

On self similarity and coarsening rate of a convecting bicontinuous phase separating mixture : effect of the viscosity contrast

Hervé Henry¹ and György Tegze²

¹ *Laboratoire de Physique de la Matière Condensée, École Polytechnique, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France and*

² *Wigner Research Centre for Physics, P.O. Box 49, H-1525 Budapest, Hungary*
(Dated: May 30, 2022)

We present a computational study of the hydrodynamic coarsening in 3D of a critical mixture using the Cahn-Hilliard/Navier-Stokes model. The topology of the resulting intricate bicontinuous microstructure is analyzed through the principal curvatures to prove self-similar morphological evolution. We find that the self similarity exists for both systems: isoviscous and with variable viscosity. However the two systems have distinct topological character. Moreover an effective viscosity that accurately predicts coarsening rate is proposed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Among the physical processes leading to the formation of a microstructure, phase separation is ubiquitous. It is seen in glasses[1] and polymer blends[2], and can be divided into two stages. First, the unstable mixture phase separates at a characteristic length-scale, l [3]. When the volume fraction of one phase is close to 0.5, the initial microstructure that arises consists of two interlaced percolating clusters (similar to the one presented in fig:1) while for significantly lower volume fractions it consists of isolated droplets in a matrix of the majority phase. This pattern evolves under the effect of diffusion[4] or of fluid flow[5], resulting in an increase of the characteristic length l known as coarsening. It is widely acknowledged that this process is self similar. In the case of diffusive coarsening, based either on analytical [4] or numerical investigations[6, 7], strong arguments in favour of this hypothesis can be found.

In the case of viscous coarsening, such arguments are still lacking. Indeed Siggia[5], assuming *a priori* self similarity, proposed using a scaling argument, that after an initial diffusive coarsening stage where the characteristic length grows as $t^{1/3}$, coarsening is governed by viscous fluid flow. This later regime is characterized by a growth of the characteristic length at a constant rate. Later, some consequences of self-similarity were observed in experiments [8, 9] and numerical simulations[10–12] that were conducted at symmetric composition where phases share the same viscosity. In further investigations, laws that account for inertial effects were also proposed[13–17]. However these were limited to the symmetric case and the existence of the viscous self similar coarsening regime remains to be uncovered when the symmetry of the composition, or the kinetics is broken. Moreover, the method of analysis was based on analyzing the structure functions, that is losing accuracy at low wavenumbers, and more importantly it gives no direct information about the topology of the microstructure.

Herein, inspired by recent X-Ray tomography[18, 19] experiments, we explore the effect of viscosity contrast of the phases on the persistence of self similarity and




FIG. 1. Perspective view of the isosurface $c = 0.5$ at different times of a simulation. Volume fraction is $\varphi = 0.5$, the viscosity contrast between the phases is 128 and the viscosity is $\nu = 2$.

the topology of the interconnected structure. Our analysis bases on simulations using the Cahn-Hilliard/Navier-Stokes (NSCH) model, and characterizing the geometrical features of the microstructure using recent advanced methods [6, 20]

We first study the hydrodynamic coarsening of an isoviscous sample as reference, and discuss the domain of validity of Siggia’s scaling. Next the effects of kinetic symmetry breaking (viscosity contrast) are considered and quantitative measures to the changes of the microstructure are given.

II. THEORY AND MODELING

The thermodynamics of a binary fluid is well described by the diffuse interface theory of Cahn and Hilliard[21]. The simplest symmetric form of the Cahn-Hilliard free-energy reads as:

$$\mathcal{F} = \int \epsilon^2 (\nabla c)^2 + A(c^2(c-1)^2) \quad (1)$$

Here ϵ and A are model parameters, that are used to adjust interface tension to $\gamma = 0.0042$. as in reference[12]. The coarsening dynamics via convection and diffusion is

governed by the coupled Navier-Stokes (eq.4) and the convective Cahn-Hilliard (CH) (eq.2) equations (NSCH), also known as model H [22]. Thermal fluctuations, were neglected assuming they are small on the characteristic scale of the microstructure. The Navier-Stokes/Cahn-Hilliard[23] model was used along with the incompressibility constraint (eq.4):

$$\partial_t c + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla c = -D \Delta \mu \quad (2)$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) = \frac{-1}{\rho} (\nabla p + c \nabla \mu) \quad (3)$$

$$+ \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nu(c)}{2} (\nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathbf{v}^T) \right)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \quad (4)$$

In the Cahn-Hilliard equation (Eq. 2), D is the diffusion constant, $\mu = \delta \mathcal{F} / \delta c$ is the chemical potential that derives from the CH free energy. In the Navier-Stokes equation (Eq. 3) the $-\nabla p$ term on the RHS includes a Lagrangian multiplier that forces incompressibility. The second term is the thermodynamic stress, and accounts for capillary forces. The last term accounts for the viscous dissipation, $\nu(c) = \nu_h(1-c) + \nu_l(c)$ is the composition dependent kinematic viscosity. ρ is the mass density and was chosen to unity except specified otherwise. We define here the viscosity contrast as the ratio of the high and low viscosity of the species ($VC = \nu_h/\nu_l$). While, according to the Stokes-Einstein relation, varying viscosity, implies concentration dependent diffusivity, in the late stage of coarsening one can assume local equilibrium at the interface. Therefore simplifying to a homogeneous diffusion equation does not affect the coarsening of the microstructure. In addition, the absence of viscoelastic terms is valid under the assumption that the shear modulus is sufficiently high[24]. The model equations were simulated numerically using standard approaches[25–28] that are described in the supplementary material [29] together with a more detailed description of the model equations that is inspired by [30, 31]. The analysis of the results allowed us to extract a characteristic length scale l that is defined as the ratio between the total volume and the total interface between the phases and other statistical quantities such as the probability distribution function of the curvatures of the interface[32] or the structure functions. The detailed description of the method used to compute such quantities is also given in the supplementary material [29]

The NSCH model reproduces well the initial phase separation followed by the coarsening of the microstructure that is due to diffusion at small length-scales with a characteristic length-scale growing as $l \propto t^{1/3}$ [4, 33]. At larger length scales the coarsening is driven by convection that is governed by surface tension and viscous dissipation. As a result l grows linearly: $l = v_0 t \propto \gamma/\nu t$ [5] where γ is the surface tension and ν is the viscosity of the fluid when $VC = 1$. The transition from the diffusive growth to a viscous growth occurs when v_0 is much larger than the growth velocity associated with




FIG. 2. (a) growth velocity as a function of viscosity for a volume fraction of 0.5. In the inset a zoom on the linear regime is shown. (b) $v/v_0 = dl/dt/v_0$ as a function of l for resp. $\rho = 1, 0.25, 0.0625$ and resp. $\nu = 8, 16, 32$ with resp. solid, long and short dash. Here v_0 is the value computed in the case $\rho = 0.0625, \nu = 32$ (rescaled for $\rho = 1, 0.25$).

diffusion (which itself is a function of the mobility of chemical species). This translates into the fact that the Peclet number ($Pe = lv_0/D$) is large. Finally the viscous growth law loses its validity when inertial effects cannot be neglected (the Reynolds number Re , defined as l/l_0 where $l_0 = \nu^2/(\gamma\rho)$ becomes large). Here we have limited ourselves to the viscous coarsening of a phase separated mixture, assuming that the viscosity was sufficiently high to avoid the effects of fluid flow during the initial phase separation and before well defined phases are present and coarsening takes place[34]. It is important to note that during the course of the coarsening, since l is growing, these two numbers grow (proportionally to l). This indicates that the characteristic size of the flow is the characteristic size of the microstructure and change with times. As a result, during the coarsening of a bicontinuous structure, both l and Re will increase and there will be a transition from a diffusive coarsening regime where $Pe \ll 1$ to a viscous dominated regime ($Pe \gg 1$ and $Re \ll 1$) followed by an inertia dominated regime ($Re \gg 1$)[35]. Here we have focused on the well defined Siggia regime for which $Pe \gg 1$ and $Re \ll 1$.

III. RESULTS

Since we consider the effect of the symmetry breaking induced by the viscosity contrast on the viscous coarsening we have chosen to limit ourselves to the case where the volume fraction of each phase is 0.5 for which the bicontinuous morphology, that is necessary to the Siggia's scaling, is more robust. First we present a few results in the case of the iso-viscosity regime and briefly discuss the effects of diffusion and of inertia in this case. Then, the main results of this work, about the effects of symmetry breaking are presented.

A. Symmetric regime

This section is devoted to the determination of the parameters for which the Siggia regime is valid. Indeed, while the three different regimes have been discussed at length in previous work, there is still no clear determination of where the transition occur. To this purpose, we first give an estimate of the diffusive effects as a function of the Pe number. Then we determine the value above which the inertial terms are becoming significant.

To this purpose, we consider various parameter sets for which the Reynolds number and the diffusion process are kept unchanged while the Siggia flow rate is changed. Hence we change the value of the Peclet number without altering neither the relative importance of inertial terms and the absolute value of the diffusive contribution to coarsening thanks to the following transformation:

$$\nu \rightsquigarrow a\nu \quad (5)$$

$$\rho \rightsquigarrow a^2\rho \quad (6)$$

where a is a real constant. Indeed $l_0 = \nu^2/(\gamma\rho)$ (and the Reynolds number) is unchanged while $v_0 = \gamma/(\nu\rho)$ is multiplied by a . More precisely if a given field $v(\mathbf{x}, t)$ was solution of the Navier Stokes equation, for the original parameter set, $av(\mathbf{x}, t)$ will be a solution with the transformed parameter set if the diffusive effects are neglectable. In this situation, the coarsening rate with the transformed parameters will be a times the coarsening rate with the original parameters and the relative importance of diffusive effects will be given by the difference between the computed solution and the predicted one. We have applied this approach to our system and the result is presented in figure 2(b). The growth velocity multiplied by $1/v_0$, $0.5/v_0$, $0.25/v_0$ respectively as a function of l is plotted for $\nu = 8, 16, 32$ and $\rho = 1, 0.25, 0.0625$ respectively where v_0 is the average value of dl/dt obtained for ($\nu = 32, \rho = 0.0625$). If the diffusive effects are neglectable, one expect the curves to collapse while if diffusive effects are present, the difference between the curves is a measure of the diffusive effects. One can see that the curves obtained for the last two set of parameters collapse well while for $\nu = 8$ there is a significant departure from the collapse. As a result, with $\rho = 1$ and the kinematic viscosity $\nu < 8$, diffusion effects can be neglected for values of l larger than 50. This translates in terms of Peclet number into the fact that $Pe > 1$ ($\gamma = 0.042, \rho = 1, \nu = 8, D = 1 \times A$ and $l = 50$).

We now turn to the effects of inertia. To this purpose, in fig. 2 (a) we plot the growth velocity of domain size as a function of the inverse of the kinematic viscosity. One can see that, as predicted by Siggia, that for high values of ν the growth velocity is proportional to $1/\nu$ with a constant prefactor. For smaller values there is a clear departure from linear behaviour proposed in [5]. The onset of this deviation occurs for $\nu \approx 1$ and is significant for $\nu < 0.5$, values for which the growth velocity is well described as constant over the length span considered here. As a result, for these values, despite the apparent




FIG. 3. **(a)**: Growth rate as a function of $\sqrt{\nu_l\nu_h}$ for different values of ν_h ranging from 0.0625 to 32 and of ν_h/ν_l equal to 2, 4, 16 ad 32. The points corresponding to $\nu_h/\nu_l = 1$ are the purple +. The line is a guide to the eye. **(b)**: same data with a zoom on the vicinity of the origin. **(c)** (**resp.** **d**) plot of the coarsening velocity versus $\nu_{\text{eff}} = (\nu_h + \nu_l)/2$ (**resp.** $1/\nu_{\text{eff}} = (1/\nu_h + 1/\nu_l)/2$).

constant growth rate, there is a clear departure from the Siggia's scaling that is due to inertial effects. From a quantitative point of view, it occurs at $Re = 1$ ($\nu = 1, \gamma = 0.042, \rho = 1, l = 25$). This value of $Re = 1$ has to be compared with the one postulated by Siggia that was ≈ 100 and that has been widely used since then.

Here we have characterized the various regimes of domain growth and how they are related. We have also drawn a clear picture of the iso-viscous domain growth for a given value of the surface tension ($\gamma = 0.0042$) and the fluid density ($\rho = 1$). For a kinematic viscosity ranging from 4 to 1, and domain sizes ranging from 5 to 100, the growth regime can be described as purely viscous. For lower values of ν (corresponding to $Re \approx 1$), a clear departure from this regime due to inertial effects can be seen. For higher values of viscosity, (i.e $Pe < 1$.) the contribution of diffusion to viscosity can no longer be neglected.

B. Symmetry breaking induced by the viscosity contrast

We now consider the evolution of the microstructure when the two phases have different viscosities. The viscosity contrast (VC) is the ratio ν_h/ν_l and ranges from 1 to 128 in our simulations.

First we consider the evolution of the characteristic length l when ν_h is small enough to guarantee that diffusive effects can be neglected. In such situations, the domain growth over time is linear with a velocity that is

a function of both ν_h and ν_l . In the spirit of [36] we seek an *effective viscosity* ν_{eff} for the two phase fluid, that predicts the coarsening rate. We consider the following simple forms of the effective viscosity: the arithmetic mean ($\nu_{\text{eff}} = (\nu_h + \nu_l)/2$), the geometric mean ($\nu_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{\nu_h \nu_l}$) and Onuki's formula ($1/\nu_{\text{eff}} = (1/\nu_h + 1/\nu_l)/2$) [36]. The results are summarized in figure 3 and indicate that the use of the geometric mean ($\sqrt{\nu_h \nu_l}$) leads to a very good collapse of the curve giving the coarsening rate as a function of the effective viscosity in the linear regime and still a good collapse when inertial effects are present. Other propositions for the effective viscosity are far less convincing. Hence, the viscous growth of the microstructure is the same as the one that would occur if the viscosity was the geometric mean of the viscosities.

Finally we describe the effects of the viscosity contrast on the microstructure itself. To this purpose we consider three values of the viscosity contrast (1, 16 and 128) and choose ν_h and ν_l so that $\sqrt{\nu_h \nu_l} = 4, 8, 16$. To avoid the effect of the diffusive cross over we set density as: $\rho = 0.00625$. Using the effective viscosity, $l_0 \approx 2.10^5$ and the Peclet number is ranging from 5 to 200. Hence we have a set of parameters for which we expect both inertial and diffusive effects to be neglectable.

With this parameter set the Probability Distribution Functions (PDFs) of the principal curvatures (rescaled by l) are independent of ν_{eff} and of the initial conditions (see supplementary material [29]), indicating the generality of the results presented here. In fig.4 the contour lines of the PDFs in the two extreme cases ($VC = 1$ and $VC = 128$, with $\nu_{\text{eff}} = 8$) are plotted. As expected in the $VC = 1$ case, the PDF is symmetric with respect to the axis $l\kappa_1 = -l\kappa_2$ and the contours corresponding to two times where $l \approx 23$ and to $l \approx 84$, the PDFs are indistinguishable, indicating the self similar nature of the domain growth. In addition the contribution of the regions where κ_1 is of the same sign as κ_2 is negligible.

The self similar behaviour holds in the case $VC = 128$, as can be seen in the plot of the structure functions (see fig.4) but the plot of PDF of the curvatures (fig.4(b)) are no longer symmetric with respect to the axis $\kappa_1 = -\kappa_2$ which clearly indicates the effects of the symmetry breaking. In addition, the contribution of the regions where both κ_1 and $\kappa_2 > 0$ is no longer negligible: some regions of the interface between the fluids are spherical caps (as seen fig.1 which was not the case for $VC = 1$). This is also confirmed by the plot of the PDF (fig. 4 (c)) of the Gaussian curvature where for viscosity contrast 16 and 128, the contribution of the $\kappa_g > 0$ part of the curve is not negligible, contrary to the $VC = 1$ case.

Finally, we show the evolution of the rescaled genius number (g , which is proportional to the rescaled mean Gaussian curvature and a simple function of the Euler's characteristic.[29]), and of the rescaled mean curvature $\langle l\kappa_m \rangle$ as a function of l for these three values of VC . After an initial transient, as expected for a self-similar growth both the genius and the mean curvature are approximately constant for a given value of VC . In the




FIG. 4. (a), (b): Contour plots of the PDF of the principal curvatures rescaled by the characteristic length for two values of the viscosity contrast: 1 (resp. 128) taken at two times corresponding to (solid) $l \approx 23$ (resp. 30) and to (dashed) $l \approx 88$ (resp. 84.) (dashed). The iso-levels are 0.0001, 0.0002, 0.0003, 0.0004 and 0.0005. (c) PDF of the Gaussian curvature for three different values of the viscosity contrast (solid: 1, long dash 16 and short dash: 128). (d) Plot of the normalized structure functions taken at different time corresponding to the value of l indicated on the graph. The very good collapse of the curves for the lowest values of l confirms the self similar nature of the coarsening process. The low wavenumber departure from the collapse for $l = 63$ and $l = 82$ can be attributed to discretization effects[12]

case of the genius number, (fig. 5 (a)) the values computed are similar to the one found in [37] (≈ 0.13) in the case of diffusive coarsening and increasing VC induces a decrease of g . Nevertheless, the effect is small and there is an increase in measurement error as l increases. In contrast, the effect on the average mean curvature, (fig. 5. (b)) are much clearer. Indeed, for $VC = 1$, it is 0 (up to numerical/statistical errors) for symmetry reasons. When VC is increased, there is a clear departure from this value that confirms the symmetry breaking.

The experimental results from [18, 19] give a growth of the Euler characteristic as $0.98l^{1/3}$ for a $VC \approx 10^5$ and a volume fraction ≈ 0.45 while our results for $VC = 128$ would correspond to a growth as $0.7l^{1/3}$. The difference can be attributed to the dramatic difference in parameter values. From a more qualitative point of view, we find noteworthy the fact that the PDFs of Gaussian curvature when the viscosity contrast is increased present a significantly higher contribution of $\kappa_g > 0$, that corresponds to spherical caps (that are absent in the case $VC = 1$) since in the experiments with high values of VC , spherical inclusions are observed.




FIG. 5. (a) Plot of the rescaled genus as a function of l for $VC = 1$ (+), 16 (X) and 128(□). (b) Plot of the rescaled average mean curvature as a function of l for $VC = 1$ (+), 16 (X) and 128(□).

IV. CONCLUSION

Here, the hydrodynamical coarsening of a two phase mixture at symmetric composition is studied using constant and varying viscosity. Firstly, we have challenged the assumption of self-similarity. The analysis of the PDFs of the principal curvatures gives strong arguments in favour of the self similar nature of the viscous coarsening in both cases. In addition, the analysis presented here is suitable to describe the geometry and topology of the microstructure. More specifically, the effects of the symmetry breaking on the morphology are described and qualitative agreement with experiments[18, 19] is found. When considering the kinetics of the coarsening process, we show that the linear growth regime predicted

by Siggia[5] actually exists in the case where the two fluids share the same viscosity for values of the Reynolds number below 1. When symmetry is broken by introducing viscosity contrast, the self similar linear growth still persists. Furthermore, our analysis allowed us to propose a formula for an effective viscosity that accurately predicts the coarsening rate of the microstructure and may be used to estimate the magnitude of flow induced coarsening in experiments, This is in contrast with the thoroughly studied case of viscoelastic systems, where departure from self-similarity is observed[20, 24].

Further understanding of the microstructure formation during coarsening should be gained by study of the pattern formation process in the off critical mixture $\varphi \neq 0.5$ where we expect to observe dramatic topological changes during the coarsening process.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was granted access to the HPC resources of IDRIS under the allocation 2016-A0022B07727 made by GENCI (Grand quipement National de Calcul Intensif). The authors benefited from the support of the Chaire St-Gobain of cole Polytechnique for travel expenses, and was supported by projects K-115959 and KKP-126749 of the National Research, Development and Innovation Office (NKFIH), Hungary. And most importantly they benefited from stimulating discussion with D. Vandembroug and E. Guillard.

-
- [1] A. F. Craievich, J. M. Sanchez, and C. E. Williams, “Phase separation and dynamical scaling in borate glasses,” *Phys. Rev. B* **34**, 2762–2769 (1986).
- [2] Sanat K. Kumar and Jeffrey D. Weinhold, “Phase separation in nearly symmetric polymer mixtures,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 1512–1515 (1996).
- [3] John W Cahn, “Phase Separation by Spinodal Decomposition in Isotropic Systems,” *J. Chem Phys.* **42** (1965), 10.1063/1.1695731.
- [4] I.M. M Lifshitz and V.V. V Slyozokov, “The kinetics of precipitation from supersaturated solutions,” *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **19**, 35–50 (1961).
- [5] E.D. Siggia, “Late Stages of Spinodal decomposition in binary mixtures,” *Physical Review A* **20**, 595 (1979).
- [6] Yongwoo Kwon, Katsuyo Thornton, and Peter W. Voorhees, “Coarsening of bicontinuous structures via nonconserved and conserved dynamics,” *Physical Review E - Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics* **75**, 1–5 (2007).
- [7] Y. Kwon, K. Thornton, and P. W. Voorhees, “The topology and morphology of bicontinuous interfaces during coarsening,” *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **86**, 46005 (2009).
- [8] Y C Chou and Walter I Goldberg, “Phase separation and coalescence in critically quenched isobutyric-acid and water and 2,6-lutidine water mixtures,” *Phys. Rev. A* **20**, 2105–2113 (1979).
- [9] Ning-Chih Wong and Charles M Knobler, “Light-scattering studies of phase separation in isobutyric acid + water mixtures: Hydrodynamic effects,” *Phys. Rev. A* **24**, 3205–3211 (1981).
- [10] Cécile Appert, John F Olson, Daniel H Rothman, and Stéphane Zaleski, “Phase separation in a three-dimensional, two-phase, hydrodynamic lattice gas,” *Journal of Statistical Physics* **81**, 181–197 (1995).
- [11] S Bastea and J L Lebowitz, “Spinodal Decomposition in Binary Gases,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 3499–3502 (1997).
- [12] Vivien M. Kendon, Michael E. Cates, Ignacio Pagonabarraga, J.-C. Desplat, and Peter Blandon, “Inertial effects in three-dimensional spinodal decomposition of a symmetric binary fluid mixture: a lattice Boltzmann study,” *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **440**, 147–203 (2001).
- [13] Hiroshi Furukawa, “Role of inertia in the late stage of the phase separation of a fluid,” *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **204**, 237–245 (1994).
- [14] S I Jury, P Bladon, S Krishna, and M E Cates, “Tests of dynamical scaling in three-dimensional spinodal decomposition,” *Phys. Rev. E* **59**, R2535—R2538 (1999).
- [15] Martin Grant and K R Elder, “Spinodal Decomposition in Fluids,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 14–16 (1999).
- [16] Vm Kendon, “Scaling theory of three-dimensional spinodal turbulence,” *Physical review. E, Statistical physics,*

- plasmas, fluids, and related interdisciplinary topics **61**, R6071–4 (2000).
- [17] Anirban Sain and Martin Grant, “Phase Separation of a Binary Fluid in the Inertia-Dominated Regime,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 255702 (2005).
- [18] David Bouttes, Emmanuelle Guillard, Elodie Boller, Davy Dalmas, and Damien Vandembroucq, “Fragmentation and Limits to Dynamical Scaling in Viscous Coarsening: An Interrupted *in situ* X-Ray Tomographic Study,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 245701 (2014).
- [19] David Bouttes, Emmanuelle Guillard, and Damien Vandembroucq, “Topological symmetry breaking in viscous coarsening,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 145702 (2016).
- [20] Takeaki Araki and Hajime Tanaka, “Three-Dimensional Numerical Simulations of Viscoelastic Phase Separation: Morphological Characteristics,” *Macromolecules* **34**, 1953–1963 (2000).
- [21] John W. Cahn and John E. Hilliard, “Free Energy of a Nonuniform System. I. Interfacial Free Energy,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **28**, 258 (1958).
- [22] P. C. Hohenberg and B. I. Halperin, “Theory of dynamic critical phenomena,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **49**, 435–479 (1977).
- [23] D. M. Anderson, G. B. McFadden, and a. a. Wheeler, “Diffuse-Interface Methods in Fluid Mechanics,” *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* **30**, 139–165 (1998).
- [24] Hajime Tanaka, “Viscoelastic phase separation,” *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **12**, R207–R264 (2000).
- [25] Steven A. Orszag, “Numerical methods for the simulation of turbulence,” *Physics of Fluids* **12**, II–250 (1969).
- [26] Steven A. Orszag and G. S. Patterson, “Numerical simulation of three-dimensional homogeneous isotropic turbulence,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **28**, 76–79 (1972).
- [27] Chun Liu and Jie Shen, “A phase field model for the mixture of two incompressible fluids and its approximation by a fourier-spectral method,” *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* **179**, 211 – 228 (2003).
- [28] Jingzhi Zhu, Long-Qing Chen, Jie Shen, and Veena Tikare, “Coarsening kinetics from a variable-mobility cahn-hilliard equation: Application of a semi-implicit fourier spectral method,” *Phys. Rev. E* **60**, 3564–3572 (1999).
- [29] Herv Henry and Gyorgy Tegze, “Supplemental material url to be given by publisher.”
- [30] Barry Z. Shang, Nikolaos K. Voulgarakis, and Jih-Wei Chu, “Fluctuating hydrodynamics for multiscale simulation of inhomogeneous fluids: Mapping all-atom molecular dynamics to capillary waves,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **135**, 044111 (2011), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3615719>.
- [31] Gyula I. Tóth, Mojdeh Zarifi, and Bjørn Kvamme, “Phase-field theory of multicomponent incompressible cahn-hilliard liquids,” *Phys. Rev. E* **93**, 013126 (2016).
- [32] Ron Goldman, “Curvature formulas for implicit curves and surfaces,” *Computer Aided Geometric Design* **22**, 632–658 (2005).
- [33] J.W. W Cahn, “The later stages of spinodal composition and the begining of particle coarsening,” *Acta Metallurgica* **14**, 1685 (1966).
- [34] Hajime Tanaka and Takeaki Araki, “Spontaneous Double Phase Separation Induced by Rapid Hydrodynamic Coarsening in Two-Dimensional Fluid Mixtures,” *Physical Review Letters* **81**, 389–392 (1998).
- [35] V. Kendon, J-C. Desplat, P. Bladon, and M. Cates, “3D Spinodal Decomposition in the Inertial Regime,” *Physical Review Letters* **83**, 576–579 (1999), 9902346.
- [36] A. Onuki, “Domain growth and rheology in phase-separating binary mixtures with viscosity difference,” *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **28**, 175 (1994).
- [37] Yongwoo Kwon, K Thornton, and P W Voorhees, “Morphology and topology in coarsening of domains via non-conserved and conserved dynamics,” *Philosophical Magazine* **90**, 317–335 (2010).