂)=8147 P ₄ (T ₂)=0,3231	F ₅ (T ₂)=6461 P ₅ (T ₂)=0,3203
	F ₂ (C ₂)= 9245 P ₂ (C ₂)=0,1833	F ₃ (C ₂)=6166 P ₃ (C ₂)=0,1834	F ₄ (C ₂)=4624 P ₄ (C ₂)=0,1834	F ₅ (C ₂)=3795 P ₅ (C ₂)=0,1882
	F ₂ (G ₂)= 9798 P ₂ (G ₂)=0,1943	F ₃ (G ₂)=6525 P ₃ (G ₂)=0,1941	F ₄ (G ₂)=4889 P ₄ (G ₂)=0,1939	F ₅ (G ₂)=3818 P ₅ (G ₂)=0,1893
		F ₃ (A ₃)= 10134 P ₃ (A ₃)=0,3015	F ₄ (A ₃)=25212 P ₄ (A ₃)=0,3028	F ₅ (A ₃)=6045 P ₅ (A ₃)=0,2997
		F ₃ (T ₃)= 10865 P ₃ (T ₃)=0,3232	F ₄ (T ₃)=8145 P ₄ (T ₃)=0,3231	F ₅ (T ₃)=6413 P ₅ (T ₃)=0,3180
		F ₃ (C ₃)= 6167 P ₃ (C ₃)=0,1835	F ₄ (C ₃)=4663 P ₄ (C ₃)=0,1850	F ₅ (C ₃)=3798 P ₅ (C ₃)=0,1883
		F ₃ (G ₃)= 6450 P ₃ (G ₃)=0,1919	F ₄ (G ₃)=4769 P ₄ (G ₃)=0,1892	F ₅ (G ₃)=3913 P ₅ (G ₃)=0,1940
			F ₄ (A ₄)= 7523 P ₄ (A ₄)=0,2984	F ₅ (A ₄)= 6102 P ₅ (A ₄)=0,3025
			F ₄ (T ₄)= 8159 P ₄ (T ₄)=0,3236	F ₅ (T ₄)=6534 P ₅ (T ₄)=0,3240
			F ₄ (C ₄)= 4621 P ₄ (C ₄)=0,1833	F ₅ (C ₄)=3702 P ₅ (C ₄)=0,1835
			F ₄ (G ₄)= 4909 P ₄ (G ₄)=0,1947	F ₅ (G ₄)=3831 P ₅ (G ₄)=0,1899
				F ₅ (A ₅)= 6125 P ₅ (A ₅)=0,3037
				F ₅ (T ₅)= 6502 P ₅ (T ₅)=0,3224
				F ₅ (C ₅)= 3630 P ₅ (C ₅)=0,1800

F₅(G₅)= 3912
P₅(G₅)=0,1940

Fig. A1/32. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $k \leq n$) of tetra-group subgroups in sequences of n-plets in the following case: HUMNEUROF, Human oligodendrocyte myelin glycoprotein (OMG) exons 1-2; neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1) exons 28-49; ecotropic viral integration site 2B (EVI2B) exons 1-2; ecotropic viral integration site 2A (EVI2A) exons 1-2; adenylate kinase (AK3) exons 1-2, 100849 bp, accession L05367.1, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/189152>

NUCLEOTIDES $\Sigma_1 = 100314$	DOUBLETS $\Sigma_2 = 50157$	TRIPLETS $\Sigma_3 = 33438$	4-PLETS $\Sigma_4 = 25078$	5-PLETS $\Sigma_5 = 20062$
F ₁ (A ₁)= 35804 P ₁ (A ₁)=0,3569	F ₂ (A ₁)= 17906 P ₂ (A ₁)=0,3570	F ₃ (A ₁)= 11917 P ₃ (A ₁)=0,3564	F ₄ (A ₁)= 8814 P ₄ (A ₁)=0,3515	F ₅ (A ₁)= 7101 P ₅ (A ₁)=0,3540
F ₁ (T ₁)= 34358 P ₁ (T ₁)=0,3425	F ₂ (T ₁)= 17236 P ₂ (T ₁)=0,3436	F ₃ (T ₁)= 11802 P ₃ (T ₁)=0,3530	F ₄ (T ₁)= 8693 P ₄ (T ₁)=0,3466	F ₅ (T ₁)= 6967 P ₅ (T ₁)=0,3473
F ₁ (C ₁)= 13428 P ₁ (C ₁)=0,1339	F ₂ (C ₁)= 6669 P ₂ (C ₁)=0,1330	F ₃ (C ₁)= 4415 P ₃ (C ₁)=0,1320	F ₄ (C ₁)= 3413 P ₄ (C ₁)=0,1361	F ₅ (C ₁)= 2614 P ₅ (C ₁)=0,1303
F ₁ (G ₁)= 16724 P ₁ (G ₁)=0,1667	F ₂ (G ₁)= 8346 P ₂ (G ₁)=0,1664	F ₃ (G ₁)= 5304 P ₃ (G ₁)=0,1586	F ₄ (G ₁)= 4158 P ₄ (G ₁)=0,1658	F ₅ (G ₁)= 3380 P ₅ (G ₁)=0,1685
	F ₂ (A ₂)= 17898 P ₂ (A ₂)=0,3568	F ₃ (A ₂)=12161 P ₃ (A ₂)=0,3637	F ₄ (A ₂)=8975 P ₄ (A ₂)=0,3579	F ₅ (A ₂)=7134 P ₅ (A ₂)=0,3556
	F ₂ (T ₂)= 17122 P ₂ (T ₂)=0,3414	F ₃ (T ₂)=11010 P ₃ (T ₂)=0,3293	F ₄ (T ₂)=8550 P ₄ (T ₂)=0,3409	F ₅ (T ₂)=6849 P ₅ (T ₂)=0,3414
	F ₂ (C ₂)= 6759 P ₂ (C ₂)=0,1348	F ₃ (C ₂)=4448 P ₃ (C ₂)=0,1330	F ₄ (C ₂)=3344 P ₄ (C ₂)=0,1333	F ₅ (C ₂)=2707 P ₅ (C ₂)=0,1349
	F ₂ (G ₂)= 8378 P ₂ (G ₂)=0,1670	F ₃ (G ₂)=5819 P ₃ (G ₂)=0,1740	F ₄ (G ₂)=4209 P ₄ (G ₂)=0,1678	F ₅ (G ₂)=3372 P ₅ (G ₂)=0,1681
		F ₃ (A ₃)= 11726 P ₃ (A ₃)=0,3507	F ₄ (A ₃)=9092 P ₄ (A ₃)=0,3625	F ₅ (A ₃)=7130 P ₅ (A ₃)=0,3554
		F ₃ (T ₃)= 11546 P ₃ (T ₃)=0,3453	F ₄ (T ₃)=8542 P ₄ (T ₃)=0,3406	F ₅ (T ₃)=6833 P ₅ (T ₃)=0,3406
		F ₃ (C ₃)= 4565 P ₃ (C ₃)=0,1365	F ₄ (C ₃)=3256 P ₄ (C ₃)=0,1298	F ₅ (C ₃)=2677 P ₅ (C ₃)=0,1334
		F ₃ (G ₃)= 5601 P ₃ (G ₃)=0,1675	F ₄ (G ₃)=4188 P ₄ (G ₃)=0,1670	F ₅ (G ₃)=3422 P ₅ (G ₃)=0,1706
			F ₄ (A ₄)= 8922 P ₄ (A ₄)=0,3558	F ₅ (A ₄)=7177 P ₅ (A ₄)=0,3577
			F ₄ (T ₄)= 8572 P ₄ (T ₄)=0,3418	F ₅ (T ₄)=6914 P ₅ (T ₄)=0,3446
			F ₄ (C ₄)= 3415 P ₄ (C ₄)=0,1362	F ₅ (C ₄)=2730 P ₅ (C ₄)=0,1361
			F ₄ (G ₄)= 4169 P ₄ (G ₄)=0,1662	F ₅ (G ₄)=3241 P ₅ (G ₄)=0,1615
				F ₅ (A ₅)= 7261 P ₅ (A ₅)=0,3619
				F ₅ (T ₅)= 6792 P ₅ (T ₅)=0,3386
				F ₅ (C ₅)= 2700 P ₅ (C ₅)=0,1346

F₅(G₅)= 3309
P₅(G₅)=0,1649

Fig. A1/33. Collective frequencies F_n(A_k), F_n(T_k), F_n(C_k), F_n(G_k) and collective probabilities P_n(A_k), P_n(T_k), P_n(C_k) and P_n(G_k) (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and k ≤ n) of tetra-group subgroups in sequences of n-plets in the case of the *Podospira anserina* mitochondrion, complete genome, 100314 bp, accession NC_001329.3, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_001329.3

NUCLEOTIDES Σ ₁ = 97630	DOUBLETS Σ ₂ = 48815	TRIPLETS Σ ₃ = 32543	4-PLETS Σ ₄ = 24407	5-PLETS Σ ₅ = 19526
F ₁ (A ₁)= 28063 P ₁ (A ₁)=0,2874	F ₂ (A ₁)= 13947 P ₂ (A ₁)=0,2857	F ₃ (A ₁)= 9319 P ₃ (A ₁)=0,2864	F ₄ (A ₁)= 6869 P ₄ (A ₁)=0,2814	F ₅ (A ₁)= 5662 P ₅ (A ₁)=0,2900
F ₁ (T ₁)= 26383 P ₁ (T ₁)=0,2702	F ₂ (T ₁)= 13185 P ₂ (T ₁)=0,2701	F ₃ (T ₁)= 8723 P ₃ (T ₁)=0,2680	F ₄ (T ₁)= 6622 P ₄ (T ₁)=0,2713	F ₅ (T ₁)= 5189 P ₅ (T ₁)=0,2657
F ₁ (C ₁)= 20949 P ₁ (C ₁)=0,2146	F ₂ (C ₁)= 10479 P ₂ (C ₁)=0,2147	F ₃ (C ₁)= 6987 P ₃ (C ₁)=0,2147	F ₄ (C ₁)= 5254 P ₄ (C ₁)=0,2153	F ₅ (C ₁)= 4242 P ₅ (C ₁)=0,2172
F ₁ (G ₁)= 22235 P ₁ (G ₁)=0,2277	F ₂ (G ₁)= 11204 P ₂ (G ₁)=0,2295	F ₃ (G ₁)= 7514 P ₃ (G ₁)=0,2309	F ₄ (G ₁)= 5662 P ₄ (G ₁)=0,2320	F ₅ (G ₁)= 4433 P ₅ (G ₁)=0,2270
	F ₂ (A ₂)= 14116 P ₂ (A ₂)=0,2892	F ₃ (A ₂)=9440 P ₃ (A ₂)=0,2901	F ₄ (A ₂)=7077 P ₄ (A ₂)=0,2900	F ₅ (A ₂)=5677 P ₅ (A ₂)=0,2907
	F ₂ (T ₂)= 13198 P ₂ (T ₂)=0,2704	F ₃ (T ₂)=8787 P ₃ (T ₂)=0,2700	F ₄ (T ₂)=6630 P ₄ (T ₂)=0,2716	F ₅ (T ₂)=5202 P ₅ (T ₂)=0,2664
	F ₂ (C ₂)= 10470 P ₂ (C ₂)=0,2145	F ₃ (C ₂)=6991 P ₃ (C ₂)=0,2148	F ₄ (C ₂)=5212 P ₄ (C ₂)=0,2135	F ₅ (C ₂)=4153 P ₅ (C ₂)=0,2127
	F ₂ (G ₂)= 11031 P ₂ (G ₂)=0,2260	F ₃ (G ₂)=6991 P ₃ (G ₂)=0,2251	F ₄ (G ₂)=5488 P ₄ (G ₂)=0,2249	F ₅ (G ₂)=4494 P ₅ (G ₂)=0,2302
		F ₃ (A ₃)= 9304 P ₃ (A ₃)=0,2859	F ₄ (A ₃)=7078 P ₄ (A ₃)=0,2900	F ₅ (A ₃)=5570 P ₅ (A ₃)=0,2853
		F ₃ (T ₃)= 8873 P ₃ (T ₃)=0,2727	F ₄ (T ₃)=6563 P ₄ (T ₃)=0,2689	F ₅ (T ₃)=5250 P ₅ (T ₃)=0,2689
		F ₃ (C ₃)= 6970 P ₃ (C ₃)=0,2142	F ₄ (C ₃)=5224 P ₄ (C ₃)=0,2140	F ₅ (C ₃)=4184 P ₅ (C ₃)=0,2143
		F ₃ (G ₃)= 7396 P ₃ (G ₃)=0,2273	F ₄ (G ₃)=5542 P ₄ (G ₃)=0,2271	F ₅ (G ₃)=4522 P ₅ (G ₃)=0,2316
			F ₄ (A ₄)= 7039 P ₄ (A ₄)=0,2884	F ₅ (A ₄)=5561 P ₅ (A ₄)=0,2848
			F ₄ (T ₄)= 6568 P ₄ (T ₄)=0,2691	F ₅ (T ₄)=5356 P ₅ (T ₄)=0,2743
			F ₄ (C ₄)= 5257 P ₄ (C ₄)=0,2154	F ₅ (C ₄)=4207 P ₅ (C ₄)=0,2155
			F ₄ (G ₄)= 5543 P ₄ (G ₄)=0,2271	F ₅ (G ₄)=4402 P ₅ (G ₄)=0,2254
				F ₅ (A ₅)= 5593 P ₅ (A ₅)=0,2864
				F ₅ (T ₅)= 5386 P ₅ (T ₅)=0,2758
				F ₅ (C ₅)= 4163 P ₅ (C ₅)=0,2132

F₅(G₅)= 4384
P₅(G₅)=0,2245

Fig. A1/34. Collective frequencies F_n(A_k), F_n(T_k), F_n(C_k), F_n(G_k) and collective probabilities P_n(A_k), P_n(T_k), P_n(C_k) and P_n(G_k) (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and k ≤ n) of tetra-group subgroups in sequences of n-plets in the case of the HUMTCRADCV, Human T-cell receptor genes (Human Tcr-C-delta gene, exons 1-4; Tcr-V-delta gene, exons 1-2; T-cell receptor alpha (Tcr-alpha) gene, J1-J61 segments; and Tcr-C-alpha gene, exons 1-4), 97630 bp, accession M94081.1, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/2627263>

NUCLEOTIDES Σ ₁ = 94647	DOUBLETS Σ ₂ = 47323	TRIPLETS Σ ₃ = 31549	4-PLETS Σ ₄ = 23661	5-PLETS Σ ₅ = 18929
F ₁ (A ₁)= 26359 P ₁ (A ₁)=0,2785	F ₂ (A ₁)= 13093 P ₂ (A ₁)=0,2767	F ₃ (A ₁)= 8879 P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2814	F ₄ (A ₁)= 6527 P ₄ (A ₁)=0,2759	F ₅ (A ₁)= 5303 P ₅ (A ₁)=0,2802
F ₁ (T ₁)= 25769 P ₁ (T ₁)=0,2723	F ₂ (T ₁)= 13008 P ₂ (T ₁)=0,2749	F ₃ (T ₁)= 8621 P ₃ (T ₁)=0,2733	F ₄ (T ₁)= 6476 P ₄ (T ₁)=0,2737	F ₅ (T ₁)= 5124 P ₅ (T ₁)=0,2707
F ₁ (C ₁)= 20790 P ₁ (C ₁)=0,2197	F ₂ (C ₁)= 10284 P ₂ (C ₁)=0,2173	F ₃ (C ₁)= 6911 P ₃ (C ₁)=0,2191	F ₄ (C ₁)= 5166 P ₄ (C ₁)=0,2183	F ₅ (C ₁)= 4108 P ₅ (C ₁)=0,2170
F ₁ (G ₁)= 21729 P ₁ (G ₁)=0,2296	F ₂ (G ₁)= 10938 P ₂ (G ₁)=0,2311	F ₃ (G ₁)= 7138 P ₃ (G ₁)=0,2263	F ₄ (G ₁)= 5492 P ₄ (G ₁)=0,2321	F ₅ (G ₁)= 4394 P ₅ (G ₁)=0,2321
	F ₂ (A ₂)= 13265 P ₂ (A ₂)=0,2803	F ₃ (A ₂)=8763 P ₃ (A ₂)=0,2778	F ₄ (A ₂)=6632 P ₄ (A ₂)=0,2803	F ₅ (A ₂)=5317 P ₅ (A ₂)=0,2809
	F ₂ (T ₂)= 12761 P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2697	F ₃ (T ₂)=8621 P ₃ (T ₂)=0,2733	F ₄ (T ₂)=6381 P ₄ (T ₂)=0,2697	F ₅ (T ₂)=5184 P ₅ (T ₂)=0,2739
	F ₂ (C ₂)= 10506 P ₂ (C ₂)=0,2220	F ₃ (C ₂)=6875 P ₃ (C ₂)=0,2179	F ₄ (C ₂)=5247 P ₄ (C ₂)=0,2218	F ₅ (C ₂)=4152 P ₅ (C ₂)=0,2193
	F ₂ (G ₂)= 10791 P ₂ (G ₂)=0,2280	F ₃ (G ₂)=7290 P ₃ (G ₂)=0,2311	F ₄ (G ₂)=5401 P ₄ (G ₂)=0,2283	F ₅ (G ₂)=4276 P ₅ (G ₂)=0,2259
		F ₃ (A ₃)= 8717 P ₃ (A ₃)=0,2763	F ₄ (A ₃)=6566 P ₄ (A ₃)=0,2775	F ₅ (A ₃)=5242 P ₅ (A ₃)=0,2769
		F ₃ (T ₃)= 8527 P ₃ (T ₃)=0,2703	F ₄ (T ₃)=6532 P ₄ (T ₃)=0,2761	F ₅ (T ₃)=5160 P ₅ (T ₃)=0,2726
		F ₃ (C ₃)= 7004 P ₃ (C ₃)=0,2220	F ₄ (C ₃)=5117 P ₄ (C ₃)=0,2163	F ₅ (C ₃)=4163 P ₅ (C ₃)=0,2199
		F ₃ (G ₃)= 7301 P ₃ (G ₃)=0,2314	F ₄ (G ₃)=5446 P ₄ (G ₃)=0,2302	F ₅ (G ₃)=4364 P ₅ (G ₃)=0,2305
			F ₄ (A ₄)= 6633 P ₄ (A ₄)=0,2803	F ₅ (A ₄)=5261 P ₅ (A ₄)=0,2779
			F ₄ (T ₄)= 6380 P ₄ (T ₄)=0,2696	F ₅ (T ₄)=5137 P ₅ (T ₄)=0,2714
			F ₄ (C ₄)= 5259 P ₄ (C ₄)=0,2223	F ₅ (C ₄)=4217 P ₅ (C ₄)=0,2228
			F ₄ (G ₄)= 5389 P ₄ (G ₄)=0,2278	F ₅ (G ₄)=4314 P ₅ (G ₄)=0,2279
				F ₅ (A ₅)= 5235 P ₅ (A ₅)=0,2766
				F ₅ (T ₅)= 5164 P ₅ (T ₅)=0,2728
				F ₅ (C ₅)= 4150 P ₅ (C ₅)=0,2192

F₅(G₅)= 4380
P₅(G₅)=0,2314

Fig. A1/35. Collective frequencies $F_n(A_k)$, $F_n(T_k)$, $F_n(C_k)$, $F_n(G_k)$ and collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $k \leq n$) of tetra-group subgroups in sequences of n-plets in the case of the MUSTCRA, Mouse T-cell receptor alpha/delta chain locus, 94647 bp, accession M64239.1, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/201744>

One can see from the data of the long DNA-sequences in Fig. A1/1-A1/35 that all these sequences satisfy the three tetra-group rules and demonstrate the existence of tetra-group symmetries in them.

Appendix 2. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in the complete set of human chromosomes

The Appendix 2 represents data about the fulfillment of the described tetra-group rules in the complete set of 24 chromosomes of human genome. All initial data about chromosomes are taken from the CenBank.

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2910	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,2910	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2910	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2910	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2910
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,2918	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,2917	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,2917	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,2917	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,2917
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2085	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2085	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2084	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2085	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2085
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2087	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2088	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2088	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2088	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2088
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2910	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2910	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2910	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2910
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2918	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2917	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2918	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2917
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2085	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2085	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2085	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2086
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2087	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2088	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2087	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2087
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2910	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2910	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2910
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2918	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2918	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2918
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2085	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2085	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2085
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2087	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2088	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2087
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2910	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2910
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,2918	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,2919
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,2085	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,2084
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,2087	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2087
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,2910
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,2917
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,2085
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,2088

Fig. A2/1. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 1, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly
NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000001.11
LOCUS NC_000001 248956422 bp DNA linear CON 06-JUN-2016
DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 1, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly.
ACCESSION NC_000001 GPC_000001293 VERSION NC_000001.11
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000001.11
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000001.11?report=fasta

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2984	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,2985	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2983	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2985	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2986
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,2993	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,2992	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,2993	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,2991	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,2992
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2009	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2009	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2009	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2008	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2008
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2014	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2014	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2014	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2015	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2014
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2988	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2985	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2984	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2985
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2993	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2992	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2993	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2993
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2009	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2009	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2009	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2008
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2014	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2014	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2014	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2014
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2985	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2985	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2984
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2993	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2993	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2992
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2008	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2009	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2010
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2014	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2014	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2014
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2984	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2984
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,2994	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,2993
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,2008	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,2009
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,2014	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2014
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,2984
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,2992
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,2009
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,2015

Fig. A2/2. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 2, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly
NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000002.12

LOCUS NC_000002 242193529 bp DNA linear CON 06-JUN-2016

DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 2, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly.

ACCESSION NC_000002 GPC_000001294 VERSION NC_000002.12

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000002.12

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000002.12?report=fasta

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,3013	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,3012	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,3013	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,3013	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,3013
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,3020	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,3020	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,3022	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,3020	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,3021
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,1980	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,1981	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,1979	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,1981	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,1980
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,1986	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,1986	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,1986	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,1985	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,1986
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,3013	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,3013	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,3013	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,3013
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,3020	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,3020	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,3021	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,3019
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,1980	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,1981	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,1980	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,1981
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,1986	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,1986	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,1986	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,1987
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,3014	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,3012	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,3013
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,3019	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,3021	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,3021
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,1981	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,1980	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,1980
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,1986	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,1987	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,1986
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,3014	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,3012
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,3020	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,3021
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,1980	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,1981
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,1986	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,1986
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,3014
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,3020
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,1981
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,1985

Fig. A2/3. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 3, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly

NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000003.12
 LOCUS NC_000003 198295559 bp DNA linear CON 06-JUN-2016
 DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 3, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly.
 ACCESSION NC_000003 GPC_000001295 VERSION NC_000003.12
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000003.12

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,3086	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,3086	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,3087	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,3086	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,3088
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,3089	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,3090	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,3089	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,3089	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,3089
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,1910	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,1909	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,1909	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,1909	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,1910
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,1915	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,1915	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,1915	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,1916	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,1913
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,3086	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,3086	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,3087	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,3086
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,3089	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,3090	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,3089	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,3091
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,1910	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,1910	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,1910	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,1908
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,1914	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,1914	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,1914	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,1915
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,3086	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,3086	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,3087
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,3090	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,3090	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,3088
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,1910	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,1909	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,1910
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,1914	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,1914	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,1915
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,3085	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,3085
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,3090	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,3090
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,1910	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,1910
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,1915	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,1915
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,3085
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,3090
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,1910
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,1915

Fig. A2/4. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 4, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly
 NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000004.12

LOCUS NC_000004 190214555 bp DNA linear CON 06-JUN-2016
 DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 4, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly.
 ACCESSION NC_000004 GPC_000001296 VERSION NC_000004.12
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000004.12

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,3018	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,3018	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,3018	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,3017	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,3018
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,3032	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,3032	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,3031	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,3032	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,3031
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,1971	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,1971	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,1971	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,1972	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,1971
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,1979	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,1979	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,1989	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,1979	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,1980
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,3018	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,3017	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,3018	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,3019
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,3032	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,3032	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,3032	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,3031
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,1971	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,1972	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,1971	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,1972
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,1980	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,1979	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,1979	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,1978
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,3018	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,3018	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,3018
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,3032	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,3032	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,3032
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,1971	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,1971	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,1972
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,1979	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,1979	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,1978
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,3017	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,3016
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,3031	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,3032
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,1971	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,1971
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,1980	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,1981
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,3017
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,3032

P₅(C₅)= 0,1972
P₅(G₅)= 0,1979

Fig. A2/5. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 5, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly
NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000005.10

LOCUS NC_000005 181538259 bp DNA linear CON 06-JUN-2016
DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 5, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly.
ACCESSION NC_000005 GPC_000001297 VERSION NC_000005.10
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000005.10

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,3021	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,3021	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,3021	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,3021	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,3021
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,3020	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,3020	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,3021	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,3020	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,3020
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,1979	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,1979	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,1979	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,1980	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,1980
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,1979	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,1979	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,1980	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,1979	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,1979
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,3021	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,3021	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,3023	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,3021
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,3021	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,3020	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,3020	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,3021
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,1979	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,1980	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,1979	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,1979
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,1979	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,1979	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,1979	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,1979
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,3022	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,3021	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,3020
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,3020	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,3021	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,3021
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,1979	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,1978	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,1980
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,1979	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,1979	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,1979
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,3019	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,3022
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,3021	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,3020
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,1980	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,1978
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,1979	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,1980
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,3020
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,3020
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,1980
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,1980

Fig. A2/6. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 6, whole genome shotgun sequence
GenBank: CM000257.1

LOCUS CM000257 171718000 bp DNA linear CON 23-MAR-2015
DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 6, whole genome shotgun sequence.
ACCESSION CM000257 AADB02000000 CH003477 VERSION CM000257.1
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/CM000257.1>
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/CM000257.1?report=fasta>

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2960	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,2960	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2960	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2960	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2960
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,2970	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,2970	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,2971	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,2970	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,2970
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2033	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2033	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2032	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2032	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2032
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2037	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2037	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2037	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2037	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2037
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2960	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2960	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2959	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2961
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2970	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2970	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2970	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2969
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2033	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2034	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2033	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2032

P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2037	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2037	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2037	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2038
	P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2960	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2960	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2961
	P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2970	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2970	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2969
	P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2033	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2033	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2033
	P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2037	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2036	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2036
		P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2961	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2959
		P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,2970	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,2971
		P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,2033	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,2034
		P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,2037	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2036
			P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,2960
			P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,2971
			P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,2033
			P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,2036

Fig. A2/7. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 7, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly
NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000007.14

LOCUS NC_000007 159345973 bp DNA linear CON 06-JUN-2016

DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 7, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly.

ACCESSION NC_000007 GPC_000001299 VERSION NC_000007.14

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000007.14

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000007.14?report=fasta

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2994	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,2994	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2994	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2993	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2993
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,2990	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,2990	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,2990	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,2991	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,2991
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2008	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2008	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2008	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2008	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2008
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2008	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2008	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2008	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2008	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2008
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2994	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2994	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2994	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2994
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2989	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2990	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2990	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2989
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2008	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2008	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2008	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2008
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2009	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2008	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2008	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2009
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2994	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2994	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2994
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2990	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2990	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2990
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2008	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2008	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2008
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2008	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2007	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2008
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2994	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2994
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,2989	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,2990
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,2008	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,2008
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,2009	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2008
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,2994
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,2990
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,2008
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,2007

Fig. A2/8. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 8, alternate assembly CHM1_1.1, whole genome shotgun sequence

NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_018919.2

LOCUS NC_018919 146399655 bp DNA linear CON 06-JUN-2016

DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 8, alternate assembly CHM1_1.1, whole genome shotgun sequence. ACCESSION NC_018919 GPC_000001167 VERSION NC_018919.2

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_018919.2

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_018919.2?report=fasta

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2928	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,2928	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2927	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2929	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2927
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,2926	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,2927	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,2926	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,2928	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,2926
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2074	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2073	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2074	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2072	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2074
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2072	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2072	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2073	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2071	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2073
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2928	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2928	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2927	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2927
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2925	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2926	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2925	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2927
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2074	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2074	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2074	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2075
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2073	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2072	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2073	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2072
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2929	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2928	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2930
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2927	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2926	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2926
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2073	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2074	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2074
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2072	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2072	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2071
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2928	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2927
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,2926	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,2927
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,2075	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,2073
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,2072	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2072
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,2929
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,2925
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,2073
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,2072

Fig. A2/9. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 9, whole genome shotgun sequence GenBank: CM000260.1

LOCUS CM000260 111583154 bp DNA linear CON 23-MAR-2015

DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 9, whole genome shotgun sequence.

ACCESSION CM000260 AADB02000000 CH003480 VERSION CM000260.1

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/CM000260.1>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/CM000260.1?report=fasta>

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2917	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,2918	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2917	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2918	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2917
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,2928	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,2928	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,2929	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,2928	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,2928
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2074	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2073	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2074	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2074	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2074
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2080	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2080	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2080	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2080	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2081
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2916	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2917	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2916	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2918
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2929	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2928	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2930	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2928
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2075	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2075	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2075	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2075
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2080	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2080	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2079	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2079
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2918	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2918	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2918
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2929	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2929	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2929
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2073	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2073	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2074
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2080	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2080	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2079
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2917	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2916
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,2928	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,2930
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,2074	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,2074
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,2081	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2080
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,2917
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,2928
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,2073
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,2082

Fig. A2/10. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 10, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly
 NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000010.11
 LOCUS NC_000010 133797422 bp DNA linear CON 06-JUN-2016
 DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 10, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly.
 ACCESSION NC_000010 GPC_000001302 VERSION NC_000010.11
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000010.11
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000010.11?report=fasta

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2920	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,2920	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2920	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2919	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2920
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,2926	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,2926	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,2925	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,2926	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,2926
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2074	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2074	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2074	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2075	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2073
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2080	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2080	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2081	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2080	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2081
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2921	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2921	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2920	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2921
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2926	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2926	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2926	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2924
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2074	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2074	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2074	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2075
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2080	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2079	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2080	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2080
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2920	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2920	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2920
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2927	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2926	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2927
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2073	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2074	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2074
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2080	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2080	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2079
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2922	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2920
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,2926	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,2926
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,2073	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,2075
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,2080	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2079
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,2919
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,2927
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,2073
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,2081

Fig. A2/11. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence Homo sapiens chromosome 11, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly
 NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000011.10
 LOCUS NC_000011 135086622 bp DNA linear CON 06-JUN-2016
 DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 11, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly.
 ACCESSION NC_000011 GPC_000001303 VERSION NC_000011.10
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000011.10
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000011.10?report=fasta

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2957	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,2957	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2958	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2958	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2957
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,2966	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,2966	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,2966	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,2966	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,2967
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2035	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2035	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2035	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2035	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2035
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2042	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2042	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2041	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2042	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2041
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2958	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2956	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2958	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2956
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2966	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2968	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2966	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2966
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2035	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2035	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2034	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2035
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2041	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2042	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2042	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2043
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2958	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2955	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2956
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2966	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2967	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2966
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2035	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2035	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2036
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2042	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2042	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2042
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2957	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2958

P ₄ (T ₄)=	0,2966	P ₅ (T ₄)=	0,2966
P ₄ (C ₄)=	0,2035	P ₅ (C ₄)=	0,2034
P ₄ (G ₄)=	0,2041	P ₅ (G ₄)=	0,2041
		P ₅ (A ₅)=	0,2957
		P ₅ (T ₅)=	0,2966
		P ₅ (C ₅)=	0,2035
		P ₅ (G ₅)=	0,2042

Fig. A2/12. Probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 12, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly

NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000012.12

LOCUS NC_000012 133275309 bp DNA linear CON 06-JUN-2016

DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 12, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly.

ACCESSION NC_000012 GPC_000001304 VERSION NC_000012.12

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000012.12

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000012.12?report=fasta

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS					
P ₁ (A ₁)=	0,3069	P ₂ (A ₁)=	0,3068	P ₃ (A ₁)=	0,3069	P ₄ (A ₁)=	0,3068	P ₅ (A ₁)=	0,3071
P ₁ (T ₁)=	0,3079	P ₂ (T ₁)=	0,3078	P ₃ (T ₁)=	0,3079	P ₄ (T ₁)=	0,3078	P ₅ (T ₁)=	0,3077
P ₁ (C ₁)=	0,1926	P ₂ (C ₁)=	0,1927	P ₃ (C ₁)=	0,1926	P ₄ (C ₁)=	0,1926	P ₅ (C ₁)=	0,1925
P ₁ (G ₁)=	0,1926	P ₂ (G ₁)=	0,1927	P ₃ (G ₁)=	0,1926	P ₄ (G ₁)=	0,1927	P ₅ (G ₁)=	0,1927
		P ₂ (A ₂)=	0,3069	P ₃ (A ₂)=	0,3069	P ₄ (A ₂)=	0,3068	P ₅ (A ₂)=	0,3068
		P ₂ (T ₂)=	0,3079	P ₃ (T ₂)=	0,3079	P ₄ (T ₂)=	0,3079	P ₅ (T ₂)=	0,3081
		P ₂ (C ₂)=	0,1925	P ₃ (C ₂)=	0,1925	P ₄ (C ₂)=	0,1926	P ₅ (C ₂)=	0,1926
		P ₂ (G ₂)=	0,1926	P ₃ (G ₂)=	0,1926	P ₄ (G ₂)=	0,1927	P ₅ (G ₂)=	0,1925
				P ₃ (A ₃)=	0,3068	P ₄ (A ₃)=	0,3068	P ₅ (A ₃)=	0,3067
				P ₃ (T ₃)=	0,3078	P ₄ (T ₃)=	0,3078	P ₅ (T ₃)=	0,3079
				P ₃ (C ₃)=	0,1927	P ₄ (C ₃)=	0,1927	P ₅ (C ₃)=	0,1927
				P ₃ (G ₃)=	0,19274	P ₄ (G ₃)=	0,1926	P ₅ (G ₃)=	0,1927
						P ₄ (A ₄)=	0,3071	P ₅ (A ₄)=	0,3069
						P ₄ (T ₄)=	0,3080	P ₅ (T ₄)=	0,3079
						P ₄ (C ₄)=	0,1924	P ₅ (C ₄)=	0,1927
						P ₄ (G ₄)=	0,1925	P ₅ (G ₄)=	0,1926
								P ₅ (A ₅)=	0,3070
								P ₅ (T ₅)=	0,3079
								P ₅ (C ₅)=	0,1925
								P ₅ (G ₅)=	0,1927

Fig. A2/13. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 13, whole genome shotgun sequence

GenBank: CM000264.1

LOCUS CM000264 95789532 bp DNA linear CON 23-MAR-2015

DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 13, whole genome shotgun sequence.

ACCESSION CM000264 AADB02000000 CH003484 VERSION CM000264.1

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CM000264.1>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CM000264.1?report=fasta>

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS					
P ₁ (A ₁)=	0,2945	P ₂ (A ₁)=	0,2944	P ₃ (A ₁)=	0,2946	P ₄ (A ₁)=	0,2944	P ₅ (A ₁)=	0,2945
P ₁ (T ₁)=	0,2970	P ₂ (T ₁)=	0,2970	P ₃ (T ₁)=	0,2970	P ₄ (T ₁)=	0,2970	P ₅ (T ₁)=	0,2970
P ₁ (C ₁)=	0,2040	P ₂ (C ₁)=	0,2041	P ₃ (C ₁)=	0,2040	P ₄ (C ₁)=	0,2041	P ₅ (C ₁)=	0,2039
P ₁ (G ₁)=	0,2045	P ₂ (G ₁)=	0,2045	P ₃ (G ₁)=	0,2045	P ₄ (G ₁)=	0,2045	P ₅ (G ₁)=	0,2046
		P ₂ (A ₂)=	0,2946	P ₃ (A ₂)=	0,2946	P ₄ (A ₂)=	0,2946	P ₅ (A ₂)=	0,2945

P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2970	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2970	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2970	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2971
P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2039	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2039	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2038	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2039
P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2045	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2045	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2045	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2045
	P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2944	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2945	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2945
	P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2970	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2969	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2968
	P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2040	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2041	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2040
	P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2046	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2045	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2047
		P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2946	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2946
		P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,2970	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,2968
		P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,2039	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,2041
		P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,2046	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2045
			P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,2945
			P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,2971
			P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,2039
			P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,2044

Fig. A2/14. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 14, whole genome shotgun sequence
GenBank: CM000265.1

LOCUS CM000265 87316725 bp DNA linear CON 23-MAR-2015
DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 14, whole genome shotgun sequence.
ACCESSION CM000265 AADB02000000 CH003485 VERSION CM000265.1
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/CM000265.1>
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/CM000265.1?report=fasta>

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2896	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,2896	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2897	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2896	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2895
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,2901	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,2901	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,2900	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,2901	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,2902
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2097	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2097	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2098	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2098	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2098
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2106	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2106	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2105	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2105	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2105
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2895	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2894	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2895	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2894
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2901	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2902	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2901	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2901
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2098	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2097	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2098	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2098
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2106	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2106	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2106	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2107
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2896	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2897	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2895
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2900	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2901	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2902
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2097	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2096	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2097
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2107	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2106	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2106
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2895	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2898
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,2901	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,2901
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,2098	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,2097
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,2107	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2104
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,2896
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,2899
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,2097
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,2108

Fig. A2/15. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 15, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly
NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000015.10

LOCUS NC_000015 101991189 bp DNA linear CON 06-JUN-2016
DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 15, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly.
ACCESSION NC_000015 GPC_000001307 VERSION NC_000015.10
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000015.10
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000015.10?report=fasta

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2758	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,2757	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2758	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2757	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2757
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,2784	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,2785	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,2784	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,2785	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,2784
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2221	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2222	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2221	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2222	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2221
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2237	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2236	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2237	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2236	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2237
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2758	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2757	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2758	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2758
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2783	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2784	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2781	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2783
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2221	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2222	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2222	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2222
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2238	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2237	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2238	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2237
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2758	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2756	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2760
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2784	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2785	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2784
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2222	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2222	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2221
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2237	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2237	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2236
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2759	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2756
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,2784	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,2783
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,2220	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,2222
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,2237	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2239
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,2757
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,2785
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,2222
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,2236

Fig. A2/16. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 16, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly
NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000016.10
LOCUS NC_000016 90338345 bp DNA linear CON 06-JUN-2016
DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 16, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly.
ACCESSION NC_000016 GPC_000001308 VERSION NC_000016.10
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000016.10
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000016.10?report=fasta

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2730	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,2730	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2730	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2729	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2732
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,2738	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,2739	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,2739	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,2738	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,2738
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2258	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2257	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2258	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2258	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2257
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2273	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2274	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2272	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2275	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2273
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2730	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2730	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2731	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2729
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2737	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2738	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2737	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2739
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2259	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2257	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2259	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2257
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2273	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2274	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2273	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2275
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2730	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2731	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2731
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2737	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2740	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2738
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2259	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2256	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2258
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2274	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2273	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2273
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2730	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2731
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,2738	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,2738
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,2259	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,2258
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,2273	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2273
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,2729
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,2739
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,2260

P₅(G₅)= 0,2272

Fig. A2/17. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 17, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly
 NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000017.11
 LOCUS NC_000017 83257441 bp DNA linear CON 06-JUN-2016
 DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 17, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly.
 ACCESSION NC_000017 GPC_000001309 VERSION NC_000017.11
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000017.11
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000017.11?report=fasta

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,3011	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,3012	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,3011	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,3012	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,3009
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,3014	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,3013	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,3014	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,3014	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,3017
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,1987	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,1985	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,1987	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,1986	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,1986
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,1989	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,1989	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,1988	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,1988	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,1988
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,3009	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,3011	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,3009	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,3012
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,3014	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,3013	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,3014	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,3013
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,1988	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,1987	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,1987	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,1986
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,1989	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,1989	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,1990	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,1989
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,3010	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,3012	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,3014
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,3014	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,3012	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,3011
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,1986	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,1985	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,1988
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,1990	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,1990	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,1988
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,3009	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,3010
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,3013	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,3014
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,1988	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,1986
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,1989	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,1990
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,3008
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,3014
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,1988
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,1991

Fig. A2/18. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 18, whole genome shotgun sequence
 GenBank: CM000269.1
 LOCUS CM000269 74792881 bp DNA linear CON 23-MAR-2015
 DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 18, whole genome shotgun sequence.
 ACCESSION CM000269 AADB02000000 CH003489 VERSION CM000269.1
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/CM000269.1>
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/CM000269.1?report=fasta>

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2591	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,2592	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2592	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2591	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2592
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,2615	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,2615	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,2616	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,2615	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,2615
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2388	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2388	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2387	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2388	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2386
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2406	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2405	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2405	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2405	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2407
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2590	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2591	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2589	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2591
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2615	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2616	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2616	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2615
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2388	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2388	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2389	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2387
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2407	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2405	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2407	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2408
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2591	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2593	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2590
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2613	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2615	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2615

P ₃ (C ₃)=	0,2388	P ₄ (C ₃)=	0,2387	P ₅ (C ₃)=	0,2390
P ₃ (G ₃)=	0,2408	P ₄ (G ₃)=	0,2405	P ₅ (G ₃)=	0,2405
		P ₄ (A ₄)=	0,2591	P ₅ (A ₄)=	0,2592
		P ₄ (T ₄)=	0,2614	P ₅ (T ₄)=	0,2615
		P ₄ (C ₄)=	0,2387	P ₅ (C ₄)=	0,2388
		P ₄ (G ₄)=	0,2408	P ₅ (G ₄)=	0,2404
				P ₅ (A ₅)=	0,2591
				P ₅ (T ₅)=	0,2615
				P ₅ (C ₅)=	0,2388
				P ₅ (G ₅)=	0,2406

Fig. A2/19. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 19, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly
NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000019.10

LOCUS NC_000019 58617616 bp DNA linear CON 06-JUN-2016

DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 19, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly.

ACCESSION NC_000019 GPC_000001311 VERSION NC_000019.10

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000019.10

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000019.10?report=fasta

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS					
P ₁ (A ₁)=	0,2778	P ₂ (A ₁)=	0,2779	P ₃ (A ₁)=	0,2778	P ₄ (A ₁)=	0,2779	P ₅ (A ₁)=	0,2777
P ₁ (T ₁)=	0,2810	P ₂ (T ₁)=	0,2808	P ₃ (T ₁)=	0,2810	P ₄ (T ₁)=	0,2809	P ₅ (T ₁)=	0,2811
P ₁ (C ₁)=	0,2201	P ₂ (C ₁)=	0,2202	P ₃ (C ₁)=	0,2201	P ₄ (C ₁)=	0,2202	P ₅ (C ₁)=	0,2200
P ₁ (G ₁)=	0,2211	P ₂ (G ₁)=	0,2212	P ₃ (G ₁)=	0,2211	P ₄ (G ₁)=	0,2211	P ₅ (G ₁)=	0,2212
		P ₂ (A ₂)=	0,2777	P ₃ (A ₂)=	0,2778	P ₄ (A ₂)=	0,2776	P ₅ (A ₂)=	0,2780
		P ₂ (T ₂)=	0,2811	P ₃ (T ₂)=	0,2810	P ₄ (T ₂)=	0,2813	P ₅ (T ₂)=	0,2806
		P ₂ (C ₂)=	0,2200	P ₃ (C ₂)=	0,2201	P ₄ (C ₂)=	0,2200	P ₅ (C ₂)=	0,2201
		P ₂ (G ₂)=	0,2211	P ₃ (G ₂)=	0,2212	P ₄ (G ₂)=	0,2211	P ₅ (G ₂)=	0,2212
				P ₃ (A ₃)=	0,2779	P ₄ (A ₃)=	0,2779	P ₅ (A ₃)=	0,2777
				P ₃ (T ₃)=	0,2809	P ₄ (T ₃)=	0,2807	P ₅ (T ₃)=	0,2811
				P ₃ (C ₃)=	0,2201	P ₄ (C ₃)=	0,2202	P ₅ (C ₃)=	0,2203
				P ₃ (G ₃)=	0,2211	P ₄ (G ₃)=	0,2212	P ₅ (G ₃)=	0,2209
						P ₄ (A ₄)=	0,2778	P ₅ (A ₄)=	0,2778
						P ₄ (T ₄)=	0,2810	P ₅ (T ₄)=	0,2810
						P ₄ (C ₄)=	0,2201	P ₅ (C ₄)=	0,2199
						P ₄ (G ₄)=	0,2211	P ₅ (G ₄)=	0,2213
								P ₅ (A ₅)=	0,2779
								P ₅ (T ₅)=	0,2810
								P ₅ (C ₅)=	0,2201
								P ₅ (G ₅)=	0,2211

Fig. A2/20. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 20, whole genome shotgun sequence
GenBank: CM000271.1

LOCUS CM000271 59605541 bp DNA linear CON 23-MAR-2015

DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 20, whole genome shotgun sequence.

ACCESSION CM000271 AADB02000000 CH003491 VERSION CM000271.1

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CM000271.1>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CM000271.1?report=fasta>

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS					
P ₁ (A ₁)=	0,2964	P ₂ (A ₁)=	0,2964	P ₃ (A ₁)=	0,2963	P ₄ (A ₁)=	0,2966	P ₅ (A ₁)=	0,2965
P ₁ (T ₁)=	0,2946	P ₂ (T ₁)=	0,2947	P ₃ (T ₁)=	0,2946	P ₄ (T ₁)=	0,2946	P ₅ (T ₁)=	0,2943

P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2047	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2046	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2046	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2046	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2045
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2043	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2043	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2045	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2042	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2046
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2965	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2964	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2967	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2966
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2945	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2946	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2945	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2948
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2047	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2049	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2046	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2047
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2043	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2041	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2042	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2040
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2966	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2962	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2964
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2947	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2949	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2947
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2044	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2045	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2047
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2043	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2044	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2042
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2963	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2964
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,2945	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,2946
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,2049	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,2048
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,2043	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2042
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,2964
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,2947
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,2045
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,2044

Fig. A2/21. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens genomic DNA, chromosome 21q
 LOCUS BA000005 33543332 bp DNA linear CON 12-JUL-2008
 DEFINITION Homo sapiens genomic DNA,
 chromosome 21q. ACCESSION BA000005 VERSION BA000005.3
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/BA000005>
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/BA000005.3?report=fasta>

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2651	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,2651	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2651	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2651	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2652
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,2648	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,2648	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,2648	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,2649	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,2647
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2339	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2339	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2340	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2339	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2340
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2361	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2362	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2362	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2361	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2361
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2651	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2652	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2651	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2651
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,2648	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,2647	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,2647	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,2645
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2340	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2341	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2339	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2340
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2360	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2360	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2362	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2364
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2651	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2651	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2652
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,2650	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,2648	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,2650
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2337	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2338	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2339
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2362	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2363	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,2359
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2652	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2650
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,2649	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,2649
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,2340	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,2340
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,2358	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2362
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,2652
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,2651
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,2337
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,2360

Fig. A2/22. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome 22, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly
 NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000022.11
 LOCUS NC_000022 50818468 bp DNA linear CON 06-JUN-2016
 DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome 22, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly.
 ACCESSION NC_000022 GPC_000001314 VERSION NC_000022.11
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000022.11

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000022.11?report=fasta

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,3019	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,3019	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,3019	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,3019	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,3017
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,3029	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,3029	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,3029	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,3029	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,3030
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,1971	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,1970	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,1971	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,1970	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,1971
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,1982	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,1982	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,1982	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,1982	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,1982
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,3018	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,3018	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,3019	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,3019
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,3029	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,3029	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,3029	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,3029
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,1971	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,19711	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,1970	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,1970
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,1982	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,1982	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,1982	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,1982
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,3019	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,3018	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,3019
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,3029	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,3029	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,3029
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,1970	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,1971	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,1971
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,1982	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,1981	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,1982
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,3017	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,3019
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,3029	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,3028
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,1972	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,1971
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,1982	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,1982
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,3019
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,3029
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,1971
				P ₅ (G ₅)= 0,1982

Fig. A2/23. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome X, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly
 NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000023.11
 LOCUS NC_000023 156040895 bp DNA linear CON 06-JUN-2016
 DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome X, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly.
 ACCESSION NC_000023 GPC_000001315
 VERSION NC_000023.11

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000023.11

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000023.11?report=fasta

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
P ₁ (A ₁)= 0,2985	P ₂ (A ₁)= 0,2986	P ₃ (A ₁)= 0,2985	P ₄ (A ₁)= 0,2987	P ₅ (A ₁)= 0,2983
P ₁ (T ₁)= 0,3012	P ₂ (T ₁)= 0,3013	P ₃ (T ₁)= 0,3013	P ₄ (T ₁)= 0,3014	P ₅ (T ₁)= 0,3009
P ₁ (C ₁)= 0,2001	P ₂ (C ₁)= 0,2001	P ₃ (C ₁)= 0,2001	P ₄ (C ₁)= 0,2000	P ₅ (C ₁)= 0,2004
P ₁ (G ₁)= 0,2001	P ₂ (G ₁)= 0,2000	P ₃ (G ₁)= 0,2001	P ₄ (G ₁)= 0,2000	P ₅ (G ₁)= 0,2004
	P ₂ (A ₂)= 0,2985	P ₃ (A ₂)= 0,2987	P ₄ (A ₂)= 0,2984	P ₅ (A ₂)= 0,2986
	P ₂ (T ₂)= 0,3011	P ₃ (T ₂)= 0,3011	P ₄ (T ₂)= 0,3011	P ₅ (T ₂)= 0,3009
	P ₂ (C ₂)= 0,2001	P ₃ (C ₂)= 0,2001	P ₄ (C ₂)= 0,2002	P ₅ (C ₂)= 0,2002
	P ₂ (G ₂)= 0,2003	P ₃ (G ₂)= 0,2001	P ₄ (G ₂)= 0,2003	P ₅ (G ₂)= 0,2002
		P ₃ (A ₃)= 0,2984	P ₄ (A ₃)= 0,2985	P ₅ (A ₃)= 0,2988
		P ₃ (T ₃)= 0,3012	P ₄ (T ₃)= 0,3012	P ₅ (T ₃)= 0,3013
		P ₃ (C ₃)= 0,2001	P ₄ (C ₃)= 0,2002	P ₅ (C ₃)= 0,2002
		P ₃ (G ₃)= 0,2003	P ₄ (G ₃)= 0,2000	P ₅ (G ₃)= 0,1998
			P ₄ (A ₄)= 0,2986	P ₅ (A ₄)= 0,2984
			P ₄ (T ₄)= 0,3012	P ₅ (T ₄)= 0,3016
			P ₄ (C ₄)= 0,2000	P ₅ (C ₄)= 0,2000
			P ₄ (G ₄)= 0,2003	P ₅ (G ₄)= 0,2000
				P ₅ (A ₅)= 0,2987
				P ₅ (T ₅)= 0,3012
				P ₅ (C ₅)= 0,1998

$$P_5(G_5) = 0,2003$$

Fig. A2/24. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Homo sapiens chromosome Y, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly
 NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000024.10
 LOCUS NC_000024 57227415 bp DNA linear CON 06-JUN-2016
 DEFINITION Homo sapiens chromosome Y, GRCh38.p7 Primary Assembly.
 ACCESSION NC_000024 GPC_000001316 VERSION NC_000024.10
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000024.10
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000024.10?report=fasta

Appendix 3. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in the complete set of chromosomes of a nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*

The appendix 3 represents data about the fulfillment of the described tetra-group rules in the complete set of chromosomes of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. This free-living soil nematode is widely used as a model organism in genetics for a long time. The *Caenorhabditis elegans* nuclear genome is approximately 100 Mb, distributed among six chromosomes. All initial data are taken from the CenBank
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome?term=caenorhabditis%20elegans>).

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1) = 0.3208$	$P_2(A_1) = 0.3207$	$P_3(A_1) = 0.3205$	$P_4(A_1) = 0.3207$	$P_5(A_1) = 0.3205$
$P_1(T_1) = 0.3217$	$P_2(T_1) = 0.3218$	$P_3(T_1) = 0.3216$	$P_4(T_1) = 0.3219$	$P_5(T_1) = 0.3219$
$P_1(C_1) = 0.1789$	$P_2(C_1) = 0.1789$	$P_3(C_1) = 0.1788$	$P_4(C_1) = 0.1789$	$P_5(C_1) = 0.1789$
$P_1(G_1) = 0.1786$	$P_2(G_1) = 0.1787$	$P_3(G_1) = 0.1791$	$P_4(G_1) = 0.1784$	$P_5(G_1) = 0.1787$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0.321$	$P_3(A_2) = 0.3212$	$P_4(A_2) = 0.321$	$P_5(A_2) = 0.321$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0.3216$	$P_3(T_2) = 0.3216$	$P_4(T_2) = 0.3218$	$P_5(T_2) = 0.3215$
	$P_2(C_2) = 0.1788$	$P_3(C_2) = 0.1793$	$P_4(C_2) = 0.1785$	$P_5(C_2) = 0.179$
	$P_2(G_2) = 0.1786$	$P_3(G_2) = 0.1779$	$P_4(G_2) = 0.1788$	$P_5(G_2) = 0.1785$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0.3209$	$P_4(A_3) = 0.3206$	$P_5(A_3) = 0.3208$
		$P_3(T_3) = 0.3218$	$P_4(T_3) = 0.3217$	$P_5(T_3) = 0.3218$
		$P_3(C_3) = 0.1784$	$P_4(C_3) = 0.1788$	$P_5(C_3) = 0.1789$
		$P_3(G_3) = 0.1789$	$P_4(G_3) = 0.1789$	$P_5(G_3) = 0.1785$
			$P_4(A_4) = 0.3211$	$P_5(A_4) = 0.321$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0.3213$	$P_5(T_4) = 0.3218$
			$P_4(C_4) = 0.1792$	$P_5(C_4) = 0.1786$
			$P_4(G_4) = 0.1784$	$P_5(G_4) = 0.1787$
				$P_5(A_5) = 0.3211$

				$P_5(T_5) = 0.3213$
				$P_5(C_5) = 0.179$
				$P_5(G_5) = 0.1787$

Fig. A3/1. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: *Caenorhabditis elegans* chromosome I, NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_003279.8

LOCUS NC_003279 15072434 bp DNA linear CON 11-OCT-2017
 DEFINITION *Caenorhabditis elegans* chromosome I. ACCESSION NC_003279
 VERSION NC_003279.8

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_003279.8

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1) = 0.3193$	$P_2(A_1) = 0.3193$	$P_3(A_1) = 0.319$	$P_4(A_1) = 0.3193$	$P_5(A_1) = 0.3191$
$P_1(T_1) = 0.3187$	$P_2(T_1) = 0.3187$	$P_3(T_1) = 0.3189$	$P_4(T_1) = 0.3187$	$P_5(T_1) = 0.3189$
$P_1(C_1) = 0.1812$	$P_2(C_1) = 0.1813$	$P_3(C_1) = 0.1814$	$P_4(C_1) = 0.1813$	$P_5(C_1) = 0.1814$
$P_1(G_1) = 0.1808$	$P_2(G_1) = 0.1807$	$P_3(G_1) = 0.1807$	$P_4(G_1) = 0.1806$	$P_5(G_1) = 0.1806$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0.3193$	$P_3(A_2) = 0.3192$	$P_4(A_2) = 0.3193$	$P_5(A_2) = 0.3193$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0.3187$	$P_3(T_2) = 0.318$	$P_4(T_2) = 0.3187$	$P_5(T_2) = 0.319$
	$P_2(C_2) = 0.1812$	$P_3(C_2) = 0.1814$	$P_4(C_2) = 0.181$	$P_5(C_2) = 0.1809$
	$P_2(G_2) = 0.1809$	$P_3(G_2) = 0.1814$	$P_4(G_2) = 0.181$	$P_5(G_2) = 0.1809$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0.3196$	$P_4(A_3) = 0.3192$	$P_5(A_3) = 0.3193$
		$P_3(T_3) = 0.3192$	$P_4(T_3) = 0.3187$	$P_5(T_3) = 0.3183$
		$P_3(C_3) = 0.1809$	$P_4(C_3) = 0.1813$	$P_5(C_3) = 0.1813$
		$P_3(G_3) = 0.1803$	$P_4(G_3) = 0.1808$	$P_5(G_3) = 0.181$
			$P_4(A_4) = 0.3192$	$P_5(A_4) = 0.3194$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0.3187$	$P_5(T_4) = 0.3185$
			$P_4(C_4) = 0.1813$	$P_5(C_4) = 0.1813$
			$P_4(G_4) = 0.1807$	$P_5(G_4) = 0.1808$
				$P_5(A_5) = 0.3193$
				$P_5(T_5) = 0.3189$
				$P_5(C_5) = 0.1813$
				$P_5(G_5) = 0.1805$

Fig. A3/2. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: *Caenorhabditis elegans* chromosome II,

NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_003280.10

LOCUS NC_003280 15279421 bp DNA linear CON 11-OCT-2017
 DEFINITION Caenorhabditis elegans chromosome II. ACCESSION NC_003280
 VERSION NC_003280.10
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_003280.10

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1) = 0.3225$	$P_2(A_1) = 0.3226$	$P_3(A_1) = 0.3223$	$P_4(A_1) = 0.3225$	$P_5(A_1) = 0.3226$
$P_1(T_1) = 0.3209$	$P_2(T_1) = 0.3209$	$P_3(T_1) = 0.3206$	$P_4(T_1) = 0.3209$	$P_5(T_1) = 0.3211$
$P_1(C_1) = 0.1777$	$P_2(C_1) = 0.1778$	$P_3(C_1) = 0.178$	$P_4(C_1) = 0.1778$	$P_5(C_1) = 0.1777$
$P_1(G_1) = 0.1789$	$P_2(G_1) = 0.1787$	$P_3(G_1) = 0.1791$	$P_4(G_1) = 0.1788$	$P_5(G_1) = 0.1787$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0.3223$	$P_3(A_2) = 0.3227$	$P_4(A_2) = 0.3223$	$P_5(A_2) = 0.3223$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0.3209$	$P_3(T_2) = 0.3213$	$P_4(T_2) = 0.3209$	$P_5(T_2) = 0.321$
	$P_2(C_2) = 0.1776$	$P_3(C_2) = 0.1776$	$P_4(C_2) = 0.1776$	$P_5(C_2) = 0.178$
	$P_2(G_2) = 0.1792$	$P_3(G_2) = 0.1784$	$P_4(G_2) = 0.1792$	$P_5(G_2) = 0.1788$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0.3223$	$P_4(A_3) = 0.3227$	$P_5(A_3) = 0.3222$
		$P_3(T_3) = 0.3209$	$P_4(T_3) = 0.321$	$P_5(T_3) = 0.3208$
		$P_3(C_3) = 0.1775$	$P_4(C_3) = 0.1778$	$P_5(C_3) = 0.1779$
		$P_3(G_3) = 0.1792$	$P_4(G_3) = 0.1785$	$P_5(G_3) = 0.179$
			$P_4(A_4) = 0.3224$	$P_5(A_4) = 0.3225$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0.321$	$P_5(T_4) = 0.3209$
			$P_4(C_4) = 0.1775$	$P_5(C_4) = 0.1775$
			$P_4(G_4) = 0.1791$	$P_5(G_4) = 0.179$
				$P_5(A_5) = 0.3227$
				$P_5(T_5) = 0.3208$
				$P_5(C_5) = 0.1773$
				$P_5(G_5) = 0.1792$

Fig. A3/3. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Caenorhabditis elegans chromosome III,

NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_003281.10

LOCUS NC_003281 13783801 bp DNA linear CON 11-OCT-2017
 DEFINITION Caenorhabditis elegans chromosome III. ACCESSION NC_003281
 VERSION NC_003281.10
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_003281.10

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1) = 0.3265$	$P_2(A_1) = 0.3263$	$P_3(A_1) = 0.3264$	$P_4(A_1) = 0.3265$	$P_5(A_1) = 0.3263$
$P_1(T_1) = 0.3276$	$P_2(T_1) = 0.3277$	$P_3(T_1) = 0.3273$	$P_4(T_1) = 0.3276$	$P_5(T_1) = 0.328$
$P_1(C_1) = 0.1735$	$P_2(C_1) = 0.1735$	$P_3(C_1) = 0.1735$	$P_4(C_1) = 0.1736$	$P_5(C_1) = 0.1733$
$P_1(G_1) = 0.1725$	$P_2(G_1) = 0.1724$	$P_3(G_1) = 0.1728$	$P_4(G_1) = 0.1723$	$P_5(G_1) = 0.1723$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0.3266$	$P_3(A_2) = 0.3265$	$P_4(A_2) = 0.3266$	$P_5(A_2) = 0.3265$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0.3275$	$P_3(T_2) = 0.3275$	$P_4(T_2) = 0.3275$	$P_5(T_2) = 0.3277$
	$P_2(C_2) = 0.1734$	$P_3(C_2) = 0.1737$	$P_4(C_2) = 0.1732$	$P_5(C_2) = 0.1736$
	$P_2(G_2) = 0.1725$	$P_3(G_2) = 0.1724$	$P_4(G_2) = 0.1727$	$P_5(G_2) = 0.1722$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0.3265$	$P_4(A_3) = 0.3262$	$P_5(A_3) = 0.3268$
		$P_3(T_3) = 0.328$	$P_4(T_3) = 0.3278$	$P_5(T_3) = 0.3272$
		$P_3(C_3) = 0.1732$	$P_4(C_3) = 0.1735$	$P_5(C_3) = 0.1735$
		$P_3(G_3) = 0.1723$	$P_4(G_3) = 0.1725$	$P_5(G_3) = 0.1725$
			$P_4(A_4) = 0.3266$	$P_5(A_4) = 0.3265$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0.3275$	$P_5(T_4) = 0.3276$
			$P_4(C_4) = 0.1736$	$P_5(C_4) = 0.1733$
			$P_4(G_4) = 0.1724$	$P_5(G_4) = 0.1726$
				$P_5(A_5) = 0.3262$
				$P_5(T_5) = 0.3275$
				$P_5(C_5) = 0.1736$
				$P_5(G_5) = 0.1726$

Fig. A3/4. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: *Caenorhabditis elegans* chromosome IV,

NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_003282.8

LOCUS NC_003282 17493829 bp DNA linear CON 11-OCT-2017

DEFINITION *Caenorhabditis elegans* chromosome IV. ACCESSION NC_003282

VERSION NC_003282.8

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_003282.8

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1) = 0.3226$	$P_2(A_1) = 0.3225$	$P_3(A_1) = 0.3227$	$P_4(A_1) = 0.3224$	$P_5(A_1) = 0.3227$
$P_1(T_1) = 0.3231$	$P_2(T_1) = 0.3232$	$P_3(T_1) = 0.3229$	$P_4(T_1) = 0.3233$	$P_5(T_1) = 0.3232$
$P_1(C_1) = 0.1774$	$P_2(C_1) = 0.1775$	$P_3(C_1) = 0.1772$	$P_4(C_1) = 0.1776$	$P_5(C_1) = 0.177$
$P_1(G_1) = 0.1769$	$P_2(G_1) = 0.1768$	$P_3(G_1) = 0.1772$	$P_4(G_1) = 0.1768$	$P_5(G_1) = 0.1771$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0.3228$	$P_3(A_2) = 0.3226$	$P_4(A_2) = 0.323$	$P_5(A_2) = 0.3228$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0.323$	$P_3(T_2) = 0.3228$	$P_4(T_2) = 0.3231$	$P_5(T_2) = 0.3231$
	$P_2(C_2) = 0.1773$	$P_3(C_2) = 0.1778$	$P_4(C_2) = 0.1772$	$P_5(C_2) = 0.1775$
	$P_2(G_2) = 0.177$	$P_3(G_2) = 0.1768$	$P_4(G_2) = 0.1768$	$P_5(G_2) = 0.1765$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0.3225$	$P_4(A_3) = 0.3226$	$P_5(A_3) = 0.3227$
		$P_3(T_3) = 0.3235$	$P_4(T_3) = 0.3231$	$P_5(T_3) = 0.3228$
		$P_3(C_3) = 0.1773$	$P_4(C_3) = 0.1775$	$P_5(C_3) = 0.1777$
		$P_3(G_3) = 0.1767$	$P_4(G_3) = 0.1769$	$P_5(G_3) = 0.1768$
			$P_4(A_4) = 0.3226$	$P_5(A_4) = 0.3222$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0.3229$	$P_5(T_4) = 0.3231$
			$P_4(C_4) = 0.1774$	$P_5(C_4) = 0.1773$
			$P_4(G_4) = 0.1771$	$P_5(G_4) = 0.1773$
				$P_5(A_5) = 0.3227$
				$P_5(T_5) = 0.3232$
				$P_5(C_5) = 0.1774$
				$P_5(G_5) = 0.1767$

Fig. A3/5. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: *Caenorhabditis elegans* chromosome V,

NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_003283.11

LOCUS NC_003283 20924180 bp DNA linear CON 11-OCT-2017

DEFINITION *Caenorhabditis elegans* chromosome V. ACCESSION NC_003283

VERSION NC_003283.11

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_003283.11

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1) = 0.3244$	$P_2(A_1) = 0.3244$	$P_3(A_1) = 0.3245$	$P_4(A_1) = 0.3244$	$P_5(A_1) = 0.3241$
$P_1(T_1) = 0.3236$	$P_2(T_1) = 0.3235$	$P_3(T_1) = 0.3237$	$P_4(T_1) = 0.3237$	$P_5(T_1) = 0.3236$
$P_1(C_1) = 0.1761$	$P_2(C_1) = 0.1761$	$P_3(C_1) = 0.1757$	$P_4(C_1) = 0.1759$	$P_5(C_1) = 0.1761$
$P_1(G_1) = 0.176$	$P_2(G_1) = 0.176$	$P_3(G_1) = 0.176$	$P_4(G_1) = 0.176$	$P_5(G_1) = 0.1762$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0.3243$	$P_3(A_2) = 0.324$	$P_4(A_2) = 0.3241$	$P_5(A_2) = 0.3246$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0.3237$	$P_3(T_2) = 0.3234$	$P_4(T_2) = 0.3237$	$P_5(T_2) = 0.3231$
	$P_2(C_2) = 0.1761$	$P_3(C_2) = 0.1765$	$P_4(C_2) = 0.1762$	$P_5(C_2) = 0.1761$
	$P_2(G_2) = 0.1759$	$P_3(G_2) = 0.176$	$P_4(G_2) = 0.176$	$P_5(G_2) = 0.1762$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0.3245$	$P_4(A_3) = 0.3245$	$P_5(A_3) = 0.3243$
		$P_3(T_3) = 0.3237$	$P_4(T_3) = 0.3232$	$P_5(T_3) = 0.3238$
		$P_3(C_3) = 0.1759$	$P_4(C_3) = 0.1762$	$P_5(C_3) = 0.176$
		$P_3(G_3) = 0.1758$	$P_4(G_3) = 0.1761$	$P_5(G_3) = 0.1759$
			$P_4(A_4) = 0.3244$	$P_5(A_4) = 0.3245$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0.3238$	$P_5(T_4) = 0.3237$
			$P_4(C_4) = 0.176$	$P_5(C_4) = 0.1761$
			$P_4(G_4) = 0.1758$	$P_5(G_4) = 0.1757$
				$P_5(A_5) = 0.3243$
				$P_5(T_5) = 0.3239$
				$P_5(C_5) = 0.1761$
				$P_5(G_5) = 0.1758$

Fig. A3/6. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: *Caenorhabditis elegans* chromosome X,

NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_003284.9

LOCUS NC_003284 17718942 bp DNA linear CON 11-OCT-2017

DEFINITION *Caenorhabditis elegans* chromosome X. ACCESSION NC_003284

VERSION NC_003284.9

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_003284.9

Appendix 4. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in the complete set of chromosomes of *Drosophila melanogaster*

The appendix 4 represents data about the fulfillment of the described tetra-group rules in the complete set of chromosomes of *Drosophila melanogaster*. This fruit fly is used as a model organism in the study of genetics, development and disease for long ago. All initial data are taken from the

CenBank

(<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/?term=drosophila+melanogaster>).

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1) = 0.2868$	$P_2(A_1) = 0.2867$	$P_3(A_1) = 0.2871$	$P_4(A_1) = 0.2868$	$P_5(A_1) = 0.2871$
$P_1(T_1) = 0.2886$	$P_2(T_1) = 0.2885$	$P_3(T_1) = 0.2885$	$P_4(T_1) = 0.2884$	$P_5(T_1) = 0.2887$
$P_1(C_1) = 0.212$	$P_2(C_1) = 0.212$	$P_3(C_1) = 0.2119$	$P_4(C_1) = 0.212$	$P_5(C_1) = 0.2119$
$P_1(G_1) = 0.2127$	$P_2(G_1) = 0.2127$	$P_3(G_1) = 0.2124$	$P_4(G_1) = 0.2128$	$P_5(G_1) = 0.2124$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0.2869$	$P_3(A_2) = 0.2868$	$P_4(A_2) = 0.2868$	$P_5(A_2) = 0.2868$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0.2886$	$P_3(T_2) = 0.2889$	$P_4(T_2) = 0.2887$	$P_5(T_2) = 0.2885$
	$P_2(C_2) = 0.2119$	$P_3(C_2) = 0.2116$	$P_4(C_2) = 0.212$	$P_5(C_2) = 0.2118$
	$P_2(G_2) = 0.2126$	$P_3(G_2) = 0.2127$	$P_4(G_2) = 0.2124$	$P_5(G_2) = 0.213$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0.2864$	$P_4(A_3) = 0.2867$	$P_5(A_3) = 0.2866$
		$P_3(T_3) = 0.2883$	$P_4(T_3) = 0.2887$	$P_5(T_3) = 0.2887$
		$P_3(C_3) = 0.2123$	$P_4(C_3) = 0.212$	$P_5(C_3) = 0.212$
		$P_3(G_3) = 0.2129$	$P_4(G_3) = 0.2126$	$P_5(G_3) = 0.2127$
			$P_4(A_4) = 0.2869$	$P_5(A_4) = 0.2865$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0.2885$	$P_5(T_4) = 0.2886$
			$P_4(C_4) = 0.2117$	$P_5(C_4) = 0.2121$
			$P_4(G_4) = 0.2128$	$P_5(G_4) = 0.2129$
				$P_5(A_5) = 0.287$
				$P_5(T_5) = 0.2885$
				$P_5(C_5) = 0.212$
				$P_5(G_5) = 0.2125$

Fig. A4/1. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: *Drosophila melanogaster* chromosome X,

NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_004354.4

LOCUS NC_004354 23542271 bp DNA linear CON 14-MAR-2017

DEFINITION *Drosophila melanogaster* chromosome X. ACCESSION NC_004354

NW_001844882 NW_001845036 NW_001848859 VERSION NC_004354.4

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_004354.4

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1) = 0.2915$	$P_2(A_1) = 0.2914$	$P_3(A_1) = 0.2915$	$P_4(A_1) = 0.2912$	$P_5(A_1) = 0.2915$
$P_1(T_1) = 0.2907$	$P_2(T_1) = 0.2908$	$P_3(T_1) = 0.2911$	$P_4(T_1) = 0.2909$	$P_5(T_1) = 0.2909$
$P_1(C_1) = 0.2089$	$P_2(C_1) = 0.2089$	$P_3(C_1) = 0.2089$	$P_4(C_1) = 0.209$	$P_5(C_1) = 0.2087$
$P_1(G_1) = 0.2089$	$P_2(G_1) = 0.209$	$P_3(G_1) = 0.2085$	$P_4(G_1) = 0.209$	$P_5(G_1) = 0.2089$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0.2915$	$P_3(A_2) = 0.2915$	$P_4(A_2) = 0.2915$	$P_5(A_2) = 0.2915$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0.2907$	$P_3(T_2) = 0.2912$	$P_4(T_2) = 0.2909$	$P_5(T_2) = 0.2903$
	$P_2(C_2) = 0.2089$	$P_3(C_2) = 0.2085$	$P_4(C_2) = 0.209$	$P_5(C_2) = 0.2089$
	$P_2(G_2) = 0.2089$	$P_3(G_2) = 0.2087$	$P_4(G_2) = 0.2086$	$P_5(G_2) = 0.2093$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0.2913$	$P_4(A_3) = 0.2916$	$P_5(A_3) = 0.2914$
		$P_3(T_3) = 0.2899$	$P_4(T_3) = 0.2907$	$P_5(T_3) = 0.291$
		$P_3(C_3) = 0.2092$	$P_4(C_3) = 0.2087$	$P_5(C_3) = 0.2088$
		$P_3(G_3) = 0.2096$	$P_4(G_3) = 0.209$	$P_5(G_3) = 0.2088$
			$P_4(A_4) = 0.2915$	$P_5(A_4) = 0.2913$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0.2905$	$P_5(T_4) = 0.2907$
			$P_4(C_4) = 0.2089$	$P_5(C_4) = 0.2091$
			$P_4(G_4) = 0.2091$	$P_5(G_4) = 0.2089$
				$P_5(A_5) = 0.2916$
				$P_5(T_5) = 0.2908$
				$P_5(C_5) = 0.2090$
				$P_5(G_5) = 0.2086$

Fig. A4/2. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: *Drosophila melanogaster* chromosome 2L, NCBI Reference Sequence: NT_033779.5

LOCUS NT_033779 23513712 bp DNA linear CON 14-MAR-2017

DEFINITION *Drosophila melanogaster* chromosome 2L. ACCESSION

NT_033779 NW_001848855 VERSION NT_033779.5

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NT_033779.5

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1) = 0.2877$	$P_2(A_1) = 0.2877$	$P_3(A_1) = 0.2877$	$P_4(A_1) = 0.2874$	$P_5(A_1) = 0.2878$
$P_1(T_1) = 0.2862$	$P_2(T_1) = 0.2861$	$P_3(T_1) = 0.2859$	$P_4(T_1) = 0.2863$	$P_5(T_1) = 0.286$
$P_1(C_1) = 0.2134$	$P_2(C_1) = 0.2134$	$P_3(C_1) = 0.2136$	$P_4(C_1) = 0.2133$	$P_5(C_1) = 0.2133$
$P_1(G_1) = 0.2127$	$P_2(G_1) = 0.2129$	$P_3(G_1) = 0.2128$	$P_4(G_1) = 0.213$	$P_5(G_1) = 0.2129$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0.2877$	$P_3(A_2) = 0.2879$	$P_4(A_2) = 0.2878$	$P_5(A_2) = 0.2878$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0.2863$	$P_3(T_2) = 0.2862$	$P_4(T_2) = 0.2864$	$P_5(T_2) = 0.2864$
	$P_2(C_2) = 0.2135$	$P_3(C_2) = 0.2134$	$P_4(C_2) = 0.2133$	$P_5(C_2) = 0.2133$
	$P_2(G_2) = 0.2125$	$P_3(G_2) = 0.2126$	$P_4(G_2) = 0.2126$	$P_5(G_2) = 0.2125$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0.2875$	$P_4(A_3) = 0.2879$	$P_5(A_3) = 0.2878$
		$P_3(T_3) = 0.2865$	$P_4(T_3) = 0.2859$	$P_5(T_3) = 0.2862$
		$P_3(C_3) = 0.2133$	$P_4(C_3) = 0.2134$	$P_5(C_3) = 0.2136$
		$P_3(G_3) = 0.2127$	$P_4(G_3) = 0.2128$	$P_5(G_3) = 0.2124$
			$P_4(A_4) = 0.2876$	$P_5(A_4) = 0.2877$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0.2863$	$P_5(T_4) = 0.2863$
			$P_4(C_4) = 0.2137$	$P_5(C_4) = 0.2133$
			$P_4(G_4) = 0.2124$	$P_5(G_4) = 0.2128$
				$P_5(A_5) = 0.2874$
				$P_5(T_5) = 0.2862$
				$P_5(C_5) = 0.2135$
				$P_5(G_5) = 0.2128$

Fig. A4/3. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: *Drosophila melanogaster* chromosome 2R, NCBI Reference Sequence: NT_033778.4

LOCUS NT_033778 25286936 bp DNA linear CON 14-MAR-2017
 DEFINITION *Drosophila melanogaster* chromosome 2R. ACCESSION
 NT_033778 NW_001844732 NW_001844738 NW_001848856 VERSION
 NT_033778.4

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NT_033778.4

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1) = 0.2909$	$P_2(A_1) = 0.2909$	$P_3(A_1) = 0.2909$	$P_4(A_1) = 0.2908$	$P_5(A_1) = 0.2909$
$P_1(T_1) = 0.2929$	$P_2(T_1) = 0.2928$	$P_3(T_1) = 0.2924$	$P_4(T_1) = 0.2929$	$P_5(T_1) = 0.2929$
$P_1(C_1) = 0.2081$	$P_2(C_1) = 0.2082$	$P_3(C_1) = 0.2084$	$P_4(C_1) = 0.2083$	$P_5(C_1) = 0.2079$
$P_1(G_1) = 0.2081$	$P_2(G_1) = 0.2080$	$P_3(G_1) = 0.2083$	$P_4(G_1) = 0.208$	$P_5(G_1) = 0.2082$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0.2909$	$P_3(A_2) = 0.2913$	$P_4(A_2) = 0.2909$	$P_5(A_2) = 0.291$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0.2929$	$P_3(T_2) = 0.2933$	$P_4(T_2) = 0.2931$	$P_5(T_2) = 0.2928$
	$P_2(C_2) = 0.208$	$P_3(C_2) = 0.208$	$P_4(C_2) = 0.2078$	$P_5(C_2) = 0.2082$
	$P_2(G_2) = 0.2081$	$P_3(G_2) = 0.2074$	$P_4(G_2) = 0.2082$	$P_5(G_2) = 0.208$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0.2906$	$P_4(A_3) = 0.291$	$P_5(A_3) = 0.291$
		$P_3(T_3) = 0.2929$	$P_4(T_3) = 0.2927$	$P_5(T_3) = 0.2927$
		$P_3(C_3) = 0.2080$	$P_4(C_3) = 0.2082$	$P_5(C_3) = 0.2082$
		$P_3(G_3) = 0.2085$	$P_4(G_3) = 0.2081$	$P_5(G_3) = 0.2081$
			$P_4(A_4) = 0.291$	$P_5(A_4) = 0.2911$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0.2927$	$P_5(T_4) = 0.2928$
			$P_4(C_4) = 0.2083$	$P_5(C_4) = 0.2081$
			$P_4(G_4) = 0.208$	$P_5(G_4) = 0.208$
				$P_5(A_5) = 0.2906$
				$P_5(T_5) = 0.2932$
				$P_5(C_5) = 0.2082$
				$P_5(G_5) = 0.208$

Fig. A4/4. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: *Drosophila melanogaster* chromosome 3L, NCBI Reference Sequence: NT_037436.4

LOCUS NT_037436 28110227 bp DNA linear CON 14-MAR-2017
 DEFINITION *Drosophila melanogaster* chromosome 3L. ACCESSION
 NT_037436 NW_001844849 NW_001848857 VERSION NT_037436.4
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NT_037436.4

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1) = 0.2872$	$P_2(A_1) = 0.2873$	$P_3(A_1) = 0.2874$	$P_4(A_1) = 0.2872$	$P_5(A_1) = 0.2872$
$P_1(T_1) = 0.2869$	$P_2(T_1) = 0.2869$	$P_3(T_1) = 0.2871$	$P_4(T_1) = 0.2869$	$P_5(T_1) = 0.287$
$P_1(C_1) = 0.2132$	$P_2(C_1) = 0.2131$	$P_3(C_1) = 0.2131$	$P_4(C_1) = 0.2132$	$P_5(C_1) = 0.2133$
$P_1(G_1) = 0.2127$	$P_2(G_1) = 0.2127$	$P_3(G_1) = 0.2125$	$P_4(G_1) = 0.2127$	$P_5(G_1) = 0.2125$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0.2871$	$P_3(A_2) = 0.2871$	$P_4(A_2) = 0.2872$	$P_5(A_2) = 0.2872$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0.287$	$P_3(T_2) = 0.287$	$P_4(T_2) = 0.2868$	$P_5(T_2) = 0.2868$
	$P_2(C_2) = 0.2132$	$P_3(C_2) = 0.2131$	$P_4(C_2) = 0.2133$	$P_5(C_2) = 0.213$
	$P_2(G_2) = 0.2127$	$P_3(G_2) = 0.2129$	$P_4(G_2) = 0.2127$	$P_5(G_2) = 0.2129$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0.2871$	$P_4(A_3) = 0.2873$	$P_5(A_3) = 0.2874$
		$P_3(T_3) = 0.2867$	$P_4(T_3) = 0.2869$	$P_5(T_3) = 0.287$
		$P_3(C_3) = 0.2135$	$P_4(C_3) = 0.2131$	$P_5(C_3) = 0.2132$
		$P_3(G_3) = 0.2127$	$P_4(G_3) = 0.2127$	$P_5(G_3) = 0.2124$
			$P_4(A_4) = 0.287$	$P_5(A_4) = 0.2869$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0.2871$	$P_5(T_4) = 0.287$
			$P_4(C_4) = 0.2132$	$P_5(C_4) = 0.2133$
			$P_4(G_4) = 0.2127$	$P_5(G_4) = 0.2128$
				$P_5(A_5) = 0.2872$
				$P_5(T_5) = 0.2869$
				$P_5(C_5) = 0.2132$
				$P_5(G_5) = 0.2128$

Fig. A4/5. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: *Drosophila melanogaster* chromosome 3R, NCBI Reference Sequence: NT_033777.3

LOCUS NT_033777 32079331 bp DNA linear CON 14-MAR-2017
 DEFINITION *Drosophila melanogaster* chromosome 3R. ACCESSION
 NT_033777 NW_001844733 NW_001844734 NW_001844736 NW_001844852
 NW_001844855 NW_001844895 NW_001848858 VERSION NT_033777.3
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NT_033777.3

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
-------------	----------	----------	---------	---------

$P_1(A_1) = 0.3195$	$P_2(A_1) = 0.3191$	$P_3(A_1) = 0.3199$	$P_4(A_1) = 0.3184$	$P_5(A_1) = 0.3218$
$P_1(T_1) = 0.328$	$P_2(T_1) = 0.3285$	$P_3(T_1) = 0.3290$	$P_4(T_1) = 0.3288$	$P_5(T_1) = 0.3262$
$P_1(C_1) = 0.1747$	$P_2(C_1) = 0.175$	$P_3(C_1) = 0.1734$	$P_4(C_1) = 0.1752$	$P_5(C_1) = 0.1747$
$P_1(G_1) = 0.1778$	$P_2(G_1) = 0.1774$	$P_3(G_1) = 0.1777$	$P_4(G_1) = 0.1775$	$P_5(G_1) = 0.1773$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0.3198$	$P_3(A_2) = 0.3197$	$P_4(A_2) = 0.3199$	$P_5(A_2) = 0.3193$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0.3276$	$P_3(T_2) = 0.3273$	$P_4(T_2) = 0.3286$	$P_5(T_2) = 0.3292$
	$P_2(C_2) = 0.1744$	$P_3(C_2) = 0.1747$	$P_4(C_2) = 0.1739$	$P_5(C_2) = 0.1744$
	$P_2(G_2) = 0.1781$	$P_3(G_2) = 0.1784$	$P_4(G_2) = 0.1776$	$P_5(G_2) = 0.1771$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0.3188$	$P_4(A_3) = 0.3198$	$P_5(A_3) = 0.3174$
		$P_3(T_3) = 0.3279$	$P_4(T_3) = 0.3281$	$P_5(T_3) = 0.3296$
		$P_3(C_3) = 0.1760$	$P_4(C_3) = 0.1747$	$P_5(C_3) = 0.1744$
		$P_3(G_3) = 0.1773$	$P_4(G_3) = 0.1774$	$P_5(G_3) = 0.1786$
			$P_4(A_4) = 0.3197$	$P_5(A_4) = 0.3194$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0.3266$	$P_5(T_4) = 0.3275$
			$P_4(C_4) = 0.175$	$P_5(C_4) = 0.1755$
			$P_4(G_4) = 0.1787$	$P_5(G_4) = 0.1776$
				$P_5(A_5) = 0.3193$
				$P_5(T_5) = 0.3278$
				$P_5(C_5) = 0.1746$
				$P_5(G_5) = 0.1783$

Fig. A4/6. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: *Drosophila melanogaster* chromosome 4,

NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_004353.4

LOCUS NC_004353 1348131 bp DNA linear CON 14-MAR-2017

DEFINITION *Drosophila melanogaster* chromosome 4. ACCESSION NC_004353

NW_001845041 VERSION NC_004353.4

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_004353.4

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
-------------	----------	----------	---------	---------

$P_1(A_1)= 0.3099$	$P_2(A_1)= 0.3098$	$P_3(A_1)= 0.3104$	$P_4(A_1)= 0.3102$	$P_5(A_1)= 0.3105$
$P_1(T_1)= 0.2958$	$P_2(T_1)= 0.2960$	$P_3(T_1)= 0.2955$	$P_4(T_1)= 0.2959$	$P_5(T_1)= 0.2956$
$P_1(C_1)= 0.2002$	$P_2(C_1)= 0.2003$	$P_3(C_1)= 0.2002$	$P_4(C_1)= 0.2001$	$P_5(C_1)= 0.2003$
$P_1(G_1)= 0.1940$	$P_2(G_1)= 0.1939$	$P_3(G_1)= 0.1938$	$P_4(G_1)= 0.1938$	$P_5(G_1)= 0.1936$
	$P_2(A_2)= 0.3100$	$P_3(A_2)= 0.3098$	$P_4(A_2)= 0.3095$	$P_5(A_2)= 0.31$
	$P_2(T_2)= 0.2957$	$P_3(T_2)= 0.2954$	$P_4(T_2)= 0.2961$	$P_5(T_2)= 0.2957$
	$P_2(C_2)= 0.2002$	$P_3(C_2)= 0.2003$	$P_4(C_2)= 0.2000$	$P_5(C_2)= 0.2004$
	$P_2(G_2)= 0.1941$	$P_3(G_2)= 0.1945$	$P_4(G_2)= 0.1944$	$P_5(G_2)= 0.1939$
		$P_3(A_3)= 0.3096$	$P_4(A_3)= 0.3094$	$P_5(A_3)= 0.3099$
		$P_3(T_3)= 0.2965$	$P_4(T_3)= 0.2961$	$P_5(T_3)= 0.2956$
		$P_3(C_3)= 0.2001$	$P_4(C_3)= 0.2004$	$P_5(C_3)= 0.2006$
		$P_3(G_3)= 0.1938$	$P_4(G_3)= 0.1941$	$P_5(G_3)= 0.194$
			$P_4(A_4)= 0.3105$	$P_5(A_4)= 0.31$
			$P_4(T_4)= 0.2953$	$P_5(T_4)= 0.2962$
			$P_4(C_4)= 0.2004$	$P_5(C_4)= 0.1992$
			$P_4(G_4)= 0.1938$	$P_5(G_4)= 0.1946$
				$P_5(A_5)= 0.3093$
				$P_5(T_5)= 0.2960$
				$P_5(C_5)= 0.2007$
				$P_5(G_5)= 0.1941$

Fig. A4/7. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: *Drosophila melanogaster* chromosome Y,

NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_024512.1

LOCUS NC_024512 3667352 bp DNA linear CON 14-MAR-2017
 DEFINITION *Drosophila melanogaster* chromosome Y. ACCESSION NC_024512
 NW_001844735 NW_001844843 NW_001844868 NW_001844869
 NW_001844874 NW_001844917 NW_001845004 NW_001845447
 NW_001845711 NW_001845825 NW_001846080 NW_001846110
 NW_001847094 NW_001848860 VERSION NC_024512.1
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_024512.1

Appendix 5. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in the complete set of chromosomes of *Arabidopsis Thaliana*

The appendix 5 represents data about the fulfillment of the described tetra-group rules in the complete set of chromosomes of *Arabidopsis Thaliana*. This small flowering plant has been used for over fifty years to study plant mutations and for classical genetic analysis. It became the first plant genome to be fully sequenced; it has a small genome of ~120 Mb. All initial data about chromosomes are taken from the GenBank (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/4>).

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1) = 0.3208$	$P_2(A_1) = 0.3207$	$P_3(A_1) = 0.3212$	$P_4(A_1) = 0.3206$	$P_5(A_1) = 0.3209$
$P_1(T_1) = 0.3204$	$P_2(T_1) = 0.3204$	$P_3(T_1) = 0.3204$	$P_4(T_1) = 0.3207$	$P_5(T_1) = 0.3201$
$P_1(C_1) = 0.1796$	$P_2(C_1) = 0.1796$	$P_3(C_1) = 0.1794$	$P_4(C_1) = 0.1797$	$P_5(C_1) = 0.1797$
$P_1(G_1) = 0.1791$	$P_2(G_1) = 0.1792$	$P_3(G_1) = 0.1790$	$P_4(G_1) = 0.179$	$P_5(G_1) = 0.1793$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0.3209$	$P_3(A_2) = 0.3206$	$P_4(A_2) = 0.3209$	$P_5(A_2) = 0.3209$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0.3204$	$P_3(T_2) = 0.3207$	$P_4(T_2) = 0.3203$	$P_5(T_2) = 0.3207$
	$P_2(C_2) = 0.1796$	$P_3(C_2) = 0.1798$	$P_4(C_2) = 0.1797$	$P_5(C_2) = 0.1795$
	$P_2(G_2) = 0.1791$	$P_3(G_2) = 0.1789$	$P_4(G_2) = 0.1791$	$P_5(G_2) = 0.179$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0.3207$	$P_4(A_3) = 0.3208$	$P_5(A_3) = 0.3207$
		$P_3(T_3) = 0.3202$	$P_4(T_3) = 0.3202$	$P_5(T_3) = 0.3206$
		$P_3(C_3) = 0.1796$	$P_4(C_3) = 0.1796$	$P_5(C_3) = 0.1795$
		$P_3(G_3) = 0.1795$	$P_4(G_3) = 0.1793$	$P_5(G_3) = 0.1792$
			$P_4(A_4) = 0.321$	$P_5(A_4) = 0.3211$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0.3205$	$P_5(T_4) = 0.3204$
			$P_4(C_4) = 0.1794$	$P_5(C_4) = 0.1794$
			$P_4(G_4) = 0.1791$	$P_5(G_4) = 0.1791$
				$P_5(A_5) = 0.3206$
				$P_5(T_5) = 0.3204$
				$P_5(C_5) = 0.1799$
				$P_5(G_5) = 0.1791$

Fig. A5/1. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: *Arabidopsis thaliana* chromosome 1 sequence,

NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_003070.9

LOCUS NC_003070 30427671 bp DNA linear CON 20-MAR-2017

DEFINITION *Arabidopsis thaliana* chromosome 1 sequence. ACCESSION

NC_003070 VERSION NC_003070.9

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_003070.9

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1) = 0.3207$	$P_2(A_1) = 0.3205$	$P_3(A_1) = 0.3205$	$P_4(A_1) = 0.3207$	$P_5(A_1) = 0.3205$
$P_1(T_1) = 0.3207$	$P_2(T_1) = 0.3208$	$P_3(T_1) = 0.321$	$P_4(T_1) = 0.3208$	$P_5(T_1) = 0.3205$
$P_1(C_1) = 0.1799$	$P_2(C_1) = 0.18$	$P_3(C_1) = 0.1797$	$P_4(C_1) = 0.1797$	$P_5(C_1) = 0.1805$
$P_1(G_1) = 0.1788$	$P_2(G_1) = 0.1787$	$P_3(G_1) = 0.1788$	$P_4(G_1) = 0.1788$	$P_5(G_1) = 0.1785$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0.3208$	$P_3(A_2) = 0.3204$	$P_4(A_2) = 0.3207$	$P_5(A_2) = 0.3206$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0.3206$	$P_3(T_2) = 0.3208$	$P_4(T_2) = 0.3206$	$P_5(T_2) = 0.3204$
	$P_2(C_2) = 0.1798$	$P_3(C_2) = 0.1802$	$P_4(C_2) = 0.1799$	$P_5(C_2) = 0.18$
	$P_2(G_2) = 0.1788$	$P_3(G_2) = 0.1785$	$P_4(G_2) = 0.1788$	$P_5(G_2) = 0.1789$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0.321$	$P_4(A_3) = 0.3204$	$P_5(A_3) = 0.3209$
		$P_3(T_3) = 0.3203$	$P_4(T_3) = 0.3207$	$P_5(T_3) = 0.3209$
		$P_3(C_3) = 0.1797$	$P_4(C_3) = 0.1802$	$P_5(C_3) = 0.1794$
		$P_3(G_3) = 0.1790$	$P_4(G_3) = 0.1787$	$P_5(G_3) = 0.1788$
			$P_4(A_4) = 0.3209$	$P_5(A_4) = 0.3209$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0.3207$	$P_5(T_4) = 0.3207$
			$P_4(C_4) = 0.1798$	$P_5(C_4) = 0.1796$
			$P_4(G_4) = 0.1787$	$P_5(G_4) = 0.1789$
				$P_5(A_5) = 0.3204$
				$P_5(T_5) = 0.321$
				$P_5(C_5) = 0.1799$
				$P_5(G_5) = 0.1787$

Fig. A5/2. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Arabidopsis thaliana chromosome 2 sequence, NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_003071.7

LOCUS NC_003071 19698289 bp DNA linear CON 20-MAR-2017

DEFINITION Arabidopsis thaliana chromosome 2 sequence. ACCESSION

NC_003071 VERSION NC_003071.7

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_003071.7

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
-------------	----------	----------	---------	---------

$P_1(A_1) = 0.3191$	$P_2(A_1) = 0.3194$	$P_3(A_1) = 0.3195$	$P_4(A_1) = 0.3192$	$P_5(A_1) = 0.3191$
$P_1(T_1) = 0.3176$	$P_2(T_1) = 0.3175$	$P_3(T_1) = 0.3171$	$P_4(T_1) = 0.3176$	$P_5(T_1) = 0.3175$
$P_1(C_1) = 0.1816$	$P_2(C_1) = 0.1815$	$P_3(C_1) = 0.1816$	$P_4(C_1) = 0.1815$	$P_5(C_1) = 0.1816$
$P_1(G_1) = 0.1817$	$P_2(G_1) = 0.1817$	$P_3(G_1) = 0.1818$	$P_4(G_1) = 0.1817$	$P_5(G_1) = 0.1818$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0.3189$	$P_3(A_2) = 0.3192$	$P_4(A_2) = 0.319$	$P_5(A_2) = 0.3194$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0.3177$	$P_3(T_2) = 0.3179$	$P_4(T_2) = 0.3175$	$P_5(T_2) = 0.3172$
	$P_2(C_2) = 0.1816$	$P_3(C_2) = 0.1813$	$P_4(C_2) = 0.1816$	$P_5(C_2) = 0.1813$
	$P_2(G_2) = 0.1818$	$P_3(G_2) = 0.1816$	$P_4(G_2) = 0.1819$	$P_5(G_2) = 0.182$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0.3187$	$P_4(A_3) = 0.3195$	$P_5(A_3) = 0.3189$
		$P_3(T_3) = 0.3176$	$P_4(T_3) = 0.3173$	$P_5(T_3) = 0.3178$
		$P_3(C_3) = 0.1818$	$P_4(C_3) = 0.1816$	$P_5(C_3) = 0.1818$
		$P_3(G_3) = 0.1818$	$P_4(G_3) = 0.1816$	$P_5(G_3) = 0.1816$
			$P_4(A_4) = 0.3189$	$P_5(A_4) = 0.319$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0.3178$	$P_5(T_4) = 0.3178$
			$P_4(C_4) = 0.1816$	$P_5(C_4) = 0.1815$
			$P_4(G_4) = 0.1818$	$P_5(G_4) = 0.1816$
				$P_5(A_5) = 0.3192$
				$P_5(T_5) = 0.3175$
				$P_5(C_5) = 0.1815$
				$P_5(G_5) = 0.1818$

Fig. A5/3. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Arabidopsis thaliana chromosome 3 sequence,

NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_003074.8

LOCUS NC_003074 23459830 bp DNA linear CON 20-MAR-2017

DEFINITION Arabidopsis thaliana chromosome 3 sequence. ACCESSION

NC_003074 VERSION NC_003074.8

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_003074.8?report=fasta

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1) = 0.3197$	$P_2(A_1) = 0.3197$	$P_3(A_1) = 0.3198$	$P_4(A_1) = 0.3195$	$P_5(A_1) = 0.3199$

$P_1(T_1) = 0.3183$	$P_2(T_1) = 0.3182$	$P_3(T_1) = 0.318$	$P_4(T_1) = 0.3183$	$P_5(T_1) = 0.3183$
$P_1(C_1) = 0.1814$	$P_2(C_1) = 0.1814$	$P_3(C_1) = 0.1812$	$P_4(C_1) = 0.1815$	$P_5(C_1) = 0.1813$
$P_1(G_1) = 0.1806$	$P_2(G_1) = 0.1807$	$P_3(G_1) = 0.1810$	$P_4(G_1) = 0.1807$	$P_5(G_1) = 0.1806$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0.3196$	$P_3(A_2) = 0.3195$	$P_4(A_2) = 0.3197$	$P_5(A_2) = 0.3199$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0.3183$	$P_3(T_2) = 0.3183$	$P_4(T_2) = 0.3183$	$P_5(T_2) = 0.3184$
	$P_2(C_2) = 0.1815$	$P_3(C_2) = 0.1817$	$P_4(C_2) = 0.1815$	$P_5(C_2) = 0.1813$
	$P_2(G_2) = 0.1805$	$P_3(G_2) = 0.1805$	$P_4(G_2) = 0.1805$	$P_5(G_2) = 0.1804$
		$P_3(A_3) = 0.3197$	$P_4(A_3) = 0.32$	$P_5(A_3) = 0.3195$
		$P_3(T_3) = 0.3185$	$P_4(T_3) = 0.3181$	$P_5(T_3) = 0.3182$
		$P_3(C_3) = 0.1814$	$P_4(C_3) = 0.1813$	$P_5(C_3) = 0.1817$
		$P_3(G_3) = 0.1803$	$P_4(G_3) = 0.1807$	$P_5(G_3) = 0.1806$
			$P_4(A_4) = 0.3196$	$P_5(A_4) = 0.3197$
			$P_4(T_4) = 0.3184$	$P_5(T_4) = 0.3182$
			$P_4(C_4) = 0.1815$	$P_5(C_4) = 0.1813$
			$P_4(G_4) = 0.1805$	$P_5(G_4) = 0.1808$
				$P_5(A_5) = 0.3196$
				$P_5(T_5) = 0.3183$
				$P_5(C_5) = 0.1816$
				$P_5(G_5) = 0.1806$

Fig. A5/4. The table of probabilities of subgroups of tetra-groups in the sequence: Arabidopsis thaliana chromosome 4 sequence, NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_003075.7

LOCUS NC_003075 18585056 bp DNA linear CON 20-MAR-2017

DEFINITION Arabidopsis thaliana chromosome 4 sequence. ACCESSION

NC_003075 VERSION NC_003075.7

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_003075.7

NUCLEOTIDES	DOUBLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	5-PLETS
$P_1(A_1) = 0.3197$	$P_2(A_1) = 0.3197$	$P_3(A_1) = 0.3196$	$P_4(A_1) = 0.3195$	$P_5(A_1) = 0.3195$
$P_1(T_1) = 0.3209$	$P_2(T_1) = 0.3208$	$P_3(T_1) = 0.321$	$P_4(T_1) = 0.3209$	$P_5(T_1) = 0.3208$
$P_1(C_1) = 0.1792$	$P_2(C_1) = 0.1793$	$P_3(C_1) = 0.1796$	$P_4(C_1) = 0.1794$	$P_5(C_1) = 0.1796$
$P_1(G_1) = 0.1802$	$P_2(G_1) = 0.1802$	$P_3(G_1) = 0.1797$	$P_4(G_1) = 0.1802$	$P_5(G_1) = 0.1802$
	$P_2(A_2) = 0.3198$	$P_3(A_2) = 0.3199$	$P_4(A_2) = 0.3198$	$P_5(A_2) = 0.3198$
	$P_2(T_2) = 0.3209$	$P_3(T_2) = 0.3206$	$P_4(T_2) = 0.3207$	$P_5(T_2) = 0.3208$

	0	1/0	1/1	2/00	2/01	2/10	2/11
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2945÷ 0.2946	0.2945÷ 0.2948	0.2944÷ 0.2945	0.2944÷ 0.2945	0.2944÷ 0.2949	0.2943÷ 0.2948	0.2944÷ 0.2945
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2939÷ 0.294	0.2939÷ 0.2939	0.2938÷ 0.294	0.2938÷ 0.2941	0.2937÷ 0.294	0.2937÷ 0.2938	0.2939÷ 0.2942
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2057÷ 0.2058	0.2057÷ 0.2057	0.2058÷ 0.2058	0.2056÷ 0.2058	0.2056÷ 0.2056	0.2058÷ 0.2058	0.2057÷ 0.2058
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2055÷ 0.2057	0.2055÷ 0.2056	0.2055÷ 0.2057	0.2056÷ 0.2056	0.2054÷ 0.2054	0.2056÷ 0.2057	0.2055÷ 0.2056

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2945÷ 0.2946	0.2943÷ 0.2945	0.2945÷ 0.2946	0.2944÷ 0.2944	0.2942÷ 0.2942	0.2942÷ 0.2945
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2939÷ 0.294	0.2938÷ 0.294	0.2938÷ 0.2938	0.2938÷ 0.294	0.2936÷ 0.2942	0.2937÷ 0.2942
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2057÷ 0.2058	0.2057÷ 0.206	0.2057÷ 0.2058	0.2057÷ 0.2059	0.2057÷ 0.2058	0.2052÷ 0.2059
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2055÷ 0.2057	0.2055÷ 0.2055	0.2054÷ 0.2057	0.2056÷ 0.2058	0.205÷ 0.2058	0.2054÷ 0.2054

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2943÷ 0.2945	0.2944÷ 0.2949	0.2944÷ 0.2947	0.2945÷ 0.2946	0.2943÷ 0.2946	0.2943÷ 0.2943	0.2943÷ 0.2944
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2938÷ 0.2942	0.2938÷ 0.2939	0.2936÷ 0.2941	0.2937÷ 0.2937	0.2938÷ 0.2938	0.2936÷ 0.294	0.2938÷ 0.2942
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2056÷ 0.2058	0.2056÷ 0.2057	0.2055÷ 0.2055	0.2055÷ 0.206	0.2056÷ 0.2058	0.2055÷ 0.2058	0.2056÷ 0.2057
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2052÷ 0.2055	0.2051÷ 0.2055	0.2054÷ 0.2057	0.2052÷ 0.2058	0.2056÷ 0.2058	0.2054÷ 0.2058	0.2054÷ 0.2057

Fig. A6/1. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome 1, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J. NCBI Reference Sequence: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000067.6. 195471971 bp. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n -letter representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2892÷ 0.2894	0.2893÷ 0.2895	0.2892÷ 0.2895	0.2891÷ 0.2893	0.2893÷ 0.2894	0.2892÷ 0.2892	0.2892÷ 0.2892
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2897÷ 0.2898	0.2897÷ 0.2898	0.2897÷ 0.2899	0.2896÷ 0.2896	0.2896÷ 0.2897	0.2896÷ 0.2898	0.2897÷ 0.2899
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2102÷ 0.2103	0.2101÷ 0.2103	0.2103÷ 0.2104	0.2099÷ 0.2104	0.21÷ 0.2105	0.2102÷ 0.2103	0.2102÷ 0.2105
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2104÷ 0.2105	0.2104÷ 0.2104	0.2104÷ 0.2105	0.2104÷ 0.2107	0.2104÷ 0.2104	0.2103÷ 0.2107	0.2104÷ 0.2104

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2892÷ 0.2894	0.2892÷ 0.2895	0.2892÷ 0.2895	0.289÷ 0.2895	0.2891÷ 0.2893	0.2893÷ 0.2897
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2897÷ 0.2898	0.2896÷ 0.2897	0.2896÷ 0.2897	0.2897÷ 0.2898	0.2895÷ 0.2895	0.2892÷ 0.2897
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2102÷ 0.2103	0.2101÷ 0.2102	0.2101÷ 0.2104	0.2102÷ 0.2103	0.2099÷ 0.2106	0.2101÷ 0.2103
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2104÷ 0.2105	0.2103÷ 0.2106	0.2104÷ 0.2104	0.2103÷ 0.2104	0.2103÷ 0.2106	0.2099÷ 0.2103

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.289÷ 0.2894	0.2892÷ 0.2896	0.2889÷ 0.2893	0.2889÷ 0.2896	0.2889÷ 0.2893	0.2891÷ 0.2891	0.2889÷ 0.2896
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2896÷ 0.2898	0.2894÷ 0.2894	0.2896÷ 0.2899	0.2894÷ 0.2896	0.2896÷ 0.2898	0.2896÷ 0.2902	0.2894÷ 0.2898
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2099÷ 0.2102	0.21÷ 0.2105	0.21÷ 0.2101	0.2101÷ 0.2103	0.21÷ 0.2105	0.2103÷ 0.2107	0.2102÷ 0.2103
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2104÷ 0.2106	0.2102÷ 0.2105	0.2105÷ 0.2108	0.2105÷ 0.2105	0.2101÷ 0.2104	0.21÷ 0.21	0.2101÷ 0.2103

Fig. A6/2. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome 2, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J, NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000068.7. 182113224 bp. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000068.7. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n-letter representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2973÷ 0.2974	0.2973÷ 0.2973	0.2972÷ 0.2973	0.2971÷ 0.2972	0.2971÷ 0.2975	0.2972÷ 0.2975	0.2971÷ 0.2971
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.298÷ 0.2982	0.298÷ 0.2982	0.298÷ 0.2982	0.298÷ 0.2982	0.2979÷ 0.2982	0.2979÷ 0.298	0.298÷ 0.2983
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2019÷ 0.2021	0.2019÷ 0.202	0.2019÷ 0.2021	0.2018÷ 0.2022	0.2019÷ 0.2019	0.2019÷ 0.2019	0.2018÷ 0.2023
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2024÷ 0.2024	0.2023÷ 0.2025	0.2022÷ 0.2025	0.2022÷ 0.2024	0.2021÷ 0.2024	0.2024÷ 0.2026	0.2021÷ 0.2024

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2973÷ 0.2974	0.2972÷ 0.2972	0.2973÷ 0.2976	0.2971÷ 0.2974	0.2969÷ 0.2972	0.2972÷ 0.2978
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.298÷ 0.2982	0.2979÷ 0.2981	0.2981÷ 0.2984	0.2981÷ 0.2981	0.2978÷ 0.2978	0.2977÷ 0.298
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2019÷ 0.2021	0.202÷ 0.2021	0.2017÷ 0.2017	0.2019÷ 0.202	0.2019÷ 0.2022	0.2017÷ 0.2023
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2024÷ 0.2024	0.2021÷ 0.2025	0.2022÷ 0.2022	0.2023÷ 0.2025	0.2022÷ 0.2028	0.202÷ 0.202

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2971÷ 0.2971	0.2971÷ 0.2973	0.297÷ 0.2973	0.2973÷ 0.2977	0.2968÷ 0.2972	0.2971÷ 0.2976	0.2969÷ 0.2973
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2976÷ 0.2978	0.298÷ 0.2985	0.2979÷ 0.2983	0.2979÷ 0.2987	0.2979÷ 0.2982	0.298÷ 0.2983	0.2979÷ 0.2979
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.202÷ 0.2023	0.2016÷ 0.2017	0.2018÷ 0.202	0.2016÷ 0.2016	0.2019÷ 0.2022	0.2019÷ 0.2021	0.2019÷ 0.2022
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2021÷ 0.2028	0.2022÷ 0.2025	0.2023÷ 0.2024	0.2019÷ 0.202	0.2023÷ 0.2025	0.2021÷ 0.2021	0.2022÷ 0.2026

Fig. A6/3. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: *Mus musculus* strain C57BL/6J chromosome 3, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J. NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000069.6. 160039680 bp. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000069.6. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n -letter representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2881÷ 0.2882	0.2881÷ 0.2882	0.288÷ 0.2882	0.2879÷ 0.2884	0.288÷ 0.2884	0.288÷ 0.2882	0.2879÷ 0.2881
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2887÷ 0.289	0.2887÷ 0.2888	0.2887÷ 0.2891	0.2886÷ 0.2886	0.2886÷ 0.2888	0.2885÷ 0.289	0.2889÷ 0.2891
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2113÷ 0.2114	0.2113÷ 0.2114	0.2113÷ 0.2114	0.2112÷ 0.2114	0.2112÷ 0.2113	0.2113÷ 0.2114	0.2113÷ 0.2114
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2114÷ 0.2114	0.2114÷ 0.2116	0.2114÷ 0.2114	0.2114÷ 0.2115	0.2114÷ 0.2116	0.2113÷ 0.2114	0.2114÷ 0.2115

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2881÷ 0.2882	0.2881÷ 0.2882	0.2879÷ 0.288	0.2881÷ 0.2881	0.2879÷ 0.2882	0.288÷ 0.2887
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2887÷ 0.289	0.2886÷ 0.2888	0.2887÷ 0.2887	0.2887÷ 0.2889	0.2886÷ 0.2888	0.2884÷ 0.2887
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2113÷ 0.2114	0.2113÷ 0.2114	0.2112÷ 0.2115	0.2113÷ 0.2115	0.2114÷ 0.2117	0.2111÷ 0.2111
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2114÷ 0.2114	0.2113÷ 0.2116	0.2114÷ 0.2117	0.2113÷ 0.2115	0.2111÷ 0.2113	0.2113÷ 0.2115

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2881÷ 0.2881	0.2877÷ 0.288	0.2878÷ 0.2878	0.2876÷ 0.2879	0.2878÷ 0.2883	0.2881÷ 0.2882	0.2881÷ 0.2881
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2886÷ 0.2892	0.2887÷ 0.2888	0.2886÷ 0.2891	0.2884÷ 0.2887	0.2886÷ 0.2888	0.2886÷ 0.2887	0.2886÷ 0.2892
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2112÷ 0.2113	0.2111÷ 0.2111	0.2111÷ 0.2117	0.2113÷ 0.2116	0.211÷ 0.211	0.211÷ 0.2115	0.2112÷ 0.2112
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2111÷ 0.2114	0.2113÷ 0.2122	0.2112÷ 0.2115	0.2114÷ 0.2118	0.2111÷ 0.2119	0.2113÷ 0.2115	0.2111÷ 0.2115

Fig. A6/4. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome 4, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J. NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000070.6. 156508116 bp. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000070.6. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n-letter representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2872÷ 0.2872	0.2872÷ 0.2873	0.2871÷ 0.2872	0.2871÷ 0.2873	0.2872÷ 0.2873	0.2869÷ 0.287	0.287÷ 0.2872
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2873÷ 0.2875	0.2872÷ 0.2873	0.2873÷ 0.2877	0.2871÷ 0.2872	0.2872÷ 0.2874	0.2872÷ 0.2874	0.2874÷ 0.2877
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2125÷ 0.2126	0.2126÷ 0.2126	0.2123÷ 0.2125	0.2125÷ 0.2128	0.2124÷ 0.2127	0.2122÷ 0.2129	0.2124÷ 0.2125
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2126÷ 0.2127	0.2126÷ 0.2127	0.2125÷ 0.2126	0.2125÷ 0.2127	0.2125÷ 0.2126	0.2125÷ 0.2128	0.2124÷ 0.2126

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2872÷ 0.2872	0.287÷ 0.2871	0.2871÷ 0.2873	0.2872÷ 0.2873	0.2868÷ 0.2868	0.287÷ 0.2872
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2873÷ 0.2875	0.2873÷ 0.2875	0.2872÷ 0.2873	0.2873÷ 0.2877	0.287÷ 0.2876	0.2872÷ 0.2873
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2125÷ 0.2126	0.2125÷ 0.2128	0.2125÷ 0.2127	0.2124÷ 0.2125	0.2123÷ 0.2125	0.2124÷ 0.2129
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2126÷ 0.2127	0.2126÷ 0.2126	0.2126÷ 0.2127	0.2124÷ 0.2125	0.2126÷ 0.2131	0.2124÷ 0.2126

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2868÷ 0.2871	0.287÷ 0.2876	0.2867÷ 0.2872	0.2872÷ 0.2873	0.287÷ 0.2871	0.2869÷ 0.2872	0.287÷ 0.2871
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2868÷ 0.2874	0.2869÷ 0.2875	0.2871÷ 0.2879	0.287÷ 0.2872	0.2873÷ 0.2876	0.2871÷ 0.2872	0.2872÷ 0.288
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2125÷ 0.2131	0.2123÷ 0.2123	0.2124÷ 0.2124	0.2124÷ 0.2128	0.2124÷ 0.2127	0.2123÷ 0.2129	0.2123÷ 0.2126
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2121÷ 0.2123	0.2125÷ 0.2125	0.2125÷ 0.2125	0.2125÷ 0.2127	0.2123÷ 0.2127	0.2124÷ 0.2127	0.2121÷ 0.2123

Fig. A6/5. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome 5, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J. NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000071.6. 151834684 bp. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000071.6. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n-letter representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2927÷ 0.2928	0.2927÷ 0.2927	0.2926÷ 0.2929	0.2927÷ 0.2928	0.2926÷ 0.2927	0.2925÷ 0.2928	0.2925÷ 0.293
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.293÷ 0.293	0.293÷ 0.2932	0.2928÷ 0.2928	0.2929÷ 0.2929	0.2931÷ 0.2932	0.2928÷ 0.293	0.2927÷ 0.2928
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2071÷ 0.2072	0.207÷ 0.2072	0.2071÷ 0.2072	0.2069÷ 0.2074	0.2066÷ 0.2071	0.2071÷ 0.2072	0.207÷ 0.2071
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2069÷ 0.207	0.2068÷ 0.207	0.2069÷ 0.2071	0.2067÷ 0.2069	0.2067÷ 0.207	0.2069÷ 0.207	0.2069÷ 0.2072

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2927÷ 0.2928	0.2925÷ 0.2929	0.2926÷ 0.2928	0.2927÷ 0.293	0.2925÷ 0.2925	0.2921÷ 0.2929
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.293÷ 0.293	0.2931÷ 0.2931	0.2927÷ 0.2929	0.2929÷ 0.2929	0.2928÷ 0.293	0.2928÷ 0.2932
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2071÷ 0.2072	0.207÷ 0.207	0.2071÷ 0.2072	0.207÷ 0.2072	0.2068÷ 0.2071	0.2069÷ 0.2069
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2069÷ 0.207	0.2068÷ 0.207	0.207÷ 0.2071	0.2068÷ 0.2068	0.2067÷ 0.2073	0.2067÷ 0.207

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2925÷ 0.2926	0.2925÷ 0.2929	0.2925÷ 0.2927	0.2925÷ 0.2925	0.2927÷ 0.2928	0.2925÷ 0.293	0.2923÷ 0.2927
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.293÷ 0.293	0.2926÷ 0.2929	0.2926÷ 0.2927	0.2928÷ 0.2929	0.2928÷ 0.2933	0.2929÷ 0.2933	0.2926÷ 0.2929
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2069÷ 0.2071	0.2069÷ 0.2073	0.2071÷ 0.2072	0.207÷ 0.2073	0.207÷ 0.2073	0.2069÷ 0.2069	0.2068÷ 0.2073
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2068÷ 0.2074	0.2067÷ 0.2069	0.2069÷ 0.2074	0.2069÷ 0.2073	0.2064÷ 0.2067	0.2066÷ 0.2068	0.2068÷ 0.207

Fig. A6/6. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome 6, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J. NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000072.6. 149736546 bp. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000072.6. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n-letter representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2837÷ 0.284	0.2839÷ 0.284	0.2836÷ 0.2839	0.2838÷ 0.2838	0.2839÷ 0.2841	0.2835÷ 0.2836	0.2837÷ 0.2838
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2855÷ 0.2855	0.2854÷ 0.2854	0.2855÷ 0.2855	0.2853÷ 0.2855	0.2853÷ 0.2854	0.2854÷ 0.2857	0.2854÷ 0.2857
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2152÷ 0.2153	0.2152÷ 0.2155	0.2153÷ 0.2153	0.2153÷ 0.2157	0.215÷ 0.2154	0.2153÷ 0.2155	0.2152÷ 0.2153
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.215÷ 0.2151	0.215÷ 0.2151	0.2151÷ 0.2153	0.2149÷ 0.215	0.215÷ 0.2152	0.2148÷ 0.2153	0.2151÷ 0.2151

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2837÷ 0.284	0.2838÷ 0.2839	0.2836÷ 0.2836	0.2836÷ 0.284	0.2837÷ 0.2837	0.2837÷ 0.2839
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2855÷ 0.2855	0.2853÷ 0.2855	0.2853÷ 0.2856	0.2855÷ 0.2856	0.2851÷ 0.2853	0.2853÷ 0.2857
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2152÷ 0.2153	0.2152÷ 0.2154	0.2153÷ 0.2156	0.215÷ 0.2152	0.215÷ 0.2156	0.215÷ 0.2152
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.215÷ 0.2151	0.215÷ 0.2152	0.215÷ 0.2151	0.2149÷ 0.2152	0.2149÷ 0.2154	0.2148÷ 0.2151

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2834÷ 0.2834	0.2836÷ 0.2837	0.2833÷ 0.284	0.2834÷ 0.2834	0.2834÷ 0.2839	0.2835÷ 0.2836	0.2836÷ 0.2839
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2852÷ 0.2859	0.2853÷ 0.2858	0.285÷ 0.2852	0.2853÷ 0.2858	0.2856÷ 0.2856	0.2855÷ 0.2857	0.2853÷ 0.2856
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2149÷ 0.2155	0.2151÷ 0.2154	0.2155÷ 0.2156	0.2151÷ 0.2154	0.2149÷ 0.2157	0.2151÷ 0.2153	0.2149÷ 0.2154
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2149÷ 0.2152	0.2148÷ 0.2152	0.2151÷ 0.2151	0.2148÷ 0.2153	0.2147÷ 0.2148	0.2148÷ 0.2154	0.2147÷ 0.2151

Fig. A6/7. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome 7, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J. NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000073.6. 145441459 bp . https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000073.6. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n-letter representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2883÷ 0.2884	0.2882÷ 0.2884	0.2882÷ 0.2885	0.2882÷ 0.2883	0.288÷ 0.288	0.2882÷ 0.2885	0.2882÷ 0.2885
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2878÷ 0.2879	0.2877÷ 0.2879	0.2878÷ 0.288	0.2878÷ 0.2878	0.2874÷ 0.288	0.2877÷ 0.2881	0.2876÷ 0.288
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2118÷ 0.2119	0.2118÷ 0.2119	0.2117÷ 0.2117	0.2117÷ 0.2122	0.2119÷ 0.212	0.2116÷ 0.212	0.2116÷ 0.2118
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2117÷ 0.2119	0.2117÷ 0.2118	0.2115÷ 0.2118	0.2115÷ 0.2117	0.2116÷ 0.212	0.2114÷ 0.2115	0.2116÷ 0.2117

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2883÷ 0.2884	0.2881÷ 0.2882	0.2883÷ 0.2885	0.2882÷ 0.2883	0.2881÷ 0.2882	0.2879÷ 0.2887
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2878÷ 0.2879	0.2878÷ 0.2881	0.2877÷ 0.2878	0.2878÷ 0.2879	0.2875÷ 0.288	0.2876÷ 0.2877
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2118÷ 0.2119	0.2117÷ 0.2118	0.2116÷ 0.2117	0.2118÷ 0.2118	0.2116÷ 0.2118	0.2115÷ 0.2118
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2117÷ 0.2119	0.2116÷ 0.2119	0.2116÷ 0.212	0.2114÷ 0.212	0.2114÷ 0.2119	0.2115÷ 0.2117

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2876÷ 0.2882	0.2883÷ 0.2887	0.2882÷ 0.2883	0.2879÷ 0.2887	0.2881÷ 0.2881	0.288÷ 0.289	0.2881÷ 0.2885
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2877÷ 0.2881	0.2877÷ 0.288	0.2876÷ 0.2879	0.2873÷ 0.2874	0.2878÷ 0.2884	0.2878÷ 0.2878	0.2876÷ 0.2877
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2117÷ 0.2117	0.2116÷ 0.2117	0.2116÷ 0.2118	0.2113÷ 0.2119	0.2115÷ 0.2118	0.2118÷ 0.2118	0.2115÷ 0.2118
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2116÷ 0.2119	0.2115÷ 0.2116	0.2116÷ 0.212	0.2113÷ 0.212	0.2115÷ 0.2117	0.2114÷ 0.2114	0.2111÷ 0.212

Fig. A6/8. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: Mus musculus strain C57BL/6j, chromosome 8, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J. NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000074.6. 129401213 bp. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000074.6. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n-letter representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2865÷ 0.2866	0.2863÷ 0.2866	0.2864÷ 0.2867	0.2863÷ 0.2866	0.2861÷ 0.2865	0.2863÷ 0.2864	0.2865÷ 0.2867
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2862÷ 0.2864	0.2863÷ 0.2865	0.2861÷ 0.2863	0.286÷ 0.2864	0.2863÷ 0.2866	0.2861÷ 0.2865	0.2859÷ 0.2862
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2135÷ 0.2135	0.2133÷ 0.2135	0.2135÷ 0.2135	0.2132÷ 0.2134	0.2134÷ 0.2136	0.2134÷ 0.2137	0.2133÷ 0.2136
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2134÷ 0.2134	0.2133÷ 0.2133	0.2134÷ 0.2135	0.2133÷ 0.2135	0.2132÷ 0.2133	0.2134÷ 0.2134	0.2133÷ 0.2134

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2865÷ 0.2866	0.2865÷ 0.2867	0.2864÷ 0.2869	0.2862÷ 0.2864	0.2864÷ 0.2864	0.2858÷ 0.2868
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2862÷ 0.2864	0.2861÷ 0.2862	0.286÷ 0.2862	0.286÷ 0.2863	0.2859÷ 0.2865	0.2861÷ 0.2866
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2135÷ 0.2135	0.2132÷ 0.2136	0.2134÷ 0.2134	0.2135÷ 0.2138	0.2132÷ 0.2136	0.213÷ 0.213
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2134÷ 0.2134	0.2133÷ 0.2135	0.2134÷ 0.2135	0.2133÷ 0.2135	0.2129÷ 0.2135	0.2132÷ 0.2136

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2865÷ 0.2867	0.286÷ 0.286	0.2861÷ 0.2867	0.2863÷ 0.2867	0.286÷ 0.2863	0.2863÷ 0.2868	0.2861÷ 0.2862
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.286÷ 0.2862	0.286÷ 0.2866	0.2861÷ 0.2862	0.2858÷ 0.2864	0.2861÷ 0.2868	0.2857÷ 0.2864	0.286÷ 0.2864
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2133÷ 0.2137	0.2134÷ 0.2139	0.2133÷ 0.2134	0.2132÷ 0.2132	0.2133÷ 0.2134	0.2132÷ 0.2132	0.2133÷ 0.214
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2132÷ 0.2134	0.2131÷ 0.2135	0.2131÷ 0.2137	0.2132÷ 0.2137	0.2132÷ 0.2135	0.2129÷ 0.2136	0.213÷ 0.2134

Fig. A6/9. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: *Mus musculus* strain C57BL/6J chromosome 9, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J. NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000075.6. 124595110 bp. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000075.6. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n-letter representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2925÷ 0.2926	0.2924÷ 0.2924	0.2925÷ 0.2925	0.2922÷ 0.2926	0.2925÷ 0.2926	0.2926÷ 0.2926	0.2925÷ 0.2925
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2932÷ 0.2933	0.2931÷ 0.2933	0.2933÷ 0.2934	0.293÷ 0.2931	0.293÷ 0.2933	0.2932÷ 0.2935	0.2932÷ 0.2933
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2067÷ 0.2069	0.2067÷ 0.2069	0.2067÷ 0.2069	0.2066÷ 0.2071	0.2067÷ 0.2068	0.2066÷ 0.2068	0.2066÷ 0.2069
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2071÷ 0.2072	0.207÷ 0.2074	0.2071÷ 0.2072	0.2072÷ 0.2073	0.2069÷ 0.2073	0.207÷ 0.2071	0.2071÷ 0.2073

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2925÷ 0.2926	0.2925÷ 0.2927	0.2923÷ 0.2924	0.2924÷ 0.2925	0.2924÷ 0.2926	0.2925÷ 0.2928
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2932÷ 0.2933	0.293÷ 0.2933	0.2933÷ 0.2937	0.293÷ 0.2935	0.2928÷ 0.2932	0.2929÷ 0.2933
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2067÷ 0.2069	0.2067÷ 0.2067	0.2066÷ 0.2068	0.2066÷ 0.2068	0.2065÷ 0.2069	0.2063÷ 0.2065
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2071÷ 0.2072	0.207÷ 0.2072	0.2069÷ 0.2071	0.2071÷ 0.2072	0.2069÷ 0.2072	0.2069÷ 0.2074

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2921÷ 0.2923	0.2922÷ 0.2926	0.2921÷ 0.2929	0.2924÷ 0.2924	0.2921÷ 0.293	0.2925÷ 0.2929	0.2922÷ 0.2926
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.293÷ 0.2936	0.2934÷ 0.2938	0.293÷ 0.2932	0.293÷ 0.2938	0.2931÷ 0.2933	0.2928÷ 0.2933	0.293÷ 0.2936
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2065÷ 0.2065	0.2066÷ 0.2066	0.2065÷ 0.207	0.2063÷ 0.2069	0.2064÷ 0.2064	0.2064÷ 0.2065	0.2066÷ 0.207
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2072÷ 0.2075	0.2067÷ 0.207	0.207÷ 0.207	0.2068÷ 0.2068	0.207÷ 0.2073	0.2072÷ 0.2072	0.2068÷ 0.2069

Fig. A6/10. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome 10, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J. NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000076.6. 130694993 bp. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000076.6. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n-letter representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2812÷ 0.2814	0.2811÷ 0.2811	0.2812÷ 0.2814	0.2809÷ 0.2811	0.2811÷ 0.2813	0.2812÷ 0.2813	0.2811÷ 0.2811
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2805÷ 0.2806	0.2805÷ 0.2806	0.2802÷ 0.2808	0.2804÷ 0.2807	0.2804÷ 0.2804	0.2802÷ 0.2805	0.2803÷ 0.2811
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2191÷ 0.2191	0.219÷ 0.2192	0.2189÷ 0.2189	0.2188÷ 0.219	0.219÷ 0.2192	0.2189÷ 0.219	0.2188÷ 0.2188
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2189÷ 0.2189	0.2189÷ 0.2191	0.2188÷ 0.2189	0.2188÷ 0.2192	0.2188÷ 0.219	0.2188÷ 0.2191	0.2188÷ 0.219

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2812÷ 0.2814	0.2811÷ 0.2811	0.2811÷ 0.2812	0.2811÷ 0.2818	0.2811÷ 0.2811	0.2809÷ 0.2813
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2805÷ 0.2806	0.2804÷ 0.2808	0.2803÷ 0.2803	0.2804÷ 0.2804	0.2803÷ 0.2806	0.2803÷ 0.2807
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2191÷ 0.2191	0.219÷ 0.2192	0.2189÷ 0.2193	0.2189÷ 0.219	0.2187÷ 0.2194	0.2189÷ 0.219
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2189÷ 0.2189	0.2188÷ 0.2189	0.2189÷ 0.2192	0.2188÷ 0.2188	0.2187÷ 0.219	0.2187÷ 0.219

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2809÷ 0.2809	0.2808÷ 0.2814	0.2811÷ 0.2813	0.2808÷ 0.2808	0.2811÷ 0.2811	0.2811÷ 0.2813	0.281÷ 0.2817
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2803÷ 0.2808	0.2801÷ 0.2807	0.2803÷ 0.2808	0.28÷ 0.2802	0.2803÷ 0.2812	0.2805÷ 0.2807	0.2803÷ 0.2804
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2192÷ 0.2192	0.2187÷ 0.219	0.2187÷ 0.2191	0.2187÷ 0.2193	0.2186÷ 0.2187	0.219÷ 0.2192	0.2189÷ 0.2189
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2185÷ 0.2191	0.219÷ 0.219	0.2186÷ 0.2188	0.219÷ 0.2196	0.2186÷ 0.219	0.2186÷ 0.2188	0.2188÷ 0.219

Fig. A6/11. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J, chromosome 11, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J. NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000077.6 122082543 bp. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000077.6. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n-letter representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
--	---------	-----------	-----------	------------	------------	------------	------------

$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2898÷ 0.2899	0.2898÷ 0.2901	0.2897÷ 0.2898	0.2896÷ 0.29	0.2896÷ 0.2902	0.2896÷ 0.2899	0.2897÷ 0.2898
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2926÷ 0.2928	0.2926÷ 0.2928	0.2924÷ 0.2927	0.2926÷ 0.2927	0.2926÷ 0.2929	0.2925÷ 0.2926	0.2922÷ 0.2927
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2083÷ 0.2085	0.2083÷ 0.2084	0.2085÷ 0.2085	0.2083÷ 0.2086	0.2082÷ 0.2083	0.2084÷ 0.2087	0.2084÷ 0.2085
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2088÷ 0.2088	0.2087÷ 0.2087	0.2089÷ 0.209	0.2086÷ 0.2088	0.2086÷ 0.2086	0.2088÷ 0.2089	0.2088÷ 0.209

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2898÷ 0.2899	0.2895÷ 0.29	0.2898÷ 0.29	0.2898÷ 0.2899	0.2892÷ 0.2892	0.2892÷ 0.2897
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2926÷ 0.2928	0.2925÷ 0.2927	0.2925÷ 0.2928	0.2926÷ 0.2929	0.2923÷ 0.2927	0.2927÷ 0.2927
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2083÷ 0.2085	0.2084÷ 0.2084	0.2082÷ 0.2084	0.2083÷ 0.2084	0.2083÷ 0.2088	0.2082÷ 0.2086
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2088÷ 0.2088	0.2089÷ 0.2089	0.2087÷ 0.2088	0.2086÷ 0.2088	0.2085÷ 0.2093	0.2088÷ 0.2091

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2895÷ 0.2899	0.2897÷ 0.29	0.2895÷ 0.29	0.29÷ 0.29	0.2897÷ 0.2899	0.2898÷ 0.2901	0.2897÷ 0.2898
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2922÷ 0.2926	0.2923÷ 0.2927	0.2925÷ 0.2928	0.2923÷ 0.2924	0.2926÷ 0.2932	0.2924÷ 0.2927	0.2924÷ 0.2929
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2084÷ 0.2084	0.208÷ 0.2086	0.208÷ 0.2085	0.208÷ 0.2087	0.208÷ 0.2084	0.2082÷ 0.2085	0.2082÷ 0.2085
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2085÷ 0.209	0.2087÷ 0.2087	0.2087÷ 0.2087	0.2085÷ 0.2088	0.2086÷ 0.2086	0.2086÷ 0.2087	0.2085÷ 0.2088

Fig. A6/12. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome 12, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J. NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000078.6. 120129022 bp. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000078.6. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n-letter representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
--	------------	--------------	--------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2924÷ 0.2925	0.2923÷ 0.2924	0.2923÷ 0.2926	0.2922÷ 0.2926	0.2921÷ 0.2921	0.2922÷ 0.2923	0.2921÷ 0.2926
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2911÷ 0.2913	0.2911÷ 0.2913	0.2911÷ 0.2914	0.291÷ 0.2913	0.2912÷ 0.2914	0.291÷ 0.2913	0.2911÷ 0.2917
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.208÷ 0.2081	0.2079÷ 0.208	0.2079÷ 0.2079	0.2079÷ 0.2082	0.208÷ 0.2081	0.2081÷ 0.2081	0.2077÷ 0.2077
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2079÷ 0.2081	0.208÷ 0.2083	0.2078÷ 0.2081	0.2079÷ 0.2079	0.2079÷ 0.2084	0.2078÷ 0.2083	0.2079÷ 0.2081

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2924÷ 0.2925	0.2921÷ 0.2921	0.2923÷ 0.2924	0.2924÷ 0.2927	0.292÷ 0.2922	0.292÷ 0.2924
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2911÷ 0.2913	0.2912÷ 0.2914	0.2911÷ 0.2914	0.291÷ 0.2913	0.291÷ 0.2913	0.2909÷ 0.2911
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.208÷ 0.2081	0.2078÷ 0.2083	0.208÷ 0.2082	0.208÷ 0.208	0.2077÷ 0.2086	0.2076÷ 0.208
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2079÷ 0.2081	0.2079÷ 0.2082	0.2079÷ 0.208	0.208÷ 0.208	0.2079÷ 0.2079	0.2077÷ 0.2084

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2921÷ 0.2923	0.2919÷ 0.2925	0.2923÷ 0.2927	0.2921÷ 0.2921	0.2922÷ 0.2931	0.2922÷ 0.2926	0.2924÷ 0.2931
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2912÷ 0.2914	0.2909÷ 0.2914	0.291÷ 0.2911	0.2911÷ 0.2917	0.2906÷ 0.2906	0.2908÷ 0.2912	0.2909÷ 0.2913
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2074÷ 0.2078	0.208÷ 0.208	0.2078÷ 0.2082	0.208÷ 0.208	0.2078÷ 0.2083	0.2077÷ 0.2079	0.2077÷ 0.2078
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2079÷ 0.2085	0.2077÷ 0.2081	0.2079÷ 0.208	0.2076÷ 0.2082	0.2078÷ 0.2079	0.2079÷ 0.2083	0.2078÷ 0.2078

Fig. A6/13. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome 13, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J. NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000079.6. 120421639 bp. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000079.6. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n-letter representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
--	------------	--------------	--------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2939÷ 0.2939	0.2938÷ 0.294	0.2939÷ 0.2939	0.2937÷ 0.2938	0.2937÷ 0.294	0.2937÷ 0.2937	0.2938÷ 0.2938
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2943÷ 0.2944	0.2943÷ 0.2944	0.2943÷ 0.2943	0.2944÷ 0.2945	0.2942÷ 0.2944	0.2941÷ 0.2944	0.2942÷ 0.2942
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2055÷ 0.2057	0.2055÷ 0.2057	0.2055÷ 0.2058	0.2054÷ 0.2058	0.2055÷ 0.2058	0.2054÷ 0.2059	0.2053÷ 0.2058
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2058÷ 0.206	0.2058÷ 0.2059	0.2058÷ 0.206	0.2059÷ 0.2059	0.2057÷ 0.2058	0.2058÷ 0.206	0.2056÷ 0.2062

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2939÷ 0.2939	0.2939÷ 0.2939	0.2936÷ 0.2937	0.2937÷ 0.2937	0.2937÷ 0.2942	0.2938÷ 0.2941
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2943÷ 0.2944	0.2941÷ 0.2946	0.2943÷ 0.2945	0.2943÷ 0.2946	0.2939÷ 0.2939	0.2942÷ 0.2943
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2055÷ 0.2057	0.2053÷ 0.2053	0.2056÷ 0.2057	0.2056÷ 0.2057	0.2053÷ 0.2056	0.2051÷ 0.2057
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2058÷ 0.206	0.2059÷ 0.2061	0.2057÷ 0.2061	0.2057÷ 0.206	0.2057÷ 0.2062	0.2058÷ 0.2059

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2935÷ 0.2939	0.2936÷ 0.2939	0.2931÷ 0.2936	0.2934÷ 0.2934	0.2936÷ 0.2937	0.2933÷ 0.2941	0.2937÷ 0.2939
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.294÷ 0.2949	0.294÷ 0.2946	0.2943÷ 0.2945	0.2941÷ 0.2944	0.294÷ 0.2947	0.2939÷ 0.2939	0.2941÷ 0.2942
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2053÷ 0.2053	0.2056÷ 0.2058	0.2054÷ 0.2057	0.2055÷ 0.206	0.2055÷ 0.2059	0.2055÷ 0.2059	0.2057÷ 0.2058
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2057÷ 0.2059	0.2056÷ 0.2056	0.2057÷ 0.2062	0.2054÷ 0.2062	0.2054÷ 0.2058	0.2056÷ 0.206	0.2055÷ 0.206

Fig. A6/14. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome 14, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J. NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000080.6. 124902244 bp. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000080.6. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n-letter representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2898÷ 0.2898	0.2897÷ 0.29	0.2895÷ 0.2895	0.2896÷ 0.2902	0.2897÷ 0.2901	0.2896÷ 0.2899	0.2894÷ 0.2894
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2904÷ 0.2907	0.2903÷ 0.2904	0.2905÷ 0.2909	0.2902÷ 0.2903	0.2902÷ 0.2906	0.2904÷ 0.2904	0.2904÷ 0.2911
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2098÷ 0.2098	0.2096÷ 0.2097	0.2098÷ 0.2099	0.2096÷ 0.2099	0.2097÷ 0.2099	0.2096÷ 0.2101	0.2097÷ 0.2099
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2096÷ 0.2096	0.2096÷ 0.2098	0.2095÷ 0.2097	0.2096÷ 0.2096	0.2095÷ 0.2095	0.2095÷ 0.2096	0.2094÷ 0.2096

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2898÷ 0.2898	0.2898÷ 0.2899	0.2897÷ 0.29	0.2897÷ 0.2897	0.2895÷ 0.2899	0.2893÷ 0.2893
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2904÷ 0.2907	0.2903÷ 0.2906	0.2903÷ 0.2906	0.2904÷ 0.2908	0.2904÷ 0.2909	0.2901÷ 0.2908
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2098÷ 0.2098	0.2096÷ 0.2097	0.2096÷ 0.2096	0.2097÷ 0.2098	0.2094÷ 0.2098	0.2098÷ 0.2099
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2096÷ 0.2096	0.2094÷ 0.2097	0.2094÷ 0.2098	0.2096÷ 0.2096	0.2094÷ 0.2095	0.2093÷ 0.21

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.29÷ 0.2901	0.2895÷ 0.2898	0.2895÷ 0.2895	0.2894÷ 0.2899	0.2894÷ 0.2896	0.2897÷ 0.2897	0.2893÷ 0.2897
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2901÷ 0.2913	0.2903÷ 0.2912	0.29÷ 0.2905	0.2899÷ 0.2908	0.2903÷ 0.2905	0.2901÷ 0.2907	0.2903÷ 0.2905
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2092÷ 0.2092	0.2094÷ 0.21	0.2094÷ 0.2102	0.2096÷ 0.2097	0.2096÷ 0.2101	0.2096÷ 0.21	0.2094÷ 0.2097
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2092÷ 0.2094	0.209÷ 0.209	0.2089÷ 0.2098	0.2093÷ 0.2096	0.2092÷ 0.2098	0.2095÷ 0.2095	0.2096÷ 0.2101

Fig. A6/15. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome 15, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6JNCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000081.6, LOCUS NC_000081 104043685 bp DNA linear CON 22-JUN-2016 DEFINITION Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome 15, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J. ACCESSION NC_000081 GPC_000000788 VERSION NC_000081.6 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000081.6 . Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n-letter representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts

at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2949÷ 0.2951	0.2948÷ 0.2951	0.2949÷ 0.2952	0.2948÷ 0.2952	0.2947÷ 0.2952	0.2949÷ 0.2951	0.2947÷ 0.2953
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2954÷ 0.2956	0.2954÷ 0.2954	0.2954÷ 0.2957	0.2953÷ 0.2956	0.2953÷ 0.2954	0.2954÷ 0.2954	0.2953÷ 0.2956
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2045÷ 0.2045	0.2044÷ 0.2049	0.2042÷ 0.2044	0.2042÷ 0.2047	0.2042÷ 0.2048	0.2041÷ 0.2047	0.2044÷ 0.2044
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2047÷ 0.2047	0.2047÷ 0.2047	0.2047÷ 0.2047	0.2045÷ 0.2045	0.2046÷ 0.2046	0.2047÷ 0.2048	0.2045÷ 0.2047

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2949÷ 0.2951	0.2947÷ 0.2947	0.2948÷ 0.295	0.295÷ 0.2954	0.2945÷ 0.2951	0.2947÷ 0.295
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2954÷ 0.2956	0.2953÷ 0.2957	0.2955÷ 0.2958	0.2953÷ 0.2953	0.2953÷ 0.2956	0.2952÷ 0.2956
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2045÷ 0.2045	0.2044÷ 0.2046	0.2044÷ 0.2046	0.2043÷ 0.2044	0.2042÷ 0.2044	0.2041÷ 0.2047
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2047÷ 0.2047	0.2046÷ 0.2049	0.2046÷ 0.2047	0.2047÷ 0.2049	0.2045÷ 0.2049	0.2047÷ 0.2047

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2947÷ 0.2948	0.2944÷ 0.2948	0.2946÷ 0.2948	0.2947÷ 0.2956	0.2944÷ 0.2944	0.2948÷ 0.2953	0.2949÷ 0.2951
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2949÷ 0.2958	0.2954÷ 0.2959	0.2954÷ 0.2963	0.2951÷ 0.2958	0.2948÷ 0.296	0.2947÷ 0.2957	0.2951÷ 0.2953
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2043÷ 0.2047	0.2039÷ 0.2043	0.2043÷ 0.2045	0.2043÷ 0.2044	0.2045÷ 0.2046	0.2039÷ 0.2044	0.2045÷ 0.2047
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2046÷ 0.2047	0.2043÷ 0.2049	0.2041÷ 0.2045	0.2042÷ 0.2042	0.2045÷ 0.205	0.2046÷ 0.2046	0.2044÷ 0.2049

Fig. A6/16. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2

(upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome 16, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J, NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000082.6, LOCUS NC_000082 98207768 bp DNA linear CON 22-JUN-2016 DEFINITION Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome 16, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J. ACCESSION NC_000082 GPC_000000789 VERSION NC_000082.6, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000082.6 . Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n-letter representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.286÷ 0.2864	0.2862÷ 0.2862	0.2859÷ 0.2863	0.2861÷ 0.2864	0.2863÷ 0.2863	0.2859÷ 0.2864	0.2858÷ 0.2862
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2867÷ 0.2867	0.2866÷ 0.2869	0.2867÷ 0.2868	0.2866÷ 0.2869	0.2864÷ 0.287	0.2866÷ 0.2869	0.2866÷ 0.2869
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2134÷ 0.2136	0.2134÷ 0.2138	0.2133÷ 0.2136	0.2133÷ 0.2133	0.2134÷ 0.2136	0.2131÷ 0.2134	0.2134÷ 0.2135
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2133÷ 0.2133	0.2131÷ 0.2131	0.2133÷ 0.2133	0.213÷ 0.2134	0.2132÷ 0.2132	0.2132÷ 0.2134	0.2132÷ 0.2134

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.286÷ 0.2864	0.2859÷ 0.286	0.2861÷ 0.2864	0.2859÷ 0.2863	0.2857÷ 0.2864	0.2858÷ 0.2862
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2867÷ 0.2867	0.2868÷ 0.2868	0.2863÷ 0.2867	0.2866÷ 0.2869	0.2867÷ 0.2869	0.2866÷ 0.287
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2134÷ 0.2136	0.2133÷ 0.2139	0.2134÷ 0.2138	0.2134÷ 0.2137	0.2129÷ 0.2132	0.2133÷ 0.2135
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2133÷ 0.2133	0.2132÷ 0.2132	0.2131÷ 0.2131	0.213÷ 0.2131	0.2132÷ 0.2135	0.2131÷ 0.2132

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2858÷ 0.2859	0.286÷ 0.2865	0.2859÷ 0.2863	0.2861÷ 0.2863	0.2859÷ 0.2863	0.2861÷ 0.2867	0.2851÷ 0.2862
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2864÷ 0.2867	0.2863÷ 0.2869	0.2862÷ 0.2863	0.2861÷ 0.287	0.2863÷ 0.2867	0.2864÷ 0.2867	0.2867÷ 0.2869
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2132÷ 0.2142	0.2133÷ 0.2135	0.2132÷ 0.2134	0.2131÷ 0.2135	0.2133÷ 0.2133	0.2133÷ 0.2133	0.2132÷ 0.214
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2131÷ 0.2132	0.2126÷ 0.2131	0.2134÷ 0.214	0.213÷ 0.2131	0.213÷ 0.2137	0.213÷ 0.2133	0.2129÷ 0.2129

Fig. A6/17. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome 17, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J, CBI Reference Sequence: NC_000083.6 LOCUS NC_000083 94987271 bp DNA linear CON 22-JUN-2016 DEFINITION Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome 17, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J. ACCESSION NC_000083 GPC_000000790 VERSION NC_000083.6, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000083.6. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n-letter representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2928÷ 0.2928	0.2928÷ 0.2931	0.2926÷ 0.2926	0.2924÷ 0.293	0.2928÷ 0.2933	0.2924÷ 0.293	0.2927÷ 0.2927
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2925÷ 0.2926	0.2926÷ 0.2929	0.2924÷ 0.2926	0.2927÷ 0.293	0.2924÷ 0.293	0.2925÷ 0.2927	0.2923÷ 0.2924
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2068÷ 0.207	0.2067÷ 0.2068	0.2069÷ 0.2071	0.2066÷ 0.2066	0.2066÷ 0.2066	0.2069÷ 0.2069	0.2068÷ 0.2071
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2074÷ 0.2076	0.2072÷ 0.2072	0.2073÷ 0.2077	0.2072÷ 0.2073	0.2071÷ 0.2071	0.2072÷ 0.2074	0.2075÷ 0.2078

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2928÷ 0.2928	0.2928÷ 0.2932	0.2925÷ 0.293	0.2928÷ 0.2928	0.2926÷ 0.2928	0.2927÷ 0.2927
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2925÷ 0.2926	0.2923÷ 0.2924	0.2923÷ 0.2925	0.2925÷ 0.2927	0.2923÷ 0.2929	0.2922÷ 0.2923
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2068÷ 0.207	0.2067÷ 0.2069	0.2068÷ 0.207	0.2068÷ 0.2069	0.2065÷ 0.2069	0.2066÷ 0.2071
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2074÷ 0.2076	0.2072÷ 0.2076	0.2074÷ 0.2074	0.2073÷ 0.2076	0.2073÷ 0.2073	0.207÷ 0.2079

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2928÷ 0.293	0.2924÷ 0.2924	0.2924÷ 0.293	0.2924÷ 0.2931	0.2924÷ 0.2929	0.2924÷ 0.293	0.2924÷ 0.2927
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2923÷ 0.2925	0.2922÷ 0.2931	0.292÷ 0.2929	0.2924÷ 0.2928	0.2921÷ 0.2931	0.2924÷ 0.2924	0.2922÷ 0.2926
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2064÷	0.2066÷	0.2067÷	0.2066÷	0.2068÷	0.2065÷	0.2068÷

$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.2873÷ 0.2874	0.2873÷ 0.2874	0.287÷ 0.2873	0.2869÷ 0.2869	0.287÷ 0.2877	0.2869÷ 0.2869	0.2869÷ 0.2874
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.2846÷ 0.2851	0.2847÷ 0.2853	0.2844÷ 0.2857	0.2846÷ 0.2854	0.2847÷ 0.2847	0.2849÷ 0.2856	0.2843÷ 0.2854
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.2135÷ 0.2144	0.2134÷ 0.2134	0.2134÷ 0.2135	0.2134÷ 0.2141	0.2132÷ 0.214	0.2133÷ 0.2141	0.2135÷ 0.2137
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.2127÷ 0.2131	0.2128÷ 0.2139	0.2132÷ 0.2134	0.2129÷ 0.2136	0.2125÷ 0.2136	0.2134÷ 0.2134	0.2128÷ 0.2135

Fig. A6/19. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome 19, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J, NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000085.6, LOCUS NC_000085 61431566 bp DNA linear CON 22-JUN-2016 DEFINITION Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome 19, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J. ACCESSION NC_000085 GPC_000000792 VERSION NC_000085.6, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000085.6. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n-letter representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.3037÷ 0.3039	0.3037÷ 0.3037	0.3036÷ 0.3039	0.3035÷ 0.3037	0.3036÷ 0.3038	0.3034÷ 0.3038	0.3036÷ 0.3038
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.3036÷ 0.3036	0.3035÷ 0.3035	0.3035÷ 0.3035	0.3035÷ 0.3037	0.3035÷ 0.3035	0.3033÷ 0.3037	0.3035÷ 0.3038
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.1961÷ 0.1963	0.196÷ 0.1962	0.1961÷ 0.1962	0.1962÷ 0.1965	0.1959÷ 0.1961	0.196÷ 0.1962	0.196÷ 0.196
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.1962÷ 0.1963	0.1961÷ 0.1966	0.1962÷ 0.1964	0.196÷ 0.1961	0.1961÷ 0.1966	0.1963÷ 0.1963	0.1962÷ 0.1963

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.3037÷ 0.3039	0.3037÷ 0.3039	0.3034÷ 0.3034	0.3035÷ 0.3038	0.3036÷ 0.3038	0.3036÷ 0.3039
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.3036÷ 0.3036	0.3035÷ 0.3039	0.3035÷ 0.3039	0.3034÷ 0.3034	0.3034÷ 0.3038	0.3033÷ 0.3036
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.1961÷	0.1959÷	0.196÷	0.1962÷	0.1958÷	0.1961÷

	0.1963	0.1961	0.1963	0.1964	0.1961	0.1963
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.1962÷ 0.1963	0.196÷ 0.1961	0.1962÷ 0.1964	0.1962÷ 0.1965	0.1961÷ 0.1963	0.1961÷ 0.1962

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.3034÷ 0.3041	0.3033÷ 0.3038	0.3035÷ 0.3041	0.3031÷ 0.3031	0.3033÷ 0.3036	0.3034÷ 0.3037	0.3034÷ 0.3042
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.3037÷ 0.3037	0.3034÷ 0.3041	0.3034÷ 0.3035	0.3035÷ 0.3043	0.3034÷ 0.3034	0.3033÷ 0.3036	0.3032÷ 0.3032
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.1959÷ 0.1962	0.1958÷ 0.1958	0.196÷ 0.1961	0.196÷ 0.1962	0.1961÷ 0.1963	0.1962÷ 0.1963	0.196÷ 0.1961
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.1959÷ 0.1959	0.1962÷ 0.1963	0.1958÷ 0.1963	0.196÷ 0.1963	0.1961÷ 0.1966	0.1961÷ 0.1964	0.1959÷ 0.1965

Fig. A6/20. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome X, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J, NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000086.7, LOCUS NC_000086 171031299 bp DNA linear CON 22-JUN-2016 DEFINITION Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome X, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J. ACCESSION NC_000086 GPC_000000793 VERSION NC_000086.7, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_000086.7. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n -letter representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 2/00	Level 2/01	Level 2/10	Level 2/11
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.3045÷ 0.3045	0.3043÷ 0.3046	0.3043÷ 0.3044	0.3039÷ 0.3043	0.3044÷ 0.3046	0.3042÷ 0.305	0.3044÷ 0.3044
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.3064÷ 0.3066	0.3063÷ 0.3067	0.3064÷ 0.3066	0.3062÷ 0.3066	0.3063÷ 0.3066	0.3062÷ 0.3065	0.3065÷ 0.3068
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.1948÷ 0.1948	0.1947÷ 0.1948	0.1948÷ 0.1948	0.1947÷ 0.1951	0.1946÷ 0.1948	0.1946÷ 0.1946	0.1947÷ 0.1947
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.1937÷ 0.1942	0.1937÷ 0.1939	0.1938÷ 0.1942	0.1936÷ 0.1941	0.1936÷ 0.194	0.1938÷ 0.1938	0.1937÷ 0.194

	Level 0	Level 1/0	Level 1/1	Level 1/2	Level 2/00	Level 2/01
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.3045÷ 0.3045	0.3041÷ 0.3046	0.3043÷ 0.3046	0.3045÷ 0.3045	0.3039÷ 0.3046	0.3043÷ 0.3049
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.3064÷ 0.3066	0.3062÷ 0.3067	0.3065÷ 0.3065	0.3064÷ 0.3068	0.3061÷ 0.3061	0.306÷ 0.306

$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.1948÷ 0.1948	0.1947÷ 0.1947	0.1946÷ 0.1948	0.1946÷ 0.1946	0.1945÷ 0.1953	0.1946÷ 0.1948
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.1937÷ 0.1942	0.194÷ 0.194	0.1934÷ 0.1942	0.1937÷ 0.194	0.1937÷ 0.194	0.1937÷ 0.1943

	Level 2/02	Level 2/10	Level 2/11	Level 2/12	Level 2/20	Level 2/21	Level 2/22
$P_n(A_k) \in$	0.304÷ 0.3042	0.3042÷ 0.3047	0.3044÷ 0.305	0.3042÷ 0.3046	0.3041÷ 0.3048	0.3045÷ 0.3052	0.3042÷ 0.3045
$P_n(T_k) \in$	0.3061÷ 0.3064	0.3062÷ 0.3064	0.306÷ 0.3063	0.3065÷ 0.3068	0.3064÷ 0.3064	0.3059÷ 0.3061	0.3064÷ 0.3065
$P_n(C_k) \in$	0.1945÷ 0.1951	0.1947÷ 0.1953	0.1942÷ 0.1948	0.1944÷ 0.1948	0.1946÷ 0.1953	0.1946÷ 0.195	0.1945÷ 0.1945
$P_n(G_k) \in$	0.1939÷ 0.1944	0.1936÷ 0.1936	0.1932÷ 0.1939	0.1934÷ 0.1939	0.1935÷ 0.1935	0.1935÷ 0.1937	0.1937÷ 0.1945

Fig. A6/21. Tables of fluctuation intervals of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for the set of all texts at each of levels of convolutions in the FGT-2 (upper table) and FGT-3 (bottom tables) in the case of the sequence: Mus musculus strain C57BL/6J chromosome Y, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J

NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_000087.7, LOCUS NC_000087 91744698
 bp DNA linear CON 22-JUN-2016 DEFINITION Mus musculus strain
 C57BL/6J chromosome Y, GRCm38.p4 C57BL/6J. ACCESSION NC_000087
 GPC_000000794 VERSION NC_000087.7,

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_000087.7. Here $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,
 $k \leq n$. Level 0 corresponds to the initial DNA-text with its different n-letter
 representations. Other levels in this table correspond to sets of all daughter texts
 at appropriate levels of positional convolutions in the FGT-2 and the FGT-3.

Chromo- somes	Fluctuations $P_n(A_k)$	Fluctuations $P_n(T_k)$	Fluctuations $P_n(C_k)$	Fluctuations $P_n(G_k)$
1	0.2945÷0.2946	0.2939÷0.2940	0.2057÷0.2058	0.2055÷0.2057
2	0.2892÷0.2894	0.2897÷0.2898	0.2102÷0.2103	0.2104÷0.2105
3	0.2973÷0.2974	0.2980÷0.2982	0.2019÷0.2021	0.2024÷0.2024
4	0.2881÷0.2882	0.2887÷0.2890	0.2113÷0.2114	0.2114÷0.2114
5	0.2872÷0.2872	0.2873÷0.2875	0.2125÷0.2126	0.2126÷0.2127
6	0.2927÷0.2928	0.2930÷0.2930	0.2071÷0.2072	0.2069÷0.2070
7	0.2837÷0.2840	0.2855÷0.2855	0.2152÷0.2153	0.2150÷0.2151
8	0.2883÷0.2884	0.2878÷0.2879	0.2118÷0.2119	0.2117÷0.2119
9	0.2865÷0.2866	0.2862÷0.2864	0.2135÷0.2135	0.2134÷0.2134
10	0.2925÷0.2926	0.2932÷0.2933	0.2067÷0.2069	0.2071÷0.2072
11	0.2812÷0.2814	0.2805÷0.2806	0.2191÷0.2191	0.2189÷0.2189
12	0.2898÷0.2899	0.2926÷0.2928	0.2083÷0.2085	0.2088÷0.2088

13	0.2924÷0.2925	0.2911÷0.2913	0.2080÷0.2081	0.2079÷0.2081
14	0.2939÷0.2939	0.2943÷0.2944	0.2055÷0.2057	0.2058÷0.206
15	0.2898÷0.2898	0.2904÷0.2907	0.2098÷0.2098	0.2096÷0.2096
16	0.2949÷0.2951	0.2954÷0.2956	0.2045÷0.2045	0.2047÷0.2047
17	0.2860÷0.2864	0.2867÷0.2867	0.2134÷0.2136	0.2133÷0.2133
18	0.2928÷0.2928	0.2925÷0.2926	0.2068÷0.2070	0.2074÷0.2076
19	0.2873÷0.2876	0.2850÷0.2852	0.2137÷0.2139	0.2132÷0.2133
X	0.3037÷0.3039	0.3036÷0.3036	0.1961÷0.1963	0.1962÷0.1963
Y	0.3045÷0.3045	0.3064÷0.3066	0.1948÷0.1948	0.1937÷0.1942

Fig. A6/22. The table of fluctuations of collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ for initial DNA-texts of all chromosomes of *Mus musculus*. These small fluctuations exist under changes of values n and k ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; $k \leq n$). The probabilities are shown in fractions of a unit.




Fig. A6/23. The graphic representation of average values of probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ in percentage for DNA-texts of chromosomes of *Mus Musculus*. The abscissa axis contains denotations of chromosomes, and the ordinate axis contains average values of these probabilities in percent. The symbol of a blue diamond corresponds the average values of $P_n(A_k) \approx P_n(T_k)$, and the symbol of a red square corresponds the average values of $P_n(C_k) \approx P_n(G_k)$.

Appendix 7. Confirmations of model predictions on symmetries of collective probabilities for 4^s -groups of n -plets in long DNA-texts

This Appendix represents a few initial confirmations of our model predictions made above in the end of the Section 5. These confirmations were received in the result of analysis of the single DNA-sequence with one million letters in it (this sequence was already analysed above for the table in Fig. 4). For this sequence, the table in Fig. A7/1 shows collective probabilities of 4^2 -groups, each of which contains subgroups defined by one of 16 doublets. Denotations in the table are similar for denotations used above. For example, $P_2(CC_1)$ means the individual probability of the doublet CC in this sequence; $P_3(CC_1)$ means the collective probability of the CC-subgroup of 4 triplets CCC, CCT, CCG and CCA with the doublet CC in their beginnings; $P_4(CC_3)$ means the

collective probability of the CC-subgroup of 16 tetraplets (like AACC, ATCC, TGCC, etc.) with the same doublet CC in their ends.

One can see from the table in Fig. A7/1 that, for example, $P_3(AA_1) \approx P_3(AA_2) \approx P_4(AA_1) \approx P_4(AA_2) \approx P_4(AA_3)$. These approximate equalities correspond to the first and the second rules of tetra-group symmetries, which were described in the Section 3 for another case, that is for “4-groups of the first degree”: C-subgroups, T-subgroups, G-subgroups and A-subgroups (see Figs. 1, 2). Data of the table in Fig. A7/1 testify in favor that – in line with our model prediction – similar rules of symmetries of collective probabilities are also fulfilled for 4²-groups («tetra-groups of the second degree»), subgroups of which are defined by 16 doublets: CC-subgroups, TG-subgroups, etc.

$P_2(AA)=0,10501$	$P_3(AA_1)=0,10541$	$P_4(AA_1)=0,10462$
	$P_3(AA_2)=0,10442$	$P_4(AA_2)=0,10458$
		$P_4(AA_3)=0,10495$
$P_2(AC)=0,04687$	$P_3(AC_1)=0,04675$	$P_4(AC_1)=0,0469$
	$P_3(AC_2)=0,04714$	$P_4(AC_2)=0,04667$
		$P_4(AC_3)=0,04676$
$P_2(AG)=0,06300$	$P_3(AG_1)=0,06348$	$P_4(AG_1)=0,06327$
	$P_3(AG_2)=0,06382$	$P_4(AG_2)=0,06417$
		$P_4(AG_3)=0,06273$
$P_2(AT)=0,09242$	$P_3(AT_1)=0,09233$	$P_4(AT_1)=0,09264$
	$P_3(AT_2)=0,09241$	$P_4(AT_2)=0,09344$
		$P_4(AT_3)=0,09220$
$P_2(CA)=0,065442$	$P_3(CA_1)=0,06527$	$P_4(CA_1)=0,06568$
	$P_3(CA_2)=0,06551$	$P_4(CA_2)=0,06572$
		$P_4(CA_3)=0,06520$
$P_2(CC)=0,03821$	$P_3(CC_1)=0,03852$	$P_4(CC_1)=0,03814$
	$P_3(CC_2)=0,03852$	$P_4(CC_2)=0,03834$
		$P_4(CC_3)=0,03827$
$P_2(CG_1)=0,00560$	$P_3(CG_1)=0,00584$	$P_4(CG_1)=0,00569$
	$P_3(CG_2)=0,00542$	$P_4(CG_2)=0,00536$
		$P_4(CG_3)=0,00551$
$P_2(CT)=0,067066$	$P_3(CT_1)=0,067041$	$P_4(CT_1)=0,06721$
	$P_3(CT_2)=0,06762$	$P_4(CT_2)=0,067244$
		$P_4(CT_3)=0,06692$

$P_2(GA)=0,05575$	$P_3(GA_1)=0,05562$	$P_4(GA_1)=0,05600$
	$P_3(GA_2)=0,05548$	$P_4(GA_2)=0,05490$
		$P_4(GA_3)=0,05550$
$P_2(GC)=0,03341$	$P_3(GC_1)=0,03282$	$P_4(GC_1)=0,03342$
	$P_3(GC_2)=0,03356$	$P_4(GC_2)=0,03279$
		$P_4(GC_3)=0,03340$
$P_2(GG)=0,03962$	$P_3(GG_1)=0,03932$	$P_4(GG_1)=0,03890$
	$P_3(GG_2)=0,03951$	$P_4(GG_2)=0,03924$
		$P_4(GG_3)=0,04034$
$P_2(GT)=0,05256$	$P_3(GT_1)=0,05277$	$P_4(GT_1)=0,05263$
	$P_3(GT_2)=0,05224$	$P_4(GT_2)=0,05184$
		$P_4(GT_3)=0,05250$
$P_2(TA)=0,08153$	$P_3(TA_1)=0,08149$	$P_4(TA_1)=0,08211$
	$P_3(TA_2)=0,08140$	$P_4(TA_2)=0,08144$
		$P_4(TA_3)=0,08094$
$P_2(TC)=0,05858$	$P_3(TC_1)=0,05834$	$P_4(TC_1)=0,05814$
	$P_3(TC_2)=0,05836$	$P_4(TC_2)=0,05810$
		$P_4(TC_3)=0,05902$
$P_2(TG)=0,07196$	$P_3(TG_1)=0,07215$	$P_4(TG_1)=0,07157$
	$P_3(TG_2)=0,07222$	$P_4(TG_2)=0,07298$
		$P_4(TG_3)=0,07234$
$P_2(TT)=0,12297$	$P_3(TT_1)=0,12284$	$P_4(TT_1)=0,12254$
	$P_3(TT_2)=0,12299$	$P_4(TT_2)=0,12250$
		$P_4(TT_3)=0,12339$

Fig. A7/1. Analysis of the collective probabilities of 4²-groups of n-plets in the Homo sapiens chromosome 7 sequence, 1000000 bp, encode region ENm012, accession NT_086368, version NT_086368.3, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NT_086368.3. Each of 4²-groups contains subgroups defined by one of 16 doublets. There are shown the individual probabilities of each of 16 doublets (like P₂(AA), etc.) and also collective probabilities for those sets of triplets and 4-plets (like P₃(AA₁), P₄(AA₃), etc.), where each of 16 doublets plays the decisive attributive role, taking its position in the beginning or in the middle or in the end of these n-plets (n=3, 4). See additional explanations in the text.

The table in Fig. A7/2 shows collective probabilities for the case of 4³-groups, each of which contains subgroups defined by one of 64 triplets. In this table the denotation P₃(CCC) means the individual probability of the triplet CCC in the considered sequence; P₄(CCC₁) means the collective probability of the CCC-subgroup of 4 tetraplets CCCA, CCCT, CCCG and CCCC with the triplet CCC in their beginnings; P₄(CCC₃) means the collective probability of the CCC-subgroup of 16 tetraplets with the same triplet CCC in their ends (like ACCC, TCCC, GCCC, CCCC), etc. One can see from the table in Fig. A7/2 that, for example, P₃(AAA)≈P₄(AAA₁). Data of the table in Fig. A7/1 testify in favor that – in line with the prediction of our model in the Section 5 - similar rules of symmetries of collective probabilities are also fulfilled for 4³-groups («tetra-groups of the third

degree»), subgroups of which are defined by 64 triplets: CCC-subgroups, TGA-subgroups, etc.

TRIPLETS	4-PLETS	TRIPLETS	4-PLETS
P ₃ (AAA)=0,04086	P ₄ (AAA ₁)=0,04049	P ₃ (TAA)=0,02588	P ₄ (TAA ₁)=0,02604
P ₃ (AAC)=0,01459	P ₄ (AAC ₁)=0,01439	P ₃ (TAC)=0,01277	P ₄ (TAC ₁)=0,01276
P ₃ (AAG)=0,01961	P ₄ (AAG ₁)=0,01958	P ₃ (TAG)=0,01507	P ₄ (TAG ₁)=0,01489
P ₃ (AAT)=0,03036	P ₄ (AAT ₁)=0,03062	P ₃ (TAT)=0,02777	P ₄ (TAT ₁)=0,02841
P ₃ (ACA)=0,01949	P ₄ (ACA ₁)=0,01958	P ₃ (TCA)=0,01999	P ₄ (TCA ₁)=0,01970
P ₃ (ACC)=0,00886	P ₄ (ACC ₁)=0,00896	P ₃ (TCC)=0,01286	P ₄ (TCC ₁)=0,01295
P ₃ (ACG)=0,00157	P ₄ (ACG ₁)=0,00155	P ₃ (TCG)=0,00145	P ₄ (TCG ₁)=0,00148
P ₃ (ACT)=0,01683	P ₄ (ACT ₁)=0,01689	P ₃ (TCT)=0,02404	P ₄ (TCT ₁)=0,02400
P ₃ (AGA)=0,02103	P ₄ (AGA ₁)=0,02077	P ₃ (TGA)=0,02031	P ₄ (TGA ₁)=0,02016
P ₃ (AGC)=0,01136	P ₄ (AGC ₁)=0,01114	P ₃ (TGC)=0,01327	P ₄ (TGC ₁)=0,01296
P ₃ (AGG)=0,01373	P ₄ (AGG ₁)=0,01384	P ₃ (TGG)=0,01590	P ₄ (TGG ₁)=0,01560
P ₃ (AGT)=0,01737	P ₄ (AGT ₁)=0,01752	P ₃ (TGT)=0,02268	P ₄ (TGT ₁)=0,02286
P ₃ (ATA)=0,02617	P ₄ (ATA ₁)=0,02595	P ₃ (TTA)=0,02783	P ₄ (TTA ₁)=0,02801
P ₃ (ATC)=0,01365	P ₄ (ATC ₁)=0,01370	P ₃ (TTC)=0,02259	P ₄ (TTC ₁)=0,02235
P ₃ (ATG)=0,01988	P ₄ (ATG ₁)=0,02024	P ₃ (TTG)=0,02225	P ₄ (TTG ₁)=0,02220
P ₃ (ATT)=0,03264	P ₄ (ATT ₁)=0,03275	P ₃ (TTT)=0,05017	P ₄ (TTT ₁)=0,05000
P ₃ (CAA)=0,01751	P ₄ (CAA ₁)=0,01786	P ₃ (GAA)=0,02018	P ₄ (GAA ₁)=0,02019
P ₃ (CAC)=0,01183	P ₄ (CAC ₁)=0,01174	P ₃ (GAC)=0,00796	P ₄ (GAC ₁)=0,00778
P ₃ (CAG)=0,01580	P ₄ (CAG ₁)=0,01610	P ₃ (GAG)=0,01334	P ₄ (GAG ₁)=0,01360
P ₃ (CAT)=0,02014	P ₄ (CAT ₁)=0,01998	P ₃ (GAT)=0,01415	P ₄ (GAT ₁)=0,01444
P ₃ (CCA)=0,01402	P ₄ (CCA ₁)=0,01427	P ₃ (GCA)=0,01201	P ₄ (GCA ₁)=0,01218
P ₃ (CCC)=0,00861	P ₄ (CCC ₁)=0,00850	P ₃ (GCC)=0,00756	P ₄ (GCC ₁)=0,00792
P ₃ (CCG)=0,00129	P ₄ (CCG ₁)=0,00117	P ₃ (GCG)=0,00111	P ₄ (GCG ₁)=0,00116
P ₃ (CCT)=0,01460	P ₄ (CCT ₁)=0,01420	P ₃ (GCT)=0,01214	P ₄ (GCT ₁)=0,01216
P ₃ (CGA)=0,00152	P ₄ (CGA ₁)=0,00147	P ₃ (GGA)=0,01262	P ₄ (GGA ₁)=0,01250
P ₃ (CGC)=0,00120	P ₄ (CGC ₁)=0,00108	P ₃ (GGC)=0,00775	P ₄ (GGC ₁)=0,00762
P ₃ (CGG)=0,00126	P ₄ (CGG ₁)=0,00120	P ₃ (GGG)=0,00863	P ₄ (GGG ₁)=0,00860
P ₃ (CGT)=0,00187	P ₄ (CGT ₁)=0,00193	P ₃ (GGT)=0,01033	P ₄ (GGT ₁)=0,01019
P ₃ (CTA)=0,01412	P ₄ (CTA ₁)=0,01425	P ₃ (GTA)=0,01328	P ₄ (GTA ₁)=0,01323
P ₃ (CTC)=0,01356	P ₄ (CTC ₁)=0,01353	P ₃ (GTC)=0,00856	P ₄ (GTC ₁)=0,00852
P ₃ (CTG)=0,01682	P ₄ (CTG ₁)=0,01704	P ₃ (GTG)=0,01328	P ₄ (GTG ₁)=0,01350
P ₃ (CTT)=0,02254	P ₄ (CTT ₁)=0,02239	P ₃ (GTT)=0,01766	P ₄ (GTT ₁)=0,01738

Fig. A7/2. Analysis of the collective probabilities of 4³-groups of n-plets in the Homo sapiens chromosome 7 sequence, 1000000 bp, encode region ENm012, accession NT_086368, version NT_086368.3, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NT_086368.3. Each of 4³-groups contains subgroups defined by one of 64 triplets. There are shown the individual probabilities of each of 64 triplets (like P₃(AAA), etc.) and also collective probabilities for those sets of 4-plets (like P₄(AAA₁), etc.), where each of 64 triplets plays the decisive attributive role, taking its position in the beginning of these 4-plets. See additional explanations in the text.

Appendix 8. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in genomes of microorganisms living in extreme environments

The <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Extremophile> website contains a table of microorganisms living under extreme conditions of high and low temperatures, radiation, acidic and alkaline environments, and drying. For the test, the author used 1-2 organisms from each category of the table. The following data were received on the collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ of all members of the corresponding tetra-groups of n-plets (or tetra-alphabets of n-plets) ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; $k \leq n$) in the genomes of these organisms (the initial data on the genomes were taken from GenBank).

Ranges of fluctuations of collective probabilities for different positions in n-plets							
$P_n(A_k)$	0.2239÷ 0.227	$P_n(T_k)$	0.2242÷ 0.2267	$P_n(C_k)$	0.2725÷ 0.2764	$P_n(G_k)$	0.2739÷ 0.2761

Detailed data on collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; $k \leq n$) for each position in n-plets:

NUCLEOTIDES		DOUBLETS		TRIPLETS		4-PLETS		5-PLETS	
$P_1(A_1)=$	0.2256	$P_2(A_1)=$	0.2258	$P_3(A_1)=$	0.226	$P_4(A_1)=$	0.2256	$P_5(A_1)=$	0.2259
$P_1(T_1)=$	0.2254	$P_2(T_1)=$	0.2255	$P_3(T_1)=$	0.2252	$P_4(T_1)=$	0.2256	$P_5(T_1)=$	0.2257
$P_1(C_1)=$	0.274	$P_2(C_1)=$	0.274	$P_3(C_1)=$	0.273	$P_4(C_1)=$	0.2745	$P_5(C_1)=$	0.2739
$P_1(G_1)=$	0.2751	$P_2(G_1)=$	0.2747	$P_3(G_1)=$	0.2759	$P_4(G_1)=$	0.2743	$P_5(G_1)=$	0.2745
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0.2254	$P_3(A_2)=$	0.2269	$P_4(A_2)=$	0.2258	$P_5(A_2)=$	0.2255
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0.2252	$P_3(T_2)=$	0.2267	$P_4(T_2)=$	0.226	$P_5(T_2)=$	0.2247
		$P_2(C_2)=$	0.274	$P_3(C_2)=$	0.2725	$P_4(C_2)=$	0.2736	$P_5(C_2)=$	0.2746
		$P_2(G_2)=$	0.2754	$P_3(G_2)=$	0.2739	$P_4(G_2)=$	0.2747	$P_5(G_2)=$	0.2752
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0.2239	$P_4(A_3)=$	0.226	$P_5(A_3)=$	0.227
				$P_3(T_3)=$	0.2242	$P_4(T_3)=$	0.2254	$P_5(T_3)=$	0.2254
				$P_3(C_3)=$	0.2764	$P_4(C_3)=$	0.2735	$P_5(C_3)=$	0.2729
				$P_3(G_3)=$	0.2754	$P_4(G_3)=$	0.2752	$P_5(G_3)=$	0.2747
						$P_4(A_4)=$	0.2251	$P_5(A_4)=$	0.2242
						$P_4(T_4)=$	0.2245	$P_5(T_4)=$	0.2267
						$P_4(C_4)=$	0.2743	$P_5(C_4)=$	0.274
						$P_4(G_4)=$	0.2761	$P_5(G_4)=$	0.2751
								$P_5(A_5)=$	0.2253
								$P_5(T_5)=$	0.2244
								$P_5(C_5)=$	0.2745
								$P_5(G_5)=$	0.2759

Fig. 8/1. *Pyrolobus fumarii* 1A, complete genome, 1843267 bp ([Submarine hydrothermal vents](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide/NC_015931.1)), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide/NC_015931.1

Ranges of fluctuations of collective probabilities for different positions in n-plets							
$P_n(A_k)$	$P_n(A_k)$	$P_n(T_k)$	0.2947÷ 0.297	$P_n(C_k)$	0.2008÷ 0.2081	$P_n(G_k)$	0.2024÷ 0.206

Detailed data on collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; $k \leq n$) for each position in n-plets:

NUCLEOTIDES		DOUBLETS		TRIPLETS		4-PLETS		5-PLETS	
$P_1(A_1)=$	0.2962	$P_2(A_1)=$	0.2969	$P_3(A_1)=$	0.2988	$P_4(A_1)=$	0.296	$P_5(A_1)=$	0.2964
$P_1(T_1)=$	0.2961	$P_2(T_1)=$	0.2955	$P_3(T_1)=$	0.297	$P_4(T_1)=$	0.2956	$P_5(T_1)=$	0.2963
$P_1(C_1)=$	0.2037	$P_2(C_1)=$	0.2035	$P_3(C_1)=$	0.2008	$P_4(C_1)=$	0.2041	$P_5(C_1)=$	0.2037
$P_1(G_1)=$	0.204	$P_2(G_1)=$	0.2042	$P_3(G_1)=$	0.2034	$P_4(G_1)=$	0.2042	$P_5(G_1)=$	0.2035
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0.2954	$P_3(A_2)=$	0.2968	$P_4(A_2)=$	0.2951	$P_5(A_2)=$	0.2962
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0.2968	$P_3(T_2)=$	0.2947	$P_4(T_2)=$	0.297	$P_5(T_2)=$	0.296
		$P_2(C_2)=$	0.2038	$P_3(C_2)=$	0.2021	$P_4(C_2)=$	0.2042	$P_5(C_2)=$	0.2041
		$P_2(G_2)=$	0.2039	$P_3(G_2)=$	0.2064	$P_4(G_2)=$	0.2038	$P_5(G_2)=$	0.2037
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0.2929	$P_4(A_3)=$	0.2978	$P_5(A_3)=$	0.2965
				$P_3(T_3)=$	0.2967	$P_4(T_3)=$	0.2953	$P_5(T_3)=$	0.2957
				$P_3(C_3)=$	0.2081	$P_4(C_3)=$	0.2028	$P_5(C_3)=$	0.2038
				$P_3(G_3)=$	0.2024	$P_4(G_3)=$	0.2041	$P_5(G_3)=$	0.204
						$P_4(A_4)=$	0.2958	$P_5(A_4)=$	0.2962
						$P_4(T_4)=$	0.2967	$P_5(T_4)=$	0.2958
						$P_4(C_4)=$	0.2035	$P_5(C_4)=$	0.2034
						$P_4(G_4)=$	0.2041	$P_5(G_4)=$	0.2046
								$P_5(A_5)=$	0.2955
								$P_5(T_5)=$	0.2969
								$P_5(C_5)=$	0.2031
								$P_5(G_5)=$	0.204

Fig. 8/2. *Pyrococcus furiosus* DSM 3638, complete genome, 1908256 bp ([Submarine hydrothermal vents](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide/NC_003413.1)), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide/NC_003413.1

Ranges of fluctuations of collective probabilities for different positions in n-plets							
$P_n(A_k)$	0.2315÷ 0.2343	$P_n(T_k)$	0.2311÷ 0.2329	$P_n(C_k)$	0.2648÷ 0.2694	$P_n(G_k)$	0.2662÷ 0.2684

Detailed data on collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; $k \leq n$) for each position in n-plets:

NUCLEOTIDES		DOUBLETS		TRIPLETS		4-PLETS		5-PLETS	
$P_1(A_1)=$	0.2326	$P_2(A_1)=$	0.2325	$P_3(A_1)=$	0.232	$P_4(A_1)=$	0.2329	$P_5(A_1)=$	0.232
$P_1(T_1)=$	0.2323	$P_2(T_1)=$	0.2328	$P_3(T_1)=$	0.2311	$P_4(T_1)=$	0.2327	$P_5(T_1)=$	0.2319
$P_1(C_1)=$	0.2678	$P_2(C_1)=$	0.2677	$P_3(C_1)=$	0.2692	$P_4(C_1)=$	0.2675	$P_5(C_1)=$	0.2681
$P_1(G_1)=$	0.2673	$P_2(G_1)=$	0.2671	$P_3(G_1)=$	0.2677	$P_4(G_1)=$	0.2669	$P_5(G_1)=$	0.268
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0.2327	$P_3(A_2)=$	0.2343	$P_4(A_2)=$	0.2334	$P_5(A_2)=$	0.2331
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0.2317	$P_3(T_2)=$	0.2329	$P_4(T_2)=$	0.2322	$P_5(T_2)=$	0.2317
		$P_2(C_2)=$	0.2679	$P_3(C_2)=$	0.2648	$P_4(C_2)=$	0.2672	$P_5(C_2)=$	0.2682
		$P_2(G_2)=$	0.2676	$P_3(G_2)=$	0.268	$P_4(G_2)=$	0.2671	$P_5(G_2)=$	0.267
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0.2315	$P_4(A_3)=$	0.232	$P_5(A_3)=$	0.2325
				$P_3(T_3)=$	0.2327	$P_4(T_3)=$	0.2329	$P_5(T_3)=$	0.2325
				$P_3(C_3)=$	0.2694	$P_4(C_3)=$	0.2679	$P_5(C_3)=$	0.2679
				$P_3(G_3)=$	0.2663	$P_4(G_3)=$	0.2672	$P_5(G_3)=$	0.2671
						$P_4(A_4)=$	0.232	$P_5(A_4)=$	0.232
						$P_4(T_4)=$	0.2312	$P_5(T_4)=$	0.2323
						$P_4(C_4)=$	0.2686	$P_5(C_4)=$	0.2673
						$P_4(G_4)=$	0.2681	$P_5(G_4)=$	0.2684
								$P_5(A_5)=$	0.2334
								$P_5(T_5)=$	0.2329
								$P_5(C_5)=$	0.2675
								$P_5(G_5)=$	0.2662

Fig. 8/3. *Synechococcus lividus* PCC 6715 chromosome, complete genome, 2659739 bp (low temperature conditions), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NZ_CP018092.1)

Ranges of fluctuations of collective probabilities for different positions in n-plets							
$P_n(A_k)$	0.2845÷ 0.2869	$P_n(T_k)$	0.2846÷ 0.2866	$P_n(C_k)$	0.2129÷ 0.2159	$P_n(G_k)$	0.213÷ 0.2157

Detailed data on collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; $k \leq n$) for each position in n-plets:

NUCLEOTIDES		DOUBLETS		TRIPLETS		4-PLETS		5-PLETS	
$P_1(A_1)=$	0.2855	$P_2(A_1)=$	0.2854	$P_3(A_1)=$	0.2845	$P_4(A_1)=$	0.2857	$P_5(A_1)=$	0.285
$P_1(T_1)=$	0.2858	$P_2(T_1)=$	0.2858	$P_3(T_1)=$	0.2866	$P_4(T_1)=$	0.2854	$P_5(T_1)=$	0.2849
$P_1(C_1)=$	0.2146	$P_2(C_1)=$	0.2148	$P_3(C_1)=$	0.2159	$P_4(C_1)=$	0.2151	$P_5(C_1)=$	0.2156
$P_1(G_1)=$	0.2142	$P_2(G_1)=$	0.214	$P_3(G_1)=$	0.213	$P_4(G_1)=$	0.2138	$P_5(G_1)=$	0.2145
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0.2856	$P_3(A_2)=$	0.2851	$P_4(A_2)=$	0.286	$P_5(A_2)=$	0.2854
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0.2857	$P_3(T_2)=$	0.2861	$P_4(T_2)=$	0.2859	$P_5(T_2)=$	0.2859
		$P_2(C_2)=$	0.2144	$P_3(C_2)=$	0.215	$P_4(C_2)=$	0.2141	$P_5(C_2)=$	0.2145
		$P_2(G_2)=$	0.2144	$P_3(G_2)=$	0.2139	$P_4(G_2)=$	0.214	$P_5(G_2)=$	0.2143
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0.2869	$P_4(A_3)=$	0.2851	$P_5(A_3)=$	0.286
				$P_3(T_3)=$	0.2846	$P_4(T_3)=$	0.2862	$P_5(T_3)=$	0.2857
				$P_3(C_3)=$	0.2129	$P_4(C_3)=$	0.2146	$P_5(C_3)=$	0.2144
				$P_3(G_3)=$	0.2157	$P_4(G_3)=$	0.2141	$P_5(G_3)=$	0.2139
						$P_4(A_4)=$	0.2851	$P_5(A_4)=$	0.2859
						$P_4(T_4)=$	0.2856	$P_5(T_4)=$	0.286
						$P_4(C_4)=$	0.2147	$P_5(C_4)=$	0.2141
						$P_4(G_4)=$	0.2147	$P_5(G_4)=$	0.214
								$P_5(A_5)=$	0.2851
								$P_5(T_5)=$	0.2864
								$P_5(C_5)=$	0.2144
								$P_5(G_5)=$	0.2141

Fig. 8/4. *Psychrobacter alimentarius* strain PAMC 27889 chromosome, complete genome, 3332539 bp ([Soda lakes](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide/CP014945.1)), <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide/CP014945.1>

Ranges of fluctuations of collective probabilities for different positions in n-plets							
$P_n(A_k)$	0.3485÷ 0.3565	$P_n(T_k)$	0.3455÷ 0.348	$P_n(C_k)$	0.1434÷ 0.1495	$P_n(G_k)$	0.1522÷ 0.1564

Detailed data on collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; $k \leq n$) for each position in n-plets:

NUCLEOTIDES		DOUBLETS		TRIPLETS		4-PLETS		5-PLETS	
$P_1(A_1)=$	0.3521	$P_2(A_1)=$	0.3522	$P_3(A_1)=$	0.3515	$P_4(A_1)=$	0.3525	$P_5(A_1)=$	0.3525
$P_1(T_1)=$	0.347	$P_2(T_1)=$	0.3466	$P_3(T_1)=$	0.3475	$P_4(T_1)=$	0.3458	$P_5(T_1)=$	0.3469
$P_1(C_1)=$	0.1473	$P_2(C_1)=$	0.1477	$P_3(C_1)=$	0.1489	$P_4(C_1)=$	0.1481	$P_5(C_1)=$	0.148
$P_1(G_1)=$	0.1536	$P_2(G_1)=$	0.1535	$P_3(G_1)=$	0.1522	$P_4(G_1)=$	0.1537	$P_5(G_1)=$	0.1525
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0.3521	$P_3(A_2)=$	0.3565	$P_4(A_2)=$	0.3524	$P_5(A_2)=$	0.3525
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0.3474	$P_3(T_2)=$	0.348	$P_4(T_2)=$	0.3471	$P_5(T_2)=$	0.3458
		$P_2(C_2)=$	0.1468	$P_3(C_2)=$	0.1434	$P_4(C_2)=$	0.147	$P_5(C_2)=$	0.1471
		$P_2(G_2)=$	0.1537	$P_3(G_2)=$	0.1522	$P_4(G_2)=$	0.1535	$P_5(G_2)=$	0.1546
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0.3485	$P_4(A_3)=$	0.3519	$P_5(A_3)=$	0.353
				$P_3(T_3)=$	0.3455	$P_4(T_3)=$	0.3475	$P_5(T_3)=$	0.3475
				$P_3(C_3)=$	0.1495	$P_4(C_3)=$	0.1473	$P_5(C_3)=$	0.1466
				$P_3(G_3)=$	0.1564	$P_4(G_3)=$	0.1534	$P_5(G_3)=$	0.1529
						$P_4(A_4)=$	0.3518	$P_5(A_4)=$	0.3516
						$P_4(T_4)=$	0.3476	$P_5(T_4)=$	0.3471
						$P_4(C_4)=$	0.1467	$P_5(C_4)=$	0.1472
						$P_4(G_4)=$	0.1539	$P_5(G_4)=$	0.1541
								$P_5(A_5)=$	0.3511
								$P_5(T_5)=$	0.3477
								$P_5(C_5)=$	0.1473
								$P_5(G_5)=$	0.1539

Fig. 8/5. *Clostridium paradoxum* JW-YL-7 = DSM 7308 strain JW-YL-7 ctg1, whole genome shotgun sequence, 1855173 bp (Volcanic springs, acid mine drainage), <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/LSFY01000001.1>

Ranges of fluctuations of collective probabilities for different positions in n-plets							
$P_n(A_k)$	0.1624÷ 0.166	$P_n(T_k)$	0.1622÷ 0.1674	$P_n(C_k)$	0.3341÷ 0.3379	$P_n(G_k)$	0.3325÷ 0.3375

Detailed data on collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; $k \leq n$) for each position in n-plets:

NUCLEOTIDES		DOUBLETS		TRIPLETS		4-PLETS		5-PLETS	
$P_1(A_1)=$	0.1648	$P_2(A_1)=$	0.1644	$P_3(A_1)=$	0.1624	$P_4(A_1)=$	0.1638	$P_5(A_1)=$	0.1653
$P_1(T_1)=$	0.1651	$P_2(T_1)=$	0.165	$P_3(T_1)=$	0.1622	$P_4(T_1)=$	0.165	$P_5(T_1)=$	0.1652
$P_1(C_1)=$	0.3354	$P_2(C_1)=$	0.3357	$P_3(C_1)=$	0.3379	$P_4(C_1)=$	0.3365	$P_5(C_1)=$	0.335
$P_1(G_1)=$	0.3347	$P_2(G_1)=$	0.335	$P_3(G_1)=$	0.3375	$P_4(G_1)=$	0.3347	$P_5(G_1)=$	0.3344
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0.1653	$P_3(A_2)=$	0.166	$P_4(A_2)=$	0.1654	$P_5(A_2)=$	0.1647
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0.1652	$P_3(T_2)=$	0.1656	$P_4(T_2)=$	0.1656	$P_5(T_2)=$	0.1646
		$P_2(C_2)=$	0.3351	$P_3(C_2)=$	0.3342	$P_4(C_2)=$	0.3348	$P_5(C_2)=$	0.336
		$P_2(G_2)=$	0.3344	$P_3(G_2)=$	0.3341	$P_4(G_2)=$	0.3343	$P_5(G_2)=$	0.3347
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0.166	$P_4(A_3)=$	0.1649	$P_5(A_3)=$	0.1648
				$P_3(T_3)=$	0.1674	$P_4(T_3)=$	0.1649	$P_5(T_3)=$	0.1649
				$P_3(C_3)=$	0.3341	$P_4(C_3)=$	0.3349	$P_5(C_3)=$	0.3354
				$P_3(G_3)=$	0.3325	$P_4(G_3)=$	0.3352	$P_5(G_3)=$	0.3349
						$P_4(A_4)=$	0.1651	$P_5(A_4)=$	0.1648
						$P_4(T_4)=$	0.1648	$P_5(T_4)=$	0.1656
						$P_4(C_4)=$	0.3355	$P_5(C_4)=$	0.335
						$P_4(G_4)=$	0.3346	$P_5(G_4)=$	0.3346
								$P_5(A_5)=$	0.1645
								$P_5(T_5)=$	0.165
								$P_5(C_5)=$	0.3356
								$P_5(G_5)=$	0.3349

Fig. 8/6. *Deinococcus radiodurans* R1 chromosome 1, complete sequence, 2648638 bp, (Cosmic rays, X-rays, radioactive decay), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_001263.1

Ranges of fluctuations of collective probabilities for different positions in n-plets							
$P_n(A_k)$	0.158÷ 0.1623	$P_n(T_k)$	0.1583÷ 0.1636	$P_n(C_k)$	0.338÷ 0.3414	$P_n(G_k)$	0.3361÷ 0.3426

Detailed data on collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; $k \leq n$) for each position in n-plets:

NUCLEOTIDES		DOUBLETS		TRIPLETS		4-PLETS		5-PLETS	
$P_1(A_1)=$	0.1605	$P_2(A_1)=$	0.1609	$P_3(A_1)=$	0.1623	$P_4(A_1)=$	0.1614	$P_5(A_1)=$	0.1605
$P_1(T_1)=$	0.1603	$P_2(T_1)=$	0.1599	$P_3(T_1)=$	0.1636	$P_4(T_1)=$	0.1597	$P_5(T_1)=$	0.1601
$P_1(C_1)=$	0.3401	$P_2(C_1)=$	0.3405	$P_3(C_1)=$	0.338	$P_4(C_1)=$	0.341	$P_5(C_1)=$	0.3395
$P_1(G_1)=$	0.3391	$P_2(G_1)=$	0.3387	$P_3(G_1)=$	0.3361	$P_4(G_1)=$	0.3379	$P_5(G_1)=$	0.34
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0.1602	$P_3(A_2)=$	0.1612	$P_4(A_2)=$	0.1603	$P_5(A_2)=$	0.1608
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0.1607	$P_3(T_2)=$	0.1592	$P_4(T_2)=$	0.1605	$P_5(T_2)=$	0.1607
		$P_2(C_2)=$	0.3397	$P_3(C_2)=$	0.3411	$P_4(C_2)=$	0.3396	$P_5(C_2)=$	0.3402
		$P_2(G_2)=$	0.3394	$P_3(G_2)=$	0.3385	$P_4(G_2)=$	0.3396	$P_5(G_2)=$	0.3383
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0.158	$P_4(A_3)=$	0.1604	$P_5(A_3)=$	0.16
				$P_3(T_3)=$	0.1583	$P_4(T_3)=$	0.1602	$P_5(T_3)=$	0.161
				$P_3(C_3)=$	0.3411	$P_4(C_3)=$	0.3399	$P_5(C_3)=$	0.3398
				$P_3(G_3)=$	0.3426	$P_4(G_3)=$	0.3395	$P_5(G_3)=$	0.3393
						$P_4(A_4)=$	0.16	$P_5(A_4)=$	0.1604
						$P_4(T_4)=$	0.161	$P_5(T_4)=$	0.1603
						$P_4(C_4)=$	0.3398	$P_5(C_4)=$	0.3414
						$P_4(G_4)=$	0.3392	$P_5(G_4)=$	0.3379
								$P_5(A_5)=$	0.1609
								$P_5(T_5)=$	0.1597
								$P_5(C_5)=$	0.3396
								$P_5(G_5)=$	0.3398

Fig. 8/7_Halobacterium sp. NRC-1, complete genome, 2014239 bp (High salt concentration), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_002607.1

Ranges of fluctuations of collective probabilities for different positions in n-plets							
$P_n(A_k)$	0.2767÷ 0.2783	$P_n(T_k)$	0.2772÷ 0.279	$P_n(C_k)$	0.222÷ 0.223	$P_n(G_k)$	0.2205÷ 0.223

Detailed data on collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; $k \leq n$) for each position in n-plets:

NUCLEOTIDES		DOUBLETS		TRIPLETS		4-PLETS		5-PLETS	
$P_1(A_1)=$	0.2774	$P_2(A_1)=$	0.277	$P_3(A_1)=$	0.2773	$P_4(A_1)=$	0.277	$P_5(A_1)=$	0.2776
$P_1(T_1)=$	0.2782	$P_2(T_1)=$	0.2782	$P_3(T_1)=$	0.279	$P_4(T_1)=$	0.2781	$P_5(T_1)=$	0.2781
$P_1(C_1)=$	0.2226	$P_2(C_1)=$	0.2226	$P_3(C_1)=$	0.222	$P_4(C_1)=$	0.2224	$P_5(C_1)=$	0.2225
$P_1(G_1)=$	0.2218	$P_2(G_1)=$	0.2222	$P_3(G_1)=$	0.2217	$P_4(G_1)=$	0.2225	$P_5(G_1)=$	0.2217
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0.2779	$P_3(A_2)=$	0.2767	$P_4(A_2)=$	0.2777	$P_5(A_2)=$	0.2772
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0.2781	$P_3(T_2)=$	0.2772	$P_4(T_2)=$	0.2777	$P_5(T_2)=$	0.2784
		$P_2(C_2)=$	0.2225	$P_3(C_2)=$	0.2228	$P_4(C_2)=$	0.223	$P_5(C_2)=$	0.2226
		$P_2(G_2)=$	0.2215	$P_3(G_2)=$	0.2233	$P_4(G_2)=$	0.2216	$P_5(G_2)=$	0.2218
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0.2783	$P_4(A_3)=$	0.277	$P_5(A_3)=$	0.2774
				$P_3(T_3)=$	0.2783	$P_4(T_3)=$	0.2783	$P_5(T_3)=$	0.2781
				$P_3(C_3)=$	0.2229	$P_4(C_3)=$	0.2229	$P_5(C_3)=$	0.2223
				$P_3(G_3)=$	0.2205	$P_4(G_3)=$	0.2218	$P_5(G_3)=$	0.2222
						$P_4(A_4)=$	0.278	$P_5(A_4)=$	0.2776
						$P_4(T_4)=$	0.2785	$P_5(T_4)=$	0.2781
						$P_4(C_4)=$	0.222	$P_5(C_4)=$	0.2227
						$P_4(G_4)=$	0.2214	$P_5(G_4)=$	0.2216
								$P_5(A_5)=$	0.2773
								$P_5(T_5)=$	0.2781
								$P_5(C_5)=$	0.2228
								$P_5(G_5)=$	0.2218

Fig. 8/8. *Chroococcidiopsis thermalis* PCC 7203, complete genome, 6315792 bp, (Desiccation), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucore/NC_019695.1

Appendix 9. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in genomes of ferns

This Appendix consists of data about 2 species of ferns analysed in our researches.

Ranges of fluctuations of collective probabilities for different positions in n-plets							
$P_n(A_k)$	0.2407÷ 0.2441	$P_n(T_k)$	0.242÷ 0.2433	$P_n(C_k)$	0.2527÷ 0.2591	$P_n(G_k)$	0.2566÷ 0.2621

Detailed data on collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; $k \leq n$) for each position in n-plets:

NUCLEOTIDES		DOUBLETS		TRIPLETS		4-PLETS		5-PLETS	
$P_1(A_1)=$	0.242	$P_2(A_1)=$	0.2417	$P_3(A_1)=$	0.241	$P_4(A_1)=$	0.2417	$P_5(A_1)=$	0.2422
$P_1(T_1)=$	0.2427	$P_2(T_1)=$	0.2431	$P_3(T_1)=$	0.2432	$P_4(T_1)=$	0.2429	$P_5(T_1)=$	0.2426
$P_1(C_1)=$	0.2556	$P_2(C_1)=$	0.2553	$P_3(C_1)=$	0.2591	$P_4(C_1)=$	0.2551	$P_5(C_1)=$	0.2558
$P_1(G_1)=$	0.2597	$P_2(G_1)=$	0.26	$P_3(G_1)=$	0.2566	$P_4(G_1)=$	0.2603	$P_5(G_1)=$	0.2594
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0.2424	$P_3(A_2)=$	0.241	$P_4(A_2)=$	0.2425	$P_5(A_2)=$	0.2429
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0.2423	$P_3(T_2)=$	0.242	$P_4(T_2)=$	0.2422	$P_5(T_2)=$	0.2423
		$P_2(C_2)=$	0.2559	$P_3(C_2)=$	0.2548	$P_4(C_2)=$	0.2555	$P_5(C_2)=$	0.2553
		$P_2(G_2)=$	0.2594	$P_3(G_2)=$	0.2621	$P_4(G_2)=$	0.2598	$P_5(G_2)=$	0.2594
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0.2441	$P_4(A_3)=$	0.2416	$P_5(A_3)=$	0.242
				$P_3(T_3)=$	0.2428	$P_4(T_3)=$	0.2433	$P_5(T_3)=$	0.2425
				$P_3(C_3)=$	0.2527	$P_4(C_3)=$	0.2554	$P_5(C_3)=$	0.255
				$P_3(G_3)=$	0.2604	$P_4(G_3)=$	0.2597	$P_5(G_3)=$	0.2605
						$P_4(A_4)=$	0.2424	$P_5(A_4)=$	0.2407
						$P_4(T_4)=$	0.2424	$P_5(T_4)=$	0.2431
						$P_4(C_4)=$	0.2562	$P_5(C_4)=$	0.256
						$P_4(G_4)=$	0.259	$P_5(G_4)=$	0.2602
								$P_5(A_5)=$	0.2424
								$P_5(T_5)=$	0.2429
								$P_5(C_5)=$	0.2557
								$P_5(G_5)=$	0.259

Fig. 9/1. *Neisseria meningitidis* MC58 chromosome, complete genome, 2272360 bp, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_003112.2.

Ranges of fluctuations of collective probabilities for different positions in n-plets							
$P_n(A_k)$	0.3071÷ 0.3089	$P_n(T_k)$	0.3067÷ 0.3083	$P_n(C_k)$	0.1912÷ 0.1928	$P_n(G_k)$	0.1918÷ 0.1929

Detailed data on collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$
($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; $k \leq n$) for each position in n-plets:

NUCLEOTIDES		DOUBLETS		TRIPLETS		4-PLETS		5-PLETS	
$P_1(A_1)=$	0.308	$P_2(A_1)=$	0.3077	$P_3(A_1)=$	0.3071	$P_4(A_1)=$	0.3078	$P_5(A_1)=$	0.3079
$P_1(T_1)=$	0.3075	$P_2(T_1)=$	0.3076	$P_3(T_1)=$	0.3072	$P_4(T_1)=$	0.3075	$P_5(T_1)=$	0.3073
$P_1(C_1)=$	0.1921	$P_2(C_1)=$	0.1924	$P_3(C_1)=$	0.1928	$P_4(C_1)=$	0.1923	$P_5(C_1)=$	0.1923
$P_1(G_1)=$	0.1924	$P_2(G_1)=$	0.1923	$P_3(G_1)=$	0.1929	$P_4(G_1)=$	0.1925	$P_5(G_1)=$	0.1925
		$P_2(A_2)=$	0.3083	$P_3(A_2)=$	0.308	$P_4(A_2)=$	0.3081	$P_5(A_2)=$	0.3078
		$P_2(T_2)=$	0.3074	$P_3(T_2)=$	0.3072	$P_4(T_2)=$	0.3071	$P_5(T_2)=$	0.3078
		$P_2(C_2)=$	0.1918	$P_3(C_2)=$	0.1922	$P_4(C_2)=$	0.192	$P_5(C_2)=$	0.1921
		$P_2(G_2)=$	0.1925	$P_3(G_2)=$	0.1925	$P_4(G_2)=$	0.1929	$P_5(G_2)=$	0.1923
				$P_3(A_3)=$	0.3089	$P_4(A_3)=$	0.3077	$P_5(A_3)=$	0.3083
				$P_3(T_3)=$	0.3081	$P_4(T_3)=$	0.3077	$P_5(T_3)=$	0.3067
				$P_3(C_3)=$	0.1912	$P_4(C_3)=$	0.1924	$P_5(C_3)=$	0.1925
				$P_3(G_3)=$	0.1918	$P_4(G_3)=$	0.1921	$P_5(G_3)=$	0.1924
						$P_4(A_4)=$	0.3085	$P_5(A_4)=$	0.3084
						$P_4(T_4)=$	0.3077	$P_5(T_4)=$	0.3075
						$P_4(C_4)=$	0.1916	$P_5(C_4)=$	0.1914
						$P_4(G_4)=$	0.1922	$P_5(G_4)=$	0.1927
								$P_5(A_5)=$	0.3076
								$P_5(T_5)=$	0.3083
								$P_5(C_5)=$	0.1921
								$P_5(G_5)=$	0.192

Fig. 9/2. 'Nostoc azollae' 0708, complete genome, 5354700 bp,
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP002059.1>

Appendix 10. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in genomes of a moss

This Appendix shows ranges of fluctuation of all 27 chromosomes of a moss *Physcomitrella patens* ecotype Gransden 2004, Phypa V3, whole genome shotgun sequence This moss is used as a model organism for studies on plant evolution, development, and physiology.

Chromosome	$P_n(A_k)$	$P_n(T_k)$	$P_n(C_k)$	$P_n(G_k)$
1	0.331÷0.3315	0.3311÷0.3317	0.1686÷0.1693	0.1683÷0.1688
2	0.3306÷0.3315	0.3321÷0.3333	0.1677÷0.1691	0.1675÷0.168
3	0.3306÷0.3313	0.3296÷0.3304	0.169÷0.1695	0.1695÷0.17
4	0.3315÷0.3322	0.3319÷0.3325	0.1683÷0.1687	0.1671÷0.1676
5	0.3322÷0.3327	0.3331÷0.3336	0.1668÷0.1672	0.1669÷0.1675
6	0.332÷0.3326	0.331÷0.3316	0.1683÷0.1689	0.1676÷0.1681
7	0.3309÷0.3318	0.3306÷0.3313	0.1683÷0.169	0.1689÷0.1696
8	0.3323÷0.3331	0.3324÷0.3329	0.1673÷0.1678	0.1667÷0.1674
9	0.3314÷0.3319	0.3309÷0.332	0.1678÷0.1685	0.1685÷0.1689
10	0.3322÷0.3331	0.3322÷0.333	0.1663÷0.1671	0.1675÷0.1684
11	0.3286÷0.329	0.33÷0.3307	0.171÷0.1714	0.1694÷0.1702
12	0.3306÷0.3314	0.3313÷0.3319	0.1681÷0.1688	0.1685÷0.1692
13	0.3319÷0.333	0.3328÷0.3334	0.1668÷0.1675	0.167÷0.1675
14	0.3319÷0.3326	0.3309÷0.3316	0.1673÷0.1678	0.1687÷0.1692
15	0.3308÷0.332	0.3323÷0.3331	0.1683÷0.1687	0.1672÷0.1678
16	0.3301÷0.3303	0.3297÷0.3306	0.1694÷0.1701	0.1696÷0.1703
17	0.3303÷0.3309	0.3302÷0.3307	0.1698÷0.1709	0.1686÷0.1694
18	0.3309÷0.3321	0.3319÷0.333	0.1677÷0.1683	0.1678÷0.1685
19	0.332÷0.333	0.3323÷0.333	0.1672÷0.1681	0.1669÷0.1674
20	0.3314÷0.332	0.3303÷0.3307	0.1693÷0.1698	0.1678÷0.1684
21	0.3308÷0.3319	0.331÷0.332	0.168÷0.1692	0.1679÷0.1694
22	0.33÷0.3314	0.3312÷0.3328	0.1685÷0.1696	0.1677÷0.1687
23	0.3307÷0.3312	0.3313÷0.3323	0.1691÷0.1698	0.1677÷0.1684
24	0.3304÷0.3314	0.3306÷0.3316	0.1683÷0.169	0.169÷0.1695
25	0.3335÷0.3347	0.333÷0.334	0.1653÷0.1666	0.1662÷0.1673
26	0.3303÷0.3313	0.3317÷0.3322	0.1685÷0.1692	0.1682÷0.1687
27	0.3305÷0.3321	0.3294÷0.3306	0.1687÷0.1708	0.1685÷0.1699

Fig. 10/1. Ranges of fluctuations of collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; $k \leq n$) for different positions in n-plets for all 27 chromosomes *Physcomitrella patens* ecotype Gransden 2004 (initial data - from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/?term=Physcomitrella+patens>).

Appendix 11. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in genomes of monocots

This Appendix shows ranges of fluctuations of the collective probabilities in all 11 chromosomes of a monocot *Musa acuminata*.

Chromosome	$P_n(A_k)$	$P_n(A_k)$	$P_n(A_k)$	$P_n(A_k)$
1	0.3065÷0.3074	0.3063÷0.3071	0.1929÷0.1933	0.193÷0.1936
2	0.3048÷0.3056	0.3029÷0.3034	0.1955÷0.1962	0.1954÷0.1962
3	0.3046÷0.3052	0.3035÷0.304	0.1955÷0.1962	0.195÷0.1956
4	0.3054÷0.3061	0.3081÷0.3086	0.1927÷0.1933	0.1926÷0.1931
5	0.3069÷0.3075	0.3055÷0.3063	0.1934÷0.1941	0.193÷0.1933

6	0.305÷ 0.3058	0.3051÷ 0.3056	0.1944÷ 0.195	0.1941÷ 0.1949
7	0.305÷ 0.3056	0.3045÷ 0.3053	0.1944÷ 0.195	0.1948÷ 0.1956
8	0.3058÷ 0.3065	0.3056÷ 0.3063	0.1937÷ 0.1941	0.1938÷ 0.1941
9	0.3073÷ 0.3076	0.3064÷ 0.3069	0.1929÷ 0.1931	0.1925÷ 0.1932
10	0.3045÷ 0.3049	0.3054÷ 0.3058	0.1947÷ 0.1953	0.1943÷ 0.1947
11	0.306÷ 0.3065	0.3046÷ 0.3049	0.1945÷ 0.1951	0.1939÷ 0.1944

Fig. 11/1. Ranges of fluctuations of collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; $k \leq n$) for different positions in n-plets for all 11 chromosomes of *Musa acuminata* (initial data were taken from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/10976>).

Appendix 12. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in genomes of shrubs

This Appendix shows ranges of fluctuations of collective probabilities in all 13 chromosomes of a shrub *Gossypium arboretum*.

Chromosome	$P_n(A_k)$	$P_n(A_k)$	$P_n(A_k)$	$P_n(A_k)$
1	0.2661÷ 0.2677	0.2634÷ 0.2655	0.2336÷ 0.2351	0.2339÷ 0.2355
2	0.265÷ 0.2666	0.2644÷ 0.2658	0.2341÷ 0.2358	0.233÷ 0.2342
3	0.2654÷ 0.2666	0.2658÷ 0.2675	0.234÷ 0.2356	0.2318÷ 0.2332
4	0.2638÷ 0.2652	0.2652÷ 0.2675	0.2345÷ 0.236	0.2331÷ 0.235
5	0.2647÷ 0.2667	0.2674÷ 0.2689	0.2341÷ 0.2354	0.2307÷ 0.2327
6	0.2658÷ 0.2676	0.2677÷ 0.2697	0.2311÷ 0.2331	0.231÷ 0.2331
7	0.2677÷ 0.2692	0.2666÷ 0.2689	0.2318÷ 0.2339	0.2299÷ 0.2322
8	0.268÷ 0.2703	0.2668÷ 0.2697	0.2298÷ 0.2324	0.2304÷ 0.2327
9	0.2665÷ 0.2687	0.2687÷ 0.2711	0.229÷ 0.2332	0.2294÷ 0.2324
10	0.2669÷ 0.2693	0.2671÷ 0.2722	0.2288÷ 0.2333	0.2294÷ 0.232
11	0.2681÷ 0.2709	0.2692÷ 0.2716	0.2284÷ 0.2305	0.2303÷ 0.2318
12	0.2697÷ 0.2738	0.2657÷ 0.2689	0.2287÷ 0.2332	0.2297÷ 0.2318
13	0.2685÷ 0.271	0.2675÷ 0.2706	0.229÷ 0.2314	0.2294÷ 0.2312

Fig. 12/1. Ranges of fluctuations of collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; $k \leq n$) for different positions in n-plets for all 13 chromosomes of *Gossypium arboretum* (initial data were taken from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/10948>).

Appendix 13. Symmetries of tetra-group probabilities in genomes of trees

This Appendix shows ranges of fluctuations of collective probabilities in all 10 chromosomes of a tree *Theobroma cacao*. Initial data about these chromosomes are given on the following 10 consecutive sites:

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CM001879.1>,
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CM001880.1>,
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CM001881.1>,
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CM001882.1>,
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CM001883.1>,
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CM001884.1>,
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CM001885.1>,
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CM001886.1>,
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CM001887.1>,
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CM001888.1>.

Chromosome	$P_n(A_k)$	$P_n(A_k)$	$P_n(A_k)$	$P_n(A_k)$
1	0.3295÷ 0.3299	0.3282÷ 0.3286	0.1708÷ 0.1712	0.1708÷ 0.1711
2	0.3288÷ 0.3291	0.3292÷ 0.3297	0.171÷ 0.1714	0.1702÷ 0.1706
3	0.3285÷ 0.3289	0.3291÷ 0.3295	0.1706÷ 0.171	0.1711÷ 0.1713
4	0.3289÷ 0.3292	0.3292÷ 0.3297	0.1703÷ 0.1706	0.1708÷ 0.1713
5	0.3305÷ 0.3312	0.3297÷ 0.3303	0.1695÷ 0.1702	0.1692÷ 0.1695
6	0.3296÷ 0.33	0.3291÷ 0.3294	0.1698÷ 0.1703	0.1707÷ 0.1711
7	0.3292÷ 0.3299	0.3295÷ 0.3301	0.1696÷ 0.1701	0.1706÷ 0.171
8	0.3278÷ 0.3286	0.3285÷ 0.3291	0.1715÷ 0.1719	0.1711÷ 0.1716
9	0.3293÷ 0.3299	0.331÷ 0.3313	0.1695÷ 0.1698	0.1693÷ 0.1698
10	0.3307÷ 0.3313	0.3309÷ 0.3314	0.1686÷ 0.1694	0.1687÷ 0.1693

Fig. 13/1. Ranges of fluctuations of collective probabilities $P_n(A_k)$, $P_n(T_k)$, $P_n(C_k)$ and $P_n(G_k)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; $k \leq n$) for different positions in n -plets for all 10 chromosomes of a tree *Theobroma cacao*.

Acknowledgments: Some results of this paper have been possible due to a long-term cooperation between Russian and Hungarian Academies of Sciences on the topic “Non-linear models and symmetrologic analysis in biomechanics, bioinformatics, and the theory of self-organizing systems”, where S.V. Petoukhov was a scientific chief from the Russian Academy of Sciences. The author is grateful to G. Darvas, I. Stepanyan and G. Tolokonnikov for their collaboration. A special thanks is to V. Svirin for his computer programs, which were made on the basis of the author’s technical tasks and descriptions, to study automatically long

DNA-sequences (initial author's results were obtained by a half-manually manner). The author notes with gratitude the support from the side of Z.B. Hu in carrying out this study. The author is very grateful to E. Fimmel, M. Gumbel, L. Strüngmann and A. Karpuzoglu for their selective verification and the confirmation of some of described results about tetra-groups symmetries by means of their independently created computer program and also for their fruitful discussion of the described results; it was done during the author's internship in autumn 2017 at the Institute of Mathematical Biology of the Mannheim University of Applied Sciences (Germany) on the basis of a scholarship provided by the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD).

REFERENCES

- Albrecht-Buehler G.** (2006) Asymptotically increasing compliance of genomes with Chargaff's second parity rules through inversions and inverted transpositions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. November 21; 103(47): 17828–17833.
- Albrecht-Buehler G.** (2007) Inversions and inverted transpositions as the basis for an almost universal “format” of genome sequences. *Genomics*, 90, 297–305.
- Baish J.W., Jain R.K.** (2000). Fractals and cancer. *Cancer Research*, 60, pp. 3683–3688, July 15 2000.
- Baisnee P-F., Hampson S., Baldi P.** (2002) Why are complementary DNA strands symmetric? – *Bioinformatics*, 18(8),1021-33.
- Bauer, E.S.** (1935). Theoretical Biology. VIEM, Moscow and Leningrad (in Russian)
- Bauer, E.S.** (1982). Theoretical Biology, with English translation. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1982).
- Bell, S. J., Forsdyke, D. R.** (1999) Deviations from Chargaff's second parity rule correlate with direction of transcription - *J. Theo. Bio.*, 197, 63-76.
- Bellman, R.** (1960) *Introduction to Matrix Analysis*. New-York: Mcgraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., 351 pp.
- Bizzarri M., Giuliani A., Cucina A., Anselmi F. D., Soto A. M., Sonnenschein C.** (2011). Fractal analysis in a Systems Biology approach to cancer. - *Semin Cancer Biol.* June ; 21(3): pp. 175–182. doi:10.1016/j.semcancer.2011.04.002.
- Caspani L., Reimer Ch., Kues M., Roztocki P., Clerici M., Wetzel B., Jestin Y., Ferrera M., Peccianti M., Pasquazi A., Razzari L., Little B.E., Sai Chu T., Moss D.J., Morandotti R.** (2016). Multifrequency sources of quantum correlated photon pairs on-chip: a path toward integrated Quantum Frequency Combs. – *Nanophotonics*, 5, p. 351–362 (doi:10.1515/nanoph-2016-0029), <http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/118888/>.
- Chargaff, E.** (1951). Structure and function of nucleic acids as cell constituents. - *Fed. Proc.*, 10, 654-659.
- Chargaff, E.** (1971). Preface to a Grammar of Biology: A hundred years of nucleic acid research. - *Science*, 172, 637-642
- Chargaff E.** (1975). A fever of reason. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, 44:1-20.
- Darvas G.** (2018). Petoukhov's rules on symmetries in long DNA-texts. *Symmetry: Culture and Science*, Vol. 29, Number 2, pp. 318-320, https://doi.org/10.26830/symmetry_2018_2_318, <http://journal-scs.symmetry.hu/abstract/?pid=673>.

- Dokukin M.E., Guz N.V., Woodworth C.D., Sokolov I.** (2015). Emergence of fractal geometry on the surface of human cervical epithelial cells during progression towards cancer. - *New J Phys.* 2015 Mar 10; 17(3). pii: 033019.
- Dong Q., Cuticchia A. J.** (2001). Compositional symmetries in complete genomes. *Bioinformatics*, 17, 557-559.
- Dragovich B., Dragovich A.** (2007). p-Adic Degeneracy of the Genetic Code. - <https://arxiv.org/abs/0707.0764> .
- Dragovich B., Khrennikov A., Misis N.Z.** (2017). Ultrametrics in the genetic code and the genome. - *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 309 (2017) 350–358.
- Fagan S., Gençay R.** (2010). "An introduction to textual econometrics", in *Ullah, Aman; Giles, David E. A. (eds.), Handbook of Empirical Economics and Finance*, CRC Press, pp. 133–153, (2010), [ISBN 9781420070361](https://doi.org/10.1080/07099516.2010.500000)
- Fickett J.W., Burks C.** (1989). Development of a database for nucleotide sequences. – In "Mathematical Methods for DNA Sequences" (Ed. Waterman M.S.), p. 1-34. Florida: CRC Press, Inc.
- Fimmel E., Danielli A., Strüngmann L.** (2013). On dichotomic classes and bijections of the genetic code. *J. Theor. Biol.*, 336, 221–230.
- Fimmel E., Giannerini, S., Gonzalez, D., Strüngmann, L.** (2014). Circular codes, symmetries and transformations. - *J. Math. Biol.*, 70, 1623–1644.
- Fimmel E., Strüngmann L.** (2016). Yury Borisovich Rumer and his 'biological papers' on the genetic code. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* 374: 20150228.
- Forsdyke D. R.** (1995). A stem-loop "kissing" model for the initiation of recombination and the origin of introns. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 12, 949–958.
- Forsdyke D.R.** (2002). Symmetry observations in long nucleotide sequences: a commentary on the discovery of Qi and Cuticchia. *Bioinformatics letter*, v.18, № 1, p.215-217.
- Forsdyke D. R.** (2006). *Evolutionary Bioinformatics*. New-York: Springer Verlag.
- Forsdyke D. R., Bell S. J.** (2004). Purine-loading, stem-loops, and Chargaff's second parity rule. *Applied Bioinformatics*, 3, 3–8.
- Graham R.L., Knuth D.E., Parashnik O.** (1994). *Concrete Mathematics*. A Foundations for Computer Science. Addison-Wesley, Massachusetts. ISBN 0-201-55802-5.
- Heisenberg W.** (1926). Mehrkörperproblem und Resonanz in Quantenmechanik. – *Zs. Phys.*, 38, 411-426.
- Holevo A. S.** (2013). *Quantum systems, channels, information*. De Gruyter Studies in Mathematical Physics 16, 349 p.
- Hu Z.B., Petoukhov S.V.** (2017). Generalized crystallography, the genetic system and biochemical esthetics. - *Structural Chemistry*, v. 28, №1, pp. 239-247. doi:10.1007/s11224-016-0880-0, <http://link.springer.com/journal/11224/28/1/page/2>
- Hu Z.B., Petoukhov S.V., Petukhova E.S.** (2017). I-Ching, dyadic groups of binary numbers and the geno-logic coding in living bodies. - *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology* (in print, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2017.08.018>).
- Igamberdiev A.U.** (1993). Quantum mechanical properties of biosystems: a framework for complexity, structural stability, and transformations.- *Biosystems*, 31 (1), pp. 65–73.

- Igamberdiev, A.U.** (2012). Biomechanical and coherent phenomena in morphogenetic relaxation processes. *Biosystems*, 109, p. 336–345.
- Jeffrey, H.J.** (1990). Chaos game representation of gene structure. - *Nucleic Acids Research*, Vol. 18, No. 8, pp. 2163-2170.
- Ji, S.** (2012). *Molecular Theory of the Living Cell: Concepts, Molecular Mechanisms, and Biomedical Applications*, New York: Springer.
- Ji, S.** (2015). Planckian distributions in molecular machines, living cells, and brains: The wave-particle duality in biomedical sciences, - *Proc. Intern. Conf. on Biology and Biomedical Engineering*. Vienna, March 15-17, pp. 115-137.
- Ji S.** (2017). *The Cell Language Theory: Connecting Mind and Matter*. - World Scientific Publishing Europe Ltd. , 450 p. ISBN-13: 978-1848166608.
- Lieberman-Aiden E., van Berkum N. L., Williams L., Imakaev M., Ragozy T., Telling A., Lajoie B.R., Sabo P.J., Dorschner M.O., Sandstrom R., Bernstein B., Bender M.A., Groudine M., Gnirke A., Stamatoyannopoulos J., Mirny L.A., Lander E.S., Dekker J.** (2009). Comprehensive Mapping of Long-Range Interactions Reveals Folding Principles of the Human Genome. - *Science* , Vol. 326, Issue 5950, pp. 289-293, (2009, 09 October).
- Lennon F.E., Cianci G.C., Cipriani N.A., Hensing T.A., Zhang H.J., Chin-Tu Chen, Murgu S.D., Vokes E.E., Vannier M.W., Salgia R.** (2015 November). Lung cancer - a fractal viewpoint. - *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*, 12(11):_pp. 664–675. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc. 2015.108.
- Manin Yu. I.** (1980). *Computable and Uncomputable*, Moscow Sovetskoe Radio (in Russian).
- Manin D.Yu., Manin Yu.I.** (2017). Cognitive networks: brains, internet, and civilizations. – Presentation at the workshop of the Competence Center for Algorithmic and Mathematical methods in Biology, Biotechnology and Medicine of the Mannheim University of Applied Sciences, 27 November 2017. https://www.cammbio.hs-mannheim.de/fileadmin/user_upload/projekte/cammbio/events/20171127-kickoff/EP-Manin.pdf
- Matsuno K.** (1999). Cell motility as an entangled quantum coherence. – *BioSystems*, 51, pp. 15–19.
- Matsuno K.** (2003). Quantum mechanics in first, second and third person descriptions. – *BioSystems*, 68, pp. 107-118.
- Matsuno K.** (2015). On the physics of the emergence of sensorimotor control in the absence of the brain. - *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology*, 119, pp. 313-323.
- Matsuno K., Paton R.C.** (2000). Is there a biology of quantum information? – *BioSystems*, 55, 39–46
- McClare, C.W.F.** (1974). Resonance in bioenergetics. - *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, 227, 74–97.
- Mitchell, D., Bridge, R.** (2006). A test of Chargaff's second rule, *BBRC*, 340, 90-94.
- Nielsen M.A., Chuang I.L.** (2010). *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Okamura K., Wei J., Scherer S.** (2007). Evolutionary implications of inversions that have caused intra-strand parity in DNA. *Bmc Genomics*, 8, 160–166. http://www.gutenberg.org/files/39713/39713-h/39713-h.htm#Page_264
- Pauling, L.** (1940). *The Nature of the Chemical Bond and the Structure of Molecules*

and Crystals: An Introduction to Modern Structural Chemistry. Oxford University Press, London, 2nd edn, 664 p.

Pellionis A.J. (2008). The principle of recursive genome function. – *Cerebellum*, 7: pp. 348–359. DOI 10.1007/s12311-008-0035-y

Pellionisz A. J., Graham R., Pellionisz P. A. and Perez J. C. (2012). Recursive Genome Function of the Cerebellum: Geometric Unification of Neuroscience and Genomics, In: M. Manto, D.L. Gruol, J.D. Schmahmann, N. Koibuchi and F. Rossi, Eds., *Handbook of the Cerebellum and Cerebellar Disorders*, pp. 1381-1423.

Pellionisz, A.J., Ramanujam, M.V., Rajan, E.G. (2017). Genome Editing – A Novel Business Opportunity for India as a BRICS Country to Excel in Global Genomics Enterprise. In: Proceedings of ICSCI 2017 Hyderabad, India Conference, pp. 1-3. http://www.usa-siliconvalley.com/2017_genome_editing.pdf

Peng C.K., Buldyrev S.V., Goldberger A.L., Havlin S., Sclortino F., Simons M., Stanley H.E. (1992). Long-range correlations in nucleotide sequences. - *Nature*, 356, pp.168–170.

Peng C.K., Buldyrev S.V., Goldberger A.L., Havlin S., Sclortino F., Simons M., Stanley H.E. (1992). Fractal landscape analysis of DNA walks. - *Physica A*, 191(1-4): 25-9.

Penrose R. (1996). *Shadows of the Mind: A Search for the Missing Science of Consciousness*. - Oxford University Press, USA, 480 p.

Perez J.C. (2010). Codon populations in single-stranded whole human genome DNA are fractal and fine-tuned by the Golden Ratio 1.618. - *Interdiscip Sci Comput Life Sci* 2: pp. 228–240. DOI: 10.1007/s12539-010-0022-0

Perez J.-Cl. (2013). The “3 Genomic Numbers” Discovery: How Our Genome Single-Stranded DNA Sequence Is “Self-Designed” as a Numerical Whole. - *Applied Mathematics*, 4, 37-53, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/am.2013.410A2004>

Perez J.C. (2017). Sapiens Mitochondrial DNA Genome Circular Long Range Numerical Meta Structures are Highly Correlated with Cancers and Genetic Diseases mtDNA Mutations. - *J Cancer Sci Ther*, 9:6. DOI: 10.4172/1948-5956.1000469.

Petoukhov S.V. (1999). Genetic Code and the Ancient Chinese “Book of Changes”.- *Symmetry: Culture & Science*, vol. 10, №3-4, 1999, p.211-226.

Petoukhov S.V. (2008) *Matrix genetics, algebras of the genetic code, noise immunity*. M., RCD, 316 p. (in Russian).

Petoukhov S.V. (2010). The degeneracy of the genetic code and Hadamard matrices. - <https://arxiv.org/abs/0802.3366> from 26 December 2010.

Petoukhov S.V. (2011). Matrix genetics and algebraic properties of the multi-level system of genetic alphabets. - *Neuroquantology*, 2011, Vol 9, No 4, p. 60-81, <http://www.neuroquantology.com/index.php/journal/article/view/501>

Petoukhov S.V. (2012) Symmetries of the genetic code, hypercomplex numbers and genetic matrices with internal complementarities. - “*Symmetry: Culture and Science*”, vol. 23, № 3-4, p. 275-301. http://symmetry.hu/scs_online/SCS_23_3-4.pdf

Petoukhov S.V. (2015a). Resonances and genetic biomechanics. - *Symmetry: Culture and Science*, т. 26, №3, p. 379-397, http://petoukhov.com/PETOUKHOV_IN_SCS_2015.pdf

Petoukhov S.V. (2015b). Music and the modeling approach to genetic systems of

biological resonances. – Lecture at the International conference «ISIS Summit Vienna 2015. The information society at the crossroads», 3-7 June, 2015, Vienna, Austria, <http://sciforum.net/conference/70/paper/2812>.

Petoukhov S.V. (2015c). Resonances and genetic biomechanics. - *Symmetry: Culture and Science*, т. 26, №3, 2015, p. 379-397, http://petoukhov.com/PETOUKHOV_IN_SCS_2015.pdf

Petoukhov S.V. (2015d). The concept of resonances in genetics ("resonant bioinformatics"). - Selected Works of the VII International Congress "Weak and Superweak Fields and Radiation in Biology and Medicine", September 07-11, 2015, St. Petersburg, pp. 1-41,

<http://www.biophys.ru/lib/sci/resonance/460-resonance-00001> (in Russian).

Petoukhov S.V. (2016). The system-resonance approach in modeling genetic structures. – *Biosystems*, January 2016, v. 139, p. 1-11, http://petoukhov.com/PETOUKHOV_ARTICLE_IN_BIOSYSTEMS.pdf.

Petoukhov S.V. (2017a). The genetic code, algebra of projection operators and problems of inherited biological ensembles. - <https://arxiv.org/abs/1307.7882>, 9th version from 8 August 2017.

Petoukhov S.V. (2017b). I-Ching, dyadic groups of binary numbers and the geno-logic coding in living bodies. - *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology*, v. 131, December 2017, pp. 354-368.

Petoukhov S.V. (2020). The Genetic Code, Algebraic Codes and Double Numbers. *Preprints 2019*, 2019110301, 2nd version, (doi:10.20944/preprints201911.0301.v2).

Petoukhov S.V., He M. (2009) *Symmetrical Analysis Techniques for Genetic Systems and Bioinformatics: Advanced Patterns and Applications*. Hershey, USA: IGI Global. 271 p.

Petoukhov S.V., Petukhova E.S. (2017a). Symmetries in genetic systems and the concept of geno-logical coding. - *Information*, 2017, 8(1), 2; doi:10.3390/info8010002, <http://www.mdpi.com/2078-2489/8/1/2/htm>

Petoukhov S.V., Petukhova E.S. (2017b). Resonances and the quest for transdisciplinarity. - *Information Studies and the Quest for Transdisciplinarity*. Editors M. Burgin, W.Hofkirchner, World Scientific, p. p. 467-487.

Petoukhov S.V., Svirin V.I. (2012). Fractal genetic nets and symmetry principles in long nucleotide sequences. - *Symmetry: Culture and Science*, vol. 23, № 3-4, p. 303-322. http://petoukhov.com/PETOUKHOV_SVIRIN_FGN.pdf

Petoukhov S.V., Petukhova E.S., Hazina L.V., Svirin V.I., Stepanyan I.V., Silova T.V. (2017). Geno-logical coding, united-hypercomplex numbers and systems of artificial intelligence. – In the book “*Advances in Artificial Systems for Medicine and Education*”, Editors Z.B. Hu, S.V.Petoukhov and M.He (the conference proceedings “Artificial Intelligence, Medical Engineering, Education”, Moscow, Russia, 21-23 August 2017). Part of the “Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing” book series (AISAC, volume 658), pages 2-13, Springer, <https://link.springer.com/search?query=978-3-319-67348-6>.

Poincare H. (2009). The foundations of science. - (In the Chapter «Science and hypothesis»), Cornell University Library, 580 p. http://www.gutenberg.org/files/39713/39713-h/39713-h.htm#Page_140

Prabhu, V. V. (1993). Symmetry observation in long nucleotide sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 21, 2797-2800.

Rapoport A.E., Trifonov E.N. (2012): Compensatory nature of Chargaff's second

parity rule. *Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics*, November, 1-13, DOI:10.1080/07391102.2012.736757

Schrödinger E. (1944). *What is Life?* – United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press, 194 p.

Schrödinger E. (1952). Are there quantum jumps? Part I. - *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, Vol. 3, No. 10, pp. 109-123. Published by: Oxford University Press on behalf of The British Society for the Philosophy of Science Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/685552> .

Simeonov P.L. (2013). On Some Recent Insights in Integral Biomathics. - J. Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology. Special Theme Issue on Integral Biomathics: Can Biology Create a Profoundly New Mathematics and Computation? Elsevier. ISSN: 0079-6107. DOI: 10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2013.06.001. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.2843>.

Stambuk N. (1999). Circular coding properties of gene and protein sequences. *Croat. Chem. Acta*, 72, 999–1008.

Sueoka N. (1995). Intrastrand parity rules of DNA base composition and usage biases of synonymous codons. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, 40, 318–325.

Trifonov E.N. (1993). DNA as a language. - *Bioinformatics, Supercomputing and Complex Genome Analysis*: pp. 103-110.

http://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/9789814503655_0009

Turin, L. (2009). Colin McClare (1937–1977): a tribute. - *Journal of Biological Physics*, vol. 35, [issue 1](#), 9-15.

Yamagishi, M., Herai, R. (2011). Chargaff's "Grammar of Biology": New Fractal-like Rules. <http://128.84.158.119/abs/1112.1528v1>