Electron-electron scattering and conductivity of long multimode channels

K. E. Nagaev

Kotelnikov Institute of Radioengineering and Electronics, Mokhovaya 11-7, Moscow, 125009 Russia

(Dated: May 13, 2022)

The electron-electron scattering increases the resistance of ballistic multimode channels whose width is smaller than their length. We show that this increase saturates in the limit of infinitely long channels. Because the mechanisms of angular relaxation of electrons in three and two dimensions are different, the saturation value of the correction to the resistance is temperature-independent in the case of three-dimensional channels and is proportional to the temperature for two-dimensional ones. The dynamics of electrons in the latter case is described by a specific characteristic length.

PACS numbers: 73.21.Hb, 73.23.-b, 73.50.Lw

I. INTRODUCTION

Though the electron-electron scattering does not directly contribute to the electrical resistance in the absence of umklapp processes,¹ it affects the current in small-size conductors. In particular, it leads to a minimum in the temperature dependence of the resistance² of a wire with diffusive boundary scattering due to the electronic analogues of Knudsen³ and Poiseuille effects. The latter represents a decrease of resistance with increasing temperature due to decreasing viscosity of the electron liquid and is also known as the Gurzhi effect.⁴ A similar decrease of resistance was obtained later for 2D constrictions with viscous electron flow, 5 where the electron-electron scattering serves as a "lubricant" for the rough boundaries of the conducting area. The electronelectron scattering results in the decrease of the resistance even for contacts with smooth boundaries because it changes the trajectories of electrons and may prevent them from passing through the constriction or help them to get through it.^{6,7} This decrease was experimentally observed in several papers.^{8,9}

As the electron-electron collisions conserve the total momentum of electrons, they may affect the conductance only in the presence of a spatial inhomogeneity that absorbs or provides the extra momentum. In the above cases, this inhomogeneity was represented by the hard boundaries of the conducting area, but the extra momentum may be also absorbed by the electron reservoirs at the ends of any conducting system of a finite size. This suggests that the electron-electron scattering may affect the current in finite-length conducting channels even in the case of a specular reflection from the walls. Recently, the correction to the conductance of a narrow multichannel ballistic conductor was calculated for the weak electron-electron scattering.¹⁰ This correction appeared to be negative and resulted from pairwise collisions that changed the number of electrons moving to the right and to the left, i. e. whose projection of the velocity on the channel axis was positive or negative (see Fig. 1). In any dimension higher than 1, these collisions are allowed by the conservation laws. If an electron originating from one of the reservoirs is scattered back into the same reservoir, it does not contribute to the current



FIG. 1. A collision of two electrons that changes the number of right-movers. One of the right-movers is converted into a left-mover despite the momentum conservation.

and hence the resistance of the channel increases.

As the calculations in Ref. 10 were performed in the lowest approximation in the electron-electron scattering, the resulting correction to the conductance was proportional to the length of the channel. However, it was not clear whether the conductance tends to zero with increasing length of the channel or stops to decrease at some finite value. The purpose of the present paper is to calculate the correction to the conductance in the limit of strong electron-electron scattering and to find out whether it saturates with increasing channel length.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present the model and basic equations, in Sec. III we perform calculations for the 3D case, and Sec. IV presents calculations for the 2D case. In Sec. V we discuss the results, and Sec. VI presents the summary. Appendices A and B contain details of solution of the integral equations for the 3D and 2D cases.

II. MODEL AND BASIC EQUATIONS

Consider a metallic wire of a uniform cross-section that connects two electronic reservoirs. We assume that the length L of the wire is much larger than its transverse dimensions, and these dimensions are much larger than the Fermi wavelength. There are no impurities in the wire, and the boundaries are assumed to be absolutely smooth so that the electrons are specularly reflected from them and their longitudinal momentum is conserved. The narrowness of the channel allows us to neglect the effects of electron-electron scattering outside the channel because they are proportional to the number of transverse quantum modes squared.⁶

The distribution function of electrons in the channel obeys the Boltzmann equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{r}} + e\mathbf{E} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = \hat{I}_{ee}, \qquad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi$ is the electric field and the electronelectron collision integral \hat{I}_{ee} is given by

$$\hat{I}_{ee}(\mathbf{p}) = \alpha_{ee} \nu_d^{-2} \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \int \frac{d^d p'}{(2\pi)^d} \int d^d k' \\ \times \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p'} - \mathbf{k'}) \,\delta(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p'}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k'}}) \\ \times \left\{ [1 - f(\mathbf{p})] \, [1 - f(\mathbf{k})] \, f(\mathbf{p'}) \, f(\mathbf{k'}) \\ - f(\mathbf{p}) \, f(\mathbf{k}) \, [1 - f(\mathbf{p'})] \, [1 - f(\mathbf{k'})] \right\}, \quad (2)$$

 α_{ee} is the dimensionless interaction parameter, d = 2or 3 is the dimensionality of the system; $\nu_3 = mp_F/\pi^2$ and $\nu_2 = m/\pi$ are the three- and two-dimensional twospin electronic densities of states ($\hbar = 1$). The current through an arbitrary section of the conductor is given by an integral over the transverse coordinates

$$I = 2e \int d^{d-1}r_{\perp} \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} v_x f(\mathbf{p}, x, \mathbf{r}_{\perp}).$$
(3)

Because of the condition $E_F \gg \max(eV, T)$ one may treat the electron velocity near the Fermi surface as energy independent and set $\mathbf{v} = v_F \mathbf{n}$, where \mathbf{n} is a unit vector in the direction of \mathbf{p} . It is possible to avoid solving the Poisson equation for the electric potential ϕ if one replaces \mathbf{p} as the argument of f by \mathbf{n} and the energy variable $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + e\phi(\mathbf{r}) - E_F$. With the new variables, the term with electric field drops out from Eq. (1), and it takes up the form

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{n},\varepsilon,\mathbf{r})}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{r}} = \hat{I}_{ee}\{f\}\Big|_{\mathbf{n},\varepsilon,\mathbf{r}}.$$
(4)

The boundary conditions for this equation at the left and right ends of the channel are

$$f(\varepsilon, n_x > 0, x = 0) = f_0(\varepsilon - eV/2), \tag{5}$$

$$f(\varepsilon, n_x < 0, x = L) = f_0(\varepsilon + eV/2), \tag{6}$$

where x is the longitudinal coordinate, V is the voltage drop across the channel, and $f_0(\varepsilon) = 1/[1 + \exp(\varepsilon/T)]$ is the equilibrium Fermi distribution function.

Because we are interested in the electric current, the angular relaxation of electrons will be of primary importance to us. Note that the physics of this relaxation is essentially different in 3D and 2D electron gases.^{11,12} While the 3D relaxation is dominated by small-angle scattering, the 2D relaxation has a significant contribution from large-angle scattering that results from collisions of electrons with almost opposite momenta. Therefore one has to make the different approximations for these cases, and in what follows we treat them separately.

III. 3D CHANNEL

In the case of a 3D channel, the angular relaxation is dominated by small-angle scattering $|\Delta \mathbf{p}| \ll p_F$, and therefore all angular harmonics have nearly the same relaxation time $\tau^{-1} \sim T^2/E_F$. The exceptions are the spherical harmonics with l = 0 and l = 1, which have zero relaxation rates because of the particle-number and momentum conservation laws. We assume that the channel is cylindrically symmetric and linearize Eq. (4) with respect to eV by a substitution¹³

$$f(\mathbf{n},\varepsilon,x) = f_0(\varepsilon) + f_0(1-f_0)\psi(x,\mathbf{n}), \qquad (7)$$

where x is the longitudinal coordinate. As the relaxation of all angular harmonics with l > 1 may be approximately described by a single characteristic time τ , Eq. (4) for ψ may be written in the form

$$v_x \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = -\frac{1}{\tau} \left(\psi - \bar{\psi} - \psi_1 \right), \tag{8}$$

where $\bar{\psi}$ and ψ_1 are the zero and first harmonics of ψ given by the angular integrals

$$\bar{\psi}(x) = \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} \,\psi(x,\theta),\tag{9}$$

$$\psi_1(x,\theta) = 3\cos\theta \int \frac{d\Omega'}{4\pi} \,\cos\theta' \,\psi(x,\theta'),\qquad(10)$$

 Ω is the solid angle in the momentum space, and θ is the angle between the momentum direction and the channel axis x. Equation (8) should be supplemented by the boundary conditions

$$\psi(0, n_x > 0) = \frac{eV}{2T}, \quad \psi(L, n_x < 0) = -\frac{eV}{2T}.$$
 (11)

Our goal is to obtain a closed set of equations for $\bar{\psi}$ and ψ_1 . To this end, we first express $\psi(x,\theta)$ in terms of these quantities by means of Eq. (8) and then again substitute it into Eqs. (9) and (10) to obtain self-consistency equations for them. The solution of (8) can be obtained separately for right-moving ($\theta < \pi/2$) and left-moving ($\theta < \pi/2$) electrons by integrating its right-hand part along the trajectory emerging either from the left or right end of the channel.¹⁴ Hence

$$\psi(x,\theta) = \Theta(\pi/2 - \theta) \,\psi_R(x,\theta) + \Theta(\theta - \pi/2) \,\psi_L(x,\theta), \quad (12)$$

where the right-moving and left-moving components are given by

$$\psi_R(x,\theta) = \frac{eV}{2T} e^{-t_R/\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^{t_R} dt'_R e^{-(t_R - t'_R)/\tau} \times [\bar{\psi}(t'_R) + \psi_1(t'_R,\theta)], \quad (13a)$$
$$\psi_L(x,\theta) = -\frac{eV}{2T} e^{-t_L/\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^{t_L} dt'_L e^{-(t_L - t'_L)/\tau} \times [\bar{\psi}(t'_L) + \psi_1(t'_L,\theta)], \quad (13b)$$

and $t_R = x/(v_F \cos \theta)$, $t_L = (L - x)/|v_F \cos \theta|$ are the travelling times of an electron from the left or right end of the channel to point x, respectively. Now present the first harmonic of ψ in the form $\psi_1(x, \theta) = C \cos \theta$, where C is independent of x because of the current conservation. On substitution of Eqs. (13a) and (13b) into Eqs. (9) and (10), one obtains a system of integral equations for $\bar{\psi}$ and C in the form

$$\bar{\psi}(x) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{eV}{T} \left[E_2 \left(\frac{x}{l_{ee}} \right) - E_2 \left(\frac{L-x}{l_{ee}} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[E_3 \left(\frac{L-x}{l_{ee}} \right) - E_3 \left(\frac{x}{l_{ee}} \right) \right] C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \frac{dx'}{l_{ee}} E_1 \left(\frac{|x-x'|}{l_{ee}} \right) \bar{\psi}(x'), \quad (14)$$

$$\left[E_4\left(\frac{x}{l_{ee}}\right) + E_4\left(\frac{L-x}{l_{ee}}\right)\right]C$$

= $\frac{1}{2}\frac{eV}{T}\left[E_3\left(\frac{x}{l_{ee}}\right) + E_3\left(\frac{L-x}{l_{ee}}\right)\right]$
+ $\int_0^L \frac{dx'}{l_{ee}}\operatorname{sgn}(x-x')E_2\left(\frac{|x-x'|}{l_{ee}}\right)\bar{\psi}(x'),$ (15)

where $l_{ee} = v_F \tau$ and the quantities $E_n(x) = x^{n-1} \Gamma(1 - n, x)$ are expressed in terms of the incomplete gamma function. Note that Eq. (14) may be obtained by differentiating Eq. (15) with respect to x provided that C is constant, hence there is only one independent equation for determining both C and $\bar{\psi}(x)$. However Eq. (15) is a Fredholm equation of the first kind in $\bar{\psi}(x)$, which has a solution only if the left-hand side meets certain conditions. Therefore there is no discretion in determining C and $\bar{\psi}$.

As the first step, we solve the problem perturbatively. If $l_{ee} \to \infty$, it follows from Eq. (14) that $\bar{\psi}^{(0)}(x) = 0$. Then one immediately obtains from Eq. (15) that $C^{(0)} = (3/4) eV/T$ and one arrives at the standard expression for the Sharvin conductance¹⁵

$$G_{03} = \frac{e^2 S_0 p_F^2}{(2\pi)^2},\tag{16}$$

where S_0 is the cross-section of the channel. The firstorder correction to $\bar{\psi}$ in electron-electron scattering may



FIG. 2. Comparison of functions $E_2(x)$ (red solid line) and $\exp(-2x)$ (blue dashed line).

be obtained by expanding $E_n(x/l_{ee})$ in x/l_{ee} and substituting $\bar{\psi}^{(0)}$ and $C^{(0)}$ into Eq. (14), which gives

$$\bar{\psi}^{(1)}(x) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{eV}{T} \left\{ \frac{x}{l_{ee}} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \gamma + \ln\left(\frac{x}{l_{ee}}\right) \right] - \frac{L-x}{l_{ee}} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \gamma + \ln\left(\frac{L-x}{l_{ee}}\right) \right] \right\}, \quad (17)$$

where $\gamma = 0.577$ is the Euler constant. A substitution of these quantities into Eq. (15) results in a correction to C and hence to the conductance

$$G_3^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{L}{l_{ee}} G_{03}.$$
 (18)

Up to a numerical constant, this is the same result as in Ref. 10.

If L/l_{ee} is not small, Eq. (15) cannot be solved analytically. A numerical solution of this Fredholm equation of the first kind also presents difficulty because it is an ill-posed problem. Therefore we use a semi-analytical approach and replace $E_2(x)$ in the kernel of Eq. (15) by $\exp(-2x)$. This exponent exhibits a similar behaviour to $E_2(x)$, coincides with it at x = 0, and bounds the same area from above (see Fig. 2). In addition, it allows an analytical solution of Eq. (15) for arbitrary strength of electron-electron scattering. Indeed, an integral equation

$$\int_{0}^{L} dx' \, \operatorname{sgn}(x - x') \, e^{-\lambda |x - x'|} \, \bar{\psi}(x') = g(x) \qquad (19)$$

has a solution of the form

$$\bar{\psi}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{dg}{dx} - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_{L/2}^x dx' g(x')$$
(20)



FIG. 3. The conductance G_3 of a 3D channel normalized to the Sharvin conductance G_0 as a function of the ratio L/l_{ee} .

if its right-hand side g meets the condition

$$g(L) + \lambda \int_{L/2}^{L} dx' \, g(x') = 0, \qquad (21)$$

and has no solution otherwise (see Appendix A for the derivation). One easily obtains a linear equation for C by substituting

$$g(x) = \left[E_4\left(\frac{x}{l_{ee}}\right) + E_4\left(\frac{L-x}{l_{ee}}\right)\right]C - \frac{1}{2}\frac{eV}{T}\left[E_3\left(\frac{x}{l_{ee}}\right) + E_3\left(\frac{L-x}{l_{ee}}\right)\right]$$
(22)

into Eq. (21). This readily gives us the conductance of the channel in the form

$$G_3 = \frac{2}{3} G_{03} \frac{7 + 6 E_3(L/l_{ee}) - 12 E_4(L/l_{ee})}{5 + 6 E_4(L/l_{ee}) - 12 E_5(L/l_{ee})}.$$
 (23)

The plot of this equation against L/l_{ee} is shown in Fig. 3. Its weak-scattering expansion coincides to the first order with Eq. (18), and in the opposite limit $L/l_{ee} \rightarrow \infty$, it tends to $(14/15) G_{03} \approx 0.93 G_{03}$. The corresponding solution of Eq. (15) obtained by substituting Eq. (22) into Eq. (20) is given by

$$\bar{\psi}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[E_3 \left(\frac{L-x}{l_{ee}} \right) - E_3 \left(\frac{x}{l_{ee}} \right) + 4 E_5 \left(\frac{x}{l_{ee}} \right) - 4 E_5 \left(\frac{L-x}{l_{ee}} \right) \right] C - \frac{1}{4} \frac{eV}{T} \left[E_2 \left(\frac{L-x}{l_{ee}} \right) - E_2 \left(\frac{x}{l_{ee}} \right) + 4 E_4 \left(\frac{x}{l_{ee}} \right) - 4 E_2 \left(\frac{L-x}{l_{ee}} \right) \right]. \quad (24)$$

The coordinate dependence of ψ for $L/l_{ee} = 10$ is shown in Fig. 4. It is almost zero in the middle portion of



FIG. 4. The isotropic part of the electron distribution $\bar{\psi}$ in units of eV/T as a function of coordinate for $L/l_{ee} = 10$.

the contact and sharply increases near its ends, so that its derivative has a logarithmic singularity at x = 0 and x = L. In the limit of strong scattering, the values of $\bar{\psi}$ at the ends of the channel tend to $\pm (11/120) eV/T$, which is well below its values in the reservoirs.

IV. 2D CHANNEL

Contrary to 3D systems, the angular relaxation of electron distribution in a 2D system is dominated by collisions of electrons with almost opposite momenta.^{11,12} This results in a sharp difference in the relaxation of symmetric and antisymmetric parts of the distribution function in the momentum space. As this type of scattering just rotates a pair of electrons with opposite momenta in the **p** space about $\mathbf{p} = 0$, it affects the symmetric part of electron distribution but does not affect the antisymmetric one.¹¹ As a result, the relaxation rate for the symmetric part $\tau_s^{-1} \sim T^2/E_F$ is parametrically larger than the relaxation rate for the antisymmetric part $\tau_s^{-1} \sim T^2/E_F$. Therefore we separate the collision integral into the symmetric and antisymmetric parts and describe each of them by its own relaxation time. Using this approximation, one may write down the kinetic equation for ψ in the form

$$v_x \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = -\frac{1}{\tau_s} \left(\psi_s - \bar{\psi} \right) - \frac{1}{\tau_a} \left(\psi_a - \psi_1 \right), \qquad (25)$$

where $\psi_{s,a} = [\psi(\mathbf{n}) \pm \psi(-\mathbf{n})]$ are the symmetric and antisymmetric parts of ψ . The zero and first harmonics of ψ are defined as

$$\bar{\psi} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi d\varphi \,\psi(\varphi),\tag{26}$$

$$\psi_1 = \frac{2}{\pi} \cos \varphi \int_0^{\pi} d\varphi' \cos \varphi' \,\psi(\varphi') \equiv C \cos \varphi, \qquad (27)$$

where φ is the angle between **n** and the longitudinal axis x of the channel. By making symmetric and antisymmetric combinations of Eq. (25) for **n** and $-\mathbf{n}$, one obtains a system of equations for ψ_s and ψ_a in the form

$$|v_x| \frac{d\psi_a}{dx} = -\frac{1}{\tau_s} \left(\psi_s - \bar{\psi}\right), \tag{28a}$$

$$|v_x| \frac{d\psi_s}{dx} = -\frac{1}{\tau_a} \left(\psi_a - \psi_1\right). \tag{28b}$$

Our goal is to express $\psi(x, \varphi)$ in terms of $\bar{\psi}$ and ψ_1 and then to obtain for them self-consistency equations by means of Eqs. (26) and (27), much like in the 3D case.

By introducing new variables $\psi_{\pm} = \sqrt{\tau_a} \psi_s \pm \sqrt{\tau_s} \psi_a$, the system (28) is easily diagonalized and brought to the form

$$|v_x| \frac{d\psi_+}{dx} + \frac{1}{\tau_m} \psi_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_s}} \bar{\psi} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_a}} \psi_1$$
(29a)

$$|v_x| \frac{d\psi_-}{dx} - \frac{1}{\tau_m} \psi_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_s}} \bar{\psi} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_a}} \psi_1,$$
 (29b)

where $\tau_m = \sqrt{\tau_s \tau_a}$ is a new characteristic time scale. Similarly to Eqs. (13), the solutions of Eqs. (29) may be written as integrals of the right-hand parts along the trajectories emerging from the left and right ends of the channel

$$\psi_{+}(x) = \psi_{+}(0) e^{-t_{R}/\tau_{m}} + \int_{0}^{t_{R}} dt'_{R} e^{-(t_{R}-t'_{R})/\tau_{m}} \\ \times \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{s}}} \bar{\psi}(t'_{R}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{a}}} \psi_{1}(t'_{R}) \right], \quad (30a)$$
$$\psi_{-}(x) = \psi_{-}(L) e^{-t_{L}/\tau_{m}} - \int_{0}^{t_{L}} dt_{L} e^{-(t_{L}-t'_{L})/\tau_{m}} \\ \times \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{s}}} \bar{\psi}(t'_{L}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{a}}} \psi_{1}(t'_{L}) \right], \quad (30b)$$

where $t_R = x/|v_x|$ and $t_L = (L-x)/|v_x|$ are defined as in Eqs. (13). However in contrast to Eqs. (13), $\psi_+(0)$ and $\psi_-(L)$ are now unknown quantities themselves, as well as $\psi_+(L)$ and $\psi_-(0)$. To determine these four values, one needs four equations. Two of them may be obtained by substituting x = L into Eq. (30a) and x = 0 into Eq. (30b). Another pair of equations may be obtained from the boundary conditions Eq. (11) and reads

$$(\sqrt{\tau_s} + \sqrt{\tau_a}) \psi_+(0) + (\sqrt{\tau_a} - \sqrt{\tau_s}) \psi_-(0) = \tau_m eV/T, \quad (31a)$$
$$(\sqrt{\tau_s} - \sqrt{\tau_a}) \psi_+(L) - (\sqrt{\tau_s} + \sqrt{\tau_a}) \psi_-(L) = -\tau_m eV/T. \quad (31b)$$

Substituting the solutions of this system into Eqs. (30), going back to $\psi_a = (\psi_+ + \psi_-)/2\sqrt{\tau_s}$ and $\psi_s = (\psi_+ - \psi_-)/2\sqrt{\tau_a}$ and finally substituting ψ_s and ψ_a into Eqs. (26) and (27) results in self-consistency equations for $\bar{\psi}(x)$ and C. In the general case, these equations are too cumbersome to be presented here. In the limit of a long channel $L \gg l_m \equiv v_F \tau_m$, the self-consistency equation for C is of the form

$$\sqrt{\frac{\tau_a}{\tau_s}} \int_0^L \frac{dx'}{l_m} \left[\kappa_0 \,\tilde{E}_2\left(\frac{x+x'}{l_m}\right) - \kappa_0 \,\tilde{E}_2\left(\frac{2L-x-x'}{l_m}\right) + \operatorname{sgn}(x-x') \,\tilde{E}_2\left(\frac{|x-x'|}{l_m}\right) \right] \bar{\psi}(x') - (1+\kappa_0) \, C \left[\tilde{E}_4\left(\frac{x}{l_m}\right) + \tilde{E}_4\left(\frac{L-x}{l_m}\right) \right] + \frac{eV}{T} \, \sigma_0 \left[\tilde{E}_3\left(\frac{x}{l_m}\right) + \tilde{E}_3\left(\frac{L-x}{l_m}\right) \right] = 0, \quad (32)$$

where

$$\kappa_0 = \frac{\tau_a - \tau_s}{(\sqrt{\tau_a} + \sqrt{\tau_s})^2}, \quad \sigma_0 = \frac{\sqrt{\tau_s \tau_a}}{\sqrt{\tau_a} + \sqrt{\tau_s}}, \quad (33)$$

and

$$\tilde{E}_n(x) = \int_1^\infty d\xi \, \frac{e^{-\xi x}}{\xi^{n-1} \sqrt{\xi^2 - 1}}.$$
(34)

As in the 3D case, the self-consistency equation for $\bar{\psi}(x)$ may be obtained by differentiating Eq. (32) with respect to x and therefore gives no additional information. Much like Eq. (15), it is a Fredholm equation of the first kind and determines uniquely both C and $\bar{\psi}(x)$. Similarly to the 3D case, Eq. (32) may be approximately solved by replacing $\tilde{E}_2(x)$ in the integrand with $(\pi/2) \exp(-\pi x/2)$. The replacement function is chosen such that it coincides with $\tilde{E}_2(x)$ at x = 0 and bounds the same area from above. With this replacement, Eq. (32) may be rewritten in the form

$$\int_{0}^{L} dx' \left[\kappa_{0} e^{-\lambda (x+x')} - \kappa_{0} e^{\lambda (x+x'-2L)} + \operatorname{sgn}(x-x') e^{-\lambda |x-x'|} \right] \bar{\psi}(x') = \tilde{g}(x), \quad (35)$$

where $\lambda = \pi/2l_m$ and the right-hand side is given by

$$\tilde{g}(x) = (1 + \kappa_0) C \left[\tilde{E}_4 \left(\frac{x}{l_m} \right) + \tilde{E}_4 \left(\frac{L - x}{l_m} \right) \right] - \frac{eV}{T} \sigma_0 \left[\tilde{E}_3 \left(\frac{x}{l_m} \right) + \tilde{E}_3 \left(\frac{L - x}{l_m} \right) \right]. \quad (36)$$

The solution of Eq. (35) exists only if

$$(1 + \kappa_0)\,\tilde{g}(L) + \lambda\,(1 - \kappa_0)\int_{L/2}^L dx\,\tilde{g}(x) = 0 \quad (37)$$

(see Appendix B). From this condition, one easily calculates C and obtains the conductance in the form

$$G_2 = \frac{3}{8} \frac{8 + \pi^2 \sqrt{\tau_s/\tau_a}}{3 + 4\sqrt{\tau_s/\tau_a}} G_{02},$$
(38)

where $G_{02} = e^2 p_F W/\pi^2$ is the Sharvin conductance of a 2D ballistic contact and W is the width of the channel. This suggests that G_2 is a monotonically decreasing function of τ_s/τ_a . In the limit $\tau_s \ll \tau_a$, it approximately equals

$$G_2 \approx \left(1 - 0.1 \sqrt{\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_a}}\right) G_{02}.$$
 (39)

Hence in the limit of a long 2D channel, the negative correction to the conductance saturates at a value proportional to the temperature.

V. DISCUSSION

Electron-electron collisions may decrease the conductance of a ballistic conducting channel because some electrons in it are backscattered to the reservoirs. However they can affect the current only if the electron distribution in the momentum space contains odd angular harmonics higher than the first. Meanwhile the electronelectron scattering suppresses all higher angular harmonics at sufficiently large distance from the reservoirs. If the channel is sufficiently long, the electrons in its middle part are described by a quasi-equilibrium Fermi distribution, whose center of mass is shifted in the momentum space away from $\mathbf{p} = 0$ to account for the current flow. This distribution identically turns the collision integral into zero, and therefore only the scattering near the ends of the channel affects the current. Hence the correction to the current saturates in the limit of a long channel. A similar saturation of the correction to the conductance was predicted in¹⁶ for a long single-mode quantum wire where it resulted from three-electron collisions. The authors obtained that the correction is determined only by conservation laws and does not depend on the details of scattering, but this is not the case for a semiclassical system.

In the case of a 3D channel, the even and odd angular harmonics relax at the same rate and the number of collisions that affect the current is commensurate with their total number. Therefore in the limit of a long channel, the correction to the conductance is about 7% independently of the scattering strength. Indeed, the stronger the scattering, the shorter the portions of the channel where it affects the current.

Things are different for a 2D channel. In this case, the small-angle scattering that leads to the relaxation of odd angular harmonics in the collision integral and which only contributes to the current, is much weaker than the large-angle scattering that determines the relaxation of even harmonics, i.e. only a small fraction of scattering events affects the current. However because of spatial inhomogeneity near the ends of the channel that mixes together the odd and even harmonics, there is an interplay between these two types of relaxation, and the resulting length of the scattering-efficient portions of the channel near its ends is determined by the root mean square of τ_s and τ_a . Hence the resulting correction appears to be proportional to $\sqrt{\tau_s/\tau_a} \propto T$.

An experimental verification of Eqs. (23) and (38)would be a good test of the Gurzhi theory of electronelectron relaxation in a 2D gas. In experiments on Al-GaAs/GaAs heterostructures,^{8,9} the elastic mean free path due to impurity scattering was about 20 μ m, E_F was 2.9 meV, and v_F was 1.3×10^7 cm/s. Together with the estimate of the interaction parameter⁹ $1 < \alpha_{ee} < 2$, this suggests that $l_{ee} \approx \alpha_{ee} \hbar v_F / (k_B T)^2$ will be smaller already at $T \geq 1.5$ K. The strength of boundary scattering is hard to estimate, but there are indications² that in the case of a channel formed by remote electrostatic gates, 80% of all boundary collisions are specular. Probably their percentage may be increased further by increasing the distance between the channel and the gates. Therefore the regime discussed above is experimentally attainable. The predicted saturation of the correction to the resistance may be observed, e. g., by increasing the temperature at a fixed length of the channel.

VI. SUMMARY

In summary, we have calculated the correction to the conductance of a long multimode ballistic channel that results from electron-electron scattering. In the case of a sufficiently long 3D channel, the resulting correction is independent of temperature and the parameter of electronelectron scattering because the rate of collisions affecting the current is comparable with total collision rate that forms the shape of the electron distribution function. In the case of a 2D channel, the rate of collisions affecting the current is much smaller than the total collision rate, and the resulting saturation value of negative correction to the conductance is proportional to the temperature. The characteristic length of channel that corresponds to the saturation in the 2D case is different from the standard electron–electron scattering length.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research, grant 16-02–00583-a.

Appendix A: Solution of the integral equation for the 3D case

Consider the integral equation

$$\int_{0}^{L} dx' \, \operatorname{sgn}(x - x') \, e^{-\lambda |x - x'|} \, \bar{\psi}(x') = g(x) \quad (A1)$$

with an antisymmetric kernel. Our goal is to determine the conditions on which it has a solution. To this end, we consider an auxiliary equation

$$\int_{0}^{L} dx' \, e^{-\lambda |x-x'|} \, \bar{\psi}(x') = g_1(x) \tag{A2}$$

with a symmetric kernel. The differentiation of this equation with respect to x gives Eq. (A1) provided that

$$g(x) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{dg_1}{dx}.$$
 (A3)

Differentiating Eq. (A2) with respect to x for the second time gives a Fredholm equation of the second type

$$\bar{\psi}(x) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{0}^{L} dx' \, e^{-\lambda |x-x'|} \, \bar{\psi}(x') = -\frac{1}{2\lambda} \, \frac{d^2 g_1}{dx^2}.$$
 (A4)

The integral in left-hand side of Eq. (A4) may be excluded by means of Eq. (A2), so one obtains

$$\bar{\psi}(x) = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \left[\lambda^2 g_1(x) - \frac{d^2 g_1}{dx^2} \right]. \tag{A5}$$

Now we have to make sure that $\bar{\psi}(x)$ from Eq. (A5) also satisfies Eq. (A2). To this end, we substitute it into the left-hand side of Eq. (A2) and integrate twice by parts. Thus it is brought to the form

$$g_{1}(x) - \frac{1}{2\lambda} e^{-\lambda(L-x)} \left(\frac{dg_{1}}{dx} + \lambda g_{1} \right) \bigg|_{x=L} + \frac{1}{2\lambda} e^{-\lambda x} \left(\frac{dg_{1}}{dx} - \lambda g_{1} \right) \bigg|_{x=0}, \quad (A6)$$

hence the solution of Eq. (A2) exists and is given by (A5) if

$$\left(\frac{dg_1}{dx} + \lambda g_1\right)\Big|_{x=L} = \left.\left(\frac{dg_1}{dx} - \lambda g_1\right)\Big|_{x=0} = 0.$$
 (A7)

Rewrite now this condition in terms of g(x) by means of (A3). If g(x - L/2) is an even function of x, $g_1(x - L/2)$ must be an odd function of x.

$$g_1(x) = -\lambda \int_{L/2}^x dx' g(x').$$
 (A8)

The condition (A7) at x = L takes up the form

$$g(L) + \lambda \int_{L/2}^{L} dx' g(x') = 0.$$
 (A9)

If it is satisfied, the condition (A7) at x = -L/2 is also met because \tilde{f} is an odd function. Correspondingly,

$$\bar{\psi}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{dg}{dx} - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_{L/2}^x dx' \, g(x').$$
 (A10)

Appendix B: Solution of the integral equation for the 2D case

The integral equation for $\bar{\psi}(x)$ in the 2D case may be written in the form

$$\int_{0}^{L} dx' \left[\kappa_{0} e^{-\lambda (x+x')} - \kappa_{0} e^{\lambda (x+x'-2L)} + \operatorname{sgn}(x-x') e^{-\lambda |x-x'|} \right] \bar{\psi}(x') = \tilde{g}(x). \quad (B1)$$

To find the solution of Eq. (B1) and the condition for its existence, we consider an auxiliary equation

$$\int_{0}^{L} dx' \left[\kappa_{0} e^{-\lambda (x+x')} + \kappa_{0} e^{\lambda (x+x'-2L)} + e^{-\lambda |x-x'|} \right] \bar{\psi}(x') = \tilde{g}_{1}(x). \quad (B2)$$

The differentiation of both sides of this equation with respect to x gives precisely Eq. (B1) provided that

$$\tilde{g}(x) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{d\tilde{g}_1}{dx}.$$
(B3)

By differentiating Eq. (B2) twice with respect to x, one obtains

$$\lambda^{2} \int_{0}^{L} dx' \left[\kappa_{0} e^{-\lambda (x+x')} + \kappa_{0} e^{\lambda (x+x'-2L)} + e^{-\lambda |x-x'|} \right] \bar{\psi}(x') - 2\lambda \, \bar{\psi}(x) = \frac{d^{2} \tilde{g}_{1}}{dx^{2}}.$$
 (B4)

In view of Eq. (B2), it may be recast in the form

$$\lambda^2 \tilde{g}_1(x) - 2\lambda \bar{\psi}(x) = \frac{d^2 \tilde{g}_1}{dx^2},$$
 (B5)

hence

$$\bar{\psi}(x) = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \left[\lambda^2 \,\tilde{g}_1(x) - \frac{d^2 \tilde{g}_1}{dx^2} \right]. \tag{B6}$$

So if Eq. (B2) has a solution, it is of the form (B6). Substitute now Eq. (B6) back into Eq. (B2) and check whether it is satisfied. To do this, we perform twice the integration by parts in its left-hand side to get rid of the derivatives with respect to x. Upon these integrations,

the left-hand side of Eq. (B2) assumes the form

$$\int_{0}^{L} dx' \left[\kappa_{0} e^{-\lambda (x+x')} + \kappa_{0} e^{\lambda (x+x'-2L)} + e^{-\lambda |x-x'|} \right] \\ \times \frac{1}{2\lambda} \left[\lambda^{2} \tilde{g}_{1}(x) - \frac{d^{2} \tilde{g}_{1}}{dx^{2}} \right] \\ = \tilde{g}_{1}(x) - \frac{1}{2} e^{\lambda (x-L)} \left[(1-\kappa_{0}) \tilde{g}_{1} + (1+\kappa_{0}) \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{d\tilde{g}_{1}}{dx} \right]_{x=L} \\ - \frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda x} \left[(1-\kappa_{0}) \tilde{g}_{1} - (1+\kappa_{0}) \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{d\tilde{g}_{1}}{dx} \right]_{x=0} \\ - \frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda (x+L)} \kappa_{0} \left(\tilde{g}_{1} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{d\tilde{g}_{1}}{dx} \right)_{x=L} \\ - \frac{1}{2} e^{\lambda (x-2L)} \left(\tilde{g}_{1} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{d\tilde{g}_{1}}{dx} \right)_{x=0}. \quad (B7)$$

The two last terms in this equation are exponentially small and may be omitted. Hence the solution of Eq. (B2) exists only if

$$(1 - \kappa_0) \tilde{g}_1 + (1 + \kappa_0) \frac{1}{\lambda} \left. \frac{d\tilde{g}_1}{dx} \right|_{x=L} = (1 - \kappa_0) \tilde{g}_1 - (1 + \kappa_0) \frac{1}{\lambda} \left. \frac{d\tilde{g}_1}{dx} \right|_{x=0} = 0.$$
(B8)

Using the relation (B3), one obtains that

$$(1 + \kappa_0)\,\tilde{g}(L) + \lambda\,(1 - \kappa_0)\int_{L/2}^L dx\,\tilde{g}(x) = 0.$$
 (B9)

As $\tilde{g}(x - L/2)$ is even function of x, this ensures the fulfilment of both equations (B8).

- ¹ R. Peierls, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 395, 1055 (1929).
- ² L. W. Molenkamp and M. J. M. de Jong, Phys. Rev. B 49, 5038 (1994).
- ³ J. E. Black, Phys. Rev. B **21**, 3279 (1980).
- ⁴ R. N. Gurzhi, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 44, 771 (1963)
 [JETP Lett. 17, 521 (1963)]; Usp. Fiz. Nauk 94, 689 (1968)
 [Sov. Phys. Usp. 11, 255 (1968)].
- ⁵ H. Guo, E. Ilseven, G. Falkovich, and L. S. Levitov, PNAS 114, 3068 (2017).
- ⁶ K. E. Nagaev and O. S. Ayvazyan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 216807 (2008).
- ⁷ K. E. Nagaev and T. V. Kostyuchenko, Phys. Rev. B 81, 125316 (2010).
- ⁸ V. T. Renard, O. A. Tkachenko, V. A. Tkachenko, T. Ota, N. Kumada, J. C. Portal, and Y. Hirayama, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 186801 (2008).

- ⁹ M. Yu. Melnikov, J. P. Kotthaus, V. Pellegrini, L. Sorba, G. Biasiol, and V. S. Khrapai, Phys. Rev. B 86, 075425 (2012).
- ¹⁰ K. E. Nagaev and N. Yu. Sergeeva, Phys. Rev. B 85, 165404 (2012).
- ¹¹ R.N. Gurzhi, A.N. Kalinenko, and A.I. Kopeliovich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3872 (1995); Phys. Rev. B **52**, 4744 (1995).
- 12 P. Leqwith, H. Guo, and L. Levitov, arXiv:1708.01915.
- ¹³ H. J. W. Haug and A.-P. Jauho, Quantum Kinetics in Transport and Optics of Semiconductors, Springer-Verlag Berlin, 2008.
- ¹⁴ Kulik, Shekhter, and Omelyanchouk, Solid State Comm.
 23, 301 (1977); Kulik, Omel'yanchuk, and Shekhter, Fiz. Nizk. Temp. 3, 1543-1558 (1977) [Sov. J. Low Temp. Phys. 3, 740 (1977)].
- ¹⁵ Y. V. Sharvin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **48**, 984 (1965) [Sov. Phys. JETP **21**, 655 (1965)]
- ¹⁶ J. Rech, T. Micklitz, and K. A. Matveev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 116402 (2009).