

**GLOBAL WELL-POSEDNESS FOR THE SEMILINEAR WAVE
EQUATION WITH TIME DEPENDENT DAMPING IN THE
OVERDAMPING CASE**

MASAHIRO IKEDA AND YUTA WAKASUGI

ABSTRACT. We study the global existence of solutions to the Cauchy problem for the wave equation with time-dependent damping and a power nonlinearity in the overdamping case:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + b(t)u_t = N(u), & t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0) = u_0, u_t(0) = u_1, & x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

Here, $b(t)$ is a positive C^1 -function on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$b(t)^{-1} \in L^1(0, \infty),$$

whose case is called *overdamping*. $N(u)$ denotes the p -th order power nonlinearities. It is well known that the problem is locally well-posed in the energy space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in the energy-subcritical or energy-critical case $1 \leq p \leq p_1$, where $p_1 := 1 + \frac{4}{d-2}$ if $d \geq 3$ or $p_1 = \infty$ if $d = 1, 2$. It is known that when $N(u) := \pm|u|^p$, small data blow-up in L^1 -framework occurs in the case $b(t)^{-1} \notin L^1(0, \infty)$ and $1 < p < p_c (< p_1)$, where p_c is a critical exponent, i.e. threshold exponent dividing the small data global existence and the small data blow-up. The main purpose in the present paper is to prove the global well-posedness to the problem for small data $(u_0, u_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in the whole energy-subcritical case, i.e. $1 \leq p < p_1$. This result implies that the small data blow-up does not occur in the overdamping case, different from the other case $b(t)^{-1} \notin L^1(0, \infty)$, i.e. the effective or non-effective damping.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background. In the present paper, we study the global existence of solutions to the Cauchy problem for the wave equation with time-dependent damping and a power-type nonlinearity in the overdamping case:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + b(t)u_t = N(u), & t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0) = u_0, u_t(0) = u_1, & x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

Here, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $T > 0$, $u = u(t, x)$ is a real-valued unknown function of (t, x) , $b = b(t)$ is a positive C^1 -function of $t \in [0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$(1.2) \quad b(t)^{-1} \in L^1(0, \infty) \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \int_0^\infty b(t)^{-1} dt < \infty,$$

Key words and phrases. Global well-posedness, Energy-subcritical wave equations, Time-dependent damping, Overdamping.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35L71; 35L15; 35A01

whose case is called the *overdamping*. The nonlinear function $N : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the estimates

$$(1.3) \quad N(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |N(z) - N(w)| \leq C_N(1 + |z| + |w|)^{p-1}|z - w|$$

for any $z, w \in \mathbb{R}$ with some constant $C_N \geq 0$ and $p \geq 1$. The exponent $p \geq 1$ belongs to H^1 -subcritical or H^1 -critical region, i.e.

$$(1.4)$$

$$1 \leq p < p_1 \ (d = 1, 2), \quad 1 \leq p \leq p_1 \ (d \geq 3), \quad \text{where } p_1 := \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } d = 1, 2, \\ 1 + \frac{4}{d-2}, & \text{if } d \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

where p_1 is called the energy-critical or H^1 -critical exponent. Typical examples of $N(z)$ are the power-nonlinearities $\pm|z|^{p-1}z$ or $\pm|z|^p$ and the linear combination of $|z|^{q_1-1}z$ and $|z|^{q_2}$ with $1 \leq q_1, q_2 \leq p_1$. The function (u_0, u_1) is a prescribed function of $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ belonging to the energy space, i.e.

$$(1.5) \quad (u_0, u_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d); \|f\|_{H^1}^2 := \|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}^2 < \infty\}$.

Wave equations with a dissipative term are physical models describing the voltage and the current on an electrical transmission line with a resistance. The term $b(t)u_t$ is called the damping term, which prevents the motion of the wave and reduces its energy, and the coefficient $b(t)$ represents the strength of the damping.

There are also large amount of literature of mathematical results about global existence of solutions, asymptotic behaviors of solutions, and blow-up phenomena to (1.1) in the opposite case

$$b(t)^{-1} \notin L^1(0, \infty)$$

to (1.2), whose case is called effective damping or non-effective damping (see [25, 31, 38, 29, 30, 26, 2, 3, 34, 1, 4, 15, 12, 33, 36, 16, 23, 13] and the references therein). However, there has been no result about the global existence of solutions to (1.1) in the overdamping case (1.2), especially there has not been known whether local energy solutions to (1.1) can be extended globally or not in the overdamping case (1.2).

Our aim in the present paper is to prove the global well-posedness to (1.1) for small data in the energy space in the whole energy-subcritical case $1 \leq p < p_1$ and the overdamping case (1.2), which implies that small data blow-up does not occur in this case different from the other case $b(t)^{-1} \notin L^1(0, \infty)$ (see Remark 1.2 for known results in the effective damping or non-effective damping case). The key idea of the proof of the global well-posedness is to derive an uniform bound of L^2 -norm of local solutions to (1.1) by using the overdamping condition (1.2) (see (2.5) more precisely). We also prove that besides the assumptions, under the defocusing condition, i.e.

$$(1.6) \quad \int_0^z N(s)ds \leq 0 \quad \text{for any } z \in \mathbb{R},$$

the smallness condition on the data can be removed. A typical example satisfying (1.6) is $N(z) = -|z|^{p-1}z$. We also prove a large data blow-up result to (1.1) for the focusing nonlinearity $N(z) = \pm|z|^p$ and $p \in (1, p_1]$ for suitable data $(u_0, u_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which have a singularity at the origin $x = 0$. This implies that the smallness condition on the data is needed to prove the global existence of solutions

to (1.1) with $N(z) = \pm|z|^p$ in the case $p \in (1, p_1]$. Moreover, we prove the non-existence of local weak solutions to (1.1) with $N(z) = \pm|z|^p$ and $p > p_1$ for suitable data $(u_0, u_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which have a singularity at the origin $x = 0$. The definition of the weak solution is given in Definition 3.1.

Now we state local well-posedness (LWP) result to (1.1) in the energy space in the energy-subcritical case:

Proposition 1.1 (LWP in the energy-subcritical case). *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in [1, p_1)$, $b = b(t)$ be a positive C^1 -function on $[0, \infty)$ and the nonlinear function $N : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the estimate (1.3), and let $(u_0, u_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (Existence) *If $\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^1 \times L^2} \leq M$ with some $M > 0$, then there exists a positive constant $T = T(M) > 0$ such that there exists a unique solution*

$$u \in C([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

to (1.1) on $[0, T)$.

- (Uniqueness) *Let u be the solution to (1.1) obtained in the Existence part. Let $T_1 \in (0, T(M)]$ and $v \in C([0, T_1]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1([0, T_1]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ be another solution to (1.1) on $[0, T_1)$. If $(v(0), \partial_t v(0)) = (u_0, u_1)$, then $u|_{[0, T_1)} = v$ on $[0, T_1)$.*
- (Continuity of the flow map) *Let $M > 0$ and $T = T(M)$ be the same as in the Existence part. Then the flow map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi : \{ & (u_0, u_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d); \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^1 \times L^2} \leq M \} \\ & \rightarrow C([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad \Xi[(u_0, u_1)](t) = u(t) \end{aligned}$$

is Lipschitz continuous.

By the existence result and the uniqueness result, the maximal existence time T_+ of the solution, which is called lifespan, is well defined as

$$T_+ := \sup\{T \in (0, \infty]; \text{there exists a unique solution } u \text{ to (1.1) on } [0, T)\}.$$

Moreover, the energy identity and the blow-up criterion hold:

- (Energy identity) *The identity*

$$(1.7) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{N}(u(t, x)) dx \right\} = -b(t) \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2}^2$$

holds for any $t \in [0, T_+)$, where the function $\tilde{N} : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$(1.8) \quad \tilde{N}(z) := \int_0^z N(s) ds.$$

- (Blow-up criterion) *If $T_+ < \infty$, then*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow T_+ - 0} \|(u(t), \partial_t u(t))\|_{H^1 \times L^2} = \infty.$$

In order to prove this proposition, by using an appropriate changing variable, we convert the equation into another nonlinear wave equation, whose nonlinearity does not include any derivatives of the unknown function and satisfies the estimate (1.3) (see Appendix A). As the result, we can apply the local well-posedness result for nonlinear wave equations in the energy space in the energy-subcritical case. More precisely, in the case, $1 \leq p < \infty$ ($d = 1, 2$) or $1 \leq p \leq \frac{d}{d-2}$ ($d \geq 3$), by the Sobolev embedding $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it is easy to see that there exist

$T = T(\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^1 \times L^2}) > 0$ and a unique solution u of the Cauchy problem (1.1) on $[0, T)$. In the whole H^1 -subcritical case, i.e. $\frac{1}{d-2} = 1 + \frac{2}{d-2} < p < 1 + \frac{4}{d-2}$ ($d \geq 3$), the local well-posedness to a nonlinear wave equation in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is proved in [8, 19, 20, 27, 28, 22], via the Strichartz estimates for the free Klein-Gordon evolution operator $\left\{ e^{it\sqrt{1-\Delta}} \right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and the real and complex interpolation theory.

Remark 1.1 (LWP in the energy-critical case). *In the energy-critical case $p = p_1$, local well-posedness in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to (1.1) also holds for arbitrary initial data in the energy space (see Theorem 2.7 in [21] for example). The main difference from the subcritical case is that the existence time may depend not only on $\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^1 \times L^2}$ but also on the profile of it, and the blow-up criterion is*

$$(1.9) \quad T_+ < \infty \implies \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{2(d+1)}{d-2}}([0, T_+) \times \mathbb{R}^d)} = \infty.$$

1.2. Main results. We state our main result in the present paper, which gives global well-posedness (GWP) to (1.1) in the energy space in the energy subcritical and the overdamping case:

Theorem 1.2 (GWP in the energy space in the energy subcritical and the overdamping case). *Besides the assumptions of Proposition 1.1, we assume that $b = b(t)$ satisfies the overdamping condition (1.2). Then, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ depending only on $d, p, \|b^{-1}\|_{L_t^1(0, \infty)}, C_N$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0]$, if the initial data $(u_0, u_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies $\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^1 \times L^2} \leq \varepsilon$, then the maximal existence time T_+ , which is defined in Proposition 1.1, is infinity, i.e. $T_+ = \infty$, and the solution u satisfies the estimate*

$$(1.10) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \|(u(t), \partial_t u(t))\|_{H^1 \times L^2} \leq C_0^* \varepsilon,$$

where C_0^* is a constant depending only on $d, p, \|b^{-1}\|_{L^1(0, \infty)}, C_N$.

Remark 1.2 (Difference of our theorem with effective or non-effective damping case). *When the nonlinearity is focusing, i.e. $N(z) = \pm |z|^p$ and $b(t)^{-1} \notin L^1(0, \infty)$, small data blow-up for suitable data $(u_0, u_1) \in (L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))^2$ occurs for any $p \in (1, p_c)$, where p_c is a critical exponent, whose definition is the threshold exponent dividing small data global existence and small data blow-up. It is interesting that $p_c = p_c(b)$ changes with regard to the decay rate of the function $b(t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ but is less than the H^1 -critical exponent in any case. More precisely, in the case of $b(t) \equiv 1$, i.e. the classical damping case, it is known that p_c is given by the Fujita exponent p_F , where $p_F = p_F(d) := 1 + \frac{2}{d} < 1 + \frac{4}{d-2} =: p_1$ (see [25, 31, 30, 38, 18, 9] and the references therein). In the case of $b(t) \equiv 0$, i.e. when there is no dissipative term in (1.1), it is also well known that p_c is given by the Strauss exponent p_S (see [37, 39] and the references therein), where $p_S = p_S(d)$ with $d \geq 2$ is defined as the positive root of the quadratic equation*

$$(d-1)p^2 - (d+1)p - 2 = 0,$$

that is

$$p_S(d) := \frac{d+1 + \sqrt{d^2 + 10d - 7}}{2(d-1)} < p_1.$$

In the case of $b(t) := \mu(1+t)^{-\beta}$ with $\mu > 0$ and $\beta \in [-1, 1)$, whose case is called effective damping, it is proved in [26, 36, 12] that p_c is given by the Fujita

exponent p_F . In the scaling invariant damping case, i.e. $b(t) := \frac{\mu}{1+t}$ with $\mu > 0$, the situation is very delicate and critical exponent changes with regard to the size of the coefficient μ (see [34, 1, 4, 33, 23, 16, 32] and the references therein), but the known critical exponents are less than the H^1 -critical exponent. In the case of $b(t) := \mu(1+t)^{-\beta}$ with $\beta > 1$ and $\mu > 0$, it is expected that the critical exponent p_c is given by the Strauss exponent p_S (see [24]). We note that p_S is less than the H^1 -critical exponent as stated above.

Under the defocusing condition (1.11), the smallness condition on the data in Theorem 1.2 can be removed:

Corollary 1.3 (Large data global well-posedness in the defocusing case). *Besides the same assumptions in Theorem 1.2, we assume that the nonlinear function N satisfies the defocusing condition*

$$(1.11) \quad \tilde{N}(z) \leq 0 \quad \text{for any } z \in \mathbb{R},$$

where \tilde{N} is defined by (1.8). Then the maximal existence time T_+ is infinity and the estimate

$$(1.12) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \|(u(t), \partial_t u(t))\|_{H^1 \times L^2} \leq C_1^* (\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^1 \times L^2} + \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p+1}{2}}}),$$

holds, where C_1^* is a constant depending only on $p, d, \|b^{-1}\|_{L^1(0, \infty)}$ and C_N .

A typical example satisfying the defocusing condition (1.11) is $N(z) = -|z|^{p-1}z$. Indeed, for this $N(z)$ we have $\tilde{N}(z) = -(p+1)^{-1}|z|^{p+1} \leq 0$.

On the other hand, we next show that in general the smallness condition on the data in Theorem 1.2 cannot be removed. Indeed, large data blow-up for suitable $(u_0, u_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which has a singularity at the origin is proved for the focusing nonlinearity $N(z) := \pm|z|^p$:

Theorem 1.4 (Large data blow-up in the energy-subcritical or critical case for the focusing nonlinearity $N(z) = \pm|z|^p$). *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $b = b(t)$ be a positive C^1 -function on $[0, \infty)$, $p > 1$ (if $d = 1, 2$), $p \in (1, p_1]$ (if $d \geq 3$), $N(z) = \pm|z|^p$ and $(a_0, a_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We assume that the function (a_0, a_1) satisfies*

$$(1.13) \quad \pm(b(0)a_0 + a_1)(x) \geq \begin{cases} |x|^{-k}, & \text{if } |x| \leq 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } |x| > 1, \end{cases}$$

with $k < \frac{d}{2}$, where double-sign corresponds. Then there exist constants λ_0 and $C_2^* > 0$ depending only on $d, p, k, \|b\|_{W^{1, \infty}(0, 2)}$ such that for any $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, \infty)$, the lifespan T_+ defined in Proposition 1.1 with $(u_0, u_1) := \lambda(a_0, a_1)$ is estimated by

$$(1.14) \quad T_+ \leq C_2^* \lambda^{-\frac{1}{\frac{p+1}{p-1} - k}},$$

which with the blow-up criterion in Proposition 1.1 and (1.9) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{t \rightarrow T_+ - 0} \|(u(t), \partial_t u(t))\|_{H^1 \times L^2} &= \infty, & \text{if } 1 < p < p_1, \\ \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{2(d+1)}{d-2}}([0, T_+) \times \mathbb{R}^d)} &= \infty, & \text{if } p = p_1. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of this proposition is based on the so called test-function method, which can be found in Theorem 2.3 in [10] or Theorem 2.4 in [11] (see also [6, 7]).

Next we state non-existence of local solutions for the focusing nonlinearity $N(z) = \pm|z|^p$ with the H^1 -supercritical exponent $p > p_1$, for suitable $(u_0, u_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which have a singularity at the origin $x = 0$.

Theorem 1.5 (Non-existence of local solutions in the energy-supercritical case for the focusing nonlinearity $N(z) = \pm|z|^p$). *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 3$, $b = b(t)$ be a positive C^1 -function on $[0, \infty)$, $p > p_1$, $N(z) = \pm|z|^p$ and $(u_0, u_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We assume that the function (u_0, u_1) satisfies the estimate (1.13) with $k \in (\frac{p+1}{p-1}, \frac{d}{2})$, where double-sign corresponds. Then for any $T > 0$, there does not exist weak solution to (1.1) on $[0, T)$, where the weak solution is defined by Definition 3.1.*

The proof of this theorem is based on the argument of the proof of Theorem 2.5 in [10] or Theorem 2.7 in [11], though different from the proof of Theorem 2.5 in [10], we have to deal with the time-dependent coefficient $b(t)$.

2. PROOF OF GLOBAL WELL-POSEDNESS IN THE ENERGY SUBCRITICAL CASE

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 1.2. The key trick in the proof is deriving the uniform upper estimate of L^2 -norm of local solutions u by using the assumption that b satisfies the overdamping condition (1.2) (see (2.5) more precisely).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By the local well-posedness result (Proposition 1.1), we can find a unique solution $u \in C([0, T_+); H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1([0, T_+); L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ to (1.1) on $[0, T_+)$. Thus we can define a continuous function $Q : [0, T_+) \mapsto [0, \infty)$ as

$$(2.1) \quad Q(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|(u(s), u_t(s))\|_{H^1 \times L^2}.$$

We will prove that there exist constants $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(d, p, \|b^{-1}\|_{L^1(0, \infty)}, C_N) > 0$ and $C_0^* = C_0^*(d, p, \|b^{-1}\|_{L^1(0, \infty)}, C_N) > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0)$, if $\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^1 \times L^2} \leq \varepsilon$, then

$$(2.2) \quad Q(t) \leq C_0^* \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^1 \times L^2} \leq C_0^* \varepsilon < \infty.$$

for any $t \in [0, T_+)$. Then by the blow-up criterion in Proposition 1.1 with the estimate (2.2), we see that $T_+ = \infty$ and the estimate (1.10) holds, which completes the proof of the theorem.

In order to prove the estimate (2.2), integrating the energy identity (1.7), we have

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t b(s) \|u_t(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_1\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{N}(u_0(x)) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{N}(u(t, x)) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_1\|_{L^2}^2 + C_N \|u_0\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} + C_N \|u(t)\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \end{aligned}$$

for any $t \in [0, T_+)$, where we have used the estimate (1.3). This implies that the estimate

$$(2.4) \quad \int_0^t b(s) \|u_t(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq C_1 \left\{ \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^1 \times L^2}^2 + \|u_0\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} + \|u(t)\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \right\}$$

holds for any $t \in [0, T_+)$, where C_1 is defined by $C_1 := \max(\frac{1}{2}, C_N)$. By the fundamental theorem of calculus, Schwarz's inequality and by the overdamping condition (1.2), the Fubini-Tonelli theorem and the estimate (2.4), the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \left\| u_0 + \int_0^t u_t(s) ds \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq 2\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \left\| \left(\int_0^t b(s)^{-1} ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t b(s) u_t(s)^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq 2\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|b^{-1}\|_{L^1(0,\infty)} \int_0^t b(s) \|u_t(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\
&\leq C_2 \left\{ \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^1 \times L^2}^2 + \|u_0\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} + \|u(t)\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

hold for any $t \in [0, T_+)$, where C_2 is defined by $C_2 := \max(2, 2\|b^{-1}\|_{L^1(0,\infty)} C_1)$. Since p belongs to the H^1 -subcritical region (1.4), the Sobolev embedding $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ gives

$$(2.6) \quad \|u(t)\|_{L^{p+1}} \leq C_3 \|u(t)\|_{H^1} \leq C_3 Q(t),$$

for any $t \in [0, T_+)$, where C_3 depends only on p and d . Therefore, by combining the estimates (2.3), (2.5) and (2.6), the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad Q(t) &\leq (1 + C_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} Q(0) + \{C_3^{p+1}(C_2 + 2C_N)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} Q(0)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \\
&\quad + \{C_3^{p+1}(C_2 + 2C_N)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} Q(t)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \\
&\leq C_4 \left\{ Q(0) + Q(0)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} + Q(t)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

holds for any $t \in [0, T_+)$, where $C_4 := \max\left((1 + C_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \{C_3^{p+1}(C_2 + 2C_N)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$. Here we take $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(C_4, p) > 0$ sufficiently small. Then by the standard continuity argument, for $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0]$, we can derive the desired estimate (2.2) if $\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^1 \times L^2} \leq \varepsilon$. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.3. In the same manner as the proof of the estimate (2.3), by the defocusing condition (1.11), the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t b(s) \|u_t(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_1\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{N}(u_0(x)) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{N}(u(t, x)) dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_1\|_{L^2}^2 + C_N \|u_0\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}
\end{aligned}$$

holds for any $t \in [0, T_+)$. In the same manner as the proof of the estimate (2.7), the inequality

$$Q(t) \leq C_4 \left\{ \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^1 \times L^2} + \|u_0\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \right\}$$

is true for $t \in [0, T_+)$. By this estimate and the blow-up criterion in Proposition 1.1, we find that $T_+ = \infty$, and the estimate (1.12) holds. which completes the proof of the corollary. \square

3. PROOF OF LARGE DATA BLOW-UP AND NON-EXISTENCE OF LOCAL SOLUTION

In this section, we give a proof of the large data blow-up of the solution to (1.1) in the energy-subcritical or critical case (Theorem 1.4), and the non-existence of the local weak solution in the energy-supercritical case (Theorem 1.5). Our proof for Theorem 1.4 is based on that of Theorem 2.3 in [10] or Theorem 2.4 in [11]. The proof of Theorem 1.5 is based on that of Theorem 2.5 in [10] or Theorem 2.7 in [11].

We reduce the problems into whether there exists a weak solution to (1.1) or not. The weak solution to (1.1) is defined as follows.

Definition 3.1. *We say that u is a weak solution to (1.1) on $[0, T)$, if u belongs to $L^p_{loc}([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and the identity*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{[0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d} u(t, x) \{(\partial_t^2 \psi)(t, x) - (\Delta \psi)(t, x) - b'(t)\psi(t, x) - b(t)(\partial_t \psi)(t, x)\} dx dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_0(x)(\partial_t \psi)(0, x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{b(0)u_0(x) + u_1(x)\}\psi(0, x) dx \\ &+ \int_{[0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d} N(u(t, x))\psi(t, x) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

holds for any $\psi \in C_0^\infty([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. We also define lifespan of the weak solution as

$$T_w := \sup\{T \in (0, \infty]; \text{ there exists a unique weak solution } u \text{ to (1.1) on } [0, T)\}.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.4, we first show that energy solution to (1.1) on $[0, T)$ becomes weak solution to (1.1) on $[0, T)$:

Lemma 3.2. *Under the same assumptions as in Proposition 1.1, let u be a solution to (1.1) on $[0, T)$. Then u becomes a weak solution to (1.1) on $[0, T)$, which implies the estimate $T_+ \leq T_w$ holds.*

The proof of this lemma is due to a standard density argument. We omit the detail (see Proposition 4.2 in [14] or Proposition 9.6 in [35] more precisely).

Proposition 3.3 (Non-existence of global weak solution for large data for the focusing nonlinearity $N(z) = \pm|z|^p$ with $p > 1$). *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $b = b(t)$ be a positive C^1 -function on $[0, \infty)$, $p > 1$, $N(z) = \pm|z|^p$ and $(a_0, a_1) \in (L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d))^2$. We assume that the function (a_0, a_1) satisfies (1.13) with $k < \min\left(d, \frac{p+1}{p-1}\right)$, where double-sign corresponds. Then there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ and $C_2^* > 0$ depending only on $d, p, k, \|b\|_{W^{1, \infty}(0, 2)}$ such that for any $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, \infty)$, the maximal existence time T_w with $(u_0, u_1) = \lambda(a_0, a_1)$ is estimated as*

$$(3.2) \quad T_w \leq C_2^* \lambda^{-\frac{1}{\frac{p+1}{p-1} - k}}.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.5 (non-existence of local solutions in the energy space in the H^1 -supercritical case), we prove the following non-existence result for local weak solutions with $p > 1 + \frac{2}{d-1}$ for suitable data $(u_0, u_1) \in (L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d))^2$.

Proposition 3.4 (Non-existence of local weak solution in $(L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d))^2$ data setting). *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 3$, $b = b(t)$ be a positive C^1 -function on $[0, \infty)$, $p > 1 + \frac{2}{d-1}$, $N(z) = \pm|z|^p$ and $(u_0, u_1) \in (L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d))^2$. We assume that the function (u_0, u_1)*

satisfies the estimate (1.13) with $k \in (\frac{p+1}{p-1}, d)$, where double-sign corresponds. Then for any $T > 0$, there does not exist weak solution to (1.1) on $[0, T)$.

3.1. Integral inequalities via a test-function method. In this subsection, we derive two useful inequalities (Lemmas 3.5, 3.6 below) by using suitable test-functions. We define the two functions $\eta = \eta(t) \in C_0^\infty([0, \infty))$, $\phi = \phi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such as $0 \leq \eta, \phi \leq 1$ and

$$(3.3) \quad \eta(t) := \begin{cases} 1 & (0 \leq t < 1/2), \\ \text{smooth} & (1/2 \leq t \leq 1), \\ 0 & (t > 1), \end{cases} \quad \phi(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & (0 \leq |x| < 1/2), \\ \text{smooth} & (1/2 \leq |x| \leq 1), \\ 0 & (|x| > 1). \end{cases}$$

For $\tau > 0$, which will be chosen appropriately later, we also define the function ψ_τ of $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such as

$$\psi_\tau = \psi_\tau(t, x) := \eta_\tau(t)\phi_\tau(x) := \eta(t/\tau)\phi(x/\tau).$$

We define the open ball centered at the origin with radius $r > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^d by $B(r)$, i.e.

$$B(r) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; |x| < r\}.$$

An upper estimate of the data can be derived as follows.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $b = b(t)$ be a positive C^1 -function on $[0, \infty)$, $p > 1$, $N(z) = \pm|z|^p$, $q := p/(p-1)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $l \geq 2q + 1$, $(u_0, u_1) \in (L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^d))^2$, $T > 0$ and u be a weak solution to (1.1) on $[0, T)$. Then there exists a constant $C_3^* > 0$ depending only on d, p, l , such that the estimate*

$$(3.4) \quad \pm \int_{B(\tau)} (b(0)u_0 + u_1)(x)\phi_\tau^l(x)dx \leq C_3^* \tau^{d+1} \left\{ \tau^{-2q} + \|b'\|_{L^\infty(0, T)}^q + \|b\|_{L^\infty(0, T)}^q \tau^{-q} \right\},$$

holds for any $\tau \in (0, T)$, where the double-sign corresponds.

Proof of Lemma 3.5. We only consider the case of $N(z) = |z|^p$, since we can treat the case of $N(z) = -|z|^p$ in a similar manner. Since $u \in L_{loc}^p([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $(u_0, u_1) \in (L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^d))^2$, we can define the following two functions of $\tau \in (0, T)$

$$I(\tau) := \int_{[0, \tau) \times B(\tau)} |u(t, x)|^p \psi_\tau^l(t, x) dx dt,$$

$$J(\tau) := \int_{B(\tau)} (b(0)u_0(x) + u_1(x)) \phi_\tau^l(x) dx.$$

Since u satisfies the weak form (3.1) with $N(z) = |z|^p$ on $[0, T)$ and $\psi_\tau^l \in C_0^\infty([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, by substituting ψ_τ^l into the test function in Definition 3.1, and by using the identity $\{\partial_t(\psi_\tau^l)\}(0, x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the identities

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} I(\tau) + J(\tau) &= \int_{[0, \tau) \times B(\tau)} u \partial_t^2(\psi_\tau^l) dx dt + \int_{[0, \tau) \times B(\tau)} (-u) \Delta(\psi_\tau^l) dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_{[0, \tau) \times B(\tau)} (-u) b'(t) \psi_\tau^l dx dt + \int_{[0, \tau) \times B(\tau)} (-u) b(t) \partial_t(\psi_\tau^l) dx dt \\ &=: K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4. \end{aligned}$$

hold for any $\tau \in (0, T)$. We first estimate K_1 . Noting that $l/q - 2 \geq 0$, by a direct calculation, the properties of the test-functions η , ϕ and Hölder's inequality, the estimates

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} K_1 &\leq l(l-1)\tau^{-2} \int_{[0,\tau) \times B(\tau)} |u|\eta_\tau^{l-2}\phi_\tau^l |(\partial_t \eta)(t/\tau)|^2 dxdt \\ &\quad + l\tau^{-2} \int_{[0,\tau) \times B(\tau)} |u|\eta_\tau^{l-1}\phi_\tau^l |(\partial_t^2 \eta)(t/\tau)| dxdt \\ &\leq C\tau^{-2} \int_{[0,\tau) \times B(\tau)} |u|\psi_\tau^{l/p} dxdt \leq C_1\tau^{(d+1)/q-2} \{I(\tau)\}^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

hold for any $\tau \in (0, T)$, where C_1 is a positive constant dependent only on l, d, p and η . Next we consider K_2 . In the same manner as the proof of (3.6), the inequalities

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} K_2 &\leq l(l-1)\tau^{-2} \int_{[0,\tau) \times B(\tau)} |u|\eta_\tau^l\phi_\tau^{l-2} |(\Delta\phi)(x/\tau)| dxdt \\ &\quad + l\tau^{-2} \int_{[0,\tau) \times B(\tau)} |u|\eta_\tau^l\phi_\tau^{l-1} |(\nabla\phi)(x/\tau)|^2 dxdt \\ &\leq C\tau^{-2} \int_{[0,\tau) \times B(\tau)} |u|\psi_\tau^{l/p} dxdt \leq C_2\tau^{(d+1)/q-2} \{I(\tau)\}^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

hold for any $\tau \in (0, T)$, where C_2 is a positive constant dependent only on l, d, p and ϕ . Next we estimate K_3 . Since T is finite and $b = b(t)$ is a C^1 -function on $[0, \infty)$, by the properties of the test-functions η , ϕ and Hölder's inequality, the inequalities

$$(3.8) \quad K_3 \leq \|b'\|_{L^\infty(0,T)} \int_{[0,\tau) \times B(\tau)} |u|\psi_\tau^{l/p} dxdt \leq C_3 \|b'\|_{L^\infty(0,T)} \tau^{(d+1)/q} \{I(\tau)\}^{1/p}.$$

hold for any $\tau \in (0, T)$, where C_3 is a positive constant dependent only on d, p . Finally we estimate K_4 . Noting that $l/q - 1 \geq 0$, by the properties of the test-functions η , ϕ and Hölder's inequality, the estimates

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} K_4 &\leq l\|b\|_{L^\infty(0,T)} \tau^{-1} \int_{[0,\tau) \times B(\tau)} |u|\eta_\tau^{l-1}\phi_\tau^l |(\partial_t \eta)(t/\tau)| dxdt \\ &\leq C\|b\|_{L^\infty(0,T)} \tau^{-1} \int_{[0,\tau) \times B(\tau)} |u|\psi_\tau^{l/p} dxdt \leq C_4 \|b\|_{L^\infty(0,T)} \tau^{(d+1)/q-1} \{I(\tau)\}^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

hold for any $\tau \in (0, T)$, where C_4 is a positive constant dependent only on l, d, p and η . By combining the estimates (3.5)–(3.9), the inequality

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} I(\tau) + J(\tau) &\leq \\ &\left\{ (C_1 + C_2)\tau^{(d+1)/q-2} + C_3\|b'\|_{L^\infty(0,T)}\tau^{(d+1)/q} + C_4\|b\|_{L^\infty(0,T)}\tau^{(d+1)/q-1} \right\} \{I(\tau)\}^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

holds for any $\tau \in (0, T)$. Here since $p, q > 1$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$, the Young inequality

$$ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q} \quad \text{for } a, b > 0$$

holds. By combining this estimate and (3.10), we have

$$(3.11) \quad J(\tau) \leq C_3^* \left\{ \tau^{d+1-2q} + \|b'\|_{L^\infty(0,T)}^q \tau^{d+1} + \|b\|_{L^\infty(0,T)}^q \tau^{d+1-q} \right\},$$

where C_3^* is a positive constant dependent only on d, p and l , which completes the proof of the lemma. \square

By combining the condition that the initial data have a singularity at the origin $x = 0$ and the test-function method (Lemma 3.5), we can derive the following estimate. The idea of the proof is found in Lemma 3.2 in [10] or Lemma 3.2 in [11].

Lemma 3.6. *Besides the assumptions in Lemma 3.5, we assume that the function (u_0, u_1) satisfies (1.13) with $k < d$. Then the estimate*

$$(3.12) \quad \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{\tau}} |x|^{-k} \phi^l(x) dx \leq C_3^* \tau^{k+1} \left\{ \tau^{-2q} + \|b'\|_{L^\infty(0,T)}^q + \|b\|_{L^\infty(0,T)}^q \tau^{-q} \right\}$$

holds for any $\tau \in (0, T)$, where $C_3^* > 0$ is the same constant which appears in Lemma 3.5.

Proof of Lemma 3.6. By the definition of the function J , using changing variables and the assumption (1.13), we have

$$J(\tau) = \tau^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (b(0)u_0 + u_1)(\tau x) \phi^l(x) dx \geq \tau^{d-k} \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{\tau}} |x|^{-k} \phi^l(x) dx$$

for any $\tau > 0$. By combining Lemma 3.5 and this estimate, the estimate (3.12) is true for any $\tau \in (0, T)$, which completes the proof of the lemma. \square

3.2. Non-existence of global solutions for large data in the case $N(z) = \pm|z|^p$. In this subsection, we give a proof of Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. Set $q := \frac{p}{p-1}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $l \geq 2q + 1$ and

$$\lambda_0 := C_3^* 2^{k+1} \left\{ 2^{-2q} + \|b'\|_{L^\infty(0,2)}^q + \|b\|_{L^\infty(0,2)}^q 2^{-q} \right\} \frac{d-k}{|S_{d-1}|} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{k-d},$$

where C_3^* is given in Lemma 3.5 and $|S_{d-1}|$ is the surface area of the unit sphere S_{d-1} in \mathbb{R}^d . Then we can prove that for $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, \infty)$, the maximal existence time T_w with $(u_0, u_1) = \lambda(a_0, a_1)$ is estimated as

$$(3.13) \quad T_w \leq 2.$$

Indeed, on the contrary, we assume that $T_w > 2$. Let u be a weak solution to (1.1) on $[0, T_w)$. We can apply Lemma 3.6 with $(u_0, u_1) = \lambda(a_0, a_1)$ to obtain the estimate

$$\lambda \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{\tau}} |x|^{-k} \phi^l(x) dx \leq C_3^* \tau^{k+1} \left\{ \tau^{-2q} + \|b'\|_{L^\infty(0,T_w)}^q + \|b\|_{L^\infty(0,T_w)}^q \tau^{-q} \right\},$$

for any $\tau \in (0, T_w)$. By this estimate with $\tau = 2$, the inequality

$$(3.14) \quad \lambda \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}} |x|^{-k} \phi^l(x) dx \leq C_3^* 2^{k+1} \left\{ 2^{-2q} + \|b'\|_{L^\infty(0,2)}^q + \|b\|_{L^\infty(0,2)}^q 2^{-q} \right\}$$

holds. Since $k < d$, by the property of the test-function ϕ , the identities

$$(3.15) \quad \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}} |x|^{-k} \phi^l(x) dx = \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}} |x|^{-k} dx = \frac{|S_{d-1}|}{d-k} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{d-k} =: C_4$$

hold. By combining the estimates (3.14) and (3.15), the inequality

$$\lambda \leq \lambda_0$$

holds. This leads to a contradiction. Thus we have (3.13).

Finally, we prove the estimate (3.2). It is obvious that the estimate

$$(3.16) \quad \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{\tau}} |x|^{-k} \phi^l(x) dx > \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}} |x|^{-k} \phi^l(x) dx = C_4$$

holds for any $\tau \in (0, 2)$. Let $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, \infty)$. Then, (3.13) holds. By Lemma 3.6 with the estimate (3.16), the inequalities

$$(3.17) \quad C_4 \lambda < C_3^* \tau^{k+1-2q} \left\{ 1 + 2^{2q} \|b'\|_{L^\infty(0,2)} + 2^q \|b\|_{L^\infty(0,2)}^q \right\} =: C_5 \tau^{k+1-2q}$$

hold for any $\tau \in (0, T_w) \subset (0, 2]$. By the estimate $k < \frac{p+1}{p-1}$, the inequality $k+1-2q < 0$ holds. Thus by the estimate (3.17), the inequality

$$\tau \leq (C_4 C_5^{-1})^{\frac{1}{k+1-2q}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{\frac{p+1}{p-1}-k}} =: C_2^* \lambda^{-\frac{1}{\frac{p+1}{p-1}-k}}$$

holds for any $\tau \in (0, T_w)$. Since τ is arbitrary in $(0, T_w)$, the above inequality implies (3.2), which completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Lemma 3.2 implies the estimate

$$(3.18) \quad T_+ \leq T_w.$$

Since $p > 1$ if $d = 1, 2$ and $p \in (1, p_1]$ if $d \geq 3$, the estimate $k < \frac{p+1}{p-1}$ holds, which allows us to apply Proposition 3.3. Thus we find there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, \infty)$, the estimate (3.2) holds. By combining the estimates (3.19) and (3.2), the inequality (1.14) holds for $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, \infty)$, which completes the proof of the theorem. \square

3.3. Non-existence of local solutions in the supercritical cases. We give a proof of Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Proposition 3.4. We only consider the case of $N(z) = |z|^p$, since the case of $N(z) = -|z|^p$ can be treated in a similar manner. On the contrary, we assume that there exists a weak solution u to (1.1) on $[0, T)$. By Lemma 3.6, the estimate

$$(3.19) \quad \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{\tau}} |x|^{-k} \phi^l(x) dx \leq C_4 \tau^{k+1-2q}$$

holds for any $\tau \in (0, \min(1, T))$, where $C_4 := C_3(1 + \|b'\|_{L^\infty(0,T)}^q + \|b\|_{L^\infty(0,T)}^q)$. Since $k < d$, by the properties of the test-function ϕ , the inequalities

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{\tau}} |x|^{-k} \phi^l(x) dx &> \int_{|x| \leq 1} |x|^{-k} \phi^l(x) dx > \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}} |x|^{-k} dx \\ &= \frac{|S_{d-1}|}{d-k} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{d-k} =: C_5 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

hold for any $\tau \in (0, 1)$. Thus by combining the estimates (3.19) and (3.20), the estimate

$$(3.21) \quad 0 < C_6 := C_5 C_4^{-1} \leq \tau^{k+1-2q},$$

for any $\tau \in (0, \min(1, T))$. By the assumption $\frac{p+1}{p-1} < k$, the estimate $k+1-2q > 0$ holds. Thus noting that C_6 is independent of τ , we can take $\tau \in (0, \min(1, T))$ such as $\tau^{k+1-2q} < \frac{1}{2} C_6$, which contradicts (3.21). This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. By the assumption $p > p_1$, the inequality $p > 1 + \frac{2}{d-1}$ holds. It follows that $(u_0, u_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset (L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d))^2$. We also have $\frac{p+1}{p-1} < \frac{d}{2}$, which implies that there exists a function $(u_0, u_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying (1.13) with $\frac{p+1}{p-1} < k < \frac{d}{2} < d$. Thus we can apply Proposition 3.4 to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.5. \square

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF LOCAL WELL-POSEDNESS IN THE ENERGY-SUBCRITICAL CASE

In this appendix, we give an outline of the proof of Proposition 1.1. We apply the following transformation

$$(A.1) \quad v(t, x) := \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t b(s) ds\right) u(t, x), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

to the Cauchy problem (1.1) in order to eliminate the first order time-derivative of u . A simple calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} v_t(t, x) &= \left\{ \frac{b(t)}{2} u(t, x) + u_t(t, x) \right\} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t b(s) ds\right), \\ v_{tt}(t, x) &= \left[\left\{ \frac{b'(t)}{2} + \frac{b(t)^2}{4} \right\} u(t, x) + b(t)u_t(t, x) + u_{tt}(t, x) \right] \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t b(s) ds\right). \end{aligned}$$

We find that u is the solution to (1.1) if and only if v is the solution to the Cauchy problem of the semilinear wave equation

$$(A.2) \quad \begin{cases} v_{tt} - \Delta v = F(v), & t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ v(0, x) = v_0(x), \quad v_t(0, x) = v_1(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases}$$

where $F(v) := F_1(v) + F_2(v)$,

$$F_1(v) := \left\{ \frac{b'(t)}{2} + \frac{b(t)^2}{4} \right\} v, \quad F_2(v) := \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t b(s) ds\right) N\left(\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t b(s) ds\right) v\right)$$

and

$$v_0(x) := u_0(x), \quad v_1(x) := \frac{b(0)}{2} u_0(x) + u_1(x).$$

We note that the right hand side of (A.2) does not include the time-derivative $\partial_t v$. Moreover, since the nonlinear function N satisfies (1.3) with $p \geq 1$ and b is non-negative, the estimate

$$|F_2(z)| \leq C_N \exp\left(-\frac{p-1}{2} \int_0^t b(s) dx\right) |z|^p \leq C_N |z|^p$$

holds for any $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus since (v_0, v_1) belongs to $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and we consider local existence of solutions to (1.1), we can apply the argument of the proof of Lemma 4.1 in [27], the estimates (2.15) and (2.16) in [28] or the fundamental theorem in [19], to obtain local well-posedness in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to (1.1).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are deeply grateful to Professor Kenji Nakanishi for giving us an idea for estimating L^2 -norm of solutions to (1.1) in Theorem 1.2. The authors would like to thank the referee for careful reading of the manuscript and giving constructive comments. This work is supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows 26-1884 and Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 15K17571 and 16K17625.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. D'ABBICCO, *The threshold of effective damping for semilinear wave equation*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. **38** (2015), 1032–1045.
- [2] M. D'ABBICCO, S. LUCENTE, *A modified test function method for damped wave equations*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **13** (2013), 867–892.
- [3] M. D'ABBICCO, S. LUCENTE, M. REISSIG, *Semi-Linear wave equations with effective damping*, Chin. Ann. Math., Ser. B **34** (2013), 345–380.
- [4] M. D'ABBICCO, S. LUCENTE, M. REISSIG, *A shift in the Strauss exponent for semilinear wave equations with a not effective damping*, J. Differential Equations **259** (2015), 5040–5073.
- [5] H. FUJITA, *On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$* , J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sec. I **13** (1966), 109–124.
- [6] K. FUJIWARA, T. OZAWA, *Finite time blowup of solutions to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation without gauge invariance*, J. Math. Phys. **57** (2016), 082103.
- [7] K. FUJIWARA, M. IKEDA, Y. WAKASUGI, *Estimates of lifespan and blow-up rates for the wave equation with a time-dependent damping and a power-type nonlinearity*, to appear in Funkcial. Ekvac., arXiv:1609.01035.
- [8] J. GINIBRE, G. VELO, *The global Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation-II*, Annales de l'I. H. P., section C **6** (1989), 15–35.
- [9] N. HAYASHI, E. I. KAIKINA, P. I. NAUMKIN, *Damped wave equation with super critical nonlinearities*, Differential Integral Equations **17** (2004), 637–652.
- [10] M. IKEDA, T. INUI, *Some non-existence results for the semilinear Schrödinger equation without gauge invariance*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **425** (2015) 758–773.
- [11] M. IKEDA, T. INUI, *A remark on non-existence results for the semi-linear damped Klein-Gordon equations*, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu **B56** (2016) 11–30.
- [12] M. IKEDA, T. INUI, *The sharp estimate of the lifespan for the semilinear wave equation with time-dependent damping*, to appear in Differential and Integral Equations, arXiv:1707.03950.
- [13] M. IKEDA, T. INUI, M. OKAMOTO, Y. WAKASUGI, *L^p - L^q estimates for the damped wave equation and their application to the nonlinear problem with slowly decaying data*, submitted, arXiv:1710.06538v1.
- [14] M. IKEDA, T. INUI, Y. WAKASUGI, *The Cauchy problem for the nonlinear damped wave equation with slowly decaying data*, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. **24** (2016), Art. 10, 53 pp.
- [15] M. IKEDA, T. OGAWA, *Lifespan of solutions to the damped wave equation with a critical nonlinearity*, J. Differential Equations **261** (2016), 1880–1903.
- [16] M. IKEDA, M. SOBAJIMA, *Life-span of solutions to semilinear wave equation with time-dependent critical damping for specially localized initial data*, to appear in Mathematische Annalen, arXiv:1709.04406v1.
- [17] M. IKEDA AND Y. WAKASUGI, *A note on the lifespan of solutions to the semilinear damped wave equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **143** (2015), 163–171.
- [18] R. IKEHATA, K. TANIZAWA, *Global existence of solutions for semilinear damped wave equations in \mathbb{R}^N with noncompactly supported initial data*, Nonlinear Anal. **61** (2005), 1189–1208.
- [19] L. V. KAPITANSKII, *The Cauchy problem for a semilinear wave equation. II*, J. Soviet. Math. **62** (1992), 2746–2777.
- [20] M. KEEL, T. TAO, *Endpoint Strichartz estimates*, American Journal of Mathematics **120** (1998), 955–980.
- [21] C.E. KENIG, F. MERLE, *Global well-posedness, scattering and blow-up for the energy-critical focusing non-linear wave equation*, Acta Math. **201** (2008), 147–212.
- [22] R. KILLIP, B. STROVALL, M. VISAN, *Blowup behavior for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation*, Math. Ann. **358** (2014), 289–350.

- [23] N.A. LAI, H. TAKAMURA, K. WAKASA, *Blow-up for semilinear wave equations with the scale invariant damping and super-Fujita exponent*, J. Differential Equations **263** (2018), 5377–5394.
- [24] N.A. LAI, H. TAKAMURA, *Blow-up for semilinear damped wave equations with subcritical exponent in the scattering case*, Nonlinear Analysis **168** (2018), 222–237.
- [25] T.-T. LI, Y. ZHOU, *Breakdown of solutions to $\square u + u_t = |u|^{1+\alpha}$* , Discrete Contin. Dynam. Syst. **1** (1995), 503–520.
- [26] J. LIN, K. NISHIHARA, J. ZHAI, *Critical exponent for the semilinear wave equation with time-dependent damping*, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Syst. **32** (2012), no. 12, 4307–4320.
- [27] S. MACHIHARA, K. NAKANISHI, T. OZAWA, *Nonrelativistic limit in the energy space for nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations*, Math. Ann. **322** (2002), 603–621.
- [28] N. MASMOUDI, K. NAKANISHI, *From nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation to a system of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Math. Ann. **324** (2002), 359–389.
- [29] K. NISHIHARA, *L^p - L^q estimates of solutions to the damped wave equation in 3-dimensional space and their application*, Math. Z. **244** (2003), 631–649.
- [30] K. NISHIHARA, *L^p - L^q estimates for the 3-D damped wave equation and their application to the semilinear problem*, Seminar Notes of Math. Sci. **6**, Ibaraki Univ., 2003, 69–83.
- [31] G. TODOROVA, B. YORDANOV, *Critical exponent for a nonlinear wave equation with damping*, J. Differential Equations **174** (2001), 464–489.
- [32] Z. TU, J. LIN, *A note on the blowup of scale invariant damping wave equation with sub-Strauss exponent*, arXiv:1709.00866v2.
- [33] K. WAKASA, *The Lifespan of solutions to semilinear damped wave equations in one space dimension*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **15** (2016), 1265–1283.
- [34] Y. WAKASUGI, *Critical exponent for the semilinear wave equation with scale invariant damping*, Fourier analysis, 375–390, Trends Math., Birkhauser/Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [35] Y. WAKASUGI, *On the diffusive structure for the damped wave equation with variable coefficients*, Doctoral thesis, Osaka University (2014).
- [36] Y. WAKASUGI, *Scaling variables and asymptotic profiles for the semilinear damped wave equation with variable coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **447** (2017), 452–487.
- [37] B. YORDANOV, Q.S. ZHANG, *Finite time blow up for critical wave equations in high dimensions*, J. Funct. Anal. **231** (2006), 361–374.
- [38] Q.S. ZHANG, *A blow-up result for a nonlinear wave equation with damping: the critical case*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **333** (2001), 109–114.
- [39] Y. ZHOU, *Blow up of solutions to semilinear wave equations with critical exponent in high dimensions*, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B **28** (2007), 205–212.

(M. Ikeda) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, KEIO UNIVERSITY, 3-14-1 HIYOSHI, KOHOKU-KU, YOKOHAMA, 223-8522, JAPAN/CENTER FOR ADVANCED INTELLIGENCE PROJECT, RIKEN, JAPAN

E-mail address: masahiro.ikeda@keio.jp/masahiro.ikeda@riken.jp

(Y. Wakasugi) DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING FOR PRODUCTION AND ENVIRONMENT, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, EHIME UNIVERSITY, 3 BUNKYO-CHO, MATSUYAMA, EHIME, 790-8577, JAPAN

E-mail address: wakasugi.yuta.vi@ehime-u.ac.jp