

# Hyers-Ulam stability of Hyperbolic Möbius difference equation

Young Woo Nam

## Abstract

Hyers-Ulam stability of the difference equation with the initial point  $z_0$  as follows

$$z_{i+1} = \frac{az_i + b}{cz_i + d}$$

is investigated for complex numbers  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  where  $ad - bc = 1$ ,  $c \neq 0$  and  $a + d \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-2, 2]$ . The stability of the sequence  $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  holds if the initial point is in the exterior of a certain disk of which center is  $-\frac{d}{c}$ . Furthermore, the region for stability can be extended to the complement of some neighborhood of the line segment between  $-\frac{d}{c}$  and the repelling fixed point of the map  $z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ . This result is the generalization of Hyers-Ulam stability of Pielou logistic equation.

## 1 Introduction

Difference equation is the recurrence relation which defines the sequence and each of which terms determines the proceeding terms. For the introduction of difference equation, for example, see [4]. The first order difference equation is of the following form

$$z_{i+1} = g(i, z_i)$$

for all integer  $i \geq 0$ . In 1940, Ulam [13] suggested the problem concerning the stability of group homomorphisms [11]: Given a metric group  $(G, \cdot, d)$ , a positive number  $\varepsilon$ , and a function  $f : G \rightarrow G$  which satisfies the inequality  $d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $x, y \in G$ , do there exist an homomorphism  $a : G \rightarrow G$  and a constant  $\delta$  depending only on  $G$  and  $\varepsilon$  such that  $d(a(x), f(x)) \leq \delta$  for all  $x \in G$ ? A first answer to this question was given by

Hyers [5] in 1941 who proved that the Cauchy additive equation is stable in Banach spaces.

The difference equation has Hyers-Ulam stability if each terms of the sequence with the given relation has (small) error, this sequence is approximated by the sequence with same relation which has no error. Hyers-Ulam stability of difference equation is relatively recent topic. For example, see [8, 9, 10, 11]. In particular, Pielou logistic difference equation has Hyers-Ulam stability only if the initial point of the sequence is contained in definite intervals in [10]. In the same paper, this result is extended to the following difference equation over  $\mathbb{R}$

$$x_{i+1} = \frac{ax_i + b}{cx_i + d}$$

where  $ad - bc = 1$ ,  $c \neq 0$  and  $(a + d)^2 > 4$  for real numbers  $a, b, c$  and  $d$ . In this article, we generalize the result of difference equations on the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  where  $a + d$  is real and it satisfies that  $(a + d)^2 > 4$  with complex numbers  $a, b, c$  and  $d$ .

## Möbius map

Linear fractional map on the Riemann sphere  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  is called *Möbius map* or *Möbius transformation*.

$$g(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$$

where  $ad - bc \neq 0$  for  $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

The non-constant Möbius map  $g(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$  has the following properties.

- Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $ad - bc = 1$ .
- $g(\infty)$  is defined as  $\frac{a}{c}$  and  $g\left(-\frac{d}{c}\right)$  is defined as  $\infty$ .
- The composition of two Möbius maps is also a Möbius map.
- The map  $g$  is the linear map if and only if  $\infty$  is a fixed point of  $g$ .
- The image of circle or line under Möbius map is circle or line.

The matrix representation of Möbius map is useful to classify Möbius map qualitatively. In particular, the equation  $\frac{az+b}{cz+d} = \frac{paz+pb}{pcz+pd}$  holds for all  $p \neq 0$ . We define the matrix representation of Möbius map  $z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$  as follows  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  where  $ad - bc = 1$ . We denote the matrix representation of Möbius map  $g$  by also  $g$  unless it makes confusion. Denote the trace of the matrix representation of Möbius map  $g$  by  $\text{tr}(g)$ .

## Main content

In Section 3, Hyers-Ulam stability of the sequence defined by hyperbolic Möbius map on the exterior of the disk of which center is  $g^{-1}(\infty)$  with a certain radius. This is the direct generalization of Hyers-Ulam stability of Pielou logistic equation in [10] on the complex plane. In Section 5, the *avoided region* at  $\infty$  is defined as the complement of the closure of the neighborhood of the line segment between  $g^{-1}(\infty)$  and the repelling fixed point of  $g$ . In Section 7, Hyers-Ulam stability of  $g$  is proved in the complement of an avoided region.

## 2 Hyperbolic Möbius map

The trace of matrix is invariant under conjugation. Thus qualitative classification of Möbius map depends on the trace of matrix representation.

**Definition 2.1.** *If the matrix representation of the non-constant Möbius map  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  has its trace  $a + d$ , say  $\text{tr}(g)$ , is in the set  $\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2, 2]$ , then the map  $g$  is called the hyperbolic Möbius map.*

Denote the fixed points of  $g$  by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . If  $|g'(\alpha)| < 1$ , then  $\alpha$  is called the *attracting* fixed point. If  $|g'(\beta)| > 1$ , then  $\beta$  is called the *repelling* fixed point.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $g$  be the hyperbolic Möbius map such that  $g(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$  where  $ad - bc = 1$  and  $c \neq 0$ . Then  $g$  has two different fixed points, one of which is the attracting fixed point and the other is the repelling fixed point.*

*Proof.* The fixed points of  $g$  are the roots of the quadratic equation

$$cz^2 - (a - d)z - b = 0$$

Denote the fixed points of  $g$  as follows

$$\alpha = \frac{a - d + \sqrt{(a + d)^2 - 4}}{2c} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{a - d - \sqrt{(a + d)^2 - 4}}{2c}. \quad (1)$$

Observe that  $\alpha + \beta = \frac{a-d}{c}$  and  $\alpha\beta = -\frac{b}{c}$ . Thus we have the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} (c\alpha + d)(c\beta + d) &= c^2\alpha\beta + cd(\alpha + \beta) + d^2 \\ &= -bc + d(a - d) + d^2 \\ &= -bc + ad \\ &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Since  $g$  is the hyperbolic Möbius map, that is,  $a + d > 2$  or  $a + d < -2$ , without loss of generality we may assume that  $a + d > 2$ . Then we obtain the following inequality using the equation (1)

$$c\alpha + d = \frac{a + d + \sqrt{(a + d)^2 - 4}}{2} > \frac{a + d}{2} > 1. \quad (3)$$

Since  $g'(z) = \frac{1}{(cz+d)^2}$  and by the equations (2) and (3), we obtain that  $g'(\alpha) = \frac{1}{(c\alpha+d)^2} < 1$  and  $g'(\beta) = \frac{1}{(c\beta+d)^2} > 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $g$  and  $h$  are Möbius map as follows*

$$g(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad \text{and} \quad h(z) = \frac{z - \beta}{z - \alpha}$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the fixed points of  $g$  and  $ad - bc = 1$ . If  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , then  $h \circ g \circ h^{-1}(w) = kw$  where  $k = \frac{1}{(c\beta+d)^2}$ . In particular, if  $g$  is the hyperbolic Möbius map and  $\beta$  is the repelling fixed point, then  $k > 1$ .

*Proof.* The maps  $g$  and  $h$  are Möbius map. Thus so is  $h \circ g \circ h^{-1}$ . By the direct calculation, we obtain that  $h^{-1}(w) = \frac{\alpha w - \beta}{w - 1}$ . Observe that  $h^{-1}(0) = \beta$ ,  $h^{-1}(\infty) = \alpha$  and  $h^{-1}(1) = \infty$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} h \circ g \circ h^{-1}(0) &= h \circ g(\beta) = h(\beta) = 0 \\ h \circ g \circ h^{-1}(\infty) &= h \circ g(\alpha) = h(\alpha) = \infty \end{aligned}$$

The points 0 and  $\infty$  are fixed points of  $h \circ g \circ h^{-1}$ . So  $h \circ g \circ h^{-1}(w) = kw$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $k = h \circ g \circ h^{-1}(1)$ , the following equation holds by (1) and (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
k &= h \circ g \circ h^{-1}(1) = h \circ g(\infty) = h\left(\frac{a}{c}\right) \\
&= \frac{\frac{a}{c} - \beta}{\frac{a}{c} - \alpha} = \frac{a - c\beta}{a - c\alpha} \\
&= \frac{a + d + \sqrt{(a + d)^2 - 4}}{a + d - \sqrt{(a + d)^2 - 4}} \\
&= \frac{c\alpha + d}{c\beta + d} \\
&= \frac{1}{(c\beta + d)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $g$  is the hyperbolic Möbius map, then  $k = \frac{1}{(c\beta + d)^2} = g'(\beta) > 1$  by the proof of Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $g$  be the hyperbolic Möbius map on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be the attracting and the repelling fixed point respectively. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g^n(z) \rightarrow \alpha \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow +\infty$$

for all  $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{\beta\}$ .

*Proof.* By the classification of Möbius map, the hyperbolic Möbius map has both the attracting and the repelling fixed points. Let  $h$  be the linear fractional map as follows

$$h(z) = \frac{z - \beta}{z - \alpha}.$$

Then  $f = h \circ g \circ h^{-1}$  is the dilation with the repelling fixed point at zero, that is,  $f(w) = kw$  for  $k > 1$ . Thus 0 is the repelling fixed point of  $f$ . Since  $h$  is a bijection on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ , the orbit,  $\{g^n(z)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  corresponds to the orbit,  $\{f^n(h(z))\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  by conjugation  $h$ . Observe that

$$f^n(z) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow +\infty$$

for all  $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}$ . Hence,

$$g^n(z) \rightarrow \alpha \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow +\infty$$

for all  $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{\beta\}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $g$  be the map defined in Lemma 2.4. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g^{-n}(z) \rightarrow \beta \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

for all  $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{\alpha\}$ .

*Proof.* Observe that  $g^{-1}$  is also hyperbolic Möbius transformation and  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  are the attracting and the repelling fixed point under  $g^{-1}$  respectively. Thus we apply the proof of Lemma 2.4 to the map  $g^{-1}$ . It completes the proof.  $\square$

We collect the notions throughout this paper as follows

- The Möbius map  $g$  is the hyperbolic Möbius map and  $g(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$  where  $ad - bc = 1$  and  $c \neq 0$ .
- The Möbius map  $h$  is defined as  $h(z) = \frac{z-\beta}{z-\alpha}$  where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the attracting and the repelling fixed point of  $g$ .
- Without loss of generality, we may assume that the hyperbolic Möbius map  $g$  has the matrix representation with  $\text{tr}(g) > 2$ .
- Since the trace of the matrix is invariant under conjugation, we obtain that  $\text{tr}(g) = \text{tr}(h \circ g \circ h^{-1})$ . By Lemma 2.3, if  $\text{tr}(g) > 2$ , then

$$\text{tr}(g) = \text{tr} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{k} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{k} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} > 2.$$

### 3 Hyers-Ulam stability on the exterior of disk

Let  $F$  be the function from  $\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{C}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Suppose that for a given positive number  $\varepsilon$ , a complex valued sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  satisfies the inequality

$$|a_{i+1} - F(i, a_i)| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  where  $|\cdot|$  is the absolute value of complex number. If there exists the sequence  $\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  which satisfies that

$$b_{i+1} = F(i, b_i)$$

for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and  $|a_i - b_i| \leq G(\varepsilon)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  where the positive number  $G(\varepsilon)$  converges to zero as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , then we say that the sequence  $\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  has *Hyers-Ulam stability*. Denote  $F(i, z)$  by  $F_i(z)$  if necessary.

The set  $S$  is called an invariant set under  $F$  (or  $S$  is invariant under  $F$ ) where for any  $s \in S$  we obtain that  $F(i, s) \in S$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $F: \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a function satisfying the condition*

$$|F(i, u) - F(i, v)| \leq K|u - v| \quad (4)$$

*for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$  and for  $0 < K < 1$ . For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  suppose that the complex valued sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  satisfies the inequality*

$$|a_{i+1} - F(i, a_i)| \leq \varepsilon \quad (5)$$

*for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  satisfying*

$$b_{i+1} = F(i, b_i) \quad (6)$$

*and*

$$|b_i - a_i| \leq K^i |b_0 - a_0| + \frac{1 - K^i}{1 - K} \varepsilon$$

*for  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . If the whole sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is contained in the invariant set  $S \subset \mathbb{C}$  under  $F$ , then  $\{b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is also in  $S$  under the condition,  $a_0 = b_0$ .*

*Proof.* By induction suppose that

$$|b_{i-1} - a_{i-1}| \leq K^{i-1} |b_0 - a_0| + \frac{1 - K^{i-1}}{1 - K} \varepsilon.$$

If  $i = 0$ , then trivially  $|b_0 - a_0| \leq \varepsilon$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} |b_i - a_i| &\leq |b_i - F(i-1, a_{i-1})| + |a_i - F(i-1, a_{i-1})| \\ &\leq |F(i-1, b_{i-1}) - F(i-1, a_{i-1})| + |a_i - F(i-1, a_{i-1})| \\ &= K|b_{i-1} - a_{i-1}| + \varepsilon \\ &\leq K \left\{ K^{i-1} |b_0 - a_0| + \frac{1 - K^{i-1}}{1 - K} \varepsilon \right\} + \varepsilon \\ &= K^i |b_0 - a_0| + \frac{1 - K^i}{1 - K} \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if  $a_0 = b_0$ , then the sequence  $\{b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  satisfies the inequality (5) without error under  $F$ . Hence,  $\{b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is contained in the invariant set  $S$ .  $\square$




Figure 1: Image of the disk under hyperbolic Möbius map

The real version of the following lemma is proved in [10] as  $g$  is the map defined on the real line.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $g$  be the Möbius map  $g(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$  where  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  are complex numbers,  $ad - bc = 1$  and  $c \neq 0$ . Let the region  $S(r)$  and  $T(r)$  be as follows*

$$S(r) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \left| z + \frac{d}{c} \right| > \frac{r}{|c|} \right\}, \quad T(r) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \left| z - \frac{a}{c} \right| < \frac{1}{r|c|} \right\}$$

for  $r > 0$ . Then  $g(S(r)) = T(r) \setminus \left\{ \frac{a}{c} \right\}$  for any  $r > 0$ . Moreover, if  $g$  is hyperbolic Möbius map and  $r + \frac{1}{r} < |\text{tr}(g)|$ , then the closure of  $T(r)$  is contained in  $S(r)$ .

*Proof.* The set  $S(r)$  is contained in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $g(\infty) = \frac{a}{c}$ . Then  $S(r)$  does not have  $\frac{a}{c}$ . The equation  $g(S(r)) = T(r) \setminus \left\{ \frac{a}{c} \right\}$  for any  $r > 0$  is shown by the following equivalent conditions

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) \in T(r) \setminus \left\{ \frac{a}{c} \right\} &\iff 0 < \left| \frac{az+b}{cz+d} - \frac{a}{c} \right| < \frac{1}{r|c|} \\ &\iff 0 < \left| \frac{ad-bc}{cz^2+cd} \right| < \frac{1}{r|c|} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&\Longleftrightarrow 0 < \frac{1}{\left|z + \frac{d}{c}\right|} < \frac{|c|}{r} \\
&\Longleftrightarrow \left|z + \frac{d}{c}\right| > \frac{r}{|c|} \\
&\Longleftrightarrow z \in S(r).
\end{aligned}$$

Additionally, suppose that  $g$  is the hyperbolic map and  $r + \frac{1}{r} < |\operatorname{tr}(g)|$ . The closure of  $T(r)$  is the set of points satisfying that  $\left|z - \frac{a}{c}\right| \leq \frac{1}{r|c|}$ . Then for any  $z$  in the closure of  $T(r)$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
|cz + d| &= |cz - a + a + d| \\
&\geq -|cz - a| + |a + d| \\
&= -|c| \cdot \left|z - \frac{a}{c}\right| + |\operatorname{tr}(g)| \\
&> -|c| \cdot \frac{r}{|c|} + r + \frac{1}{r} \\
&= \frac{1}{r}
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have  $\left|z + \frac{d}{c}\right| > \frac{1}{r|c|}$ , that is,  $z \in S(r)$ . Hence, the closure of  $T(r)$  is contained in  $S(r)$  if  $r + \frac{1}{r} < |\operatorname{tr}(g)|$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $g$  be the hyperbolic Möbius map with  $\operatorname{tr}(g) = 2 + \tau$  for  $\tau > 0$ . Let  $S(r)$  be the region defined in Lemma 3.2. For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let a complex valued sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  satisfies the inequality*

$$|a_{i+1} - g(a_i)| \leq \varepsilon$$

*for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Suppose that  $\varepsilon < \frac{t}{|c|(1+t)}$  and  $a_0$  is in  $S(1+t)$  for  $0 < t \leq \tau$ . Then the sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is contained in  $S(1+t)$ . Moreover, there exists the sequence  $\{b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  satisfying*

$$b_{i+1} = g(b_i)$$

*for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  has Hyers-Ulam stability where  $b_0 = a_0$ .*

*Proof.* For the map

$$g(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$$

we may assume that  $ad - bc = 1$ . Recall that  $g'(z) = \frac{1}{(cz+d)^2}$ . Thus  $|g'|$  has a uniform upper bound in  $S(1+t)$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} z \in S(1+t) &\iff \left| z + \frac{d}{c} \right| > \frac{1+t}{|c|} \\ &\iff |cz + d| > 1+t \\ &\iff |g'(z)| = \frac{1}{|cz + d|^2} < \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} < 1. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

*claim* : If  $a_0 \in S(1+\tau)$  and  $\varepsilon < \frac{\tau}{|c|(1+\tau)}$ , then the whole sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is also contained in  $S(1+\tau)$ . By induction assume that  $a_{i-1} \in S(1+\tau)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| a_i - \left( -\frac{d}{c} \right) \right| &= \left| a_i - \frac{a}{c} + \frac{a}{c} - \frac{d}{c} \right| \\ &\geq -\left| a_i - \frac{a}{c} \right| + \left| \frac{a+d}{c} \right| \\ &\geq -\left| g(a_{i-1}) - \frac{a}{c} \right| - \varepsilon + \frac{2+t}{|c|} \\ &> -\frac{1}{|c|(1+\tau)} - \varepsilon + \frac{2+t}{|c|} \quad \text{by Lemma 3.2} \\ &= \frac{1}{|c|} \left( 2+t - \frac{1}{1+\tau} - \varepsilon \right) \\ &> \frac{1}{|c|} \left( 2+t - \frac{1}{1+t} - \frac{t}{1+t} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|c|} (1+t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\left| a_i + \frac{d}{c} \right| > \frac{1+t}{|c|}$ , that is,  $a_i \in S(1+t)$ . Then the whole sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is contained in  $S(1+\tau)$ .

The inequality (7) implies that  $g$  is the Lipschitz map with Lipschitz constant  $\frac{1}{(1+t)^2}$  in  $S(1+t)$ . Then Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$|b_i - a_i| \leq K^i |b_0 - a_0| + \frac{1 + K^i}{1 - K} \varepsilon$$

where  $K = \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} < 1$ . Hence, the sequence  $\{b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  has Hyers-Ulam stability where  $b_0 = a_0$ .  $\square$




Figure 2: Circle and line under Möbius map

## 4 Image of concentric circles under the conjugation $h$

In this section, we show that the image of concentric circles of which center is  $-\frac{d}{c}$  under the map  $h$  defined as  $h(z) = \frac{z-\beta}{z-\alpha}$ . Denote a circle in the complex plane by  $C$ . Recall that the image of line or circle under Möbius map is line or circle. Moreover, since Möbius map is conformal, the end points of the diameter of  $C$  is mapped by  $h$  to the end points of the diameter of  $h(C)$ . However, the image of the center of  $C$  is not in general the center of  $h(C)$ . Recall the map  $f = h \circ g \circ h^{-1}$  is the dilation defined as  $f(w) = kw$  where  $k = \frac{1}{(c\beta+d)^2} > 1$  by Lemma 2.3. Let  $L$  be the straight line in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Define the *extended (straight) line* as  $L \cup \{\infty\}$  and denote it by  $L_\infty$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $h$  be the Möbius map defined as  $h(z) = \frac{z-\beta}{z-\alpha}$ . Then the image of  $-\frac{d}{c}$  under  $h$  as follows*

$$h\left(-\frac{d}{c}\right) = \frac{1}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad -\frac{d}{c} = \frac{k\beta - \alpha}{k - 1}.$$

*Proof.* The map  $h$  is the conjugation from  $g$  to  $f$  and  $h(\infty) = 1$ . The fact that  $f \circ h = h \circ g$  implies that

$$f \circ h\left(-\frac{d}{c}\right) = h \circ g\left(-\frac{d}{c}\right) = h(\infty) = 1 = f\left(\frac{1}{k}\right).$$

Since  $f$  is a bijection on  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $h(-\frac{d}{c}) = \frac{1}{k}$ . Observe that the map  $h^{-1}(w) = \frac{\alpha w - \beta}{w - 1}$ . Hence, we have

$$-\frac{d}{c} = h^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) = \frac{k\beta - \alpha}{k - 1}. \quad (8)$$

□

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $g$  be the hyperbolic Möbius map  $g(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$  where  $ad - bc = 1$  and  $c \neq 0$  with the attracting and repelling fixed points  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively. Denote the extended (straight) line which contains  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  by  $L_\infty = \{ t\alpha + (1-t)\beta : t \in \mathbb{R} \} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Then  $L_\infty$  is invariant under  $g$ . In particular,  $g(L_\infty) = L_\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Lemma 4.1 implies the following equation

$$-\frac{d}{c} = \frac{k}{k-1}\beta - \frac{1}{k-1}\alpha$$

Thus  $-\frac{d}{c}$  is in the line segment which contains both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then  $-\frac{d}{c} \in L_\infty$ . The fact that  $g(-\frac{d}{c}) = \infty$  implies that  $\infty$  is also contained in  $g(L_\infty)$ . Recall that any non constant Möbius map is bijective on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . Then  $g(L_\infty)$  is the extended line which contains  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Hence, the extended line  $L_\infty$  is invariant under  $g$  and  $g(L_\infty) = L_\infty$ . □

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $L_\infty$  be the extended line defined in Lemma 4.2. Let  $h$  be the map defined as  $\frac{z-\beta}{z-\alpha}$  where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the attracting and the repelling fixed points of  $g$  respectively. Then  $h(L_\infty)$  is the extended real line,  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ .*

*Proof.* By the definition of  $h$ ,  $h(\alpha) = \infty$ ,  $h(\beta) = 0$  and  $h(\infty) = 1$ . Hence,  $h(L_\infty)$  is the extended line which contains 0, 1 and  $\infty$ . Hence,  $h(L_\infty)$  is the extended real line,  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ . □

Recall the set  $\partial S(r)$  is the circle of which center is  $-\frac{d}{c}$  with radius  $\frac{r}{|c|}$  for  $c \neq 0$ . The extended line  $L_\infty$  contains  $-\frac{d}{c}$ . Thus for any two points  $p$  and  $q$  in  $L_\infty$ , if the midpoint of the line segment connecting  $p$  and  $q$  is  $-\frac{d}{c}$ , then  $p$  and  $q$  are the end points of the diameter of  $\partial S(r)$  for some  $r > 0$ . In the following lemma the image of the endpoints of the diameter of  $\partial S(r)$  for arbitrary radius.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $h$  be the map  $h(z) = \frac{z-\beta}{z-\alpha}$ . Let  $t\alpha + (1-t)\beta$  be the point in  $L_\infty$  and denote it by  $p_t$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$h(p_t) = \frac{t}{t-1} \quad \text{and} \quad h\left(-p_t - \frac{2d}{c}\right) = \frac{tk - t + 2}{tk - t + k + 1}.$$

*Proof.* The straightforward calculation shows that  $h(p_t) = \frac{t}{t-1}$ . So we omit the detail of the calculation. By the equation (8) in Lemma 4.1, we have  $-\frac{d}{c} = \frac{k\beta-\alpha}{k-1}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
h\left(-p_t - \frac{2d}{c}\right) &= \frac{\left(-p_t - \frac{2d}{c}\right) - \beta}{\left(-p_t - \frac{2d}{c}\right) - \alpha} \\
&= \frac{-t\alpha - (1-t)\beta - \beta - \frac{2d}{c}}{-t\alpha - (1-t)\beta - \alpha - \frac{2d}{c}} \\
&= \frac{t\alpha + (2-t)\beta - \frac{2k\beta-2\alpha}{k-1}}{(1+t)\alpha + (1-t)\beta - \frac{2k\beta-2\alpha}{k-1}} \quad \text{by (8) in Lemma 4.1} \\
&= \frac{(tk - t + 2)(\alpha - \beta)}{(tk - t + k + 1)(\alpha - \beta)} \\
&= \frac{tk - t + 2}{tk - t + k + 1}.
\end{aligned}$$

It completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $h$  be the Möbius map  $h(z) = \frac{z-\beta}{z-\alpha}$ . Then we obtain that*

$$h\left(-\beta - \frac{2d}{c}\right) = \frac{2}{k+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad h\left(-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} - \frac{2d}{c}\right) = \frac{k+3}{3k+1}.$$

*Proof.* Observe that  $p_0 = \beta$  and  $p_{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}$  in Lemma 4.4. Put  $t = 0$  for  $h\left(-\beta - \frac{2d}{c}\right)$  and put  $t = \frac{1}{2}$  for  $h\left(-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} - \frac{2d}{c}\right)$  in Lemma 4.4.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $g$  be the hyperbolic Möbius map  $g(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$  where  $ad-bc = 1$  and  $c \neq 0$ . Let  $h$  be another Möbius map as follows*

$$h(z) = \frac{z - \beta}{z - \alpha}.$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be the attracting and the repelling fixed point of  $g$  respectively. Then

$$\partial S\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right) = \left\{z: \left|z + \frac{d}{c}\right| = \left|\frac{d}{c} + \beta\right|\right\}$$

and

$$h\left(\partial S\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)\right) = \left\{w : \left|w - \frac{1}{k+1}\right| = \frac{1}{k+1}\right\}$$

where  $k = \frac{1}{(c\beta+d)^2} > 1$ .

*Proof.* The fact that  $k = \frac{1}{(c\beta+d)^2}$  implies  $\frac{|c\beta+d|}{|c|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}|c|}$ . Thus by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\partial S\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right) = \left\{z : \left|z + \frac{d}{c}\right| = \left|\frac{d}{c} + \beta\right|\right\}.$$

The extended straight line  $L_\infty$  contains  $\beta$  and  $-\beta - \frac{2d}{c}$  by Lemma 4.1. The midpoint of the line segment between these two points is  $-\frac{d}{c}$ , which is the center of  $\partial S\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)$ . The half of the distance between  $\beta$  and  $-\beta - \frac{2d}{c}$  is the radius. Moreover,  $\partial S\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)$  meets  $L_\infty$  at two points  $\beta$  and  $-\beta - \frac{2d}{c}$  at right angle because  $L_\infty$  goes through the center of  $\partial S\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)$ . Since  $h$  is conformal,  $F(L_\infty)$  also meets  $F\left(\partial S\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)\right)$  at  $F(\beta)$  and  $F\left(-\beta - \frac{2d}{c}\right)$  at right angle.  $h(\beta) = 0$  by the definition of  $h$ . Corollary 4.5 implies that

$$h\left(-\beta - \frac{2d}{c}\right) = \frac{2}{k+1}. \quad (9)$$

Then center of the circle  $h\left(\partial S\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)\right)$  is the midpoint of the line segment between 0 and  $\frac{2}{k+1}$ . Hence,  $h\left(\partial S\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)\right)$  is the following circle

$$\left\{w : \left|w - \frac{1}{k+1}\right| = \frac{1}{k+1}\right\}.$$

□

**Corollary 4.7.** *The following equations hold*

$$\left|\frac{c\beta+d}{c}\right| = \frac{1}{k-1}|\alpha-\beta| \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{|c|} = \frac{\sqrt{k}}{k-1}|\alpha-\beta|$$

where  $k = \frac{1}{(c\beta+d)^2}$ .

*Proof.* Observe that  $h^{-1}(w) = \frac{\alpha w - \beta}{w - 1}$ . Thus we have that

$$h^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{k+1}\right) = -\frac{k+1}{k-1}(\alpha - \beta) + \alpha$$

and  $h^{-1}(0) = \beta$ . The distance between the above two points is the diameter of  $\partial S\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)$ . Then the half of this distance is the radius of  $\partial S\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)$  as follows

$$\frac{1}{2} \left| -\frac{k+1}{k-1}(\alpha - \beta) + \alpha - \beta \right| = \frac{1}{k-1} |\alpha - \beta|.$$

However,  $\left|\frac{c\beta+d}{c}\right|$  is also the radius of  $\partial S\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)$  by Lemma 4.6. Hence, we have  $\left|\frac{c\beta+d}{c}\right| = \frac{1}{k-1} |\alpha - \beta|$  and moreover, since  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} = |c\beta + d|$ , the equation  $\frac{1}{|c|} = \frac{\sqrt{k}}{k-1} |\alpha - \beta|$  holds.  $\square$

**Remark 4.8.** The upper bound of  $\frac{1}{|c|}$  for every  $k > 1$  is the distance between  $-\frac{d}{c}$  and  $\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}$  because

$$\left| \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} - \left(-\frac{d}{c}\right) \right| = \left| \frac{a-d}{2c} + \frac{d}{c} \right| = \frac{a+d}{2|c|} > \frac{2}{2|c|} = \frac{1}{|c|}.$$

Recall that  $\text{tr}(g) = a + d = 2 + \tau$ . Thus the equation,  $\frac{a+d}{2|c|} = \frac{1+\frac{\tau}{2}}{|c|}$  holds. Then the disk  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S\left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\right)$  as follows

$$\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S\left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\right) = \left\{ z : \left| z + \frac{d}{c} \right| \leq \left| \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} + \frac{d}{c} \right| \right\}. \quad (10)$$

Moreover, this disk contains compactly the disk  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S(1)$ .

**Proposition 4.9.** The circle  $h\left(\partial S\left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\right)\right)$  is as follows

$$\left\{ w : \left| w - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{k+3}{3k+1} - 1 \right) \right| = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{k+3}{3k+1} + 1 \right) \right\}. \quad (11)$$




Figure 3: Concentric circles and its images under  $h$

*Proof.* By the definition of  $\partial S(r)$  for  $r > 0$ , the line connecting the fixed points  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , say  $L$ , goes through the center of the circle  $\partial S(r)$ . In other words, the circle and line meet at two points at right angle. Since  $h$  is conformal,  $h(\partial S(r))$  meets also the real line at two points at right angle.

Observe that two points in the set  $\partial S(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}) \cap L$  are  $-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} - \frac{2d}{c}$  and  $\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}$ . Thus it suffice to show that the image of two points in  $\partial S(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}) \cap L$  under  $h$  is the points  $\frac{k+3}{3k+1}$  and  $-1$ . In Lemma 4.4, put  $t = \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence, we have the following equations

$$h\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} - \frac{2d}{c}\right) = \frac{k+3}{3k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad h\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\right) = -1$$

for each  $k > 1$ . Then the midpoint of the two points  $\frac{k+3}{3k+1}$  and  $-1$  is the center of the circle  $h(\partial S(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}))$ . Moreover, the half of the distance between these two points is the radius of  $h(\partial S(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}))$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.10.** Observe that the map  $y(k) = \frac{k+3}{3k+1}$  is a decreasing function for  $k > 0$  and  $\frac{1}{3} < \frac{k+3}{3k+1} < 1$  for  $k > 1$ .  $y(1) = 1$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k+3}{3k+1} = \frac{1}{3}$ . Then the circle in Corollary 4.9,  $h(\partial S(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}))$  is contained in the unit disk  $\{w: |w| \leq 1\}$  for all  $1 < k < \infty$ .



## 5 Avoided region

The map  $g$  is the hyperbolic Möbius map as follows

$$g(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$$

for  $ad - bc = 1$  and  $c \neq 0$ . Since the point  $\infty$  is not a fixed point of  $g$ , the preimage of  $\infty$  under  $g$ , namely,  $g^{-1}(\infty)$  is in the complex plane. For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  satisfying that

$$|a_{i+1} - g(a_i)| \leq \varepsilon$$

contains  $g^{-1}(\infty)$ , say  $a_k$ , then  $|a_{k+1} - \infty|$  is not bounded where  $|\cdot|$  is the absolute value of the complex number. In order to exclude  $g^{-1}(\infty)$  in the whole sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ , the region  $\mathcal{R}_g$  is defined such that if the initial point of the sequence,  $a_0$  is not in  $\mathcal{R}_g$ , then the whole sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  cannot be in the same region  $\mathcal{R}_g$ . Let the forward orbit of  $p$  under  $F$  be the set  $\{F(p), F^2(p), \dots, F^n(p), \dots\}$  and denote it by  $\text{Orb}_{\mathbb{N}}(p, F)$ .

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $F$  be the map on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  which does not fix  $\infty$ . Avoided region for the sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  satisfying  $|a_{i+1} - F(a_i)| \leq \varepsilon$  for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  which is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}_F \subset \mathbb{C}$  is defined as follows

1.  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{R}_F$  is (forward) invariant under  $F$ , that is,  $F(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{R}_F) \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{R}_F$ .
2. For any given initial point  $a_0$  in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{R}_F$ , all points in the sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  satisfying  $|a_{i+1} - F(a_i)| \leq \varepsilon$  are in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{R}_F$ .

If  $\mathcal{R}_F$  contains  $\text{Orb}_{\mathbb{N}}(p, F^{-1})$  where  $p \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ , then it is called the avoided region at  $p$  and is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}_F(p)$ .

In the above definition, the avoided region does not have to be connected.

**Remark 5.1.** The set  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S(1+t)$  in Proposition 3.3 is an avoided region at  $\infty$ . However, avoided region  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S(1 + \frac{\tau}{2})$  can be extended to some neighborhood of  $\text{Orb}_{\mathbb{N}}(\infty, g^{-1})$ , which is denoted to be  $\mathcal{R}_g(\infty)$  in the following proposition.

Recall that for a complex number  $z$ ,  $\text{Re } z$  is the real part of  $z$  and  $\text{Im } z$  is the imaginary part of  $z$ . The complex conjugate of  $z$  is denoted by  $\bar{z}$ .




Figure 4: Avoided regions for hyperbolic Möbius maps

**Proposition 5.2.** *Define the following regions*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_1^t &= \left\{ w : |w| \leq \frac{t\delta}{k-1} \right\} \cap \{w : \operatorname{Re} w \leq 0\} \\ \mathcal{R}_2^t &= \left\{ w : -\frac{t\delta}{k-1} \leq \operatorname{Im} w \leq \frac{t\delta}{k-1} \right\} \cap \left\{ w : 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} w \leq \frac{1}{k} \right\} \\ \mathcal{R}_3^t &= \left\{ w : \left| w - \frac{1}{k} \right| \leq \frac{t\delta}{k-1} \right\} \cap \left\{ w : \operatorname{Re} w \geq \frac{1}{k} \right\}\end{aligned}$$

for  $t \geq 1$  and denote the union  $\mathcal{R}_1^t \cup \mathcal{R}_2^t \cup \mathcal{R}_3^t$  by  $\mathcal{R}^t$ . Let the map  $f$  be the dilation,  $f(w) = kw$  for the given number  $k > 1$ . Let  $\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be the sequence for a given  $\delta > 0$  satisfying that

$$|c_{i+1} - f(c_i)| < \delta \tag{12}$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . If  $\delta < \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^2$ , then the set  $\mathcal{R}^t$  is an avoided region for  $\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ , namely,  $\mathcal{R}_f$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{R}_f$  contains the orbit,  $\operatorname{Orb}_{\mathbb{N}}(1, f^{-1})$ . Hence, the avoided region can be chosen as  $\mathcal{R}_f(1)$ .

The proof of Proposition 5.2 requires the combined result of lemmas as follows.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $f$  be the map  $f(w) = kw$  for  $k > 1$ . The sequence  $\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is defined in (12) for  $\delta > 0$ . Consider the following regions*

$$\mathcal{D}_1^t = \left\{ w : |w| \leq \frac{t\delta}{k-1} \right\}, \quad \mathcal{D}_2^t = \left\{ w : -\frac{t\delta}{k-1} \leq \operatorname{Im} w \leq \frac{t\delta}{k-1} \right\}$$

for  $\delta > 0$  and  $t \geq 1$ . If  $c_0 \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{D}_j^t$  for  $j = 1, 2$ , then the whole sequence  $\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is contained in the same set  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{D}_j^t$  respectively.

*Proof.* Suppose firstly that  $|c_0| > \frac{t\delta}{k-1}$ . Thus  $|f(c_0)| > \frac{kt\delta}{k-1}$ . The inequality  $|c_{i+1} - f(c_i)| < \delta$  implies that

$$\delta > |c_1 - f(c_0)| \geq ||c_1| - |f(c_0)|| \quad (13)$$

$$|c_1| > |f(c_0)| - \delta > \frac{kt\delta}{k-1} - t\delta = \frac{t\delta}{k-1} \quad (14)$$

for  $t \geq 1$ . Then  $c_1 \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{D}_1^t$ . By induction the whole sequence is also contained in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{D}_1^t$ .

Similarly, suppose that  $c_0 \in \mathcal{D}_2^t$ . Since  $|\operatorname{Im}(c_1 - f(c_0))| \leq |c_1 - f(c_0)| < \delta$ , the assumption  $c_0 \in \mathcal{D}_2^t$  implies the following inequality.

$$|\operatorname{Im} c_1| > |\operatorname{Im} f(c_0)| - \delta = k |\operatorname{Im} c_0| - \delta > \frac{kt\delta}{k-1} - t\delta = \frac{t\delta}{k-1}$$

for  $t \geq 1$ . Then  $c_1 \in \mathcal{D}_2^t$ . Hence, by induction the whole sequence is also contained in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{D}_2^t$ .  $\square$

Observe that  $\mathcal{D}_1^t \subset \mathcal{D}_2^t$  for every  $t \geq 1$  in Lemma 5.3. The region  $\mathcal{R}_1^t$  is the half disk of  $\mathcal{D}_1^t$  in the left half complex plane. Thus if a point  $c_j$  in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{R}_1^t$  and  $c_{j+1}$  is also contained in the set  $\{w : \operatorname{Re} w \leq 0\}$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $c_{j+1}$  is contained in  $(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{R}_1^t) \cap \{w : \operatorname{Re} w \leq 0\}$ . However,  $c_{j+1}$  may be in the right half complex plane. Thus in order to construct the avoided region in Proposition 5.2, another lemma is required as follows.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $f$  be the map  $f(w) = kw$  for  $k > 1$ . The sequence  $\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is defined in (12) for  $\delta > 0$ . Suppose that  $c_j$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  is contained in the set  $(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{D}_1^t) \cap \{w : \operatorname{Re} w \leq 0\}$  but  $\operatorname{Re} c_{j+1} \geq 0$ . Then  $c_{j+1}$  satisfies that  $|\operatorname{Im} c_{j+1}| > \frac{t\delta}{k-1}$ , that is,  $c_{j+1} \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{D}_2^t$  for  $t \geq 1$ .*




Figure 5

*Proof.* By the assumption we have

$$c_j \in \left\{ w : |w| > \frac{t\delta}{k-1} \right\} \cap \{w : \operatorname{Re} w \leq 0\}$$

for some given  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Let  $c_•$  be the purely imaginary number satisfying  $|c_j| = |c_•|$  and  $\operatorname{Im} c_j$  and  $\operatorname{Im} c_•$  has the same sign. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\operatorname{Im} c_j > 0$  and  $\operatorname{Im} c_• > 0$ . The proof of other case is similar. Define the sets as follows

$$\begin{aligned} D^+ &= \{w : |w - kc_•| < \delta\} \cap \{w : \operatorname{Re} w \geq 0\} \\ D_j^+ &= \{w : |w - kc_j| < \delta\} \cap \{w : \operatorname{Re} w \geq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the region

$$P = \{z : |z - kc_•| < |z - kc_j|\}$$

We show that  $D_j^+ \subseteq D^+$  where  $\operatorname{Re} c_j \leq 0$  using the following claim.

*claim:* For any  $z \in (\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus P) \cap \{z : \operatorname{Im} z \geq 0\}$ , the real part of  $z$  is negative, namely,  $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$ . The number  $z$  satisfies the equivalent inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} &|z - kc_•| \geq |z - kc_j| \\ \iff &|z - kc_•|^2 \geq |z - kc_j|^2 \\ \iff &-kc_•\bar{z} - k\bar{c}_•z \geq -kc_j\bar{z} - k\bar{c}_jz \\ \iff &-\operatorname{Re}(\bar{c}_•z) \geq -\operatorname{Re}(\bar{c}_jz) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Re}((\overline{c_j - c_\bullet})z) \geq 0$$

Recall that  $\operatorname{Re} c_j \leq 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} c_\bullet = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Im} c_\bullet \geq \operatorname{Im} c_j > 0$  because  $|c_j| = |c_\bullet|$ . Thus  $\overline{c_j - c_\bullet} = -a + bi$  for some  $a, b > 0$ . Denote  $z = x + yi$  for  $y > 0$ . Then  $\operatorname{Re}((\overline{c_j - c_\bullet})z) = \operatorname{Re}((-a + bi)(x + yi)) = -ax - by \geq 0$ . Then  $x \leq 0$ , that is,  $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$  is the necessary condition for the inequality. The proof of the claim is complete.

If  $\operatorname{Re} w \geq 0$  and  $\operatorname{Im} w \geq 0$ , then  $w \in P$ . Thus

$$|w - kc_\bullet| < |w - kc_j| < \delta.$$

Then  $D_j^+ \subseteq D^+$ . For every  $w \in D^+$ , the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} & |\operatorname{Im} w - \operatorname{Im} kc_\bullet| \leq |w - kc_\bullet| < \delta \\ \implies & |\operatorname{Im} w| > k|\operatorname{Im} c_\bullet| - \delta \\ & = k|c_\bullet| - \delta = k|c_j| - \delta \\ & > \frac{tk\delta}{k-1} - t\delta \\ & = \frac{t\delta}{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $D^+$  is disjoint from  $\mathcal{D}_2^t$ . The fact that  $c_{j+1} \in D_j^+$  implies that  $c_{j+1} \notin \mathcal{D}_2^t$  for  $t \geq 1$ . Hence,  $c_{j+1} \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{D}_2^t$  for  $t \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $f$  be the map  $f(w) = kw$  for  $k > 1$ . The sequence  $\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is defined in (12) for  $\delta > 0$ . Suppose that  $c_j \in (\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{R}_3^t) \cap \{w : \operatorname{Re} w \geq \frac{1}{k}\}$ , that is,*

$$c_j \in \left\{ w : \left| w - \frac{1}{k} \right| > \frac{t\delta}{k-1} \right\} \cap \left\{ w : \operatorname{Re} w \geq \frac{1}{k} \right\} \quad (15)$$

for  $t \geq 1$  and for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . If  $\delta < \left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right)^2$ , then  $c_{j+1}$  is contained in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{R}_3^t \cap \{w : \operatorname{Re} w \geq \frac{1}{k}\}$  for  $t \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Observe that  $f(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{R}_3^t)$  is the half disk. Since  $|c_{j+1} - f(c_j)| < \delta$ , we have that  $|c_{j+1}| > |f(c_j)| - \delta \geq 1 - \delta$ . Moreover,  $|c_j| < \frac{1}{k} + \frac{t\delta}{k-1}$ . Thus it suffice to show that  $1 - \delta > \frac{1}{k} + \frac{t\delta}{k-1}$ . Then

$$1 - \delta > \frac{1}{k} + \frac{t\delta}{k-1} \Longleftrightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{k} > \frac{t\delta}{k-1} + \delta = \left( \frac{t}{k-1} + 1 \right) \delta$$

$$\geq \left( \frac{k+t-1}{k-1} \right) \delta.$$

Hence,  $\delta < \frac{(k-1)^2}{k(k+t-1)} \leq \left( \frac{k-1}{k} \right)^2$  for all  $t \geq 1$ .  $\square$

*proof of Proposition 5.2.* The definition of  $\mathcal{R}^t$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2^t$  implies that  $\mathcal{R}^t \subset \mathcal{D}_2^t$ . If  $c_j \in \mathcal{D}_2^t$ , then  $c_{j+1} \in \mathcal{D}_2^t$  by Lemma 5.3. Thus we may assume that  $\operatorname{Re} c_j \leq 0$  or  $\operatorname{Re} c_j \geq \frac{1}{k}$ . If  $c_j \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus R_1^t \cap \{w: \operatorname{Re} w \leq 0\}$ , then  $c_{j+1}$  is contained in the same set by Lemma 5.4. Similarly, if  $c_j \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus R_3^t \cap \{w: \operatorname{Re} w \leq 0\}$ , then  $c_{j+1}$  is contained in the same set by Lemma 5.5. Hence,  $\mathcal{R}^t = \mathcal{R}_1^t \cup \mathcal{R}_2^t \cup \mathcal{R}_3^t$  can be an avoided region  $\mathcal{R}_f$  for  $t \geq 1$ . Moreover,  $\operatorname{Orb}_{\mathbb{N}}(1, f^{-1})$  is contained in the line segment connecting 0 and  $\frac{1}{k}$ .  $\square$

We define the avoided region  $\mathcal{R}_f(1)$  as  $\mathcal{R}_1^k \cup \mathcal{R}_2^1 \cup \mathcal{R}_3^1$  and  $\mathcal{R}_g(\infty)$  as  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_f(1))$ .

## 6 Escaping time from the region

Let the sequence  $\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  satisfies the following

$$|c_{i+1} - f(c_i)| \leq \delta \tag{16}$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . For the given region  $R$ , assume that  $c_0 \in R$ . If the distance between  $c_n$  and the closure of  $R$  is positive for all  $n \geq N$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $N$  is called *escaping time* of the sequence  $\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  from  $R$  under  $f$ . If the escaping time  $N$  is independent of the initial point  $c_0$  in  $R$ , then the number  $N$  is called *uniformly escaping time*. Denote the ball of which center is the origin with radius  $r > 0$  by  $B(0, r)$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be the sequence defined in the equation (16) where  $f(w) = kw$  for  $k > 1$ . Suppose that  $c_0 \in E_f$  where  $E_f$  is defined as the region  $h\left(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S\left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\right)\right) \setminus B\left(0, \frac{k\delta}{k-1}\right)$ . Then the (uniformly) escaping time  $N$  from the region  $E_f$  under  $f$  satisfies the following inequality*

$$N > \log \left( \frac{1}{\delta} \left( \frac{k+3}{3k+1} + 1 \right) + 1 \right) / \log k$$

for small enough  $\delta > 0$ .

*Proof.* By triangular inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|f^n(c_0) - c_0| &\leq |f^n(c_0) - f^{n-1}(c_1)| + |f^{n-1}(c_1) - f^{n-2}(c_2)| + \\
&\quad \cdots + |f^2(c_{n-2}) - f(c_{n-1})| + |f(c_{n-1}) - c_n| + |c_n - c_0| \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n k^{n-j} |f(c_{j-1}) - c_j| + |c_n - c_0| \\
&\leq \frac{k^n - 1}{k - 1} \delta + |c_n - c_0|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|c_n - c_0| &\geq |f^n(c_0) - c_0| - \frac{k^n - 1}{k - 1} \delta \\
&= (k^n - 1)|c_0| - \frac{k^n - 1}{k - 1} \delta \\
&= (k^n - 1) \left( |c_0| - \frac{\delta}{k - 1} \right) \\
&\geq (k^n - 1) \left( \frac{k\delta}{k - 1} - \frac{\delta}{k - 1} \right) \\
&= (k^n - 1) \delta
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the escaping time  $N$  satisfies if the inequality  $(k^N - 1) \delta > \frac{k+3}{3k+1} + 1$  holds, then  $|c_n - c_0|$  is greater than the diameter of  $h\left(S\left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\right)\right)$  for all  $n \geq N$  by (11) in Proposition 4.9. Hence,  $N$  is the uniformly escaping time where  $N > \frac{\log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\left(\frac{k+3}{3k+1} + 1\right) + 1\right)}{\log k}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.2.** The inequality  $\frac{1}{3} < \frac{k+3}{3k+1} < 1$  for  $k > 1$  implies that a upper bound of the uniformly escaping time is  $N_0 > \log\left(\frac{2}{\delta} + 1\right) / \log k$ .

The sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is defined as the set each of which element  $a_i = h(c_i)$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  where  $\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is defined in (16). Recall that  $f$  is the map  $h \circ g \circ h^{-1}$ . Denote the radius of the ball  $h^{-1}(B(c_j, \delta))$  by  $\varepsilon_j$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then the sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  as follows

$$|a_{i+1} - g(a_i)| \leq \varepsilon_i. \quad (17)$$

corresponds  $\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  by the conjugation  $h$ . Then the escaping time of  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  from  $h^{-1}(E_f)$  under  $g$  is the same as that of  $\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  from  $E_f$  under  $f$  in Lemma 6.1. Furthermore, since  $h$  is uniformly continuous on the closure of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S(1 + \frac{\tau}{2})$  under Euclidean metric, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $h(B(a_j, \varepsilon)) \subset B(c_j, \delta)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N_1$  for all  $c_j \in E_f$ . Thus we obtain the following Proposition.

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be the sequence satisfying*

$$|c_{i+1} - f(c_i)| \leq \delta$$

*where  $f(w) = kw$  for  $k > 1$  on  $E_f$  defined in Lemma 6.1. Let  $N$  be the (uniformly) escaping time from  $E_f$ . Let  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be the sequence satisfying  $a_i = h(c_i)$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that if  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  satisfies that*

$$|a_{i+1} - g(a_i)| \leq \varepsilon \tag{18}$$

*on  $h^{-1}(E_f)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$ , then the escaping time from  $h^{-1}(E_f)$  under  $g$  is also  $N$ .*

**Remark 6.4.** *The definition of  $E_f$  implies that the set  $h^{-1}(E_f)$  is the set,  $(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S(1 + \frac{\tau}{2})) \setminus h^{-1}(B(0, \frac{k\delta}{k-1}))$ .*

## 7 Hyers-Ulam stability on the complement of the avoided region

Hyers-Ulam stability of hyperbolic Möbius map requires two different regions, one of which is  $S(1 + \frac{\tau}{2})$  where  $\text{tr}(g) = 2 + \tau$  for  $\tau > 0$ . The other region is the  $(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S(1 + \frac{\tau}{2})) \setminus \mathcal{R}_g(\infty)$  where  $\mathcal{R}_g(\infty) = h^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_f(1))$  is the avoided region defined in Section 5. We show that Hyers-Ulam stability on the region  $(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S(1 + \frac{\tau}{2})) \setminus \mathcal{R}_g(\infty)$  for finite time bounded by the uniformly escaping time. Then this stability and Proposition 3.3 implies Hyers-Ulam stability of  $g$  on the set  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{R}_g(\infty)$ .



**Lemma 7.1.** *The avoided region  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_f(1))$  contains the disk*

$$D_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} = \left\{ z : \left| z + \frac{d}{c} \right| < \tilde{\varepsilon} \right\}$$

where  $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \frac{k^2\delta}{(k-1)^3} |\alpha - \beta|$ .

*Proof.* The avoided region  $\mathcal{R}_f(1)$  contains the disk

$$B\left(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{\delta}{k-1}\right) = \left\{ w : \left| w - \frac{1}{k} \right| \leq \frac{\delta}{k-1} \right\}.$$

Since  $h^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) = -\frac{d}{c}$ , the avoided region  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_f(1))$  contains a small disk of which center is  $-\frac{d}{c}$ . Thus the number  $\tilde{\varepsilon}$  is either the radius of the circle,  $h^{-1}\left(B\left(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{\delta}{k-1}\right)\right)$  or the distance between  $-\frac{d}{c}$  and  $h^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{k} + \frac{\delta}{k-1}\right)$ . Denote the point  $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{\delta}{k-1}$  by  $\frac{t_0}{k}$ , that is, choose  $t_0 = 1 + \frac{k\delta}{k-1}$ . Thus we have

$$h^{-1}\left(\frac{t_0}{k}\right) = \frac{k\beta - t_0\alpha}{k - t_0} = \left(1 + \frac{t_0}{k - t_0}\right)\beta - \frac{t_0}{k - t_0}\alpha. \quad (19)$$

By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$-\frac{d}{c} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{k-1}\right)\beta - \frac{1}{k-1}\alpha.$$

Then the distance between  $-\frac{d}{c}$  and  $h^{-1}\left(\frac{t_0}{k}\right)$  is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(1 + \frac{t_0}{k - t_0}\right)\beta - \frac{t_0}{k - t_0}\alpha - \left(1 + \frac{1}{k-1}\right)\beta - \frac{1}{k-1}\alpha \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{k-1} - \frac{t_0}{k - t_0} \right| |\alpha - \beta| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{k-1} - \frac{1 + \frac{k\delta}{k-1}}{k-1 - \frac{k\delta}{k-1}} \right| |\alpha - \beta| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{k-1} - \frac{k-1 + k\delta}{(k-1)^2 - k\delta} \right| |\alpha - \beta| \\ &= \left| \frac{-k^2\delta}{(k-1)\{(k-1)^2 - k\delta\}} \right| |\alpha - \beta| \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{k^2 \delta}{(k-1)\{(k-1)^2 - k\delta\}} |\alpha - \beta| \quad (20)$$

Another candidate for  $\tilde{\varepsilon}$  is the half of diameter of  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_2)$ . Thus take two points,  $\frac{t_0}{k}$  and  $\frac{t_1}{k}$  in  $\mathcal{R}_2$  where  $\frac{t_1}{k} = \frac{1}{k} - \frac{\delta}{k-1}$ , that is,  $t_1 = 1 - \frac{k\delta}{k-1}$ . Since  $\frac{t_0}{k} - \frac{t_1}{k}$  is the diameter of the circle  $\mathcal{R}_2$ , the half of the distance between  $h^{-1}(\frac{t_0}{k})$  and  $h^{-1}(\frac{t_1}{k})$  is the radius of  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_2)$ . Then the calculation in (19) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left| h^{-1}\left(\frac{t_0}{k}\right) - h^{-1}\left(\frac{t_1}{k}\right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \left(1 + \frac{t_0}{k-t_0}\right) \beta - \frac{t_0}{k-t_0} \alpha - \left(1 + \frac{t_1}{k-t_1}\right) \beta - \frac{t_1}{k-t_1} \alpha \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{t_0}{k-t_0} - \frac{t_1}{k-t_1} \right| |\alpha - \beta| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{k-1+k\delta}{(k-1)^2 - k\delta} - \frac{k-1-k\delta}{(k-1)^2 + k\delta} \right| |\alpha - \beta| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{2k^2(k-1)\delta}{\{(k-1)^2 - k\delta\}\{(k-1)^2 + k\delta\}} \right| |\alpha - \beta| \\ &= \frac{k-1}{(k-1)^2 + k\delta} \cdot \frac{k^2\delta}{(k-1)^2 - k\delta} |\alpha - \beta| \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

The number  $\tilde{\varepsilon}$  have to be smaller than the both number of equation (20) and (21). Hence,  $\tilde{\varepsilon}$  can be chosen as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varepsilon} &= \frac{k^2\delta}{(k-1)^3} |\alpha - \beta| \\ &< \frac{k-1}{(k-1)^2 + k\delta} \cdot \frac{k^2\delta}{(k-1)^2 - k\delta} |\alpha - \beta| < \frac{k^2\delta}{(k-1)\{(k-1)^2 - k\delta\}} |\alpha - \beta|. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 7.2.** *For every  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{R}_g(\infty)$ , the following inequality holds*

$$|g'(z)| \leq \frac{(k-1)^4}{k^3\delta^2}.$$

*Proof.* It suffice to show the upper bound of  $|g'|$  on the region  $\mathbb{C} \setminus h^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_2)$  because  $\mathcal{R}_g(\infty)$  contains  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_2)$  and moreover, contains  $D_\varepsilon$  in Lemma 7.1. Recall that

$$g'(z) = \frac{1}{(cz + d)^2}$$

Thus in the region  $\mathbb{C} \setminus D_\varepsilon$ , the inequality  $|cz + d| \geq |c|\varepsilon$  holds. Then the upper bound of  $|g'|$  is as follows

$$|g'(z)| = \frac{1}{|cz + d|^2} \leq \frac{1}{|c|^2 \varepsilon^2} = \frac{(k-1)^6}{|c|^2 k^4 \delta^2 |\alpha - \beta|^2}$$

by Lemma 7.1. Moreover, Corollary 4.7 implies the equation

$$\frac{1}{|c|} = \frac{\sqrt{k}}{k-1} |\alpha - \beta|.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{(k-1)^6}{|c|^2 k^4 \delta^2 |\alpha - \beta|^2} = \frac{(k-1)^6}{k^4 \delta^2} \cdot \frac{k}{(k-1)^2} = \frac{(k-1)^4}{k^3 \delta^2}.$$

□

The following is the mean value inequality for holomorphic function.

**Lemma 7.3.** *Let  $g$  be the holomorphic function on the convex open set  $B$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Suppose that  $\sup_{z \in B} |g'| < \infty$ . Then for any two different points  $u$  and  $v$  in  $B$ , we have*

$$\left| \frac{g(u) - g(v)}{u - v} \right| \leq 2 \sup_{z \in B} |g'|.$$

*Proof.* The complex mean value theorem implies that

$$\operatorname{Re}(g'(p)) = \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{g(u) - g(v)}{u - v} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Im}(g'(q)) = \operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{g(u) - g(v)}{u - v} \right)$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  are in the line segment between  $u$  and  $v$ . Hence, the inequality

$$|\operatorname{Re}(g'(p)) + i \operatorname{Im}(g'(q))| \leq |\operatorname{Re}(g'(p))| + |\operatorname{Im}(g'(q))| \leq 2 \sup_{z \in B} |g'|$$

completes the proof. □

**Proposition 7.4.** *Let  $g$  be the hyperbolic Möbius map. For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let a complex valued sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  satisfies the inequality*

$$|a_{i+1} - g(a_i)| \leq \varepsilon$$

*for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Suppose that  $a_0 \in \left(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S\left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\right)\right) \setminus \mathcal{R}_g(\infty)$  where  $\mathcal{R}_g(\infty)$  is the avoided region defined in Section 5. For a given small enough number  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists the sequence  $\{b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  defined as*

$$b_{i+1} = g(b_i)$$

*for each  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$  which satisfies that*

$$|a_N - b_N| \leq \frac{M^N - 1}{M - 1} \varepsilon$$

*where  $N$  is the uniformly escaping time from the region  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S\left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\right)$  and  $M = \frac{2(k-1)^4}{k^3\delta^2}$ .*

*Proof.*  $\frac{M}{2}$  is an upper bound of  $|g'|$  in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{R}_g(\infty)$  by Corollary 7.2. The triangular inequality and Lemma 7.3 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_N - b_N| &\leq |a_N - g(a_{N-1})| + |g(a_{N-1}) - g(b_{N-1})| + |g(b_{N-1}) - b_N| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + M |a_{N-1} - b_{N-1}| \end{aligned}$$

where  $M \geq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{R}_g(\infty)} 2|g'|$ . Observe that if  $\delta > 0$  is sufficiently small, then

$M > 1$  in the region  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S\left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\right)$ . Thus we have

$$|a_N - b_N| + \frac{\varepsilon}{M - 1} \leq M \left( |a_{N-1} - b_{N-1}| + \frac{\varepsilon}{M - 1} \right).$$

Then  $|a_N - b_N|$  is bounded above by the geometric sequence with rate  $M$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |a_N - b_N| &\leq M^N \left( |a_0 - b_0| + \frac{\varepsilon}{M - 1} \right) - \frac{\varepsilon}{M - 1} \\ &= M^N |a_0 - b_0| + \frac{M^N - 1}{M - 1} \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we choose  $b_0 = a_0$ , then

$$|a_N - b_N| \leq \frac{M^N - 1}{M - 1} \varepsilon.$$

□

We show the Hyers-Ulam stability of hyperbolic Möbius map outside the avoided region.

**Theorem 7.5.** *Let  $g$  be a hyperbolic Möbius map. For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let a complex valued sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  satisfies the inequality*

$$|a_{i+1} - g(a_i)| \leq \varepsilon$$

*for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Suppose that a given point  $a_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{R}_g(\infty)$  where  $\mathcal{R}_g(\infty)$  is the avoided region defined in Section 5. For a small enough number  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists the sequence  $\{b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$*

$$b_{i+1} = g(b_i)$$

*satisfies that  $|a_i - b_i| \leq H(\varepsilon)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  where the positive number  $H(\varepsilon)$  converges to zero as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $a_0 \in S(1 + \frac{\tau}{2})$ . Then by Proposition 3.3, we have the inequality

$$|b_i - a_i| \leq \frac{1 - K^i}{1 - K} \varepsilon \quad (22)$$

for some  $K < 1$ . Secondly, assume that  $a_0 \in (\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S(1 + \frac{\tau}{2})) \setminus \mathcal{R}_g(\infty)$  and  $i \leq N$  where  $N$  is the escaping time from the region  $(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S(1 + \frac{\tau}{2})) \setminus \mathcal{R}_g(\infty)$ . Then by Proposition 7.4,

$$|b_i - a_i| \leq \frac{M^i - 1}{M - 1} \varepsilon \quad (23)$$

where  $M = \frac{2(k-1)^4}{k^3\delta^2}$ . Suppose that  $a_0 \in (\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S(1 + \frac{\tau}{2})) \setminus \mathcal{R}_g(\infty)$  but  $i > N$  for the last case. Then we combine the first and second case as follows

$$|b_i - a_i| \leq \left( \frac{M^N - 1}{M - 1} + \frac{1 - K^{i-N}}{1 - K} \right) \varepsilon \quad (24)$$

where  $K$  and  $M$  are the numbers used in the inequality (22) and (23).  $\square$

## References

- [1] Agarwal, R P, Xu, B, Zhang, W: Stability of functional equations in single variable. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **288**, 852–869 (2003)
- [2] Beardon, A: *The geometry of discrete group*. Springer (1983)
- [3] Brzdęk, J, Cieplinski, K, Lesniak, Z: On Ulam’s type stability of the linear equation and related issues. *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2014**, Article ID 536791 (2014)
- [4] Elaydi, S N: *An Introduction to Difference Equations*. Springer (2005)
- [5] Hyers, D H: On the stability of the linear functional equation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **27**, 222–224 (1941)
- [6] Hyers, D H, Isac, G, Rassias, Th M: *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*. Birkhäuser, Boston (1998)
- [7] Jung, S-M: *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Nonlinear Analysis*. Springer Optimization and Its Applications Vol. 48, Springer, New York (2011)
- [8] Jung, S-M: Hyers-Ulam stability of the first-order matrix difference equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2015**, no. 170 (2015)
- [9] Jung, S-M, Nam, Y W: On the Hyers-Ulam stability of the first order difference equation. *J. Funct. Space.* **2016** Article ID 6078298 (2016) doi:10.1155/2016/6078298
- [10] Jung, S-M, Nam, Y W: On the Hyers-Ulam stability of Pielou logistic difference equation. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **10** 3115–3122 (2017) doi:10.22436/jnsa.010.06.26
- [11] Popa, D: Hyers-Ulam stability of the linear recurrence with constant coefficients. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2005**, 101–107 (2005)
- [12] Rassias, Th M: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72**, 297–300 (1978)
- [13] Ulam, S M: *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*. Interscience Publ., New York (1960)