

# Laughlin wave function, Berry Phase and Quantization

K. V. S. Shiv Chaitanya\*

*Department of Physics, BITS Pilani, Hyderabad Campus,  
Jawahar Nagar, Shamirpet Mandal, Hyderabad, India 500 078.*

In this paper, we show that the Laughlin wave function is the exact solution to the Schrödinger like equation for the harmonic oscillator potential. In the process, we identify the quantum momentum function of quantum Hamilton Jacobi formalism with the Berry's connection. This allowed us to formulate the problem of quantization in terms of topology, as the quantum numbers are topological invariants which arise due to singularities in the quantum momentum function. The quantization is arising due to continuously connecting the topologically inequivalent Hamiltonians in the Hilbert space.

In recent years, the phases of condense matter, are characterized in terms of topology. The well known are the topological insulators [1], the quantum Hall effect [2], the fractional quantum Hall effect [3]. In Topology, the topological invariants donot change under continuous deformation of geometical object from one shape to another shape. In case of insulators it is characterized in terms of the band gap, since the gap is large. If the insulators are connected from one insulator to the other insulator, with out changing the band gap, that is, system always remains in ground state, are called topologically equivalent insulators. In other words when some paramater of the the Hamiltonian is slowly changed, adiabatically, the ground state of the system unchanged. The insulators which cannot be connected by slowly changing the Hamiltonian are called topologically inequivalent insulators. Connecting the topologically equivalent insulators gives rise to phase transition with the vanishing of the gap. In this gapless state the topological invariants are quantised giving rise to current. For more detaied discussion refer to [4].

In the case of fractional quantum Hall effect,

Robert Laughlin proposed the following wavefunction as an ansatz [5]

$$\psi = \prod_{N \geq i > j \geq 1} (z_i - z_j)^n \prod_{j=1}^N \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4l_B^2} z_i^2\right) \quad (1)$$

where  $N$  is number of electrons,  $l_B = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{eB}}$  is magnetic length,  $\omega_B = \frac{eB}{m}$  is cyclotron frequency and  $z_k$  and  $z_j$  are the position electrons for the ground state of a two-dimensional electron gas with the lowest Landau level, where  $n$  is written in terms  $\nu = 1/n$  and  $n$  is an odd positive integer. As the Laughlin wave function is trial wave function, it is not an exact ground state of any potential, in perticular not an exact ground state of Coulomb repulsion problem. But it has been tested numerically, for the Coulomb and several repulsive potentials, the Laughlin wave function has more than 99% overlap with the true ground state [6]. The gap vanishes at the edges and the fractional charges are calculated using the Berry's connection. The Berry connection for M quasi-holes are given by [6]

$$A(z_j) = -\frac{i}{\nu} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k}^n \frac{1}{z_k - z_j} + i\bar{z}, \quad (2)$$

and similar equation for  $A(\bar{\eta})$  exist.

In this letter, we show that the bound state problems of quantum mechanics mimics the topological insulator, as the quantum momentum function of

---

\* chaitanya@hyderabad.bits-pilani.ac.in

quantum Hamiltonian Jacobi formalism is identified as the Berry's connection. Making this connection exact gives rise to quantization. In turn, identifying quantum numbers as winding numbers. To demonstrate the quantum momentum function as the Berry's connection, we first show that quantum Harmonic oscillator can be written as the Laughlin wave function. It has simple poles structure as the quantum momentum function has simple poles. Then, we generalize our result to higher order pole, as it is evident from the Laughlin wave function (1) has higher order poles. Hence, the Laughlin wave function is not a trial wave function but the exact solution to the Schrödinger like equation with the harmonic oscillator potential. Then, we show that the curl of the quantum momentum function is non-zero leads to quantization of the the current density, by using the continuity equation derived from the Schrödinger equation. Therefore, we show that the quantization is arising due to continuously connecting the inequivalent topologically Hamiltonians in Hilbert space.

To show that the Laughlin wave function is the solution to Schrödinger like equation, we start with the Schrödinger equation :

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \psi(x) + V(x)\psi(x) = E\psi(x). \quad (3)$$

We define the following transformation

$$\psi(x, y, z) = \exp\left(\frac{iS}{\hbar}\right) \quad (4)$$

where  $S$  is analogous to classical characteristic function or action. By defining the function  $p$  as

$$p(x, t) = \frac{\partial S}{\partial x}. \quad (5)$$

known as quantum momentum function, in three dimensions it is written as  $p = \nabla s(r)$ , such a transformation reduces the Schrödinger equation to the Riccati equation

$$p^2 - i\hbar \frac{dp}{dx} = 2m(E - V(x)). \quad (6)$$

Quantum momentum function and wave function are related by

$$p = -i\hbar \frac{d}{dx} \ln \psi(x). \quad (7)$$

The Riccati equation admits the following pole structure in terms of quantum momentum function

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{-i\hbar}{x - x_k} + Q(x). \quad (8)$$

with  $n$  moving poles comming wave function and fixed poles from the potential [7]. Here, we would like to point out that  $Q(x)$  is superpotential [7, 8]. The equation (8) can be casted in the form of equation (2), by rewriting the equation (6) in terms of  $S(x, t)$ , defined in equation (5), with the time dependence term included, is given by

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} S(x, t) = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right)^2 - i\hbar \frac{d^2 S}{dx^2} - 2m(E - V(x)). \quad (9)$$

Differentiating the equation (9) with respect  $x$  and substituting back  $p(x, t)$ , as defined in equation (5), gives

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(x, t) = -i\hbar \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} - 2p\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}. \quad (10)$$

This is known as the Burger's equation. The complex pole expansion of the Burger's equation (10) is also given by equation (8). Its is proved in ref [9], the complex poles expansions of the form of nonlinear partial differential equations or Burger's equation is satisfied if and only if the following system of differential equations are satisfied or the complex poles evolve according to the system of  $n$  linear equation, readers can also refer to [10], given by

$$\frac{dx_j}{dt} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k}^n \frac{i\hbar}{x_k - x_j}, \quad (11)$$

in each equation  $j = k$  term is not present, with out loss of generality we take  $Q(x_j) = 0$ . For the stationary case  $\frac{dx_j}{dt} = 0$  and the equation (11) reduces to

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k}^n \frac{i\hbar}{x_k - x_j} = 0. \quad (12)$$

In the equation (8) there are  $n$  poles and in the equation (12) there are  $n$  equations corresponding to  $n$  poles. Since, equation (12) is evolution of the complex pole as system of linear equation, which is identical to the quantum momentum function. Therefore, equation (12) is quantum momentum function for the system of  $n$  linear equations in terms of complex poles. The quantum momentum function with the potential is given by

$$p(x_j) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k}^n \frac{-i\hbar}{x_k - x_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n Q(x_j), \quad (13)$$

and  $p(x_j) = 0$ , satisfies the system of  $n$  linear equations. This equation (13) is similar to the equation (2). Hence, we conclude that the equation (13) is like Berry connection. Later, we prove that it is indeed Berry connection. By using the relationship between the quantum momentum function and the wave function defined in equation (7), the quantum momentum function for the Harmonic oscillator is gives as

$$\hbar \frac{d}{dx_j} \ln \psi(x_j) = \hbar \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k}^n \frac{1}{x_k - x_j} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2} m \omega x_j, \quad (14)$$

where  $Q(x_j) = \frac{1}{2} m \omega x_j$  is the superpotential of Harmonic oscillator and its residue is  $\pm i$  at two fixed poles, we choose  $+i$  as it corresponds to physical states. Integrating the equation (14) gives

$$\psi(x_j) = \prod_{n \geq k > j \geq 1} (x_k - x_j) \prod_{j=1}^n \exp \left( -\frac{1}{4l_B^2} z_i^2 \right). \quad (15)$$

where  $l_B = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{eB}}$  and  $\omega_B$  is cyclotron frequency  $\omega_B = \frac{eB}{m}$ . If  $n = 1$ , in the Laughlin wave equation (1) reduces to equation (15). Hence, if we start with a general residue  $-im$  in equation (13) and defining  $n = \frac{m}{\hbar}$  will end with Laughlin wave equation (1).

It should be clear from the equation (1) the order of the pole structure is different from the pole structure of the quantum momentum function defined in the equation (13). In quantum momentum function

poles are simple poles. In the Laughlin wave function (1), the poles are higher order in nature. Hence, we have shown that the Laughlin wave equation admits a Schrödinger like equation for higher order poles of order  $m$ . The author himself has shown that, when equation (13) is equated to zero that is  $p(x_j) = 0$ , the bound state problems of quantum mechanics can be mapped to the Steiljes electrostatic model with imaginary charges [8]. It is clear from the equation (13) and the equation (2), the residues are imaginary. Therefore, the Laughlin wave function (1) can be written in terms of Hermite polynomials. Hence one can write any bound state problem in terms of Laughlin type equation as

$$\psi(x_j) = \prod_{N \geq k > j \geq 1} (x_k - x_j)^n \prod_{j=1}^N \exp \left( -i \int Q(z_i) \right). \quad (16)$$

where  $Q(x_j)$  is the superpotential.

To show that quantum momentum function in (13) is Berry connection, we use the continuity equation obtained from the the Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot J = 0 \quad (17)$$

where  $\rho = \psi^* \psi$  and  $J = \psi^* \nabla \psi - \psi \nabla \psi^*$ . Putting  $\psi = e^{is(r)}$  and  $p = \nabla s(r)$ , gives  $J = 2p$ . For stationary states, as  $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = 0$ , implies, flux of  $J$  is  $\int \nabla \cdot J d\tau = \oint_C J dS = 0$ . In vector Calculus, for a given vector, the Helmholtz theorem says that both the divergence and curl should be well behaved at the boundary. Thus, the curl of  $J$  is non zero since  $p(r)$  has singularities and is evident from equation (8). Hence applying stokes theorem

$$J(E) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_C \nabla \times p dS = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_C p dx = n\hbar. \quad (18)$$

While computing the  $J(E)$  in equation we have only considered the  $\psi^* \nabla \psi$ , as it is the only term computed for the calculation of Berry's phase. Therefore, by making the Berry connection exact leads to quantization of current density. This is the result

derived by Leacock and Padgett as an action angle variable [12]. Here we derived the same result treating it as Berry's connection. This shows us that the quantization is related to the Topology of the underlying system.

The quantum Hamilton Jacobi equation (6), in the limit  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ , reduces to classical Hamilton Jacobi equation. In the classical Hamilton Jacobi, the equation of motion is governed by the Hamiltonian and the problem is solved by continuously transforming the Hamiltonian from the initial state to the final state through the canonical transformations. In quantum mechanics, the equation of motion is governed by the Schrödinger equation, with an appropriate transformation defined in equation (4), is transformed to an equivalent Hamiltonian Jacobi equation is given by the Riccati equation (6). It is not possible to continuously transforming the Hamiltonian from the initial state to the final state through a canonical transformation as quantum momentum function, in equation (8), has singularities. It is also evident from equation (7), the zeros in the wave function corresponds to poles in the quantum momentum function. The analogy with the frac-

tional quantum Hall effect has allowed us to identify the quantum momentum function with the Berry connection. Thus, by making the Berry connection exact gives rise to quantization. Hence, the quantum numbers are topological invariants arise due to singularities in the quantum momentum function. Therefore, we conclude that the quantization is arising due to continuously connecting the topologically inequivalent Hamiltonians in the Hilbert space.

In conclusion, we shown that the Laughlin wave function is the exact solution to the Schrödinger like equation for the harmonic oscillator potential. We have also shown that Harmonic oscillator can be written as the Laughlin wave function for simple poles. In the process we identify the quantum momentum function of quantum Hamilton Jacobi formalism with the Berry's connection. This allowed us to formulate the problem of quantization in terms of topology, as the quantum numbers are topological invariants arise due to singularities in the quantum momentum function. The quantization is arising due to continuously connecting the topologically inequivalent Hamiltonians in the Hilbert space.

- 
- [1] König, Markus et.al, Science. 318 (5851): 766770. (2007)
  - [2] K. V. Klitzing; G. Dorda; M. Pepper . Phys. Rev. Lett. 45 (6): 494497,(1980).
  - [3] D.C. Tsui; H.L. Stormer; A.C. Gossard . Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (22): 1559,(1982).
  - [4] C.L. Kane. Lecture notes "Topological Band Theory and the  $z_2$  Invariant", <http://www.physics.upenn.edu/~kane/pubs/chap1.pdf>.
  - [5] R. B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1395
  - [6] David Tong, Lecture notes on quantum Hall effect, <http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/tong/qhe.html>
  - [7] S. Sree Ranjani, arXiv:quant-ph/0408036.
  - [8] K. V. S. Shiv Chaitanya, Pramana journal of physics, Vol. 83, No. 1, 139, 2014
  - [9] Choodnovsky, D. V, . Choodnovsky, G. V . Nuovo Cimento B 40:339-53 (1977)
  - [10] Hassan Aref, Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech, 15:345-89, (1983).
  - [11] T.J. Stieltjes, , Comptes Rendus de l'Academie des Sciences, Paris, 100 (1885), 439-440; Oeuvres Completes, Vol. 1, 440-441.; T.J. Stieltjes, Comptes Rendus de l'Academie des Sciences, Paris, 100 (1885), 620-622; Oeuvres Completes, Vol. 1, 442-444.
  - [12] R. A Leacock and M. J. Padgett Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 3, (1983);