

SUMSETS CONTAINED IN SETS OF UPPER BANACH DENSITY 1

MELVYN B. NATHANSON

ABSTRACT. Every set A of positive integers with upper Banach density 1 contains an infinite sequence of pairwise disjoint subsets $(B_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that B_i has upper Banach density 1 for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\sum_{i \in I} B_i \subseteq A$ for every nonempty finite set I of positive integers.

1. UPPER BANACH DENSITY

Let \mathbf{N} , \mathbf{N}_0 , and \mathbf{Z} denote, respectively, the sets of positive integers, nonnegative integers, and integers. Let $|S|$ denote the cardinality of the set S . We define the *interval of integers*

$$[x, y] = \{n \in \mathbf{N} : x \leq n \leq y\}.$$

Let A be a set of positive integers. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$. For all $u \in \mathbf{N}_0$, we have

$$|A \cap [u, u + n - 1]| \in [0, n]$$

and so

$$f_A(n) = \max_{u \in \mathbf{N}_0} |A \cap [u, u + n - 1]|$$

exists. The *upper Banach density* of A is

$$\delta(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_A(n)}{n}.$$

Let $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbf{N}$. There exists $u_1^* \in \mathbf{N}_0$ such that, with $u_2^* = u_1^* + n_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_A(n_1 + n_2) &= |A \cap [u_1^*, u_1^* + n_1 + n_2 - 1]| \\ &= |A \cap [u_1^*, u_1^* + n_1 - 1]| + |A \cap [u_1^* + n_1, u_1^* + n_1 + n_2 - 1]| \\ &= |A \cap [u_1^*, u_1^* + n_1 - 1]| + |A \cap [u_2^*, u_2^* + n_2 - 1]| \\ &\leq f_A(n_1) + f_A(n_2). \end{aligned}$$

It is well known, and proved in the Appendix, that this inequality implies that

$$\delta(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_A(n)}{n} = \inf_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{f_A(n)}{n}.$$

Date: October 6, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11B05, 11B13, 11B75.

Key words and phrases. Sumsets, Banach density, additive number theory, Ramsey theory. Supported in part by a grant from the PSC-CUNY Research Award Program.

2. AN ERDŐS SUMSET CONJECTURE

About 40 years ago, Erdős conjectured that if A is a set of positive integers of positive upper Banach density, then there exist infinite sets B and C of positive integers such that $B+C \subseteq A$. This conjecture has not yet been verified or disproved.

The *translation* of the set X by t is the set

$$X + t = \{x + t : x \in X\}.$$

Let B and C be sets of integers. For every integer t , if $B' = B + t$ and $C' = C - t$, then

$$B' + C' = (B + t) + (C - t) = B + C.$$

In particular, if C is bounded below and $t = \min(C)$, then $0 = \min(C')$ and $B' \subseteq B' + C'$. It follows that if B and C are infinite sets such that $B + C \subseteq A$, then, by translation, there exist infinite sets B' and C' such that $B' \subseteq A$ and $B' + C' \subseteq A$.

However, a set A with positive upper Banach density does not necessarily contain infinite subsets B and C with $B + C \subseteq A$. For example, let A be any set of odd numbers. For all sets B and C of odd numbers, the sumset $B + C$ is a set of even numbers, and so $A \cap (B + C) = \emptyset$. Of course, in this example we have $B + C \subseteq A + 1$.

In this note we prove that if A is a set of positive integers with upper Banach density $\delta(A) = 1$, then for every $h \geq 2$ there exist pairwise disjoint subsets B_1, \dots, B_h of A such that $\delta(B_i) = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, h$ and

$$B_1 + \dots + B_h \subseteq A.$$

Indeed, Theorem 2 states an even stronger result.

There are sets A of upper Banach density 1 for which no infinite subset B of A satisfies $2B \subseteq A + t$ for any integer t . A simple example is

$$A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} [4^i, 4^i + i - 1].$$

The set A is the union of the infinite sequence of pairwise disjoint intervals

$$A_i = [4^i, 4^i + i - 1].$$

Let $t \in \mathbf{N}_0$. There exists $i_0(t)$ such that $4^i - i > t$ for all $i \geq i_0(t)$. If $b_i \in A_i$ for some $i \geq i_0(t)$, then

$$4^i + i + t < 2 \cdot 4^i \leq 2b_i < 2 \cdot 4^i + 2i < 4^{i+1} - 2t \leq 4^{i+1} - t$$

and so $2b_i \notin 2A \pm t$. If B is an infinite subset of A , then for infinitely many i there exist integers $b_i \in B \cap A_i$, and so $2B \not\subseteq A + t$ for all $t \in \mathbf{Z}$.

There are very few results about the Erdős conjecture. In 1980, Nathanson [9] proved that if $\delta(A) > 0$, then for every n there is a finite set C with $|C| = n$ and a subset B of A with $\delta(B) > 0$ such that $B + C \subseteq A$. In 2015, Di Nasso, Goldbring, Jin, Leth, Lupini, and Mahlburg [3] used nonstandard analysis to prove that the Erdős conjecture is true for sets A with upper Banach density $\delta(A) > 1/2$. They also proved that if $\delta(A) > 0$, then there exist infinite sets B and C and an integer t such that

$$B + C \subseteq A \cup (A + t).$$

It would be of interest to have purely combinatorial proofs of the results of Di Nasso, *et al.*

For related work, see Di Nasso [1, 2], Gromov [4], Hegyvári [5, 6], Hindman [7], and Jin [8].

3. RESULTS

The following result is well known.

Lemma 1. *A set of positive integers has upper Banach density 1 if and only if, for every d , it contains infinitely many pairwise disjoint intervals of d consecutive integers.*

Proof. Let A be a set of positive integers. If, for every positive integer d , the set A contains an interval of d consecutive integers, then

$$\max_{u \in \mathbf{N}_0} \left(\frac{|A \cap [u, u + d - 1]|}{d} \right) = 1$$

and so

$$\delta(A) = \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \max_{u \in \mathbf{N}_0} \left(\frac{|A \cap [u, u + d - 1]|}{d} \right) = 1.$$

Suppose that, for some integer $d \geq 2$, the set A contains no interval of d consecutive integers. For every $u \in \mathbf{N}_0$, we consider the interval $I_{u,n} = [u, u + n - 1]$. By the division algorithm, there are integers q and r with $0 \leq r < d$ such that

$$|I_{u,n}| = n = qd + r$$

and

$$q = \frac{n - r}{d} > \frac{n}{d} - 1.$$

For $j = 1, \dots, q$, the intervals of integers

$$I_{u,n}^{(j)} = [u + (j - 1)d, u + jd - 1]$$

and

$$I_{u,n}^{(q+1)} = [u + qd, u + n - 1]$$

are pairwise disjoint subsets of $I_{u,n}$ such that

$$I_{u,n} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{q+1} I_{u,n}^{(j)}.$$

We have

$$A \cap I_{u,n} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{q+1} (A \cap I_{u,n}^{(j)})$$

If A contains no interval of d consecutive integers, then, for all $j \in [1, q]$, at least one element of the interval $I_{u,n}^{(j)}$ is not an element of A , and so

$$|A \cap I_{u,n}^{(j)}| \leq |I_{u,n}^{(j)}| - 1.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |A \cap I_{u,n}| &= \sum_{j=1}^{q+1} |A \cap I_{u,n}^{(j)}| \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \left(|I_{u,n}^{(j)}| - 1 \right) + |I_{u,n}^{(q+1)}| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{q+1} |I_{u,n}^{(j)}| - q = |I_{u,n}| - q = n - q \\ &< n - \frac{n}{d} + 1 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{d}\right)n + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $n = |I_{u,n}|$, we obtain

$$\max_{u \in \mathbf{N}_0} \frac{|A \cap I_{u,n}|}{n} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{d} + \frac{1}{n}.$$

and so

$$\delta(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{u \in \mathbf{N}_0} \frac{|A \cap I_{u,n}|}{n} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{d} < 1$$

which is absurd. Therefore, A contains an interval of d consecutive integers for every $d \in \mathbf{N}$.

To prove that A contains infinitely many intervals of size d , it suffices to prove that if $[u, u+d-1] \subseteq A$, then $[v, v+d-1] \subseteq A$ for some $v \geq u+d$. Let $d' = u+2d$. There exists $u' \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$[u', u' + d' - 1] = [u', u' + u + 2d - 1] \subseteq A.$$

Choosing $v = u' + u + d$, we have $v \geq u + d$ and

$$[v, v + d - 1] \subseteq [u', u' + u + 2d - 1] \subseteq A.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Let $\mathcal{F}(S)$ denote the set of all finite subsets of the set S , and let $\mathcal{F}^*(S)$ denote the set of all nonempty finite subsets of S . We have the fundamental binomial identity

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{F}^*([1, n+1]) = \mathcal{F}^*([1, n]) \cup \{[n+1] \cup J : J \in \mathcal{F}([1, n])\}.$$

Theorem 1. *Let A be a set of positive integers that has upper Banach density 1. For every sequence $(\ell_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of positive integers, there exists a sequence $(b_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of positive integers such that*

$$b_{j+1} \geq b_j + \ell_j$$

for all $j \in \mathbf{N}$, and

$$\sum_{j \in J} [b_j, b_j + \ell_j - 1] \subseteq A$$

for all $J \in \mathcal{F}^*(\mathbf{N})$.

Proof. We shall construct the sequence $(b_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ by induction. For $n = 1$, choose $b_1 \in A$ such that $[b_1, b_1 + \ell_1 - 1] \subseteq A$.

Suppose that $(b_j)_{j=1}^n$ is a finite sequence of positive integers such that $b_{j+1} \geq b_j + \ell_j$ for $j \in [1, n-1]$ and

$$(2) \quad \sum_{j \in J} [b_j, b_j + \ell_j - 1] \subseteq A$$

for all $J \in \mathcal{F}^*([1, n])$. By Lemma 1, there exists $b_{n+1} \in A$ such that

$$b_{n+1} \geq b_n + \ell_n$$

and

$$\left[b_{n+1}, \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (b_j + \ell_j) - 1 \right] \subseteq A.$$

It follows that

$$[b_{n+1}, b_{n+1} + \ell_{n+1} - 1] \subseteq A.$$

Let $J \in \mathcal{F}^*([1, n])$. If

$$\begin{aligned} a &\in \sum_{j \in \{n+1\} \cup J} [b_j, b_j + \ell_j - 1] \\ &= [b_{n+1}, b_{n+1} + \ell_{n+1} - 1] + \sum_{j \in J} [b_j, b_j + \ell_j - 1] \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n+1} \leq a &\leq (b_{n+1} + \ell_{n+1} - 1) + \sum_{j \in J} (b_j + \ell_j - 1) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (b_j + \ell_j) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

and so $a \in A$ and

$$(3) \quad \sum_{j \in \{n+1\} \cup J} [b_j, b_j + \ell_j - 1] \subseteq \left[b_{n+1}, \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (b_j + \ell_j) - 1 \right] \subseteq A.$$

Relations (1), (2), and (3) imply that

$$\sum_{j \in J} [b_j, b_j + \ell_j - 1] \subseteq A$$

for all $J \in \mathcal{F}^*([1, n+1])$. This completes the induction. \square

Theorem 2. *Every set A of positive integers that has upper Banach density 1 contains an infinite sequence of pairwise disjoint subsets $(B_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that B_i has upper Banach density 1 for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$ and*

$$\sum_{i \in I} B_i \subseteq A$$

for all $I \in \mathcal{F}^*(\mathbf{N})$.

Proof. Let $(\ell_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \ell_j = \infty$, and let $(b_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive integers that satisfies Theorem 1. (For simplicity, we can let $\ell_j = j$ for all j .) Let $(X_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of infinite sets of positive integers that are pairwise disjoint. For $i \in \mathbf{N}$, let

$$B_i = \bigcup_{j \in X_i} [b_j, b_j + \ell_j - 1].$$

The set B_i contains intervals of ℓ_j consecutive integers for infinitely many ℓ_j , and so B_i has upper Banach density 1.

Let $I \in \mathcal{F}^*(\mathbf{N})$. If

$$a \in \sum_{i \in I} B_i \subseteq A$$

then for each $i \in I$ there exists $a_i \in B_i$ such that $a = \sum_{i \in I} a_i$. If $a_i \in B_i$, then there exists $j_i \in X_i$ such that

$$x_i \in [b_{j_i}, b_{j_i} + \ell_{j_i} - 1].$$

We have $J = \{j_i : i \in I\} \in \mathcal{F}^*(\mathbf{N})$ and

$$a \in \sum_{j_i \in J} [b_{j_i}, b_{j_i} + \ell_{j_i} - 1] \subseteq A.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3. *Let A be a set of integers that contains arbitrarily long finite arithmetic progressions with bounded differences. There exist positive integers m and r , and an infinite sequence of pairwise disjoint sets $(B_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that B_i has upper Banach density 1 for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$ and*

$$m * \sum_{i \in I} B_i + r \subseteq A$$

for all $I \in \mathcal{F}^*(\mathbf{N})$.

Proof. If the differences in the infinite set of finite arithmetic progressions contained in A are bounded by m_0 , then there exists a difference $m \leq m_0$ that occurs infinitely often. It follows that there are arbitrarily long finite arithmetic progressions with difference m . Because there are only finitely many congruence classes modulo m , there exists a congruence class $r \pmod{m}$ such that A contains arbitrarily long sequences of consecutive integers in the congruence class $r \pmod{m}$. Thus, there exists an infinite set A' such that

$$m * A' + r \subseteq A$$

and A' contains arbitrarily long sequences of consecutive integers. Equivalently, A' has Banach density 1. By Theorem 2, the sequence A' contains an infinite sequence of pairwise disjoint subsets $(B_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that B_i has upper Banach density 1 for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$ and

$$\sum_{i \in I} B_i \subseteq A'$$

for all $I \in \mathcal{F}^*(\mathbf{N})$. It follows that

$$m * \sum_{i \in I} B_i + r \subseteq m * A' + r \subseteq A$$

for all $I \in \mathcal{F}^*(\mathbf{N})$. This completes the proof. \square

APPENDIX A. SUBADDITIVITY AND LIMITS

A real-valued arithmetic function f is *subadditive* if

$$(4) \quad f(n_1 + n_2) \leq f(n_1) + f(n_2)$$

for all $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbf{N}$.

The following result is sometimes called *Fekete's lemma*.

Lemma 2. *If f is a subadditive arithmetic function, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/n$ exists, and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{n} = \inf_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{f(n)}{n}.$$

Proof. It follows by induction from inequality (4) that

$$f(n_1 + \cdots + n_q) \leq f(n_1) + \cdots + f(n_q)$$

for all $n_1, \dots, n_q \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $f(0) = 0$. Fix a positive integer d . For all $q, r \in \mathbf{N}_0$, we have

$$f(qd + r) \leq qf(d) + f(r).$$

By the division algorithm, every nonnegative integer n can be represented uniquely in the form $n = qd + r$, where $q \in \mathbf{N}_0$ and $r \in [0, d - 1]$. Therefore,

$$\frac{f(n)}{n} = \frac{f(qd + r)}{n} \leq \frac{qf(d)}{qd} + \frac{f(r)}{n} = \frac{f(d)}{d} + \frac{f(r)}{n}.$$

Because the set $\{f(r) : r \in [0, d - 1]\}$ is bounded, it follows that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{n} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{f(d)}{d} + \frac{f(r)}{n} \right) = \frac{f(d)}{d}$$

for all $d \in \mathbf{N}$, and so

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{n} \leq \inf_{d \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{f(d)}{d} \leq \liminf_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(d)}{d} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{n}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Di Nasso, *An elementary proof of Jin's theorem with a bound*, Electron. J. Combin. **21** (2014), no. 2, Paper 2.37, 7.
- [2] ———, *Embeddability properties of difference sets*, Integers **14** (2014), Paper No. A27, 24.
- [3] M. Di Nasso, I. Goldbring, R. Jin, S. Leth, M. Lupini, and K. Mahlburg, *On a sumset conjecture of Erdős*, Canad. J. Math. **67** (2015), no. 4, 795–809.
- [4] M. L. Gromov, *Colorful categories*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **70** (2015), no. 4(424), 3–76.
- [5] N. Hegyvári, *On the dimension of the Hilbert cubes*, J. Number Theory **77** (1999), no. 2, 326–330.
- [6] ———, *On additive and multiplicative Hilbert cubes*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **115** (2008), no. 2, 354–360.
- [7] N. Hindman, *Ultrafilters and combinatorial number theory*, Number theory, Carbondale 1979 (Proc. Southern Illinois Conf., Southern Illinois Univ., Carbondale, Ill., 1979), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 751, Springer, Berlin, 1979, pp. 119–184.
- [8] R. Jin, *Standardizing nonstandard methods for upper Banach density problems*, Unusual Applications of Number Theory, DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci., vol. 64, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 109–124.
- [9] M. B. Nathanson, *Sumsets contained in infinite sets of integers*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **28** (1980), no. 2, 150–155.

LEHMAN COLLEGE (CUNY), BRONX, NEW YORK 10468
E-mail address: melvyn.nathanson@lehman.cuny.edu