# Sheaf lines of Yang-Mills Instanton Sheaves

Sheng-Hong Lai,<sup>1, \*</sup> Jen-Chi Lee,<sup>1, †</sup> and I-Hsun Tsai<sup>2, ‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Electrophysics, National Chiao-Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan, R.O.C. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C.

(Dated: February 10, 2019)

# Abstract

We calculate a sheaf line in  $\mathbb{CP}^3$  which is the real line supporting sheaf points on  $\mathbb{CP}^3$  of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Yang-Mills instanton sheaves for some given ADHM data we obtained previously. We found that this sheaf line is indeed a special jumping line over  $S^4$  spacetime. In addition, we calculate the singularity structure of the connection A and the field strength F at the corresponding singular point on  $S^4$  of this sheaf line. We found that the order of singularity at the singular point on  $S^4$ associated with the sheaf line in  $\mathbb{CP}^3$  is higher than those of other singular points associated with normal jumping lines.

<sup>\*</sup>Electronic address: xgcj944137@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Electronic address: jcclee@cc.nctu.edu.tw

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Electronic address: ihtsai@math.ntu.edu.tw

# Contents

I. Introduction	2
II. The $SL(2, C)$ Yang-Mills two Instanton Sheaves	4
A. The Biquaternion construction of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ ADHM instantons	4
B. The $SL(2, C)$ complex ADHM equations	6
C. The monad construction and YM 2-instanton sheaves	7
III. Jumping lines and Sheaf lines of Instanton Sheaves	8
A. Real lines in $\mathbb{C}P^3$	9
B. A Duality symmetry	10
C. Jumping lines	12
D. Properties of the Sheaf line as Jumping line	17
IV. Singularity structure of $A$ and $F$ associated with Sheaf line	21
A. Singularity structure of connection	21
B. Singularity structure of field strength	23
C. Order of Singularity at the Sheaf line	26
V. Conclusion	27
Acknowledgments	28
References	28

# I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of classical Yang-Mills (YM) instanton began in 1975 [1–4]. In a few years, the complete instanton solutions with 8k - 3 moduli parameters for each k-th homotopy class were solved by ADHM [5] in 1978 using theory in algebraic geometry. By using the monad construction combining with the Penrose-Ward transform, ADHM constructed the ADHM instanton solutions by establishing an one to one correspondence between antiself-dual SU(2)-connections on  $S^4$  and global holomorphic vector bundles of rank two on  $CP^3$ . The explicit closed forms of the complete SU(2) instanton solutions with  $k \leq 3$  were calculated by physicists in [6, 7]. There have been tremendous applications of YM instanton in quantum field theory [8, 9] and geometry [10] for the last few decades. For references, see some review works in [11].

In a series of recent papers [12–14], instead of quaterinon calculation for the SU(2) YM instanton, the present authors developed the biquaternion method with biconjugation operation [15] to construct SL(2, C) [16] YM instanton solutions with 16k - 6 moduli parameters for each k-th homotopy class. These new SL(2, C) instanton solutions contain previous SL(2, C) (M, N) instanton solutions as a subset constructed in 1984 [17]. The number of parameters constructed in [12] is consistent with the conjecture made by Frenkel and Jardim in [18] and was proved recently in [19] from the mathematical point of view.

Moreover, for the first time, in addition to the holomorphic vector bundles on  $CP^3$  in the ADHM construction which has been well studied in the SU(2) instantons, the author in [13, 14] discovered and explicitly constructed the so-called YM instanton sheaves on  $CP^3$ . In constrast to the smooth vector bundle on  $CP^3$  induced by SU(2) instanton on  $S^4$ , the vector bundle structure breaks down and the dimension of vector space attached on  $CP^3$ may vary from point to point for SL(2, C) YM instanton sheaves. In a previous publication [13], the authors calculated explicitly examples of sheaf points on  $CP^3$  where the dimension of the attached vector space changes.

Since there is a fibration of  $CP^3$  down to  $S^4$  with fiber being  $CP^1$  line, one important follow-up issue related to these sheaf points is to study how to identify the corresponding points on  $S^4$  spacetime. A related issue is to calculate the *sheaf line*, or the real line in  $CP^3$ which connecting the sheaf point on  $CP^3$  and the corresponding singular point on  $S^4$ . We will introduce the Plücker coordinate to describe these sheaf lines in  $CP^3$  in this paper.

Moreover, one would like to calculate the singularity structures of the connection A and the field strength F on these singular points of  $S^4$ . We will show that the order of singularity at the singular points on  $S^4$  associated with sheaf line in  $\mathbb{CP}^3$  is higher than those of other singular points associated with normal jumping lines.

One unexpected result we obtained in our search of the sheaf lines was the great simplification of the calculation of  $\nu$  in Eq.(4.81) and the corresponding connection A and the field strength F associated with the sheaf ADHM data. In fact, we will see that for this sheaf ADHM data the explicit form of SL(2, C) YM 2-instanton field strength without removable singularities can be exactly calculated! This paper is organized as following. In section two, we briefly review the construction of YM instanton sheaves calculated in [13]. In section three, we introduce Plücker coordinate to calculate jumping lines and sheaf lines of YM 2-instanton sheaves calculated in [13]. A duality symmetry among YM instanton sheaf solutions was pointed out with application to the known sheaf solutions. In section four, we calculate the singularity structure of connection and field strength on  $S^4$  spacetime associated with jumping lines and sheaf lines of YM instanton sheaf. An explicit SL(2, C) YM 2-instanton field strength will be exactly calculated. The calculable exact 2-instanton field strength is believed to be related to the 2-instanton sheaf structure. A conclusion is presented in section five.

### II. THE SL(2, C) YANG-MILLS TWO INSTANTON SHEAVES

In this section, we briefly review the biquaternion construction of SL(2, C) ADHM instantons [12, 13]. We will pay attention to the existence of jumping lines and sheaf structures of YM 2-instanton sheaves [12–14].

# A. The Biquaternion construction of SL(2, C) ADHM instantons

In the biquaternion construction of SL(2, C) ADHM instanton, the quadratic condition on the biquaternion matrix  $\Delta(x)$  of SL(2, C) instantons reads

$$\Delta(x)^{\circledast}\Delta(x) = f^{-1} = \text{symmetric, non-singular } k \times k \text{ matrix for } x \notin J$$
(2.1)

where for  $x \in J$ ,

$$\det \Delta(x)^{\circledast} \Delta(x) = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

The set J is called singular locus or "jumping lines". There are no singular locus for SU(2) instantons on  $S^4$ . The biconjugation [15] of a biquaternion

$$z = z_{\mu}e_{\mu}, \ z_{\mu} \in C, \tag{2.3}$$

is defined to be

$$z^{\circledast} = z_{\mu}e^{\dagger}_{\mu} = z_{0}e_{0} - z_{1}e_{1} - z_{2}e_{2} - z_{3}e_{3} = x^{\dagger} + y^{\dagger}i.$$
(2.4)

Occasionaly the unit quarternions can be expressed as Pauli matrices

$$e_0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, e_i \rightarrow -i\sigma_i ; i = 1, 2, 3.$$
 (2.5)

The norm square of a biquarternion is defined to be

$$|z|_c^2 = z^{\circledast} z = (z_0)^2 + (z_1)^2 + (z_2)^2 + (z_3)^2,$$
(2.6)

which is a *complex* number in general.

As a simple example, for the case of SL(2, C) diagonal CFTW 2-instanton

$$\Delta(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \\ x - y_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x - y_2 \end{bmatrix},$$
(2.7)

$$\Delta^{\circledast}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{\circledast} & x^{\circledast} - y_1^{\circledast} & 0\\ \lambda_2^{\circledast} & 0 & x^{\circledast} - y_2^{\circledast} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.8)

where in the ADHM data  $\lambda_j$  a complex number, and  $y_j$  a biquaternion.

One can calculate the gauge potential as [12]

$$A_{\mu} = \nu^{\circledast} \partial_{\mu} \nu = \frac{1}{4} [e_{\mu}^{\dagger} e_{\nu} - e_{\nu}^{\dagger} e_{\mu}] \partial_{\nu} \ln(1 + \frac{\lambda_{1}^{2}}{|x - y_{1}|_{c}^{2}} + \frac{\lambda_{2}^{2}}{|x - y_{k}|_{c}^{2}})$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} [e_{\mu}^{\dagger} e_{\nu} - e_{\nu}^{\dagger} e_{\mu}] \partial_{\nu} \ln(\phi)$$
(2.9)

where

$$\nu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\phi}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ -\frac{\lambda_1(x_\mu - y_{1\mu})e_\mu}{|x - y_1|^2}\\ -\frac{\lambda_2(x_\mu - y_{2\mu})e_\mu}{|x - y_2|^2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.10)

and

$$\phi = 1 + \frac{\lambda_1^2}{|x - y_1|_c^2} + \frac{\lambda_2^2}{|x - y_2|_c^2}.$$
(2.11)

To get the non-removable singularities or jumping lines, it turned out that one needs to calculate zeros of the normalization factor  $\phi$  or [12]

$$|x - y_1|_c^2 |x - y_2|_c^2 \phi = |x - y_1|_c^2 |x - y_2|_c^2 + |\lambda_2|_c^2 |x - y_1|_c^2 + |\lambda_1|_c^2 |x - y_2|_c^2$$
  
=  $P_4(x) + iP_3(x) = 0.$  (2.12)

For the SL(2, C) CFTW general k-instanton case, one encounters intersections of zeros of  $P_{2k}(x)$  and  $P_{2k-1}(x)$  polynomials with degrees 2k and 2k - 1 respectively

$$P_{2k}(x) = 0, \quad P_{2k-1}(x) = 0.$$
 (2.13)

One notes that Eq.(2.12) can be written as

$$\det \Delta(x)^{\circledast} \Delta(x) = |x - y_1|_c^2 |x - y_2|_c^2 + |\lambda_2|_c^2 |x - y_1|_c^2 + |\lambda_1|_c^2 |x - y_2|_c^2 = 0$$
(2.14)

which gives the jumping lines of the SL(2, C) diagonal CFTW 2-instanton. It was shown that [13] there is no sheaf line structure for the SL(2, C) diagonal CFTW k-instanton. The complete jumping lines of ADHM 2-instanton and 3-instanton of Eq.(2.2) were calculated in [12]. However, the existence of sheaf lines was not known and not calculated there. We will calculate and identify some sheaf lines of the SL(2, C) ADHM 2-instanton in the next section.

## **B.** The SL(2, C) complex ADHM equations

The second method to construct SL(2, C) ADHM data is to solve the complex ADHM equations [20]

$$[B_{11}, B_{12}] + I_1 J_1 = 0, (2.15a)$$

$$[B_{21}, B_{22}] + I_2 J_2 = 0, (2.15b)$$

$$[B_{11}, B_{22}] + [B_{21}, B_{12}] + I_1 J_2 + I_2 J_1 = 0.$$
(2.15c)

In this approach, one key step is to use the explicit matrix representation (EMR) [13] of the biquaternion and do the rearrangement rule [13] to explicitly identify the complex ADHM

data  $(B_{lm}, I_m, J_m)$  with l, m = 1, 2 from the  $\Delta(x)$  matrix in Eq.(2.1).

For the SU(2) ADHM instantons, one imposes the conditions

$$I_1 = J^{\dagger}, I_2 = -I, J_1 = I^{\dagger}, J_2 = J,$$
  

$$B_{11} = B_2^{\dagger}, B_{12} = B_1^{\dagger}, B_{21} = -B_1, B_{22} = B_2$$
(2.16a)

to recover the real ADHM equations

$$[B_1, B_2] + IJ = 0, (2.17a)$$

$$\left[B_1, B_1^{\dagger}\right] + \left[B_2, B_2^{\dagger}\right] + II^{\dagger} - J^{\dagger}J = 0.$$
(2.17b)

#### C. The monad construction and YM 2-instanton sheaves

The third method to construct SL(2, C) ADHM instanton is the monad construction. This method is particular suitable for constructing instanton sheaves. One introduces the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  matrices as functions of homogeneous coordinates z, w, x, y of  $CP^3$  and defines

$$\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} zB_{11} + wB_{21} + x \\ zB_{12} + wB_{22} + y \\ zJ_1 + wJ_2 \end{bmatrix},$$
(2.18a)

$$\beta = \left[ -zB_{12} - wB_{22} - y \ zB_{11} + wB_{21} + x \ zI_1 + wI_2 \right].$$
(2.18b)

It can be shown that the condition

$$\beta \alpha = 0 \tag{2.19}$$

is satisfied if and only if the complex ADHM equations in Eq.(2.15a) to Eq.(2.15c) holds.

In the monad construction of the holomorphic vector bundles, either  $\beta$  is not surjective or  $\alpha$  is not injective at some points of  $CP^3$  for some ADHM data, the dimension of (Ker  $\beta$ / Im  $\alpha$ ) varies from point to point on  $CP^3$ , and one encounters "instanton sheaves" on  $CP^3$ [18]. In our previous publication [13], we discovered that for some ADHM data at some sheaf points on  $CP^3$ , there exists common eigenvector v in the costable condition  $\alpha v = 0$  or [18]

$$(zB_{11} + wB_{21})v = -xv, (2.20a)$$

$$(zB_{12} + wB_{22})v = -yv, (2.20b)$$

$$(zJ_1 + wJ_2)v = 0. (2.20c)$$

So  $\alpha$  is not injective there and the dimension of (Ker  $\beta$ / Im  $\alpha$ ) is not a constant over  $\mathbb{CP}^3$ .

The first example of YM instanton sheaf discovered in [13] was the 2-instanton sheaf. For points  $[x : y : z : w] = [0 : 0 : 1 : \pm 1]$  on  $CP^3$  with the ADHM data

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \\ y_{11} & y_{12} \\ y_{12} & y_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & ia \\ 0 & a & ia & 0 \\ \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}a & 0 & 0 & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}a & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}a & 0 \\ \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}a \end{bmatrix}, a \in C, a \neq 0,$$
(2.21)

 $\alpha$  is not injective. The second example of YM 2-instanton sheaf discovered [13] was for points  $[x : y : z : w] = [0 : 0 : 1 : \pm i]$  on  $CP^3$  with the ADHM data

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} & \lambda_{2} \\ y_{11} & y_{12} \\ y_{12} & y_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & a & -a & 0 \\ \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}a & 0 & 0 & \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}a & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}a & 0 \\ \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}a \end{bmatrix}, a \in C, a \neq 0,$$
(2.22)

 $\alpha$  is not injective.

### **III. JUMPING LINES AND SHEAF LINES OF INSTANTON SHEAVES**

In the previous section, we have obtained sheaf points on  $CP^3$  with some examples of given ADHM data. One natural issue arised then is to study how to identify the corresponding points on  $S^4$  and calculate the singularity structure of the connection A and the field strength F on these points. The latter issue will be studied in the next section. In this secton, we first define and calculate the *sheaf line*, or the real line which connecting the sheaf point on  $CP^3$  and the corresponding singular point on  $S^4$  and see whether the sheaf line is a jumping line or not.

In our previous publication [13], we have shown that there is no sheaf line structure for the SL(2, C) diagonal CFTW k-instanton. On the other hand, the complete jumping lines of ADHM 2-instanton and 3-instanton of Eq.(2.2) were calculated in section IV D. of [12]. However, the existence of sheaf lines was not known and not calculated there. In this section, we will calculate and identify some sheaf line of the SL(2, C) ADHM 2-instanton.

# A. Real lines in $CP^3$

It is well known that there is a fibration from  $CP^3$  to  $S^4$  with fibers being  $CP^1$ . In the Plücker coordinate representation of a (complex) line  $CP^1$  in  $CP^3$ , one uses six homogeneous coordinates to represent each line. More specifically, given two points [a : b : c : d] and [x : y : z : w] on  $CP^3$ , the Plücker coordinates  $z_{ij}$  of the line L connecting the two points are defined as

$$z_{12} = ay - bx,$$
  

$$z_{13} = az - cx,$$
  

$$z_{14} = aw - dx,$$
  

$$z_{23} = bz - cy,$$
  

$$z_{24} = bw - dy,$$
  

$$z_{34} = cw - dz,$$
  
(3.23)

or in short

$$[z_{12}:z_{13}:z_{14}:z_{23}:z_{24}:z_{34}] = [a:b:c:d] \land [x:y:z:w].$$
(3.24)

Note that the Plücker coordinates defined above are uniquely determined by L up to a common nonzero factor and not all six components can be zero. Thus  $z_{ij}$  can be thought of as homogeneous coordinates of a point in  $CP^5$ . However, not all points in  $CP^5$  correspond to lines in  $CP^3$ . The Plücker coordinates of a line satisfy the quadratic relations

$$z_{12}z_{34} + z_{13}z_{42} + z_{14}z_{23} = 0, (3.25)$$

as can be easily verified from the definition in Eq.(3.23). So the set of lines in  $\mathbb{CP}^3$  constitutes a manifold of complex dimension 4 rather than 5.

A line in  $CP^3$  is called a *real line* if it is a fiber on  $S^4$ . To characterize a real line in  $CP^3$ , one introduces the  $\sigma$  map which preserves a real line L

$$\sigma(L) = L \text{ if and only if } L = real line.$$
(3.26)

The  $\sigma$  map can be defined as following. Let  $\pi$  be the projection of the fibration from  $CP^3$  to  $S^4$ 

$$\pi : CP^3 \to S^4 \cong HP^1 \tag{3.27}$$

where  $HP^1$  is the quaternion projective space. We can parametrize the projection  $\pi$  as

$$\pi : [z_1 : z_2 : z_3 : z_4] \to [z_1 + z_2 j : z_3 + z_4 j]$$
(3.28)

where  $j \equiv e_2$  is a unit quaternion defined in Eq.(2.4). The  $\sigma$  map in Eq.(3.26) can then be written as

$$\sigma: [z_1: z_2: z_3: z_4] \to [\overline{z}_2: -\overline{z}_1: \overline{z}_4: -\overline{z}_3].$$
(3.29)

It can be shown that the  $\sigma$  map preserves real lines as illustrated in Eq.(3.26) or

$$\pi \circ \sigma = \pi \ . \tag{3.30}$$

In fact (we use the notation  $(1, i, j, k) = (e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3)$ )

$$\pi([x:y:z:w]) = [x + ye_2:z + we_2]$$
  
=  $[x^0e_0 + x^1e_1 + (y^0e_0 + y^1e_1)e_2:z^0e_0 + z^1e_1 + (w^0e_0 + w^1e_1)e_2]$   
=  $[x^0e_0 + x^1e_1 + y^0e_2 + y^1e_3:z^0e_0 + z^1e_1 + w^0e_2 + w^1e_3].$  (3.31)

In Eq.(3.31),  $x^0$  and  $x^1$  are the real part and imaginary part of the complex number  $x = x^0 e_0 + x^1 e_1 = x^0 + x^1 \sqrt{-1}$ , etc. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \circ \sigma[x:y:z:w] &= \pi([\bar{y}:-\bar{x}:\bar{w}:-\bar{z}]) \\ &= \pi([y^{0}e_{0} - y^{1}e_{1}:-x^{0}e_{0} + x^{1}e_{1}:w^{0}e_{0} - w^{1}e_{1}:-z^{0}e_{0} + z^{1}e_{1}]) \\ &= [y^{0}e_{0} - y^{1}e_{1} + (-x^{0}e_{0} + x^{1}e_{1})e_{2}:w^{0}e_{0} - w^{1}e_{1} + (-z^{0}e_{0} + z^{1}e_{1})e_{2}] \\ &= [y^{0}e_{0} - y^{1}e_{1} - x^{0}e_{2} + x^{1}e_{3}:w^{0}e_{0} - w^{1}e_{1} - z^{0}e_{2} + z^{1}e_{3}] \\ &\simeq e_{2}[y^{0}e_{0} - y^{1}e_{1} - x^{0}e_{2} + x^{1}e_{3}:w^{0}e_{0} - w^{1}e_{1} - z^{0}e_{2} + z^{1}e_{3}] \\ &= [x^{0}e_{0} + x^{1}e_{1} + y^{0}e_{2} + y^{1}e_{3}:z^{0}e_{0} + z^{1}e_{1} + w^{0}e_{2} + w^{1}e_{3}] \\ &= \pi([x:y:z:w]), \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.32)$$

which proves Eq.(3.30).

# B. A Duality symmetry

With the  $\sigma$  map introduced in the previous subsection, we can show an important duality symmetry [21] among instanton sheaf solutions. In [18], it was noted that given a set of ADHM data, one can generate a new set of ADHM data through the map

$$\Sigma: (B_{11}, B_{12}, B_{21}, B_{22}, I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2) \to (B_{22}^+, -B_{21}^+, -B_{12}^+, B_{11}^+, J_2^+, -J_1^+, -I_2^+, I_1^+).$$
(3.33)

Recall that in the monard construction of instanton bundle, either  $\alpha$  is not injective or  $\beta$  is not surjective, the dimension of (Ker  $\beta$ / Im  $\alpha$ ) may vary from point to point on  $CP^3$ , and one is led to use sheaf description for YM instantons or "instanton sheaves" on  $CP^3$ . The costable conditions in Eq.(2.20a) to Eq.(2.20c) or

$$\alpha v = 0. \tag{3.34}$$

imply  $\alpha$  is not injective. another choice is

$$\beta^+ v = 0 \tag{3.35}$$

or the stable condition [18]

$$\left(\overline{z}B_{11}^+ + \overline{w}B_{21}^+\right)v = -\overline{x}v,\tag{3.36a}$$

$$\left(\overline{z}B_{12}^+ + \overline{w}B_{22}^+\right)v = -\overline{y}v,\tag{3.36b}$$

$$\left(\overline{z}I_1^+ + \overline{w}I_2^+\right)v = 0 \tag{3.36c}$$

which imply  $\beta$  is not surjective. One notes that by applying the  $\Sigma$  map on the ADHM data and the  $\sigma$  map on the point (x, y, z, w) on  $CP^3$ , Eq.(2.20a) to Eq.(2.20c) are transformed to Eq.(3.36a) to Eq.(3.36c).

To be more precisely, with a sheaf solution of Eq.(2.20a) to Eq.(2.20c), one can define a set of new ADHM data

$$(B'_{11}, B'_{12}, B'_{21}, B'_{22}, I'_1, I'_2, J'_1, J'_2) = (B^+_{22}, -B^+_{21}, -B^+_{12}, B^+_{11}, J^+_2, -J^+_1, -I^+_2, I^+_1),$$
(3.37)

and at the new point

$$[x', y', z', w'] = [\overline{y} : -\overline{x} : \overline{w} : -\overline{z}]$$
(3.38)

on  $CP^3$ . One can verify that Eq.(3.37) together with Eq.(3.38) constitute a new sheaf solution of Eq.(3.36a) to Eq.(3.36c). In fact, if one plugs Eq.(3.37) and Eq.(3.38) into Eq.(3.36a) to Eq.(3.36c), one ends up with precisely Eq.(2.20a) to Eq.(2.20c). That is,  $\alpha$  is not injective for the old sheaf solution and  $\beta'$  is not surjective for the new sheaf solution.

As an example of the dual symmetry discussed above, we use the old sheaf point [x:y:z:w] = [0:0:1:1] with the old ADHM data in Eq.(2.21)

$$B_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, B_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}}\\ \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}}\\ \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, J_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0\\ 0 & ia \end{pmatrix}, J_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ia\\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix}, J_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0\\ 0 & ia \end{pmatrix}, J_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ia\\ -ia & 0 \end{pmatrix}, J_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0\\ 0 & ia \end{pmatrix}, J_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ia\\ -ia & 0 \end{pmatrix}, J_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0\\ 0 & ia \end{pmatrix}, J_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ia\\ -ia & 0 \end{pmatrix}, J_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0\\ 0 & ia \end{pmatrix}, J_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ia\\ -ia & 0 \end{pmatrix}, J_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0\\ 0 & ia \end{pmatrix}, J_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ia\\ -ia & 0 \end{pmatrix}, J_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0\\ 0 & ia \end{pmatrix}, J_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0\\ 0 & ia \end{pmatrix}, J_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ia\\ -ia & 0 \end{pmatrix}, J_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0\\ 0 & ia \end{pmatrix}, J_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ia\\ -ia & 0 \end{pmatrix}, J_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0\\ 0 & ia \end{pmatrix}, J_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0\\ 0 & ia \end{pmatrix}, J_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0\\ 0 & ia \end{pmatrix}, J_{33} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0\\ 0 & ia$$

which give  $\alpha$  not injective, then we can calculate the new sheaf point  $[x':y':z':w'] = [\bar{y}: -\bar{x}:\bar{w}:-\bar{z}] = [0:0:1:-1]$  with the new ADHM data

$$B_{11}' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{-i\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, B_{21}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}}\\ -\frac{\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B_{12}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}}\\ -\frac{\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B_{22}' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{-i\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, J_{11}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{a}\\ -i\bar{a} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, I_{12}' = \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{a} & 0\\ 0 & i\bar{a} \end{pmatrix}, J_{21}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\bar{a}\\ -i\bar{a} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, I_{12}' = \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{a} & 0\\ 0 & i\bar{a} \end{pmatrix}, (3.40)$$

which give  $\beta'$  not surjective. Note that at the point [0:0:1:-1] with the ADHM data in Eq.(2.21),  $\alpha$  is not injective. It's important to see that the ADHM data in Eq.(3.40) can not be obtained from the ADHM data in Eq.(2.21) by re-naming the parameter a.

## C. Jumping lines

In constrast to the SU(2) ADHM construction, the SL(2, C) ADHM instanton construction in Eq.(2.1) contains a set of jumping lines J for the instanton bundle E. For those spacetime points  $x \in J \subset S^4$ , det  $\Delta(x)^{\circledast}\Delta(x) = 0$ , there are singular points on the connections A and the field strength F. On the other hand, the real lines which connect points [a : b : c : d] and [x : y : z : w] on  $CP^3$  are jumping lines of the instanton bundle E if  $det(\beta_{[a:b:c:d]}\alpha_{[x:y:z:w]}) = 0$ . It turns out that there is an one to one correspondence between jumping lines of the instanton bundle E and singular points of A and F on  $S^4$  spacetime.

Note that a bundle E on  $\mathbb{CP}^3$  can descend down to a bundle over  $S^4$  if and only if no fiber of the twistor fibration is a jumping line for E. This is the case for SU(2) instanton and thus there are no jumping lines on E and no singular points on  $S^4$  spacetime.

To see the correspondence, similar to Eq.(2.18a) and Eq.(2.18b), we define  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  matrices at different points [x:y:z:w] and [a:b:c:d] on  $\mathbb{CP}^3$  as

$$\alpha_{[x:y:z:w]} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2\times 2} \\ 0_{2\times 2} \\ 0_{2\times 2} \end{pmatrix} x + \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2\times 2} \\ I_{2\times 2} \\ 0_{2\times 2} \end{pmatrix} y + \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} \\ B_{12} \\ J_1 \end{pmatrix} z + \begin{pmatrix} B_{21} \\ B_{22} \\ J_2 \end{pmatrix} w, \quad (3.41)$$
$$\beta_{[a:b:c:d]} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2\times 2} & I_{2\times 2} & 0_{2\times 2} \end{pmatrix} a + \begin{pmatrix} -I_{2\times 2} & 0_{2\times 2} & 0_{2\times 2} \\ -B_{12} & B_{11} & I_1 \end{pmatrix} c + \begin{pmatrix} -B_{22} & B_{21} & I_2 \end{pmatrix} d. \quad (3.42)$$

It is straightforward to calculate the product map

$$\beta_{[a:b:c:d]}\alpha_{[x:y:z:w]} = (ay - bx) + B_{12}(az - cx) + B_{22}(aw - dx) + B_{11}(cy - bz) + B_{21}(dy - bw) + (-B_{12}B_{11} + B_{11}B_{12} + i_1j_1)cz + (-B_{12}B_{21} + B_{11}B_{22} + i_1j_2)cw + (-B_{22}B_{11} + B_{21}B_{12} + i_2j_1)dz + (-B_{22}B_{21} + B_{21}B_{22} + i_2j_2)dw = z_{12} + B_{12}z_{13} + B_{22}z_{14} - B_{11}z_{23} - B_{21}z_{24} + (-B_{12}B_{21} + B_{11}B_{22} + i_1j_2)z_{34}$$
(3.43)

where we have applied the complex ADHM equations in Eq.(2.15a) to Eq.(2.15c). We have also used the Plücker coordinate representation in Eq.(3.23) to reduce the above result.

As an example, for the sheaf point [0:0:1:1] on  $\mathbb{CP}^3$  obtained in the previous section, we can calculate

$$\beta_{[0:0:1:1]}\alpha_{\sigma[0:0:1:1]} = \beta_{[0:0:1:1]}\alpha_{[0:0:1:-1]} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.44)

On the other hand, we can also calculate  $\Delta^{\circledast}\Delta$  in Eq.(2.1) on  $S^4$ . To do the calculation, we introduce the coordinates for x ( $x_0$  and  $x_1$  in Eq.(3.45) are not to be confused with  $x^0$ and  $x^1$  in Eq.(3.31))

$$x = x_0 e_0 + x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3$$
  
=  $\begin{pmatrix} x_0 - ix_3 - (x_2 + ix_1) \\ x_2 - ix_1 & x_0 + ix_3 \end{pmatrix}$   
=  $\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{21} \\ x_{12} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ . (3.45)

The result is

 $\Delta^{\circledast}\Delta$ 

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -I_2 & x_{22} + B_{22} & -x_{21} - B_{21} \\ I_1 & -x_{12} - B_{12} & x_{11} + B_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & J_2 \\ x_{11} + B_{11} & x_{21} + B_{21} \\ x_{12} + B_{12} & x_{22} + B_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= (x_{11}x_{22} - x_{12}x_{21} + x_{11}B_{22} - x_{12}B_{21} - x_{21}B_{12} + x_{22}B_{11} + I_1J_2 + B_{11}B_{22} - B_{12}B_{21})$$
(3.46)

where again the complex ADHM equations have been used to reduce the calculation above.

Finally if we use the identification [18] for Eq.(3.23) and Eq.(3.45)

$$D' = z_{12} = ay - bx,$$
  

$$-x_{21} = z_{13} = az - cx,$$
  

$$x_{11} = z_{14} = aw - dx,$$
  

$$-x_{22} = z_{23} = bz - cy,$$
  

$$x_{12} = z_{24} = bw - dy,$$
  

$$1 = z_{34} = cw - dz$$
(3.47)

where

$$D' = x_{11}x_{22} - x_{12}x_{21}$$

is fixed by the quadratic relations in Eq.(3.25), and restrict  $[z_{12} : z_{13} : z_{14} : z_{23} : z_{24} : z_{34}]$  to be a real line, we end up with the correspondence

$$\Delta^{\circledast}\Delta = \beta_{[a:b:c:d]}\alpha_{[x:y:z:w]}.$$
(3.48)

So the jumping line connecting two points [a:b:c:d] and [x:y:z:w] on  $\mathbb{CP}^3$  can be calculated from the jumping line equation

$$\det \beta_{[a:b:c:d]} \alpha_{[x:y:z:w]} = 0. \tag{3.49}$$

On the other hand, the corresponding singular point on  $S^4$  associated with jumping line can be calculated from Eq.(2.2).

Before moving to the next subsection, let's look at the identification in Eq.(3.47) in more details. Note that the four complex number  $(x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{22})$  in Eq.(3.47) represent a line in  $CP^3$ . If we choose  $[a : b : c : d] = \sigma[x : y : z : w] = [\bar{y} : -\bar{x} : \bar{w} : -\bar{z}]$  in Eq.(3.47) and Eq.(3.48), we get

$$D' = z_{12} = \bar{y}y - (-\bar{x})x = \bar{y}y + \bar{x}x,$$
  

$$-x_{21} = z_{13} = \bar{y}z - \bar{w}x = \bar{y}z - \bar{w}x,$$
  

$$x_{11} = z_{14} = \bar{y}w - (-\bar{z})x = \bar{y}w + \bar{z}x,$$
  

$$-x_{22} = z_{23} = -\bar{x}z - \bar{w}y = -(\bar{x}z + \bar{w}y),$$
  

$$x_{12} = z_{24} = -\bar{x}w - (-\bar{z})y = -\bar{x}w + \bar{z}y,$$
  

$$1 = z_{34} = \bar{w}w - (-\bar{z})z = \bar{w}w + \bar{z}z,$$
  
(3.50)

and

$$\Delta^{\circledast}\Delta = \beta_{\sigma[x:y:z:w]}\alpha_{[x:y:z:w]}.$$
(3.51)

One can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} x_{11} &= \bar{x}_{22}, \\ x_{12} &= -\bar{x}_{21}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

which constrain  $(x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{22})$  to contain only four real parameters to represent a real line over  $S^4$ . This real line is in an one to one correspondence with a point x with four real coordiates on  $S^4$ . To be more specific, with the identification in Eq.(3.45), the four real coordinates in  $x_{\mu} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$  represents a point on  $S^4$ , while  $(x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{22})$ in Eq.(3.50) represents the corresponding real line in  $CP^3$  over  $S^4$ . On the other hand, Eq.(3.51) gives an exact relation between coordinates of the sheaf point [x : y : z : w] on  $CP^3$  and coordinates of the corresponding singular point  $(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$  on  $S^4$ .

To compare the parametrization used in Eq.(3.31), we note that Eq.(3.31) can be further calculated to be

$$\pi([x:y:z:w]) = [x + ye_{2}:z + we_{2}]$$

$$= [x^{0}e_{0} + x^{1}e_{1} + y^{0}e_{2} + y^{1}e_{3}:z^{0}e_{0} + z^{1}e_{1} + w^{0}e_{2} + w^{1}e_{3}]$$

$$\simeq [(z^{0}e_{0} - z^{1}e_{1} - w^{0}e_{2} - w^{1}e_{3})(x^{0}e_{0} + x^{1}e_{1} + y^{0}e_{2} + y^{1}e_{3})]$$

$$: (z^{0}e_{0} - z^{1}e_{1} - w^{0}e_{2} - w^{1}e_{3})(z^{0}e_{0} + z^{1}e_{1} + w^{0}e_{2} + w^{1}e_{3})]$$

$$= \left[\frac{(z^{0}x^{0} + z^{1}x^{1} + w^{0}y^{0} + w^{1}y^{1})e_{0} + (z^{0}x^{1} - z^{1}x^{0} - w^{0}y^{1} + w^{1}y^{0})e_{1}}{((z^{0})^{2} + (z^{1})^{2} + (w^{0})^{2} + (w^{1})^{2})} : e_{0}\right]$$

$$= [x_{0}e_{0} - x_{1}e_{3} + x_{2}e_{2} - x_{1}e_{3}:e_{0}]$$
(3.53)

where in the last step of the above calculation, we have used the identifications in Eq.(3.45) and Eq.(3.50). The quaternion  $(x_0e_0 - x_1e_3 + x_2e_2 - x_1e_3)$  in the above equation represents a point in  $S^4$  with parametrization used in Eq.(3.31) which is different from parametrization used in Eq.(3.45).

As an application of the above calculation, we can calculate for example the real line corresponding to the sheaf point [0:0:1:1] or the *sheaf line* in short obtained in the

previous section to be

$$[0:0:1:1] \wedge \sigma[0:0:1:1] = [0:0:1:1] \wedge [0:0:1:-1]$$
$$= [0:0:0:0:0:-2] \simeq [0:0:0:0:1].$$
(3.54)

For the sheaf point [0:0:1:i], similar calculation gives

$$[0:0:1:i] \wedge \sigma[0:0:1:i] = [0:0:1:i] \wedge [0:0:1:-i]$$
$$= [0:0:0:0:0:0:-2i] \simeq [0:0:0:0:0:1].$$
(3.55)

So all four sheaf points calculated in the previous section lie on the same sheaf line. To calculate the projection of the sheaf point [0:0:1:1] on  $CP^3$  down to  $S^4$ , we note from Eq.(3.50) and Eq.(3.54) that

$$(x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{22}) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$$
(3.56)

which means

$$x_{\mu} = (0, 0, 0, 0) \tag{3.57}$$

by Eq.(3.45). The projection of all other three sheaf points on  $CP^3$  down to  $S^4$  is  $x_{\mu} = (0, 0, 0, 0)$  too. Here we note that  $S^4$  contains two parts

$$S^4 = R^4 \cup \{\infty\}, \tag{3.58}$$

or, in the language of quaternion projective space in Eq.(3.27),

$$S^4 \cong HP^1 = [R^4 : 1] \cup [1:0]. \tag{3.59}$$

# D. Properties of the Sheaf line as Jumping line

For the YM 2-instanton data obtained in the last section

$$J_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & ia \end{pmatrix}, J_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ia \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$B_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, B_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$B_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$I_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ -ia & 0 \end{pmatrix}, I_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & 0 \\ 0 & ia \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.60)

we can calculate the singular points on  $S^4$  associated with the jumping line. The two delta matrices can be written as

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} ae_0 & -ae_1 \\ x + \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}ae_0 & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 \\ \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 & x + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}ae_0 \end{pmatrix}, \Delta^{\circledast} = \begin{pmatrix} ae_0 & x^{\dagger} + \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}ae_0 & \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 \\ ae_1 & \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 & x^{\dagger} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}ae_0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.61)

and their product can be calculated to be

$$\Delta^{\circledast} \Delta = \begin{pmatrix} ae_0 \ x^{\dagger} + \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}ae_0 & \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 \\ ae_1 & \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 & x^{\dagger} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}ae_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} ae_0 & -ae_1 \\ x + \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}ae_0 & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 \\ \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 & x + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}ae_0 \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} |x|^2 - \sqrt{2}iax_0 & \sqrt{2}iax_1 \\ \sqrt{2}iax_1 & |x|^2 + \sqrt{2}iax_0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.62)

which gives

$$\det \Delta^{\circledast} \Delta = \left(x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2\right)^2 + 2a^2 \left(x_0^2 + x_1^2\right).$$
(3.63)

We conclude that

$$\left(x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2\right)^2 + 2a^2\left(x_0^2 + x_1^2\right) = 0$$
(3.64)

gives the singular locus on  $S^4$ . One important observation is that for the special singular point

$$x_{\mu} = (0, 0, 0, 0) \tag{3.65}$$

associated with sheaf line calculated in Eq.(3.57), Eq.(3.63) gives

$$\det \Delta^{\circledast} \Delta_{sheaf} = 0. \tag{3.66}$$

So this sheaf line is indeed a jumping line. This is a general statement. Indeed, for the case of sheaf lines, either  $\alpha$  is not injective or  $\beta$  is not surjective. If  $\alpha$  is not injective, then  $\beta\alpha$  is not injective, which implies det  $\beta\alpha = 0$  or Eq.(3.66). If  $\beta$  is not surjective, then  $\beta\alpha$  is not surjective, which again implies det  $\beta\alpha = 0$  or Eq.(3.66). This completes the proof that sheaf lines are special jumping lines. We thus have seen that the following equation holds

{lines in 
$$\mathbb{C}P^3$$
}  $\supset$  {real lines over  $S^4$ }  $\supset$  {jumping lines over  $S^4$ }  $\supset$  {sheaf lines over  $S^4$ }.  
(3.67)

To identify sheaf lines among jumping lines, in the next section, we will see that the order of singularity of the connection A and the field strength F at the singular point on  $S^4$  associated with sheaf line in  $\mathbb{CP}^3$  is higher than those of other singular points associated with normal jumping lines.

Another interesting observation is that the location of the sheaf point  $x_{\mu} = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ seems reasonable since it is exactly the geometrical center of "positions"  $y_{11}$  and  $y_{22}$  of the 2-instantons in the ADHM data [13]

$$y_{11} = -de_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -d & 0\\ 0 & -d \end{bmatrix}, y_{22} = de_0 = \begin{bmatrix} d & 0\\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix},$$
(3.68)

which we have chosen to obtain Eq.(2.21) and Eq.(2.22).

For the case of diagonal CFTW SL(2, C) 2-instanton solutions, there are no sheaf lines although the jumping lines do exist. The jumping lines or singular locus calculated in Eq.(2.12) are

$$P_4(x) = 0, \quad P_3(x) = 0.$$
 (3.69)

The result of Eq.(3.64) can also be obtained by calculating the determinant of  $\beta \alpha$  in Eq.(3.49)

$$\det \beta \alpha = \left(x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2\right)^2 + 2a^2 \left(x_0^2 + x_1^2\right), \qquad (3.70)$$

which is consistent with Eq.(3.63). In this calculation, we have used the identifications in Eq.(3.50) and Eq.(3.45).

Finally, to understand the change of dimensionality of vector bundles at the sheaf points, we can calculate the ranks of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  for a given ADHM data at the sheaf points. For the ADHM data in Eq.(3.60),

$$\alpha_{[x:y:z:w]} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2\times2} \\ 0_{2\times2} \\ 0_{2\times2} \end{pmatrix} x + \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2\times2} \\ I_{2\times2} \\ 0_{2\times2} \end{pmatrix} y + \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} \\ B_{12} \\ J_1 \end{pmatrix} z + \begin{pmatrix} B_{21} \\ B_{22} \\ J_2 \end{pmatrix} w$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} I_{2\times2} \\ 0_{2\times2} \\ 0_{2\times2} \end{pmatrix} x + \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2\times2} \\ I_{2\times2} \\ 0_{2\times2} \end{pmatrix} y + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & a \\ 0 & ia \end{pmatrix} z + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & ia \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix} w$$
(3.71)

and

$$\beta_{[x:y:z:w]} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2\times 2} & I_{2\times 2} & 0_{2\times 2} \end{pmatrix} x + \begin{pmatrix} -I_{2\times 2} & 0_{2\times 2} & 0_{2\times 2} \end{pmatrix} y + \begin{pmatrix} -B_{12} & B_{11} & I_1 \end{pmatrix} z + \begin{pmatrix} -B_{22} & B_{21} & I_2 \end{pmatrix} w$$
  
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2\times 2} & I_{2\times 2} & 0_{2\times 2} \end{pmatrix} x + \begin{pmatrix} -I_{2\times 2} & 0_{2\times 2} & 0_{2\times 2} \end{pmatrix} y$$
  
$$+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & a\\ -\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} & -ia & 0 \end{pmatrix} z + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & -a & 0\\ 0 & \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & ia \end{pmatrix} w, \qquad (3.72)$$

we can calculate  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  at the sheaf point [x:y:z:w] = [0:0:1:1] to be  $(a \neq 0)$ 

$$\alpha_{[0:0:1:1]} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \\ a & ia \\ a & ia \end{pmatrix}, \beta_{[0:0:1:1]} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & -a & a \\ -\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & -ia & ia \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.73)

which are both of rank 1. So the dimensions of  $\text{Ker}\alpha_{[0:0:1:1]}$  and  $\text{Ker}\beta_{[0:0:1:1]}$  are 1 and 5 respectively, which imply the dimension of the quotient space

$$\dim(\operatorname{Ker}\beta_{[0:0:1:1]} / \operatorname{Im}\alpha_{[0:0:1:1]}) = 5 - 1 = 4.$$
(3.74)

Note that for points other than sheaf points  $\dim(\operatorname{Ker}\beta/\operatorname{Im}\alpha) = 4 - 2 = 2$ .

Similarly,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  at point [x:y:z:w] = [0:0:1:-1] are

$$\alpha_{[0:0:1:-1]} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}} \\ a & -ia \\ -a & ia \end{pmatrix}, \beta_{[0:0:1:-1]} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & a & a \\ -\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} & -ia & -ia \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.75)$$

which are both of rank 1, and the dimension of the quotient space is 4, same with Eq.(3.74).

Similarly, one can calculate  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with ADHM data in Eq.(2.22) at the sheaf point [x:y:z:w] = [0:0:1:i] to be

$$\alpha_{[0:0:1:i]} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} \\ a & ia \\ ia & -a \end{pmatrix}, \beta_{[0:0:1:i]} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & -ia & a \\ -\frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} & a & ia \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.76)

and at the sheaf point  $[\boldsymbol{x}:\boldsymbol{y}:\boldsymbol{z}:\boldsymbol{w}]=[0:0:1:-i]$  to be

$$\alpha_{[0:0:1:-i]} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-a}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{-a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{-a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} \\ a & -ia \\ -ia & -a \end{pmatrix}, \beta_{[0:0:1:-i]} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-a}{\sqrt{2}} & ia & a \\ -\frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} & a & -ia \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.77)

We can also calculate  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with ADHM data in Eq.(3.40) at the sheaf point [x : y : z : w] = [0:0:1:-1] to be

$$\alpha_{[0:0:1:-1]}' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{i\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{i\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{i\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{i\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \bar{a} & -i\bar{a} \\ -\bar{a} & i\bar{a} \end{pmatrix}, \beta_{[0:0:1:-1]}' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} \\ \frac{\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-i\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\bar{a}}{\sqrt{2}} & -i\bar{a} & -i\bar{a} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.78)

In all cases of sheaf points, we find that  $\dim(\operatorname{Ker}\beta/\operatorname{Im}\alpha) = 5 - 1 = 4$ .

# IV. SINGULARITY STRUCTURE OF A AND F ASSOCIATED WITH SHEAF LINE

In the previous section, we showed that all sheaf lines are jumping lines. What makes different of sheaf lines among jumping lines on  $S^4$  spacetime? In this section, we will show that the order of singularity of the connection A and the field strength F at the singular point on  $S^4$  associated with sheaf line in  $CP^3$  is higher than those of other singular points associated with normal jumping lines.

# A. Singularity structure of connection

In the explicit calculation of SU(2) instanton connections, one needs to do a large gauge transformation to remove all the singularities on  $S^4$ . This can be easily done for the case of 1-instanton. For the case of diagonal CFTW 2-instanton, see the choice of large gauge transformation function in [22]. For the SL(2, C) instanton connections, in addition to the removable singularities, there exist non-removable singularities [12] associated with jumping lines in  $CP^3$ . For example, for the case of SL(2, C) diagonal CFTW 2-instanton, these non-removable singularities can be calculated from Eq.(2.12).

For the non-diagonal ADHM 2-instanton sheaves of the present case, we will use similar techanique and identify only non-removable singularities which containing the singularity structure associated with the sheaf line. The explicit form of the 2-instanton connection without removable suigularities will not be calculated. However, it is interesting to see that the explicit form of 2-instanton field strength without removable singularuties can be exactly calculated and will be given in the next subsection. We begin with the two delta matrices with ADHM data given in Eq.(3.60)

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} ae_0 & -ae_1 \\ x + \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}ae_0 & \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 \\ \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 & x + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}ae_0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.79)

$$\Delta^{\circledast} = \begin{pmatrix} ae_0 \ x^{\dagger} + \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}ae_0 & \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 \\ ae_1 & \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 & x^{\dagger} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}ae_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.80)

To calculate the connection, we need to first identify  $\nu$  vector which satisfies  $\Delta^{\circledast}\nu=0$  or

$$\begin{pmatrix} ae_0 \ x^{\dagger} + \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}ae_0 & \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 \\ ae_1 & \frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 & x^{\dagger} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}ae_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$
(4.81)

which means

$$ae_{0}\nu_{1} + \left(x^{\dagger} + \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}ae_{0}\right)\nu_{2} + \left(\frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}}e_{1}\right)\nu_{3} = 0, \qquad (4.82)$$

$$ae_1\nu_1 + \left(\frac{-ia}{\sqrt{2}}e_1\right)\nu_2 + \left(x^{\dagger} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}ae_0\right)\nu_3 = 0,$$
 (4.83)

from which one can solve  $\nu_2$  and  $\nu_1$  to be

$$\nu_2 = \frac{-xe_1x^{\dagger}}{|x|^2}\nu_3,\tag{4.84}$$

$$\nu_1 = \frac{1}{a} \left[ e_1 x^{\dagger} + \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \left( e_1 - \frac{x e_1 x^{\dagger}}{|x|^2} \right) \right] \nu_3.$$
(4.85)

Finally  $\nu$  and  $\nu^{\circledast}$  can be written as

$$\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a} \left[ e_1 x^{\dagger} + \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \left( e_1 - \frac{x e_1 x^{\dagger}}{|x|^2} \right) \right] \nu_3 \\ \frac{-x e_1 x^{\dagger}}{|x|^2} \nu_3 \\ \nu_3 \end{pmatrix}$$
(4.86)

and

$$\nu^{\circledast} = \left(\nu_3^{\circledast} \frac{1}{a} \left[ -xe_1 + \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -e_1 + \frac{xe_1x^{\dagger}}{|x|^2} \right) \right], \ \nu_3^{\circledast} \frac{xe_1x^{\dagger}}{|x|^2}, \ \nu_3^{\circledast} \right)$$
(4.87)

respectively. The next step is to do the normalization condition

$$\nu^{\circledast}\nu = 1 \tag{4.88}$$

or

$$\nu_{3}^{\circledast} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{a^{2}} \left[ -xe_{1} + \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -e_{1} + \frac{xe_{1}x^{\dagger}}{|x|^{2}} \right) \right] \left[ e_{1}x^{\dagger} + \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \left( e_{1} - \frac{xe_{1}x^{\dagger}}{|x|^{2}} \right) \right] \\ + \frac{xe_{1}x^{\dagger}}{|x|^{2}} \left( \frac{-xe_{1}x^{\dagger}}{|x|^{2}} \right) + 1 \end{array} \right\} \nu_{3} = 1$$
(4.89)

to extract the non-removable singular factor similar to Eq.(2.12). After some lengthy calculation, we end up with

$$\nu_{3}^{\circledast} \left\{ \frac{1}{a^{2}} \left[ \left( \frac{|x|^{4} + 2a^{2} (x_{0}^{2} + x_{1}^{2})}{|x|^{2}} \right) \right] \right\} \nu_{3} = 1$$
(4.90)

where  $|x|^4 = (x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^2$ . So the normalization can be done by setting

$$\nu_3 = \frac{a |x|}{\sqrt{|x|^4 + 2a^2 \left(x_0^2 + x_1^2\right)}},\tag{4.91}$$

and the normalized  $\nu$  and  $\nu^{\circledast}$  vector can be written as

$$\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{a |x|}{\sqrt{|x|^4 + 2a^2 (x_0^2 + x_1^2)}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a} \left[ e_1 x^{\dagger} + \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \left( e_1 - \frac{xe_1 x^{\dagger}}{|x|^2} \right) \right] \\ \frac{-xe_1 x^{\dagger}}{|x|^2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.92)$$

$$\nu^{\circledast} = \frac{a |x|}{\sqrt{|x|^4 + 2a^2 (x_0^2 + x_1^2)}} \left(\frac{1}{a} \left[-xe_1 + \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-e_1 + \frac{xe_1 x^{\dagger}}{|x|^2}\right)\right], \frac{xe_1 x^{\dagger}}{|x|^2}, 1\right).$$
(4.93)

The connection A can be written as

$$A_{\mu} = \nu^{\circledast} \partial_{\mu} \nu. \tag{4.94}$$

It turns out that in order to extract non-removable singularity structure of A, one needs only check the normalization factor calculated in Eq.(4.91). This is similar to the calculation in Eq.(2.12) for the case of SL(2, C) CFTW 2-instanton. The factor inside the square root in Eq.(4.91) is exactly the same with det  $\Delta^{\otimes}\Delta$  and det  $\beta\alpha$  calculated in Eq.(3.63) and Eq.(3.70) respectively. We conclude that the non-removable singularities of the connection A occur at

$$|x|^{4} + 2a^{2} \left(x_{0}^{2} + x_{1}^{2}\right) = 0, \qquad (4.95)$$

which is the same with the singular locus calculated in Eq.(3.64).

#### B. Singularity structure of field strength

In this subsection, we go one step further to calculate the singularity structure of field strength F. It turns out that F without removable singularities is much more easier to calculate than A. The formula for the field strength of SL(2, C) ADHM instanton calculated in [12] was

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \nu^{\circledast} b \left( e_{\mu} e_{\nu}^{\dagger} - e_{\nu} e_{\mu}^{\dagger} \right) f b^{\circledast} \nu$$

$$\tag{4.96}$$

where  $\nu$  and  $\nu^{\circledast}$  were given in Eq.(4.92) and Eq.(4.93) respectively, and other factors can be calculated to be

$$\Delta^{\circledast} \Delta = f^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} |x|^2 - \sqrt{2}iax_0 & \sqrt{2}iax_1 \\ \sqrt{2}iax_1 & |x|^2 + \sqrt{2}iax_0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.97)

$$f = \frac{1}{|x|^4 + 2a^2 (x_0^2 + x_1^2)} \begin{pmatrix} |x|^2 + \sqrt{2}iax_0 & -\sqrt{2}iax_1 \\ -\sqrt{2}iax_1 & |x|^2 - \sqrt{2}iax_0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.98)

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, b^{\circledast} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.99)

The field strength can then be calculated to be

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{a |x|}{\sqrt{|x|^4 + 2a^2 (x_0^2 + x_1^2)}} \left( \frac{1}{a} \left[ -xe_1 + \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -e_1 + \frac{xe_1x^{\dagger}}{|x|^2} \right) \right], \frac{xe_1x^{\dagger}}{|x|^2}, 1 \right)$$

$$\cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left( e_{\mu}e_{\nu}^{\dagger} - e_{\nu}e_{\mu}^{\dagger} \right) \frac{\left( |x|^2 + \sqrt{2}iax_0 - \sqrt{2}iax_1 \right)}{|x|^4 + 2a^2 (x_0^2 + x_1^2)} \right)$$

$$\cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{a |x|}{\sqrt{|x|^4 + 2a^2 (x_0^2 + x_1^2)}} \left( \frac{1}{a} \left[ e_1x^{\dagger} + \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}} \left( e_1 - \frac{xe_1x^{\dagger}}{|x|^2} \right) \right] \right)$$

$$= \frac{a^2}{\left[ |x|^4 + 2a^2 (x_0^2 + x_1^2) \right]^2} \left( \frac{xe_1x^{\dagger}}{|x|}, |x| \right) \left( e_{\mu}e_{\nu}^{\dagger} - e_{\nu}e_{\mu}^{\dagger} \right)$$

$$\cdot \left( \begin{vmatrix} x|^2 + \sqrt{2}iax_0 - \sqrt{2}iax_1 \\ -\sqrt{2}iax_1 - |x|^2 - \sqrt{2}iax_0 \right) \left( \frac{-xe_1x^{\dagger}}{|x|} \right). \quad (4.100)$$

It is important to see that there are non-removable singularities in the prefactor of Eq.(4.100). In addition, removable singularity shows up in  $\left(\frac{xe_1x^{\dagger}}{|x|}, |x|\right)$  and  $\left(\frac{xe_1x^{\dagger}}{|x|}, |x|\right)$ , which surprisingly can be gauged away by preforming a large gauge transformation with

simple gauge function in the quaternion form as following

$$F'_{\mu\nu} = \frac{x^{\dagger}}{|x|} F_{\mu\nu} \frac{x}{|x|}$$

$$= \frac{a^{2}}{\left[|x|^{4} + 2a^{2} (x_{0}^{2} + x_{1}^{2})\right]^{2}} \left(e_{1}x^{\dagger}, x^{\dagger}\right) \left(e_{\mu}e_{\nu}^{\dagger} - e_{\nu}e_{\mu}^{\dagger}\right)$$

$$\cdot \left(\begin{vmatrix}|x|^{2} + \sqrt{2}iax_{0} - \sqrt{2}iax_{1}\\ -\sqrt{2}iax_{1} & |x|^{2} - \sqrt{2}iax_{0}\end{matrix}\right) \left(\begin{matrix}-xe_{1}\\ x\end{matrix}\right)$$

$$= \frac{a^{2}}{\left[|x|^{4} + 2a^{2} (x_{0}^{2} + x_{1}^{2})\right]^{2}} \left(e_{1}, 1\right) x^{\dagger} \left(e_{\mu}e_{\nu}^{\dagger} - e_{\nu}e_{\mu}^{\dagger}\right) x$$

$$\cdot \left(\begin{vmatrix}|x|^{2} + \sqrt{2}iax_{0} - \sqrt{2}iax_{1}\\ -\sqrt{2}iax_{1} & |x|^{2} - \sqrt{2}iax_{0}\end{matrix}\right) \left(\begin{matrix}-e_{1}\\ 1\end{matrix}\right).$$
(4.101)

We can see that the non-removable singular points occur in  $|x|^4 + 2a^2(x_0^2 + x_1^2) = 0$ , which is consistent with all our previous calculations.

It is interesting to see that the explicit form of SL(2, C) YM 2-instanton field strength without removable singularities presented in Eq.(4.101) can be exactly calculated! To the knowledge of the authors, it seems to be a very difficult task, though maynot be impossible, to exactly calculate SU(2) YM 2-instanton field strength with all singularities removed by a suitable large gauge transformation. See the discussion for the choice of large gauge transformation function in [22] for the case of SU(2) CFTW 2-instanton.

To be more precisely, if one uses the SL(2, C) ADHM data calculated from the costable condition of sheaf structure in Eq.(2.20a) to Eq.(2.20c), and plugs this SL(2, C) sheaf ADHM data into  $\Delta^{\circledast}$  in Eq.(4.80), then the calculation of  $\nu$  in Eq.(4.81) and thus the field strength F in Eq.(4.96) will be greatly simplified. A closer look for this solvability or simplification seems worthwhile.

Presumably, the simplification for the calculation of SL(2, C) YM 2-instanton field strength is also due to the existence of the sheaf line with associated one single singular point at x = (0, 0, 0, 0) on  $S^4$ , instead of two removable singular points corresponding to two positions of SU(2) YM 2-instanton before doing a large gauge transformation [22].

#### C. Order of Singularity at the Sheaf line

In this subsection, we will show that the order of singularity calculated in the previous subsections for connection and field strength at the singular point  $x_{\mu} = (0, 0, 0, 0)$  on  $S^4$ associated with the sheaf line in  $CP^3$  is higher than those of other singular points associated with normal jumping lines. The function we want to study is in the denominator of the prefactor in Eq.(4.101)

$$f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = |x|^4 + 2a^2 \left(x_0^2 + x_1^2\right)$$
  
=  $\left(x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2\right)^2 + 2a^2 \left(x_0^2 + x_1^2\right), \ a \in C, \ a \neq 0.$  (4.102)

One can easily see that

$$f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0$$
 and  $\partial_\mu f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0$  for  $x_\mu = (0, 0, 0, 0).$  (4.103)

We want to show that there is no spacetime point other than  $x_{\mu} = (0, 0, 0, 0)$  which shares the same property as in Eq.(4.103). This means that we are looking for *non-zero* solution for the following system of equations

$$\left(x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2\right)^2 + 2a^2\left(x_0^2 + x_1^2\right) = 0$$
(4.104)

and

$$4x_0\left(x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2\right) + 4a^2x_0 = 0, \qquad (4.105)$$

$$4x_1\left(x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2\right) + 4a^2x_1 = 0, \qquad (4.106)$$

$$4x_2\left(x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2\right) = 0, (4.107)$$

$$4x_3\left(x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2\right) = 0 \tag{4.108}$$

for  $a \in C$ ,  $a \neq 0$ .

To see that there is no non-zero solution of the above system of equations, we first note that Eq.(4.107) and Eq.(4.108) imply  $x_2 = 0$  and  $x_3 = 0$  respectively. So either  $x_0 \neq 0$  or  $x_1 \neq 0$  which, by Eq.(4.105) and Eq.(4.106), imply  $a^2 = -(x_0^2 + x_1^2)$ . But then Eq.(4.104) tells us  $-a^4 = 0$  or a = 0, which contradicts with the sheaf condition that  $a \neq 0$ . This completes the proof.

# V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we calculate a sheaf line in  $CP^3$  which is a fiber line on  $S^4$  spacetime supporting sheaf points on  $CP^3$  of Yang-Mills instanton sheaves for some given ADHM data we obtained previously in [13]. We found that this sheaf line is a special jumping line over  $S^4$  spacetime. Incidentally, we discover a duality symmetry among YM instanton sheaf solutions with dual ADHM data.

To understand the effect of sheaf line on  $S^4$  spacetime, we calculate the singularity structure of the connection A and the field strength F at the corresponding singular point on  $S^4$  of this sheaf line. We found that the order of singularity at the singular point on  $S^4$ associated with the sheaf line in  $CP^3$  is higher than those of other singular points associated with normal jumping lines.

One unexpected benifit we obtained in our search of the sheaf line was the great simplification of the calculation of  $\nu$  in Eq.(4.81) and the corresponding connection A and the field strength F in Eq.(4.96) associated with the sheaf ADHM data. In fact, we have seen that for the sheaf ADHM data the explicit form of SL(2, C) YM 2-instanton field strength without removable singularities can be exactly calculated! To understand the mechanism of this simplification of the calculation of YM instanton, more explicit examples of sheaf lines will be helpful.

It will be important to calculate more examples of sheaf lines associated with YM instanton sheaves for instanton with higher topological charges. However, It was shown that there has no sheaf line structure for the SL(2, C) diagonal CFTW k-instanton [13]. To explicitly construct YM instanton sheaves, one needs to first work out explicitly non-diagonal ADHM k-instanton solutions which are in general difficult to calculate for k > 3.

Recently, some examples of YM instanton sheaves with topological charges 3 and 4 were discovered and explicitly constructed [14]. The sheaf lines over  $S^4$  of these YM instanton sheaves with higher topological charges and the associated singular structures of A and F are currently under investigation.

# Acknowledgments

The work of J.C. Lee is supported in part by the Ministry of Science and Technology and S.T. Yau center of NCTU, Taiwan. The work of I-H. Tsai is supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan under grant number 105-3114-C-002-001-ES.

- A. Belavin, A. Polyakov, A. Schwartz, Y. Tyupkin, "Pseudo-particle solutions of the Yang-Mills equations", Phys. Lett. B 59 (1975) 85.
- [2] E.F. Corrigan, D.B. Fairlie, Phys. Lett. 67B (1977)69; G. 'tHooft, Phys. Rev. Lett., 37 (1976)
  8; F. Wilczek, in "Quark Confinement and Field Theory", Ed. D.Stump and D. Weingarten,
  John Wiley and Sons, New York (1977).
- [3] R. Jackiw, C. Rebbi, "Conformal properties of a Yang-Mills pseudoparticle", Phys. Rev. D 14 (1976) 517; R. Jackiw, C. Nohl and C. Rebbi, "Conformal properties of pseu-doparticle con gurations", Phys. Rev. D 15 (1977) 1642.
- [4] E. Witten, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38 (1977) 121.
- [5] M. Atiyah, V. Drinfeld, N. Hitchin, Yu. Manin, "Construction of instantons", Phys. Lett. A 65 (1978) 185.
- [6] N. H. Christ, E. J. Weinberg and N. K. Stanton, "General Self-Dual Yang-Mills Solutions", Phys. Rev. D 18 (1978) 2013. V. Korepin and S. Shatashvili, "Rational parametrization of the three instanton solutions of the Yang-Mills equations", Math. USSR Izversiya 24 (1985) 307.
- [7] R. Jackwi and C. Rebbi, Phys. Lett. 67B (1977) 189. C. W. Bernard, N. H. Christ, A. H. Guth and E. J. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D16 (1977) 2967.
- [8] G. 't Hooft, "Computation of the quantum effects due to a four-dimensional pseudoparticle", Phys. Rev. D 14 (1976) 3432. G. 't Hooft, "Symmetry breaking through Bell-Jackiw anomalies", Phys. Rev. Lett. 37 (1976) 8.
- [9] C. Callan Jr., R. Dashen, D. Gross, "The structure of the gauge theory vacuum", Phys. Lett. B 63 (1976) 334; "Toward a theory of the strong interactions", Phys. Rev. D 17 (1978) 2717.
  R. Jackiw, C. Rebbi, "Vacuum periodicity in a Yang-Mills quantum theory", Phys. Rev. Lett. 37 (1976) 172.
- [10] S.K. Donaldson and P.B. Kronheimer, "The Geometry of Four Manifolds", Oxford University

Press (1990).

- [11] E. J. Weinberg, "Classical Solutions in Quantum Field Theory", Cambridge University Press (2012); N. Manon and P. Sutuliffe, "Topological Solitons", Cambridge University Press (2004);
  S. Vandoren and P. Van Nieuwenhuizen, "Lectures on Instantons", arXiv : 0802.1862. D. Tong, "TASI Lectures on Solitons", arXiv : hep-th/0509216. R. Rajaraman, "Solitons and Instantons, An Introduction to Solitons and Instantons in Quantum Field Theory", Northholland (1982).
- [12] S. H. Lai, J. C. Lee and I. H. Tsai, "Biquaternions and ADHM Construction of Non-Compact SL(2,C) Yang-Mills Instantons", Annals Phys. 361 (2015) 14.
- [13] S. H. Lai, J. C. Lee and I. H. Tsai, "Yang-Mills Instanton Sheaves", arXiv: 1603.02860, Annals. Phys. 377 (2017) 446.
- [14] S. H. Lai, J. C. Lee and I. H. Tsai, "Yang-Mills Instanton Sheaves with higher Topological charges", arXiv: 1610.07049.
- [15] W. R. Hamilton, "Lectures on Quaternions", Macmillan & Co, Cornell University Library (1853).
- [16] Tai Tsun Wu and Chen Ning Yang, Phys. Rev. D12, 3843 (1975); Phys. Rev. D13, (1976) 3233.
- [17] K. L. Chang and J. C. Lee, "On solutions of self-dual SL(2,C) gauge theory", Chinese Journal of Phys. Vol. 44, No.4 (1984) 59. J.C. Lee and K. L. Chang, "SL(2,C) Yang-Mills Instantons", Proc. Natl. Sci. Counc. ROC (A), Vol 9, No 4 (1985) 296.
- [18] I. Frenkel and M. Jardim, "Complex ADHM equations and sheaves on P<sup>3</sup>", Journal of Algebra 319 (2008) 2913-2937. J. Madore, J.L. Richard and R. Stora, "An Introduction to the Twistor Programme", Phys. Rept. 49, No. 2 (1979) 113-130.
- [19] M. Jardim and M. Verbitsky, "Trihyperkahler reduction and instanton bundles on CP<sup>3</sup>", Compositio Math. 150 (2014) 1836.
- [20] S. Donaldson, "Instantons and Geometric Invariant Theory", Comm. Math. Phys. 93 (1984) 453–460.
- [21] This subsection is the corrected version of the Appendix in Ref [12].
- [22] J.J. Giambjaggi and K.D. Rothe, Nucl. Phys. B129 (1977) 111.