

Kinematic interpretation of the Study quadric's ambient space

G. Nawratil

*Institute of Discrete Mathematics and Geometry, Vienna University of Technology,
Austria, e-mail: nawratil@geometrie.tuwien.ac.at*

Abstract. It is well known that real points of the Study quadric (sliced along a 3-dimensional generator space) correspond to displacements of the Euclidean 3-space. But we still lack of a kinematic meaning for the points of the ambient 7-dimensional projective space P^7 . This paper gives one possible interpretation in terms of displacements of the Euclidean 4-space. From this point of view we also discuss the extended inverse kinematic map, motions corresponding to straight lines in P^7 and linear complexes of SE(3)-displacements. Moreover we present an application of this interpretation in the context of interactive motion design.

Key words: Kinematic Map, Study Quadric, SE(4), Circular Darboux 2-Motion

1 Introduction

$\mathfrak{Q} := q_0 + q_1\mathbf{i} + q_2\mathbf{j} + q_3\mathbf{k}$ with $q_0, \dots, q_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ is an element of the skew field of quaternions \mathbb{H} , where $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$ are the quaternion units. The scalar part is q_0 and the pure part equals $q_1\mathbf{i} + q_2\mathbf{j} + q_3\mathbf{k}$, which is also denoted by \mathfrak{q} . The conjugated quaternion to $\mathfrak{Q} = q_0 + \mathfrak{q}$ is given by $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}} := q_0 - \mathfrak{q}$ and \mathfrak{Q} is called a unit-quaternion for $\mathfrak{Q} \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}} = 1$, where \circ denotes the quaternion multiplication. Finally we can embed points P of the Euclidean 3-space E^3 with coordinates (p_1, p_2, p_3) with respect to the Cartesian frame $(O; x_1, x_2, x_3)$ into the set of pure quaternions by:

$$t_3 : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \quad \text{with} \quad (p_1, p_2, p_3) \mapsto \mathfrak{p} := p_1\mathbf{i} + p_2\mathbf{j} + p_3\mathbf{k}. \quad (1)$$

Combining two quaternions by the dual unit ε with the property $\varepsilon^2 = 0$ yields the set of dual quaternions $\mathbb{H} + \varepsilon\mathbb{H}$. An element $\mathfrak{E} + \varepsilon\mathfrak{F}$ of $\mathbb{H} + \varepsilon\mathbb{H}$ is called dual unit-quaternion if \mathfrak{E} is a unit-quaternion and the following condition holds:

$$\mathfrak{F} \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}} + \mathfrak{Q} \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{F}} = 0 \quad \iff \quad e_0t_0 + e_1t_1 + e_2t_2 + e_3t_3 = 0. \quad (2)$$

We denote the set of dual unit-quaternions by \mathbb{E} . By skipping the so-called Study condition of Eq. (2) we get a superset of \mathbb{E} , which we call \mathbb{F} . Note that both sets \mathbb{E} and \mathbb{F} build a group with respect to the quaternion multiplication.

Based on the usage of $\mathfrak{E} + \varepsilon\mathfrak{T} \in \mathbb{E}$ it can be shown (e.g. [4, Section 3.3.2.2]) that the mapping of points $P \in E^3$ induced by any element of $SE(3)$, can be written as:

$$\delta_3 : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \quad \text{with} \quad p \mapsto \mathfrak{E} \circ p \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{E}} + (\mathfrak{T} \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{E}} - \mathfrak{E} \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{T}}). \quad (3)$$

Moreover the mapping of Eq. (3) is an element of $SE(3)$ for any $\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{T}$ implying a dual quaternion $\mathfrak{E} + \varepsilon\mathfrak{T} \in \mathbb{E}$. Note that $\delta_3(p)$ is again a pure quaternion, where the first summand $\mathfrak{E} \circ p \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}$ is the rotational component and the term in the parentheses corresponds to the translational part.

As both dual quaternions $\pm(\mathfrak{E} + \varepsilon\mathfrak{T}) \in \mathbb{E}$ imply the same Euclidean motion of E^3 , we consider the homogeneous 8-tuple $(e_0 : \dots : e_3 : t_0 : \dots : t_3)$. These so-called Study parameters can be interpreted as points of a projective 7-dimensional space P^7 . Therefore there is a bijection between $SE(3)$ and all real points of P^7 located on the Study quadric $\Phi \subset P^7$ given by Eq. (2), which is sliced along the 3-dimensional generator-space $G : e_0 = e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = 0$. Points of this generator-space are called "Pseudosomen" by Study [18].

1.1 Motivation

It is well known (e.g. [12]) that there exists a bijection between the set \mathcal{L} of lines of the projective 3-space and all real points of the so-called Plücker quadric

$$\Psi : l_{01}l_{23} + l_{02}l_{31} + l_{03}l_{12} = 0 \quad (4)$$

of P^5 , where the homogeneous 6-tuple $(l_{01} : l_{02} : l_{03} : l_{23} : l_{31} : l_{12})$ are the Plücker coordinates of the lines. This bijection $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \Psi$ is also known as Klein mapping.

Remark 1. Clearly there is an analogy between the Study quadric Φ and the Plücker quadric Ψ . In contrast to $SE(3)$ the set \mathcal{L} is compact, i.e. the quadric Ψ has not to be sliced. But the 2-dimensional generator space $l_{01} = l_{02} = l_{03} = 0$ corresponds to the set of ideal lines, which therefore are the analog of the "Pseudosomen". \diamond

Moreover one can define an *extended Klein mapping* which identifies each point of P^5 with a linear complex of lines in P^3 . As the latter can always be seen as a path-normal complex of instantaneous screws (different from the zero screw), which only vary in speed (i.e. they are real proportional), one ends up with a bijection between points of P^5 and *homogeneous screw coordinates* $\$:= (s_{01} : s_{02} : s_{03} : s_{23} : s_{31} : s_{12})$. The corresponding path-normals fulfill the condition

$$s_{01}l_{23} + s_{02}l_{31} + s_{03}l_{12} + s_{23}l_{01} + s_{31}l_{02} + s_{12}l_{03} = 0, \quad (5)$$

which geometrically means that they are located in the intersection of Ψ and the polar plane of $\$$ with respect to Ψ . This line/screw-geometric explanation of the complete P^5 raises the question for a kinematic interpretation of the points of the Study quadric's ambient space P^7 , which is still missing.

Moreover this study is motivated by the *extended inverse kinematic map* $\kappa^{-1} : P^7 \setminus G \rightarrow SE(3)$ discussed by Pfurner, Schröcker and Husty (PSH) in [11]. Under this map any point of $P^7 \setminus G$ is identified with a displacement of $SE(3)$, which corresponds to a point on $\Phi \setminus G$. These two points are linked by the so-called (cf. [17]) PSH-map: $P^7 \setminus G \rightarrow \Phi \setminus G$, which can be written in terms of dual quaternions as:

$$\varphi : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{E} + \varepsilon \mathfrak{T} \mapsto \mathfrak{E} + \varepsilon \left[\mathfrak{T} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathfrak{T} \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{E}} + \mathfrak{E} \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{T}} \right) \circ \mathfrak{E} \right]. \quad (6)$$

In this context it should also be mentioned that the PSH-map is the analogue of the map in P^5 sending a screw $\$$ to its axis (cf. [17] and [9, Remark 10]).

Remark 2. Selig et al. [17, Theorem 1] showed that the PSH-map is equivalent to the composition of an extended inverse Cayley map with the direct Cayley map, where the Cayley map in question is associated to the adjoint representation of $SE(3)$. Moreover it should be noted that the basic principle of κ^{-1} was already mentioned by Ge and Purwar [3, Section 4], who used it inter alia in [13] and [14]. \diamond

We do not want to interpret points of the ambient space P^7 of Φ by means of κ^{-1} as this map is not bijective (in contrast to the *extended Klein mapping*). We overcome this problem by interpreting the points of P^7 as displacements of a motion group of the Euclidean 4-space E^4 (cf. Section 2). From this point of view we discuss the PSH-map (cf. Section 2), straight lines in P^7 (cf. Section 3) and linear complexes of $SE(3)$ -displacements (cf. Section 4). We conclude the paper (cf. Section 5) by noting an application for the interactive design of rational motions.

2 Kinematic interpretation as a subgroup of $SE(4)$

We embed points P of E^4 with coordinates (p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3) with respect to the Cartesian frame $(O; x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ into the set of quaternions by the mapping:

$$\iota_4 : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \quad \text{with} \quad (p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3) \mapsto \mathfrak{P} := p_0 + p_1 \mathbf{i} + p_2 \mathbf{j} + p_3 \mathbf{k} = p_0 + \mathfrak{p}. \quad (7)$$

Let us identify E^3 with any hyperplane $x_0 = k$ with $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover we consider the set X_4 of displacements of $SE(4)$, which fixes the ideal point in direction of the x_0 -axis. It is an easy task to show that X_4 is a subgroup¹ of $SE(4)$.

Theorem 1. *The mapping of points $P \in E^4$ induced by any element of X_4 , can be written as:*

$$\delta_4 : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{P} \mapsto \mathfrak{E} \circ \mathfrak{P} \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{E}} - 2\mathfrak{E} \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{T}}. \quad (8)$$

Moreover the mapping of Eq. (8) is an element of X_4 for any $\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{T}$ implying a dual quaternion $\mathfrak{E} + \varepsilon \mathfrak{T} \in \mathbb{F}$.

¹ The nomenclature is due to the fact that X_4 can be seen as the 4-dimensional analogue of a Schoenflies group of $SE(3)$, which is denoted by X .

Proof. Direct computation shows that the direction of the x_0 -axis remains fixed under the mapping of Eq. (8). Therefore any displacement of this form is an element of X_4 . It remains to show that any element of X_4 can be written in this way. Therefore we use the following corollary which follows immediately from [10, Theorem 3.1]:

Corollary 1. *The mapping $\mathfrak{P} \mapsto \mathfrak{E} \circ \mathfrak{P} \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}$ is a rotation about the plane Λ through the origin O spanned by $l := (1, 0, 0, 0)$ and $E := (e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3)$, where the rotation angle λ is two times the enclosed angle of these two vectors; i.e. $0^\circ \leq \frac{\lambda}{2} \leq 180^\circ$. The angle of rotation $\lambda \geq 0$ is given with respect to the orientation of Λ , which is implied by the oriented triangle O, l, E .*

The last sentence also implies that \mathfrak{E} and $-\mathfrak{E}$ yield the same rotation. Moreover from this geometric interpretation it is clear that any rotation about a plane through O containing l can be written in the form $\mathfrak{P} \mapsto \tilde{\mathfrak{E}} \circ \mathfrak{P} \circ \mathfrak{E}$.

We proceed with the translation part $-2\mathfrak{E} \circ \mathfrak{T}$ of Eq. (8): As shown in [10, Proof of Theorem 2.6] any translation vector of \mathbb{R}^4 can be generated in this way. Moreover there is a bijection between $-2\mathfrak{E} \circ \mathfrak{T}$ and \mathfrak{T} for a given unit-quaternion \mathfrak{E} .

The final ingredient for our proof is the knowledge (e.g. [1, Chapter 9] and [2, Chapter I]) that any displacement in E^4 (beside the identity transform) fixing the x_0 -direction is either

- (a) a translation or
- (b) a rotation about a plane Γ parallel to the x_0 -direction or
- (c) a composition of a rotation about a plane Γ parallel to the x_0 -direction and a translation parallel to Γ .

Clearly, all translations (including the identity) can be written as in Eq. (8) by setting $\mathfrak{E} = 1$. Moreover any displacements of item (b) and (c) can be archived by the composition of a rotation about a plane Λ parallel to Γ , which contains the origin O , and a translation. If the translation vector is orthogonal to Λ then we obtain case (b) otherwise we end up with case (c). This closes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

As both dual quaternions $\pm(\mathfrak{E} + \varepsilon\mathfrak{T}) \in \mathbb{F}$ correspond to the same X_4 -motion of E^4 , we consider again the homogeneous 8-tuple $(e_0 : \dots : e_3 : t_0 : \dots : t_3)$. Therefore there is a bijection between X_4 and all real points of $P^7 \setminus G$. Due to

$$\delta_4(\mathfrak{P}) = p_0 - 2(e_0t_0 + e_1t_1 + e_2t_2 + e_3t_3) + \delta_3(\mathfrak{p}) \quad (9)$$

the mapping of Eq. (8) restricted to the *pure part* equals the mapping of Eq. (3). Moreover displacements of X_4 fixing the hyperplanes $x_0 = k$ correspond to points on $\Phi \setminus G$. These points imply SE(3)-displacements in the hyperplanes $x_0 = k$, which we identify with E^3 . This completes the kinematic interpretation of all points of $P^7 \setminus G$; i.e. the Study parameter space with exception of the "Pseudosomen".

Remark 3. For reasons of completeness we also want to give the normalized Grassmann plane coordinates of the plane Γ , which has been mentioned in item (b) and (c) of the proof above. According to [10, Section 4.3] they can be written as a quaternionic triple $(\tilde{l}, \hat{l}, \mathfrak{L})$ where (\tilde{l}, \hat{l}) correspond to the normalized Plücker coordinates

of the ideal line of Γ , oriented from the ideal point in direction of \mathbf{l} to the ideal point in direction of \mathbf{E} . A short computation shows that Γ is determined by:

$$\bar{\mathbf{l}} := \frac{\mathbf{e}}{\mathbf{e} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{e}}} \quad \hat{\mathbf{l}} := 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{L} := \frac{(\mathfrak{E} - \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}) \circ (\bar{\mathbf{l}} \circ \mathfrak{T} - \mathfrak{T} \circ \bar{\mathbf{l}})}{(\mathfrak{E} - \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}) \circ (\tilde{\mathfrak{E}} - \mathfrak{E})}. \quad (10)$$

Note that the intersection of the hyperplane $x_0 = k$ and the plane Γ yields the axis of the corresponding displacement (pure rotation or screw displacement) in E^3 . \diamond

Now we want to clarify the meaning of the extended inverse kinematic mapping κ^{-1} in terms of our given interpretation. Therefore we compute the action of $\varphi(\mathfrak{E} + \varepsilon\mathfrak{T}) \in \mathbb{E}$ on a point $P \in E^4$ according to Eq. (8), which yields:

$$\mathfrak{P} \mapsto \mathfrak{E} \circ \mathfrak{P} \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{E}} - 2\mathfrak{E} \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{T}} + (\mathfrak{T} \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{E}} + \mathfrak{E} \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{T}}). \quad (11)$$

The term in the parentheses is a pure scalar which equals the scalar part of $2\mathfrak{E} \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{T}}$. Therefore the inverse kinematic mapping is nothing else than an orthogonal projection onto the hyperplane $x_0 = k$, which we have identified with E^3 .

In order to make the things more descriptive we stress the following lower-dimensional analogue: Consider the Schoenflies motion group X with respect to the direction x_3 and its subgroup of planar motions $SE(2)$ parallel to the x_1x_2 -plane. If we apply an orthogonal projection along the x_3 -direction (analogue of κ^{-1}) to a X -motion we obtain a planar one. This is illustrated in Fig. 1.

Remark 4. This lower-dimensional analogue is just for illustration but would not make sense in practice. The reason is that $SE(2)$ is isomorphic to P^3 with coordinates $(e_0 : e_3 : t_1 : t_2)$ sliced along a line $e_0 = e_3 = 0$, but X is isomorphic to a hyperquadric $e_0t_0 + e_3t_3 = 0$ of P^5 with coordinates $(e_0 : e_3 : t_0 : t_1 : t_2 : t_3)$, which is sliced along the 3-plane $e_0 = e_3 = 0$. Therefore the superset X of $SE(2)$ has the "nasty" quadratic side-condition, which is exactly opposite for X_4 and $SE(3)$. \diamond




Fig. 1 The planar motion (right) is obtained as top view of the Schoenflies motion (left). In addition we label the poses of the top view by the x_3 -coordinate. In German such a map is known as "kotierte Projektion".

The instantaneous screw in the starting pose (left), which is visualized by a gray helix and the black axis in x_3 -direction, appears in the top view (right) as the instantaneous rotation of the planar motion.

3 Straight lines in the Study parameter space

It is known (cf. [14, Section 3.2], [17, Section 4], [16, Section 3]) that straight lines in P^7 are in general send by the PSH-map to vertical Darboux motions (see e.g. [2, pp. 321–322] and [8]). In special cases they correspond to rotations about fixed axes or translations along fixed directions.

As preparatory work for the study of straight lines of P^7 in terms of X_4 -motions, we recall some results on so-called Darboux 2-motions, which were studied by Karger [6] in E^n . In [6, Definition 1] he defined a Darboux 2-motion as a motion, where all trajectories are (1) planar and (2) affine equivalent in the kinematical sense. The second condition is redundant for E^4 due to a result given by Karger in [7]. Therefore all motions of $SE(4)$ with planar trajectories, which are known [6, Theorem 4] to be either ellipses or line segments, are given in [6]. We focus on Darboux 2-motions in E^4 , where all points have circular trajectories.

Theorem 2. *A circular Darboux 2-motion, which is neither spherical nor a pure translation, has to be composed of a rotation about a fixed plane and a circular translations parallel to this plane.*

Proof. In the last remark of [6], Karger mentioned that there are two types of Darboux 2-motions in E^4 . In the following we study them separately:

1. **Type 1** (characterized by Karger's parameters $k_1 = 1$, $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 1$; cf. [6]): According to [6, Theorem 2] this motion can be written in matrix form (adapted in notation) for a proper choice of coordinate frames as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} p'_0 \\ p'_1 \\ p'_2 \\ p'_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos(\tau) & -\sin(\tau) \\ 0 & 0 & \sin(\tau) & \cos(\tau) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \\ p_3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta}{2\sqrt{\gamma}} (\gamma \sin(\tau) + 1 - \cos(\tau)) \\ \frac{\beta}{2\sqrt{\gamma}} \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1} (1 - \cos(\tau)) \\ 0 \\ \frac{\nu}{2} (\cos(\tau) - 1) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

where $\beta, \gamma, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\beta \geq 0$, $\gamma \geq 1$, $\nu \geq 0$ and τ denoting the motion parameter. We apply the half-angle substitution $\cos(\tau) = \frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2}$ and $\sin(\tau) = \frac{2t}{1+t^2}$ and introduce homogenous coordinates $p'_i := \frac{h'_i}{h'}$ for $i = 0, \dots, 3$. Now we intersect the trajectories with the hyperplane at infinity $h' = 0$, which implies $t = \pm I$ where I denotes the complex unit. Then it can easily be seen that $\nu = 0$ is a necessary condition for these two intersection points to be located on the absolute sphere $h_0^2 + h_1^2 + h_2^2 + h_3^2 = 0$ independent of the point (p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3) . As a consequence the rotation plane (x_0x_1 -plane) is fixed. Then points of this plane can only have circular trajectories if the translation is circular.

2. **Type 2** (characterized by Karger's parameters $k_1 = 2$, $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$; cf. [6]): According to [6, Theorem 2] this motion can be composed of a spherical motion about the origin O , where the orthogonal matrix is given in [6, Eq. (26)], and a translational vector of the form $(0, 0, \star(\tau), 0)^T$ (cf. [6, Eq. (27)]). Therefore the origin can only have a linear trajectory or it is fixed (\Rightarrow spherical motion) if the expression $\star(\tau)$ vanishes independently of τ . This finishes the proof. \square

Remark 5. On the first sight it is not clear how the remaining parameters $\beta \geq 0$ and $\gamma \geq 1$ of Type 1 have to be chosen such that we get a circular translation. A short computation shows that if we set $\beta := c/\sqrt{\gamma}$ with $c \geq 0$ and then applying the limit $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ to the translation vector of Eq. (12) yields the following expression:

$$c(\sin(\tau), 1 - \cos(\tau), 0, 0)^T. \quad (13)$$

Now it can easily be verified that the trajectory of each point $P \in E^4$ is circular for $c > 0$. Up to the best knowledge of the author, the existence of these circular Darboux 2-motions has not been mentioned in the literature until now. Moreover they belong to the class of Borel-Bricard motions of E^4 (i.e. all points have hyperspherical trajectories). Further examples of this class are given in [19, 20]. \diamond

Theorem 3. *A straight line $\in P^7 \setminus G$ corresponds to one of the following X_4 -motions and vice versa:*

- (i) a translation along a fixed direction,
- (ii) a rotation about a fixed plane,
- (iii) a circular Darboux 2-motion, which is neither spherical nor a pure translation.

Proof. Given are two different displacements of X_4 by $\mathfrak{E}_1 + \varepsilon\mathfrak{T}_1 \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\mathfrak{E}_2 + \varepsilon\mathfrak{T}_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ which span a straight line in $P^7 \setminus G$. The corresponding quaternion $\mathfrak{E}(s) + \varepsilon\mathfrak{T}(s) \in \mathbb{F}$ of the motion can be written in dependence of the parameter s as:

$$\mathfrak{E}(s) + \varepsilon\mathfrak{T}(s) := \frac{\mathfrak{E}_s}{\sqrt{\mathfrak{E}_s \circ \widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}_s}} + \varepsilon \frac{\mathfrak{T}_s}{\sqrt{\mathfrak{E}_s \circ \widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}_s}} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} \mathfrak{E}_s := s\mathfrak{E}_1 + (1-s)\mathfrak{E}_2 \\ \mathfrak{T}_s := s\mathfrak{T}_1 + (1-s)\mathfrak{T}_2 \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

If the given two displacements have the same orientation ($\mathfrak{E}_1 = \pm\mathfrak{E}_2$) then the motion is trivially a pure translation along a fixed direction; i.e. case (i). Therefore we can assume $\mathfrak{E}_1 \neq \pm\mathfrak{E}_2$ for the remainder of the proof.

Moreover if the expression $\mathfrak{T}(s) \circ \widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}(s) + \mathfrak{E}(s) \circ \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}(s)$ is constant $-k$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then the relative motion between each two poses of this motion is located on the Study quadric. Therefore the SE(3)-motion in the hyperplane $x_0 = k$ has to be a pure rotation about a fixed line. As a consequence we get case (ii).

If we also exclude this scenario we are left with the general case. We can compute the trajectories by means of Eq. (8). As the obtained coordinates are rational quadratic polynomials in s , the trajectories are conic sections. It remains to show that they are circles, which can be done analogously to Theorem 2; i.e. both intersection points with the hyperplane at infinity have to be located on the absolute sphere. This can be verified by e.g. direct computations with MAPLE.

Now we tackle the reverse direction, that every X_4 -motion of type (i), (ii) or (iii) corresponds to a straight line in $P^7 \setminus G$. For (i) and (ii) this is trivial and left to the reader. For (iii) we proceed as follows: Without loss of generality we can choose a reference frame in a way that the rotation plane is the x_0x_1 -plane. This corresponds to the rotation matrix of Eq. (12). But as we cannot chose the x_0 -direction freely (it is predetermined) the translation vector of Eq. (13) has to be rotated about the

x_2x_3 -plane by the angle ρ , which yields:

$$c(\cos(\rho)\sin(\tau) - \sin(\rho)(1 - \cos(\tau)), \sin(\rho)\sin(\tau) + \cos(\rho)(1 - \cos(\tau)), 0, 0)^T.$$

It can be checked that the corresponding straight line in $P^7 \setminus G$ is obtained by:

$$\mathfrak{E}_1 = 1, \quad \mathfrak{T}_1 = 0, \quad \mathfrak{E}_2 = \mathbf{i}, \quad \mathfrak{T}_2 = -c\cos(\rho) + c\sin(\rho)\mathbf{i} \quad \text{and} \quad s = \frac{1}{1+t}.$$

As a change of the reference frame within the group X_4 implies a linear transformation of the corresponding motion parameters in P^7 (\Rightarrow a straight line is mapped to a straight line), the proof is completed. \square

4 Linear complex of SE(3)-displacements

As already discussed in the proof of Theorem 1 every X_4 -displacement determined by $\mathfrak{E} + \varepsilon\mathfrak{T} \in \mathbb{F}$ is a composition of a rotation about a plane Γ parallel to the plane spanned by \mathbf{l} and \mathbf{E} and a translation vector \mathbf{C} parallel to Γ . Therefore we can consider a further geometric quantity; namely the angle enclosed by \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{C} . For orthogonal vectors \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{C} we call the X_4 -displacement *orthogonal*. This is the case if and only if the translation part $-2\mathfrak{E} \circ \mathfrak{T}$ of Eq. (8) is orthogonal to \mathbf{E} which is equivalent with the fact that \mathfrak{T} is a pure quaternion. This yields the following theorem:

Theorem 4. *A X_4 -displacement defined by $\mathfrak{E} + \varepsilon\mathfrak{T} \in \mathbb{F}$ is orthogonal if and only if \mathfrak{T} is a pure quaternion.*

Given is a displacements of X_4 by $\mathfrak{E} + \varepsilon\mathfrak{T} \in \mathbb{F}$. Now we want to compute all X_4 -displacements fixing the hyperplanes $x_0 = k$ (SE(3)-displacements given by $\mathfrak{F}_i + \varepsilon\mathfrak{U}_i \in \mathbb{E}$), which are located in the polar plane of $\mathfrak{E} + \varepsilon\mathfrak{T} \in \mathbb{F}$ with respect to the Study quadric Φ . The corresponding condition for this so-called *linear complex of SE(3)-displacements* (with respect to $\mathfrak{E} + \varepsilon\mathfrak{T} \in \mathbb{F}$) can be written as:

$$(\mathfrak{F}_i \circ \mathfrak{T} + \mathfrak{T} \circ \mathfrak{F}_i) + (\mathfrak{E} \circ \mathfrak{U}_i + \mathfrak{U}_i \circ \mathfrak{E}) = 0. \quad (15)$$

Then the relative motion $\mathfrak{G}_i + \varepsilon\mathfrak{V}_i \in \mathbb{F}$ transforming the pose defined by $\mathfrak{F}_i + \varepsilon\mathfrak{U}_i \in \mathbb{E}$ into the pose, which corresponds to the pole $\mathfrak{E} + \varepsilon\mathfrak{T} \in \mathbb{F}$, is given by:

$$\mathfrak{G}_i := \mathfrak{E} \circ \mathfrak{F}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{V}_i := \mathfrak{E} \circ \mathfrak{U}_i + \mathfrak{T} \circ \mathfrak{F}_i. \quad (16)$$

Now Eq. (15) implies that \mathfrak{V}_i is a pure quaternion. Under consideration of Theorem 4 we get the following result.

Theorem 5. *The linear complex of SE(3)-displacements with respect to a given X_4 -displacement $\mathfrak{E} + \varepsilon\mathfrak{T} \in \mathbb{F}$ consists of all points of the Study quadric $\Phi \setminus G$, which correspond to $\mathfrak{F}_i + \varepsilon\mathfrak{U}_i \in \mathbb{E}$, such that the relative motion $\mathfrak{G}_i + \varepsilon\mathfrak{V}_i \in \mathbb{F}$ of Eq. (16) is an orthogonal X_4 -displacement.*

According to the sentence below Eq. (6) we call the displacement given by $\varphi(\mathfrak{E} + \varepsilon\mathfrak{T})$ the axis of the linear complex of SE(3)-displacements.

Remark 6. If $\mathfrak{E} + \varepsilon\mathfrak{T} \in \mathbb{E}$ holds; i.e. the corresponding point is located on the Study quadric $\Phi \setminus G$, then the related linear complex of SE(3)-displacements consists of all poses, which can be generated from the pole displacement (= axis displacement) either by pure rotations about lines of E^3 or by pure translations. \diamond

5 Conclusion

The given kinematic interpretation of all points of the Study quadric's ambient space P^7 in terms of X_4 -displacements can e.g. be used for the interactive design of rational motions in the following way (see Fig. 2):

We start with a *projective de Casteljau construction* in P^7 . Due to the given interpretation the control points and Farin points of this construction in P^7 correspond to poses in E^4 , which can be projected orthogonally along the x_0 -direction onto E^3 . In addition we label the obtained poses of E^3 by the x_0 -coordinate ("kotierte Projektion"; cf. Fig. 1). As the resulting Farin poses and control poses in E^3 are not affinely distorted (as done by some other known methods [5, 15]), the user can modify very intuitively the control structure (Farin and control poses as well as their x_0 -heights).

Moreover, as a meaningful optimization criterion of the resulting rational motion one can use the minimization of the maximal translation along the x_0 -direction during the motion.




Fig. 2 The illustrated quartic rational motion corresponds to a quadratic Bezier curve in P^7 . Each *Farin pose* can only be modified within the vertical Darboux motion determined by the *control pose* and *start/end pose*, respectively. The related two trajectories of the origin are illustrated as gray elliptic arcs. In contrast the *control pose* has 7 degrees of freedom, which can be used for motion design. The x_0 -coordinates of the control, start and end pose are given in parentheses.

Acknowledgements The author is supported by Grant No. P 24927-N25 of the Austrian Science Fund FWF within the project "Stewart Gough platforms with self-motions".

References

1. Berger, M.: Geometry I. Springer (1987)
2. Bottema, O., Roth, B.: Theoretical Kinematics. North-Holland (1979)
3. Ge, Q.J., Purwar, A.: Spears, Oriented Screw Displacements and Their Image Space. Proc. of 11th World Congress in Mechanism and Machine Science, Tianjin, China (2004)
4. Husty, M., Karger, A., Sachs, H., Steinhilper, W.: Kinematik und Robotik. Springer (1997)
5. Jüttler, B., Wagner, M.: Kinematics and Animation. Handbook of Computer Aided Geometric Design (G. Farin et al eds.), pages 723–748, North Holland (2001)
6. Karger, A.: Darboux motions in E_n . Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal **29**(2) 303–317 (1979)
7. Karger, A.: 3D-Darboux motions in 4-dimensional Euclidean space. Mathematica Pannonica **6**(1) 133–143 (1995)
8. Krames, J.: Zur aufrechten Ellipsenbewegung des Raumes (Über symmetrische Schrotungen III). Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik **46**(1) 38–50 (1937)
9. Nawratil, G.: Point-models for the set of oriented line-elements – a survey. Mechanism and Machine Theory **111** 118–134 (2017)
10. Nawratil, G.: Fundamentals of quaternionic kinematics in Euclidean 4-space. Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras **26**(2) 693–717 (2016)
11. Pffurner, M., Schröcker, H.-P., Husty, M.: Path Planning in Kinematic Image Space Without the Study Condition. Advances in Robot Kinematics (J. Lenarcic, J.-P. Merlet eds.), pages 290–297, HAL (2016)
12. Pottmann, H., Wallner, J.: Computational Line Geometry. Springer (2001)
13. Purwar, A., Ge, Q.J.: On the Effect of Dual Weights in Computer Aided Design of Rational Motions. ASME Journal of Mechanical Design **127** 967–972 (2005)
14. Purwar, A., Ge, Q.J.: Kinematic convexity of rigid body displacements. Proc. of ASME Int. Design Engineering Technical Conference & Computer and Information in Engineering Conference, Montreal, Canada (2010)
15. Röschel, O.: Rational motion design – a survey. Computer-Aided Design **30**(3) 169–178 (1998)
16. Schröcker, H.-P.: From A to B: New methods to interpolate two poses. arXiv:1706.04539 (2017)
17. Selig, J.M., Wu, Y., Carricato, M.: Motion Interpolation in Lie Subgroups and Symmetric Subspaces. Computational Kinematics (S. Zeghloul et al. eds.), pages 467–474, Springer (2017)
18. Study, E.: Geometrie der Dynamen. Teubner (1903)
19. Vogler, H.: Zwangläufe im höchstens vierdimensionalen euklidischen Raum \mathbb{E}_v mit affin durchlaufenen Bahnpunktmengen. Grazer Mathematische Berichte **304** 128–143 (1989)
20. Wunderlich, W.: Zur Schraubung im vierdimensionalen euklidischen Raum. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **285** 79–99 (1976)