# Emergent Phase Space Description of Unitary Matrix Model

Arghya Chattopadhyay<sup>\*1</sup>, Parikshit Dutta<sup>†2,3</sup> and Suvankar Dutta<sup>‡1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Bhopal Bhopal 462 066, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Asutosh College 92, Shyamaprasad Mukherjee Road Kolkata 700 026, India

<sup>3</sup>School of Physical Sciences Jawaharlal Nehru University New Delhi 110067, India

ABSTRACT: We show that large N phases of a 0 dimensional generic unitary matrix model (UMM) can be described in terms of topologies of two dimensional droplets on a plane spanned by eigenvalue and number of boxes in Young diagram. Information about different phases of UMM is encoded in the geometry of droplets. These droplets are similar to phase space distributions of a unitary matrix quantum mechanics (UMQM) ((0+1) dimensional) on constant time slices. We find that for a given UMM, it is possible to construct an effective UMQM such that its phase space distributions match with droplets of UMM on different time slices at large N. Therefore, large N phase transitions in UMM can be understood in terms of dynamics of an effective UMQM. From the geometry of droplets it is also possible to construct Young diagrams corresponding to U(N) representations and hence different large N states of the theory in momentum space. We explicitly consider two examples : single plaquette model with  $\text{Tr}U^2$  terms and Chern-Simons theory on  $S^3$ . We describe phases of CS theory in terms of eigenvalue distributions for them.

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ arghya@iiserb.ac.in

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger parikshitdutta@yahoo.co.in$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>suvankar@iiserb.ac.in

## Contents

1.	Introduction and summary		2
2.	Unitary Matrix Model		6
	2.1	Unitary matrix model and eigenvalue distributions at large $N$	6
	2.2	Gauge theory at zero coupling	7
	2.3	Gauge theory at weak coupling	7
	2.4	Single plaquette model	8
3.	Emergent phase space distribution		8
	3.1	Two dimensional droplets for plaquette model	8
	3.2	Two dimensional droplets for generic unitary matrix model	14
4.	Unitary matrix quantum mechanics and phase space distribution		16
	4.1	Unitary matrix quantum mechanics	17
	4.2	Momentum distribution and Young tableaux	20
5.	Young distribution for $\beta_1 - \beta_2$ model		21
	5.1	The resolvent	22
	5.2	Large $N$ phases and eigenvalue distribution	23
	5.3	Droplets and phase transition in $\beta_1 - \beta_2$ model	27
	5.4	Reconstruction of Young diagrams from droplet geometry	29
6.	Chern-Simons on $S^3$		35
	6.1	Potential and eigenvalue distribution	36
	6.2	Resolvent and Eigenvalue distribution	38
	6.3	Phase space distribution	40
	6.4	Young tableaux distribution	41
7.	Discussion and outlook		42
А.	. Calculation of boundary relation for three trace action		44
	A.1	Extremization of partition function	45
	A.2	Details of partition function calculation	47

## 1. Introduction and summary

Unitary matrix model (UMM) has a wide range of applicability both in mathematics and physics. Partition functions of different super-symmetric gauge theories, in particular Chern-Simons theory on certain manifolds boil down to UMMs. These models also have applications in a broad class of condensed matter systems.

A UMM is a statistical ensemble of unitary matrices (denoted by U), defined by the partition function

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int [\mathcal{D}U] \exp[S(U)], \text{ where, } S(U) \text{ is action and } \mathcal{D}U \text{ is Haar measure.}$$
(1.1)

Both  $\mathcal{D}U$  and S(U) are invariant under unitary transformations. Since U does not depend on any parameter, this partition function defines a 0-dimensional field theory over unitary matrices. Solution of UMMs in large N limit (N being dimension of matrix) renders a distribution of eigenvalues (EVs) of unitary matrices. EV distribution captures information of different phases of the system and transition between them.

On the other hand, it is well know that eigenvalues of hermitian (or unitary) matrices behave like positions of free fermions [1,2]. In hermitian matrix quantum mechanics, dynamics of eigenvalues can be thought of N non-interacting fermions moving in a potential  $V(\theta)$ . At large N all the eigenvalues (fermions) occupy the lowest possible energy states (ground state configuration) of the potential upto a fermi level. Different large N phases of the system, therefore, can be explained in terms of shapes of fermi surfaces in phase space. All the states below that surface are occupied, like Thomas-Fermi distribution at zero temperature [3].

Phase space description of matrix quantum mechanics seems to be quite natural because explicit time dependence of eigenvalues allows one to define conjugate momenta associated with eigenvalues. Interacting gauge theories (supersymmetric as well as non-supersymmetric) on compact manifolds can be written as a 0-dimensional UMM [4–9]. Eigenvalues of these unitary matrices do not dependent on time (or any other parameter). Therefore, it would be interesting to ask if one can provide a similar phase space description for different large N phases of these theories in terms of droplets of constant densities in two dimensions. In [10], with a bit of surprise, it was observed that for a very restricted class of matrix models, such description is indeed possible. Writing the partition function as a sum over all possible representations of unitary group one can study large N behaviour of the modes in terms of most dominant representation which is characterised by a distribution function u(h). u(h) measures how boxes h are distributed in a Young diagram. Solving the model at large N, [10] found that information of different phases of the theory can be captured in terms of topologies (shapes) of different droplets in two dimensions. This two dimensional plane is spanned by  $\theta$  and h. Eigenvalue and Young tableaux distributions for different phases of the theory can be obtained from a distributions function  $\omega(h,\theta)$  in  $(h,\theta)$  plane with property

$$\omega(h,\theta) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad (h,\theta) \in R$$
  
= 0 otherwise. (1.2)

Eigenvalue distribution  $\rho(\theta)$  for a given  $\theta$  can be obtained from the distribution by integrating over h

$$\rho(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \omega(h, \theta) dh.$$
(1.3)

Similarly, for a given h, integrating over  $\theta$  we obtain Young tableaux distribution,

$$u(h) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \omega(h,\theta) d\theta . \qquad (1.4)$$

The droplet picture of large N matrix model is very interesting, as these droplets are similar to those of Thomas-Fermi model where Wigner distribution is assumed to take constant value inside some region in phase space and zero elsewhere. If eigenvalues of unitary matrices are like positions of fermions with distribution function  $\rho(\theta)$ , then from droplet picture it seems that hplays the role of momentum with distribution u(h). Therefore, we see that droplet picture raises a very intriguing question if there is any underlying quantum mechanics (quantum mechanics of N free fermions) associated with different large N phases of UMMs (or interacting gauge theories).

Before answering this question, we first need to understand if phase space description is a universal characteristic of UMM. In other words, if all large N phases of any UMM can be described in terms of topologies of droplets. An important relation between character of conjugacy class and number of boxes in a Young diagram is required to understand this question. For a class of model considered in [10,11], the relation is known and simple (character is equal to dimension of representation). However, in general this relation is nontrivial and there exists no exact formula for character<sup>1</sup>. Therefore, it is difficult to construct u(h) directly from partition function. However, in [12], a more general class of matrix model was considered, namely plaquette model and shown that even if it is difficult to find u(h) directly from partition function, but a distribution function  $\omega(h, \theta)$  in  $(h, \theta)$  plane can be obtained in large N limit. Our first goal, in this paper, is to establish this result for a generic UMM and show that different large N phases of a generic unitary matrix model also have an emergent "phase space" description. Our generic model includes multi-trace terms in action. Therefore, this generic matrix model can describe weakly coupled gauge theories on compact manifold.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We shall discuss about Frobenius formula in section 3 and see that it offers an expression for character in terms of auxiliary variables.

Before delving deep, it is worth taking a digression and mention about some important works and literature in mathematics and their relevance with our work. As we have already mentioned, different large N phases of gauge theory correspond to different asymptotic behaviour of dominant Young diagrams. Asymptotic growth of Young diagrams is a well known process in mathematics. It originated from the pioneering works by A. Vershik and S. Kerov. Details of some of their related works can be found in [13]. Kerov has studied a Markovian growth process of Young diagrams also known as *Plancherel growth process* (due to involvement of Plancherel measure), and shown that almost all of Young diagrams become uniformly close to a common universal curve. In the current context the work of [14] is also worth mentioning, who gave the asymptotic behaviour of the characters when the corresponding Young diagram converge to some prescribed shape. The key difference between the study of Kerov and others and our work is that we are interested in large N shapes of the Young diagram in presence of a potential. As we change the parameters of the theory the potential changes and hence the asymptotic behaviour of the corresponding Young diagram. This is the reason why we are not getting any universal behaviour of the Young diagrams involved in our case and precisely this non-universal behaviour is the reason we have different shapes of the droplets which in turn helps one to differentiate between large N phases of the matrix model involved.

Coming back to the main context, in order to understand the underlying quantum mechanics associated with large N phases of UMMs, in this paper we observe that droplet picture in  $(h, \theta)$ plane is similar to phase space distribution of a unitary matrix quantum mechanics (UMQM) on a constant time slice. Partition function of UMQM is equivalent to a theory of free fermions [1, 2]. Time evolution of this system can be written as time evolution of two different branches of fermi surface [3]. These different branches of fermi surface define a closed region in phase space. At large N all the states inside that region are filled, otherwise empty. There is a relation between eigenvalue (position of fermions) and corresponding momentum which defines the boundary of the region [3]. We see that droplets of UMM have similar feature as phase space distribution of an effective UMQM on a constant time slice. Hamiltonians of both the systems match on that constant time slice. Therefore, given a UMM one can find an underlying matrix quantum mechanics such that its evolution with time from  $t = t_i$  to  $t = t_f$  captures two different phases of UMM on initial and final time slices. This allows us to understand large N phase transition in UMM in terms of dynamics of an effective UMQM. Considering parameters of UMQM to be time dependent one can find a time evolution in effective UMQM such that distributions on initial and final time slices correspond to two different phases of UMM [15]. The idea here is similar to the work of Matytsin [16], who showed that leading term in a non-trivial large-N limit of the Itzykson-Zuber integral can be written in terms of an action functional corresponding to the complex inviscid Burgers (Hopf) equation with boundary

conditions specifying the eigenvalue distribution on two different time slices <sup>2</sup>. In fact, the time evolution of the action functional is given by a Hamilton-Jacobi form with Hamiltonian similar to collective field theory Hamiltonian of [18, 19]. As we observe in this paper that for a one dimensional unitary matrix model or equivalently an unitary matrix quantum mechanics one ends up with 4.19, which can be re-written as the Hopf equation. Solution of this Hopf equation determines the evolution of asymptotic shape of Young diagram as the system evolves from one phase to other phase in the large N limit. Thus evolution of shape of Young diagrams could be related to time evolution of underlying matrix quantum mechanics.

Above result also shows that parameter h (number of boxes in Young diagram) does indeed play the role of canonical conjugate of eigenvalue  $\theta$ . Hence, u(h) captures information about momentum distribution for free fermions in effective UMQM in the form of geometry of Young diagram. To explore this further we need to construct Young tableaux distribution u(h) from droplet, since finding u(h) directly from partition function is difficult for a generic UMM. In this paper we show how one can consistently reconstruct different representations which dominate partition function at large N from phase space distribution. We explicitly study two examples to demonstrate the procedure:  $\beta_1 - \beta_2$  model and Chern-Simons theory on  $S^3$ .

Organisation of our paper is following.

- We start with a very brief introduction of UMM in section 2.
- In section 3 we discuss emergent phase space description of a generic UMM.
- Relation between UMM and UMQM has been studied in section 4.
- We provide an explicit example of reconstruction of Young diagrams from phase space for  $\beta_1$ - $\beta_2$  model in section 5.
- In section 6 we discuss phase space distribution for Chern-Simons theory on  $S^3$ . Here we present a unitary matrix model analysis of large N phase of CS theory. Then we obtain Young distribution for different values of 't Hooft coupling.
- We end our paper with some discussion and out look in section 7.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  [17] extended this study on QCD2 and associated the Douglas-Kazakov phase transition on a cylinder with the presence of gap in the eigenvalue distributions for Wilson loops.

## 2. Unitary Matrix Model

## 2.1 Unitary matrix model and eigenvalue distributions at large N

A UMM is a statistical ensemble of unitary matrices, defined by the partition function

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int [\mathcal{D}U] \exp \left[S(U)\right] \tag{2.1}$$

where S(U) is action and  $\mathcal{D}U$  is Haar measure. Both action and Haar measure are invariant under unitary transformation, hence the partition function. Therefore, one can go to a diagonal basis where U is given by

 $U = \{e^{i\theta_i}\}, \quad \text{for} \quad i \in [1, N], \quad \theta_i \text{'s are eigenvalues of } U.$ (2.2)

In this basis Haar measure takes the following form

$$\int [\mathcal{D}U] = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta_i \prod_{i < j} \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_i - \theta_j}{2}\right)$$
(2.3)

and action S(U) becomes function of N variables  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N : V(\{\theta_i\})$ . The partition function in this basis is given by

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \prod_{i=1}^{N} d\theta_i \exp\left[-S_{\text{eff}}(\{\theta_i\})\right]$$
(2.4)

where,

$$S_{\text{eff}}(\{\theta_i\}) = V(\{\theta_i\}) - \sum_{\substack{i=1\\j < i}}^N \ln\left[\sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_i - \theta_j}{2}\right)\right].$$
(2.5)

The partition function can be thought of as describing a system of N particles interacting by a repulsive potential (Coulomb repulsion)  $-\ln|\sin(\theta_i - \theta_j)/2|$  and moving in a common potential  $V(\{\theta_i\})$ . Because of the strong repulsion, two particles (eigenvalues) do not come close to each other. If we neglected the Coulomb repulsion, all eigenvalues would have sat at the minima of potential  $V(\theta)$ . But, due to the Coulomb repulsion they spread around these minima and fill some finite intervals.

In large N limit one defines a set of continuous variables

$$\theta(x) = \theta_i, \quad \text{where} \quad x = \frac{i}{N} \in [0, 1]$$
(2.6)

and in terms of these continuous variables the effective action becomes,

$$S_{\text{eff}}(\{\theta_i\}) = -N^2 \left[ S[\theta(x)] - \int_0^1 dx f_0^1 dy \ln \sin^2 \left(\frac{\theta(x) - \theta(y)}{2}\right) \right].$$
 (2.7)

At large N, dominant contribution comes from the saddle points of  $S_{\text{eff}}([\theta(x)])$ . We define eigenvalue distribution function

$$\rho(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(\theta - \theta_i) 
= \left| \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} \right|$$
(2.8)

which captures information about distributions of eigenvalues between  $-\pi$  and  $\pi$  in large N limit. Different saddle points correspond to different eigenvalue distributions and hence different phases of the theory.

## 2.2 Gauge theory at zero coupling

Thermal partition function of gauge theory on compact manifold  $(S^3)$  can be written as a UMM. In zero 't Hooft coupling limit  $(\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N \to 0)$ , all the modes of the theory are massive with mass terms depending on the size of  $S^3$ . Only the zero mode of temporal component of gauge field is massless and strongly interacting. Therefore, in zero coupling limit one can integrate out all the massive modes and obtains an exact partition function in terms of the zero mode. For example, thermal partition function of a SU(N) gauge theory on  $S^3 \times S^1$  at zero 't Hooft coupling can be written as,

$$\mathcal{Z}(\beta) = \int [\mathcal{D}U] \exp\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n(\beta)}{n} \mathrm{Tr} U^n \mathrm{Tr} U^{\dagger n}\right]$$
(2.9)

where  $U = e^{i\beta\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha$  being zero mode of temporal component of the gauge field and  $\beta$  (radius of  $S^1$ ) is inverse temperature.  $a_n(\beta)$ 's are related to single particle particle function of bosonic and fermionic modes. See [5–7,9] for details.

#### 2.3 Gauge theory at weak coupling

The above equation is derived at zero 't Hooft coupling. One considers only one loop contribution while integrating out massive modes. In weak 't Hooft coupling limit one can integrate out massive modes order by order in loop expansion and obtains the following partition function in terms of the holonomy U,

$$\mathcal{Z}(\beta,\lambda) = \int [\mathcal{D}U] \exp[S_{\text{eff}}(U)]$$
  

$$S_{\text{eff}}(U) = \sum_{\vec{n}} \frac{a_{\vec{n}}(\beta)}{N^k} \prod_{i=1}^k \text{Tr} U^{n_i}, \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_i n_i = 0.$$
(2.10)

Terms, in  $S_{\text{eff}}(U)$ , with k traces appears in (k-1) loops in perturbation theory and have a planner contribution starting with  $\lambda^{k-2}$ . For example, two trace terms (2.9) comes in one loop order in perturbation theory. In this paper, we show that different large N phases of this model has an emergent phase space picture.

## 2.4 Single plaquette model

A single plaquette model is given by

$$\mathcal{Z}(\beta) = \int \mathcal{D}U \, \exp\left[N\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n(\beta)}{n} (\operatorname{Tr}[U^n] + \operatorname{Tr}[U^{\dagger n}])\right]$$
(2.11)

where  $\beta_n(\beta)$ 's are parameters. This partition function is equivalent to 2.9 with  $\beta_n(\beta)$  identified as  $a_n(\beta) \langle \text{Tr}U^n \rangle$  in the large N limit. They have similar phase structure.

The single plaquette model has lot of applications in lattice gauge theory. Also, as we shall see, Cherns-Simons theory on  $S^3$  can also be written as single plaquette model with  $\beta_n$ 's, determined in terms of 't Hooft coupling. Single plaquette model has a rich phase structure. We refer to [20,21] for a detailed study.

## 3. Emergent phase space distribution

It was first observed in [10] that there exists a relation between eigenvalue density and Young tableaux distribution for a restricted class of matrix models. It was also shown that using these relations, different large N phases of the theory can be described in terms of shapes of droplets in two dimensional plane spanned by eigenvalue and number of boxes in Young diagram. However, observation in that paper was accidental and valid for a very limited class of UMM. Also, it was not clear if such identification follows from any extremization condition at large N. In [12], work of [10] was generalized to single plaquette model. Most interestingly, [12] showed that the relation between Young distribution and eigenvalue distribution follows from an extremization condition. In this paper we further extend this work to a most generic matrix model of the form (2.10). Although, we work here only upto three trace terms, our construction can be generalised to any arbitrary number of traces.

#### 3.1 Two dimensional droplets for plaquette model

We start our discussion with a brief review of [12]. This discussion will help us to follow the derivation for the most generic case.

Partition function of single plaquette model (2.11) is given by,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int [\mathcal{D}U] \exp\left[N\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n}{n} (\mathrm{Tr}U^n + \mathrm{Tr}U^{\dagger n})\right], \quad \beta_n\text{'s are some arbitrary coefficients.}$$
(3.1)

To obtain phase space distribution, we expand the exponential and write partition function as a sum over representations R of unitary group U(N),

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{R} \sum_{\vec{k}} \frac{\varepsilon(\vec{\beta}, \vec{k})}{z_{\vec{k}}} \sum_{\vec{l}} \frac{\varepsilon(\vec{\beta}, \vec{l})}{z_{\vec{l}}} \chi_R(C(\vec{k})) \chi_R(C(\vec{l})).$$
(3.2)

Here  $\chi_R(C(\vec{k}))$  is the character of conjugacy class  $C(\vec{k})$  of permutation group  $S_K$ ,  $K = \sum_n nk_n$ and

$$\varepsilon(\vec{\beta},\vec{k}) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} N^{k_n} \beta_n^{k_n}, \quad z_{\vec{k}} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n! n^{k_n}.$$
(3.3)

To derive equation (3.2) we have used the following identity

$$\prod_{n} (\operatorname{Tr} U^{n})^{k_{n}} = \sum_{R} \chi_{R}(C(\vec{k})) \operatorname{Tr}_{R}[U]$$
(3.4)

and the normalisation condition  $^{3}$ 

$$\int \mathcal{D}U \operatorname{Tr}_{R}[U] \operatorname{Tr}_{R'}[U^{\dagger}] = \delta_{RR'}.$$
(3.5)

Sum of representation of U(N) can be written as a sum over different Young diagrams. If K is the total number of boxes in a Young diagram with  $\lambda_i$  being the number of boxes in *i*-th row then  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i = K$ . Hence, sum over representations can be decomposed as

$$\sum_{R} \longrightarrow \sum_{K=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\{\lambda_i\}} \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i - K\right) \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_N \ge 0. \tag{3.6}$$

The partition function, therefore, can be written as,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{\vec{\lambda}} \sum_{\vec{k},\vec{l}} \frac{\varepsilon(\vec{\beta},\vec{k})\varepsilon(\vec{\beta},\vec{l})}{z_{\vec{k}}z_{\vec{l}}} \chi_{\vec{\lambda}}(C(\vec{k}))\chi_{\vec{\lambda}}(C(\vec{l})) \ \delta\left(\sum_{n} nk_n - \sum_{i} \lambda_i\right) \delta\left(\sum_{n} nl_n - \sum_{i} \lambda_i\right). (3.7)$$

We introduce N variables  $h_1, \dots, h_N$ , related to number of boxes  $\lambda_i$ 's as

$$h_i = \lambda_i + N - i \qquad \forall \quad i = 1, \cdots, N.$$
(3.8)

 $<sup>^3 \</sup>mathrm{See}$  [22–24] for details.

 $h_i$ 's are shifted number of boxes<sup>4</sup>. From monotonicity of  $\lambda_i$ s it follows that  $h_i$ s satisfy the following constraint

$$h_1 > h_2 > \dots > h_N \ge 0.$$
 (3.9)

From now on we shall use variables  $h_i$ s in stead of  $\lambda_i$ s.

In large N limit we define continuous variables

$$\frac{h_i}{N} = h(x), \qquad k_n = N^2 k'_n, \qquad x = \frac{i}{N} \quad \text{with} \quad x \in [0, 1].$$
 (3.10)

In this limit summation over i is replaced by an integral over x,

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \to N \int_0^1 dx \tag{3.11}$$

and sum over representations  $(\vec{\lambda})$  and sum over cycles  $(k_n)$  are given by path integral over h(x)and integral over  $k'_n$ . Writing characters  $\chi_{\vec{h}}$  in terms of h(x) and  $k'_n$ , partition function (3.7), in large N limit, can be written as

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int [\mathcal{D}h(x)] \prod_{n} \int dk'_{n} dl'_{n} \exp\left[-N^{2} S_{\text{eff}}[h(x), \vec{k'_{n}}, \vec{l'_{n}}]\right], \qquad (3.12)$$

where  $S_{\text{eff}}$  is the effective action. Dominant contribution to partition function comes from those representations which maximise effective action  $S_{\text{eff}}$ . To find the most dominant representations at large N, we introduce a function called Young tableaux density defined as,

$$u(h) = -\frac{\partial x}{\partial h}.$$
(3.13)

Different Young diagrams correspond to different u(h). Since h(x) is a monotonically decreasing function of x, Young density has an upper and lower cap  $1 \ge u(h) \ge 0 \forall x \in [0, 1]$ .

For the simplest case,  $\beta_1 \neq 0$  and other  $\beta_n = 0$  (for  $n \geq 2$ ) one can find u(h) for different phases of the model [10, 11]. In this simple case we need to calculate character of permutation group in presence of one cycles only ( $k_n = 0$  for  $n \geq 2$ ) which is given by dimension of the corresponding representation. As a result is was possible for [10, 11] to extremize the effective action to obtain u(h) for different phases<sup>5</sup>. [10, 11] also observed that there exists a beautiful identification between Young tableaux distribution and eigenvalue distribution for all the phases of the theory,

$$h_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} + \beta_1 \cos \theta \pm \pi \rho(\theta), \quad \text{and} \quad u(h) = \frac{\theta}{\pi}$$
 (3.14)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Our terminology is little sloppy. We also call  $h_i$  as the number of boxes in the *i*-th row.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>A large N phase transition corresponds to a qualitative change in nature of this dominant representation as one varies the parameters. The Douglas-Kazakov phase transition [25,26] and its generalisations in 2d Yang-Mills theory can be understood this way.

where  $h_{\pm}$  are solutions of the following equation

$$h^{2} - (1 + 2\beta_{1}\cos\theta)h + \frac{1}{4}(1 + 2\beta_{1}\cos\theta)^{2} = \pi^{2}\rho^{2}(\theta).$$
(3.15)

This identification allows one to write eigenvalue distribution and Young tableaux distribution in terms of a single constant distribution function  $\omega(h, \theta)$  given by equations (1.3) and (1.4).  $h_{\pm}(\theta)$ defines the boundary of the distribution. It is actually the shape (or boundary) of the region R which contains all the information about two distributions for a given phase of the model. Thus, a relation between h and  $\theta$  defines disjoint islands (phase space distribution/droplet) in two dimensions for different phases of the UMM under consideration. Different phases are distinguished by different topologies of droplets. Constant phase space distribution is very similar to Thomas-Fermi (TF) model [27, 28] at zero temperature.

The identification, observed in [10, 11], between two distribution was accidental. It was not known if such identification holds for a generic model like (3.1). The main obstacle to apply the idea of [10] to a generic UMM was finding character in terms of  $h_i$ s in presence of arbitrary cycles. Presence of  $\text{Tr}U^n$  term in partition function requires to calculate character in presence of *n*-cycles. Hence, finding u(h) by extremizing the effective action for a generic unitary matrix model was a challenging problem.

In [12] it was shown that, although it is difficult to find u(h) for a generic plaquette model (3.1), but one can still find droplets for different phases of the theory and from the shape of these droplets one can reconstruct dominant Young diagram.

#### 3.1.1 Frobenius formula for character

Let  $C(\vec{k})$  denotes the conjugacy class of  $S_K$  which is determined by a collection of sequence of numbers

$$\vec{k} = (k_1, k_2, \cdots), \quad \text{with,} \quad \sum_n nk_n = K.$$
 (3.16)

 $C(\vec{k})$  consists of permutations having  $k_1$  number of 1-cycles,  $k_2$  number of 2-cycles and so on. We introduce a set of independent variables,  $x_1, \dots, x_N$ . The character corresponding to a conjugacy class  $C(\vec{k})$  of  $S_K$  for a representation characterized by  $\vec{\lambda}$  is given by famous Frobenius formula

$$\chi_{\vec{h}}(C_{\vec{k}}) = \left[\Delta(x) \cdot \prod_{n} (P_n(x))^{k_n}\right]_{(h_1, \cdots, h_N)}$$
(3.17)

where

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^n, \qquad \Delta(x) = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)$$
(3.18)

and  $h_i$ 's are give by equation (3.8). The notation  $[\cdots]_{(h_1,\cdots,h_N)}$  implies

$$[f(x)]_{(h_1,\cdots,h_N)} = \text{coefficient of } x_1^{h_1}\cdots x_N^{h_N} \text{ in } f(x).$$
(3.19)

## 3.1.2 Extremization of partition function

Promoting N real variables  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  in (3.17) to N complex variable  $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N)$  character can be written as,

$$\chi_{\vec{h}}(C_{\vec{k}}) = \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \oint \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{dz_{\alpha}}{z_{\alpha}^{h_{\alpha}+1}} \left[ \left( \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} z_{\alpha} \right)^{k_1} \left( \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} z_{\alpha}^2 \right)^{k_2} \cdots \prod_{\alpha<\beta} (z_{\alpha} - z_{\beta}) \right].$$
(3.20)

The contour is taken around origin. Note that, in the above expressions for character (equation 3.17 or 3.20) variables  $x_i$ 's or  $z_i$ 's are auxiliary variables. They do not carry any physical meaning, as of now.

Integrand in the above expression can be exponentiated and written as,

$$\chi_{\vec{h}}(C_{\vec{k}}) = \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \oint \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{dz_{\alpha}}{z_{\alpha}} \exp\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n \ln(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} z_{\alpha}^n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \ln|z_{\alpha} - z_{\beta}| - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} h_{\alpha} \ln z_a\right]. \quad (3.21)$$

Therefore, in large N limit character is given by,

$$\chi[h(x), C(\vec{k'})] = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^N \oint \frac{[\mathcal{D}z(x)]}{z(x)} \exp[-N^2 S_{\chi}(h[x], \vec{k'})]$$
(3.22)

where *action*  $S_{\chi}$  is given by

$$-S_{\chi}(h[x], \vec{k'}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k'_n \left[ (n+1) \ln N + \ln \left( \int_0^1 dx z^n(x) \right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dx f_0^1 dy \ln |z(x) - z(y)| -K' \ln N - \int_0^1 dx h(x) \ln z(x)$$
(3.23)

and  $N^2K' = K = \text{total number of boxes in a representation.}$ 

Using the expression for character given in equation (3.22), partition function (3.7) can be written as,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{N} \prod_{n} \int dk'_{n} dl'_{n} \int \mathcal{D}h(x) \oint \mathcal{D}z(x) \oint \mathcal{D}w(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \exp\left(-N^{2} S_{\text{total}}\left[h, z, w, \vec{k'}, \vec{l'}, t, s\right]\right)$$
(3.24)

where,

$$-S_{\text{total}}\left[h, z, w, \vec{k'}, \vec{l'}, t, s\right] = \sum_{n} \left[k'_{n} \left(1 + \ln\left(\frac{\beta_{n} Z_{n}}{nk'_{n}}\right)\right) + l'_{n} \left(1 + \ln\left(\frac{\beta_{n} W_{n}}{nl'_{n}}\right)\right)\right] \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} dx f_{0}^{1} dy \left(\ln|z(x) - z(y)| + \ln|w(x) - w(y)|\right) \\ - \int_{0}^{1} dx h(x) \ln z(x) w(x) + it \left(\sum_{n} nk'_{n} - K'\right) + is \left(\sum_{n} nl'_{n} - K'\right).$$
(3.25)

Varying effective action with respect to z(x) we find<sup>6</sup>,

$$\sum_{n} \frac{nk'_n}{Z_n} z^n(x) + \int_0^1 dy \frac{z(x)}{z(x) - z(y)} - h(x) = 0$$
(3.26)

where,

$$Z_n = \int_0^1 dx z^n(x).$$
 (3.27)

Varying effective action with respect to h(x) we find,

$$z(x)w(x) = e^{-i(t+s)} = \text{constant (independent of } x).$$
(3.28)

Variation with respect to  $k'_n$  and  $l'_n$  provides,

$$\frac{\beta_n Z_n}{nk'_n} = e^{-itn}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\beta_n W_n}{nl'_n} = e^{-isn}.$$
(3.29)

Since, in equation (3.24) z(x) and w(x) vary over some contour around zero, we take these contours to be unit circle about the origin and hence a consistent solution to above equations are,

$$z(x) = e^{i\theta(x)}, \quad w(x) = e^{-i\theta(x)}, \quad \text{and} \quad t = s = 0.$$
 (3.30)

Therefore,

$$k'_n = l'_n = \frac{\beta_n \rho_n}{n}, \quad \text{where} \quad Z_n = \int d\theta \rho(\theta) \cos n\theta \equiv \rho_n.$$
 (3.31)

Thus saddle point equation (3.26) becomes,

$$\sum_{n} \frac{nk'_{n}}{Z_{n}} e^{in\theta} + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta' \rho(\theta') \frac{e^{i\theta}}{e^{i\theta} - e^{i\theta'}} - h(\theta) = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad \rho(\theta) = \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta}.$$
(3.32)

Evaluating the partition function (3.24) on these equations we find,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{N} \int [\mathcal{D}\theta] \exp\left[ N^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\frac{\beta_n}{n} \rho_n + N^2 \frac{1}{2} \int d\theta \rho(\theta) \int d\theta' \rho(\theta') \ln \left| 4\sin^2\left(\frac{\theta - \theta'}{2}\right) \right| \right].$$
(3.33)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Similar equation can be obtained when we vary action with respect to w(x).

This partition function is exactly same as the partition function of one plaquette model written as an integral over eigenvalues of unitary matrices. Hence, we identify that the auxiliary variables we used to write the character of symmetric group with eigenvalues of corresponding unitary matrix model involved. Imaginary part of saddle point equation (3.32) becomes equation for eigenvalue density,

$$\sum_{n} \frac{nk'_n}{Z_n} \sin n\theta - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta' \rho(\theta') \cot\left(\frac{\theta - \theta'}{2}\right) = 0.$$
(3.34)

On the other hand, the real part of equation (3.32) provides a relation between h and  $\theta$ ,

$$h(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n} \frac{nk'_n}{Z_n} \cos n\theta.$$
(3.35)

However, this equation is not complete. It is easy to check that total action (3.25) is invariant under

$$h(\theta) \to h(\theta) + f(\theta)$$
 (3.36)

where,  $f(\theta)$  is an even function of  $\theta$ . Hence, the most generic form of  $h(\theta)$  is given by,

$$h(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n} \frac{nk'_n}{Z_n} \cos n\theta + f(\theta).$$
(3.37)

Form of  $f(\theta)$  can be fixed from topological structure of droplets for different phases [12] and is given by  $f(\theta) = \pi \rho(\theta)$ . Finally we have,

$$h_{\pm}(\theta) = S(\theta) \pm \pi \rho(\theta), \quad S(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n} \beta_n \cos n\theta.$$
 (3.38)

This equation defines the shape of constant droplets in  $(h, \theta)$  plane. This equation is generalization of the relation given in equation (3.14). Thus we see that for a generalised plaquette model all the phases of the theory can be characterised in terms of topologies of different droplets in two dimensional plane spanned by h and  $\theta$ .

## 3.2 Two dimensional droplets for generic unitary matrix model

We start with an action which contains maximum three traces,

$$S_{eff} = \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \\ \text{all values}}} \frac{a_{n_1 n_2}}{N^3} \text{Tr} U^{n_1} \text{Tr} U^{n_2} \text{Tr} U^{\dagger (n_1 + n_2)}.$$
 (3.39)

When  $n_1$  (or  $n_2$ ) is zero we get back one loop (zero coupling) action. The above action is invariant under  $U \to U^{\dagger}$  if  $a_{n_1n_2} = a_{-n_1-n_2}$ , and can also be written as,

$$S_{eff} = \sum_{n_1, n_2 \ge 0} \frac{a_{n_1 n_2}}{N^3} \left( \text{Tr} U^{n_1} \text{Tr} U^{n_2} \text{Tr} U^{\dagger(n_1 + n_2)} + \text{Tr} U^{\dagger n_1} \text{Tr} U^{\dagger n_2} \text{Tr} U^{(n_1 + n_2)} \right)$$
(3.40)

which is manifestly invariant under  $U \to U^{\dagger}$ .

Following the same analysis as discussed in previous section we find a saddle point equation for auxiliary variables appearing in the Frobenius formula as (see appendix A for details),

$$\sum_{n_1 n_2 = 0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n_1 k'_{n_1 n_2}}{Z_{n_1}} e^{in_1 \theta} + \frac{n_2 k'_{n_1 n_2}}{Z_{n_2}} e^{in_2 \theta} + \frac{(n_1 + n_2) k'_{n_1 n_2}}{Z_{n_1 + n_2}} e^{i(n_1 + n_2)\theta} \right) - \frac{i}{2} \int d\theta' \,\rho(\theta') \cot\left(\frac{\theta - \theta'}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} - h(\theta) = 0 \quad (3.41)$$

where,  $\rho(\theta)$  is defined in equation (3.32). Imaginary part of this equation gives eigenvalue equation

$$\sum_{n_1 n_2 = 0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{n_1 k'_{n_1 n_2}}{Z_{n_1}} \sin(n_1 \theta) + \frac{n_2 k'_{n_1 n_2}}{Z_{n_2}} \sin(n_2 \theta) + \frac{(n_1 + n_2) l'_{n_1 n_2}}{Z_{n_1 + n_2}} \sin((n_1 + n_2) \theta) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 d\theta' \,\rho(\theta') \cot\left(\frac{\theta - \theta'}{2}\right) = 0. \quad (3.42)$$

Integrating over h(x), the partition function can be written as

$$\mathcal{Z} = \frac{N^4}{(2\pi i)^{2N}} \int [D\theta] \exp\left[\sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} 2N^2 a'_{n_1 n_2} \rho_{n_1} \rho_{n_2} \rho_{n_1+n_2} + \frac{N^2}{2} \int d\theta \rho(\theta) \oint d\theta' \rho(\theta') \left(\ln 4 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta-\theta'}{2}\right) + \ln 4\right)\right].$$
(3.43)

Thus we see, even for a general matrix model auxiliary variables in Frobenius formula are same as eigenvalues of unitary matrices.

The real part of saddle point equation defines the shape of constant droplets in  $(h, \theta)$  plane

$$h_{\pm}(\theta) = S(\theta) \pm \pi \rho(\theta). \tag{3.44}$$

where,

$$S(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n_1 n_2 = 0}^{\infty} a'_{n_1 n_2} \rho_{n_1} \rho_{n_2} \rho_{n_1 + n_2} \left( \frac{n_1 \cos n_1 \theta}{\rho_{n_1}} + \frac{n_2 \cos n_2 \theta}{\rho_{n_2}} + \frac{(n_1 + n_2) \cos(n_1 + n_2)\theta}{\rho_{n_1} \rho_{n_2}} \right).$$
(3.45)

This is a generalization of equation (3.38). It is easy to check that all the expressions matches with those of plaquette model for  $n_2 = 0$  with  $2n_1 a'_{n_10} \rho_{n_1} = \beta_{n_1}$ .

Although here we considered three trace terms only, a generalisation to arbitrary model is straightforward. However, algebra will be little difficult.

## 4. Unitary matrix quantum mechanics and phase space distribution

 $h_{\pm}$  in generalized boundary relation (3.38 or 3.44) is a solution of the following quadratic equation

$$h^{2} - 2S(\theta)h + S^{2}(\theta) - \pi^{2}\rho^{2}(\theta) = 0.$$
(4.1)

We define a distribution function  $\omega(h,\theta)$  in  $(h,\theta)$  plane

$$\omega(h,\theta) = \Theta\left(\frac{(h-h_{-}(\theta))(h_{+}(\theta)-h)}{2}\right)$$
(4.2)

such that  $\omega(h,\theta) = 1$  for  $h_{-}(\theta) < h < h_{+}(\theta)$  and zero otherwise. Eigenvalue distribution, by construction, can be obtained by integrating out h for a given  $\theta$  (equation 1.3) and is given by

$$\rho(\theta) = \frac{h_+(\theta) - h_-(\theta)}{2\pi}.$$
(4.3)

Wilson loops are given by

$$\langle \text{Tr}U^n \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty dh \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \, \cos n\theta \,\,\omega(h,\theta).$$
 (4.4)

The function  $S(\theta)$  can also be written in terms of phase space geometry

$$S(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_0^\infty dh \ h \ \omega(h,\theta) = \frac{h_+(\theta) + h_-(\theta)}{2}.$$
 (4.5)

The droplet picture of different large N phases are similar to droplets of Thomas-Fermi (TF) model at zero temperature. Fermi distribution at zero temperature is given by

$$\Delta(p,q) = \Theta(\mu - \mathfrak{h}(p,q)) \tag{4.6}$$

where  $\mu$  is chemical potential and  $\mathfrak{h}(p,q)$  is single particle Hamiltonian density. Comparing our phase space distribution (4.2) with TF distribution we find the Hamiltonian density is given by

$$\mathfrak{h}(h,\theta) = \frac{h^2}{2} - S(\theta)h + \frac{g(\theta)}{2} + \mu, \quad \text{where} \quad g(\theta) = h_+(\theta)h_-(\theta). \tag{4.7}$$

Total Hamiltonian can be obtained by integrating  $\mathfrak{h}(h,\theta)$  over the phase space

$$H_h = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \int_{h_-}^{h_+} dh \ \omega(h,\theta) \ \mathfrak{h}(h,\theta).$$

$$(4.8)$$

Integrating over h we find Hamiltonian as a functional of  $\rho(\theta)$ 

$$H_h[\rho] = \frac{1}{2} \int d\theta \left( \frac{\pi^2 \rho^3}{3} - S^2 \rho + g\rho \right) + \mu.$$
(4.9)

This is TF energy functional. As a consistency, one can check that extremization of this Hamiltonian with respect to  $\rho$  gives eigenvalue distribution (4.3).

The Hamiltonian can also be written as

$$H_{h} = \int d\theta \left(\frac{S^{2}\rho}{2} + \frac{\pi^{2}\rho^{3}}{6}\right) + V_{eff}(\rho) + \mu$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dh \ d\theta \left(\frac{h^{2}}{2} + V_{eff}(\theta)\right) \omega(h,\theta) + \mu$$
(4.10)

for some  $V_{eff}(\theta)$ . For example, for simple  $\beta_1$  model  $S(U) = \beta_1(\text{Tr}U + \text{Tr}U^{\dagger}), V_{eff}(\theta) = -\beta_1 \cos \theta - \beta_1^2/2 \cos 2\theta.$ 

## 4.1 Unitary matrix quantum mechanics

We start with a unitary matrix quantum mechanics

$$\mathcal{Z}_t = \int [DU] \exp\left[\int dt \left(\mathrm{Tr}\dot{U}^2 + W(U)\right)\right].$$
(4.11)

This matrix model is equivalent to a theory of free fermions on circle with Hamiltonian [1,2]

$$H_F = \int d\theta \left( \partial \Psi^{\dagger} \partial \Psi + W(U) \Psi^{\dagger} \Psi \right)$$
(4.12)

and with eigenvalue density ~  $\Psi^{\dagger}\Psi$ . The matrix model (4.11) can also be described as a real bosonic field theory of eigenvalue density  $\rho(\theta, t)$  on  $S^1$  [15,18,19,29]. Corresponding Hamiltonian is given by,

$$H_B = \int d\theta \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \pi(t,\theta)}{\partial \theta} \rho(t,\theta) \frac{\partial \pi(t,\theta)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\pi^2 \rho^3(t,\theta)}{6} + W(\theta)\rho(t,\theta) \right)$$
(4.13)

where,  $\pi(\theta, t)$  is momentum conjugate to  $\rho(\theta, t)$ . Equations of motion for collective fields are given by,

$$\partial_t \rho(t,\theta) + \partial_\theta \left( \rho(t,\theta) v(t,\theta) \right) = 0$$

$$\partial_t v(t,\theta) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\theta v(t,\theta)^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{2} \partial_\theta \rho(t,\theta)^2 = -W'(\theta)$$
(4.14)

where

$$v(t,\theta) = \partial_{\theta} \pi(t,\theta). \tag{4.15}$$

These are coupled, non-linear partial differential equation and hence difficult to find a solution in general. Therefore, one can translate the problem into free fermi language [3]. At large N, time

evolution of the fermionic system can be described in terms of time evolution of fermi surface in phase space. Denoting phase space density by  $\varpi(p, \theta)$  the Hamiltonian is given by,

$$H_p = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\theta \, dp \left(\frac{p^2}{2} + W(\theta)\right) \varpi(p,\theta) \tag{4.16}$$

where  $\int d\theta W(\theta)\rho(\theta,t) = W(U(t))$  and p is momentum (conjugate of  $\theta$ ). This Hamiltonian has the same form as (4.10) except the fact that  $(p(t), \theta(t))$  in (4.16) are functions of "t". There is a one to one correspondence between phase space variables and collective field theory variables. Eigenvalue density and corresponding momentum are given by [3]

$$\rho(\theta, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dp \ \varpi(p, \theta), \quad \partial_{\theta} \pi(\theta, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int dp \ p \ \varpi(p, \theta). \tag{4.17}$$

Assuming  $p(t, \theta)$  ranges from  $p_{-}(t, \theta)$  to  $p_{+}(t, \theta)$  for a fixed  $\theta$  we land up with following dictionary between bosonic and fermionic variables

$$\rho(t,\theta) = \frac{p_+(t,\theta) - p_-(t,\theta)}{2\pi}, \quad \text{and} \quad v(t,\theta) = \frac{p_+(t,\theta) + p_-(t,\theta)}{2}.$$
(4.18)

Using this dictionary the Hamiltonian (4.16) reduces to Hamiltonian (4.13). Also, using this dictionary one can show that coupled non-linear differential equations for  $\rho(t, \theta)$  and  $v(t, \theta)$  take simpler form in fermionic language

$$\partial_t p_{\pm}(t,\theta) + p_{\pm}(t,\theta)\partial_\theta p_{\pm}(t,\theta) + W'(\theta) = 0.$$
(4.19)

These are decoupled first order quasi-linear partial differential equations.  $p_{\pm}(t,\theta)$  defines fermi surface in  $(p,\theta)$  plane. The above equation (4.19) determines evolution of fermi surface with time. Thus solving the field theory equations of motion (4.14) is equivalent to solve for upper and lower fermi surfaces in fermionic picture. In either case, one needs to provide an initial data on a constant time slice in  $(t,\theta)$  plane. After that the problem reduces to a *Cauchy problem*. Existence of unique solution depends on the geometry of initial data curve.

Inverting the dictionary (4.18) we find

$$p_{\pm}(\theta, t) = v(t, \theta) \pm \pi \rho(t, \theta). \tag{4.20}$$

These equations for fermi surface have similarity with generalized boundary relation (3.44) in  $(h, \theta)$  plane. Therefore, on a constant t slice<sup>7</sup>, one can match fermi surfaces  $p_{\pm}(t, \theta)$  with boundary of large N droplets (3.44) of a generic UMM (2.1). In other words, large N phase space distribution can be used as initial (or terminal) data for the Cauchy problem,

$$p_{\pm}(t_0,\theta) = h_{\pm}(\theta). \tag{4.21}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>In finite temperature version, constant time slices are constant temperature slices.

In the bosonic language this is equivalent to  $v(t_0, \theta) = S(\theta)$  and  $\rho(t_0, \theta) = \rho(\theta)$ . A general phase space distribution (3.44) therefore can be thought of as initial (or terminal) geometry of an underlying quantum mechanics problem. h which was related to number of boxes in dominant Young representation, is indeed behave like momentum conjugate to  $\theta$ . In other words momentum p, conjugate to  $\theta$  in UMQM has a meaning in terms of boxes in Young diagram. The initial data curve (4.21), we have chosen, is *non-characteristic* and hence the solution to equation (4.19) is unique.

Thus we see that for any large N phase of a generic UMM (2.1) it is possible to construct an effective UMQM such that phase space distribution of matrix quantum mechanics matches with that of UMM on a constant time slice. The effective matrix quantum mechanics has a potential  $W(\theta) = V_{eff}(\theta)$ . The Hamiltonian (4.16) evaluated on  $t = t_0$  slice boils down to (4.10)

$$H_p \xrightarrow{t \to t_0} H_h$$
 (4.22)

up to a constant piece.

Unitary matrix model (2.1) shows a rich phase structure at large N. The model has many possible phases. As we vary the parameters of the theory the system undergoes a phase transition. It would be interesting to understand the phase transition in UMM in terms of dynamics of effective matrix quantum mechanics. One can allow the parameters of  $W(\theta)$  in UMQM to depend on t such that dynamics of UMQM captures phase transition of UMM. We choose UMQM such that on a constant time slices  $W(\theta)$  matches with  $V_{eff}(\theta)$  of UMM for different phases. As depicted



Figure 1: Time evolution of phases of UMM

in figure 1 we can start with a particular phase  $\mathcal{P}_0$  of UMM at  $t = t_0$  with  $W(\theta(t_0), t_0) = V_{eff}^{\mathcal{P}_0}(\theta)$ and use droplet of  $\mathcal{P}_0$  as initial data for the Cauchy problem. Then we let the system evolve with time and some later time  $t = t_1 > t_0$  we have  $W(\theta(t_1), t_1) = V_{eff}^{\mathcal{P}_1}(\theta)$  and shape of fermi surfaces matches with droplets of  $\mathcal{P}_1$  phase. This will help us in understanding the question how dynamics of strongly interacting gauge theories are encoded in dynamics of free fermions. A work in this direction was considered in [15] for a simpler model<sup>8</sup>, where they started with a no-gap distribution at  $t \to -\infty$  and showed that time evolution takes this phase to a one-gap phase at  $t \to \infty$ . However, for a generic model, we need to thoroughly study the solutions of quasi-linear equations 4.19. We leave the issue for future.

For a unitary matrix quantum mechanics, phase space has  $\theta \to -\theta$  symmetry. Using this fact it is possible to show that phase space area covered by fermi surface is a constant of motion. Area covered by fermi surfaces at a time t is given by

$$\mathcal{A}(t) = \int_{-\theta_0}^{\theta_0} \left( p_+(t,\theta) - p_-(t,\theta) \right) d\theta.$$
(4.23)

Using equation (4.19) and the fact that  $p_{\pm}(t,\theta)$  and  $W(\theta)$  are symmetric functions of  $\theta$  it is easy to verify that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{A}(t) = 0. \tag{4.24}$$

Thus, phase space area is preserved during time evolution. Its only the shape which changes during evolution.

## 4.2 Momentum distribution and Young tableaux

Since  $(h, \theta)$  are canonical conjugate of each other, integrating out  $\theta$  in phase space gives us momentum distribution for free fermions under consideration. Thus, momentum distribution is actually encoded in the arrangements of boxes in the most dominant Young tableaux. To obtain Young distribution function u(h) we use the definition (1.4). Unlike eigenvalue distribution, finding Young tableaux distribution directly from partition function is a formidable task for a generic matrix model. But we assume that the definition (1.4) holds in general. This definition boils down to our earlier identification  $[10,11] \pi u(h) = \theta$  when  $\theta$  has unique solution for a given h in equation (4.1). In general,  $\theta$  may have multiple solutions for a given h. In that case u(h) is given by total length of different segments of white arc as shown in figure 2. This equation is, in general, difficult to solve and find u(h) for any arbitrary set of parameters  $\{\beta_n\}$ . In section 5 and 6 we use this relation to find the Young tableaux distribution which dominates the partition function in large N limit.

Young distribution captures information about momentum distribution of N fermions. Therefore, large N state of the system can be found from Young distribution. Since fermions have

 $<sup>^{8}\</sup>mathrm{Look}$  at [30] for a recent paper.



Figure 2: u(h) is same the length of white line of radius h for no-gap phase.

momentum  $h_1, \dots, h_N$  a large N state of the system can be recognised as  $|h_1, \dots, h_N\rangle$  in momentum space<sup>9</sup> [2]. Thus a large N state correspond to geometry of Young diagram. Time evolution of quantum fluctuations about this large N states can be studied using underlying UMQM.

Finding dominant Young distributions is also important, as local dynamics of holographic geometry is encoded in Young diagram of dual theory [31]. Supersymmetry plays an important role here, as weak coupling results are protected. Hence, by determining phase space distribution and corresponding Young diagrams for a supersymmetry theory one can reconstruct the dual holographic geometry from that.

## 5. Young distribution for $\beta_1 - \beta_2$ model

In this section we discuss an example where we have non-trivial, stable two gap phases. This example will help us to understand how to reconstruct Young diagrams from phase space for different large N phases of the theory.

To have a two gap solution we need the potential  $V(\theta)$  in equation (2.5) to have two minima between  $-\pi$  and  $\pi$ . This is achieved by considering a plaquette model with  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \neq 0$  and  $\beta_n = 0$  for  $n \geq 3$ . The action S(U) is given by

$$S(U) = N \left[ \beta_1 (\operatorname{Tr} U + \operatorname{Tr} U^{\dagger}) + \frac{\beta_2}{2} (\operatorname{Tr} U^2 + \operatorname{Tr} U^{\dagger 2}) \right].$$
 (5.1)

Phase structure of this model was discussed in [20] and [21]. The model exhibits "five" different phases depending on values of parameters  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ : one no-gap phase, two one-gap phases (mirror reflection of each other) and two two-gap phases. A detailed derivation of eigenvalue

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>We are considering a quantum mechanics on circle hence momenta of particles are integers.

distribution for different phases can be found in [20, 21]. Here, we present a different and independent method (solving Dyson-Schwinger equation) to reproduce the results (eigenvalue distribution) of [20, 21]. Then we obtain droplets for different phases of the theory. Finally, we discuss how to reconstruct Young tableaux distributions for five different phases of this model from the geometry of droplets.

## 5.1 The resolvent

Eigenvalue distribution can be obtained from analytic properties of resolvent which satisfies an algebraic equation in large N limit [32]. This method is quite powerful as one does not need to solve an integral equation for eigenvalue density. We briefly review the method here.

"Resolvent" for any generic UMM (2.1) is defined as,

$$R(z) = N^{-1} \langle \text{Tr}[(1 - zU)^{-1}] \rangle$$
(5.2)

where z is a complex variable. Expanding the right hand side of (5.2)

$$R(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{N} \left( z \langle \mathrm{Tr}U \rangle + z^2 \langle \mathrm{Tr}U^2 \rangle + z^3 \langle \mathrm{Tr}U^3 \rangle + \cdots \right).$$
(5.3)

One can see that the resolvent is a generating functional of Wilson loops in the planar limit. Since  $N^{-1}\langle \operatorname{Tr} U^k \rangle$  lies between -1 and +1 the right hand side of equation (5.3) is convergent for |z| < 1. Therefore, R(z) is an analytic and holomorphic function in the interior of the unit disk. In a similar way, one can show that the function R(z) is also analytic for |z| > 1 with  $R(z) \to 0$  for  $|z| \to \infty$ . However, R(z) is discontinuous on |z| = 1. Inside the unit circle one can also write that,

$$R(1/z) = -zN^{-1}\langle Tr[U^{-1}] \rangle - z^2 N^{-1} \langle Tr[U^{-2}] \rangle + \cdots, \quad |z| < 1.$$
(5.4)

Hence we have the following property of R(z)

$$R(z) + R(1/z) = 1$$
, for  $|z| \neq 1$ . (5.5)

At large N the resolvent satisfies a quadratic equation (Dyson-Schwinger equation) which can be solved algebraically [11]. The solution, for a particular model (5.1) under consideration, is given by (see [11] for details)

$$R(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \beta_1 (z - \frac{1}{z}) + \beta_2 (z^2 - \frac{1}{z^2}) + \sqrt{F(z)} \right] \quad |z| < 1$$
(5.6)

$$R(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \beta_1 (z - \frac{1}{z}) + \beta_2 (z^2 - \frac{1}{z^2}) - \sqrt{F(z)} \right] \quad |z| > 1$$
(5.7)

where F(z) has the following form,

$$F(z) = \left[\beta_1\left(\frac{1}{z}+z\right) + \beta_2\left(\frac{1}{z^2}+z^2\right) + 1\right]^2 - 4\left(\beta_1 z + \beta_2 z^2\right)\left[\frac{\beta_1}{z}+\frac{\beta_2}{z^2}\right] + 4\beta_1 R'(0) + 4\beta_2\left[\frac{R'(0)}{z}+\frac{R''(0)}{2}+R'(0)z\right].$$
(5.8)

Spectral density or eigenvalue density is related to discontinuity of R(z) on unit circle. Eigenvalue distribution function  $(\rho(\theta))$  is given by,

$$2\pi\rho(\theta) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[ R((1+\epsilon)e^{i\theta}) - R((1-\epsilon)e^{i\theta}) \right] = 2\Re \left[ R(e^{i\theta}) \right] - 1 = \sqrt{F(e^{i\theta})}.$$
 (5.9)

We see that F(z) contains some quantities R'(0) and R''(0) which can be calculated from (5.6). Therefore one has to consistently solve these equations to find R'(0) and R''(0) for different phases of the model and then  $\rho(\theta)$  from (5.8). However, it is difficult to solve equation (5.6) and (5.8) consistently. Rather, we shall take an ansatz for F(z) for different phases of the theory and fix the form of F(z) from analytic properties of R(z):

- 1. R(z) is analytic inside the unit circle. In equation (5.6) we see that R(z) has 1/z and  $1/z^2$  terms which are singular at z = 0. Therefore,  $\sqrt{F(z)}$  should have poles of order one and two at z = 0 to make R(z) analytic inside. F(z) can not have zeros inside (or outside) the unit circle. In that case  $\sqrt{F(z)}$  would have branch points inside or outside the circle. However, F(z) can have zeros on |z| = 1 line.
- 2. For gapped solution  $\sqrt{F(z)}$  should have branch points on unit circle. Also F(z) is invariant under  $z \to 1/z$ .
- 3. Finally, R(z) is normalized as  $R_{\leq}(0) = 1$ .

## 5.2 Large N phases and eigenvalue distribution

## No-gap solution

This is the most trivial case where there is no branch cuts in resolvent R(z). Hence, F(z) is a perfect square. Thus we have,

$$F(z) = \left[1 + \beta_1 \left(z + \frac{1}{z}\right) + \beta_2 \left(z^2 + \frac{1}{z^2}\right)\right]^2.$$
 (5.10)

The eigenvalue distribution for this phase is given by (from equation 5.9)

$$\rho_{A_0}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} (1 + 2\beta_1 \cos \theta + 2\beta_2 \cos 2\theta)$$
(5.11)

for  $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$ .

Since  $\rho(\theta) \ge 0$ , a no-gap or zero-gap solution exists for [20]

$$\beta_1^2 - 4\beta_2(1 - 2\beta_2) = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad \beta_2 \ge \frac{1}{6} |\beta_1| - \beta_2 - \frac{1}{2} = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad -\frac{1}{2} \le \beta_2 \le \frac{1}{6}$$
(5.12)

We use the notation of [20] to name different phases of this model. No-gap phase is called  $A_0$  phase. Note that there is a factor of 2 difference between our set of parameters and [20]'s set of parameters :  $(2\beta_1, 2\beta_2)_{our} = (\beta_1, \beta_2)_{[20]}$ .

#### **One-gap** solution

For one-gap solution  $\sqrt{F(z)}$  has a branch cut on |z| = 1. Therefore the most generic ansatz for F(z) for one-gap phase is given by,

$$\sqrt{F(z)} = \alpha \left(\sqrt{z} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}}\right) \sqrt{\gamma + \left(\sqrt{z} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}}\right)^2} \left[\beta + \left(\sqrt{z} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}}\right)^2\right],\tag{5.13}$$

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  are constants. From analyticity and normalization condition of R(z) we find

$$\alpha = \beta_2; \quad \beta = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} - \frac{\gamma}{2} - 4; \quad \gamma = \frac{2}{3\beta} \left( \beta_1 - 4\beta_2 + \sqrt{-6\beta_2 + (\beta_1 + 2\beta_2)^2} \right). \tag{5.14}$$

Hence, the spectral density for one-gap solution  $(A_1 \text{ phase})$  is given by

$$\rho_{A_1}(\theta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{\sin^2 \frac{\theta_1}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \left[\beta_1 + \beta_2 (2\cos\theta + \cos\theta_1 - 1)\right], \tag{5.15}$$

with

$$\sin^2 \frac{\theta_1}{2} = \frac{\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 - \sqrt{-6\beta_2 + (\beta_1 + 2\beta_2)^2}}{6\beta_2}.$$
(5.16)

Eigenvalues are symmetrically distributed about  $\theta = 0$  from  $-\theta_1$  to  $\theta_1$ . One-gap solution is valid in the following region in  $(\beta_1, \beta_2)$  plane bounded by the curves [20]

$$(\beta_1 + 2\beta_2)^2 - 6\beta_2 = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad \beta_2 \ge \frac{1}{6}, \beta_1 - \beta_2 - \frac{1}{2} = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad -\frac{1}{2} \le \beta_2 \le \frac{1}{6}, (\beta_1 + 2\beta_2)^2 + 2\beta_2 = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad \beta_2 \le -\frac{1}{2}$$
(5.17)

and extends in the direction of positive  $\beta_1$ . There exists a common boundary between this phase and zero-gap phase and is given by

$$\beta_1 = \beta_2 + 1, \quad \text{for} \quad -\frac{1}{2} \le \beta_2 \le \frac{1}{6}.$$
 (5.18)

F(z) (given by equation 5.13) for one-gap solution has a branch cut on unit circle about z = 1point ( $\theta = 0$ ). If we replace z by -z then the branch-cut changes its position from right to left side on the unit circle. However, this is also a valid ansatz for F(z) for one-gap solution ( $B_1$ phase). For this ansatz the parameters  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  take the following values to make R(z) analytic inside.

$$\alpha = \beta_2; \quad \beta = -\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} - \frac{\gamma}{2} - 4; \quad \gamma = \frac{2}{3\beta} \left( -\beta_1 - 4\beta_2 + \sqrt{-6\beta_2 + (-\beta_1 + 2\beta_2)^2} \right), \quad (5.19)$$

which in turn gives the spectral density as

$$\rho_{B_1}(\theta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{\cos^2 \frac{\theta_1}{2} - \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \left[\beta_1 - \beta_2 (2\cos\theta + \cos\theta_1 + 1)\right], \tag{5.20}$$

with

$$\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} = \frac{-\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 - \sqrt{-6\beta_2 + (-\beta_1 + 2\beta_2)^2}}{6\beta_2}.$$
(5.21)

Since action (5.1) is invariant under  $\beta_1 \to -\beta_1$  and  $\theta \to \theta + \pi$  therefore, it is expected that there exists a mirror image of  $A_1$  phase in negative  $\beta_1$  direction ( $B_1$  phase). Eigenvalues, for this branch, are distributed symmetrically about  $\theta = \pi$  from  $\pi + |\theta_1|$  to  $\pi - |\theta_1|$ . Similarly, the common boundary between this phase and zero-gap phase is given by

$$\beta_1 = -\beta_2 - 1, \quad \text{for} \quad -\frac{1}{2} \le \beta_2 \le \frac{1}{6}.$$
 (5.22)

A transition along line (5.18) or (5.22) between zero-gap and one-gap (or its mirror phase) is a third order phase transition. This is a generalization of Gross-Witten-Wadia transition [33,34]. In subsection 5.3 we shall see how phase space topology changes as we go from one phase to another.

#### **Two-gap** solution

To have a two-gap solution one should take an ansatz for  $\sqrt{F(z)}$  such that there is at most a degree four polynomial inside the square root. In this case there are two possible ways of taking ansatz for F(z) which give two different two-gap phases namely  $A_2$  phase and  $B_2$  phase.

## $A_2$ **Phase**

The simplest ansatz that one can take is

$$\sqrt{F(z)} = \sqrt{\alpha^2 \left(z + \frac{1}{z}\right)^2 + \beta \left(z + \frac{1}{z}\right) + 1} \left(\delta + \sigma \left(z + \frac{1}{z}\right)\right).$$
(5.23)

 $\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \delta$  are constants. Using the analyticity and normalization condition of R(z) we find,

$$\sigma = \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha}; \quad \delta = \frac{2\alpha^2\beta_1 - \beta\beta_2}{2\alpha^3}; \quad \alpha^2 = \frac{-\beta\beta_1 - \beta_2 + \sqrt{2\beta\beta_1\beta_2 + \beta_2^2 + \beta^2\left(\beta_1^2 + 6\beta_2(-1+2\beta_2)\right)}}{-4 + 8\beta_2}.$$
(5.24)

However, it is not possible to fix all the four parameters from the properties of R(z). We keep  $\beta$  undetermined. The eigenvalue distribution in this case is given by,

$$\rho_{A_2}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{(\cos\theta - \cos\theta_1)(\cos\theta - \cos\theta_2)} \quad [\beta_1 + \beta_2(2\cos\theta + \cos\theta_1 + \cos\theta_2)]$$
(5.25)

where

$$\cos\theta_1 = -\frac{\beta}{4\alpha} - \frac{1}{4\alpha}\sqrt{-4\alpha + \beta^2}; \quad \cos\theta_2 = -\frac{\beta}{4\alpha} + \frac{1}{4\alpha}\sqrt{-4\alpha + \beta^2}.$$
 (5.26)

 $A_2$  phase has eigenvalue distribution symmetric about horizontal axis. Validity of this phase has been shown in figure 3. Eigenvalues are distributed between  $-\theta_1$  and  $\theta_1$  and then  $\pi - \theta_2$  to  $\pi + \theta_2$ .

Eliminating the undetermined parameter  $\beta$  from equations (5.26) one can write a constraint equation relating  $\cos \theta_1$  and  $\cos \theta_2$  as

$$-\beta_1(\cos\theta_1 + \cos\theta_2) + \beta_2 \left[2 - (\cos\theta_1 + \cos\theta_2)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\cos\theta_1 - \cos\theta_2)^2\right] = 1.$$
 (5.27)

The undetermined parameter  $\beta$  can be fixed by imposing an extra condition that total number of eigenvalues between  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  is zero

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \rho_{A_2}(\theta) d\theta = 0.$$
 (5.28)

A second order phase transition between  $A_2$  phase and  $A_1$  phase (or  $B_1$  phase) occurs along the lines [20]

$$\beta_{2} = \frac{1}{4\cos^{3}\theta_{1}} \left[ \frac{\cos\frac{1}{2}\theta_{1}}{\sin^{2}\theta_{1}} - \ln\left(\frac{1+\cos\frac{1}{2}\theta_{1}}{\sin\theta_{1}}\right) \right],$$
  

$$\beta_{1} = \frac{1}{2\sin^{2}\frac{\theta_{p}}{2}} \left[ 1 - 2\beta_{2}\sin^{2}\frac{1}{2}\theta_{1}(2-3\sin^{2}\frac{1}{2}\theta_{1}) \right].$$
(5.29)

#### $B_2$ **Phase**

The second possible ansatz for F(z) for a two gap solution is given by,

$$\sqrt{F(z)} = \gamma \sqrt{\left(z + \frac{1}{z}\right)^2 - 4} \quad \sqrt{\alpha^2 \left(z + \frac{1}{z}\right)^2 + \beta \left(z + \frac{1}{z}\right) + 1}.$$
(5.30)

with,

$$\alpha^2 = \frac{\beta_2^2}{\beta_1^2 + 2\beta_2}; \quad \beta = \frac{2\beta_1\beta_2}{\beta_1^2 + 2\beta_2}; \quad \gamma = -2i\sqrt{\beta_1^2 + 2\beta_2}.$$
 (5.31)

The spectral density for this case is

$$\rho(\theta) = -\frac{2\beta_2}{\pi} |\sin\theta| \sqrt{(\cos\theta_1 - \cos\theta)(\cos\theta - \cos\theta_2)}$$
(5.32)

with

$$\cos\theta_1 = -\frac{\beta_1}{2\beta_2} + \sqrt{\frac{-1}{2\beta_2}}; \quad \cos\theta_2 = -\frac{\beta_1}{2\beta_2} - \sqrt{\frac{-1}{2\beta_2}}.$$
 (5.33)

Eigenvalues are distributed between  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ , and  $-\theta_1$  and  $-\theta_2$ . This phase exists for  $\beta_2 \leq -\frac{1}{3}$ . A parabola  $(-\beta_1 + 2\beta_2)^2 + 4\beta_2 = 0$  separates this phase from one-gap phase. The point  $(\beta_1 = 0, \beta_2 = -\frac{1}{2})$  is a triple point in the parameter space. See figure (3) for details.

## 5.3 Droplets and phase transition in $\beta_1 - \beta_2$ model

We shall discuss about geometries of droplets for different phases of the model. The boundary relation (3.38) for this model is given by

$$h_{\pm}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} + \beta_1 \cos \theta + \beta_2 \cos 2\theta \pm \pi \rho(\theta)$$
(5.34)

where  $h_{\pm}(\theta)$  are solutions of

$$h^{2} - (1 + \beta_{1} \cos \theta + \beta_{2} \cos 2\theta) h = \pi^{2} \rho^{2}(\theta).$$
(5.35)

There are five possible droplets with different topologies corresponding to five different phases. In figure 3 we draw phase space distributions for different phases. The diagram in the middle is parameter space ( $\beta_1 - \beta_2$  plane) of the theory under consideration. We divide this parameter space into different parts according to validity of different phases. See [20] for more detail<sup>10</sup>. Since, h > 0 (being number of boxes) and  $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$ , we plot phase space distribution in polar coordinates. The black regions correspond to density  $\omega(h, \theta) = 1$ . We note that topologies of droplets are different for different phases. Origin (h = 0) is inside the distribution for no-gap phase (since  $h_{-}(\theta)$  is zero for all  $\theta$ ). For one-gap and two-gap phases, on the other hand, origin is outside the distribution (both  $h_{+}$  and  $h_{-}$  are non-zero). We consider this as a topological property of droplets. One should also note that all the distributions are symmetric about real axis ( $\theta = 0$  line). This is because of UMM has  $U \to U^{\dagger}$  symmetry. While deforming the droplets

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>The parameter space in 3 is similar to the parameter space given in [20]. However, it has been re-drawn according to our normalization.



Figure 3: Droplets for  $\beta_1 - \beta_2$  model. The central figure shows parameter space of the model. All the distributions are plotted in polar coordinates  $(h, \theta)$ , h radial and  $\theta$  angular. The black regions correspond to density  $\omega(h, \theta) = 1$ . Figure on top right shows droplet for no gap phase. Here we see that distribution is non-zero for all values of  $\theta$ . This implies eigenvalue density is non-zero for all values of  $\theta$  and hence the name no-gap (in eigenvalues) phase. Distribution on right middle corresponds to one-gap phase  $A_1$ . Unlike no-gap phase, here distribution is non-zero for  $\theta$  between  $-\theta_1$  and  $\theta_1$ . Distribution at the bottom correspond to  $B_2$  phase. Here, distribution is non-zero for  $\theta$  between two different ranges. Distribution on left middle is a mirror image of  $A_1$  phase. This is  $B_1$  phase. Finally, on top left we draw distribution for the second two-gap phase  $(A_2)$ . Here also phase space density is non-zero for two different ranges of  $\theta$ . One should note that all the distributions are symmetric about  $\theta = 0$  line. This is because of  $U \to U^{\dagger}$  symmetry of the model.

(by changing values of parameters) this symmetry is always preserved. Therefore, one can not continuously deform the droplets of  $B_2$  phase to bring them in the shape of droplets of  $A_2$ phase. This implies, there is no transition possible between  $B_2$  and  $A_2$  phases, which is true for this model. Boundary of a droplet crossing the origin implies (according to our definition) change of topology, which can only happen at the time of phase transition. We see that at  $A_0$ - $A_1$  transition point (generalized Gross-Witten-Wadia transition), left boundary of the droplet touches the origin (figure 4(b)). From this point as we move towards  $A_0$ , the origin goes inside and as we move towards  $A_1$  the origin goes outside. Similarly, cutting a single droplet into two (or gluing two droplets to make a single one) also corresponds to change of topology and occurs at the time of phase transitions. For example, starting from  $A_1$  as we move towards  $B_2$  phase



**Figure 4:** Droplets for  $\beta_1 - \beta_2$  model at different phase transition points.

we see that  $A_1$  droplet starts deforming (two mid-points come closer) and finally becomes two blobs touching each other at a point (as shown in figure 4(c)) at the transition point. After that as we move in  $B_2$  region two blobs separate out from each other. Similar things happen for other transitions. Figure 4(d), 4(a) show distributions at  $A_0 - B_2$  and  $A_0 - A_2$  transition respectively.

Since boundary of droplets are fermi surfaces in underlying MQM, the above diagrams show how shape of fermi surfaces change during phase transition.

## 5.4 Reconstruction of Young diagrams from droplet geometry

The phase space description is a powerful approach as the shape of different droplets not only contains information about eigenvalue distributions but it also contains information about dominant representations for different phases of the theory. In this section we discuss how one can construct dominant Young diagrams for different phases.

To construct Young tableaux distribution from geometry of droplets we use equation (1.4). [10, 11], considered plaquette model with  $\beta_n = 0$  for  $n \ge 2$  and observed that while solving boundary relation (3.15) for a given h there exists a unique value of  $\theta$  and hence Young distribution was given by  $\pi u(h) = \theta(h)$  for all phases. However, solution for  $\theta$  does not remain unique when we

turn on  $\beta_2$  in plaquette model (5.1). Here we see that boundary relation (5.35) for a fixed h has maximum two possible solution for  $\theta$ . Therefore, the simple identification between eigenvalue and Young density ( $\pi u(h) = \theta$ ) does not hold in this case. However, we consider equation (1.4) as a fundamental definition for u(h) and construct Young distributions from phase space for different phases.

## No-gap phase

For no-gap phase  $\rho(\theta)$  is given by (5.11). Therefore the Fermi surface for this phase is given by,

$$h_{+}(\theta) = 1 + 2\beta_1 \cos \theta + 2\beta_2 \cos 2\theta, \quad h_{-}(\theta) = 0.$$
 (5.36)

This defines a droplet about the origin. For  $\beta_1 > |4\beta_2|$ ,  $\theta$  has a unique solution (we denote that by  $\bar{\theta}_1(h)$ ). In that case u(h) is given by

$$u(h) = \frac{\theta_1(h)}{2\pi}, \quad \text{for} \quad \beta_1 > |4\beta_2|$$
 (5.37)

as before.

For  $\beta_1 < |4\beta_2|$ ,  $\theta$  has multiple solutions depending on h. In figure 5 we plot h vs  $\theta$  for nogap phase and for  $\beta_1 < |4\beta_2|$ . The left and right plots correspond to positive and negative  $\beta_2$ respectively. Following definition (1.4), Young distribution for positive  $\beta_2$  is given by



**Figure 5:** h vs  $\theta$  for no-gap phase for  $\beta_1 < 4|\beta_2|$ . The black line correspond to  $h_+(\theta)$ .

$$u(h) = \frac{\bar{\theta}_1(h)}{\pi} \quad \text{for} \quad h_{mid} \le h \le h_{max}$$
  
=  $1 - \frac{\bar{\theta}_2 - \bar{\theta}_1}{\pi} \quad \text{for} \quad h_{min} \le h \le h_{mid}.$  (5.38)

For negative  $\beta_2$  the distribution is given by,

$$u(h) = \frac{\bar{\theta}_2(h) - \bar{\theta}_1(h)}{\pi} \quad \text{for} \quad h_{mid} \le h \le h_{max}$$
  
$$= \frac{\bar{\theta}_2(h)}{\pi} \quad \text{for} \quad h_{min} \le h \le h_{mid}.$$
 (5.39)

These definitions geometrically imply that u(h) is given by the length of the white arc of radius h in figure 2 as discussed in section (4.2). Young distribution u(h) as a function of h is shown in figure 6 for both positive and negative  $\beta_2$  and  $\beta_1 < 4|\beta_2|$ . We see that, there is a kink in the



Figure 6: Young tableaux distribution for no-gap phase of  $\beta_1 - \beta_2$  model. Blue and black dotted lines are  $\bar{\theta}_2$  and  $\bar{\theta}_1$  respectively. Red line depicts u(h).

distribution. This correspond to sudden change in distribution function for boxes in a diagram. As in [10], Young tableaux for no-gap phase has finite number of rows empty. n(x) = 0 for  $1 < x < 1 - h_{min}$ . After that number of boxes starts increasing and reaches a maximum value  $n(0) = h_{max} - 1$ . The distribution of boxes from x = 0 to  $x = 1 - h_{min}$  is governed by u(h) given by equation (5.38 or 5.39). Here we see a kink at  $h = h_{mid}$ . For  $0 < h < h_{min}$ , u(h) = 1. A typical Young diagram for no-gap phase has been shown in figure 7. For negative values of  $\beta_1$  the story remains the same.

#### **One-gap** phase

Eigenvalue distribution for one-gap phase is given by equation (5.15). Boundary of phase space droplet is determined by equation (5.35) with  $\rho$  replaced by  $\rho_{A_1}$ . In figure 8 we plot h vs.  $\theta$  for



Figure 7: A typical Young tableaux for no-gap phase. Dotted line correspond to edge of the distribution of boxes in large N limit. A kink corresponds to u'(h) is discontinuous.



(a)  $\beta_1, \beta_2 > 0 \text{ or } \beta_2 < 0, \beta_1 > 4|\beta_2|.$  (b)  $\beta_2 < 0, \beta_1 < 4|\beta_2|.$ 

**Figure 8:** Young tableaux distribution for one-gap phase of  $\beta_1 - \beta_2$  model. Blue and black lines are  $h_-(\theta)$  and  $h_+(\theta)$  lines respectively.

one-gap phase. Here we see that for  $\beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$  or  $\beta_1 > 4|\beta_2|$  for  $\beta_2 < 0$  there is only one solution to  $\theta$  for a given h (figure 8a). For  $\beta_2 < 0$  two solutions of  $\theta$  develop for  $\beta_1 < 4|\beta_2|$  (figure 8b). Corresponding Young distributions are plotted in figure 9. Young diagrams have all the rows filled with  $\mathcal{O}(N)$  number of boxes. The last row and the first row have  $Nh_{min}$  and  $N(h_{max} - 1)$ number of boxes respectively. In the first case (figure 9a), number of boxes smoothly increases from the last row to first row. In second case (figure 9b), we see that there is a kink in the Young diagram, figure 10. A characteristic difference between Young diagrams for no-gap phase and one-gap phase is that for no-gap phase a finite number of rows are empty where as for one-gap phase all the rows are filled. At the phase transition point  $(A_0 - A_1 \text{ line})$  one can check that  $h_{min}$  goes to zero in either side.



**Figure 9:** Young tableaux distribution for one-gap phase of  $\beta_1 - \beta_2$  model. Blue and black dotted lines are  $\bar{\theta}_2$  and  $\bar{\theta}_1$  respectively. Red line depicts u(h).





(a)  $\beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$  or  $\beta_1 > 4|\beta_2|$  for  $\beta_2 < 0$ . The dotted black line denotes a smooth distribution of boxes. u'(h) is continuous everywhere.

(b)  $\beta_2 < 0$ ,  $\beta_1 < 4|\beta_2|$ . Here we see a kink in the distribution of boxes. u'(h) is discontinuous.

**Figure 10:** Typical Young diagram for one-gap phase of  $\beta_1 - \beta_2$  model.

#### Two-gap phase

There are two possible two-gap phases :  $B_2$  and  $A_2$ .

<u> $B_2$  phase</u>: For  $B_2$  phase h vs  $\theta$  and corresponding u(h) vs. h are given in figure 11. A typical Young tableaux for  $B_2$  phase is similar to that of  $A_1$  phase without any kink.

<u>A<sub>2</sub> phase</u>: For A<sub>2</sub> phase also we plot h vs  $\theta$  and corresponding u(h) vs h in figure 12. Corresponding Young tableaux for A<sub>2</sub> phase has two kinks. See figure 13. On  $\beta_1 = 0$  axis we see that



(a) h vs.  $\theta$  for  $B_2$  phase. Blue and black lines are  $h_{-}(\theta)$  and  $h_{+}(\theta)$  lines respectively.



(b) u(h) vs. h for  $B_2$  phase. Dotted blue and black lines are two possible solutions of  $\theta$  for a given h and the red line denotes corresponding u(h).

**Figure 11:** h vs.  $\theta$  and corresponding u(h) vs. h for  $B_2$  phase.





(a) h vs.  $\theta$  for  $A_2$  phase. Blue and black lines are  $h_{-}(\theta)$  and  $h_{+}(\theta)$  lines respectively.

(b) u(h) vs. h for  $A_2$  phase. Dotted blue and black lines are two possible solutions of  $\theta$  for a given h and the red line denotes corresponding u(h).

**Figure 12:** h vs.  $\theta$  and corresponding u(h) vs. h for  $A_2$  phase.

there is no kink in Young distribution. As we move towards left (or right, depending on sign of  $\beta_1$ ) from  $\beta_1 = 0$  line, two kinks are developed at the top and bottom of a tableaux. These two kinks approach towards each other as we move towards  $A_1$  (or  $B_1$  phase). Finally on  $A_2 - A_1$  (or  $A_2-B_1$ ) transition line they meet and disappear.

On the other hand when we approach towards  $A_0$  phase from any arbitrary point in  $A_2$  region, we find that the lower kink starts moving towards  $h_{min}$  and  $h_{min}$  also starts decreasing. On  $A_2 - A_0$  transition line, the lower kink merges with  $h_{min} = 0$ . This is exactly the  $A_0$  phase on  $A_0 - A_2$  transition line.



Figure 13: A typical Young tableaux for  $A_2$  phase.

## 6. Chern-Simons on $S^3$

Chern-Simens (CS) theory plays an important role in different branches of physics and mathematics. CS theory is also very extensively studied in string theory. Partition functions of open and closed string theories can be related to partition functions of CS theory on compact manifolds [35–37].

CS theory on  $S^3$  and on other three manifolds can be written in terms of an "exotic" matrix model [37,38]. The matrix model can be solved at large N limit to obtain free energy of open or closed topological string theory. Matrix model analysis of CS theory is very useful to obtain informations about topological string theory. Partition function for CS on  $S^3$  can be written as an integral over hermitian matrix ensemble with logarithmic potential [38]. At large N, phase of CS theory on  $S^3$  is given by distribution of eigenvalues of hermitian matrices on a straight line. It turns out that topological phase of the theory corresponds to a "gapped" distribution of eigenvalues. In this section we study large N phase of CS on  $S^3$  in terms of distribution of eigenvalues of unitary matrices. This is required to obtain phase space distribution of this theory. The phase space picture will be helpful to understand underlying quantum mechanical description of string degrees of freedom using dualities.

We show that a droplet picture exists for CS theory on  $S^3$  for any value of 't Hooft  $\lambda = Ng_s$ . Since, CS on  $S^3$  is topological there exists no phase transition in the theory as we change the coupling constant. We shall see that this property is reflected in phase space distribution function, *i.e.* as we change 't Hooft coupling  $\lambda$  the droplet changes its shape keeping the topology intact.

Chern-Simons action with gauge group G on a generic three-manifold M with level k is given

by

$$S = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{M} \operatorname{Tr}\left(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3}A \wedge A \wedge A\right).$$
(6.1)

Following [39] we can write the partition function for CS theory on the three-sphere  $S^3$  with string coupling constant

$$g_s = \frac{2\pi i}{k+N} = \frac{2\pi i}{\tilde{k}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{k} = k+N \tag{6.2}$$

as

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\rm CS} = \frac{1}{(k+N)^{N/2}} \prod_{a>0} 2\sin\left(\frac{\alpha \cdot \rho}{2}g_s\right).$$
(6.3)

Where  $\rho$  is the Weyl vector of SU(N) and  $\alpha$  is the positive roots of  $SU(N) \subset U(N)$  defined as  $\alpha_{ij} = \mu_i - \mu_j$  corresponding to the cartan subalgebra.

Using Weyl formula for character and properties of Jacobi's theta function one can write the partition function for CS theory on  $S^3$  as a UMM [40]

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\rm CS}(N,g_s) = \mathcal{A}(q,N) \int [DU] \exp\left[N \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{\beta}_n}{n} \left(\mathrm{Tr} U^n + \mathrm{Tr} U^{\dagger n}\right)\right], \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{\beta}_n = \frac{1}{N[n]}, \quad (6.4)$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}(q,N) = \left(\frac{g_s}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}N^2} q^{-\frac{N(N-1)}{12}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1-q^j)\right)^N,$$
(6.5)

$$q = e^{-g_s}, \qquad [n] = e^{g_s \frac{n}{2}} - e^{-g_s \frac{n}{2}}.$$
 (6.6)

Thus we see that the partition function is exactly same (up to an overall normalization) as that of a single plaquette model (equation 2.11) with the coefficients 1/n[n].

In large N limit  $|g_s|$  becomes small, one can expand the exponential and find  $[n] = g_s n$ . Hence, we have

$$\bar{\beta}_n = \frac{1}{Ng_s n}$$
 in large N limit. (6.7)

We shall study this model in large N limit.

## 6.1 Potential and eigenvalue distribution

Since the partition function (6.4) (action as well as measure) is invariant under unitary transformation, one can go to a diagonal basis where  $U = \{e^{i\theta_i}\}, i = 1, \dots, N, \theta_i$ 's are eigenvalues. In this basis CS action is given by

$$S_{CS} = N \sum_{n>0} \frac{\bar{\beta}_n}{n} \left( \mathrm{Tr} U^n + \mathrm{Tr} U^{\dagger n} \right) = \frac{N}{Ng_s} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{2\cos n\theta_i}{n^2} = \frac{N}{Ng_s} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \mathrm{Li}_2(e^{i\theta_i}) + \mathrm{Li}_2(e^{-i\theta_i}) \right].$$
(6.8)

Hence the partition function is

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{A} \int \left[ \prod d\theta_i \right] \exp \left[ -\left( -\frac{N}{Ng_s} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \operatorname{Li}_2(e^{i\theta_i}) + \operatorname{Li}_2(e^{-i\theta_i}) \right] - \sum_{\substack{i=1\\j < i}}^N \ln \left[ \sin^2 \left( \frac{\theta_i - \theta_j}{2} \right) \right] \right) \right].$$
(6.9)

Two important things to note here.

The second term in exponential in equation (6.9) is responsible for strong repulsion among the eigenvalues. This terms comes from Haar measure. The model will have a stable non-trivial eigenvalue distribution if the first term (Li<sub>2</sub>(e<sup>iθ<sub>i</sub></sup>) + Li<sub>2</sub>(e<sup>-iθ<sub>i</sub></sup>)) has minima between -π and π. This term, in fact, gives a harmonic oscillator potential about θ<sub>i</sub> = π. However, the coefficient of this potential term is g<sub>s</sub> = 2πi/k̃. If we study saddle point equation with this potential then we land up with a complex eigenvalue distribution. Therefore to get a stable real and positive eigenvalue distribution we replace g<sub>s</sub> → ig<sub>s</sub>. In that case the potential becomes a harmonic oscillator potential about π with real positive coefficient.

The problem with complex potential is related to analyticity of resolvent. Resolvent computed for 6.4 turns out to be non-analytic inside and outside the unit circle. Thus to make resolvent analytic in complex z plane we need to replace  $g_s \rightarrow ig_s$ . Since free energy is an analytic function of  $g_s$  an imaginary rotation in  $g_s$  does not affect the calculation.

• From equation (6.8) we see that the potential has a minima about  $\theta_i = \pi$ . However, we are following a convension where everything is symmetric about  $\theta = 0$ . Thus to make the potential symmetric about  $\theta = 0$  we give a  $\pi$  shift to all eigenvalues. This is equivalent to  $U \rightarrow -U$ . Since Haar measure is invariant under this shift  $([\mathcal{D}(-U)] = [\mathcal{D}U])$ , the partition function (equation 6.4) becomes (considering both the points above),

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\rm CS}(N,g_s) = \mathcal{A}(q,N) \int [DU] \exp\left[N \sum_{n>0} \frac{\beta_n}{n} \left(\mathrm{Tr}U^n + \mathrm{Tr}U^{\dagger n}\right)\right], \text{ where } \beta_n = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{(-1)^n}{n},$$
(6.10)

where 't Hooft coupling  $\lambda$  is given by

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi N}{\tilde{k}}.\tag{6.11}$$

We shall work with this partition function.

In large N limit, using saddle point analysis one can find the eigenvalue distribution which dominates the partition function. The eigenvalue distribution function satisfies an integral equation, which is in general difficult to solve to find a solution. Here we follow the discussion in section 5.1 to find the eigen value distribution.

#### 6.2 Resolvent and Eigenvalue distribution

Resolvent for partition function 6.10 is given by

$$R(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{z^n - z^{-n}}{n} + \sqrt{F(z)} \right] \qquad |z| < 1$$

$$R(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{z^n - z^{-n}}{n} - \sqrt{F(z)} \right] \qquad |z| > 1$$
(6.12)

Note that the second term in R(z) is antisymmetric under  $z \to 1/z$ . Hence, performing resummation over appropriate regions we can write R(z) as

$$R(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln[1+z] - \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln[1+\frac{1}{z}] + \sqrt{F(z)} \right] \qquad |z| < 1$$

$$R(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln[1+z] - \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln[1+\frac{1}{z}] - \sqrt{F(z)} \right] \qquad |z| > 1,$$
(6.13)

Since resolvent is analytic at z = 0 by construction,  $\sqrt{F(z)}$  must also have a logarithmic singularity at origin with equal and opposite strength. This may happen when F(z) is a perfect square, i.e

$$F(z) = \left[1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{z^n + z^{-n}}{n}\right]^2.$$

In this case all the singular terms in equation (6.13) are cancelled. Using equation (5.9) we find spectral density is given by

$$\rho(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ 1 + \frac{2}{\lambda} \ln[2|\cos\frac{\theta}{2}|] \right], \quad -\pi \le \theta \le \pi.$$
(6.14)

This is **no-gap solution (phase)** of CS on  $S^3$ . However,  $\rho(\theta)$  becomes negative for some values of  $\theta$ , hence this is not a physical solution or phase. We need to look for gapped phase of the theory.

To find a more general class of solution we take the following anstaz for F(z)

$$\sqrt{F(z)} = \beta \ln \left[ \frac{g(z) - \sqrt{g^2(z) - f^2(z)}}{g(z) + \sqrt{g^2(z) - f^2(z)}} \right] + \Gamma,$$
(6.15)

where f(z) and g(z) are polynomials of positive powers and  $\Gamma$  is some arbitrary constant<sup>11</sup>. Unknown functions g(z) and f(z) can be obtained form analytic property and normalization

$$\sqrt{F(z)} = \beta \ln \left[ f(z) - \sqrt{g(z)} \right] + \Gamma.$$
(6.16)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>One can also take the ansatz for F(z) to be

In this case it turns out that the functions f(z) and g(z) are not positive polynomials.

condition of R(z). We also need to use the fact that F(z) is symmetric under  $z \to 1/z$  which implies that the function  $\sqrt{g^2(z) - f^2(z)}$  has to be an even order polynomial. All the zeros of  $\sqrt{g^2(z) - f^2(z)}$  are located on |z| = 1 line. Which means branch cuts are exactly on unit circle in z plane. Depending on degrees of the polynomials g(z) and f(z) we have one gap or multi gap solutions.

## **One Gap Solution**

One gap phase has two branch points on unit circle (therefore one branch cut), hence g(z) should be a order one polynomial. We take g(z) = 1 + z. From invariance of F(z) under  $z \to 1/z$  we have,

$$\sqrt{F(z)} = \beta \ln \left[ \frac{z+1-i\sqrt{\alpha z - (z+1)^2}}{z+1+i\sqrt{\alpha z - (z+1)^2}} \right] + \Gamma, \text{ where } \alpha, \ \beta \text{ are constants.}$$
(6.17)

Analyticity of R(z) at z = 0 implies that  $\beta = 1/\lambda$ . Finally, from the normalization of R(z) (i.e.  $R_{<}(0) = 1$ ) we have  $\alpha = 4e^{-\lambda}$  and  $\Gamma = 0$ . Therefore,

$$R(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln z + \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \left[ \frac{z + 1 - i\sqrt{4e^{-\lambda}z - (z+1)^2}}{z + 1 + i\sqrt{4e^{-\lambda}z - (z+1)^2}} \right] \right], \quad |z| < 1.$$
(6.18)

Hence, eigenvalue distribution for one-gap phase is given by,

$$\rho(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( 2\Re \left[ R(e^{i\theta}) \right] - 1 \right) = \frac{\sqrt{F(e^{i\theta})}}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{\pi\lambda} \tanh^{-1} \left[ \sqrt{1 - \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2}}} \right].$$
(6.19)

Since  $\rho(\theta) \ge 0$ , this implies eigenvalues are distributed over the range

$$-2\cos^{-1}e^{-\lambda/2} < \theta < 2\cos^{-1}e^{-\lambda/2}.$$
(6.20)

This analysis is similar to analysis of [41]. However, [41] studied distribution of eigenvalues of hermitian matrices. The gap depends on value of  $\lambda$ , it increases (spreading of eigenvalue distribution decreases) as we decrease  $\lambda$ . At very small value of  $\lambda$  all the eigenvalues are concentrated about  $\theta = 0$  point. This can be understood from the fact that at small values of  $\lambda$  attractive part of the potential in equation (6.10) becomes infinitely strong and hence all the eigenvalues are localised at  $\theta = 0$ .

#### **Two Gap Solution**

Continuing with the same sprit, one can construct the function F(z) for two-gap solution. In this case g(z) is a second order polynomial.

$$\sqrt{F(z)} = \beta \log \left[ \frac{z^2 + \gamma z + 1 - i\sqrt{\alpha z^2 - (z^2 + \gamma z + 1)^2}}{z^2 + \gamma z + 1 + i\sqrt{\alpha z^2 - (z^2 + \gamma z + 1)^2}} \right] + \Gamma.$$
(6.21)

From the properties of R(z) we find,

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2\lambda}, \qquad \alpha = 4e^{-2\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma = 0.$$
 (6.22)

The parameter  $\gamma$  can not be fixed from the analyticity or normalization conditions. Hence it is an one parameter family of solution at this point. The eigenvalue distribution for this branch is given by,

$$\rho(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi\lambda} \tanh^{-1} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{(2\cos\theta + \gamma)^2 - 4e^{-2\lambda}}}{2\cos\theta + \gamma} \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi\lambda} \tanh^{-1} \left[ \frac{4\sqrt{(\cos^2\theta/2 - \cos^2\theta_1/2)(\cos^2\theta/2 - \cos^2\theta_2/2)}}{2\cos\theta + \gamma} \right], \quad (6.23)$$

where

$$\theta_1 = 2\cos^{-1}\left[\frac{\sqrt{2(1+e^{-\lambda})-\gamma}}{2}\right], \quad \theta_2 = 2\cos^{-1}\left[\frac{\sqrt{2(1-e^{-\lambda})-\gamma}}{2}\right]. \tag{6.24}$$

Thus we see that one can also have a two-gap solution for any value of  $\lambda$ . However, computing free energy of the system one can show that the two gap solution is not thermodynamically favoured i.e. free energy is always greater than that of one gap solution for all values of  $\lambda$  [42]. This can also be understood from the potential of the matrix model. Since the attractive part of potential has only one minima about  $\theta = 0$  therefore only one-gap solution is a thermodynamically stable solution. However, this kind of solution appears when we consider CS theory on lens-space [43] or ABJM theory [44].

#### 6.3 Phase space distribution

Having understood eigenvalue distribution for CS theory on  $S^3$  we now proceed to find the most dominant representation for the most dominant phase of CS theory. The distribution of boxes in the most dominant Young diagram can be obtained from the boundary relation.

The boundary relation for a generalised plaquette model is given by equation (3.38)

$$h_{\pm}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n} \beta_n \cos\left(n\theta\right) \pm \pi \rho(\theta).$$
(6.25)

For CS on  $S^3$ ,  $\beta_n = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$ , hence the second term on right hand side can be resummed over n

$$\sum_{n} \beta_n \cos(n\theta) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \ln 2 + \ln |\cos \frac{\theta}{2}| \right).$$
$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} \tanh^{-1} \left[ \frac{4 \cos^2(\theta/2) - 1}{4 \cos^2(\theta/2) + 1} \right]$$
(6.26)

Eigenvalue distribution is given by equation (6.19). Hence boundary relation (Fermi surface) is given by

$$h_{\pm}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \tanh^{-1} \left[ \frac{4 \cos^2(\theta/2) - 1}{4 \cos^2(\theta/2) + 1} \right] \pm \frac{1}{\lambda} \tanh^{-1} \left[ \sqrt{1 - \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}}} \right].$$
(6.27)

Phase space distribution for CS on  $S^3$  has been plotted in figure 14. The droplets have the



Figure 14: Phase space distribution for Chern-Simons theory of  $S^3$  for different values of  $\lambda$ .

shape of "kidney" with a cleavage on left. The origin is always outside the distribution (character of gapped solution). As we increase values of  $\lambda$ , the droplet moves towards the origin also the cleavage becomes sharper. We also see that in valid ranges of  $\lambda$  phase space distribution changes its shape without crossing the origin. This implies that CS theory on  $S^3$  is topological. There is no phase transition in the theory.

## 6.4 Young tableaux distribution

Since eigenvalues are identified to density of Young tableaux :  $\pi u(h) = \theta$ , one can invert the boundary relation (6.27) to obtain distribution of boxes in the most dominant phase of CS theory for a given  $\lambda$ . A typical relation u(h) and h and corresponding Young diagram have been plotted in figure 15. Minimum  $(h_{\min})$  and maximum  $(h_{\max})$  values of h correspond to number of boxes in the last row and first row of Young diagram respectively and are given by

$$h_{\max} = h_{+}(0) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\ln 2}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \ln \left[ \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - e^{-\lambda}}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - e^{-\lambda}}} \right],$$
  

$$h_{\min} = h_{-}(0) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\ln 2}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \ln \left[ \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - e^{-\lambda}}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - e^{-\lambda}}} \right].$$
(6.28)

For small  $\lambda$ ,  $h_{\text{max}}$  and  $h_{\text{min}}$  go as

$$h_{\max} \sim \frac{\ln 2}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, \quad h_{\min} \sim \frac{\ln 2}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$
 (6.29)



Figure 15: Young tableaux distribution and density for a generic  $\lambda$ . This representation corresponds to a phase space distribution as shown in right bottom figure.

In small  $\lambda$  limit we see that both  $h_{\text{max}}$  and  $h_{\text{min}}$  are very large and difference between them goes as  $2/\sqrt{\lambda}$ .

## 7. Discussion and outlook

In this paper we show that large N generic phases of a generic unitary matrix model can be described in terms of geometry of two dimensional droplets in  $(h, \theta)$  plane, where h is related to number of boxes in Young diagram and  $\theta$  is eigenvalue of unitary matrices. We also see that these droplets are similar to phase space distribution of unitary matrix quantum mechanics on a constant time slice. Hence, we argue that for a given unitary matrix model it is possible to find an underlying quantum mechanics such that different large N phases of unitary matrix models (and hence interacting gauge theories) are captured on different time slices of the quantum system.

This observation allows us to identify momenta of fermionic system with number of boxes in a Young diagram. Distribution of boxes in Young diagram captures information about the distribution of momenta of N fermions. We show that, Young distribution for different large N phases can be computed from the geometry of two dimensional droplets. We explicitly work out two examples :  $\beta_1$ - $\beta_2$  model and Chern-Simons theory on  $S^3$ .

Phase space description of gauge theories can be used to provide a dual quantum mechanical description of string degrees of freedom, since different large N phases of gauge theory are dual to classical solutions of string theory in AdS space. Therefore, one can extend this idea for a supersymmetric QFT. One can consider ABJM theory [45], supersymmetric CS theory in 2 + 1 dimensions. Partition function of ABJM can be calculated exactly for all values of coupling constant. There exists an interpolating function between weak and strong coupling [44, 46]. Hence, it would be interesting to write ABJM partition function in terms of a unitary matrix model and find two dimensional droplets associated with large N phases of the theory. One can interpolate this droplet picture to strong coupling side to explore properties/geometries of dual string/M-theories in the spirit of LLM [47]. This will also serve as a precision test of  $AdS_4/CFT_3$ . Understanding underlying matrix quantum mechanics for CS theory coupled with matter fields [48–52] would also be an interesting problem to look at from the point of [53].

One should note that the inviscid Burgers' equation 4.19 is a generic equation for random matrix theories. In the continuum limit of QCD [54], first observed that spectral density of the Wilson operator follows this equation as a consequence of Makeenko-Migdal equation and proposed a order(gapped)-disorder(gapless) transition for 2D YM at large N. [55] Also came across this same quasi-linear equation while dealing with the continuum version of the loop equation for  $QCD_2$ . Starting with the Burgers' equation [56, 57] supplemented the proposal of [54], and demonstrated the emergence of a spectral shock wave of Wilson loop eigenvalues at the large  $N_c$  limit. Recently [58] extended this study numerically for d = 4. As matrix integrals can be thought of as an alternate way of writing the combinatorics of maps, this kind of connections between random matrix theory and loop equations can also be extended for combinatorial problems. To further explore this combinatorial avenue to understand the identification of loop equations with Tuttes equations (recursively deleting or contracting edges) and furthermore to grasp the current status of "random matrix" approach to 2D quantum gravity one useful resource would be [59].

On a different ground, UMM has plenty of applications in mathematics specially in number theory. Hilbert and Póyla speculated that there is a spectral origin for non-trivial zeros of Riemann zeta function. It was observed in [60] that if the non-trivial zeros are analogous to EV of some Hamiltonian, then the corresponding conjugate variables, time period of some primitive orbits, are related to prime numbers (proportional to  $\ln p$  where p is prime number). Hence, if EV distribution of a UMM captures information about non-trivial zeros, then we expect that corresponding Young distribution function captures information about prime number distribution [11]. It would be nice to understand if there is any quantum mechanical system which captures information about these two distributions.

## Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Rajesh Gopakumar for many helpful discussion. We are grateful to Shiraz Minwala and Gautam Mandal for their useful comments on our work. We are grateful to Debashis Ghoshal for innovative discussion. AC would like to acknowledge the hospitality of IISER Pune where part of this work is done. SD acknowledges the Simons Associateship, ICTP. SD also thanks the hospitality of ICTP, Trieste and JNU where part of this work has been done. Work of SD is supported by DST under a project with number *EMR/2016/006294*. PD is partially supported by research grant number 5304-2, "*Symmetries and Dynamics: Worldsheet and Spacetime*" from CEFIPRA/IFCPAR. Finally, we are grateful to people of India for their unconditional support towards researches in basic sciences.

## A. Calculation of boundary relation for three trace action

Exponentiating the effective action (3.40) we find,

$$e^{S_{eff}} = \prod_{\vec{n} \ge 0} \exp\left[\frac{a_{n_1n_2}}{N^3} \operatorname{Tr} U^{n_1} \operatorname{Tr} U^{n_2} \operatorname{Tr} U^{\dagger(n_1+n_2)}\right] \exp\left[\frac{a_{n_1n_2}}{N^3} \operatorname{Tr} U^{\dagger n_1} \operatorname{Tr} U^{\dagger n_2} \operatorname{Tr} U^{(n_1+n_2)}\right]$$
$$= \prod_{\vec{n} \ge 0} \sum_{[k]=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_{n_1n_2})^{k_{n_1n_2}}}{N^{3k_{n_1n_2}} k_{n_1n_2!}} (\operatorname{Tr} U^{n_1})^{k_{n_1n_2}} (\operatorname{Tr} U^{n_2})^{k_{n_1n_2}} (\operatorname{Tr} U^{\dagger(n_1+n_2)})^{k_{n_1n_2}}$$
$$\sum_{[l]=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_{n_1n_2})^{l_{n_1n_2}}}{N^{3l_{n_1n_2}} l_{n_1n_2!}} (\operatorname{Tr} U^{\dagger n_1})^{l_{n_1n_2}} (\operatorname{Tr} U^{\dagger n_2})^{l_{n_1n_2}} (\operatorname{Tr} U^{(n_1+n_2)})^{l_{n_1n_2}}$$
(A.1)

where,  $\vec{n}$  is a two dimensional vector with entries  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , [k] and [l] are matrices with entries  $k_{n_1n_2}$  and  $l_{n_1n_2}$ . Interchanging the sum and product we write

$$e^{S_{eff}} = \sum_{[k],[l]} \prod_{\vec{n} \ge 0} \frac{(a_{n_1 n_2})^{k_{n_1 n_2} + l_{n_1 n_2}}}{k_{n_1 n_2}! l_{n_1 n_2}!}$$

$$\prod_{\vec{n} \ge 0} (\operatorname{Tr} U^{n_1})^{k_{n_1 n_2}} (\operatorname{Tr} U^{n_2})^{k_{n_1 n_2}} \left(\operatorname{Tr} U^{\dagger n_1 + n_2}\right)^{k_{n_1 n_2}}$$

$$\prod_{\vec{n} \ge 0} \left(\operatorname{Tr} U^{\dagger n_1}\right)^{l_{n_1 n_2}} \left(\operatorname{Tr} U^{\dagger n_2}\right)^{l_{n_1 n_2}} (\operatorname{Tr} U^{n_1 + n_2})^{l_{n_1 n_2}}.$$
(A.2)

This expression can be further simplified to,

$$e^{S_{eff}} = \sum_{[k],[l]} \mathcal{T}_0 \ N^{p_0 + q_0 + r_0 + s_0} \Upsilon_{\vec{p} + \vec{r}}(U) \Upsilon_{\vec{q} + \vec{s}}(U^{\dagger})$$
(A.3)

where,

$$p_{i} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} k_{ij} + k_{ji}, \quad q_{i} = \sum_{m,m=0}^{\infty} k_{mn} \delta(m+n-i) \quad \forall \ i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

$$s_{i} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} l_{ij} + l_{ji}, \quad r_{i} = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} l_{mn} \delta(m+n-i) \quad \forall \ i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots,$$
(A.4)

 $\vec{p} = \{p_1, p_2, \dots\}, \ \vec{q} = \{q_1, q_2, \dots\}, \ \vec{r} = \{r_1, r_2, \dots\}, \ \vec{s} = \{s_1, s_2, \dots\} \ \text{and}$ 

$$\Upsilon_{\vec{p}+\vec{r}}(U) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \operatorname{Tr} U^n \right)^{p_n+r_n}.$$
(A.5)

The partition function, therefore, is given by,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int [DU] \sum_{[k]} \sum_{[l]} \mathcal{T}_0 \ N^{q_0 + p_0 + r_0 + s_0} \ \Upsilon_{\vec{p} + \vec{r}}(U) \Upsilon_{\vec{q} + \vec{s}}(U^{\dagger}).$$
(A.6)

 $\Upsilon$ 's can be written, like before, in terms of the characters of the permutation group using the following identity

$$\Upsilon_{\vec{p}+\vec{r}}(U) = \sum_{R} \chi_R(C(\vec{p}+\vec{r})) \operatorname{Tr}_R U$$
(A.7)

$$\Upsilon_{\vec{q}+\vec{s}}(U) = \sum_{R'} \chi_{R'}(C(\vec{q}+\vec{s})) \operatorname{Tr}_{R'} U^{\dagger}$$
(A.8)

Substituting  $\Upsilon$  in equation (A.6) and using orthogonality relation (3.5) we find,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{R} \sum_{[k]} \sum_{[l]} \mathcal{T}_0 \ \chi_R(c(\vec{p} + \vec{r})) \chi_R(c(\vec{q} + \vec{s})).$$
(A.9)

One can write sum of representations of U(N) as sum over different Young diagrams. Finally, partition function is given by,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{\{\lambda_{\alpha}\}} \sum_{[k][l]} \mathcal{T}_{0} \ \chi_{R}(C(\vec{p}+\vec{r}))\chi_{R}(C(\vec{q}+\vec{s}))\delta(\Sigma_{i}i(p_{i}+r_{i})-\Sigma_{\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha})\delta(\Sigma_{i}i(q_{i}+s_{i})-\Sigma_{\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha}).$$
(A.10)

## A.1 Extremization of partition function

In large N limit we define,

$$a_{n_1n_2} = N^2 a'_{n_1n_2}; \quad k_{n_1n_2} = N^2 k'_{n_1n_2}; \quad l_{n_1n_2} = N^2 l'_{n_1n_2}; \quad K = N^2 K'$$
 (A.11)

and using the expression for character given by equation (3.22), partition function (A.10) can be written as, (see next subsection A.2 for details)

$$\mathcal{Z} = \frac{N^4}{(2\pi i)^{2N}} \int d[k'] d[l'] \int [Dh] \frac{[Dz]}{z(x)} \frac{[Dw]}{w(x)} \int dt \, ds \exp\left[-N^2 S_{\text{eff}}(h, z, w)\right]$$
(A.12)

where,

$$d[k'] = \prod_{n_1, n_2 = 0} dk'_{n_1 n_2}, \quad d[l'] = \prod_{n_1, n_2 = 0} dl'_{n_1 n_2}$$

and

$$-S_{\text{eff}}(h, z, w) = \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \left[ k'_{n_1 n_2} \left( 1 + \ln \left( \frac{a'_{n_1 n_2} Z_{n_1} Z_{n_2} W_{n_1 + n_2}}{k'_{n_1 n_2}} \right) \right) + l'_{n_1 n_2} \left( 1 + \ln \left( \frac{a'_{n_1 n_2} W_{n_1} W_{n_2} Z_{n_1 + n_2}}{l'_{n_1 n_2}} \right) \right) \right] - \int_0^1 dx \, h(x) \, \ln \left( z(x) w(x) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \, \ln \left( |z(x) - z(y)| |w(x) - w(y)| \right) + i(t+s) \left( \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} (n_1 + n_2) (k'_{n_1 n_2} + l'_{n_1 n_2}) - K' \right)$$
(A.13)

where,

$$Z_n = \int z^n(x) dx$$
 and  $W_n = \int w^n(x) dx.$  (A.14)

In the large N limit dominant contribution to partition function comes from extremum value of this effective action. Varying this effective action with respect to h(x) we find,

$$z(x)w(x) = e^{-i(t+s)} = \text{constant.}$$
(A.15)

Again, varying the effective action with respect to  $k'_{n_1n_2}$  and  $l'_{n_1n_2}$  one can write

$$\frac{a'_{n_1n_2}Z_{n_1}Z_{n_2}W_{n_1+n_2}}{k'_{n_1n_2}} = e^{-i(t+s)(n_1+n_2)} = \text{constant},$$

$$\frac{a'_{n_1n_2}W_{n_1}W_{n_2}Z_{n_1+n_2}}{l'_{n_1n_2}} = e^{-i(t+s)(n_1+n_2)} = \text{constant}.$$
(A.16)

Finally, varying  $S_{\text{eff}}(h, z, w)$  with respect to z(x) we find,

$$\int_{0}^{1} dy \, \frac{z(x)}{z(x) - z(y)} - h(x) + \sum_{n_{1}n_{2}=0}^{\infty} \left[ k_{n_{1}n_{2}}^{\prime} \left( \frac{n_{1}z^{n_{1}}(x)}{Z_{n_{1}}} + \frac{n_{2}z^{n_{2}}(x)}{Z_{n_{2}}} \right) + l_{n_{1}n_{2}}^{\prime} \frac{(n_{1} + n_{2})z^{n_{1} + n_{2}}(x)}{Z_{n_{1} + n_{2}}} \right] = 0.$$
(A.17)

A similar equation can be obtained when we vary the effective with respective to w(x) with z(x) replaced by w(x).

Since, in equation (A.12) z(x) and w(x) vary over some contour around zero, we take these contours to be unit circle about the origin and hence one consistent set of solutions to equations (A.15) and (A.16) are given by,

$$z(x) = e^{i\theta(x)}, \quad w(x) = e^{-\theta(x)}, \quad t = s = 0.$$
 (A.18)

Therefore,

$$Z_n = W_n$$
 (for all *n*) and  $k'_{n_1n_2} = l'_{n_1n_2} = a'_{n_1n_2} Z_{n_1} Z_{n_2} Z_{n_1+n_2}$ . (A.19)

Using these solutions the saddle point equation for  $z(x) = \exp(i\theta(x))$  is given by equation (3.41).

## A.2 Details of partition function calculation

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} &= \prod_{n_1,n_2=0} \frac{N^4}{(2\pi i)^{2N}} \int \frac{dk'_{n_1n_2}}{dl'_{n_1n_2}} \int [Dh] \frac{[Dz]}{z(x)} \frac{[Dw]}{w(x)} \int dt \, ds \, \exp N^2 \left( \sum_{n_1,n_2=0} \left[ (k'_{n_1n_2} + l'_{n_1n_2}) \ln \left( \frac{a'_{n_1n_2}}{N} \right) \right] \\ &- 2k'_{n_1n_2} \ln (N) - k'_{n_1n_2} \ln (k'_{n_1n_2}) + k'_{n_1n_2} - 2l'_{n_1n_2} \ln (N) - l'_{n_1n_2} \ln (l'_{n_1n_2}) + l'_{n_1n_2} \right] \\ &+ (k'_{00} + l'_{00} + p'_{0} + q'_{0}) \ln (N) + it \left( \sum_{j} j(p'_{j} + r'_{j}) - K' \right) + is \left( \sum_{j} j(q'_{j} + s'_{j}) - K' \right) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (p'_{n} + r'_{n}) \ln (NZ_{n}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (q'_{n} + s'_{n}) \ln (NW_{n}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{1} dy \ln (|z(x) - z(y)| |w(x) - w(y)|) \\ &- \int_{0}^{1} dx \, h(x) \ln (z(x)w(x)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now let us look into some relations

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p'_n \ln (NZ_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p'_n \ln (NZ_n) - p'_0 \ln (NZ_n)$$
$$= \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} (k'_{n_1n_2} + k'_{n_2n_1}) \ln (NZ_{n_1}) - p'_0 \ln (NZ_0)$$
$$= \sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty} k'_{n_1n_2} \ln (N^2 Z_{n_1} Z_{n_2}) - p'_0 \ln (N)$$
(A.21)

$$\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} l'_{n_2m} \delta(m+n_2-n_1) = l'_{00} + (l'_{01}+l'_{10}) + (l'_{02}+l'_{11}+l_{20}) + \cdots$$
$$= l'_{00} + (l'_{01}+l'_{02}+\cdots) + (l'_{10}+l'_{11}+l'_{12}+\cdots) + \cdots$$
$$= \sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty} l_{n_1n_2}$$
(A.22)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r'_n \ln (NZ_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r'_n \ln (NZ_n) - r'_0 \ln (NZ_n)$$
$$= \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} l'_{n_2m} \delta(m+n_2-n_1) \ln (NZ_{n_1}) - r'_0 \ln (NZ_0)$$
$$= \sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty} l'_{n_1n_2} \ln (NZ_{n_1+n_2}) - r'_0 \ln (N)$$
(A.23)

So finally we have the partition function as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} &= \prod_{n_1,n_2=0} \frac{N^4}{(2\pi i)^{2N}} \int \frac{dk'_{n_1n_2}}{dl'_{n_1n_2}} \frac{dt}{ds} \int [Dh] \int \frac{[Dz]}{z(x)} \frac{[Dw]}{w(x)} \exp N^2 \begin{bmatrix} it(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j(p'_j + r'_j) - K') \\ +is(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j(q'_j + s'_j) - K') \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty} \left( k'_{n_1n_2} (1 + \ln\left(\frac{a'_{n_1n_2}Z_{n_1}Z_{n_2}W_{n_1+n_2}}{k'_{n_1n_2}}\right)) + l'_{n_1n_2} (1 + \ln\left(\frac{a'_{n_1n_2}W_{n_1}W_{n_2}Z_{n_1+n_2}}{l'_{n_1n_2}}\right)) \right) \\ &- \int_0^1 dx \, h(x) \ln (z(x)w(x)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \ln (|z(x) - z(y)||w(x) - w(y)|) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$
(A.24)

Some more relations

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jp'_{j} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} jp'_{j} = \sum_{n_{1},n_{2}=0}^{\infty} n_{1}(k'_{n_{1}n_{2}} + k'_{n_{2}n_{1}})$$
$$= \sum_{n_{1},n_{2}=0}^{\infty} (n_{1} + n_{2})k'_{n_{1}n_{2}},$$
(A.25)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jr'_j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} jr'_j = \sum_{n_1, n_2, m=0}^{\infty} n_1 l'_{n_2 m} \delta(m + n_2 - n_1)$$
$$= \sum_{n_1, n_2 = 0}^{\infty} (n_1 + n_2) l'_{n_1 n_2}.$$
(A.26)

Equivalently

$$\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} n_1(p'_{n_1} + r'_{n_1}) = \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} (n_1 + n_2)(k'_{n_1n_2} + l'_{n_1n_2}),$$
(A.27)

$$\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} n_1(q'_{n_1} + s'_{n_1}) = \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} (n_1 + n_2)(k'_{n_1n_2} + l'_{n_1n_2}).$$
(A.28)

One can finally write the effective action as

$$-S_{eff} = \sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty} \left( k'_{n_1n_2} (1 + \ln\left(\frac{a'_{n_1n_2} Z_{n_1} Z_{n_2} W_{n_1+n_2}}{k'_{n_1n_2}}\right)) + l'_{n_1n_2} (1 + \ln\left(\frac{a'_{n_1n_2} W_{n_1} W_{n_2} Z_{n_1+n_2}}{l'_{n_1n_2}}\right)) \right) + i(t+s) \left(\sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty} (n_1 + n_2)(k'_{n_1n_2} + l'_{n_1n_2}) - K') - \int_0^1 dx \, h(x) \, \ln\left(z(x)w(x)\right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \, \ln\left(|z(x) - z(y)||w(x) - w(y)|\right)$$
(A.29)

From this point onwards one can do the saddle point analysis. Varying this effective action with respect to h(x)

$$z(x)w(x) = e^{-i(t+s)}$$
 (A.30)

Now varying the effective action with respect to  $k'_{n_1n_2}$  and  $l'_{n_1n_2}$  one can write

$$\frac{a'_{n_1n_2}Z_{n_1}Z_{n_2}W_{n_1+n_2}}{k'_{n_1n_2}} = e^{-i(t+s)(n_1+n_2)}$$
(A.31)

$$\frac{a'_{n_1n_2}W_{n_1}W_{n_2}Z_{n_1+n_2}}{l'_{n_1n_2}} = e^{-i(t+s)(n_1+n_2)}$$
(A.32)

If we choose t = s = 0 then the equation of motion is

$$\sum_{n_1 n_2 = 0}^{\infty} \left( \begin{array}{c} n_1 a'_{n_1 n_2} Z_{n_2} W_{n_1 + n_2} z^{n_1}(x) + n_2 a'_{n_1 n_2} Z_{n_1} W_{n_1 + n_2} z^{n_2}(x) \\ + (n_1 + n_2) a'_{n_1 n_2} W_{n_1} W_{n_2} z^{n_1 + n_2}(x) - h(x) + \int_0^1 dy \, \frac{z(x)}{z(p) - z(y)} \end{array} \right) = 0$$
(A.33)

### References

- E. Brezin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi and J. B. Zuber, *Planar Diagrams, Commun. Math. Phys.* 59 (1978) 35.
- [2] M. R. Douglas, Conformal field theory techniques in large N Yang-Mills theory, in NATO Advanced Research Workshop on New Developments in String Theory, Conformal Models and Topological Field Theory Cargese, France, May 12-21, 1993, 1993. hep-th/9311130.
- [3] J. Polchinski, Classical limit of (1+1)-dimensional string theory, Nucl. Phys. B362 (1991) 125-140.
- [4] B. Sundborg, The Hagedorn transition, deconfinement and N = 4 SYM theory, Nucl. Phys. B573 (2000) 349-363, [hep-th/9908001].
- [5] O. Aharony, J. Marsano, S. Minwalla, K. Papadodimas and M. Van Raamsdonk, The Hagedorn deconfinement phase transition in weakly coupled large N gauge theories, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 8 (2004) 603-696, [hep-th/0310285].

- P. Basu and S. R. Wadia, *R-charged AdS<sub>5</sub> black holes and large N unitary matrix models*, *Phys. Rev.* D73 (2006) 045022, [hep-th/0506203].
- [7] D. Yamada and L. G. Yaffe, Phase diagram of  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  super-Yang-Mills theory with R-symmetry chemical potentials, JHEP 09 (2006) 027, [hep-th/0602074].
- [8] L. Alvarez-Gaume, C. Gomez, H. Liu and S. Wadia, Finite temperature effective action, AdS<sub>5</sub> black holes, and 1/N expansion, Phys. Rev. D71 (2005) 124023, [hep-th/0502227].
- T. Harmark and M. Orselli, Quantum mechanical sectors in thermal N = 4 super Yang-Mills on R×S<sup>3</sup>, Nucl. Phys. B757 (2006) 117–145, [hep-th/0605234].
- [10] S. Dutta and R. Gopakumar, Free fermions and thermal AdS/CFT, JHEP 03 (2008) 011, [0711.0133].
- [11] P. Dutta and S. Dutta, Phase Space Distribution for Two-Gap Solution in Unitary Matrix Model, JHEP 04 (2016) 104, [1510.03444].
- [12] P. Dutta and S. Dutta, Phase Space Distribution of Riemann Zeros, J. Math. Phys. 58 (2017) 053504, [1610.07743].
- [13] S. Kerov, Asymptotic Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group and its Application in Analysis. Translations of mathematical monographs. American Mathematical Society, 2003.
- [14] P. Biane, Representations of Symmetric Groups and Free Probability, Advances in Mathematics 138 (1998) 126 - 181.
- [15] P. Basu, B. Ezhuthachan and S. R. Wadia, Plasma balls/kinks as solitons of large N confining gauge theories, JHEP 01 (2007) 003, [hep-th/0610257].
- [16] A. Matytsin, On the large-N limit of the Itzykson-Zuber integral, Nuclear Physics B 411 (1994) 805 - 820.
- [17] D. J. Gross and A. Matytsin, Some properties of large N two-dimensional Yang-Mills theory, Nucl. Phys. B437 (1995) 541-584, [hep-th/9410054].
- [18] S. R. Das and A. Jevicki, String Field Theory and physical interpretation of D = 1 strings, Modern Physics Letters A **05** (1990) 1639–1650.
- [19] A. Jevicki and B. Sakita, The quantum collective field method and its application to the planar limit, Nuclear Physics B 165 (1980) 511 – 527.
- [20] J. Jurkiewicz and K. Zalewski, Phase structure of  $U(N \to \infty)$  gauge theory on a two-dimensional lattice for a broad class of variant actions, Nuclear Physics B **220** (1983) 167 184.
- [21] G. Mandal, Phase Structure of Unitary Matrix Models, Mod. Phys. Lett. A5 (1990) 1147–1158.
- [22] M. Lassalle, Explicitation of characters of the symmetric group, Comptes Rendus Mathematique 341 (2005) 529 – 534.
- [23] M. Hamermesh, Group Theory and its Application to Physical Problems. Dover Publication, 1989.
- [24] W. Fulton and J. Harris, Representation Theory: A First Course (Graduate Texts in Mathematics). Springer, 1999.

- [25] M. R. Douglas and V. A. Kazakov, Large N phase transition in continuum QCD in two-dimensions, Phys. Lett. B319 (1993) 219-230, [hep-th/9305047].
- [26] V. A. Kazakov, M. Staudacher and T. Wynter, Character expansion methods for matrix models of dually weighted graphs, Commun. Math. Phys. 177 (1996) 451-468, [hep-th/9502132].
- [27] L. H. Thomas, The calculation of atomic fields, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society 23 (1927) 542548.
- [28] E. Fermi, Un metodo statistico per la determinazione di alcune priorieta dellatome, Rend. Accad. Naz. Lincei 6 (1927) 32.
- [29] A. Jevicki and B. Sakita, Collective field approach to the large-N limit: Euclidean field theories, Nuclear Physics B 185 (1981) 89 - 100.
- [30] T. Azuma, P. Basu and P. Samantray, Phase Transitions of a (Super) Quantum Mechanical Matrix Model with a Chemical Potential, 1707.02898.
- [31] R. de Mello Koch, Geometries from Young Diagrams, JHEP 11 (2008) 061, [0806.0685].
- [32] D. Friedan, Some Nonabelian Toy Models in the Large N Limit, Commun. Math. Phys. 78 (1981) 353.
- [33] D. J. Gross and E. Witten, Possible Third Order Phase Transition in the Large N Lattice Gauge Theory, Phys. Rev. D21 (1980) 446–453.
- [34] S. R. Wadia,  $N = \infty$  Phase Transition in a Class of Exactly Soluble Model Lattice Gauge Theories, Phys. Lett. **93B** (1980) 403–410.
- [35] E. Witten, Chern-Simons gauge theory as a string theory, Prog. Math. 133 (1995) 637-678, [hep-th/9207094].
- [36] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, On the gauge theory / geometry correspondence, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 3 (1999) 1415–1443, [hep-th/9811131].
- [37] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, M. Marino and C. Vafa, Matrix model as a mirror of Chern-Simons theory, JHEP 02 (2004) 010, [hep-th/0211098].
- [38] M. Marino, Chern-Simons theory, matrix integrals, and perturbative three manifold invariants, Commun. Math. Phys. 253 (2004) 25–49, [hep-th/0207096].
- [39] E. Witten, Quantum Field Theory and the Jones Polynomial, Commun. Math. Phys. 121 (1989) 351–399.
- [40] T. Okuda, Derivation of Calabi-Yau crystals from Chern-Simons gauge theory, JHEP 03 (2005) 047, [hep-th/0409270].
- [41] M. Marino, Les Houches lectures on matrix models and topological strings, 2004. hep-th/0410165.
- [42] T. Morita and K. Sugiyama, Multi-cut Solutions in Chern-Simons Matrix Models, 1704.08675.
- [43] N. Halmagyi and V. Yasnov, The Spectral curve of the lens space matrix model, JHEP 11 (2009) 104, [hep-th/0311117].

- [44] M. Marino and P. Putrov, Exact Results in ABJM Theory from Topological Strings, JHEP 06 (2010) 011, [0912.3074].
- [45] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, N = 6 superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals, JHEP 10 (2008) 091, [0806.1218].
- [46] N. Drukker, M. Marino and P. Putrov, From weak to strong coupling in ABJM theory, Commun. Math. Phys. 306 (2011) 511–563, [1007.3837].
- [47] H. Lin, O. Lunin and J. M. Maldacena, Bubbling AdS space and 1/2 BPS geometries, JHEP 10 (2004) 025, [hep-th/0409174].
- [48] S. Jain, S. Minwalla, T. Sharma, T. Takimi, S. R. Wadia and S. Yokoyama, *Phases of large N* vector Chern-Simons theories on  $S^2 \times S^1$ , JHEP **09** (2013) 009, [1301.6169].
- [49] S. Jain, S. Minwalla and S. Yokoyama, Chern Simons duality with a fundamental boson and fermion, JHEP 11 (2013) 037, [1305.7235].
- [50] S. Jain, S. P. Trivedi, S. R. Wadia and S. Yokoyama, Supersymmetric Chern-Simons Theories with Vector Matter, JHEP 10 (2012) 194, [1207.4750].
- [51] M. Mario and P. Putrov, Interacting fermions and  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  Chern-Simons-matter theories, JHEP 11 (2013) 199, [1206.6346].
- [52] S. Codesido, A. Grassi and M. Mario, *Exact results in*  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  *Chern-Simons-matter theories and quantum geometry*, *JHEP* **07** (2015) 011, [1409.1799].
- [53] Y. Dandekar, M. Mandlik and S. Minwalla, Poles in the S-Matrix of Relativistic Chern-Simons Matter theories from Quantum Mechanics, JHEP 04 (2015) 102, [1407.1322].
- [54] B. Durhuus and P. Olesen, The spectral density for two-dimensional continuum QCD, Nuclear Physics B 184 (1981) 461 – 475.
- [55] P. Rossi, Continuum QCD<sub>2</sub> from a fixed-point lattice action, Annals of Physics 132 (1981) 463 481.
- [56] J.-P. Blaizot and M. A. Nowak, Large-N<sub>c</sub> Confinement and Turbulence, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (Sep, 2008) 102001.
- [57] H. Neuberger, Burgers' equation in 2D SU(N) YM, Physics Letters B 666 (2008) 106 109.
- [58] R. Lohmayer and H. Neuberger, Nonanalyticity in Scale in the Planar Limit of QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (Feb, 2012) 061602.
- [59] B. Eynard, *Counting Surfaces: CRM Aisenstadt Chair lectures*. Progress in Mathematical Physics 70. Birkhauser Basel, 2016.
- [60] M. V. Berry and J. P. Keating, The Riemann Zeros and Eigenvalue Asymptotics, SIAM Review 41 (1999) 236-266, [https://doi.org/10.1137/S0036144598347497].