

Competing magnetic interactions in spin-1/2 square lattice: hidden order in Sr_2VO_4

Bongjae Kim¹, Sergii Khmelevskiy^{1,2}, Peter Mohn², and Cesare Franchini¹

¹ *University of Vienna, Faculty of Physics and Center for Computational Materials Science, Vienna A-1090, Austria and*

² *Center for Computational Materials Science, Institute for Applied Physics, Vienna University of Technology, Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8 - 10, 1040 Vienna, Austria*

(Dated: March 3, 2022)

With decreasing temperature Sr_2VO_4 undergoes two structural phase transitions, tetragonal-to-orthorhombic-to-tetragonal, without long-range magnetic order. Recent experiments suggest, that only at very low temperature Sr_2VO_4 might enter some, yet unknown, phase with long-range magnetic order, but without orthorhombic distortion. By combining relativistic density functional theory with an extended spin-1/2 compass-Heisenberg model we find an antiferromagnetic single-stripe ground state with highly competing exchange interactions, involving a non negligible inter-layer coupling, which places the system at the crossover between between the XY and Heisenberg picture. Most strikingly, we find a strong two-site "spin-compass" exchange anisotropy which is relieved by the orthorhombic distortion induced by the spin stripe order. Based on these results we discuss the origin of the hidden order phase and the possible formation of a spin-liquid at low temperatures.

The Heisenberg model on a square lattice is one of the most widely studied models in statistical physics. The applicability of this model in modern solid-state physics has become popular after the discovery of layered high- T_c superconductors, since the parent magnetically ordered compounds are often considered as quasi two-dimensional (q2D) systems [1], and, more recently, for the interest in the interplay between magnetism and superconductivity in Fe-based superconductors [2]. In the 2D Heisenberg model the relative strength and competition of antiferromagnetic nearest and next nearest neighbor interactions (J_1 and J_2) provides useful insights on the stability of specific types of magnetic order: J_1 favors the Néel order (e.g., cuprates [3]), J_2 favors the stripe (ST) order (e.g. few types of vanadates [4–7]), whereas a spin-liquid state is expected to emerge near the classical phase-boundary between the Néel and the ST orderings [8–10]. The inclusion of additional interactions (J_3, J_4, \dots) leads to more exotic states [11], for example the enigmatic nematic phases recently found in Fe-based superconductors which arises from the strong competition between the ST and Néel order [12–15].

Tetragonal Sr_2VO_4 , isostructural to the high- T_c parent compound La_2CuO_4 and similar to layered vanadate $\text{Li}_2\text{VOSiO}_4$ [4], provides an opportunity to explore the role of the various types of magnetic interaction at play in a square lattice, owing to the presumably weak inter-plane interaction between spin-1/2 V^{4+} (d^1) layers. The complicated structural, magnetic, and electronic transitions observed in Sr_2VO_4 , in fact, suggests a competition and/or coexistence of different magnetic phases [16–24]. Upon cooling, the crystal structure evolves from tetragonal to an intermediate phase (at $T_{c2} \sim 140\text{K}$), and again to a tetragonal phase ($T_{c1} \sim 100\text{K}$) with a larger c/a ratio [16]. In the intermediate regime, an anomaly in the susceptibility is observed at $T_M \sim 105\text{K}$, which was initially thought to originate from magnetic order [16].

No signals of spin-orbital order was detected down to low temperature but, rather, a possible existence of a nematic phase, originating from the competition between magnetic interaction and Jahn-Teller (JT) effect [23].

Several proposals have been put forwarded for the elusive low-T ground state: orbitally ordered phase due to JT distortion [16], a competition between an orbitally ordered parquet-type and a double-stripe (DS) magnetic ordering [17, 18] (DS10 in Fig. 1(a)), an octupolar order driven by spin-orbit coupling (SOC) [19], and a Néel order with muted magnetic moment, where the spin and orbital moments cancel each other [21].

The magnetic properties are highly dependent on the sample quality [20]. Recent experiments performed with high quality samples have shed some light on the physics of Sr_2VO_4 . X-ray diffraction (XRD) experiments has revealed that the intermediate structure ($T_{c1} < T < T_{c2}$) is orthorhombic phase [22, 23]. Based on a muon spin rotation and relaxation ($\mu^+\text{SR}$) study Sugiyama *et. al* found that the actual magnetic ordering temperature ($T_N \sim 10\text{K}$) is much lower than the temperature of the susceptibility anomaly ($T_M \sim 105\text{K}$) and claimed that the role of the SOC is marginal [24]. This is consistent with the reported persistence of inhomogeneous magnetic states down to 30 mK, where the sizeable competition of ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic correlations prevents the system to develop a long range ordered phase [22]. The current understanding of the magnetic and structural properties of Sr_2VO_4 is thus still debated and a commonly agreed explanation is still lacking.

In this Letter we investigate the peculiar magnetic behavior of Sr_2VO_4 by first-principles calculations including relativistic effects. We show that Sr_2VO_4 can be considered as a frustrated spin-1/2 Heisenberg system with highly competing exchange interactions. Our data indicate that the onset of the magnetic order is controlled by two factors with similar order of magnitude: the relativistic




FIG. 1. (a) Sketch of the different magnetic orderings used to fit the Heisenberg spin Hamiltonian. Single stripe (ST), double stripe along [100] and [110] (DS10 and DS11), ferromagnetic (FM), staggered dimer (SD), Néel, and Parquet order. (b) Total energy as a function of U within DFT+ U calculations. Inset: schematic description of the exchange interactions.

tic two-site exchange anisotropy and a small, but non-vanishing, inter-plane coupling. In particular, we argue that the absence of a static magnetic order down to low- T and the origin of the tetragonal-orthorhombic transition, interpreted earlier either as nematic transition or orbital order, can be understood as a competition of anisotropic "spin-compass" exchange interactions, exchange magnetostriction and antiferromagnetic interlayer coupling.

To study the magnetic interactions in Sr_2VO_4 we have performed first principles calculations within the density functional theory (DFT) plus an on-site Hubbard U using the Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package [25, 26]. The

experimental high- T tetragonal structure [27] was modeled with a supercell containing 16 unit cells, with which we have simulated different types of spin orderings: single stripe (ST), double stripe along [100] and [110] (DS10 and DS11, respectively), ferromagnetic (FM), staggered dimer (SD), Néel order, and parquet order [see Fig. 1(a)]. For the calculation of the exchange parameters we adopt the value $U=5$ eV, which is consistent with the value obtained fully *ab initio* within the constrained random phase approximation (cRPA). Further methodological details are available in the Supplemental Materials [28].

Fig 1(b) shows the DFT+ U total energies for the considered spin orderings as a function of U . The data for $U < 2$ eV are omitted because for these values of U DFT finds a metallic ground state, in disagreement with experiment [29, 30]. We find that the ground state is the ST configuration irrespective of the value of U . This is surprising because in earlier literature the ST phase has never been considered as a possible ground state magnetic structure, even though other layered oxides such as Li_2VO_4 exhibit the ST order [4]. Our data shows that the relative energies does not depend strongly on U , and with increasing U the relative-energy window becomes narrower indicating that the strength of the magnetic interactions are progressively weakened. This can be expected since the J s are inversely proportional to U , $J_{ij} \sim t_{ij}^2/U$, where t_{ij} is the hopping between two sites, i and j . The shrinking of the energy window clearly implies a strong competition among the various exchange interactions in action between neighboring spins (see inset in Fig. 1).

Independently on the magnetic state and on the U -value DFT deliver a spin moment on V of about $1 \mu_B/\text{V}$, which suggests a spin-1/2 local moment state, in agreement with the experimental Curie-Weiss behavior of the high- T magnetic susceptibility which provides an effective moment close to $1.36 \mu_B$ [24]. However, Sr_2VO_4 does not show any magnetic order down to very low- T [20].

The driving mechanism that stabilizes a magnetic order at finite temperatures is one of the most important and subtle question related to q2D magnetic compounds, as stated in the famous Mermin-Wagner theorem [31]. To analyze the physical reasons for the absence of magnetic order in Sr_2VO_4 we start by mapping the different spin-ordered DFT total energies onto the following Heisenberg-like Hamiltonian,

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_H = & J_1 \sum_{\alpha, \langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{S}_{i\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j\alpha} + J_2 \sum_{\alpha, \langle\langle ij \rangle\rangle} \mathbf{S}_{i\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j\alpha} + J_3 \sum_{\alpha, \langle\langle\langle ij \rangle\rangle\rangle} \mathbf{S}_{i\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j\alpha} + J_4 \sum_{\alpha, \langle\langle\langle\langle ij \rangle\rangle\rangle} \mathbf{S}_{i\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j\alpha} \\
 & + J_{\perp} \sum_{\alpha, \langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{S}_{i\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j\alpha+1} + R \sum_{\alpha, \text{plquette}} [(\mathbf{S}_{i\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j\alpha})(\mathbf{S}_{k\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{l\alpha}) + (\mathbf{S}_{i\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{S}_l)(\mathbf{S}_{k\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j\alpha}) + (\mathbf{S}_{i\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{k\alpha})(\mathbf{S}_{j\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{l\alpha})], \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \rangle$, $\langle\langle \rangle\rangle$, $\langle\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\rangle$, and $\langle\langle\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\rangle$ represent the sum over

first, second, third and fourth nearest neighbors (NNs),

R is the multisite ring exchange summed within a square plaquette as shown in the inset in Fig. 1(b), and the index α denotes magnetic layers. A similar procedure has been successfully applied for other 2D square lattices such as the Fe-based superconductors [15], Sr_2RuO_4 [32], and $\text{BaTi}_2\text{Sb}_2\text{O}$ [33].

The estimated exchange interactions are listed in Table I. The stability of the ST configuration can be explained by the large AFM exchange coupling $J_2=1.02$ meV, which is the dominating interaction [34], about twice larger than the first NN FM-interaction J_1 (-0.65 meV). However, the large values of J_3 and J_4 (-0.54 and 0.46, respectively) suggest that the system deviates considerably from the $J_1 - J_2$ model [35]. By extrapolating to large J_2 the quantum Monte Carlo results obtained for the $J_1 - J_2 - J_3$ model [36], one would expect that our data ($J_2/J_1 = -1.57$, $J_3/J_1 = 0.84$) should fall in the spin-liquid/spin-glass part of the quantum spin-1/2 Heisenberg $J_1 - J_2 - J_3$ phase diagram.

Apart from the NNs J_s , there are other two important terms in our spin Hamiltonian, the multisite ring interaction R and the interlayer coupling J_\perp . Despite being small, the ring coupling R is essential to explain the energy difference between DS11 and the Parquet configurations (see Tab.I), because R produces the same contribution to the energy for all orderings but DS11. It would be therefore interesting to try to interpret the absence of a static classical magnetic order in Sr_2VO_4 with a 2D quantum $J_1 - J_2 - J_3 - J_4 - R$ Heisenberg model. Notably, the, ferromagnetic, interlayer coupling J_\perp is not negligible, -0.12 meV, and brings the system on the 2D/3D threshold. J_\perp favors the FM configuration and to a lesser extent DS10, DS11 and Parquet configurations leaving the energy of ST and Néel order unchanged.

It has been argued that even small value of J_\perp can stabilize the magnetic order with a rather high transition temperature [9]. However, due to the peculiarity of the body-centered tetragonal structure, where each spin-site is connected to four spin-sites in the adjacent layer, it might happen that for a given in-plane order the inter-plane interaction is fully frustrated. Examples of such frustration are ordinary in-plane Néel order (e.g. cuprates) or single stripe order (e.g. Fe-based pnictides). In Fe-based superconductors it has been suggested that the critical role for the ordering might be played by a two-site magnetic anisotropy [37] (note that for spin 1/2 systems the single site anisotropy vanishes), which leads to the crossover between 2D Heisenberg and either the 2D Ising or the XY-Kosterlitz-Thouless model behavior (depending on sign of the anisotropy) [1]. For instance, recently it has been shown that the 3D magnetic order in the novel q2D compound Sr_2TcO_4 originates from a tiny dipole-dipole coupling that leads to an out-of-plane anisotropy associated with a two-site exchange [38]. Considering that our data on Sr_2VO_4 shows that J_\perp leaves the ST order frustrated (i.e. unchanged) in the following

part of the paper we will consider the possibility that the stabilization of a magnetic order at finite temperature could originate from anisotropic effects. Specifically, we will analyze the anisotropy of the exchange interactions due to SOC.

To this aim, we have computed the total energy of the ST phase with the moments oriented along the high-symmetry directions [001], [010] and [001] by means of magnetically constrained DFT+U+SOC calculations [39] (see Fig.2. We found that E_x is 2.75 meV and 2.63 meV (per V ion) lower than E_y and E_z , respectively, implying strong anisotropic effects. As these differences cannot be explained by single-site anisotropy (since we have a good spin-1/2 system) they must be attributed to symmetric anisotropy exchange, which can be taken into account by including additional terms in the spin Hamiltonian (Eq. 1). Within a first NN approximation the resulting spin Hamiltonian reads:

$$H_{rH} = H_H + \sum_{\langle nn \rangle} J^{zz} S_i^z S_j^z \pm \sum_{\langle nnx \rangle, \langle nny \rangle} J^{xy} (S_i^x S_j^x - S_i^y S_j^y), \quad (2)$$

where J^{zz} is the two-site Ising anisotropy (Kitaev term), and the "spin-compass" exchange J^{xy} . By mapping the total energies onto the above generalized spin Hamiltonian, we obtain $J^{xy} = -0.71$ meV and $J^{zz} = 1.21$ meV, of the order of 10 K, similar to the case of $4d$ Sr_2RuO_4 [32]. The emergence of anisotropic terms demonstrates the considerable role played by SOC even in $3d$ oxides. However, we find a negligible orbital moment ($< 0.05 \mu_B$), which shows that SOC contributes to the anisotropy of the system but does not form a spin-orbit entangled phase [19, 21].

The very strong Ising term J^{zz} favors easy-plane anisotropy, putting the system close to the Heisenberg/XY-model threshold since it does not lift the frustration of the ST order. Thus Sr_2VO_4 is quite different from Sr_2TcO_4 [38] and other similar q2D systems, where the magnetic order appears to be stabilized by small negative J^{zz} and a weak dipole-dipole interaction [38]. What is surprising and peculiar in Sr_2VO_4 is the existence of a strong spin-compass anisotropy J^{xy}

TABLE I. Total energies of the considered spin-ordered configurations and magnetic interactions of the ST ground state evaluated from the Heisenberg Hamiltonian (Eq. 1). Data given in meV for $U=5$ eV.

ST	DS10	Néel	Parquet	FM	SD	DS11	
-8.39	-5.56	-1.35	-0.28	0	1.21	6.26	
J_1	J_2	J_3	J_4	J_\perp	R	J^{xy}	J^{zz}
-0.65	1.02	-0.54	0.46	-0.12	-0.28	-0.71	1.21

which lifts the frustration of the ST phase and favors the onset of magnetic order at finite temperatures.

From the calculated values of the dominant interactions we can now make some estimates and provide a comparison with experiment. At high temperatures the system exhibits a Curie-Weiss (CW) behavior of the susceptibility with slightly different CW temperatures θ_{CW} reported in literature: -24 K [24], +24 K [40], and +47 K [41]. The mean-field value of θ_{CW} derived from our data (Tab.I) is +10 K falling exactly in the middle of the experimental scattered data range. If we estimate a mean-field ordering temperature of the ST phase we obtain +74 K, which is somewhat below, but still close to the narrow temperature window, 100-140 K, covering the two structural (T_{c2} and T_{c1}) transitions and the magnetic susceptibility anomaly at ($T_M = 105$ K) [23]. Thus, the ST short-range order and critical fluctuations are expected to develop exactly in the temperature interval where the orthorhombic distortion exists. But, there is a reason for Sr_2VO_4 to avoid a transition to ST order.

In q2D compounds with ST order, like Fe-pnictides and layered $\text{Li}_2\text{VOSiO}_4$ [4], the transition to the ST phase is associated with an orthorhombic distortion, which is believed to break the frustration intrinsic to the ST phase [42]. The orthorhombic distortion in the Fe-pnictides [43] appears at a temperature considerably higher than the magnetic phase transition implying that the short-range ST order is responsible for the orthorhombic distortion even though long-range magnetic order is absent. In the following we show that the orthorhombic distortion in Sr_2VO_4 is a consequence of the magnetostriction effects in the ST phase.

To inspect the relation between magnetic and structural order we have fully relaxed the high- T tetragonal phase within DFT+U by imposing the ST order. As a result, we obtained a bifurcation of the lattice parameters ($a=3.971$ Å, $b=3.960$ Å) and the formation of an orthorhombic phase, in agreement with XRD measurements [23]. This transition is connected to the magnetostriction effect, namely the coupling between non-relativistic isotropic exchange and crystal structure. However, the inclusion of anisotropic effects via SOC leads to a very surprising result: in the fully relaxed orthorhombic phase the anisotropic terms are considerably reduced, $J^{xy} = 0.00$ meV and $J^{zz} = -0.38$ meV, meaning that the two-site compass term almost vanishes ($E_x = E_y$). The orthorhombic distortion caused by magnetostriction in the ST phase quenches the spin-compass anisotropy, which is the leading mechanism for the stabilization of ST phase (lifting of the frustration) at finite temperature.

Based on our analysis we can now interpret the complicated transitions in Sr_2VO_4 : (i) Starting well above the magnetic ordering temperature and decreasing the temperature a strong short-range order, characteristic of a ST phase, develops within a tetragonal symmetry.




FIG. 2. (a) Schematic diagram showing the transition temperatures and structures. The system has a tetragonal structure with active anisotropic exchange interactions for $T > T_{c2}$, while for the orthorhombic structure for $T_{c2} > T > T_{c1}$ the anisotropy vanishes. Tetragonal symmetry is recovered below T_{c1} . Stripe order is shown with moments along (b) (100) and (c) (010), respectively. The squares denotes unit cell used.

(ii) This short-range ST order causes a tetragonal to orthorhombic structural transition and simultaneously kills the spin-compass anisotropy; the system avoids a magnetic transition to the ordered ST phase since the latter remains fully frustrated. (iii) When the temperature is lowered further, the system re-enters the tetragonal phase, since other phases, like DS10, supported also by a non-frustrating inter-plane FM coupling, become energetically equally favorable and partially destroy the short-range ST order. (iv) At this point the system enters an intermediate temperature range with different energetically competing magnetic orders, in line with the complex behavior of the measured magnetic susceptibility; (v) Finally, at low temperature the system falls into some spin-liquid or spin-glass states, where the FM phase becomes quasi-stable due to strong short-range FM interactions in the 1NN shells both in-plane and inter-plane, in agreement with recent μ^+ SR experiment [22].

In summary, we have shown that Sr_2VO_4 exhibits a peculiar magnetism, distinctively different from other layered oxides. It displays a frustrated magnetic ground state with significant inter-plane interaction (placing it in the 2D/3D crossover regime), and strong in-plane anisotropy (Heisenberg/XY model crossover), in particular the "spin-compass" exchange term, which lifts the frustration of the ST ground state. Anisotropic magnetic interactions, like the one observed in Sr_2VO_4 , are expected to be a pervasive phenomenon not only in $4d$ and $5d$, but also in $3d$ compounds, in particular transition metal oxides, and could play a key role in the onset of hidden magnetic orderings. Our proposed scenario is compatible with experimental data and call for a full verification by future experiments. For instance, we expect that nuclear magnetic resonance or inelastic neutron scattering experiments on Sr_2VO_4 single crystals could allow for a conclusive identification of the origin of the low- T phases [23] and should reveal the character of the magnetic short-range order effects in the three distinctive temperature intervals.

- [1] D. C. Johnston, *Handbook of Magnetic Materials*, 10th ed., 10, Vol. 4 (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1997, 1997).
- [2] Pengcheng Dai and Dagotto Elbio Hu, Jiangping, “Magnetism and its microscopic origin in iron-based high-temperature superconductors,” *Nature Physics* **8**, 709 (2012).
- [3] Xiangang Wan, Thomas A. Maier, and Sergej Y. Savrasov, “Calculated magnetic exchange interactions in high-temperature superconductors,” *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 155114 (2009).
- [4] R. Melzi, P. Carretta, A. Lascialfari, M. Mambrini, M. Troyer, P. Millet, and F. Mila, “ $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}(\text{si}, \text{ge})\text{O}_4$, a prototype of a two-dimensional frustrated quantum heisenberg antiferromagnet,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 1318–1321 (2000).
- [5] R. Melzi, S. Aldrovandi, F. Tedoldi, P. Carretta, P. Millet, and F. Mila, “Magnetic and thermodynamic properties of $\text{Li}_2\text{VOSiO}_4$: a two-dimensional $s = 1/2$ frustrated antiferromagnet on a square lattice,” *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 024409 (2001).
- [6] H. Rosner, R. R. P. Singh, W. H. Zheng, J. Oitmaa, S.-L. Drechsler, and W. E. Pickett, “Realization of a large j_2 quasi-2d spin-half heisenberg system: $\text{Li}_2\text{VOSiO}_4$,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 186405 (2002).
- [7] R. Nath, A. A. Tsirlin, H. Rosner, and C. Geibel, “Magnetic properties of $\text{BaCdVO}(\text{PO}_4)_2$: A strongly frustrated spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ square lattice close to the quantum critical regime,” *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 064422 (2008).
- [8] Luca Capriotti, Federico Becca, Alberto Parola, and Sandro Sorella, “Resonating valence bond wave functions for strongly frustrated spin systems,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 097201 (2001).
- [9] Hong-Chen Jiang, Hong Yao, and Leon Balents, “Spin liquid ground state of the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ square J_1 - J_2 heisenberg model,” *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 024424 (2012).
- [10] Tao Li, Federico Becca, Wenjun Hu, and Sandro Sorella, “Gapped spin-liquid phase in the $J_1 - J_2$ heisenberg model by a bosonic resonating valence-bond ansatz,” *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 075111 (2012).
- [11] Philippe Sindzingre, L Seabra, Nic Shannon, and Tsutomu Momoi, “Phase diagram of the spin-1/2 $j_1 - j_2 - j_3$ heisenberg model on the square lattice with ferromagnetic j_1 ,” *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **145**, 012048 (2009).
- [12] Qisi Wang, Yao Shen, Bingying Pan, Xiaowen Zhang, K. Ikeuchi, K. Iida, A. D. Christianson, H. C. Walker, D. T. Adroja, M. Abdel-Hafiez, Xiaojia Chen, D. A. Chareev, A. N. Vasiliev, and Jun Zhao, “Magnetic ground state of fese,” *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 12182 (2016).
- [13] Fengjie Ma, Wei Ji, Jiangping Hu, Zhong-Yi Lu, and Tao Xiang, “First-principles calculations of the electronic structure of tetragonal α -fete and α -fese crystals: Evidence for a bicollinear antiferromagnetic order,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 177003 (2009).
- [14] Jiangping Hu, Bao Xu, Wuming Liu, Ning-Ning Hao, and Yupeng Wang, “Unified minimum effective model of magnetic properties of iron-based superconductors,” *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 144403 (2012).
- [15] J. K. Glasbrenner, I. I. Mazin, H. O. Jeschke, P. J. Hirschfeld, and R. M. Fernandes, “Effect of magnetic frustration on nematicity and superconductivity in iron chalcogenides,” *Nature Physics* **11**, 953 (2015).
- [16] H. D. Zhou, B. S. Conner, L. Balicas, and C. R. Wiebe, “Orbital-ordering transition in Sr_2VO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 136403 (2007).
- [17] Yoshiki Imai, Igor Solovyev, and Masatoshi Imada, “Electronic structure of strongly correlated systems emerging from combining path-integral renormalization group with the density-functional approach,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 176405 (2005).
- [18] Yoshiki Imai and Masatoshi Imada, “Ground-state properties and optical conductivity of the transition metal oxide Sr_2VO_4 ,” *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **75**, 094713 (2006).
- [19] George Jackeli and Giniyat Khaliullin, “Magnetically hidden order of kramers doublets in d^1 systems: Sr_2VO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 067205 (2009).
- [20] M. Cyrot, B. Lambert-Andron, J.L. Soubeyroux, M.J. Rey, Ph. Dehauht, F. Cyrot-Lackmann, G. Fourcaudot, J. Beille, and J.L. Tholence, “Properties of a new perovskite oxide Sr_2VO_4 ,” *Journal of Solid State Chemistry* **85**, 321 – 325 (1990).
- [21] M. V. Eremin, J. Deisenhofer, R. M. Eremina, J. Teyssier, D. van der Marel, and A. Loidl, “Alternating spin-orbital order in tetragonal Sr_2VO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 212407 (2011).
- [22] Ichihiko Yamauchi, Kazuhiro Nawa, Masatoshi Hiraishi, Masanori Miyazaki, Akihiro Koda, Kenji M. Kojima, Ryosuke Kadono, Hironori Nakao, Reiji Kumai, Youichi Murakami, Hiroaki Ueda, Kazuyoshi Yoshimura, and Masashi Takigawa, “Structural anomalies and short-range magnetic correlations in the orbitally degenerate system Sr_2VO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 064408 (2015).
- [23] J. Teyssier, E. Giannini, A. Stucky, R. Černý, M. V. Eremin, and D. van der Marel, “Jahn-teller induced nematic orbital order in tetragonal Sr_2VO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 125138 (2016).
- [24] Jun Sugiyama, Hiroshi Nozaki, Izumi Umegaki, Wataru Higemoto, Eduardo J. Ansaldo, Jess H. Brewer, Hiroya Sakurai, Ting-Hui Kao, Hung-Duen Yang, and Martin Månsson, “Hidden magnetic order in Sr_2VO_4 clarified with $\mu^+\text{sr}$,” *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 020402 (2014).
- [25] G. Kresse and J. Hafner, “Ab initio molecular dynamics for liquid metals,” *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 558–561 (1993).
- [26] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, “Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set,” *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 11169–11186 (1996).
- [27] M.J. Rey, Ph. Dehauht, J.C. Joubert, B. Lambert-Andron, M. Cyrot, and F. Cyrot-Lackmann, “Preparation and structure of the compounds SrVO_3 and Sr_2VO_4 ,” *Journal of Solid State Chemistry* **86**, 101 – 108 (1990).
- [28] “See supplemental material for further details.”
- [29] J. Matsuno, Y. Okimoto, M. Kawasaki, and Y. Tokura, “Synthesis and electronic structure of epitaxially stabilized $\text{Sr}_2\text{La}_{1-x}\text{La}_x\text{VO}_4$ ($0 < x < 1$) thin films,” *Applied Physics Letters* **82**, 194–196 (2003).
- [30] J. Matsuno, Y. Okimoto, M. Kawasaki, and Y. Tokura, “Variation of the electronic structure in systematically synthesized Sr_2MO_4 ($m = \text{Ti}, \text{V}, \text{Cr}, \text{Mn}, \text{and Co}$),” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 176404 (2005).
- [31] N. D. Mermin and H. Wagner, “Absence of ferromagnetism or antiferromagnetism in one- or two-dimensional isotropic heisenberg models,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **17**, 1133–1136 (1966).
- [32] Bongjae Kim, Sergii Khmelevskiy, I. I. Mazin, D. F.

- Agterberg, and Cesare Franchini, “Anisotropy of magnetic interactions and symmetry of the order parameter in unconventional superconductor Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *npj Quantum Materials* **2**, 37 (2017).
- [33] G. Zhang, J. K. Glasbrenner, R. Flint, I. I. Mazin, and R. M. Fernandes, “Double-stage nematic bond ordering above double stripe magnetism: Application to $\text{BaTi}_2\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_7$,” *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 174402 (2017).
- [34] Nic Shannon, Tsutomu Momoi, and Philippe Sindzinger, “Nematic order in square lattice frustrated ferromagnets,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 027213 (2006).
- [35] Note that our calculated value of the moment fits well with spin-1/2 model with $V^{4+}(d^1)$ ion configuration: There are not much change in the moment upon varying U (for ST order, $0.96 \mu_B$ for $U=2$ eV to $1.12 \mu_B$ for $U=7$ eV), and for the same optical $U=5$ eV, the differences among diverse magnetic configurations are less than $0.02 \mu_B$.
- [36] A. V. Mikheenkov, A. V. Shvartsberg, N. A. Kozlov, and A. F. Barabanov, “Phase diagram of a frustrated two-dimensional j_1 - j_2 - j_3 quantum antiferromagnet: An approach based on spherically symmetric green’s functions,” *JETP Letters* **93**, 377–383 (2011).
- [37] H.-Q. Ding, “Could in-plane exchange anisotropy induce the observed antiferromagnetic transitions in the undoped high- t_c materials?” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 1927–1930 (1992).
- [38] Alen Horvat, Leonid Pourovskii, Markus Aichhorn, and Jernej Mravlje, “Theoretical prediction of antiferromagnetism in layered perovskite Sr_2TCO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 205115 (2017).
- [39] Peitao Liu, Sergii Khmelevskiy, Bongjae Kim, Martijn Marsman, Dianzhong Li, Xing-Qiu Chen, D. D. Sarma, Georg Kresse, and Cesare Franchini, “Anisotropic magnetic couplings and structure-driven canted to collinear transitions in Sr_2IrO_4 by magnetically constrained non-collinear dft,” *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 054428 (2015).
- [40] M. Itoh, M. Shikano, H. Kawaji, and T. Nakamura, “Structural aspects on the variations of electric and magnetic properties of the layered compound system $\text{Sr}_{n+1}\text{V}_n\text{O}_{3n+1}$ ($n = 1, 2, 3$),” *Solid State Communications* **80**, 545 – 548 (1991).
- [41] Ayumi Nozaki, Hiroshi Yoshikawa, Takahiro Wada, H. Yamauchi, and Shoji Tanaka, “Layered perovskite compounds $\text{Sr}_{n+1}\text{V}_n\text{O}_{3n+1}$ ($n=1, 2, 3$, and ∞),” *Phys. Rev. B* **43**, 181–185 (1991).
- [42] Myung Joon Han, Quan Yin, Warren E. Pickett, and Sergey Y. Savrasov, “Anisotropy, itineracy, and magnetic frustration in high- T_C iron pnictides,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 107003 (2009).
- [43] Q. Huang, Jun Zhao, J. W. Lynn, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, N. L. Wang, and Pengcheng Dai, “Doping evolution of antiferromagnetic order and structural distortion in $\text{LaFeAsO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x$,” *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 054529 (2008).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank J. Sugiyama for fruitful discussions. This work was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) projects ViCom (F4109-N28) and INDOX (I1490-N19). The computational results presented have been achieved using the Vienna Scientific Cluster (VSC).