

Cubulated moves for 2-knots.*

Juan Pablo Díaz[†], Gabriela Hinojosa[‡], Alberto Verjovsky,[§]

August 21, 2017

Abstract

In this paper, we prove that given two cubical links of dimension two in \mathbb{R}^4 , they are isotopic if and only if one can pass from one to the other by a finite sequence of cubulated moves. These moves are analogous to the Reidemeister and Roseman moves for classical tame knots of dimension one and two, respectively.

1 Introduction

In [2] it was shown that any smooth knot $K^n : \mathbb{S}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ can be deformed isotopically into the n -skeleton of the canonical cubulation of \mathbb{R}^{n+2} and this isotopic copy is called *cubical n -knot*. In particular, every smooth 1-knot $\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is isotopic to a cubical knot.

There are two types of elementary “cubulated moves”. The first one (M1) is obtained by dividing each cube of the original cubulation of \mathbb{R}^3 into m^3 cubes, which means that each edge of the knot is subdivided into m equal segments. The second one (M2) consists in exchanging a connected set of edges in a face of the cubulation with the complementary edges in that face. If two cubical knots K_1 and K_2 are such that we can convert K_1 into K_2 using a finite sequence of cubulated moves then we say that they are *equivalent via cubulated moves* and is denoted by $K_1 \stackrel{c}{\sim} K_2$.

In [8] it was proved the following:

*2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 57M25. Secondary: 57M27, 57Q45. *Key Words*: Cubulated moves, Discrete knots, 2-knots.

[†]This work was partially supported by CONACyT (México), FORDECYT 265667

[‡]This work was partially supported by CONACyT (México), CB-2009-129939.

[§]This work was partially supported by CONACyT (México), CB-2009-129280 and PAPIIT (Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México) #IN 106817.

Theorem 0. *Given two cubical knots K_1 and K_2 in \mathbb{R}^3 , $K_1 \cong K_2 \cong \mathbb{S}^1$, they are isotopic if and only if K_1 is equivalent to K_2 by a finite sequence of cubulated moves; i.e., $K_1 \sim K_2 \iff K_1 \overset{c}{\sim} K_2$.*

Theorem 0 is analogous to the Reidemeister moves of classical tame knots for cubical knots.




Figure 1: *Two isotopic knots are equivalent via cubulated moves.*

Notice that cubulated moves can be extended to cubical 2-knots in a natural way: The first one (M1) is obtained by dividing each hypercube of the original cubulation of \mathbb{R}^4 into m^4 hypercubes, and the second one (M2) consists in exchanging a connected set of squared faces homeomorphic to a disk \mathbb{D}^2 in a cube of the cubulation (or a subdivision of the cubulation) with the complementary faces in that cube.

The study of 2-knots in \mathbb{R}^4 has been considered by various authors, for instance in [4], [5], [9] and [12].

Our goal is to extend the Theorem 0 for cubical knots of dimension two:

Theorem 1. *Given two cubical 2-knots K_1^2 and K_2^2 in \mathbb{R}^4 , then they are isotopic if and only if K_1^2 is equivalent to K_2^2 by cubulated moves; i.e.,*

$$K_1^2 \sim K_2^2 \iff K_1^2 \overset{c}{\sim} K_2^2.$$

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Cubulations of \mathbb{R}^4

The regular hypercubic honeycomb whose Schläfli symbol is $\{4, 3, 3, 4\}$, is called a *cubulation* of \mathbb{R}^4 . In other words, a cubulation of \mathbb{R}^4 is a decomposition into a collection of right-angled 4-dimensional hypercubes $\{4, 3, 3\}$




Figure 1. The 3-dimensional cubical kaleidoscopic honeycomb $\{4, 3, 4\}$.
This figure is courtesy of Roice Nelson [13].

called *cells* such that any two are either disjoint or meet in one common k -face of some dimension k . This provides \mathbb{R}^4 with the structure of a cubical complex whose category is similar to the simplicial category PL.

The combinatorial structure of the regular Euclidean honeycomb $\{4, 3, 3, 4\}$ is the following: around each vertex there are 8 edges, 24 squares, 32 cubes and 16 hypercubes. Around each edge there are 6 squares, 12 cubes and 8 hypercubes. Around each square there are 4 cubes and 4 hypercubes. Finally around each cube there are 2 hypercubes.

The canonical hypercubic honeycomb \mathcal{C} of \mathbb{R}^4 is its decomposition into hypercubes which are the images of the unit hypercube:

$$\{4, 3, 3\} = I^4 = [0, 1]^4 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid 0 \leq x_i \leq 1\}$$

by translations by vectors with integer coefficients. Then all vertices of \mathcal{C} have integer coordinates.

Any regular hypercubic honeycomb $\{4, 3, 3, 4\}$ or cubulation of \mathbb{R}^4 is obtained from the canonical cubulation by applying a conformal transformation to the canonical cubulation. Remember that a conformal transformation is of the form $x \mapsto \lambda A(x) + a$, where $\lambda \neq 0$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^4$, $A \in SO(4)$.

Definition 2.1. The k -skeleton of \mathcal{C} , denoted by \mathcal{S}^k , consists of the union of the k -skeletons of the hypercubes in \mathcal{C} , *i.e.*, the union of all cubes of

dimension k contained in the faces of the 4-cubes in \mathcal{C} . We will call the 2-skeleton \mathcal{S}^2 of \mathcal{C} the *canonical scaffolding* of \mathbb{R}^4 .

Notice that all the previous definitions can be extended in a natural way to \mathbb{R}^{n+2} .

2.2 Cubical and smooth 2-knots

In classical knot theory, a subset K of a space X is a *knot* if K is homeomorphic to a p -dimensional sphere \mathbb{S}^p embedded in either the Euclidean n -space \mathbb{R}^n or the n -sphere $\mathbb{S}^n = \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$, where $p < n$. Two knots K_1, K_2 are *equivalent* or *isotopic* if there is a homeomorphism $h : X \hookrightarrow X$ such that $h(K_1) = K_2$; in other words $(X, K_1) \cong (X, K_2)$. However, a knot K is sometimes defined to be an embedding $K : \mathbb{S}^p \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$ (see [10], [16]). We shall also find this convenient at times and will use the same symbol to denote either the map K or its image $K(\mathbb{S}^p)$ in \mathbb{S}^n .

Definition 2.2. Let K^2 be a 2-dimensional knot in \mathbb{R}^4 . If K^2 is contained in \mathcal{S}^2 , we say that K^2 is a *cubical knot*.

Definition 2.3. If K^2 is a smooth knot and \widehat{K}^2 denotes a cubical knot which is isotopic to K^2 , *i.e.*, $K^2 \sim \widehat{K}^2$, we say that \widehat{K}^2 is a *cubical diagram* of the knot K^2 .

Given two parametrized 2-dimensional smooth knots $K_1^2, K_2^2 : \mathbb{S}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ we say they are *smoothly isotopic* if there exists a smooth isotopy $H : \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ such that

$$H(x, t) = \begin{cases} K_1^2(x) & \text{if } t \leq 1, \\ K_2^2(x) & \text{if } t \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

and $H(\cdot, t)$ is an embedding of \mathbb{S}^2 for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.4. We will say $J^3 = \{(H(x, t), t) \in \mathbb{R}^5 \mid x \in \mathbb{S}^2, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the *isotopic cylinder* of K_1^2 and K_2^2 .

Note that J^3 is a smooth noncompact submanifold of codimension two in \mathbb{R}^5 .

Definition 2.5. Let $p : \mathbb{R}^5 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the projection onto the last coordinate. Let M be a connected subset of \mathbb{R}^5 such that $p^{-1}(c) \cap M$ (or $p|_M^{-1}(c)$) is connected for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we say that M is *sliced by connected level sets* of p .

Observe that there is no restriction on the dimension of M . In [8] it was proved the following result.

Theorem 2.6. *The isotopic cylinder J^3 can be cubulated. In other words, there exists an isotopic copy $\widehat{J^3}$ of J^3 contained in the 3-skeleton of the canonical cubulation of \mathbb{R}^5 . Moreover $\widehat{J^3}$ can be chosen to be sliced by connected level sets of p .*

Consider $\widehat{J^3}$ as above; so $\widehat{J^3}$ is a cubical 3-manifold and there exist integer numbers m_1 and m_2 and cubical knots $\widehat{K_1^2}$ and $\widehat{K_2^2}$ isotopic to K_1^2 and K_2^2 , respectively; such that $p|_{\widehat{J^3}}^{-1}(t) = \widehat{K_1^2}$ for all $t \leq m_1$ and $p|_{\widehat{J^3}}^{-1}(t) = \widehat{K_2^2}$ for all $t \geq m_2$.

2.3 Cubulated moves

Definition 2.7. The following are the allowed *cubulated moves*:

- M1** *Subdivision:* Given an integer $m > 1$, consider the subcubulation \mathcal{C}_m of \mathcal{C} by subdividing each k -dimensional cell of \mathcal{C} in m^k congruent k -cells in \mathcal{C}_m , in particular each hypercube in \mathcal{C} is subdivided in m^4 congruent hypercubes in \mathcal{C}_m . Moreover as a cubical complex, each k -dimensional face of the 2-knot K^2 is subdivided into m^k congruent k -faces. Since $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}_m$, then K^2 is contained in the scaffolding \mathcal{S}_m^2 (the 2-skeleton) of \mathcal{C}_m .
- M2** *Face Boundary Moves:* Suppose that K^2 is contained in some subcubulation \mathcal{C}_m of the canonical cubulation \mathcal{C} of \mathbb{R}^4 . Let $Q^4 \in \mathcal{C}_m$ be a 4-cube such that $A^2 = K^2 \cap Q^4$ contains a 2-face. We can assume, up to applying the elementary (M1)-move if necessary, that A^2 consists of either one, two, or three squares such that it is a connected surface and it is contained in the boundary of a 3-cube $F^3 \subset Q^4$; in other words A^2 is a cubical disk contained in the boundary of F^3 . The boundary ∂F^3 is divided by ∂A^2 into two cubulated surfaces, one of them is A^2 and we denote the other by B^2 . Observe that both cubulated surfaces share a common circle boundary. The face boundary move consists in replacing A^2 by B^2 (see Figure 2). There are three types of face boundary moves depending of the number of 2-faces in each A^2 and B^2 . If A^2 has p squares then B^2 has $6 - p$ squares.

Remark 2.8. It can be easily shown that the (M2)-move can be extended to an ambient isotopy of \mathbb{R}^4 .




Figure 2: *The three types of face boundary moves.*

Definition 2.9. Given two cubical 2-knots K_1^2 and K_2^2 in \mathbb{R}^4 . We say that K_1^2 is *equivalent* to K_2^2 by *cubulated moves*, denoted by $K_1^2 \sim K_2^2$, if we can transform K_1^2 into K_2^2 by a finite number of cubulated moves.

3 Main theorem

We are now ready to prove our main theorem. Notice that this proof is a generalization of the one given in [8] for the one dimensional case.

Theorem 1. *Given two cubical 2-knots K_1^2 and K_2^2 in \mathbb{R}^4 , then they are isotopic if and only if K_1^2 is equivalent to K_2^2 by cubulated moves; i.e.,*

$$K_1^2 \sim K_2^2 \iff K_1^2 \overset{c}{\sim} K_2^2.$$

Proof. First, note that if K_1^2 and K_2^2 are equivalent by cubulated moves, then these 2-knots are isotopic by Remark 2.8. Hence, what remains to be proved is that two cubical 2-knots that are isotopic must also be equivalent by cubulated moves.

Our strategy is like the one used for cubic knots of dimension one (see [8]). First, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we will smooth each K_i^2 to obtain \widetilde{K}_i^2 , and then cubulate these two 2-knots to obtain \widehat{K}_i^2 in such a way that

- A) $K_i^2 \overset{c}{\sim} \widehat{K}_i^2$ and
- B) $\widehat{K}_1^2 \overset{c}{\sim} \widehat{K}_2^2$.

In [3] was proved the following.

Theorem. *Any compact, closed, oriented, cubical surface M^2 in \mathbb{R}^4 is smoothable. More precisely, M^2 admits a global continuous transverse field of 2-planes and therefore by a theorem of J. H. C. Whitehead there is an arbitrarily small topological isotopy that moves M^2 onto a smooth surface \widetilde{M}^2 in \mathbb{R}^4 (see [14], [17]).*

As a consequence, we have that given a cubical knot K^2 , there exists a smooth knot \widetilde{K}^2 isotopic to K^2 such that \widetilde{K}^2 is \mathcal{C}^0 -arbitrarily close to K^2 . Let J^3 be the isotopic cylinder (see Definition 2.4) of \widetilde{K}_1^2 and \widetilde{K}_2^2 . Then J^3 is a smooth submanifold of codimension two in \mathbb{R}^5 . By Theorem 2.6, there exists an isotopic copy of J^3 , say \widehat{J}^3 , contained in the 3-skeleton of the canonical cubulation \mathcal{C} of \mathbb{R}^5 . Recall that \widehat{J}^3 is sliced by connected level sets of p and there exist integer numbers m_1 and m_2 such that $p^{-1}(t) \cap \widehat{J}^3 = \widehat{K}_1^2$ for all $t \leq m_1$ and $p^{-1}(t) \cap \widehat{J}^3 = \widehat{K}_2^2$ for all $t \geq m_2$, where \widehat{K}_1^2 and \widehat{K}_2^2 are cubical knots which are isotopic to \widetilde{K}_1^2 and \widetilde{K}_2^2 , respectively.

Now, we will use the following two results which will be proved in Sections 3.1 and 3.2, respectively.

Lemma A. *Given a cubical 2-knot K^2 , we can choose a small cubulation \mathcal{C}_m fine enough so that $N_K^4 = \{Q^4 \in \mathcal{C}_m \mid Q^4 \cap K^2 \neq \emptyset\}$ is a closed tubular neighborhood of K^2 and $Q^4 \cap K^2$ is equal to either a vertex, one square, two squares sharing an edge (neighboring 2-faces) or three neighboring squares (two by two neighboring 2-faces). We can also choose \widetilde{K}^2 isotopic to K^2 such that \widetilde{K}^2 is \mathcal{C}^0 -arbitrarily close to K^2 and $\widetilde{K}^2 \subset \text{Int}(N_K^4)$. Let \widehat{K}^2 be an isotopic copy of \widetilde{K}^2 contained in ∂N_K^4 , then $K^2 \simeq \widehat{K}^2$; i.e., we can go from K^2 to \widehat{K}^2 by a finite sequence of cubulated moves.*

Remark 3.1. 1. The existence of \widehat{K}^2 is proved on Theorem 3.1 in [2] (see also the proof of Theorem 2.6).

2. We may assume, using a subdivision move if necessary, that the intersection $Q^4 \cap K^2$ is equal to either a vertex, one square, two squares sharing an edge (neighboring 2-faces) or three neighboring squares (two by two neighboring 2-faces).

Lemma B. *Given two cubical knots K_1^2 and K_2^2 , we obtain \widehat{K}_1^2 and \widehat{K}_2^2 as in Lemma A. Then there exists a finite sequence of cubulated moves that carries \widehat{K}_1^2 into \widehat{K}_2^2 . In other words, \widehat{K}_1^2 is equivalent to \widehat{K}_2^2 by cubulated moves: $\widehat{K}_1^2 \simeq \widehat{K}_2^2$*

If we go back to the proof of the Theorem 1, we have by Lemma A that there exist a finite sequence of cubulated moves that carries K_1^2 into \widehat{K}_1^2 and also a finite sequence of cubulated moves that transforms K_2^2 into \widehat{K}_2^2 . By Lemma B, there exists a finite sequence of cubulated moves that converts \widehat{K}_1^2 into \widehat{K}_2^2 . As a consequence there exists a finite sequence of cubulated moves that carries K_1^2 onto K_2^2 . \square

3.1 K_i^2 is equivalent to \widehat{K}_i^2 by cubulated moves

Our goal is to prove Lemma A.

Lemma A. *Given a cubical 2-knot K^2 , we can choose a small cubulation \mathcal{C}_m fine enough so that $N_K^4 = \{Q^4 \in \mathcal{C}_m \mid Q^4 \cap K^2 \neq \emptyset\}$ is a closed tubular neighborhood of K^2 and $Q^4 \cap K^2$ is equal to either a vertex, one square, two squares sharing an edge (neighboring 2-faces) or three neighboring squares (two by two neighboring 2-faces). We can also choose \widehat{K}^2 isotopic to K^2 such that \widehat{K}^2 is \mathcal{C}^0 -arbitrarily close to K^2 and $\widehat{K}^2 \subset \text{Int}(N_K^4)$. Let \widetilde{K}^2 be an isotopic copy of \widehat{K}^2 contained in ∂N_K^4 , then $K^2 \stackrel{c}{\sim} \widetilde{K}^2$; i.e., we can go from K^2 to \widetilde{K}^2 by a finite sequence of cubulated moves.*

Proof. The knots K^2 and \widehat{K}^2 are isotopic and both are contained in the 2-skeleton of N_K^4 ; however $K^2 \subset \text{Int}(N_K^4)$ and $\widehat{K}^2 \subset \partial N_K^4$. Our goal is to construct a connected 3-manifold \mathcal{M}^3 such that it will be contained in the 3-skeleton of N_K^4 and its boundary will consist of two connected components, namely K^2 and \widehat{K}^2 .

Let $B^4 = \{Q^4 \subset N_K^4 \mid Q^4 \cap \widehat{K}^2 \neq \emptyset\}$.

Since all hypercubes in N_K^4 intersect K^2 , B^4 consists of a finite number of hypercubes, say m , such that all of them also intersect \widehat{K}^2 . By orienting \widehat{K}^2 we can enumerate the cubes in B^4 in such a way that consecutive numbers belong to neighboring hypercubes (hypercubes sharing a common 3-face). To construct the 3-manifold \mathcal{M}^3 , we will look at all possible cases of $Q_j^4 \in B^4$ and find pieces M_j^3 which will be consist of the union of some 3-faces of Q_j^4 such that they are two by two neighboring faces. The union of all M_j^3 will be \mathcal{M}^3 . Notice that the boundary of these M_j^3 's will intersect both K^2 and \widehat{K}^2 , hence 3-faces corresponding to neighboring 4-cubes will share a common face.

In order to describe the cubes M_j^3 's in this proof, we will use the following notation for the 3-faces of a given hypercube Q_j^4 .




Figure 3: Notation for the cubes in a hypercube Q_j^4 .

Next, we will construct \mathcal{M}^3 considering all possible cases of both $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ and $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$.

Claim 1: Let $Q_j^4 \in B^4$ and suppose that $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of three squares (two by two neighboring 2-faces). Then, using face boundary moves if necessary, we can assume that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is a connected 2-disk consisting of the union of at most three squares.

Proof of Claim 1. Suppose that $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of three neighboring 2-faces F_1^2 , F_2^2 and F_3^2 . Observe that $F_1^2 \cup F_2^2 \cup F_3^2$ intersects fifteen distinct 2-faces of Q_j^4 , hence $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ must be contained in the remaining six 2-faces. Notice that these six 2-faces are contained in a 3-face C^3 of Q_j^4 and applying (M2)-moves if necessary, we can assume that at most three 2-faces of $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ lie on C^3 . Therefore $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of at most three 2-faces. See Figure 4.1.




Figure 4: $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of three 2-faces.

This proves claim 1. \square

Case 1. Suppose that $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of three neighboring 2-faces F_1^2 , F_2^2

and F_3^2 . By the above claim, we have that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is a connected 2-disk consisting of the union of at most three 2-faces; therefore $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of at most three neighboring faces E_1^2 , E_2^2 and E_3^2 such that E_i^2 is parallel to F_i^2 ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Then the only possibility up to a face boundary moves (M2) is shown in Figure 4.2. Each $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ and $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consist of three neighboring 2-faces. Thus $M_j^3 = M_3^3 \cup M_{-1}^3 \cup M_{-4}^3$.

Claim 2: Let $Q_j^4 \in B^4$ and suppose that $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of two squares sharing an edge (neighboring 2-faces). Then, using face boundary moves if necessary, we can assume that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is a connected 2-disk consisting of the union of at most four faces.

Proof of Claim 2. Suppose that $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of two neighboring 2-faces F_1 and F_2 . Observe that the union $F_1 \cup F_2$ intersects fifteen distinct 2-faces of Q_j^4 , then $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ must be contained in the remaining seven 2-faces. Notice that six of these seven 2-faces are contained in a 3-face C^3 of Q_j^4 and applying (M2)-moves if necessary, we can assume that three 2-faces of $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ lie on C^3 . See Figure 5.1. Therefore $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of at most four 2-faces.




Figure 5: $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of two 2-faces.

This proves claim 2. \square

Case 2. Suppose that $K^2 \cap Q_j^4 = F_1^2 \cup F_2^2$ where F_1^2 and F_2^2 are two neighboring 2-faces. Since $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is a connected 2-disk consisting of the union of at most four 2-faces, and $K^2 \cap \widehat{K}^2 = \emptyset$; we have that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is contained in the union of seven 2-faces of Q_j^4 , such that $F_1^2, F_2^2, \dots, F_6^2$ belong to a 3-face C^3 and F_7^2 belongs to the opposite 3-face \bar{C}^3 . See Figure 5.1. Since

\widehat{K}^2 intersects some neighbor cubes of Q_j^4 , we have three possibilities:

- (a) Suppose that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of the union of four squares. Hence F_7^2 belongs to $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$. Thus, there exist three 3-faces M_1^3 , M_{-1}^3 and M_{-4}^3 that contained two 2-faces of $(K^2 \cup \widehat{K}^2) \cap Q_j^4$ (see Figure 5.2). Then $M_j^3 = M_1^3 \cup M_{-1}^3 \cup M_{-4}^3$.
- (b) Suppose that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of the union of three neighboring faces. Then considering all the possibilities satisfying that $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ can be extended, we have that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of F_1^2 , F_2^2 and F_3^2 such that F_1^2 and F_3^2 are disjoint, F_1^2 and F_2^2 share an edge and so does F_2^2 and F_3^2 (see Figure 5.3). So $M_j^3 = M_1^3 \cup M_{-1}^3 \cup M_{-4}^3$.
- (c) Suppose that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of the union of two neighboring faces. So considering all the options which $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ can be extended, we have two possibilities for $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$:
 - (i) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is the union of the two 2-faces F_7^2 and F_4^2 (see Figure 5.4). Then there exist two 3-faces M_4^3 and M_{-2}^3 containing two 2-faces of $(K^2 \cup \widehat{K}^2) \cap Q_j^4$. So $M_j^3 = M_4^3 \cup M_{-2}^3$.
 - (ii) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is the union of the two 2-faces F_2^2 and F_3^2 (see Figure 5.5). Then there exist two 3-faces M_{-1}^3 and M_{-4}^3 containing two 2-faces: one from $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ and the other from $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$. So $M_j^3 = M_{-1}^3 \cup M_{-4}^3$.

Claim 3: Let $Q_j^4 \in B^4$ and suppose that $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of one 2-face F^2 .

Then, using face boundary moves if necessary, we can assume that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is a connected 2-disk consisting of the union of at most five 2-faces.

Proof of Claim 3. Observe that F^2 intersects twelve distinct 2-faces of Q_j^4 , then $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ must be contained in the remaining eleven 2-faces. These eleven 2-faces are distributed in the following way: six of them are contained in a 3-face C^3 and the remaining faces lie on a neighboring 3-face D^3 such that $C^3 \cap D^3$ consists of one 2-face (see Figure 6.1). Since $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is a connected disk, then using (M2)-moves if necessary, we can assume that three 2-faces of $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ lie on C^3 and two 2-faces lie on D^3 . This proves claim 3. \square




Figure 6: $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of one 2-face.

Case 3. Suppose that $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of one 2-face F^2 . By claim 3, we can assume that at most three 2-faces F_1^2, F_2^2, F_3^2 of $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ lie on C^3 and two 2-faces F_4^2, F_5^2 lie on D^3 . We have five possibilities:

- (a) Suppose that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of the union of five 2-faces. Then we have only one possibility for $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ (see Figure 6.2). There exist three 3-faces M_1^3, M_{-2}^3 and M_{-1}^3 which contain two 2-faces of $(K^2 \cup \widehat{K}^2) \cap Q_j^4$. Take $M_j^3 = M_1^3 \cup M_{-2}^3 \cup M_{-1}^3$.
- (b) Suppose that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of the union of four 2-faces. In this case, we have three possibilities:

- (i) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is the union of the four 2-faces F_1^2, F_2^2, F_3^2 and F_4^2 . Then we have only one possibility (see Figure 6.3). Let M_{-2}^3 be the 3-face of Q_j^4 such that $(K^2 \cap Q_j^4) \cup F_2^2 \subset M_{-2}^3$ and let M_1^3 and M_{-1}^3 be the 3-faces of Q_j^4 such that each of them is a neighboring 3-face of M_{-2}^3 and $F_1^2 \subset M_1^3$ and $F_4^2 \subset M_{-1}^3$. Then $M_j^3 = M_1^3 \cup M_{-1}^3 \cup M_{-2}^3$.
- (ii) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is the union of the 2-faces F_1^2, F_2^2 and F_4^2, F_5^2 . Thus the only possible configuration is shown in Figure 6.4. Let M_1^3, M_{-2}^3 and M_{-1}^3 be as above and let M_{-4}^3 be the neighboring 3-face such that $(K^2 \cap Q_j^4) \cup F_5^2 \subset M_{-4}^3$ so $M_j^3 = M_1^3 \cup M_{-2}^3 \cup M_{-1}^3 \cup M_{-4}^3$.
- (iii) This configuration is similar to the one described in case 3b(i), but we exchange the cubes C^3 and D^3 . Then, we get only one possibility (see Figure 6.5). Let $M_j^3 = M_1^3 \cup M_{-1}^3 \cup M_{-4}^3$.
- (c) Suppose that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of the union of three 2-faces. Let F_1^2, F_2^2, F_3^2 be 2-faces contained in a cube C^3 and let F_4^2, F_5^2, F_6^2 be 2-faces contained in the 3-cube D^3 . Then we have three possibilities:
- (i) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is the union of the 2-faces F_1^2, F_2^2 and F_3^2 . Let M_1^3, M_{-1}^3 and M_{-2}^3 be as above, so $M_j^3 = M_1^3 \cup M_{-1}^3 \cup M_{-2}^3$ (see Figure 6.6).
- (ii) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is the union of the 2-faces F_2^2, F_3^2 and F_6^2 . Thus $M_j^3 = M_1^3 \cup M_{-2}^3$ (see Figure 6.7).
- (iii) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is the union of the 2-faces F_4^2, F_5^2 and F_6^2 . Let M_2^3 be the 3-face which contains the three squares $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$. Then $M_j^3 = M_2^3 \cup M_{-4}^3$ (see Figure 6.8).
- (d) Suppose that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of the union of two neighboring faces. Let F_1^2, F_2^2, F_3^2 be 2-faces contained in the cube C^3 and let F_4^2, F_5^2, F_6^2 be 2-faces contained in the cube D^3 . We have two possibilities:
- (i) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is the union of the 2-faces F_1^2 and F_2^2 . Thus $M_j^3 = M_1^3 \cup M_{-2}^3$ (see Figure 6.9).
- (ii) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is the union of the 2-faces F_3^2 and F_4^2 . Thus $M_j^3 = M_2^3 \cup M_{-4}^3$ (see Figure 6.10).
- (e) Suppose that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of one square. Then we have two possibilities:

- (i) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is the square F_4^2 . Thus $M_j^3 = M_{-2}^3 \cup M_4^3$ (see Figure 6.11).
- (ii) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is the 2-face F_2^2 . Thus $M_j^3 = M_{-2}^3$ (see Figure 6.12).

Claim 4: Let $Q_j^4 \in B^4$ and suppose that $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of an edge e . Then, using face boundary moves if necessary, we can assume that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is a connected 2-disk consisting of the union of at most six faces.

Proof of Claim 4. Suppose that $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of an edge e . See Figure 7. Then \widehat{K}^2 must turn on Q_j^4 ; in other words, $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ must contain two faces F_1^2 and F_2^2 such that $F_1^2 = v + \{ae_{i_1} + be_j : 0 \leq a, b \leq 1\}$ and $F_2^2 = v + \{ce_{i_2} + de_j : 0 \leq c, d \leq 1\}$, where e_{i_1} , e_{i_2} and e_j are distinct canonical vectors and v is a vector with integer coordinates. Notice that e_j is parallel to the edge e and only nine 2-faces of Q_j^4 satisfy both they do not intersect K^2 and they have an edge parallel to e_j ; hence $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ must be contained in the union of these nine 2-faces. Since $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is a connected disk it follows, up to applying face boundary moves (M2) if necessary, that it consists of the union of at most six faces. This proves claim 4. \square

Case 4. Suppose that $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of an edge e . By claim 4, $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is contained in three 3-faces M_3^3 , M_{-2}^3 and M_{-4}^3 such that any two of them share a 2-face.

- (a) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of the union of six 2-faces. Then, applying (M2)-moves if necessary, we have that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of the union of two 2-faces contained in M_3^3 , three 2-faces in M_{-3}^3 and one 2-face in M_{-4}^3 (see Figure 7.2). Thus $M_j^3 = M_3^3 \cup M_{-3}^3 \cup M_{-4}^3$.
- (b) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of the union of five 2-faces. Then, applying (M2)-moves if necessary, as in the previous case we have that $M_j^3 = M_3^3 \cup M_{-3}^3 \cup M_{-4}^3$. (see Figure 7.3).
- (c) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of the union of four 2-faces. We have five possibilities:
 - (i) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of two 2-faces contained in M_4^3 and three 2-faces in M_{-3}^3 . Thus $M_j^3 = M_{-3}^3 \cup M_2^3 \cup M_{-4}^3$ (see Figure 7.4).
 - (ii) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of one 2-face contained in M_{-4}^3 and three 2-faces in M_{-3}^3 . Then $M_j^3 = M_{-3}^3 \cup M_{-4}^3$ (see Figure 7.5).
 - (iii) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of one square contained in M_{-4}^3 and three 2-faces in M_{-3}^3 (see Figure 7.6). Then $M_j^3 = M_{-3}^3 \cup M_{-4}^3$.




Figure 7: $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is an edge.

- (iv) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of two 2-faces contained in M_{-3}^3 and two 2-faces in M_2^3 (see Figure 7.7). Then $M_j^3 = M_{-3}^3 \cup M_2^3 \cup M_{-4}^3$.
- (v) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of three 2-faces contained in M_2^3 and one square in M_{-4}^3 (see Figure 7.8). So $M_j^3 = M_2^3 \cup M_{-4}^3$.
- (d) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of the union of three 2-faces. We have two possibilities:
 - (i) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of one square contained in M_2^3 and two 2-faces in M_{-3}^3 (see Figure 7.9). Then $M_j^3 = M_2^3 \cup M_{-3}^3 \cup M_{-4}^3$.
 - (ii) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of two 2-faces contained in M_{-3}^3 and one 2-face in M_{-4}^3 (see Figure 7.10). Then $M_j^3 = M_{-3}^3 \cup M_{-4}^3$.

(e) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of the union of two neighboring 2-faces. We have two possibilities:

(i) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of two 2-faces contained in M_4^3 (see Figure 7.11).
Then $M_j^3 = M_4^3 \cup M_3^3$.

(ii) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of two 2-faces contained in M_{-4}^3 (see Figure 7.12).
Thus $M_j^3 = M_{-4}^3$.

Claim 5: Let $Q_j^4 \in B^4$ and suppose that $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of a vertex v . Then, using face boundary moves if necessary, we can assume that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is a connected 2-disk consisting of the union of at most six 2-faces.

Proof of Claim 5. Since $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of a vertex v , then v must be a corner of K^2 i.e. v is a common vertex of three neighboring faces of K^2 . Hence \widehat{K}^2 must have a corner at some vertex of Q_j^4 . Since $K^2 \cap \widehat{K}^2 = \emptyset$, it follows that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ can be contained in either one, two or three 3-faces of Q_j^4 such that these 3-faces do not contain v . Suppose that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is a connected disk consisting of at least six 2-faces, then by a combinatorial analysis considering all the possible descriptions of \widehat{K}^2 , we should have that four of these 2-faces are contained in some 3-face of Q_j^4 ; hence applying a face boundary move (M2), we get that $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ can be reduced to at most six 2-faces. (see Figure 8.1.) This proves claim 5. \square




Figure 8: $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of a vertex.

Case 5. Suppose that $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ consists of a vertex v . By the above claim, $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is the union of at least two 2-faces of Q_j^4 and its boundary must be

contained in the union of the three neighboring 3-faces containing v .

- (a) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is the union of six faces. Then $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is contained in three 3-faces M_{-2}^3 , M_3^3 and M_4^3 of Q_j^4 (see Figure 8.2). Since $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is homeomorphic to a disk, we have that each 3-face M_i^3 must contain two 2-faces of it and two of these three 3-faces contain v . Then $M_j^3 = M_{-2}^3 \cup M_3^3 \cup M_4^3$.
- (b) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is the union of five 2-faces. Then $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is contained in the union of two 3-faces M_{-4}^3 and M_3^3 of Q_j^4 (see Figure 8.3). So $M_j^3 = M_3^3 \cup M_{-4}^3$.
- (c) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is the union of four 2-faces. Again, $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is contained in a 3-face M_4^3 of Q_j^4 and its boundary must be contained in the union of the three neighboring 3-faces containing v . Hence, one 3-face M_3^3 , M_{-1}^3 , M_{-2}^3 must contain one 2-face. The only possibility is that four 2-faces lie in some 3-face M_4^3 of Q_j^4 (see Figure 8.4). In this case $M_j^3 = M_4^3$.
- (d) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is the union of three neighboring 2-faces. These three 2-faces must be contained in some 3-face M_{-4}^3 . Then $M_j^3 = M_{-4}^3$ (see Figure 8.5).
- (e) $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ is the union of two neighboring 2-faces. These two 2-faces must be contained in some 3-face M_{-4}^3 . Then $M_j^3 = M_{-4}^3$.

Next, we will construct our 3-manifold \mathcal{M}^3 .

Let $\mathcal{M}^3 = \cup M_j^3$. By construction, each M_j^3 consists of the union of 3-faces contained in the 3-skeleton of N_K^4 , hence \mathcal{M}^3 is contained in the 3-skeleton of N_K^4 .

As we mention before, if we apply an (M2)-move on a hypercube Q_j^4 , then this movement does not affect the corresponding choice of M_l^3 on its neighbor hypercube Q_l^4 ; in other words, the choice of M_j^3 depends only of the configuration of $\widehat{K}^2 \cap Q_j^4$ and $K^2 \cap Q_j^4$ in Q_j^4 . Observe that the boundary of each M_j^3 is composed by 2-faces belonging to K^2 and \widehat{K}^2 and some disjoint faces F_i^j ($i = 1, 2, \dots, s_j$) that do not belong to neither K^2 nor \widehat{K}^2 . Thus, if we take a neighbor hypercube of Q_j^4 , say $Q_{j_i}^4 \in B^4$, and we construct M_j^3 and $M_{j_i}^3$, then the intersection $M_j^3 \cap M_{j_i}^3$ consists of the union of some $F_{r_i}^j$; notice that each of these 2-faces F_i^j belongs to a neighbor hypercube of

$Q_j^4, Q_{j_i^s}^4 \in B$. Hence \mathcal{M}^3 is a 3-manifold whose boundary consists of two connected components, namely K^2 and \widehat{K}^2 .

Now, we will carry the knot \widehat{K}^2 onto the knot K^2 via a finite number of cubulated moves. Notice that \mathcal{M}^3 is the union of m components M_1^3, \dots, M_m^3 which are enumerated in such a way that M_{n+1}^3 is a neighbor of M_n^3 for all n , i.e., $M_{n+1}^3 \cap M_n^3$ consists of a 2-face F_n^2 .

We will use induction on m . Consider M_1^3 . We apply (M2)-moves on M_1^3 in such a way that the faces (of any dimension) belonging to K^2 are replaced by those belonging to \widehat{K}^2 (by construction $M_1^3 \cap K^2 \neq \emptyset$ and $M_1^3 \cap \widehat{K}^2 \neq \emptyset$). Next, we consider M_2^3 . By the previous step, M_1^3 and M_2^3 share 2-faces belonging to \widehat{K}^2 . Then we apply again (M2)-moves, the faces (of any dimension) belonging to K^2 are replaced by those belonging to \widehat{K}^2 . We continue this finite process in this way. Notice that if $l \subset K^2$ then $l \subset \partial\mathcal{M}^3$, thus l is not a common 2-face of some pair M_i^3, M_j^3 belonging to \mathcal{M}^3 , hence if l is replaced by a 2-face belonging to \widehat{K}^2 , then this replacement will be kept in the following steps. Therefore, the result follows. \square

3.2 \widehat{K}_1^2 is equivalent to \widehat{K}_2^2 via cubulated moves

In this section, we shall prove the Lemma B which says that \widehat{K}_1^2 is equivalent to \widehat{K}_2^2 by cubulated moves.

Consider again the projection $p : \mathbb{R}^5 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the last coordinate. We call *horizontal hyperplane* to an affine hyperplane parallel to the space $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \{0\}$. Thus $p^{-1}(t) = \mathbb{R}_t^4$ is a horizontal hyperplane. Observe that each hyperplane \mathbb{R}_t^4 has a canonical cubulation given by the restriction of the canonical cubulation of \mathbb{R}^5 to it.

Definition 3.2. A 2-cell (2-face) F^2 of the canonical cubulation \mathcal{C} of \mathbb{R}^5 is called *horizontal* if $p(F^2)$ is a constant number in \mathbb{N} . A 2-cell F^2 is *vertical* if $p(F^2) = [m, m+1]$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3.3. Let Σ^3 be a cubulated 3-manifold and P^4 be a horizontal hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^5 . We say that P^4 *intersects transversally* to Σ^3 , denoted by $P^4 \pitchfork \Sigma^3$, if P^4 intersects transversally each k -cube of Σ^3 , $k \geq 1$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\mathbb{R}_t^4 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$, $t \notin \mathbb{Z}$ be an affine hyperplane. Then \mathbb{R}_t^4 intersects \widehat{J}^3 transversally.

Proof. By Theorem 2.6, $\mathbb{R}_t^4 \cap \widehat{J}^3$ is connected. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}_t^4 \cap \widehat{J}^3$. Then $x \in Q_i^3$, where Q_i^3 is a 3-face of the cubulation of \mathbb{R}^5 . Notice that Q_i^3 is a

vertical 3-face, since $t \notin \mathbb{Z}$. So, we have two possibilities: either $x \in \text{Int}(Q_i^3)$ or $x \in F^2 \setminus \partial F^2$ where F^2 is a 2-face of Q_i^3 , or x belongs to an edge.

1. If $x \in \text{Int}(Q_i^3)$ then $\mathbb{R}_t^4 \cap Q_i^3 = E^2$, where E^2 is a square parallel to a 2-face and $x \in E^2$.
2. If x belongs to $F^2 \setminus \partial F^2$, then there exists another vertical 3-face Q_j^3 such that $x \in Q_i^3 \cap Q_j^3$. Thus $\mathbb{R}_t^4 \cap Q_i^3$ must be a square E_i^2 such that it is parallel to a 2-face, and analogously $\mathbb{R}_t^4 \cap Q_j^3$ is also a square E_j^2 , thus $x \in E_i^2 \cap E_j^2$.
3. If x belongs to an edge l , then there exist vertical 3-faces $Q_{i_r}^3$ $r = 1, 2, 3$ such that $x \in Q_j^3 \cap_{r=1}^3 Q_{i_r}^3$. As in the previous case, $\mathbb{R}_t^4 \cap Q_{i_r}^3$ must be a square $E_{i_r}^2$ parallel to a 2-face, and analogously $\mathbb{R}_t^4 \cap Q_j^3$ is also a square E_j^2 , hence $x \in E_j^2 \cap_{r=1}^3 E_{i_r}^2$.

Therefore, the result follows. \square

Corollary 3.5. *For $x \notin \mathbb{Z}$, the set $p^{-1}(x) \cap \widehat{J^3}$ is a 2-knot.*

Proof. By the above, $p^{-1}(x) \cap \widehat{J^3}$ is a cubulated compact connected surface. Since $\widehat{J^3}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, it follows that $p^{-1}(x) \cap \widehat{J^3}$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^2 . \square

Now, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$K_{n-}^2 := p^{-1} \left(n - \frac{1}{2} \right) \cap \widehat{J^3}$$

and

$$K_{n+}^2 := p^{-1} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \cap \widehat{J^3}.$$

Observe that K_{n-}^2 and K_{n+}^2 are cubical 2-knots.

Let \mathcal{C}^3 be the set of 3-cubes (3-cells) belonging to the canonical cubulation of \mathbb{R}^5 . Consider the three spaces:

$$M_{n-}^3 := \{Q^3 \in \mathcal{C}^3 \mid Q^3 \cap K_{n-}^2 \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$M_{n+}^3 := \{Q^3 \in \mathcal{C}^3 \mid Q^3 \cap K_{n+}^2 \neq \emptyset\}$$

and $M_n^3 := p^{-1}(n) \cap \widehat{J^3}$.

By construction $M_{n-}^3 = K_{n-}^2 \times [0, 1]$ and $M_{n+}^3 = K_{n+}^2 \times [0, 1]$.

Let $M^3 := M_{n-}^3 \cup M_n^3 \cup M_{n+}^3$. Hence $M^3 = \text{Cl}(p^{-1}(n-1, n+1) \cap \widehat{J^3})$, where Cl denotes closure.

Lemma 3.6. *The space M^3 is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2 \times [0, 1]$.*

Proof. Since M^3 is a compact submanifold of $\widehat{J^3}$, then by Lemma 2.6, it is also connected. Now $\widehat{J^3}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, and $\widehat{J^3} - M^3$ has two connected components, hence the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.7. *M_n^3 has the homotopy type of \mathbb{S}^2 .*

Proof. Consider the set M^3 . Then by the previous Lemma, we have that $M^3 \cong \mathbb{S}^2 \times [0, 1]$. Notice that $K_{n-}^2 \times \{0\} \cong \mathbb{S}^2 \times \{0\}$ and $K_{n+}^2 \times \{0\} \cong \mathbb{S}^2 \times \{1\}$. Hence $\widetilde{M^3} = M^3 / (K_{n-}^2 \times \{0\}) \cup (K_{n+}^2 \times \{1\})$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^3 . By Alexander duality, we have that $\widetilde{H}_0(\widetilde{M^3} - M_n^3, \mathbb{Z}) = \widetilde{H}^2(M_n^3, \mathbb{Z})$, but $\widetilde{M^3} - M_n^3$ has two simply connected components, so $\widetilde{H}_0(\widetilde{M^3}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Since $M_n^3 \subset M^3 \cong \mathbb{S}^2 \times [0, 1]$, we have that either $\pi_2(M_n^3) \cong \{0\}$ or $\pi_2(M_n^3) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, but $\widetilde{H}^2(M_n^3, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, hence $\pi_2(M_n^3) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Again by Alexander duality, we have that $\widetilde{H}_1(\widetilde{M^3} - M_n^3, \mathbb{Z}) = \widetilde{H}^1(M_n^3, \mathbb{Z})$, but $\widetilde{H}_1(\widetilde{M^3} - M_n^3, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \{0\}$ hence $\widetilde{H}^1(M_n^3, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \{0\}$. This implies that $\pi_1(M_n^3) \cong \{0\}$. Therefore, M_n^3 has the homotopy type of \mathbb{S}^2 . \square

Lemma 3.8. *The space M^3 retracts strongly to M_n^3 .*

Proof. Since $M^3 = M_{n-}^3 \cup M_n^3 \cup M_{n+}^3$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2 \times [0, 1]$, and $M_{n-}^3 = K_{n-}^2 \times [0, 1]$ and $M_{n+}^3 = K_{n+}^2 \times [0, 1]$, we have that $M_{n-}^3 = K_{n-}^2 \times [0, 1]$ retracts strongly to $K_{n-}^2 \times \{1\}$ and $M_{n+}^3 = K_{n+}^2 \times [0, 1]$ retracts strongly to $K_{n+}^2 \times \{0\}$. Now $\partial M_n^3 = (K_{n-}^2 \times \{1\}) \cup (K_{n+}^2 \times \{0\})$. Therefore, the result follows. \square

Next, we are going to describe the subset M_n^3 . Notice that the squares of M_n^3 are of four types, which we will denote by T_- , T_+ , T_{\pm} and T .

- A square $F^2 \subset M_n^3$ belongs to T_- if $F^2 \subset M_{n-}^3$ but $F^2 \not\subset M_{n+}^3$.
- A square $F^2 \subset M_n^3$ belongs to T_+ if $F^2 \subset M_{n+}^3$ but $F^2 \not\subset M_{n-}^3$.
- A square $F^2 \subset M_n^3$ belongs to T_{\pm} if $F^2 \subset M_{n-}^3 \cap M_{n+}^3$.
- A square $F^2 \subset M_n^3$ belongs to T if $F^2 \not\subset M_{n+}^3 \cup M_{n-}^3$.

By the above Lemma, there are copies of K_{n-}^2 and K_{n+}^2 contained in ∂M_n^3 . By abuse of notation we will denote them in the same way. Notice that K_{n-}^2 is the union of squares of types T_- and T_{\pm} , and K_{n+}^2 is the union of squares of types T_+ and T_{\pm} .

Lemma 3.9. $K_{n-}^2 \stackrel{\mathcal{C}}{\sim} K_{n+}^2$: *There exists a finite sequence of cubulated moves that carries the 2-knot K_{n-}^2 into the 2-knot K_{n+}^2 .*

Proof. We will show it by cases. Case 1. Suppose that $K_{n-}^2 = K_{n+}^2$. Clearly, the result is true.

Case 2. Suppose that $K_{n-}^2 \cap K_{n+}^2 = \emptyset$. In other words, K_{n-}^2 and K_{n+}^2 do not have squares of type T_{\pm} . Remember that M_n^3 is a cubical compact 3-manifold whose fundamental group is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . Thus ∂M_n^3 has two connected components; namely K_{n-}^2 and K_{n+}^2 , such that their intersection is empty. Hence M_n^3 is the union of a finite number of cubes (3-faces) belonging to the 3-skeleton of the cubulation \mathcal{C} , whose 2-faces are of any of the types T_- , T_+ and T .

Next, we will carry the 2-knot K_{n-}^2 onto the 2-knot K_{n+}^2 via a finite number of cubulated moves; *i.e.* we will carry the squares of type T_- onto the squares of type T_+ . Let Q^3 be a cube contained in M_n^3 . We can assume, up to (M1)-move, that if a square $F^2 \subset Q^3$ belongs to T_- , then $Q^3 \cap K_{n+}^2 = \emptyset$ and $Q^3 \cap K_{n-}^2$ consists of either a square, two neighboring 2-faces or three neighboring 2-faces. Analogously, if $F^2 \subset Q^3$ belongs to T_+ , then $Q^3 \cap K_{n-}^2 = \emptyset$ and $Q^3 \cap K_{n+}^2$ consists of a square, two neighboring 2-faces or three neighboring 2-faces.

The compact space M_n^3 is the union of a finite number of cubes, say m . We will enumerate them by levels in the following way. Remember that M_n^3 is a cubical compact 3-manifold, so we may assume that $M_n^3 \subset \mathbb{R}_+^3$. Let $q : \mathbb{R}^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the projection on the last coordinate. We define the level k , $M_k^3 := M_n^3 \cap q^{-1}([k, k+1])$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that there exists k_1 and k_2 positive integers such that $M_k^3 = \emptyset$ for $k_1 \leq k \leq k_2$. Then we start enumerating the cubes $Q^3 \in M^3$ by levels. We start at the level k_1 . The first cube Q_1^3 contains a 2-face of type T_- , and given the cube Q_n^3 , the cube Q_{n+1}^3 shares a 2-face F_n^2 with Q_n^3 and whenever it is possible, we choose Q_{n+1}^3 in such a way that F_n^2 is parallel to F_{n-1}^2 ; otherwise we choose Q_{n+2}^3 such that F_{n+1}^2 is parallel to F_{n-1}^2 , at the end we continue on the level k_1+1 and so on.

We will use induction on m . Consider the cube Q_1^3 . We apply the (M2)-move to Q_1^3 replacing the 2-faces of type T by 2-faces of type T_- . We consider Q_2^3 . Observe that Q_1^3 and Q_2^3 share a 2-face of type T_- . Then we apply again the (M2)-move replacing the 2-faces of type T by 2-faces of type T_- . We continue inductively.

Notice that if $F^2 \subset M_n^3$ is a 2-face of type T_+ then $F^2 \subset \partial M_n^3$; so F^2 is not a common 2-face of two cubes Q_i^3 and Q_j^3 in M_n^3 ; hence if F^2 is replaced by a 2-face of type T_- then this replacement is not modified in any other next

step. Therefore, the result follows.

Case 3. Suppose that the intersection $K_{n-}^2 \cap K_{n+}^2$ contains a finite number of 2-faces. The 3-manifold M_n^3 consists of connected components C_i^3 , $i = 1, \dots, r$ such that each C_i^3 is the union of cubes $Q_{i_1}^3, \dots, Q_{i_{m_i}}^3 \in \mathcal{C}$ and the intersection $C_i^3 \cap C_j^3$ is either empty or a square belonging to $K_{n-}^2 \cap K_{n+}^2$. Therefore, we apply the previous argument to each C_i^3 .

Case 4. Suppose that the intersection $K_{n-}^2 \cap K_{n+}^2$ contains a square of type T_{\pm} . The 3-manifold M_n^3 consists of 3-dimensional connected components C_i^3 , $i = 1, \dots, r$ and cubical 2-disks γ_{ij} . As before, each C_i^3 is a union of cubes $Q_{i_1}^3, \dots, Q_{i_{m_i}}^3 \in \mathcal{C}$, and γ_{ij} is a cubical disk (or edge) joining the component C_i^3 with the component C_j^3 . Observe that if γ_{ij} is the union of 2-faces of type T_{\pm} , hence $\gamma_{ij} \subset K_{n-}^2 \cap K_{n+}^2$. Moreover $K_{n-}^2 \cap K_{n+}^2 = \gamma_{ij}$ and $\partial M_n^3 = K_{n-}^2 \cup K_{n+}^2$.

Since $\pi_2(M_n^3) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, then C_i^3 the homotopy type either the 2-sphere or the 3-ball. Suppose that C_i^3 has the homotopy type of the 2-sphere, then by hypothesis $\partial C_i^3 = \partial M_n^3 = K_{n-}^2 \cup K_{n+}^2$ contains a face F^2 of type T_{\pm} , but F^2 does not belong to any cube Q^3 of M_n^3 ; so F^2 does not belong to C_i^3 . This is a contradiction, hence C_i^3 has the homotopy type of the 3-ball.

By the above, ∂C_i^3 is homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^2 and consists of 2-faces of type T_- and T_+ . Moreover, ∂C_i^3 consists of two disks D_-^2 and D_+^2 , such that D_-^2 is the union of faces of type T_- and D_+^2 is the union of faces of type T_+ . Now we apply the argument of the case 2, so D_+^2 is replaced by D_-^2 . Since we have a finite number of components C_i^3 , the result follows. \square

Lemma B. $\widehat{K}_1^2 \stackrel{c}{\sim} \widehat{K}_2^2$: *There exists a finite sequence of cubulated moves that carries \widehat{K}_1^2 into \widehat{K}_2^2 . In other words, \widehat{K}_1^2 is equivalent to \widehat{K}_2^2 by cubulated moves.*

Proof of Lemma B. Recall that there exist integer numbers m_1 and m_2 such that $p^{-1}(t) \cap \widehat{J}^3 = \widehat{K}_1^2$ for all $t \leq m_1$ and $p^{-1}(t) \cap \widehat{J}^3 = \widehat{K}_2^2$ for all $t \geq m_2$. Consider the integer $m_1 + 1$. By Lemma 3.9 there exists a finite number of cubulated moves that carries the 2-knot \widehat{K}_1^2 into the 2-knot $K_{(m_1+1)-}^2$. We continue inductively, and again by Lemma 3.9 there exists a finite number of cubulated moves that carries the knot $K_{(m_2-1)+}^2$ into the knot \widehat{K}_2^2 . Since, we have a finite number of integers contained in the interval $[m_1, m_2]$, then there exists a finite sequence of cubulated moves that carries \widehat{K}_1^2 into \widehat{K}_2^2 . \square

References

- [1] E. Artin. *Zur Isotopie zweidimensionalen Flächen im R_4* . Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg (1926), 174–177.
- [2] M. Boege, G. Hinojosa, and A. Verjovsky. *Any smooth knot $S^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ is isotopic to a cubic knot contained in the canonical scaffolding of \mathbb{R}^{n+2}* . Rev. Mat Complutense (2011) 24: 1–13. DOI 10.1007/s13163-010-0037-4.
- [3] J. P. Díaz, G. Hinojosa, R. Valdez, A. Verjovsky. *Smoothing closed gridded surfaces embedded in \mathbb{R}^4* . <http://arxiv.org/abs/1702.05467>
- [4] J.S. Carter, J. Rieger, M. Saito, *A combinatorial descriptions of knotted surfaces and their isotopies*, Adv. in Math 127 (1997), 1–51.
- [5] J.S. Carter, M. Saito M, *Knotted surfaces and their diagrams*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 55. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [6] N. P. Dolbilin, M. A. Shtan ko, M. I. Shtogrin. *Cubic manifolds in lattices*. Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. 58 (1994), no. 2, 93-107; translation in Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. 44 (1995), no. 2, 301-313.
- [7] L. Funar. *Cubulations, immersions, mappability and a problem of Habegger*. Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4e série, t. 32, 1999, pp. 681–700.
- [8] G. Hinojosa, A. Verjovsky, C. Verjovsky Marcotte. *Cubulated moves and discrete knots*. Journal of knot theory and its ramifications, Vol 22, No. 14 (2013) 1350079 (26 pages). DOI: 10.1142/S021821651350079X
- [9] S. Kamada, *Surface-Knots in 4-Space. An Introduction* Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag 2017.
- [10] B. Mazur. *The definition of equivalence of combinatorial imbeddings*. Publications mathématiques de l’I.H.É.S., tome 3 (1959) p.5–17.
- [11] S. Matveev, M. Polyak. *Finite-Type Invariants of Cubic Complexes*. Acta Applicandae Mathematicae 75, pp. 125–132, 2003.
- [12] D. Roseman, *Reidemeister-type moves of surfaces in four-dimensional space*, *Knot Thoery*, Banach Center Publications, Volume 42, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warszawa (1998), p. 347–380.

- [13] Roice Nelson. <https://plus.google.com/+RoiceNelson/posts>
- [14] Charles C. Pugh. *Smoothing a topological manifold*. Topology and its applications 124 (2002) 487–503.
- [15] Vladimir A. Rokhlin, *New results in the theory of four-dimensional manifolds*. Doklady Acad. Nauk. SSSR (N.S.) 84 (1952) 221–224.
- [16] D. Rolfsen. *Knots and Links*. Publish or Perish, Inc. 1976.
- [17] J. H. C. Whitehead. *Manifolds with transverse fields in Euclidean space*. Annals of Mathematics vol. 73, no.1 (January, 1961) 154–212.
- [18] Živaljević, Rade T. *Combinatorial groupoids, cubical complexes, and the Lovász conjecture*. Discrete Comput. Geom. 41 (2009), no. 1, 135–161.

J. P. Díaz. Instituto de Matemáticas, Unidad Cuernavaca. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. Av. Universidad s/n, Col. Lomas de Chamilpa. Cuernavaca, Morelos, México, 62209.
E-mail address: juanpablo@matcuer.unam.mx

G. Hinojosa. Centro de Investigación en Ciencias. Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias Básicas y Aplicadas. Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos. Av. Universidad 1001, Col. Chamilpa. Cuernavaca, Morelos, México, 62209.
E-mail address: gabriela@uaem.mx

A. Verjovsky. Instituto de Matemáticas, Unidad Cuernavaca. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. Av. Universidad s/n, Col. Lomas de Chamilpa. Cuernavaca, Morelos, México, 62209.
E-mail address: alberto@matcuer.unam.mx