

Control of Functional Connectivity in Cerebral Cortex by Basal Ganglia Mediated Synchronization

Daniel Pouzzner, daniel@pouzzner.name

Abstract

Since the earliest electroencephalography experiments, large scale oscillations have been observed in the mammalian brain. More recently, they have been identified not only in the cerebral cortex and thalamus, but pervasively in the healthy basal ganglia. The *basal ganglia mediated synchronization* model, introduced here, implicates these oscillations in the combination of cortical association mechanisms with stimulus-response and reinforcement mechanisms in the basal ganglia. In the core mechanism of the model, oscillatory patterns in cortex are selected by and routed through the basal ganglia to the thalamus phase-coherently, then circulated back to widely separated areas of cortex, synchronizing those areas and functionally connecting them. Corticostriatal and striatonigral conduction delays are crucial to this mechanism, and evidence suggests that these delays are unusually long, and unusually varied, in arrangements that might facilitate learning of useful time alignments and associated resonant frequencies. Other structural arrangements in the basal ganglia show further specialization for this role, with convergence in the inputs from cortex, and divergence in many of the return paths to cortex, that systematically reflect corticocortical anatomical connectivity. The basal ganglia also target the dopaminergic, cholinergic, and serotonergic centers of the brainstem and basal forebrain, and the reticular nucleus of the thalamus, structures broadly implicated in the modulation of oscillatory network activity and expressions of plasticity. By learning to coordinate these various output channels, the basal ganglia are positioned to facilitate and synchronize activity in selected areas of cortex, broadly impart selective receptivity, attenuate and disconnect interfering activity, and recurrently process the resulting patterns of activity, channeling cognition and promoting goal fulfillment. Evidence suggests that this system is the most versatile and flexible example of a repeating architectural motif, in which subcortical and allocortical structures influence functional connectivity in cortical structures using spike-timing-dependent gain. Dysfunctions in the components of these highly distributed systems are associated with syndromes of perception, cognition, and behavior, notably the schizophrenias, some or all of which might fundamentally be disruptions of subcortically mediated neocortical synchronization.

Keywords: basal ganglia, convergence-divergence, thalamus, intralaminar, thalamocortical, cerebral cortex, synchrony, spike timing, entrainment, selection, functional connectivity, large scale networks, iteration, consciousness, schizophrenia

© 2020 Daniel Pouzzner, distributed per the [Creative Commons License CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), permitting reuse with full attribution.

arXiv ID [1708.00779](https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.00779); initial version July 31, 2017; this version April 10, 2020

Table of Contents

1. Introduction and Overview
2. Precision Timing in Motor-Related Basal Ganglia Output
3. Delay Mechanisms in Basal Ganglia-Thalamocortical Circuits
4. The Basal Ganglia as a Flexible Oscillation Distribution Network
5. The General Nature of Basal Ganglia Direct Path Inputs, Transforms, and Outputs
6. The Cortical Origins and Targets of the Basal Ganglia Direct Path
7. The Role of the Intralaminar Nuclei in the Direct Path
8. The Direct, Indirect, and Striosomal Paths in the Regulation of Cortical Dynamics
9. Dopamine, Acetylcholine, Serotonin, and the Reticular Nucleus in Oscillatory Regulation
10. Basal Ganglia Involvement in Sensory Processing
11. The Roles of the Basal Ganglia in General Cognitive Coordination
12. Basal Ganglia Involvement in Cognitive Dysfunction and Collapse
13. Comparisons to Parallel and Related Systems
14. Future Directions, Open Questions, and Closing Thoughts
15. References

1. Introduction and Overview

In this section:

- 1.1. The basal ganglia are fundamental to cortical coordination.
- 1.2. The basal ganglia resolve conflicts and ambiguities with selections informed by goals, context, and expectation.
- 1.3. Population spike time relations are a pervasive mechanism for selective effective connectivity.
- 1.4. Synchronies are crucial in perception, cognition, behavior, and pathology.
- 1.5. The thalamus is in an ideal position to control large scale cortical synchronies.
- 1.6. The thalamus can control cortical oscillation and corticocortical synchronies.
- 1.7. The basal ganglia form loops with cortex that reflect cortical patterns of connectivity and parallelism.
- 1.8. The basal ganglia are arranged to participate in the regulation of oscillatory activity and functional connectivity in large scale thalamocortical networks.
- 1.9. The physiology of the basal ganglia, thalamus, and cortex, suggest that the basal ganglia can mediate synchronization in cortex.
- 1.10. Basal ganglia mediated synchronization promises a mechanistic explanation for several physiological mysteries.
- 1.11. BGMS is one in a family of models in which the BG control functional connectivity.
- 1.12. Introducing BGMS

1.1. The basal ganglia are fundamental to cortical coordination.

The cerebral cortex has long been styled the seat of higher thought, due to its size and disproportionate growth in mammalian phylogeny (Mountcastle 1998), and its astronomically large dimensionality (Tononi 2004). This size and dimensionality necessitate an exquisitely powerful coordination mechanism.

Here, I present and explore a hypothesis that phase-coherent signal conduction by the basal ganglia (BG) is fundamental to that mechanism. I term this model *basal ganglia mediated synchronization* (BGMS). In the core mechanism of the model, oscillatory patterns in cortex are selected by and routed through the basal ganglia to the thalamus phase-coherently, then circulated back to widely separated areas of cortex, synchronizing them using superficial facilitation and spike-timing-dependent gain, thereby establishing and sustaining functional connections. The BG thus act as essential organizers of cortical activity.

1.2. The basal ganglia resolve conflicts and ambiguities with selections informed by goals, context, and expectation.

A particularly durable account of BG function is that they serve as a selection mechanism, resolving conflicting or ambiguous claims on computational and behavioral resources (Redgrave *et al.* 1999; Mink 1996; Graybiel 1998; Stephenson-Jones *et al.* 2011; Hikosaka *et al.* 2000). Similarly, the BG have been modeled as controllers of

gates in cortex, selectively facilitating motor output (Chevalier and Deniau 1990; Hikosaka *et al.* 2000) and establishing contextually appropriate items in working memory (Frank *et al.* 2001; O'Reilly and Frank 2006).

At a more fundamental level, the BG are thought to develop a repertoire of compound stimulus-response relations through reinforcement learning, ultimately forming habits (Graybiel 1998, 2008; Markowitz *et al.* 2018). In this view, the BG transform cortical and subcortical inputs representing goals, elaborately contextualized by other cortical and subcortical inputs representing environmental state and recent history, into spatiotemporally complex, precise, widely distributed, often sequential adjustments to brain state, that are expected to promote internal and external (environmental) changes in furtherance of those goals. It has been previously suggested that a fundamental facility of the BG for precisely and flexibly triggered, structured, and directed neurodynamic gestures has far-reaching consequences (Graybiel *et al.* 1994; Graybiel 1997). This facility is at the heart of the proposal advanced here, because—as reviewed below—effective connectivity among the targets of the BG is strongly associated with the precise timing relationships of the activity within them.

1.3. Population spike time relations are a pervasive mechanism for selective effective connectivity.

According to this proposal, the BG and thalamus establish and reinforce effective connections in cortex by distributing precisely synchronized spike volleys to its feedback-recipient layers, imparting discriminative

receptivity by spike-timing-dependent gain control. The proposition that spike synchronies are correlates of functional and effective connectivity, and represent associations, is supported by an array of evidence and integrative theory (von der Malsburg 1981, 1999; Bastos *et al.* 2015b; Bressler 1995; Damasio 1989; Fries 2005; Friston 2011; Hutchison *et al.* 2013; Kopell 2000; Meyer and Damasio 2009; Siegel *et al.* 2012; Singer 1993, 1999; Singer and Gray 1995; Varela *et al.* 2001; Wang 2010).

Synchronous spiking activity is normally oscillatory, and theta (~4-8 Hz), beta (~15-30 Hz), and gamma (~30-80 Hz) oscillations are particularly prominent (Wang 2010). Measurable signals reflecting these oscillations have been known for nearly a century (Berger 1929; Jasper 1937; Buzsáki *et al.* 2003, 2012; Olejniczak 2006), and local field potentials (LFPs) in particular can serve as proxies for massed neuronal membrane voltage fluctuations and synaptic currents (Haider *et al.* 2016).

Activity-driven plasticity mechanisms are crucially dependent on relationships of precise temporal coincidence among individual spikes and spike bursts (Song *et al.* 2000). These mechanisms can construct axon populations with precisely matched propagation delays (Gerstner *et al.* 1996), and indeed, synchronous spike volleys are thought to propagate coherently through chains of many directly linked neurons (Diesmann *et al.* 1999).

Neuronal oscillatory periods are intrinsically unstable; thus mutual incoherence is the pervasive and normal condition, except where functional connectivity mechanisms overcome it and establish synchronies (Fries 2005). Once established, synchrony has been shown to stabilize systems against disruption by noise, facilitating information transfer (Tabareau *et al.* 2010). In principle, coherence coding is intrinsically more robust than rate coding as a mechanism for the selective control of effective connectivity, because a neuronal module cannot *a priori* generate oscillations at the precise frequency and phase preferred by a particular receiving area. Rather, a transmitting module must obtain knowledge of these dynamic parameters from the receiving module, or from another module functionally connected to the receiver. In contrast, the representation of salience by rate coding *per se* requires no such dynamic system-level information. Coherence-based access control is particularly apt for generalized cognitive resources, which are subject to “greedy” access strategies by more specialized neuronal modules (van den Heuvel *et al.* 2012). And because spike generation is energetically costly in itself (Moujahid *et al.* 2014), timing-based codes have inherently useful metabolic advantages over rate codes.

1.4. Synchronies are crucial in perception, cognition, behavior, and pathology.

Synchronies are pivotal in perceptual processing. For

example, the relationship of oscillatory frequency and phase in interconnected sensory areas, measured by LFPs, has been shown to strongly influence their effective connectivity (Womelsdorf *et al.* 2007), and the resolution of competitions among sensory inputs can be predicted from the relationship of the LFP frequency and phase within each input to those prevailing within their common target (Fries *et al.* 1997, 2002). Attentional orientation is accompanied by LFP synchrony between frontal and posterior cortex: strong beta oscillation initiated in frontal cortex is associated with top-down orientation, and strong gamma oscillation initiated in posterior cortex is associated with bottom-up orientation (Buschman and Miller 2007; Bastos *et al.* 2015a). Top-down beta enhances bottom-up gamma through cross-frequency interactions, and this phenomenon is suggested to be a fundamental mechanism of attentional focus (Richter *et al.* 2017) and of top-down control in general (Bressler and Richter 2015). Some long range synchronies implicating prefrontal cortex (PFC) are associated with functional disconnection, manifesting as selective inattention (Sacchet *et al.* 2015).

Synchronies are also pivotal in the generation of behavior. Long range synchronies can be strongly predictive of behavioral decisions (Verhoef *et al.* 2011; Fiebelkorn and Kastner 2020 ‡), and planning and execution of voluntary movements are associated with characteristic synchronization of activity in shifting ensembles of neurons in primary motor cortex, separate from changes in their firing rates (Riehle *et al.* 1997). Indeed, some of the gesture selectivity of activity in primate motor neurons is apparent only in their synchronies (Hatsopoulos *et al.* 1998). And a recent study in humans (Fischer *et al.* 2020) suggests a similar primacy of spike synchrony in the combined cortico-BG dynamics underlying behavior.

The primacy of timing is also apparent in simpler animals. In the hawkmoth, it has been shown that muscles are coordinated with each other almost entirely by millisecond-scale spike timing relationships, with spike timing pervasively encoding 3 times as much information about behavior as does spike rate (Putney *et al.* 2019; Sponberg and Daniel 2012). Similarly, in *Drosophila melanogaster*, action selections can pivot on spike timing relationships (von Reyn *et al.* 2014).

In humans, the large scale architecture of neural synchronies has clear developmental correlates. Childhood improvements in cognitive performance are accompanied by increases in neural synchrony, while adolescence is accompanied by a temporary reduction in performance and synchrony, followed by oscillatory reorganization and still higher performance and synchrony in adulthood (Uhlhaas *et al.* 2009). Adolescence and young adulthood in humans are marked by uniquely prolonged episodes of myelination, particularly in prefrontal cortex (Miller *et al.* 2012), while cognitive decline associated with senescence in humans is

marked by frontoposterior white matter deterioration, and concomitant deficits in the modulatory control of frontoposterior oscillatory synchrony (Hinault *et al.* 2019).

The functional prominence of temporal precision is suggested by a finding that temporal acuity and psychometric g (a measure of general cognitive performance) covary, with g predicted significantly better by acuity than by reaction time (Rammsayer and Brandler 2007). Similarly, uniformity of cadence in successive gestures within a self-paced rhythm task correlates significantly with performance on a test of general intelligence (Madison *et al.* 2009).

Characteristic synchronal abnormalities are associated with diseases such as schizophrenia (Sz), autism, Alzheimer's, and Parkinson's (Uhlhaas and Singer 2006, 2012; Hammond *et al.* 2007), and the reorganization of synchronal architecture in adolescence may be a trigger for the onset of Sz in those at risk (Uhlhaas and Singer 2010; Uhlhaas 2013).

Sz in particular is associated with pervasive physiological disruptions of the mechanisms underlying the generation and regulation of, and responses to, spike synchronies and functional connectivity (Friston 1995; Uhlhaas 2013; Pittman-Polletta *et al.* 2015), and multifariously implicates the BG (Robbins 1990; Graybiel 1997; Simpson *et al.* 2010; Wang *et al.* 2015; Grace 2016; Dandash *et al.* 2017; Mamah *et al.* 2007). Abnormal judgment in Sz of time intervals and sensory simultaneity (Martin *et al.* 2013; Schmidt *et al.* 2011; Ciullo *et al.* 2016), and highly significant motor deficits in Sz on tasks as simple as rapidly alternating finger taps (Silver *et al.* 2003), are further evidence of common timing-related mechanisms underlying sensory, motor, and cognitive processing. These abnormalities are likely to implicate the BG directly: for example, evidence suggests that phase-aligned synchronization of the BG with oscillatory activity in cortex is integral to precise judgments of time intervals (Gu *et al.* 2018).

1.5. The thalamus is in an ideal position to control large scale cortical synchronies.

Much of the large scale oscillatory activity in cortex is not purely intrinsic, and directly implicates subcortical structures, particularly the thalamus. The thalamus is a major target of BG output (Haber and Calzavara 2009), and the proposition that the BG have a prominent role in controlling long range cortical synchronies follows in part from evidence that the thalamus performs this function.

Thalamic control of cortical oscillation and synchronies follows naturally from the developmental relationship of thalamus to cortex. While a ballet of cortically intrinsic developmental processes parcels the cortex into its major cytoarchitectonic areas (Rakic 1988;

Wang 2020), thalamocortical axons reach their pallial destinations before neurogenesis and migration of the receiving cortical neurons (López-Bendito and Molnár 2003; Paredes *et al.* 2016), and the basic architecture of cortex is thought to develop partly in response to patterns of activity in these axons (Katz and Shatz 1996). “Developmental exuberance”, entailing the robust proliferation of ephemeral long range links in cortex, is followed by a postnatal paring process driven in part by early patterns of thalamocortical activity (Innocenti and Price 2005; Price *et al.* 2006). Indeed, the manipulation of thalamocortical input patterns can dramatically alter cortical physiology and function (Rakic 1988). For example, uniquely visual attributes can be induced in cortical areas that normally subservise audition by rerouting retinal inputs to the thalamic auditory nuclei (Sharma *et al.* 2000).

These roles establishing the anatomical connectivity and intrinsic function of cortex position the thalamus uniquely to regulate cortical functional connectivity.

The thalamus is also uniquely positioned anatomically, at the base of the forebrain on the midline. This is an optimal situation for distributing synchronized spike volleys to far-flung loci in cortex, notwithstanding thalamocortical distance disparities due to sulci and gyri. It is striking that postnatally (week 4 in mice), the thalamocortical projection to a given functional area of cortex develops a uniform delay, in many areas less than 1 ms of maximum disparity, despite widely varying axon lengths; even intermodally, thalamocortical delays are often aligned within 2-3 ms (Salami *et al.* 2003; Steriade 1995). The central clustering of thalamic nuclei is noteworthy in itself: absent functional requirements and associated evolutionary pressures to the contrary, many of these nuclei might migrate toward the cortical areas with which they are intimate, realizing physiological efficiencies (Scannell 1999). Moreover, in many mammals the dorsal BG maintain rough radial symmetries centered on the thalamus, suggesting time alignment pressures like those that appear to influence the gross anatomy of the thalamus.

1.6. The thalamus can control cortical oscillation and corticocortical synchronies.

It has been shown clearly that the thalamus can control cortical oscillatory activity (Poulet *et al.* 2012), and that it can orchestrate lag-free (zero phase shift) long range synchronies in cortex (Ribary *et al.* 1991; Vicente *et al.* 2008; Saalman *et al.* 2012). “Desynchronization” associated with mental activity in fact consists of focal, high-frequency (20-60 Hz) synchronization of distributed thalamocortical ensembles (Steriade *et al.* 1996). Long distance, multifocal (posterior visual, parietal, and frontal motor), lag-free synchronies in the beta band have been

observed in association with visuomotor integration (Roelfsema *et al.* 1997), and similar lag-free beta synchronies, and precise antisynchronies, have been observed among loci in prefrontal and posterior parietal cortex in visual working memory (Dotson *et al.* 2014; Salazar *et al.* 2012). Phase-locked rhythmic stimulation of widely separated loci in frontal and parietal cortex can significantly improve working memory performance, strongly suggesting that extrinsic synchronous influences on cortical activity meaningfully affect cortical network configuration and associated mental faculties (Violante *et al.* 2017; Alagapan *et al.* 2019).

Projections from single thalamic nuclei to widely separated but directly interconnected cortical areas have been noted (Goldman-Rakic 1988; Saalman *et al.* 2012), and there is evidence that intralaminar thalamocortical projections systematically reflect corticocortical connectivity, with individual axons branching multi-areally (Kaufman and Rosenquist 1985a; Van der Werf *et al.* 2002). The hypothesis has been advanced that midline and intralaminar thalamic nuclei in particular are the hub of a system to control cortical synchronies and associated effective connectivity (Saalman 2014; Purpura and Schiff 1997), and the entire population of calbindin-positive neurons in the thalamus (Jones 2001), or indeed the thalamus as a whole (Halassa and Kastner 2017), has been proposed to function in this fashion.

Recently reported evidence supports this proposition. The mediodorsal nucleus is positioned to gate afferent inputs to PFC through direct connections to cortical interneurons (Delevich *et al.* 2015), and has been shown to control sustained functional connectivity in PFC (Schmitt *et al.* 2017; Nakajima and Halassa 2017) and shifts in PFC representations of rule context (Rikhye *et al.* 2018). Similarly, the pulvinar can synchronize oscillations, establishing functional connectivity associated with attentional engagement, between areas V4 and TEO in visual cortex (Saalman *et al.* 2012), between V4 and the associative visual cortex in the lateral intraparietal area (LIP) (Saalman *et al.* 2018‡), and between LIP and the frontal eye field (FEF) (Fiebelkorn *et al.* 2019). This influence of the pulvinar on cortical activity can be confined to modulations of aggregate inter-areal phase coherence, with no significant effects on local firing rates or local aggregate oscillatory power (Eradath *et al.* 2020‡).

As detailed later (§7.8), the interaction of physiologically distinct but spatiotemporally coincident inputs to cortex from intralaminar and non-intralaminar BG-recipient thalamus is a key mechanism within the model proposed here. In short, GABAergic output fibers from the BG, bearing phasically rhythmic activity, appose the distal dendrites of projection neurons in the intralaminar thalamus. These phasic inputs act as frequency- and phase-selective filters, favoring corticothalamic inputs with the preferred frequency and

phase, while disfavoring others. The associated intralaminar thalamocortical projections then carry signals that broadcast those frequency and phase preferences to wide areas of cortex, with high temporal specificity. The multi-areal matrix cells (Jones 2001; Clascá *et al.* 2012) in non-intralaminar nuclei receive powerful, enveloping somatic inputs from collaterals of the same population of BG output fibers; thalamocortical projections from this population mainly target superficial layers, reinforcing selected activity and disfavoring unselected activity, in spatially delimited predominantly frontal cortical areas, with considerably less temporal specificity than the intralaminar paths. These distinct inputs to cortex interact, locally and inter-areally, with each other and with intrinsic cortical activity, arranging for dynamic recruitment of specific, contextually appropriate large scale cortical networks, and for their contextually appropriate dissolution.

1.7. The basal ganglia form loops with cortex that reflect cortical patterns of connectivity and parallelism.

It has long been appreciated that the cortex, striatum, pallidum/substantia nigra, and thalamus are arranged in loops placing each under the influence of the others (Alexander *et al.* 1986; Parent and Hazrati 1995a; Middleton and Strick 2000). As reviewed in detail later (§6.2), the pyramidal neurons of cortical layer 5 (L5) originate the primary input to the BG “direct path” centrally implicated in these loops, and are among the recipients of the output from the direct path via the thalamus. While subdivision of these loops into parallel circuits and constituent channels has been noted (Alexander *et al.* 1986, 1991), *in toto* the pathways of the BG exhibit remarkably varied patterns of convergence, divergence, and reconfiguration (Joel and Weiner 1994; Zheng and Wilson 2002; Hintiryan *et al.* 2016).

Diffuse projection fields from wide areas of cortex exhibit high convergence-divergence, and are thought to supply extensive context throughout the striatum (Calzavara *et al.* 2007; Mailly *et al.* 2013). Projections from interconnected cortical regions, including reciprocally interconnected pairs of individual neurons, systematically converge and interdigitate in the striatum (Van Hoesen *et al.* 1981; Seimon and Goldman-Rakic 1985; Parthasarathy *et al.* 1992; Flaherty and Graybiel 1994; Averbek *et al.* 2014; Lei *et al.* 2004; Morishima and Kawaguchi 2006; Hintiryan *et al.* 2016; Hooks *et al.* 2018), and projections from interconnected areas have been shown to converge on individual striatal fast spiking interneurons (FSIs) (Ramanathan *et al.* 2002). These arrangements show that the BG are particularly concerned with corticocortical connectivity. Even before much of this evidence was uncovered, it was suggested that arrangements of convergence and interdigitation in the corticostriatal

projection position the striatum to integrate, compare, or synchronize neural computations in distant areas of cortex (Mesulam 1990).

By having a sharp view of afferents from directly interconnected areas, simultaneous with a diffuse view of more widespread cortical activity, a striatal neighborhood is supplied with information upon which appropriate corticocortical connectivity decisions might be made as a function of present connectivity and context, with particular expertise for the functional domains implicated by those focal afferents. And given closed-loop circuitry, a striatal neighborhood convergently innervated by multiple cortical areas can impart oscillation from one of them to the others, with particular significance for directly interconnected areas, and areas linked via a common connectivity hub. Nonetheless, partial segregation of channels through the BG likely facilitates parallel processing of operations that require only partial coordination, with the degrees and directions of segregation tending to reflect the degrees and directions of non-interference and independence.

Parallelism in the BG provides for the simultaneous processing in the striatum of activity at multiple oscillatory frequencies in distinct regions, associated with distinct domains of skill acquisition and performance, with distinct expressions of plasticity in each region, and inter-regional coherence varying task-dependently (Thorn and Graybiel 2014). In cortex, too, evidence suggests that distributed functional networks are largely parallel, and entail interdigitation in circuit nodes, particularly in prefrontal and other associative areas (Goldman-Rakic 1988; Yeo *et al.* 2011; Livingstone and Hubel 1988), even while most areas have direct anatomical connections with each other (Markov *et al.* 2014). fMRI of spontaneous activity in resting humans has demonstrated corresponding integration, regionalization, and parallelism of cortico-BG networks (Di Martino *et al.* 2008).

1.8. The basal ganglia are arranged to participate in the regulation of oscillatory activity and functional connectivity in large scale thalamocortical networks.

Pathways through the basal ganglia exhibit an unusually broad range of conduction delays (Yoshida *et al.* 1993; Kitano *et al.* 1998). This diversity of delays plays a central role in the model introduced here, allowing the BG to meet disparate timing requirements at each stage of the BG-thalamocortical loop, and to tune the preferred frequencies and phases of oscillation at network loci implicated by a selection. Indeed, as detailed later (§3.7), closed loops through the dorsal striatum and globus pallidus have an average transmission delay corresponding to 40 Hz gamma oscillation, and loops through the the dorsal striatum and substantia nigra have an average delay corresponding to 20

Hz beta oscillation, with wide delay ranges along either path.

Prominent oscillatory activity in the BG, particularly beta oscillation, is associated with perception, attention, decision making, and working memory (Cannon *et al.* 2014), all of which implicate large scale brain networks. Experiments in rats have demonstrated rapid, coherent oscillatory reset and brief beta oscillation, spanning the BG, in response to sensory cues (Leventhal *et al.* 2012), and mechanisms intrinsic to the BG are posited to underlie the generation of some of these brief oscillatory episodes (Mirzaei *et al.* 2017). Closed-loop networks internal to the basal ganglia are intrinsically capable of generating and sustaining oscillations in the beta range (Bevan 2002; Tachibana *et al.* 2011; Mirzaei *et al.* 2017), and fast spiking interneurons in the striatum have distinctly oscillatory dynamics in the theta, beta, and gamma bands, which are systematically altered by dopamine (Berke 2009; van der Meer *et al.* 2010; Berke 2011; Chartov *et al.* 2020). These mechanisms might position the BG to generate contextually appropriate oscillatory responses to non-oscillatory inputs, and to tune input frequency preferences state-dependently.

The BG are among the most connected regions of the brain (van den Heuvel and Sporns 2011), and are densely integrated with cortical hubs (Middleton and Strick 2002; Vatansever *et al.* 2016; Averbeck *et al.* 2014). They participate in a particularly wide variety of large scale synchronized networks, with greater oscillatory specificity than cortical areas (Keitel and Gross 2016), suggesting primary oscillatory selection and generation.

BG influence on cortical activity is extensive, and can be strong. Cortical oscillatory dynamics, stability, and propensity for synchrony, are profoundly and specifically modulated by central supplies of dopamine, acetylcholine, and serotonin, all of which are integral to BG circuitry (Yetnikoff *et al.* 2014; Fallon 1988; Ioanas *et al.* 2020; Saunders *et al.* 2018; Yang and Seamans 1996; Towers and Hestrin 2008; Costa *et al.* 2006; Benchenane *et al.* 2010; Mesulam and Mufson 1984; Grove *et al.* 1986; Haber *et al.* 1990; Haber 1987; Sillito and Kemp 1983; Rodriguez *et al.* 2004; Muñoz and Rudy 2014; Howe *et al.* 2017; Baumgarten and Grozdanovic 2000; Neuman and Zebrowska 1992; Gervasoni *et al.* 2000; Carter *et al.* 2005). Artificial stimulation of the mouse striatum affects activity spanning the entire cerebral cortex (Lee *et al.* 2016), and rhythmic photostimulation of optogenetically manipulated mouse BG output structures can produce synchronous spike volleys in the motor thalamus and motor cortex (Kim *et al.* 2017). In monkeys, task-related oscillatory activity in the BG correlates strongly with oscillation in the implicated areas of thalamus (Schwab 2016, chapter 5), and BG oscillations like these appear to induce phase-locked oscillation in frontal cortical areas (Antzoulatos and Miller 2014; Williams *et al.* 2002).

The widespread influence of the BG on neocortical activity suggests a general role, integral to the normal operation of cell assemblies. This accords with the pervasive dynamical criticality of neocortex (Haider *et al.* 2006; Ma *et al.* 2019; Ahmadian and Miller 2019‡), which optimizes sensitivity to inputs, and dynamic control by those inputs of functional connections and disconnections (van Vreeswijk and Sompolinsky 1996; Vogels and Abbott 2009; Ahmadian and Miller 2019‡; Finlinson *et al.* 2019‡; Li *et al.* 2019). This arrangement has particular implications for the influence of the BG on the neocortex (Djurfeldt *et al.* 2001).

In the model introduced here, the BG tune circuits within the cortico-BG-thalamocortical loop so that selected signals return to cortex precisely coincident in time. Cortical pyramidal cells and cell assemblies targeted by the BG-recipient thalamus are arranged to respond selectively when their thalamocortical and corticocortical inputs are time-coincident (Llinás *et al.* 2002; Larkum *et al.* 2004; Pouille and Scanziani 2001; Volgushev *et al.* 1998), which arranges for sharp selectivity as a function of alignments in time. And indeed, habit learning is associated with the gradual emergence of widespread task-related spike synchronies and sharpened responses in the striatum (Barnes *et al.* 2005; Howe *et al.* 2011; Desrochers *et al.* 2015).

Sensitivity to widespread synchronies is also intrinsic to striatal physiology (Zheng and Wilson 2002), and subcortical projections from the BG-recipient thalamus to the striatum (Sidibé *et al.* 2002; Smith *et al.* 2004; McFarland and Haber 2000) suggest that dynamics and plasticity in the BG are driven in part by the synchronies present at their output. If the coherent relay of oscillatory signals with precise selectivity for time relations is a key function of the BG, as proposed here, then these arrangements are central to that facility: a contextually contingent, sharp, coordinated, widely distributed striatal spike volley could then evoke or reinforce widely distributed synchronous rhythmic spiking, and consequent functional connectivity, in downstream structures.

BG-facilitated burst firing in cortex might activate functional connections: Excitatory input from thalamus to the L1 (apical) dendrites of L5 pyramidal neurons, synchronous with somatic layer inputs to those neurons, promotes burst firing and concomitant long range synchronization (Larkum *et al.* 2004; Larkum 2013; Womelsdorf *et al.* 2014). BG input to the thalamus, affecting the temporal structure of activity there rather than its intensity, has been shown to be crucial for a similar type of pallial burst generation in songbirds (Kojima *et al.* 2013). These sorts of burst-induced transient long range synchronies are thought to provide for flexible information routing (Palmigiano *et al.* 2017), and dysfunctions of this mechanism, spuriously routing information, plausibly underlie the delusions and hallucinations associated with

psychedelic drugs and schizophrenia (Carter *et al.* 2005; González-Maeso *et al.* 2007; Preller *et al.* 2018; Geyer and Vollenweider 2008; Ji *et al.* 2019; Giraldo-Chica *et al.* 2018), and plausibly implicate the BG (Walpola *et al.* 2020; ffytche *et al.* 2017).

In humans, the coherence of oscillatory activity in the BG, and the relationship of its phase to that in cortex, have been shown in some scenarios to be more predictive of movement than are BG mean firing rates (Fischer *et al.* 2020). And in tasks with shifting stimulus-response contingencies, the human BG have been shown to establish appropriate functional connections between prefrontal and posterior visual cortex (van Schouwenburg *et al.* 2010b).

1.9. The physiology of the basal ganglia, thalamus, and cortex, suggest that the basal ganglia can mediate synchronization in cortex.

Drawing on these findings, I propose the *basal ganglia mediated synchronization* (BGMS) model, and detail its mechanistic components and their relations below. In the BGMS model, the BG learn to recognize salient patterns of distributed, phase-correlated cortical activity, responding with synchronized spike volleys with functionally optimal delays, relayed via the thalamus, to the feedback-recipient layers of other areas of cortex, and back to those of the cortical areas of origin. These spike volleys reinforce activity in the areas of origin, promote contextually appropriate activity in allied areas, and establish and sustain selective long range oscillatory synchronies and consequent effective connectivity with other areas, both by elevating receptivity in the other areas by superficial facilitation and spike-timing-dependent gain, and—with stronger and more coherent activity—by promoting burst firing.

The long and diverse conduction delays of corticostriatal projection fibers provide for the temporal focusing of widely distributed, phase-locked but phase-dispersed cortical activity, rendering it coincident as it converges on sparse subsets of striatal spiny projection neurons (SPNs). Emergent task-related synchronies and response sharpening in the striatum, noted above (Barnes *et al.* 2005; Howe *et al.* 2011; Desrochers *et al.* 2015), suggest this dynamic. The similarly long and diverse delays of striatopallidal/striatonigral pathways provide for the temporal focusing of phase-skewed SPN outputs on sparse subsets of pallidal/nigral output neurons, and provide for additional phase shifting of BG outputs to the thalamus, to meet coincidence criteria in cortex associated with inter-areal phase relationships. The resulting combined delays through the BG direct path define preferred oscillatory periods and inter-areal phase relations.

A diversity of delays through the BG, focusing activity from distributed networks on particular striatal and pallidal projection neurons, is analogous to the “tidal

wave” timing mechanism proposed by [Braitenberg et al. \(1997\)](#). This mechanism centers on the dynamics of granule cell input to the Purkinje cells of the cerebellar cortex via the system of parallel fibers, and has been demonstrated *in vitro*, *in vivo*, and in simulation ([Braitenberg et al. 1997](#); [Braitenberg 1961](#); [Heck 1993, 1995](#); [Heck et al. 2001](#); [Heck and Sultan 2002](#); [Sultan and Heck 2003](#)). Indeed, a BGMS-like mechanism centered on the cerebellum has been demonstrated directly ([Liu et al. 2020](#); [McAfee et al. 2019](#); [Popa et al. 2013](#)).

Within the BGMS model, structures associated with the BG “indirect” and “hyperdirect” paths inhibit, desynchronize, or antisynchronize conflicting, aborted, irrelevant, and completed activity, and in general, delimit network activity, consistent with functions already proposed and demonstrated for these structures ([Smith et al. 1998](#); [Parent and Hazrati 1995b](#); [Schmidt et al. 2013](#); [Lee et al. 2016](#)). Closed loops through the direct path, with tuned delays, inherently promote oscillations at preferred frequencies, while the indirect path must terminate these oscillations when they are no longer useful. Additionally, indirect path structures are considered (through the STN) to consolidate selections, amplifying localized BG activity (in particular, oscillations) to influence large surrounding areas in the BG.

BG influences on dopaminergic, cholinergic, and serotonergic centers, and the thalamic reticular nucleus, are proposed to be coordinated with (and partly by) these direct and indirect path outputs, promoting activity that contributes to the selected effective connections, attenuating or functionally disconnecting activity that conflicts with them, and modulating the dynamics within effective connections, to promote gainful computation and motor output. These mechanisms also greatly influence the expression of plasticity in the implicated structures, orienting neurophysiological investments to favor salient stimuli and behaviors, aligning selections with expectations and goals, and improving the immediacy, precision, and thoroughness of those selections.

1.10. Basal ganglia mediated synchronization promises a mechanistic explanation for several physiological mysteries.

As detailed throughout this paper, the BGMS model helps explain several historically mysterious aspects of BG and related physiology, among them:

- Unusually long and diverse delays, temporally inverted spike-timing-dependent plasticity, and unusually high convergence and divergence, of paths through the BG;
- Special sensitivity in the striatum to large scale synchronies, large scale oscillatory synchronies

spanning BG components, and striatal oscillations that induce synchronous cortical oscillations;

- Rapid statistically independent tonic discharge by projection neurons in BG output structures;
- Large scale lateral inhibition in the basal ganglia, producing selections;
- Widespread, diffuse projections from the intralaminar nuclei of the thalamus to cortex, loss of consciousness from inactivation of these nuclei, and particular intimacy of these nuclei with the BG;
- Paradoxical results from lesions of BG output structures, and permanent loss of normal consciousness by their bilateral destruction;
- The function of corticothalamic projections;
- Stereotyped rhythmicity of spiking in effective corticomotor signaling;
- Dense integration into BG circuitry of highly associative and abstractly cognitive areas of cortex; and
- The etiology and ontology of schizophrenia.

All of these phenomena are, in principle, explained by the proposition that the intact BG recognize and select useful patterns of synchronized cortical activity, and route the predominant oscillations within them, chiefly via the thalamus, back to cortex, synchronizing widely separated areas and broadly promoting precisely discriminative receptivity to selected activity.

1.11. BGMS is one in a family of models in which the BG control functional connectivity.

The BGMS model is hardly the first to ascribe to the basal ganglia the control of functional connectivity in cerebral cortex.

[O'Reilly and Frank \(2006\)](#), mentioned above, propose that the BG adjust large scale functional connectivity to fit context, controlling the formation, activation, and extinction of working memories. [Stocco et al. \(2010\)](#) propose that the BG act to control the routing of information within cortex, dynamically establishing bridges between “source” and “destination” regions to facilitate goal-directed cognition. In a similar vein, [Hayworth and Marblestone \(2018\)](#) propose such a role for the BG within a biologically inspired machine learning model, formulated to emphasize gating actions by the BG through the inhibition-disinhibition mechanism described by [Chevalier and Deniau \(1990\)](#), and inter-areal routing of

information via the thalamic relay mechanism described by [Guillery and Sherman \(2002\)](#).

While those models do not expressly consider the modulation of oscillatory synchronies in the control of information routing, others do.

[Fountas and Shanahan \(2017‡\)](#) propose and simulate a model in which coalitions of oscillating cortical inputs to the BG change the course of information flow in the latter in a frequency-specific fashion, and are crucial to selection dynamics. Population-level synchronies, band pass filtering, and dynamically selective reinforcement of oscillatory frequencies have been shown to be a plausible mechanism for flexible, selective signal routing and functional connectivity in and beyond neocortex, even absent the direct involvement of subcortical structures such as the BG and cerebellum ([Akam and Kullmann 2010](#); [Sherfey et al. 2019‡](#)). In particular, the model articulated by [Sherfey et al. \(2019‡\)](#), in which frequency-specific reinforcement of oscillations in PFC govern large scale functional connectivity underlying working memory, is compatible with the BGMS model.

1.12. Introducing BGMS

My approach in introducing BGMS here is the obvious—to review the functional physiology of the BG and thalamocortical systems, much of it established in studies conducted in previous decades, recontextualized to the BGMS model. Following the foregoing introduction, I begin with a discussion of the role posited for the BG in gating motor output, and the relevance of precision spike timing in that role, followed by a review of BG path delays, proposed delay plasticity mechanisms, and patterns

of convergence and divergence in these paths, all fundamental to the BGMS model. Following this is a discussion of the general relationship of the BG to cortex and thalamus from a signal processing perspective, and a detailed review of the areas of thalamus receiving BG direct path output, the areas of cortex receiving output from the BG via the thalamus, the principal pathways through the BG (direct, indirect, and striosomal), the modulatory functions of dopamine, acetylcholine, and serotonin in the thalamocortical system, and their integration into BG circuitry. Finally, I consider the roles of the BG in sensory perception and general cognitive coordination, discuss some relationships and contrasts with the cerebellar, hippocampal, and other analogously positioned systems, and extend the foregoing explorations to their logical conclusion, that the BG are mechanistically integral to and necessary for consciousness.

Within the theoretical framework of synchrony-mediated effective connectivity, [von der Malsburg \(1999\)](#) mused that “If there were mechanisms in the brain by which connections could directly excite or inhibit each other, fast retrieval of associatively stored connectivity patterns could be realized.” Implicitly, the BGMS model is a proposal that the BG, with the thalamus, implement such a mechanism, enabling patterns of activity in corticocortical connections to excite and inhibit other connections with nearly arbitrary flexibility. And as discussed later (§13), this system may be just one instance of a general architectural motif in the mammalian brain, with homologues in other vertebrates, in which subcortical and allocortical structures influence functional connectivity in cortical structures by spike-timing-dependent gain.

2. Precision Timing in Motor-Related Basal Ganglia Output

In this section:

- 2.1. The basal ganglia are integral to movement, but selective disinhibition is an inadequate model for their involvement.
- 2.2. Production of motor behavior implicates the basal ganglia at fine time scales.
- 2.3. Production of motor behavior entails long range oscillatory synchronies.
- 2.4. Production of motor behavior can be prevented by a single spike volley directed to a BG output structure.

2.1. The basal ganglia are integral to movement, but selective disinhibition is an inadequate model for their involvement.

It has long been recognized that the BG are integral to movement performance (DeLong and Georgopoulos 2011; Chevalier and Deniau 1990). Selective disinhibition of tonically inhibited motor centers, concurrent with enhanced inhibition of unselected motor centers, is a prominent model for this involvement (Chevalier and Deniau 1990; Hikosaka *et al.* 2000). However, firing rate models do not fully describe the implicated mechanisms (Goldberg *et al.* 2012; Kojima *et al.* 2013).

Various lines of evidence underscore the complexity of these mechanisms. Removal of ostensibly inhibitory pallidal input to thalamus for treatment of Parkinson's disease (PD) does not result in an excess of movement (Brown and Eusebio 2008; Marsden and Obeso 1994; Kim *et al.* 2017), and manipulation of the oscillatory phase of STN stimulation optimizes alleviation of parkinsonian symptoms, without affecting STN unit firing rates (Holt *et al.* 2019). Direct and indirect path activation have effects on activity levels in BG direct path output structures opposite those predicted by the inhibition-release model (Lee *et al.* 2016), and BG direct path output structures and receiving thalamic structures often show simultaneous movement-related rate increases (Schwab *et al.* 2019‡).

2.2. Production of motor behavior implicates the basal ganglia at fine time scales.

All cortical output to the brainstem and spinal cord arises from pyramidal neurons in L5, whose apical dendrites are in L1 (Deschênes *et al.* 1994), apposed directly by the terminals of BG-recipient thalamic projection neurons (Kuramoto *et al.* 2009; Jinnai *et al.* 1987). These appositions are excitatory. The apical and proximal dendritic processes of these pyramidal neurons are thought to interact as a coincidence detector (or “vertical associator”) mechanism, with a window width of 20-30 ms, particularly gating burst generation (Larkum *et al.*

2004; Larkum 2013). This implies that BG facilitation of thalamocortical spiking has temporal specificity at least as fine as this time scale. Somatic coincidence detection in pyramidal neurons is subject to a much tighter window, ~4 ms (Pouille and Scanziani 2001; Volgushev *et al.* 1998), and evidence is reviewed later (§7.5) suggesting BG alignments at this much finer time scale, particularly implicating the intralaminar nuclei.

2.3. Production of motor behavior entails long range oscillatory synchronies.

Motor performance entails patterns of synchronized activity in motor neurons (Riehle *et al.* 1997; Hatsopoulos *et al.* 1998), though interestingly, these synchronies are not consistently driven by motor neurons. For example, Granger causality analysis of LFPs in sensorimotor cortex suggests that sensory and inferior posterior parietal cortex (PPC) drive sustained beta oscillation in motor cortex during a sustained gesture (maintenance of a hand press) (Brovelli *et al.* 2004). Beta oscillatory synchrony in premotor cortex during delay periods appears to be extrinsically driven; this activity is selective for specific features of the forthcoming gesture, and is displaced by simultaneous bursting immediately before the onset of movement (Lebedev and Wise 2000). The BG are understood to be integral to these phenomena, and recent findings suggest the nature of this integration: The PPC has distinct projections to premotor cortex and striatum, with premotor cortex receiving signals that control movements, while signals to striatum reflect task-historical context, informing decision making (Hwang *et al.* 2019). This arrangement suggests that BG output to premotor cortex in such tasks is likewise driven by input from PPC. According to BGMS, for facilitatory decisions, this input will be phase-locked, and after learning, phase-aligned, to corticocortical inputs from PPC to premotor cortex.

Preparatory and sustained activity in premotor cortex depends crucially on excitatory inputs from the BG-recipient motor nuclei of the thalamus, and activity in those nuclei is likewise largely dependent on activity in premotor cortex (Guo *et al.* 2017). That the BG are directly

implicated in these dynamics is suggested by the finding that oscillatory activity in the subthalamic nucleus (STN) couples coherently to gamma oscillations in motor cortex, in apparent preparation for action, with performance improving with increased anticipatory phase coupling (Fischer *et al.* 2020).

Control of gates in these corticocortical connections by the BG appears to depend on consistent rhythmicity in the implicated cortical activity. The delays of paths through the BG (e.g. 50 ± 15 ms via the substantia nigra to the frontal eye field, reviewed in detail later (§3)) are significantly longer than the corresponding corticocortical delays (e.g. 8-13 ms between area V4 in visual cortex and the frontal eye field (Gregoriou *et al.* 2009)), so that trans-BG spike volleys triggered by a given cortical spike volley return to cortex outside the coincidence window of that same cortical spike volley traveling corticocortically. Moreover, activation of the BG is dependent on synchronized cortical activity, due to physiology in the striatum (reviewed later (§4.8)). Thus, the BG can open a corticocortical gate, at the earliest, for the second in a series of synchronized spike volleys.

Volleys from a particular efferent area, in a particular scenario, must have a consistent characteristic cadence, even if only for a spike volley doublet, in order for coincident arrival to be possible (and, as proposed later (§3.4), learnable) for volleys traveling both corticocortically and through the BG to the same target area. Of particular relevance to this mechanism, behavior-correlated spiking in premotor and primary motor cortex has been found to always endure for at least one cycle of oscillation, and to often endure for only one (Churchland *et al.* 2012), representing the parsimonious spiking pattern for integration with the BG. Indeed, once the BG have learned a stimulus-response relation, with an associated optimal delay, activation of that relation intrinsically promotes oscillation at the particular frequency associated with that delay. Closed-loop delays, combined with implicated pyramidal neuron response delays, can be viewed as oscillatory periods (although the dynamical relationship between delays and network oscillations is, in general, more complicated (Ivanov *et al.* 2019‡)).

Sustained activity has also been proposed to be necessary for conscious cognition, entailing “dynamic mobilization” of long range functional networks (Dehaene and Naccache 2001; Dehaene and Changeux 2011). Irreducible delays in BG responses to preconscious cortical and thalamic activity might figure prominently in this dependency.

2.4. Production of motor behavior can be prevented by a single spike volley directed to a BG output structure.

That the BG generally facilitate effective connectivity using multi-areally synchronized spike volleys is suggested indirectly by the finding that a solitary, precisely timed spike volley from the subthalamic nucleus (STN) to the substantia nigra reticular part (SNr) can be effective in stopping (preventing) behavior (Schmidt *et al.* 2013). This might be evidence that the disruption of the timing of BG output is enough to abolish its facilitatory effect, so that its timing is implicitly critical. STN axons appose neurons in the SNr throughout their input processes, both somatically and dendritically (Bevan *et al.* 1994; Tiroshi and Goldberg 2019), suggesting that paths through the STN are arranged to strongly modulate other inputs.

The precise timing of STN spikes has recently been shown to be more broadly significant. As noted above, STN activity couples to cortical gamma activity: the STN and motor cortex exhibit phase relationships specific to behavioral scenarios, shifting by 180 degrees for ipsilateral versus contralateral gripping, and measures of these phase relationships better predict behavioral performance than do STN mean firing rates, which were found to not change significantly over the course of behavior (Fischer *et al.* 2020).

As suggested in earlier accounts (e.g. Parent and Hazrati 1995b), the STN appears arranged to act as a crossroads, through which activity can spread from localized sectors of the BG to wider areas, implying competition and facilitating the completion of selections. Through its strong and highly divergent appositions on projection neurons in BG output nuclei, the STN might broadly entrain BG output to a winning rhythm, maximizing or indeed minimizing the efficacy of cortical activity converging with BG output. Once a winning rhythm is no longer useful (not contextually appropriate), the STN is positioned to disrupt it throughout the BG.

The intralaminar nuclei of the thalamus also target the STN, and through it, the SNr and its dorsal homologue, the globus pallidus internal part (GPi) (Sadikot *et al.* 1992a; Parent and Hazrati 1995b). As explored in depth later (§7), the intralaminar nuclei are themselves positioned to distribute oscillatory activity to cortex and BG very broadly and with high temporal fidelity, reflecting the combined effects of interacting inputs arising in cortex and the BG.

3. Delay Mechanisms in Basal Ganglia-Thalamocortical Circuits

In this section:

- 3.1. Oscillatory structure and frequencies in mammals are highly conserved, while fiber conduction velocities vary widely within and between species.
- 3.2. The basal ganglia must accommodate widely varying long range timing requirements.
- 3.3. Corticostriatal and striatopallidal delays are long and diverse, so that particular patterns of cortical activation can be focused on particular striatal, pallidal, nigral, thalamic, and cortical targets.
- 3.4. Learning and extinction establish and dissolve context-specific synchronous spike responses in the striatum.
- 3.5. Intralaminar thalamus and cholinergic striatal interneurons may be key components of the mechanism whereby the BG learn to generate context-appropriate synchronous output.
- 3.6. Pallidothalamic and thalamocortical delays are short and uniform.
- 3.7. Total delay from a corticostriatal neuron, through the basal ganglia direct path to thalamus, back to cortex, is roughly one gamma cycle through GPi, and one beta cycle through SNr.
- 3.8. Multiple mechanisms might underlie delay plasticity in paths from cortex to thalamus via the basal ganglia.

3.1. Oscillatory structure and frequencies in mammals are highly conserved, while fiber conduction velocities vary widely within and between species.

Among mammalian species, conduction velocities (CVs) for a given homologous projection vary widely, while alpha, beta, and gamma oscillatory frequencies are roughly constant, despite a 17,000-fold variability in brain volume (Buzsáki *et al.* 2013). Geometrically proportional scale-up of axonal propagation velocities appears to arrange for similar long range delays regardless of size, maintaining the compatibility of circuit synchrony mechanisms with the conserved and intrinsic dynamics of neurons and their microcircuits, with few exceptions (Buzsáki *et al.* 2013; but see Caminiti *et al.* 2009).

3.2. The basal ganglia must accommodate widely varying long range timing requirements.

The BG are beholden to these stable neuronal dynamics as is the rest of the brain, but according to the BGMS model, they must additionally align their responses to meet the timing requirements in each *learned* combination of scenario, efferent areas, and recipient areas, necessitating enormous spatiotemporal flexibility and precision.

Context-specific distributed neocortical activity patterns conform to stereotyped timing relations, with jitter of only 1-3 ms in many of the repeating firing sequences (Abeles *et al.* 1993); this activity, relayed through corticostriatal fibers, can be rendered coincident (and therefore effective) only by applying different delays to different inputs. Structurally intrinsic inter-areal delays (e.g. Gregoriou *et al.* 2009; Nowak and Bullier 1997;

Schmolsky *et al.* 1998) must similarly (or equivalently) be compensated, for inter-areally distributed activity to be rendered coincident within the striatum.

The optimal delays for complete circuits from cortex, through subcortical structures, to other areas of cortex, vary significantly, reflecting both the dominant oscillatory frequency of the cortical activity, and the delay of the implicated corticocortical projections (Gregoriou *et al.* 2009; Nowak and Bullier 1997).

Particular large scale functional networks exhibit characteristic profiles of prevailing oscillatory frequencies, with the oscillatory activity of a particular area dynamically dependent on the network with which that area is functionally connected (Keitel and Gross 2016; Becker and Hervais-Adelman 2019 ‡), so that reinforcement of particular frequencies in cortex—particularly, by resonances determined by cortico-BG-thalamocortical delays—in itself can establish and stabilize corresponding large scale networks characterized by those frequencies.

There is evidence that synchronous oscillatory stimulation of direct path output structures such as GPi systematically modulates the amplitude of oscillation in related, indirectly connected structures such as STN, as a function of the phase of oscillations in the output structures relative to that in the related structures; favored phases produce large increases in the amplitude of oscillations in the related structures, while outputs at antiphase to the favored phases attenuate the related oscillations (Sanabria *et al.* 2020 ‡). While these effects may be due to experimental artifacts (antidromic activations) (Sanabria *et al.* 2020‡), they suggest that coherent relay of oscillations through the BG can have bidirectionally selective effects, pivoting on the precise timing of BG output.

Simulations suggest that small inter-areal phase delays of ~ 4 ms can be decisive in determining the direction of information transfer in reciprocal long range links, from phase-leading to phase-lagging areas (Palmigiano *et al.* 2017). And broad and systematic diversity in the delays attending cortical responses to sensory stimuli, apparent in the visual system of the monkey (Schmolesky *et al.* 1998), plausibly allow the BG to bias the salience of a selected dimension of the stimulus, by aligning the phase of BGMS signals to selectively reinforce activity associated with that dimension, and decouple activity associated with other dimensions.

In the BG, the obvious substrate for meeting time alignment requirements is the enormous variety of paths, delays, and time constants of striatal neurons, inputs, and outputs. A multiplicity of paths, exhibiting a multiplicity of delays, may assure that for any two cortical loci, there exist polysynaptic paths to the implicated thalamocortical neurons, exhibiting nearly optimal delays, that need only be strengthened to effect learning of appropriately selective, timed, and directed responses.

The general mechanisms whereby the BG accommodate these diverse timing requirements likely endow them with particularly rich representational power: When similar arrangements in cortex were simulated, an unanticipated result was that the number of distinct ephemeral neuronal assemblies greatly exceeded the number of neurons, and might even exceed the total number of synapses in the network (Izhikevich 2006).

Importantly, neuromodulatory projections from the brainstem and basal forebrain project not only to cortex and thalamus, but extensively to the BG. Thus oscillatory acceleration in cortex and thalamus is likely accompanied by acceleration in the BG. This might arrange to preserve the applicability of timing relationships learned by the BG at widely varying levels of arousal.

Plasticity mechanisms in the central nervous system are exquisitely sensitive to timing relationships, at time scales of several or even fractional milliseconds within a ± 20 ms window, so that in many neurons, faster paths of communication are consolidated, and slower paths are culled (Markram *et al.* 1997; Bi and Poo 1998, 2001; Song *et al.* 2000). Spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP) in conjunction with coherent oscillatory activity may build temporally coherent circuits by grouping axons with precisely matching delays (Gerstner *et al.* 1996). However, the relationship of these mechanisms to the delay of polysynaptic BG paths is complicated, given evidence that STDP in striatal SPNs is reversed (Fino *et al.* 2005). Nonetheless, striatal FSIs exhibit typical STDP (Fino *et al.* 2008). The implications of this are explored below.

3.3. Corticostriatal and striatopallidal delays are long and diverse, so that particular patterns of cortical activation can be focused on particular striatal, pallidal, nigral, thalamic, and cortical targets.

Corticostriatal fibers exhibit fairly slow average CV, measured to be about 3 m/s in macaque (delay range 2.6 - 14.4 ms), in marked contrast to corticopeduncular fibers, measured to average greater than 20 m/s (delay range 0.75-3.6 ms) (Turner and DeLong 2000). Striatopallidal fibers are markedly slower still, measuring under 1 m/s in macaque (Tremblay and Fillion 1989). The typical striatopallidal CV is so slow that at peak spike rate (~ 80 Hz (Kimura *et al.* 1990)), apparently more than one action potential can be propagating simultaneously on the same axon. Not only are corticostriatal and striatopallidal/striatonigral CVs notably slow, the implicated delays are also highly varied. In a study of macaques investigating paths through the GPi (Yoshida *et al.* 1993), delays from the caudate and putamen portions of the striatum to GPi averaged 16.5 ± 7.9 ms and 10.4 ± 7.4 ms respectively, and overall delays of the corticostriatopallidal path from motor cortex to GPi (identified as the studied cortical area with shortest delay) averaged 15.5 ± 4.2 ms. A subsequent companion study investigating the paths through the SNr (Kitano *et al.* 1998) found even greater delays and variance; delays from the caudate and putamen to SNr averaged 22.8 ± 16.2 ms and 17.9 ± 7.7 ms respectively, and delays from frontal cortex to caudate nucleus averaged 18.8 ± 5.7 ms, ranging from 7-31 ms. Combined delay of the corticostriatonigral path was 39.8 ± 14.8 ms, ranging from 12-90 ms, i.e. nearly a full order of magnitude.

It is significant that CVs are slow and diverse in both the corticostriatal and striatopallidal/striatonigral projections. Locus-specific phase disparities, associated with converging corticostriatal inputs from widely separated but functionally connected loci, can be compensated by distinct conduction delays in their respective corticostriatal projection fibers. Activation of a multi-areal cortical ensemble can then produce spatiotemporally coincident activity at particular striatal FSIs and SPNs, despite phase skews in the ensemble at the cortical level. In essence, the spatiotemporal pattern of activation in cortex is convolved with the function embodied by the corticostriatal projection, so that particular cortical activation patterns are focused on particular cells in the striatum, which can learn to respond to them. Separately and subsequently, the output from SPNs is subjected to slow and diverse CVs in the striatopallidal projection, by which additional phase corrections can be applied to align outputs from converging but phase-skewed striatal neighborhoods, and by which additional delays can be inserted to optimally phase-align BG output as transmitted to the thalamus. By

these delays, according to the BGMS model, BG output spikes are temporally aligned to promote thalamocortical activity associated with selected connections, and inhibit competing activity. The BG then promote oscillation at the period characteristic of the activated path delay, and recognize and promote that oscillation with the various and specific nonzero inter-areal phase relationships characteristic of a given large scale functional network, by shifting back input from phase-leading areas to align in striatum with that from phase-trailing areas, then in the striatonigral/striatopallidal stage, adding more delay to paths looping back to phase-trailing areas. As noted above, simulations suggest that such control of inter-areal phases in itself controls the direction of information flow in functional networks (Palmigiano *et al.* 2017).

As suggested above, diverse striatopallidal delays allow for coactivated SPNs to align their inputs to jointly targeted pallidal and thalamic cells. The primacy of synchrony rather than aggregate rate in these relations likely has profound consequences: fragmentary and spurious activation of BG projection neurons is overwhelmingly likely to produce robustly incoherent and thus ineffectual inputs to the thalamus and other BG targets, assuring that only synchronized and unconflicted outputs can promote thalamocortical activations. These relations, which imply a moderate tolerance for spurious activations, may maximize the versatility of BG projection neurons by dynamic functional pluripotentiality mechanisms of the sort studied in cortex (Izhikevich 2006; Rigotti *et al.* 2013).

As mentioned above, the STDP of SPNs is apparently reversed: synapses are strengthened that activate in the ~20 ms *after* activity in other synapses has induced postsynaptic discharge, and synapses are weakened that bear activity in a similar time window *preceding* discharge (Fino *et al.* 2005). This arrangement seems to systematically maximize the delay of paths through striatum, and it may also tend to maximize the variety, and the consequent breadth of associativity, of SPN afferents. Striatal FSIs show normal STDP relations, tending to minimize delays by strengthening the synapses that bear the earliest activity correlated with discharge (Fino *et al.* 2008). Striatal physiology thus appears to promote dispersion, while minimizing the delay of FSIs, which—as reviewed later (§4.6)—consistently activate before SPNs in their vicinity, and precisely control the timing of SPN discharge through powerful appositions. Selective reinforcement of slow cortico-SPN paths and fast cortico-FSI paths might arrange so that SPNs are rebounding from a GABAergic cortico-FSI-SPN spike volley precisely when that same spike volley arrives at the soma (with greater dispersion) via glutamatergic cortico-SPN paths.

3.4. Learning and extinction establish and dissolve context-specific synchronous spike responses in the striatum.

In rats trained on a maze task until habit formation, then given extinction training, and finally retrained on the original task, ensembles of SPNs in the dorsal striatum formed, narrowed, and changed their responses to fire synchronously at the beginning and end of the task, then reverted, and finally reestablished their synchronous responses, respectively, with a high correlation of response synchrony to behavioral performance (Barnes *et al.* 2005). Similarly in monkeys, over the course of self-initiated, reward-motivated learning, large numbers of neurons in the dorsal striatum developed phasic responses aligned with the beginning and end of saccade sequences (Desrochers *et al.* 2015). In rat ventral striatum, a shift in the patterns of phasic activity from local islands of high gamma synchrony, to beta synchrony spanning wide areas and both SPNs and FSIs, accompanies skill acquisition and habit formation (Howe *et al.* 2011). These studies provide strong evidence that striatal plasticity entails the formation of widely distributed constellations of FSI-SPN assemblies that learn to discharge in synchrony as a function of context.

3.5. Intralaminar thalamus and cholinergic striatal interneurons may be key components of the mechanism whereby the BG learn to generate context-appropriate synchronous output.

Presumed cholinergic interneurons in the striatum, recognized electrophysiologically by their tonic firing patterns, may be key components of a time alignment learning mechanism in the BG. Over the course of skill acquisition, progressively larger proportions of these sparsely distributed interneurons, over very wide areas of striatum, have been seen to pause in brief, precise synchrony in response to salient sensory stimuli, with this response dependent on dopamine supply (Graybiel *et al.* 1994). In general, corticostriatal long-term potentiation (LTP) depends on the temporal coincidence of cholinergic interneuron pause, phasic dopamine activation, and SPN depolarization (Zhang *et al.* 2019b†). These interneurons have been implicated in the learning of changes in instrumental contingencies, and that learning is dependent on activity in thalamostriatal projections originating in the intralaminar nuclei (Bradfield *et al.* 2013). Moreover, precisely synchronized stimulation of these interneurons directly induces dopamine release through cholinergic receptors on dopaminergic axons, independent of somatic activation of midbrain DA neurons (Threlfell *et al.* 2012), suggesting that synchronous BG output *per se*, as measured

by activity in intralaminar afferents, is intrinsically reinforced in the striatum.

As discussed in greater detail later (§6.11), striatal matrix is extensively and preferentially targeted by inputs from intralaminar thalamus, apposing both SPNs and FSIs. Thus, mechanisms of striatal plasticity are positioned to monitor and respond to the synchronies that the BG generate in thalamus, and so presumptively in cortex. Dopamine-dependent and dopamine-inducing activity in striatal cholinergic interneurons, innervated by these thalamostriatal projections, might act to strengthen striatal synapses that contribute to the production of synchronous thalamocortical activity associated with reward. Related mechanisms may similarly drive plasticity in other BG structures targeted by the intralaminar nuclei, notably the GP and STN (Sadikot *et al.* 1992a).

3.6. Pallidothalamic and thalamocortical delays are short and uniform.

Consistent with the proposition that pallidothalamic and nigrothalamic axons collateralize to orchestrate tightly coherent long range synchronies via the thalamus, the delay of these segments is comparatively short and uniform: in macaques, antidromic response from thalamus to SNr was found to average 1.56 ± 0.44 ms (Kitano *et al.* 1998), and an earlier study (Harnois and Fillion 1982), on squirrel monkeys, found similar antidromic delays from thalamus to GPi, tightly clustered about an average of 1.3 ms from ventral anterior (VA) and ventral lateral (VL) sites, and 1.6 ms from centromedian (CM) sites, arising from a CV of 6 m/s. Similarly, as noted earlier (§1.5), the thalamocortical projection appears to be tuned for rapidity and exquisitely precise (sub-millisecond) alignment of the projection to any given area of cortex; selective myelination of the portion of thalamocortical axons within cerebral white matter, the length of which varies two-fold within a target area, appears to account for this (Salami *et al.* 2003).

In cats, the delays for antidromic stimulation of thalamocortical projections from VA-VL and ventromedial (VM) thalamic nuclei to Brodmann areas 4, 6, 8, and 5 were found to average from 2.3 ms (VA/VL to area 4, primary motor) to 4.2 ms (VM to area 8, motor association cortex), with almost all measured delays falling below 6 ms, and significant and systematic, but small, shifts in delay as a function of thalamic nuclear origin (Steriade 1995). In the intralaminar nuclei, which in the BGMS model are a crucial broadcast hub for timing information (reviewed in detail later (§7)), antidromically measured thalamocortical delay is less than 500 μ s, indicating conduction velocities (CVs) of 40-50 m/s (Glenn and Steriade 1982; Steriade *et al.* 1993).

3.7. Total delay from a corticostriatal neuron, through the basal ganglia direct path to thalamus, back to cortex, is roughly one gamma cycle through GPi, and one beta cycle through SNr.

In songbirds, an average delay of 5.1 ± 0.48 ms, range 3.5-7.9 ms, has been measured between arrival of a pallidothalamic terminal spike and the next discharge by the targeted thalamic projection neuron (Goldberg *et al.* 2012). Assuming this figure is roughly representative of the figure in mammals, and given the results summarized above from Yoshida *et al.* (1993) and Kitano *et al.* (1998), the total transmission delay for a closed loop through the BG can be estimated. This delay is about 25 ms (40 Hz) for a typical path through GPi and VA/VL to primary motor, and about 50 ms (20 Hz) for a typical path through SNr to a frontal eye field in area 8, with a large range of possible delays, roughly 19-31 ms (32-53 Hz) and 35-65 ms (15-29 Hz) respectively for average ± 1 standard deviation.

These relationships suggest that cortical activity routed through the BG and thalamus is typically delayed by a single cycle upon its return to cortex, but perhaps in some scenarios higher frequency oscillation might be delayed by two or more cycles, and in some scenarios there might be cross-frequency interactions, particularly in the SNr. The prominence of beta-like delays through the SNr comports with the prominence of beta-like oscillations in visual top-down control signaling, which itself involves cross-frequency interactions in visual cortex (Richter *et al.* 2017; Lee *et al.* 2013).

3.8. Multiple mechanisms might underlie delay plasticity in paths from cortex to thalamus via the basal ganglia.

Because position along the distal-proximal dimension of dendritic processes introduces a graded delay, thereby altering the phase shift imparted by the inputs upon the neuron's output (Goldberg *et al.* 2007), fine tuning of BG path delays may be possible within the spatially extensive terminal and dendritic processes of corticostriatal (Mailly *et al.* 2013) and striatopallidal (Levesque and Parent 2005) neurons. Striatopallidal axons penetrate perpendicular to the dendritic disks of pallidal output neurons, emitting thin (diameter 100-200 nm), unmyelinated (hence particularly low CV) collaterals parallel to the disks, repeatedly synapsing with the same target neuron (Goldberg and Bergman 2011; DiFiglia *et al.* 1982). The diameters and termination patterns of these thin ramifications match those of the similarly positioned parallel fibers of the cerebellar cortex, particularly in the upper molecular layer, where nearly all fibers are 100 to 250 nm in diameter (Sultan 2000).

In the substantia nigra, striatal inputs constitute a large majority of inputs, and appose dendrites at various

distances from the soma, with only a small fraction apposing the soma directly, and most apposing small (distal, slow-conducting) dendrites (Bevan *et al.* 1994). Conduction through nigral dendrites entails delays of up to ~12 ms relative to proximally apposed inputs (which predominantly arise from GPe and STN) (Tiroshi and Goldberg 2019), which is a plausible range for phase tuning of direct path outputs, and closely matches the range of phase tuning by parallel fibers of the cerebellar cortex (Heck and Sultan 2002). Moreover, the frequency preferences of networks may respond in a nonlinear fashion to much smaller adjustments of path delays, with synchrony depending strongly on precise matching of delays (Ivanov *et al.* 2019‡). In short, localized selective strengthening of appositions distributed along the length of dendrites might adjust path delays and associated oscillatory frequency preferences, in natural response to reinforcement.

Axonal CV plasticity, which has only recently been appreciated (Fields 2015), is largely unknown in its mechanistic particulars, but might also operate in the BG.

Whether optimization of conduction delays is by competition between distinct fiber paths, or between distinct synapses along the same fiber path, reinforcement-driven persistent modulation of synaptic efficacy could

optimize not only the output rates (the efficacy with which a particular input evokes an output), but the fine time structure of the outputs, to the degree that reinforcement is a function of fine time structure. Axonal CV plasticity might operate in conjunction with these mechanisms, responding to the same (or to coordinated) reinforcement signals. Moreover, the traversed neurons themselves may exhibit a diversity of intrinsic time constants, similar to an arrangement that has been described in PFC (Bernacchia *et al.* 2011). Indeed, the activation of both PFC and striatal neurons shows a finely graded diversity of delays, though path variety may be the underlying mechanism (Jin *et al.* 2009).

Behaviors must be precisely paced to meet contextual requirements, and the BG are clearly integral to the performance of these behaviors. Moreover, as discussed here, BG circuitry entails diverse time constants and diverse, often lengthy conduction delays. However, the complex delay mechanisms of the BG direct path appear to not be central to the mechanisms underlying precise and variable pacing of overt behavior: patterns of proportional temporal scaling in neural activity, putatively associated with pacing, are likely generated in cortex, and are much less apparent in thalamus (Wang *et al.* 2018).

4. The Basal Ganglia as a Flexible Oscillation Distribution Network

In this section:

- 4.1. BGMS entails the coherent transmission of cortical spike volleys through the basal ganglia.
- 4.2. Activity in a single corticostriatal neuron can influence activity in large expanses of cortex in a single loop through the basal ganglia.
- 4.3. Afferents from interconnected cortical areas converge in the striatum.
- 4.4. Convergence at a single FSI of afferents from interconnected cortical areas positions the striatum to respond appropriately to the dynamic functional connectivity of those areas.
- 4.5. Striatal FSIs are tightly coupled to projection neurons in cortex and striatum, and FSI activity is idiosyncratic and independent.
- 4.6. Striatal FSIs regulate the spike timing of SPN activity, with profound and apparently causal impact on behavior.
- 4.7. Striatal FSI physiology facilitates high fidelity relay.
- 4.8. The projection from cortex to striatal spiny projection neurons is massively convergent, and SPNs fire only when their inputs are substantial and synchronous, reflecting robustly synchronized cortical activity.
- 4.9. Striatal projection neuron activation during resting wakefulness is sparse.
- 4.10. SPNs exhibit no frequency preference, but the fine timing of an SPN's activity can be determined by that of a narrow and dynamic subset of its excitatory afferents.
- 4.11. SPN receptivity depends on Up and Down states.
- 4.12. SPNs are almost entirely independent of each other.
- 4.13. The striatopallidal projection is massively convergent.
- 4.14. Striatal input to BG output neurons controls their timing, and coherence in afferent activity to BG output neurons may be crucial to their effective activation.
- 4.15. Direct path output neurons are tonically and phasically independent in the normal brain.
- 4.16. The pallidothalamic projection is powerful, and its constituent axons are especially divergent.
- 4.17. Basal ganglia influence on cortex can be viewed as biasing the probabilities that functional connections will be established or continued.
- 4.18. The BG-recipient thalamic projection to cerebral cortex is massively divergent.

4.1. BGMS entails the coherent transmission of cortical spike volleys through the basal ganglia.

BGMS crucially entails the coherent transmission of corticostriatal spike volleys, through BG and thalamic relays, back to cortex, with routing and delays providing for spatiotemporal coincidence with corticocortical spike volleys associated with the selected effective connections. Above is a discussion of the various mechanisms that might underlie these temporal alignments. Below, I discuss the myriad patterns of convergence and divergence in the BG that underlie the capacity of the BG to distribute spike volleys coherently, widely, flexibly, and specifically.

4.2. Activity in a single corticostriatal neuron can influence activity in large expanses of cortex in a single loop through the basal ganglia.

Divergence in the paths from corticostriatal neurons

through striatal fast spiking interneurons and spiny projection neurons, pallidal and nigral projection neurons, and thalamocortical projection neurons, suggest geometric expansion of activity from a single cortical column to a scope encompassing large areas of cortex. Well over 10^9 cortical neurons might be influenced by the output of a single striatally projecting neuron in cortex. The axonal processes of each corticostriatal neuron distribute sparsely through large regions of striatum, spanning on average 4%, and up to 14%, of total volume, forming on average ~800 synaptic boutons, likely apposing nearly as many distinct striatal neurons (Zheng and Wilson 2002).

While more than 90% of striatal neurons are spiny projection neurons, 3-5% are fast spiking interneurons (Koós and Tepper 1999). If corticostriatal neurons innervate SPNs and FSIs with similar preference, this suggests that each innervates on average ~24 FSIs (though there are indications of specialization in corticostriatal targeting of FSIs (Ramanathan *et al.* 2002)). Each FSI projects to ~300 SPNs (Koós and Tepper 1999), each SPN projects to ~100 pallidal neurons (Yelnik *et al.* 1996;

Goldberg and Bergman 2011), each pallidal neuron projects to ~250 thalamic neurons (Parent *et al.* 2001), and each thalamic neuron projects to more than 100 cortical neurons (Parent and Parent 2005) (likely far more (Rubio-Garrido *et al.* 2009)). With a cortical neuron population in lower primates of approximately 10^9 (Herculano-Houzel *et al.* 2007; Azevedo *et al.* 2009), these divergence ratios suggest that a single corticostrially projecting neuron can influence all of the cortical neurons within the relevant bounds of segregation. This influence is further fortified by intrinsic mechanisms in superficial cortical layers, described later (§6.7), that horizontally spread oscillations.

4.3. Afferents from interconnected cortical areas converge in the striatum.

Striatal activity closely reflects cortical activity (Peters *et al.* 2019‡). As emphasized earlier (§1.7), interconnected cortical regions systematically converge and interdigitate, even while the projection of each cortical region diverges in a spotty, widely distributed pattern (Van Hoesen *et al.* 1981; Selemon and Goldman-Rakic 1985; Parthasarathy *et al.* 1992; Flaherty and Graybiel 1994; Hintiryan *et al.* 2016; Hooks *et al.* 2018). Direct path SPNs are preferentially innervated by neurons in cortex that are reciprocally interconnected over long ranges at the single unit level (Lei *et al.* 2004; Morishima and Kawaguchi 2006), and projections from these “intratelencephalic” cortical neurons, with widely separated but interconnected origins, show a particular tendency to converge in striatum (Hooks *et al.* 2018).

Convergence of densely interconnected cortical areas to single striatal FSIs is common; a study in rats found that nearly half of FSIs innervated by primary somatosensory or primary motor cortex receive projections from both (Ramanathan *et al.* 2002). Moreover, FSIs show a significant preference for direct path SPNs, with functional connectivity demonstrated for roughly half of identified direct path FSI-SPN pairs, but roughly a third of indirect path pairs (Gittis *et al.* 2010).

4.4. Convergence at a single FSI of afferents from interconnected cortical areas positions the striatum to respond appropriately to the dynamic functional connectivity of those areas.

As reviewed earlier (§1.3), synchronization of activity in two areas signifies that those areas are functionally connected, and asynchrony or antisynchrony signifies functional disconnection. This prompts the expectation that functionally connected areas projecting convergently to an FSI robustly entrain that FSI, which imparts their shared cortical rhythm to the SPNs it innervates. By this mechanism, effective connections might act through the BG to directly excite further connections, or to inhibit

connections, as envisioned by von der Malsburg (1999). On the other hand, when the afferents to an FSI are active but unsynchronized, the FSI is likely arrhythmically activated, imparting an incoherent inhibitory spike pattern to those SPNs, thereby preventing rhythmic discharge. Indeed, as discussed in greater detail later (§6.10), individual cortical cells subject to conflicting synchronies are themselves likely to exhibit arrhythmic spiking patterns (Gómez-Laberge *et al.* 2016). Antisynchronized afferent activity might have similar results, activating the FSI at twice the fundamental frequency, likely imparting a spike pattern to the SPNs that is particularly efficient at inhibiting discharge. In a third mode of operation, afferents to the FSI from one area bear strong oscillatory activity, while other afferents bear significantly weaker activity that may or may not be rhythmic. In this case, the FSI might impart the strong oscillatory activity to the SPNs, while the weak afferent activity has relatively little effect on FSI spiking, so that strong localized cortical oscillation is selected for effective connection to other areas.

4.5. Striatal FSIs are tightly coupled to projection neurons in cortex and striatum, and FSI activity is idiosyncratic and independent.

The physiology of striatal FSIs in normal behaving animals, and their relationships with cortical and striatal projection neurons, are complex, specialized, and nuanced (Berke 2011). The temporal structure of FSI spiking closely conforms to that of afferent activity, aligning precisely with the trough of extracellular afferent LFP, regardless of band (Sharott *et al.* 2009, 2012; Howe *et al.* 2011). The phasic activation of each FSI is strongly but idiosyncratically related to ongoing behavior, and in particular, is independent of activity in other FSIs (Berke 2008). Nearly half of corticostriatal synaptic inputs to FSIs are robust, apposing somata or proximal dendrites (Lapper *et al.* 1992), and corticostriatal axons commonly form several synaptic boutons targeting a single FSI, indicating selective innervation and stronger coupling (Ramanathan *et al.* 2002). Consistent with the observed idiosyncrasy and independence of FSI responses to cortical activity, synaptic inputs to FSI somata are few, and FSI dendrites are almost entirely devoid of spines (Kita *et al.* 1990)

4.6. Striatal FSIs regulate the spike timing of SPN activity, with profound and apparently causal impact on behavior.

FSI projections to SPNs are robust (Koós and Tepper 1999), but FSI activation has been found to modulate SPN activity, rather than simply inhibiting or releasing it (Gage *et al.* 2010). In behaving rats, FSIs and nearby SPNs are simultaneously active in various stages of task learning and performance, at precisely opposite phases, at both beta and

gamma frequencies (Howe *et al.* 2011). Simulation of normal *in vivo* conditions in the striatum shows formation of small assemblies of synchronized SPNs, with FSI activation increasing the firing rates of connected SPNs (Humphries *et al.* 2009). Pharmacological blockade of FSIs in sensorimotor striatum does not substantially change the average firing rates of nearby SPNs, but induces severe dystonia (Gittis *et al.* 2011), demonstrating that FSI regulation of the temporal structure of SPN activity is crucial to normal behavior.

Tourette syndrome is associated with abnormally low density of presumed FSIs in the striatum (Kalanithi *et al.* 2005). Indeed, the cancellation of inapt behaviors has been associated with GABAergic feedback projections from the GPe that selectively target FSIs (Mallet *et al.* 2016; Deffains *et al.* 2016). Pathological sparseness in FSI afferents to an SPN might result in entrainment of that SPN to cortical activity that would normally be inhibited by another FSI. The resulting spurious SPN discharges, phase-locked to localized cortical activity, then might induce spurious reinforcement and connections in cortex, manifesting as tics and other compulsions.

Whereas SPNs exhibit highly heterogeneous responses to dopamine, FSIs exhibit a largely uniform, dose-dependent response to drugs that manipulate DA, reducing firing rate in response to DA antagonism, and increasing it in response to DA agonism; likewise, FSI activity is positively correlated with locomotor activity, while SPN activity shows highly variable relations (Wiltschko *et al.* 2010).

FSIs exhibit significantly lower firing thresholds than do SPNs relative to the intensity of cortical activity; consequently, activation of SPNs is preceded by, and spatially embedded within, an encompassing area of activated FSIs governing their output (Parthasarathy and Graybiel 1997). In a study inducing focused, synchronized activity in primary motor cortex, nearly all (88%) of the FSIs in the center of the zone of striatal activation were activated, and nearly as many (78%) of the FSIs in a penumbra were activated; FSIs showed a robust and disproportionate response, comprising 22% of the responding striatal neuron population, while representing <5% of striatal neurons (Berretta *et al.* 1997).

Each SPN receives inputs from several (estimated 4-27) FSIs (Koós and Tepper 1999), suggesting that FSI recruitment in a striatal neighborhood reliably imparts strong modulatory input to all of the SPNs in that neighborhood. While there is some evidence that FSI prevalence in the striatal population follows a gradient, with highest concentration in the dorsal and lateral striatum and lowest in the medial and ventral striatum (Kita *et al.* 1990; Bennett and Bolam 1994; Berke *et al.* 2004), more recent evidence demonstrates FSI effects and connectivity in VS similar to those in dorsal striatum (Taverna *et al.* 2007; Howe *et al.* 2011), and the appearance of a striatal

FSI density gradient may be an artifact of spatially correlated cytological heterogeneity in the FSI population (Tepper *et al.* 2008).

It has been shown in awake behaving rats that the activity of FSIs in the sensorimotor striatum rises shortly before, and peaks during, initiation of behavior reflecting a decision, and that FSI activity precedes that of coactivating neurons in primary motor cortex (Gage *et al.* 2010). FSI activity is erratic and bursty in the resting animal, but transitions to rhythmically regular activity at the moment a cue is presented, and remains regular throughout the delay period until movement execution, at which point the erratic bursting resumes (Berke 2011; Lau *et al.* 2010).

FSIs are electrically woven together into a loose, sparse continuum by gap junctions (Kita *et al.* 1990; Koós and Tepper 1999), that in simulation modestly encourage synchronization of neighboring FSIs, while modestly damping their activity unless afferent activity is well-synchronized (Hjorth *et al.* 2009). Gap junctions are also thought to be crucial for the regularization of FSI activity during cued delay periods, noted above, in response to transitions of corticostriatal input from random to patterned (Berke 2011; Lau *et al.* 2010). These phenomena further suggest an arrangement in which FSIs operate as a matrix, comprehensively regulating the temporal structure of SPN spike activity, with particular sensitivity to synchrony in the corticostriatal projection.

4.7. Striatal FSI physiology facilitates high fidelity relay.

Striatal FSIs contain parvalbumin, can sustain firing rates of 200 Hz with little or no adaptation, have narrow action potentials (shorter than 500 μ s), do not feed back to the inputs of other FSIs, and do not receive inputs from SPNs (Koós and Tepper 1999; Mallet *et al.* 2005; Taverna *et al.* 2007). SPNs and FSIs produce similar inhibitory post-synaptic currents (Koós *et al.* 2004), but FSI inputs to SPNs are directed to somata and proximal dendrites, where they can exert a more decisive and precise effect on the target, whereas corticostriatal inputs to SPNs, and SPN inputs to other SPNs, are directed to distal dendrites (Bennett and Bolam 1994).

SPNs may exhibit a low pass characteristic (Stern *et al.* 1997), so that even while the SPNs are highly sensitive to synchrony in their excitatory afferents (discussed below), the fine timing of the spikes they produce could be determined almost entirely by the FSIs. The influence of FSIs on the SPNs they target entails not only retardation of SPN phase, but phase advancement, through a rebound effect that reduces the firing threshold of the targeted SPN; the effect is most pronounced 50-60 ms after the FSI spike; SPN depolarization is advanced by ~4 ms when FSI spikes reach the SPN 30-70 ms before excitatory afferent spiking reaches the SPN (Bracci and Panzeri 2005).

4.8. The projection from cortex to striatal spiny projection neurons is massively convergent, and SPNs fire only when their inputs are substantial and synchronous, reflecting robustly synchronized cortical activity.

Convergence is physiologically inescapable in paths through cortex, striatum, and pallidum. There are roughly ten times as many pyramidal cells in cortex projecting to the striatum, as there are medium spiny projection neurons, with each SPN afferented by roughly 10,000 distinct cortical neurons (Kincaid *et al.* 1998; Zheng and Wilson 2002). The massive convergence to single SPNs, and their high firing threshold, arrange so that SPNs fire only when their afferent activity is substantial, synchronous, and distributed broadly across dendrites (Zheng and Wilson 2002). As noted earlier (§1.8), the sensitivity of the striatum to synchrony in its inputs is particularly consequential if striatal output induces synchronies (as in the BGMS model), because the striatum is then positioned to iteratively process information encoded as patterns of synchrony.

Though relatively sparse, corticostriatal projections from GABAergic interneurons to SPNs (Melzer *et al.* 2017), particularly from cortical FSIs, are an additional mechanism that may regulate SPN sensitivity to synchrony. As reviewed in detail later (§7.5), cortical FSIs have been shown to be part of a coincidence detection mechanism with a very narrow window (Pouille and Scanziani 2001). Depending on the pattern of apposition of these cortical FSIs, they might also function like striatal FSIs, precisely controlling the timing of SPN output, as described above.

4.9. Striatal projection neuron activation during resting wakefulness is sparse.

Corticostriatal neurons seldom fire periodically, but rather, their activity is aperiodic but phase-locked to oscillation in their cell membranes (Stern *et al.* 1997); thus their converged input to SPNs can exhibit substantial periodicity, but only when cortical activity is robust and synchronized. The aperiodicity, low spontaneous rates, and narrowly discriminative activity of individual corticostriatal neurons, suggest that few SPNs will be active at a given moment, and many will be silent (Turner and DeLong 2000). In the awake, resting animal, a large majority of SPNs are silent (Sandstrom and Rebec 2003), and some SPNs remain silent even in the awake, behaving animal, with no apparent physiological distinctions to explain the silence (Mahon *et al.* 2006).

4.10. SPNs exhibit no frequency preference, but the fine timing of an SPN's activity can be determined by that of a narrow and dynamic subset of its excitatory afferents.

SPNs exhibit no persistent or membrane-intrinsic frequency preference; rather, the aggregate intensity of afferent activity (simulated *in vitro* by injection of constant current) establishes a firing rate, and the phase of that firing preferentially follows that of afferent components at frequencies near the established rate, with particularly sharp frequency selectivity at beta frequencies (Beatty *et al.* 2015). This signifies that, as the aggregate afferent activity to an SPN increases to and beyond the firing threshold, the phase of that firing will be preferentially determined by progressively higher-frequency synchronized components of that afferent activity. The functional significance of this arrangement is unknown, but might be relevant to scenarios in which an SPN is not subject to regulation by FSIs (if such scenarios occur at all in the normal striatum, which seems doubtful), and in any case seems to be a virtually inevitable biophysical dynamic.

4.11. SPN receptivity depends on Up and Down states.

During slow wave sleep and drowsiness, SPNs fluctuate between “Up” and “Down” states, characterized by subthreshold depolarization and hyperpolarization respectively, and they only discharge when in the Up state (Wilson and Kawaguchi 1996; Wilson 1993; Mahon *et al.* 2006; Kitano *et al.* 2002). Impulsive dendritic bombardment, largely that arising from the corticostriatal population, pushes SPNs to the Up state, and quiescence in those inputs returns SPNs to the Down state (Kasanetz *et al.* 2006). Because SPNs can only discharge when in the Up state, patterns of SPN activation reflect the initial pattern of input bombardment, with the associated population of Up SPNs responding to their cortical inputs as long as they are Up, while Down SPNs are silent (Kasanetz *et al.* 2006). With this arrangement, trans-striatal routes can be activated by a single strong impulse, then be held open by weaker activity originating in the same corticostriatal population, passing rhythmic energy from those inputs, sculpted by striatal FSIs, to BG output structures.

However, the functional significance of this arrangement is unclear, because Up/Down bimodality seems to be characteristic of drowsiness, slow wave sleep, and anesthesia, and not of the awake state (Mahon *et al.* 2006). Indeed, evidence that striatal activity in the awake state continually tracks context (Arcizet and Krauzlis 2018; Weglage *et al.* 2020‡) is consistent with an arrangement in which SPNs are tonically Up in the awake state.

Curiously, the Purkinje cells of the cerebellum also exhibit Up and Down states, with transitions triggered by impulsive input currents, and discharge only in the Up state (Loewenstein *et al.* 2005). And like striatal SPNs, Purkinje cells receive enormously convergent inputs, respond only when that input is synchronized (Sultan and Heck 2003), and are key to a BGMS-like mechanism (Popa *et al.* 2013; Liu *et al.* 2020; McAfee *et al.* 2019).

4.12. SPNs are almost entirely independent of each other.

SPNs exhibit uncorrelated activity even when they are immediate neighbors, suggested to be due to an arrangement in which each SPN receives axons from a unique, sparse subset of corticostriatal neurons (Kincaid *et al.* 1998; Zheng and Wilson 2002; Wilson 2013). This also follows from the firing patterns of direct path corticostriatal neurons, which are highly idiosyncratic (Turner and DeLong 2000). SPN axon collaterals synapse upon the distal dendrites of other SPNs, with each SPN inhibited by up to 500 other SPNs, but these inputs are sparse, weak, unreciprocated, and asynchronous, and do not induce correlated activity among neighborhoods of interconnected SPNs (Tepper *et al.* 2008; Wilson 2013). Instead, their location suggests they interact with excitatory afferents, enhancing the combinatorial power of the corticostriatal and thalamostriatal projection systems.

4.13. The striatopallidal projection is massively convergent.

Convergence in the projections from striatum to the pallidal segments and SNr is inevitable given the further reduction in volume and cell count. In human, volume ratios from the striatum are 12:1 to the GPe, 21:1 to the GPi, 24:1 to the SNr, and 6:1 from the striatum to GPe, GPi, and SNr combined (Yelnik 2002), with cell count ratio estimates of 97:1, 400:1, and 210:1, respectively, for a combined ratio of 57:1 overall, and 138:1 in the direct path (Kreczmanski *et al.* 2007; Hardman *et al.* 2002). In rats, the volume ratios are 7:1, 112:1, and 19:1, for GPe, GPi (EP), and SNr, respectively, for a combined ratio of 5:1, and the cell count ratio estimates are 61:1, 880:1, and 106:1, respectively, for a combined ratio of 37:1 (Oorschot 1996).

Each pallidal projection neuron forms a large 1.5 mm² dendritic disk perpendicular to incident striatopallidal axons, innervated by 3,000-10,000 SPNs (Yelnik *et al.* 1984; Goldberg and Bergman 2011). The dendritic processes of projection neurons in the SNr have variable forms, with an extent similar to that of pallidal dendrites, resulting in similar convergent innervation by SPNs (François *et al.* 1987). These arrangements imply a notional convergence ratio from corticostriatal neurons, to

SPNs, to pallidal projection neurons, as high as 10⁸. There is only slight convergence in the pallidothalamic projection, but extensive convergence in the thalamocortical projection (Rubio-Garrido *et al.* 2009) suggests a notional convergence ratio substantially greater than 10⁹ for the full loop back to cortex.

The striatopallidal projection, like the corticostriatal projection, also entails divergence. Each SPN axon forms 200-300 synapses, sparsely distributed through a large volume of pallidum, with 1-10 synapses formed with a given pallidal dendrite (Yelnik *et al.* 1996; Goldberg and Bergman 2011), implying that an SPN projects to 20-300 pallidal neurons. Moreover, with a tracer injection in the striatum encompassing a small cell population, a hundredfold increase is seen in the volume of pallidum labeled by the tracer, while larger injections increase the density, but not the volume, of the labeled area (Yelnik *et al.* 1996), confirming an arrangement of simultaneous, extensive divergence and convergence like that of the corticostriatal projection.

Experiments in primates show that the projection from striatum to the GPi entails reconvergence, such that divergence in the projection from a cortical locus to multiple loci in the striatum is followed by convergence from those striatal loci to a single pallidal locus (Flaherty and Graybiel 1994). Graybiel (1998) suggested that the striatum thus acts as a dynamically configurable hidden layer. The BGMS model further proposes that this arrangement subserves selection and activation of effective connections in cortex. The path from a cortical locus, by diverging to many distinct FSI neighborhoods, then reconverging to a single pallidal locus, can be subjected to any of a variety of spike timings, representing a variety of candidate effective connections, while suppressing action through that pallidal locus when multiple SPNs impart conflicting activity upon it, as suggested above.

4.14. Striatal input to BG output neurons controls their timing, and coherence in afferent activity to BG output neurons may be crucial to their effective activation.

Experiments *in vitro* demonstrate that striatal afferents to GP can control the precise timing of firing by the targeted cells, and that these cells can follow striatal oscillatory inputs up to the gamma range (Rav-Acha *et al.* 2005; Stanford 2002).

The relationship of FSIs to SPNs may help elucidate the role proposed for the BG in competitive selection (Redgrave *et al.* 1999). An output neuron in GPi or SNr bombarded by mutually incoherent SPNs would be incapable of imparting a coherent temporal pattern to its thalamic targets. If coherence in this path is crucial, as suggested by the BGMS model, then only those GPi/SNr neurons with predominantly coherent activity in their afferents can participate in activation of a motor or

cognitive connection, while activation of clashing connections tends to be suppressed.

GPI activity increases when direct path SPNs are activated, and decreases when indirect path SPNs are activated, even while direct and indirect path activation are associated with widespread cortical activity increases and decreases, respectively (Lee *et al.* 2016). As repeatedly emphasized in this paper, this suggests that oscillatory modulation and facilitation by spike-timing-dependent gain is among the core functions of the GABAergic output neurons of the BG direct path.

4.15. Direct path output neurons are tonically and phasically independent in the normal brain.

As discussed at greater length later (§5.2), spike timing within, and activation of, pallidal output neurons is almost completely independent in the healthy brain (Nini *et al.* 1995; Nevet *et al.* 2007), so any coordination or synchronization must be sparsely distributed. Preliminary results from a study of functionally connected cortical, pallidal, and thalamic areas, demonstrates as much, with strong phasic correlation of LFPs but almost no correlation of individual neuron spiking with those LFPs (Schwab 2016, chapter 5). According to the BGMS model, broadly and densely synchronized BG output would produce spurious and pathologically persistent effective connectivity in cortex, arresting task progress. Indeed, the independence of BG output neurons breaks down in parkinsonism, in which task progress is retarded or arrested (Stanford 2002; Wilson 2013; Deister *et al.* 2013; Hammond *et al.* 2007; Nevet *et al.* 2007).

PD has been shown in magnetoencephalography (MEG) studies to be associated with progressively greater functional connectivity in cortex, determined by measures of synchronization likelihood, both intra- and inter-areal, in both the alpha and, in moderate and advanced disease, the beta bands (Stoffers *et al.* 2008). It is associated with global disruption and progressive inefficiency of functional connectivity (Olde Dubbelink *et al.* 2014), and with the gradual emergence of psychosis as the disease progresses (ffytche *et al.* 2017). MEG evidence further suggests that a key consequence of pathological synchrony in parkinsonism is a contracted repertoire of functional constellations, progressively associated with deficits of cognitive and behavioral flexibility (Sorrentino *et al.* 2019‡). Pathological synchrony in parkinsonism may be rooted in the striatum: *in vitro* experimentation with, and physiologically realistic simulation of, the dopamine-depleted striatum has demonstrated spontaneous pervasive formation of clusters of synchronized SPNs (Humphries *et al.* 2009).

4.16. The pallidothalamic projection is powerful, and its constituent axons are especially divergent.

The main BG output projections from GPI and SNr appose neurons in the motor and association thalamus in giant inhibitory terminals, with multiple synapses, exerting powerful and precise inhibitory control of individually targeted cells, with GPI and SNr projections well-compartmented from each other (Bodor *et al.* 2008). Many of these projection neurons contain parvalbumin, with especially high density in the dorsal GP (Cote *et al.* 1991).

Pallidal axons branch extensively within thalamus, into 10-15 collaterals with highly confined terminal varicosities (Parent *et al.* 2000), so that each pallidal neuron projects to 200-300 neurons in thalamus; these are the most widely arborized neurons in the BG (Parent *et al.* 2001). The somata and primary dendrites of GPI- and SNr-recipient thalamocortical neurons outside the intralaminar nuclei are contacted almost exclusively by these afferents, with very dense terminal processes, suggesting that the GPI and SNr exercise predominant control over activity in these cells (Kultas-Ilinsky and Ilinsky 1990; Ilinsky *et al.* 1997). As discussed in detail later (§6.3), BG projections to the intralaminar thalamus do not follow this pattern, but instead predominantly appose small and medium dendrites (Sidibé *et al.* 2002).

In the pallidothalamic projection, a degree of convergence on single thalamocortical cells has been noted (Ilinsky *et al.* 1997). As discussed at greater length later (§5.2), convergence of independently oscillating pallidal projection neurons produces aggregate input that bears the characteristics of Gaussian noise, so that pallidal output can reflect inputs to pallidum with high fidelity. Perhaps this is a crucial advantage that evolutionarily stabilizes this arrangement in mammals, which have a surficial cerebral cortex and closely aligned thalamocortical conduction delays (Salami *et al.* 2003; Steriade 1995), while birds lack a surficial pallium, and their thalamic projection cells receive only a single calyceal BG input (Luo and Perkel 1999).

Given the high typical tonic discharge rate of pallidal and nigral neurons, thorough disinhibition or entrainment of targeted thalamocortical neurons requires coordination of multiple pallidal projection neurons. As with the convergence of several corticostriatal neurons on a single FSI, several FSIs on a single SPN, or several SPNs on a single pallidal projection neuron, this suggests several activation scenarios. If all BG output neurons targeting a thalamocortical projection neuron are phasically silenced coincidentally, their common target would likely be activated directly by rebound, and thereafter would tend to be activated synchronous with corticothalamic input. If instead those several inputs remain active, but are phasically synchronized, this would likely entrain their

common target, even in the absence of corticothalamic input, and with great vigor in the presence of excitatory input exhibiting the favored frequency and phase. In a third scenario, some of the inputs are phasically silenced, coincident with others that are phasically synchronized, with the likely result that their common target is entrained by the active BG inputs. Until one of these coordinated arrangements is learned, modulation of one or several GABAergic afferents likely has lesser but significant post-synaptic effects, which can bootstrap learning in paths associated with the other afferents.

The dynamic in the intralaminar thalamus is somewhat different: because pallido- and nigrothalamic terminals there predominantly appose dendrites, their likely effect is to modulate receptivity to particular corticothalamic inputs with which they share a dendrite, so that only those inputs with the selected timing (frequency and phase) can affect the soma and, consequently, affect the somatically targeted L3 and L5 pyramidal neurons. As explored later (§7.6), this arrangement may maximize combinatorial power in the relationship of the BG to the intralaminar thalamus.

4.17. Basal ganglia influence on cortex can be viewed as biasing the probabilities that functional connections will be established or continued.

The various BG activation scenarios and pathways can be viewed as biasing the probabilities that various selected functional activations and connections will be established or continued. When BG input to a thalamic area is thoroughly synchronized, that biasing is strong, whereas partial synchrony produces weaker biasing. If an SPN activation is a vote for the establishment or continuation of some family of functional connections and activations, then the GPi/SNr and the BG-recipient thalamus are positioned to tally those votes, due to convergence. Thus,

cortical connectivity decisions that are broadly and consistently supported within the striatum (particularly, habits) are strongly biased, while narrowly supported decisions weakly bias connectivity, and conflicts are akin to mutual vote cancellation. Breadth and consistency of support can be viewed as representative of decision confidence. And because of divergence in the BG, activation of an SPN can simultaneously contribute to strong biasing of some connections, but weak biasing of others.

4.18. The BG-recipient thalamic projection to cerebral cortex is massively divergent.

The path from BG-recipient thalamus back to cortex exhibits striking divergence. As reviewed later (§6.4), neurons of the rat VL, VA, and VM nuclei project profusely and with massive overlap to L1, with individual neurons collateralizing to widely separated areas (Rubio-Garrido *et al.* 2009; Kuramoto *et al.* 2009), and the intralaminar nuclei in all common laboratory mammals innervate nearly the entire cerebral cortex (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002; Scannell 1999). Centromedian and parafascicular (PF) axons that reach cortex arborize diffusely and widely, with a single axon from CM forming on average over 800 synaptic boutons in cortex, a count that may miss many poorly stained axonal processes in L1 (Parent and Parent 2005). Even considering the possibility of extensive multiple terminations on the same target neuron, which is relatively unlikely in a diffuse projection, the number of cortical neurons innervated by a single BG-recipient thalamocortical neuron might be conservatively estimated at >100. As estimated above, the cumulative notional divergence ratio from a single corticostriatal neuron, through striatal FSIs, SPNs, pallidal projection neurons, and thalamocortical neurons, may exceed 10^9 .

5. The General Nature of Basal Ganglia Direct Path Inputs, Transforms, and Outputs

In this section:

- 5.1. Basal ganglia outputs constitute decisions, and only incidentally relay information.
- 5.2. Basal ganglia output, and cortical activity patterns, are highly stochastic, implicating populations of neurons.
- 5.3. The basal ganglia preserve the temporal structure of afferent cortical activity.
- 5.4. GABAergic neurons can precisely control activity in their targets.
- 5.5. BG input to the thalamus is not purely inhibitory.

5.1. Basal ganglia outputs constitute decisions, and only incidentally relay information.

According to the BGMS model, the information represented by a pattern of activation in a particular cortical area passes to other receptive cortical areas chiefly via direct and indirect corticocortical connections between them. The information reaches the thalamus via the BG only incidentally, and in drastically reduced and fragmentary form. While the striatum is continually supplied with inputs that span the entire cortex (Parent and Hazrati 1995a; Hintiryan *et al.* 2016; Peters *et al.* 2019‡; Grandjean *et al.* 2017), only a small fraction of the information borne by these inputs can emerge from the BG, due to the >1000:1 reduction in neuron count from the corticostriatal population to the output neuron populations in the GPi and SNr (Kincaid *et al.* 1998; Zheng and Wilson 2002; Goldberg and Bergman 2011).

By a similar rationale, noting a 100:1 ratio of visual cortex neurons to pulvinar neurons in macaque, Van Essen (2005) suggested that the associative thalamus itself generally operates in a modulatory role, managing information transfers that are fundamentally corticocortical. This proposition is further supported by results, noted earlier (§1.6), suggesting that the thalamic mediodorsal nucleus regulates functional connectivity in PFC rather than acting as an information relay (Schmitt *et al.* 2017).

5.2. Basal ganglia output, and cortical activity patterns, are highly stochastic, implicating populations of neurons.

Due to the general irregularity and independence of firing patterns in individual BG projection cells, the entropy of the BG paths is substantial (Wilson 2013), suggesting that decisions represented by BG output are highly flexible and can be quite nuanced. The neurons projecting from the BG to the thalamus are noted for their continual and independent high frequency discharge patterns (Brown *et al.* 2001; Stanford 2002), and this activity must be functionally crucial, given its inherent metabolic burden,

simultaneous with remarkable evolutionary stability, spanning hundreds of millions of years and all known vertebrate taxa (Stephenson-Jones *et al.* 2012). It has been suggested that these signals are particularly suited to act as carriers for motor commands (Brown *et al.* 2001); in the BGMS model these signals act as carriers for control signals spanning all domains. And because thalamocortical neurons are themselves tonically active during waking and paradoxical (REM) sleep, with some (e.g. in the rostral intralaminar nuclei) capable of following high frequency (100-300 Hz) spike volleys (Steriade and Llinás 1988; Glenn and Steriade 1982), the transthalamic BG influence on cortical activity is continual.

Because each BG output neuron tonically oscillates at an independent frequency, aggregate tonic BG output statistically resembles Gaussian noise, suggesting that oscillatory modulation (by inputs from the striatum, in particular) can produce output signals with high oscillatory fidelity. This is akin to dithering techniques that use additive noise with a triangular probability distribution to reduce waveform distortion, in systems that represent intrinsically continuous signals using quantized digital schemes (Lipshitz 1992). Convergence in mammals of several BG output neurons to single thalamocortical neurons (Ilinsky *et al.* 1997), and the multitude of thalamocortical neurons innervating each neighborhood in cortex (Rubio-Garrido *et al.* 2009), comport with such an arrangement. In the BGMS model, the resulting high temporal resolution lets the BG produce aggregate thalamocortical activity that is precisely coincident with converging corticocortical activity. Indeed, computational simulations suggest that convergence and mutual independence in BG output neurons are indispensable for precisely timed BG-induced spike generation in the thalamus, and for the avoidance of spurious spiking (Nejad *et al.* 2018‡).

These arrangements are closely related to the “stochastic resonance” mechanism suggested by simulations, whereby neural network sensitivity and waveform fidelity may be enhanced by the pervasive injection of noise tuned to effect network criticality (McDonnell and Ward 2011; Vázquez-Rodríguez *et al.*

2017; Krauss *et al.* 2019). There is evidence that oscillatory waveforms in brains are often non-sinusoidal, conforming to various source-specific stereotypes (Cole and Voytek 2017); functional significance has been ascribed to the fine time structure of spike “packets” exhibiting source-specific stereotypes over time spans of 50–200 ms (Luczak *et al.* 2015), and in general, to the information-carrying capacity of dynamic variations in inter-spike intervals (Li and Tsien 2017). To the degree that waveform harmonics and the fine time structure of spiking are functionally significant, waveform fidelity is likewise significant.

Stereotyped non-sinusoidality in cortical oscillatory waveforms, such as the sawtooth waveforms of motor cortical beta oscillations (Cole and Voytek 2017), may facilitate the learning and production of sharply time-coincident spike volleys in striatum (discussed in detail earlier (§3.4)). But beyond the facilitation of tightly synchronized spike volleys, waveform structure on short timescales might be exploited by the striatum to selectively filter inputs, because the diverse delays of the corticostriatal projection (Yoshida *et al.* 1993; Kitano *et al.* 1998), to which synchronized and converging cortical inputs are subject, in concert with plastic variations in corticostriatal synaptic efficacy, might realize finite impulse response (FIR) filters, engendering preferences that favor some waveforms while disfavoring others. Because of similar arrangements in the cerebellum (Heck and Sultan 2002), it too might realize FIR filters with associated selectivities.

In neocortex, individual neurons in a state of wakefulness exhibit almost completely random discharge patterns (Softky and Koch 1993; Stiefel *et al.* 2013). Computational modeling suggests that top-down synchronizing influences on a population of cortical neurons (of the sort exerted by thalamocortical projections, reviewed in detail later (§6)) profoundly impact their aggregate oscillation, evident in the LFP, with highly selective attentional effects, even while individual cells within the population continue to exhibit nearly Poissonian random firing patterns (Ardid *et al.* 2010). Indeed, simulations suggest that the stochasticity and brevity of synchronies characteristic of biological neural networks result in particularly effective modulation of information flow among the synchronized areas; even brief episodes of synchrony, lasting only a few cycles, may suffice for efficient information transfer, with directionality from phase-leading to phase-lagging areas (Palmigiano *et al.* 2017). More generally, as explored in some detail later (§11.6), noisiness in the brain may crucially aid problem solving.

Behaviorally consequential aggregate oscillatory synchronies, in the absence of significant correlations in the spiking activity of the individual contributing neurons, are apparent in the relationship of the BG to the thalamus.

In recent experiments with monkeys, it was found that movement-related LFP oscillations in GPI and its target area in thalamus (ventral lateral, anterior part, VLa) were strongly and likely causally correlated, for the duration of each trial, with a time lag from GPI to thalamus shorter than 10 ms, even while individual neuronal firing patterns in GPI showed little correlation to GPI LFP, and virtually no correlation to LFP in thalamus (Schwab 2016, chapter 5). These results suggest that the neurons discharging synchronously are sparsely embedded within a much larger number of neurons whose discharges are not correlated, or that the LFP synchrony is due to a coherent but weak influence on large numbers of those neurons, or some combination. While this is expected from the known physiology of the GPI, discussed above, and at greater length earlier (§4.15), it is doubtless methodologically frustrating.

In any case, because the BG form closed loops with cortex and with themselves, they are well-positioned to select and reinforce, or indeed generate and sustain, large scale aggregate oscillations. It is suggestive that even without tunable delays, artificial recurrent neural networks can learn to oscillate at various specific frequencies as a precise function of non-oscillatory input patterns (Sussillo and Barak 2013). And as explored earlier (§3), the immense diversity of BG path delays suggests that closed-loop circuits through the BG can be readily tuned to prefer particular frequencies. This in itself might be an important selection mechanism.

5.3. *The basal ganglia preserve the temporal structure of afferent cortical activity.*

A variety of evidence suggests that the BG process and preserve oscillatory time structure, positioning them to manipulate cortical synchronies. For some time it has been appreciated that cortico-BG circuits in a state of health show synchronized oscillations across the full spectrum of power bands, from the “ultra-slow” (0.05 Hz) to the “ultra-fast” (300 Hz), with robust oscillatory activity in the striatum and STN of alert behaving animals (primate and rodent) that is modulated by behavioral tasks (Boraud *et al.* 2005). In PD patients treated with levodopa, STN oscillation in the high gamma band, starting immediately before and accompanying movement, appears to entrain cortex, with the BG leading cortex by 20 ms (Williams *et al.* 2002; Litvak *et al.* 2012). In normal monkeys, task-related beta band oscillations in PFC follow and, according to Granger analysis, are caused by, activity in the striatum; this striatal activity, and that of its targets, sustain a spatially focused phase lock, with no inter-areal delay at beta (Antzoulatos and Miller 2014).

BG output responds quickly to sensory stimuli, accompanies and is sustained during delays, and precedes behavioral responses (Nambu *et al.* 1990). The striatum

synchronizes with cortical theta (Berke *et al.* 2004) and gamma (Jenkinson *et al.* 2013; Berke 2009) oscillation, and populations of neurons within each of the successive and parallel nuclei of the BG can synchronize with cortical beta oscillation, each nucleus exhibiting a task-related characteristic phase relationship with cortical oscillation that becomes consistent and precise with task mastery, and is most pronounced at the moment of task-critical decision (Leventhal *et al.* 2012). Moreover, BG beta synchrony with cortical oscillation associated with a task-relevant sensory cue is established with an entraining phase reset that is sharp and immediate, within tens of milliseconds following presentation of an auditory stimulus (Leventhal *et al.* 2012).

5.4. GABAergic neurons can precisely control activity in their targets.

In cortex, GABAergic fast spiking inhibitory interneurons (FSIs) play a dominant role in the induction and control of oscillatory activity in the beta and gamma bands, exerting fine control over phase (Hasenstaub *et al.* 2005). Projections from the BG-recipient thalamus to these cortical FSIs (Delevich *et al.* 2015; Rikhye *et al.* 2018; Peyrache *et al.* 2011) provide a path implicating the BG directly in these dynamics. Similarly in thalamus, GABAergic projections from the reticular nucleus (TRN) are believed to be crucial to the induction of the intense, globally synchronized spike bursts known as sleep spindles (Contreras *et al.* 1997), and extensive BG inputs spanning the TRN (Hazrati and Parent 1991; Shammah-Lagnado *et al.* 1996; Antal *et al.* 2014) implicate the BG directly in TRN regulatory mechanisms.

GABA, classically viewed as an inhibitory neurotransmitter, has a biphasic excitatory effect in certain circumstances, as a function both of the intensity of GABAergic release, and of the timing relationship between that release and the post-synaptic activity with which it interacts; GABA activity can either inhibit or enhance NMDA-dependent synaptic plasticity as a function of that timing relationship (Staley *et al.* 1995; Lambert and Grover 1995). Consistent with these *in vitro* and *in vivo* results, computer simulation suggests that synchronized rhythmic activity in cortical FSIs can substantially raise the sensitivity or gain of their pyramidal targets, even to constant (non-rhythmic) current injections (Tiesinga *et al.* 2004).

There is evidence of some of these effects, particularly biphasic activation and entrainment, in the GABAergic innervation of the thalamus by the BG (Goldberg *et al.* 2013; Bodor *et al.* 2008; Kim *et al.* 2017). These effects are particularly accessible to experimental probing in songbirds, where BG-recipient neurons in thalamus exhibit physiological similarity to mammalian thalamocortical cells, but unlike mammals, each receives

only a single pallidal/nigral fiber, terminating in a calyx enveloping the soma (Luo and Perkel 1999). Studies in songbird thalamus have found coherent oscillatory entrainment at pallidothalamic terminals, and synchronous post-synaptic oscillation driven by pallidal input in the absence of excitatory presynaptic input (Person and Perkel 2005; Doupe *et al.* 2005; Leblois *et al.* 2009).

5.5. BG input to the thalamus is not purely inhibitory.

Simultaneous phasic intensification of ostensibly inhibitory pallidal and nigral output and activity in their thalamic targets has also been noted (Goldberg *et al.* 2013; Lee *et al.* 2016; Guo *et al.* 2017 “Extended Data Figure 10”). This has several possible explanations, among which are the effects described above, and the actions of dopaminergic, cholinergic, and serotonergic nuclei, which facilitate responsive oscillation, and are integral to BG circuitry (these paths and effects are reviewed later (§9)). It may also be explained by coexpression of excitatory neurotransmitters in the pallidothalamic projection, or indeed within the terminal processes of individual axons therein, which could be particularly effective at entraining a target. Indeed, several studies have found a glutamatergic component within the pallidothalamic and nigrothalamic projections (Kha *et al.* 2000, 2001; Conte-Perales *et al.* 2011; Yamaguchi *et al.* 2013; Antal *et al.* 2014).

The tonic level of activity in BG-recipient thalamus is similar to that in cerebellum-recipient thalamus, even though the latter is subject to tonic excitatory input, and the two compartments show no apparent distinctions in cholinergic or TRN innervation (Nakamura *et al.* 2014). This apparent paradox may be explained not only by the effects described above, but by systematic cytological preferences, in which the BG and cerebellum target cytologically distinct thalamic populations, with distinct physiology and connectivity (Kuramoto *et al.* 2009; Jones 2001). However, it seems clear that much of the explanation is in the nature of the BG input itself, given findings noted earlier (§2.2), that no excess of movement follows from PD treatments in which BG inputs to thalamus are removed (Brown and Eusebio 2008; Marsden and Obeso 1994; Kim *et al.* 2017).

Notably, just as thalamic activity increases simultaneous with increases in GPi activity, GPi metabolism and spiking activity increase simultaneous with activation of the direct path spiny projection neurons (SPNs) in the striatum that target it (Lee *et al.* 2016; Phillips *et al.* 2020‡), despite similar ostensibly inhibitory chemistry in the striatopallidal projection. Moreover, physiologically realistic modeling suggests that striatal FSI activation, ostensibly inhibiting connected SPNs, increases the firing rates of those SPNs (Humphries *et al.* 2009). These are the relationships needed for oscillatory relay through the successive stages of the BG, and are

incompatible with models in which BG actions are limited to inhibition and release. Remarkably, even in the striosomal path through the striatum to the dopaminergic centers of the ventral midbrain, there is evidence that

GABA acts by a non-inhibitory mechanism, with striosomes preferentially encoding reward-predictive cues (as does dopamine, discussed later (§9.2)) (Bloem *et al.* 2017).

6. The Cortical Origins and Targets of the Basal Ganglia Direct Path

In this section:

- 6.1. Direct path output is directed to most of the cerebral cortex, emphasizing frontal areas but including posterior areas.
- 6.2. The laminar hierarchical architecture of the cerebral cortex implies a role for the BG in orienting attention, biasing competition, and other roles associated with corticocortical feedback projections.
- 6.3. BG output in the normal brain is modulatory.
- 6.4. The BG target apical dendrites in cortex, through thalamic projections with mesoscopically and multi-areally branching axons.
- 6.5. Thalamocortical projections to apical dendrites control spike-timing-dependent gain.
- 6.6. Thalamic inputs to cortical FSIs may be a crucial path for precisely discriminative selection among conflicting inputs.
- 6.7. Intrinsic mechanisms in cortex facilitate semantically valid mesoscopic modulation and selection.
- 6.8. Dopamine under BG control modulates the vertical and horizontal dynamics to which effective connections in cortex are subject.
- 6.9. Corticothalamic projections from layer 6 exhibit topography, and bear activity, suited to mesoscopic modulation by BG direct path output.
- 6.10. Intrinsic cortical network dynamics assure a supply of activity to thalamus that can be effectively entrained by converging BG inputs.
- 6.11. Motor and intralaminar thalamus relay BG-modulated cortical activity to BG inputs, with functional distinctions suggesting contextualization and dynamical regulation.

6.1. Direct path output is directed to most of the cerebral cortex, emphasizing frontal areas but including posterior areas.

In the BGMS model, it is through the direct path that signals arising in cortex are focused, selected, and coherently distributed, establishing long range connections implicating the areas originating the selected activity. The circuitry and scope of the direct path are thus of paramount importance in the model.

Large areas of the motor, limbic, association, and intralaminar thalamus are BG-recipient (Haber and Calzavara 2009; Groenewegen and Berendse 1994; Sidibé *et al.* 2002; Smith *et al.* 2004), projecting to feedback-recipient layers in cortex, particularly L1, L3, L5, and L6 (Clascá *et al.* 2012; Markov and Kennedy 2013). The primate pulvinar extensively influences visual cortex (Saalmann *et al.* 2012, 2018; Fiebelkorn *et al.* 2019), and is directly influenced by the superior colliculus (Stepniewska *et al.* 2000; Wurtz *et al.* 2005), itself systematically BG-recipient (Hikosaka and Wurtz 1983; Parent and Hazrati 1995a).

Direct path targets include the near entirety of frontal cortex, with some variation by phylogeny, via thalamic mediodorsal (MD), ventral anterior, ventral lateral, ventromedial, and ventral posterolateral *pars oralis* (VPLo) nuclei (Middleton and Strick 2002; Sidibé *et al.* 1997; Haber and Calzavara 2009; Sakai *et al.* 1996; Herkenham 1979). In primates, agranular insular and anterior cingulate cortex are targeted via MD (Ray and Price 1993), and additional targets include high-order visuocognitive areas in inferotemporal cortex via the magnocellular part of VA (VAmc) (Middleton and Strick 1996), and anterior intraparietal (Clower *et al.* 2005) cortex. These latter two areas are at the end of the ventral and dorsal visual streams, respectively, proposed to be associated with perceptual cognition relating to physical objects (Baizer *et al.* 1991), and have been found to show choice-predictive beta band synchronization in a 3D-shape discrimination task (Verhoef *et al.* 2011), plausibly implicating the BG directly (Leventhal *et al.* 2012). In chimpanzee, limited experiments have demonstrated projections from the MD, VA, and VL nuclei to posterior cortical areas 19 and 39 (Tigges *et al.* 1983). In humans, the dorsal and ventral visual streams in these areas are densely interconnected (Takemura *et al.* 2016), suggesting a role for BG-mediated

inter-stream effective connectivity control in the middle stages of visual processing.

Extensive but diffuse projections from BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei (centromedian, parafascicular, paracentral (PC), and central lateral (CL)), discussed in detail later (§7), have been found to reach nearly the entire cerebral cortex, and furthermore project intrathalamically and to the basal forebrain (Kaufman and Rosenquist 1985b; Scannell 1999; Van der Werf *et al.* 2002).

Consistent with these widespread projections of BG-recipient thalamus, artificial stimulation of direct path SPNs in the striatum significantly and consistently increases activity throughout the entire cortex (Lee *et al.* 2016).

6.2. The laminar hierarchical architecture of the cerebral cortex implies a role for the BG in orienting attention, biasing competition, and other roles associated with corticocortical feedback projections.

The laminar functional architecture of cortex, and the layer-specific targeting of corticocortical projections, can help explain the functional relationship of the BG to cortex. An arrangement of cortical areas in primates has been described in which hierarchies are defined by long range feedforward and feedback relations, with characteristic laminar origins and destinations that grow more distinct as hierarchical distance grows (Barone *et al.* 2000). In primates, feedforward projections tend to arise from L5 and deep L3, adjacent to L4 (the middle granular layer), and project to L4, while feedback projections arise from L6 and upper L3, and project to L1/L2, upper L3, and L6 (Barone *et al.* 2000; Markov and Kennedy 2013).

The activity borne by hierarchical projections tends to conform to stereotyped oscillatory frequency bands, with gamma and theta in the feedforward direction and beta in the feedback direction; feedback activity can enhance feedforward activity (Bastos *et al.* 2015a; Bressler and Richter 2015; Richter *et al.* 2017; Lee *et al.* 2013). The functions ascribed to feedback projections include attentional orientation by biasing competition, and disambiguation and hypothesis-driven interpretation of high resolution feedforward inputs (“biasing inference”), while feedforward projections introduce environmental state information into hypothetical representations (models), promoting rectification of their inaccuracies and inadequacies (Markov and Kennedy 2013).

Recent evidence and analysis indicates that activity in the alpha and beta bands is more prominent in deep cortical layers (L5/L6) than is gamma band activity, and mediates top-down control and inhibitory mechanisms, while the gamma band is more prominent in superficial layers (L2/L3), and underlies bottom-up inputs and the local maintenance of working memory representations (Bastos *et al.* 2018; Lundqvist *et al.* 2018a; Miller *et al.* 2018). As

discussed in greater detail later (§7.5), the prominence of lower band activity in deep layers may be significant for inputs to those layers from intralaminar thalamic nuclei, which appear arranged for temporally precise but spatially diffuse distribution of spike volleys. Such inputs are likely to be particularly selective when interacting with lower frequency activity. There is, however, also evidence from human stereo-electroencephalography that long range phase coupling at low frequencies (<30 Hz) is preferentially localized to superficial layers, while very high gamma activity (> 100 Hz) correlations are localized to deep layers (Arnulfo *et al.* 2018 ‡), suggesting that temporal precision in thalamocortical projections to deep layers may be crucial for these paths to match phases into the high gamma frequency range.

Cortical inputs to striatal matrix, including the direct and indirect paths, arise from L3 and L5 (Gerfen 1989; Kincaid and Wilson 1996; Reiner *et al.* 2003), like corticocortical feedforward paths. This suggests that the BG receive detailed, highly specific, environmentally and contextually informative inputs. However, BG-recipient thalamocortical axons terminate chiefly in L1, L3, deep L5, and L6, and completely avoid L4 (Kuramoto *et al.* 2009; Jinnai *et al.* 1987; Parent and Parent 2005; Kaufman and Rosenquist 1985a; Berendse and Groenewegen 1991). Thus, the termination pattern of BG-recipient thalamus in cortex is like that of corticocortical feedback paths, consistent with the putative role of the BG as a modulator and selection mechanism, and suggests a key role in hypothetical modeling of the environment. Evidence suggests that neurons in L5 have broad receptive fields, while narrower receptive fields predominate in L2/L3, providing for extensive combinatorial coverage of stimulus dimensions (Xie *et al.* 2016; Li *et al.* 2016). These patterns suggest that disambiguating inputs are particularly useful in L5.

The cortical input to BG-recipient thalamus arises both from L6, and from collaterals of motor output to the brainstem and spinal cord arising from L5 (Deschênes *et al.* 1994), pooling afferents whose origins resemble those of corticocortical feedback and feedforward projections. This might have consequences for interactions with BG output, discussed later (§6.10). Also discussed later (§6.11), projections from the thalamus back to striatum relay this pooled input, which intermingles convergently with the more plentiful cortical inputs.

Curiously, the deepest pyramidal neurons of the neocortex, those of layer 6b, are mostly driven by long range intracortical projections originating in layers 5 and 6a, and are almost devoid of thalamocortical (and therefore of BG) inputs, even while some of them strongly target the thalamus, through which they may form large scale thalamo-cortico-cortical circuits (Zolnik *et al.* 2020). It nonetheless seems likely that these neurons are targeted by diffuse intralaminar thalamic projections (Parent and

Parent 2005), subjecting them to BG influence by spike-timing-dependent gain.

Some projections of the PFC to posterior areas have been found to resemble those of the BG-recipient thalamus, terminating most densely in L1 and avoiding L4, in the pattern of feedback projections (Selemon and Goldman-Rakic 1988). Transthalamic paths from cortex through “core” neurons in the thalamus, on the other hand, have been found to originate chiefly in L5, and to terminate in L4 and deep L3 (Jones 2001; Rouiller and Welker 2000), like corticocortical feedforward paths. Similarly, input to the cerebellum from the neocortex arises from deep L5 (Glickstein *et al.* 1985; Schmammann and Pandya 1997), and its output targets the core population in thalamus and, through them, middle layers in cortex (Kuramoto *et al.* 2009; García-Cabezas and Barbas 2014), so that many paths through the cerebellum also resemble corticocortical feedforward paths.

6.3. BG output in the normal brain is modulatory.

Movement is difficult or impossible to evoke by electrical stimulation of BG-recipient loci in the motor thalamus, even while such movement can be readily evoked from nearby loci receiving cerebellar output (Vitek *et al.* 1996; Buford *et al.* 1996; Nambu 2008; but see Kim *et al.* 2017). As noted above, cerebellum-recipient cells project mainly to middle cortical layers, while BG-recipient cells project mainly to deep and superficial layers. In primate, BG-recipient neurons in the motor thalamus may be consistently within the calbindin-positive population, associated with widely distributed and divergent cortical modulation, while cerebellum-recipient neurons are consistently within the parvalbumin-positive population, associated with specific and narrowly circumscribed topographic projections (Jones 2001; Kuramoto *et al.* 2009).

While movement can be evoked by microstimulation of the intralaminar nuclei (Schlag *et al.* 1974), BG input there, as in the nigrotectal projection, is mostly directed to the dendrites of projection neurons (Sidibé *et al.* 2002; Behan *et al.* 1987), where it can only modulate other, excitatory inputs. This contrasts with calyx-like BG terminals in the motor thalamus, that exercise predominant control over their targets, and can directly induce rebound firing and bursting (Bodor *et al.* 2008; Kim *et al.* 2017).

6.4. The BG target apical dendrites in cortex, through thalamic projections with mesoscopically and multi-areally branching axons.

Outside the intralaminar nuclei, projections of the BG-recipient thalamus terminate most densely in L1 (the molecular layer, consisting mostly of the apical dendrites of pyramidal neurons), while projections of cerebellum-

recipient thalamus terminate chiefly within L2-L5; the two terminal fields overlap and intermingle in cortex so that pyramidal neurons are simultaneously under the influence of the BG and cerebellum (Kuramoto *et al.* 2009; Jinnai *et al.* 1987). The rat and cat ventromedial nucleus, a major recipient of SNr output, has been noted for directing its output, covering large portions of the cerebral cortex, almost exclusively to L1 (Herkenham 1979; Glenn *et al.* 1982). Recent experiments in the rat have demonstrated that thalamic projections to superficial cortex are comprehensive, extensively overlap, broadly arborize tangentially, and are variously intra- and inter-areally divergent and convergent (Rubio-Garrido *et al.* 2009). Each square mm of superficial cortex was found to be innervated by an average of ~4500 thalamocortical neurons, and the most profuse nuclei of origin were the VL, VA, and VM, each of which was noted for terminal fields targeting widely separated areas in cortex. Primary sensory nuclei were found to be completely absent from the projection to superficial cortex.

Broadly branching axons, preference for superficial cortex, and the absence of contributions by primary sensory thalamus, suggest a mesoscopic modulatory function for these projections. Electrophysiological experiments and simulations support this. *In vivo* experiments on optogenetically manipulated mice show that the VM nucleus is directly implicated in large scale cortical activation (Honjoh *et al.* 2018). *In vitro* experiments in rat suggest that apical inputs to L5 neurons have a negligible direct effect on the soma, due to severe attenuation, and shunting by back-propagating action potentials (Larkum *et al.* 2004). Mathematical modeling of these projections suggests that they can serve a purely modulatory function, biasing inference by selectively amplifying activity in the pyramidal neurons they target, without disrupting information flowing through their more basal inputs, and without contributing information to their outputs beyond that implicit to selective amplification (Kay *et al.* 2019‡). Beta (and higher) oscillation in the BG, reaching superficial cortex, apparently does not in itself manifest as oscillation at the somata of receiving cortical projection neurons, but rather appears to be low-pass filtered with a cutoff frequency of 5 Hz (Rivlin-Etzion *et al.* 2008).

6.5. Thalamocortical projections to apical dendrites control spike-timing-dependent gain.

When thalamocortical projections provide subthreshold input to the L1 apical dendrites of L5 pyramidal neurons, that input appears to selectively increase the effective gain of the pyramidal neurons, such that temporally coincident input (within 20-30 ms) to their somata induces bursting output (Larkum *et al.* 2004; Kay *et al.* 2019‡). According to the BGMS model, BG-influenced activity in these

thalamocortical projections, exhibiting multi-areal synchrony, reinforces activity in selected cortical areas, both those that triggered the BG response, and associated areas that are contextually relevant. If corticocortical bursting itself effects long range synchronization (Womelsdorf *et al.* 2014), then the BG may instantiate long range synchronies by controlling and coordinating the location and timing of cortical bursting and burst receptivity. Paths through the intralaminar nuclei to deep cortical layers are likely crucial to the temporal coordination and selectivity of these responses, as discussed in detail later (§7).

6.6. Thalamic inputs to cortical FSIs may be a crucial path for precisely discriminative selection among conflicting inputs.

Recent evidence demonstrates that projections of the mediodorsal nucleus to PFC directly drive activity in cortical fast spiking interneurons, suggesting a mechanism whereby MD can mediate inhibition of conflicting or contextually extraneous activity (Delevich *et al.* 2015; Rikhye *et al.* 2018). As discussed earlier (§5.4), cortical FSIs exert a robust and precise influence on oscillatory activity in their targets, and may elevate receptivity to activity with the preferred frequency and phase. Thus, paths from the BG to cortical FSIs via the thalamus are a likely substrate for the precise selections that have long (Redgrave *et al.* 1999) been attributed to the BG.

6.7. Intrinsic mechanisms in cortex facilitate semantically valid mesoscopic modulation and selection.

The density and overlap of the thalamocortical projection to L1 (Rubio-Garrido *et al.* 2009) suggest that BG output associated with consolidated skills recruits comprehensive modulation of targeted cortical areas. Beyond the domain of well-worn skills, there are intrinsic mechanisms in cortex that may heal intra-areal gaps in modulation. It has been proposed that activity entering cortex through L1 spreads horizontally through L2 and L3, yielding mesoscopic facilitation of firing in L5 pyramidal cells, thereby controlling long range effective connectivity (Roland 2002). Lag-free lateral spread of oscillation up to high gamma has been demonstrated *in vitro*, working through interactions in L2 and L3, entailing an ensemble dynamic of gap junctions and GABAergic fast spiking interneurons (Tamás *et al.* 2000).

The neurons of L2 (the external granular layer) have larger receptive fields and a higher incidence of combined feature selectivity than L3 neurons, and their projections are exclusively corticocortical (Gur and Snodderly 2008; Markov and Kennedy 2013). The apical dendrites of the small pyramidal neurons of L2 intermingle in L1 with the apical dendrites of L5 pyramidal neurons, spreading 100-

200 μm laterally (Meller *et al.* 1968; Noback and Purpura 1961). Because L1 and L2 are adjacent, the implicated thalamocortical appositions are more proximal, so likely subject to markedly less of the attenuation and filtration characterizing apical inputs to L5 neurons. Putative high frequency BG modulation of thalamocortical projections to L1 might therefore induce correlated discharges in L2 pyramidal neurons, spreading this high frequency activity laterally within the superficial layers. Moreover, *in vitro* experiments with pyramidal neurons from these layers have demonstrated nonlinear coincidence detection dynamics, with windows only 4-7 ms long (Volgushev *et al.* 1998), suggesting temporal specificity in L2/L3 mechanisms sufficient to bias competition among conflicting gamma oscillations.

Discontinuities have been found in the local horizontal linkages of cortex, particularly in L2 and L3, that are posited to tessellate sensory areas along boundaries of similar function and features (Rockland and Lund 1983; Ojima *et al.* 1991; DeFelipe *et al.* 1986; Juliano *et al.* 1990). Similar tessellation, into “stripes” 2-3 mm long and 200-400 μm wide, has been described in PFC (Levitt *et al.* 1993; Pucak *et al.* 1996), and is posited to define the limiting spatial resolution with which the BG can modulate cortical activity (Frank *et al.* 2001). Spreading, but spatially restricted, synchronized activation of superficial modulatory layers has semantically valid effects with a cortical layout in which mental categories and analogs are represented by precise, spatially graded semantic continuities—feature maps—not only in sensory receptive fields, but throughout the cerebral cortex, as evidence suggests (Rao *et al.* 1999; Huth *et al.* 2012; Simmons and Barsalou 2003; Rajalingham and DiCarlo 2019; Lettieri *et al.* 2019; Zhang *et al.* 2019a†).

The precise significance of these areal activations is complicated by multidimensionality and mixed selectivity in feature maps. A canonical example of a multidimensional map is the primary visual cortex, wherein the representations of ocular dominance, orientation, and spatial frequency, are folded into small local maps embedded within an overall map arranged in registration with the two major dimensions that represent visual field position (Yu *et al.* 2005). In associative areas of cortex, which are more densely BG-recipient, multidimensionality and mixed selectivity are yet more prominent (Rigotti *et al.* 2013; Huth *et al.* 2016).

6.8. Dopamine under BG control modulates the vertical and horizontal dynamics to which effective connections in cortex are subject.

Striosomal BG paths (reviewed later (§8.3)) are major modulators of the supply of dopamine (DA) to the forebrain. While DA promotes oscillatory responses to activity in proximally apposed afferents to pyramidal

neurons, it has been found to attenuate receptivity to inputs on apical dendrites, which may “focus” or “sharpen” the effects of inputs to those cells (Yang and Seamans 1996). Moreover, the GABAergic interactions among L2/L3 basket FSIs that are crucial for the elimination of phase lags in laterally spreading oscillation (Tamás *et al.* 2000) are depressed by DA (Towers and Hestrin 2008). This suggests that phasic DA induces phase lags in L2/L3 that increase with distance from the locus of excitatory input, perhaps producing a temporal center-surround effect that effectively focuses cortical responses. Because expressions of plasticity are pervasively spike-timing-dependent (Song *et al.* 2000), this phase lag control mechanism may also have important consequences for the formation and refinement of cortical feature maps.

These arrangements suggest a corollary to the central proposition of the BGMS model: not only do the BG control effective connectivity in cortex, they also separately control the dynamic characteristics of effective connections. BG influences on cholinergic and serotonergic centers, reviewed later (§9), extend this control.

6.9. Projections to thalamus from cortical layer 6 exhibit topography, and bear activity, suited to mesoscopic modulation by BG direct path output.

Most of the corticothalamic population arises from L6, with small terminals apposing distal dendrites in thalamus, and reciprocation is particularly prominent in this projection (Rouiller and Welker 2000). The corticothalamic projection topographically and comprehensively reciprocates the thalamocortical projection, with consistent rules such that each thalamic locus that originates a given type of projection to cortex has a corresponding corticothalamic type reciprocated (Deschênes *et al.* 1998). The population of corticothalamic fibers is considerably more numerous than the thalamocortical population, by roughly a factor of 10 (Deschênes *et al.* 1998), and some of these fibers exhibit terminal fields that spread laterally, to encompass neighboring reciprocal receptive fields (Rouiller and Welker 2000).

In an *in vitro* study in rat, the delay of the corticothalamic projection from L6, and its variability, were found to be significantly greater than those of the thalamocortical projection, 5.2 ± 1.0 ms and 2.1 ± 0.55 ms respectively (Beierlein and Connors 2002). While thalamocortical delays are essentially fixed and very tightly aligned (Salami *et al.* 2003), L6 corticothalamic delays evidence supernormality. This entails reduction of delay and threshold below baseline after the relative refractory period (Swadlow *et al.* 1980). Supernormality was found to persist for roughly 100 ms following a discharge, and with a 40 Hz stimulus it reduced corticothalamic delay by up to 12% (Beierlein and Connors 2002). While the functional

significance of supernormality in the corticothalamic projection is elusive, it might arrange for advancement of spike timing in cortex as activity intensifies, either matching supernormality in implicated corticocortical projections, or producing other useful timing-related effects, such as phase-of-firing intensity encoding (Masquelier *et al.* 2009).

The numerosity, structure, and variability of the L6 corticothalamic projection suggest it may be subject to some of the same pressures producing convergence, divergence, and variability in the corticostriatal and striatopallidal projections—particularly, the need for a supply of inputs with appropriate characteristics to meet complex and widely varying topological and spike alignment requirements in paths through thalamus terminating in feedback-recipient layers of cortex. These arrangements were discussed at much greater length earlier (§3).

Dissociation of the functions of corticothalamic and corresponding thalamocortical projections has recently been demonstrated in rats. Using narrowly targeted pharmacological manipulations of the mediodorsal thalamic nucleus and the reciprocally linked area of frontal cortex, Alcaraz *et al.* (2018) show that inhibition of the corticothalamic cell population disrupts behavioral responsiveness to changes in reward magnitudes, while inhibition of the thalamocortical population disrupts behavioral responsiveness to changes in cause-effect associations. These patterns appear to be consistent with the proposition that corticothalamic projections to motor, associative, and limbic thalamus carry a supply of convergent inputs that is modulated by extrinsic inputs, particularly from the BG, producing selective and sensical thalamocortical responses.

6.10. Intrinsic cortical network dynamics assure a supply of activity to thalamus that can be effectively entrained by converging BG inputs.

A full account of the function of the corticothalamic projection has proved elusive (Goldberg *et al.* 2013). According to the BGMS model, the corticothalamic projections from L5 and L6 to BG-recipient thalamus arrange for BG output to be able to select, in each channel, which frequency and phase of cortical activity is to be reinforced and which are to be inhibited. Evidence and modeling suggest that conflicting rhythms in the afferent activity to a cortical neuron shift its discharge pattern away from rhythmic regularity and toward randomness (Gómez-Laberge *et al.* 2016). Such a response might work to assure that corticothalamic afferents from conflicted cortical loci, converging with BG afferents, can produce postsynaptic activity entrained by those BG afferents, for any particular frequency and phase of BG-selected activity. Moreover, as noted above, corticothalamic fibers are far more numerous

than thalamocortical ones, and pool afferents from L5 and L6. This suggests a relatively high degree of convergence in the corticothalamic projection, further working to assure a supply of suitable excitatory afferent activity, and including activity associated with both feedforward and feedback projections.

6.11. Motor and intralaminar thalamus relay BG-modulated cortical activity to BG inputs, with functional distinctions suggesting contextualization and dynamical regulation.

While the main input to the matrix compartment of striatum arises from cortical L3 and L5, as reviewed above, there are profuse projections from BG-recipient thalamus to striatum, implicitly relaying input from L6. Inputs from cortex and thalamus converge on individual SPNs, with similar axodendritic patterns, but with cortical inputs more numerous (Huerta-Ocampo *et al.* 2014). Projections from thalamic VA/VL to striatum converge with functionally corresponding projections from cortex (McFarland and Haber 2000). Evidence from primates shows that striatal FSIs are likely broadly targeted by projections from intralaminar thalamus (Sidibé and Smith 1999). Intralaminar projections have also been noted for supplying the striatum with information relating to salient sensory events (Matsumoto *et al.* 2001), and are implicated in the learning of changes in instrumental contingencies, through projections to cholinergic interneurons (Bradfield *et al.* 2013). *In vitro* experiments demonstrate projections from the thalamus to striatal SPNs, with distinctive synaptic properties, such that postsynaptic response generation is likelier than for corticostriatal synapses, but repetitive stimulation depresses postsynaptic depolarization (Ding *et al.* 2008). In awake monkeys, activation of projections from intralaminar thalamus to striatum has complex effects, with SPN discharge induced only by rapid bursts

from thalamus, and long latencies peaking 100-200 ms after intralaminar stimulation (Nanda *et al.* 2009).

Significantly, intralaminar thalamostriatal projections strongly prefer the matrix compartment (Sadikot *et al.* 1992b) to which the direct and indirect paths are confined. Thalamostriatal and thalamocortical projection neurons in the intralaminar nuclei are intermingled, and many axons branch to innervate both striatum and cortex (Deschênes *et al.* 1996; Parent and Parent 2005; Kaufman and Rosenquist 1985a), so that synchronies in the striatal projections of these nuclei are presumptively representative of synchronies in their cortical projections. Thus, thalamostriatal inputs implicitly reflect the current effect of BG output upon the cortex. These subcortical feedback loops may facilitate regulation of BG output to bring modulatory results into conformity with intentions, both dynamically and, as discussed earlier (§3.5), by driving the expression of plasticity.

They may also provide for sequential elaboration of BG output, adjusting thalamocortical modulations with greater speed and precision than is possible within cortico-BG loops. Intralaminar thalamic projections to the globus pallidus, substantia nigra, and subthalamic nucleus (Sadikot *et al.* 1992a) may serve similar roles, exploiting loop delays that are much shorter than the propagation delays of the corticostriatal and striatopallidal projections (Kitano *et al.* 1998; Harnois and Filion 1982). Indeed, for some tasks, cortex is crucial for initial acquisition but not necessary for subsequent performance (Kawai *et al.* 2015; Wolff *et al.* 2019‡; Dhawale *et al.* 2019‡). Moreover, tight and bidirectional integration of the BG indirect path with the cerebellum through subcortical pathways has been noted (Bostan and Strick 2010; Bostan *et al.* 2013; Bostan and Strick 2018; Milardi *et al.* 2016), and seems likely to be prominent in mechanisms underlying performance of rapid, precise, sequential cognition and behavior, including the production of rhythmic behavior with cadences that are precisely consistent, but continuously variable.

7. The Role of the Intralaminar Nuclei in the Direct Path

In this section:

- 7.1. The intralaminar nuclei are a uniquely important link between the basal ganglia and cerebral cortex.
- 7.2. Intralaminar thalamus in primates projects to pyramidal somatic layers.
- 7.3. The thalamocortical projections of the BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei reach nearly the entire cortex.
- 7.4. Unlike other BG-recipient thalamic areas, the intralaminar nuclei of the thalamus are not purely modulatory.
- 7.5. The characteristics of the BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei suggest high fidelity relay of precisely timed activity.
- 7.6. Most BG output to the intralaminar nuclei is non-somatic, increasing combinatorial power and decoupling BG output from cortical somatic inputs.
- 7.7. Cortical projections to CM/PF predominantly arise in layer 5, as do many corticocortical projections.
- 7.8. Intralaminar and non-intralaminar projections from BG-recipient thalamus have complementary functions.
- 7.9. The BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei are most developed in humans.
- 7.10. The BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei may be crucial to the expression of pathology in Tourette syndrome, OCD, and schizophrenia.
- 7.11. Disruption in schizophrenia of sleep spindling and prefrontal FSI activity likely grossly disrupt BGMS.
- 7.12. Reports on the functional correlations of the intralaminar nuclei, and their physiological relationships with the basal ganglia and cortex, likely supply some of the best available evidence supporting the BGMS model.

7.1. The intralaminar nuclei are a uniquely important link between the basal ganglia and cerebral cortex.

Compared to the motor and association nuclei, the intralaminar nuclei of the thalamus are small, but they have exceptional characteristics and functions placing them at the very center of cognitive coordination and awareness. Moreover, among thalamic nuclei, the intralaminae are uniquely intimate with the BG, and indeed have been described as an integral part of the BG system (Parent and Parent 2005). In the BGMS model, the intralaminar nuclei, through their broad projections and dynamical characteristics, work as a high fidelity broadcast mechanism whereby long range effective connectivity, and therefore cognition, are oriented by spike-timing-dependent gain.

7.2. Intralaminar thalamus in primates projects to pyramidal somatic layers.

Some studies in rat and cat report intralaminar thalamocortical projections principally targeting L1 (Royce and Mourey 1985; Royce *et al.* 1989), like the projections of the BG-recipient populations in the MD, VA, VL, VM, and VPLo nuclei, whereas other studies in primate, rat, and cat report intralaminar projections principally to L5 and L6, where individual axons branch widely and arborize massively to appose the somata and proximal dendrites of great numbers of pyramidal neurons, and may terminate in L1 only more sparingly (Parent and Parent 2005; Kaufman

and Rosenquist 1985a; Berendse and Groenewegen 1991; Llinás *et al.* 2002). The disparities among these studies have been suggested to relate to actual physiological distinctions among the species at issue, made all the more likely by the particularly active recent evolutionary history of the intralaminar nuclei and cerebral cortex (Royce and Mourey 1985), but may simply be methodological artifacts.

7.3. The thalamocortical projections of the BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei reach nearly the entire cortex.

While intralaminar projection fibers to frontal cortex are greatly outnumbered by those from non-intralaminar BG-recipient thalamic nuclei (Barbas *et al.* 1991; Schell and Strick 1984), intralaminar projections are strikingly widespread, encompassing nearly the entire neocortex. Experiments in rats and cats demonstrate that the CM/PF nuclei, comprising the caudal group, project to motor, frontal eye fields (FEF), orbitofrontal, anterior limbic, cingulate, parietal, and visual cortex, and to many structures of the medial temporal lobe, though not to the hippocampus proper (Royce and Mourey 1985; Berendse and Groenewegen 1991). In the same two species, the rostral CL and PC nuclei project widely and without consistent topography to the FEF, anterior cingulate, insular, parietal areas 5 and 7, visual, and auditory cortex (Kaufman and Rosenquist 1985a; Royce *et al.* 1989; Berendse and Groenewegen 1991). Studies in cat (Cunningham and Levay 1986) and macaque (Doty 1983) have identified sparse but distinct projections from the rostral intralaminar nuclei to L1, L5, and L6 of primary

visual cortex (area 17). Collating many of these results, a metastudy pooling thalamocortical and corticothalamic projections in cat concluded that the intralaminar nuclei connect very widely with most of visual, auditory, motor, and prefrontal cortex; though nearly all of these connections were characterized as weak or sparse, of 53 cortical areas studied, only 7 (the contiguous primary, posterior, ventroposterior, and temporal auditory fields, the posterior suprasylvian area of visual cortex, and the hippocampus/subiculum) were not reported to be connected with any of the BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei (Scannell 1999).

Single axons from CL and PC have been noted to branch multi-areally to innervate visual and parietal association cortex, suggesting a general function for the intralaminar nuclei, rather than specific functions in the spatial processing of visual information (Kaufman and Rosenquist 1985a).

The broad cortical projection field of the intralaminar nuclei, their extreme divergence, and their intimacy with oscillatory dynamics, were demonstrated by the “recruiting response” reported in early experiments in cats. Oscillatory activity spanning nearly the entire cerebral cortex, most strongly in frontal areas, was evoked with electrical stimulation centered anywhere within the intralaminar region (Morison and Dempsey 1941; Dempsey and Morison 1941). The ventral anterior, mediodorsal, and ventromedial nuclei, prominent in the system of superficially projecting BG-recipient thalamus detailed earlier (§6), exhibit similar indications of large scale connectivity. The VA nucleus in particular has also been implicated in the generation of the recruiting response (Skinner and Lindsley 1967).

CNS insults that bilaterally destroy not only the rostrocaudal extent of the intralaminar nuclei, but also the adjacent MD nucleus, are consistently associated with the permanent vegetative state (Schiff 2010). Pharmacological manipulation of the intralaminar nuclei can rapidly abolish or restore wakefulness (Alkire *et al.* 2008), and a special indispensability to consciousness has been proposed for these nuclei (Bogen 1995; Baars 1995). Indeed, primates rendered unconscious with propofol anesthesia can be promptly roused to wakefulness solely by high frequency electrical stimulation of the intralaminar thalamus (Redinbaugh *et al.* 2020; Donoghue *et al.* 2019‡).

Sleep spindles, which entail tightly synchronized responses spanning large areas of cortex, also demonstrate the broad scope of intralaminar projections. In spindling, activity in the corticothalamic projection and thalamic reticular nucleus are thought to drive thalamocortical cells to simultaneous discharge in nuclei spanning much of the thalamus, particularly through highly divergent projections from the rostral reticular nucleus through the BG-recipient intralaminar and association nuclei (Contreras *et al.* 1997).

7.4. Unlike other BG-recipient thalamic areas, the intralaminar nuclei of the thalamus are not purely modulatory.

The CL and PC nuclei in cats contain neurons whose activity is uniquely related to all kinds of eye movements, fast or slow, self-initiated or evoked, to stimuli and movements characterized visuotopically, allocentrically, by direction of gaze, and various combinations thereof, to eye position, and to polysensory context and vigilance (Schlag *et al.* 1974, 1980). Activity in these neurons precedes saccade onset by 50-400 ms, and continues during the saccade, whether the saccade is self-initiated or visually evoked, with each neuron showing a consistent but idiosyncratic pattern (Schlag *et al.* 1974; Schlag-Rey and Schlag 1977; Schlag *et al.* 1980). While each completed saccade is accompanied by a consistent pattern of activation in some of these neurons, the reverse is not always the case—the same pattern of activation in an intralaminar neuron is sometimes seen in the absence of an executed saccade (Schlag *et al.* 1974). Nonetheless, microstimulation in the CL and PC nuclei consistently evokes conjugate saccades with a delay of 35 ms for large deviations, suggesting primary involvement in saccade generation (Maldonado *et al.* 1980).

Similar to CL and PC, evidence suggests that activation of the parafascicular nucleus can by itself generate turning and orienting movements, though this apparently occurs not via its projections to cortex, but rather, via its projections to the STN, implicating STN and other BG components in movement initiation (Watson *et al.* 2018‡).

7.5. The characteristics of the BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei suggest high fidelity relay of precisely timed activity.

Unlike other BG-recipient populations in thalamus, the CM and PF nuclei are densely parvalbumin-positive (Jones and Hendry 1989). In other thalamic nuclei, as noted earlier (§6.3), parvalbumin is associated with putative “core” or “driving” neurons, which are not BG-recipient. In other brain organs, notably the cerebral cortex, striatum, GP, and SNr, parvalbumin is associated with fast-firing, fatigue-resistant neurons. Via the caudal intralaminar nuclei, the BG complete loops within which spike timing is largely determined by parvalbumin-containing, fast-firing, non-fatiguing neurons (Mallet *et al.* 2005; Bennett and Bolam 1994; Cote *et al.* 1991), targeting somata and proximal dendrites of pyramidal neurons in deep cortex as described above.

The rostral intralaminar nuclei are densely calbindin-positive (Jones and Hendry 1989), like non-intralaminar BG-recipient thalamus, but the laterodorsal part of the CL

nucleus has been shown in adult cats to contain a population of neurons projecting to parietal association cortex that, during wakefulness and REM sleep, regularly emit bursts of 3-4 spikes with interspike intervals (ISIs) shorter than 1.3 ms, at a burst rate of 20-40 Hz, with no apparent signs of fatigue, and an antidromic thalamocortical delay $\leq 500 \mu\text{s}$, indicating conduction velocities (CVs) of 40-50 m/s (Glenn and Steriade 1982; Steriade *et al.* 1993). During the spindling characteristic of stage 2 sleep, bursts in these cells were found to be even more intense, 8-9 spikes with ISIs as low as 1 ms. The CVs of their axons, uniquely fast among thalamocortical cell classes (Steriade *et al.* 1993), are roughly 50 times faster than those of striatopallidal axons, which in awake cynomolgus monkeys exhibit CVs under 1 m/s (Tremblay and Filion 1989).

Because BG inputs to intralaminar nuclei are collaterals of inputs to other thalamic nuclei (Parent *et al.* 2001), the information received from the BG by the intralaminar nuclei presumably duplicates that received by non-intralaminar cells. But high fidelity relay by neurons of the intralaminar thalamus, combined with pyramidal somatic layer targeting, appears to arrange for particularly narrow selectivity through spike synchrony effects. Indeed, feed-forward inhibition in cortex, implicating fast-spiking interneurons, arranges for an extremely narrow coincidence detection window for proximally apposed afferents to pyramidal neurons, -1.5 to +2.4 ms for effective spike summation, even while the coincidence requirement in distal inputs was found to be much looser, -8.6 to +12.3 ms (Pouille and Scanziani 2001). Even absent the influence of FSIs, pyramidal neurons stimulated somatically *in vitro* have been shown to act as nonlinear coincidence detectors with windows only 4-7 ms wide, that become narrower with rising oscillatory frequency, with the timing of discharges tightly correlated to the timing of somatic membrane potential oscillation (Volgushev *et al.* 1998).

Evidence discussed earlier (§6.2) implicating L5/L6 in top-down control, with prominent activity in the alpha and beta bands (Bastos *et al.* 2018), underscores the significance of temporally precise spike relay and distribution by the intralaminar nuclei to these layers. Oscillatory periods $\geq \sim 50$ ms predominate in L5/L6, much longer than the ~ 4 ms coincidence window for proximally apposed inputs, so that intralaminar thalamic inputs with high temporal fidelity appear arranged to enable precise selections.

7.6. Most BG output to the intralaminar nuclei is non-somatic, increasing combinatorial power and decoupling BG output from cortical somatic inputs.

As noted above, BG inputs to primate caudal intralaminar thalamus overwhelmingly appose dendrites, not somata (Sidibé *et al.* 2002). These appositions are not

homogeneous, in that over 80% of SNr inputs to PF in monkey were found to appose small or medium, mostly distal, dendrites, with none apposing somata, while over 75% of GPi inputs to CM were found to appose medium or large, mostly proximal, dendrites, and 5% to appose somata. These patterns of apposition clearly result in looser coupling between the BG and intralaminar thalamus than does the perisomatic, calyceal pattern seen in non-intralaminar BG-recipient thalamus (Bodor *et al.* 2008). Perhaps more important, because corticothalamic inputs to these nuclei are also predominantly through small distally apposed terminals (Rouiller and Welker 2000), BG inputs are positioned as frequency- and phase-selective filters, with the potential for extensive presomatic nonlinear computation, enhancing computational power and combinatorial flexibility. Thus a single intralaminar neuron might participate in a vast variety of scenarios characterized by distinct corticothalamic and nigrothalamic input patterns, each producing somatic discharges, but by different combinations of dendritic inputs.

7.7. Cortical projections to CM/PF predominantly arise in layer 5, as do many corticocortical projections.

While inputs from L6 predominate in BG-recipient motor/association thalamus, in BG-recipient caudal intralaminar thalamus it is L5 inputs that predominate (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002; Balercia *et al.* 1996; Cornwall and Phillipson 1988; Royce 1983a, 1983b). This mirrors targeting of L5 in thalamocortical projections from this area, discussed above, and moreover shares its laminar origin with many corticocortical projections (Reiner *et al.* 2003). This is significant, because it suggests that corticocortical projections are systematically accompanied by trans-intralaminar paths, sharing exactly the same origins and targets, and subject to temporally precise gating by the BG direct path, in which L5 is similarly predominant in inputs to striatum, as reviewed earlier (§6.2).

7.8. Intralaminar and non-intralaminar projections from BG-recipient thalamus have complementary functions.

Widespread intralaminar projections appear arranged to broadcast a temporally precise but spatially diffuse signal to most of cortex, while non-intralaminar projections to superficial layers have mesoscopic spatial specificity, more restricted (principally frontal) areal targets, and relatively crude temporal specificity (though their appositions on cortical FSIs (Delevich *et al.* 2015; Rikhye *et al.* 2018) likely provide for temporal precision). At the heart of the BGMS model is the proposition that the BG coherently modulate these two influences, so that their convergence and inter-areal linkage in cortex provide for spatiotemporal specificity and consequent precision in the control of

effective connectivity. By interacting with intrinsic cortical activity, these inputs rapidly and dynamically recruit specific large scale networks. A corollary of this view is that the nuclei of the thalamus act as attentional spotlights, with selectivity rooted in both temporal and spatial specificity, while the BG are prominent in the orientation of those spotlights.

The BGMS proposal can be summarized as follows: When an input pattern triggers a selection in the striatum, the timing of striatal output tracks the prevailing timing of the input pattern, and the GPi, VP, and SNr impart that timing to the thalamus, with striatopallidal and striatonigral delays tuned for optimum effect (optimality being a function of cortical rhythms and corticocortical conduction delays, discussed in detail earlier (§3)). The intralaminar nuclei, through widespread diffuse projections throughout the cortical column (excepting only L4), impart discriminative receptivity to any activity that is precisely synchronous with that prevailing in the input pattern that stimulated the BG response, and narrowly reinforce its generation in its loci of origin. The non-intralaminar nuclei, through dense, mesoscopically specific, largely closed-loop projections, chiefly to L1, fortify activity in selected areas, particularly those contributing to the input pattern. When this fortification is strong, and coincident with substantial activity in the corresponding proximally apposed afferents, bursting is promoted (Larkum *et al.* 2004), further promoting establishment of effective connections (Womelsdorf *et al.* 2014).

Closed-loop paths through non-intralaminar nuclei largely implicate areas in frontal cortex, which are the densest targets of non-intralaminar BG-recipient thalamocortical projections, but other association areas in primates, notably in parietal and temporal cortex, are also implicated. All of these areas are thought to originate feedback signals with top-down control over their targets. By this narrative, the BG direct path establishes and fortifies top-down control connections from both ends, with the MD, VA, and VL nuclei fortifying the top end of the connection, and the CM, PF, PC, and CL nuclei tuning both ends to complete the connections. An additional function of BG-modulated thalamocortical afferents to L1 is that they open gates for feedforward signals, suggested by evidence (Bastos *et al.* 2018; Lundqvist *et al.* 2018a) that activity in L2/L3 is associated with bottom-up inputs.

Open loop direct paths through non-intralaminar nuclei may serve to complete activation of a distributed cortical ensemble that is only partly activated when it first triggers a striatal response, particularly when the triggering pattern largely originates in sensory cortex. Closed loop paths through intralaminar nuclei may tighten synchrony throughout the selected ensemble, and provide reinforcement that is highly selective, due to the narrow coincidence windows associated with proximal inputs to pyramidal neurons.

Notably, trans-thalamic inputs may actively inhibit and disconnect activity that is not synchronous (particularly, that is antisynchronous) with the thalamocortical signal, by feedforward inhibition via cortical FSIs. Evidence noted earlier (§6.6) strongly suggests that the path from MD to PFC entails such a mechanism (Delevich *et al.* 2015; Rikhye *et al.* 2018). And it is clear from the response to sleep spindles (Peyrache *et al.* 2011) that both pyramidal neurons and FSIs are targeted by thalamocortical projections. Feedforward inhibition associated with this arrangement enforces extremely short windows of summational receptivity (Pouille and Scanziani 2001).

It may be important that intralaminar projections, which target most of the cortex, are subject to extremely narrow coincidence windows. With wider windows, the intralaminar broadcast mechanism seems prone to establishment of spurious connections. Indeed, schizophrenia involves abnormal enlargement of these coincidence windows (Lewis *et al.* 2005; Gonzalez-Burgos *et al.* 2015), while lesioning and deactivation of intralaminar nuclei has been found to relieve hallucinations and delusions associated with Sz and other psychoses (Hassler 1982).

Sz is also characterized by enlargement of the time window within which visual stimuli are judged to be simultaneous (Schmidt *et al.* 2011), and by abnormalities in the simultaneity criteria for implicit audiovisual fusion (Martin *et al.* 2013). Beyond Sz, loosening of simultaneity criteria, and deficient perception of short time intervals, may be characteristic of psychosis generally (Schmidt *et al.* 2011; Ciullo *et al.* 2016).

7.9. The BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei are most developed in humans.

As evident from their function in vision and saccades, the BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei are a jumble of perceptual and motoric function, with activity in individual neurons highly correlated with both. Roles for these nuclei in executive control, working memory, and general cognitive flexibility—capacities that are most developed in humans—have also been shown (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002). Over the course of mammalian evolution, the intralaminar nuclei, particularly the posterior group, have undergone relative expansion and elaboration, reaching their greatest extent in primates, and in humans particularly (Macchi and Bentivoglio 1986; Royce and Mourey 1985; Herkenham 1986).

7.10. The BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei may be crucial to the expression of pathology in Tourette syndrome, OCD, and schizophrenia.

Psychosurgical results in humans give further evidence that

these nuclei can originate driving inputs to motoric, perceptual, cognitive, and motivational centers. Treatment of Gilles de la Tourette syndrome (GTS) by stereotactic ablation or rhythmic electrical stimulation of the rostral (Rickards *et al.* 2008) or caudal (Houeto *et al.* 2005; Servello *et al.* 2008) intralaminar nuclei has produced substantial and sustained abatement, in some cases almost complete remission, of compulsive behavior (tics) in many patients. Similarly, severe or extreme symptoms of obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD) have been substantially, consistently, and sustainably alleviated by unilateral lesioning of the right intralaminar nuclei (Hassler 1982), or by rhythmic electrical stimulation localized to the inferior thalamic peduncle, inactivating connectivity between intralaminar nuclei and orbitofrontal cortex (Jiménez-Ponce *et al.* 2009). GTS and OCD involve extensive BG abnormalities (Graybiel and Rauch 2000; Albin and Mink 2006; Kalanithi *et al.* 2005), so alleviation of symptoms by IL inactivation suggests functional prominence of the intralaminar nuclei in BG dynamics, and may be evidence of key involvement in the transmission of BG output to cortex.

Functional deficits in Sz are intimately related to the functional roles of the intralaminar nuclei. Eye tracking and saccade control are dysfunctional, suggesting particular deficits in anticipatory control and the suppression of distractors (Levy *et al.* 1994; Fukushima *et al.* 1988; Hutton *et al.* 2002), and aberrant connectivity between the intralaminar nuclei and PFC has also been described (Lambe *et al.* 2006). Sz has been found to be associated with significant relative reduction in volume and metabolic hypofunction in the centromedian nucleus, in addition to the MD nucleus and pulvinar, in a study that found no significant effects by these measures in other thalamic nuclei (Kemether *et al.* 2003; Hazlett *et al.* 2004).

The BG-recipient intralaminar thalamus expresses D₂ dopamine receptors at particularly high density (Rieck *et al.* 2004), and these receptors are targeted by antipsychotic drugs, usually with ameliorative effect for positive symptoms (Nordström *et al.* 1993; Kay *et al.* 1987). There is evidence from experimental clinical practice that lesioning of the mediodorsal and rostral intralaminar nuclei can permanently eliminate delusions and somatosensory, auditory, and visual hallucinations associated with Sz, while rhythmic (20 and 50 Hz) electrical stimulation of these areas can abolish symptoms promptly (Hassler 1982).

That some hallucinations and visuo-cognitive deficits in Sz may involve BG interaction with the intralaminar nuclei is further suggested by the common occurrence in PD of visual and other hallucinations and delusions (Barnes and David 2001; ffytche *et al.* 2017) and impaired shifting and maintenance of visual attention (Wright *et al.* 1990). PD is marked by abnormally strong coupling within BG loops (Hammond *et al.* 2007), and hallucinations incidental to PD appear to be associated with pathological

coupling of visual areas with the “default mode network” (Yao *et al.* 2014; Shine *et al.* 2015; Walpola *et al.* 2020). In short, hallucinations and delusions incidental to PD might be due in large part to pathologically synchronized BG output, inducing pathological persistence and widespread synchronization of neocortical activity, which could functionally connect spurious activity in visual cortex to hub areas. Consistent with this account, extensive thalamic cell loss in PD specific to the caudal intralaminar nuclei (Henderson *et al.* 2000) suggests that, among thalamic areas, these nuclei bear the brunt of the abnormal dynamics characteristic of the disease. PD and Huntington's disease are also both associated with voluntary saccade deficiencies, including abnormal distractibility in Huntington's (Bronstein and Kennard 1985; Lasker *et al.* 1987, 1988), resembling some of the oculomotor abnormalities associated with Sz.

Auditory hallucinations are commonly associated with Sz (de Leede-Smith and Barkus 2013; McCarthy-Jones *et al.* 2014), and also sometimes occur in advanced PD (ffytche *et al.* 2017). Many of the brain areas implicated in these hallucinations are within or intimate with the BG (Shergill *et al.* 2000). In cat, connections of the parafascicular nucleus with secondary auditory cortex and the anterior auditory field have been demonstrated (Scannell 1999), but as noted above (§7.3), no direct connections have been found between the intralaminar nuclei and the primary and several adjoining auditory fields (Scannell 1999). This lacuna is intriguing, in that it suggests that intralaminar input may be detrimental to signal integrity there, outweighing the benefits that evolutionarily stabilize intralaminar innervation elsewhere.

Evidence from EEG studies indicates that activity in cortical auditory areas synchronizes precisely with regular features of rapidly changing auditory stimuli, independent of and indeed in the absence of attention; beyond auditory cortex, in widely distributed areas such as frontal and parietal cortex, attention is required for sustained increases in activity in response to such auditory patterns (Herrmann and Johnsrude 2018). Consistent with local, automatic processing, activity in secondary auditory cortex shows a delay relative to primary cortex consistent with direct, feedforward signal propagation (Barth and MacDonald 1996). Bringing these disparate facts together: perhaps the prominence of auditory hallucinations in Sz is a result of top-down regulatory influences that are particularly weak (both normally and in Sz), intrinsically dysregulated activity in non-BG-recipient auditory areas, and intrinsic and extrinsic dysregulation in BG-recipient auditory areas, pervasively implicating GABA signaling (discussed at greater length later (§12.5)).

7.11. Disruption in schizophrenia of sleep spindling and prefrontal FSI activity likely grossly disrupt BGMS.

As noted above, sleep spindling, generating broadly synchronized responses in cortex, particularly implicates the BG-recipient intralaminar and association nuclei of the thalamus (Contreras *et al.* 1997). Spindling is thought to be crucial for consolidation during sleep of new associations (Tamminen *et al.* 2010; Genzel *et al.* 2014). Moreover, a direct association has been demonstrated between the prevalence of fast parietal spindles during stage 2 and slow wave sleep, and fluid intelligence (Fang *et al.* 2017).

A consistent pattern of deficient spindle activity in stage 2 sleep has been demonstrated in Sz, with severity of symptoms correlated to degree of deficiency (Ferrarelli *et al.* 2007, 2010a; Wamsley *et al.* 2012). Because synaptic homeostasis mechanisms largely operate at the level of individual microcircuits, neurons, and synapses (Turrigiano 2011), spindling deficits may cause progressive deterioration of the long range circuits that are the physiological basis of effective connectivity in wakefulness. Such deterioration is, in any case, characteristic of Sz (Lim *et al.* 1999; Mori *et al.* 2007; Collin *et al.* 2014; de Leeuw *et al.* 2015). As reviewed later (§12.5), it is the hub areas of cortex that are most implicated in the circuit deterioration characteristic of Sz. These are the areas most clearly implicated in fluid intelligence, as explored later (§14.3.4).

Sleep spindles have been found to preferentially recruit FSIs in PFC, more than pyramidal projection cells there (Peyrache *et al.* 2011). This is likely a consequence of feedforward inhibition in response to the lengthy ultra-high frequency bursts associated with spindling, importantly demonstrating that thalamocortical projections

apprise both FSIs and pyramidal cells in cortex. Impairment of GABA synthesis in intrinsic FSIs of DLPFC, and consequent deficiencies in cortical projection neuron synchronization and loosening of spike coincidence criteria, have been implicated in Sz (Lewis *et al.* 2005; Gonzalez-Burgos *et al.* 2015). PFC FSI response patterns are also modified by dopamine inputs (Tierney *et al.* 2008), which are abnormal in Sz (Grace 2016). The consequences of severe deficiencies in sleep spindling, simultaneous with disruption of feedforward inhibition by cortical FSIs, may disrupt BGMS with particular potency. Whether spindle and PFC FSI deficiencies are part of the etiology of Sz, or are sequelae, remains to be determined and may vary. It is probably significant that both can result directly from GABA dysfunction.

7.12. Reports on the functional correlations of the intralaminar nuclei, and their physiological relationships with the basal ganglia and cortex, likely supply some of the best available evidence supporting the BGMS model.

Evidence that the intralaminar nuclei are profusely innervated by the BG and integral to BG circuitry, that they are innervated by and proximally appose L5 pyramidal neurons, that these appositions are subject to stringent (<4 ms) coincidence requirements, and that spike bursts from highly energetic intralaminar neurons in a state of wakefulness last only 4-5 ms and recur at a rate of 20-40 Hz, suggest that BG output associated with well-practiced behavior and cognition is precisely aligned on this timescale. While the timing of spikes in projections to superficial cortex is surely significant, it is in the projections to somatic layers that timing appears most critical, and that the potential for timing-based selectivity is most apparent.

8. The Direct, Indirect, and Striosomal Paths in the Regulation of Cortical Dynamics

In this section:

- 8.1. The regulation of cortical dynamics implicates all BG circuitry, and the striatum is the linchpin.
- 8.2. SPNs in the direct path are preferentially innervated by cortical neurons with reciprocal corticocortical connectivity.
- 8.3. BG output to thalamus arises from activity in relatively superficial cortical layers, and passes exclusively through striatal matrix, while striosomes receive input from relatively deeper layers, with areal distinctions.
- 8.4. Cholinergic, serotonergic, and dopaminergic localization to striatal matrix suggest specialization for dynamic, high fidelity processing of oscillatory signals.
- 8.5. A pattern of differential innervation in the direct path suggests specialization for integration and motivated action.
- 8.6. Cortical inputs to the direct path appear to be an exquisitely context sensitive sparse code, with relatively high divergence-convergence.
- 8.7. The direct and indirect paths, and striatal matrix and patch compartments, are neither crisply distinct nor mutually exclusive.

8.1. The regulation of cortical dynamics implicates all BG circuitry, and the striatum is the linchpin.

While BGMS as discussed in this paper most directly implicates the direct path, BG circuitry beyond the direct path is just as functionally crucial, and indeed is even more extensive and broadly connected than the direct path. The striatum is the common component in all these circuits. The striatum is a particularly complex brain organ, structured simultaneously along multiple schemes overlaid upon, and interacting with, each other in intricate patterns (Graybiel 1990; Kreitzer 2009; Tepper *et al.* 2010; Bolam *et al.* 2000; Märtin *et al.* 2019). Its striosome-matrix compartmentation, and its direct-indirect dichotomy, both bear upon the present hypothesis.

8.2. SPNs in the direct path are preferentially innervated by cortical neurons with reciprocal corticocortical connectivity.

Among corticostriatal projection neurons, there is evidence that most direct path cells, but not most indirect path cells, are reciprocally connected over long ranges at the single unit level, and are a specialized population dedicated to intracortical connectivity and striatal innervation (“intratelencephalic”); the indirect path is predominantly innervated by collaterals of projections that descend through the pyramidal tract, and whose corticocortical collaterals are not reciprocal (Lei *et al.* 2004; Morishima and Kawaguchi 2006). As noted earlier (§4.3), projections from interconnected cortical areas systematically converge on striatal FSIs at the single unit level (Ramanathan *et al.* 2002), and FSIs show a substantial preference for direct path SPNs (Gittis *et al.* 2010). Thus, the innervation of the

direct path is distinguished by systematic patterns of reciprocal long range connectivity and corresponding striatal convergence, whereas indirect path corticostriatal inputs are predominantly collaterals of descending fibers such as corticopontine motor output, whose cells of origin do not reciprocate with each other, and as reviewed below, show markedly less striatal convergence.

8.3. BG output to thalamus arises from activity in relatively superficial cortical layers, and passes exclusively through striatal matrix, while striosomes receive input from relatively deeper layers, with areal distinctions.

Evidence suggests that the direct path through the BG to thalamus implicates SPNs in the matrix compartment exclusively (Rajakumar *et al.* 1993), and that the corticostriatal innervation of the matrix is differentiated from that of the striosomes in important ways. While the striosomes and matrix are both broadly targeted by most cortical areas, the striosomes preferentially receive projections from L6 and deep L5, while the matrix is preferentially targeted by superficial L5, and by L2 and L3 (Gerfen 1989; Kincaid and Wilson 1996).

Ascending projections from the densely direct-path-recipient PF thalamic nucleus pervasively and diffusely innervate the matrix compartment of associative striatum, while largely avoiding striosomes; CM projections to sensorimotor striatum are less pervasive but similarly prefer matrix (Sadikot *et al.* 1992b). The CL and PC nuclei also project densely to the caudate striatum (Kaufman and Rosenquist 1985a). The striatal projections of these intralaminar nuclei appose the dendrites of SPNs, with varying physiological and morphological properties (Lacey *et al.* 2007), and evidence also suggests that they innervate

striatal FSIs (Sidibé and Smith 1999). As proposed earlier (§6.11), thalamostriatal projections may position the striatum to monitor (and therefore optimize and rapidly sequence) the synchronies that its output produces in thalamus, and thus presumptively in cortex, via BG output structures.

Intriguing areal distinctions in cortex have also been identified. In primate, dorsolateral PFC (DLPFC) targets matrix densely and broadly, largely avoiding striosomes, while orbitofrontal and anterior cingulate cortex preferentially target striosomes (Eblen and Graybiel 1995). Matrix appears specialized to project to the pallidal segments and the SNr, while striosomes appear specialized to project to midbrain dopamine centers such as the substantia nigra *compacta* part (SNc), to whose densocellular zone they are reported to be reciprocally linked (Jiménez-Castellanos and Graybiel 1989; Crittenden *et al.* 2016).

Activity in matrix reflects immediate prior reward, suggesting reward-guided generation of ongoing cognition and behavior, while that in striosomes reflects anticipated outcomes, and is less reflective of immediate prior reward, suggesting implication in the generation of signals that drive or mediate motivation and the expression of plasticity (Bloem *et al.* 2017).

Striosomes strongly influence the SNc and VTA through a pallidohabenular circuit (Rajakumar *et al.* 1993; Herkenham and Nauta 1979; Hikosaka 2010; Hong and Hikosaka 2008; Balcita-Pedicino *et al.* 2011), while dopaminergic projections from the midbrain preferentially target striatal matrix (Graybiel *et al.* 1987). The involvement of striosome circuitry in motivational processing, and of dopamine in modulating responses to afferent activity, is reviewed later (§9). In particular, their roles in modulating the dynamics of superficial cortical microcircuits in PFC (Yang and Seamans 1996; Towers and Hestrin 2008), introduced earlier (§6.8), are crucial.

8.4. Cholinergic, serotonergic, and dopaminergic localization to striatal matrix suggest specialization for dynamic, high fidelity processing of oscillatory signals.

The classic technique for differentiating striosomes from matrix is to stain the striatum to visualize distribution of the enzyme acetylcholinesterase (AChE) (Graybiel and Ragsdale 1978), rendering the striosomes as pale poorly stained patches. Serotonergic projections to striatum also preferentially innervate the matrix compartment (Lavoie and Parent 1990). As reviewed in detail later (§9), dopamine, ACh, and serotonin are potent modulators of oscillatory neuronal responsiveness. Thus, differential prominence of these neurotransmitters in the matrix compartment suggests specialization for the relay of oscillatory activity.

Most striatal ACh arises from an intrinsic population of interneurons comprising 2-3% of striatal neurons (Contant *et al.* 1996), which is believed to be identical to the electrophysiologically identified tonically active neurons (TANs) of the striatum (Aosaki *et al.* 1995). These neurons discharge tonically at 2-10 Hz in the absence of sensorimotor activity, and are differentially localized to the matrix, particularly to the matrix border regions adjoining striosomes (Aosaki *et al.* 1995).

The PPN, itself profusely targeted by the GPi and SNr (Semba and Fibiger 1992; Grofova and Zhou 1998; Parent *et al.* 2001), provides an additional, extrinsic, supply of ACh to the striatum, and this too preferentially targets the matrix compartment (Wall *et al.* 2013). Moreover, the striatally projecting neurons of the midline and intralaminar thalamus are targeted by the PPN (Erro *et al.* 1999), and as noted earlier (§3.5), preferentially target the TAN population, participating intimately in goal-directed learning (Bradfield *et al.* 2013). FSIs, noted above for their selective and robust innervation of direct path SPNs and their putative high fidelity relaying of oscillatory activity, are extensively modulated by cholinergic inputs (Koós and Tepper 2002). Thus, the matrix compartment of the striatum is distinguished by participation in multiple, coordinated cholinergic circuits.

8.5. A pattern of differential innervation in the direct path suggests specialization for integration and motivated action.

According to the BGMS model, the direct path of the BG establishes task-appropriate long range effective connections, while the indirect path largely serves to damp or desynchronize competing activity, to further secure the selected connections. Wall *et al.* (2013) identified instructive differences between afferents to these two intermingled populations of SPNs in mouse: The direct path was found to receive significantly heavier projections from primary somatosensory, ventral orbitofrontal, cingulate, frontal association, prelimbic, perirhinal, and entorhinal cortex, and to receive essentially the entire striatal projections from the amygdalar nuclei, STN, and DRN. The indirect path was found to receive a significantly heavier projection from primary motor cortex. Preferential targeting of the direct path by primary somatosensory, and of indirect path by primary motor, comports with a model in which the direct path establishes connections and facilitates actions consistent with context and task requirements, while the indirect path inhibits completed, competing, ineffective, and irrelevant activity and functional connectivity.

Direct path SPNs show higher activation thresholds and more extensive dendritic processes (~25% more dendrites) than indirect path SPNs, suggesting greater integration through the direct path (Gertler *et al.* 2008).

When synchronized cortical activity is confined to a single focus in primary motor cortex, the consequent striatal activation strongly prefers the indirect path (Berretta *et al.* 1997). This disparity is a natural consequence of the indirect path preference of the corticostriatal projection originating in primary motor cortex, but might also be explained in part by a preferential responsiveness in the direct path to conditions of multi-areal activity, suggested by the role proposed in the BGMS model implicating it in the induction of selective synchronies between distant areas that typically already harbor activity.

8.6. Cortical inputs to the direct path appear to be an exquisitely context sensitive sparse code, with relatively high divergence-convergence.

The information borne by the intratelencephalic corticostriatal projection appears to be distinct from that borne by the corticostriatal collaterals of the corticopontine projection from the same area. Turner and DeLong (2000) showed that in primate primary motor activity, corticopontine neurons consistently show activity associated with movement execution and, particularly, the muscular contractile command stream, whereas activity in intratelencephalic neurons is often independent of muscle activity, is exquisitely context- and feature-dependent, and is usually confined to a particular aspect of current conditions (sensory context, movement preparation, or movement underway). They suggested that these patterns of direct path input to the striatum are a sparse code, of the sort demonstrated in temporal and visual cortex (Rolls and Tovee 1995; Vinje and Gallant 2000).

Wright *et al.* (1999, 2001) showed in rat that intratelencephalic corticostriatal afferents from primary sensory areas have diffuse, convergent, and bilateral terminal patterns, implicitly raising opportunities for information integration. In contrast, they showed that corticopontine collateral input is ipsilateral, and preserves topographic specificity and organization, terminating in discrete varicosities without convergence, with thicker and faster axons. Moreover, they showed that the intratelencephalic and corticopontine projections enter the striatum almost at right angles to each other, which appears to further cultivate information integration.

Earlier studies identified the differential pattern of corticostriatal arborizations, finding that those of the intratelencephalic collaterals in the striatum are ~1.5 mm in diameter, with sporadic branching and varicosities, while the corticopontine collateral arborizations are dense, focused within a volume with longest dimension ~500 μm , and do not cross boundaries of adjacent striosomes (Cowan and Wilson 1994; Kincaid and Wilson 1996). Another investigation found that the corticopontine projection originates chiefly in lower L5, while the intratelencephalic projection originates chiefly in upper L5 and in L3 (with

L3 predominating slightly in sensory cortex), and that the striatal terminal boutons of the former are roughly twice the size of the terminals of the latter (Reiner *et al.* 2003). More recent studies have confirmed that intratelencephalic afferents exhibit a higher prevalence of numerous and widely distributed terminals than do corticopontine afferents (Hooks *et al.* 2018; Morita *et al.* 2019).

8.7. The direct and indirect paths, and striatal matrix and patch compartments, are neither crisply distinct nor mutually exclusive.

Preferential projection by classes of corticostriatal neurons is a matter of tendencies, not rules. Intratelencephalic corticostriatal axons prefer direct path SPNs by a 4:1 ratio, while corticopontine collateral axons prefer indirect path SPNs by a 2.5:1 ratio (Lei *et al.* 2004). Recent findings using genetically manipulated mice have shown that the cytological and hodological compartmentation of the striatum into striosomes and matrix is not crisp, with both striosomal and matriceal SPNs receiving both limbic and sensorimotor inputs, and projections to SNc arising from both striosomal and matriceal SPNs (Smith *et al.* 2016). Earlier studies demonstrated similar minor projections of sensorimotor cortex to striosomes, and revealed sparse projections from striosomal neurons to the pallidal segments (Flaherty and Graybiel 1993). Motivational specificity and contextualization are apparent in striatal matrix activity (Donahue *et al.* 2018 \ddagger), and this intermodal convergence-divergence may be related.

The canonical marker for direct and indirect path SPNs is expression of dopamine receptors from the D₁ and D₂ receptor families, respectively (Gerfen and Surmeier 2011), but SPNs express DA receptors from the opposing family at low levels (Smith and Kieval 2000), and BG microcircuits intermingle the effects of DA receptors from both families (Gerfen and Surmeier 2011). Indeed, the axons of individual SPNs in primate frequently branch to both direct and indirect path targets (Parent *et al.* 1995; Levesque and Parent 2005). Moreover, in the ventral pallidum, neurons with projection patterns characteristic of the GPi/SNr and the GPe are closely intermingled, receiving projections from direct and indirect path SPNs (Groenewegen *et al.* 1993; Smith and Kieval 2000).

Voluntary behavior is preceded by simultaneous activation of both direct and indirect path SPNs (Cui *et al.* 2013; Donahue *et al.* 2018 \ddagger). This coactivation, while typically antagonistic, is not symmetric (Oldenburg and Sabatini 2015), and evidence suggests that these asymmetric dynamics are central to the sequential elaboration of precisely timed motor commands (Markowitz *et al.* 2018). Moreover, there is evidence that concurrent and asymmetric activity of SPNs in the direct, indirect, and striosomal paths collectively represents all aspects, phases, and structure of a task, with SPNs tiling

the task space with activity to form a continuous representation of the task in all its particulars (Weglage *et al.* 2020‡; Arcizet and Krauzlis 2018). These dynamics are consistent with the proposition that “matrisomes” consisting of closely intermingled direct and indirect path SPNs, with presumptively overlapping dendritic processes, facilitate coordination of direct and indirect path output (Flaherty and Graybiel 1993).

Beyond these complexities in the physiology and dynamics of the indirect, direct, and striosomal pathways, there are many additional pathways that bypass and supplement them. The hyperdirect path from frontal cortex to the subthalamic nucleus (Nambu *et al.* 2002) is

implicated in stopping actions (Schmidt *et al.* 2013), while the pallidostriatal projection is thought to be involved in the cancellation of stopped actions (Mallet *et al.* 2016). There is also evidence of pathways directly linking the cerebral cortex to the globus pallidus (Milardi *et al.* 2015; Smith and Wichmann 2015), and the globus pallidus to the cerebral cortex (Van Der Kooy and Kolb 1985; Karube *et al.* 2019‡; Zheng and Monti 2019‡).

The presumptive function of these various cross-channel, cross-receptor, and bypass paths is to enrich the range of dynamics and pool of information available to the implicated individual neurons, by which they might more rapidly or appropriately respond to ever-changing context.

9. Dopamine, Acetylcholine, Serotonin, and the Reticular Nucleus in Oscillatory Regulation

In this section:

- 9.1. The basal ganglia influence central neurotransmitter sources in the brainstem and basal forebrain, modulating thalamocortical activity.
- 9.2. Dopamine communicates cognitive and motivational significance.
- 9.3. Dopamine in prefrontal cortex and associative thalamus augments responsiveness to afferent activity.
- 9.4. Dopamine promotes oscillatory synchronization in and between the BG and motor cortex.
- 9.5. Dopamine promotes synchrony between the medial temporal lobe and prefrontal cortex, and stabilization of synchrony-mediated functional connectivity, promoting continuation and memorization of effective behaviors.
- 9.6. Acetylcholine supply to cortex and thalamus is centralized and specific.
- 9.7. Acetylcholine promotes cortical responsiveness; cholinergic blockade in cortex drastically attenuates cortical activation, and when coupled with serotonergic blockade, resembles decortication.
- 9.8. Acetylcholine in cortex shows complex facilitatory effects, bearing some similarities to those of dopamine.
- 9.9. Acetylcholine promotes thalamic responsiveness and high frequency thalamocortical synchrony.
- 9.10. Acetylcholine has complex and often facilitatory effects in the BG.
- 9.11. The cholinergic centers are tightly integrated with BG circuitry.
- 9.12. Noradrenaline supply is centralized, and indiscriminately recruits attention and arousal.
- 9.13. Serotonin supply to BG, cortex, and thalamus is centralized.
- 9.14. Serotonin has facilitatory effects beyond those of dopamine and acetylcholine.
- 9.15. The dorsal and median raphe nuclei are multifariously coupled with the BG.
- 9.16. The cholinergic and serotonergic systems are tightly coupled.
- 9.17. Projections from the nucleus basalis and dorsal raphe nucleus reflect corticocortical connectivity.
- 9.18. Prefrontal control of cholinergic, serotonergic, and noradrenergic centers is extensive and orients attention.
- 9.19. The thalamic reticular nucleus is implicated in oscillatory regulation, and is under BG and PFC control.

9.1. The basal ganglia influence central neurotransmitter sources in the brainstem and basal forebrain, modulating thalamocortical activity.

Beyond their GABAergic projections to thalamic relay and association nuclei, the BG are positioned to modulate cortical and thalamic activity through their dopaminergic projections to frontal cortex and associated nuclei of the thalamus, and through projections to the basal forebrain (particularly the nucleus basalis of Meynert, NBM), the

pedunculopontine and laterodorsal tegmental nuclei (PPN and LDT), the dorsal and median raphe nuclei (DRN and MRN) at the pontine level of the brainstem, and the thalamic reticular nucleus.

Dopamine (DA) is a key modulatory neurotransmitter intrinsic to the BG, where it raises the excitability of direct path SPNs by activating their D₁-class receptors, and reduces the excitability of indirect path SPNs by activating their D₂-class receptors (Gerfen and Surmeier 2011). For reviews, see for example Schultz (1998), Bromberg-Martin *et al.* (2010), and Yetnikoff *et al.* (2014). Roles for DA in

the control of oscillatory activity, in and beyond the BG, have been described that bear directly on the BGMS model, and are reviewed here.

Despite comprising less than one percent of neurons, cholinergic cells perform crucial roles in, and indeed beyond, the nervous system (Woolf and Butcher 2011). They are proposed to play a key role in orienting attention (Sarter and Bruno 1999), in induction of vigilance and fast sleep rhythms (Steriade 2004), in induction of plasticity (Rasmusson 2000), and in the formation of memories (Hasselmo 2006).

Serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine, 5-HT) is implicated in regulation of sleep and wakefulness (Pace-Schott and Hobson 2002; Monti 2011), cognitive and behavioral flexibility (Clarke *et al.* 2006), and signaling of reward magnitude (Daw *et al.* 2002; Nakamura *et al.* 2008).

The thalamic reticular nucleus has crucial roles in attention and oscillatory regulation (Pinault 2004), and is also crucially involved in sleep processes (Contreras *et al.* 1997).

These roles of the DA, ACh, and 5-HT systems, and the TRN, are evidently closely related to each other. Indeed, the supplies of DA, ACh, and 5-HT, are closely coupled, as detailed below.

9.2. Dopamine communicates cognitive and motivational significance.

The effects of DA are complex. Through broad projections to BG and amygdalar nuclei, frontal cortex, and associated thalamic nuclei, the release of DA arising from BG-controlled neurons in the ventral midbrain (chiefly SNc, VTA, and the retrorubral field, RRF) and other areas has been proposed to have a crucial role in motivational control, by signaling reward, surprise, novelty, even aversiveness, and in general, saliency (Schultz *et al.* 1997; Bromberg-Martin *et al.* 2010; Ioanas *et al.* 2020 ‡). Midbrain DA projections have systematic topography (Fallon 1988), and evidence suggests separable correlates in subpopulations of DA neurons for distinct functions, and for various separable aspects of reward prediction error, such as timing vs. magnitude (Lau *et al.* 2017). Activation of DA projections from the VTA to the basal amygdala has been shown in mice to be associated with the formation of fear memories (Tang *et al.* 2019 ‡), which are archetypically aversive. There is evidence that distinct clusters of DA neurons in the ventral midbrain are specialized for an assortment of reinforcement roles, particularly motivational reward and motor invigoration (Saunders *et al.* 2018), and that distinct BG circuits bear reinforcement signals for distinct functional domains, only some of which entail plainly motivational signaling (Pascucci *et al.* 2017).

DA projections to the striatum have been shown in mice to be functionally heterogeneous and selective,

exhibiting topographic structure, with activation in wave-like spatiotemporal sweeps across regions of functionally related striatum, showing particular and stereotyped heterogeneity along the mediolateral axis (Hamid *et al.* 2019 ‡). These spatiotemporal waves showed a strong relationship between propagation direction and instrumental agency: as learning progressed, a task entailing strong instrumental contingency showed progressively more well-defined mediolateral propagation, while a simpler Pavlovian variant of the task showed lateromedial propagation, consistent with established roles for dorsal medial and dorsal lateral striatal functional specialization, and suggesting a crucial role in the dynamics of credit assignment (Hamid *et al.* 2019‡).

DA has been proposed to signal disparities between expected and actual outcomes, dipping phasically upon disappointment and rising phasically upon surprising reward, driving reinforcement learning mechanisms (Schultz 1998, 2013). In fact, evidence suggests that DA is crucial in signaling prediction errors *per se*, with or without reward associations (Sharpe *et al.* 2017).

DA release has been proposed to signal the expected value of work, in order to encourage continuation of efforts expected to culminate in a rewarding outcome, and discourage continuation of other efforts (Hamid *et al.* 2015). Indeed this neuroeconomic function has been ascribed to the BG as an ensemble (Goldberg and Bergman 2011). As noted earlier (§8.3), striosomes appear specialized to control ventral midbrain DA centers; medial PFC control of striosomes, and striosomal control of ventral midbrain DA, have been implicated in cost-benefit decision making (Friedman *et al.* 2015; Crittenden *et al.* 2016). Activity in striosomes, compared to that in striatal matrix, has been shown to preferentially encode reward-predicting cues in particular, and anticipated outcomes in general (Bloem *et al.* 2017).

Surprising sensory events can evoke prominent, short-latency DA bursts, regardless of reward association, in 60-90% of DA neurons throughout the full extent of the SNc and VTA, apparently constituting an alerting response serving to marshal attention; these bursts seem to correlate with the degree to which the stimulus captures attention by surprise, they diminish with predictability and familiarity, and they are fairly nonselective, triggered by sensory surprises that superficially resemble motivationally significant stimuli (Bromberg-Martin *et al.* 2010). This comports with the many studies that have found that the BG are integral to orientation of attention, and generation of responses, to motivationally relevant sensory stimuli (e.g. van Schouwenburg *et al.* 2010b; Cools *et al.* 2004; Leventhal *et al.* 2012).

9.3. Dopamine in prefrontal cortex and associative thalamus augments responsiveness to afferent activity.

In vitro studies on PFC pyramidal neurons have found that DA raises their excitability (Penit-Soria *et al.* 1987; Shi *et al.* 1997; Yang and Seamans 1996). Similarly, in the thalamic MD nucleus, DA has been shown *in vitro* to raise sensitivity to afferent activity (Lavin and Grace 1998). DA release in the MD largely derives from direct appositions arising from the VTA; indeed neurons in the VA and VL nuclei are also directly targeted by the midbrain DA centers (VTA, SNc, and RRF), as are the midline nuclei (Sánchez-González *et al.* 2005). D₂ receptors are found throughout the associative thalamus (Rieck *et al.* 2004), and while DA terminals only sparsely synapse on neurons in the intralaminar thalamus (Sánchez-González *et al.* 2005), D₂ receptors in the CM, PF, PC, and CL nuclei are particularly dense (Rieck *et al.* 2004), suggesting a large role there for volume-conducted DA action, with correspondingly less spatiotemporal specificity.

9.4. Dopamine promotes oscillatory synchronization in and between the BG and motor cortex.

Following observations of treated and untreated parkinsonian primates, human and non-human, it has been proposed that DA has a decisive role in the regulation of global beta synchrony in BG, with increases in DA providing for narrowly focused striatal responses to cortical beta activity and consequent facilitation of action, while decreases in DA promote broad propagation of cortical beta, concomitant global beta synchrony, and the retarding or arresting of action (Jenkinson and Brown 2011; Magill *et al.* 2001). As noted earlier (§4.15), the DA-depleted striatum is characterized by the spontaneous and pervasive formation of synchronized clusters of SPNs (Humphries *et al.* 2009).

A pattern of broad beta synchrony, focally disrupted in association with performance of rewarded tasks, has been found in healthy (non-parkinsonian) monkeys (Courtemanche *et al.* 2003). These patterns appear to be DA-dependent: In an experiment in which global DA levels were manipulated to ~500% and <0.2% of their natural baseline, the low-DA condition was accompanied by pervasive synchrony with locally prevailing LFP, while the high-DA condition showed widespread focal desynchronization from prevailing LFP in primary motor cortex and dorsolateral striatum (Costa *et al.* 2006). DA manipulation was not found to affect overall cortical firing rates, underscoring the primacy of synchrony (and not rate) in these dynamics. The pattern of the hyperdopaminergic condition resembles the “desynchronization” of focally synchronized gamma oscillations in activated thalamocortical ensembles (Steriade *et al.* 1996), which

according to the BGMS model often involve synchronized oscillations propagating focally through the BG.

Recent evidence suggests that DA acts to shift the size of responding SPN ensembles, rather than the rate of discharge of individual SPNs; acute DA blockade halves and triples the number of responding direct and indirect path SPNs respectively, generating a strong imbalance in favor of the indirect pathway, and significantly impairing spontaneous locomotion (Maltese *et al.* 2019 ‡). Earlier (§4.17), I suggested that SPNs cast votes for decisions, which are then tallied by downstream structures. According to this narrative, the effect of DA on the striatum can be viewed as expanding or contracting the pool of votes. The pool of support for striatal decisions, which in this view occur when ensembles of SPNs activate in response to synchronized inputs, is thus dynamically broadened or narrowed according to upward and downward modulations of DA supply, respectively.

9.5. Dopamine promotes synchrony between the medial temporal lobe and prefrontal cortex, and stabilization of synchrony-mediated functional connectivity, promoting continuation and memorization of effective behaviors.

Injection of DA into PFC has been seen to induce a spontaneous increase in synchrony between PFC and hippocampal LFPs, and to starkly alter the dynamics of PFC pyramidal neurons; activity shifts from in-phase with reciprocally associated interneurons (suggesting interneuronal inhibition) to opposite phase (suggesting interneuronal augmentation) (Benchenane *et al.* 2010). These effects of DA injection on PFC-hippocampal synchrony and PFC pyramidal neuron dynamics mimicked those seen without DA injection, in a well-trained behavioral task (Y maze navigation), at the choice point (the fork). DA released upon well-predicted reward, by inducing synchronization of PFC-hippocampal cell assemblies, might assure that effective behaviors are committed to long term memory, while ineffective ones are not (Benchenane *et al.* 2011). Naturally, counterproductive behaviors must also be remembered as such, implicating DA release associated with general saliency (Bromberg-Martin *et al.* 2010).

As noted earlier (§6.8), DA in PFC has been found to attenuate receptivity to inputs on L1 apical dendrites (Yang and Seamans 1996), and to depress GABAergic lateral interactions among L2/L3 interneurons (Towers and Hestrin 2008), reducing the spatiotemporal coherence of oscillation there. As DA level rises, PFC neurons may thus become progressively less affected by superficial inputs from the BG-recipient thalamus and corticocortical feedback paths, so that effective behaviors are protected from disruption and distractions, and in particular, from induction of empirically extraneous functional connectivity. Indeed, DA release in PFC is suggested to

stabilize working memory items there (Gruber *et al.* 2006). The effect of DA release on cortex may extend well beyond directly DA-recipient frontal cortex: an integrative theory has been proposed by van Schouwenburg *et al.* (2010a) and Bloemendaal *et al.* (2015) that DA release in PFC induces it to influence interconnected posterior cortex to stabilize goal-relevant representations and protect them from distractions, even while DA release in the BG promotes flexible adaptive responses to new information. Consistent with these accounts, evidence from resting state fMRI studies in DA-manipulated humans suggests that local activity and large scale functional networks are stabilized and reinforced by systemic DA elevation, while systemic DA depletion results in elevated variability of local activity, and the dissolution of large scale networks, particularly impacting between-module connectivity while largely sparing within-module connectivity (Shafiei *et al.* 2019).

9.6. Acetylcholine supply to cortex and thalamus is centralized and specific.

The ACh supply for the cortex and thalamus arises from the basal forebrain, particularly the NBM, and from the PPN and LDT nuclei in the brainstem reticular activating system. Comprehensive direct cholinergic projections from the NBM to cerebral cortex (Mesulam *et al.* 1983; Mesulam 2004) are posited to modulate the predisposition of the targeted areas to robust afferent-driven oscillation, with fine spatiotemporal specificity (Muñoz and Rudy 2014). Each individual neuron in the NBM projects to a single small area of cortex confined to a diameter of 1-1.5 mm, prompting the proposal that the cholinergic population of the NBM is arranged to give arbitrary addressability of small areas of cortex, permitting activation of complex constellations subserving specific functions (Price and Stern 1983). fMRI evidence in humans suggests involvement of the NBM in the general orchestration of large scale cortical network dynamics, implicating both cholinergic and non-cholinergic projections (including coreleased glutamate and GABA) (Markello *et al.* 2018). The NBM's projections to TRN further position it to exert a wide-ranging influence over corticothalamic activity (Levey *et al.* 1987). BG control of the NBM is detailed below (§9.11), including profuse innervation of all sectors by the ventral striatum (Mesulam and Mufson 1984; Grove *et al.* 1986; Haber *et al.* 1990; Haber 1987).

The PPN and LDT have wide-ranging subcortical cholinergic projections, comprehensively innervating the thalamus, including its reticular nucleus (Hallanger *et al.* 1987; Satoh and Fibiger 1986; Steriade *et al.* 1988; Paré *et al.* 1988; Lavoie and Parent 1994). PPN targeting of the thalamus includes its primary sensory nuclei—the dorsolateral geniculate (DLG), medial geniculate (MG),

and the ventrobasal complex (ventral posterolateral (VPL) and ventral posteromedial (VPM)) (Hallanger *et al.* 1987). It additionally projects densely to the NBM and nearly all BG structures (Lavoie and Parent 1994).

Underscoring their functional significance, these cholinergic supply centers have prominent roles in disease processes. PPN lesions result in akinesia, and PPN degeneration is associated with PD (Pahapill and Lozano 2000). Alzheimer's disease is associated with attrition of the magnocellular cholinergic population in the NBM, typically to less than 30% of normal (Arendt *et al.* 1983). In Sz, the concentration of choline acetyltransferase in PPN and LDT is markedly lower than normal, while the concentration of nicotinamide-adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) diaphorase appears to be roughly twice normal (Karson *et al.* 1996; German *et al.* 1999). Indeed, systemic cholinergic abnormality may be a frequent correlate of Sz, and atypical antipsychotics such as clozapine and olanzapine have a high affinity for muscarinic receptors (Raedler *et al.* 2006; Scarr and Dean 2008).

9.7. Acetylcholine promotes cortical responsiveness; cholinergic blockade in cortex drastically attenuates cortical activation, and when coupled with serotonergic blockade, resembles decortication.

If the tonic supply of ACh to a cortical locus is interrupted, neurons there become dramatically less sensitive to their excitatory afferents, and correspondingly more prone to tonic synchrony with their neighbors; ACh modulates the propensity of these neurons to track high frequency afferent oscillation and generate corresponding efferent oscillation, particularly in the beta and gamma bands (Rodriguez *et al.* 2004). Phasic increase in ACh supply to an area, when coupled with afferent activity, induces profound plasticity within tens of minutes, persistently elevating the propensity of the targeted area to synchronize with afferent high frequency oscillation and consequently desynchronize with neighboring tonic oscillation (Rodriguez *et al.* 2004).

Most of the brainstem diffuse modulatory systems may act on cortex indirectly through the NBM ACh and raphe 5-HT systems; cortical electrocorticographic (ECoG) activation can be completely abolished by concurrent blockade of ACh and 5-HT (Dringenberg and Vanderwolf 1997, 1998). Rats subjected to this concurrent blockade, and exhibiting complete loss of ECoG activation, nonetheless engage in active locomotion, with normal posture and open eyes; however their behavior is disorganized and aimless like that of decorticated rats, including repeated, unhesitating walking plunges over precipices, and insensate behavior in swim-to-platform tests (Vanderwolf 1992).

9.8. Acetylcholine in cortex shows complex facilitatory effects, bearing some similarities to those of dopamine.

In cortex, ACh is modulatory, neither excitatory nor nonselectively disinhibitory; its presynaptic release does not by itself induce postsynaptic activity (Sillito and Kemp 1983). When coupled with excitatory afferent activity, ACh has a dramatic facilitatory effect on most cortical neurons, while maintaining or narrowing their respective receptive fields; tonic activity (discharges attributable to background afferent activity) is also reduced, so the overall effect is a marked increase in signal/noise ratio (Sillito and Kemp 1983).

The effect of ACh on cortical interneurons is more diverse, with fast spiking inhibitory (FSI) interneurons in L5 hyperpolarized via muscarinic receptors, disinhibiting the L5 pyramidal neurons they target, while low threshold spiking (LTS) inhibitory interneurons are excited via nicotinic receptors, raising inhibitory output to their more superficial targets in L1-L3 (Xiang *et al.* 1998).

Cholinergic hyperpolarization of cortical FSIs may relax the coincidence detection window for perisomatic inputs to pyramidal neurons (Pouille and Scanziani 2001), effectively increasing their receptive field, even while the direct effect of ACh on them is a narrowing of their receptive fields as described above. Moreover, the coherent lateral spread of oscillatory activity in L2/L3 (Tamás *et al.* 2000) may be depressed by ACh hyperpolarization of FSIs (as by DA (Towers and Hestrin 2008)), spatially focusing activity in cortex. *In toto*, these effects appear to stabilize working memory attractor networks (Qi *et al.* 2019#).

It has been shown in behaving rats that short latency ACh release, through effects mediated by a diversity of receptor types, is crucial to the generation and synchronization of performance-correlated oscillation in PFC (Howe *et al.* 2017). In task trials in which the animal detected a sensory cue, significantly elevated PFC ACh levels were detected within 1.5 s of cue presentation, and remained elevated until reward delivery. Gamma oscillation in the same area, measured by LFP, was found to be significantly elevated, at ~90 Hz from ~200-400 ms after cue presentation, then at ~50 Hz from ~400-1300 ms after the cue. Local infusion of an M1 muscarinic antagonist attenuated these gamma responses in trials in which the animal detected the cue, and was associated with a trend toward more missed cues. Infusion of a nicotinic antagonist attenuated the initial high gamma response to detected cues, and similarly had no effect on oscillatory power in trials in which the animal missed the cue. Detected cues, but not missed cues, were associated with significant cross-frequency coupling of the 50 Hz gamma response, to local theta oscillation detected by LFP. This coupling was abolished by infusion of the M1 antagonist, and was attenuated by the nicotinic antagonist.

9.9. Acetylcholine promotes thalamic responsiveness and high frequency thalamocortical synchrony.

The effects of ACh on thalamic neurons have been found to be similar to those in cortex, facilitating responsiveness of excitatory neurons to afferent activity via M1 and M3 muscarinic receptors, as well as via nicotinic receptors, and having an opposite effect on inhibitory interneurons, where it induces hyperpolarization via M2 receptors, indirectly facilitating responsiveness (Parent and Descarries 2008; Steriade 2004). The cholinergic projection to PF (representing intralaminar nuclei) densely terminates in exclusively direct synapses (Parent and Descarries 2008), and PPN/LDT stimulation in the anesthetized cat, causing cholinergic activation of the thalamus, produces sustained, synchronized high frequency oscillation in intralaminar neurons and reciprocally connected cortical neurons, resembling patterns seen in the waking and REM sleep states (Steriade *et al.* 1996).

The terminal pattern of the cholinergic projection to the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus (DLG, representing primary sensory nuclei) is almost entirely extrasynaptic (Parent and Descarries 2008), and this relatively diffuse pattern is likely to have markedly less spatiotemporal specificity than synaptic paths, so the diffuse ACh innervation of DLG comports with the expectation (according to the “binding by synchrony” hypothesis, briefly discussed later (§10.2)) that modulatory inputs to early sensory areas are arranged to not disrupt the fine time structure of activity therein. ACh inputs to the TRN are both synaptic (Parent and Descarries 2008) and extrasynaptic (Pita-Almenar *et al.* 2014), and are reported to hyperpolarize TRN neurons through M2 muscarinic receptors, disinhibiting their targets in the thalamus (Steriade 2004; Lam and Sherman 2010).

9.10. Acetylcholine has complex and often facilitatory effects in the BG.

ACh has a variety of effects on striatum, through a variety of receptors: it can directly induce SPN depolarization and spontaneous firing, and in particular, facilitate the excitability of NMDA (glutamate) receptors on SPNs, while simultaneously reducing glutamate and GABA release; corticostriatal long term potentiation (LTP) in SPNs is also dependent on ACh activation of M1 muscarinic receptors (Calabresi *et al.* 1998, 2000). As noted earlier (§3.5), when intrinsic cholinergic interneurons in the striatum are subjected to synchronous spike volleys, their cholinergic action on dopaminergic axons promotes intrinsic DA release in the striatum (Threlfell *et al.* 2012).

Early experiments entailing injection of cholinergic agents into striatum, pallidal segments, and STN, showed

dysregulatory effects that generally appeared to be pathological activations (DeLong and Georgopoulos 2011).

9.11. The cholinergic centers are tightly integrated with BG circuitry.

It has been proposed that the PPN, briefly discussed earlier (§8.4), is so intimate with the BG as to constitute an inextricable component thereof (Mena-Segovia *et al.* 2004). The GPi, VP, and SNr strongly and systematically project high velocity axon collaterals to it (Semba and Fibiger 1992; Grofova and Zhou 1998; Haber *et al.* 1985; Parent *et al.* 2001; Harnois and Fillion 1982), and cholinergic and glutamatergic cells in the PPN in turn profusely target dopaminergic cells in the SNc, with at least some of the PPN cells that target SNc receiving projections from SNr (Grofova and Zhou 1998). However, BG regulation of the PPN cholinergic supply to thalamus is complex, apparently largely indirect, and yet to be fully elucidated. BG projections to PPN have been reported to preferentially target non-cholinergic cells (Mena-Segovia and Bolam 2009), and the BG may reciprocate preferentially with the rostral sector of the PPN, while it is the caudal PPN that projects to the thalamus and tectum (Martinez-Gonzalez *et al.* 2011). However, it has also been reported that the GPi projects throughout PPN, most prominently to the central PPN (Shink *et al.* 1997), which in turn projects to the NBM (Lavoie and Parent 1994). Moreover, caudal PPN is targeted by the DRN, which itself is targeted by the BG, though the effect of 5-HT on the PPN is complex and unresolved (Vertes 1991; Steininger *et al.* 1997; Martinez-Gonzalez *et al.* 2011).

The ventral striatum projects profusely to all sectors of the NBM (Mesulam and Mufson 1984; Grove *et al.* 1986; Haber *et al.* 1990; Haber 1987), and the NBM receives substantial projections from the SNc and VTA, targeting cholinergic neurons (Záborszky and Cullinan 1996; Gaykema and Záborszky 1997). At least some VS afferents to NBM terminate directly on corticopetal cholinergic neurons; GABA input to these neurons is posited to dampen excitability, resulting in corresponding inattention in their cortical targets (Sarter and Bruno 1999). The GPe, like the NBM, but much less profusely, has direct cholinergic projections to cerebral cortex (Eid and Parent 2015), and both coexpress GABA in these projections (Saunders *et al.* 2015a, 2015b). And the GPe, like the NBM, projects directly to the TRN. The NBM may be an inextricable component of an extended BG system, as has been suggested of other areas of the substantia innominata (Heimer *et al.* 1997). Indeed a model has been proposed that integrates ACh projections from the NBM, the GPe, and the VP, with BG loop circuitry (Záborszky *et al.* 1991, Fig. 6).

9.12. Noradrenaline supply is centralized, and indiscriminately recruits attention and arousal.

Noradrenaline (NA) originating in the locus coeruleus (LC) of the pontine tegmentum is implicated in the direct modulation of arousal throughout the forebrain; the LC responds to noxious, novel, and other highly salient stimuli, toward which attention is to be oriented, with low latency phasic responses time-locked to the stimulus (Berridge 2008; Sara and Bouret 2012). These phasic responses are posited to reset network connectivity to facilitate assembly of a new network oriented to the salient stimulus, and there is evidence that NA arising from LC has a more general role in set shifting, crucially implicating the reciprocal connectivity of LC with PFC (Sara and Bouret 2012).

However, the striatum is not an LC target (Aston-Jones and Cohen 2005), and descending inputs to the LC have been found to be highly restricted, excluding most BG and all thalamic structures; activation of LC by afferent activity has been found to be either generalized to its entirety, or generalized to an entire sensory domain; perhaps most tellingly, output from the LC has been found to be non-specific, with efferent populations in LC distributed throughout its extent, and only modest and partial segregation according to target structure (neocortex, thalamus, cerebellum, etc.) (Aston-Jones *et al.* 1986; Waterhouse *et al.* 1993; Loughlin *et al.* 1986).

Thus, while the LC is integral to the regulation of oscillatory activity and functional connectivity in the thalamocortical system, it seems clear that the LC is nonspecific in its mechanisms. It also seems clear that it is not substantially integrated into BG circuitry, notwithstanding evidence of a sparse projection from the ventral pallidum to rostral LC (Groenewegen *et al.* 1993). It seems likely that stimulus-related network formation facilitated by LC reset signals entails broad synchronies to which the striatum responds after the fact.

9.13. Serotonin supply to BG, cortex, and thalamus is centralized.

5-HT supply to the telencephalon arises from the MRN and DRN, which project strongly to the midline, intralaminar, and mediodorsal thalamic nuclei, much of the BG, and to the entirety of cerebral cortex and the medial temporal lobe (Lavoie and Parent 1990; Vertes 1991; Vertes *et al.* 1999; Baumgarten and Grozdanovic 2000). Raphe projections exhibit complex specificity, with the DRN projecting to cortex with various topographies, while the MRN projects to cortex more diffusely (Wilson and Molliver 1991).

9.14. Serotonin has facilitatory effects beyond those of dopamine and acetylcholine.

5-HT has an effect on its cortical targets much like that of ACh, facilitating responses to afferents, yielding ECoG desynchronization (Neuman and Zebrowska 1992), though the effect on individual neurons is complex, with most cells depolarized via 5-HT₂ receptors but some hyperpolarized via other receptors (Davies *et al.* 1987).

5-HT_{2A} receptors are present on the apical dendrites of L5 pyramidal neurons, so 5-HT release facilitates responsiveness (Carter *et al.* 2005) precisely where it is inhibited by DA and ACh release. This effect apparently counteracts the posited focusing and stabilizing effects of DA and ACh described above; indeed almost all known hallucinogenic drugs act through this channel, and activation of 5-HT_{2A} receptors is necessary and sufficient for their hallucinogenic effects (Glennon *et al.* 1984; González-Maeso *et al.* 2007; Fiorella *et al.* 1995; but see Maqueda *et al.* 2015).

The notion arising from the BGMS model is that 5-HT_{2A} agonists (even including, rarely, SSRIs for treatment of never-before-hallucinating patients (Bourgeois *et al.* 1998; Waltereit *et al.* 2013)) open cortical columns to induction of effective connections via spike-timing-dependent gain control by corticocortical feedback and BG-thalamocortical output, and hallucinogens thereby induce spurious information flow and associations that would not normally reach the implicated pyramidal somata. Evidence suggests that psychedelic facilitation of spurious effective connections is not uniform, but rather entails abnormal enhancement of connectivity in sensory and somatomotor areas, simultaneous with abnormal attenuation of connectivity in associative areas, including the default mode network (Preller *et al.* 2018). This bears a striking resemblance to the large scale network dysconnectivity characteristic of Sz (Ji *et al.* 2019; Giraldo-Chica *et al.* 2018).

Though the dysconnectivity of Sz may principally or frequently be rooted in GABAergic and dopaminergic dysfunction (discussed at greater length later (§12.5)), there is also a suggestion of 5-HT dysfunction (Geyer and Vollenweider 2008). Atypical antipsychotics such as clozapine, risperidone, and olanzapine show much higher affinity for 5-HT₂ receptors, which they usually occupy almost completely, than for the D₂ receptors targeted by earlier antipsychotics such as haloperidol (Kapur *et al.* 1999). Beyond this, common direct BG involvement is plausible. 5-HT_{2C} receptors in the striatum, activated by hallucinogens (Fiorella *et al.* 1995), have been found to excite striatal FSIs (Blomeley and Bracci 2009), and direct striatal involvement in Sz has been posited (Graybiel 1997; Simpson *et al.* 2010; Wang *et al.* 2015).

9.15. The dorsal and median raphe nuclei are multifariously coupled with the BG.

All parts of the BG are innervated serotonergically by the raphe nuclei, with heterogeneous density within and between the organs of the BG, and highest density in the SN and GP (Lavoie and Parent 1990). The median and dorsal raphe nuclei (MRN and DRN) are targeted by the VP, SNr, and VTA (Peyron *et al.* 1997; Gervasoni *et al.* 2000; Levine and Jacobs 1992; Groenewegen *et al.* 1993). Coupling with BG DA centers and DA control structures is extensive. The VTA projects to the DRN and MRN; the DRN and MRN also project to DA cells in the SNc and VTA, and raphe projections to the SNr appear to be directed to the dendrites of DA neurons (Baumgarten and Grozdanovic 2000). The lateral habenula (LHb) projects strongly to all parts of the DRN (Peyron *et al.* 1997) and to the MRN (Herkenham and Nauta 1979), while the MRN projects massively throughout the extent of LHb (Vertes *et al.* 1999) and the DRN shows light but distinct targeting of LHb (Vertes 1991). The LHb is integral to BG DA circuitry—it is reciprocally linked with the VTA, directly and via the rostromedial tegmental nucleus (RMTg) (Herkenham and Nauta 1979; Hikosaka 2010; Balcita-Pedicino *et al.* 2011), and is profusely innervated by GPi and VP (Parent *et al.* 2001; Hong and Hikosaka 2008; Shabel *et al.* 2012; Groenewegen *et al.* 1993).

9.16. The cholinergic and serotonergic systems are tightly coupled.

The MRN and DRN project densely to the PPN and LDT, and the DRN projects densely to the substantia innominata (including NBM, in primates) (Vertes 1991; Vertes *et al.* 1999; Steininger *et al.* 1997). The substantia innominata in turn projects to the DRN (Peyron *et al.* 1997), and PPN and LDT project to MRN and DRN (Semba and Fibiger 1992). The central 5-HT and ACh systems are thus directly and reciprocally coupled.

9.17. Projections from the nucleus basalis and dorsal raphe nucleus reflect corticocortical connectivity.

As noted above (§9.6), the NBM projection to cortex is comprehensive and topographically organized. Single loci in NBM project jointly and specifically to interconnected areas of cortex, particularly frontal and posterior areas (Pearson *et al.* 1983; Ghashghaei and Barbas 2001; Záborszky *et al.* 2015). These loci of joint projection appear to entail distinct intermingled populations, with only a tiny minority (~3%) of cells collateralizing to both frontal and posterior areas (Záborszky *et al.* 2015), suggesting combinatorial flexibility. The raphe nuclei, particularly the DRN, are reported to exhibit similar

organization, with small groups of dorsal raphe cells projecting to widely distributed, anatomically interconnected neocortical foci (Wilson and Molliver 1991; Molliver 1987). Evidently, these patterns of divergence are much like those of the thalamocortical projection, described earlier (§1.6).

9.18. Prefrontal control of cholinergic, serotonergic, and noradrenergic centers is extensive and orients attention.

Direct and dense projections from PFC and other frontal cortical association areas to the NBM (Mesulam and Mufson 1984), PPN, and LDT (Semba and Fibiger 1992) thence to cortex and thalamus is a putative mechanism for sustained attention and inattention (Sarter *et al.* 2001; Záborszky *et al.* 1997). Indeed, PFC inactivation completely abolishes sensory-evoked ACh release in the sensory thalamus, and significantly reduces tonic ACh release in sensory cortex (Rasmusson *et al.* 2007). PFC projections to the DRN (Gonçalves *et al.* 2009) and LC (Jodoj *et al.* 1998; Aston-Jones and Cohen 2005) are thought to have similar and related functions. Because PFC is thoroughly and densely targeted by BG output via the thalamus and the midbrain DA centers, and projects directly and strongly to all BG input structures, PFC control of cholinergic and serotonergic centers implies BG influence on them, and suggests further coordination of output from these modulatory centers with BG output.

9.19. The thalamic reticular nucleus is implicated in oscillatory regulation, and is under BG and PFC control.

The TRN, through GABAergic projections to other thalamic nuclei, is thought to act in a modulatory role, influencing activity and oscillations in the entire thalamus and cortex, particularly corticocortical functional connectivity (Pinault 2004). A crucial role in the generation of spindles during sleep is recognized (Contreras *et al.* 1997). Prefrontal projections to TRN are thought to play a prominent role in orientation of attention

and suppression of distractors (Zikopoulos and Barbas 2006; Guillery *et al.* 1998), and dysfunction of the TRN, resulting in deficits in these and related functions, has been associated with Sz (Ferrarelli and Tononi 2011; Pinault 2011).

The GPe projects to the full rostrocaudal extent of the TRN (Hazrati and Parent 1991; Shammah-Lagnado *et al.* 1996), and this projection has been directly implicated in attentional control (Nakajima *et al.* 2019). Given evidence that some circuits through the TRN are open loops implicating more than one cortical area (Brown *et al.* 2019‡), this projection is positioned to directly modulate inter-areal cortical signaling. BG inputs to the TRN also target cells that project to the intralaminar thalamus (Kayahara and Nakano 1998), and experiments *in vitro* suggest that DA release in GPe inhibits its inputs to TRN (Gasca-Martinez *et al.* 2010), suggesting that these inputs conform to functions identified for the indirect path, dampening or disconnecting activity. Additionally, glutamatergic nigroreticular projections have been demonstrated arising from striatum-recipient cells throughout the SN, both from the pars reticulata and the pars compacta, with roughly half of these fibers also found to release DA (Antal *et al.* 2014).

The interposition of the thalamic reticular nucleus in collaterals of L6 corticothalamic projections (Deschênes *et al.* 1994) is posited to produce nonlinearity, such that low frequency activity has a suppressive influence on thalamus via the TRN, while higher frequency activity is stimulative (Crandall *et al.* 2015). Modulation of the TRN (by the BG and PFC, in particular) might alter this dynamic, providing for adjustment of the threshold above which cortical activity stimulates activity in BG-recipient thalamus, and below which it is suppressive. This would gate the action of the BG on cortex, by controlling the supply of activity available for modulation at the implicated thalamocortical neurons. The BG and PFC are arranged to control this gate by adjusting the ACh supply to TRN, reducing or abolishing the suppressive influence of the TRN on corticothalamic targets (Lam and Sherman 2010).

10. Basal Ganglia Involvement in Sensory Processing

In this section:

- 10.1. The basal ganglia make selections in the sensory domains.
- 10.2. Basal ganglia influence on primary sensory thalamus is modulatory, not entraining or resetting.
- 10.3. The basal ganglia project widely to sensory cortex, with notable exceptions.
- 10.4. Basal ganglia output beyond the direct path projects to sensory areas at the cortical, thalamic, and brainstem levels.
- 10.5. The basal ganglia mediate attentional neglect of anticipated extraneous percepts.
- 10.6. The basal ganglia may mediate attentional neglect of percepts arising predictably from intentional acts by the self.

10.1. The basal ganglia make selections in the sensory domains.

The BG have been proposed to function in perceptual decision making in a fashion analogous to their function in behavioral decision making (Ding and Gold 2013). As reviewed earlier (§6.1), BG direct path output is arranged to influence activity not only in frontal cortex, but in posterior areas, including posterior sensory areas. Pathways described earlier (§9) by which the BG modulate central DA, ACh, and 5-HT supplies, and the TRN, imply a broad modulatory influence of the BG on sensory processing.

Motor control, long associated with the BG, has an inherent intimacy with attention, which entails selective perception; for example, common mechanisms and networks have been identified underlying attention and oculomotor control, both within and beyond the BG (Corbetta *et al.* 1998; Hikosaka *et al.* 2000). There is evidence that striatal activations continually track visuospatial attentional orientation, even in the absence of saccades and other overt actions (Arcizet and Krauzlis 2018).

When isochronous rhythmic visual events are presented to monkeys, in a task requiring saccades when oddballs are encountered in the sequence, firing of some striatal neurons is strongly entrained to the stimulus rhythm, and missing-stimulus oddballs evoke even stronger isochronous responses from those neurons, suggesting that perception of such phenomena involves cortico-basal ganglia ensembles (Kameda *et al.* 2019). Indeed, structural asymmetries of the globus pallidus correlate with alpha oscillatory power asymmetries in the visual cortex (Mazzetti *et al.* 2019), and activity in the BG-recipient central thalamus shows significant attention-related, performance-correlated upward shifts in power spectra (Schiff *et al.* 2013).

10.2. Basal ganglia influence on primary sensory thalamus is modulatory, not entraining or resetting.

Primary sensory areas of the thalamus, and thalamic sensory areas in intimate topographic registration with the primary areas, are apparently avoided by direct path output (Percheron *et al.* 1996; Parent *et al.* 2001). This arrangement is an expected corollary of the proposed “binding by synchrony” mechanism (von der Malsburg 1999; Singer and Gray 1995; Womelsdorf *et al.* 2007; Jia *et al.* 2013; Barth and MacDonald 1996; Siegel *et al.* 2008). Rigid BG-induced spike timing disruption of sensory processing pipelines at the thalamic level would derange the spatiotemporally precise registration by which ensembles of neurons representing a stimulus are proposed to be coherently bound together, and to be differentiated from neurons in the same area that are active but not associated with the stimulus. In fact, there is evidence for binding by synchrony in sensory nuclei of the thalamus; corticothalamic projections bearing synchronized oscillations associated with a visual stimulus entrain thalamocortical activity associated with that stimulus, increasing the effective neuronal gain for associated features (Sillito *et al.* 1994).

10.3. The basal ganglia project widely to sensory cortex, with notable exceptions.

While the BG direct path output apparently avoids sensory thalamic nuclei, it does not avoid sensory areas at the cortical level. As noted earlier (§6.1), the BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei (CL, PC, CM, and PF) have been shown to project to visual, auditory, and somatosensory cortex (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002; Scannell 1999), and the MD, VA, and VL nuclei have been shown in primates to project to visual association cortex and the angular gyrus area of parietal cortex (Middleton and Strick 1996; Clower *et al.* 2005; Tigges *et al.* 1983). In the rostral intralaminar thalamus, the PC and CL nuclei show distinct intimacy with sensory areas, reaching all visual areas but the

primary receptive fields; these projections show no apparent topographic pattern, but are accompanied by heavy projections to densely interconnected areas such as the frontal eye fields and posterior parietal association areas 5 and 7, with some axons found to collateralize multi-areally, e.g. to visual area 20a and areas 5 and 7 (Kaufman and Rosenquist 1985a; Van der Werf *et al.* 2002).

As noted earlier (§7.10), the caudal intralaminar nuclei in cat project to secondary and some associative auditory cortex, but avoid the primary, posterior, ventroposterior, and temporal auditory fields (Scannell 1999). This extensive lacuna suggests that the early stages of auditory processing are particularly sensitive to disruption of spike patterns. This might be attributable to the unique orientation of auditory perception to environmental phenomena (sounds) that are typically oscillatory and momentary, so that the crucial phenomenological attributes of stimuli can only be represented in early processing stages with neural spikes that are precisely locked in time to occurrence of those attributes. This representation may take the form of periodic codes, patterned to precisely reflect stimulus periodicity, which by coherent propagation and integration can enable precise sound localization (Brown and Curto 2019‡). Similar periodic coding principles might prevail in the grid cells of the hippocampal system (Brown and Curto 2019‡), which is similarly free of direct BG inputs, as outlined later (§13.2.4).

10.4. Basal ganglia output beyond the direct path projects to sensory areas at the cortical, thalamic, and brainstem levels.

The projection systems associated with the GPe, SN *pars lateralis* (SNl), PPN, LDT, NBM, and DRN extensively target sensory areas, including those in thalamus. As noted earlier (§9.6), the PPN targets the primary sensory nuclei of the thalamus (Hallanger *et al.* 1987). The caudal GPe projects directly to the auditory and visual sensory sectors of the caudal TRN, to auditory cortex, the inferior colliculus, and through the SNl further influences visual and auditory processing via the latter's projections to the superior and inferior colliculi (Shammah-Lagnado *et al.* 1996; Moriizumi and Hattori 1991; Yasui *et al.* 1991). Projections of GABAergic cells in the NBM to TRN target the vision-specific portion of the latter, while cholinergic cells in NBM project to corresponding visual cortex (Bickford *et al.* 1994). While paths through the DRN from BG output structures to sensory cortex have yet to be directly demonstrated, the DRN comprehensively innervates cortex (Vertes 1991) and, as reviewed earlier (§9.15), is reciprocally coupled to the BG.

The superior colliculus is a key center for sensory (particularly visuospatial) processing: it is implicated not

only in ocular saccades but in covert (i.e., non-motoric) orienting of attention (Robinson and Kertzman 1995), supplies powerful inputs to thalamic MD and pulvinar nuclei (Wurtz *et al.* 2005; Stepniewska *et al.* 2000) thence to visuocognitive cortex (Berman and Wurtz 2010; Lyon *et al.* 2010), and is under the direct influence of the SNr (Hikosaka and Wurtz 1983). The SC also projects to the thalamic intralaminar nuclei, and through them, the striatum, where it has strong attentional effects (Herman *et al.* 2019‡). The SC and BG thus influence each other strongly and reciprocally.

It is quite intriguing that BG output avoids the pulvinar, but extensively innervates the SC, given that the SC extensively innervates the pulvinar. Perhaps this relates to the proposed imperative to avoid deranging fine timing information in thalamocortical sensory modules. Nigrotectal terminals, while GABAergic, mostly appose medium or small dendrites (Behan *et al.* 1987); enveloping perisomatic GABAergic appositions like those of the nigrothalamic projection (Bodor *et al.* 2008) are present in the same tectal population, but arise elsewhere (Behan *et al.* 1987).

10.5. The basal ganglia mediate attentional neglect of anticipated extraneous percepts.

Nakajima *et al.* (2019) have demonstrated in mice that the BG are crucial mediators of corticothalamic regulation of inattention to distracting stimuli, building on earlier work substantiating roles for the TRN (Halassa *et al.* 2014; Wimmer *et al.* 2015) and PFC (Rikhye *et al.* 2018; Schmitt *et al.* 2017) in sensory attention mechanisms. In particular, they found that the prelimbic region of PFC induces inattention to distracting visual stimuli via a pathway through the dorsal caudal striatum, where projections from PFC and visual cortex converge, to the caudal GPe, thence to the visual sector of the TRN, thence to the primary visual thalamus (lateral geniculate body, LGB). They found that neglect of auditory distractors was mediated by a similar path ending in the medial geniculate body (MGB), and moreover, that in subtasks requiring auditory discrimination, auditory signal to noise ratio was executively enhanced via this PFC-BG-TRN-MGB path.

10.6. The basal ganglia may mediate attentional neglect of percepts arising predictably from intentional acts by the self.

In healthy humans, speech production involves significant inhibition of responsiveness in auditory cortex to the sounds of self-produced speech; this inhibition is deficient in Parkinson's disease (Railo *et al.* 2019‡). This suggests that the BG are a key component of a mechanism whereby the organism avoids distraction by the perceptual correlates of successfully produced intentional behaviors. In short,

the paths through the dorsal striatum to GPe, thence to TRN and thalamus, from the SNr to the SC, thence to thalamus, and from the ventral striatum to NBM, thence to cortex, may be crucial elements of a system that continually transforms behavioral output into selective, anticipatory inattention.

Corticostriatal input from primary motor cortex has been found to preferentially flow to the GPe (Wall *et al.* 2013), and corticostriatal input flowing to GPe is predominantly collaterals of axons destined for the pyramidal tract, bearing activity tightly correlated with executed motor commands (Lei *et al.* 2004; Morishima and Kawaguchi 2006). Collaterals of these axons also target the proximal dendrites of projection neurons in the intralaminar thalamic nuclei (Deschênes *et al.* 1998), from which these signals are relayed to all components of the BG. A key role posited for the signals carried by collaterals of motor output is as an “efference copy” or “corollary discharge”, primarily serving to contextualize sensory input, as suggested by projections from motor cortex to somatosensory cortex (DeFelipe *et al.* 1986). These signals may also serve to inform a system that differentiates between self-generated and other-generated percepts, attending the latter while disregarding the former (Crapse and Sommer 2008).

The BG might continually compute a dynamic template imparted upon the thalamus via the TRN and SC, and upon the cortex via the NBM, so that sensory input that is the expected result of motor output, and is therefore cognitively extraneous and distracting, is functionally disconnected. Sparsity in direct path corticostriatal input, but not in indirect path input (Turner and DeLong 2000), comports with particular involvement of the indirect path in mediating this continual expectation-driven inattention. GPe facilitation of the TRN might act particularly by raising the threshold for corticothalamic inputs to transition from inhibitory to excitatory effects on their thalamic targets (Crandall *et al.* 2015).

The BG influence the SC directly (Hikosaka and Wurtz 1983), and if an effect of this influence is to induce neglect of expected percepts, then unexpected percepts will be salient. This comports with evidence that the SC, upon encountering unexpected sensory events, can reconfigure sensorimotor orientation in the thalamus via the zona incerta (Watson *et al.* 2015).

These arrangements suggest a combined dynamic in which the BG learn to minimize surprise, centrally implicating dopamine signaling (Schultz 1998, 2013), as noted earlier (§9.2). Indeed, some highly abstract models of cognition imply that the minimization of surprise is an organizing principle for the brain as a whole (Friston 2010), given evidence that feedback projections bear predictions, while feedforward projections bear “newsworthy” prediction errors (Friston 2018; Rao and Ballard 1999).

It has also been suggested that BG-mediated selection of an action jointly activates areas implicated in processing the expected perceptual correlates of that action (Colder 2015; but see Urbain and Deschênes 2007). The combined dynamic might consist of activation and effective connection of the executive and perceptual areas implicated in the action, culminating in execution of the action, whereupon an efference copy of the corticofugal motor output follows the paths through the BG to the TRN and NBM described here, in addition to corticocortical paths. By this narrative, if the action has the expected result, TRN and NBM outputs mask out the associated sensory inputs, presumably with a crucial role for collaterals of motor cortex output projecting to somatosensory areas of cortex and thalamus. If the results of the action deviate from expectations, then sensory inputs associated with the deviation are not masked out, but act as bottom-up drivers with particular salience due to the anticipatory recruitment of the associated cortical perceptual areas. The disparity is thus efficiently signaled, facilitating remediation.

That the BG systematically adjust sensory perceptions to track expectations and minimize surprise is suggested by the finding that the ventral striatum and ventral pallidum mediate prepulse inhibition of the acoustic startle response (mild auditory stimulus presented 30-500ms before startling stimulus) (Kodsi and Swerdlow 1995). This prepulse inhibition is deficient in many diseases associated with the BG, including OCD, Huntington's disease, and GTS (Swerdlow and Geyer 1998), is attenuated in Sz (Swerdlow and Geyer 1998; Quednow *et al.* 2008; Geyer and Vollenweider 2008), and is altered by hallucinogenic drugs (Vollenweider *et al.* 2007; Geyer and Vollenweider 2008). Anticipatory inhibition of the cortical response to self-generated speech is deficient not only in PD (Railo *et al.* 2019), but also in Sz and bipolar disorder (Ford *et al.* 2013).

There is evidence that corollary discharge underlying self-other differentiation is generally dysfunctional in Sz (Ford *et al.* 2001). Even basic coordination of motor output with sensory input is affected: smooth tracking of moving objects with the eyes is consistently impaired in Sz patients and their close relatives (Levy *et al.* 1994). This might be explained by dysfunction of the mechanisms of anticipatory inattention, if internally caused and therefore predictable sensory events are given spurious salience, prompting inappropriate actions (e.g., saccades). Deficient performance in Sz on stimulus-antisaccade tasks might be similarly rooted in dysfunction of the mechanisms of executive inhibition (Fukushima *et al.* 1988).

The accurate differentiation of self-generated from other-generated effects is crucial in the cognitive representation of agency (intentional action), and its dysfunction is likely intrinsic to Sz (van der Weiden *et al.* 2015; Ford *et al.* 2007). Evidence of projections in higher

primates from the MD, VA, and VL nuclei to the angular gyrus (Tigges *et al.* 1983) is also suggestive, as this cortical area has been shown in humans to have a role in awareness of action consequences, and in particular, in the detection of disparities between intentions and results (Farrer *et al.* 2008), suggesting extensive BG involvement in the dynamics of agency. Agency in itself seems to influence the perception of results relative to the actions that

produced them: Subjective intentionality significantly shortens the reported delay between action and results, compared to delays reported in involuntary action scenarios (such as an experimenter tugging an appendage with a fabric loop), and this shortening is lessened when the sense of agency in the action is disrupted by hypnosis (Lush *et al.* 2017) or coercion (Caspar *et al.* 2016).

11. The Roles of the Basal Ganglia in General Cognitive Coordination

In this section:

- 11.1. The basal ganglia are implicated in the regulation of all large scale cortical networks.
- 11.2. The combinatorially prodigious demands of cortical coordination are met by the combinatorial power of the basal ganglia.
- 11.3. As controllers of corticocortical information routing, the basal ganglia are integral to higher mental function.
- 11.4. The basal ganglia are arranged to control chaotic dynamics in cortex.
- 11.5. The basal ganglia are positioned to perform a central role in mental supervision and problem solving.
- 11.6. Noise in the basal ganglia and neocortex may crucially promote problem solving.
- 11.7. The basal ganglia are intimately involved in the mechanisms of working memory.
- 11.8. Functional parcellation of frontal cortex and basal ganglia is complex, and may emphasize intrinsically persistent and preparatory activity in frontal cortex, and impulsive and reactive activity in basal ganglia.
- 11.9. The basal ganglia are implicated in cognitive flexibility and associated dysfunctions.

11.1. The basal ganglia are implicated in the regulation of all large scale cortical networks.

The BGMS model implicates the BG in the activation and coordination of large scale cortical networks spanning and pervading the sensory, motor, cognitive, and motivational domains. Evidently, general cognitive coordination is uniquely challenging in terms of combinatorial tractability. BG physiology reviewed earlier (§4) suggests that they are suited for such a role; here I explore this proposition more directly and in greater depth.

11.2. The combinatorially prodigious demands of cortical coordination are met by the combinatorial power of the basal ganglia.

The connectedness of the cerebral cortex—the proportion of combinatorially possible direct long range links that are anatomically actualized—is quite high, at least 66% in macaques (Markov *et al.* 2014) and as much as 97% in mouse (Gămănuț *et al.* 2018). 130-140 distinct cortical areas have been identified in the macaque (Markov *et al.* 2014), implying at least 5,500 ($66\% \times (130 \times 129) \times \frac{1}{2}$) bidirectionally or unidirectionally interconnected pairs in each hemisphere and as many as 25,000 such pairs overall. More notionally, these figures imply at least 10^{78} ($2^{130 \times 2}$)

distinct areal combinations, some substantial fraction of which might be both anatomically connected and usefully selected for momentary multi-areal effective connectivity. In humans, the number of distinct cortical areas is even larger, estimated at 180 (Glasser *et al.* 2016), implying more than 40,000 linkages and 10^{108} distinct areal combinations. The sheer scale of this network is also apparent in the estimated populations, with roughly 8×10^9 neurons and 6.6×10^{13} synapses in the human cerebral cortex, connected by roughly 10 million kilometers of axons (Murre and Sturdy 1995).

Implicit to the BGMS model is a proposal that the mammalian brain tames these combinatorial and population explosions with a mechanism combining spatiotemporally precise but mesoscopic connectivity selection with the stupendous topological flexibility of the BG. That the BG are in fact central to this facility is strongly implied by evidence that they are among the most connected regions of the brain (van den Heuvel and Sporns 2011), that they participate in a particularly wide variety of large scale synchronized networks (Keitel and Gross 2016), and that their dysfunction is directly associated with a graded contraction of the number of distinct areal combinations activated in the course of cognition (Sorrentino *et al.* 2019 ‡). The corticostriatal projection, with its prodigious convergence and divergence (Flaherty and Graybiel 1994; Hintiryan *et al.* 2016), a total synapse

population in humans of roughly 10^{12} (Kreczmanski *et al.* 2007; Kincaid *et al.* 1998; Zheng and Wilson 2002), and uncorrelated postsynaptic activity (Wilson 2013), is quantitatively suited to play a key role grappling with the immense dimensionality of the neocortex.

Moreover, the unusual diversity of conduction delays through the BG, reviewed earlier (§3.3), may arrange for a population of “polychronous groups” of neurons that exceeds not only the number of neurons in the system, but perhaps even the number of *synapses*, providing for immense combinatorial coverage and dimensionality, and correspondingly stupendous representational capacities (Izhikevich 2006). In this view, each projection neuron in the striatum transiently participates in a vast array of contextually activated assemblies, each of which relates particular spatiotemporally dispersed inputs to corresponding learned spatiotemporally focused outputs, projecting to pallidal/nigral projection neurons, which in turn focally target the thalamus (and other areas). This arrangement is functionally homologous to an arrangement in the cerebellum, discussed at greater length later (§13.1), that is thought to provide for recognition of roughly 10^{82} distinct spatiotemporal patterns in the mouse (Sultan and Heck 2003). It is also similar in some important respects to arrangements of massive phased arrays of independent antennas, used and proposed for transmission and reception of signals in extremely flexible, high capacity, parallel, dynamically configurable communication links (Rusek *et al.* 2013) and, particularly, radar systems (Fuhrmann *et al.* 2010).

11.3. As controllers of corticocortical information routing, the basal ganglia are integral to higher mental function.

Open circuits through the BG and thalamus, originating in one region and projecting to another one distant from the first, have long been appreciated (Joel and Weiner 1994). A comprehensive corticostriatal projection, and massive convergence through the BG, arrange for selections to reflect global, system-level information, including large scale dynamic network topology. In the BGMS model, this global information informs cortical routing decisions, and indeed allows for establishment of efficient routes even between topologically remote areas, via connectivity hubs.

Within the global workspace model of cognition (Dehaene and Naccache 2001; Baars 2005; Dehaene and Changeux 2011; Baars *et al.* 2013), the BG might arbitrate ephemeral access to and by specialized processors, and more generally, “dynamically mobilize” cortical areas for effective connection within the long range distributed network of conscious cognition. Equivalently, in the dynamic core model (Tononi and Edelman 1998), the BG might determine from moment to moment which corticothalamic modules are functionally well-connected.

Hybrid metaheuristics, a combinatorial optimization technique, involves such arrangements (Blum *et al.* 2011): densely and broadly connected areas may constitute a generic problem-solving (metaheuristic) mechanism, while more specialized and less widely connected areas are selectively integrated with the generic mechanism, when their respective domains of expertise are relevant to problems for which conscious intervention has occurred. The BG figure prominently, because many of the cortical areas with the highest anatomical and functional connectedness—areas including the superior and lateral prefrontal, anterior cingulate, and medial orbitofrontal (Cole *et al.* 2010; van den Heuvel and Sporns 2011; Harriger *et al.* 2012; Elston 2000)—are particularly dense targets of BG output (Middleton and Strick 2002; Ullman 2006; Akkal *et al.* 2007). Indeed, the striatum itself has been found to contain the most connected brain regions by some measures (van den Heuvel and Sporns 2011), and to exhibit continual activation throughout the duration of a task, with shifting loci of activation that “tile” the span of the task, tracking context (Arcizet and Krauzlis 2018; Weglage *et al.* 2020‡).

Proponents of the global workspace theory of consciousness contemplate “auto-catalytic” organization of long range functional networks in cortex (Dehaene and Naccache 2001) to avoid a homuncular infinite regress (Dehaene and Changeux 2011). The BGMS model implies that these functional networks are self-organized by a coalition of cortical and subcortical mechanisms, with the BG in particular crucial to the selection and recruitment of cortical networks, and to the inhibition and isolation of areas not implicated in a selected network. The combined system of the PFC and BG has been proposed expressly to constitute a mechanistically complete explanation for coherent cognition, avoiding implications of a cognitive homunculus (Hazy *et al.* 2006). Curiously, the sensorimotor striatum contains many fragmentary sensorimotor homunculi in various configurations (Flaherty and Graybiel 1994), implying that the associative striatum contains many fragmentary cognitive maps, which through the looping architecture of the BG, might be said to regress indefinitely, if not infinitely (see more below, regarding perturbative iteration).

11.4. The basal ganglia are arranged to control chaotic dynamics in cortex.

The arrangement of the BG to influence cortical activity mesoscopically, without driving activity directly, has inspired the view that they dynamically modulate state attractors, shaping the evolution of cortical activity (Djurfeldt *et al.* 2001). This view is particularly appealing in light of evidence and simulations that suggest that the cerebral cortex intrinsically maintains critical dynamics, supporting continually evolving dynamical activity (van

Vreeswijk and Sompolinsky 1996; Haider *et al.* 2006; Okun and Lampl 2008; Ahmadian and Miller 2019‡; Ma *et al.* 2019; Haider *et al.* 2012; Rubin *et al.* 2015). Criticality in networks has been shown to provide for optimal controllability of those networks: slight gain reductions in such networks shift them toward modular isolation and preservation of state, while slight gain increases shift them toward connectedness and integration (Li *et al.* 2019). This has direct implications for the relationship of the BG to cortex, because they are positioned to adjust the gain of thalamocortical and corticocortical circuits.

Critical dynamics characterize the cortex in the awake but resting state, while attention focused on a task induces broad subcriticality, reducing susceptibility to distractors (Fagerholm *et al.* 2015). Task-specific output from connectivity hubs in cortex may be crucial in maintaining task-appropriate effective connectivity despite the widespread subcriticality that accompanies task-focused cognition (Senden *et al.* 2018), and there is ample evidence that the BG are integral to hub circuitry and dynamics (e.g. Vatansever *et al.* 2016; Averbeck *et al.* 2014; Assem *et al.* 2019 ‡). Moreover, BG output to brainstem and basal forebrain neurotransmitter centers, detailed earlier (§9), position the BG to orchestrate shifts in balance between criticality (while in resting states) and subcriticality (while engrossed in tasks), supplying task-specific facilitation through the direct path during task-focused, subcritical episodes.

Decisions may consist of dynamic and plastic reconfigurations of attractors in cortex that stabilize selected action representations against perturbations. Modeling of frontocortical neural activity underlying stimulus-response behavior in mice suggests that contextually appropriate response representations are actively stabilized against distractors during delay periods, with distance between attractor basins (associated with alternative actions) rising with the strength of the stimulus, and attractor basin depth increasing with learning (Finkelstein *et al.* 2019 ‡). Physiological evidence from human and non-human primates (fMRI and electrophysiologically measured spike rates, respectively) suggests that task performance entails global “quenching” of variability and long-range activity correlations, suppressing spontaneous activity, while associated computational modeling suggests that it entails stabilization of task-related attractors (Ito *et al.* 2019 ‡). These dynamics directly implicate the striatum. Modeling of the combined system of PFC and striatum in monkeys suggests they are central to stimulus-response learning, and that learning entails increasing the distance between, or the attractor basin depth of, the representations of alternative actions (Márton *et al.* 2019‡).

It has been proposed, under the rubric of “integrated information theory”, that consciousness in its essence is a series of selected states, each an ephemeral complex of

informational relationships, within an internally well-connected system with massive dimensionality and the power to discriminate among the myriad possible states as wholes—in mammals, the system of the cerebral cortex and thalamus (Tononi 2004). The physical aspects of the brain directly implicated in consciousness are proposed to be those with maximal cause-effect power (Tononi *et al.* 2016). By these criteria, the global integration of cortical states implicit to the massive convergence of the BG, and predominant BG control of spike patterns in many of their thalamocortical targets, signify a central role in consciousness. Indeed, the integrated information measure Φ , evaluated for activity in a network spanning deep layers of lateral intraparietal cortex, caudate striatum, and intralaminar thalamus, is a particularly reliable indicator of state of consciousness (anesthesia, NREM sleep, resting wakefulness, or anesthesia interrupted by thalamic stimulation) (Afrasiabi *et al.* 2020‡).

11.5. The basal ganglia are positioned to perform a central role in mental supervision and problem solving.

Conscious cognition, and prefrontal cortex, are thought to be crucial for high level supervision, particularly the goal-motivated resolution of problems not resolved at lower (more local) levels (Dehaene and Naccache 2001; Miller and Cohen 2001). Evidence supports this proposition, and implicates the BG in these dynamics. It has been shown in rats that the learning of new goal-directed actions, adapted to changing scenarios, depends on the connection from PFC to striatum (Hart *et al.* 2018). In primates, the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and DLPFC are thought to subservise detection and mitigation of conflicts, through an iterative looping arrangement (Carter and van Veen 2007). As noted above, these areas densely reciprocate with the BG, with the ACC particularly targeting striosomes (Eblen and Graybiel 1995). As a dense target of mesencephalic DA, ACC has been proposed to form a loop with the BG subserving conflict management (Holroyd and Coles 2002). Evidence from electrophysiology in humans suggests that a key role of the ACC in conflict management is the selective amplification of useful task-relevant information in widely distributed functional constellations (Ebitz *et al.* 2020‡), which comports neatly with heavy projection of ACC to the striatum—to striosomes, through which cognitive salience might be selectively dopaminergically modulated, and to matrix, through which rhythms associated with the selection might be circulated broadly to cortex.

In terms of goal-motivated and iterative problem solving, a striking corollary of the BGMS model is that the BG both recognize and generate large scale patterns of synchrony in cortex, so that synchrony-oriented information processing in the BG is not just integrative, but recurrent. Iteration can provide for the formulation of

solutions by a process of perturbative adjustments to representations (Lourenço *et al.* 2003), constituting a metaheuristic algorithm. In this vein, closed circuits in cortex and BG have been proposed to underlie the dynamical emergence of valuations and decisions, with structural hierarchy and hidden layers enabling adaptation to varying timescales (Hunt and Hayden 2017).

Structural and functional recurrence in the PFC and BG in particular have been suggested to arrange for the progressive integration of evidence to drive decisions (Bogacz and Gurney 2007; Caballero *et al.* 2018; Yartsev *et al.* 2018), a facility which is deficient (relatively inefficient and inflexible) in Parkinson's Disease (O'Callaghan *et al.* 2017).

Another consequence of these looping arrangements is that they provide for neural network layering (depth) that is notionally infinite. In general, network recurrence allows for limited computational resources to be recycled and repurposed over successive iterations, allowing for situationally appropriate tradeoff of speed versus accuracy (Spoerer *et al.* 2019‡).

11.6. Noise in the basal ganglia and neocortex may crucially promote problem solving.

Optimization without *a priori* expertise can benefit from random perturbations, whereby systems can escape impoundment in local optima to find broader or global optima (Lourenço *et al.* 2003; Palmer and O'Shea 2015; Palmer 2020 ‡). A related supposition is that the noise inherent to neural activity in cortex facilitates cognitive generalization, tending to make stimulus categorization maximally inclusive (Hu *et al.* 2019 ‡). Remarkably, artificial random perturbation of cortical microcircuits in PFC has been shown to significantly and broadly enhance cognitive performance (Sheffield *et al.* 2020‡).

It is thus interesting that pallidal and nigral projection neurons appear to be arranged to continually inject noise into the thalamocortical system, through their tonic, rapid, independently rhythmic discharges. While this noise may improve signal fidelity in important respects, as suggested earlier (§5.2), it may also act to randomly perturb BG-thalamocortical state, via thalamocortical, thalamostriatal, thalamopallidal/thalamonigral, and thalamosubthalamic projections. And intrinsically, cortical microcircuits are perturbed by robustly irregular interneuron discharge patterns (Stiefel *et al.* 2013).

Until a representation is robustly stabilized, random perturbations may contribute crucially to an organism's search for useful responses to environmental challenges and opportunities. Indeed, it has been suggested that brain dynamics are generally characterized by alternation between two modes, one chaotic, noisy, energetically diffuse, and prone to creativity, the other stable, deterministic, and energetically focused (Palmer and

O'Shea 2015; Palmer 2020 ‡). If so, the BG are well-positioned to control these modes: as suggested above, BG influences over central dopamine, acetylcholine, and serotonin supplies position the BG to switch between broadly critical and broadly subcritical modes, which are clearly akin to the chaotic and focused modes described by Palmer and O'Shea (2015).

11.7. The basal ganglia are intimately involved in the mechanisms of working memory.

BG-controlled dopamine release in PFC is thought to stabilize working memory (WM) items, represented as attractor networks, against distractors and noise, simultaneous with its release in the BG enhancing targeted output to the implicated cortical loci (Gruber *et al.* 2006). This proposal comports neatly with findings, discussed earlier (§6.8), that dopamine increases overall PFC pyramidal neuron responsiveness to afferent activity, but reduces the responsiveness of their L1 apical dendrites (Yang and Seamans 1996), and depresses GABAergic lateral interactions in L2/L3 interneurons (Towers and Hestrin 2008). WM impairment in Sz has long been recognized as a cardinal symptom (Lee and Park 2005), and may be explained in large part by dysfunctions of DA regulation, excitatory-inhibitory balance, functional connectivity, and apical dendrite excitability (Uhlhaas 2013; van den Heuvel *et al.* 2013; Braver and Cohen 1999; Grace 2016; Goldman-Rakic 1999; Geyer and Vollenweider 2008; Dandash *et al.* 2017; Braun *et al.* 2019‡).

BG intimacy with the thalamic MD and VA nuclei underscores a multifarious role for the BG in managing working memory (Frank *et al.* 2001; McNab and Klingberg 2008; Chatham and Badre 2015; Kalivas *et al.* 2001; Haber and Calzavara 2009; Watanabe and Funahashi 2012; Mitchell and Chakraborty 2013; Xiao and Barbas 2004; Parnaudeau *et al.* 2013). The BG are thought to gate the establishment of items in WM and their inclusion in subsequent cognition, and to eject them from WM when they are no longer relevant to current context and goals, enabling reallocation of WM resources. Recent results show that the densely BG-recipient MD nucleus in particular can operate in precisely this fashion, sustaining context-dependent WM-related activity in PFC during delay periods (Bolkan *et al.* 2017), and regulating rule-contingent functional connectivity in PFC (Schmitt *et al.* 2017).

Constellations of PFC neurons activate persistently in sensorimotor stimulus-response scenarios (Haller *et al.* 2018). In self-paced tasks requiring complex and flexible responses to stimuli, such as antonym production, loci in human PFC were found to generate high gamma oscillations that immediately followed those in sensory cortex associated with the stimulus, and continued until,

and during, high gamma generation in motor cortex associated with the response. Moreover, this PFC high gamma was essential for effective response production. These patterns of activity suggest that the PFC is crucial in the flexible organization of large scale functional networks, integrating relevant information and selecting appropriate responses (Haller *et al.* 2018).

Prefrontal gamma power rises as a function of WM load (Roux *et al.* 2012), and alignment of the fine phase angle of spikes in an oscillating cell subpopulation in PFC, relative to prevailing oscillation in the wider population, may serve to delimit and orthogonalize items represented by that subpopulation, readily explaining item capacity limitations (Siegel *et al.* 2009; Lisman and Idiart 1995). In an arrangement analogous to spike-timing-dependent selection among conflicting sensory inputs (Fries *et al.* 2002), BG-mediated selection among current WM items might entail synchronization of thalamocortical spiking with the corticocortical spiking associated with the selected item. BG-facilitated gamma bursts, securing effective connections, may thereby be produced, reintegrating the WM item into ongoing cognition. Indeed, gamma bursts in PFC accompany (putatively, induce) both the establishment of items in WM, and the subsequent activation of those items for inclusion in ongoing cognition (Lundqvist *et al.* 2016). The opposing prevalence of gamma and beta in WM neuron constellations has been implicated directly in top-down control of WM (Lundqvist *et al.* 2018a).

While WM entails persistent activity (Curtis and D'Esposito 2003; Wang 2001; Goldman-Rakic 1995), WM items may be further stabilized by, or even briefly exist only as, ephemeral synaptic potentiation and associated ephemeral attractor states in cortex (Lundqvist *et al.* 2018b, 2016; Miller *et al.* 2018; Rose *et al.* 2016). Some models of PFC-BG function in WM in fact necessitate such an intracellular state maintenance mechanism (Frank *et al.* 2001).

11.8. Functional parcellation of frontal cortex and basal ganglia is complex, and may emphasize intrinsically persistent and preparatory activity in frontal cortex, and impulsive and reactive activity in basal ganglia.

It seems clear that the frontal cortex and the BG are functionally coextensive components of a single inextricable system (Frank *et al.* 2001; Miller and Cohen 2001; Calzavara *et al.* 2007; Miller and Buschman 2007). Their functional delineation is thus fraught with nuance and ambiguity. One interpretation is that the BG learn associations quickly, at a lower level of generality, and frontal cortex learns associations more slowly, at a higher level of generality and stability, trained by the BG, so that frontal cortex eventually takes the lead in responding to stimuli (Miller and Buschman 2007; Antzoulatos and Miller 2011). While it is intuitively obvious that

representations reflecting a larger number of examples will tend to be more general and abstract, it is not clear that the BG and frontal cortex differ crucially on this count. Even after over-training of a task, at least in some scenarios activity in the BG still leads that in frontal cortex (Antzoulatos and Miller 2014), and there is strong evidence that at least in some forms of motor learning, cortex is crucial for initial acquisition but not necessary for performance subsequent to consolidation (Kawai *et al.* 2015).

According to Frank *et al.* (2001), the frontal cortex represents information with persistent patterns of activation, while the BG fire selectively, usually impulsively, and only coincident with substantial afferent activity, to induce updates to those persistent patterns. This view is supported by evidence that habit formation is accompanied by the emergence of sharp start and stop signals in the BG (Jog *et al.* 1999; Barnes *et al.* 2005; Jin and Costa 2010; Smith and Graybiel 2013). And it comports with the view adopted within the BGMS model, that thalamocortical feedback activity can facilitate transient cortical gamma bursting, establishing effective connections through synchronization (Larkum 2013; Womelsdorf *et al.* 2014). As noted above, brief gamma bursts in PFC have particularly been proposed to impulsively shift brain state to selectively integrate and manipulate working memory items (Lundqvist *et al.* 2018a).

The BGMS model, and the model of Frank *et al.* (2001), furthermore comport with the sparse pattern of task-specific activity exhibited by direct path corticostriatal neurons (Turner and DeLong 2000), noted earlier (§8.6), because the corticostriatal activation associated with a particular behavioral and environmental conjunction is thereby inherently spatiotemporally limited. Cortical inputs to the indirect path arise mainly from a different population (Wall *et al.* 2013) that is not at all sparse in its activation patterns, consistent with an inhibitory function.

There are hints to the functional delineation of frontal cortex and BG in the results of several fMRI studies. A study by Cools *et al.* (2004) arranged to separate the metabolic correlates of shifts in the task relevance of objects (effectively, polymodal sensory stimuli), from those of transiently operative abstract rules. The BG and PFC were both found to be integral to the former type of preparatory shift, allocating attention and responsiveness to the task-appropriate stimuli, but not to the latter, which was only associated with activity in PFC, subsequently biasing striatal responses appropriately. Nonetheless, evidence from another fMRI study suggests that the striatum may continually model the utility of abstract rules as such, activating in response to category prediction errors, as distinguished from reward prediction errors (Ballard *et al.* 2018).

Another study showed activation of the BG when unexpected sensory stimuli prompted reorienting of attention, but not in preparatory orienting and maintenance of attention (Shulman *et al.* 2009). However, a similar study identified a role for the ventral BG in mediating shifts of preparatory attention in response to unattended (but evidently detected) sensory cues, inducing appropriate changes in frontal-posterior functional connectivity (van Schouwenburg *et al.* 2010b).

11.9. The basal ganglia are implicated in cognitive flexibility and associated dysfunctions.

Exploration of the physiological correlates of cognitive and attentional flexibility has substantiated a role for the BG (Leber *et al.* 2008; van Schouwenburg *et al.* 2010b, 2012), leading van Schouwenburg *et al.* (2012, 2014) to propose that frontal cortex, particularly DLPFC, controls striatal function through dense topographically organized projections, and that these projections are crucial to cognitive flexibility. Magnetoencephalographic studies of the parkinsonian brain strongly support the proposition that the BG are directly implicated in cognitive flexibility: as the disease progresses, the variety of large scale functional constellations contracts correspondingly, with the striatum, pallidum, and thalamus, all significantly affected (Sorrentino *et al.* 2019‡). And using fMRI, a correlation has been shown between cognitive flexibility and resting functional connectivity of hub areas with the BG (Vatansever *et al.* 2016). Convergence of broad PFC projections in the head of the primate caudate nucleus, and activation of this area in cognitive tasks irrespective of the implicated domains, suggest that the BG themselves contain hub areas that process information generically (Averbeck *et al.* 2014; Assem *et al.* 2019‡).

In adolescent development, the flexibility-related pathologies characteristic of OCD and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) are associated particularly

with deficient myelination of projections from PFC to striatum (Ziegler *et al.* 2019). It may be particularly relevant that in humans, but not in other species, frontal and striatal regions continue to develop well into adulthood (Sowell *et al.* 1999).

The decision to pursue curiosity, despite risks inherent to that pursuit, is particularly associated with striatal activation (Lau *et al.* 2018 ‡), implicating the anterior cingulate cortex, central dorsal striatum, anterior globus pallidus, and adjacent ventral pallidum (White *et al.* 2019 ‡). Similarly, the ventral striatum and pallidum are particularly activated when an individual perseveres in self-motivated attempts at extremely difficult tasks (Murayama *et al.* 2019‡). Multitasking, which entails both flexibility and perseverance, is most strongly associated with elevated information flow via the putamen to the inferior parietal sulcus and to a frontal area just anterior to the SMA; limits in the capacity of this path may underlie limits in the human capacity for multitasking (Garner *et al.* 2019‡; Garner and Dux 2015).

Even in the absence of PFC, the BG can maintain flexibility and curiosity. Lesion experiments in primates have demonstrated that flexible and contextually appropriate, “intellectual” behavior and curiosity are retained even in prefrontally decorticated animals, albeit with a surfeit of reactivity, provided the BG are preserved (Mettler 1945). This same series of experiments demonstrated that removal of striatal tissue produces hyperactivity and incuriosity, noting that “Animals lacking the striatum always display a certain fatuous, expressionless *facies* from which the eyes stare vacantly and with morbid intentness.” Subsequent bilateral pallidotomy in these animals produced hypokinesia eventually “indistinguishable from periods of sleep”

12. Basal Ganglia Involvement in Cognitive Dysfunction and Collapse

In this section:

- 12.1. Deficits associated with basal ganglia damage are as diverse and profound as those associated with cortical damage.
- 12.2. Lesions and inactivations of the BG and associated structures are associated with severe impairments of consciousness and cognitive integrity, and therapies that target the BG can restore coherent consciousness.
- 12.3. Schizophrenia involves significant disruption of frontostriatal connectivity and associated functionalities.
- 12.4. Disruption of working memory in schizophrenia resembles that associated with frontocortical lesions and Parkinson's disease.
- 12.5. Schizophrenia is characterized by multifarious abnormality of cortical physiology, particularly affecting connectivity hub areas, that may be the result of genetic factors implicating GABA signaling.
- 12.6. Schizophrenia may fundamentally be a dysfunction of subcortical synchronization mechanisms centered on the thalamus.
- 12.7. Madness and genius may both be manifestations of unusual BGMS.
- 12.8. A classic diagnostic test for schizophrenia may demonstrate behavioral correlates of dysfunctional BGMS.
- 12.9. Schizophrenia may be a condition of continual surprise.

12.1. Deficits associated with basal ganglia damage are as diverse and profound as those associated with cortical damage.

Evidence from the pathological brain supports the proposition that the BG are functionally coextensive with frontal cortex, implicating them extensively in action, awareness, and cognition. Throughout this paper I have noted implication of the BG system in schizophrenia, and related Parkinson's disease to various cognitive, perceptual, and behavioral syndromes. The BG have been implicated in GTS and OCD, as noted earlier (§7.10). Below, I briefly explore additional evidence of BG/frontal functional coextensiveness from clinical and lesion studies, and more thoroughly explore BG involvement in Sz.

12.2. Lesions and inactivations of the BG and associated structures are associated with severe impairments of consciousness and cognitive integrity, and therapies that target the BG can restore coherent consciousness.

Lesions of the BG in humans, particularly of the caudate portion of the striatum, lead to cognitive and behavioral deficits commonly associated with frontocortical lesions—frequently, abulia (loss of mental and motor initiative), disinhibition, memory dysfunction, and speech disturbances including, rarely, aphasia (Bhatia and Marsden 1994). Similar deficits occur with BG-recipient thalamic infarcts involving the MD and VA nuclei (Stuss *et al.* 1988) and intralaminar nuclei (Castaigne *et al.* 1981; Van der Werf *et al.* 1999), with intralaminar infarcts also

consistently associated with profound attention deficits. Accidental bilateral destruction of the GPi, incidental to treatment for Parkinson's disease, has resulted in akinetic mutism (Hassler 1982).

Severe disability following brain injuries is consistently associated with selective cell loss in central thalamic nuclei, and as noted earlier (§7.3), permanent vegetative state (PVS) is associated with loss spanning the rostrocaudal extent of the intralaminar nuclei and MD nucleus (Schiff 2010). PVS is invariably accompanied by diffuse subcortical white matter damage, and is usually accompanied by widespread or severe thalamic damage, but often presents with no apparent structural abnormalities in the cerebral cortex or brainstem (Adams *et al.* 2000).

PVS is also associated with significant impairment of backward connectivity from frontal to temporal cortex, relative to minimally conscious patients and normal controls (Boly *et al.* 2011), directly implicating the most densely BG-recipient areas and layers of cortex. Similarly, anesthesia-induced unconsciousness is associated with disruption of backward connectivity from frontal to parietal cortex (Ku *et al.* 2011) and, as noted earlier (§7.3), with inactivation of the intralaminar nuclei (Alkire *et al.* 2008). Moreover, artificial activation of the intralaminar thalamus can restore wakefulness, and restore fronto-parietal functional connectivity in forward and feedback directions (Redinbaugh *et al.* 2020). Evidence suggests that it is decoupling of modulatory feedback paths, disrupting the vertical integrator function of neocortical pyramidal neurons, that is the ultimate mechanism of a variety of anesthetics acting by a variety of proximal mechanisms (Suzuki and Larkum 2020).

In some patients exhibiting akinetic mutism and other severe deficits associated with the minimally conscious state, administration of the GABA_A agonist zolpidem has been found to reliably induce substantial but transient recovery, apparently by restoring normal function and oscillatory structure in frontal cortex, striatum, and thalamus (Brefel-Courbon *et al.* 2007; Schiff 2010). Similar transient recoveries in other patients exhibiting similar symptoms with BG involvement have been reported in response to administration of the DA agonist levodopa (McAuley *et al.* 1999; Berger and Vilensky 2014).

Perhaps the most remarkable discovery to emerge from various studies of the physiology of reduced or lost consciousness, is that the intralaminar thalamic nuclei, comprising a very small area indeed, are quite indispensable for consciousness (Bogen 1995; Baars 1995; Van der Werf *et al.* 2002). These are also the thalamic nuclei most intimate with the BG, bearing implications amply explored earlier (§7).

12.3. Schizophrenia involves significant disruption of frontostriatal connectivity and associated functionalities.

Many diseases are associated with corticostriatal abnormalities (Shepherd 2013), and Sz in particular has been proposed to be fundamentally a dysfunction of cortico-striatal loops, particularly implicating DLPFC and its striatal targets (Robbins 1990; Simpson *et al.* 2010). It is associated with significant anatomical attenuation of the DLPFC-VS projection, observed in both patients and their asymptomatic siblings (de Leeuw *et al.* 2015), simultaneous with abnormally elevated functional connectivity in the ventral frontostriatal system, and abnormally attenuated functional connectivity in dorsal frontostriatal systems, both of which are correlated with severity of symptoms, and are likewise apparent in both patients and their asymptomatic first-degree relatives (Fornito *et al.* 2013).

More generally, Sz is associated with deficient BG-mediated disengagement of the default mode network during directed task performance, simultaneous with striatal hyperactivity (Wang *et al.* 2015). Similarly, fMRI evidence demonstrates that Sz patients exhibit a characteristic pattern of significant differences in dynamical functional connectivity responses to sensory stimuli, with greater than normal connectivity established for some long range pairs, and less than normal for others (Sakoğlu *et al.* 2010). Consistent with those results, EEG evidence suggests perceptual deficiencies in Sz follow in part from dysfunction of top-down attention mechanisms, entailing abnormally low attention-related sensory gain, while bottom-up sensory processing is spared (Berkovitch *et al.* 2018).

12.4. Disruption of working memory in schizophrenia resembles that associated with frontocortical lesions and Parkinson's disease.

Comparisons of spatial WM task performance by patients with frontocortical lesions, PD, and Sz, reveal related and often severe deficits (Pantelis *et al.* 1997). Sz patients show particularly severe deficits in set-shifting (Jazbec *et al.* 2007), and significantly attenuated WM capacity (Silver *et al.* 2003). If, as discussed earlier (§11.7), WM items are delimited by finely graded phase distinctions (Siegel *et al.* 2009), then the narrowness and accuracy of temporal discrimination imposes a limit on addressable item capacity. In Sz this selectivity is reduced by dysfunction of GABA-dependent cortical coincidence window mechanisms (Lewis *et al.* 2005; Gonzalez-Burgos *et al.* 2015), affecting the dynamics of corticocortical, BGMS, and non-BG-recipient transthalamic paths in similar measure. These deficient dynamics may lead to the localized structural deterioration characteristic of Sz: PFC and the thalamic mediodorsal nucleus are integral to WM (Bolkan *et al.* 2017; Schmitt *et al.* 2017), and atrophy of the circuitry linking these areas is significant in Sz (Giraldo-Chica *et al.* 2018).

12.5. Schizophrenia is characterized by multifarious abnormality of cortical physiology, particularly affecting connectivity hub areas, that may be the result of genetic factors implicating GABA signaling.

Sz is associated with pervasive and progressive compromise of cerebral white matter integrity (Lim *et al.* 1999; Mori *et al.* 2007), decreased dendritic spine density (Glantz and Lewis 2000; Elston 2000), and pyramidal cell body atrophy (Rajkowska *et al.* 1998), particularly impacting long range links associated with connectivity hub areas of cortex (van den Heuvel *et al.* 2013; Collin *et al.* 2014; Crossley *et al.* 2014), which have been shown by mathematical argument to be particularly fragile (Gollo *et al.* 2018). Correspondingly, Sz is characterized by functional hypoconnectivity in the associative networks that implicate hub areas, simultaneous with functional hyperconnectivity in sensorimotor networks (Ji *et al.* 2019; Giraldo-Chica *et al.* 2018).

While abnormal hub area anatomical connectivity is most pronounced in individuals affected directly by the disease, the unaffected siblings of Sz patients also show significant attenuation of these links, relative to normal controls, even while connectivity in non-hub areas is unaffected in siblings, and is not significantly affected in Sz (Collin *et al.* 2014; de Leeuw *et al.* 2015). These patterns imply a large genetic component to the disease, and an etiology that implicates mechanisms of connectivity that are specific to hub areas, which as noted earlier

(§11.3) include areas that are particularly dense targets of BG output.

Indeed, hereditary vulnerability to Sz may be a general hallmark of *Homo sapiens* distinguishing the species from other vertebrate taxa: many long range structural connections unique to the human cerebrum are also among those most affected by Sz, and may evidence a differentiating optimization for integrative long range connectivity via cortical hubs, resulting in a unique vulnerability to Sz, and also to other uniquely human pathologies such as autism, bipolar disorder, and Alzheimer's disease (van den Heuvel *et al.* 2019; Crossley *et al.* 2014).

Cerebral disintegration in Sz may be rooted in GABAergic dysfunction, and consequent pervasive oscillatory deficits (Lewis *et al.* 2005; Ferrarelli and Tononi 2011; Gonzalez-Burgos *et al.* 2015; Uhlhaas and Singer 2010; Marissal *et al.* 2018). A recent genome-wide association study (GWAS) suggests that executive function, which as noted above is particularly compromised in Sz, is particularly associated with genes expressed in GABA pathways (Hatoum *et al.* 2019 ‡). GABA dysfunction can disrupt the synchronies to which the cortex and striatum respond, the mechanisms whereby the BG effect selections and modulate spike timing in their targets, and the time alignments between corticocortical and trans-thalamic spike volleys that are necessary for BGMS and other subcortically mediated synchronization mechanisms. Moreover, corticostriatal projections to striosomal SPNs, as to matriceal SPNs, synapse sparsely, with high thresholds for discharge, resulting in similar sensitivity to input synchronies (Kincaid *et al.* 1998; Zheng and Wilson 2002). As noted earlier (§11.5), striosomes and PFC are arranged in recurrent dopaminergic loops. Thus synchronal abnormalities in inputs to striatum likely produce dopaminergic dysregulation, and associated dynamical dysfunction and pathological expressions of plasticity, constituting a key mechanism for pathological progression.

Abnormal neural noise in Sz, which is a particularly strong marker for the condition, might also be rooted in abnormally elevated inhibitory conductances, themselves an adaptation to the inhibitory (GABAergic) interneuron degeneration characteristic of the condition (Peterson *et al.* 2017‡).

While therapies targeting GABA have thus far produced modest and mixed results, continued development may lead to effective prophylactic and genuinely curative drug treatments, with the potential to alleviate the negative and cognitive symptoms that have heretofore robustly resisted treatment (Carpenter *et al.* 1999; Gonzalez-Burgos *et al.* 2015; Keefe *et al.* 2007).

12.6. Schizophrenia may fundamentally be a dysfunction of subcortical synchronization mechanisms centered on the thalamus.

The etiology of Sz, and even the epoch of its emergence as a disease in *Homo sapiens*, are notoriously obscure and controversial (Tandon *et al.* 2008). Sz patients exhibit a variety of seemingly contradictory symptoms, classified generally as positive, negative, and cognitive, with each subject exhibiting an idiosyncratic syndrome (Kay *et al.* 1987; Simpson *et al.* 2010). The explanation for this variety and obscurity is readily apparent, if the irreducible etiology of Sz is dysfunction of highly distributed and heterogeneous synchronization mechanisms centered on the thalamus—particularly BGMS, but also, largely analogous mechanisms implicating the cerebellum and hippocampus, described later (§13). A particular initiating syndrome within a particular mechanism or component thereof would likely result in Sz with a distinct symptomatology, but the cascading effects of the initiating syndrome disrupt the large scale dynamics of the brain in fundamentally similar ways, allowing etiologically distinct syndromes to be meaningfully grouped together under the rubric of “schizophrenias”.

Whatever its root causes, schizophrenia implicates most components of the BGMS system. Prominent are syndromes of the PFC, striatum, frontostriatal connectivity, and DA signaling, as noted above, and of the intralaminar nuclei and their connections to PFC, cortical FSI function, the TRN, GABA signaling generally, the PPN and LDT, the cholinergic system generally, and the 5-HT system, noted earlier. Also implicated are left-lateralized GP hyperactivity (Early *et al.* 1987), cytological and neurochemical anomalies in the BG-recipient and associative thalamus more broadly (Cronenwett and Csernansky 2010), aberrant functional connectivity of thalamus with cortex generally (Cheng *et al.* 2015), and abnormalities in the gross anatomy of the basal ganglia (Mamah *et al.* 2007). If any of these components is disrupted, the capacity for BGMS to appropriately establish and dissolve effective connections in cortex, and regulate the dynamics of existing connections, is disrupted in some fashion.

12.7. Madness and genius may both be manifestations of unusual BGMS.

It is an old saw that madness and genius have much in common, even while evidence consistently demonstrates an inverse relationship between measures of intelligence and measures of psychopathology such as schizotypy (DeYoung *et al.* 2012). The “Openness/Intellect” trait in the “Big Five” personality model, and the concept of apophenia (the perception of patterns or connections where

none exist), suggest a resolution of this paradox: schizotypy and genius both entail the perception of patterns and connections that are unusual and unfamiliar to others, the former as apophenia (confusion about reality), the latter as penetrating insight into reality (DeYoung *et al.* 2012; DeYoung 2015).

The Openness/Intellect trait is founded in cognitive exploration (DeYoung 2015), which is particularly associated with striatal activation (Lau *et al.* 2018‡) and BG networks (White *et al.* 2019‡). Generally, as noted earlier (§11.9), the striatum and its links with hub areas, particularly in frontal cortex, are integral to cognitive flexibility (Leber *et al.* 2008; van Schouwenburg *et al.* 2014; Vatansever *et al.* 2016; Mettler 1945).

Frontal cortex and striatum, according to genome-wide association study results, are particularly implicated in the expression of extremely high IQ (Coleman *et al.* 2019), and as reviewed above (§12.3), Sz is marked by extensive disruption of the functional relationship and structural connectivity between frontal cortex and striatum, with a strong hereditary component.

While Parkinson's disease is marked by a graded contraction of cognitive flexibility (Sorrentino *et al.* 2019‡), it frequently involves complex and extended visual hallucinations marked by mind-wandering (a mental state in which thoughts are unguided and unconstrained), and this mind-wandering is associated with pathological coupling of hub areas with visual areas of cortex (Walpola *et al.* 2020). Psychosis in PD often involves pareidolia, a type of apophenia in which meaningless stimuli, such as clouds, are falsely recognized as meaningful patterns, such as cats; as PD progresses, these mild symptoms may grow in severity, culminating in psychotic delusions (ffytche *et al.* 2017).

In the BGMS model, the striatum is the crux of flexible functional connectivity decisions. Given continuous semantic spaces in neocortex (Rao *et al.* 1999; Huth *et al.* 2012; Simmons and Barsalou 2003; Rajalingham and DiCarlo 2019; Lettieri *et al.* 2019; Zhang *et al.* 2019a ‡), this implicates the striatum directly and uniquely in the formation of unusual connections characteristic of apophenia (as in Sz and PD) and penetrating insight (as in genius). This also suggests a dichotomy that is perhaps surprising, between intelligence and genius, with conventional measures of the former less responsive to distinctions in BG function, and the latter more closely associated with BG function, and less captured by conventional measures of intelligence.

Brains may intrinsically be subject to a tradeoff between propensity for creativity and madness, on the one hand, and stolid stability, on the other. When cortical representations minimize distance in semantic space between alternatives, creativity might be heightened, because perturbative exploration then more readily covers the semantic space. Perturbative exploration is largely

grounded in the conjunction of homeostatic criticality (Haider *et al.* 2006; Ma *et al.* 2019; Ahmadian and Miller 2019 ‡), which inherently positions a universe of alternatives adjacently in phase space, and neural noise, which in itself is thought to be an indispensable ingredient in the creative exploration of semantic space (Palmer and O'Shea 2015). Indeed, evidence suggests a direct correlation between the critical regime and fluid intelligence (Ezaki *et al.* 2020). However, with smaller distances between alternatives, and greater noise, there is a greater burden on regulatory and coordinative systems, both those intrinsic to cortex, and subcortical systems centered on the thalamus, particularly the BG and cerebellum. When these systems function deficiently, madness may result.

In Sz, spike coincidence windows are pathologically enlarged (Lewis *et al.* 2005; Gonzalez-Burgos *et al.* 2015), resulting in relatively indiscriminate signal gating, while noise is pathologically elevated (Winterer and Weinberger 2003; Peterson *et al.* 2017‡), presumptively causing and/or evidencing representational instability. These conditions plausibly push regulatory and coordinative systems to the breaking point, and because they are arranged in closed loops with cortex, the breakage feeds back on itself. Through plasticity mechanisms, the resulting confusion is likely to be progressively embodied in the physiology underpinning semantic maps and relations, as reviewed above (§12.5).

In principle, the brain could guard against this cascade of confusion by systematically increasing the semantic spatial distance between alternatives, for example by regulating cortical microcircuits to be subcritical, effectively reducing neural noise, at the cost of a proportional reduction in creativity and flexibility. Indeed, in the normal brain, sleep deprivation is accompanied by compensatory regulatory departure from criticality (Meisel *et al.* 2017), and graded cognitive deficits (Banks and Dinges 2007).

Clearly, in Sz, regulatory compensation fails; analysis of network dynamics suggests that in Sz, top-down control of cognition entails higher than normal energy expenditure (is pathologically effortful), while small perturbations have an unusually large impact on network state (signifying pathological instability) (Braun *et al.* 2019‡). That Sz is still somewhat common in *Homo sapiens* (roughly 4.5 per 1000 (Tandon *et al.* 2008)), despite its devastating symptoms, attests to the irreducible evolutionary advantages of homeostatic criticality and endemic noise, and the creativity and flexibility they engender.

12.8. A classic diagnostic test for schizophrenia may demonstrate behavioral correlates of dysfunctional BGMS.

Proverb comprehension was the basis of some early

diagnostic tests for Sz, and while in clinical practice these tests have proved unreliable, a more recent study demonstrated a strong correlation between performance on a proverb comprehension task and performance on a theory of mind task, and much better performance on the proverb comprehension task among normal controls than among Sz patients (Brüne and Bodenstein 2005). Proverbs are metaphors, and the successful comprehension of a metaphor entails the recognition of certain abstract semantic relations, simultaneous with the suppression of other, concrete, semantic relations. If these semantic relations are realized physiologically as long range effective connections, then impairment of the supervisory control of effective connectivity would manifest as impaired comprehension of metaphors.

12.9. Schizophrenia may be a condition of continual surprise.

The impression that emerges from the various behavioral and physiological anomalies characteristic of Sz, is of a brain that is continually and indiscriminately surprised. Percepts that are normally anticipated or familiar, and ideas that are normally dismissed as absurd, are not appropriately neglected, but instead are given spurious salience, with associated hyperdopaminergia (Kapur 2003; Bromberg-Martin *et al.* 2010). Subjective duration, causality,

sequentiality, and simultaneity, are abnormal and distorted (Martin *et al.* 2013; Schmidt *et al.* 2011; Ciullo *et al.* 2016).

These phenomena can all follow from deficiencies in the mechanisms of representation, and these deficiencies plausibly follow from dysfunction in spike-timing-dependent gain mechanisms, particularly implicating GABA. Representational deficiencies readily lead to senseless surprise and ideation, and associated maladaptive attentional focus and expressions of plasticity. With expectations and impressions that are fundamentally untrustworthy (cognitive symptoms), paranoia and bizarre behavior (positive symptoms) and indiscriminate withdrawal (negative symptoms) naturally follow.

By this narrative, treatment that restores the trustworthiness of expectations and impressions, producing remission of cognitive symptoms, will naturally lead to remission of positive and negative symptoms. And indeed, just these sorts of results are apparent in experimental non-pharmacological therapies, consisting only of working memory exercises: cognitive and negative symptoms show significant and sustained improvement (Ramsay *et al.* 2017; Cella *et al.* 2017), and perhaps most remarkably, there is evidence that these exercises restore some of the functional connectivity between thalamus and PFC that is characteristically lost in Sz (Ramsay *et al.* 2017).

13. Comparisons to Parallel and Related Systems

In this section:

- 13.1. [The Cerebellum](#)
- 13.2. [The Hippocampal System](#)
- 13.3. [The Zona Incerta](#)
- 13.4. [The Claustrum](#)

13.1. The Cerebellum

In this subsection:

- 13.1.1. [The cerebellum is ancient, structurally regular, and is central to predictive forward motor control.](#)
- 13.1.2. [The cerebellum is integrated with neocortical and basal ganglia circuitry, with a similarly broad functional domain.](#)
- 13.1.3. [The cerebellum learns to predict the veridical consequences of motor output, and by those predictions, learns to adjust motor output to more precisely match context and intent.](#)
- 13.1.4. [Integration of the cerebellum into thalamocortical circuitry reflects its function, with a combination of topographic precision and convergence-divergence, and differs in key respects with basal ganglia integration.](#)
- 13.1.5. [The structural attributes of the cerebellum suggest a restricted role in cognition.](#)
- 13.1.6. [The cerebellum and basal ganglia share key physiological and hodological arrangements.](#)
- 13.1.7. [The cerebellum is positioned to activate and reinforce contextually appropriate large scale networks in the forebrain.](#)

13.1.1. The cerebellum is ancient, structurally regular, and is central to predictive forward motor control.

The cerebellum is an ancient structure, present at the base of vertebrate phylogeny (Bell 2002), and its pervasive involvement in precision motor learning and sensory-motor coordination was established generations ago (Ito 2002). The cerebellum learns associatively, and in particular, learns predictive relations underlying forward control in stimulus-response behaviors (Giovannucci *et al.* 2017) and dynamic proprioception (Weeks *et al.* 2017). The extreme

regularity of its physiology, and an absence of intrinsic excitatory feedback paths, have long inspired mechanistic, computational models of its function (Heck 2016; Cheron *et al.* 2016).

13.1.2. The cerebellum is integrated with neocortical and basal ganglia circuitry, with a similarly broad functional domain.

Across a wide variety of mammalian taxa, there is a consistent ratio of 3-4 cerebellar neurons for each neocortical neuron, suggesting a close relationship between these structures (Herculano-Houzel 2010). The cerebellum is reciprocally linked with cerebral cortex and the BG (Bostan and Strick 2010; Bostan *et al.* 2013; Bostan and Strick 2018; Milardi *et al.* 2016), and its closed loops with cortex (via the thalamus) resemble those of the BG (Schmahmann and Pandya 1997; Strick *et al.* 2009; Glickstein *et al.* 1985). Oscillatory synchronies between the cerebellum and the cerebrum are recognized and proposed to be functionally significant (Courtemanche *et al.* 2013; Courtemanche and Lamarre 2005; Cheron *et al.* 2016).

Like the BG, the cerebellum exhibits fractured and repeated somatotopy and modular divergence-convergence (Manni and Petrosini 2004; Apps and Garwicz 2000; Flaherty and Graybiel 1994), with inputs from widely distributed neocortical areas converging in various combinations (Brodal and Bjaalie 1997; Kincaid *et al.* 1998).

The cerebellum seems to be functionally nearly coterminous with the BG, including extensive and varied cognitive and other non-motor roles (Strick *et al.* 2009; Schmahmann and Pandya 1997) and generic (“multi-demand”) roles (Assem *et al.* 2019 ‡). Roles have been identified for the cerebellum in fear memory formation and expression (Frontera *et al.* 2020 ‡), rhythmic perception (Kameda *et al.* 2019), and spatial attention (Craig *et al.* 2019 ‡) and inattention, including inattention to self-generated percepts (Kilteni and Ehrsson 2020), a role suggested earlier (§10.6) for the BG.

13.1.3. The cerebellum learns to predict the veridical consequences of motor output, and by those predictions, learns to adjust motor output to more precisely match context and intent.

Learning to remove predictable features from sensory inflow is thought to be a fixture of cerebellar function throughout vertebrate phylogeny (Bell 2002; Ito 2001). While dopamine fluctuations signal prediction errors and induce plastic adaptations in the BG and wider forebrain (Schultz *et al.* 1997; Sharpe *et al.* 2017), in the cerebellum, powerful and highly specific inputs from the inferior olivary nuclei of the brainstem constitute prediction error signals; these signals induce plastic adaptations, if they are not dynamically inhibited by accurate predictions relayed from the Purkinje projection cells to the olivary nuclei via the deep cerebellar nuclei (Schweighofer *et al.* 2013; Ito 2001). These plastic adaptations, centered in the cerebellar cortex, result in the generation of refined motor output (Ito 2002; Giovannucci *et al.* 2017).

13.1.4. Integration of the cerebellum into thalamocortical circuitry reflects its function, with a combination of topographic precision and convergence-divergence, and differs in key respects with basal ganglia integration.

Each olivocerebellar axon branches to form about 7 “climbing fibers” in the cerebellum (Fujita and Sugihara 2013), each of which strongly and repeatedly apposes a single Purkinje cell with a 1:1 ratio (Reeber *et al.* 2013). The olivocerebellar system exhibits exquisitely precise topographic relations (Reeber *et al.* 2013), and its inputs span the central nervous system, from the lumbar spine, through various nuclei of the brainstem, the deep cerebellar nuclei, and superior colliculus, to layer 5 of the frontal and parietal neocortex, but notably exclude occipital and temporal cortex, the thalamus, and the BG in their entirety with the sole inclusion of the ventral tegmental area (Swenson and Castro 1983a, 1983b).

The pontocerebellar mossy fiber system, constituting the sole extrinsic input to the granule cells of the cerebellar cortex, relays neocortical input from frontal motor and eye field areas, parietal including posterior areas, the entire cingulate gyrus, and extra-striate occipital visual areas, with minor inputs from polysensory and auditory association areas in temporal cortex, the parahippocampal gyrus, and dorsolateral and some medial PFC; the corticopontine projection is topographically precise, while the pontocerebellar projection is convergent-divergent, providing opportunities for integration (Brodal and Bjaalie 1997; Glickstein *et al.* 1985; Schmahmann and Pandya 1997).

fMRI, electrophysiological, and tracer/degeneration studies demonstrate that cerebellar output targets the motor, association, sensory, and intralaminar nuclei of the thalamus, and the superior colliculus, and through them, widely distributed areas within motor, sensory, and association cortex, including skeletomotor, oculomotor, prefrontal, somatosensory, parietal, insular, temporal including primary auditory, and occipital including primary visual, with extremely high temporal fidelity (Schmahmann and Pandya 1997; Sultan *et al.* 2012; Strick *et al.* 2009; Kalil 1981; Katoh *et al.* 2000). This expansive, temporally precise, largely reciprocal influence of the cerebellum on motor, sensory, and association cortex is consistent with a central role in forward modeling of the anticipated sensory correlates of actions (Sultan *et al.* 2012; Blakemore *et al.* 1998; Lindner *et al.* 2006; Synofzik *et al.* 2008).

However, as noted earlier (§6.3), integration of the cerebellum into neocortical circuitry systematically differs from the arrangements that characterize the BG. Movement can be evoked by electrical stimulation of zones in the motor thalamus receiving input from the cerebellum (Vitek *et al.* 1996; Buford *et al.* 1996). Cerebellum-recipient neurons are consistently within the parvalbumin-positive “core” population, associated with specific and narrowly circumscribed topographic projections (Jones 2001; Kuramoto *et al.* 2009). And projections from these areas terminate in L2-L5, including L4 proper (Kuramoto *et al.* 2009; García-Cabezas and Barbas 2014; Clascá *et al.* 2012). Thus, as discussed earlier (§6.2), the laminar targets of paths through the cerebellum resemble corticocortical feedforward paths; in contrast, BG paths resemble corticocortical feedback paths.

13.1.5. The structural attributes of the cerebellum suggest a restricted role in cognition.

Underscoring its roles in perception and cognition, the cerebellum has been implicated in schizophrenia (Andreasen *et al.* 1998; Andreasen and Pierson 2008; Forlim *et al.* 2018‡).

However, the cerebellum is not necessary for coherent thought and behavior—these are preserved with manageable and finite deficits even in cases of complete cerebellar agenesis (Yu *et al.* 2015). In primates, the white to gray matter ratio is lower in cerebellum than in neocortex (in chimpanzee, 0.24 and 0.64 respectively), and across mammalian taxa the scaling exponent of that ratio is significantly lower in cerebellum than in neocortex (1.13 and 1.28 respectively) (Bush and Allman 2003). While roughly 80% of projection fibers in neocortex have terminals elsewhere in neocortex, the cerebellar cortex does not form links with itself (Heck and Sultan 2002). The main projection of the cerebellar cortex to the deep cerebellar nuclei is not directly reciprocated—indeed,

cerebellar cortex forms no excitatory closed loops with the deep cerebellar nuclei or otherwise (Cheron *et al.* 2016). These features suggest that the cerebellum intrinsically performs little or none of the intrinsically recurrent and self-associative processing characteristic of the corticothalamic system in particular and the forebrain in general. Unusually low variability of the dynamic functional connectivity of cerebellar loci, and uniquely high similarity of structural to functional connectivity in the posterior cerebellum (Fernandez-Iriondo *et al.* 2020‡), suggest a prevalence of relatively narrow, precise functions for cerebellar modules, with little dynamic flexibility.

13.1.6. *The cerebellum and basal ganglia share key physiological and hodological arrangements.*

Nonetheless, that the cerebellum and BG have similar topological relationships to cortex suggests that they may affect cortical activity by similar mechanisms. The physiological minutiae of the cerebellum bear a striking resemblance to key aspects of BG physiology and function:

The GABAergic Purkinje cells of the cerebellar cortex have high intrinsic firing rates of up to 90 Hz, and they modestly converge (~50:1) on projection neurons in the deep cerebellar nuclei, which are accelerated and entrained when that input is synchronous (Heck *et al.* 2013). The deep cerebellar nuclei receive collaterals of the excitatory mossy fibers that innervate the granule cells (Shinoda *et al.* 1992), and precisely follow stimuli to frequencies above 600 Hz, with little fatigue (Sultan *et al.* 2012), in an arrangement similar to that of the projection cells in intralaminar thalamic nuclei, reviewed earlier (§7.5).

Each Purkinje cell's flat fan-like dendritic tree receives excitatory inputs from ~175,000 weak appositions by thin, unmyelinated, slow-conducting (~0.5 m/s) “parallel fibers” traveling perpendicular to the Purkinje dendritic fans (Heck and Sultan 2002). In humans, the granule cells that originate these parallel fibers number about 10^{11} (Andersen *et al.* 1992), which comprises the vast majority of neurons in the brain as a whole (Azevedo *et al.* 2009). In mouse, each mossy fiber ends in roughly 150 small “rosettes”, each of which apposes roughly 21 granule cells, so that each input fiber to the cerebellum is distributed to the cerebellar cortex through over 1000 parallel fibers (Sultan and Heck 2003), vastly expanding the dimensionality of the input pattern representation. The astronomically large population of granule cells, and the associated divergence-convergence of cerebellar circuitry, suggest enormous combinatorial power (Marr 1969; Sultan and Heck 2003).

Purkinje cells, like striatal SPNs, respond only to synchronized input (Sultan and Heck 2003), and have “Up” and “Down” states, with transitions triggered by impulsive input currents (Loewenstein *et al.* 2005). Similar to the

slow and varied corticostriatal fiber population, and with a similar range of conduction delays, parallel fibers can function to align a constellation of non-coincident afferent spike volleys originating in diverse locations, so that their arrival at a Purkinje cell is precisely coincident, evoking a response; other Purkinje cells, at which these inputs are not coincident, are unresponsive (Braitenberg *et al.* 1997; Braitenberg 1961; Heck 1993, 1995; Heck *et al.* 2001; Heck and Sultan 2002; Sultan and Heck 2003). Braitenberg *et al.* (1997), noted earlier (§1.9), term this the “tidal wave” mechanism.

Similar to the BG, and with important implications discussed earlier (§7) regarding BG circuitry, the cerebellum targets both superficial and deep neocortical layers, via separate deep cerebellar nuclei; in particular, the fastigial nucleus targets superficial layers as part of a putative diffuse activating system (Steriade 1995).

13.1.7. *The cerebellum is positioned to activate and reinforce contextually appropriate large scale networks in the forebrain.*

These arrangements suggest that the cerebellum may affect cortical activity much as the BG do in BGMS. Indeed, this proposal has been previously suggested (Courtemanche *et al.* 2013), is implied by evidence of task-specific preparatory and reactive oscillatory synchronies between functionally related cerebellar and neocortical areas (Courtemanche and Lamarre 2005), and is strongly suggested by evidence that the cerebellum is necessary for normal activity-related gamma synchrony between sensory and motor cortex (Popa *et al.* 2013). It was recently reported that Purkinje cells explicitly represent the oscillatory phase difference between medial PFC and hippocampus, crucially implicating conduction delays in cerebellar parallel fibers (McAfee *et al.* 2019), and indeed evidence directly supports the proposition that the cerebellum modulates gamma coherence between these two cortical areas (Liu *et al.* 2020‡).

As discussed earlier (§3.2) regarding the BG, and evidently with equal relevance to the cerebellum, recurrent paths with variable delays allow for selective reinforcement of particular oscillatory frequencies. Because each large scale functional constellation exhibits a characteristic profile of dominant frequencies (Keitel and Gross 2016; Becker and Hervais-Adelman 2019 ‡), this also suggests that the cerebellum, like the BG, can dynamically activate and stabilize specific large scale networks appropriate to context. Indeed, transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) of the cerebellum at theta and beta frequencies has been shown to facilitate performance of tasks whose functional networks are known to involve oscillations at frequencies that match the TMS, with double dissociation of the effects (Dave *et al.* 2020‡). Remarkably, individual Purkinje cells can learn to respond to temporally

simple inputs with delayed, temporally complex, multiphasic outputs (Johansson *et al.* 2014; Majoral *et al.* 2020), which—like intrinsic oscillation in the BG—might allow the cerebellum to generate contextually appropriate oscillatory output even in the absence of oscillatory input.

13.2. The Hippocampal System

In this subsection:

- 13.2.1. The hippocampal system underlies the formation of episodic memories.
- 13.2.2. Hippocampal lesions compromise the formation of episodic memories, while sparing other mental faculties.
- 13.2.3. The hippocampal system is organized around oscillations.
- 13.2.4. The hippocampal system is largely arranged parallel to the BG system, with notable similarities and distinctions.
- 13.2.5. The hippocampal system and PFC have crucial roles in the assignation of saliency.
- 13.2.6. The basal ganglia might control effective connection of cortical areas that are chiefly connected through the hippocampal system.

13.2.1. The hippocampal system underlies the formation of episodic memories.

The hippocampal system is thought to function as a persistent associative memory repository of first resort, capturing patterns of cortical network activation representing significant associations as they occur, in close coordination with prefrontal cortex (Eichenbaum and Cohen 2014; Battaglia *et al.* 2011; Rolls 2010; Squire *et al.* 2004; Damasio 1989; Meyer and Damasio 2009). Subsequent to initial encoded storage in the hippocampal formation, these associations are for a limited time available for retrieval (reactivation of the original cortical pattern), both to contribute to mental activity during wakefulness when relevant, and for migration to less labile (and more capacious) areas outside the hippocampus (Frankland and Bontempi 2005; Folkerts *et al.* 2018). This process of migration is believed to occur mostly or entirely during sleep (Wilson and McNaughton 1994; Battaglia *et al.* 2011; Rasch and Born 2013; Schapiro *et al.* 2019).

The special facilities of the hippocampal formation follow in part from its unique plasticity (Martin and Morris 2002; Deng *et al.* 2010; Snyder *et al.* 2005; Shors *et al.* 2001; Cameron and McKay 2001; Hastings and Gould 1999) and its exceptional capacity for long-range functional connectedness (Lavenex and Amaral 2000; Mišić *et al.* 2014; Grandjean *et al.* 2017).

Note that, in this brief treatment, the term “hippocampal formation” refers to the collection of medial temporal lobe areas that are functionally and spatially contiguous with the hippocampus proper, namely the dentate gyrus, hippocampus, subiculum, presubiculum, parasubiculum, and entorhinal, perirhinal, and parahippocampal cortices (Lavenex and Amaral 2000). The “hippocampal system” comprises the hippocampal formation, the thalamic midline and anterior nuclear groups, the mammillary bodies, the septal nuclei and diagonal band of Broca, the circuitry interconnecting these loci, particularly the fornix, and the connections of these areas to the rest of the brain.

13.2.2. Hippocampal lesions compromise the formation of episodic memories, while sparing other mental faculties.

Bilateral lesions destroying or disabling the hippocampal formation are associated with severe anterograde amnesia and graded retrograde amnesia, but spare intellectual, attentional, and most working memory capacities, motor skill learning, and semantic and other non-episodic memory, and are not associated with any apparent progressive deterioration, neither of the initially unaffected mental faculties, nor of brain physiology outside that directly affected by the initial lesions (Schmolck *et al.* 2002; Corkin 2002; Annese *et al.* 2014; but see Schapiro *et al.* 2019). This pattern of deficits shows that the function of the hippocampal formation is highly specialized. Moreover, that function is not contingent on conscious engagement (Henke 2010).

Yet memory processing by the hippocampal formation entails sensitivity to and activation of widely distributed networks, close integration with PFC, profuse projections to the ventral BG (Brog *et al.* 1993), profuse innervation by midbrain DA centers (Gasbarri *et al.* 1996), and consolidation processes implicating widely synchronized thalamocortical signaling, all of which it shares with the highly generalized BGMS system described here. Moreover, long-term memory deficits in general, and hippocampal system dysfunction in particular, have been multifariously implicated in Sz as vulnerability indicators and primary symptoms (Holthausen *et al.* 2003; Harrison 2004; Seidman *et al.* 2003; Sigurdsson *et al.* 2010). Thus, though the functional role of the hippocampal system is circumscribed, many of its operating principles and physiological underpinnings are shared with the BG-thalamocortical system.

13.2.3. The hippocampal system is organized around oscillations.

Oscillatory activity, and sensitivity to phase, have been amply demonstrated in the hippocampal system and in long

term memory processing (Fell and Axmacher 2011; Colgin 2011; Tort *et al.* 2008; Fernandez *et al.* 2013). Information flow in the hippocampal system is systematically organized around theta oscillation, with encoding of new incoming information at antiphase with retrieval of past information (Siegle and Wilson 2014; Hasselmo *et al.* 2002; Wilson *et al.* 2015). Rhythmic coordination of hippocampus and striatum has been demonstrated during learning (DeCoteau *et al.* 2007), and hippocampus and PFC exhibit increasingly synchronized oscillation as rules are acquired in a task framework, with peak coherence at the moment of decision; during subsequent sleep, hippocampal cell assemblies that participated in the coherent oscillation during performance are preferentially replayed (Benchenane *et al.* 2010).

13.2.4. *The hippocampal system is largely arranged parallel to the BG system, with notable similarities and distinctions.*

It seems plausible, even likely, that reactivation of connectivity patterns by the hippocampal system entails a BGMS-related mechanism dependent on relays through the thalamus, particularly implicating the midline and anterior nuclear groups. Components of the hippocampal system project to all of the midline nuclei, which in turn project to superficial and deep layers of most cortical areas, and to the ventral striatum (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002). Notably, BG direct path and hippocampal system inputs are mutually exclusive in the midline and intralaminar nuclei, each nucleus innervated by one or the other, but not both (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002). The midline nuclei, like the intralaminals, are well-positioned to control cortical synchronies and associated effective connectivity (Saalman 2014).

The anterior nuclear group is densely and reciprocally linked with the hippocampal formation, and projects extensively to neocortex, particularly to secondary motor, prefrontal, cingulate, retrosplenial, and some visual and temporal areas, but does not project to the BG (Jankowski *et al.* 2013), though many of these cortical targets are also targeted by BG-recipient thalamus.

While inputs to the BG-recipient thalamus arise from the entire cortex, cortical inputs to the midline and anterior nuclei are highly restricted, confined almost entirely to the hippocampal system, despite projections from these nuclei encompassing nearly the entire cortex (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002; Jankowski *et al.* 2013). Thus, whereas BGMS is proposed to attend the control of arbitrary corticocortical connectivity, necessitating elaborate selection by the BG, involvement by the hippocampal system in the initial reactivation of a memory might entail only signals from the hippocampal formation to neocortex, corticocortically and via transthalamic paths through the midline and anterior nuclei.

Direct projections from the hippocampal formation to neocortex also parallel those of BG-recipient thalamus: evidence from genetically manipulated mice suggests that perirhinal projections to neocortical layer 1 gate the formation of memories, with a crucial role for selectively facilitated bursting of L5 pyramidal neurons, both in the formation and the retrieval of behavioral memories (Doron *et al.* 2019 ‡), much as projections from BG-recipient thalamus to superficial cortex influence the formation and persistence of functional connections, as discussed earlier (§6.5).

The central role of theta oscillation in hippocampal system dynamics might in part reflect a relative inflexibility of trans-hippocampal path delays, so that adequate time alignment can only be attained at lower working frequencies. This implies that the terminal patterns of hippocampal system projections to neocortex disfavor the interneurons that realize narrow coincidence windows there, though this is yet to be demonstrated empirically.

The obvious suggestion is that the midline nuclei and anterior nuclear group function within the hippocampal system the way the intralaminar nuclei and MD, VA, VL, and VM nuclei function within the system described by the BGMS model, with both systems operating chiefly by the spike-timing-dependent mechanisms endemic to the cerebral cortex, thalamus, and striatum. The PFC and ventral striatum, jointly targeted by the hippocampal formation and by thalamic and other nuclei in both systems, are then positioned to coordinate activity in these two vast and largely separate systems, particularly by incorporating motivation and behavioral relevance into the control of memory formation and activation. BG influence on hippocampal system activity is implied by projections from the BG-recipient PC and PF nuclei to perirhinal, entorhinal, prelimbic, and parahippocampal cortices (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002). Additionally, the paraventricular and reuniens nuclei of the midline group receive dense projections from midbrain DA centers (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002), whereby the BG presumptively align memory dynamics with motivational context, while the central medial nucleus of the intralaminar group receives hippocampal system inputs (uniquely among nuclei classified as “intralaminar”), and projects densely to the dorsal striatum (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002), suggesting episodic memory contextualization of dorsal BG inputs.

A notable architectural distinction between these two systems is that hippocampal formation input to thalamus is excitatory, like neocortical and cerebellar inputs to thalamus, whereas BG input is GABAergic. Thus the selection and timing of signals to be dispersed by the midline and anterior nuclei is presumptively determined before those signals arrive in thalamus, consistent with the much narrower collection of inputs compared to that of BG-recipient thalamus. However, TRN inputs to these

nuclei (Jankowski *et al.* 2013; Kolmac and Mitrofanis 1997; Van der Werf *et al.* 2002), and pallidal collateral inputs to the anterior nuclear group (Parent *et al.* 2001) provide paths whereby PFC and the BG might influence memory processes at the thalamic level (Pinault 2004; Zikopoulos and Barbas 2006; Guillery *et al.* 1998; Hazrati and Parent 1991; Shammah-Lagnado *et al.* 1996; Antal *et al.* 2014).

13.2.5. *The hippocampal system and PFC have crucial roles in the assignment of saliency.*

Just as the PFC and BG may orchestrate BG-thalamocortical neglect of expected percepts (discussed earlier (§10.6)), PFC has been suggested to orchestrate neglect by the hippocampal formation of previously stored episodic information, inhibiting redundant memorization (Frankland and Bontempi 2005). Indeed, the suppression of contextually inappropriate memory activation has been proposed as a general role for the PFC in its relationship to the hippocampus (Eichenbaum 2017). PFC activity patterns have also been shown to represent associations between a stimulus and context, an action triggered by that stimulus and context, and an unexpectedly rewarded outcome associated with that action, allowing for accurate credit assignment and the formation of memories that subsequently guide behavior toward reward (Asaad *et al.* 2017). Novelty and surprise are associated with an increase in theta synchrony between PFC and the hippocampus, supporting learning of unexpected information (Gruber *et al.* 2018). Moreover, the hippocampal formation is itself sensitive to familiarity (Squire *et al.* 2004), and through its projections to PFC and the ventral striatum, may promote neglect of familiar perceptual minutiae that would otherwise be distracting. Sz is marked by deficiencies in these capabilities, and corresponding hippocampal abnormalities (Jessen *et al.* 2003; Weiss *et al.* 2004).

13.2.6. *The basal ganglia might control effective connection of cortical areas that are chiefly connected through the hippocampal system.*

The most studied and attested roles of the hippocampal system involve memory formation and recall, but the mechanism whereby it is understood to do this — rapid plasticity that establishes long range links between cortical areas — might be quite general. Evidence of hippocampal involvement in the performance and consolidation of skilled motor behavior, even without crucial involvement in initial acquisition of the skill (Schapiro *et al.* 2019; Burman 2019, 2018 ‡), suggests such a generality, and indeed suggests that the hippocampal system may orchestrate consolidation of long range links that do not themselves directly transit the hippocampal system. In

terms of BGMS, it may not make any fundamental difference whether two areas are linked by direct, appropriately potentiated corticocortical projections, or by temporary routes through the hippocampal system. These two classes of long range linkage inevitably coexist according to the consolidation and reconsolidation theories of hippocampal function, and might indeed act synergistically. With hippocampal function centered on the rapid establishment of long range anatomical connections amenable to reactivation, BG function entailing the activation of long range anatomical connections, and PFC integral to the circuitry of both, it seems inevitable that these two systems are unified in their function. This proposition does, however, raise important questions about conduction delays associated with trans-hippocampal paths, compared to those of the corresponding corticocortical paths that are thought to be the ultimate destination of the relations migrated by consolidation.

13.3. *The Zona Incerta*

The zona incerta (ZI) is an agglomeration of cytologically heterogeneous diencephalic nuclei below the thalamus, adjacent to the TRN and STN, connected with many of the areas and populations involved in BGMS (Mitrofanis 2005; Ricardo 1981; Shammah-Lagnado *et al.* 1985). Multiple, overlapping somatotopic maps are found throughout the ZI, maintaining largely parallel segregated circuits between the neocortex, thalamus, superior colliculus, brainstem, and spinal cord (Nicolelis *et al.* 1992; Power *et al.* 1999), but with no apparent topographic structure in projections to intralaminar thalamus (Power *et al.* 1999). Like the striatum, the ZI is extensively innervated by cortical layer 5 (Mitrofanis and Mikuletic 1999). Like the GPi and SNr, many of its neurons contain parvalbumin (Trageser *et al.* 2006), and it has extensive GABAergic projections to thalamus, with giant terminals apposing the proximal dendrites of projection neurons in association nuclei (Barthó *et al.* 2002; Power *et al.* 1999).

The physiology of the ZI is unlike that of the BG and TRN in several important respects, such that its operating principles are clearly distinct. Unlike either the BG or the TRN, the ZI has prominent direct projections to cerebral cortex; these projections are GABAergic, predominantly appose outer L1, are topographically organized, are densest in somatosensory cortex, and also project sparsely to visual cortex (Lin *et al.* 1997; but see Saunders *et al.* 2015a). While BG projections to intralaminar nuclei preferentially appose smaller and more distal dendrites, ZI projections to the intralaminar thalamus appose larger and more proximal dendrites (Barthó *et al.* 2002). The tonic discharge rate of ZI neurons, averaging 2-4/s (Périer *et al.* 2000; Trageser *et al.* 2006), is a small fraction of that of GPi/SNr projection neurons.

Like the BG and thalamus, the ZI is targeted by cholinergic projections from the PPN and LDT (Trageser *et al.* 2006), and like the cortex, it is targeted by the basal forebrain (Kolmac and Mitrofanis 1999), but the effect of ACh on ZI is to silence it (Trageser *et al.* 2006). Thus the ZI response to ACh resembles that of the TRN (Steriade 2004; Lam and Sherman 2010). However, whereas the TRN receives collaterals of L6 axons and not of L5 axons, and has a reciprocal relationship with the rest of the thalamus, as noted above the ZI receives L5 collaterals, and it does not receive thalamic inputs (Barthó *et al.* 2002).

Through its widespread projections to thalamus, the ZI has been suggested to synchronize oscillations in large populations of projection cells, acting as a relay whereby signals from its afferents can selectively facilitate transmission of sensory signals by those projection cells (Barthó *et al.* 2002, 2007). Experimental and clinical results in PD show that hyperactivity and hypersynchrony in the ZI are associated with dyskinesia and bradyphrenia just as they are in the GPi and SNr (Merello *et al.* 2006; Périer *et al.* 2000, 2002), and indeed that deep brain stimulation (DBS) in ZI may be a more effective technique for alleviating medically refractory PD than DBS in STN (Plaha *et al.* 2006).

An intriguing proposal is that rhythmic GABAergic input to the sensorimotor and intralaminar thalamus from ZI relays activity from attentional orientation centers such as the superior colliculus, disrupting BG-related activity in the thalamus and replacing it with selective receptivity to unexpected sensory inputs deemed salient by attentional orientation centers (Watson *et al.* 2015). This fits well with the proposition that the general function of the ZI is to gate sensory receptivity (Trageser and Keller 2004; Trageser *et al.* 2006; Lavallée *et al.* 2005; Urbain and Deschênes 2007), and like BGMS, is a proposal that selections can be made in the thalamus by GABA-mediated spike-timing-dependent gain control. And with its GABAergic projections to upper L1, the ZI is positioned to adjust spike-timing-dependent gain in its targets with particular rapidity and thoroughness.

13.4. The Claustrum

The claustrum may be functionally similar to the ZI and, by extension, to the BG, but with its own peculiarities. It has long been a subject of notoriously inconclusive study (Edelstein and Denaro 2004). Its function is murky, and like the ZI, it is something of a chimera, combining physiological and functional attributes of the cerebral cortex, the striatum, the thalamus, and the basolateral amygdala (Swanson and Petrovich 1998). Like the BG, the claustrum appears arranged to synchronize the cortical areas with which it is connected, but unlike the BG, it appears to do so only occasionally. In particular, unlike pallidal projection neurons, and like ZI projection neurons,

claustral projection neurons have a low tonic firing rate, 0–10 spikes/s in awake animals (Edelstein and Denaro 2004 p.5).

The claustrum contributes to the recruitment of a generally well-adapted neural response to novel and unexpected situations (Badiani *et al.* 1998; Remedios *et al.* 2014), and to emotionally freighted stimuli (Redouté *et al.* 2000), by orienting attention toward immediate, external sensory specifics. Evidence also suggests its involvement is necessary for optimal performance in learning and behavioral scenarios that are cognitively demanding (White *et al.* 2018 ‡), with significant activation particularly at the onset of a demanding task phase (Krimmel *et al.* 2019).

Similar to the ZI, the claustrum reciprocates with topographic cortical maps for all of the exteroceptive senses; the implicated claustral neurons have quite large receptive fields, with some incidence of polymodality (Sherk 1986). The entire claustrum is modulated by afferents communicating situational saliency (exceptionality) from VTA and SNc, the thalamic reuniens nucleus, the lateral hypothalamus, the locus coeruleus, the dorsal raphe nucleus (Słoniewski *et al.* 1986), and through some path yet to be fully anatomically elucidated, from a cholinergic source (Salerno *et al.* 1981; Nieoullon and Dusticier 1980).

Perhaps claustral neurons synchronize oscillations in the cortical areas connected to it, similar to the dynamic described earlier (§6.5) in the thalamocortical projection. For example, activity is relayed from anterior cingulate cortex, through the claustrum, to parietal and visual cortex, and this pathway may be a mechanism for top-down cognitive control (White and Mathur 2018). In the claustrum, however, the effect appears to be well-gated by the ascending modulatory afferents, resulting in the low tonic firing rate noted above. This is a limited role, similar to a general role proposed previously for the claustrum (Crick and Koch 2005), itself similar to the role ascribed to the BG in this paper.

The cortical areas with which the claustrum has been established to reciprocate, and which are therefore most likely to be subject to synchronization in the manner of thalamocortical circuits, are (1) various topographically mapped unimodal sensory areas for each of the senses (at least the exteroceptive ones) (Sherk 1986), (2) the frontal eye fields and supplementary motor area (SMA) (Sherk 1986), (3) several default mode network loci (orbitofrontal, cingulate) (Sherk 1986), and (4) the hippocampal system (Wilhite *et al.* 1986). The claustrum has unreciprocated projections to much of the rest of cortex, notably area 46 (DLPFC) (Sherk 1986), which might impart selective receptivity in the recipient areas to sensory and motivational activity that activates the claustrum, selectively boost cognitive activity that is already phase-locked with it, and relatively diminish other activity.

The claustrum has convergent afferents from thalamic midline and intralaminar nuclei (reuniens, CM, PF, PC, and CL) (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002). When the cholinergic, noradrenergic, dopaminergic, serotonergic, and other diffuse modulatory claustrorotational afferents signal situational or anticipated salience (Salerno *et al.* 1981; Schultz 1998; Matsumoto and Hikosaka 2009; Nakamura *et al.* 2008),

claustral neurons might attempt to synchronize activity in the sensory stream with itself, with oculomotor and skeletomotor activity, with the default mode network, and with the hippocampal system, so that the situation and its sensory correlates are well-attended, consciously integrated, and well-reflected by the memories that are activated and recorded.

14. Future Directions, Open Questions, and Closing Thoughts

In this section:

- 14.1. [Theoretical Predictions and Proposed Experiments](#)
- 14.2. [Some Notable Open Questions](#)
- 14.3. [Consciousness, Integrated Information, and Selection Mechanisms](#)
- 14.4. [Closing Thoughts](#)
- 14.5. [Acknowledgements](#)

14.1. Theoretical Predictions and Proposed Experiments

In this subsection:

- 14.1.1. [Cortex and Striatum](#)
- 14.1.2. [Pallidal and Nigral Output to Thalamus](#)
- 14.1.3. [Stimulus-Locked Spiking From Cortex Through Basal Ganglia to Thalamus](#)
- 14.1.4. [Correlation of Cortical Area to Focally Targeted Striatal Area as a Function of Oscillatory Band](#)

14.1.1. Cortex and Striatum

In the BGMS model, effective connections are established in cortex in response to striatal decisions. This phenomenon would likely be detectable in the relationships among cortical and striatal LFPs, and would inherently be detectable using large electrode arrays to measure single unit activity in large populations of neurons in cortex and striatum. Specifically, in well-trained tasks, a highly significant relationship of consistent delays should be found between the timing of a spike volley arising in a particular set of cortical loci, the presence and timing of subsequent spike volleys arising in one or more connected striatal loci, and the establishment of functional connections between the first set of cortical loci and a consistent set of other loci as measured by LFP or individual spike activity in the latter.

In some experimentally accessible and reliably reproducible scenarios, the establishment of a long range corticocortical functional connection is predicted to be strongly contingent on the occurrence and precise timing of

the striatal activation, as suggested by the results reported by van Schouwenburg *et al.* (2010b). The BGMS model predicts that if the striatal activation is absent or mistimed, the connection is unlikely to be established, else the connection is likely to be established. While experiments of this type cannot fully validate the BGMS model, done carefully they can decisively invalidate it, or provide highly suggestive evidence for it. Moreover, raw LFP and spike data sets for experiments that have already been performed can likely be reanalyzed to look for this phenomenon.

14.1.2. Pallidal and Nigral Output to Thalamus

The central prediction arising from the BGMS model is that relationships of entrainment characterize sparse ensembles of directly connected neurons spanning the entire BG direct path during activation. If the effect of pallidal and nigral output on the thalamus is probed in awake healthy (normal) animals, the prediction is that phasic activation in many cases entrains thalamic activity. Preliminary results reported by Schwab (2016) give evidence of ensemble phasic entrainment of motor thalamus by the GPi, while underscoring that spatiotemporal sparseness and stochasticity in this activation and entrainment greatly complicate characterization at the single unit level.

Notwithstanding methodological hurdles, oscillation in an area of the intralaminar thalamus, measured in a fashion that carefully avoids conflation with activity associated with afferent corticothalamic activity, is predicted to be clearly coherent with phasic oscillatory BG input to that area, characterized by LFP in structurally connected areas of BG output structures.

14.1.3. Stimulus-Locked Spiking From Cortex Through Basal Ganglia to Thalamus

Another key prediction is that in an over-trained task, phasic pallidal spiking to a particular thalamic target associated with onset of a particular salient context within the task will exhibit, in aggregate, a very stable, narrowly distributed ($\pm < 2$ ms) delay relative to the first cortical spike volley associated with onset, implicating a stable set of striatal and pallidal/nigral neurons, for environmental conditions and level of arousal similar to those that prevailed during training.

14.1.4. Correlation of Cortical Area to Focally Targeted Striatal Area as a Function of Oscillatory Band

Because the average delay through dorsal trans-GPI paths is roughly one gamma period, while the average dorsal trans-SNr delay is roughly one beta period, BGMS predicts functional prominence of the gamma cycle in a cortical area and scenario when its inputs to BG flow primarily through the dorsal striatum to the GPI (particularly implicating the dorsal sensorimotor striatum), while the beta cycle is expected to dominate when activity flows primarily to the SNr (implicating the associative striatum). Even longer delays, commensurate with the theta cycle, may accompany paths through the ventral striatum and ventral pallidum, due to its intimacy with the medial temporal lobe (briefly reviewed below).

More generally, according to BGMS the dominant oscillatory band of activity in a given cortical locus and context should be the best predictor of the BG paths it activates, and the converse should hold similarly. This principle generalizes beyond thalamocortical-BG circuits, to encompass frequency bands characteristic of parallel and analogous systems, several of which were discussed earlier (§13). These domains and characteristic frequency dynamics can be associated with particular thalamic nuclear complexes; for example, the mediodorsal nucleus is associated with beta synchronies, the pulvinar with alpha synchronies, and the anterior thalamic group with theta synchronies (Ketz *et al.* 2015).

Cross-frequency coupling has been demonstrated in theta band interactions of the hippocampus with striatum (Tort *et al.* 2008), and is posited to be a general theme in BG-thalamocortical dynamics (Cannon *et al.* 2014; Brittain and Brown 2014). Putative cross-frequency BGMS operates by spike-time-dependent gain in cortex no less than in-band BGMS, suggesting the corollary prediction that cross-frequency coupled BG activity in over-trained tasks produces spike volleys in target areas that are spatiotemporally coincident, at a regular frequency ratio, with selected corticocortical spike volleys. Heavy

projections from the hippocampal formation to the ventral striatum (Brog *et al.* 1993) suggest that the in-band relationship may hold in these scenarios, i.e. that the dominant band of the afferent determines the activated BG path at the striatal stage, with divergence to a parallel path in a subsequent stage.

14.2. Some Notable Open Questions

Lag-free long range synchronies in cortex (e.g. Vicente *et al.* 2008), with narrow pyramidal somatic coincidence windows (Pouille and Scanziani 2001; Volgushev *et al.* 1998), exist simultaneous with finite long range corticocortical delays (e.g. Gregoriou *et al.* 2009; Nowak and Bullier 1997). Exactly how does this work, at the level of cortical microcircuitry? How do the discharge and conduction delays of thalamocortical neurons and fibers compare as a function of nuclear origin? In particular, how do the delays of paths through the intralaminar and midline nuclei compare to those through other thalamic nuclei?

Gamma synchrony accompanies effective corticospinal activation (Schoffelen *et al.* 2005; Fries 2005), and the effects of single-pulse TMS stimulation in motor cortex on corticospinal activation depends on the phase of cortical beta at the moment of stimulation (Torrecillos *et al.* 2020). The pedunculopontine nucleus is integral to the control of voluntary movements (Tsang *et al.* 2010), and is profusely targeted by the GPI (Parent *et al.* 2001). Does this relationship entail BGMS? The same question applies to BG targeting of the superior colliculus, with regard to its attentional orientation and oculomotor functions.

Do the amygdala, hypothalamus, and other subcortical structures beyond those reviewed earlier (§13), use a BGMS-like mechanism to influence thalamocortical activity? The amygdala in particular has been construed as parallel to the ventral BG (Olmos and Heimer 1999), and indeed its central and medial nuclei are proposed to be continuous and homologous with the BG (Swanson and Petrovich 1998). Moreover, projections from the amygdala to PFC have been shown to convey signals that bias decision making (Burgos-Robles *et al.* 2017), similar to the role ascribed earlier (§6.2) to the BG.

The BGMS model implies an elaborate physiological arrangement of coordinated modularity, spanning all developmental levels, and many distinct neurotransmitter systems. How is this orchestrated? Rules governing the self-organization of projecting fiber populations and appositions must play a large part (e.g. Wedeen *et al.* 2012; Sanes and Yamagata 2009; de Wit and Ghosh 2015). But clearly, developmental exuberance, and activity-driven, correlation-sensitive plasticity must play a very large role. Exactly how are these development and plasticity mechanisms arranged to route and terminate long range

fiber bundles appropriately, and optimize the timing of the stimulus-response functions of the BG as an ensemble?

How strong and broad is the BG influence on the cholinergic and serotonergic supplies to thalamus, cortex, and striatum? Are the BG arranged for bipolar control of these supplies, as they are for dopamine? And what are the topographies and microcircuitry of the BG inputs to TRN, NBM, PPN, LDT, DRN, MRN, and SC, by reference to the topographies and microcircuitry of their respective projections to and from thalamus and cortex?

The cytology and microcircuitry of the striatum are crucial to BGMS, and to basal ganglia dynamics in general. How do the cortico-FSI and cortico-SPN projections to striatum differ in cytological, laminar, and areal origin, in patterns of preference, apposition, and topography/convergence/divergence, and by compartmental and hodological target (matrisome, striosome, border region, direct, indirect, etc.)? Similarly, how do thalamo-FSI and thalamo-SPN projections differ by these measures?

What are the functions of striatal neuron types beyond the SPNs, FSIs, and ACh interneurons, particularly as they relate to BGMS? In particular, what are the roles of somatostatin-positive LTS interneurons, and of calretinin-positive interneurons, which have yet to be classified physiologically (Kreitzer 2009)? Uniquely human adult neurogenesis of striatal calretinin interneurons (Ernst *et al.* 2014) is intriguing — what is the functional significance of this?

Is oscillatory phase preserved in the paths through STN, GPe, NBM, SNI, and PPN/LDT, and if so, do they entrain their targets? Do the BG, through some paths, entrain targets to antiphase, to quickly and decisively abolish connections? Is this one of the functions of FSIs that target indirect path SPNs? Indeed, is this one of the functions of GPe input to striatum (which preferentially innervates FSIs) and TRN, and of STN to GPi/SNr? Such arrangements seem plausible, but the evidence is as yet tenuous—albeit tantalizing (e.g. Schmidt *et al.* 2013).

The maximum conduction velocity in human corpus callosum is anomalously slow (Caminiti *et al.* 2009). Schizophrenia is also typified by abnormalities of the corpus callosum, and of interhemispheric coordination (Foong 2000; Whitford *et al.* 2010; Hoptman *et al.* 2012). Are interhemispheric dynamics in humans special, from a BGMS perspective, or otherwise?

14.3. *Consciousness, Integrated Information, and Selection Mechanisms*

In this subsection:

- 14.3.1. [Consciousness is tricky.](#)
- 14.3.2. [Associative areas of the thalamocortical system and BG plausibly underlie consciousness.](#)
- 14.3.3. [Highly abstract functional structure within the most associative areas of neocortex suggests the outlines of mental architecture.](#)
- 14.3.4. [Densely interconnected, highly associative frontal and posterior areas, with no intrinsic domain-specific functional topography, act as communication thoroughfares integrating activity with great flexibility.](#)
- 14.3.5. [BG integration with cortical communication thoroughfares may underlie the versatility that is the hallmark of consciousness.](#)
- 14.3.6. [Large scale plasticity in cortex implicates consciousness and BGMS.](#)
- 14.3.7. [Mammalian consciousness is presumptively one family of instances among many, each family distinct but sharing a set of irreducible architectural features.](#)
- 14.3.8. [The architecture of natural consciousness can inform the design of artificial problem-solving systems.](#)
- 14.3.9. [Exploitation of noise, including that with quantum origins, may be indispensable to consciousness.](#)

14.3.1. *Consciousness is tricky.*

“Consciousness” is a notoriously slippery concept, even chimerical in many accounts. These narrative tribulations seem to be evidence of the fundamental qualities of conscious cognition. Where consciousness is broached above, most prominent are flexibility, integration and the breaching of modularity, intervention when modular strategies are flummoxed, and perhaps most unsettling, arrangements of notionally infinite recurrence.

Accordingly, consciousness is here construed to be an evolving pattern of relations, featuring many degrees of freedom (high dimensionality), arbitrary information combination, self-acting and self-affecting selections (decisions), and self-referential iteration (self-causation). More exhaustively, it is a mental mechanism that features the partly overlapping attributes and facilities of uniqueness, representation, genericness, ephemeral specificity, arbitrary associativity, intentionality, attention,

perception, episodic continuity, and action. Evidently, conscious actions can be inwardly directed (chiefly, cognitive transformations, recollections, memorizations, and decisions, all relative to current patterns of activity) or outwardly directed (behaviors). Reportability and self-awareness, frequently attributed specially to human consciousness, are (by the present narrative) corollary. “Uniqueness” here signifies that there is only one consciousness in the normal waking brain (largely a corollary of its arbitrary associativity, and the physiology underlying that facility), and that the representations and core mechanisms of conscious cognition lack any architectural modularity dividing them into perceptive, cognitive, and active domains, but rather that these domains are all directly and irreducibly implicated by the same physiological substrate (as seen, for example, in the thalamic intralaminar nuclei, noted earlier (§7.9)). For a discussion of the capacities at issue, and of the inextricable entanglement within consciousness of the attributes and facilities attributed to it above, see [Engle \(2002\)](#).

14.3.2. Associative areas of the thalamocortical system and BG plausibly underlie consciousness.

Within the network of cortical connectivity hubs, particular areas and networks have been identified that are associated with facilities attributed above to consciousness. For example, [Vincent et al. \(2008\)](#) propose a “frontoparietal control network” comprising lateral PFC, anterior cingulate cortex, and the inferior parietal lobule, topographically and topologically separate from the hippocampal network and “dorsal attention” network. PFC and posterior parietal cortex (PPC) in particular have been implicated in theories of the physiological basis of fluid intelligence ([Jung and Haier 2007](#)). Hub networks are uniquely developed in humans, and genes identified as uniquely divergent in humans are highly expressed in these networks, are associated with individual variation in the function of these networks, and are associated with intelligence and schizophrenia ([Wei et al. 2019](#)).

The integrated system of the PFC and striatum, suggested to be central to cognitive flexibility ([Leber et al. 2008](#); [van Schouwenburg et al. 2010b, 2012, 2014](#); [Hazy et al. 2006](#)), includes many connectivity hubs and resting state network nodes ([Cole et al. 2010](#); [van den Heuvel and Sporns 2011](#); [Harriger et al. 2012](#); [van den Heuvel et al. 2009](#); [Elston 2000](#)). Indeed, correlated activity has been demonstrated between cortical resting state network nodes and loci distributed widely in the striatum ([Di Martino et al. 2008](#); [Vatansver et al. 2016](#); [Wang et al. 2017](#)).

Posterior cortex, and its relationship with striatum, are also clearly implicated in consciousness. As noted earlier (§11.4), LFP activity in a network spanning deep layers of lateral intraparietal cortex, caudate striatum, and intralaminar thalamus, evaluated with the integrated

information measure Φ , reliably indexes state of consciousness ([Afrasiabi et al. 2020](#) ‡). Functionally connected triads, in which posterior parietal cortex drives frontal cortex and the BG, are implicated in motor performance ([Hwang et al. 2019](#)), and the evidence from [Afrasiabi et al. \(2020\) ‡](#), and related results from [Redinbaugh et al. \(2020\)](#), suggest such triads may indeed be necessary for consciousness.

The modularity of an individual's resting state cortical networks, measured by fMRI, has been found to be predictive of task performance as a function of task complexity, with high modularity subjects exhibiting relatively high performance on simple tasks, and low modularity subjects exhibiting relatively high performance on complex ones ([Yue et al. 2017](#)). In general, the establishment of effective connections between dissociable networks, heralding a collapse of their mutual modularity, is associated with conscious awareness ([Godwin et al. 2015](#)), and particularly entails functional integration of task-specific networks with the resting state network ([Fukushima et al. 2018](#)), while pharmacologically induced loss of consciousness is associated with the pervasive breakdown of effective connectivity in cortex ([Ferrarelli et al. 2010b](#)).

14.3.3. Highly abstract functional structure within the most associative areas of neocortex suggests the outlines of mental architecture.

It has been proposed that PFC is organized into a spatially graded hierarchy, with the highest and most abstract representations located anteriorly, and the lowest and least abstract located posteriorly ([Christoff and Gabrieli 2000](#); [Badre and D'Esposito 2009](#)). In the least abstract of these areas, numerous visuotopic maps (for example) have been identified ([Silver and Kastner 2009](#)), whereas the frontopolar cortex is concerned with highly abstract consideration and reconciliation of conflicting goals, and the management of competing and alternating cognitive sets ([Mansouri et al. 2017](#)). Functional specialization of the dorsomedial and dorsolateral PFC has been proposed, with the former monitoring performance, and the latter guiding it; links between these areas exhibit mutual preferences according to position along the anterior-posterior axis ([Taren et al. 2011](#)). Within the DLPFC, subdivisions are apparent from their functional correlates and network connectivity—an anterior-ventral subregion is associated with attention and action inhibition processes, and is intimate with anterior cingulate cortex, while a posterior-dorsal one is associated with action execution and working memory, and is intimate with PPC ([Cieslik et al. 2013](#)).

In general, the primate cortex is characterized by gradients in average connectivity distance, with neurons in primary sensory and motor areas typified by the shortest average connection distances, while areas most remote

from primary areas are typified by the most distant connections, particularly implicating lateral and medial frontoparietal cortex (Margulies *et al.* 2016; Oligschläger *et al.* 2019).

Goldman-Rakic (1988) described pervasive, systematic interdigitation of parallel circuits linking association cortex. Consistent with this account, several of the widely distributed networks identified in humans—resting state, frontoparietal control, and dorsal attention—have been shown to consist of at least two distinct parallel networks with similar gross structure, but spanning distinct interdigitated subregions, and with intriguing topological distinctions; e.g., one of the identified resting state subnetworks is intimate with the hippocampal system, while another is devoid of such intimacy (Braga and Buckner 2017; Braga *et al.* 2019).

Clearly this topographic and topological structure has consequences for mental architecture. Indeed, the microstructural characterization of projections between hub areas is among the most promising subjects for future investigation.

14.3.4. Densely interconnected, highly associative frontal and posterior areas, with no intrinsic domain-specific functional topography, act as communication thoroughfares integrating activity with great flexibility.

Perhaps anterior and medial PFC, the posterior medial and parietal cortex intimate with it, and the basal ganglia areas intimate with them, contain areas in which domain-specific functional topography is a purely transitory consequence of their effective connections from moment to moment, given highly abstract topographies along lines of hierarchy and other generic aspects of cognition. Evidence suggests as much. Using fMRI in humans, Assem *et al.* (2019‡) show that much of the frontoparietal control network, with adjacent areas within the default mode and dorsal attention networks, the head of the caudate nucleus, and substantial sectors of the cerebellum, are activated in cognitively demanding tasks regardless of the specific domains implicated by the task, suggesting the role of these areas in cognition is generic, rather than domain-specific. Using tracers in monkeys, Averbeck *et al.* (2014) show that the head of the caudate nucleus is a zone of convergence for projections from all parts of the PFC, which they suggest is evidence that the striatum also contains topological hubs that perform computations across diverse domains.

Additional fMRI evidence supports the proposition that hub areas are engaged in cognition generically. Evidence suggests that functional representations in hub areas are dynamically oriented to task demands (Vromen *et al.* 2018 ‡), and that abstract relations in verbal comprehension are represented generically in hub areas (Zhang *et al.* 2019a ‡). This versatility seems to follow from the capacity of individual neurons, and indeed

individual synapses, to participate in a vast array of distinct ephemeral assemblies of neurons with contextually appropriate conduction delays (Rigotti *et al.* 2013; Izhikevich 2006), even simultaneously (Naud and Sprekeler 2018; Caruso *et al.* 2018; Bernardi *et al.* 2018‡).

The proposition that the functional topography of hub areas is highly abstract and context-dependent also comports with the view of van den Heuvel *et al.* (2012) that a core network of connectivity hubs (a “rich club”) serves as a common, and therefore contentious, communication “backbone” subject to “greedy routing” strategies by more locally connected (and specialized) areas. Indeed, task-related activity in functionally connected PFC and PPC can be very similar, with almost identical tuning and time courses, throughout the performance of a task implicating working memory (Chafee and Goldman-Rakic 1998), and beta band synchronies between these areas reflect only behaviorally relevant representations, with PFC neurons synchronized to PPC beta oscillation only if selective for contextually relevant categories (Antzoulatos and Miller 2016). The view that cortical areas with high abstraction and long range connectivity function as thoroughfares also follows from findings, noted earlier (§1.4), that frontal-posterior LFP synchrony accompanies attentional orientation, whether by top-down or bottom-up processes (Buschman and Miller 2007). Unsurprisingly, hub areas are disproportionately implicated in systemic brain disease and mental illness (Crossley *et al.* 2014).

14.3.5. BG integration with cortical communication thoroughfares may underlie the versatility that is the hallmark of consciousness.

Activity in particularly abstract areas of the PFC might arrange itself to impart nearly arbitrary patterns to the striatum, inducing highly flexible transformations by the BG of cortical activity and effective connectivity, and resolving backbone contention through selections, largely by the BGMS mechanism. This may largely underlie selective, task-related output from hub areas despite unselective inputs (Senden *et al.* 2018, 2017). By this narrative, the BG make available an enormous repertoire of neural gestures, that activity in hub areas can use to gate and operate on network activity, particularly activity within hub areas themselves. The corticostriatal projections from hub areas, and the input-output relations of the targeted areas of striatum, are then the essential substrate for cognitive flexibility, as suggested by van Schouwenburg *et al.* (2014). Indeed, as noted earlier (§11.9), cognitive flexibility is associated with functional connectivity of hub areas to BG (Vatansever *et al.* 2016). Moreover, significant dysfunction in this relationship, including both deficient cortical control of striatum, and deficient striatal control of cortex, has been shown in Sz (Wang *et al.* 2015).

One interpretation of these arrangements is that consciousness in its essence is an enormously flexible and agile mechanism for relating causes (stimuli) to effects (resulting thoughts and behaviors). By this narrative, conscious contemplation occurs when these ephemeral cause-effect relations are chained together, each stirring the next into existence, so that consciousness depends intrinsically on the physical causality of brain activity. This comports neatly with the view, noted earlier (§11.4), that the “physical substrate of consciousness” exhibits maximal cause-effect power (Tononi *et al.* 2016).

As suggested earlier (§11.7), if working memory items are patterns of activation in PFC, each characterized by a distinct phase angle (Siegel *et al.* 2009), then—through corticocortical feedback projections and BGMS—the PFC might establish and dissolve effective connections implicating a particular working memory item (effectively, a thought) with little or no interference from, or indeed to, latent items, except when a latent item is selected for integration with an active item. DA under striosomal control, and ACh and 5-HT under PFC and BG control, are also crucial parts of this tool set, dynamically tuning the receptivity, contrast, focus, selectivity, and stability, of cortical signal paths.

Because inputs to the BG encompass the entire cortex, the BG can respond to activity in areas that are not functionally connected (not synchronized) with activity in conscious areas, and might act to synchronize the latter with the former, or the former with the latter. In this way, subconscious activity might be boosted into consciousness by BG selections. Indeed this likely describes any BG-mediated reorientation of attention in response to a sensory stimulus, particularly implicating the thalamic intralaminar nuclei.

14.3.6. Large scale plasticity in cortex implicates consciousness and BGMS.

The propositions that the highest levels of PFC lack persistent domain-specific functional topographies, control the BG with great flexibility, and are directly implicated in conscious cognition, relate to the dynamics of skill learning and performance. Experience-driven skill acquisition entails the reorganization of cortical topography in sensory and motor areas (Buonomano and Merzenich 1998; Kleim *et al.* 2004). Topographic reorganization seems to necessitate hub areas without fixed functional topography, in order to maintain function while accommodating the shifting semantic correlates of the neurons comprising the implicated map. In principle, long range connections linking shifting maps to generic hubs might enable sensible integration into cognition at every stage of topographic reorganization.

The orchestration of topographic plasticity, and functional continuity during that process, likely implicate

not only highly abstract areas of neocortex, but also the hippocampal formation, which is extremely labile and exceptionally well-connected (as briefly reviewed earlier (§13.2.1)), and has direct and transthalamic links to secondary motor cortex (Jankowski *et al.* 2013; Van der Werf *et al.* 2002). Perhaps the involvement of the hippocampal system in the domain of spatial navigation is just a special case of a general competence “navigating” the similarly 2 dimensional graded maps of neocortex—that is, the role of the hippocampal system in spatial navigation is actually to physically register associations between spatial locations and semantic objects, represented as specific large scale patterns of strengthened connectivity (mutual excitability) in neocortex, and this facility is readily suited to register associations among such semantic objects, with no particular association with physical space (Eichenbaum and Cohen 2014). This facility seems perfectly suited to recruitment as scaffolding for the reorganization of graded maps in neocortex, including that underlying motor expertise (see e.g. Schapiro *et al.* 2019; Burman 2019, 2018†).

Initial performance of a qualitatively new skill depends on the availability and engagement of working memory (Reber and Kotovsky 1997), and is aided by attention to the minutiae of performance (Beilock *et al.* 2002). Learning the skill does not entail topographic reorganization until late in the process, and initially pivots on activity and plasticity in the BG and cerebellum (Ungerleider *et al.* 2002), with a crucial role for corticostriatal SPN plasticity (Koralek *et al.* 2012). Once proficiency is attained, performance can in fact be significantly disrupted by attention (Beilock *et al.* 2002). If the BG learn precise sensorimotor sequences through practice (Graybiel 1998), and their performance involves finely tuned subcortical loops, then inapt engagement of high-order PFC, supplying disruptive signals to the striatum, is likely to disrupt overall performance. Similar disruption of input patterns to the cerebellum would have similar consequences.

14.3.7. Mammalian consciousness is presumptively one family of instances among many, each family distinct but sharing a set of irreducible architectural features.

It seems likely that the arrangement of high resolution spatially graded feature maps, with a “rich club” topology of dense high resolution interconnections, hub areas some of which are never plastically committed as feature maps, and dynamic timing-based mesoscopic control of effective connectivity and signaling characteristics by a recurrent, highly convergent-divergent multistage subsystem arranged for self-referential reinforcement learning, is not unique to mammals, or even to vertebrates, but rather is the essential architecture of many evolved conscious systems. Perhaps the resulting information processing is

consciousness, in the sense meant by Tegmark (2015) in his proposition that “consciousness is the way information feels when being processed in certain complex ways”.

And if the predicates of consciousness can be realized by a generic architecture, that also suggests that instances of this architecture are likely wherever there are organisms exhibiting complex and flexible behavior. Birds share major subcortical structures and connections with mammals, including the BG, with similarities and differences some of which were noted earlier (Luo and Perkel 1999; Kojima *et al.* 2013; Doupe *et al.* 2005). Capacities in corvids for flexible executive control, persistent strategic planning, and capacious working memory (Kabadayi and Osvath 2017; Balakhonov and Rose 2017) suggest that these structural commonalities are accompanied by functional ones. And there are intriguing homologies beyond the chordates, such as those between the arthropod central complex and the vertebrate basal ganglia (Strausfeld and Hirth 2013). Cephalopods are renowned for their adaptability and contextually appropriate problem-solving behavior (Mather 2008), and their evolutionary history is quite separate from that of the vertebrates, but in many respects strikingly convergent (Packard 1972). Perhaps cognition in cephalopods is rooted in architectural features shared convergently with vertebrates?

14.3.8. *The architecture of natural consciousness can inform the design of artificial problem-solving systems.*

Earlier (§11.3), I noted parallels between models of naturally evolved conscious cognition (particularly the “global workspace” model of Dehaene and Changeux (2011), the “dynamic core” model of Tononi and Edelman (1998), and the “integrated information” model of Tononi *et al.* (2016)), and successful machine learning architectures featuring recurrence, genericness, dynamic modularity, and stochasticity (hybrid metaheuristics (Blum *et al.* 2011) and iterated local search (Lourenço *et al.* 2003)). Related architectures developed for machine learning, inspired loosely by physiological features of the vertebrate brain, have been particularly successful. For example, artificial neural networks arranged for recurrence, convolutional transformation, adaptive competitive pooling, and hierarchical representation, have proved exceedingly effective in visual scene analysis (Pinheiro and Collobert 2014; Long *et al.* 2015; Spoerer *et al.* 2019‡) and the semantic analysis of verbal dialogues (Kalchbrenner and Blunsom 2013‡; Kalchbrenner *et al.* 2014‡). Palmer (2015) recommends a migration to computing machinery that integrates noise-prone, high-efficiency components, and this is particularly trenchant given evidence that noise is important in biological problem solving architectures, discussed earlier (§11.6) and at greater length below. Clearly, improving our understanding of natural problem

solving mechanisms, and translating that understanding to artificial systems, holds enormous promise.

14.3.9. *Exploitation of noise, including that with quantum origins, may be indispensable to consciousness.*

Earlier (§11.6), I discussed the notion that neural noise, cultivated generally in the central nervous system and particularly in the BG, may be crucial to neural problem solving and signal fidelity, with the BG positioned to shift brain dynamics between chaotic noise-perturbable and focused noise-immune modes. Clearly, neural noise does have advantages in itself (McDonnell and Ward 2011). While some noise is physiologically unavoidable (Faisal *et al.* 2008; McDonnell and Ward 2011; Softky and Koch 1993; Stiefel *et al.* 2013), evolution might have arranged brains to be far less noisy than they are, and indeed some mechanisms in the nervous system are relatively noise-free (Kara *et al.* 2000; DeWeese *et al.* 2003).

Apparently gratuitous noisiness in the brain might be partly explained by evolutionary optimization for metabolic efficiency: the energetic cost for the generation, conduction, and response to action potentials is inversely proportional to the size of the implicated neurons and fibers, as is the propensity of those neurons and fibers to noisiness (Palmer and O’Shea 2015; Palmer 2020‡). By optimizing for energetic efficiency (unit of completed computation per unit of dissipated energy), evolution may have stumbled upon a physiological arrangement in which noise is pervasively and freely available as an input to computation, and is multifariously exploited (Palmer and O’Shea 2015; Palmer 2020‡).

In both the BG and the cerebellum, signals must transit fibers narrower than 200 nm (Difiglia *et al.* 1982; Sultan 2000), resulting in a high propensity for noise. The dimensions of these structures bring the remarkable implication that some of the noise in these paths is irreducibly quantum mechanical (Palmer and O’Shea 2015), so that the behavior of these neural systems is inherently non-computable in the Turing sense (Calude and Svozil 2008). In at least this narrow sense, biological brains are quantum computers. Below, I explore the possibility that brains exploit quantum mechanics more systematically, notwithstanding plausible articulations of skepticism (Koch and Hepp 2006; Baars and Edelman 2012; McKemmish *et al.* 2009; Litt *et al.* 2006). Evidently, these explorations are highly speculative, and are undertaken in the spirit of thought experiments.

Notoriously intractable computational problems are, in principle, made tractable by quantum computation (Kieu 2003, 2019). Many of these problems are clearly analogous to those encountered by organisms in natural settings, thus there are clear evolutionary pressures selecting for arrangements that exploit quantum phenomena to optimize cognition.

Notably, simulations suggest that quantum phenomena are indispensable to the function of physiological ion channels—models of these mechanisms produce accurate predictions only if ions are represented by quantum mechanical wave functions (Summhammer *et al.* 2018). The long-recognized capacity of retinal rod cells to detect single photons (Rieke and Baylor 1998) is canonically quantum mechanical. More recently, evidence has been uncovered that the viscosity of aqueous poly-electrolyte solutions is strongly affected by atomic isotope substitutions (deuterium for hydrogen) (Dedic *et al.* 2019), suggesting that even nuclear quantum effects are plausibly subject to natural selection. Various experiments suggest quantum mechanics underlying other biological phenomena (Jedlicka 2017). Perhaps the most significant evidence to date for quantum biology in nature relates to geomagnetic navigation by some birds, which has been shown to involve cultivation in the retina of prolonged coherent quantum entanglement (10s of microseconds) of pairs of electrons (Gauger *et al.* 2011). There is, thus, little remaining doubt that vertebrate nervous systems harbor some of the phenomena commonly referred to as “quantum strangeness”.

Four relevant concepts in quantum theory are summarized below, with speculation on how they might help to more fully explain the mechanisms of mammalian cognition.

The first is **quantum superposition**, in which physical alternatives exist simultaneously as possibilities embodied by quantum wave functions, with the selection among those alternatives deferred unless and until a strong measurement irreversibly disambiguates the state of the system, as in the classic dual-slit interference experiments (Silverman 2008).

The second is **quantum erasure**, in which the results of a strong measurement are irreversibly destroyed, thereby deferring disambiguation as though the strong measurement had not been performed (Walborn *et al.* 2002).

The third is **weak measurement**, in which only fragmentary and indecisive observations of a system are collected for some period, so that superposition in the system is only partly disrupted until a strong, disambiguating measurement result is subsequently unveiled (Aharonov *et al.* 1987, 2014; Dressel 2015; Tan *et al.* 2015).

The fourth is the quantum interpretation proposed by Zurek (1982) featuring environmentally induced selection, or **einselection**, in which strong measurement is considered to be a selection among alternatives, on the basis of cumulatively overwhelming statistical support in favor of one of them at the expense of the others, as reflected by the proliferating impact of the winning alternative on the states of nearby structures (Zurek 1982, 2003).

Superposition has a natural association with the conjunction in cortex of homeostatic criticality (Haider *et al.* 2006; Ma *et al.* 2019; Ahmadian and Miller 2019‡) and endemic neural noise (Faisal *et al.* 2008), some of which is intrinsically quantum (Palmer and O’Shea 2015). These conditions might arrange to put in superposition a family of local, microscopic perturbations of cortical state, positioning a universe of alternatives adjacently in phase space, subject to neuromodulatory control (e.g. by dopamine, discussed earlier (§9.5)). In this way, cortex might exploit quantum parallelism to meaningfully increase the probability and rapidity with which better, or optimal, patterns can be detected, selected, and broadcasted by the BG. This is closely related, or equivalent, to the narrative advanced by Feynman and Hibbs (1965) and contextualized to the brain by Palmer (2020‡), in which ostensibly counterfactual (road-not-taken) trajectories in phase space materially bear upon observable outcomes. It would also imply that cortical state (or some important portion thereof) can only be adequately described as a quantum wave function, because superposition is purely a phenomenon of such wave functions.

As discussed earlier (§11.6), the output nuclei of the BG inject noise into the thalamocortical system, with a plausible role in the cognitive search for solutions. Remarkably, it is these very noise-generating projection neurons that receive profuse, repetitive appositions along their dendrites from fine SPN axon collaterals with diameters of 100-200 nm (DiFiglia *et al.* 1982). In principle, this subjects BG output projection cells to continual bombardment by noise, some of which is quantum (Palmer and O’Shea 2015). This in turn would induce quantum jitter in their tonic discharge patterns, which would then be continually injected into the thalamocortical system. Synchronized striatal discharge—a striatal selection—would presumably overwhelm these delicate effects (Tabareau *et al.* 2010).

Quantum erasure and **weak measurement** have a natural association with the sparseness and tonically low firing rate (or complete quiescence) of corticostriatal neurons (Stern *et al.* 1997; Turner and DeLong 2000) and striatal SPNs (Sandstrom and Rebec 2003; Mahon *et al.* 2006). In principle, these arrangements might position the striatum to continually perform weak measurements of cortical activity. Until an input pattern triggers a striatal activation, these observations are largely discarded, which—again, in principle—would constitute quantum erasure, minimizing disruption of superpositions in cortex. The convergence and idiosyncrasy of corticostriatal targeting (Kincaid *et al.* 1998; Zheng and Wilson 2002), in concert with cortical criticality and noisiness placing many alternatives in superposition, might arrange for simultaneous and continuous exploration of the entire evolving neighborhood of alternatives, with the BG-thalamocortical loop only closed for selections.

Einselection has a natural association with selection by the BG. In BGMS, as detailed earlier (§4.2), selection entails the coherent and rapid (single cycle) routing of selected cortical activity to much of the brain. Indeed, synchronous oscillation protects neural circuits from random perturbations (Tabareau *et al.* 2010), so that basal ganglia mediated synchronization intrinsically and irreversibly displaces alternatives, while imparting maximum visibility and consequence to the selected activity. Perhaps the view of some early quantum theorists that conscious observation plays a special role in the “collapse” of the wave function (Henderson 2010) reflected an intuition that conscious attention to a phenomenon assures that it will have an especially proliferating and enduring impact, forcing disambiguation, according to Zurek (2003).

Is BG selection einselection? Is some neural noise quantum noise? Are cortical states wave functions variously in superposition? In this thought experiment, they are, and something like this is implied by the narrative advanced by Palmer (2020 ‡), who furthermore suggests that an inherently quantum awareness of the universe of alternatives is at the heart of the subjective sense of free will that canonically characterizes consciousness. Obviously, much more work is needed to determine if these accounts are even plausible, much less veridical.

14.4. Closing Thoughts

This paper was originally motivated by a deceptively simple notion, that a mechanistic explanation for cognitive problem solving capacities in mammals can be found in the conjunction of the cerebral cortex and basal ganglia, whose distinct information processing styles produce a synergy far greater than the sum of their parts. Exploring this notion led to the model presented here, in which oscillatory patterns in cortex are selected by and routed through the basal ganglia to the thalamus phase-coherently, then circulated back to widely separated areas of cortex, synchronizing those areas and functionally connecting them. At an intermediate level of detail, this notion pivots on several architectural features of the mammalian brain:

- Control of functional connectivity by spike-timing-dependent gain mechanisms, as discussed by von der Malsburg (1981), Singer (1993), Fries (2005), and Larkum (2013);

- Centrally (thalamically) mediated control of effective connectivity in cortex, as discussed by Jones (2001), Purpura and Schiff (1997), Saalman (2014), Nakajima and Halassa (2017), and Salami *et al.* (2003);
- Graded semantic maps in cortex with highly regular long range links, as discussed by Huth *et al.* (2012), Simmons and Barsalou (2003), and Wedeen *et al.* (2012);
- A dense connectome with “rich club” organization, as discussed by van den Heuvel and Sporns (2011) and Markov *et al.* (2014);
- The primacy of information integration in cognition, as discussed by Tononi (2004);
- Convergence-divergence in the basal ganglia, as discussed by Flaherty and Graybiel (1994), Joel and Weiner (1994), Zheng and Wilson (2002), and Mailly *et al.* (2013);
- The basal ganglia construed as a central switching mechanism, as discussed by Redgrave *et al.* (1999);
- End-to-end spike volley and oscillatory coherence in the BG, as discussed by Berke *et al.* (2004), Leventhal *et al.* (2012), and Schmidt *et al.* (2013);
- Slow and diverse CVs in paths through the striatum, as discussed by Tremblay and Filion (1989), Turner and DeLong (2000), and Jinnai and colleagues (Yoshida *et al.* (1993), Kitano *et al.* (1998)); and
- Basal ganglia output that entrains activity in its targets, as discussed by Goldberg *et al.* (2013, 2012), Antzoulatos and Miller (2014), and Kojima *et al.* (2013).

In the course of developing this conjunctive idea, which was of necessity quite vague at the outset, I encountered an array of significant implications, suggesting resolutions to long-standing mysteries and paradoxes in the physiology of the BG, and in the relationship of BG activity to thalamocortical activity.

My conclusion is that activity throughout the cerebral cortex is structured by large scale synchronies that are mesoscopically, globally, and continually influenced by the basal ganglia, which themselves respond selectively to large scale patterns of cortical synchrony, in an arrangement of continual iteration that is the mechanistic essence of flexible and directed cognition in mammals.

14.5. Acknowledgements

Deepest appreciation to my wife Farah, whose support has made this work possible, and who has been richly rewarded with far more dinner table neuroscience than her appetite required. Thanks also to Prof. Kurtis Nishimura for his help and advice proofreading and formatting the manuscript. And a special thank you to Dr. Daniel J. Gibson; some of the key ideas introduced here emerged from our stimulating discussions in the summer of 2015, and his encouragement and editorial contributions to an earlier version of this manuscript were absolutely invaluable. Thanks also to Prof. Nancy Kopell and all in the Boston Cognitive Rhythms Collaborative, who have inspired many of the clarifications and improvements in this revised manuscript. Naturally, any and all departures from concision, clarity, and solid support, are mine and mine alone.

15. References

Note that citations of preprints bear the symbol “‡”, both above in the text and below in the bibliography.

- Abeles M, Bergman H, Margalit E, Vaadia E** (1993). Spatiotemporal firing patterns in the frontal cortex of behaving monkeys. *J Neurophysiol* 70, 1629–1638. [[10.1152/jn.1993.70.4.1629](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1993.70.4.1629)].
- Adams JH, Graham DI, Jennett B** (2000). The neuropathology of the vegetative state after an acute brain insult. *Brain* 123, 1327–1338. [[10.1093/brain/123.7.1327](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/123.7.1327)].
- Afrasiabi M, Redinbaugh MJ, Phillips JM, Kambi NA, Mohanta S, Raz A, Haun AM, Saalman YB** (2020‡). More than just front or back: Parietal-striatal-thalamic circuits predict consciousness level. *bioRxiv* 2020.04.07.030429v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/2020.04.07.030429](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.07.030429)].
- Aharonov Y, Albert DZ, Casher A, Vaidman L** (1987). Surprising quantum effects. *Phys Lett A* 124, 199–203. [[10.1016/0375-9601\(87\)90619-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(87)90619-0)].
- Aharonov Y, Cohen E, Elitzur AC** (2014). Foundations and applications of weak quantum measurements. *Phys Rev A* 89:5. [[10.1103/PhysRevA.89.052105](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.052105)].
- Ahmadian Y, Miller KD** (2019‡). What is the dynamical regime of cerebral cortex?. *arXiv* 1908.10101v1 [q-bio.NC].
- Akam T, Kullmann DM** (2010). Oscillations and Filtering Networks Support Flexible Routing of Information. *Neuron* 67, 308–320. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2010.06.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.06.019)].
- Akkal D, Dum RP, Strick PL** (2007). Supplementary Motor Area and Presupplementary Motor Area: Targets of Basal Ganglia and Cerebellar Output. *J Neurosci* 27, 10659–10673. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3134-07.2007](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3134-07.2007)].
- Alagapan S, Riddle J, Huang WA, Hadar E, Shin HW, Fröhlich F** (2019). Network-Targeted, Multi-site Direct Cortical Stimulation Enhances Working Memory by Modulating Phase Lag of Low-Frequency Oscillations. *Cell Rep* 29, 2590–2598.e4. [[10.1016/j.celrep.2019.10.072](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.10.072)].
- Albin RL, Mink JW** (2006). Recent advances in Tourette syndrome research. *Trends Neurosci* 29, 175–182. [[10.1016/j.tins.2006.01.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2006.01.001)].
- Alcaraz F, Fresno V** (2018). Thalamocortical and corticothalamic pathways differentially contribute to goal-directed behaviors in the rat. *eLife* 7, 10.7554/eLife.32517. [[10.7554/eLife.32517](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32517)].
- Alexander GE, DeLong MR, Strick PL** (1986). Parallel Organization of Functionally Segregated Circuits Linking Basal Ganglia and Cortex. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 9, 357–381. [[10.1146/annurev.ne.09.030186.002041](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ne.09.030186.002041)].
- Alexander GE, Crutcher MD, DeLong MR** (1991). Basal ganglia-thalamocortical circuits: Parallel substrates for motor, oculomotor, “prefrontal” and “limbic” functions. In *Prog. Brain Res.* 85: *The Prefrontal Cortex: Its Structure, Function and Pathology*, p.119–146. [[10.1016/S0079-6123\(08\)62678-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(08)62678-3)].
- Alkire MT, Hudetz AG, Tononi G** (2008). Consciousness and Anesthesia. *Science* 322, 876–880. [[10.1126/science.1149213](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1149213)].
- Andersen BB, Korbo L, Pakkenberg B** (1992). A quantitative study of the human cerebellum with unbiased stereological techniques. *J Comp Neurol* 326, 549–560. [[10.1002/cne.903260405](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903260405)].
- Andreasen NC, Paradiso S, O’Leary DS** (1998). "Cognitive Dysmetria" as an Integrative Theory of Schizophrenia: A Dysfunction in Cortical-Subcortical-Cerebellar Circuitry? *Schizophr Bull* 24, 203–218. [[10.1093/oxfordjournals.schbul.a033321](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.schbul.a033321)].
- Andreasen NC, Pierson R** (2008). The Role of the Cerebellum in Schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 64, 81–88. [[10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.01.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.01.003)].
- Annese J, Schenker-Ahmed NM, Bartsch H, Maechler P, Sheh C, Thomas N, Kayano J, Ghatan A, Bresler N, Frosch MP, Klaming R, Corkin S** (2014). Postmortem examination of patient H.M.’s brain based on histological sectioning and digital 3D reconstruction. *Nat Comms* 5, ncomms4122. [[10.1038/ncomms4122](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4122)].
- Antal M, Beneduce BM, Regehr WG** (2014). The Substantia Nigra Conveys Target-Dependent Excitatory and Inhibitory Outputs from the Basal Ganglia to the Thalamus. *J Neurosci* 34, 8032–8042. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0236-14.2014](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0236-14.2014)].
- Antzoulatos EG, Miller EK** (2011). Differences between Neural Activity in Prefrontal Cortex and Striatum during Learning of Novel Abstract Categories. *Neuron* 71, 243–249. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2011.05.040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.05.040)].

- Antzoulatos EG, Miller EK** (2014). Increases in Functional Connectivity between Prefrontal Cortex and Striatum during Category Learning. *Neuron* 83, 216–225. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2014.05.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.05.005)].
- Antzoulatos EG, Miller EK** (2016). Synchronous beta rhythms of frontoparietal networks support only behaviorally relevant representations. *eLife* 5, 10.7554/eLife.17822. [[10.7554/eLife.17822](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.17822)].
- Aosaki T, Kimura M, Graybiel AM** (1995). Temporal and Spatial Characteristics of Tonicly Active Neurons of the Primate's Striatum. *J Neurophysiol* 73, 1234–1252. [[jn.physiology.org/content/73/3/1234/](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1995.73.3.1234)].
- Apps R, Garwicz M** (2000). Precise matching of olivo-cortical divergence and cortico-nuclear convergence between somatotopically corresponding areas in the medial C1 and medial C3 zones of the paravermal cerebellum. *Eur J Neurosci* 12, 205–214. [[10.1046/j.1460-9568.2000.00897.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1460-9568.2000.00897.x)].
- Arcizet F, Krauzlis RJ, Berke J** (2018). Covert spatial selection in primate basal ganglia. *PLoS Biol* 16:10, e2005930. [[10.1371/journal.pbio.2005930](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2005930)].
- Ardid S, Wang X-J, Gomez-Cabrero D, Compte A** (2010). Reconciling Coherent Oscillation with Modulation of Irregular Spiking Activity in Selective Attention: Gamma-Range Synchronization between Sensory and Executive Cortical Areas. *J Neurosci* 30, 2856–2870. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4222-09.2010](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4222-09.2010)].
- Arendt T, Bigl V, Arendt A, Tennstedt A** (1983). Loss of neurons in the nucleus basalis of Meynert in Alzheimer's disease, paralysis agitans and Korsakoff's disease. *Acta Neuropathol* 61, 101–108. [[10.1007/BF00697388](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00697388)].
- Arnulfo G, Wang S, Williams N, Hirvonen J, Fato M, Nobili L, Cardinale F, Rubino A, Zhigalov A, Palva S, Palva J** (2018 ‡). Long-range phase synchronization of high-gamma activity in human cortex. *bioRxiv* 442251v2 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/442251](https://doi.org/10.1101/442251)].
- Asaad WF, Lauro PM, Perge JA, Eskandar EN** (2017). Prefrontal Neurons Encode a Solution to the Credit-Assignment Problem. *J Neurosci* 37, 6995–7007. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3311-16.2017](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3311-16.2017)].
- Assem M, Glasser MF, Essen DC Van, Duncan J** (2019 ‡). A Domain-general Cognitive Core defined in Multimodally Parcellated Human Cortex. *bioRxiv* 517599v3 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/517599](https://doi.org/10.1101/517599)].
- Aston-Jones G, Ennis M, Pieribone V, Nickell W, Shipley M** (1986). The brain nucleus locus coeruleus: restricted afferent control of a broad efferent network. *Science* 234, 734–737. [[10.1126/science.3775363](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.3775363)].
- Aston-Jones G, Cohen JD** (2005). An Integrative Theory of Locus Coeruleus-Norepinephrine Function: Adaptive Gain and Optimal Performance. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 28, 403–450. [[10.1146/annurev.neuro.28.061604.135709](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.28.061604.135709)].
- Averbeck BB, Lehman J, Jacobson M, Haber SN** (2014). Estimates of Projection Overlap and Zones of Convergence within Frontal-Striatal Circuits. *J Neurosci* 34, 9497–9505. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5806-12.2014](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5806-12.2014)].
- Azevedo FAC, Carvalho LRB, Grinberg LT, Farfel JM, Ferretti REL, Leite REP, Filho WJ, Lent R, Herculano-Houzel S** (2009). Equal numbers of neuronal and nonneuronal cells make the human brain an isometrically scaled-up primate brain. *J Comp Neurol* 513, 532–541. [[10.1002/cne.21974](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.21974)].
- Baars BJ** (1995). Tutorial Commentary: Surprisingly Small Subcortical Structures Are Needed for the State of Waking Consciousness, while Cortical Projection Areas Seem to Provide Perceptual Contents of Consciousness. *Conscious Cogn* 4, 159–162. [[10.1006/ccog.1995.1021](https://doi.org/10.1006/ccog.1995.1021)].
- Baars BJ** (2005). Global workspace theory of consciousness: toward a cognitive neuroscience of human experience. In *Prog. Brain Res. 150: The Boundaries of Consciousness: Neurobiology and Neuropathology*, p.45–53. [[10.1016/S0079-6123\(05\)50004-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(05)50004-9)].
- Baars BJ, Edelman DB** (2012). Consciousness, biology and quantum hypotheses. *Phys Life Rev* 9, 285–294. [[10.1016/j.pprev.2012.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pprev.2012.07.001)].
- Baars BJ, Franklin S, Ramsay TZ** (2013). Global Workspace Dynamics: Cortical “Binding and Propagation” Enables Conscious Contents. *Front Psychol* 4. [[10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00200](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00200)].
- Badiani A, Oates MM, Day HEW, Watson SJ, Akil H, Robinson TE** (1998). Amphetamine-Induced Behavior, Dopamine Release, and c-fos mRNA Expression: Modulation by Environmental Novelty. *J Neurosci* 18, 10579–10593. [[jneurosci.org/content/18/24/10579](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1824-98.1998)].
- Badre D, D'Esposito M** (2009). Is the rostro-caudal axis of the frontal lobe hierarchical? *Nat Rev Neurosci* 10, 659–669. [[10.1038/nrn2667](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2667)].
- Baizer JS, Ungerleider LG, Desimone R** (1991). Organization of Visual Inputs to the Inferior Temporal and Posterior Parietal Cortex in Macaques. *J Neurosci* 11, 168–190. [[jneurosci.org/content/11/1/168](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.11-01-1991.0168)].
- Balakhonov D, Rose J** (2017). Crows Rival Monkeys in Cognitive Capacity. *Sci Rep* 7:1. [[10.1038/s41598-017-09400-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09400-0)].
- Balcita-Pedicino JJ, Omelchenko N, Bell R, Sesack SR** (2011). The inhibitory influence of the lateral habenula on midbrain dopamine cells: Ultrastructural evidence for indirect mediation via the rostromedial mesopontine tegmental nucleus. *J Comp Neurol* 519, 1143–1164. [[10.1002/cne.22561](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.22561)].
- Balercia G, Kultas-Ilinsky K, Bentivoglio M, Ilinsky IA** (1996). Neuronal and synaptic organization of the centromedian nucleus of the monkey thalamus: a quantitative ultrastructural study, with tract tracing and immunohistochemical observations. *J Neurocytol* 25, 267–288. [[10.1007/BF02284802](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02284802)].
- Ballard I, Miller EM, Piantadosi ST, Goodman ND, McClure SM** (2018). Beyond Reward Prediction Errors: Human Striatum Updates Rule Values During Learning. *Cereb Cortex* 28, 3965–3975. [[10.1093/cercor/bhx259](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhx259)].
- Banks S, Dinges DF** (2007). Behavioral and Physiological Consequences of Sleep Restriction. *J Clin Sleep Med* 03, 519–528. [[10.5664/jcsm.26918](https://doi.org/10.5664/jcsm.26918)].
- Barbas H, Henion THH, Dermon CR** (1991). Diverse thalamic projections to the prefrontal cortex in the rhesus monkey. *J Comp Neurol* 313, 65–94. [[10.1002/cne.903130106](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903130106)].

- Barnes J, David AS** (2001). Visual hallucinations in Parkinson's disease: a review and phenomenological survey. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 70, 727–733. [[10.1136/jnnp.70.6.727](https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.70.6.727)].
- Barnes TD, Kubota Y, Hu D, Jin DZ, Graybiel AM** (2005). Activity of striatal neurons reflects dynamic encoding and recoding of procedural memories. *Nature* 437, 1158–1161. [[10.1038/nature04053](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04053)].
- Barone P, Batardiere A, Knoblauch K, Kennedy H** (2000). Laminar Distribution of Neurons in Extrastriate Areas Projecting to Visual Areas V1 and V4 Correlates with the Hierarchical Rank and Indicates the Operation of a Distance Rule. *J Neurosci* 20, 3263–3281. [www.jneurosci.org/content/20/9/3263].
- Barth DS, MacDonald KD** (1996). Thalamic modulation of high-frequency oscillating potentials in auditory cortex. *Nature* 383, 78–81. [[10.1038/383078a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/383078a0)].
- Barthó P, Freund TF, Acsády L** (2002). Selective GABAergic innervation of thalamic nuclei from zona incerta. *Eur J Neurosci* 16, 999–1014. [[10.1046/j.1460-9568.2002.02157.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1460-9568.2002.02157.x)].
- Barthó P, Slézia A, Varga V, Bokor H, Pinault D, Buzsáki G, Acsády L** (2007). Cortical Control of Zona Incerta. *J Neurosci* 27, 1670–1681. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3768-06.2007](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3768-06.2007)].
- Bastos AM, Vezoli J, Bosman CA, Schoffelen J-M, Oostenveld R, Dowdall JR, Weerd P De, Kennedy H, Fries P** (2015a). Visual Areas Exert Feedforward and Feedback Influences through Distinct Frequency Channels. *Neuron* 85, 390–401. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2014.12.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.12.018)].
- Bastos AM, Vezoli J, Fries P** (2015b). Communication through coherence with inter-areal delays. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 31, 173–180. [[10.1016/j.conb.2014.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2014.11.001)].
- Bastos AM, Loonis R, Kornblith S, Lundqvist M, Miller EK** (2018). Laminar recordings in frontal cortex suggest distinct layers for maintenance and control of working memory. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 115, 1117–1122. [[10.1073/pnas.1710323115](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710323115)].
- Battaglia FP, Benchenane K, Sirota A, Pennartz CMA, Wiener SI** (2011). The hippocampus: hub of brain network communication for memory. *Trends Cogn Sci* 15, 310–318. [[10.1016/j.tics.2011.05.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2011.05.008)].
- Baumgarten HG, Grozdanovic Z** (2000). Anatomy of Central Serotonergic Projection Systems. In *Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology* 129: Serotonergic Neurons and 5-HT Receptors in the CNS, p.41–89. [[10.1007/978-3-642-60921-3_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-60921-3_2)].
- Beatty JA, Song SC, Wilson CJ** (2015). Cell-type-specific resonances shape the responses of striatal neurons to synaptic input. *J Neurophysiol* 113, 688–700. [[10.1152/jn.00827.2014](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00827.2014)].
- Becker R, Hervais-Adelman A** (2019 ‡). Resolving the connectome - Spectrally-specific functional connectivity networks and their distinct contributions to behaviour. *bioRxiv* 700278v2 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/700278](https://doi.org/10.1101/700278)].
- Behan M, Lin C-S, Hall WC** (1987). The nigrotectal projection in the cat: An electron microscope autoradiographic study. *Neuroscience* 21, 529–539. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(87\)90139-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(87)90139-4)].
- Beierlein M, Connors BW** (2002). Short-Term Dynamics of Thalamocortical and Intracortical Synapses Onto Layer 6 Neurons in Neocortex. *J Neurophysiol* 88, 1924–1932. [[jn.physiology.org/content/88/4/1924](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1924)].
- Beilock SL, Carr TH, MacMahon C, Starkes JL** (2002). When paying attention becomes counterproductive: Impact of divided versus skill-focused attention on novice and experienced performance of sensorimotor skills. *J Exp Psychol Appl* 8, 6–16. [[10.1037/1076-898X.8.1.6](https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-898X.8.1.6)].
- Bell CC** (2002). Evolution of Cerebellum-Like Structures. *Brain Behav Evol* 59, 312–326. [[10.1159/000063567](https://doi.org/10.1159/000063567)].
- Benchenane K, Peyrache A, Khamassi M, Tierney PL, Gioanni Y, Battaglia FP, Wiener SI** (2010). Coherent Theta Oscillations and Reorganization of Spike Timing in the Hippocampal- Prefrontal Network upon Learning. *Neuron* 66, 921–936. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2010.05.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.05.013)].
- Benchenane K, Tiesinga PH, Battaglia FP** (2011). Oscillations in the prefrontal cortex: a gateway to memory and attention. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 21, 475–485. [[10.1016/j.conb.2011.01.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2011.01.004)].
- Bennett BD, Bolam JP** (1994). Synaptic input and output of parvalbumin-immunoreactive neurons in the neostriatum of the rat. *Neuroscience* 62, 707–719. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(94\)90471-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(94)90471-5)].
- Berendse HW, Groenewegen HJ** (1991). Restricted cortical termination fields of the midline and intralaminar thalamic nuclei in the rat. *Neuroscience* 42, 73–102. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(91\)90151-D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(91)90151-D)].
- Berger H** (1929). Über das Elektrenkephalogramm des Menschen. *Archiv f Psychiatrie* 87, 527–570. [[10.1007/BF01797193](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01797193)].
- Berger JR, Vilensky JA** (2014). Encephalitis lethargica (von Economo's encephalitis). In *Handbook of Clinical Neurology* 123: Neurovirology, p.745–761. [[10.1016/B978-0-444-53488-0.00036-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53488-0.00036-5)].
- Berke JD, Okatan M, Skurski J, Eichenbaum HB** (2004). Oscillatory Entrainment of Striatal Neurons in Freely Moving Rats. *Neuron* 43, 883–896. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2004.08.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2004.08.035)].
- Berke JD** (2008). Uncoordinated Firing Rate Changes of Striatal Fast-Spiking Interneurons during Behavioral Task Performance. *J Neurosci* 28, 10075–10080. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2192-08.2008](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2192-08.2008)].
- Berke JD** (2009). Fast oscillations in cortical-striatal networks switch frequency following rewarding events and stimulant drugs. *Eur J Neurosci* 30, 848–859. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.2009.06843.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2009.06843.x)].
- Berke JD** (2011). Functional Properties of Striatal Fast-Spiking Interneurons. *Front Syst Neurosci* 5. [[10.3389/fnsys.2011.00045](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2011.00045)].
- Berkovitch L, Del Cul A, Maheu M, Dehaene S** (2018). Impaired conscious access and abnormal attentional amplification in schizophrenia. *Neuroimage Clin* 18, 835–848. [[10.1016/j.nicl.2018.03.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2018.03.010)].
- Berman RA, Wurtz RH** (2010). Functional Identification of a Pulvinar Path from Superior Colliculus to Cortical Area MT. *J Neurosci* 30, 6342–6354. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6176-09.2010](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6176-09.2010)].

- Bernacchia A, Seo H, Lee D, Wang X-J** (2011). A reservoir of time constants for memory traces in cortical neurons. *Nat Neurosci* 14, 366–372. [[10.1038/nn.2752](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2752)].
- Bernardi S, Benna MK, Rigotti M, Munuera J, Fusi S, Salzman D** (2018 ‡). The geometry of abstraction in hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. *bioRxiv* 408633v2 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/408633](https://doi.org/10.1101/408633)].
- Berretta S, Parthasarathy HB, Graybiel AM** (1997). Local Release of GABAergic Inhibition in the Motor Cortex Induces Immediate-Early Gene Expression in Indirect Pathway Neurons of the Striatum. *J Neurosci* 17, 4752–4763. [[jneurosci.org/content/17/12/4752](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/17/12/4752)].
- Berridge CW** (2008). Noradrenergic modulation of arousal. *Brain Res Rev* 58, 1–17. [[10.1016/j.brainresrev.2007.10.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresrev.2007.10.013)].
- Bevan MD, Bolam JP, Crossman AR** (1994). Convergent Synaptic Input From the Neostriatum and the Subthalamus Onto Identified Nigrothalamic Neurons in the Rat. *Eur J Neurosci* 6, 320–334. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.1994.tb00275.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.1994.tb00275.x)].
- Bevan MD** (2002). Move to the rhythm: oscillations in the subthalamic nucleus–external globus pallidus network. *Trends Neurosci* 25, 525–531. [[10.1016/S0166-2236\(02\)02235-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(02)02235-X)].
- Bhatia KP, Marsden CD** (1994). The behavioural and motor consequences of focal lesions of the basal ganglia in man. *Brain* 117, 859–876. [[10.1093/brain/117.4.859](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/117.4.859)].
- Bi G-Q, Poo M-M** (1998). Synaptic Modifications in Cultured Hippocampal Neurons: Dependence on Spike Timing, Synaptic Strength, and Postsynaptic Cell Type. *J Neurosci* 18, 10464–10472. [[jneurosci.org/content/18/24/10464](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/18/24/10464)].
- Bi G-q, Poo M-m** (2001). Synaptic Modification by Correlated Activity: Hebb's Postulate Revisited. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 24, 139–166. [[10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.139](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.139)].
- Bickford ME, Günlük AE, Horn SC van, Sherman SM** (1994). GABAergic projection from the basal forebrain to the visual sector of the thalamic reticular nucleus in the cat. *J Comp Neurol* 348, 481–510. [[10.1002/cne.903480402](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903480402)].
- Blakemore S-J, Wolpert DM, Frith CD** (1998). Central cancellation of self-produced tickle sensation. *Nat Neurosci* 1, 635–640. [[10.1038/2870](https://doi.org/10.1038/2870)].
- Bloem B, Huda R** (2017). Two-photon imaging in mice shows striosomes and matrix have overlapping but differential reinforcement-related responses. *eLife* 6, 10.7554/eLife.32353. [[10.7554/eLife.32353](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32353)].
- Bloemendaal M, Schouwenburg MR van, Miyakawa A, Aarts E, D'Esposito M, Cools R** (2015). Dopaminergic modulation of distracter-resistance and prefrontal delay period signal. *Psychopharmacology* 232, 1061–1070. [[10.1007/s00213-014-3741-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-014-3741-9)].
- Blomeley CP, Bracci E** (2009). Serotonin excites fast-spiking interneurons in the striatum. *Eur J Neurosci* 29, 1604–1614. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.2009.06725.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2009.06725.x)].
- Blum C, Puchinger J, Raidl GR, Roli A** (2011). Hybrid metaheuristics in combinatorial optimization: A survey. *Appl Soft Comput* 11, 4135–4151. [[10.1016/j.asoc.2011.02.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2011.02.032)].
- Bodor AL, Giber K, Rovó Z, Ulbert I, Acsády L** (2008). Structural Correlates of Efficient GABAergic Transmission in the Basal Ganglia-Thalamus Pathway. *J Neurosci* 28, 3090–3102. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5266-07.2008](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5266-07.2008)].
- Bogacz R, Gurney K** (2007). The Basal Ganglia and Cortex Implement Optimal Decision Making Between Alternative Actions. *Neural Comput* 19, 442–477. [[10.1162/neco.2007.19.2.442](https://doi.org/10.1162/neco.2007.19.2.442)].
- Bogen JE** (1995). On the Neurophysiology of Consciousness: 1. An Overview. *Conscious Cogn* 4, 52–62. [[10.1006/ccog.1995.1003](https://doi.org/10.1006/ccog.1995.1003)].
- Bolam JP, Hanley JJ, Booth PAC, Bevan MD** (2000). Synaptic organisation of the basal ganglia. *J Anatomy* 196, 527–542. [[10.1046/j.1469-7580.2000.19640527.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-7580.2000.19640527.x)].
- Bolkan SS, Stujenske JM, Parnaudeau S, Spellman TJ, Rauffenbart C, Abbas AI, Harris AZ, Gordon JA, Kellendonk C** (2017). Thalamic projections sustain prefrontal activity during working memory maintenance. *Nat Neurosci* 20, 987–996. [[10.1038/nn.4568](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4568)].
- Boly M, Garrido MI, Gosseries O, Bruno M-A, Boveroux P, Schnakers C, Massimini M, Litvak V, Laureys S, Friston K** (2011). Preserved Feedforward But Impaired Top-Down Processes in the Vegetative State. *Science* 332, 858–862. [[10.1126/science.1202043](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1202043)].
- Boraud T, Brown P, Goldberg JA, Graybiel AM, Magill PJ** (2005). Oscillations in the Basal Ganglia: The good, the bad, and the unexpected. In *Advances in Behavioral Biology 56: The Basal Ganglia VIII*, p.1–24. [[10.1007/0-387-28066-9_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-28066-9_1)].
- Bostan AC, Strick PL** (2010). The Cerebellum and Basal Ganglia are Interconnected. *Neuropsychol Rev* 20, 261–270. [[10.1007/s11065-010-9143-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11065-010-9143-9)].
- Bostan AC, Dum RP, Strick PL** (2013). Cerebellar networks with the cerebral cortex and basal ganglia. *Trends Cogn Sci* 17, 241–254. [[10.1016/j.tics.2013.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2013.03.003)].
- Bostan AC, Strick PL** (2018). The basal ganglia and the cerebellum: nodes in an integrated network. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 19, 338–350. [[10.1038/s41583-018-0002-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-018-0002-7)].
- Bourgeois JA, Thomas D, Johansen T, Walker DM** (1998). Visual Hallucinations Associated With Fluoxetine and Sertraline. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 18, 482–483. [[10.1097/00004714-199812000-00012](https://doi.org/10.1097/00004714-199812000-00012)].
- Bracci E, Panzeri S** (2005). Excitatory GABAergic Effects in Striatal Projection Neurons. *J Neurophysiol* 95, 1285–1290. [[10.1152/jn.00598.2005](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00598.2005)].
- Bradfield LA, Bertran-Gonzalez J, Chieng B, Balleine BW** (2013). The Thalamostriatal Pathway and Cholinergic Control of Goal-Directed Action: Interlacing New with Existing Learning in the Striatum. *Neuron* 79, 153–166. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2013.04.039](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.04.039)].
- Braga RM, Buckner RL** (2017). Parallel Interdigitated Distributed Networks within the Individual Estimated by Intrinsic Functional Connectivity. *Neuron* 95, 457–471.e5. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2017.06.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.06.038)].
- Braga RM** (2019). Parallel distributed networks resolved at high resolution reveal close juxtaposition of distinct regions. *J Neurophysiol* 121, 1513–1534. [[10.1152/jn.00808.2018](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00808.2018)].

- Braitenberg V** (1961). Functional Interpretation of Cerebellar Histology. *Nature* 190, 539–540. [[10.1038/190539b0](https://doi.org/10.1038/190539b0)].
- Braitenberg V, Heck D, Sultan F** (1997). The detection and generation of sequences as a key to cerebellar function: Experiments and theory. *Behav Brain Sci* 20, 229–245. [[10.1017/S0140525X9700143X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X9700143X)].
- Braun U, Harneit A, Pergola G, Menara T, Schaefer A, Betzel RF, Zang Z, Schweiger JI, Schwarz K, Chen J, Blasi G, Bertolino A, Durstewitz D, Pasqualetti F, Schwarz E, Meyer-Lindenberg A, Bassett DS, Tost H** (2019 ‡). Brain state stability during working memory is explained by network control theory, modulated by dopamine D1/D2 receptor function, and diminished in schizophrenia. *bioRxiv* 679670v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/679670](https://doi.org/10.1101/679670)].
- Braver TS, Cohen JD** (1999). Dopamine, cognitive control, and schizophrenia: the gating model. In *Prog. Brain Res.* 121: *Disorders of Brain, Behavior and Cognition: The neurocomputational Perspective*, p.327–349. [[10.1016/S0079-6123\(08\)63082-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(08)63082-4)].
- Brefel-Courbon C, Payoux P, Ory F, Sommet A, Slaoui T, Raboyeau G, Lemesle B, Puel M, Montastruc J-L, Demonet J-F, Cardebat D** (2007). Clinical and imaging evidence of zolpidem effect in hypoxic encephalopathy. *Ann Neurol* 62, 102–105. [[10.1002/ana.21110](https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.21110)].
- Bressler SL** (1995). Large-scale cortical networks and cognition. *Brain Res Rev* 20, 288–304. [[10.1016/0165-0173\(94\)00016-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0173(94)00016-1)].
- Bressler SL, Richter CG** (2015). Interareal oscillatory synchronization in top-down neocortical processing. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 31, 62–66. [[10.1016/j.conb.2014.08.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2014.08.010)].
- Brittain J-S, Brown P** (2014). Oscillations and the basal ganglia: Motor control and beyond. *NeuroImage* 85, 637–647. [[10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.084](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.084)].
- Brodal P, Bjaalie JG** (1997). Chapter 13 Salient anatomic features of the cortico-ponto-cerebellar pathway. In *Prog. Brain Res.* 114: *The Cerebellum: From Structure to Control*, p.227–249. [[10.1016/S0079-6123\(08\)63367-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(08)63367-1)].
- Brog JS, Salyapongse A, Deutch AY, Zahm DS** (1993). The patterns of afferent innervation of the core and shell in the “Accumbens” part of the rat ventral striatum: Immunohistochemical detection of retrogradely transported fluoro-gold. *J Comp Neurol* 338, 255–278. [[10.1002/cne.903380209](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903380209)].
- Bromberg-Martin ES, Matsumoto M, Hikosaka O** (2010). Dopamine in Motivational Control: Rewarding, Aversive, and Alerting. *Neuron* 68, 815–834. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2010.11.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.11.022)].
- Bronstein AM, Kennard C** (1985). Predictive Ocular Motor Control in Parkinson's Disease. *Brain* 108, 925–940. [[10.1093/brain/108.4.925](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/108.4.925)].
- Brovelli A, Ding M, Ledberg A, Chen Y, Nakamura R, Bressler SL** (2004). Beta oscillations in a large-scale sensorimotor cortical network: Directional influences revealed by Granger causality. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 101, 9849–9854. [[10.1073/pnas.0308538101](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0308538101)].
- Brown JW, Taheri A, Kenyon RV, Berger-Wolf T, Llano DA** (2019 ‡). Propagation of cortical activity via open-loop intrathalamic architectures: a computational analysis. *bioRxiv* 574178v2 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/574178](https://doi.org/10.1101/574178)].
- Brown LS, Curto C** (2019 ‡). Periodic Codes and Sound Localization. *arXiv* 1906.06006v1 [q-bio.NC].
- Brown P, Oliviero A, Mazzone P, Insola A, Tonali P, Lazzaro V Di** (2001). Dopamine Dependency of Oscillations between Subthalamic Nucleus and Pallidum in Parkinson's Disease. *J Neurosci* 21, 1033–1038. [[jneurosci.org/content/21/3/1033](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2133-01.2001)].
- Brown P, Eusebio A** (2008). Paradoxes of functional neurosurgery: Clues from basal ganglia recordings. *Mov Disord* 23, 12–20. [[10.1002/mds.21796](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.21796)].
- Brüne M, Bodenstein L** (2005). Proverb comprehension reconsidered—‘theory of mind’ and the pragmatic use of language in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 75, 233–239. [[10.1016/j.schres.2004.11.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2004.11.006)].
- Buford JA, Inase M, Anderson ME** (1996). Contrasting Locations of Pallidal-Receiving Neurons and Microexcitable Zones in Primate Thalamus. *J Neurophysiol* 75, 1105–1116. [[jn.physiology.org/content/75/3/1105/](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1996.75.3.1105)].
- Buonomano DV, Merzenich MM** (1998). Cortical Plasticity: From Synapses to Maps. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 21, 149–186. [[10.1146/annurev.neuro.21.1.149](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.21.1.149)].
- Burgos-Robles A, Kimchi EY, Izadmehr EM, Porzenheim MJ, Ramos-Guasp WA, Nieh EH, Felix-Ortiz AC, Namburi P, Leppla CA, Presbrey KN, Anandalingam KK, Pagan-Rivera PA, Anahtar M, Beyeler A, Tye KM** (2017). Amygdala inputs to prefrontal cortex guide behavior amid conflicting cues of reward and punishment. *Nat Neurosci* 20, 824–835. [[10.1038/nn.4553](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4553)].
- Burman DD** (2018‡). Hippocampal connectivity with sensorimotor cortex during volitional finger movements. II. Spatial and temporal selectivity. *bioRxiv* 479436v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/479436](https://doi.org/10.1101/479436)].
- Burman DD, Davis T** (2019). Hippocampal connectivity with sensorimotor cortex during volitional finger movements: Laterality and relationship to motor learning. *PLoS ONE* 14:9, e0222064. [[10.1371/journal.pone.0222064](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0222064)].
- Buschman TJ, Miller EK** (2007). Top-Down Versus Bottom-Up Control of Attention in the Prefrontal and Posterior Parietal Cortices. *Science* 315, 1860–1862. [[10.1126/science.1138071](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1138071)].
- Bush EC, Allman JM** (2003). The Scaling of White Matter to Gray Matter in Cerebellum and Neocortex. *Brain Behav Evol* 61, 1–5. [[10.1159/000068880](https://doi.org/10.1159/000068880)].
- Buzsáki G, Traub RD, Pedley T** (2003). The cellular basis of EEG activity. In *Current Practice of Clinical Electroencephalography, 3rd Ed.* Ebersole JS, Pedley TA, eds. (Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins), p.1–11.
- Buzsáki G, Anastassiou CA, Koch C** (2012). The origin of extracellular fields and currents — EEG, ECoG, LFP and spikes. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 13, 407–420. [[10.1038/nrn3241](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3241)].
- Buzsáki G, Logothetis N, Singer W** (2013). Scaling Brain Size, Keeping Timing: Evolutionary Preservation of Brain Rhythms. *Neuron* 80, 751–764. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2013.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.10.002)].

- Caballero JA, Humphries MD, Gurney KN, Daunizeau J** (2018). A probabilistic, distributed, recursive mechanism for decision-making in the brain. *PLoS Comput Biol* 14:4, e1006033. [[10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006033](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006033)].
- Calabresi P, Centonze D, Gubellini P, Pisani A, Bernardi G** (1998). Endogenous ACh enhances striatal NMDA-responses via M1-like muscarinic receptors and PKC activation. *Eur J Neurosci* 10, 2887–2895. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.1998.00294.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.1998.00294.x)].
- Calabresi P, Centonze D, Gubellini P, Pisani A, Bernardi G** (2000). Acetylcholine-mediated modulation of striatal function. *Trends Neurosci* 23, 120–126. [[10.1016/S0166-2236\(99\)01501-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(99)01501-5)].
- Calude CS, Svozil K** (2008). Quantum Randomness and Value Indefiniteness. *adv sci lett* 1, 165–168. [[10.1166/asl.2008.016](https://doi.org/10.1166/asl.2008.016)].
- Calzavara R, Maily P, Haber SN** (2007). Relationship between the corticostriatal terminals from areas 9 and 46, and those from area 8A, dorsal and rostral premotor cortex and area 24c: an anatomical substrate for cognition to action. *Eur J Neurosci* 26, 2005–2024. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.2007.05825.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2007.05825.x)].
- Cameron HA, McKay RDG** (2001). Adult neurogenesis produces a large pool of new granule cells in the dentate gyrus. *J Comp Neurol* 435, 406–417. [[10.1002/cne.1040](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.1040)].
- Caminiti R, Ghaziri H, Galuske R, Hof PR, Innocenti GM** (2009). Evolution amplified processing with temporally dispersed slow neuronal connectivity in primates. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 106, 19551–19556. [[10.1073/pnas.0907655106](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0907655106)].
- Cannon J, McCarthy MM, Lee S, Lee J, Börgers C, Whittington MA, Kopell N** (2014). Neurosystems: brain rhythms and cognitive processing. *Eur J Neurosci* 39, 705–719. [[10.1111/ejn.12453](https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.12453)].
- Carpenter WT, Buchanan RW, Kirkpatrick B, Breier AF** (1999). Diazepam Treatment of Early Signs of Exacerbation in Schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 156, 299–303. [[ajp.psychiatryonline.org/doi/pdf/10.1176/ajp.156.2.299](https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.156.2.299)].
- Carter CS, Veen V van** (2007). Anterior cingulate cortex and conflict detection: An update of theory and data. *Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci* 7, 367–379. [[10.3758/CABN.7.4.367](https://doi.org/10.3758/CABN.7.4.367)].
- Carter OL, Burr DC, Pettigrew JD, Wallis GM, Hasler F, Vollenweider FX** (2005). Using Psilocybin to Investigate the Relationship between Attention, Working Memory, and the Serotonin 1A and 2A Receptors. *J Cogn Neurosci* 17, 1497–1508. [[10.1162/089892905774597191](https://doi.org/10.1162/089892905774597191)].
- Caruso VC, Mohl JT, Glynn C, Lee J, Willett SM, Zaman A, Ebihara AF, Estrada R, Freiwald WA, Tokdar ST, Groh JM** (2018). Single neurons may encode simultaneous stimuli by switching between activity patterns. *Nat Commun* 9:1. [[10.1038/s41467-018-05121-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05121-8)].
- Caspar EA, Christensen JF, Cleeremans A, Haggard P** (2016). Coercion Changes the Sense of Agency in the Human Brain. *Curr Biol* 26, 585–592. [[10.1016/j.cub.2015.12.067](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.12.067)].
- Castaigne P, Lhermitte F, Buge A, Escourolle R, Hauw JJ, Lyon-Caen O** (1981). Paramedian thalamic and midbrain infarcts: Clinical and neuropathological study. *Ann Neurol* 10, 127–148. [[10.1002/ana.410100204](https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.410100204)].
- Cella M, Preti A, Edwards C, Dow T, Wykes T** (2017). Cognitive remediation for negative symptoms of schizophrenia: A network meta-analysis. *Clin Psychol Rev* 52, 43–51. [[10.1016/j.cpr.2016.11.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2016.11.009)].
- Chafee MV, Goldman-Rakic PS** (1998). Matching Patterns of Activity in Primate Prefrontal Area 8a and Parietal Area 7ip Neurons During a Spatial Working Memory Task. *J Neurophysiol* 79, 2919–2940. [[jn.physiology.org/content/79/6/2919](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1998.79.6.2919)].
- Chartove JAK, McCarthy MM, Pittman-Polletta BR, Kopell NJ, Migliore M** (2020). A biophysical model of striatal microcircuits suggests gamma and beta oscillations interleaved at delta/theta frequencies mediate periodicity in motor control. *PLoS Comput Biol* 16:2, e1007300. [[10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007300](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007300)].
- Chatham CH, Badre D** (2015). Multiple gates on working memory. *Curr Opin Behav Sci* 1, 23–31. [[10.1016/j.cobeha.2014.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2014.08.001)].
- Cheng W, Palaniyappan L, Li M, Kendrick KM, Zhang J, Luo Q, Liu Z, Yu R, Deng W, Wang Q, Ma X, Guo W, Francis S, Liddle P, Mayer AR, Schumann G, Li T, Feng J** (2015). Voxel-based, brain-wide association study of aberrant functional connectivity in schizophrenia implicates thalamocortical circuitry. *NPJ Schizophr* 1, npjschz201516. [[10.1038/npjSchz.2015.16](https://doi.org/10.1038/npjSchz.2015.16)].
- Cheron G, Márquez-Ruiz J, Dan B** (2016). Oscillations, Timing, Plasticity, and Learning in the Cerebellum. *Cerebellum* 15, 122–138. [[10.1007/s12311-015-0665-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12311-015-0665-9)].
- Chevalier G, Deniau JM** (1990). Disinhibition as a basic process in the expression of striatal functions. *Trends Neurosci* 13, 277–280. [[10.1016/0166-2236\(90\)90109-N](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(90)90109-N)].
- Christoff K, Gabrieli JD** (2000). The frontopolar cortex and human cognition: Evidence for a rostrocaudal hierarchical organization within the human prefrontal cortex. *Psychobiology* 28, 168–186. [[link.springer.com/article/10.3758/BF03331976](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12311-015-0665-9)].
- Churchland MM, Cunningham JP, Kaufman MT, Foster JD, Nuyujukian P, Ryu SI, Shenoy KV** (2012). Neural population dynamics during reaching. *Nature* 487, 51–56. [[10.1038/nature11129](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11129)].
- Cieslik EC, Zilles K, Caspers S, Roski C, Kellermann TS, Jakobs O, Langner R, Laird AR, Fox PT, Eickhoff SB** (2013). Is There "One" DLPFC in Cognitive Action Control? Evidence for Heterogeneity From Co-Activation-Based Parcellation. *Cereb Cortex* 23, 2677–2689. [[10.1093/cercor/bhs256](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhs256)].
- Ciullo V, Spalletta G, Caltagirone C, Jorge RE, Piras F** (2016). Explicit Time Deficit in Schizophrenia: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Indicate It Is Primary and Not Domain Specific. *Schizophr Bull* 42, 505–518. [[10.1093/schbul/sbv104](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbv104)].
- Clarke H, Walker S, Dalley J, Robbins T, Roberts A** (2006). Cognitive Inflexibility after Prefrontal Serotonin Depletion Is Behaviorally and Neurochemically Specific. *Cereb Cortex* 17, 18–27. [[10.1093/cercor/bhj120](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhj120)].
- Clascá F, Rubio-Garrido P, Jabaudon D** (2012). Unveiling the diversity of thalamocortical neuron subtypes. *Eur J Neurosci* 35, 1524–1532. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.2012.08033.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2012.08033.x)].

- Clower DM, Dum RP, Strick PL** (2005). Basal Ganglia and Cerebellar Inputs to 'AIP' *Cereb Cortex* 15, 913–920. [[10.1093/cercor/bhh190](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhh190)].
- Colder B** (2015). The basal ganglia select the expected sensory input used for predictive coding. *Front Comput Neurosci* 9. [[10.3389/fncom.2015.00119](https://doi.org/10.3389/fncom.2015.00119)].
- Cole MW, Pathak S, Schneider W** (2010). Identifying the brain's most globally connected regions. *NeuroImage* 49, 3132–3148. [[10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.11.001)].
- Cole SR, Voytek B** (2017). Brain Oscillations and the Importance of Waveform Shape. *Trends Cogn Sci* 21, 137–149. [[10.1016/j.tics.2016.12.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2016.12.008)].
- Coleman JRI, Bryois J, Gaspar HA, Jansen PR, Savage JE, Skene N, Plomin R, Muñoz-Manchado AB, Linnarsson S, Crawford G, Hjerling-Leffler J, Sullivan PF, Posthuma D, Breen G** (2019). Biological annotation of genetic loci associated with intelligence in a meta-analysis of 87,740 individuals. *Mol Psychiatry* 24, 182–197. [[10.1038/s41380-018-0040-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-018-0040-6)].
- Colgin LL** (2011). Oscillations and hippocampal–prefrontal synchrony. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 21, 467–474. [[10.1016/j.conb.2011.04.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2011.04.006)].
- Collin G, Kahn RS, Reus MA de, Cahn W, Heuvel MP van den** (2014). Impaired Rich Club Connectivity in Unaffected Siblings of Schizophrenia Patients. *Schizophr Bull* 40, 438–448. [[10.1093/schbul/sbt162](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbt162)].
- Contant C, Umbriaco D, Garcia S, Watkins KC, Descarries L** (1996). Ultrastructural characterization of the acetylcholine innervation in adult rat neostriatum. *Neuroscience* 71, 937–947. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(95\)00507-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(95)00507-2)].
- Conte-Perales L, Rico AJ, Barroso-Chinea P, Gómez-Bautista V, Roda E, Luquin N, Sierra S, Lanciego JL** (2011). Pallidothalamic-projecting neurons in Macaca fascicularis co-express GABAergic and glutamatergic markers as seen in control, MPTP-treated and dyskinetic monkeys. *Brain Struct Funct* 216, 371–386. [[10.1007/s00429-011-0319-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-011-0319-8)].
- Contreras D, Destexhe A, Sejnowski TJ, Steriade M** (1997). Spatiotemporal Patterns of Spindle Oscillations in Cortex and Thalamus. *J Neurosci* 17, 1179–1196. [[jneurosci.org/content/17/3/1179](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4312-03.2004)].
- Cools R, Clark L, Robbins TW** (2004). Differential Responses in Human Striatum and Prefrontal Cortex to Changes in Object and Rule Relevance. *J Neurosci* 24, 1129–1135. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4312-03.2004](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4312-03.2004)].
- Corbetta M, Akbudak E, Conturo TE, Snyder AZ, Ollinger JM, Drury HA, Linenweber MR, Petersen SE, Raichle ME, Essen DC Van, Shulman GL** (1998). A Common Network of Functional Areas for Attention and Eye Movements. *Neuron* 21, 761–773. [[10.1016/S0896-6273\(00\)80593-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80593-0)].
- Corkin S** (2002). What's new with the amnesic patient H.M.? *Nat Rev Neurosci* 3, 153–160. [[10.1038/nrn726](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn726)].
- Cornwall J, Phillipson OT** (1988). Afferent projections to the parafascicular thalamic nucleus of the rat, as shown by the retrograde transport of wheat germ agglutinin. *Brain Res Bull* 20, 139–150. [[10.1016/0361-9230\(88\)90171-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-9230(88)90171-2)].
- Costa RM, Lin S-C, Sotnikova TD, Cyr M, Gainetdinov RR, Caron MG, Nicolelis MAL** (2006). Rapid Alterations in Corticostriatal Ensemble Coordination during Acute Dopamine-Dependent Motor Dysfunction. *Neuron* 52, 359–369. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2006.07.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2006.07.030)].
- Cote P-Y, Sadikot AF, Parent A** (1991). Complementary Distribution of Calbindin D-28k and Parvalbumin in the Basal Forebrain and Midbrain of the Squirrel Monkey. *Eur J Neurosci* 3, 1316–1329. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.1991.tb00064.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.1991.tb00064.x)].
- Courtemanche R, Fujii N, Graybiel AM** (2003). Synchronous, Focally Modulated β -Band Oscillations Characterize Local Field Potential Activity in the Striatum of Awake Behaving Monkeys. *J Neurosci* 23, 11741–11752. [[jneurosci.org/content/23/37/11741](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23/37/11741)].
- Courtemanche R, Lamarre Y** (2005). Local Field Potential Oscillations in Primate Cerebellar Cortex: Synchronization With Cerebral Cortex During Active and Passive Expectancy. *J Neurophysiol* 93, 2039–2052. [[10.1152/jn.00080.2004](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00080.2004)].
- Courtemanche R, Robinson JC, Aponte DI** (2013). Linking oscillations in cerebellar circuits. *Front Neural Circuits* 7. [[10.3389/fncir.2013.00125](https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2013.00125)].
- Cowan RL, Wilson CJ** (1994). Spontaneous Firing Patterns and Axonal Projections of Single Corticostriatal Neurons in the Rat Medial Agranular Cortex. *J Neurophysiol* 71, 17–32. [[jn.physiology.org/content/71/1/17](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1994.71.1.17)].
- Craig BT, Morrill A, Anderson B, Danckert J, Striemer CL** (2019‡). Cerebellar lesions disrupt spatial and temporal visual attention. *bioRxiv* 822635v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/822635](https://doi.org/10.1101/822635)].
- Crandall SR, Cruikshank SJ, Connors BW** (2015). A Corticothalamic Switch: Controlling the Thalamus with Dynamic Synapses. *Neuron* 86, 768–782. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2015.03.040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.03.040)].
- Crapse TB, Sommer MA** (2008). Corollary discharge circuits in the primate brain. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 18, 552–557. [[10.1016/j.conb.2008.09.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2008.09.017)].
- Crick FC, Koch C** (2005). What is the function of the claustrum? *Philos Trans R Soc Lond, B, Biol Sci* 360, 1271–1279. [[10.1098/rstb.2005.1661](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1661)].
- Crittenden JR, Tillberg PW, Riad MH, Shima Y, Gerfen CR, Curry J, Housman DE, Nelson SB, Boyden ES, Graybiel AM** (2016). Striosome–dendron bouquets highlight a unique striatonigral circuit targeting dopamine-containing neurons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113, 11318–11323. [[10.1073/pnas.1613337113](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1613337113)].
- Cronenwett WJ, Csernansky J** (2010). Thalamic Pathology in Schizophrenia. In *Current Topics in Behavioral Neurosciences 4: Behavioral Neurobiology of Schizophrenia and Its Treatment*, p.509–528. [[10.1007/7854_2010_55](https://doi.org/10.1007/7854_2010_55)].
- Crossley NA, Mechelli A, Scott J, Carletti F, Fox PT, McGuire P, Bullmore ET** (2014). The hubs of the human connectome are generally implicated in the anatomy of brain disorders. *Brain* 137, 2382–2395. [[10.1093/brain/awu132](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awu132)].
- Cui G, Jun SB, Jin X, Pham MD, Vogel SS, Lovinger DM, Costa RM** (2013). Concurrent activation of striatal direct and indirect pathways during action initiation. *Nature* 494, 238–242. [[10.1038/nature11846](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11846)].

- Cunningham ET, Levay S** (1986). Laminar and synaptic organization of the projection from the thalamic nucleus centralis to primary visual cortex in the cat. *J Comp Neurol* 254, 65–77. [[10.1002/cne.902540106](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902540106)].
- Curtis CE, D'Esposito M** (2003). Persistent activity in the prefrontal cortex during working memory. *Trends Cogn Sci* 7, 415–423. [[10.1016/S1364-6613\(03\)00197-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(03)00197-9)].
- Damasio AR** (1989). Time-locked multiregional retroactivation: A systems-level proposal for the neural substrates of recall and recognition. *Cognition* 33, 25–62. [[10.1016/0010-0277\(89\)90005-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277(89)90005-X)].
- Dandash O, Pantelis C, Fornito A** (2017). Dopamine, fronto-striato-thalamic circuits and risk for psychosis. *Schizophr Res* 180, 48–57. [[10.1016/j.schres.2016.08.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2016.08.020)].
- Dave S, VanHaerents S, Voss J** (2020‡). Cerebellar theta and beta noninvasive stimulation rhythms differentially influence episodic memory versus language. *bioRxiv* 2020.02.20.958397v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/2020.02.20.958397](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.20.958397)].
- Davies MF, Deisz RA, Prince DA, Peroutka SJ** (1987). Two distinct effects of 5-hydroxytryptamine on single cortical neurons. *Brain Res* 423, 347–352. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(87\)90861-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(87)90861-4)].
- Daw ND, Kakade S, Dayan P** (2002). Opponent interactions between serotonin and dopamine. *Neural Net* 15, 603–616. [[10.1016/S0893-6080\(02\)00052-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0893-6080(02)00052-7)].
- DeCoteau WE, Thorn C, Gibson DJ, Courtemanche R, Mitra P, Kubota Y, Graybiel AM** (2007). Learning-related coordination of striatal and hippocampal theta rhythms during acquisition of a procedural maze task. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 104, 5644–5649. [[10.1073/pnas.0700818104](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0700818104)].
- Dedic J, Okur HI, Roke S** (2019). Polyelectrolytes induce water-water correlations that result in dramatic viscosity changes and nuclear quantum effects. *Sci Adv* 5:12, eaay1443. [[10.1126/sciadv.aay1443](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aay1443)].
- DeFelipe J, Conley M, Jones EG** (1986). Long-Range Focal Collateralization of Axons Arising from Corticocortical Cells in Monkey Sensory-Motor Cortex. *J Neurosci* 6, 3749–3786. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0612-86.1986](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0612-86.1986)].
- Deffains M, Iskhakova L, Bergman H** (2016). Stop and Think about Basal Ganglia Functional Organization: The Pallido-Striatal “Stop” Route. *Neuron* 89, 237–239. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2016.01.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.01.003)].
- Dehaene S, Naccache L** (2001). Towards a cognitive neuroscience of consciousness: basic evidence and a workspace framework. *Cognition* 79, 1–37. [[10.1016/S0010-0277\(00\)00123-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-0277(00)00123-2)].
- Dehaene S, Changeux J-P** (2011). Experimental and Theoretical Approaches to Conscious Processing. *Neuron* 70, 200–227. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2011.03.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.03.018)].
- Deister CA, Dodla R, Barraza D, Kita H, Wilson CJ** (2013). Firing rate and pattern heterogeneity in the globus pallidus arise from a single neuronal population. *J Neurophysiol* 109, 497–506. [[10.1152/jn.00677.2012](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00677.2012)].
- Delevich K, Tucciarone J, Huang ZJ, Li B** (2015). The Mediodorsal Thalamus Drives Feedforward Inhibition in the Anterior Cingulate Cortex via Parvalbumin Interneurons. *J Neurosci* 35, 5743–5753. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4565-14.2015](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4565-14.2015)].
- DeLong MR, Georgopoulos AP** (2011). Motor Functions of the Basal Ganglia. In *Comprehensive Physiology* (Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.), p.1017–1061. [[10.1002/cphy.cp010221](https://doi.org/10.1002/cphy.cp010221)].
- Dempsey EW, Morison RS** (1941). The Production of Rhythmically Recurrent Cortical Potentials after Localized Thalamic Stimulation. *Am J Physiol* 135, 293–300. [[ajph.aphipublications.org/content/135/2/293/](https://ajph.aphipublications.org/content/135/2/293)].
- Deng W, Aimone JB, Gage FH** (2010). New neurons and new memories: how does adult hippocampal neurogenesis affect learning and memory? *Nat Rev Neurosci* 11, 339–350. [[10.1038/nrn2822](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2822)].
- Deschênes M, Bourassa J, Pinault D** (1994). Corticothalamic projections from layer V cells in rat are collaterals of long-range corticofugal axons. *Brain Res* 664, 215–219. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(94\)91974-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(94)91974-7)].
- Deschênes M, Bourassa J, Doan VD, Parent A** (1996). A Single-cell Study of the Axonal Projections Arising from the Posterior Intralaminar Thalamic Nuclei in the Rat. *Eur J Neurosci* 8, 329–343. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.1996.tb01217.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.1996.tb01217.x)].
- Deschênes M, Veinante P, Zhang Z-W** (1998). The organization of corticothalamic projections: reciprocity versus parity. *Brain Res Rev* 28, 286–308. [[10.1016/S0165-0173\(98\)00017-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0173(98)00017-4)].
- Desrochers TM, Amemori K-i, Graybiel AM** (2015). Habit Learning by Naive Macaques Is Marked by Response Sharpening of Striatal Neurons Representing the Cost and Outcome of Acquired Action Sequences. *Neuron* 87, 853–868. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2015.07.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.07.019)].
- DeWeese MR, Wehr M, Zador AM** (2003). Binary Spiking in Auditory Cortex. *J Neurosci* 23, 7940–7949. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-21-07940.2003](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-21-07940.2003)].
- DeYoung CG, Grazioplene RG, Peterson JB** (2012). From madness to genius: The Openness/Intellect trait domain as a paradoxical simplex. *J Res Pers* 46, 63–78. [[10.1016/j.jrp.2011.12.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2011.12.003)].
- DeYoung CG** (2015). Openness/intellect: A dimension of personality reflecting cognitive exploration.. In *APA handbook of personality and social psychology, Volume 4: Personality processes and individual differences*. (Washington: American Psychological Association), p.369–399. [[10.1037/14343-017](https://doi.org/10.1037/14343-017)].
- Dhawale AK, Wolff SBE, Ko R, Ölveczky BP** (2019‡). The basal ganglia can control learned motor sequences independently of motor cortex. *bioRxiv* 827261v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/827261](https://doi.org/10.1101/827261)].
- Diesmann M, Gewaltig M-O, Aertsen A** (1999). Stable propagation of synchronous spiking in cortical neural networks. *Nature* 402, 529–533. [[10.1038/990101](https://doi.org/10.1038/990101)].
- Difiglia M, Pasik P, Pasik T** (1982). A Golgi and ultrastructural study of the monkey globus pallidus. *J Comp Neurol* 212, 53–75. [[10.1002/cne.902120105](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902120105)].

- Ding J, Peterson JD, Surmeier DJ** (2008). Corticostriatal and Thalamostriatal Synapses Have Distinctive Properties. *J Neurosci* 28, 6483–6492. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0435-08.2008](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0435-08.2008)].
- Ding L, Gold JI** (2013). The Basal Ganglia's Contributions to Perceptual Decision Making. *Neuron* 79, 640–649. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2013.07.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.07.042)].
- Djurfeldt M, Ekeberg Ö, Graybiel AM** (2001). Cortex–basal ganglia interaction and attractor states. *Neurocomputing* 38–40, 573–579. [[10.1016/S0925-2312\(01\)00413-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-2312(01)00413-1)].
- Donahue CH, Liu M, Kreitzer A** (2018‡). Distinct value encoding in striatal direct and indirect pathways during adaptive learning. *bioRxiv* 277855v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/277855](https://doi.org/10.1101/277855)].
- Donoghue JA, Bastos AM, Yanar J, Kornblith S, Mahnke M, Brown EN, Miller EK** (2019‡). Neural signatures of loss of consciousness and its recovery by thalamic stimulation. *bioRxiv* 806687v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/806687](https://doi.org/10.1101/806687)].
- Doron G, Shin JN, Takahashi N, Bocklisch C, Skenderi S, Drüke M, Mont L de, Toumazo M, Heimendahl M von, Brecht M, Naud R, Larkum ME** (2019‡). Perirhinal input to neocortical layer 1 controls learning. *bioRxiv* 713883v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/713883](https://doi.org/10.1101/713883)].
- Dotson NM, Salazar RF, Gray CM** (2014). Frontoparietal Correlation Dynamics Reveal Interplay between Integration and Segregation during Visual Working Memory. *J Neurosci* 34, 13600–13613. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1961-14.2014](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1961-14.2014)].
- Doty RW** (1983). Nongeniculate afferents to striate cortex in macaques. *J Comp Neurol* 218, 159–173. [[10.1002/cne.902180204](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902180204)].
- Doupe A, Perkel D, Reiner A, Stern E** (2005). Birdbrains could teach basal ganglia research a new song. *Trends Neurosci* 28, 353–363. [[10.1016/j.tins.2005.05.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2005.05.005)].
- Dressel J** (2015). Weak values as interference phenomena. *Phys Rev A* 91:3. [[10.1103/PhysRevA.91.032116](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.032116)].
- Dringenberg HC, Vanderwolf CH** (1997). Neocortical activation: modulation by multiple pathways acting on central cholinergic and serotonergic systems. *Exp Brain Res* 116, 160–174. [[10.1007/PL00005736](https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00005736)].
- Dringenberg HC, Vanderwolf CH** (1998). Involvement of Direct and Indirect Pathways in Electrocorticographic Activation. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 22, 243–257. [[10.1016/S0149-7634\(97\)00012-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0149-7634(97)00012-2)].
- Early TS, Reiman EM, Raichle ME, Spitznagel EL** (1987). Left globus pallidus abnormality in never-medicated patients with schizophrenia. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 84, 561–563. [[10.1073/pnas.84.2.561](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.84.2.561)].
- Ebitz B, Smith E, Horga G, Schevon C, Yates M, McKhann G, Botvinick M, Sheth S, Hayden B** (2020 ‡). Human dorsal anterior cingulate neurons signal conflict by amplifying task-relevant information. *bioRxiv* 2020.03.14.991745v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/2020.03.14.991745](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.14.991745)].
- Eblen F, Graybiel AM** (1995). Highly Restricted Origin of Prefrontal Cortical Inputs to Striosomes in the Macaque Monkey. *J Neurosci* 15, 5999–6013. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.15.9.5999](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.15.9.5999)].
- Edelstein LR, Denaro FJ** (2004). The Claustrum: a Historical Review of Its Anatomy, Physiology, Cytochemistry and Functional Significance. *Cell Mol Biol* 50, 675–702. [www.researchgate.net/publication/8087100_The_claustrum_A_historical_review_of_its_anatomy_physiology_cytochemistry_and_functional_significance].
- Eichenbaum H, Cohen NJ** (2014). Can We Reconcile the Declarative Memory and Spatial Navigation Views on Hippocampal Function? *Neuron* 83, 764–770. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2014.07.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.07.032)].
- Eichenbaum H** (2017). Memory: Organization and Control. *Annu Rev Psychol* 68, 19–45. [[10.1146/annurev-psych-010416-044131](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010416-044131)].
- Eid L, Parent M** (2015). Cholinergic neurons intrinsic to the primate external pallidum. *Synapse* 69, 416–419. [[10.1002/syn.21828](https://doi.org/10.1002/syn.21828)].
- Elston GN** (2000). Pyramidal Cells of the Frontal Lobe: All the More Spinous to Think With. *J Neurosci* 20:18, RC95. [[jneurosci.org/content/20/18/RC95](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2018-RC95.2000)].
- Engle RW** (2002). Working Memory Capacity as Executive Attention. *Curr Directions Psychol Sci* 11, 19–23. [[10.1111/1467-8721.00160](https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.00160)].
- Eradath MK, Pinsk MA, Kastner S** (2020‡). A causal role for pulvinar in coordinating task independent corticocortical interactions. *bioRxiv* 2020.03.07.982215v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/2020.03.07.982215](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.07.982215)].
- Ernst A, Alkass K, Bernard S, Salehpour M, Perl S, Tisdale J, Possnert G, Druid H, Frisén J** (2014). Neurogenesis in the Striatum of the Adult Human Brain. *Cell* 156, 1072–1083. [[10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.044)].
- Erro E, Lanciego JL, Giménez-Amaya JM** (1999). Relationships between thalamostriatal neurons and pedunculo-pontine projections to the thalamus: a neuroanatomical tract-tracing study in the rat. *Exp Brain Res* 127, 162–170. [[10.1007/s002210050786](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002210050786)].
- Essen DC Van** (2005). Corticocortical and thalamocortical information flow in the primate visual system. In *Prog. Brain Res. 149: Cortical Function: a View from the Thalamus*, p.173–185. [[10.1016/S0079-6123\(05\)49013-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(05)49013-5)].
- Ezaki T, Fonseca dos Reis E, Watanabe T, Sakaki M, Masuda N** (2020). Closer to critical resting-state neural dynamics in individuals with higher fluid intelligence. *Commun Biol* 3:1. [[10.1038/s42003-020-0774-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-0774-y)].
- Fagerholm ED, Lorenz R, Scott G, Dinov M, Hellyer PJ, Mirzaei N, Leeson C, Carmichael DW, Sharp DJ, Shew WL, Leech R** (2015). Cascades and Cognitive State: Focused Attention Incurs Subcritical Dynamics. *J Neurosci* 35, 4626–4634. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3694-14.2015](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3694-14.2015)].
- Faisal AA, Selen LPJ, Wolpert DM** (2008). Noise in the nervous system. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 9, 292–303. [[10.1038/nrn2258](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2258)].
- Fallon JH** (1988). Topographic Organization of Ascending Dopaminergic Projections. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 537, 1–9. [[10.1111/j.1749-6632.1988.tb42093.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1988.tb42093.x)].
- Fang Z, Sergeeva V, Ray LB, Viczko J, Owen AM, Fogel SM** (2017). Sleep Spindles and Intellectual Ability: Epiphenomenon or Directly Related? *J Cogn Neurosci* 29, 167–182. [[10.1162/jocn_a_01034](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_01034)].

- Farrer C, Frey SH, Horn JD Van, Tunik E, Turk D, Inati S, Grafton ST** (2008). The Angular Gyrus Computes Action Awareness Representations. *Cereb Cortex* 18, 254–261. [10.1093/cercor/bhm050].
- Fell J, Axmacher N** (2011). The role of phase synchronization in memory processes. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 12, 105–118. [10.1038/nrn2979].
- Fernandez FR, Malerba P, Bressloff PC, White JA** (2013). Entorhinal Stellate Cells Show Preferred Spike Phase-Locking to Theta Inputs That Is Enhanced by Correlations in Synaptic Activity. *J Neurosci* 33, 6027–6040. [10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3892-12.2013].
- Fernandez-Iriondo I, Jimenez-Marin A, Diez I, Bonifazi P, Swinnen SP, Munoz MA, Cortes J** (2020‡). Low variability of dynamical functional connectivity in cerebellar networks. *bioRxiv* 2020.03.16.989590v1 [Neuroscience]. [10.1101/2020.03.16.989590].
- Ferrarelli F, Huber R, Peterson MJ, Massimini M, Murphy M, Riedner BA, Watson A, Bria P, Tononi G** (2007). Reduced Sleep Spindle Activity in Schizophrenia Patients. *Am J Psychiatry* 164, 483–492. [10.1176/ajp.2007.164.3.483].
- Ferrarelli F, Peterson MJ, Sarasso S, Riedner BA, Murphy MJ, Benca RM, Bria P, Kalin NH, Tononi G** (2010a). Thalamic Dysfunction in Schizophrenia Suggested by Whole-Night Deficits in Slow and Fast Spindles. *Am J Psychiatry* 167, 1339–1348. [10.1176/appi.ajp.2010.09121731].
- Ferrarelli F, Massimini M, Sarasso S, Casali A, Riedner BA, Angelini G, Tononi G, Pearce RA** (2010b). Breakdown in cortical effective connectivity during midazolam-induced loss of consciousness. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107, 2681–2686. [10.1073/pnas.0913008107].
- Ferrarelli F, Tononi G** (2011). The Thalamic Reticular Nucleus and Schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 37, 306–315. [10.1093/schbul/sbq142].
- Feynman RP, Hibbs AR** (1965). *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals* (New York: McGraw-Hill College), ISBN 978-0070206502
- ffytche DH, Creese B, Politis M, Chaudhuri KR, Weintraub D, Ballard C, Aarsland D** (2017). The psychosis spectrum in Parkinson disease. *Nat Rev Neurol* 13, 81–95. [10.1038/nrneurol.2016.200].
- Fiebelkorn IC, Pinsk MA, Kastner S** (2019). The mediodorsal pulvinar coordinates the macaque fronto-parietal network during rhythmic spatial attention. *Nat Commun* 10:1. [10.1038/s41467-018-08151-4].
- Fiebelkorn IC, Kastner S** (2020‡). Spike timing in the attention network predicts behavioral outcome prior to target selection. *bioRxiv* 2020.04.03.024109v1 [Neuroscience]. [10.1101/2020.04.03.024109].
- Fields RD** (2015). A new mechanism of nervous system plasticity: activity-dependent myelination. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 16, 756–767. [10.1038/nrn4023].
- Finkelstein A, Fontolan L, Economo MN, Li N, Romani S, Svoboda K** (2019 ‡). Attractor dynamics gate cortical information flow during decision-making. *bioRxiv* 2019.12.14.876425v1 [Neuroscience]. [10.1101/2019.12.14.876425].
- Finlinson K, Shew WL, Larremore DB, Restrepo JG** (2019‡). Control of excitable systems is optimal near criticality. *arXiv* 1912.06690v1 [q-bio.NC].
- Fino E, Glowinski J, Venance L** (2005). Bidirectional Activity-Dependent Plasticity at Corticostriatal Synapses. *J Neurosci* 25, 11279–11287. [10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4476-05.2005].
- Fino E, Deniau J-M, Venance L** (2008). Cell-specific spike-timing-dependent plasticity in GABAergic and cholinergic interneurons in corticostriatal rat brain slices. *J Physiol* 586, 265–282. [10.1113/jphysiol.2007.144501].
- Fiorella D, Rabin RA, Winter JC** (1995). The role of the 5-HT_{2A} and 5-HT_{2C} receptors in the stimulus effects of hallucinogenic drugs I: Antagonist correlation analysis. *Psychopharmacology* 121, 347–356. [10.1007/BF02246074].
- Fischer P, Lipski WJ, Neumann W-J, Turner RS, Fries P, Brown P, Richardson RM** (2020). Movement-related coupling of human subthalamic nucleus spikes to cortical gamma. *eLife* 9, 10.7554/eLife.51956. [10.7554/eLife.51956].
- Flaherty AW, Graybiel AM** (1993). Output Architecture of the Primate Putamen. *J Neurosci* 13, 3222–3237. [jneurosci.org/content/13/8/3222].
- Flaherty AW, Graybiel AM** (1994). Input-Output Organization of the Sensorimotor Striatum in the Squirrel Monkey. *J Neurosci* 14, 599–610. [jneurosci.org/content/14/2/599].
- Folkerts S, Rutishauser U, Howard MW** (2018). Human Episodic Memory Retrieval Is Accompanied by a Neural Contiguity Effect. *J Neurosci* 38, 4200–4211. [10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2312-17.2018].
- Foong J** (2000). Neuropathological abnormalities of the corpus callosum in schizophrenia: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 68, 242–244. [10.1136/jnnp.68.2.242].
- Ford JM, Mathalon DH, Heinks T, Kalba S, Faustman WO, Roth WT** (2001). Neurophysiological Evidence of Corollary Discharge Dysfunction in Schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 158, 2069–2071. [10.1176/appi.ajp.158.12.2069].
- Ford JM, Gray M, Faustman WO, Roach BJ, Mathalon DH** (2007). Dissecting corollary discharge dysfunction in schizophrenia. *Psychophysiology* 44, 522–529. [10.1111/j.1469-8986.2007.00533.x].
- Ford JM, Mathalon DH, Roach BJ, Keedy SK, Reilly JL, Gershon ES, Sweeney JA** (2013). Neurophysiological Evidence of Corollary Discharge Function During Vocalization in Psychotic Patients and Their Nonpsychotic First-Degree Relatives. *Schizophr Bull* 39, 1272–1280. [10.1093/schbul/sbs129].
- Forlim CG, Klock L, Baechle J, Stoll L, Giemsa P, Fuchs M, Schoofs N, Montag C, Gallinat J, Kuehn S** (2018‡). Altered resting state functional connectivity in a thalamo-cortico-cerebellar network in patients with schizophrenia. *bioRxiv* 506576v1 [Neuroscience]. [10.1101/506576].
- Fornito A, Harrison BJ, Goodby E, Dean A, Ooi C, Nathan PJ, Lennox BR, Jones PB, Suckling J, Bullmore ET** (2013). Functional Dysconnectivity of Corticostriatal Circuitry as a Risk Phenotype for Psychosis. *JAMA Psychiatry* 70, 1143–1151. [10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.1976].

- Fountas Z, Shanahan M** (2017‡). Assessing Selectivity in the Basal Ganglia: The "Gearbox" Hypothesis. *bioRxiv* 197129v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/197129](https://doi.org/10.1101/197129)].
- François C, Yelnik J, Percheron G** (1987). Golgi study of the primate substantia nigra. II. Spatial organization of dendritic arborizations in relation to the cytoarchitectonic boundaries and to the striatonigral bundle. *J Comp Neurol* 265, 473–493. [[10.1002/cne.902650403](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902650403)].
- Frank MJ, Loughry B, O'Reilly RC** (2001). Interactions between frontal cortex and basal ganglia in working memory: A computational model. *Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci* 1, 137–160. [[10.3758/CABN.1.2.137](https://doi.org/10.3758/CABN.1.2.137)].
- Frankland PW, Bontempi B** (2005). The organization of recent and remote memories. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 6, 119–130. [[10.1038/nrn1607](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1607)].
- Friedman A, Homma D, Gibb LG, Amemori K-i, Rubin SJ, Hood AS, Riad MH, Graybiel AM** (2015). A Corticostriatal Path Targeting Striosomes Controls Decision-Making under Conflict. *Cell* 161, 1320–1333. [[10.1016/j.cell.2015.04.049](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.04.049)].
- Fries P, Roelfsema PR, Engel AK, König P, Singer W** (1997). Synchronization of oscillatory responses in visual cortex correlates with perception in interocular rivalry. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 94, 12699–12704. [[10.1073/pnas.94.23.12699](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.23.12699)].
- Fries P, Schröder J-H, Roelfsema PR, Singer W, Engel AK** (2002). Oscillatory Neuronal Synchronization in Primary Visual Cortex as a Correlate of Stimulus Selection. *J Neurosci* 22, 3739–3754. [[jneurosci.org/content/22/9/3739](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/22/9/3739)].
- Fries P** (2005). A mechanism for cognitive dynamics: neuronal communication through neuronal coherence. *Trends Cogn Sci* 9, 474–480. [[10.1016/j.tics.2005.08.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.08.011)].
- Friston KJ, Frith CD** (1995). Schizophrenia: A Disconnection Syndrome? *Clin Neurosci* 3, 89–97. [www.researchgate.net/profile/Karl_Friston/publication/15614761_Schizophrenia_A_disconnection_syndrome/links/00b7d516fbc9bb2364000000.pdf].
- Friston KJ** (2010). The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? *Nat Rev Neurosci* 11, 127–138. [[10.1038/nrn2787](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2787)].
- Friston KJ** (2011). Functional and Effective Connectivity: A Review. *Brain Connect* 1, 13–36. [[10.1089/brain.2011.0008](https://doi.org/10.1089/brain.2011.0008)].
- Friston KJ** (2018). Does predictive coding have a future? *Nat Neurosci* 21, 1019–1021. [[10.1038/s41593-018-0200-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0200-7)].
- Frontera JL, Baba Aïssa H, Sala RW, Mailhes-Hamon C, Georgescu IA, Léna C, Popa D** (2020‡). Bidirectional control of fear memories by the cerebellum through the ventrolateral periaqueductal grey. *bioRxiv* 2020.02.19.956375v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/2020.02.19.956375](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.19.956375)].
- Fuhrmann DR, Browning JP, Rangaswamy M** (2010). Signaling Strategies for the Hybrid MIMO Phased-Array Radar. *IEEE J Sel Top Signal Process* 4, 66–78. [[10.1109/JSTSP.2009.2038968](https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTSP.2009.2038968)].
- Fujita H, Sugihara I** (2013). Branching patterns of olivocerebellar axons in relation to the compartmental organization of the cerebellum. *Front Neural Circuits* 7. [[10.3389/fncir.2013.00003](https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2013.00003)].
- Fukushima J, Fukushima K, Chiba T, Tanaka S, Yamashita I, Kato M** (1988). Disturbances of voluntary control of saccadic eye movements in schizophrenic patients. *Biol Psychiatry* 23, 670–677. [[10.1016/0006-3223\(88\)90050-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3223(88)90050-9)].
- Fukushima M, Betzel RF, He Y, Reus MA de, Heuvel MP van den, Zuo X-N, Sporns O** (2018). Fluctuations between high- and low-modularity topology in time-resolved functional connectivity. *NeuroImage* 180, 406–416. [[10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.08.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.08.044)].
- Gage GJ, Stoetznner CR, Wiltschko AB, Berke JD** (2010). Selective Activation of Striatal Fast-Spiking Interneurons during Choice Execution. *Neuron* 67, 466–479. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2010.06.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.06.034)].
- Gămănuț R, Kennedy H, Toroczkai Z, Ercsey-Ravasz M, Essen DC Van, Knoblauch K, Burkhalter A** (2018). The Mouse Cortical Connectome, Characterized by an Ultra-Dense Cortical Graph, Maintains Specificity by Distinct Connectivity Profiles. *Neuron* 97, 698–715. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2017.12.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.12.037)].
- García-Cabezas MÁ, Barbas H** (2014). Area 4 has layer IV in adult primates. *Eur J Neurosci* 39, 1824–1834. [[10.1111/ejn.12585](https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.12585)].
- Garner KG, Dux PE** (2015). Training conquers multitasking costs by dividing task representations in the frontoparietal-subcortical system. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 112, 14372–14377. [[10.1073/pnas.1511423112](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1511423112)].
- Garner KG, Garrido MI, Dux PE** (2019‡). Cognitive capacity limits are remediated by practice-induced plasticity in a striatal-cortical network. *bioRxiv* 564450v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/564450](https://doi.org/10.1101/564450)].
- Gasbarri A, Packard M, Sulli A, Pacitti C, Innocenzi R, Perciavalle V** (1996). The projections of the retrorubral field A8 to the hippocampal formation in the rat. *Exp Brain Res* 112, 244–252. [[10.1007/BF00227643](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00227643)].
- Gasca-Martinez D, Hernandez A, Sierra A, Valdiosera R, Anaya-Martinez V, Floran B, Erlij D, Aceves J** (2010). Dopamine inhibits GABA transmission from the globus pallidus to the thalamic reticular nucleus via presynaptic D4 receptors. *Neuroscience* 169, 1672–1681. [[10.1016/j.neuroscience.2010.05.048](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2010.05.048)].
- Gauger EM, Rieper E, Morton JJJ, Benjamin SC, Vedral V** (2011). Sustained Quantum Coherence and Entanglement in the Avian Compass. *Phys Rev Lett* 106:4. [[10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.040503](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.040503)].
- Gaykema RPA, Záborszky L** (1997). Parvalbumin-containing neurons in the basal forebrain receive direct input from the substantia nigra-ventral tegmental area. *Brain Res* 747, 173–179. [[10.1016/S0006-8993\(96\)01309-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-8993(96)01309-1)].
- Genzel L, Kroes MCW, Dresler M, Battaglia FP** (2014). Light sleep versus slow wave sleep in memory consolidation: a question of global versus local processes? *Trends Neurosci* 37, 10–19. [[10.1016/j.tins.2013.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2013.10.002)].
- Gerfen C** (1989). The neostriatal mosaic: striatal patch-matrix organization is related to cortical lamination. *Science* 246, 385–388. [[10.1126/science.2799392](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2799392)].

- Gerfen CR, Surmeier DJ** (2011). Modulation of Striatal Projection Systems by Dopamine. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 34, 441–466. [[10.1146/annurev-neuro-061010-113641](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-061010-113641)].
- German DC, Manaye KF, Wu D, Hersh LB, Zweig RM** (1999). Mesopontine cholinergic and non-cholinergic neurons in schizophrenia. *Neuroscience* 94, 33–38. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(99\)00305-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(99)00305-X)].
- Gerstner W, Kempter R, Hemmen JL van, Wagner H** (1996). A neuronal learning rule for sub-millisecond temporal coding. *Nature* 383, 76–78. [[10.1038/383076a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/383076a0)].
- Gertler TS, Chan CS, Surmeier DJ** (2008). Dichotomous Anatomical Properties of Adult Striatal Medium Spiny Neurons. *J Neurosci* 28, 10814–10824. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2660-08.2008](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2660-08.2008)].
- Gervasoni D, Peyron C, Rampon C, Barbagli B, Chouvet G, Urbain N, Fort P, Luppi P-H** (2000). Role and Origin of the GABAergic Innervation of Dorsal Raphe Serotonergic Neurons. *J Neurosci* 20, 4217–4225. [[jneurosci.org/content/20/11/4217](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/20/11/4217)].
- Geyer M, Vollenweider F** (2008). Serotonin research: contributions to understanding psychoses. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 29, 445–453. [[10.1016/j.tips.2008.06.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tips.2008.06.006)].
- Ghashghaei HT, Barbas H** (2001). Neural interaction between the basal forebrain and functionally distinct prefrontal cortices in the rhesus monkey. *Neuroscience* 103, 593–614. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(00\)00585-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(00)00585-6)].
- Giovannucci A, Badura A, Deverett B, Najafi F, Pereira TD, Gao Z, Ozden I, Kloth AD, Pnevmatikakis E, Paninski L, Zeeuw CI De, Medina JF, Wang SS-H** (2017). Cerebellar granule cells acquire a widespread predictive feedback signal during motor learning. *Nat Neurosci* 20, 727–734. [[10.1038/nn.4531](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4531)].
- Giraldo-Chica M, Rogers BP, Damon SM, Landman BA, Woodward ND** (2018). Prefrontal-Thalamic Anatomical Connectivity and Executive Cognitive Function in Schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 83, 509–517. [[10.1016/j.biopsych.2017.09.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2017.09.022)].
- Gittis AH, Nelson AB, Thwin MT, Palop JJ, Kreitzer AC** (2010). Distinct Roles of GABAergic Interneurons in the Regulation of Striatal Output Pathways. *J Neurosci* 30, 2223–2234. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4870-09.2010](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4870-09.2010)].
- Gittis AH, Leventhal DK, Fensterheim BA, Pettibone JR, Berke JD, Kreitzer AC** (2011). Selective Inhibition of Striatal Fast-Spiking Interneurons Causes Dyskinesias. *J Neurosci* 31, 15727–15731. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3875-11.2011](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3875-11.2011)].
- Glantz LA, Lewis DA** (2000). Decreased Dendritic Spine Density on Prefrontal Cortical Pyramidal Neurons in Schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 57, 65–73. [[10.1001/archpsyc.57.1.65](https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.57.1.65)].
- Glasser MF, Coalson TS, Robinson EC, Hacker CD, Harwell J, Yacoub E, Ugurbil K, Andersson J, Beckmann CF, Jenkinson M, Smith SM, Essen DC Van** (2016). A multi-modal parcellation of human cerebral cortex. *Nature* 536, 171–178. [[10.1038/nature18933](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18933)].
- Glenn LL, Hada J, Roy JP, Deschênes M, Steriade M** (1982). Anterograde tracer and field potential analysis of the neocortical layer I projection from nucleus ventralis medialis of the thalamus in cat. *Neuroscience* 7, 1861–1877. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(82\)90003-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(82)90003-3)].
- Glenn LL, Steriade M** (1982). Discharge Rate and Excitability of Cortically Projecting Intralaminar Thalamic Neurons During Waking and Sleep States. *J Neurosci* 2, 1387–1404. [[jneurosci.org/content/2/10/1387](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/2/10/1387)].
- Glennon RA, Titeler M, McKenney JD** (1984). Evidence for 5-HT₂ involvement in the mechanism of action of hallucinogenic agents. *Life Sci* 35, 2505–2511. [[10.1016/0024-3205\(84\)90436-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3205(84)90436-3)].
- Glickstein M, May JG, Mercier BE** (1985). Corticopontine projection in the macaque: The distribution of labelled cortical cells after large injections of horseradish peroxidase in the pontine nuclei. *J Comp Neurol* 235, 343–359. [[10.1002/cne.902350306](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902350306)].
- Godwin D, Barry RL, Marois R** (2015). Breakdown of the brain's functional network modularity with awareness. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 112:12, 201414466. [[10.1073/pnas.1414466112](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1414466112)].
- Goldberg JA, Deister CA, Wilson CJ** (2007). Response Properties and Synchronization of Rhythmically Firing Dendritic Neurons. *J Neurophysiol* 97, 208–219. [[10.1152/jn.00810.2006](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00810.2006)].
- Goldberg JA, Bergman H** (2011). Computational physiology of the neural networks of the primate globus pallidus: function and dysfunction. *Neuroscience* 198, 171–192. [[10.1016/j.neuroscience.2011.08.068](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2011.08.068)].
- Goldberg JH, Farries MA, Fee MS** (2012). Integration of cortical and pallidal inputs in the basal ganglia-recipient thalamus of singing birds. *J Neurophysiol* 108, 1403–1429. [[10.1152/jn.00056.2012](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00056.2012)].
- Goldberg JH, Farries MA, Fee MS** (2013). Basal ganglia output to the thalamus: still a paradox. *Trends Neurosci* 36, 695–705. [[10.1016/j.tins.2013.09.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2013.09.001)].
- Goldman-Rakic PS** (1988). Topography of Cognition: Parallel Distributed Networks in Primate Association Cortex. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 11, 137–156. [[10.1146/annurev.ne.11.030188.001033](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ne.11.030188.001033)].
- Goldman-Rakic PS** (1995). Cellular basis of working memory. *Neuron* 14, 477–485. [[10.1016/0896-6273\(95\)90304-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(95)90304-6)].
- Goldman-Rakic PS** (1999). The physiological approach: functional architecture of working memory and disordered cognition in schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 46, 650–661. [[10.1016/S0006-3223\(99\)00130-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223(99)00130-4)].
- Gollo LL, Roberts JA, Cropley VL, Biase MA Di, Pantelis C, Zalesky A, Breakspear M** (2018). Fragility and volatility of structural hubs in the human connectome. *Nat Neurosci* 21, 1107–1116. [[10.1038/s41593-018-0188-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0188-z)].
- Gómez-Laberge C, Smolyanskaya A, Nassi JJ, Kreiman G, Born RT** (2016). Bottom-Up and Top-Down Input Augment the Variability of Cortical Neurons. *Neuron* 91, 540–547. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2016.06.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.06.028)].

- Gonçalves L, Nogueira MI, Shammah-Lagnado SJ, Metzger M** (2009). Prefrontal afferents to the dorsal raphe nucleus in the rat. *Brain Res Bull* 78, 240–247. [[10.1016/j.brainresbull.2008.11.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresbull.2008.11.012)].
- Gonzalez-Burgos G, Cho RY, Lewis DA** (2015). Alterations in Cortical Network Oscillations and Parvalbumin Neurons in Schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 77, 1031–1040. [[10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.03.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.03.010)].
- González-Maeso J, Weisstaub NV, Zhou M, Chan P, Ivic L, Ang R, Lira A, Bradley-Moore M, Ge Y, Zhou Q, Sealton SC, Gingrich JA** (2007). Hallucinogens Recruit Specific Cortical 5-HT_{2A} Receptor-Mediated Signaling Pathways to Affect Behavior. *Neuron* 53, 439–452. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2007.01.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2007.01.008)].
- Grace AA** (2016). Dysregulation of the dopamine system in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia and depression. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 17, 524–532. [[10.1038/nrn.2016.57](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2016.57)].
- Grandjean J, Zerbi V, Balsters JH, Wenderoth N, Rudin M** (2017). Structural Basis of Large-Scale Functional Connectivity in the Mouse. *J Neurosci* 37, 8092–8101. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0438-17.2017](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0438-17.2017)].
- Graybiel AM, Ragsdale CW** (1978). Histochemically distinct compartments in the striatum of human, monkeys, and cat demonstrated by acetylthiocholinesterase staining. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 75, 5723–5726. [[10.1073/pnas.75.11.5723](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.75.11.5723)].
- Graybiel AM, Hirsch EC, Agid YA** (1987). Differences in tyrosine hydroxylase-like immunoreactivity characterize the mesostriatal innervation of striosomes and extrastriosomal matrix at maturity. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 84, 303–307. [[10.1073/pnas.84.1.303](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.84.1.303)].
- Graybiel AM** (1990). Neurotransmitters and neuromodulators in the basal ganglia. *Trends Neurosci* 13, 244–254. [[10.1016/0166-2236\(90\)90104-I](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(90)90104-I)].
- Graybiel AM, Aosaki T, Flaherty A, Kimura M** (1994). The basal ganglia and adaptive motor control. *Science* 265, 1826–1831. [[10.1126/science.8091209](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.8091209)].
- Graybiel AM** (1997). The Basal Ganglia and Cognitive Pattern Generators. *Schizophr Bull* 23, 459–469. [[10.1093/schbul/23.3.459](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/23.3.459)].
- Graybiel AM** (1998). The Basal Ganglia and Chunking of Action Repertoires. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 70, 119–136. [[10.1006/nlme.1998.3843](https://doi.org/10.1006/nlme.1998.3843)].
- Graybiel AM, Rauch SL** (2000). Toward a Neurobiology of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder. *Neuron* 28, 343–347. [[10.1016/S0896-6273\(00\)00113-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)00113-6)].
- Graybiel AM** (2008). Habits, Rituals, and the Evaluative Brain. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 31, 359–387. [[10.1146/annurev.neuro.29.051605.112851](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.29.051605.112851)].
- Gregoriou GG, Gotts SJ, Zhou H, Desimone R** (2009). High-Frequency, Long-Range Coupling Between Prefrontal and Visual Cortex During Attention. *Science* 324, 1207–1210. [[10.1126/science.1171402](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1171402)].
- Groenewegen HJ, Berendse HW, Haber SN** (1993). Organization of the output of the ventral striatopallidal system in the rat: Ventral pallidal efferents. *Neuroscience* 57, 113–142. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(93\)90115-V](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(93)90115-V)].
- Groenewegen HJ, Berendse HW** (1994). The specificity of the ‘nonspecific’ midline and intralaminar thalamic nuclei. *Trends Neurosci* 17, 52–57. [[10.1016/0166-2236\(94\)90074-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(94)90074-4)].
- Grofova I, Zhou M** (1998). Nigral innervation of cholinergic and glutamatergic cells in the rat mesopontine tegmentum: Light and electron microscopic anterograde tracing and immunohistochemical studies. *J Comp Neurol* 395, 359–379. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19980808\)395:3<359::AID-CNE7>3.0.CO;2-1](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19980808)395:3<359::AID-CNE7>3.0.CO;2-1)].
- Grove EA, Domesick VB, Nauta WJH** (1986). Light microscopic evidence of striatal input to intrapallidal neurons of cholinergic cell group Ch4 in the rat: a study employing the anterograde tracer Phaseolus vulgaris leucoagglutinin (PHA-L). *Brain Res* 367, 379–384. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(86\)91623-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(86)91623-9)].
- Gruber AJ, Dayan P, Gutkin BS, Solla SA** (2006). Dopamine modulation in the basal ganglia locks the gate to working memory. *J Comput Neurosci* 20, 153–166. [[10.1007/s10827-005-5705-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10827-005-5705-x)].
- Gruber MJ, Hsieh L-T** (2018). Theta Phase Synchronization between the Human Hippocampus and Prefrontal Cortex Increases during Encoding of Unexpected Information: A Case Study. *J Cogn Neurosci* 30, 1646–1656. [[10.1162/jocn_a_01302](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_01302)].
- Gu B-M, Kukreja K, Meck WH** (2018). Oscillation patterns of local field potentials in the dorsal striatum and sensorimotor cortex during the encoding, maintenance, and decision stages for the ordinal comparison of sub- and supra-second signal durations. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 153, 79–91. [[10.1016/j.nlm.2018.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlm.2018.05.003)].
- Guillery RW, Feig SL, Lozsádi DA** (1998). Paying attention to the thalamic reticular nucleus. *Trends Neurosci* 21, 28–32. [[10.1016/S0166-2236\(97\)01157-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(97)01157-0)].
- Guillery RW, Sherman SM** (2002). Thalamic Relay Functions and Their Role in Corticocortical Communication. *Neuron* 33, 163–175. [[10.1016/S0896-6273\(01\)00582-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(01)00582-7)].
- Guo ZV, Inagaki HK, Daie K, Druckmann S, Gerfen CR, Svoboda K** (2017). Maintenance of persistent activity in a frontal thalamocortical loop. *Nature* 545, 181–186. [[10.1038/nature22324](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22324)].
- Gur M, Snodderly DM** (2008). Physiological differences between neurons in layer 2 and layer 3 of primary visual cortex (V1) of alert macaque monkeys. *J Physiol* 586, 2293–2306. [[10.1113/jphysiol.2008.151795](https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2008.151795)].
- Haber SN, Groenewegen HJ, Grove EA, Nauta WJH** (1985). Efferent connections of the ventral pallidum: Evidence of a dual striato pallidofugal pathway. *J Comp Neurol* 235, 322–335. [[10.1002/cne.902350304](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902350304)].
- Haber SN** (1987). Anatomical relationship between the basal ganglia and the basal nucleus of Meynert in human and monkey forebrain. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 84, 1408–1412. [[10.1073/pnas.84.5.1408](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.84.5.1408)].
- Haber SN, Lynd E, Klein C, Groenewegen HJ** (1990). Topographic organization of the ventral striatal efferent projections in the rhesus monkey: An anterograde tracing study. *J Comp Neurol* 293, 282–298. [[10.1002/cne.902930210](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902930210)].

- Haber SN, Calzavara R** (2009). The cortico-basal ganglia integrative network: The role of the thalamus. *Brain Res Bull* 78, 69–74. [[10.1016/j.brainresbull.2008.09.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresbull.2008.09.013)].
- Haider B, Duque A, Hasenstaub AR, McCormick DA** (2006). Neocortical Network Activity In Vivo Is Generated through a Dynamic Balance of Excitation and Inhibition. *J Neurosci* 26, 4535–4545. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5297-05.2006](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5297-05.2006)].
- Haider B, Häusser M, Carandini M** (2012). Inhibition dominates sensory responses in the awake cortex. *Nature* 493, 97–100. [[10.1038/nature11665](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11665)].
- Haider B, Schulz DPA, Häusser M, Carandini M** (2016). Millisecond Coupling of Local Field Potentials to Synaptic Currents in the Awake Visual Cortex. *Neuron* 90, 35–42. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2016.02.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.02.034)].
- Halassa MM, Chen Z, Wimmer RD, Brunetti PM, Zhao S, Zikopoulos B, Wang F, Brown EN, Wilson MA** (2014). State-Dependent Architecture of Thalamic Reticular Subnetworks. *Cell* 158, 808–821. [[10.1016/j.cell.2014.06.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.06.025)].
- Halassa MM, Kastner S** (2017). Thalamic functions in distributed cognitive control. *Nat Neurosci* 20, 1669–1679. [[10.1038/s41593-017-0020-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-017-0020-1)].
- Hallanger AE, Levey AI, Lee HJ, Rye DB, Wainer BH** (1987). The origins of cholinergic and other subcortical afferents to the thalamus in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 262, 105–124. [[10.1002/cne.902620109](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902620109)].
- Haller M, Case J, Crone NE, Chang EF, King-Stephens D, Laxer KD, Weber PB, Parvizi J, Knight RT, Shestyuk AY** (2018). Persistent neuronal activity in human prefrontal cortex links perception and action. *Nat Hum Behav* 2, 80–91. [[10.1038/s41562-017-0267-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-017-0267-2)].
- Hamid AA, Pettibone JR, Mabrouk OS, Hetrick VL, Schmidt R, Vander Weele CM, Kennedy RT, Aragona BJ, Berke JD** (2015). Mesolimbic dopamine signals the value of work. *Nat Neurosci* 19, 117–126. [[10.1038/nn.4173](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4173)].
- Hamid AA, Frank MJ, Moore CI** (2019‡). Dopamine waves as a mechanism for spatiotemporal credit assignment. *bioRxiv* 729640v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/729640](https://doi.org/10.1101/729640)].
- Hammond C, Bergman H, Brown P** (2007). Pathological synchronization in Parkinson's disease: networks, models and treatments. *Trends Neurosci* 30, 357–364. [[10.1016/j.tins.2007.05.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2007.05.004)].
- Hardman CD, Henderson JM, Finkelstein DI, Horne MK, Paxinos G, Halliday GM** (2002). Comparison of the basal ganglia in rats, marmosets, macaques, baboons, and humans: Volume and neuronal number for the output, internal relay, and striatal modulating nuclei. *J Comp Neurol* 445, 238–255. [[10.1002/cne.10165](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.10165)].
- Harnois C, Filion M** (1982). Pallidofugal projections to thalamus and midbrain: A quantitative antidromic activation study in monkeys and cats. *Exp Brain Res* 47, 277–285. [[10.1007/BF00239387](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00239387)].
- Harriger L, Heuvel MP van den, Sporns O, Kaiser M** (2012). Rich Club Organization of Macaque Cerebral Cortex and Its Role in Network Communication. *PLoS ONE* 7:9, e46497. [[10.1371/journal.pone.0046497](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0046497)].
- Harrison P** (2004). The hippocampus in schizophrenia: a review of the neuropathological evidence and its pathophysiological implications. *Psychopharmacology* 174, 151–162. [[10.1007/s00213-003-1761-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-003-1761-y)].
- Hart G, Bradfield LA, Balleine BW** (2018). Prefrontal Corticostriatal Disconnection Blocks the Acquisition of Goal-Directed Action. *J Neurosci* 38, 1311–1322. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2850-17.2017](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2850-17.2017)].
- Hasenstaub A, Shu Y, Haider B, Kraushaar U, Duque A, McCormick DA** (2005). Inhibitory Postsynaptic Potentials Carry Synchronized Frequency Information in Active Cortical Networks. *Neuron* 47, 423–435. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2005.06.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.06.016)].
- Hasselmo ME, Bodelón C, Wyble BP** (2002). A Proposed Function for Hippocampal Theta Rhythm: Separate Phases of Encoding and Retrieval Enhance Reversal of Prior Learning. *Neural Comput* 14, 793–817. [[10.1162/089976602317318965](https://doi.org/10.1162/089976602317318965)].
- Hasselmo ME** (2006). The role of acetylcholine in learning and memory. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 16, 710–715. [[10.1016/j.conb.2006.09.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2006.09.002)].
- Hassler R** (1982). The Possible Pathophysiology of Schizophrenic Disturbances of Perception and Their Relief by Stereotactic Coagulation of Intralaminar Mediothalamic Nuclei. In *Advances in the Biosciences* 39: *Psychobiology of Schizophrenia*, p.69–91 (Oxford, New York: Pergamon).
- Hastings NB, Gould E** (1999). Rapid extension of axons into the CA3 region by adult-generated granule cells. *J Comp Neurol* 413, 146–154. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19991011\)413:1<146::AID-CNE10>3.0.CO;2-B](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19991011)413:1<146::AID-CNE10>3.0.CO;2-B)].
- Hatoum AS, Mitchell EC, Morrison CL, Evans LM, Keller MC, Friedman NP** (2019‡). GWAS of Over 427,000 Individuals Establishes GABAergic and Synaptic Molecular Pathways as Key for Cognitive Executive Functions. *bioRxiv* 674515v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/674515](https://doi.org/10.1101/674515)].
- Hatsopoulos NG, Ojakangas CL, Paninski L, Donoghue JP** (1998). Information about movement direction obtained from synchronous activity of motor cortical neurons. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 95, 15706–15711. [[10.1073/pnas.95.26.15706](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.26.15706)].
- Hayworth KJ, Marblestone AH** (2018‡). How thalamic relays might orchestrate supervised deep training and symbolic computation in the brain. *bioRxiv* 304980v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/304980](https://doi.org/10.1101/304980)].
- Hazlett EA, Buchsbaum MS, Kemether E, Bloom R, Platholi J, Brickman AM, Shihabuddin L, Tang C, Byne W** (2004). Abnormal Glucose Metabolism in the Mediodorsal Nucleus of the Thalamus in Schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 161, 305–314. [[10.1176/appi.ajp.161.2.305](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.161.2.305)].
- Hazrati L-N, Parent A** (1991). Projection from the external pallidum to the reticular thalamic nucleus in the squirrel monkey. *Brain Res* 550, 142–146. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(91\)90418-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(91)90418-U)].
- Hazy TE, Frank MJ, O'Reilly RC** (2006). Banishing the homunculus: Making working memory work. *Neuroscience* 139, 105–118. [[10.1016/j.neuroscience.2005.04.067](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2005.04.067)].

- Heck D** (1993). Rat cerebellar cortex in vitro responds specifically to moving stimuli. *Neurosci Lett* 157, 95–98. [[10.1016/0304-3940\(93\)90651-Z](#)].
- Heck D** (1995). Sequential stimulation of guinea pig cerebellar cortex in vitro strongly affects Purkinje cells via parallel fibers. *Naturwissenschaften* 82, 201–203. [[10.1007/BF01143198](#)].
- Heck D, Sultan F, Braitenberg V** (2001). Sequential stimulation of rat cerebellar granular layer in vivo: Further evidence of a ‘tidal-wave’ timing mechanism in the cerebellum. *Neurocomputing* 38–40, 641–646. [[10.1016/S0925-2312\(01\)00421-0](#)].
- Heck D, Sultan F** (2002). Cerebellar structure and function: Making sense of parallel fibers. *Hum Mov Sci* 21, 99–109. [[10.1016/S0167-9457\(02\)00123-9](#)].
- Heck DH, Zeeuw CI De, Jaeger D, Khodakhah K, Person AL** (2013). The Neuronal Code(s) of the Cerebellum. *J Neurosci* 33, 17603–17609. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2759-13.2013](#)].
- Heck DH** (2016). *The Neuronal Codes of the Cerebellum*. (London: Elsevier), ISBN 9780128013861. [[10.1016/C2013-0-23273-6](#)].
- Heimer L, Harlan RE, Alheid GF, Garcia MM, Olmos J de** (1997). Substantia innominata: a notion which impedes clinical–anatomical correlations in neuropsychiatric disorders. *Neuroscience* 76, 957–1006. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(96\)00405-8](#)].
- Henderson JM, Carpenter K, Cartwright H, Halliday GM** (2000). Degeneration of the centred median–parafascicular complex in Parkinson's disease. *Ann Neurol* 47, 345–352. [[10.1002/1531-8249\(200003\)47:3<345::AID-ANA10>3.3.CO;2-M](#)].
- Henderson JR** (2010). Classes of Copenhagen interpretations: Mechanisms of collapse as typologically determinative. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 41, 1–8. [[10.1016/j.shpsb.2009.08.001](#)].
- Henke K** (2010). A model for memory systems based on processing modes rather than consciousness. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 11, 523–532. [[10.1038/nrn2850](#)].
- Herculano-Houzel S, Collins CE, Wong P, Kaas JH** (2007). Cellular scaling rules for primate brains. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 104, 3562–3567. [[10.1073/pnas.0611396104](#)].
- Herculano-Houzel S** (2010). Coordinated scaling of cortical and cerebellar numbers of neurons. *Front Neuroanat* 4. [[10.3389/fnana.2010.00012](#)].
- Herkenham M** (1979). The afferent and efferent connections of the ventromedial thalamic nucleus in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 183, 487–517. [[10.1002/cne.901830304](#)].
- Herkenham M, Nauta WJH** (1979). Efferent connections of the habenular nuclei in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 187, 19–47. [[10.1002/cne.901870103](#)].
- Herkenham M** (1986). New Perspectives on the Organization and Evolution of Nonspecific Thalamocortical Projections. In *Cerebral Cortex 5: Sensory-Motor Areas and Aspects of Cortical Connectivity*, p.403–445. [[10.1007/978-1-4613-2149-1_11](#)].
- Herman JP, Arcizet F, Krauzlis RJ** (2019 ‡). Attention-related modulation of caudate neurons depends on superior colliculus activity. *bioRxiv* [843235v1](#) [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/843235](#)].
- Herrmann B, Johnsrude IS** (2018). Neural Signatures of the Processing of Temporal Patterns in Sound. *J Neurosci* 38, 5466–5477. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0346-18.2018](#)].
- Heuvel MP van den, Mandl RCW, Kahn RS, Hulshoff Pol HE** (2009). Functionally linked resting-state networks reflect the underlying structural connectivity architecture of the human brain. *Hum Brain Mapp* 30, 3127–3141. [[10.1002/hbm.20737](#)].
- Heuvel MP van den, Sporns O** (2011). Rich-Club Organization of the Human Connectome. *J Neurosci* 31, 15775–15786. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3539-11.2011](#)].
- Heuvel MP van den, Kahn RS, Goni J, Sporns O** (2012). High-cost, high-capacity backbone for global brain communication. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 109, 11372–11377. [[10.1073/pnas.1203593109](#)].
- Heuvel MP van den, Sporns O, Collin G, Scheewe T, Mandl RCW, Cahn W, Goñi J, Hulshoff Pol HE, Kahn RS** (2013). Abnormal Rich Club Organization and Functional Brain Dynamics in Schizophrenia. *JAMA Psychiatry* 70, 783–792. [[10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.1328](#)].
- Heuvel MP van den, Scholtens LH, Lange SC de, Pijnenburg R, Cahn W, Haren NEM van** (2019). Evolutionary modifications in human brain connectivity associated with schizophrenia. *Brain* 142, 3991–4002. [[10.1093/brain/awz330](#)].
- Hikosaka O, Wurtz RH** (1983). Visual and Oculomotor Functions of Monkey Substantia Nigra Pars Reticulata. IV. Relation of Substantia Nigra to Superior Colliculus. *J Neurophysiol* 49, 1285–1301. [[jn.physiology.org/content/49/5/1285/](#)].
- Hikosaka O, Takikawa Y, Kawagoe R** (2000). Role of the Basal Ganglia in the Control of Purposive Saccadic Eye Movements. *Physiol Rev* 80, 953–978. [[physrev.physiology.org/content/80/3/953/](#)].
- Hikosaka O** (2010). The habenula: from stress evasion to value-based decision-making. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 11, 503–513. [[10.1038/nrn2866](#)].
- Hinault T, Kraut M, Bakker A, Dagher A, Courtney SM** (2019 ‡). Disrupted neural synchrony mediates the relationship between white matter integrity and cognitive performance in older adults. *bioRxiv* [2019.12.29.890228v1](#) [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/2019.12.29.890228](#)].
- Hintiryan H, Foster NN, Bowman I, Bay M, Song MY, Gou L, Yamashita S, Bienkowski MS, Zingg B, Zhu M, Yang XW, Shih JC, Toga AW, Dong H-W** (2016). The mouse cortico-striatal projectome. *Nat Neurosci* 19, 1100–1114. [[10.1038/nn.4332](#)].
- Hjorth J, Blackwell KT, Kotaleski JH** (2009). Gap Junctions between Striatal Fast-Spiking Interneurons Regulate Spiking Activity and Synchronization as a Function of Cortical Activity. *J Neurosci* 29, 5276–5286. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6031-08.2009](#)].
- Hoesen GW Van, Yeterian EH, Lavizzo-Mourey R** (1981). Widespread corticostriate projections from temporal cortex of the rhesus monkey. *J Comp Neurol* 199, 205–219. [[10.1002/cne.901990205](#)].

- Holroyd CB, Coles MGH** (2002). The neural basis of human error processing: Reinforcement learning, dopamine, and the error-related negativity. *Psychol Rev* 109, 679–709. [[10.1037/0033-295X.109.4.679](https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.109.4.679)].
- Holt AB, Kormann E, Gulberti A, Pötter-Nerger M, McNamara CG, Cagnan H, Baaske MK, Little S, Köppen JA, Buhmann C, Westphal M, Gerloff C, Engel AK, Brown P, Hamel W, Moll CKE, Sharott A** (2019). Phase-Dependent Suppression of Beta Oscillations in Parkinson's Disease Patients. *J Neurosci* 39, 1119–1134. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1913-18.2018](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1913-18.2018)].
- Holthausen EAE, Wiersma D, Sitskoorn MM, Dingemans PM, Schene AH, Bosch RJ van den** (2003). Long-Term Memory Deficits in Schizophrenia: Primary or Secondary Dysfunction? *Neuropsychology* 17, 539–547. [[10.1037/0894-4105.17.4.539](https://doi.org/10.1037/0894-4105.17.4.539)].
- Hong S, Hikosaka O** (2008). The Globus Pallidus Sends Reward-Related Signals to the Lateral Habenula. *Neuron* 60, 720–729. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2008.09.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.09.035)].
- Honjoh S, Sasai S, Schiereck SS, Nagai H, Tononi G, Cirelli C** (2018). Regulation of cortical activity and arousal by the matrix cells of the ventromedial thalamic nucleus. *Nat Commun* 9, 1–14. [[10.1038/s41467-018-04497-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04497-x)].
- Hooks BM, Papale AE, Paletzki RF, Feroze MW, Eastwood BS, Couey JJ, Winnubst J, Chandrashekar J, Gerfen CR** (2018). Topographic precision in sensory and motor corticostriatal projections varies across cell type and cortical area. *Nat Commun* 9:1. [[10.1038/s41467-018-05780-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05780-7)].
- Hoptman MJ, Zuo X-N, D'Angelo D, Mauro CJ, Butler PD, Milham MP, Javitt DC** (2012). Decreased interhemispheric coordination in schizophrenia: A resting state fMRI study. *Schizophr Res* 141, 1–7. [[10.1016/j.schres.2012.07.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2012.07.027)].
- Houeto JL, Karachi C, Mallet L, Pillon B, Yelnik J, Mesnage V, Welter ML, Navarro S, Pelissolo A, Damier P, Pidoux B, Dormont D, Cornu P, Agid Y** (2005). Tourette's syndrome and deep brain stimulation. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 76, 992–995. [[10.1136/jnnp.2004.043273](https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2004.043273)].
- Howe MW, Atallah HE, McCool A, Gibson DJ, Graybiel AM** (2011). Habit learning is associated with major shifts in frequencies of oscillatory activity and synchronized spike firing in striatum. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108, 16801–16806. [[10.1073/pnas.1113158108](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1113158108)].
- Howe WM, Gritton HJ, Lusk NA, Roberts EA, Hetrick VL, Berke JD, Sarter M** (2017). Acetylcholine Release in Prefrontal Cortex Promotes Gamma Oscillations and Theta-Gamma Coupling during Cue Detection. *J Neurosci* 37, 3215–3230. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2737-16.2017](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2737-16.2017)].
- Hu B, Shang J, Iyer R, Siegle J, Mihalas S** (2019 ‡). Does the neuronal noise in cortex help generalization?. *bioRxiv* 676999v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/676999](https://doi.org/10.1101/676999)].
- Huerta-Ocampo I, Mena-Segovia J, Bolam JP** (2014). Convergence of cortical and thalamic input to direct and indirect pathway medium spiny neurons in the striatum. *Brain Struct Funct* 219, 1787–1800. [[10.1007/s00429-013-0601-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-013-0601-z)].
- Humphries MD, Wood R, Gurney K** (2009). Dopamine-modulated dynamic cell assemblies generated by the GABAergic striatal microcircuit. *Neural Net* 22, 1174–1188. [[10.1016/j.neunet.2009.07.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2009.07.018)].
- Hunt LT, Hayden BY** (2017). A distributed, hierarchical and recurrent framework for reward-based choice. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 18, 172–182. [[10.1038/nrn.2017.7](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2017.7)].
- Hutchison RM, Womelsdorf T, Allen EA, Bandettini PA, Calhoun VD, Corbetta M, Della Penna S, Duyn JH, Glover GH, Gonzalez-Castillo J, Handwerker DA, Keilholz S, Kiviniemi V, Leopold DA, Pasquale F de, Sporns O, Walter M, Chang C** (2013). Dynamic functional connectivity: Promise, issues, and interpretations. *NeuroImage* 80, 360–378. [[10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.079](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.079)].
- Huth AG, Nishimoto S, Vu AT, Gallant JL** (2012). A Continuous Semantic Space Describes the Representation of Thousands of Object and Action Categories across the Human Brain. *Neuron* 76, 1210–1224. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2012.10.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.10.014)].
- Huth AG, Heer WA de, Griffiths TL, Theunissen FE, Gallant JL** (2016). Natural speech reveals the semantic maps that tile human cerebral cortex. *Nature* 532, 453–458. [[10.1038/nature17637](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17637)].
- Hutton SB, Joyce EM, Barnes TRE, Kennard C** (2002). Saccadic distractibility in first-episode schizophrenia. *Neuropsychologia* 40, 1729–1736. [[10.1016/S0028-3932\(01\)00145-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0028-3932(01)00145-2)].
- Hwang EJ, Link TD, Hu YY, Lu S, Wang EH-J, Lilascharoen V, Aronson S, O'Neil K, Lim BK, Komiyama T** (2019). Corticostriatal Flow of Action Selection Bias. *Neuron* 104, 1126–1140.e6. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2019.09.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.09.028)].
- Ilinsky IA, Yi H, Kultas-Ilinsky K** (1997). Mode of termination of pallidal afferents to the thalamus: A light and electron microscopic study with anterograde tracers and immunocytochemistry in Macaca mulatta. *J Comp Neurol* 386, 601–612. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19971006\)386:4<601::AID-CNE6>3.0.CO;2-6](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19971006)386:4<601::AID-CNE6>3.0.CO;2-6)].
- Innocenti GM, Price DJ** (2005). Exuberance in the development of cortical networks. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 6, 955–965. [[10.1038/nrn1790](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1790)].
- Ioannas H-I, Saab BJ, Rudin M** (2020 ‡). A Whole-Brain Map and Assay Parameter Analysis of Mouse VTA Dopaminergic Activation. *bioRxiv* 2020.04.03.023648v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/2020.04.03.023648](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.03.023648)].
- Ito M** (2001). Cerebellar Long-Term Depression: Characterization, Signal Transduction, and Functional Roles. *Physiol Rev* 81, 1143–1195. [[10.1152/physrev.2001.81.3.1143](https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.2001.81.3.1143)].
- Ito M** (2002). Historical Review of the Significance of the Cerebellum and the Role of Purkinje Cells in Motor Learning. *Annals NY Acad Sci* 978, 273–288. [[10.1111/j.1749-6632.2002.tb07574.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2002.tb07574.x)].
- Ito T, Brincat SL, Siegel M, Mill RD, He BJ, Miller EK, Rotstein HG, Cole MW** (2019 ‡). Task-evoked activity quenches neural correlations and variability across cortical areas. *bioRxiv* 560730v3 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/560730](https://doi.org/10.1101/560730)].
- Ivanov VA, Polykretis IE, Michmizos KP** (2019 ‡). Axonal Conduction Velocity Impacts Neuronal Network Oscillations. *arXiv* 1903.09671v1 [q-bio.NC].
- Izhikevich EM** (2006). Polychronization: Computation with Spikes. *Neural Comput* 18, 245–282. [[10.1162/089976606775093882](https://doi.org/10.1162/089976606775093882)].

- Jankowski MM, Ronnqvist KC, Tsanov M, Vann SD, Wright NE, Erichsen JT, Aggleton JP, O'Mara SM** (2013). The anterior thalamus provides a subcortical circuit supporting memory and spatial navigation. *Front Syst Neurosci* 7. [10.3389/fnsys.2013.00045].
- Jasper HH** (1937). Electrical signs of cortical activity. *Psychol Bull* 34, 411–481. [10.1037/h0053401].
- Jazbec S, Pantelis C, Robbins T, Weickert T, Weinberger DR, Goldberg TE** (2007). Intra-dimensional/extra-dimensional set-shifting performance in schizophrenia: Impact of distractors. *Schizophr Res* 89, 339–349. [10.1016/j.schres.2006.08.014].
- Jedlicka P** (2017). Revisiting the Quantum Brain Hypothesis: Toward Quantum (Neuro)biology? *Front Mol Neurosci* 10, 10.3389/fnmol.2017.00366. [10.3389/fnmol.2017.00366].
- Jenkinson N, Brown P** (2011). New insights into the relationship between dopamine, beta oscillations and motor function. *Trends Neurosci* 34, 611–618. [10.1016/j.tins.2011.09.003].
- Jenkinson N, Kühn AA, Brown P** (2013). Gamma oscillations in the human basal ganglia. *Exp Neurol* 245, 72–76. [10.1016/j.expneurol.2012.07.005].
- Jessen F, Scheef L, Germeshausen L, Tawo Y, Kockler M, Kuhn K-U, Maier W, Schild HH, Heun R** (2003). Reduced Hippocampal Activation During Encoding and Recognition of Words in Schizophrenia Patients. *Am J Psychiatry* 160, 1305–1312. [10.1176/appi.ajp.160.7.1305].
- Ji JL, Diehl C, Schleifer C, Tamminga CA, Keshavan MS, Sweeney JA, Clementz BA** (2019). Schizophrenia Exhibits Bi-directional Brain-Wide Alterations in Cortico-Striato-Cerebellar Circuits. *Cereb Cortex* 29, 4463–4487. [10.1093/cercor/bhy306].
- Jia X, Tanabe S, Kohn A** (2013). Gamma and the Coordination of Spiking Activity in Early Visual Cortex. *Neuron* 77, 762–774. [10.1016/j.neuron.2012.12.036].
- Jiménez-Castellanos J, Graybiel AM** (1989). Compartmental origins of striatal efferent projections in the cat. *Neuroscience* 32, 297–321. [10.1016/0306-4522(89)90080-8].
- Jiménez-Ponce F, Velasco-Campos F, Castro-Farfán G, Nicolini H, Velasco AL, Salín-Pascual R, Trejo D, Criales JL** (2009). Preliminary Study in Patients With Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder Treated With Electrical Stimulation in the Inferior Thalamic Peduncle. *Operative Neurosurg* 65, ons203–ons209. [10.1227/01.NEU.0000345938.39199.90].
- Jin DZ, Fujii N, Graybiel AM** (2009). Neural representation of time in cortico-basal ganglia circuits. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 106, 19156–19161. [10.1073/pnas.0909881106].
- Jin X, Costa RM** (2010). Start/stop signals emerge in nigrostriatal circuits during sequence learning. *Nature* 466, 457–462. [10.1038/nature09263].
- Jinnai K, Nambu A, Yoshida S** (1987). Thalamic afferents to layer I of anterior sigmoid cortex originating from the VA-VL neurons with entopeduncular input. *Exp Brain Res* 69, 67–76. [10.1007/BF00247030].
- Jodoj E, Chiang C, Aston-Jones G** (1998). Potent excitatory influence of prefrontal cortex activity on noradrenergic locus coeruleus neurons. *Neuroscience* 83, 63–79. [10.1016/S0306-4522(97)00372-2].
- Joel D, Weiner I** (1994). The organization of the basal ganglia-thalamocortical circuits: Open interconnected rather than closed segregated. *Neuroscience* 63, 363–379. [10.1016/0306-4522(94)90536-3].
- Jog MS, Kubota Y, Connolly CI, Hillegart V, Graybiel AM** (1999). Building Neural Representations of Habits. *Science* 286, 1745–1749. [10.1126/science.286.5445.1745].
- Johansson F, Jirenhed D-A, Rasmussen A, Zucca R, Hesslow G** (2014). Memory trace and timing mechanism localized to cerebellar Purkinje cells. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 111, 14930–14934. [10.1073/pnas.1415371111].
- Jones EG, Hendry SHC** (1989). Differential Calcium Binding Protein Immunoreactivity Distinguishes Classes of Relay Neurons in Monkey Thalamic Nuclei. *Eur J Neurosci* 1, 222–246. [10.1111/j.1460-9568.1989.tb00791.x].
- Jones EG** (2001). The thalamic matrix and thalamocortical synchrony. *Trends Neurosci* 24, 595–601. [10.1016/S0166-2236(00)01922-6].
- Juliano SL, Friedman DP, Eslin DE** (1990). Corticocortical connections predict patches of stimulus-evoked metabolic activity in monkey somatosensory cortex. *J Comp Neurol* 298, 23–39. [10.1002/cne.902980103].
- Jung RE, Haier RJ** (2007). The Parieto-Frontal Integration Theory (P-FIT) of intelligence: Converging neuroimaging evidence. *Behav Brain Sci* 30, 135–187. [10.1017/S0140525X07001185].
- Kabadayi C, Osvath M** (2017). Ravens parallel great apes in flexible planning for tool-use and bartering. *Science* 357, 202–204. [10.1126/science.aam8138].
- Kalanithi PSA, Zheng W, Kataoka Y, DiFiglia M, Grantz H, Saper CB, Schwartz ML, Leckman JF, Vaccarino FM** (2005). Altered parvalbumin-positive neuron distribution in basal ganglia of individuals with Tourette syndrome. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 102, 13307–13312. [10.1073/pnas.0502624102].
- Kalchbrenner N, Blunsom P** (2013 ‡). Recurrent Convolutional Neural Networks for Discourse Compositionality. *arXiv* 1306.3584v1 [cs.CL].
- Kalchbrenner N, Grefenstette E, Blunsom P** (2014 ‡). A Convolutional Neural Network for Modelling Sentences. *arXiv* 1404.2188v1 [cs.CL].
- Kalil K** (1981). Projections of the cerebellar and dorsal column nuclei upon the thalamus of the rhesus monkey. *J Comp Neurol* 195, 25–50. [10.1002/cne.901950105].
- Kalivas PW, Jackson D, Romanidies A, Wyndham L, Duffy P** (2001). Involvement of pallidothalamic circuitry in working memory. *Neuroscience* 104, 129–136. [10.1016/S0306-4522(01)00054-9].
- Kameda M, Ohmae S, Tanaka M** (2019). Entrained neuronal activity to periodic visual stimuli in the primate striatum compared with the cerebellum. *eLife* 8, 10.7554/eLife.48702. [10.7554/eLife.48702].
- Kapur S, Zipursky RB, Remington G** (1999). Clinical and Theoretical Implications of 5-HT₂ and D₂ Receptor Occupancy of Clozapine, Risperidone, and Olanzapine in Schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 156, 286–293. [ajp.psychiatryonline.org/doi/pdf/10.1176/ajp.156.2.286].

- Kapur S** (2003). Psychosis as a State of Aberrant Salience: A Framework Linking Biology, Phenomenology, and Pharmacology in Schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 160, 13–23. [[10.1176/appi.ajp.160.1.13](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.160.1.13)].
- Kara P, Reinagel P, Reid RC** (2000). Low Response Variability in Simultaneously Recorded Retinal, Thalamic, and Cortical Neurons. *Neuron* 27, 635–646. [[10.1016/S0896-6273\(00\)00072-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)00072-6)].
- Karson CN, Mrak RE, Husain MM, Griffin WST** (1996). Decreased mesopontine choline acetyltransferase levels in schizophrenia. *Mol Chem Neuropathol* 29, 181–191. [[10.1007/BF02815001](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02815001)].
- Karube F, Takahashi S, Kobayashi K, Fujiyama F** (2019 ‡). Motor cortex can directly drive the globus pallidus neurons in a projection neuron type dependent manner in rat. *bioRxiv* 677377v2 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/677377](https://doi.org/10.1101/677377)].
- Kasanez F, Riquelme LA, O'Donnell P, Murer MG** (2006). Turning off cortical ensembles stops striatal Up states and elicits phase perturbations in cortical and striatal slow oscillations in rat. *J Physiol* 577, 97–113. [[10.1113/jphysiol.2006.113050](https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2006.113050)].
- Katoh YY, Arai R, Benedek G** (2000). Bifurcating projections from the cerebellar fastigial neurons to the thalamic suprageniculate nucleus and to the superior colliculus. *Brain Res* 864, 308–311. [[10.1016/S0006-8993\(00\)02156-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-8993(00)02156-9)].
- Katz LC, Shatz CJ** (1996). Synaptic Activity and the Construction of Cortical Circuits. *Science* 274, 1133–1138. [[10.1126/science.274.5290.1133](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.274.5290.1133)].
- Kaufman EFS, Rosenquist AC** (1985a). Efferent projections of the thalamic intralaminar nuclei in the cat. *Brain Res* 335, 257–279. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(85\)90478-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(85)90478-0)].
- Kaufman EFS, Rosenquist AC** (1985b). Afferent connections of the thalamic intralaminar nuclei in the cat. *Brain Res* 335, 281–296. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(85\)90479-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(85)90479-2)].
- Kawai R, Markman T, Poddar R, Ko R, Fantana AL, Dhawale AK, Kampff AR, Ölveczky BP** (2015). Motor Cortex Is Required for Learning but Not for Executing a Motor Skill. *Neuron* 86, 800–812. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2015.03.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.03.024)].
- Kay JW, Phillips WA, Aru J, Graham BP, Larkum ME** (2019‡). Bayesian modeling of BAC firing as a mechanism for apical amplification in neocortical pyramidal neurons. *bioRxiv* 604066v2 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/604066](https://doi.org/10.1101/604066)].
- Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA** (1987). The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) for Schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 13, 261–276. [[10.1093/schbul/13.2.261](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/13.2.261)].
- Kayahara T, Nakano K** (1998). The Globus Pallidus Sends Axons to the Thalamic Reticular Nucleus Neurons Projecting to the Centromedian Nucleus of the Thalamus: A Light and Electron Microscope Study in the Cat. *Brain Res Bull* 45, 623–630. [[10.1016/S0361-9230\(97\)00464-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-9230(97)00464-4)].
- Keefe RSE, Bilder RM, Davis SM, Harvey PD, Palmer BW, Gold JM, Meltzer HY, Green MF, Capuano G, Stroup TS, McEvoy JP, Swartz MS, Rosenheck RA, Perkins DO, Davis CE, Hsiao JK, Lieberman JA** (2007). Neurocognitive Effects of Antipsychotic Medications in Patients With Chronic Schizophrenia in the CATIE Trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 64, 633–647. [[10.1001/archpsyc.64.6.633](https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.64.6.633)].
- Keitel A, Gross J, Engel AK** (2016). Individual Human Brain Areas Can Be Identified from Their Characteristic Spectral Activation Fingerprints. *PLoS Biol* 14:6, e1002498. [[10.1371/journal.pbio.1002498](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002498)].
- Kemether EM, Buchsbaum MS, Byne W, Hazlett EA, Haznedar M, Brickman AM, Platholi J, Bloom R** (2003). Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Mediodorsal, Pulvinar, and Centromedian Nuclei of the Thalamus in Patients With Schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 60, 983–991. [[10.1001/archpsyc.60.9.983](https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.60.9.983)].
- Ketz NA, Jensen O, O'Reilly RC** (2015). Thalamic pathways underlying prefrontal cortex–medial temporal lobe oscillatory interactions. *Trends Neurosci* 38, 3–12. [[10.1016/j.tins.2014.09.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2014.09.007)].
- Kha HT, Finkelstein DI, Pow DV, Lawrence AJ, Horne MK** (2000). Study of projections from the entopeduncular nucleus to the thalamus of the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 426, 366–377. [[10.1002/1096-9861\(20001023\)426:3<366::AID-CNE2>3.3.CO;2-2](https://doi.org/10.1002/1096-9861(20001023)426:3<366::AID-CNE2>3.3.CO;2-2)].
- Kha HT, Finkelstein DI, Tomas D, Drago J, Pow DV, Horne MK** (2001). Projections from the substantia nigra pars reticulata to the motor thalamus of the rat: Single axon reconstructions and immunohistochemical study. *J Comp Neurol* 440, 20–30. [[10.1002/cne.1367](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.1367)].
- Kieu TD** (2003). Computing the non-computable. *Contemp Phys* 44, 51–71. [[10.1080/00107510302712](https://doi.org/10.1080/00107510302712)].
- Kieu TD** (2019). The travelling salesman problem and adiabatic quantum computation: an algorithm. *Quantum Inf Process* 18:3. [[10.1007/s11128-019-2206-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11128-019-2206-9)].
- Kilteni K, Ehrsson HH** (2020). Functional Connectivity between the Cerebellum and Somatosensory Areas Implements the Attenuation of Self-Generated Touch. *J Neurosci* 40, 894–906. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1732-19.2019](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1732-19.2019)].
- Kim J, Kim Y, Nakajima R, Shin A, Jeong M, Park AH, Jeong Y, Jo S, Yang S, Park H, Cho S-H, Cho K-H, Shim I, Chung JH, Paik S-B, Augustine GJ, Kim D** (2017). Inhibitory Basal Ganglia Inputs Induce Excitatory Motor Signals in the Thalamus. *Neuron* 95, 1181–1196. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2017.08.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.08.028)].
- Kimura M, Kato M, Shimazaki H** (1990). Physiological properties of projection neurons in the monkey striatum to the globus pallidus. *Exp Brain Res* 82, 672–676. [[10.1007/BF00228811](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00228811)].
- Kincaid AE, Wilson CJ** (1996). Corticostriatal innervation of the patch and matrix in the rat neostriatum. *J Comp Neurol* 374, 578–592. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19961028\)374:4<578::AID-CNE7>3.3.CO;2-I](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19961028)374:4<578::AID-CNE7>3.3.CO;2-I)].
- Kincaid AE, Zheng T, Wilson CJ** (1998). Connectivity and Convergence of Single Corticostriatal Axons. *J Neurosci* 18, 4722–4731. [[jneurosci.org/content/18/12/4722](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1812-98.1998)].
- Kita H, Kosaka T, Heizmann CW** (1990). Parvalbumin-immunoreactive neurons in the rat neostriatum: a light and electron microscopic study. *Brain Res* 536, 1–15. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(90\)90002-S](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(90)90002-S)].

- Kitano H, Tanibuchi I, Jinnai K** (1998). The distribution of neurons in the substantia nigra pars reticulata with input from the motor, premotor and prefrontal areas of the cerebral cortex in monkeys. *Brain Res* 784, 228–238. [[10.1016/S0006-8993\(97\)01332-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-8993(97)01332-2)].
- Kitano K, Câteau H, Kaneda K, Nambu A, Takada M, Fukai T** (2002). Two-State Membrane Potential Transitions of Striatal Spiny Neurons as Evidenced by Numerical Simulations and Electrophysiological Recordings in Awake Monkeys. *J Neurosci* 22:12, RC230. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22-12-j0003.2002](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22-12-j0003.2002)].
- Kleim JA, Hogg TM, VandenBerg PM, Cooper NR, Bruneau R, Remple M** (2004). Cortical Synaptogenesis and Motor Map Reorganization Occur during Late, But Not Early, Phase of Motor Skill Learning. *J Neurosci* 24, 628–633. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3440-03.2004](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3440-03.2004)].
- Koch C, Hepp K** (2006). Quantum mechanics in the brain. *Nature* 440:7084, 611. [[10.1038/440611a](https://doi.org/10.1038/440611a)].
- Kodsi MH, Swerdlow NR** (1995). Ventral pallidal GABA-A receptors regulate prepulse inhibition of acoustic startle. *Brain Res* 684, 26–35. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(95\)00372-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(95)00372-W)].
- Kojima S, Kao MH, Doupe AJ** (2013). Task-related "cortical" bursting depends critically on basal ganglia input and is linked to vocal plasticity. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 110, 4756–4761. [[10.1073/pnas.1216308110](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1216308110)].
- Kolmac CI, Mitrofanis J** (1997). Organisation of the reticular thalamic projection to the intralaminar and midline nuclei in rats. *J Comp Neurol* 377, 165–178. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19970113\)377:2<165::AID-CNE2>3.0.CO;2-1](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19970113)377:2<165::AID-CNE2>3.0.CO;2-1)].
- Kolmac CI, Mitrofanis J** (1999). Organization of the basal forebrain projection to the thalamus in rats. *Neurosci Lett* 272, 151–154. [[10.1016/S0304-3940\(99\)00614-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3940(99)00614-X)].
- Koós T, Tepper JM** (1999). Inhibitory control of neostriatal projection neurons by GABAergic interneurons. *Nat Neurosci* 2, 467–472. [[10.1038/8138](https://doi.org/10.1038/8138)].
- Koós T, Tepper JM** (2002). Dual Cholinergic Control of Fast-Spiking Interneurons in the Neostriatum. *J Neurosci* 22, 529–535. [[jneurosci.org/content/22/2/529](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/22/2/529)].
- Koós T, Tepper JM, Wilson CJ** (2004). Comparison of IPSCs Evoked by Spiny and Fast-Spiking Neurons in the Neostriatum. *J Neurosci* 24, 7916–7922. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2163-04.2004](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2163-04.2004)].
- Kooy D Van Der, Kolb B** (1985). Non-cholinergic globus pallidus cells that project to the cortex but not to the subthalamic nucleus in rat. *Neurosci Lett* 57, 113–118. [[10.1016/0304-3940\(85\)90048-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3940(85)90048-5)].
- Kopell N** (2000). We Got Rhythm: Dynamical Systems of the Nervous System. *Notices Amer Math Soc* 47, 6–16. [www.ams.org/notices/200001/fea-kopell.pdf].
- Koralek AC, Jin X, Long II JD, Costa RM, Carmena JM** (2012). Corticostriatal plasticity is necessary for learning intentional neuroprosthetic skills. *Nature* 483, 331–335. [[10.1038/nature10845](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10845)].
- Krauss P, Prebeck K, Schilling A, Metzner C** (2019). Recurrence Resonance" in Three-Neuron Motifs. *Front Comput Neurosci* 13, 10.3389/fncom.2019.00064. [[10.3389/fncom.2019.00064](https://doi.org/10.3389/fncom.2019.00064)].
- Kreczmanski P, Heinsen H, Mantua V, Woltersdorf F, Masson T, Ulfing N, Schmidt-Kastner R, Korr H, Steinbusch HWM, Hof PR, Schmitz C** (2007). Volume, neuron density and total neuron number in five subcortical regions in schizophrenia. *Brain* 130, 678–692. [[10.1093/brain/awl386](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awl386)].
- Kreitzer AC** (2009). Physiology and Pharmacology of Striatal Neurons. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 32, 127–147. [[10.1146/annurev.neuro.051508.135422](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.051508.135422)].
- Krimmel SR, White MG, Panicker MH, Barrett FS, Mathur BN, Seminowicz DA** (2019). Resting state functional connectivity and cognitive task-related activation of the human claustrum. *NeuroImage* 196, 59–67. [[10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.03.075](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.03.075)].
- Ku S-W, Lee U, Noh G-J, Jun I-G, Mashour GA, Ward LM** (2011). Preferential Inhibition of Frontal-to-Parietal Feedback Connectivity Is a Neurophysiologic Correlate of General Anesthesia in Surgical Patients. *PLoS ONE* 6:10, e25155. [[10.1371/journal.pone.0025155](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0025155)].
- Kultas-Ilinsky K, Ilinsky IA** (1990). Fine structure of the magnocellular subdivision of the ventral anterior thalamic nucleus (VAmc) of Macaca mulatta: II. Organization of nigrothalamic afferents as revealed with EM autoradiography. *J Comp Neurol* 294, 479–489. [[10.1002/cne.902940314](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902940314)].
- Kuramoto E, Furuta T, Nakamura KC, Unzai T, Hioki H, Kaneko T** (2009). Two Types of Thalamocortical Projections from the Motor Thalamic Nuclei of the Rat: A Single Neuron-Tracing Study Using Viral Vectors. *Cereb Cortex* 19, 2065–2077. [[10.1093/cercor/bhn231](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhn231)].
- Lacey CJ, Bolam JP, Magill PJ** (2007). Novel and Distinct Operational Principles of Intralaminar Thalamic Neurons and Their Striatal Projections. *J Neurosci* 27, 4374–4384. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5519-06.2007](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5519-06.2007)].
- Lam Y-W, Sherman SM** (2010). Functional Organization of the Somatosensory Cortical Layer 6 Feedback to the Thalamus. *Cereb Cortex* 20, 13–24. [[10.1093/cercor/bhp077](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhp077)].
- Lambe EK, Liu R-J, Aghajanian GK** (2006). Schizophrenia, Hypocretin (Orexin), and the Thalamocortical Activating System. *Schizophr Bull* 33, 1284–1290. [[10.1093/schbul/sbm088](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbm088)].
- Lambert N, Grover L** (1995). The mechanism of biphasic GABA responses. *Science* 269, 928–929. [[10.1126/science.7638614](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7638614)].
- Lapper SR, Smith Y, Sadikot AF, Parent A, Bolam JP** (1992). Cortical input to parvalbumin-immunoreactive neurones in the putamen of the squirrel monkey. *Brain Res* 580, 215–224. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(92\)90947-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(92)90947-8)].
- Larkum ME, Senn W, Lüscher H-R** (2004). Top-down Dendritic Input Increases the Gain of Layer 5 Pyramidal Neurons. *Cereb Cortex* 14, 1059–1070. [[10.1093/cercor/bhh065](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhh065)].
- Larkum ME** (2013). A cellular mechanism for cortical associations: an organizing principle for the cerebral cortex. *Trends Neurosci* 36, 141–151. [[10.1016/j.tins.2012.11.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2012.11.006)].
- Lasker AG, Zee DS, Hain TC, Folstein SE, Singer HS** (1987). Saccades in Huntington's disease: Initiation defects and distractibility. *Neurology* 37, 364–370. [[10.1212/WNL.37.3.364](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.37.3.364)].

- Lasker AG, Zee DS, Hain TC, Folstein SE, Singer HS** (1988). Saccades in Huntington's disease: Slowing and dysmetria. *Neurology* 38, 427–431. [[10.1212/WNL.38.3.427](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.38.3.427)].
- Lau B, Monteiro T, Paton JJ** (2017). The many worlds hypothesis of dopamine prediction error: implications of a parallel circuit architecture in the basal ganglia. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 46, 241–247. [[10.1016/j.conb.2017.08.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2017.08.015)].
- Lau JKL, Ozono H, Kuratomi K, Komiya A, Murayama K** (2018 \ddagger). Shared striatal activity in decisions to satisfy curiosity and hunger at the risk of electric shocks. *bioRxiv* 473975v3 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/473975](https://doi.org/10.1101/473975)].
- Lau T, Gage GJ, Berke JD, Zochowski M** (2010). Local dynamics of gap-junction-coupled interneuron networks. *Phys Biol* 7:1, 016015. [[10.1088/1478-3975/7/1/016015](https://doi.org/10.1088/1478-3975/7/1/016015)].
- Lavallée P, Urbain N, Dufresne C, Bokor H, Acsády L, Deschênes M** (2005). Feedforward Inhibitory Control of Sensory Information in Higher-Order Thalamic Nuclei. *J Neurosci* 25, 7489–7498. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2301-05.2005](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2301-05.2005)].
- Lavenex P, Amaral DG** (2000). Hippocampal-neocortical interaction: A hierarchy of associativity. *Hippocampus* 10, 420–430. [[10.1002/1098-1063\(2000\)10:4<420::AID-HIPO8>3.0.CO;2-5](https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-1063(2000)10:4<420::AID-HIPO8>3.0.CO;2-5)].
- Lavin A, Grace AA** (1998). Dopamine Modulates the Responsivity of Mediodorsal Thalamic Cells Recorded In Vitro. *J Neurosci* 18, 10566–10578. [[jneurosci.org/content/18/24/10566](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/18/24/10566)].
- Lavoie B, Parent A** (1990). Immunohistochemical study of the serotonergic innervation of the basal ganglia in the squirrel monkey. *J Comp Neurol* 299, 1–16. [[10.1002/cne.902990102](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902990102)].
- Lavoie B, Parent A** (1994). Pedunculopontine nucleus in the squirrel monkey: Projections to the basal ganglia as revealed by anterograde tract-tracing methods. *J Comp Neurol* 344, 210–231. [[10.1002/cne.903440204](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903440204)].
- Lebedev MA, Wise SP** (2000). Oscillations in the premotor cortex: single-unit activity from awake, behaving monkeys. *Exp Brain Res* 130, 195–215. [[10.1007/s002210050022](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002210050022)].
- Leber AB, Turk-Browne NB, Chun MM** (2008). Neural predictors of moment-to-moment fluctuations in cognitive flexibility. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 105, 13592–13597. [[10.1073/pnas.0805423105](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0805423105)].
- Leblois A, Bodor AL, Person AL, Perkel DJ** (2009). Millisecond Timescale Disinhibition Mediates Fast Information Transmission through an Avian Basal Ganglia Loop. *J Neurosci* 29, 15420–15433. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3060-09.2009](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3060-09.2009)].
- Lee HJ, Weitz AJ, Bernal-Casas D, Duffy BA, Choy M, Kravitz AV, Kreitzer AC, Lee JH** (2016). Activation of Direct and Indirect Pathway Medium Spiny Neurons Drives Distinct Brain-wide Responses. *Neuron* 91, 412–424. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2016.06.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.06.010)].
- Lee J, Park S** (2005). Working Memory Impairments in Schizophrenia: A Meta-Analysis. *J Abnorm Psychol* 114, 599–611. [[10.1037/0021-843X.114.4.599](https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.114.4.599)].
- Lee JH, Whittington MA, Kopell NJ, Coombes S** (2013). Top-Down Beta Rhythms Support Selective Attention via Interlaminar Interaction: A Model. *PLoS Comput Biol* 9:8, e1003164. [[10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003164](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003164)].
- Leede-Smith S de, Barkus E** (2013). A comprehensive review of auditory verbal hallucinations: lifetime prevalence, correlates and mechanisms in healthy and clinical individuals. *Front Hum Neurosci* 7. [[10.3389/fnhum.2013.00367](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2013.00367)].
- Leeuw M de, Bohlken MM, Mandl RCW, Kahn RS, Vink M** (2015). Reduced fronto-striatal white matter integrity in schizophrenia patients and unaffected siblings: a DTI study. *NPJ Schizophr* 1, npjschz20151. [[10.1038/npjschz.2015.1](https://doi.org/10.1038/npjschz.2015.1)].
- Lei W, Jiao Y, Del Mar N, Reiner A** (2004). Evidence for Differential Cortical Input to Direct Pathway versus Indirect Pathway Striatal Projection Neurons in Rats. *J Neurosci* 24, 8289–8299. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1990-04.2004](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1990-04.2004)].
- Lettieri G, Handjaras G, Ricciardi E, Leo A, Papale P, Betta M, Pietrini P, Cecchetti L** (2019). Emotionotopy in the human right temporo-parietal cortex. *Nat Commun* 10:1. [[10.1038/s41467-019-13599-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13599-z)].
- Leventhal DK, Gage GJ, Schmidt R, Pettibone JR, Case AC, Berke JD** (2012). Basal Ganglia Beta Oscillations Accompany Cue Utilization. *Neuron* 73, 523–536. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2011.11.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.11.032)].
- Levesque M, Parent A** (2005). The striatofugal fiber system in primates: A reevaluation of its organization based on single-axon tracing studies. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 102, 11888–11893. [[10.1073/pnas.0502710102](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0502710102)].
- Levey AI, Hallanger AE, Wainer BH** (1987). Cholinergic nucleus basalis neurons may influence the cortex via the thalamus. *Neurosci Lett* 74, 7–13. [[10.1016/0304-3940\(87\)90042-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3940(87)90042-5)].
- Levine ES, Jacobs BL** (1992). Neurochemical Afferents Controlling the Activity of Serotonergic Neurons in the Dorsal Raphe Nucleus: Microiontophoretic Studies in the Awake Cat. *J Neurosci* 12, 4037–4044. [[jneurosci.org/content/12/10/4037](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/12/10/4037)].
- Levitt JB, Lewis DA, Yoshioka T, Lund JS** (1993). Topography of pyramidal neuron intrinsic connections in macaque monkey prefrontal cortex (areas 9 and 46) *J Comp Neurol* 338, 360–376. [[10.1002/cne.903380304](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903380304)].
- Levy DL, Holzman PS, Matthyse S, Mendell NR** (1994). Eye Tracking and Schizophrenia: A Selective Review. *Schizophr Bull* 20, 47–62. [[10.1093/schbul/20.1.47](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/20.1.47)].
- Lewis DA, Hashimoto T, Volk DW** (2005). Cortical inhibitory neurons and schizophrenia. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 6, 312–324. [[10.1038/nrn1648](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1648)].
- Li M, Liu J, Tsien JZ** (2016). Theory of Connectivity: Nature and Nurture of Cell Assemblies and Cognitive Computation. *Front Neural Circuits* 10. [[10.3389/fncir.2016.00034](https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2016.00034)].
- Li M, Tsien JZ** (2017). Neural Code—Neural Self-information Theory on How Cell-Assembly Code Rises from Spike Time and Neuronal Variability. *Front Cell Neurosci* 11, 10.3389/fncel.2017.00236. [[10.3389/fncel.2017.00236](https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2017.00236)].
- Li M, Han Y, Aburn MJ, Breakspear M, Poldrack RA, Shine JM, Lizier JT, Cuntz H** (2019). Transitions in information processing dynamics at the whole-brain network level are driven by alterations in neural gain. *PLoS Comput Biol* 15:10, e1006957. [[10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006957](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006957)].

- Lim KO, Hedehus M, Moseley M, Crespigny A de, Sullivan EV, Pfefferbaum A** (1999). Compromised White Matter Tract Integrity in Schizophrenia Inferred From Diffusion Tensor Imaging. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 56, 367–374. [[10.1001/archpsyc.56.4.367](https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.56.4.367)].
- Lin RCS, Nicoletis MAL, Chapin JK** (1997). Topographic and laminar organizations of the incertocortical pathway in rats. *Neuroscience* 81, 641–651. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(97\)00094-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(97)00094-8)].
- Lindner A, Haarmeier T, Erb M, Grodd W, Thier P** (2006). Cerebrocerebellar Circuits for the Perceptual Cancellation of Eye-movement-induced Retinal Image Motion. *J Cogn Neurosci* 18, 1899–1912. [[10.1162/jocn.2006.18.11.1899](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2006.18.11.1899)].
- Lipshitz SP, Wannamaker RA, Vanderkooy J** (1992). Quantization and Dither: A Theoretical Survey. *J Audio Eng Soc* 40, 355–375. [www.aes.org/e-lib/browse.cfm?elib=7047].
- Lisman J, Idiart M** (1995). Storage of 7 +/- 2 short-term memories in oscillatory subcycles. *Science* 267, 1512–1515. [[10.1126/science.7878473](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7878473)].
- Litt A, Eliasmith C, Kroon FW, Weinstein S, Thagard P** (2006). Is the Brain a Quantum Computer? *Cognitive Science* 30, 593–603. [[10.1207/s15516709cog0000_59](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15516709cog0000_59)].
- Litvak V, Eusebio A, Jha A, Oostenveld R, Barnes G, Foltynie T, Limousin P, Zrinzo L, Hariz MI, Friston K, Brown P** (2012). Movement-Related Changes in Local and Long-Range Synchronization in Parkinson's Disease Revealed by Simultaneous Magnetoencephalography and Intracranial Recordings. *J Neurosci* 32, 10541–10553. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0767-12.2012](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0767-12.2012)].
- Liu Y, McAfee SS, Sillitoe RV, Heck DH** (2020 ‡). Cerebellar modulation of gamma coherence between prefrontal cortex and hippocampus during spatial working memory decision making. *bioRxiv* 2020.03.16.994541v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/2020.03.16.994541](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.16.994541)].
- Livingstone M, Hubel D** (1988). Segregation of form, color, movement, and depth: anatomy, physiology, and perception. *Science* 240, 740–749. [[10.1126/science.3283936](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.3283936)].
- Llinás RR, Leznik E, Urbano FJ** (2002). Temporal binding via cortical coincidence detection of specific and nonspecific thalamocortical inputs: A voltage-dependent dye-imaging study in mouse brain slices. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 99, 449–454. [[10.1073/pnas.012604899](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.012604899)].
- Loewenstein Y, Mahon S, Chadderton P, Kitamura K, Sompolinsky H, Yarom Y, Häusser M** (2005). Bistability of cerebellar Purkinje cells modulated by sensory stimulation. *Nat Neurosci* 8, 202–211. [[10.1038/nm1393](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1393)].
- Long J, Shelhamer E, Darrell T** (2015). Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation. In *Proceedings of the 2015 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. Boston, MA, USA, p.3431–3440. [[10.1109/CVPR.2015.7298965](https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2015.7298965)].
- López-Bendito G, Molnár Z** (2003). Thalamocortical development: how are we going to get there? *Nat Rev Neurosci* 4, 276–289. [[10.1038/nrn1075](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1075)].
- Loughlin SE, Foote SL, Bloom FE** (1986). Efferent projections of nucleus locus coeruleus: Topographic organization of cells of origin demonstrated by three-dimensional reconstruction. *Neuroscience* 18, 291–306. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(86\)90155-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(86)90155-7)].
- Lourenço HR, Martin OC, Stützle T** (2003). Iterated Local Search. In *Handbook of Metaheuristics* (Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers), p.320–353. [[10.1007/0-306-48056-5_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-48056-5_11)].
- Luczak A, McNaughton BL, Harris KD** (2015). Packet-based communication in the cortex. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 16, 745–755. [[10.1038/nrn4026](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn4026)].
- Lundqvist M, Rose J, Herman P, Brincat SL, Buschman TJ, Miller EK** (2016). Gamma and Beta Bursts Underlie Working Memory. *Neuron* 90, 152–164. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2016.02.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.02.028)].
- Lundqvist M, Herman P, Warden MR, Brincat SL, Miller EK** (2018a). Gamma and beta bursts during working memory readout suggest roles in its volitional control. *Nat Commun* 9:1. [[10.1038/s41467-017-02791-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02791-8)].
- Lundqvist M, Herman P, Miller EK** (2018b). Working Memory: Delay Activity, Yes! Persistent Activity? Maybe Not. *J Neurosci* 38, 7013–7019. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2485-17.2018](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2485-17.2018)].
- Luo M, Perkel DJ** (1999). A GABAergic, Strongly Inhibitory Projection to a Thalamic Nucleus in the Zebra Finch Song System. *J Neurosci* 19, 6700–6711. [jneurosci.org/content/19/15/6700].
- Lush P, Caspar EA, Cleeremans A, Haggard P, Magalhães De Saldanha da Gama PA, Dienes Z** (2017). The Power of Suggestion: Posthypnotically Induced Changes in the Temporal Binding of Intentional Action Outcomes. *Psychol Sci* 28, 661–669. [[10.1177/0956797616687015](https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797616687015)].
- Lyon DC, Nassi JJ, Callaway EM** (2010). A Disynaptic Relay from Superior Colliculus to Dorsal Stream Visual Cortex in Macaque Monkey. *Neuron* 65, 270–279. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2010.01.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.01.003)].
- Ma Z, Turrigiano GG, Wessel R, Hengen KB** (2019). Cortical Circuit Dynamics Are Homeostatically Tuned to Criticality In Vivo. *Neuron* 104, 655–664.e4. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2019.08.031](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.08.031)].
- Macchi G, Bentivoglio M** (1986). The Thalamic Intralaminar Nuclei and the Cerebral Cortex. In *Cerebral Cortex 5: Sensory-Motor Areas and Aspects of Cortical Connectivity*, p.355–401. [[10.1007/978-1-4613-2149-1_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-2149-1_10)].
- Madison G, Forsman L, Blom Ö, Karabanov A, Ullén F** (2009). Correlations between intelligence and components of serial timing variability. *Intelligence* 37, 68–75. [[10.1016/j.intell.2008.07.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2008.07.006)].
- Magill PJ, Bolam JP, Bevan MD** (2001). Dopamine regulates the impact of the cerebral cortex on the subthalamic nucleus–globus pallidus network. *Neuroscience* 106, 313–330. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(01\)00281-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(01)00281-0)].
- Mahon S, Vautrelle N, Pezard L, Slaughter SJ, Deniau J-M, Chouvet G, Charpier S** (2006). Distinct Patterns of Striatal Medium Spiny Neuron Activity during the Natural Sleep-Wake Cycle. *J Neurosci* 26, 12587–12595. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3987-06.2006](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3987-06.2006)].

- Mailly P, Aliane V, Groenewegen HJ, Haber SN, Deniau J-M** (2013). The Rat Prefrontostriatal System Analyzed in 3D: Evidence for Multiple Interacting Functional Units. *J Neurosci* 33, 5718–5727. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5248-12.2013](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5248-12.2013)].
- Majoral D, Zemmar A, Vicente R, Battaglia FP** (2020). A model for time interval learning in the Purkinje cell. *PLoS Comput Biol* 16:2, e1007601. [[10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007601](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007601)].
- Maldonado H, Joseph J-P, Schlag J** (1980). Types of eye movements evoked by thalamic microstimulation in the alert cat. *Exp Neurol* 70, 613–625. [[10.1016/0014-4886\(80\)90187-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4886(80)90187-9)].
- Mallet N, Le Moine C, Champier S, Gonon F** (2005). Feedforward Inhibition of Projection Neurons by Fast-Spiking GABA Interneurons in the Rat Striatum In Vivo. *J Neurosci* 25, 3857–3869. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5027-04.2005](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5027-04.2005)].
- Mallet N, Schmidt R, Leventhal D, Chen F, Amer N, Boraud T, Berke JD** (2016). Arky pallidal Cells Send a Stop Signal to Striatum. *Neuron* 89, 308–316. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2015.12.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.12.017)].
- Malsburg C von der** (1981). The Correlation Theory of Brain Function. In *Physics of Neural Networks: Models of Neural Networks*, p.95–119. [[10.1007/978-1-4612-4320-5_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4320-5_2)].
- Malsburg C von der** (1999). The What and Why of Binding. *Neuron* 24, 95–104. [[10.1016/S0896-6273\(00\)80825-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80825-9)].
- Maltese M, March JR, Bashaw AG, Tritsch NX** (2019 ‡). Dopamine modulates the size of striatal projection neuron ensembles. *bioRxiv* 865006v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/865006](https://doi.org/10.1101/865006)].
- Mamah D, Wang L, Barch D, Erausquin GA de, Gado M, Csernansky JG** (2007). Structural analysis of the basal ganglia in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 89, 59–71. [[10.1016/j.schres.2006.08.031](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2006.08.031)].
- Manni E, Petrosini L** (2004). Timeline: A century of cerebellar somatotopy: a debated representation. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 5, 241–249. [[10.1038/nrn1347](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1347)].
- Mansouri FA, Koechlin E, Rosa MGP, Buckley MJ** (2017). Managing competing goals — a key role for the frontopolar cortex. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 18, 645–657. [[10.1038/nrn.2017.111](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2017.111)].
- Maqueda AE, Valle M, Addy PH, Antonijoan RM, Puntos M, Coimbra J, Ballester MR, Garrido M, González M, Claramunt J, Barker S, Johnson MW, Griffiths RR, Riba J** (2015). Salvinorin-A Induces Intense Dissociative Effects, Blocking External Sensory Perception and Modulating Interoception and Sense of Body Ownership in Humans. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 18:12, pyv065. [[10.1093/ijnp/pyv065](https://doi.org/10.1093/ijnp/pyv065)].
- Margulies DS, Ghosh SS, Goulas A, Falkiewicz M, Huentenburg JM, Langs G, Bezgin G, Eickhoff SB, Castellanos FX, Petrides M, Jefferies E, Smallwood J** (2016). Situating the default-mode network along a principal gradient of macroscale cortical organization. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 113, 12574–12579. [[10.1073/pnas.1608282113](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1608282113)].
- Marissal T, Salazar RF, Bertollini C, Mutel S, Roo M De, Rodriguez I, Müller D, Carleton A** (2018). Restoring wild-type-like CA1 network dynamics and behavior during adulthood in a mouse model of schizophrenia. *Nat Neurosci* 21, 1412–1420. [[10.1038/s41593-018-0225-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0225-y)].
- Markello RD, Spreng RN, Luh W-M, Anderson AK, Rosa E De** (2018). Segregation of the human basal forebrain using resting state functional MRI. *NeuroImage* 173, 287–297. [[10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.02.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.02.042)].
- Markov NT, Kennedy H** (2013). The importance of being hierarchical. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 23, 187–194. [[10.1016/j.conb.2012.12.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2012.12.008)].
- Markov NT, Ercsey-Ravasz MM, Ribeiro Gomes AR, Lamy C, Magrou L, Vezoli J, Misery P, Falchier A, Quilodran R, Gariel MA, Sallet J, Gamanut R, Huissoud C, Clavagnier S, Giroud P, Sappey-Marinié D, Barone P, Dehay C, Toroczkai Z, Knoblauch K, Essen DC Van, Kennedy H** (2014). A Weighted and Directed Interareal Connectivity Matrix for Macaque Cerebral Cortex. *Cereb Cortex* 24, 17–36. [[10.1093/cercor/bhs270](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhs270)].
- Markowitz JE, Gillis WF, Beron CC, Neufeld SQ, Robertson K, Bhagat ND, Peterson RE, Peterson E, Hyun M, Linderman SW, Sabatini BL, Datta SR** (2018). The Striatum Organizes 3D Behavior via Moment-to-Moment Action Selection. *Cell* 174, 44–58.e17. [[10.1016/j.cell.2018.04.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.04.019)].
- Markram H, Lübke J, Frotscher M, Sakmann B** (1997). Regulation of Synaptic Efficacy by Coincidence of Postsynaptic APs and EPSPs. *Science* 275, 213–215. [[10.1126/science.275.5297.213](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.275.5297.213)].
- Marr D** (1969). A theory of cerebellar cortex. *J Physiol* 202, 437–470. [[10.1113/jphysiol.1969.sp008820](https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1969.sp008820)].
- Marsden CD, Obeso JA** (1994). The functions of the basal ganglia and the paradox of stereotaxic surgery in Parkinson's disease. *Brain* 117, 877–897. [[10.1093/brain/117.4.877](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/117.4.877)].
- Märting A, Calvigioni D, Tzortzi O, Fuzik J, Wärnberg E, Meletis K** (2019). A Spatiomolecular Map of the Striatum. *Cell Rep* 29, 4320–4333.e5. [[10.1016/j.celrep.2019.11.096](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.11.096)].
- Martin B, Giersch A, Huron C, Wassenhove V van** (2013). Temporal event structure and timing in schizophrenia: Preserved binding in a longer “now” *Neuropsychologia* 51, 358–371. [[10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2012.07.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2012.07.002)].
- Martin SJ, Morris RGM** (2002). New life in an old idea: The synaptic plasticity and memory hypothesis revisited. *Hippocampus* 12, 609–636. [[10.1002/hipo.10107](https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.10107)].
- Martinez-Gonzalez C, Bolam JP, Mena-Segovia J** (2011). Topographical Organization of the Pedunculopontine Nucleus. *Front Neuroanat* 5. [[10.3389/fnana.2011.00022](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnana.2011.00022)].
- Martino A Di, Scheres A, Margulies DS, Kelly AMC, Uddin LQ, Shehzad Z, Biswal B, Walters JR, Castellanos FX, Milham MP** (2008). Functional Connectivity of Human Striatum: A Resting State fMRI Study. *Cereb Cortex* 18, 2735–2747. [[10.1093/cercor/bhn041](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhn041)].
- Márton CD, Schultz SR, Averbeck BB** (2019 ‡). Learning to select actions shapes recurrent dynamics in the corticostriatal system. *bioRxiv* 646141v3 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/646141](https://doi.org/10.1101/646141)].

- Masquelier T, Hugues E, Deco G, Thorpe SJ** (2009). Oscillations, Phase-of-Firing Coding, and Spike Timing-Dependent Plasticity: An Efficient Learning Scheme. *J Neurosci* 29, 13484–13493. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2207-09.2009](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2207-09.2009)].
- Mather JA** (2008). Cephalopod consciousness: Behavioural evidence. *Conscious Cogn* 17, 37–48. [[10.1016/j.concog.2006.11.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2006.11.006)].
- Matsumoto M, Hikosaka O** (2009). Two types of dopamine neuron distinctly convey positive and negative motivational signals. *Nature* 459, 837–841. [[10.1038/nature08028](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08028)].
- Matsumoto N, Minamimoto T, Graybiel AM, Kimura M** (2001). Neurons in the Thalamic CM-Pf Complex Supply Striatal Neurons With Information About Behaviorally Significant Sensory Events. *J Neurophysiol* 85, 960–976. [[jn.physiology.org/content/85/2/960/](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1999.85.2.960)].
- Mazzetti C, Staudigl T, Marshall TR, Zumer JM, Fallon SJ, Jensen O** (2019). Hemispheric Asymmetry of Globus Pallidus Relates to Alpha Modulation in Reward-Related Attentional Tasks. *J Neurosci* 39, 9221–9236. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0610-19.2019](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0610-19.2019)].
- McAfee SS, Liu Y, Sillitoe RV, Heck DH** (2019). Cerebellar Lobulus Simplex and Crus I Differentially Represent Phase and Phase Difference of Prefrontal Cortical and Hippocampal Oscillations. *Cell Rep* 27, 2328–2334.e3. [[10.1016/j.celrep.2019.04.085](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.04.085)].
- McAuley J, Shahmanesh M, Swash M** (1999). Dopaminergic therapy in acute encephalitis lethargica. *Eur J Neurol* 6, 235–237. [[10.1111/j.1468-1331.1999.tb00018.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-1331.1999.tb00018.x)].
- McCarthy-Jones S, Trauer T, Mackinnon A, Sims E, Thomas N, Copolov DL** (2014). A New Phenomenological Survey of Auditory Hallucinations: Evidence for Subtypes and Implications for Theory and Practice. *Schizophr Bull* 40, 231–235. [[10.1093/schbul/sbs156](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbs156)].
- McDonnell MD, Ward LM** (2011). The benefits of noise in neural systems: bridging theory and experiment. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 12, 415–425. [[10.1038/nrn3061](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3061)].
- McFarland NR, Haber SN** (2000). Convergent Inputs from Thalamic Motor Nuclei and Frontal Cortical Areas to the Dorsal Striatum in the Primate. *J Neurosci* 20, 3798–3813. [[jneurosci.org/content/20/10/3798](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2010-00.2000)].
- McKemmish LK, Reimers JR, McKenzie RH, Mark AE, Hush NS** (2009). Penrose-Hameroff orchestrated objective-reduction proposal for human consciousness is not biologically feasible. *Phys Rev E* 80:2. [[10.1103/PhysRevE.80.021912](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.80.021912)].
- McNab F, Klingberg T** (2008). Prefrontal cortex and basal ganglia control access to working memory. *Nat Neurosci* 11, 103–107. [[10.1038/nn2024](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn2024)].
- Meer M van der, Kalenscher T, Lansink CS, Pennartz CMA, Berke JD, Redish AD** (2010). Integrating early results on ventral striatal gamma oscillations in the rat. *Front Neurosci* 4. [[10.3389/fnins.2010.00300](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2010.00300)].
- Meisel C, Bailey K, Achermann P, Pleniz D** (2017). Decline of long-range temporal correlations in the human brain during sustained wakefulness. *Sci Rep* 7:1. [[10.1038/s41598-017-12140-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-12140-w)].
- Meller K, Breipohl W, Glees P** (1968). Synaptic organization of the molecular and the outer granular layer in the motor cortex in the white mouse during postnatal development. A Golgi- and electronmicroscopical study. *Z Zellforsch* 92, 217–231. [[10.1007/BF00335649](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00335649)].
- Melzer S, Gil M, Koser DE, Michael M, Huang KW, Monyer H** (2017). Distinct Corticostriatal GABAergic Neurons Modulate Striatal Output Neurons and Motor Activity. *Cell Rep* 19, 1045–1055. [[10.1016/j.celrep.2017.04.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.04.024)].
- Mena-Segovia J, Bolam JP, Magill PJ** (2004). Pedunculopontine nucleus and basal ganglia: distant relatives or part of the same family? *Trends Neurosci* 27, 585–588. [[10.1016/j.tins.2004.07.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2004.07.009)].
- Mena-Segovia J, Bolam JP** (2009). Microcircuits of the Pedunculopontine Nucleus. In *Advances in Behavioral Biology* 58: *The Basal Ganglia IX*, p.159–165. [[10.1007/978-1-4419-0340-2_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-0340-2_13)].
- Merello M, Tenca E, Cerquetti D** (2006). Neuronal activity of the zona incerta in Parkinson's disease patients. *Mov Disord* 21, 937–943. [[10.1002/mds.20834](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.20834)].
- Mesulam M-M, Mufson EJ, Levey AI, Wainer BH** (1983). Cholinergic innervation of cortex by the basal forebrain: Cytochemistry and cortical connections of the septal area, diagonal band nuclei, nucleus basalis (Substantia innominata), and hypothalamus in the rhesus monkey. *J Comp Neurol* 214, 170–197. [[10.1002/cne.902140206](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902140206)].
- Mesulam M-M, Mufson EJ** (1984). Neural Inputs Into the Nucleus Basalis of the Substantia Innominata (Ch4) in the Rhesus Monkey. *Brain* 107, 253–274. [[10.1093/brain/107.1.253](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/107.1.253)].
- Mesulam M-M** (1990). Large-scale neurocognitive networks and distributed processing for attention, language, and memory. *Ann Neurol* 28, 597–613. [[10.1002/ana.410280502](https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.410280502)].
- Mesulam M-M** (2004). The cholinergic innervation of the human cerebral cortex. In *Prog. Brain Res.* 145: *Acetylcholine in the Cerebral Cortex*, p.67–78. [[10.1016/S0079-6123\(03\)45004-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(03)45004-8)].
- Mettler FA** (1945). Effects of Bilateral Simultaneous Subcortical Lesions in the Primate. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 4, 99–122. [[10.1097/00005072-194504000-00001](https://doi.org/10.1097/00005072-194504000-00001)].
- Meyer K, Damasio A** (2009). Convergence and divergence in a neural architecture for recognition and memory. *Trends Neurosci* 32, 376–382. [[10.1016/j.tins.2009.04.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2009.04.002)].
- Middleton FA, Strick PL** (1996). The temporal lobe is a target of output from the basal ganglia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 93, 8683–8687. [[10.1073/pnas.93.16.8683](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.16.8683)].
- Middleton FA, Strick PL** (2000). Basal ganglia and cerebellar loops: motor and cognitive circuits. *Brain Res Rev* 31, 236–250. [[10.1016/S0165-0173\(99\)00040-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0173(99)00040-5)].
- Middleton FA, Strick PL** (2002). Basal-ganglia 'Projections' to the Prefrontal Cortex of the Primate. *Cereb Cortex* 12, 926–935. [[10.1093/cercor/12.9.926](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/12.9.926)].
- Milardi D, Gaeta M, Marino S, Arrigo A, Vaccarino G, Mormina E, Rizzo G, Milazzo C, Finocchio G, Baglieri A, Anastasi G, Quartarone A** (2015). Basal ganglia network by constrained spherical deconvolution: A possible cortico-pallidal pathway? *Mov Disord* 30, 342–349. [[10.1002/mds.25995](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.25995)].

- Milardi D, Arrigo A, Anastasi G, Cacciola A, Marino S, Mormina E, Calamuneri A, Bruschetta D, Cutroneo G, Trimarchi F, Quartarone A** (2016). Extensive Direct Subcortical Cerebellum-Basal Ganglia Connections in Human Brain as Revealed by Constrained Spherical Deconvolution Tractography. *Front Neuroanat* 10. [[10.3389/fnana.2016.00029](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnana.2016.00029)].
- Miller DJ, Duka T, Stimpson CD, Schapiro SJ, Baze WB, McArthur MJ, Fobbs AJ, Sousa AMM, Sestan N, Wildman DE, Lipovich L, Kuzawa CW, Hof PR, Sherwood CC** (2012). Prolonged myelination in human neocortical evolution. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 109, 16480–16485. [[10.1073/pnas.1117943109](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1117943109)].
- Miller EK, Cohen JD** (2001). An Integrative Theory of Prefrontal Cortex Function. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 24, 167–202. [[10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.167](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.167)].
- Miller EK, Buschman TJ** (2007). Rules through Recursion: How Interactions between the Frontal Cortex and Basal Ganglia May Build Abstract, Complex Rules from Concrete, Simple Ones. In *Neuroscience of Rule-Guided Behavior* (Oxford: Oxford University Press), p.419–440. [[10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195314274.003.0022](https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195314274.003.0022)].
- Miller EK, Lundqvist M, Bastos AM** (2018). Working Memory 2. 0. *Neuron* 100, 463–475. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2018.09.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.09.023)].
- Mink JW** (1996). The Basal Ganglia: Focused Selection and Inhibition of Competing Motor Programs. *Prog Neurobiol* 50, 381–425. [[10.1016/S0301-0082\(96\)00042-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-0082(96)00042-1)].
- Mirzaei A, Kumar A, Leventhal D, Mallet N, Aertsen A, Berke J, Schmidt R** (2017). Sensorimotor Processing in the Basal Ganglia Leads to Transient Beta Oscillations during Behavior. *J Neurosci* 37, 11220–11232. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1289-17.2017](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1289-17.2017)].
- Mišić B, Goñi J, Betzel RF, Sporns O, McIntosh AR, Daunizeau J** (2014). A Network Convergence Zone in the Hippocampus. *PLoS Comput Biol* 10:12, e1003982. [[10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003982](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003982)].
- Mitchell AS, Chakraborty S** (2013). What does the mediodorsal thalamus do? *Front Syst Neurosci* 7. [[10.3389/fnsys.2013.00037](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2013.00037)].
- Mitrofanis J, Mikuletic L** (1999). Organisation of the cortical projection to the zona incerta of the thalamus. *J Comp Neurol* 412, 173–185. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19990913\)412:1<173::AID-CNE13>3.0.CO;2-Q](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19990913)412:1<173::AID-CNE13>3.0.CO;2-Q)].
- Mitrofanis J** (2005). Some certainty for the “zone of uncertainty”? Exploring the function of the zona incerta. *Neuroscience* 130, 1–15. [[10.1016/j.neuroscience.2004.08.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2004.08.017)].
- Molliver ME** (1987). Serotonergic Neuronal Systems. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 7, 3S–23S. [[10.1097/00004714-198712001-00002](https://doi.org/10.1097/00004714-198712001-00002)].
- Monti JM** (2011). Serotonin control of sleep-wake behavior. *Sleep Med Rev* 15, 269–281. [[10.1016/j.smrv.2010.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smrv.2010.11.003)].
- Mori T, Ohnishi T, Hashimoto R, Nemoto K, Moriguchi Y, Noguchi H, Nakabayashi T, Hori H, Harada S, Saitoh O, Matsuda H, Kunugi H** (2007). Progressive changes of white matter integrity in schizophrenia revealed by diffusion tensor imaging. *Psychiatry Res Neuroimaging* 154, 133–145. [[10.1016/j.pscychresns.2006.09.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pscychresns.2006.09.004)].
- Moriizumi T, Hattori T** (1991). Pallidotal projection to the inferior colliculus of the rat. *Exp Brain Res* 87, 223–226. [[10.1007/BF00228524](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00228524)].
- Morishima M, Kawaguchi Y** (2006). Recurrent Connection Patterns of Corticostriatal Pyramidal Cells in Frontal Cortex. *J Neurosci* 26, 4394–4405. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0252-06.2006](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0252-06.2006)].
- Morison RS, Dempsey EW** (1941). A Study of Thalamo-Cortical Relations. *Am J Physiol* 135, 281–292. [ajphlegacy.physiology.org/content/135/2/281].
- Morita K, Im S, Kawaguchi Y** (2019). Differential Striatal Axonal Arborizations of the Intratelencephalic and Pyramidal-Tract Neurons: Analysis of the Data in the MouseLight Database. *Front Neural Circuits* 13, 10.3389/fncir.2019.00071. [[10.3389/fncir.2019.00071](https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2019.00071)].
- Moujahid A, D'Anjou A, Graña M** (2014). Energy demands of diverse spiking cells from the neocortex, hippocampus, and thalamus. *Front Comput Neurosci* 8. [[10.3389/fncom.2014.00041](https://doi.org/10.3389/fncom.2014.00041)].
- Mountcastle VB** (1998). *Perceptual Neuroscience: The Cerebral Cortex*. (Cambridge, MA and London: Harvard University Press), ISBN 978-0674661882. [www.hup.harvard.edu/catalog.php?isbn=9780674661882].
- Muñoz W, Rudy B** (2014). Spatiotemporal specificity in cholinergic control of neocortical function. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 26, 149–160. [[10.1016/j.conb.2014.02.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2014.02.015)].
- Murayama K, Sakaki M, Meliss S, Yomogida Y, Matsumori K, Sugiura A, Matsumoto M, Matsumoto K** (2019‡). Motivated for near impossibility: How task type and reward modulates intrinsic motivation and the striatal activation for an extremely difficult task.. *bioRxiv* 828756v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/828756](https://doi.org/10.1101/828756)].
- Murre JMJ, Sturdy DPF** (1995). The connectivity of the brain: multi-level quantitative analysis. *Biol Cybern* 73, 529–545. [[10.1007/BF00199545](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00199545)].
- Nakajima M, Halassa MM** (2017). Thalamic control of functional cortical connectivity. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 44, 127–131. [[10.1016/j.conb.2017.04.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2017.04.001)].
- Nakajima M, Schmitt LI, Halassa MM** (2019). Prefrontal Cortex Regulates Sensory Filtering through a Basal Ganglia-to-Thalamus Pathway. *Neuron* 103, 445–458.e10. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2019.05.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.05.026)].
- Nakamura K, Matsumoto M, Hikosaka O** (2008). Reward-Dependent Modulation of Neuronal Activity in the Primate Dorsal Raphe Nucleus. *J Neurosci* 28, 5331–5343. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0021-08.2008](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0021-08.2008)].
- Nakamura KC, Sharott A, Magill PJ** (2014). Temporal Coupling with Cortex Distinguishes Spontaneous Neuronal Activities in Identified Basal Ganglia-Recipient and Cerebellar-Recipient Zones of the Motor Thalamus. *Cereb Cortex* 24, 81–97. [[10.1093/cercor/bhs287](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhs287)].
- Nambu A, Yoshida S-i, Jinnai K** (1990). Discharge patterns of pallidal neurons with input from various cortical areas during movement in the monkey. *Brain Res* 519, 183–191. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(90\)90076-N](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(90)90076-N)].

- Nambu A, Tokuno H, Takada M** (2002). Functional significance of the cortico–subthalamo–pallidal ‘hyperdirect’ pathway. *Neurosci Res* 43, 111–117. [[10.1016/S0168-0102\(02\)00027-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-0102(02)00027-5)].
- Nambu A** (2008). Seven problems on the basal ganglia. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 18, 595–604. [[10.1016/j.conb.2008.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2008.11.001)].
- Nanda B, Galvan A, Smith Y, Wichmann T** (2009). Effects of stimulation of the centromedian nucleus of the thalamus on the activity of striatal cells in awake rhesus monkeys. *Eur J Neurosci* 29, 588–598. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.2008.06598.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2008.06598.x)].
- Naud R, Sprekeler H** (2018). Sparse bursts optimize information transmission in a multiplexed neural code. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 115, E6329–E6338. [[10.1073/pnas.1720995115](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1720995115)].
- Nejad MM, Rotter S, Schmidt R** (2018‡). Transmission of motor signals from the basal ganglia to the thalamus: effect of correlations, sensory responses, and excitation. *bioRxiv* 386920v2 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/386920](https://doi.org/10.1101/386920)].
- Neuman RS, Zebrowska G** (1992). Serotonin (5-HT₂) receptor mediated enhancement of cortical unit activity. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol* 70, 1604–1609. [[10.1139/y92-230](https://doi.org/10.1139/y92-230)].
- Nevet A, Morris G, Saban G, Arkadir D, Bergman H** (2007). Lack of Spike-Count and Spike-Time Correlations in the Substantia Nigra Reticulata Despite Overlap of Neural Responses. *J Neurophysiol* 98, 2232–2243. [[10.1152/jn.00190.2007](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00190.2007)].
- Nicolelis MAL, Chapin JK, Lin RCS** (1992). Somatotopic maps within the zona incerta relay parallel GABAergic somatosensory pathways to the neocortex, superior colliculus, and brainstem. *Brain Res* 577, 134–141. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(92\)90546-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(92)90546-L)].
- Nieoullon A, Dusticier N** (1980). Choline acetyltransferase activity in discrete regions of the cat brain. *Brain Res* 196, 139–149. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(80\)90722-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(80)90722-2)].
- Nini A, Feingold A, Slovín H, Bergman H** (1995). Neurons in the Globus Pallidus Do Not Show Correlated Activity in the Normal Monkey, but Phase-Locked Oscillations Appear in the MPTP Model of Parkinsonism. *J Neurophysiol* 74, 1800–1805. [[jn.physiology.org/content/74/4/1800/](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1995.74.4.1800)].
- Noback CR, Purpura DP** (1961). Postnatal ontogenesis of neurons in cat neocortex. *J Comp Neurol* 117, 291–307. [[10.1002/cne.901170303](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.901170303)].
- Nordström A-L, Farde L, Wiesel F-A, Forslund K, Pauli S, Halldin C, Uppfeldt G** (1993). Central D₂-dopamine receptor occupancy in relation to antipsychotic drug effects: A double-blind PET study of schizophrenic patients. *Biol Psychiatry* 33, 227–235. [[10.1016/0006-3223\(93\)90288-O](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3223(93)90288-O)].
- Nowak LG, Bullier J** (1997). The Timing of Information Transfer in the Visual System. In *Cerebral Cortex 12: Extrastriate Cortex in Primates*, p.205–241. [[10.1007/978-1-4757-9625-4_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-9625-4_5)].
- O’Callaghan C, Hall JM, Tomassini A, Muller AJ, Walpola IC, Moustafa AA, Shine JM, Lewis SJG** (2017). Visual Hallucinations Are Characterized by Impaired Sensory Evidence Accumulation: Insights From Hierarchical Drift Diffusion Modeling in Parkinson’s Disease. *Biol Psychiatry: Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging* 2, 680–688. [[10.1016/j.bpsc.2017.04.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2017.04.007)].
- Ojima H, Honda CN, Jones E** (1991). Patterns of Axon Collateralization of Identified Supragranular Pyramidal Neurons in the Cat Auditory Cortex. *Cereb Cortex* 1, 80–94. [[10.1093/cercor/1.1.80](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/1.1.80)].
- Okun M, Lampl I** (2008). Instantaneous correlation of excitation and inhibition during ongoing and sensory-evoked activities. *Nat Neurosci* 11, 535–537. [[10.1038/nn.2105](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2105)].
- Olde Dubbelink KTE, Hillebrand A, Stoffers D, Deijen JB, Twisk JWR, Stam CJ, Berendse HW** (2014). Disrupted brain network topology in Parkinson’s disease: a longitudinal magnetoencephalography study. *Brain* 137, 197–207. [[10.1093/brain/awt316](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awt316)].
- Oldenburg IA, Sabatini BL** (2015). Antagonistic but Not Symmetric Regulation of Primary Motor Cortex by Basal Ganglia Direct and Indirect Pathways. *Neuron* 86, 1174–1181. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2015.05.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.05.008)].
- Olejniczak P** (2006). Neurophysiologic Basis of EEG. *J Clin Neuropsychol* 23, 186–189. [[10.1097/01.wnp.0000220079.61973.6c](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.wnp.0000220079.61973.6c)].
- Oligschläger S, Xu T, Baczkowski BM, Falkiewicz M, Falchier A, Linn G, Margulies DS** (2019). Gradients of connectivity distance in the cerebral cortex of the macaque monkey. *Brain Struct Funct* 224, 925–935. [[10.1007/s00429-018-1811-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-018-1811-1)].
- Olmos JS, Heimer L** (1999). The Concepts of the Ventral Striatopallidal System and Extended Amygdala. *Annals NY Acad Sci* 877, 1–32. [[10.1111/j.1749-6632.1999.tb09258.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1999.tb09258.x)].
- Oorschot DE** (1996). Total Number of Neurons in the Neostriatal, Pallidal, Subthalamic, and Substantia Nigral Nuclei of the Rat Basal Ganglia: A Stereological Study Using the Cavalieri and Optical Disector Methods. *J Comp Neurol* 366, 580–599. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19960318\)366:4<580::AID-CNE3>3.0.CO;2-0](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19960318)366:4<580::AID-CNE3>3.0.CO;2-0)].
- O’Reilly RC, Frank MJ** (2006). Making Working Memory Work: A Computational Model of Learning in the Prefrontal Cortex and Basal Ganglia. *Neural Comput* 18, 283–328. [[10.1162/089976606775093909](https://doi.org/10.1162/089976606775093909)].
- Pace-Schott EF, Hobson JA** (2002). The Neurobiology of Sleep: Genetics, cellular physiology and subcortical networks. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 3, 591–605. [[10.1038/nrn895](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn895)].
- Packard A** (1972). Cephalopods and Fish: the Limits of Convergence. *Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc* 47, 241–307. [[10.1111/j.1469-185X.1972.tb00975.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.1972.tb00975.x)].
- Pahapill PA, Lozano AM** (2000). The pedunculopontine nucleus and Parkinson’s disease. *Brain* 123, 1767–1783. [[10.1093/brain/123.9.1767](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/123.9.1767)].
- Palmer T** (2015). Modelling: Build imprecise supercomputers. *Nature* 526, 32–33. [[10.1038/526032a](https://doi.org/10.1038/526032a)].

- Palmer TN, O'Shea M** (2015). Solving difficult problems creatively: a role for energy optimised deterministic/stochastic hybrid computing. *Front Comput Neurosci* 9. [[10.3389/fncom.2015.00124](https://doi.org/10.3389/fncom.2015.00124)].
- Palmer TN** (2020 ‡). Human Creativity and Consciousness: Unintended Consequences of the Brain's Extraordinary Energy Efficiency?. *arXiv* [2002.03738](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.03738) [q-bio.NC].
- Palmigiano A, Geisel T, Wolf F, Battaglia D** (2017). Flexible information routing by transient synchrony. *Nat Neurosci* 20, 1014–1022. [[10.1038/nn.4569](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4569)].
- Pantelis C, Barnes TRE, Nelson HE, Tanner S, Weatherley L, Owen AM, Robbins TW** (1997). Frontal-striatal cognitive deficits in patients with chronic schizophrenia. *Brain* 120, 1823–1843. [[10.1093/brain/120.10.1823](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/120.10.1823)].
- Paré D, Smith Y, Parent A, Steriade M** (1988). Projections of brainstem core cholinergic and non-cholinergic neurons of cat to intralaminar and reticular thalamic nuclei. *Neuroscience* 25, 69–86. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(88\)90007-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(88)90007-3)].
- Paredes MF, James D, Gil-Perotin S, Kim H, Cotter JA, Ng C, Sandoval K, Rowitch DH, Xu D, McQuillen PS, Garcia-Verdugo J-M, Huang EJ, Alvarez-Buylla A** (2016). Extensive migration of young neurons into the infant human frontal lobe. *Science* 354, aaf7073-1–aaf7073-7. [[10.1126/science.aaf7073](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf7073)].
- Parent A, Charara A, Pinault D** (1995). Single striatofugal axons arborizing in both pallidal segments and in the substantia nigra in primates. *Brain Res* 698, 280–284. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(95\)01017-P](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(95)01017-P)].
- Parent A, Hazrati L-N** (1995a). Functional anatomy of the basal ganglia. I. The cortico-basal ganglia-thalamo-cortical loop. *Brain Res Rev* 20, 91–127. [[10.1016/0165-0173\(94\)00007-C](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0173(94)00007-C)].
- Parent A, Hazrati L-N** (1995b). Functional anatomy of the basal ganglia. II. The place of subthalamic nucleus and external pallidum in basal ganglia circuitry. *Brain Res Rev* 20, 128–154. [[10.1016/0165-0173\(94\)00008-D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0173(94)00008-D)].
- Parent A, Sato F, Wu Y, Gauthier J, Lévesque M, Parent M** (2000). Organization of the basal ganglia: the importance of axonal collateralization. *Trends Neurosci* 23, S20–S27. [[10.1016/S1471-1931\(00\)00022-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1471-1931(00)00022-7)].
- Parent M, Lévesque M, Parent A** (2001). Two types of projection neurons in the internal pallidum of primates: Single-axon tracing and three-dimensional reconstruction. *J Comp Neurol* 439, 162–175. [[10.1002/cne.1340](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.1340)].
- Parent M, Parent A** (2005). Single-axon tracing and three-dimensional reconstruction of centre médian-parafascicular thalamic neurons in primates. *J Comp Neurol* 481, 127–144. [[10.1002/cne.20348](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.20348)].
- Parent M, Descarries L** (2008). Acetylcholine innervation of the adult rat thalamus: Distribution and ultrastructural features in dorsolateral geniculate, parafascicular, and reticular thalamic nuclei. *J Comp Neurol* 511, 678–691. [[10.1002/cne.21868](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.21868)].
- Parnaudeau S, O'Neill P-K, Bolkan SS, Ward RD, Abbas AI, Roth BL, Balsam PD, Gordon JA, Kellendonk C** (2013). Inhibition of Mediodorsal Thalamus Disrupts Thalamofrontal Connectivity and Cognition. *Neuron* 77, 1151–1162. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2013.01.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.01.038)].
- Parthasarathy HB, Schall JD, Graybiel AM** (1992). Distributed but Convergent Ordering of Corticostriatal Projections: Analysis of the Frontal Eye Field and the Supplementary Eye Field in the Macaque Monkey. *J Neurosci* 12, 4468–4488. [[jneurosci.org/content/12/11/4468](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1111-92.1992)].
- Parthasarathy HB, Graybiel AM** (1997). Cortically Driven Immediate-Early Gene Expression Reflects Modular Influence of Sensorimotor Cortex on Identified Striatal Neurons in the Squirrel Monkey. *J Neurosci* 17, 2477–2491. [[jneurosci.org/content/17/7/2477](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1111-97.1997)].
- Pascucci D, Hickey C, Jovicich J, Turatto M** (2017). Independent circuits in basal ganglia and cortex for the processing of reward and precision feedback. *NeuroImage* 162, 56–64. [[10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.08.067](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.08.067)].
- Pearson RCA, Gatter KC, Brodal P, Powell TPS** (1983). The projection of the basal nucleus of Meynert upon the neocortex in the monkey. *Brain Res* 259, 132–136. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(83\)91075-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(83)91075-2)].
- Penit-Soria J, Audinat E, Crepel F** (1987). Excitation of rat prefrontal cortical neurons by dopamine: An in vitro electrophysiological study. *Brain Res* 425, 263–274. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(87\)90509-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(87)90509-9)].
- Percheron G, François C, Talbi B, Yelnik J, Fénelon G** (1996). The primate motor thalamus. *Brain Res Rev* 22, 93–181. [[10.1016/0165-0173\(96\)00003-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0173(96)00003-3)].
- Périer C, Vila M, Féger J, Agid Y, Hirsch EC** (2000). Functional Activity of Zona Incerta Neurons Is Altered after Nigrostriatal Denervation in Hemiparkinsonian Rats. *Exp Neurol* 162, 215–224. [[10.1006/exnr.1999.7331](https://doi.org/10.1006/exnr.1999.7331)].
- Périer C, Tremblay L, Féger J, Hirsch EC** (2002). Behavioral Consequences of Bicuculline Injection in the Subthalamic Nucleus and the Zona Incerta in Rat. *J Neurosci* 22, 8711–8719. [[jneurosci.org/content/22/19/8711](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1111-02.2002)].
- Person AL, Perkel DJ** (2005). Unitary IPSPs Drive Precise Thalamic Spiking in a Circuit Required for Learning. *Neuron* 46, 129–140. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2004.12.057](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2004.12.057)].
- Peters AJ, Steinmetz NA, Harris KD, Carandini M** (2019 ‡). Striatal activity reflects cortical activity patterns. *bioRxiv* [703710v1](https://doi.org/10.1101/703710) [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/703710](https://doi.org/10.1101/703710)].
- Peterson EJ, Rosen BQ, Campbell AM, Belger A, Voytek B** (2017 ‡). 1/f neural noise is a better predictor of schizophrenia than neural oscillations. *bioRxiv* [113449v4](https://doi.org/10.1101/113449) [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/113449](https://doi.org/10.1101/113449)].
- Peyrache A, Battaglia FP, Destexhe A** (2011). Inhibition recruitment in prefrontal cortex during sleep spindles and gating of hippocampal inputs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108, 17207–17212. [[10.1073/pnas.1103612108](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1103612108)].
- Peyron C, Petit J-M, Rampon C, Jouvret M, Luppi P-H** (1997). Forebrain afferents to the rat dorsal raphe nucleus demonstrated by retrograde and anterograde tracing methods. *Neuroscience* 82, 443–468. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(97\)00268-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(97)00268-6)].
- Phillips RS, Rosner I, Gittis AH, Rubin JE** (2020 ‡). A computational model explains and predicts substantia nigra pars reticulata responses to pallidal and striatal inputs. *bioRxiv* [2020.02.17.952820v1](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.17.952820v1) [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/2020.02.17.952820](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.17.952820)].

- Pinault D** (2004). The thalamic reticular nucleus: structure, function and concept. *Brain Res Rev* 46, 1–31. [[10.1016/j.brainresrev.2004.04.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresrev.2004.04.008)].
- Pinault D** (2011). Dysfunctional Thalamus-Related Networks in Schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 37, 238–243. [[10.1093/schbul/sbq165](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbq165)].
- Pinheiro PO, Collobert R** (2014). Recurrent Convolutional Neural Networks for Scene Labeling. In *Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Machine Learning, Beijing, China*, p.82–90. [jmlr.org/proceedings/papers/v32/pinheiro14.pdf].
- Pita-Almenar JD, Yu D, Lu H-C, Beierlein M** (2014). Mechanisms Underlying Desynchronization of Cholinergic-Evoked Thalamic Network Activity. *J Neurosci* 34, 14463–14474. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2321-14.2014](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2321-14.2014)].
- Pittman-Polletta BR, Kocsis B, Vijayan S, Whittington MA, Kopell NJ** (2015). Brain Rhythms Connect Impaired Inhibition to Altered Cognition in Schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 77, 1020–1030. [[10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.02.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.02.005)].
- Plaha P, Ben-Shlomo Y, Patel NK, Gill SS** (2006). Stimulation of the caudal zona incerta is superior to stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus in improving contralateral parkinsonism. *Brain* 129, 1732–1747. [[10.1093/brain/aw1127](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/aw1127)].
- Popa D, Spolidoro M, Proville RD, Guyon N, Belliveau L, Lena C** (2013). Functional Role of the Cerebellum in Gamma-Band Synchronization of the Sensory and Motor Cortices. *J Neurosci* 33, 6552–6556. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5521-12.2013](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5521-12.2013)].
- Pouille F, Scanziani M** (2001). Enforcement of Temporal Fidelity in Pyramidal Cells by Somatic Feed-Forward Inhibition. *Science* 293, 1159–1163. [[10.1126/science.1060342](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1060342)].
- Poulet JFA, Fernandez LMJ, Crochet S, Petersen CCH** (2012). Thalamic control of cortical states. *Nat Neurosci* 15, 370–372. [[10.1038/nn.3035](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3035)].
- Power BD, Kolmac CI, Mitrofanis J** (1999). Evidence for a large projection from the zona incerta to the dorsal thalamus. *J Comp Neurol* 404, 554–565. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19990222\)404:4<554::AID-CNE10>3.0.CO;2-2](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19990222)404:4<554::AID-CNE10>3.0.CO;2-2)].
- Preller KH, Burt JB** (2018). Changes in global and thalamic brain connectivity in LSD-induced altered states of consciousness are attributable to the 5-HT_{2A} receptor. *eLife* 7, 10.7554/eLife.35082. [[10.7554/eLife.35082](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.35082)].
- Price DJ, Kennedy H, Dehay C, Zhou L, Mercier M, Jossin Y, Goffinet AM, Tissir F, Blakey D, Molnár Z** (2006). The development of cortical connections. *Eur J Neurosci* 23, 910–920. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.2006.04620.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2006.04620.x)].
- Price JL, Stern R** (1983). Individual cells in the nucleus basalis-diagonal band complex have restricted axonal projections to the cerebral cortex in the rat. *Brain Res* 269, 352–356. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(83\)90145-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(83)90145-2)].
- Pucak ML, Levitt JB, Lund JS, Lewis DA** (1996). Patterns of intrinsic and associational circuitry in monkey prefrontal cortex. *J Comp Neurol* 376, 614–630. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19961223\)376:4<614::AID-CNE9>3.0.CO;2-4](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19961223)376:4<614::AID-CNE9>3.0.CO;2-4)].
- Purpura KP, Schiff ND** (1997). The Thalamic Intralaminar Nuclei: A Role in Visual Awareness. *Neuroscientist* 3, 8–15. [[10.1177/107385849700300110](https://doi.org/10.1177/107385849700300110)].
- Putney J, Conn R, Sponberg S** (2019). Precise timing is ubiquitous, consistent, and coordinated across a comprehensive, spike-resolved flight motor program. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 116, 26951–26960. [[10.1073/pnas.1907513116](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1907513116)].
- Qi X-L, Liu R, Vazdarjanova AI, Blake DT, Constantinidis C** (2019 ‡). Nucleus Basalis Stimulation Stabilizes Attractor Networks and Enhances Task Representation in Prefrontal Cortex. *bioRxiv* 674465v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/674465](https://doi.org/10.1101/674465)].
- Quednow BB, Kühn K-U, Mössner R, Schwab SG, Schuhmacher A, Maier W, Wagner M** (2008). Sensorimotor Gating of Schizophrenia Patients Is Influenced by 5-HT_{2A} Receptor Polymorphisms. *Biol Psychiatry* 64, 434–437. [[10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.02.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.02.019)].
- Raedler TJ, Bymaster FP, Tandon R, Copolov D, Dean B** (2006). Towards a muscarinic hypothesis of schizophrenia. *Mol Psychiatry* 12, 232–246. [[10.1038/sj.mp.4001924](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.mp.4001924)].
- Railo H, Nokelainen N, Savolainen S, Kaasinen V** (2019 ‡). Deficits in monitoring self-produced speech in Parkinson's disease. *bioRxiv* 823674v3 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/823674](https://doi.org/10.1101/823674)].
- Rajakumar N, Elisevich K, Flumerfelt BA** (1993). Compartmental origin of the striato-entopeduncular projection in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 331, 286–296. [[10.1002/cne.903310210](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903310210)].
- Rajalingham R, DiCarlo JJ** (2019). Reversible Inactivation of Different Millimeter-Scale Regions of Primate IT Results in Different Patterns of Core Object Recognition Deficits. *Neuron* 102, 493–505.e5. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2019.02.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.02.001)].
- Rajkowska G, Selemon LD, Goldman-Rakic PS** (1998). Neuronal and Glial Somal Size in the Prefrontal Cortex. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 55, 215–224. [[10.1001/archpsyc.55.3.215](https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.55.3.215)].
- Rakic P** (1988). Specification of cerebral cortical areas. *Science* 241, 170–176. [[10.1126/science.3291116](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.3291116)].
- Ramanathan S, Hanley JJ, Deniau J-M, Bolam JP** (2002). Synaptic Convergence of Motor and Somatosensory Cortical Afferents onto GABAergic Interneurons in the Rat Striatum. *J Neurosci* 22, 8158–8169. [[jneurosci.org/content/22/18/8158](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0815-02.2002)].
- Rammesayer TH, Brandler S** (2007). Performance on temporal information processing as an index of general intelligence. *Intelligence* 35, 123–139. [[10.1016/j.intell.2006.04.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2006.04.007)].
- Ramsay IS, Nienow TM, MacDonald AW** (2017). Increases in Intrinsic Thalamocortical Connectivity and Overall Cognition Following Cognitive Remediation in Chronic Schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry: Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging* 2, 355–362. [[10.1016/j.bpsc.2016.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2016.11.001)].
- Rao RPN, Ballard DH** (1999). Predictive coding in the visual cortex: a functional interpretation of some extra-classical receptive-field effects. *Nat Neurosci* 2, 79–87. [[10.1038/4580](https://doi.org/10.1038/4580)].
- Rao SG, Williams GV, Goldman-Rakic PS** (1999). Isodirectional Tuning of Adjacent Interneurons and Pyramidal Cells During Working Memory: Evidence for Microcolumnar Organization in PFC. *J Neurophysiol* 81, 1903–1916. [[jn.physiology.org/content/81/4/1903](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1999.81.4.1903)].
- Rasch B, Born J** (2013). About Sleep's Role in Memory. *Physiol Rev* 93, 681–766. [[10.1152/physrev.00032.2012](https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00032.2012)].

- Rasmusson DD** (2000). The role of acetylcholine in cortical synaptic plasticity. *Behav Brain Res* 115, 205–218. [[10.1016/S0166-4328\(00\)00259-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4328(00)00259-X)].
- Rasmusson DD, Smith SA, Semba K** (2007). Inactivation of prefrontal cortex abolishes cortical acetylcholine release evoked by sensory or sensory pathway stimulation in the rat. *Neuroscience* 149, 232–241. [[10.1016/j.neuroscience.2007.06.057](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2007.06.057)].
- Rav-Acha M, Sagiv N, Segev I, Bergman H, Yarom Y** (2005). Dynamic and spatial features of the inhibitory pallidal GABAergic synapses. *Neuroscience* 135, 791–802. [[10.1016/j.neuroscience.2005.05.069](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2005.05.069)].
- Ray JP, Price JL** (1993). The organization of projections from the mediodorsal nucleus of the thalamus to orbital and medial prefrontal cortex in macaque monkeys. *J Comp Neurol* 337, 1–31. [[10.1002/cne.903370102](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903370102)].
- Reber PJ, Kotovsky K** (1997). Implicit learning in problem solving: The role of working memory capacity. *J Exp Psychol Gen* 126, 178–203. [[10.1037/0096-3445.126.2.178](https://doi.org/10.1037/0096-3445.126.2.178)].
- Redgrave P, Prescott TJ, Gurney K** (1999). The basal ganglia: a vertebrate solution to the selection problem? *Neuroscience* 89, 1009–1023. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(98\)00319-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(98)00319-4)].
- Redinbaugh MJ, Phillips JM, Kambi NA, Mohanta S, Andryk S, Dooley GL, Afrasiabi M, Raz A, Saalman YB** (2020). Thalamus Modulates Consciousness via Layer-Specific Control of Cortex. *Neuron* (in press), S0896627320300052. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2020.01.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.01.005)].
- Redouté J, Stoléru S, Grégoire M-C, Costes N, Cinotti L, Lavenne F, Le Bars D, Forest MG, Pujol J-F** (2000). Brain processing of visual sexual stimuli in human males. *Hum Brain Mapp* 11, 162–177. [[10.1002/1097-0193\(200011\)11:3<162::AID-HBM30>3.0.CO;2-A](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0193(200011)11:3<162::AID-HBM30>3.0.CO;2-A)].
- Reeber SL, White JJ, George-Jones NA, Sillitoe RV** (2013). Architecture and development of olivocerebellar circuit topography. *Front Neural Circuits* 6. [[10.3389/fncir.2012.00115](https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2012.00115)].
- Reiner A, Jiao Y, Del Mar N, Laverghetta AV, Lei WL** (2003). Differential morphology of pyramidal tract-type and intratelencephalically projecting-type corticostriatal neurons and their intrastriatal terminals in rats. *J Comp Neurol* 457, 420–440. [[10.1002/cne.10541](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.10541)].
- Remedios R, Logothetis NK, Kayser C** (2014). A role of the claustrum in auditory scene analysis by reflecting sensory change. *Front Syst Neurosci* 8. [[10.3389/fnsys.2014.00044](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00044)].
- Reyn CR von, Breads P, Peek MY, Zheng GZ, Williamson WR, Yee AL, Leonardo A, Card GM** (2014). A spike-timing mechanism for action selection. *Nat Neurosci* 17, 962–970. [[10.1038/nn.3741](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3741)].
- Ribary U, Ioannides AA, Singh KD, Hasson R, Bolton JP, Lado F, Mogilner A, Llinás R** (1991). Magnetic field tomography of coherent thalamocortical 40-Hz oscillations in humans. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 88, 11037–11041. [[10.1073/pnas.88.24.11037](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.88.24.11037)].
- Ricardo JA** (1981). Efferent connections of the subthalamic region in the rat. II. The zona incerta. *Brain Res* 214, 43–60. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(81\)90437-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(81)90437-6)].
- Richter CG, Thompson WH, Bosman CA, Fries P** (2017). Top-Down Beta Enhances Bottom-Up Gamma. *J Neurosci* 37, 6698–6711. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3771-16.2017](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3771-16.2017)].
- Rickards H, Wood C, Cavanna AE** (2008). Hassler and Dieckmann's seminal paper on stereotactic thalamotomy for Gilles de la Tourette syndrome: Translation and critical reappraisal. *Mov Disord* 23, 1966–1972. [[10.1002/mds.22238](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.22238)].
- Rieck RW, Ansari MS, Whetsell WO, Deutch AY, Kessler RM** (2004). Distribution of Dopamine D2-Like Receptors in the Human Thalamus: Autoradiographic and PET Studies. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 29, 362–372. [[10.1038/sj.npp.1300336](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1300336)].
- Riehle A, Grün S, Diesmann M, Aertsen A** (1997). Spike Synchronization and Rate Modulation Differentially Involved in Motor Cortical Function. *Science* 278, 1950–1953. [[10.1126/science.278.5345.1950](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.278.5345.1950)].
- Rieke F, Baylor DA** (1998). Single-photon detection by rod cells of the retina. *Rev Mod Phys* 70, 1027–1036. [[10.1103/RevModPhys.70.1027](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.70.1027)].
- Rigotti M, Barak O, Warden MR, Wang X-J, Daw ND, Miller EK, Fusi S** (2013). The importance of mixed selectivity in complex cognitive tasks. *Nature* 497, 585–590. [[10.1038/nature12160](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12160)].
- Rikhye RV, Gilra A, Halassa MM** (2018). Thalamic regulation of switching between cortical representations enables cognitive flexibility. *Nat Neurosci* 21, 1753–1763. [[10.1038/s41593-018-0269-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0269-z)].
- Rivlin-Etzion M, Marmor O, Saban G, Rosin B, Haber SN, Vaadia E, Prut Y, Bergman H** (2008). Low-Pass Filter Properties of Basal Ganglia Cortical Muscle Loops in the Normal and MPTP Primate Model of Parkinsonism. *J Neurosci* 28, 633–649. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3388-07.2008](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3388-07.2008)].
- Robbins TW** (1990). The Case for Frontostriatal Dysfunction in Schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 16, 391–402. [[10.1093/schbul/16.3.391](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/16.3.391)].
- Robinson DL, Kertzman C** (1995). Covert Orienting of Attention in Macaques. III. Contributions of the Superior Colliculus. *J Neurophysiol* 74, 713–721. [[jn.physiology.org/content/74/2/713](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1995.74.2.713)].
- Rockland KS, Lund JS** (1983). Intrinsic laminar lattice connections in primate visual cortex. *J Comp Neurol* 216, 303–318. [[10.1002/cne.902160307](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902160307)].
- Rodriguez R, Kallenbach U, Singer W, Munk MHJ** (2004). Short- and Long-Term Effects of Cholinergic Modulation on Gamma Oscillations and Response Synchronization in the Visual Cortex. *J Neurosci* 24, 10369–10378. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1839-04.2004](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1839-04.2004)].
- Roelfsema PR, Engel AK, König P, Singer W** (1997). Visuomotor integration is associated with zero time-lag synchronization among cortical areas. *Nature* 385, 157–161. [[10.1038/385157a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/385157a0)].
- Roland PE** (2002). Dynamic depolarization fields in the cerebral cortex. *Trends Neurosci* 25, 183–190. [[10.1016/S0166-2236\(00\)02125-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(00)02125-1)].

- Rolls ET, Tovee MJ** (1995). Sparseness of the Neuronal Representation of Stimuli in the Primate Temporal Visual Cortex. *J Neurophysiol* 73, 713–726. [[jn.physiology.org/content/73/2/713/](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1995.73.3.713)].
- Rolls ET** (2010). A computational theory of episodic memory formation in the hippocampus. *Behav Brain Res* 215, 180–196. [[10.1016/j.bbr.2010.03.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2010.03.027)].
- Rose NS, LaRocque JJ, Riggall AC, Gosseries O, Starrett MJ, Meyering EE, Postle BR** (2016). Reactivation of latent working memories with transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Science* 354, 1136–1139. [[10.1126/science.aah7011](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aah7011)].
- Rouiller EM, Welker E** (2000). A comparative analysis of the morphology of corticothalamic projections in mammals. *Brain Res Bull* 53, 727–741. [[10.1016/S0361-9230\(00\)00364-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-9230(00)00364-6)].
- Roux F, Wibral M, Mohr HM, Singer W, Uhlhaas PJ** (2012). Gamma-Band Activity in Human Prefrontal Cortex Codes for the Number of Relevant Items Maintained in Working Memory. *J Neurosci* 32, 12411–12420. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0421-12.2012](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0421-12.2012)].
- Royce GJ** (1983a). Cells of origin of corticothalamic projections upon the centromedian and parafascicular nuclei in the cat. *Brain Res* 258, 11–21. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(83\)91221-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(83)91221-0)].
- Royce GJ** (1983b). Cortical neurons with collateral projections to both the caudate nucleus and the centromedian-parafascicular thalamic complex: A fluorescent retrograde double labeling study in the cat. *Exp Brain Res* 50, 157–165. [[10.1007/BF00239179](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00239179)].
- Royce GJ, Mourey RJ** (1985). Efferent connections of the centromedian and parafascicular thalamic nuclei: An autoradiographic investigation in the cat. *J Comp Neurol* 235, 277–300. [[10.1002/cne.902350302](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902350302)].
- Royce GJ, Bromley S, Gracco C, Beckstead RM** (1989). Thalamocortical connections of the rostral intralaminar nuclei: An autoradiographic analysis in the cat. *J Comp Neurol* 288, 555–582. [[10.1002/cne.902880404](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902880404)].
- Rubin DB, Hooser SD Van, Miller KD** (2015). The Stabilized Supralinear Network: A Unifying Circuit Motif Underlying Multi-Input Integration in Sensory Cortex. *Neuron* 85, 402–417. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2014.12.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.12.026)].
- Rubio-Garrido P, Pérez-de-Manzo F, Porrero C, Galazo MJ, Clascá F** (2009). Thalamic Input to Distal Apical Dendrites in Neocortical Layer 1 Is Massive and Highly Convergent. *Cereb Cortex* 19, 2380–2395. [[10.1093/cercor/bhn259](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhn259)].
- Rusek F, Persson D, Lau BK, Larsson EG, Marzetta TL, Edfors O, Tufvesson F** (2013). Scaling Up MIMO: Opportunities and Challenges with Very Large Arrays. *IEEE Signal Process Mag* 30, 40–60. [[10.1109/MSP.2011.2178495](https://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2011.2178495)].
- Saalmann YB, Pinsk MA, Wang L, Li X, Kastner S** (2012). The Pulvinar Regulates Information Transmission Between Cortical Areas Based on Attention Demands. *Science* 337, 753–756. [[10.1126/science.1223082](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1223082)].
- Saalmann YB** (2014). Intralaminar and medial thalamic influence on cortical synchrony, information transmission and cognition. *Front Syst Neurosci* 8. [[10.3389/fnsys.2014.00083](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00083)].
- Saalmann YB, Ly R, Pinsk MA, Kastner S** (2018‡). Pulvinar influences parietal delay activity and information transmission between dorsal and ventral visual cortex in macaques. *bioRxiv* 405381v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/405381](https://doi.org/10.1101/405381)].
- Sacchet MD, LaPlante RA, Wan Q, Pritchett DL, Lee AKC, Hamalainen M, Moore CI, Kerr CE, Jones SR** (2015). Attention Drives Synchronization of Alpha and Beta Rhythms between Right Inferior Frontal and Primary Sensory Neocortex. *J Neurosci* 35, 2074–2082. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1292-14.2015](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1292-14.2015)].
- Sadikot AF, Parent A, François C** (1992a). Efferent connections of the centromedian and parafascicular thalamic nuclei in the squirrel monkey: A PHA-L study of subcortical projections. *J Comp Neurol* 315, 137–159. [[10.1002/cne.903150203](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903150203)].
- Sadikot AF, Parent A, Smith Y, Bolam JP** (1992b). Efferent connections of the centromedian and parafascicular thalamic nuclei in the squirrel monkey: A light and electron microscopic study of the thalamostriatal projection in relation to striatal heterogeneity. *J Comp Neurol* 320, 228–242. [[10.1002/cne.903200207](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903200207)].
- Sakai ST, Inase M, Tanji J** (1996). Comparison of cerebellothalamic and pallidothalamic projections in the monkey (*Macaca fuscata*): A double anterograde labeling study. *J Comp Neurol* 368, 215–228. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19960429\)368:2<215::AID-CNE4>3.0.CO;2-6](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19960429)368:2<215::AID-CNE4>3.0.CO;2-6)].
- Sakoğlu Ü, Pearlson GD, Kiehl KA, Wang YM, Michael AM, Calhoun VD** (2010). A method for evaluating dynamic functional network connectivity and task-modulation: application to schizophrenia. *Magn Reson Mater Phys* 23, 351–366. [[10.1007/s10334-010-0197-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10334-010-0197-8)].
- Salami M, Itami C, Tsumoto T, Kimura F** (2003). Change of conduction velocity by regional myelination yields constant latency irrespective of distance between thalamus and cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100, 6174–6179. [[10.1073/pnas.0937380100](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0937380100)].
- Salazar RF, Dotson NM, Bressler SL, Gray CM** (2012). Content-Specific Fronto-Parietal Synchronization During Visual Working Memory. *Science* 338, 1097–1100. [[10.1126/science.1224000](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1224000)].
- Salerno MT, Zagami MT, Cortimiglia R, Infantellina F** (1981). The action of iontophoretically applied acetylcholine and dopamine on single claustrum neurones in the cat. *Neuropharmacology* 20, 895–899. [[10.1016/0028-3908\(81\)90085-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0028-3908(81)90085-X)].
- Sanabria DE, Johnson LA, Yu Y, Busby Z, Nebeck S, Zhang J, Harel N, Johnson MD, Molnar GF, Vitek JL** (2020 ‡). Suppressing and amplifying neural oscillations in real-time using phase-locked electrical stimulation: concept, optimization and in-vivo testing. *bioRxiv* 2020.02.09.940643v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/2020.02.09.940643](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.09.940643)].
- Sánchez-González MÁ, García-Cabezas MÁ, Rico B, Cavada C** (2005). The Primate Thalamus Is a Key Target for Brain Dopamine. *J Neurosci* 25, 6076–6083. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0968-05.2005](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0968-05.2005)].

- Sandstrom MI, Rebec GV** (2003). Characterization of striatal activity in conscious rats: Contribution of NMDA and AMPA/kainate receptors to both spontaneous and glutamate-driven firing. *Synapse* 47, 91–100. [[10.1002/syn.10142](https://doi.org/10.1002/syn.10142)].
- Sanes JR, Yamagata M** (2009). Many Paths to Synaptic Specificity. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 25, 161–195. [[10.1146/annurev.cellbio.24.110707.175402](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.24.110707.175402)].
- Sara SJ, Bouret S** (2012). Orienting and Reorienting: The Locus Coeruleus Mediates Cognition through Arousal. *Neuron* 76, 130–141. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2012.09.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.09.011)].
- Sarter M, Bruno JP** (1999). Cortical cholinergic inputs mediating arousal, attentional processing and dreaming: differential afferent regulation of the basal forebrain by telencephalic and brainstem afferents. *Neuroscience* 95, 933–952. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(99\)00487-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(99)00487-X)].
- Sarter M, Givens B, Bruno JP** (2001). The cognitive neuroscience of sustained attention: where top-down meets bottom-up. *Brain Res Rev* 35, 146–160. [[10.1016/S0165-0173\(01\)00044-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0173(01)00044-3)].
- Satoh K, Fibiger HC** (1986). Cholinergic neurons of the laterodorsal tegmental nucleus: Efferent and afferent connections. *J Comp Neurol* 253, 277–302. [[10.1002/cne.902530302](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902530302)].
- Saunders A, Oldenburg IA, Berezovskii VK, Johnson CA, Kingery ND, Elliott HL, Xie T, Gerfen CR, Sabatini BL** (2015a). A direct GABAergic output from the basal ganglia to frontal cortex. *Nature* 521, 85–89. [[10.1038/nature14179](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14179)].
- Saunders A, Granger AJ, Sabatini BL** (2015b). Corelease of acetylcholine and GABA from cholinergic forebrain neurons. *eLife* 4, 10.7554/eLife.06412. [[10.7554/eLife.06412](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.06412)].
- Saunders BT, Richard JM, Margolis EB, Janak PH** (2018). Dopamine neurons create Pavlovian conditioned stimuli with circuit-defined motivational properties. *Nat Neurosci* 21, 1072–1083. [[10.1038/s41593-018-0191-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0191-4)].
- Scannell JW** (1999). The Connectional Organization of the Cortico-thalamic System of the Cat. *Cereb Cortex* 9, 277–299. [[10.1093/cercor/9.3.277](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/9.3.277)].
- Scarr E, Dean B** (2008). Muscarinic receptors: do they have a role in the pathology and treatment of schizophrenia? *J Neurochem* 107, 1188–1195. [[10.1111/j.1471-4159.2008.05711.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2008.05711.x)].
- Schapiro AC** (2019). The hippocampus is necessary for the consolidation of a task that does not require the hippocampus for initial learning. *Hippocampus* 29, 1091–1100. [[10.1002/hipo.23101](https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.23101)].
- Schell GR, Strick PL** (1984). The Origin of Thalamic Inputs to the Arcuate Premotor and Supplementary Motor Areas. *J Neurosci* 4, 539–560. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0422-84.1984](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0422-84.1984)].
- Schiff ND** (2010). Recovery of consciousness after brain injury: a mesocircuit hypothesis. *Trends Neurosci* 33, 1–9. [[10.1016/j.tins.2009.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2009.11.002)].
- Schiff ND, Shah SA, Hudson AE, Nauvel T, Kalik SF, Purpura KP** (2013). Gating of attentional effort through the central thalamus. *J Neurophysiol* 109, 1152–1163. [[10.1152/jn.00317.2011](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00317.2011)].
- Schlag J, Lehtinen I, Schlag-Rey M** (1974). Neuronal Activity Before and During Eye Movements in Thalamic Internal Medullary Lamina of the Cat. *J Neurophysiol* 37, 982–995. [[10.1152/jn.1974.37.5.982](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1974.37.5.982)].
- Schlag J, Schlag-Rey M, Peck CK, Joseph J-P** (1980). Visual responses of thalamic neurons depending on the direction of gaze and the position of targets in space. *Exp Brain Res* 40, 170–184. [[10.1007/BF00237535](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00237535)].
- Schlag-Rey M, Schlag J** (1977). Visual and Presaccadic Neuronal Activity in Thalamic Internal Medullary Lamina of Cat: A Study of Targeting. *J Neurophysiol* 40, 156–173. [[10.1152/jn.1977.40.1.156](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1977.40.1.156)].
- Schmahmann JD, Pandya DN** (1997). The Cerebrocerebellar System. In *International Review of Neurobiology* 41: *The Cerebellum and Cognition*, p.31–60. [[10.1016/S0074-7742\(08\)60346-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0074-7742(08)60346-3)].
- Schmidt H, McFarland J, Ahmed M, McDonald C, Elliott MA** (2011). Low-level temporal coding impairments in psychosis: Preliminary findings and recommendations for further studies. *J Abnorm Psychol* 120, 476–482. [[10.1037/a0023387](https://doi.org/10.1037/a0023387)].
- Schmidt R, Leventhal DK, Mallet N, Chen F, Berke JD** (2013). Canceling actions involves a race between basal ganglia pathways. *Nat Neurosci* 16, 1118–1124. [[10.1038/nn.3456](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3456)].
- Schmitt LI, Wimmer RD, Nakajima M, Happ M, Mofakham S, Halassa MM** (2017). Thalamic amplification of cortical connectivity sustains attentional control. *Nature* 545, 219–223. [[10.1038/nature22073](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22073)].
- Schmolck H, Kensinger EA, Corkin S, Squire LR** (2002). Semantic knowledge in patient H.M. and other patients with bilateral medial and lateral temporal lobe lesions. *Hippocampus* 12, 520–533. [[10.1002/hipo.10039](https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.10039)].
- Schmolsky MT, Wang Y, Hanes DP, Thompson KG, Leutgeb S, Schall JD, Leventhal AG** (1998). Signal Timing Across the Macaque Visual System. *J Neurophysiol* 79, 3272–3278. [[10.1152/jn.1998.79.6.3272](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1998.79.6.3272)].
- Schoffelen J-M, Oostenveld R, Fries P** (2005). Neuronal Coherence as a Mechanism of Effective Corticospinal Interaction. *Science* 308, 111–113. [[10.1126/science.1107027](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1107027)].
- Schouwenburg M van, Aarts E, Cools R** (2010a). Dopaminergic Modulation of Cognitive Control: Distinct Roles for the Prefrontal Cortex and the Basal Ganglia. *Curr Pharm Des* 16, 2026–2032. [[10.2174/138161210791293097](https://doi.org/10.2174/138161210791293097)].
- Schouwenburg MR van, Ouden HEM den, Cools R** (2010b). The Human Basal Ganglia Modulate Frontal-Posterior Connectivity during Attention Shifting. *J Neurosci* 30, 9910–9918. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1111-10.2010](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1111-10.2010)].
- Schouwenburg MR van, O'Shea J, Mars RB, Rushworth MFS, Cools R** (2012). Controlling Human Striatal Cognitive Function via the Frontal Cortex. *J Neurosci* 32, 5631–5637. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6428-11.2012](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6428-11.2012)].
- Schouwenburg MR van, Onnink AMH, Huurne N ter, Kan CC, Zwiers MP, Hoogman M, Franke B, Buitelaar JK, Cools R** (2014). Cognitive flexibility depends on white matter microstructure of the basal ganglia. *Neuropsychologia* 53, 171–177. [[10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2013.11.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2013.11.015)].

- Schultz W, Dayan P, Montague PR** (1997). A Neural Substrate of Prediction and Reward. *Science* 275, 1593–1599. [[10.1126/science.275.5306.1593](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.275.5306.1593)].
- Schultz W** (1998). Predictive Reward Signal of Dopamine Neurons. *J Neurophysiol* 80, 1–27. [[jn.physiology.org/content/80/1/1](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1998.80.1.1)].
- Schultz W** (2013). Updating dopamine reward signals. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 23, 229–238. [[10.1016/j.conb.2012.11.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2012.11.012)].
- Schwab BC** (2016). *Do gap junctions regulate synchrony in the Parkinsonian basal ganglia?*. PhD Dissertation, University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands. [[10.3990/1.9789036540704](https://doi.org/10.3990/1.9789036540704)].
- Schwab BC, Kase D, Zimmik A, Rosenbaum R, Codianni MG, Rubin JE, Turner RS** (2019‡). Neural activity during a simple reaching task in macaques is counter to theories of basal ganglia-thalamic communication. *bioRxiv* 546598v4 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/546598](https://doi.org/10.1101/546598)].
- Schweighofer N, Lang EJ, Kawato M** (2013). Role of the olivocerebellar complex in motor learning and control. *Front Neural Circuits* 7. [[10.3389/fncir.2013.00094](https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2013.00094)].
- Seidman LJ, Pantelis C, Keshavan MS, Faraone SV, Goldstein JM, Horton NJ, Makris N, Peter F, Caviness VS, Tsuang MT** (2003). A Review and New Report of Medial Temporal Lobe Dysfunction as a Vulnerability Indicator for Schizophrenia: A Magnetic Resonance Imaging Morphometric Family Study of the Parahippocampal Gyrus. *Schizophr Bull* 29, 803–830. [[10.1093/oxfordjournals.schbul.a007048](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.schbul.a007048)].
- Selemon LD, Goldman-Rakic PS** (1985). Longitudinal Topography and Interdigitation of Corticostriatal Projections in the Rhesus Monkey. *J Neurosci* 5, 776–794. [[jneurosci.org/content/5/3/776](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.05097-08.2009)].
- Selemon LD, Goldman-Rakic PS** (1988). Common Cortical and Subcortical Targets of the Dorsolateral Prefrontal and Posterior Parietal Cortices in the Rhesus Monkey: Evidence for a Distributed Neural Network Subserving Spatially Guided Behavior. *J Neurosci* 8, 4049–4068. [[jneurosci.org/content/8/11/4049](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.05097-08.2009)].
- Semba K, Fibiger HC** (1992). Afferent connections of the laterodorsal and the pedunculopontine tegmental nuclei in the rat: A retro- and antero-grade transport and immunohistochemical study. *J Comp Neurol* 323, 387–410. [[10.1002/cne.903230307](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903230307)].
- Senden M, Reuter N, Heuvel MP van den, Goebel R, Deco G** (2017). Cortical rich club regions can organize state-dependent functional network formation by engaging in oscillatory behavior. *NeuroImage* 146, 561–574. [[10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.10.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.10.044)].
- Senden M, Reuter N** (2018). Task-related effective connectivity reveals that the cortical rich club gates cortex-wide communication. *Hum Brain Mapp* 39, 1246–1262. [[10.1002/hbm.23913](https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.23913)].
- Servello D, Porta M, Sassi M, Brambilla A, Robertson MM** (2008). Deep brain stimulation in 18 patients with severe Gilles de la Tourette syndrome refractory to treatment: the surgery and stimulation. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 79, 136–142. [[10.1136/jnnp.2006.104067](https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2006.104067)].
- Shabel SJ, Proulx CD, Trias A, Murphy RT, Malinow R** (2012). Input to the Lateral Habenula from the Basal Ganglia Is Excitatory, Aversive, and Suppressed by Serotonin. *Neuron* 74, 475–481. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2012.02.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.02.037)].
- Shafiei G, Zeighami Y, Clark CA, Coull JT, Nagano-Saito A** (2019). Dopamine Signaling Modulates the Stability and Integration of Intrinsic Brain Networks. *Cereb Cortex* 29, 397–409. [[10.1093/cercor/bhy264](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhy264)].
- Shammah-Lagnado SJ, Negrão N, Ricardo JA** (1985). Afferent connections of the zona incerta: A horseradish peroxidase study in the rat. *Neuroscience* 15, 109–134. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(85\)90127-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(85)90127-7)].
- Shammah-Lagnado SJ, Alheid GF, Heimer L** (1996). Efferent connections of the caudal part of the globus pallidus in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 376, 489–507. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19961216\)376:3<489::AID-CNE10>3.0.CO;2-H](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19961216)376:3<489::AID-CNE10>3.0.CO;2-H)].
- Sharma J, Angelucci A, Sur M** (2000). Induction of visual orientation modules in auditory cortex. *Nature* 404, 841–847. [[10.1038/35009043](https://doi.org/10.1038/35009043)].
- Sharott A, Moll CKE, Engler G, Denker M, Grun S, Engel AK** (2009). Different Subtypes of Striatal Neurons Are Selectively Modulated by Cortical Oscillations. *J Neurosci* 29, 4571–4585. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5097-08.2009](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5097-08.2009)].
- Sharott A, Doig NM, Mallet N, Magill PJ** (2012). Relationships between the Firing of Identified Striatal Interneurons and Spontaneous and Driven Cortical Activities In Vivo. *J Neurosci* 32, 13221–13236. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2440-12.2012](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2440-12.2012)].
- Sharpe MJ, Chang CY, Liu MA, Batchelor HM, Mueller LE, Jones JL, Niv Y, Schoenbaum G** (2017). Dopamine transients are sufficient and necessary for acquisition of model-based associations. *Nat Neurosci* 20, 735–742. [[10.1038/nn.4538](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4538)].
- Sheffield JG, Raz G, Sella F, Cohen Kadosh R** (2020‡). How can noise alter neurophysiology in order to improve human behaviour? A combined transcranial random noise stimulation and electroencephalography study. *bioRxiv* 2020.01.09.900118v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/2020.01.09.900118](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.09.900118)].
- Shepherd GMG** (2013). Corticostriatal connectivity and its role in disease. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 14, 278–291. [[10.1038/nrn3469](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3469)].
- Sherfey JS, Ardid S, Miller EK, Hasselmo ME, Kopell NJ** (2019‡). Prefrontal oscillations modulate the propagation of neuronal activity required for working memory. *bioRxiv* 531574v2 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/531574](https://doi.org/10.1101/531574)].
- Shergill SS, Brammer MJ, Williams SCR, Murray RM, McGuire PK** (2000). Mapping Auditory Hallucinations in Schizophrenia Using Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 57, 1033–1038. [[10.1001/archpsyc.57.11.1033](https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.57.11.1033)].
- Sherk H** (1986). The Claustrum and the Cerebral Cortex. In *Cerebral Cortex 5: Sensory-Motor Areas and Aspects of Cortical Connectivity*, p.467–499. [[10.1007/978-1-4613-2149-1_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-2149-1_13)].
- Shi W-X, Zheng P, Liang X-F, Bunney BS** (1997). Characterization of dopamine-induced depolarization of prefrontal cortical neurons. *Synapse* 26, 415–422. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-2396\(199708\)26:4<415::AID-SYN9>3.0.CO;2-9](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2396(199708)26:4<415::AID-SYN9>3.0.CO;2-9)].

- Shine JM, Muller AJ, O'Callaghan C, Hornberger M, Halliday GM, Lewis SJ** (2015). Abnormal connectivity between the default mode and the visual system underlies the manifestation of visual hallucinations in Parkinson's disease: a task-based fMRI study. *NPJ Parkinsons Dis* 1, 1–8. [[10.1038/npjparkd.2015.3](https://doi.org/10.1038/npjparkd.2015.3)].
- Shink E, Sidibé M, Smith Y** (1997). Efferent connections of the internal globus pallidus in the squirrel monkey: II. topography and synaptic organization of pallidal efferents to the pedunculopontine nucleus. *J Comp Neurol* 382, 348–363. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19970609\)382:3<348::AID-CNE4>3.0.CO;2-3](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19970609)382:3<348::AID-CNE4>3.0.CO;2-3)].
- Shinoda Y, Sugiuchi Y, Futami T, Izawa R** (1992). Axon collaterals of mossy fibers from the pontine nucleus in the cerebellar dentate nucleus. *J Neurophysiol* 67, 547–560. [[10.1152/jn.1992.67.3.547](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1992.67.3.547)].
- Shors TJ, Miesegaes G, Beylin A, Zhao M, Rydel T, Gould E** (2001). Neurogenesis in the adult is involved in the formation of trace memories. *Nature* 410, 372–376. [[10.1038/35066584](https://doi.org/10.1038/35066584)].
- Shulman GL, Astafiev SV, Franke D, Pope DLW, Snyder AZ, McAvoy MP, Corbetta M** (2009). Interaction of Stimulus-Driven Reorienting and Expectation in Ventral and Dorsal Frontoparietal and Basal Ganglia-Cortical Networks. *J Neurosci* 29, 4392–4407. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5609-08.2009](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5609-08.2009)].
- Sidibé M, Bevan MD, Bolam JP, Smith Y** (1997). Efferent connections of the internal globus pallidus in the squirrel monkey: I. topography and synaptic organization of the pallidothalamic projection. *J Comp Neurol* 382, 323–347. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19970609\)382:3<323::AID-CNE3>3.0.CO;2-5](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19970609)382:3<323::AID-CNE3>3.0.CO;2-5)].
- Sidibé M, Smith Y** (1999). Thalamic inputs to striatal interneurons in monkeys: synaptic organization and co-localization of calcium binding proteins. *Neuroscience* 89, 1189–1208. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(98\)00367-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(98)00367-4)].
- Sidibé M, Paré J-F, Smith Y** (2002). Nigral and pallidal inputs to functionally segregated thalamostriatal neurons in the centromedian/parafascicular intralaminar nuclear complex in monkey. *J Comp Neurol* 447, 286–299. [[10.1002/cne.10247](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.10247)].
- Siegel M, Donner TH, Oostenveld R, Fries P, Engel AK** (2008). Neuronal Synchronization along the Dorsal Visual Pathway Reflects the Focus of Spatial Attention. *Neuron* 60, 709–719. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2008.09.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.09.010)].
- Siegel M, Warden MR, Miller EK** (2009). Phase-dependent neuronal coding of objects in short-term memory. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 106, 21341–21346. [[10.1073/pnas.0908193106](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0908193106)].
- Siegel M, Donner TH, Engel AK** (2012). Spectral fingerprints of large-scale neuronal interactions. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 13, 121–134. [[10.1038/nrn3137](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3137)].
- Siegle JH, Wilson MA** (2014). Enhancement of encoding and retrieval functions through theta phase-specific manipulation of hippocampus. *eLife* 3, 10.7554/eLife.03061. [[10.7554/eLife.03061](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.03061)].
- Sigurðsson T, Stark KL, Karayiorgou M, Gogos JA, Gordon JA** (2010). Impaired hippocampal–prefrontal synchrony in a genetic mouse model of schizophrenia. *Nature* 464, 763–767. [[10.1038/nature08855](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08855)].
- Sillito AM, Kemp JA** (1983). Cholinergic modulation of the functional organization of the cat visual cortex. *Brain Res* 289, 143–155. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(83\)90015-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(83)90015-X)].
- Sillito AM, Jones HE, Gerstein GL, West DC** (1994). Feature-linked synchronization of thalamic relay cell firing induced by feedback from the visual cortex. *Nature* 369, 479–482. [[10.1038/369479a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/369479a0)].
- Silver H, Feldman P, Bilker W, Gur RC** (2003). Working Memory Deficit as a Core Neuropsychological Dysfunction in Schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 160, 1809–1816. [[10.1176/appi.ajp.160.10.1809](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.160.10.1809)].
- Silver MA, Kastner S** (2009). Topographic maps in human frontal and parietal cortex. *Trends Cogn Sci* 13, 488–495. [[10.1016/j.tics.2009.08.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2009.08.005)].
- Silverman MP** (2008). Quantum Superposition. (Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg), ISBN 978-3-540-71883-3. [[10.1007/978-3-540-71884-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-71884-0)].
- Simmons WK, Barsalou LW** (2003). The Similarity-in-Topography Principle: Reconciling Theories of Conceptual Deficits. *Cogn Neuropsych* 20, 451–486. [[10.1080/02643290342000032](https://doi.org/10.1080/02643290342000032)].
- Simpson EH, Kellendonk C, Kandel E** (2010). A Possible Role for the Striatum in the Pathogenesis of the Cognitive Symptoms of Schizophrenia. *Neuron* 65, 585–596. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2010.02.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.02.014)].
- Singer W** (1993). Synchronization of Cortical Activity and its Putative Role in Information Processing and Learning. *Annu Rev Physiol* 55, 349–374. [[10.1146/annurev.ph.55.030193.002025](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ph.55.030193.002025)].
- Singer W, Gray CM** (1995). Visual Feature Integration and the Temporal Correlation Hypothesis. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 18, 555–586. [[10.1146/annurev.ne.18.030195.003011](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ne.18.030195.003011)].
- Singer W** (1999). Neuronal Synchrony: A Versatile Code for the Definition of Relations? *Neuron* 24, 49–65. [[10.1016/S0896-6273\(00\)80821-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80821-1)].
- Skinner JE, Lindsley DB** (1967). Electrophysiological and behavioral effects of blockade of the nonspecific thalamo-cortical system. *Brain Res* 6, 95–118. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(67\)90184-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(67)90184-9)].
- Śloniewski P, Usunoff KG, Pilgrim C** (1986). Diencephalic and mesencephalic afferents of the rat claustrum. *Anat Embryol* 173, 401–411. [[10.1007/BF00318925](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00318925)].
- Smith JB, Klug JR, Ross DL, Howard CD, Hollon NG, Ko VI, Hoffman H, Callaway EM, Gerfen CR, Jin X** (2016). Genetic-Based Dissection Unveils the Inputs and Outputs of Striatal Patch and Matrix Compartments. *Neuron* 91, 1069–1084. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2016.07.046](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.07.046)].
- Smith KS, Graybiel AM** (2013). A Dual Operator View of Habitual Behavior Reflecting Cortical and Striatal Dynamics. *Neuron* 79, 361–374. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2013.05.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.05.038)].
- Smith Y, Bevan MD, Shink E, Bolam JP** (1998). Microcircuitry of the Direct and Indirect Pathways of the Basal Ganglia. *Neuroscience* 86, 353–387. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(98\)00004-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(98)00004-9)].
- Smith Y, Kieval JZ** (2000). Anatomy of the dopamine system in the basal ganglia. *Trends Neurosci* 23, S28–S33. [[10.1016/S1471-1931\(00\)00023-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1471-1931(00)00023-9)].

- Smith Y, Raju DV, Pare J-F, Sidibé M** (2004). The thalamostriatal system: a highly specific network of the basal ganglia circuitry. *Trends Neurosci* 27, 520–527. [[10.1016/j.tins.2004.07.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2004.07.004)].
- Smith Y, Wichmann T** (2015). The cortico-pallidal projection: An additional route for cortical regulation of the basal ganglia circuitry. *Mov Disord* 30, 293–295. [[10.1002/mds.26095](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.26095)].
- Snyder JS, Hong NS, McDonald RJ, Wojtowicz JM** (2005). A role for adult neurogenesis in spatial long-term memory. *Neuroscience* 130, 843–852. [[10.1016/j.neuroscience.2004.10.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2004.10.009)].
- Softky WR, Koch C** (1993). The Highly Irregular Firing of Cortical Cells Is Inconsistent with Temporal Integration of Random EPSPs. *J Neurosci* 13, 334–350. [[jneurosci.org/content/13/1/334](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/13/1/334)].
- Song S, Abbott LF, Miller KD** (2000). Competitive Hebbian learning through spike-timing-dependent synaptic plasticity. *Nat Neurosci* 3, 919–926. [[10.1038/78829](https://doi.org/10.1038/78829)].
- Sorrentino P, Rucco R, Baselice F, Micco R De, Tessitore A, Hillebrand A, Mandolesi L, Breakspear M, Gollo LL, Sorrentino G** (2019 ‡). Extensive functional repertoire underpins complex behaviours: insights from Parkinson's disease. *bioRxiv* 823849v4 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/823849](https://doi.org/10.1101/823849)].
- Sowell ER, Thompson PM, Holmes CJ, Jernigan TL, Toga AW** (1999). In vivo evidence for post-adolescent brain maturation in frontal and striatal regions. *Nat Neurosci* 2, 859–861. [[10.1038/13154](https://doi.org/10.1038/13154)].
- Spoerer CJ, Kietzmann TC, Kriegeskorte N** (2019‡). Recurrent networks can recycle neural resources to flexibly trade speed for accuracy in visual recognition. *bioRxiv* 677237v3 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/677237](https://doi.org/10.1101/677237)].
- Sponberg S, Daniel TL** (2012). Abdicating power for control: a precision timing strategy to modulate function of flight power muscles. *Proc R Soc Lond, B, Biol Sci* 279, 3958–3966. [[10.1098/rspb.2012.1085](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.1085)].
- Squire LR** (2004). The Medial Temporal Lobe. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 27, 279–306. [[10.1146/annurev.neuro.27.070203.144130](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.27.070203.144130)].
- Staley K, Soldo B, Proctor W** (1995). Ionic mechanisms of neuronal excitation by inhibitory GABAA receptors. *Science* 269, 977–981. [[10.1126/science.7638623](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7638623)].
- Stanford IM** (2002). Independent Neuronal Oscillators of the Rat Globus Pallidus. *J Neurophysiol* 89, 1713–1717. [[10.1152/jn.00864.2002](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00864.2002)].
- Steininger TL, Wainer BH, Blakely RD, Rye DB** (1997). Serotonergic dorsal raphe nucleus projections to the cholinergic and noncholinergic neurons of the pedunculopontine tegmental region: a light and electron microscopic anterograde tracing and immunohistochemical study. *J Comp Neurol* 382, 302–322. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19970609\)382:3<302::AID-CNE2>3.0.CO;2-7](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19970609)382:3<302::AID-CNE2>3.0.CO;2-7)].
- Stephenson-Jones M, Samuelsson E, Ericsson J, Robertson B, Grillner S** (2011). Evolutionary Conservation of the Basal Ganglia as a Common Vertebrate Mechanism for Action Selection. *Curr Biol* 21, 1081–1091. [[10.1016/j.cub.2011.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.05.001)].
- Stephenson-Jones M, Ericsson J, Robertson B, Grillner S** (2012). Evolution of the basal ganglia: Dual-output pathways conserved throughout vertebrate phylogeny. *J Comp Neurol* 520, 2957–2973. [[10.1002/cne.23087](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.23087)].
- Stepniewska I, Qi H-X, Kaas JH** (2000). Projections of the superior colliculus to subdivisions of the inferior pulvinar in New World and Old World monkeys. *Vis Neurosci* 17, 529–549. [[10.1017/S0952523800174048](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0952523800174048)].
- Steriade M, Paré D, Parent A, Smith Y** (1988). Projections of cholinergic and non-cholinergic neurons of the brainstem core to relay and associational thalamic nuclei in the cat and macaque monkey. *Neuroscience* 25, 47–67. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(88\)90006-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(88)90006-1)].
- Steriade M, Llinás RR** (1988). The Functional States of the Thalamus and the Associated Neuronal Interplay. *Physiol Rev* 68, 649–742. [physrev.physiology.org/content/68/3/649].
- Steriade M, Dossi RC, Contreras D** (1993). Electrophysiological properties of intralaminar thalamocortical cells discharging rhythmic (≈ 40 Hz) spike-bursts at ≈ 1000 Hz during waking and rapid eye movement sleep. *Neuroscience* 56, 1–9. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(93\)90556-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(93)90556-U)].
- Steriade M** (1995). Two channels in the cerebellothalamocortical system. *J Comp Neurol* 354, 57–70. [[10.1002/cne.903540106](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903540106)].
- Steriade M, Contreras D, Amzica F, Timofeev I** (1996). Synchronization of Fast (30–40 Hz) Spontaneous Oscillations in Intrathalamic and Thalamocortical Networks. *J Neurosci* 16, 2788–2808. [[jneurosci.org/content/16/8/2788](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/16/8/2788)].
- Steriade M** (2004). Acetylcholine systems and rhythmic activities during the waking–sleep cycle. In *Prog. Brain Res.* 145: *Acetylcholine in the Cerebral Cortex*, p.179–196. [[10.1016/S0079-6123\(03\)45013-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(03)45013-9)].
- Stern EA, Kincaid AE, Wilson CJ** (1997). Spontaneous Subthreshold Membrane Potential Fluctuations and Action Potential Variability of Rat Corticostriatal and Striatal Neurons In Vivo. *J Neurophysiol* 77, 1697–1715. [[jn.physiology.org/content/77/4/1697](https://www.jn.physiology.org/content/77/4/1697)].
- Stiefel KM, Englitz B, Sejnowski TJ** (2013). Origin of intrinsic irregular firing in cortical interneurons. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 110, 7886–7891. [[10.1073/pnas.1305219110](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1305219110)].
- Stocco A, Lebiere C, Anderson JR** (2010). Conditional routing of information to the cortex: A model of the basal ganglia's role in cognitive coordination. *Psychol Rev* 117, 541–574. [[10.1037/a0019077](https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019077)].
- Stoffers D, Bosboom JLW, Deijen JB, Wolters EC, Stam CJ, Berendse HW** (2008). Increased cortico-cortical functional connectivity in early-stage Parkinson's disease: An MEG study. *NeuroImage* 41, 212–222. [[10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.02.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.02.027)].
- Strausfeld NJ, Hirth F** (2013). Deep Homology of Arthropod Central Complex and Vertebrate Basal Ganglia. *Science* 340, 157–161. [[10.1126/science.1231828](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1231828)].
- Strick PL, Dum RP, Fiez JA** (2009). Cerebellum and Nonmotor Function. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 32, 413–434. [[10.1146/annurev.neuro.31.060407.125606](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.31.060407.125606)].

- Stuss DT, Guberman A, Nelson R, Larochelle S** (1988). The neuropsychology of paramedian thalamic infarction. *Brain Cogn* 8, 348–378. [[10.1016/0278-2626\(88\)90059-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-2626(88)90059-0)].
- Sultan F** (2000). Exploring a critical parameter of timing in the mouse cerebellar microcircuitry: the parallel fiber diameter. *Neurosci Lett* 280, 41–44. [[10.1016/S0304-3940\(99\)00984-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3940(99)00984-2)].
- Sultan F, Heck D** (2003). Detection of sequences in the cerebellar cortex: numerical estimate of the possible number of tidal-wave inducing sequences represented. *J Physiol Paris* 97, 591–600. [[10.1016/j.jphysparis.2004.01.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphysparis.2004.01.016)].
- Sultan F, Augath M, Hamodeh S, Murayama Y, Oeltermann A, Rauch A, Thier P** (2012). Unravelling cerebellar pathways with high temporal precision targeting motor and extensive sensory and parietal networks. *Nat Commun* 3:1. [[10.1038/ncomms1912](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1912)].
- Summhammer J, Sulyok G, Bernroider G** (2018). Quantum Dynamics and Non-Local Effects Behind Ion Transition States during Permeation in Membrane Channel Proteins. *Entropy* 20:8, 558. [[10.3390/e20080558](https://doi.org/10.3390/e20080558)].
- Sussillo D, Barak O** (2013). Opening the Black Box: Low-Dimensional Dynamics in High-Dimensional Recurrent Neural Networks. *Neural Comput* 25, 626–649. [[10.1162/NECO_a_00409](https://doi.org/10.1162/NECO_a_00409)].
- Suzuki M, Larkum ME** (2020). General Anesthesia Decouples Cortical Pyramidal Neurons. *Cell* 180, 666–676.e13. [[10.1016/j.cell.2020.01.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.01.024)].
- Swadlow HA, Kocsis JD, Waxman SG** (1980). Modulation of Impulse Conduction Along the Axonal Tree. *Annu Rev Biophys Bioeng* 9, 143–179. [[10.1146/annurev.bb.09.060180.001043](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bb.09.060180.001043)].
- Swanson LW, Petrovich GD** (1998). What is the amygdala? *Trends Neurosci* 21, 323–331. [[10.1016/S0166-2236\(98\)01265-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(98)01265-X)].
- Swenson RS, Castro AJ** (1983a). The afferent connections of the inferior olivary complex in rats: A study using the retrograde transport of horseradish peroxidase. *Am J Anat* 166, 329–341. [[10.1002/aja.1001660307](https://doi.org/10.1002/aja.1001660307)].
- Swenson RS, Castro AJ** (1983b). The afferent connections of the inferior olivary complex in rats. An anterograde study using autoradiographic and axonal degeneration techniques. *Neuroscience* 8, 259–275. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(83\)90064-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(83)90064-7)].
- Swerdlow NR, Geyer MA** (1998). Using an Animal Model of Deficient Sensorimotor Gating to Study the Pathophysiology and New Treatments of Schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 24, 285–301. [[10.1093/oxfordjournals.schbul.a033326](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.schbul.a033326)].
- Synofzik M, Lindner A, Thier P** (2008). The Cerebellum Updates Predictions about the Visual Consequences of One's Behavior. *Curr Biol* 18, 814–818. [[10.1016/j.cub.2008.04.071](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2008.04.071)].
- Tabareau N, Slotine J-J, Pham Q-C, Breakspear M** (2010). How Synchronization Protects from Noise. *PLoS Comput Biol* 6:1, e1000637. [[10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000637](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000637)].
- Tachibana Y, Iwamuro H, Kita H, Takada M, Nambu A** (2011). Subthalamo-pallidal interactions underlying parkinsonian neuronal oscillations in the primate basal ganglia. *Eur J Neurosci* 34, 1470–1484. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.2011.07865.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2011.07865.x)].
- Takemura H, Rokem A, Winawer J, Yeatman JD, Wandell BA, Pestilli F** (2016). A Major Human White Matter Pathway Between Dorsal and Ventral Visual Cortex. *Cereb Cortex* 26, 2205–2214. [[10.1093/cercor/bhv064](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhv064)].
- Tamás G, Buhl EH, Lörincz A, Somogyi P** (2000). Proximally targeted GABAergic synapses and gap junctions synchronize cortical interneurons. *Nat Neurosci* 3, 366–371. [[10.1038/73936](https://doi.org/10.1038/73936)].
- Tamminen J, Payne JD, Stickgold R, Wamsley EJ, Gaskell MG** (2010). Sleep Spindle Activity is Associated with the Integration of New Memories and Existing Knowledge. *J Neurosci* 30, 14356–14360. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3028-10.2010](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3028-10.2010)].
- Tan D, Weber S J, Siddiqi I, Mølmer K, Murch K W** (2015). Prediction and Retrodiction for a Continuously Monitored Superconducting Qubit. *Phys Rev Lett* 114:9. [[10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.090403](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.090403)].
- Tandon R, Keshavan M, Nasrallah H** (2008). Schizophrenia, “Just the Facts” What we know in 2008. 2. Epidemiology and etiology. *Schizophr Res* 102, 1–18. [[10.1016/j.schres.2008.04.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2008.04.011)].
- Tang W, Kochubey O, Kintscher M, Schneggenburger R** (2019 ‡). Dopamine in the basal amygdala signals salient somatosensory events during fear learning. *bioRxiv* 716589v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/716589](https://doi.org/10.1101/716589)].
- Taren AA, Venkatraman V, Huettel SA** (2011). A Parallel Functional Topography between Medial and Lateral Prefrontal Cortex: Evidence and Implications for Cognitive Control. *J Neurosci* 31, 5026–5031. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5762-10.2011](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5762-10.2011)].
- Taverna S, Canciani B, Pennartz CMA** (2007). Membrane properties and synaptic connectivity of fast-spiking interneurons in rat ventral striatum. *Brain Res* 1152, 49–56. [[10.1016/j.brainres.2007.03.053](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2007.03.053)].
- Tegmark M** (2015). Consciousness as a state of matter. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 76, 238–270. [[10.1016/j.chaos.2015.03.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2015.03.014)].
- Tepper JM, Wilson CJ, Koós T** (2008). Feedforward and feedback inhibition in neostriatal GABAergic spiny neurons. *Brain Res Rev* 58, 272–281. [[10.1016/j.brainresrev.2007.10.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresrev.2007.10.008)].
- Tepper JM, Tecuapetla F, Koós T, Ibáñez-Sandoval O** (2010). Heterogeneity and Diversity of Striatal GABAergic Interneurons. *Front Neuroanat* 4. [[10.3389/fnana.2010.00150](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnana.2010.00150)].
- Thorn CA, Graybiel AM** (2014). Differential Entrainment and Learning-Related Dynamics of Spike and Local Field Potential Activity in the Sensorimotor and Associative Striatum. *J Neurosci* 34, 2845–2859. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1782-13.2014](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1782-13.2014)].
- Threlfell S, Lalic T, Platt NJ, Jennings KA, Deisseroth K, Cragg SJ** (2012). Striatal Dopamine Release Is Triggered by Synchronized Activity in Cholinergic Interneurons. *Neuron* 75, 58–64. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2012.04.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.04.038)].

- Tierney PL, Thierry AM, Glowinski J, Deniau JM, Gioanni Y** (2008). Dopamine Modulates Temporal Dynamics of Feedforward Inhibition in Rat Prefrontal Cortex In Vivo. *Cereb Cortex* 18, 2251–2262. [[10.1093/cercor/bhm252](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhm252)].
- Tiesinga PH, Fellous J-M, Salinas E, José JV, Sejnowski TJ** (2004). Inhibitory synchrony as a mechanism for attentional gain modulation. *J Physiol Paris* 98, 296–314. [[10.1016/j.jphysparis.2005.09.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphysparis.2005.09.002)].
- Tigges J, Walker LC, Tigges M** (1983). Subcortical projections to the occipital and parietal lobes of the chimpanzee brain. *J Comp Neurol* 220, 106–115. [[10.1002/cne.902200110](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902200110)].
- Tiroshi L, Goldberg JA, Gutkin BS** (2019). Population dynamics and entrainment of basal ganglia pacemakers are shaped by their dendritic arbors. *PLoS Comput Biol* 15:2, e1006782. [[10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006782](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006782)].
- Tononi G, Edelman GM** (1998). Consciousness and Complexity. *Science* 282, 1846–1851. [[10.1126/science.282.5395.1846](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.282.5395.1846)].
- Tononi G** (2004). An information integration theory of consciousness. *BMC Neurosci* 5:1, 42. [[10.1186/1471-2202-5-42](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-5-42)].
- Tononi G, Boly M, Massimini M, Koch C** (2016). Integrated information theory: from consciousness to its physical substrate. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 17, 450–461. [[10.1038/nrn.2016.44](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2016.44)].
- Torrecillos F, Falato E, Pogosyan A, West T, Lazzaro V Di, Brown P** (2020). Motor Cortex Inputs at the Optimum Phase of Beta Cortical Oscillations Undergo More Rapid and Less Variable Corticospinal Propagation. *J Neurosci* 40, 369–381. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1953-19.2019](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1953-19.2019)].
- Tort ABL, Kramer MA, Thorn C, Gibson DJ, Kubota Y, Graybiel AM, Kopell NJ** (2008). Dynamic cross-frequency couplings of local field potential oscillations in rat striatum and hippocampus during performance of a T-maze task. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 105, 20517–20522. [[10.1073/pnas.0810524105](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0810524105)].
- Towers SK, Hestrin S** (2008). D1-Like Dopamine Receptor Activation Modulates GABAergic Inhibition But Not Electrical Coupling between Neocortical Fast-Spiking Interneurons. *J Neurosci* 28, 2633–2641. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5079-07.2008](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5079-07.2008)].
- Trageser JC, Keller A** (2004). Reducing the Uncertainty: Gating of Peripheral Inputs by Zona Incerta. *J Neurosci* 24, 8911–8915. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3218-04.2004](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3218-04.2004)].
- Trageser JC, Burke KA, Masri R, Li Y, Sellers L, Keller A** (2006). State-Dependent Gating of Sensory Inputs by Zona Incerta. *J Neurophysiol* 96, 1456–1463. [[10.1152/jn.00423.2006](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00423.2006)].
- Tremblay L, Filion M** (1989). Responses of pallidal neurons to striatal stimulation in intact waking monkeys. *Brain Res* 498, 1–16. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(89\)90394-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(89)90394-6)].
- Tsang EW, Hamani C, Moro E, Mazzella F, Poon YY, Lozano AM, Chen R** (2010). Involvement of the human pedunculopontine nucleus region in voluntary movements. *Neurology* 75, 950–959. [[10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181f25b35](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181f25b35)].
- Turner RS, DeLong MR** (2000). Corticostriatal Activity in Primary Motor Cortex of the Macaque. *J Neurosci* 20, 7096–7108. [[jneurosci.org/content/20/18/7096](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2018-00.2000)].
- Turrigiano G** (2011). Too Many Cooks? Intrinsic and Synaptic Homeostatic Mechanisms in Cortical Circuit Refinement. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 34, 89–103. [[10.1146/annurev-neuro-060909-153238](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-060909-153238)].
- Uhlhaas PJ, Singer W** (2006). Neural Synchrony in Brain Disorders: Relevance for Cognitive Dysfunctions and Pathophysiology. *Neuron* 52, 155–168. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2006.09.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2006.09.020)].
- Uhlhaas PJ, Roux F, Singer W, Haenschel C, Sireteanu R, Rodriguez E** (2009). The development of neural synchrony reflects late maturation and restructuring of functional networks in humans. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 106, 9866–9871. [[10.1073/pnas.0900390106](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0900390106)].
- Uhlhaas PJ, Singer W** (2010). Abnormal neural oscillations and synchrony in schizophrenia. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 11, 100–113. [[10.1038/nrn2774](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2774)].
- Uhlhaas PJ, Singer W** (2012). Neuronal Dynamics and Neuropsychiatric Disorders: Toward a Translational Paradigm for Dysfunctional Large-Scale Networks. *Neuron* 75, 963–980. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2012.09.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.09.004)].
- Uhlhaas PJ** (2013). Dysconnectivity, large-scale networks and neuronal dynamics in schizophrenia. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 23, 283–290. [[10.1016/j.conb.2012.11.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2012.11.004)].
- Ullman MT** (2006). Is Broca's Area Part of a Basal Ganglia Thalamocortical Circuit? *Cortex* 42, 480–485. [[10.1016/S0010-9452\(08\)70382-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-9452(08)70382-4)].
- Ungerleider L, Doyon J, Karni A** (2002). Imaging Brain Plasticity during Motor Skill Learning. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 78, 553–564. [[10.1006/nlme.2002.4091](https://doi.org/10.1006/nlme.2002.4091)].
- Urbain N, Deschênes M** (2007). Motor Cortex Gates Vibrissal Responses in a Thalamocortical Projection Pathway. *Neuron* 56, 714–725. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2007.10.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2007.10.023)].
- Vanderwolf CH** (1992). The electrocorticogram in relation to physiology and behavior: a new analysis. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 82, 165–175. [[10.1016/0013-4694\(92\)90164-D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4694(92)90164-D)].
- Varela F, Lachaux J-P, Rodriguez E, Martinerie J** (2001). The Brainweb: Phase Synchronization and Large-Scale Integration. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2, 229–239. [[10.1038/35067550](https://doi.org/10.1038/35067550)].
- Vatanssever D, Manktelow AE, Sahakian BJ, Menon DK, Stamatakis EA** (2016). Cognitive Flexibility: A Default Network and Basal Ganglia Connectivity Perspective. *Brain Connect* 6, 201–207. [[10.1089/brain.2015.0388](https://doi.org/10.1089/brain.2015.0388)].
- Vázquez-Rodríguez B, Avena-Koenigsberger A, Sporns O, Griffa A, Hagmann P, Larralde H** (2017). Stochastic resonance at criticality in a network model of the human cortex. *Sci Rep* 7:1. [[10.1038/s41598-017-13400-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-13400-5)].
- Verhoef B-E, Vogels R, Janssen P** (2011). Synchronization between the end stages of the dorsal and the ventral visual stream. *J Neurophysiol* 105, 2030–2042. [[10.1152/jn.00924.2010](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00924.2010)].
- Vertes RP** (1991). A PHA-L analysis of ascending projections of the dorsal raphe nucleus in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 313, 643–668. [[10.1002/cne.903130409](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903130409)].

- Vertes RP, Fortin WJ, Crane AM** (1999). Projections of the median raphe nucleus in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 407, 555–582. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19990517\)407:4<555::AID-CNE7>3.3.CO;2-5](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19990517)407:4<555::AID-CNE7>3.3.CO;2-5)].
- Vicente R, Gollo LL, Mirasso CR, Fischer I, Pipa G** (2008). Dynamical relaying can yield zero time lag neuronal synchrony despite long conduction delays. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 105, 17157–17162. [[10.1073/pnas.0809353105](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0809353105)].
- Vincent JL, Kahn I, Snyder AZ, Raichle ME, Buckner RL** (2008). Evidence for a Frontoparietal Control System Revealed by Intrinsic Functional Connectivity. *J Neurophysiol* 100, 3328–3342. [[10.1152/jn.90355.2008](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.90355.2008)].
- Vinje WE, Gallant JL** (2000). Sparse Coding and Decorrelation in Primary Visual Cortex During Natural Vision. *Science* 287, 1273–1276. [[10.1126/science.287.5456.1273](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5456.1273)].
- Violante IR, Li LM, Carmichael DW, Lorenz R, Leech R, Hampshire A, Rothwell JC, Sharp DJ** (2017). Externally induced frontoparietal synchronization modulates network dynamics and enhances working memory performance. *eLife* 6, 10.7554/eLife.22001. [[10.7554/eLife.22001](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.22001)].
- Vitek JL, Ashe J, DeLong MR, Kaneoke Y** (1996). Microstimulation of Primate Motor Thalamus: Somatotopic Organization and Differential Distribution of Evoked Motor Responses Among Subnuclei. *J Neurophysiol* 75, 2486–2495. [[jn.physiology.org/content/75/6/2486/](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1996.75.6.2486)].
- Vogels TP, Abbott LF** (2009). Gating multiple signals through detailed balance of excitation and inhibition in spiking networks. *Nat Neurosci* 12, 483–491. [[10.1038/nn.2276](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2276)].
- Volgushev M, Chistiakova M, Singer W** (1998). Modification of discharge patterns of neocortical neurons by induced oscillations of the membrane potential. *Neuroscience* 83, 15–25. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(97\)00380-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(97)00380-1)].
- Vollenweider FX, Csomor PA, Knappe B, Geyer MA, Quednow BB** (2007). The Effects of the Preferential 5-HT_{2A} Agonist Psilocybin on Prepulse Inhibition of Startle in Healthy Human Volunteers Depend on Interstimulus Interval. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 32, 1876–1887. [[10.1038/sj.npp.1301324](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1301324)].
- Vreeswijk C van, Sompolinsky H** (1996). Chaos in Neuronal Networks with Balanced Excitatory and Inhibitory Activity. *Science* 274, 1724–1726. [[10.1126/science.274.5293.1724](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.274.5293.1724)].
- Vromen JM, Becker SI, Baumann O, Mattingley JB, Remington RW** (2018 ‡). Flexible information coding in frontoparietal cortex across the functional stages of cognitive processing. *bioRxiv* 246132v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/246132](https://doi.org/10.1101/246132)].
- Walborn SP, Terra Cunha MO, Pádua S, Monken CH** (2002). Double-slit quantum eraser. *Phys Rev A* 65:3. [[10.1103/PhysRevA.65.033818](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.65.033818)].
- Wall NR, Parra M De La, Callaway EM, Kreitzer AC** (2013). Differential Innervation of Direct- and Indirect-Pathway Striatal Projection Neurons. *Neuron* 79, 347–360. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2013.05.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.05.014)].
- Walpola IC, Muller AJ, Hall JM, Andrews-Hanna JR, Irish M, Lewis SJG, Shine JM, O'Callaghan C** (2020). Mind-wandering in Parkinson's disease hallucinations reflects primary visual and default network coupling. *Cortex* 125, 233–245. [[10.1016/j.cortex.2019.12.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2019.12.023)].
- Waltereit R, Eifler S, Schirmbeck F, Zink M** (2013). Visual and Auditory Hallucinations Associated With Citalopram Treatment. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 33, 583–584. [[10.1097/JCP.0b013e31829771ca](https://doi.org/10.1097/JCP.0b013e31829771ca)].
- Wamsley EJ, Tucker MA, Shinn AK, Ono KE, McKinley SK, Ely AV, Goff DC, Stickgold R, Manoach DS** (2012). Reduced Sleep Spindles and Spindle Coherence in Schizophrenia: Mechanisms of Impaired Memory Consolidation? *Biol Psychiatry* 71, 154–161. [[10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.08.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.08.008)].
- Wang J, Narain D, Hosseini EA, Jazayeri M** (2018). Flexible timing by temporal scaling of cortical responses. *Nat Neurosci* 21, 102–110. [[10.1038/s41593-017-0028-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-017-0028-6)].
- Wang S, Taren AA, Smith DV** (2017‡). Functional Parcellation of the Default Mode Network: A Large-Scale Meta-Analysis. *bioRxiv* 225375v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/225375](https://doi.org/10.1101/225375)].
- Wang X, Li F, Zheng H, Wang W, Zhang W, Liu Z, Sun Y, Chan RCK, Chen A** (2015). Breakdown of the striatal-default mode network loop in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 168, 366–372. [[10.1016/j.schres.2015.07.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2015.07.027)].
- Wang X-J** (2001). Synaptic reverberation underlying mnemonic persistent activity. *Trends Neurosci* 24, 455–463. [[10.1016/S0166-2236\(00\)01868-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(00)01868-3)].
- Wang X-J** (2010). Neurophysiological and Computational Principles of Cortical Rhythms in Cognition. *Physiol Rev* 90, 1195–1268. [[10.1152/physrev.00035.2008](https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00035.2008)].
- Wang X-J** (2020). Macroscopic gradients of synaptic excitation and inhibition in the neocortex. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 21, 169–178. [[10.1038/s41583-020-0262-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-020-0262-x)].
- Watanabe Y, Funahashi S** (2012). Thalamic mediodorsal nucleus and working memory. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 36, 134–142. [[10.1016/j.neubiorev.2011.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2011.05.003)].
- Waterhouse BD, Border B, Wahl L, Mihailoff GA** (1993). Topographic organization of rat locus coeruleus and dorsal raphe nuclei: Distribution of cells projecting to visual system structures. *J Comp Neurol* 336, 345–361. [[10.1002/cne.903360304](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903360304)].
- Watson GDR, Smith JB, Alloway KD** (2015). The Zona Incerta Regulates Communication between the Superior Colliculus and the Posteromedial Thalamus: Implications for Thalamic Interactions with the Dorsolateral Striatum. *J Neurosci* 35, 9463–9476. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1606-15.2015](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1606-15.2015)].
- Watson GDR, Hughes RN, Petter EA, Yin HH** (2018 ‡). A diencephalic pathway for movement initiation and rescue of Parkinsonian symptoms. *bioRxiv* 395277v2 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/395277](https://doi.org/10.1101/395277)].
- Wedeen VJ, Rosene DL, Wang R, Dai G, Mortazavi F, Hagmann P, Kaas JH, Tseng W-YI** (2012). The Geometric Structure of the Brain Fiber Pathways. *Science* 335, 1628–1634. [[10.1126/science.1215280](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1215280)].
- Weeks HM, Therrien AS, Bastian AJ** (2017). The cerebellum contributes to proprioception during motion. *J Neurophysiol* 118, 693–702. [[10.1152/jn.00417.2016](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00417.2016)].

- Weglage M, Waernberg E, Lazaridis I, Tzortzi O, Meletis K** (2020‡). Complete representation of action space and value in all striatal pathways.. *bioRxiv* 2020.03.29.983825v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/2020.03.29.983825](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.983825)].
- Wei Y, Lange SC de, Scholtens LH, Watanabe K, Ardesch DJ, Jansen PR, Savage JE, Li L, Preuss TM, Rilling JK, Posthuma D, Heuvel MP van den** (2019). Genetic mapping and evolutionary analysis of human-expanded cognitive networks. *Nat Commun* 10:1. [[10.1038/s41467-019-12764-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12764-8)].
- Weiden A van der, Prikken M, Haren NEM van** (2015). Self–other integration and distinction in schizophrenia: A theoretical analysis and a review of the evidence. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 57, 220–237. [[10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.09.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.09.004)].
- Weiss AP, Zalesak M, DeWitt I, Goff D, Kunkel L, Heckers S** (2004). Impaired hippocampal function during the detection of novel words in schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 55, 668–675. [[10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.01.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.01.004)].
- Werf YD Van der, Weerts JGE, Jolles J, Witter MP, Lindeboom J, Scheltens P** (1999). Neuropsychological correlates of a right unilateral lacunar thalamic infarction. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 66, 36–42. [[10.1136/jnnp.66.1.36](https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.66.1.36)].
- Werf YD Van der, Witter MP, Groenewegen HJ** (2002). The intralaminar and midline nuclei of the thalamus. Anatomical and functional evidence for participation in processes of arousal and awareness. *Brain Res Rev* 39, 107–140. [[10.1016/S0165-0173\(02\)00181-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0173(02)00181-9)].
- White JK, Bromberg-Martin ES, Heilbronner SR, Zhang K, Pai J, Haber SN, Monosov IE** (2019‡). A neural network for information seeking. *bioRxiv* 720433v2 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/720433](https://doi.org/10.1101/720433)].
- White MG, Mathur BN** (2018). Frontal cortical control of posterior sensory and association cortices through the claustrum. *Brain Struct Funct* 223, 2999–3006. [[10.1007/s00429-018-1661-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-018-1661-x)].
- White MG, Mu C, Zeng H, Mathur BN** (2018‡). The claustrum is required for reward acquisition under high cognitive demand. *bioRxiv* 390443v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/390443](https://doi.org/10.1101/390443)].
- Whitford TJ, Kubicki M, Schneiderman JS, O'Donnell LJ, King R, Alvarado JL, Khan U, Markant D, Nestor PG, Niznikiewicz M, McCarley RW, Westin C-F, Shenton ME** (2010). Corpus Callosum Abnormalities and Their Association with Psychotic Symptoms in Patients with Schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 68, 70–77. [[10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.03.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.03.025)].
- Wilhite BL, Teyler TJ, Hendricks C** (1986). Functional relations of the rodent claustral-entorhinal-hippocampal system. *Brain Res* 365, 54–60. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(86\)90721-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(86)90721-3)].
- Williams D, Tijssen M, Bruggen G van, Bosch A, Insola A, Lazzaro V Di, Mazzone P, Oliviero A, Quartarone A, Speelman H, Brown P** (2002). Dopamine-dependent changes in the functional connectivity between basal ganglia and cerebral cortex in humans. *Brain* 125, 1558–1569. [[10.1093/brain/awf156](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awf156)].
- Wilson CJ** (1993). Chapter 18 The generation of natural firing patterns in neostriatal neurons. In *Prog. Brain Res.* 99: *Chemical Signalling in the Basal Ganglia*, p.277–297. [[10.1016/S0079-6123\(08\)61352-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(08)61352-7)].
- Wilson CJ, Kawaguchi Y** (1996). The origins of two-state spontaneous membrane potential fluctuations of neostriatal spiny neurons. *J Neurosci* 16, 2397–2410. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.16-07-02397.1996](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.16-07-02397.1996)].
- Wilson CJ** (2013). Active decorrelation in the basal ganglia. *Neuroscience* 250, 467–482. [[10.1016/j.neuroscience.2013.07.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2013.07.032)].
- Wilson MA, Molliver ME** (1991). The organization of serotonergic projections to cerebral cortex in primates: Retrograde transport studies. *Neuroscience* 44, 555–570. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(91\)90077-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(91)90077-2)].
- Wilson MA, McNaughton B** (1994). Reactivation of hippocampal ensemble memories during sleep. *Science* 265, 676–679. [[10.1126/science.8036517](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.8036517)].
- Wilson MA, Varela C, Remondes M** (2015). Phase organization of network computations. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 31, 250–253. [[10.1016/j.conb.2014.12.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2014.12.011)].
- Wiltshko AB, Pettibone JR, Berke JD** (2010). Opposite Effects of Stimulant and Antipsychotic Drugs on Striatal Fast-Spiking Interneurons. *Neuropsychopharmacol* 35, 1261–1270. [[10.1038/npp.2009.226](https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2009.226)].
- Wimmer RD, Schmitt LI, Davidson TJ, Nakajima M, Deisseroth K, Halassa MM** (2015). Thalamic control of sensory selection in divided attention. *Nature* 526, 705–709. [[10.1038/nature15398](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15398)].
- Winterer G, Weinberger DR** (2003). Cortical signal-to-noise ratio: insight into the pathophysiology and genetics of schizophrenia. *Clin Neurosci Res* 3, 55–66. [[10.1016/S1566-2772\(03\)00019-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1566-2772(03)00019-7)].
- Wit J de, Ghosh A** (2015). Specification of synaptic connectivity by cell surface interactions. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 17:1, 4. [[10.1038/nrn.2015.3](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2015.3)].
- Wolff SBE, Ko R, Ölveczky BP** (2019‡). Distinct roles for motor cortical and thalamic inputs to striatum during motor learning and execution. *bioRxiv* 825810v2 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/825810](https://doi.org/10.1101/825810)].
- Womelsdorf T, Schoffelen J-M, Oostenveld R, Singer W, Desimone R, Engel AK, Fries P** (2007). Modulation of Neuronal Interactions Through Neuronal Synchronization. *Science* 316, 1609–1612. [[10.1126/science.1139597](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1139597)].
- Womelsdorf T, Ardid S, Everling S, Valiante TA** (2014). Burst Firing Synchronizes Prefrontal and Anterior Cingulate Cortex during Attentional Control. *Curr Biol* 24, 2613–2621. [[10.1016/j.cub.2014.09.046](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.09.046)].
- Wolf NJ, Butcher LL** (2011). Cholinergic systems mediate action from movement to higher consciousness. *Behav Brain Res* 221, 488–498. [[10.1016/j.bbr.2009.12.046](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2009.12.046)].
- Wright AK, Norrie L, Ingham CA, Hutton EAM, Arbuthnott GW** (1999). Double anterograde tracing of outputs from adjacent “barrel columns” of rat somatosensory cortex. Neostriatal projection patterns and terminal ultrastructure. *Neuroscience* 88, 119–133. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(98\)00186-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(98)00186-9)].

- Wright AK, Ramanathan S, Arbutnott GW** (2001). Identification of the source of the bilateral projection system from cortex to somatosensory neostriatum and an exploration of its physiological actions. *Neuroscience* 103, 87–96. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(00\)00564-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(00)00564-9)].
- Wright MJ, Burns RJ, Geffen GM, Geffen LB** (1990). Covert orientation of visual attention in Parkinson's disease: An impairment in the maintenance of attention. *Neuropsychologia* 28, 151–159. [[10.1016/0028-3932\(90\)90097-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0028-3932(90)90097-8)].
- Wurtz RH, Sommer MA, Cavanaugh J** (2005). Drivers from the deep: the contribution of collicular input to thalamocortical processing. In *Prog. Brain Res. 149: Cortical Function: a View from the Thalamus*, p.207–225. [[10.1016/S0079-6123\(05\)49015-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(05)49015-9)].
- Xiang Z, Huguenard JR, Prince DA** (1998). Cholinergic Switching Within Neocortical Inhibitory Networks. *Science* 281, 985–988. [[10.1126/science.281.5379.985](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.281.5379.985)].
- Xiao D, Barbas H** (2004). Circuits through prefrontal cortex, basal ganglia, and ventral anterior nucleus map pathways beyond motor control. *Thalamus Relat Syst* 2, 325–343. [[10.1016/j.tharel.2004.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tharel.2004.03.001)].
- Xie K, Fox GE, Liu J, Lyu C, Lee JC, Kuang H, Jacobs S, Li M, Liu T, Song S, Tsien JZ** (2016). Brain Computation Is Organized via Power-of-Two-Based Permutation Logic. *Front Syst Neurosci* 10. [[10.3389/fnsys.2016.00095](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2016.00095)].
- Yamaguchi T, Wang H-L, Morales M** (2013). Glutamate neurons in the substantia nigra compacta and retrorubral field. *Eur J Neurosci* 38, 3602–3610. [[10.1111/ejn.12359](https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.12359)].
- Yang CR, Seamans JK** (1996). Dopamine D1 Receptor Actions in Layers V-VI Rat Prefrontal Cortex Neurons In Vitro: Modulation of Dendritic-Somatic Signal Integration. *J Neurosci* 16, 1922–1935. [[jneurosci.org/content/16/5/1922](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1922-96.1996)].
- Yao N, Shek-Kwan Chang R, Cheung C, Pang S, Lau KK, Suckling J, Rowe JB, Yu K, Ka-Fung Mak H, Chua S-E** (2014). The default mode network is disrupted in parkinson's disease with visual hallucinations. *Hum Brain Mapp* 35, 5658–5666. [[10.1002/hbm.22577](https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22577)].
- Yartsev MM, Hanks TD** (2018). Causal contribution and dynamical encoding in the striatum during evidence accumulation. *eLife* 7, 10.7554/eLife.34929. [[10.7554/eLife.34929](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34929)].
- Yasui Y, Nakano K, Kayahara T, Mizuno N** (1991). Non-dopaminergic projections from the substantia nigra pars lateralis to the inferior colliculus in the rat. *Brain Res* 559, 139–144. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(91\)90296-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(91)90296-8)].
- Yelnik J, Percheron G, François C** (1984). A Golgi analysis of the primate globus pallidus. II. Quantitative morphology and spatial orientation of dendritic arborizations. *J Comp Neurol* 227, 200–213. [[10.1002/cne.902270206](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902270206)].
- Yelnik J, François C, Percheron G, Tandé D** (1996). A spatial and quantitative study of the striatopallidal connection in the monkey. *NeuroReport* 7, 985–988. [[10.1097/00001756-199604100-00006](https://doi.org/10.1097/00001756-199604100-00006)].
- Yelnik J** (2002). Functional anatomy of the basal ganglia. *Mov Disord* 17, S15–S21. [[10.1002/mds.10138](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.10138)].
- Yeo BTT, Krienen FM, Sepulcre J, Sabuncu MR, Lashkari D, Hollinshead M, Roffman JL, Smoller JW, Zollei L, Polimeni JR, Fischl B, Liu H, Buckner RL** (2011). The organization of the human cerebral cortex estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity. *J Neurophysiol* 106, 1125–1165. [[10.1152/jn.00338.2011](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00338.2011)].
- Yetnikoff L, Lavezzi HN, Reichard RA, Zahm DS** (2014). An update on the connections of the ventral mesencephalic dopaminergic complex. *Neuroscience* 282, 23–48. [[10.1016/j.neuroscience.2014.04.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2014.04.010)].
- Yoshida S-i, Nambu A, Jinnai K** (1993). The distribution of the globus pallidus neurons with input from various cortical areas in the monkeys. *Brain Res* 611, 170–174. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(93\)91791-P](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(93)91791-P)].
- Yu F, Jiang Q-j, Sun X-y, Zhang R-w** (2015). A new case of complete primary cerebellar agenesis: clinical and imaging findings in a living patient. *Brain* 138:e, e353. [[10.1093/brain/awu239](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awu239)].
- Yu H, Farley BJ, Jin DZ, Sur M** (2005). The Coordinated Mapping of Visual Space and Response Features in Visual Cortex. *Neuron* 47, 267–280. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2005.06.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.06.011)].
- Yue Q, Martin RC, Fischer-Baum S, Ramos-Nuñez AI, Ye F, Deem MW** (2017). Brain Modularity Mediates the Relation between Task Complexity and Performance. *J Cogn Neurosci* 29, 1532–1546. [[10.1162/jocn_a_01142](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_01142)].
- Záborszky L, Cullinan WE, Braun A** (1991). Afferents to Basal Forebrain Cholinergic Projection Neurons: An Update. In *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology* 295: *The Basal Forebrain*, p.43–100. [[10.1007/978-1-4757-0145-6_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-0145-6_2)].
- Záborszky L, Cullinan WE** (1996). Direct catecholaminergic-cholinergic interactions in the basal forebrain. I. Dopamine- β -hydroxylase- and tyrosine hydroxylase input to cholinergic neurons. *J Comp Neurol* 374, 535–554. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19961028\)374:4<535::AID-CNE5>3.3.CO;2-0](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19961028)374:4<535::AID-CNE5>3.3.CO;2-0)].
- Záborszky L, Gaykema RP, Swanson DJ, Cullinan WE** (1997). Cortical input to the basal forebrain. *Neuroscience* 79, 1051–1078. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(97\)00049-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(97)00049-3)].
- Záborszky L, Csordas A, Mosca K, Kim J, Gielow MR, Vadasz C, Nadasy Z** (2015). Neurons in the Basal Forebrain Project to the Cortex in a Complex Topographic Organization that Reflects Corticocortical Connectivity Patterns: An Experimental Study Based on Retrograde Tracing and 3D Reconstruction. *Cereb Cortex* 25, 118–137. [[10.1093/cercor/bht210](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bht210)].
- Zhang Y, Han K, Worth R, Liu Z** (2019a‡). Connecting Concepts in the Brain: Mapping Cortical Representations of Semantic Relations. *bioRxiv* 649939v2 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/649939](https://doi.org/10.1101/649939)].
- Zhang Y-F, Fisher SD, Oswald M, Wickens JR, Reynolds JNJ** (2019b ‡). Coincidence of cholinergic pauses, dopaminergic activation and depolarization drives synaptic plasticity in the striatum. *bioRxiv* 803536v2 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/803536](https://doi.org/10.1101/803536)].
- Zheng T, Wilson CJ** (2002). Corticostriatal Combinatorics: The Implications of Corticostriatal Axonal Arborizations. *J Neurophysiol* 87, 1007–1017. [[jn.physiology.org/content/87/2/1007/](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.2002.87.4.1007)].

- Zheng ZS, Monti MM** (2019 ‡). Thalamic and extra-thalamic connections of the Globus Pallidus in the human brain: The ultradirect pathway. *bioRxiv* 688283v1 [Neuroscience]. [[10.1101/688283](https://doi.org/10.1101/688283)].
- Ziegler G, Hauser TU, Moutoussis M, Bullmore ET, Goodyer IM, Fonagy P, Jones PB, Lindenberger U, Dolan RJ** (2019). Compulsivity and impulsivity traits linked to attenuated developmental frontostriatal myelination trajectories. *Nat Neurosci* 22, 992–999. [[10.1038/s41593-019-0394-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0394-3)].
- Zikopoulos B, Barbas H** (2006). Prefrontal Projections to the Thalamic Reticular Nucleus form a Unique Circuit for Attentional Mechanisms. *J Neurosci* 26, 7348–7361. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5511-05.2006](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5511-05.2006)].
- Zolnik TA, Ledderose J, Toumazou M, Trimbuch T, Oram T, Rosenmund C, Eickholt BJ, Sachdev RNS, Larkum ME** (2020). Layer 6b Is Driven by Intracortical Long-Range Projection Neurons. *Cell Rep* 30, 3492–3505.e5. [[10.1016/j.celrep.2020.02.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.02.044)].
- Zurek WH** (1982). Environment-induced superselection rules. *Phys Rev D* 26, 1862–1880. [[10.1103/PhysRevD.26.1862](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.26.1862)].
- Zurek WH** (2003). Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical. *Rev Mod Phys* 75, 715–775. [[10.1103/RevModPhys.75.715](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.75.715)].