

Control of Functional Connectivity in Cerebral Cortex by Basal Ganglia Mediated Synchronization

Daniel Pouzzner, daniel@pouzzner.name

Paradromics Inc., San Jose, CA, USA

Abstract

Since the earliest electroencephalography experiments, large scale oscillations have been observed in the mammalian brain. In more recent studies they have been identified not only in the cerebral cortex and thalamus, but pervasively in the healthy basal ganglia. While correlations with stimuli, behavior, and mental states have long been recognized, the precise function of these oscillations has often been mysterious, particularly in the basal ganglia. In this paper, I propose the *basal ganglia mediated synchronization* model to help explain many of these correlated oscillatory phenomena, relating stimulus-response and reinforcement mechanisms to associative synchrony mechanisms. In this model, patterns of activity in cortex stimulate striatal responses whose spike timing precisely reflects that prevailing in the input pattern. These responses are then recirculated in closed and open loops, chiefly via the thalamus, to the feedback-recipient layers of cortex, where they selectively establish and reinforce effective connections by controlling spike-timing-dependent gain. Corticostriatal and striatonigral conduction delays are critical to this mechanism, and evidence suggests that these delays are unusually long, and unusually varied, in arrangements that might facilitate learning of useful time alignments. Structural arrangements in the basal ganglia show further specialization for this role, with convergence in the inputs from cortex, and divergence in many of the return paths to cortex, that systematically reflect corticocortical anatomical connectivity. The basal ganglia also target the dopaminergic, cholinergic, and serotonergic centers of the brainstem and basal forebrain, and the reticular nucleus of the thalamus, structures broadly implicated in the modulation of oscillatory network activity and expressions of plasticity. The basal ganglia, by learning to coordinate these various output channels, are positioned to facilitate and synchronize activity in selected areas of cortex, broadly impart selective receptivity, attenuate and disconnect interfering activity, and recurrently process the resulting patterns of activity, channeling cognition and promoting goal fulfillment. Dysfunctions in the components of this highly distributed system are associated with syndromes of perception, cognition, and behavior, notably the schizophrenias, some or all of which might fundamentally be disruptions of basal ganglia mediated synchronization.

Keywords: basal ganglia, convergence-divergence, thalamus, intralaminar, thalamocortical, cerebral cortex, synchrony, spike timing, entrainment, selection, functional connectivity, large scale networks, iteration, consciousness, schizophrenia

© 2017 Daniel Pouzzner, distributed per the [Creative Commons License CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), permitting reuse with full attribution.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction and Overview
2. Precision Timing in Motor-Related Basal Ganglia Output
3. The General Nature of Basal Ganglia Direct Path Inputs and Outputs
4. The Cortical Origins and Targets of the Basal Ganglia Direct Path
5. The Role of the Intralaminar Nuclei in the Direct Path
6. Delay Mechanisms in Basal Ganglia-Thalamocortical Circuits
7. The Basal Ganglia as a Flexible Oscillation Distribution Network
8. The Direct, Indirect, and Striosomal Paths in the Regulation of Cortical Dynamics
9. Dopaminergic Regulation of Oscillatory Responses
10. Acetylcholine, Serotonin, and the Thalamic Reticular Nucleus in Oscillatory Regulation
11. Basal Ganglia Involvement in Sensory Processing
12. The Roles of the Basal Ganglia in General Cognitive Coordination
13. Basal Ganglia Involvement in Cognitive Dysfunction and Collapse
14. Comparisons to Parallel and Related Systems
15. Future Directions, Open Questions, and Closing Thoughts
16. References

1. Introduction and Overview

The cerebral cortex has long been styled the seat of higher thought, due to its size and disproportionate growth in mammalian phylogeny (Mountcastle 1998), and its astronomically large dimensionality (Tononi 2004). But that size and dimensionality necessitate an exquisitely powerful coordination mechanism. In this paper, I develop the hypothesis that the basal ganglia are fundamental to that mechanism.

Specifically, this paper introduces a new model of mammalian basal ganglia (BG) function, *basal ganglia mediated synchronization* (BGMS), implicating the BG extensively in the dynamic regulation of functional connectivity in the cerebral cortex, by spike-timing-dependent gain control within the areas they target. As detailed throughout this paper, the BGMS model helps explain several historically mysterious aspects of BG and related physiology, among them:

- Unusually long and diverse delays, temporally inverted spike-timing-dependent plasticity, and unusually high convergence and divergence, of paths through the BG;
- Special sensitivity in the striatum to large scale synchronies, large scale oscillatory synchronies spanning BG components, and striatal oscillations that induce synchronous cortical oscillations;
- Rapid statistically independent tonic discharge by projection neurons in BG output structures;
- Large scale lateral inhibition in the basal ganglia, producing selections;
- Widespread, diffuse projections from the intralaminar nuclei of the thalamus to cortex, loss of consciousness from inactivation of these nuclei, and particular intimacy of these nuclei with the BG;
- The function of corticothalamic projections;
- Stereotyped rhythmicity of spiking in effective corticomotor signaling;
- Paradoxical results from lesions of BG output structures, and permanent loss of normal consciousness by their bilateral destruction;
- Dense integration into BG circuitry of highly associative and abstractly cognitive areas of cortex; and
- The etiology and ontology of schizophrenia.

All of these phenomena are, in principle, explained by the proposition that the intact BG recognize and select useful large scale patterns of synchronized cortical activity, and route the predominant oscillations within them, chiefly via the thalamus, back to cortex, where they reinforce and further synchronize activity contributing to the selected patterns, and broadly promote precisely discriminative receptivity to selected activity. This proposal implies that the BG are essential organizers of cortical activity.

In this section:

- 1.1. The basal ganglia resolve conflicts and ambiguities by making selections informed by goals, context, and expectation.
- 1.2. Population spike time relations are a pervasive mechanism for selective effective connectivity.
- 1.3. Synchronies are crucial in perception, cognition, behavior, and pathology.
- 1.4. The thalamus can control cortical oscillation and corticocortical synchronies.
- 1.5. The thalamus is in an ideal position to control large scale cortical synchronies.
- 1.6. The basal ganglia form loops with cortex that reflect cortical patterns of connectivity and parallelism.
- 1.7. The basal ganglia are arranged to participate in the regulation of oscillatory activity in large scale thalamocortical networks.
- 1.8. The physiology of the basal ganglia, thalamus, and cortex, suggest that the basal ganglia can mediate synchronization in cortex.

1.1. The basal ganglia resolve conflicts and ambiguities by making selections informed by goals, context, and expectation.

One particularly durable account of BG function is that they serve as a selection mechanism, resolving conflicting or ambiguous claims on computational and behavioral resources (Redgrave *et al.* 1999; Mink 1996; Graybiel 1998; Stephenson-Jones *et al.* 2011; Hikosaka *et al.* 2000). Similarly, the BG have been modeled as controllers of gates in cortex, selectively facilitating motor output (Chevalier and Deniau 1990; Hikosaka *et al.* 2000) and establishing contextually appropriate items in working memory (Frank *et al.* 2001; O'Reilly and Frank 2006).

At a more fundamental level, the BG are thought to develop a repertoire of compound stimulus-response relations through reinforcement learning, ultimately forming habits (Graybiel 1998, 2008). In this view, the BG transform cortical and subcortical inputs representing goals, elaborately contextualized by other cortical and subcortical inputs representing environmental state and recent history, into spatiotemporally complex, precise, widely distributed, often sequential adjustments to brain state, that are expected to promote internal and external (environmental) changes in fulfillment of those goals. It has been previously suggested that a fundamental facility of the BG for precisely and flexibly triggered, structured, and directed neurodynamic gestures has far-reaching consequences (Graybiel *et al.* 1994; Graybiel 1997). This facility is at the heart of the proposal advanced here, because—as reviewed below—effective connectivity among the targets of the BG is strongly associated with the precise timing relationships of the activity within them.

1.2. Population spike time relations are a pervasive mechanism for selective effective connectivity.

According to this proposal, the BG and thalamus establish and reinforce effective connections in cortex by distributing precisely synchronized spike volleys to its feedback-recipient layers, imparting discriminative receptivity by spike-timing-dependent gain control. The proposition that spike synchronies are correlates of functional and effective connectivity, and represent associations, is supported by an array of evidence and integrative theory (von der Malsburg 1981, 1999; Bastos *et al.* 2015; Bressler 1995; Damasio 1989; Fries 2005; Friston 2011; Hutchison *et al.* 2013; Kopell 2000; Meyer and Damasio 2009; Siegel *et al.* 2012; Singer 1993, 1999; Singer and Gray 1995; Varela *et al.* 2001; Wang 2010). Theta (~4-8 Hz), beta (~15-30 Hz), and gamma (~30-80 Hz) oscillations are most prominent in these mechanisms (Wang 2010).

Aggregate oscillations in the brain have been known for nearly a century by electroencephalography (EEG), one of the earliest techniques for measuring brain function directly (Berger 1929; Jasper 1937). EEGs measure the surface voltage fluctuations that result from the extracellular summation of phase-correlated electrical activity within large populations of neurons, mostly in the cerebral cortex (Buzsáki *et al.* 2003, 2012; Olejniczak 2006). Phase-correlated activity in smaller populations of neurons can be characterized through the resulting local field potentials (LFPs), measured with implanted electrodes (Buzsáki *et al.* 2012), and fluctuations in the LFP are a strong proxy for the membrane potentials in the individual neurons near an electrode, and for the synaptic currents that contribute to them (Haider *et al.* 2016).

Synchronizability of populations of neurons follows from the characteristics of individual neurons. Physiologically realistic simulations suggest that synchronous spike volleys can propagate coherently through a succession of many directly linked neurons (Diesmann *et al.* 1999), and activity-driven plasticity mechanisms are crucially dependent on relationships of temporal coincidence among individual spikes and spike bursts (Song *et al.* 2000; Gerstner *et al.* 1996). Moreover, finite and diverse conduction delays, apparent in the fiber populations of biological neural networks, have been shown in simulations to provide for combinatorial coverage, notionally infinite dimensionality, and correspondingly stupendous representational capacities (Izhikevich 2006).

1.3. Synchronies are crucial in perception, cognition, behavior, and pathology.

Synchronies are pivotal in perceptual processing. For example, the relationship of oscillatory frequency and phase in interconnected sensory areas, measured by LFPs, has been shown to strongly influence their effective connectivity (Womelsdorf *et al.* 2007), and the resolution of competitions among sensory inputs can be predicted from the relationship of the LFP frequency and phase within each input to those prevailing within their common target (Fries *et al.* 1997, 2002). Attentional orientation is accompanied by LFP synchrony between frontal and posterior cortex, characterized by strong frontally initiated beta or strong posteriorly initiated gamma synchrony in top-down and bottom-up orientation respectively (Buschman and Miller 2007). Some long range synchronies implicating prefrontal cortex (PFC) are associated with functional disconnection, manifesting as selective inattention (Sacchet *et al.* 2015).

Synchronies are also pivotal in the generation of behavior. Long range synchronies can be strongly predictive of behavioral decisions (Verhoef *et al.* 2011), and planning and execution of voluntary movements are associated with characteristic synchronization of activity in shifting ensembles of neurons in primary motor cortex, separate from changes in their firing rates (Riehle *et al.* 1997). Indeed, some of the gesture selectivity of activity in motor neurons is apparent only in their synchronies (Hatsopoulos *et al.* 1998).

In humans, the large scale architecture of neural synchronies has clear developmental correlates. Childhood improvements in cognitive performance are accompanied by increases in neural synchrony, while adolescence is accompanied by a temporary reduction in performance and synchrony, followed by oscillatory reorganization and still higher performance and synchrony in adulthood (Uhlhaas *et al.* 2009). The functional prominence of temporal precision is suggested by a finding that temporal acuity and psychometric g (a measure of general cognitive

performance) covary, with g predicted significantly better by acuity than by reaction time (Rammsayer and Brandler 2007). Similarly, uniformity of cadence in successive gestures within a self-paced rhythm task correlates significantly with performance on a test of general intelligence (Madison *et al.* 2009).

Characteristic synchronal abnormalities are associated with diseases such as schizophrenia (Sz), autism, Alzheimer's, and Parkinson's (Uhlhaas and Singer 2006, 2012; Hammond *et al.* 2007), and the reorganization of synchronal architecture in adolescence may be the trigger for the onset of Sz in those at risk (Uhlhaas and Singer 2010; Uhlhaas 2013). Sz in particular is associated with pervasive physiological disruptions of the mechanisms underlying the generation and regulation of, and responses to, spike synchronies and functional connectivity (Friston and Frith 1995; Uhlhaas 2013; Pittman-Polletta *et al.* 2015), and multifariously implicates the BG (Robbins 1990; Graybiel 1997; Simpson *et al.* 2010; Wang *et al.* 2015; Grace 2016; Dandash *et al.* 2017; Mamah *et al.* 2007). Indeed, abnormal judgment in Sz of time intervals and sensory simultaneity (Martin *et al.* 2013; Schmidt *et al.* 2011; Ciullo *et al.* 2016), and highly significant motor deficits in Sz, on tasks as simple as rapidly alternating finger taps (Silver *et al.* 2003), give further evidence of common timing-related mechanisms underlying sensory, motor, and cognitive processing.

1.4. The thalamus can control cortical oscillation and corticocortical synchronies.

Much of the large scale oscillatory activity in cortex is not purely intrinsic, and directly implicates subcortical structures, particularly the thalamus. The thalamus is a major target of BG output (Haber and Calzavara 2009), and the proposition that the BG have a prominent role in controlling long range cortical synchronies follows in part from evidence that the thalamus performs this function. It has been shown clearly that the thalamus can control cortical oscillatory activity (Poulet *et al.* 2012), and that it can orchestrate lag-free (zero phase shift) long range synchronies in cortex (Ribary *et al.* 1991; Vicente *et al.* 2008; Saalman *et al.* 2012). "Desynchronization" associated with mental activity in fact consists of focal, high-frequency (20-60 Hz) synchronization of distributed thalamocortical ensembles (Steriade *et al.* 1996). Long distance, multifocal (posterior visual, parietal, and frontal motor), lag-free synchronies in the beta band have been observed in association with visuomotor integration (Roelfsema *et al.* 1997), and similar lag-free beta synchronies, and precise antisynchronies, have been observed among loci in prefrontal and posterior parietal cortex in a visual working memory task (Dotson *et al.* 2014).

Projection by single thalamic nuclei to widely separated but directly interconnected cortical areas has

been noted (Goldman-Rakic 1988; Saalman *et al.* 2012), and there is evidence that intralaminar thalamocortical projections systematically reflect corticocortical connectivity, with individual axons branching multi-areally (Kaufman and Rosenquist 1985a; Van der Werf *et al.* 2002). The hypothesis has been advanced that midline and intralaminar thalamic nuclei in particular are the hub of a system to control cortical synchronies and associated effective connectivity (Saalman 2014; Purpura and Schiff 1997), and it has been demonstrated that the mediodorsal nucleus can control sustained functional connectivity in PFC (Schmitt *et al.* 2017). Indeed the entire population of calbindin-positive neurons in the thalamus has been proposed to function in this fashion (Jones 2001).

As detailed later, the interaction of physiologically distinct but spatiotemporally coincident inputs to cortex from intralaminar and non-intralaminar thalamus is a key mechanism within the model proposed here. In this mechanism, intralaminar thalamic projections act as a broadcast signal imparting highly selective receptivity and reinforcement, characterized by spatial diffusion and high temporal specificity, while non-intralaminar projections reinforce activity in specifically delineated predominantly frontal cortical areas, with much less temporal specificity. These distinct inputs to cortex interact with intrinsic cortical activity, arranging for rapid and dynamic recruitment of specific, contextually appropriate large scale cortical networks.

1.5. The thalamus is in an ideal position to control large scale cortical synchronies.

Thalamic control of cortical oscillation and synchronies follows naturally from the developmental relationship of thalamus to cortex. While a ballet of intrinsic prenatal processes parcels the cortex into its major cytoarchitectonic areas (Rakic 1988), thalamocortical axons reach their pallial destinations before neurogenesis and migration of the receiving cortical neurons (López-Bendito and Molnár 2003; Paredes *et al.* 2016), and the basic architecture of cortex is thought to develop partly in response to patterns of activity in these axons (Katz and Shatz 1996). “Developmental exuberance”, entailing the robust proliferation of ephemeral long range links in cortex, is followed by a postnatal paring process driven in part by early patterns of thalamocortical activity (Innocenti and Price 2005; Price *et al.* 2006).

The manipulation of thalamocortical input patterns can dramatically alter cortical physiology and function (Rakic 1988). For example, uniquely visual attributes can be induced in cortical areas that normally subservise audition by rerouting retinal inputs to the thalamic auditory nuclei (Sharma *et al.* 2000).

These roles establishing the anatomical connectivity and intrinsic function of cortex position the thalamus uniquely to regulate cortical functional connectivity.

The thalamus is also uniquely positioned anatomically, at the base of the forebrain on the midline. This is an optimal situation for distributing synchronized spike volleys to far-flung loci in cortex. It is striking that postnatally (week 4 in mice), the thalamocortical projection to a given functional area of cortex develops a uniform delay, in many areas less than 1 ms of maximum disparity, despite widely varying axon lengths; even intermodally, thalamocortical delays are often aligned within 2-3 ms (Salami *et al.* 2003; Steriade 1995). The central clustering of thalamic nuclei is noteworthy in itself: absent functional requirements and associated evolutionary pressures to the contrary, many of these nuclei might migrate toward the cortical areas with which they are intimate, realizing physiological efficiencies (Scannell 1999). Moreover, in many mammals the dorsal BG maintain rough radial symmetries centered on the thalamus, suggesting time alignment pressures like those that appear to influence the gross anatomy of the thalamus.

1.6. The basal ganglia form loops with cortex that reflect cortical patterns of connectivity and parallelism.

It has long been appreciated that the cortex, striatum, pallidum/substantia nigra, and thalamus are arranged in loops placing each under the influence of the others (Alexander *et al.* 1986; Parent and Hazrati 1995a; Middleton and Strick 2000). As reviewed in detail later, the pyramidal neurons of cortical layer 5 (L5) originate the primary input to the BG “direct path” centrally implicated in these loops, and are among the recipients of the output from the direct path via the thalamus. While subdivision of these loops into parallel circuits and constituent channels has been noted (Alexander *et al.* 1986, 1991), *in toto* the pathways of the BG exhibit remarkably varied patterns of convergence, divergence, and reconfiguration (Joel and Weiner 1994; Hintiryan *et al.* 2016).

Diffuse projection fields from wide areas of cortex exhibit high convergence-divergence, and are proposed to supply extensive context throughout the striatum (Calzavara *et al.* 2007; Maily *et al.* 2013). Projections from interconnected cortical regions, including reciprocally interconnected pairs of individual neurons, systematically converge and interdigitate in the striatum (Van Hoesen *et al.* 1981; Selemon and Goldman-Rakic 1985; Parthasarathy *et al.* 1992; Flaherty and Graybiel 1994; Lei *et al.* 2004; Morishima and Kawaguchi 2006; Hintiryan *et al.* 2016), and projections from interconnected areas have been shown to converge on individual fast spiking interneurons (FSIs) (Ramanathan *et al.* 2002). These arrangements show that the BG are particularly concerned with corticocortical connectivity. Even before much of this evidence was uncovered, it was suggested that arrangements of convergence and interdigitation in the corticostriatal projection position the striatum to integrate,

compare, or synchronize neural computations in distant areas of cortex (Mesulam 1990).

By having a sharp view of afferents from directly interconnected areas, simultaneous with a diffuse view of more widespread cortical activity, a striatal neighborhood is supplied with information upon which appropriate corticocortical connectivity decisions might be made as a function of present connectivity and context, with particular expertise for the functional domains implicated by those focal afferents. Crucially, a striatal neighborhood innervated by multiple cortical areas can impart oscillation from one of them to the others, through open loops back to cortex, with particular significance for directly interconnected areas. Meanwhile, partial segregation of channels through the BG likely facilitates parallel processing of operations that require only partial coordination, with the degrees and directions of segregation tending to reflect the degrees and directions of non-interference and independence.

Parallelism in the BG provides for the simultaneous processing in the striatum of activity at multiple oscillatory frequencies in distinct regions, associated with distinct domains of skill acquisition and performance, with distinct expressions of plasticity in each region, and inter-regional coherence varying task-dependently (Thorn and Graybiel 2014). In cortex, too, evidence suggests that distributed functional networks are largely parallel, and entail interdigitation in circuit nodes, particularly in prefrontal and other associative areas (Goldman-Rakic 1988; Yeo *et al.* 2011; Livingstone and Hubel 1988), even while most areas have direct anatomical connections with each other (Markov *et al.* 2014). fMRI of spontaneous activity in resting humans has demonstrated corresponding integration, regionalization, and parallelism of cortico-BG networks (Di Martino *et al.* 2008).

1.7. The basal ganglia are arranged to participate in the regulation of oscillatory activity in large scale thalamocortical networks.

Prominent oscillatory activity in the BG, particularly beta oscillation, is associated with perception, attention, decision making, and working memory (Cannon *et al.* 2014), all of which implicate large scale brain networks. Sensitivity to widespread synchronies is intrinsic to striatal physiology (Zheng and Wilson 2002), and the BG-recipient thalamus projects densely to the striatum (Sidibé *et al.* 2002; McFarland and Haber 2000), so that dynamics and plasticity in the BG are driven in part by the synchronies present at their output.

The scope of BG influence on cortical activity is extensive, with artificial stimulation of the striatum affecting activity spanning the entire cerebral cortex (Lee *et al.* 2016). Large areas of the motor, limbic, association, and intralaminar thalamus are BG-recipient (Haber and Calzavara 2009; Groenewegen and Berendse 1994; Sidibé

et al. 2002; Smith *et al.* 2004), projecting to feedback-recipient layers in cortex, particularly L1, L3, and L5 (Clascá *et al.* 2012; Markov and Kennedy 2013). The widespread, perhaps comprehensive, influence of the BG on cortical activity suggests a general role, integral to the normal operation of cell assemblies throughout the neocortex.

There is evidence that projections from the BG-recipient thalamus to cortex are subject to coincidence detection (Linás *et al.* 2002; Larkum *et al.* 2004; Volgushev *et al.* 1998) and lag-free lateral spread of oscillation (Tamás *et al.* 2000), and that the dynamics of these mechanisms are modulated by dopamine under direct BG control (Yang and Seamans 1996; Towers and Hestrin 2008). BG-facilitated burst firing in cortex might activate functional connections: Excitatory input from thalamus to the L1 dendrites of L5 pyramidal neurons, synchronous with deep layer inputs to those neurons, promotes burst firing (Larkum *et al.* 2004; Larkum 2013), while corticocortical bursts induce long range synchronization (Womelsdorf *et al.* 2014). BG input to the thalamus, affecting the temporal structure of activity there rather than its intensity, is crucial for a similar type of pallial burst generation in songbirds (Kojima *et al.* 2013).

Activity in the BG is time-locked to sensory and behavioral events, as implied by the model introduced here. For example, experiments in rats have demonstrated rapid, coherent oscillatory reset spanning the BG in response to sensory cues (Leventhal *et al.* 2012). Task-related oscillatory activity in the monkey BG correlates strongly with oscillation in the implicated areas of thalamus (Schwab 2016, chapter 5), and appears to induce phase-locked oscillation in prefrontal cortical areas (Antzoulatos and Miller 2014).

Habit learning is associated with the gradual emergence of widespread task-related spike synchronies in the striatum, suggesting shifts in the functional connectivity of the associated networks (Barnes *et al.* 2005; Howe *et al.* 2011). Indeed, in tasks with shifting stimulus-response contingencies, the human BG have been shown to establish appropriate functional connections between prefrontal and posterior visual cortex (van Schouwenburg *et al.* 2010b).

1.8. The physiology of the basal ganglia, thalamus, and cortex, suggest that the basal ganglia can mediate synchronization in cortex.

Drawing on these findings, I propose the *basal ganglia mediated synchronization* (BGMS) model, and detail its mechanistic components and their relations below. In the BGMS model, the BG learn to recognize salient patterns of distributed, phase-correlated cortical activity, responding with synchronized spike volleys with functionally optimal delays, relayed via the thalamus, to the feedback-recipient layers of other areas of cortex, and

back to those of the cortical areas of origin. These spike volleys reinforce activity in the areas of origin, promote activity in allied areas, and establish selective long range synchronies and consequent effective connectivity with other areas, both by elevating receptivity in the other areas by spike-timing-dependent gain, and—with stronger and more coherent activity—by promoting burst firing. This mechanism particularly implicates the structures of the direct path, with crucial and distinct roles for the long and diverse conduction delays of corticostriatal projections, for those of the striatopallidal and striatonigral projections, for the cortical projections of the motor and association nuclei of the thalamus, and for those of the intralaminar nuclei.

Structures associated with the BG “indirect” and “hyperdirect” paths are proposed to inhibit or desynchronize conflicting, aborted, irrelevant, and completed activity, consistent with functions already proposed and demonstrated for these structures (Smith *et al.* 1998; Parent and Hazrati 1995b; Schmidt *et al.* 2013; Lee *et al.* 2016). BG influences on dopaminergic, cholinergic, and serotonergic centers, and the thalamic reticular nucleus, are proposed to be coordinated with (and partly by) these direct and indirect path outputs, promoting activity that contributes to the selected effective connections, attenuating or functionally disconnecting activity that conflicts with them, and modulating the dynamics within effective connections, to promote gainful computation and motor output. These mechanisms also greatly influence the expression of plasticity in the implicated structures, orienting neurophysiological investments to favor salient stimuli and behaviors, aligning selections with expectations and goals, and improving the immediacy, precision, and thoroughness of those selections.

The physiological underpinnings of BGMS are narratively unwieldy. Crucial details span gross anatomy, hodology, microstructure, chemodynamics, electrotonus, and resultant computation and learning. Moreover, the architecture of the system entails multifarious looping, so that there is no natural beginning or end, and entails numerous bifurcations and reemergences, so that even if a starting point is settled, there is no natural linear narrative. Thoroughness and clarity are thus somewhat mutually antagonistic and indeed, I fear, elusive. Moreover, divergence, convergence, and sparsity in the BG are so pervasive and extreme, that they seem optimized to conceal their operational essence in plain sight. In a sense, they likely are, to gracefully tolerate localized physiological insults. Functional description of the BG,

more than of other major divisions of the vertebrate brain, has been fraught with these challenges throughout the history of neurology and neuroscience.

The approach I take here is the obvious—to review the physiology and function of the BG and thalamocortical systems, much of it established in studies conducted in previous decades, recontextualized to the BGMS model. Following the foregoing introduction, I begin with a discussion of the role posited for the BG in gating motor output, and the relevance of precision spike timing in that role, followed by a discussion of the general relationship of the BG to cortex and thalamus from a signal processing perspective. Following that, I review the areas of thalamus receiving BG direct path output, the areas of cortex receiving output from the BG via the thalamus, and some relevant physiological nuances of the implicated neurons and their connections. Following this are reviews of BG path delays and proposed delay plasticity mechanisms, and of the patterns of convergence and divergence in these paths, all fundamental to the BGMS model. Then I review in some detail the modulatory functions of dopamine, acetylcholine, and serotonin in the thalamocortical system, and BG influences over the release of these key neurotransmitters. Finally, I consider the roles of the BG in sensory perception and general cognitive coordination, and discuss some relationships and contrasts with the hippocampal, cerebellar, and other related systems.

Nearly a century ago, the eminent neurologist Kinnier Wilson remarked that the basal ganglia “still, to a large extent, retain the characteristic of basements—*viz.*, darkness.” (Wilson 1925) Thanks to the profound exertions of a great many since then, much of this darkness has lifted, and we are now in a position to perceive the gear-like interlocking mechanisms by which the cerebral cortex, basal ganglia, and thalamus, are combined as a coherent and inseparable whole.

Within the theoretical framework of synchrony-mediated effective connectivity, von der Malsburg (1999) mused that “If there were mechanisms in the brain by which connections could directly excite or inhibit each other, fast retrieval of associatively stored connectivity patterns could be realized.” Implicitly, the BGMS model is a proposal that the BG, with the thalamus, implement such a mechanism, enabling patterns of activity in corticocortical connections to excite and inhibit other connections with nearly arbitrary flexibility. And as discussed later, similar mechanisms may be realized by other subcortical structures.

2. Precision Timing in Motor-Related Basal Ganglia Output

In this section:

- 2.1. The basal ganglia are integral to movement, but selective disinhibition is an inadequate model for their involvement.
- 2.2. Production of motor behavior implicates the basal ganglia at fine time scales.
- 2.3. Production of motor behavior entails long range oscillatory synchronies.
- 2.4. Production of motor behavior can be prevented by a single spike volley directed to a BG output structure.

2.1. The basal ganglia are integral to movement, but selective disinhibition is an inadequate model for their involvement.

It has long been recognized that the BG are integral to movement performance (DeLong and Georgopoulos 2011; Chevalier and Deniau 1990). Selective disinhibition of tonically inhibited motor centers, concurrent with enhanced inhibition of unselected motor centers, is a prominent model for this involvement (Chevalier and Deniau 1990; Hikosaka *et al.* 2000). However, firing rate models do not fully describe the implicated mechanisms (Kojima *et al.* 2013). It is particularly telling that removal of ostensibly inhibitory pallidal input to thalamus for treatment of Parkinson's disease (PD) does not result in an excess of movement (Brown and Eusebio 2008; Marsden and Obeso 1994), and that direct and indirect path activation have effects on activity levels in BG direct path output structures opposite those predicted by the inhibition-release model (Lee *et al.* 2016).

2.2. Production of motor behavior implicates the basal ganglia at fine time scales.

All cortical output to the brainstem and spinal cord arises from pyramidal neurons in L5, whose apical dendrites are in L1 (Deschênes *et al.* 1994), apposed directly by the terminals of BG-recipient thalamic projection neurons (Kuramoto *et al.* 2009; Jinnai *et al.* 1987). These appositions are excitatory. The apical and proximal dendritic processes of these pyramidal neurons are thought to interact as a coincidence detector (or “vertical associator”) mechanism, with a window width of 20-30 ms, particularly gating burst generation, with a significant correlation between the timing variability of the implicated thalamocortical spike activity and that of post-synaptic spikes (Larkum *et al.* 2004; Larkum 2013). This implies that BG facilitation of thalamocortical spiking has temporal specificity at least as fine as this time scale. Somatic coincidence detection in pyramidal neurons is subject to a much tighter window, ~4 ms (Pouille and Scanziani 2001; Volgushev *et al.* 1998), and evidence is

reviewed later suggesting BG alignments at this much finer time scale, particularly implicating the intralaminar nuclei.

2.3. Production of motor behavior entails long range oscillatory synchronies.

Motor performance entails patterns of synchronized activity in motor neurons (Riehle *et al.* 1997; Hatsopoulos *et al.* 1998). In many neurons in premotor cortex, sustained oscillation at characteristic frequencies in high beta (typically 20-30 Hz) has been found to precede gestures, and to be selective for specific features of the forthcoming gesture (Lebedev and Wise 2000). Granger causality analysis of LFPs in sensorimotor cortex suggests that sensory and inferior posterior parietal cortex drive sustained beta oscillation in motor cortex during a sustained gesture (maintenance of a hand press) (Brovelli *et al.* 2004). Oscillatory synchrony is apparent in premotor cortex during delay periods, and is displaced immediately before the onset of movement by simultaneous bursting (Lebedev and Wise 2000).

Corticocortical gate control by the BG strongly implies oscillatory cortical activity. The delays of paths through the BG (e.g. 45 ± 15 ms via SNr to the frontal eye field, reviewed in detail later) are significantly longer than the corresponding corticocortical delays (e.g. 8-13 ms between area V4 in visual cortex and the frontal eye field (Gregoriou *et al.* 2009)), so that trans-BG spike volleys triggered by a given cortical spike volley return to cortex outside the coincidence window of that same cortical spike volley traveling corticocortically. Moreover, activation of the BG is dependent on synchronized cortical activity, due to physiology in the striatum (reviewed later). Thus, the BG can open a corticocortical gate, at the earliest, for the second in a series of synchronized spike volleys. Volleys from a particular efferent area must have a consistent cadence, even if only for a spike volley doublet, in order for coincident arrival to be possible (and, as proposed later, learnable) for volleys traveling both corticocortically and through the BG to the same target area. Of particular relevance to this mechanism, behavior-correlated spiking in premotor and primary motor cortex has been found to

always endure for at least one cycle of oscillation, and to often endure for only one (Churchland *et al.* 2012), representing the parsimonious spiking pattern for integration with the BG.

Sustained activity has also been proposed to be necessary for conscious cognition, entailing “dynamic mobilization” of long range functional networks (Dehaene and Naccache 2001; Dehaene and Changeux 2011). Irreducible delays in BG responses to preconscious cortical and thalamic activity may figure prominently in this dependency.

2.4. Production of motor behavior can be prevented by a single spike volley directed to a BG output structure.

That the BG generally facilitate effective connectivity

using multi-areally synchronized spike volleys is suggested indirectly by the finding that a solitary, precisely timed spike volley from the subthalamic nucleus (STN) to the substantia nigra reticular part (SNr) can be effective in stopping (preventing) behavior (Schmidt *et al.* 2013). This might be evidence that the disruption of the timing of BG output is enough to abolish its facilitatory effect, so that its timing is implicitly critical. It also suggests that paths through the globus pallidus external segment (GPe) and the STN to the globus pallidus internal segment (GPI), SNr, and the reticular nucleus of the thalamus (TRN) may be temporally coherent, as proposed in the BGMS model for the direct path, and might induce calibrated antisynchronies to effect functional disconnections.

3. The General Nature of Basal Ganglia Direct Path Inputs and Outputs

In this section:

- 3.1. Basal ganglia outputs constitute decisions, and only incidentally relay information.
- 3.2. Basal ganglia output, and cortical activity patterns, are highly stochastic, implicating populations of neurons.
- 3.3. The basal ganglia preserve the temporal structure of afferent cortical activity.
- 3.4. GABAergic neurons can precisely control activity in their targets.
- 3.5. BG input to the thalamus is not purely inhibitory.

3.1. Basal ganglia outputs constitute decisions, and only incidentally relay information.

According to the BGMS model, the information represented by a pattern of activation in a particular cortical area passes to other receptive cortical areas chiefly via the direct connections between them. The information is not relayed through the BG, and reaches the thalamus via the BG in only drastically reduced and fragmentary form. While the striatum is continually supplied with inputs that apparently include nearly all cortically represented information (Parent and Hazrati 1995a; Hintiryan *et al.* 2016), only a small fraction of this information can emerge from the BG, due to the >1000:1 reduction in neuron count from the corticostriatal population to the output neuron populations in the GPI and SNr (Kincaid *et al.* 1998; Zheng and Wilson 2002; Goldberg and Bergman 2011). By a similar rationale, noting a 100:1 ratio of visual cortex neurons to pulvinar neurons in macaque, Van Essen (2005) suggested that the associative thalamus itself generally operates in a modulatory role, managing information transfers that are fundamentally corticocortical. This proposition is further supported by results, noted earlier, suggesting that the thalamic mediodorsal nucleus regulates functional

connectivity in PFC rather than acting as an information relay (Schmitt *et al.* 2017).

3.2. Basal ganglia output, and cortical activity patterns, are highly stochastic, implicating populations of neurons.

Due to the general irregularity and independence of firing patterns in individual BG projection cells, the entropy of the BG paths is substantial (Wilson 2013). This suggests that decisions represented by BG output are highly flexible and can be quite nuanced. The neurons projecting from the BG to the thalamus are noted for their continuous and independent high frequency discharge patterns (Brown *et al.* 2001; Stanford 2002), and this activity must be functionally crucial, given its inherent metabolic burden, simultaneous with remarkable evolutionary stability, spanning hundreds of millions of years and all known vertebrate taxa (Stephenson-Jones *et al.* 2012). It has been suggested that these signals are particularly suited to act as carriers for motor commands (Brown *et al.* 2001); in the BGMS model these signals act as carriers for control signals spanning all domains.

Because each BG output neuron oscillates at an independent frequency, aggregate BG output statistically resembles Gaussian noise, suggesting that oscillatory modulation (by inputs from the striatum, in particular) can

produce output signals with high oscillatory fidelity. This is akin to dithering techniques that use additive noise with a triangular probability distribution to reduce harmonic distortion, in systems that represent intrinsically continuous signals using quantized digital schemes (Lipshitz *et al.* 1992). Convergence in mammals of several BG output neurons to single thalamocortical neurons (Ilinsky *et al.* 1997), and the multitude of thalamocortical neurons innervating each neighborhood in cortex (Rubio-Garrido *et al.* 2009), comport with such an arrangement. In the BGMS model, the resulting high temporal resolution lets the BG produce aggregate thalamocortical activity that is precisely coincident with converging corticocortical activity.

Moreover, there is evidence that oscillatory waveforms are often non-sinusoidal, conforming to various source-specific stereotypes (Cole and Voytek 2017). Functional significance has been ascribed to the fine time structure of spike “packets” exhibiting source-specific stereotypes over time spans of 50-200 ms (Luczak *et al.* 2015), and in general, to the information-carrying capacity of dynamic variations in inter-spike intervals (Tsien and Li 2017). To the degree that waveform harmonics and the fine time structure of spiking are functionally significant, waveform fidelity is likewise significant.

In cortex, individual neurons in a state of wakefulness exhibit almost completely random discharge patterns (Softky and Koch 1993). Computational modeling suggests that top-down synchronizing influences on a population of cortical neurons (of the sort exerted by thalamocortical projections, reviewed in detail later) profoundly impact their aggregate oscillation, evident in the LFP, with highly selective attentional effects, even while individual cells within the population continue to exhibit nearly Poissonian random firing patterns (Ardid *et al.* 2010).

In recent experiments with monkeys, it was found that movement-related LFP oscillations in GPi and its target area in thalamus (ventral lateral, anterior part, VLa) were strongly and likely causally correlated, for the duration of each trial, with a time lag from GPi to thalamus shorter than 10 ms, even while individual neuronal firing patterns in GPi showed little correlation to GPi LFP, and virtually no correlation to LFP in thalamus (Schwab 2016, chapter 5). The presence of movement-related LFP oscillation in GPi signifies phasically correlated discharges in large numbers of neurons there, whereas the weakness or absence of apparent spike synchrony signifies that the neurons discharging synchronously are sparsely embedded within a much larger number of neurons whose discharges are not correlated. While this is expected from the known physiology of the GPi, discussed above, and at greater length later, it is doubtless methodologically frustrating.

3.3. The basal ganglia preserve the temporal structure of afferent cortical activity.

A variety of evidence suggests that the BG process and preserve oscillatory time structure, positioning them to manipulate cortical synchronies. For some time it has been appreciated that cortico-BG circuits in a state of health show synchronized oscillations across the full spectrum of power bands, from the “ultra-slow” (0.05 Hz) to the “ultra-fast” (300 Hz), with robust oscillatory activity in the striatum and STN of alert behaving animals (primate and rodent) that is modulated by behavioral tasks (Boraud *et al.* 2005). In PD patients treated with levodopa, it has been observed that BG oscillation in the high gamma band appears to entrain cortex, with the BG leading cortex by 20 ms (Williams *et al.* 2002). In normal monkeys, task-related beta band oscillations in PFC follow and, according to Granger analysis, are caused by, activity in the striatum; this striatal activity, and that of its targets, sustain a spatially focused phase lock, with no inter-areal delay at beta (Antzoulatos and Miller 2014).

BG output responds quickly to sensory stimuli, accompanies and is sustained during delays, and precedes behavioral responses (Nambu *et al.* 1990). The striatum synchronizes with cortical theta (Berke *et al.* 2004) and gamma (Jenkinson *et al.* 2013) oscillation, and populations of neurons within each of the successive and parallel nuclei of the BG can synchronize with cortical beta oscillation, each nucleus exhibiting a task-related characteristic phase relationship with cortical oscillation that becomes consistent and precise with task mastery, and is most pronounced at the moment of task-critical decision (Leventhal *et al.* 2012). Moreover, as alluded to earlier, BG beta synchrony with cortical oscillation associated with a task-relevant sensory cue is established with an entraining phase reset that is sharp and immediate, within tens of milliseconds following presentation of an auditory stimulus (Leventhal *et al.* 2012).

3.4. GABAergic neurons can precisely control activity in their targets.

In cortex, GABAergic fast spiking inhibitory interneurons (FSIs) play a dominant role in the induction and control of oscillatory activity in the beta and gamma bands, exerting fine control over phase (Hasenstaub *et al.* 2005). Similarly in thalamus, GABAergic projections from the reticular nucleus are believed to be crucial to the induction of the intense, globally synchronized spike bursts known as sleep spindles (Contreras *et al.* 1997).

GABA, classically viewed as an inhibitory neurotransmitter, has a biphasic excitatory effect in certain circumstances, as a function both of the intensity of GABAergic release, and of the timing relationship between that release and the post-synaptic activity with

which it interacts; GABA activity can either inhibit or enhance NMDA-dependent synaptic plasticity as a function of that timing relationship (Staley *et al.* 1995; Lambert and Grover 1995). Consistent with these *in vitro* and *in vivo* results, computer simulation suggests that cortical FSIs can substantially raise the sensitivity or gain of their targets when interneuronal input and excitatory input are synchronized with an appropriate phase relationship (Tiesinga *et al.* 2004).

There is evidence of some of these effects, particularly biphasic activation and entrainment, in the GABAergic innervation of the thalamus by the BG (Goldberg *et al.* 2013; Bodor *et al.* 2008). These effects are particularly accessible to experimental probing in songbirds, where BG-recipient neurons in thalamus exhibit physiological similarity to mammalian thalamocortical cells, but unlike mammals, each receives only a single pallidal/nigral fiber, terminating in a calyx enveloping the soma (Luo and Perkel 1999). Studies in songbird thalamus have found coherent oscillatory entrainment at pallidothalamic terminals, and synchronous post-synaptic oscillation driven by pallidal input in the absence of excitatory presynaptic input (Person and Perkel 2005; Doupe *et al.* 2005; Leblois *et al.* 2009).

3.5. BG input to the thalamus is not purely inhibitory.

Simultaneous phasic intensification of ostensibly inhibitory pallidal output and activity in its thalamic targets has also been noted (Goldberg *et al.* 2013; Lee *et al.* 2016). This has several possible explanations, among which are the effects described above, and the actions of dopaminergic, cholinergic, and serotonergic nuclei, which facilitate responsive oscillation, and are integral to BG circuitry (these paths and effects are reviewed later). It may also be explained by coexpression of excitatory neurotransmitters in the pallidothalamic projection, or

indeed within the terminal processes of individual axons therein, which could be particularly effective at entraining a target. Indeed, several studies have found a glutamatergic component within the pallidothalamic and nigrothalamic projections (Kha *et al.* 2000, 2001; Conte-Perales *et al.* 2011; Yamaguchi *et al.* 2013; Antal *et al.* 2014).

The tonic level of activity in BG-recipient thalamus is similar to that in cerebellum-recipient thalamus, even though the latter is subject to tonic excitatory input, and the two compartments show no apparent distinctions in cholinergic or TRN innervation (Nakamura *et al.* 2014). This apparent paradox may be explained not only by the effects described above, but by systematic cytological preferences, in which the BG and cerebellum target cytologically distinct thalamic populations, with distinct physiology and connectivity (Kuramoto *et al.* 2009; Jones 2001). However, it seems clear that much of the explanation is in the nature of the BG input itself, given findings noted earlier, that no excess of movement follows from PD treatments in which BG inputs to thalamus are removed (Brown and Eusebio 2008; Marsden and Obeso 1994).

Notably, just as thalamic activity increases simultaneously with increases in GPi activity, GPi metabolism and spiking activity increase simultaneously with activation of the direct path spiny projection neurons (SPNs) in the striatum that target it (Lee *et al.* 2016), despite similar ostensibly inhibitory chemistry in the striatopallidal projection. Moreover, physiologically realistic modeling suggests that striatal FSI activation, ostensibly inhibiting connected SPNs, increases the firing rates of those SPNs (Humphries *et al.* 2009). These are the relationships needed for oscillatory relay through the successive stages of the BG, and are incompatible with models in which BG actions are limited to inhibition and release.

4. The Cortical Origins and Targets of the Basal Ganglia Direct Path

In this section:

- 4.1. Direct path output is directed to most of the cerebral cortex, emphasizing frontal areas but including posterior areas.
- 4.2. The laminar hierarchical architecture of the cerebral cortex implies a role for the BG in orienting attention, biasing competition, and other roles associated with corticocortical feedback projections.
- 4.3. BG output in the normal brain is modulatory.
- 4.4. The BG target apical dendrites in cortex, through thalamic projections with mesoscopically and multi-areally branching axons.
- 4.5. Thalamocortical projections to apical dendrites control spike-timing-dependent gain.
- 4.6. Intrinsic mechanisms in cortex facilitate semantically valid mesoscopic modulation and selection.
- 4.7. Dopamine under BG control modulates the vertical and horizontal dynamics to which effective connections in cortex are subject.
- 4.8. Projections to thalamus from cortical layer 6 exhibit topography, and bear activity, suited to mesoscopic modulation by BG direct path output.
- 4.9. Intrinsic cortical network dynamics assure a supply of activity to thalamus that can be effectively entrained by converging BG inputs.
- 4.10. Motor and intralaminar thalamus relay BG-modulated cortical activity to BG inputs, with functional distinctions suggesting contextualization and dynamical regulation.

4.1. Direct path output is directed to most of the cerebral cortex, emphasizing frontal areas but including posterior areas.

In the BGMS model, it is through the direct path that cortical activity is focused, selected, and coherently distributed, establishing long range connections implicating the areas originating the selected activity. The circuitry and scope of the direct path are thus of paramount importance.

Direct path targets include the near entirety of frontal cortex, via thalamic mediodorsal (MD), ventral anterior (VA), ventral lateral (VL), ventromedial (VM), and ventral posterolateral *pars oralis* (VPLo) nuclei (Middleton and Strick 2002; Sidibé *et al.* 1997; Haber and Calzavara 2009; Sakai *et al.* 1996; Herkenham 1979). In primates, agranular insular and anterior cingulate cortex are targeted via MD (Ray and Price 1993), and additional targets include high-order visuo-cognitive areas in inferotemporal cortex via the magnocellular part of VA (VAmc) (Middleton and Strick 1996), and anterior intraparietal (Clower *et al.* 2005) cortex. These latter two areas are at the end of the ventral and dorsal visual streams, respectively, proposed to be associated with perceptual cognition relating to physical objects (Baizer *et al.* 1991), and have been found to show choice-predictive beta band synchronization in a 3D-shape discrimination task (Verhoef *et al.* 2011), plausibly implicating the BG directly (Leventhal *et al.* 2012). In chimpanzee, limited

experiments have demonstrated projections from the MD, VA, and VL nuclei to posterior cortical areas 19 and 39 (Tigges *et al.* 1983). In humans, the dorsal and ventral visual streams in these areas are densely interconnected (Takemura *et al.* 2016), suggesting a role for BG-mediated inter-stream effective connectivity control in the middle stages of visual processing.

Extensive but diffuse projections from BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei (centromedian (CM), parafascicular (PF), paracentral (PC), and central lateral (CL)) have been found to reach nearly the entire cerebral cortex, and furthermore project intrathalamically and to the basal forebrain (Kaufman and Rosenquist 1985b; Scannell 1999; Van der Werf *et al.* 2002).

Consistent with these widespread projections of BG-recipient thalamus, artificial stimulation of direct path SPNs in the striatum significantly and consistently increases activity throughout the entire cortex (Lee *et al.* 2016).

4.2. The laminar hierarchical architecture of the cerebral cortex implies a role for the BG in orienting attention, biasing competition, and other roles associated with corticocortical feedback projections.

The laminar function of cortex, and the layer-specific targeting of corticocortical projections, can help explain the functional relationship of the BG to cortex. An arrangement of cortical areas in primates has been described in which hierarchies are defined by long range

feedforward and feedback relations, with characteristic laminar origins and destinations that grow more distinct as hierarchical distance grows (Barone *et al.* 2000). In primates, feedforward projections tend to arise from L5 and deep L3, adjacent to L4 (the middle granular layer), and project to L4, while feedback projections arise from L6 and upper L3, and project to L1/L2, upper L3, and L6 (Barone *et al.* 2000; Markov and Kennedy 2013). The functions ascribed to feedback projections include attentional orientation by biasing competition, and disambiguation and hypothesis-driven interpretation of high resolution feedforward inputs (“biasing inference”), while feedforward projections introduce environmental state information into hypothetical representations (models), promoting rectification of their inaccuracies and inadequacies (Markov and Kennedy 2013).

Cortical inputs to striatal matrix, including the direct and indirect paths, arise from L3 and L5 (Gerfen 1989; Kincaid and Wilson 1996; Reiner *et al.* 2003), like corticocortical feedforward paths. This suggests that the BG receive detailed, highly specific, environmentally informative inputs. However, BG-recipient thalamocortical axons terminate chiefly in L1, L3, deep L5, and L6, and completely avoid L4 (Kuramoto *et al.* 2009; Jinnai *et al.* 1987; Parent and Parent 2005; Kaufman and Rosenquist 1985a; Berendse and Groenewegen 1991). Thus, the termination pattern of BG-recipient thalamus in cortex is like that of corticocortical feedback paths, consistent with the putative role of the BG as a modulator and selection mechanism, and suggests a key role in hypothetical modeling of the environment. In L5, a particular need for disambiguating inputs is suggested by evidence that neurons responsive to varied stimuli are prevalent in L5, while narrower receptive fields, providing for nearly comprehensive combinatorial coverage of stimulus dimensions, predominate in L2/L3 (Xie *et al.* 2016; Li *et al.* 2016).

The cortical input to BG-recipient thalamus arises both from L6, and from collaterals of command output to the brainstem and spinal cord arising from L5 (Deschênes *et al.* 1994), pooling afferents whose origins resemble those of corticocortical feedback and feedforward projections. This might have consequences for interactions with BG output, discussed later. Also discussed later, projections from the thalamus back to striatum relay this pooled input, which intermingles convergently with the more plentiful cortical inputs.

Some projections of the PFC to posterior areas have been found to resemble those of the BG-recipient thalamus, terminating most densely in L1 and avoiding L4, in the pattern of feedback projections (Selemon and Goldman-Rakic 1988). Transthalamic paths from cortex through “core” neurons in the thalamus, on the other hand, have been found to originate chiefly in L5, and to terminate in L4 and deep L3 (Jones 2001; Rouiller and Welker 2000), like corticocortical feedforward paths.

Similarly, input to the cerebellum from the neocortex arises from deep L5 (Glickstein *et al.* 1985; Schmammann and Pandya 1997), and its output targets the core population in thalamus and, through them, middle layers in cortex (Kuramoto *et al.* 2009; García-Cabezas and Barbas 2014), so that many paths through the cerebellum also resemble corticocortical feedforward paths.

4.3. BG output in the normal brain is modulatory.

Movement is difficult or impossible to evoke by electrical stimulation of BG-recipient loci in the motor thalamus, even while such movement can be readily evoked from nearby loci receiving cerebellar output (Vitek *et al.* 1996; Buford *et al.* 1996; Nambu 2008). As noted above, cerebellum-recipient cells project mainly to middle cortical layers, while BG-recipient cells project mainly to deep and superficial layers. In primate, BG-recipient neurons in the motor thalamus may be consistently within the calbindin-positive population, associated with widely distributed and divergent cortical modulation, while cerebellum-recipient neurons are consistently within the parvalbumin-positive population, associated with specific and narrowly circumscribed topographic projections (Jones 2001; Kuramoto *et al.* 2009).

While movement can be evoked by microstimulation of the intralaminar nuclei (Schlag *et al.* 1974), BG input there, as in the nigrotectal projection, is mostly directed to the dendrites of projection neurons (Sidibé *et al.* 2002; Behan *et al.* 1987), where it can only modulate other, excitatory inputs. This contrasts with calyx-like BG terminals in the motor thalamus, that exercise predominant control over their targets, and can directly induce rebound firing and bursting (Bodor *et al.* 2008).

4.4. The BG target apical dendrites in cortex, through thalamic projections with mesoscopically and multi-areally branching axons.

Outside the intralaminar nuclei, projections of the BG-recipient thalamus terminate chiefly in L1 (the molecular layer, consisting mostly of the apical dendrites of pyramidal neurons), while projections of cerebellum-recipient thalamus terminate chiefly within L2-L5; the two terminal fields overlap and intermingle in cortex so that pyramidal neurons are simultaneously under the influence of the BG and cerebellum (Kuramoto *et al.* 2009; Jinnai *et al.* 1987). The rat and cat VM nucleus, a major recipient of SNr output, has been noted for directing its output, covering large portions of the cerebral cortex, almost exclusively to L1 (Herkenham 1979; Glenn *et al.* 1982). Recent experiments in the rat have demonstrated that thalamic projections to superficial cortex are comprehensive, extensively overlapping, broadly arborize tangentially, and are variously intra- and inter-areally divergent and convergent (Rubio-Garrido *et al.* 2009).

Each square mm of superficial cortex was found to be innervated by an average of ~4500 thalamocortical neurons, and the most profuse nuclei of origin were the VL, VA, and VM, each of which was noted for terminal fields targeting widely separated areas in cortex. Primary sensory nuclei were found to be completely absent from the projection to superficial cortex.

Broadly branching axons, and the absence of contributions by primary sensory thalamus, suggest a mesoscopic modulatory function for these projections. *In vitro* experiments in rat suggest that apical inputs to L5 neurons have a negligible direct effect on the soma, due to severe attenuation, and shunting by back-propagating action potentials (Larkum *et al.* 2004). Moreover, beta (and higher) oscillation in the BG, reaching superficial cortex, apparently does not in itself manifest as oscillation at the somata of receiving cortical projection neurons, but rather appears to be low-pass filtered with a cutoff frequency of 5 Hz (Rivlin-Etzion *et al.* 2008).

4.5. Thalamocortical projections to apical dendrites control spike-timing-dependent gain.

When thalamocortical projections provide subthreshold input to the L1 apical dendrites of L5 pyramidal neurons, that input appears to selectively increase the effective gain of the pyramidal neurons, such that temporally coincident input (within 20-30 ms) to their somata induces bursting output (Larkum *et al.* 2004). According to the BGMS model, BG-influenced activity in these thalamocortical projections, exhibiting multi-areal synchrony, reinforces activity in selected cortical areas, both those that triggered the BG response, and associated areas that are contextually relevant. If corticocortical bursting itself effects long range synchronization (Womelsdorf *et al.* 2014), then the BG may instantiate long range synchronies by controlling and coordinating the location and timing of cortical bursting and burst receptivity. Paths through the intralaminar nuclei to deep cortical layers are likely critical to the temporal coordination and selectivity of these responses, as discussed in detail later.

4.6. Intrinsic mechanisms in cortex facilitate semantically valid mesoscopic modulation and selection.

The density and overlap of the thalamocortical projection to L1 (Rubio-Garrido *et al.* 2009) suggest that BG output associated with consolidated skills recruits comprehensive modulation of targeted cortical areas. Beyond the domain of well-worn skills, there are intrinsic mechanisms in cortex that may heal intra-areal gaps in modulation. It has been proposed that activity entering cortex through L1 spreads horizontally through L2 and L3, yielding mesoscopic facilitation of firing in L5 pyramidal cells, thereby controlling long range effective connectivity (Roland 2002). Lag-free lateral spread of oscillation up to

high gamma has been demonstrated *in vitro*, working through interactions in L2 and L3, entailing an ensemble dynamic of gap junctions and GABAergic fast spiking interneurons (Tamás *et al.* 2000).

The neurons of L2 (the external granular layer) have larger receptive fields and a higher incidence of combined feature selectivity than neurons of lower layers, and their projections are exclusively corticocortical (Markov and Kennedy 2013). The apical dendrites of the small pyramidal neurons of L2 intermingle in L1 with the apical dendrites of L5 pyramidal neurons, spreading 100-200 μm laterally (Meller *et al.* 1968; Noback and Purpura 1961). Because these layers are adjacent, the implicated thalamocortical appositions are more proximal, so likely subject to markedly less of the attenuation and filtration characterizing apical inputs to L5 neurons. Putative high frequency BG modulation of thalamocortical projections to L1 might therefore induce correlated discharges in L2 pyramidal neurons, spreading this high frequency activity laterally within the superficial layers. Moreover, *in vitro* experiments with pyramidal neurons from these layers have demonstrated nonlinear coincidence detection dynamics, with windows only 4-7 ms wide (Volgushev *et al.* 1998), suggesting temporal specificity in L2/L3 mechanisms sufficient to bias competition among conflicting gamma oscillations.

Discontinuities have been found in the local horizontal linkages of cortex, particularly in L2 and L3, that are posited to tessellate sensory areas along boundaries of similar function and features (Rockland and Lund 1983; Ojima *et al.* 1991; DeFelipe *et al.* 1986; Juliano *et al.* 1990). Similar tessellation, into “stripes” 2-3 mm long and 200-400 μm wide, has been described in PFC (Levitt *et al.* 1993; Pucak *et al.* 1996), and is posited to define the limiting spatial resolution with which the BG can modulate cortical activity (Frank *et al.* 2001). Spreading, but spatially restricted, synchronized activation of superficial modulatory layers has semantically valid effects with a cortical layout in which mental categories and analogs are represented by precise, spatially graded semantic continuities—feature maps—not only in sensory receptive fields, but throughout the cerebral cortex, as evidence suggests (Rao *et al.* 1999; Huth *et al.* 2012; Simmons and Barsalou 2003).

4.7. Dopamine under BG control modulates the vertical and horizontal dynamics to which effective connections in cortex are subject.

Striosomal BG paths (reviewed later) are major modulators of the supply of dopamine (DA) to the forebrain. While DA promotes oscillatory responses to activity in proximally apposed afferents to pyramidal neurons, it has been found to attenuate receptivity to inputs on apical dendrites, which may “focus” or “sharpen” the effects of inputs to those cells (Yang and Seamans 1996).

Moreover, the GABAergic interactions among L2/L3 basket FSIs that are crucial for the elimination of phase lags in laterally spreading oscillation (Tamás *et al.* 2000) are depressed by DA (Towers and Hestrin 2008). This suggests that phasic DA induces phase lags in L2/L3 that increase with distance from the locus of excitatory input, perhaps producing a center-surround effect that effectively focuses cortical responses. Because expressions of plasticity are pervasively spike-timing-dependent (Song *et al.* 2000), this phase lag control mechanism may also have important consequences for the formation and refinement of cortical feature maps.

These arrangements suggest a corollary to the central proposition of the BGMS model: not only do the BG control effective connectivity in cortex, they also separately control the dynamic characteristics of effective connections. BG influences on cholinergic and serotonergic centers, reviewed later, extend this control.

4.8. Projections to thalamus from cortical layer 6 exhibit topography, and bear activity, suited to mesoscopic modulation by BG direct path output.

Most of the corticothalamic population arises from L6, with small terminals apposing distal dendrites in thalamus, and reciprocation is particularly prominent in this projection (Rouiller and Welker 2000). The corticothalamic projection topographically and comprehensively reciprocates the thalamocortical projection, with consistent rules such that each thalamic locus that originates a given type of projection to cortex has a corresponding corticothalamic type reciprocated (Deschênes *et al.* 1998). It has been demonstrated in somatosensory areas that projection cells in upper L6 narrowly reciprocate with their functional counterparts in thalamus, while the terminal fields of some, perhaps all, lower L6 projection cells spread laterally to reciprocate both their afferent locus and that locus's neighbors in thalamus (Rouiller and Welker 2000). As reviewed above, the associated thalamocortical axons extensively branch intracortically in superficial cortex.

In an *in vitro* study in rat, the delay of the corticothalamic projection from L6, and its variability, were found to be significantly greater than those of the thalamocortical projection, 5.2 ± 1.0 ms and 2.1 ± 0.55 ms respectively (Beierlein and Connors 2002). While thalamocortical delays are essentially fixed and very tightly aligned (Salami *et al.* 2003), L6 corticothalamic delays evidence supernormality. This entails reduction of delay and threshold below baseline after the relative refractory period (Swadlow *et al.* 1980). Supernormality was found to persist for roughly 100 ms following a discharge, and with a 40 Hz stimulus it reduced corticothalamic delay by up to 12% (Beierlein and Connors 2002). While the functional significance of supernormality in the corticothalamic projection is elusive,

it might arrange for advancement of spike timing in cortex as activity intensifies, either matching supernormality in implicated corticocortical projections, or producing other useful timing-related effects, such as phase-of-firing intensity encoding (Masquelier *et al.* 2009).

The numerosity, structure, and variability of the L6 corticothalamic projection suggest it may be subject to some of the same pressures producing convergence, divergence, and variability in the corticostriatal and striatopallidal projections—particularly, the need for a supply of inputs with appropriate characteristics to meet complex and widely varying topological and spike alignment requirements in paths through thalamus terminating in feedback-recipient layers of cortex. These arrangements are discussed at much greater length later.

4.9. Intrinsic cortical network dynamics assure a supply of activity to thalamus that can be effectively entrained by converging BG inputs.

A full account of the function of the corticothalamic projection has proved elusive (Goldberg *et al.* 2013). According to the BGMS model, the corticothalamic projections from L5 and L6 to BG-recipient thalamus arrange for BG output to be able to select, in each channel, which frequency and phase of cortical activity is to be reinforced and which are to be inhibited. Evidence and modeling suggest that conflicting rhythms in the afferent activity to a cortical neuron shift their discharge patterns away from rhythmic regularity and toward randomness (Gómez-Laberge *et al.* 2016). Such a response might work to assure that corticothalamic afferents from conflicted cortical loci, converging with BG afferents, can produce postsynaptic activity entrained by those BG afferents, for any particular frequency and phase of BG-selected activity. Moreover, the population of corticothalamic fibers is considerably more numerous than the thalamocortical population, by roughly a factor of 10 (Deschênes *et al.* 1998), and as noted above, pools afferents from L5 and L6. This suggests a relatively high degree of convergence in the corticothalamic projection, further working to assure a supply of suitable excitatory afferent activity, and including activity associated with both feedforward and feedback projections.

4.10. Motor and intralaminar thalamus relay BG-modulated cortical activity to BG inputs, with functional distinctions suggesting contextualization and dynamical regulation.

While the main input to the matrix compartment of striatum arises from L3 and L5, as reviewed above, there are profuse projections from BG-recipient thalamus to striatum, implicitly relaying input from L6. Inputs from cortex and thalamus converge on individual SPNs, with similar axodendritic patterns, but with cortical inputs more

numerous (Huerta-Ocampo *et al.* 2014). Projections from thalamic VA/VL to striatum converge with functionally corresponding projections from cortex (McFarland and Haber 2000). Evidence from primates shows that striatal FSIs are likely broadly targeted by projections from intralaminar thalamus (Sidibé and Smith 1999). Intralaminar projections have also been noted for supplying the striatum with information relating to salient sensory events (Matsumoto *et al.* 2001), and are implicated in the learning of changes in instrumental contingencies, through projections to cholinergic interneurons (Bradfield *et al.* 2013). *In vitro* experiments demonstrate projections from the thalamus to striatal SPNs, with distinctive synaptic properties, such that postsynaptic response generation is likelier than for corticostriatal synapses, but repetitive stimulation depresses postsynaptic depolarization (Ding *et al.* 2008). In awake monkeys, activation of projections from intralaminar thalamus to striatum has complex effects, with SPN discharge induced only by rapid bursts from thalamus, and long latencies peaking 100-200 ms after intralaminar stimulation (Nanda *et al.* 2009).

Significantly, intralaminar thalamostriatal projections strongly prefer the matrix compartment (Sadikot *et al.* 1992b) to which the direct and indirect paths are confined. Thalamostriatal and thalamocortical projection neurons in

the intralaminar nuclei are intermingled, and many axons branch to innervate both striatum and cortex (Deschênes *et al.* 1996; Parent and Parent 2005; Kaufman and Rosenquist 1985a), so that synchronies in the striatal projections of these nuclei are presumptively representative of synchronies in their cortical projections. Thus, thalamostriatal inputs implicitly reflect the current effect of BG output upon the cortex. These subcortical feedback loops may facilitate regulation of BG output to bring modulatory results into conformity with intentions, both dynamically and, as discussed later, by driving the expression of plasticity.

They may also provide for sequential elaboration of BG output, adjusting thalamocortical modulations with greater speed and precision than is possible within cortico-BG loops. Intralaminar thalamic projections to the globus pallidus, substantia nigra, and subthalamic nucleus (Sadikot *et al.* 1992a) may serve similar roles, exploiting loop delays that are much shorter than the propagation delays of the corticostriatal and striatopallidal projections (Kitano *et al.* 1998; Harnois and Filion 1982). Moreover, tight integration of the BG with the cerebellum through subcortical pathways has been noted (Bostan and Strick 2010; Bostan *et al.* 2013), and seems likely to be prominent in mechanisms underlying performance of rapid, precise, sequential cognition and behavior.

5. The Role of the Intralaminar Nuclei in the Direct Path

In this section:

- 5.1. The intralaminar nuclei are a uniquely important link between the basal ganglia and cerebral cortex.
- 5.2. Intralaminar thalamus in primates projects to pyramidal somatic layers.
- 5.3. The thalamocortical projections of the BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei reach nearly the entire cortex.
- 5.4. Unlike other BG-recipient thalamic areas, the intralaminar nuclei of the thalamus are not purely modulatory.
- 5.5. The characteristics of the BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei suggest high fidelity relay of precisely timed activity.
- 5.6. Most BG output to the intralaminar nuclei is non-somatic, increasing combinatorial power and decoupling BG output from cortical somatic inputs.
- 5.7. Cortical projections to CM/PF predominantly arise in layer 5, as do many corticocortical projections.
- 5.8. Intralaminar and non-intralaminar projections from BG-recipient thalamus have complementary functions.
- 5.9. The BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei are most developed in humans.
- 5.10. The BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei may be critical to the expression of pathology in Tourette syndrome, OCD, and schizophrenia.
- 5.11. Disruption in schizophrenia of sleep spindling and prefrontal FSI activity likely grossly disrupt BGMS.
- 5.12. Reports on the functional correlations of the intralaminar nuclei, and their physiological relationships with the basal ganglia and cortex, likely supply some of the best available evidence supporting the BGMS model.

5.1. The intralaminar nuclei are a uniquely important link between the basal ganglia and cerebral cortex.

Compared to the motor and association nuclei, the intralaminar nuclei of the thalamus are small, but they

have exceptional characteristics and functions placing them at the very center of cognitive coordination and awareness. Moreover, among thalamic nuclei, the intralaminae are uniquely intimate with the BG, and indeed have been described as an integral part of the BG system (Parent and Parent 2005). In the BGMS model, the intralaminar nuclei, through their broad projections and

dynamical characteristics, work as a high fidelity broadcast mechanism whereby long range effective connectivity, and therefore cognition, are oriented by spike-timing-dependent gain.

5.2. Intralaminar thalamus in primates projects to pyramidal somatic layers.

Some studies in rat and cat report intralaminar thalamocortical projections principally targeting L1 (Royce and Mourey 1985; Royce *et al.* 1989), like the projections of the BG-recipient populations in the MD, VA, VL, VM, and VPLo nuclei, whereas other studies in primate, rat, and cat report intralaminar projections principally to L5 and L6, where individual axons branch widely and arborize massively to appose the somata and proximal dendrites of great numbers of pyramidal neurons, and may terminate in L1 only more sparingly (Parent and Parent 2005; Kaufman and Rosenquist 1985a; Berendse and Groenewegen 1991; Llinás *et al.* 2002). The disparities among these studies have been suggested to relate to actual physiological distinctions among the species at issue, made all the more likely by the particularly active recent evolutionary history of the intralaminar nuclei and cerebral cortex (Royce and Mourey 1985), but may simply be methodological artifacts.

5.3. The thalamocortical projections of the BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei reach nearly the entire cortex.

While intralaminar projection fibers to frontal cortex are greatly outnumbered by those from non-intralaminar BG-recipient thalamic nuclei (Barbas *et al.* 1991; Schell and Strick 1984), intralaminar projections are strikingly widespread, encompassing nearly the entire neocortex. Experiments in rats and cats demonstrate that the CM/PF nuclei, comprising the caudal group, project to motor, frontal eye fields (FEF), orbitofrontal, anterior limbic, cingulate, parietal, and visual cortex, and to many structures of the medial temporal lobe, though not to the hippocampus proper (Royce and Mourey 1985; Berendse and Groenewegen 1991). In the same two species, the rostral CL and PC nuclei project widely but without consistent topography to the FEF, anterior cingulate, insular, parietal areas 5 and 7, visual excluding only area 17, and auditory cortex (Kaufman and Rosenquist 1985a; Royce *et al.* 1989; Berendse and Groenewegen 1991). Single axons from CL and PC have been noted to branch multi-areally to innervate visual and parietal association cortex, suggesting a general function for the intralaminar nuclei, rather than specific functions in the spatial processing of visual information (Kaufman and Rosenquist 1985a). A metastudy pooling thalamocortical and corticothalamic projections in cat concluded that the intralaminar nuclei connect very widely with most of

visual, auditory, motor, and prefrontal cortex; though nearly all of these connections were characterized as weak or sparse, of 53 cortical areas studied, only 7 (the contiguous primary, posterior, ventroposterior, and temporal auditory fields, the posterior suprasylvian area of visual cortex, and the hippocampus/subiculum) were not reported to be connected with any of the BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei (Scannell 1999).

The broad cortical projection field of the intralaminar nuclei, their extreme divergence, and their intimacy with oscillatory dynamics, were demonstrated by the “recruiting response” reported in early experiments in cats. Oscillatory activity spanning nearly the entire cerebral cortex, most strongly in frontal areas, was evoked with electrical stimulation centered anywhere within the intralaminar region (Morison and Dempsey 1941; Dempsey and Morison 1941). The ventral anterior and mediodorsal nuclei, prominent in the system of superficially projecting BG-recipient thalamus detailed earlier, exhibit similar indications of large scale connectivity. The ventral anterior nucleus in particular has also been implicated in the generation of the recruiting response (Skinner and Lindsley 1967).

CNS insults that bilaterally destroy not only the rostrocaudal extent of the intralaminar nuclei, but also the adjacent MD nucleus, are consistently associated with the permanent vegetative state (Schiff 2010). Pharmacological manipulation of the intralaminar nuclei can rapidly abolish or restore wakefulness (Alkire *et al.* 2008), and a special indispensability to consciousness has been proposed for these nuclei (Bogen 1995; Baars 1995).

Sleep spindles, which entail tightly synchronized responses spanning large areas of cortex, also demonstrate the broad scope of intralaminar projections. In spindling, activity in the corticothalamic projection and thalamic reticular nucleus are thought to drive thalamocortical cells to simultaneous discharge in nuclei spanning much of the thalamus, particularly through highly divergent projections from the rostral reticular nucleus through the BG-recipient intralaminar and association nuclei (Contreras *et al.* 1997).

5.4. Unlike other BG-recipient thalamic areas, the intralaminar nuclei of the thalamus are not purely modulatory.

The CL and PC nuclei in cats contain neurons whose activity is uniquely related to all kinds of eye movements, fast or slow, self-initiated or evoked, to stimuli and movements characterized visuotopically, allocentrically, by direction of gaze, and various combinations thereof, to eye position, and to polysensory context and vigilance (Schlag *et al.* 1974, 1980). Activity in these neurons precedes saccade onset by 50-400 ms, and continues during the saccade, whether the saccade is self-initiated or visually evoked, with each neuron showing a consistent but idiosyncratic pattern (Schlag *et al.* 1974; Schlag-Rey

and Schlag 1977; Schlag *et al.* 1980). While each completed saccade is accompanied by a consistent pattern of activation in some of these neurons, the reverse is not always the case—the same pattern of activation in an intralaminar neuron is sometimes seen in the absence of an executed saccade (Schlag *et al.* 1974). Nonetheless, microstimulation in the CL and PC nuclei consistently evokes conjugate saccades with a delay of 35 ms for large deviations, suggesting primary involvement in saccade generation (Maldonado *et al.* 1980).

5.5. The characteristics of the BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei suggest high fidelity relay of precisely timed activity.

Unlike other BG-recipient populations in thalamus, the CM and PF nuclei are densely parvalbumin-positive (Jones and Hendry 1989). In other thalamic nuclei, as noted earlier, parvalbumin is associated with putative “core” or “driving” neurons, which are not BG-recipient, and in other brain organs, notably the cerebral cortex, striatum, GP, and SNr, parvalbumin is associated with fast-firing, fatigue-resistant neurons. Via the caudal intralaminar nuclei, the BG complete loops within which spike timing is largely determined by parvalbumin-containing, fast-firing, non-fatiguing neurons (Mallet *et al.* 2005; Bennett and Bolam 1994; Cote *et al.* 1991), targeting proximal dendrites and somata of pyramidal neurons in deep cortex as described above.

The rostral intralaminar nuclei are densely calbindin-positive (Jones and Hendry 1989), like non-intralaminar BG-recipient thalamus, but the CL nucleus contains a population of neurons that, during wakefulness and REM sleep, have been found to regularly emit bursts of 3-4 spikes with interspike intervals (ISIs) shorter than 1.3 ms, at a burst rate of 20-40 Hz, with no apparent signs of fatigue (Steriade *et al.* 1993). Bursts in these cells were found to be even more intense, 8-9 spikes with ISIs as low as 1 ms, in the spindling characteristic of stage 2 sleep.

Because BG inputs to intralaminar nuclei are collaterals of inputs to other thalamic nuclei (Parent *et al.* 2001), the information received from the BG by the intralaminar nuclei presumably duplicates that received by non-intralaminar cells. But high fidelity relay by neurons of the intralaminar thalamus, combined with pyramidal somatic layer targeting, appears to arrange for particularly narrow selectivity through spike synchrony effects. Indeed, a mechanism in cortex implicating fast-spiking interneurons arranges for an extremely narrow coincidence detection window for proximally apposed afferents to pyramidal neurons, -1.5 to +2.4 ms for effective spike summation, even while the coincidence requirement in distal inputs was found to be much looser, -8.6 to +12.3 ms (Pouille and Scanziani 2001). Even absent the influence of FSIs, pyramidal neurons stimulated somatically *in vitro* have been shown to act as nonlinear

coincidence detectors with windows only 4-7 ms wide, that become narrower with rising oscillatory frequency, with the timing of discharges tightly correlated to the timing of somatic membrane potential oscillation (Volgushev *et al.* 1998).

5.6. Most BG output to the intralaminar nuclei is non-somatic, increasing combinatorial power and decoupling BG output from cortical somatic inputs.

As noted above, BG inputs to primate caudal intralaminar thalamus overwhelmingly appose dendrites, not somata (Sidibé *et al.* 2002). These appositions are not homogeneous, in that over 80% of SNr inputs to PF in monkey were found to appose small or medium, mostly distal, dendrites, with none apposing somata, while over 75% of GPi inputs to CM were found to appose medium or large, mostly proximal, dendrites, and 5% to appose somata. These patterns of apposition clearly result in looser coupling between the BG and intralaminar thalamus than does the perisomatic, calyceal pattern seen in non-intralaminar BG-recipient thalamus (Bodor *et al.* 2008). Perhaps more important, by apposing the dendritic arbors of intralaminar projection cells, extensive nonlinear computation can be performed presomatically, enormously enhancing combinatorial flexibility. By this arrangement, a single intralaminar neuron might participate in a vast variety of scenarios characterized by distinct corticothalamic and nigrothalamic input patterns, each producing somatic discharges, but by different combinations of dendritic inputs.

5.7. Cortical projections to CM/PF predominantly arise in layer 5, as do many corticocortical projections.

While inputs from L6 predominate in BG-recipient motor/association thalamus, in BG-recipient caudal intralaminar thalamus it is L5 inputs that predominate (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002; Balercia *et al.* 1996; Cornwall and Phillipson 1988; Royce 1983a, 1983b). This mirrors targeting of L5 in thalamocortical projections from this area, discussed above, and moreover shares its laminar origin with many corticocortical projections (Reiner *et al.* 2003). This is significant, because it suggests that corticocortical projections are systematically accompanied by trans-intralaminar paths, sharing exactly the same origins and targets, and subject to temporally precise gating by the BG direct path, in which L5 is similarly predominant in inputs to striatum, as reviewed earlier.

5.8. Intralaminar and non-intralaminar projections from BG-recipient thalamus have complementary functions.

Widespread intralaminar projections appear arranged to broadcast a temporally precise but spatially diffuse signal

to most of cortex, while non-intralaminar projections to superficial layers have mesoscopic spatial specificity, relatively crude temporal specificity, and more restricted areal targets. At the heart of the BGMS model is the proposition that the BG coherently modulate these two influences, so that their convergence and inter-areal linkage in cortex provide for spatiotemporal specificity and consequent precision in the control of effective connectivity. By interacting with intrinsic cortical activity, these inputs rapidly and dynamically recruit specific large scale networks.

The BGMS proposal can be summarized as follows: When an input pattern triggers a selection in the striatum, the timing of striatal output tracks the prevailing timing of the input pattern, and the GPi, VP, and SNr impart that timing to the thalamus, with striatopallidal and striatonigral delays tuned for optimum effect (optimality being a function of cortical rhythms and corticocortical conduction delays, discussed in detail later). The intralaminar nuclei, through widespread diffuse projections to L1 and L5, impart discriminative receptivity to any activity that is precisely synchronous with that prevailing in the input pattern that stimulated the BG response, and narrowly reinforce it in its loci of origin. The non-intralaminar nuclei, through dense, mesoscopically specific, largely closed-loop projections, chiefly to L1, fortify activity in selected areas, particularly those contributing to the input pattern. When this fortification is strong, and coincident with substantial activity in the corresponding proximally apposed afferents, bursting is promoted (Larkum *et al.* 2004), which may further promote establishment of effective connections (Womelsdorf *et al.* 2014).

Closed-loop paths through non-intralaminar nuclei largely implicate areas in frontal cortex, which are the densest targets of non-intralaminar BG-recipient thalamocortical projections, but other association areas in primates, notably in parietal and temporal cortex, are also implicated. All of these areas are thought to originate feedback signals with top-down control over their targets. By this narrative, the BG direct path establishes and fortifies top-down control connections from both ends, with the MD, VA, and VL nuclei fortifying the top end of the connection, and the CM, PF, PC, and CL nuclei tuning both ends to complete the connections.

Open loop direct paths through non-intralaminar nuclei may serve to complete activation of a distributed cortical ensemble that is only partly activated when it first triggers a striatal response, particularly when the triggering pattern largely originates in sensory cortex. Closed loop paths through intralaminar nuclei may tighten synchrony throughout the selected ensemble, and provide reinforcement that is highly selective, due to the narrow coincidence windows associated with proximal inputs to pyramidal neurons.

Notably, trans-intralaminar inputs may actively inhibit and disconnect activity that is not synchronous (particularly, that is antisynchronous) with the intralaminar signal, by feedforward inhibition via cortical FSIs. Indeed, the relative effect of intralaminar projections on pyramidal neurons and on their associated cortical FSIs is an important open question. It is clear from the response to sleep spindles (Peyrache *et al.* 2011) that both are targeted by thalamocortical projections, and feedforward inhibition associated with this arrangement enforces extremely short windows of summational receptivity (Pouille and Scanziani 2001).

It may be important that intralaminar projections, which target most of the cortex, are subject to extremely narrow coincidence windows. With wider windows, the intralaminar broadcast mechanism seems prone to establishment of spurious connections. Indeed, Sz involves abnormal enlargement of these coincidence windows (Lewis *et al.* 2005; Gonzalez-Burgos *et al.* 2015), while lesioning and deactivation of intralaminar nuclei has been found to relieve hallucinations and delusions associated with Sz and other psychoses (Hassler 1982). Sz is also characterized by enlargement of the time window within which visual stimuli are judged to be simultaneous (Schmidt *et al.* 2011), and by abnormalities in the simultaneity criteria for implicit audiovisual fusion (Martin *et al.* 2013). Beyond Sz, loosening of simultaneity criteria, and deficient perception of short time intervals, may be characteristic of psychosis generally (Schmidt *et al.* 2011; Ciullo *et al.* 2016).

5.9. The BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei are most developed in humans.

As evident from their function in vision and saccades, the BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei are a jumble of perceptual and motoric function, with activity in individual neurons highly correlated with both. Roles for these nuclei in executive control, working memory, and general cognitive flexibility—capacities that are most developed in humans—have also been shown (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002). Over the course of mammalian evolution, the intralaminar nuclei, particularly the posterior group, have undergone relative expansion and elaboration, reaching their greatest extent in primates, and in humans particularly (Macchi and Bentivoglio 1986; Royce and Mourey 1985; Herkenham 1986).

5.10. The BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei may be critical to the expression of pathology in Tourette syndrome, OCD, and schizophrenia.

Psychosurgical results in humans give further evidence that these nuclei can originate driving inputs to motoric, perceptual, cognitive, and motivational centers. Treatment of Gilles de la Tourette syndrome (GTS) by stereotactic

ablation or rhythmic electrical stimulation of the rostral (Rickards *et al.* 2008) or caudal (Houeto *et al.* 2005; Servello *et al.* 2008) intralaminar nuclei has produced substantial and sustained abatement, in some cases almost complete remission, of compulsive behavior (tics) in many patients. Similarly, severe or extreme symptoms of obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD) have been substantially, consistently, and sustainably alleviated by unilateral lesioning of the right intralaminar nuclei (Hassler 1982), or by rhythmic electrical stimulation localized to the inferior thalamic peduncle, inactivating connectivity between intralaminar nuclei and orbitofrontal cortex (Jiménez-Ponce *et al.* 2009). GTS and OCD involve extensive BG abnormalities (Graybiel and Rauch 2000; Albin and Mink 2006; Kalanithi *et al.* 2005), so alleviation of symptoms by IL inactivation suggests functional prominence of the intralaminar nuclei in BG dynamics, and may be evidence of key involvement in the transmission of BG output to cortex.

Functional deficits in Sz are intimately related to the functional roles of the intralaminar nuclei. Eye tracking and saccade control are dysfunctional, suggesting particular deficits in anticipatory control and the suppression of distractors (Levy *et al.* 1994; Fukushima *et al.* 1988; Hutton *et al.* 2002), and aberrant connectivity between the intralaminar nuclei and PFC has also been described (Lambe *et al.* 2006). Sz has been found to be associated with significant relative reduction in volume and metabolic hypofunction specific to the centromedian nucleus, in addition to the MD nucleus and pulvinar, in a study that found no significant effects by these measures in other thalamic nuclei (Kemether *et al.* 2003; Hazlett *et al.* 2004). The BG-recipient intralaminar thalamus expresses D₂ dopamine receptors at particularly high density (Rieck *et al.* 2004), and these receptors are targeted by antipsychotic drugs, usually with ameliorative effect for positive symptoms (Nordström *et al.* 1993; Kay *et al.* 1987). There is evidence from experimental clinical practice that lesioning of the mediodorsal and rostral intralaminar nuclei can permanently eliminate delusions and somatosensory, auditory, and visual hallucinations associated with Sz, while rhythmic (20 and 50 Hz) electrical stimulation of these areas can abolish symptoms promptly (Hassler 1982).

That some hallucinations and visuocognitive deficits in Sz may involve BG interaction with the intralaminar nuclei is further suggested by the common occurrence in PD of visual hallucinations (Barnes and David 2001) and impaired shifting and maintenance of visual attention (Wright *et al.* 1990). PD is marked by abnormally strong coupling within BG loops (Hammond *et al.* 2007) and extensive cell loss in the thalamus specific to the caudal intralaminar nuclei (Henderson *et al.* 2000). PD and Huntington's disease are both associated with voluntary saccade deficiencies, including abnormal distractibility in Huntington's (Bronstein and Kennard 1985; Lasker *et al.*

1987, 1988), resembling some of the oculomotor abnormalities associated with Sz.

Auditory hallucinations are commonly associated with Sz (de Leede-Smith and Barkus 2013; McCarthy-Jones *et al.* 2014), and many of the brain areas implicated in these hallucinations are within or intimate with the BG (Shergill *et al.* 2000). In cat, connections of the parafascicular nucleus with secondary auditory cortex and the anterior auditory field have been demonstrated (Scannell 1999), but as noted above, no direct connections have been found between the intralaminar nuclei and the primary and several adjoining auditory fields (Scannell 1999). This lacuna is intriguing, in that it suggests that intralaminar input may be detrimental to signal integrity there, outweighing the benefits that evolutionarily stabilize intralaminar innervation elsewhere. This indirectly provides further evidence that the relationship of the intralaminar nuclei to cortex is delicately balanced.

5.11. Disruption in schizophrenia of sleep spindling and prefrontal FSI activity likely grossly disrupt BGMS.

As noted above, sleep spindling, generating broadly synchronized responses in cortex, particularly implicates the BG-recipient intralaminar and association nuclei of the thalamus (Contreras *et al.* 1997). Spindling is thought to be crucial for consolidation during sleep of new associations (Tamminen *et al.* 2010; Genzel *et al.* 2014). Moreover, a direct association has been demonstrated between the prevalence of fast parietal spindles during stage 2 and slow wave sleep, and fluid intelligence (as distinguished from crystallized intelligence) (Fang *et al.* 2017).

A consistent pattern of deficient spindle activity in stage 2 sleep has been demonstrated in Sz, with severity of symptoms correlated to degree of deficiency (Ferrarelli *et al.* 2007, 2010a; Wamsley *et al.* 2012). Since synaptic homeostasis mechanisms largely operate at the level of individual microcircuits, neurons, and synapses (Turrigiano 2011), spindling deficits may cause progressive deterioration of the long range circuits that are the physiological basis of effective connectivity in wakefulness. Such deterioration is, in any case, characteristic of Sz (Lim *et al.* 1999; Mori *et al.* 2007; Collin *et al.* 2014; de Leeuw *et al.* 2015). As reviewed later, it is the hub areas of cortex that are most implicated in the circuit deterioration characteristic of Sz. These are the areas most clearly implicated in fluid intelligence, as explored later.

Sleep spindles have been found to preferentially recruit FSIs in PFC, more than pyramidal projection cells there (Peyrache *et al.* 2011). This is likely a consequence of feedforward inhibition in response to the lengthy ultra-high frequency bursts associated with spindling, importantly demonstrating that thalamocortical projections oppose both FSIs and pyramidal cells in cortex.

Impairment of GABA synthesis in intrinsic FSIs of DLPFC, and consequent deficiencies in cortical projection neuron synchronization and loosening of spike coincidence criteria, have been implicated in Sz (Lewis *et al.* 2005; Gonzalez-Burgos *et al.* 2015). PFC FSI response patterns are also modified by dopamine inputs (Tierney *et al.* 2008), which are abnormal in Sz (Grace 2016). The consequences of severe deficiencies in sleep spindling, simultaneous with disruption of feedforward inhibition by cortical FSIs, may disrupt BGMS with particular potency. Whether spindle and PFC FSI deficiencies are part of the etiology of Sz, or are sequelae, remains to be determined and may vary. It is probably significant that both can result directly from GABA dysfunction.

5.12. Reports on the functional correlations of the intralaminar nuclei, and their physiological relationships with the basal ganglia and cortex, likely supply some of the best available evidence supporting the BGMS model.

Evidence that the intralaminar nuclei are profusely innervated by the BG and integral to BG circuitry, that they are innervated by and proximally appose L5 pyramidal neurons, that these appositions are subject to stringent (<4 ms) coincidence requirements, and that spike bursts from highly energetic intralaminar neurons in a state of wakefulness last only 4-5 ms and recur at a rate of 20-40 Hz, suggest that BG output associated with well-practiced behavior and cognition is precisely aligned on this timescale. While the timing of spikes in projections to superficial cortex is surely significant, it is in the projections to somatic layers that timing appears most critical, and that the potential for timing-based selectivity is most apparent.

6. Delay Mechanisms in Basal Ganglia-Thalamocortical Circuits

In this section:

- 6.1. Oscillatory structure and frequencies in mammals are highly conserved, while fiber conduction velocities vary widely within and between species.
- 6.2. The basal ganglia must accommodate widely varying long range timing requirements.
- 6.3. Corticostriatal and striatopallidal delays are long and diverse, so that particular patterns of cortical activation can be focused on particular striatal, pallidal, nigral, thalamic, and cortical targets.
- 6.4. Pallidothalamic and thalamocortical delays are short and uniform.
- 6.5. Total delay from a corticostriatal neuron, through the basal ganglia direct path to thalamus, back to cortex, is roughly one gamma cycle through GPi, and one beta cycle through SNr.
- 6.6. Multiple mechanisms might underlie delay plasticity in paths from cortex to thalamus via the basal ganglia.
- 6.7. Learning and extinction establish and dissolve context-specific synchronous spike responses in the striatum.
- 6.8. Intralaminar thalamus and cholinergic striatal interneurons may be key components of the mechanism whereby the BG learn to generate context-appropriate synchronous output.

6.1. Oscillatory structure and frequencies in mammals are highly conserved, while fiber conduction velocities vary widely within and between species.

Among mammalian species, conduction velocities (CVs) for a given homologous projection vary widely, while alpha, beta, and gamma oscillatory frequencies are roughly constant, despite a 17,000-fold variability in brain volume (Buzsáki *et al.* 2013). Geometrically proportional scale-up of axonal propagation velocities appears to arrange for similar long range delays regardless of size, maintaining the compatibility of circuit synchrony mechanisms with the conserved and intrinsic dynamics of neurons and their

microcircuits, with few exceptions (Buzsáki *et al.* 2013; but see Caminiti *et al.* 2009).

6.2. The basal ganglia must accommodate widely varying long range timing requirements.

The BG are beholden to these stable neuronal dynamics as is the rest of the brain, but according to the BGMS model, they must additionally align their responses to meet the timing requirements in each *learned* combination of scenario, efferent area, and recipient area, necessitating enormous temporal flexibility and precision. The optimal delay for a particular circuit from cortex, through subcortical structures, to another area of cortex, varies significantly, reflecting both the dominant oscillatory

frequency of the cortical activity, and the non-zero delay of the implicated corticocortical projections (Gregoriou *et al.* 2009; Nowak and Bullier 1997). The mechanisms whereby the BG accommodate these diverse timing requirements likely endow them with particularly rich representational power: When similar arrangements in cortex were simulated, an unanticipated result was that the number of distinct ephemeral neuronal assemblies greatly exceeded the number of neurons, and might even exceed the total number of synapses in the network (Izhikevich 2006).

Importantly, neuromodulatory projections from the midbrain and basal forebrain project not only to cortex and thalamus, but extensively to the BG. Thus oscillatory acceleration in cortex and thalamus is likely accompanied by acceleration in the BG. This might arrange to preserve the applicability of timing relationships learned by the BG at widely varying levels of arousal.

Plasticity mechanisms in the central nervous system are exquisitely sensitive to timing relationships, at time scales of several or even fractional milliseconds within a ± 20 ms window, so that in many neurons, faster paths of communication are consolidated, and slower paths are culled (Markram *et al.* 1997; Bi and Poo 1998, 2001; Song *et al.* 2000). Spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP) in conjunction with coherent oscillatory activity may build temporally coherent circuits by grouping axons with precisely matching delays (Gerstner *et al.* 1996). However, the relationship of these mechanisms to the delay of polysynaptic BG paths is unclear, and surely complicated, particularly given evidence that STDP in striatal SPNs is reversed (Fino *et al.* 2005).

In the BG, a more obvious substrate for meeting time alignment requirements is the enormous variety of paths, delays, and time constants among corticostriatal and striatofugal neurons and fibers. A multiplicity of paths, exhibiting a multiplicity of delays, may assure that for any two cortical loci, there exist polysynaptic paths to the implicated thalamocortical neurons, exhibiting nearly optimal delays, that need only be strengthened to effect learning of appropriately selective, timed, and directed responses.

6.3. Corticostriatal and striatopallidal delays are long and diverse, so that particular patterns of cortical activation can be focused on particular striatal, pallidal, nigral, thalamic, and cortical targets.

Corticostriatal fibers exhibit fairly slow average CV, measured to be about 3 m/s in macaque (delay range 2.6 - 14.4 ms), in marked contrast to corticopeduncular fibers, measured to average greater than 20 m/s (delay range 0.75 - 3.6 ms) (Turner and DeLong 2000). Striatopallidal fibers are markedly slower still, measuring under 1 m/s in macaque (Tremblay and Fillion 1989). The typical striatopallidal CV is so low that at peak spike rate (~ 80 Hz

(Kimura *et al.* 1990)), apparently more than one action potential can be propagating simultaneously on the same axon. Not only are corticostriatal and striatopallidal/striatonigral CVs notably slow, the implicated delays are also highly varied. In a study of macaques investigating paths through the GPi (Yoshida *et al.* 1993), delays from the caudate and putamen portions of the striatum to GPi averaged 16.5 ± 7.9 ms and 10.4 ± 7.4 ms respectively, and overall delays of the corticostriatopallidal path from motor cortex to GPi (identified as the studied cortical area with shortest delay) averaged 15.5 ± 4.2 ms. A subsequent companion study investigating the paths through the SNr (Kitano *et al.* 1998) found even greater delays and variance; delays from the caudate and putamen to SNr averaged 22.8 ± 16.2 ms and 17.9 ± 7.7 ms respectively, and delays from frontal cortex to caudate nucleus averaged 18.8 ± 5.7 ms, ranging from 7-31 ms. Combined delay of the corticostriatonigral path was 39.8 ± 14.8 ms, ranging from 12-90 ms, spanning nearly a full order of magnitude. These figures likely do not reflect additional delays associated with the interposition of striatal FSIs. As reviewed later, FSIs likely determine the precise timing of SPN spikes. Thus, this additional delay is meaningful in the BGMS model.

It is significant that CVs are slow and diverse in both the corticostriatal and striatopallidal/striatonigral projections. Locus-specific phase disparities, associated with converging corticostriatal inputs from widely separated but functionally connected loci, can be compensated by distinct conduction delays in their respective corticostriatal projections. Activation of a multi-areal cortical ensemble can then produce spatiotemporally coincident spiking in FSIs and SPNs, despite phase skews in the ensemble at the cortical level. In essence, the spatiotemporal pattern of activation in cortex is convolved with the function embodied by the corticostriatal projection, so that particular cortical activation patterns are focused on particular cells in the striatum, which can learn to respond to them. Separately and subsequently, the output from SPNs is subjected to slow and diverse CVs in the striatopallidal projection, by which substantial and variable delays can be applied to BG input to the thalamus. By these delays, according to the BGMS model, BG output spikes are temporally aligned to promote thalamocortical activity associated with selected connections, and inhibit competing activity. Diverse striatopallidal delays also allow for coactivated SPNs to align their inputs to jointly targeted pallidal and thalamic cells.

As mentioned above, the STDP of SPNs is apparently reversed: synapses are strengthened that activate in the ~ 20 ms *after* activity in other synapses has induced postsynaptic discharge, and synapses are weakened that bear activity in a similar time window *preceding* discharge (Fino *et al.* 2005). This arrangement seems to systematically maximize the delay of paths through

striatum, though its true function may be to maximize the variety, and the consequent breadth of associativity, of SPN afferents. Striatal FSIs show normal STDP relations, tending to minimize delays by strengthening the synapses that bear the earliest activity correlated with discharge (Fino *et al.* 2008). Striatal physiology thus appears to promote dispersion, while minimizing the delay of FSIs, which—as reviewed later—consistently activate before SPNs in their vicinity, and precisely control the timing of SPN discharge through powerful appositions.

6.4. Pallidothalamic and thalamocortical delays are short and uniform.

Consistent with the proposition that pallidothalamic and nigrothalamic axons collateralize to orchestrate long range synchronies via the thalamus, the delay of these segments is comparatively short and uniform: in macaques, antidromic response from thalamus to SNr was found to average 1.56 ± 0.44 ms (Kitano *et al.* 1998), and an earlier study (Harnois and Fillion 1982), on squirrel monkeys, found similar antidromic delays from thalamus to GPi, tightly clustered about an average of 1.3 ms from VA-VL sites, and 1.6 ms from CM sites, arising from a CV of 6 m/s. Similarly, as noted earlier, the thalamocortical projection appears to be tuned for rapidity and exquisitely precise (sub-millisecond) alignment of the projection to any given area of cortex; selective myelination of the portion of thalamocortical axons within cerebral white matter, the length of which varies two-fold within a target area, appears to account for this (Salami *et al.* 2003). In cats, the delays for antidromic stimulation of thalamocortical projections from VA-VL and VM thalamic nuclei to Brodmann areas 4, 6, 8, and 5 were found to average from 2.3 ms (VA/VL to area 4, primary motor) to 4.2 ms (VM to area 8, motor association cortex), with almost all measured delays falling below 6 ms, and significant and systematic, but small, shifts in delay as a function of thalamic nuclear origin (Steriade 1995).

6.5. Total delay from a corticostriatal neuron, through the basal ganglia direct path to thalamus, back to cortex, is roughly one gamma cycle through GPi, and one beta cycle through SNr.

The total average conduction delay from cortex, through the BG direct path (neglecting striatal FSI interposition), to thalamus, and back to cortex, is about 20 ms for a typical path through GPi and VA/VL to primary motor, and about 45 ms for a typical path through SNr to a frontal eye field in area 8, and the range of possible delays is enormous, roughly 15-25 ms and 30-60 ms respectively for average \pm one standard deviation. Put differently, for that statistical interval, the cumulative conduction delay for a round trip from cortex through the BG via the GPi corresponds to one full cycle of gamma oscillation at 40-

67 Hz, and the delay of that round trip via the SNr corresponds to one full cycle of beta at 17-33 Hz. These relationships suggest that cortical activity routed through the BG and thalamus is typically delayed by a single cycle upon its return to cortex, but perhaps in some scenarios higher frequency oscillation is delayed by two or more cycles. This might be viewed as a kind of cross-frequency coupling, and might involve cross-frequency interactions in the SNr.

6.6. Multiple mechanisms might underlie delay plasticity in paths from cortex to thalamus via the basal ganglia.

Fine tuning of BG path delays may be possible within the spatially extensive terminal and dendritic processes of corticostriatal (Mailly *et al.* 2013) and striatopallidal (Levesque and Parent 2005) neurons. In particular, striatopallidal axons penetrate perpendicular to the dendritic disks of pallidal output neurons, emitting thin unmyelinated (hence particularly low CV) collaterals parallel to the disks, repeatedly synapsing with the same target neuron (Goldberg and Bergman 2011). Localized, selective strengthening of these appositions might adjust the path delay in natural response to reinforcement.

Axonal CV plasticity, which has only recently been appreciated (Fields 2015), is largely unknown in its mechanistic particulars, but might also operate in the BG.

Whether optimization of conduction delays is by competition between distinct fiber paths, or between distinct synapses along the same fiber path, reinforcement-driven persistent modulation of synaptic efficacy could optimize not only the output rates (the efficacy with which a particular input evokes an output), but the fine time structure of the outputs, to the degree that reinforcement is a function of fine time structure. Axonal CV plasticity might operate in conjunction with these mechanisms, responding to the same reinforcement signals. Moreover, the traversed neurons themselves may exhibit a diversity of intrinsic time constants, similar to an arrangement that has been described in PFC (Bernacchia *et al.* 2011). Indeed, the activation of both PFC and striatal neurons shows a finely graded diversity of delays, though path variety may be the underlying mechanism (Jin *et al.* 2009).

6.7. Learning and extinction establish and dissolve context-specific synchronous spike responses in the striatum.

In rats trained on a maze task until habit formation, then given extinction training, and finally retrained on the original task, ensembles of SPNs in the dorsal striatum formed, narrowed, and changed their responses to fire synchronously at the beginning and end of the task, then reverted, and finally reestablished their synchronous responses, respectively, with a high correlation of response synchrony to behavioral performance (Barnes *et al.* 2005).

Similarly in monkeys, over the course of self-initiated, reward-motivated learning, large numbers of neurons in the dorsal striatum developed phasic responses aligned with the beginning and end of saccade sequences (Desrochers *et al.* 2015). In rat ventral striatum, a shift in the patterns of phasic activity from local islands of high gamma synchrony, to beta synchrony spanning wide areas and both SPNs and FSIs, accompanies skill acquisition and habit formation (Howe *et al.* 2011). These studies provide strong evidence that striatal plasticity entails the formation of widely distributed constellations of FSI-SPN assemblies that learn to discharge in synchrony as a function of context.

6.8. Intralaminar thalamus and cholinergic striatal interneurons may be key components of the mechanism whereby the BG learn to generate context-appropriate synchronous output.

Presumed cholinergic interneurons in the striatum, recognized electrophysiologically by their tonic firing patterns, may be key components of a time alignment learning mechanism in the BG. Over the course of skill acquisition, progressively larger proportions of these sparsely distributed interneurons, over very wide areas of striatum, have been seen to pause in brief, precise synchrony in response to salient sensory stimuli, with this

response dependent on dopamine supply (Graybiel *et al.* 1994). These interneurons have been implicated in the learning of changes in instrumental contingencies, with learning dependent on activity in thalamostriatal projections originating in the intralaminar nuclei (Bradfield *et al.* 2013). Moreover, precisely synchronized stimulation of these interneurons directly induces dopamine release through cholinergic receptors on dopaminergic axons, independent of somatic activation of midbrain DA neurons (Threlfell *et al.* 2012), suggesting that synchrony *per se*, as measured by activity in intralaminar afferents, is intrinsically reinforced in the striatum.

As noted earlier, striatal matrix is extensively and preferentially targeted by inputs from intralaminar thalamus, apposing both SPNs and FSIs. Thus, mechanisms of striatal plasticity are positioned to monitor and respond to the synchronies that the BG generate in thalamus, and so presumptively in cortex. Dopamine-dependent and dopamine-inducing activity in striatal cholinergic interneurons, innervated by these thalamostriatal projections, might act to strengthen striatal synapses that contribute to the production of synchronous thalamocortical activity associated with reward. Related mechanisms may similarly drive plasticity in other BG structures targeted by the intralaminar nuclei, notably the GP and STN (Sadikot *et al.* 1992a).

7. The Basal Ganglia as a Flexible Oscillation Distribution Network

In this section:

- 7.1. BGMS entails the coherent transmission of cortical spike volleys through the basal ganglia.
- 7.2. Activity in a single corticostriatal neuron can influence activity in large expanses of cortex in a single loop through the basal ganglia.
- 7.3. Afferents from interconnected cortical areas converge in the striatum.
- 7.4. Convergence in the striatum of afferents from interconnected cortical areas intrinsically arranges to distribute oscillatory reference signals through open loops back to cortex.
- 7.5. Striatal FSIs are tightly coupled to projection neurons in cortex and striatum, and FSI activity is idiosyncratic and independent.
- 7.6. Striatal FSIs regulate the spike timing of SPN activity, with profound and apparently causal impact on behavior.
- 7.7. Striatal FSI physiology facilitates high fidelity relay.
- 7.8. The projection from cortex to striatal spiny projection neurons is massively convergent, and SPNs fire only when their inputs are substantial and synchronous, reflecting robustly synchronized cortical activity.
- 7.9. Striatal projection neuron activation during resting wakefulness is sparse.
- 7.10. SPNs exhibit no frequency preference, but the fine timing of an SPN's activity can be determined by that of a narrow and dynamic subset of its excitatory afferents.
- 7.11. SPNs are almost entirely independent of each other.
- 7.12. The striatopallidal projection is massively convergent.
- 7.13. Striatal input to BG output neurons controls their timing, and coherency in afferent activity to BG output neurons may be crucial to their effective activation.
- 7.14. Direct path output neurons are tonically and phasically independent in the normal brain.
- 7.15. The pallidothalamic projection is powerful, and its constituent axons are especially divergent.
- 7.16. The BG-recipient thalamic projection to cerebral cortex is massively divergent.

7.1. BGMS entails the coherent transmission of cortical spike volleys through the basal ganglia.

BGMS crucially entails the coherent transmission of corticostriatal spike volleys, through BG and thalamic relays, back to cortex, with routing and delays providing for spatiotemporal coincidence with corticocortical spike volleys associated with the selected effective connections. Above is a discussion of the various mechanisms that might underlie these temporal alignments. Below, I discuss the myriad patterns of convergence and divergence in the BG that underlie the capacity of the BG to distribute spike volleys coherently, widely, flexibly, and specifically.

7.2. Activity in a single corticostriatal neuron can influence activity in large expanses of cortex in a single loop through the basal ganglia.

Divergence in the paths from corticostriatal neurons through striatal fast spiking interneurons and spiny projection neurons, pallidal and nigral projection neurons, and thalamocortical neurons projecting to superficial cortex, suggest geometric expansion of activity from a single cortical column to a scope encompassing large areas of cortex. Well over 10^9 cortical neurons might be influenced by the output of a single striatally projecting neuron in cortex. The axonal processes of each corticostriatal neuron distribute sparsely through large regions of striatum, spanning on average 4%, and up to 14%, of total volume, forming on average ~800 synaptic boutons, likely apposing nearly as many distinct striatal neurons (Zheng and Wilson 2002). While more than 90% of striatal neurons are spiny projection neurons, 3-5% are fast spiking interneurons (Koós and Tepper 1999). If corticostriatal neurons innervate SPNs and FSIs with similar preference, this suggests that each innervates on average ~24 FSIs (though there are indications of specialization in corticostriatal targeting of FSIs (Ramanathan *et al.* 2002)). Each FSI projects to ~300 SPNs (Koós and Tepper 1999), each SPN projects to ~100 pallidal neurons (Yelnik *et al.* 1996; Goldberg and Bergman 2011), each pallidal neuron projects to ~250 thalamic neurons (Parent *et al.* 2001), and each thalamic neuron projects to more than 100 cortical neurons (Parent and Parent 2005) (likely far more (Rubio-Garrido *et al.* 2009)). With a cortical neuron population in lower primates of approximately 10^9 (Herculano-Houzel *et al.* 2007; Azevedo *et al.* 2009), these divergence ratios suggest that a single corticostriatally projecting neuron can influence all of the cortical neurons within the relevant bounds of segregation. This influence is further fortified by intrinsic mechanisms in superficial cortical layers, described earlier, that horizontally spread oscillations.

7.3. Afferents from interconnected cortical areas converge in the striatum.

As emphasized earlier, interconnected cortical regions systematically converge and interdigitate, even while the projection of each cortical region diverges in a spotty, widely distributed pattern (Van Hoesen *et al.* 1981; Selemon and Goldman-Rakic 1985; Parthasarathy *et al.* 1992; Flaherty and Graybiel 1994; Hintiryan *et al.* 2016). Direct path SPNs are preferentially innervated by neurons in cortex that are reciprocally interconnected over long ranges at the single unit level (Lei *et al.* 2004; Morishima and Kawaguchi 2006). Convergence of densely interconnected cortical areas to single FSIs is common; a study in rats found that nearly half of FSIs innervated by primary somatosensory or primary motor cortex receive projections from both (Ramanathan *et al.* 2002). Moreover, FSIs show a significant preference for direct path SPNs, with functional connectivity demonstrated for roughly half of identified direct path FSI-SPN pairs, but roughly a third of indirect path pairs (Gittis *et al.* 2010).

7.4. Convergence in the striatum of afferents from interconnected cortical areas intrinsically arranges to distribute oscillatory reference signals through open loops back to cortex.

As reviewed earlier, synchronization of activity in two areas signifies that those areas are functionally connected, and asynchrony or antisynchrony signifies functional disconnection. This prompts the expectation that functionally connected areas projecting convergently to an FSI robustly entrain that FSI, which imparts their shared cortical rhythm to the SPNs it innervates. By this mechanism, effective connections might act through the BG to directly excite further connections, or to inhibit connections, as envisioned by von der Malsburg (1999). On the other hand, when the afferents to an FSI are active but unsynchronized, the FSI is likely arrhythmically activated, imparting an incoherent inhibitory spike pattern to those SPNs, thereby preventing rhythmic discharge. Indeed, as noted earlier, individual cortical cells subject to conflicting synchronies are themselves likely to exhibit arrhythmic spiking patterns (Gómez-Laberge *et al.* 2016). Antisynchronized afferent activity might have similar results, activating the FSI at twice the fundamental frequency, likely imparting a spike pattern to the SPNs that is particularly efficient at inhibiting discharge. In a third mode of operation, afferents to the FSI from one area bear strong oscillatory activity, while other afferents bear significantly weaker activity that may or may not be rhythmic. In this case, the FSI might impart the strong oscillatory activity to the SPNs, while the weak afferent activity has relatively little effect on FSI spiking, so that

strong localized cortical oscillation is selected for effective connection to other areas.

7.5. Striatal FSIs are tightly coupled to projection neurons in cortex and striatum, and FSI activity is idiosyncratic and independent.

The physiology of striatal FSIs in normal behaving animals, and their relationships with cortical and striatal projection neurons, are complex, specialized, and nuanced (Berke 2011). The temporal structure of FSI spiking closely conforms to that of afferent activity, aligning precisely with the trough of extracellular afferent LFP, regardless of band (Sharott *et al.* 2009, 2012). The phasic activation of each FSI is strongly but idiosyncratically related to ongoing behavior, and in particular, is independent of activity in other FSIs (Berke 2008). Nearly half of corticostriatal synaptic inputs to FSIs are robust, apposing somata or proximal dendrites (Lapper *et al.* 1992), and corticostriatal axons commonly form several synaptic boutons targeting a single FSI, indicating selective innervation and stronger coupling (Ramanathan *et al.* 2002). Consistent with the observed idiosyncrasy and independence of FSI responses to cortical activity, synaptic inputs to FSI somata are few, and FSI dendrites are almost entirely devoid of spines (Kita *et al.* 1990)

7.6. Striatal FSIs regulate the spike timing of SPN activity, with profound and apparently causal impact on behavior.

FSI projections to SPNs are robust (Koós and Tepper 1999), but FSI activation has been found to modulate SPN activity, rather than simply inhibiting or releasing it (Gage *et al.* 2010). In behaving rats, FSIs and nearby SPNs are simultaneously active in various stages of task learning and performance, at precisely opposite phases, at both beta and gamma frequencies (Howe *et al.* 2011). Simulation of normal *in vivo* conditions in the striatum shows formation of small assemblies of synchronized SPNs, with FSI activation increasing the firing rates of connected SPNs (Humphries *et al.* 2009). Pharmacological blockade of FSIs in sensorimotor striatum does not substantially change the average firing rates of nearby SPNs, but induces severe dystonia (Gittis *et al.* 2011), demonstrating that FSI regulation of the temporal structure of SPN activity is crucial to normal behavior.

FSIs exhibit significantly lower firing thresholds than do SPNs relative to the intensity of cortical activity; consequently, activation of SPNs is preceded by, and spatially embedded within, an encompassing area of activated FSIs governing their output (Parthasarathy and Graybiel 1997). In a study inducing focused, synchronized activity in primary motor cortex, nearly all (88%) of the FSIs in the center of the zone of striatal activation were activated, and nearly as many (78%) of the FSIs in a

penumbra were activated; FSIs showed a robust and disproportionate response, comprising 22% of the responding striatal neuron population, while representing <5% of striatal neurons (Berretta *et al.* 1997).

It has been shown in awake behaving rats that the activity of FSIs in the sensorimotor striatum rises shortly before, and peaks during, initiation of behavior reflecting a decision, and that FSI activity precedes that of coactivating neurons in primary motor cortex (Gage *et al.* 2010). Further underscoring the prominence of FSIs in regulating behavior, Tourette syndrome is associated with abnormally low density of presumed FSIs in the striatum (Kalanithi *et al.* 2005). Indeed, the cancellation of inapt behaviors has been associated with GABAergic feedback projections from the GPe that selectively target FSIs (Mallet *et al.* 2016; Deffains *et al.* 2016). In the normal brain each SPN is apposed by several FSIs (Koós and Tepper 1999); pathological sparseness in FSI afferents to an SPN might result in entrainment of that SPN to cortical activity that would normally be inhibited by another FSI. The resulting spurious SPN discharges, phase-locked to localized cortical activity, then might induce spurious connections in cortex, manifesting as tics and other compulsions.

FSIs are electrically woven together into a loose, sparse continuum by gap junctions (Kita *et al.* 1990; Koós and Tepper 1999), that in simulation modestly encourage synchronization of neighboring FSIs, while modestly damping their activity unless afferent activity is well-synchronized (Hjorth *et al.* 2009). This further suggests an arrangement in which FSIs operate as a matrix, comprehensively regulating the temporal structure of SPN spike activity, with particular sensitivity to synchrony in the corticostriatal projection. Each SPN receives inputs from several (estimated 4-27) FSIs (Koós and Tepper 1999), suggesting that FSI recruitment in a striatal neighborhood reliably imparts strong modulatory input to all of the SPNs in that neighborhood.

While there is some evidence that FSI prevalence in the striatal population follows a gradient, with highest concentration in the dorsal and lateral striatum and lowest in the medial and ventral striatum (Kita *et al.* 1990; Bennett and Bolam 1994; Berke *et al.* 2004), more recent evidence demonstrates FSI effects and connectivity in VS similar to those in dorsal striatum (Taverna *et al.* 2007; Howe *et al.* 2011), and the appearance of a striatal FSI density gradient may be an artifact of spatially correlated cytological heterogeneity in the FSI population (Tepper *et al.* 2008).

7.7. Striatal FSI physiology facilitates high fidelity relay.

Striatal FSIs contain parvalbumin, can sustain firing rates of 200 Hz with little or no adaptation, have narrow action potentials (less than 500 μ s), do not feed back to the inputs of other FSIs, and do not receive inputs from SPNs (Koós

and Tepper 1999; Mallet *et al.* 2005; Taverna *et al.* 2007). SPNs and FSIs produce similar inhibitory post-synaptic currents (Koós *et al.* 2004), but FSI inputs to SPNs are directed to somata and proximal dendrites, where they can exert a more decisive and precise effect on the target, whereas corticostriatal inputs to SPNs, and SPN inputs to other SPNs, are directed to distal dendrites (Bennett and Bolam 1994). SPNs may exhibit a low pass characteristic (Stern *et al.* 1997), so that even while the SPNs are highly sensitive to synchrony in their excitatory afferents (discussed below), the fine timing of the spikes they produce could be determined almost entirely by the FSIs. The influence of FSIs on the SPNs they target entails not only retardation of SPN phase, but phase advancement, through a rebound effect that reduces the firing threshold of the targeted SPN; the effect is most pronounced 50-60 ms after the FSI spike; SPN depolarization is advanced by ~4 ms when FSI spikes reach the SPN 30-70 ms before excitatory afferent spiking reaches the SPN (Bracci and Panzeri 2005).

7.8. The projection from cortex to striatal spiny projection neurons is massively convergent, and SPNs fire only when their inputs are substantial and synchronous, reflecting robustly synchronized cortical activity.

Convergence is anatomically inescapable in paths through cortex, striatum, and pallidum. There are roughly ten times as many pyramidal cells in cortex projecting to the striatum, as there are medium spiny projection neurons, with each SPN afferented by roughly 10,000 distinct cortical neurons (Kincaid *et al.* 1998; Zheng and Wilson 2002). The enormous convergence to single SPNs, and their high firing threshold, arrange so that SPNs fire only when their afferent activity is substantial, synchronous, and distributed broadly across dendrites (Zheng and Wilson 2002). As noted earlier, the sensitivity of the striatum to synchrony in its inputs is particularly consequential if striatal output induces synchronies (as in the BGMS model), because the striatum is then positioned to iteratively process information encoded as patterns of synchrony.

Though relatively sparse, corticostriatal projections from GABAergic interneurons to SPNs (Melzer *et al.* 2017), particularly from cortical FSIs, are an additional mechanism that may regulate SPN sensitivity to synchrony. As noted earlier, cortical FSIs have been shown to be part of a coincidence detection mechanism with a very narrow window (Pouille and Scanziani 2001). Depending on the pattern of apposition of these cortical FSIs, they might also function like striatal FSIs, precisely controlling the timing of SPN output, as described above.

7.9. Striatal projection neuron activation during resting wakefulness is sparse.

Corticostriatal neurons seldom fire periodically, but rather, their activity is aperiodic but phase-locked to oscillation in their cell membranes (Stern *et al.* 1997); thus their converged input to SPNs can exhibit substantial periodicity, but only when cortical activity is robust and synchronized. The aperiodicity, low spontaneous rates, and narrowly discriminative activity of individual corticostriatal neurons, suggest that few SPNs will be active at a given moment, and many will be silent (Turner and DeLong 2000). In the awake, resting animal, a large majority of SPNs are silent (Sandstrom and Rebec 2003), and some SPNs remain silent even in the awake, behaving animal, with no apparent physiological distinctions to explain the silence (Mahon *et al.* 2006).

7.10. SPNs exhibit no frequency preference, but the fine timing of an SPN's activity can be determined by that of a narrow and dynamic subset of its excitatory afferents.

SPNs exhibit no persistent or membrane-intrinsic frequency preference; rather, the aggregate intensity of afferent activity (simulated *in vitro* by injection of constant current) establishes a firing rate, and the phase of that firing preferentially follows that of afferent components at frequencies near the established rate, with particularly sharp frequency selectivity at beta frequencies (Beatty *et al.* 2015). This signifies that, as the aggregate afferent activity to an SPN increases to and beyond the firing threshold, the phase of that firing will be preferentially determined by progressively higher-frequency synchronized components of that afferent activity. The functional significance of this arrangement is unknown, but might be relevant to scenarios in which an SPN is not subject to regulation by FSIs (if such scenarios occur at all in the normal striatum, which seems doubtful), and in any case seems to be a virtually inevitable biophysical dynamic.

7.11. SPNs are almost entirely independent of each other.

SPNs exhibit uncorrelated activity even when they are immediate neighbors, suggested to be due to an arrangement in which each SPN receives axons from a unique, sparse subset of corticostriatal neurons (Kincaid *et al.* 1998; Zheng and Wilson 2002; Wilson 2013). This also follows from the firing patterns of direct path corticostriatal neurons, which are highly idiosyncratic (Turner and DeLong 2000). SPN axon collaterals synapse upon the distal dendrites of other SPNs, with each SPN inhibited by up to 500 other SPNs, but these inputs are sparse, weak, unreciprocated, and asynchronous, and do

not induce correlated activity among neighborhoods of interconnected SPNs (Tepper *et al.* 2008; Wilson 2013). Instead, their location suggests they interact with excitatory afferents, enhancing the combinatorial power of the corticostriatal and thalamostriatal projection systems.

7.12. The striatopallidal projection is massively convergent.

Convergence in the projections from striatum to the pallidal segments and SNr is inevitable given the further reduction in volume and cell count. In human, volume ratios from the striatum are 12:1 to the GPe, 21:1 to the GPi, 24:1 to the SNr, and 6:1 from the striatum to GPe, GPi, and SNr combined (Yelnik 2002), with cell count ratio estimates of 97:1, 400:1, and 210:1, respectively, for a combined ratio of 57:1 overall, and 138:1 in the direct path (Kreczmanski *et al.* 2007; Hardman *et al.* 2002). In rats, the volume ratios are 7:1, 112:1, and 19:1, for GPe, GPi (EP), and SNr, respectively, for a combined ratio of 5:1, and the cell count ratio estimates are 61:1, 880:1, and 106:1, respectively, for a combined ratio of 37:1 (Oorschot 1996).

Each pallidal projection neuron forms a large 1.5 mm² dendritic disk perpendicular to incident striatopallidal axons, innervated by 3,000-10,000 SPNs (Yelnik *et al.* 1984; Goldberg and Bergman 2011). The dendritic processes of projection neurons in the SNr have variable forms, with an extent similar to that of pallidal dendrites, resulting in similar convergent innervation by SPNs (François *et al.* 1987). These arrangements imply a notional convergence ratio from corticostriatal neurons, to SPNs, to pallidal projection neurons, as high as 10⁸. There is only slight convergence in the pallidothalamic projection, but extensive convergence in the thalamocortical projection (Rubio-Garrido *et al.* 2009) suggests a notional convergence ratio substantially greater than 10⁹ for the full loop back to cortex.

The striatopallidal projection, like the corticostriatal projection, also entails divergence. Each SPN axon forms 200-300 synapses, sparsely distributed through a large volume of pallidum, with 1-10 synapses formed with a given pallidal dendrite (Yelnik *et al.* 1996; Goldberg and Bergman 2011), implying that an SPN projects to 20-300 pallidal neurons. Moreover, with a tracer injection in the striatum encompassing a small cell population, a hundredfold increase is seen in the volume of pallidum labeled by the tracer, while larger injections increase the density, but not the volume, of the labeled area (Yelnik *et al.* 1996), confirming an arrangement of simultaneous, extensive divergence and convergence like that of the corticostriatal projection.

Experiments in primates show that the projection from striatum to the GPi entails reconvergence, such that divergence in the projection from a cortical locus to multiple loci in the striatum is followed by convergence

from those striatal loci to a single pallidal locus (Flaherty and Graybiel 1994). Graybiel (1998) suggested that the striatum thus acts as a dynamically configurable hidden layer. The BGMS model further proposes that this arrangement subserves selection and activation of effective connections in cortex. The path from a cortical locus, by diverging to many distinct FSI neighborhoods, then reconverging to a single pallidal locus, can be subjected to any of a variety of spike timings, representing a variety of candidate effective connections, while suppressing action through that pallidal locus when multiple SPNs impart conflicting activity upon it, as suggested above.

7.13. Striatal input to BG output neurons controls their timing, and coherency in afferent activity to BG output neurons may be crucial to their effective activation.

Experiments *in vitro* demonstrate that striatal afferents to GP can control the precising timing of firing by the targeted cells, and that these cells can follow striatal oscillatory inputs up to the gamma range (Rav-Acha *et al.* 2005; Stanford 2002).

The relationship of FSIs to SPNs may help elucidate the role proposed for the BG in competitive selection (Redgrave *et al.* 1999). An output neuron in GPi or SNr bombarded by mutually incoherent SPNs would be incapable of imparting a coherent temporal pattern to its thalamic targets. If coherency in this path is crucial, as suggested by the BGMS model, then only those GPi/SNr neurons with predominantly coherent activity in their afferents can participate in activation of a motor or cognitive connection, while activation of clashing connections tends to be suppressed.

GPi activity increases when direct path SPNs are activated, and decreases when indirect path SPNs are activated, even while direct and indirect path activation are associated with widespread cortical activity increases and decreases, respectively (Lee *et al.* 2016). As repeatedly emphasized in this paper, this suggests that oscillatory modulation by spike-timing-dependent gain is among the core functions of the GABAergic output neurons of the BG direct path.

7.14. Direct path output neurons are tonically and phasically independent in the normal brain.

Spike timing within, and activation of, pallidal output neurons is almost completely independent (Nini *et al.* 1995; Nevet *et al.* 2007), so any coordination or synchronization must be sparsely distributed. Preliminary results noted earlier, from a study of functionally connected cortical, pallidal, and thalamic areas, demonstrates as much, with strong phasic correlation of LFPs but almost no correlation of individual neuron spiking with those LFPs (Schwab 2016, chapter 5). According to the BGMS model, broadly and densely

synchronized BG output would produce spurious and pathologically persistent effective connectivity in cortex, arresting task progress. As noted earlier, BG output neurons act intrinsically as independent oscillators; this arrangement breaks down in parkinsonism, in which task progress is retarded or arrested (Stanford 2002; Wilson 2013; Deister *et al.* 2013; Hammond *et al.* 2007; Nevet *et al.* 2007).

PD has been shown in magnetoencephalography studies to be associated with progressively greater functional connectivity in cortex, determined by measures of synchronization likelihood, both intra- and inter-areal, in both the alpha and, in moderate and advanced disease, the beta bands (Stoffers *et al.* 2008). It is also associated with disruption and progressive inefficiency of functional connectivity (Olde Dubbelink *et al.* 2014). Pathological synchrony in parkinsonism may be rooted in the striatum: *in vitro* experimentation with, and physiologically realistic simulation of, the dopamine-depleted striatum has demonstrated spontaneous pervasive formation of clusters of synchronized SPNs (Humphries *et al.* 2009).

7.15. The pallidothalamic projection is powerful, and its constituent axons are especially divergent.

The main BG output projections from GPi and SNr appose neurons in thalamus in giant inhibitory terminals, with multiple synapses, exerting powerful and precise inhibitory control of individually targeted cells, with GPi and SNr projections well-compartmented from each other (Bodor *et al.* 2008). Many of these projection neurons contain parvalbumin, with especially high density in the dorsal GP (Cote *et al.* 1991).

Pallidal axons branch extensively within thalamus, into 10-15 collaterals with highly confined terminal varicosities (Parent *et al.* 2000), so that each pallidal neuron projects to 200-300 neurons in thalamus; these are the most widely arborized neurons in the BG (Parent *et al.* 2001). The somata and primary dendrites of GPi- and SNr-recipient thalamocortical neurons outside the intralaminar nuclei are contacted almost exclusively by these afferents, with very dense terminal processes, suggesting that the GPi and SNr exercise predominant control over activity in these cells (Kultas-Ilinsky and Ilinsky 1990; Ilinsky *et al.* 1997). As discussed earlier, BG projections to the intralaminar thalamus do not follow this pattern, but instead predominantly appose small and medium dendrites (Sidibé *et al.* 2002).

In the pallidothalamic projection, a degree of convergence on single thalamocortical cells has been noted (Ilinsky *et al.* 1997). As suggested earlier, convergence of independently oscillating pallidal projection neurons produces aggregate input that bears the characteristics of Gaussian noise, so that pallidal output can reflect inputs to pallidum with high fidelity. This may be a crucial advantage that evolutionarily stabilizes this arrangement in

mammals, which have a surficial cerebral cortex and closely aligned thalamocortical conduction delays (Salami *et al.* 2003; Steriade 1995), whereas in birds, there is no surficial cerebral cortex, and thalamic projection cells receive only a single calyceal BG input (Luo and Perkel 1999).

Given the high typical tonic discharge rate of pallidal and nigral neurons, thorough disinhibition or entrainment of targeted thalamocortical neurons requires coordination of multiple pallidal projection neurons. As with the convergence of several striatal FSIs on a single SPN, this suggests several activation scenarios. If all BG output neurons targeting a thalamocortical projection neuron are phasically silenced coincidentally, their common target might be activated synchronous with corticothalamic input. If instead those several inputs remain active, but are phasically synchronized, this would likely entrain their common target, even in the absence of corticothalamic input, and with great vigor in the presence of excitatory input exhibiting the favored frequency and phase. In a third scenario, some of the inputs are phasically silenced, coincident with others that are phasically synchronized, with the likely result that their common target is entrained by the active BG inputs. Until one of these coordinated arrangements is learned, modulation of one or several inhibitory afferents likely has lesser but significant post-synaptic effects, which might bootstrap learning in paths associated with the other afferents.

The dynamic in the intralaminar thalamus is somewhat different: because pallido- and nigrothalamic terminals there predominantly appose dendrites, their likely effect is to modulate receptivity to particular corticothalamic inputs with which they share a dendrite, so that only those inputs with the selected timing can affect the soma and, consequently, affect the somatically targeted L3 and L5 pyramidal neurons. As suggested earlier, this arrangement may maximize combinatorial power in the relationship of the BG to the intralaminar thalamus.

7.16. The BG-recipient thalamic projection to cerebral cortex is massively divergent.

The path from BG-recipient thalamus back to cortex exhibits striking divergence. As reviewed earlier, neurons of the rat VL, VA, and VM nuclei project profusely and with massive overlap to L1, with individual neurons collateralizing to widely separated areas (Rubio-Garrido *et al.* 2009; Kuramoto *et al.* 2009), and the intralaminar nuclei innervate nearly the entire cerebral cortex (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002; Scannell 1999). CM and PF axons that reach cortex arborize diffusely and widely, with a single axon from CM forming on average over 800 synaptic boutons in cortex, a count that may miss many poorly stained axonal processes in L1 (Parent and Parent 2005). Even considering the possibility of extensive multiple terminations on the same target neuron, which is relatively

unlikely in a diffuse projection, the number of cortical neurons innervated by a single BG-recipient thalamocortical neuron might be conservatively estimated at >100. As estimated above, the cumulative notional

divergence ratio from a single corticostriatal neuron, through striatal FSIs, SPNs, pallidal projection neurons, and thalamocortical neurons, may exceed 10^9 .

8. The Direct, Indirect, and Striosomal Paths in the Regulation of Cortical Dynamics

In this section:

- 8.1. The regulation of cortical dynamics implicates all BG circuitry, and the striatum is the linchpin.
- 8.2. SPNs in the direct path are preferentially innervated by cortical neurons with reciprocal corticocortical connectivity.
- 8.3. BG output to thalamus arises from activity in relatively superficial cortical layers, and passes exclusively through striatal matrix, while striosomes receive input from relatively deeper layers, with areal distinctions.
- 8.4. Cholinergic, serotonergic, and dopaminergic localization to striatal matrix suggest specialization for dynamic, high fidelity processing of oscillatory signals.
- 8.5. A pattern of differential innervation in the direct path suggests specialization for integration and motivated action.
- 8.6. Cortical inputs to the direct path appear to be an exquisitely context sensitive sparse code, with relatively high divergence-convergence.
- 8.7. The direct and indirect paths, and striatal matrix and patch compartments, are neither crisply distinct nor mutually exclusive.

8.1. The regulation of cortical dynamics implicates all BG circuitry, and the striatum is the linchpin.

While BGMS as discussed in this paper most directly implicates the direct path, BG circuitry beyond the direct path is just as functionally crucial, and indeed is even more extensive and broadly connected than the direct path. The striatum is the common component in all these circuits. The striatum is a particularly complex brain organ, structured simultaneously along multiple schemes overlaid upon, and interacting with, each other in intricate patterns (Graybiel 1990; Kreitzer 2009; Tepper *et al.* 2010; Bolam *et al.* 2000). Its striosome-matrix dichotomy, and its direct-indirect dichotomy, both bear upon the present hypothesis.

8.2. SPNs in the direct path are preferentially innervated by cortical neurons with reciprocal corticocortical connectivity.

Among corticostriatal projection neurons, there is evidence that most direct path cells, but not most indirect path cells, are reciprocally connected over long ranges at the single unit level, and are a specialized population dedicated to intracortical connectivity and striatal innervation (“intratelencephalic”); the indirect path is predominantly innervated by collaterals of projections that descend through the pyramidal tract, and whose corticocortical collaterals are not reciprocal (Lei *et al.* 2004; Morishima and Kawaguchi 2006). As noted earlier,

projections from interconnected cortical areas systematically converge on striatal FSIs at the single unit level (Ramanathan *et al.* 2002), and FSIs show a substantial preference for direct path SPNs (Gittis *et al.* 2010). Thus, the innervation of the direct path is distinguished by systematic patterns of reciprocal long range connectivity and corresponding striatal convergence, whereas the indirect path corticostriatal inputs are predominantly collaterals of descending fibers such as corticopontine motor output, whose cells of origin do not reciprocate with each other, and as reviewed below, show markedly less striatal convergence.

8.3. BG output to thalamus arises from activity in relatively superficial cortical layers, and passes exclusively through striatal matrix, while striosomes receive input from relatively deeper layers, with areal distinctions.

Evidence suggests that the direct path through the BG to thalamus implicates SPNs in the matrix compartment exclusively (Rajakumar *et al.* 1993), and that the corticostriatal innervation of the matrix is differentiated from that of the striosomes in important ways. While the striosomes and matrix are both broadly targeted by most cortical areas, the striosomes preferentially receive projections from L6 and deep L5, while the matrix is preferentially targeted by superficial L5, and by L2 and L3 (Gerfen 1989; Kincaid and Wilson 1996). Ascending projections from the densely direct-path-recipient PF thalamic nucleus pervasively and diffusely innervate the matrix compartment of associative striatum, while largely

avoiding striosomes; CM projections to sensorimotor striatum are less pervasive but similarly prefer matrix (Sadikot *et al.* 1992b). The CL and PC nuclei also project densely to the caudate striatum (Kaufman and Rosenquist 1985a). The striatal projections of these intralaminar nuclei appose the dendrites of SPNs, with varying physiological and morphological properties (Lacey *et al.* 2007), and evidence also suggests that they innervate striatal FSIs (Sidibé and Smith 1999). As proposed earlier, thalamostriatal projections may position the striatum to monitor (and therefore optimize and rapidly sequence) the synchronies that its output produces in thalamus, and thus presumptively in cortex, via BG output structures.

Intriguing areal distinctions in cortex have also been identified. In primate, dorsolateral PFC (DLPFC) targets matrix densely and broadly, largely avoiding striosomes, while orbitofrontal and anterior cingulate cortex preferentially target striosomes (Eblen and Graybiel 1995). Matrix appears specialized to project to the pallidal segments and the SNr, while striosomes appear specialized to project to midbrain dopamine centers such as the substantia nigra *compacta* part (SNc), to whose densocellular zone they are reported to be reciprocally linked (Jiménez-Castellanos and Graybiel 1989; Crittenden *et al.* 2016).

Striosomes strongly influence the SNc and VTA through a pallidohabenular circuit (Rajakumar *et al.* 1993; Herkenham and Nauta 1979; Hikosaka 2010; Hong and Hikosaka 2008; Balcita-Pedicino *et al.* 2011), while dopaminergic projections from the midbrain preferentially target striatal matrix (Graybiel *et al.* 1987). The involvement of striosome circuitry in motivational processing, and of dopamine in modulating responses to afferent activity, is reviewed later. In particular, their roles in modulating the dynamics of superficial cortical microcircuits in PFC (Yang and Seamans 1996; Towers and Hestrin 2008), introduced earlier, are crucial.

8.4. Cholinergic, serotonergic, and dopaminergic localization to striatal matrix suggest specialization for dynamic, high fidelity processing of oscillatory signals.

The classic technique for differentiating striosomes from matrix is to stain the striatum to visualize distribution of the enzyme acetylcholinesterase (AChE) (Graybiel and Ragsdale 1978), rendering the striosomes as pale poorly stained patches. Serotonergic projections to striatum also preferentially innervate the matrix compartment (Lavoie and Parent 1990). As reviewed in detail later, dopamine, ACh, and serotonin are potent modulators of oscillatory neuronal responsiveness. Thus, differential prominence of these neurotransmitters in the matrix compartment suggests specialization for the relay of oscillatory activity.

Most striatal ACh arises from an intrinsic population of interneurons comprising 2-3% of striatal neurons (Contant *et al.* 1996), which is believed to be identical to

the electrophysiologically identified tonically active neurons (TANs) of the striatum (Aosaki *et al.* 1995). These neurons discharge tonically at 2-10 Hz in the absence of sensorimotor activity, and are differentially localized to the matrix, particularly to the matrix border regions adjoining striosomes (Aosaki *et al.* 1995).

The PPN, itself profusely targeted by the GPi and SNr (Semba and Fibiger 1992; Grofova and Zhou 1998; Parent *et al.* 2001), provides an additional, extrinsic, supply of ACh to the striatum, and this too preferentially targets the matrix compartment (Wall *et al.* 2013). Moreover, the striatally projecting neurons of the midline and intralaminar thalamus are targeted by the PPN (Erro *et al.* 1999), and as noted earlier, preferentially target the TAN population, participating intimately in goal-directed learning (Bradfield *et al.* 2013). FSIs, noted above for their selective and robust innervation of direct path SPNs and their putative high fidelity relaying of oscillatory activity, are extensively modulated by cholinergic inputs (Koós and Tepper 2002). Thus, the matrix compartment of the striatum is distinguished by participation in multiple, coordinated cholinergic circuits.

8.5. A pattern of differential innervation in the direct path suggests specialization for integration and motivated action.

According to the BGMS model, the direct path of the BG establishes task-appropriate long range effective connections, while the indirect path largely serves to damp or desynchronize competing activity, to further secure the selected connections. Wall *et al.* (2013) identified instructive differences between afferents to these two intermingled populations of SPNs in mouse: The direct path was found to receive significantly heavier projections from primary somatosensory, ventral orbitofrontal, cingulate, frontal association, prelimbic, perirhinal, and entorhinal cortex, and to receive essentially the entire striatal projections from the amygdalar nuclei, STN, and DRN. The indirect path was found to receive a significantly heavier projection from primary motor cortex. Preferential targeting of the direct path by primary somatosensory, and of indirect path by primary motor, comports with a model in which the direct path establishes connections and facilitates actions consistent with context and task requirements, while the indirect path inhibits completed, competing, ineffective, and irrelevant activity and functional connectivity.

Direct path SPNs show higher activation thresholds and more extensive dendritic processes (~25% more dendrites) than indirect path SPNs, suggesting greater integration through the direct path (Gertler *et al.* 2008). When synchronized cortical activity is confined to a single focus in primary motor cortex, the consequent striatal activation strongly prefers the indirect path (Berretta *et al.* 1997). This disparity is a natural consequence of the

indirect path preference of the corticostriatal projection originating in primary motor cortex. It might also be explained in part by a preferential responsiveness in the direct path to conditions of multi-areal activity, congruent with the role proposed in the BGMS model in which it is implicated in the induction of selective synchronies between distant areas that necessarily already harbor activity.

8.6. Cortical inputs to the direct path appear to be an exquisitely context sensitive sparse code, with relatively high divergence-convergence.

The information borne by the intratentorial corticostriatal projection appears to be distinct from that borne by the corticostriatal collaterals of the corticopontine projection from the same area. Turner and DeLong (2000) showed that in primate primary motor activity, corticopontine neurons consistently show activity associated with movement execution and, particularly, the muscular contractile command stream, whereas activity in intratentorial neurons is often independent of muscle activity, is exquisitely context- and feature-dependent, and is usually confined to a particular aspect of current conditions (sensory context, movement preparation, or movement underway). They suggested that these patterns of direct path input to the striatum are a sparse code, of the sort demonstrated in temporal and visual cortex (Rolls and Tovee 1995; Vinje and Gallant 2000). Wright *et al.* (1999, 2001) showed in rat that intratentorial corticostriatal afferents from primary sensory areas have diffuse, convergent, and bilateral terminal patterns, implicitly raising opportunities for information integration. In contrast, they showed that corticopontine collateral input is ipsilateral, and preserves topographic specificity and organization, terminating in discrete varicosities without convergence, with thicker and faster axons. Moreover, they showed that the intratentorial and corticopontine projections enter the striatum almost at right angles to each other, which appears to further cultivate information integration.

Earlier studies identified the differential pattern of corticostriatal arborizations, finding that those of the intratentorial collaterals in the striatum are ~1.5 mm in diameter, with sporadic branching and varicosities, while the corticopontine collateral arborizations are dense, focused within a volume with longest dimension ~500 μm , and do not cross boundaries of adjacent striosomes (Cowan and Wilson 1994; Kincaid and Wilson 1996). In another investigation of the differences between intratentorial and corticopontine pyramidal neurons, it was found that the corticopontine projection originates chiefly in lower L5, while the intratentorial projection originates chiefly in upper L5 and in L3 (with L3 predominating slightly in sensory cortex), and that the striatal terminal boutons of the former are roughly twice

the size of the terminals of the latter (Reiner *et al.* 2003). This also shows suggestive alignment with laminar preferences in corticocortical projections, such that indirect path afferents arise from the same population as feedback corticocortical projections, and direct path afferents from the feedforward population (Barone *et al.* 2000; Markov and Kennedy 2013). However, caution is in order interpreting this evidence for laminar preferences. Despite evidence that afferents to the direct path arise predominantly from the intratentorial corticostriatal projection, while indirect path afferents arise from the corticopontine projection, no significant laminar preferences were identified for the direct and indirect paths in the study by Wall *et al.* (2013) noted above.

8.7. The direct and indirect paths, and striatal matrix and patch compartments, are neither crisply distinct nor mutually exclusive.

Preferential projection by classes of corticostriatal neurons is a matter of tendencies, not rules. Intratentorial corticostriatal axons prefer direct path SPNs by a 4:1 ratio, while corticopontine collateral axons prefer indirect path SPNs by a 2.5:1 ratio (Lei *et al.* 2004). Recent findings using genetically manipulated mice have shown that the cytological and hodological compartmentation of the striatum into striosomes and matrix is not crisp, with both striosomal and matriceal SPNs receiving both limbic and sensorimotor inputs, and projections to SNc arising from both striosomal and matriceal SPNs (Smith *et al.* 2016). Earlier studies demonstrated similar minor projections of sensorimotor cortex to striosomes, and revealed sparse projections from striosomal neurons to the pallidal segments (Flaherty and Graybiel 1993).

The canonical marker for direct and indirect path SPNs is expression of dopamine receptors from the D_1 and D_2 receptor families, respectively (Gerfen and Surmeier 2011), but SPNs express DA receptors from the opposing family at low levels (Smith and Kieval 2000), and BG microcircuits intermingle the effects of DA receptors from both families (Gerfen and Surmeier 2011). Indeed, the axons of individual SPNs in primate frequently branch to both direct and indirect path targets (Parent *et al.* 1995; Levesque and Parent 2005). Moreover, in the ventral pallidum, neurons with projection patterns characteristic of the GPi/SNr and the GPe are closely intermingled, receiving projections from direct and indirect path SPNs (Groenewegen *et al.* 1993; Smith and Kieval 2000).

Voluntary behavior is preceded by simultaneous activation of both direct and indirect path SPNs (Cui *et al.* 2013). This coactivation, while typically antagonistic, is not symmetric (Oldenburg and Sabatini 2015). These dynamics are consistent with the proposition that “matrisomes” consisting of closely intermingled direct and indirect path SPNs, with presumptively overlapping

dendritic processes, facilitate coordination of direct and indirect path output (Flaherty and Graybiel 1993).

One obvious consequence of these various cross-channel and cross-receptor paths is that a wider pool of

information is available to the implicated individual neurons, which they might use for contextualization and coordination.

9. Dopaminergic Regulation of Oscillatory Responses

In this section:

- 9.1. Dopamine intrinsic to the basal ganglia underlies prominent regulation mechanisms in and beyond the BG.
- 9.2. Dopamine communicates cognitive and motivational significance.
- 9.3. Dopamine in prefrontal cortex and associative thalamus augments responsiveness to afferent activity.
- 9.4. Dopamine promotes oscillatory synchronization in and between the BG and motor cortex.
- 9.5. Dopamine promotes synchrony between the medial temporal lobe and prefrontal cortex, and stabilization of synchrony-mediated functional connectivity, promoting continuation and memorization of effective behaviors.

9.1. Dopamine intrinsic to the basal ganglia underlies prominent regulation mechanisms in and beyond the BG.

Dopamine (DA) is a key modulatory neurotransmitter intrinsic to the BG, where it raises the excitability of direct path SPNs by activating their D₁-class receptors, and attenuates the excitability of indirect path SPNs by activating their D₂-class receptors (Gerfen and Surmeier 2011). The BGMS model is chiefly concerned with the influence of the BG on corticocortical circuit dynamics, so I do not undertake a thorough treatment here of the DA circuitry internal to the BG, or of the multifarious role posited for DA in the intrinsic dynamical control and reinforcement learning mechanisms of the BG. For reviews, see for example Schultz (1998), Bromberg-Martin *et al.* (2010), and Yetnikoff *et al.* (2014). Nonetheless, roles for DA in the control of oscillatory activity, in and beyond the BG, have been described that bear directly on the BGMS model.

9.2. Dopamine communicates cognitive and motivational significance.

The effects of DA are complex. Through broad projections to BG nuclei, frontal cortex, and associated thalamic nuclei, the release of DA arising from BG-controlled neurons in the ventral midbrain (chiefly SNc, VTA, and the retrorubral field, RRF) and other areas has been proposed to have a crucial role in motivational control, by signaling reward, surprise, novelty, even aversiveness, and in general, saliency (Bromberg-Martin *et al.* 2010). DA release has been proposed to signal the expected value of work, in order to encourage continuation of efforts expected to culminate in a rewarding outcome, and discourage continuation of other efforts (Hamid *et al.* 2015). Indeed this neuroeconomic function has been ascribed to the BG as an ensemble (Goldberg and

Bergman 2011). As noted earlier, striosomes appear specialized to control ventral midbrain DA centers; medial PFC control of striosomes, and striosomal control of ventral midbrain DA, have been implicated in cost-benefit decision making (Friedman *et al.* 2015; Crittenden *et al.* 2016). DA also appears to be used to signal disparities between expected and actual outcomes, dipping phasically upon disappointment and rising phasically upon surprising reward, driving reinforcement learning mechanisms (Schultz 1998, 2013). In fact, evidence suggests that DA is crucial in signaling prediction errors *per se*, with or without reward associations (Sharpe *et al.* 2017).

Surprising sensory events can evoke prominent, short-latency DA bursts, regardless of reward association, in 60-90% of DA neurons throughout the full extent of the SNc and VTA, apparently constituting an alerting response serving to marshal attention; these bursts seem to correlate with the degree to which the stimulus captures attention by surprise, they diminish with predictability and familiarity, and they are fairly nonselective, triggered by sensory surprises that superficially resemble motivationally significant stimuli (Bromberg-Martin *et al.* 2010). This comports with the many studies that have found that the BG are integral to orientation of attention, and generation of responses, to motivationally relevant sensory stimuli (e.g. van Schouwenburg *et al.* 2010b; Cools *et al.* 2004; Leventhal *et al.* 2012).

9.3. Dopamine in prefrontal cortex and associative thalamus augments responsiveness to afferent activity.

In vitro studies on PFC pyramidal neurons have found that DA raises their excitability (Penit-Soria *et al.* 1987; Shi *et al.* 1997; Yang and Seamans 1996). Similarly, in the thalamic MD nucleus, DA acting through D₂ receptors has been shown *in vitro* to raise sensitivity to afferent activity (Lavin and Grace 1998). DA release in the MD largely derives from direct appositions arising from the VTA;

indeed neurons in the VA and VL nuclei are also directly targeted by the midbrain DA centers (VTA, SNc, and RRF), as are the midline nuclei (Sánchez-González *et al.* 2005). D₂ receptors are found throughout the associative thalamus (Rieck *et al.* 2004), and while DA terminals only sparsely synapse on neurons in the intralaminar thalamus (Sánchez-González *et al.* 2005), D₂ receptors in the CM, PF, PC, and CL nuclei are particularly dense (Rieck *et al.* 2004), suggesting a large role there for volume-conducted DA action, with correspondingly less spatiotemporal specificity.

9.4. Dopamine promotes oscillatory synchronization in and between the BG and motor cortex.

Following observations of treated and untreated parkinsonian primates, human and non-human, it has been proposed that DA has a decisive role in the regulation of global beta synchrony in BG, with increases in DA providing for narrowly focused striatal responses to cortical beta activity and consequent facilitation of action, while decreases in DA promote broad propagation of cortical beta, concomitant global beta synchrony, and the retarding or arresting of action (Jenkinson and Brown 2011; Magill *et al.* 2001). As noted earlier, the DA-depleted striatum is characterized by the spontaneous and pervasive formation of synchronized clusters of SPNs (Humphries *et al.* 2009).

A pattern of broad beta synchrony, focally disrupted in association with performance of rewarded tasks, has been found in healthy (non-parkinsonian) monkeys (Courtemanche *et al.* 2003). These patterns appear to be DA-dependent: In an experiment in which global DA levels were manipulated to ~500% and <0.2% of their natural baseline, the low-DA condition was accompanied by pervasive synchrony with locally prevailing LFP, while the high-DA condition showed widespread focal desynchronization from prevailing LFP in primary motor cortex and dorsolateral striatum (Costa *et al.* 2006). DA manipulation was not found to affect overall cortical firing rates, underscoring the primacy of synchrony (and not rate) in these dynamics. The pattern of the hyperdopaminergic condition resembles the “desynchronization” of focally synchronized gamma oscillations in activated thalamocortical ensembles (Steriade *et al.* 1996), which according to the BGMS model are often caused by synchronized oscillations propagating focally through the BG.

9.5. Dopamine promotes synchrony between the medial temporal lobe and prefrontal cortex, and stabilization of synchrony-mediated functional connectivity, promoting continuation and memorization of effective behaviors.

Injection of DA into PFC has been seen to induce a spontaneous increase in synchrony between PFC and hippocampal LFPs, and to starkly alter the dynamics of PFC pyramidal neurons; activity shifts from in-phase with reciprocally associated interneurons (suggesting interneuronal inhibition) to opposite phase (suggesting interneuronal augmentation) (Benchenane *et al.* 2010). These effects of DA injection on PFC-hippocampal synchrony and PFC pyramidal neuron dynamics mimicked those seen without DA injection, in a well-trained behavioral task (Y maze navigation), at the choice point (the fork). DA released upon well-predicted reward, by inducing synchronization of PFC-hippocampal cell assemblies, might assure that effective behaviors are committed to long term memory, while ineffective ones are not (Benchenane *et al.* 2011). Naturally, counterproductive behaviors must also be remembered as such, implicating DA release associated with general saliency (Bromberg-Martin *et al.* 2010).

As noted earlier, DA in PFC has been found to attenuate receptivity to inputs on L1 apical dendrites (Yang and Seamans 1996), and to depress GABAergic lateral interactions among L2/L3 interneurons (Towers and Hestrin 2008), reducing the spatiotemporal coherence of oscillation there. As DA level rises, PFC neurons may thus become progressively less affected by superficial inputs from the BG-recipient thalamus and corticocortical feedback paths, so that effective behaviors are protected from disruption and distractions, and in particular, from induction of empirically extraneous functional connectivity. Indeed, DA release in PFC is suggested to stabilize working memory items there (Gruber *et al.* 2006). The effect of DA release on cortex may extend well beyond directly DA-recipient frontal cortex: an integrative theory has been proposed by van Schouwenburg *et al.* (2010a) and Bloemendaal *et al.* (2015) that DA release in PFC induces it to influence interconnected posterior cortex to stabilize goal-relevant representations and protect them from distractions, even while DA release in the BG promotes flexible adaptive responses to new information.

10. Acetylcholine, Serotonin, and the Thalamic Reticular Nucleus in Oscillatory Regulation

In this section:

- 10.1. The basal ganglia influence central neurotransmitter sources in the brainstem and basal forebrain, modulating thalamocortical activity.
- 10.2. Acetylcholine supply to cortex and thalamus is centralized and specific.
- 10.3. Acetylcholine promotes cortical responsiveness; cholinergic blockade in cortex drastically attenuates cortical activation, and when coupled with serotonergic blockade, resembles decortication.
- 10.4. Acetylcholine in cortex shows complex facilitatory effects, bearing some similarities to those of dopamine.
- 10.5. Acetylcholine promotes thalamic responsiveness and high frequency thalamocortical synchrony.
- 10.6. Acetylcholine has complex and often facilitatory effects in the BG.
- 10.7. The cholinergic centers are tightly integrated with BG circuitry.
- 10.8. Noradrenaline supply is centralized, and indiscriminately recruits attention and arousal.
- 10.9. Serotonin supply to BG, cortex, and thalamus is centralized.
- 10.10. Serotonin has facilitatory effects beyond those of dopamine and acetylcholine.
- 10.11. The dorsal and median raphe nuclei are multifariously coupled with the BG.
- 10.12. The cholinergic and serotonergic systems are tightly coupled.
- 10.13. Projections from the nucleus basalis and dorsal raphe nucleus reflect corticocortical connectivity.
- 10.14. Prefrontal control of cholinergic, serotonergic, and noradrenergic centers is extensive and orients attention.
- 10.15. The thalamic reticular nucleus is implicated in oscillatory regulation, and is under BG and PFC control.

10.1. The basal ganglia influence central neurotransmitter sources in the brainstem and basal forebrain, modulating thalamocortical activity.

Beyond their GABAergic projections to thalamic relay and association nuclei, and their dopaminergic projections to frontal cortex and associated nuclei of the thalamus, the BG are positioned to modulate cortical and thalamic activity through projections to the basal forebrain (particularly the nucleus basalis of Meynert, NBM), the pedunculopontine and laterodorsal tegmental nuclei (PPN and LDT), the dorsal and median raphe nuclei (DRN and MRN) at the pontine level of the brainstem, and the thalamic reticular nucleus.

Despite comprising less than one percent of neurons, cholinergic cells perform crucial roles in, and indeed beyond, the nervous system (Woolf and Butcher 2011). They are proposed to play a key role in orienting attention (Sarter and Bruno 1999), in induction of vigilance and fast sleep rhythms (Steriade 2004), in induction of plasticity (Rasmusson 2000), and in the formation of memories (Hasselmo 2006). Serotonin is implicated in regulation of sleep and wakefulness (Pace-Schott and Hobson 2002; Monti 2011), cognitive and behavioral flexibility (Clarke *et al.* 2006), and signaling of reward magnitude (Daw *et al.* 2002; Nakamura *et al.* 2008). The TRN has crucial roles in attention and oscillatory regulation (Pinault 2004), and is also crucially involved in sleep processes (Contreras *et al.* 1997). These roles of the ACh and 5-HT systems,

and the TRN, are evidently closely related to each other and to the roles of DA. Indeed, the supplies of DA, ACh, and 5-HT, are closely coupled, as detailed below.

10.2. Acetylcholine supply to cortex and thalamus is centralized and specific.

The ACh supply for the cortex and thalamus arises from the basal forebrain, particularly the NBM, and from the PPN and LDT nuclei in the brainstem reticular activating system. Comprehensive direct cholinergic projections from the NBM to cerebral cortex (Mesulam *et al.* 1983; Mesulam 2004) are posited to modulate the predisposition of the targeted areas to robust afferent-driven oscillation, with fine spatiotemporal specificity (Muñoz and Rudy 2014). Each individual neuron in the NBM projects to a single small area of cortex confined to a diameter of 1-1.5 mm, prompting the proposal that the cholinergic population of the NBM is arranged to give arbitrary addressability of small areas of cortex, permitting activation of complex constellations subserving specific functions (Price and Stern 1983). The NBM's projection to TRN further positions it to exert a wide-ranging influence over corticothalamic activity (Levey *et al.* 1987).

The PPN and LDT have wide-ranging subcortical cholinergic projections, comprehensively innervating the thalamus, including its reticular nucleus (Hallanger *et al.* 1987; Satoh and Fibiger 1986; Steriade *et al.* 1988; Paré *et al.* 1988; Lavoie and Parent 1994). PPN targeting of the thalamus includes its primary sensory nuclei—the

dorsolateral geniculate (DLG), medial geniculate (MG), and the ventrobasal complex (ventral posterolateral (VPL) and ventral posteromedial (VPM)) (Hallanger *et al.* 1987). It additionally projects densely to the NBM and nearly all BG structures (Lavoie and Parent 1994).

Underscoring their functional significance, these cholinergic supply centers have prominent roles in disease processes. PPN lesions result in akinesia, and PPN degeneration is associated with PD (Pahapill and Lozano 2000). Alzheimer's disease is associated with attrition of the magnocellular cholinergic population in the NBM, typically to less than 30% of normal (Arendt *et al.* 1983). In Sz, the concentration of choline acetyltransferase in PPN and LDT is markedly lower than normal, while the concentration of nicotinamide-adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) diaphorase appears to be roughly twice normal (Karson *et al.* 1996; German *et al.* 1999). Indeed, systemic cholinergic abnormality may be a frequent correlate of Sz, and atypical antipsychotics such as clozapine and olanzapine have a high affinity for muscarinic receptors (Raedler *et al.* 2006; Scarr and Dean 2008).

10.3. Acetylcholine promotes cortical responsiveness; cholinergic blockade in cortex drastically attenuates cortical activation, and when coupled with serotonergic blockade, resembles decortication.

If the tonic supply of ACh to a cortical locus is interrupted, neurons there become dramatically less sensitive to their excitatory afferents, and correspondingly more prone to quiescent synchrony with their neighbors; ACh modulates the propensity of these neurons to track high frequency afferent oscillation and generate corresponding efferent oscillation, particularly in the beta and gamma bands (Rodriguez *et al.* 2004). Phasic increase in ACh supply to an area, when coupled with afferent activity, induces profound plasticity within tens of minutes, persistently elevating the propensity of the targeted area to synchronize with afferent high frequency oscillation and consequently desynchronize with neighboring quiescent oscillation (Rodriguez *et al.* 2004).

If the supply of ACh by NBM to cortex is blocked, stimuli produce grossly attenuated and less coherent gamma. Most of the brainstem diffuse modulatory systems may act on cortex indirectly through the NBM ACh and raphe 5-HT systems; cortical electrocorticographic (ECoG) activation can be completely abolished by concurrent blockade of ACh and 5-HT (Dringenberg and Vanderwolf 1997, 1998). Rats subjected to this concurrent blockade, and exhibiting complete loss of ECoG activation, nonetheless engage in active locomotion, with normal posture and open eyes; however their behavior is disorganized and aimless like that of decorticated rats, including repeated, unhesitating walking plunges over

precipices, and insensate behavior in swim-to-platform tests (Vanderwolf 1992).

10.4. Acetylcholine in cortex shows complex facilitatory effects, bearing some similarities to those of dopamine.

In cortex, ACh is modulatory, neither excitatory nor nonselectively disinhibitory; its presynaptic release does not by itself induce postsynaptic activity (Sillito and Kemp 1983). When coupled with excitatory afferent activity, ACh has a dramatic facilitatory effect on most cortical neurons, while maintaining or narrowing their respective receptive fields; tonic activity (discharges attributable to background afferent activity) is also reduced, so the overall effect is a marked increase in signal/noise ratio (Sillito and Kemp 1983). The effect of ACh on cortical interneurons is more diverse, with fast spiking inhibitory (FSI) interneurons in L5 hyperpolarized via muscarinic receptors, disinhibiting the L5 pyramidal neurons they target, while low threshold spiking (LTS) inhibitory interneurons are excited via nicotinic receptors, raising inhibitory output to their more superficial targets in L1-L3 (Xiang *et al.* 1998). Cholinergic hyperpolarization of cortical FSIs may relax the coincidence detection window for perisomatic inputs to pyramidal neurons (Pouille and Scanziani 2001), effectively increasing their receptive field, even while the direct effect of ACh on them is a narrowing of their receptive fields as described above. Moreover, the coherent lateral spread of oscillatory activity in L2/L3 (Tamás *et al.* 2000) may be depressed by ACh hyperpolarization of FSIs (as by DA (Towers and Hestrin 2008)), spatially focusing activity in cortex.

It has been shown in behaving rats that short latency ACh release, through effects mediated by a diversity of receptor types, is crucial to the generation and synchronization of performance-correlated oscillation in PFC (Howe *et al.* 2017). In task trials in which the animal detected a sensory cue, significantly elevated PFC ACh levels were detected within 1.5 s of cue presentation, and remained elevated until reward delivery. Gamma oscillation in the same area, measured by LFP, was found to be significantly elevated, at ~90 Hz from ~200-400 ms after cue presentation, then at ~50 Hz from ~400-1300 ms after the cue. Local infusion of an M1 muscarinic antagonist attenuated these gamma responses in trials in which the animal detected the cue, and was associated with a trend toward more missed cues. Infusion of a nicotinic antagonist attenuated the initial high gamma response to detected cues, and similarly had no effect on oscillatory power in trials in which the animal missed the cue. Detected cues, but not missed cues, were associated with significant cross-frequency coupling of the 50 Hz gamma response, to local theta oscillation detected by LFP. This coupling was abolished by infusion of the M1 antagonist, and was attenuated by the nicotinic antagonist.

10.5. Acetylcholine promotes thalamic responsiveness and high frequency thalamocortical synchrony.

The effects of ACh on thalamic neurons have been found to be similar to those in cortex, facilitating responsiveness of excitatory neurons to afferent activity via M1 and M3 muscarinic receptors, as well as via nicotinic receptors, and having an opposite effect on inhibitory interneurons, where it induces hyperpolarization via M2 receptors, indirectly facilitating responsiveness (Parent and Descarries 2008; Steriade 2004). The cholinergic projection to PF (representing intralaminar nuclei) densely terminates in exclusively direct synapses (Parent and Descarries 2008), and PPN/LDT stimulation in the anesthetized cat, causing cholinergic activation of the thalamus, produces sustained, synchronized high frequency oscillation in intralaminar neurons and reciprocally connected cortical neurons, resembling patterns seen in the waking and REM sleep states (Steriade *et al.* 1996).

The terminal pattern of the cholinergic projection to the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus (DLG, representing primary sensory nuclei) is almost entirely extrasynaptic (Parent and Descarries 2008), and this relatively diffuse pattern is likely to have markedly less spatiotemporal specificity than synaptic paths, so the diffuse ACh innervation of DLG comports with the expectation (according to the “binding by synchrony” hypothesis, briefly discussed later) that modulatory inputs to early sensory areas are arranged to not disrupt the fine time structure of activity therein. ACh inputs to the TRN are both synaptic (Parent and Descarries 2008) and extrasynaptic (Pita-Almenar *et al.* 2014), and are reported to hyperpolarize TRN neurons through M2 muscarinic receptors, disinhibiting their targets in the thalamus (Steriade 2004; Lam and Sherman 2010).

10.6. Acetylcholine has complex and often facilitatory effects in the BG.

ACh has a variety of effects on striatum, through a variety of receptors: it can directly induce SPN depolarization and spontaneous firing, and in particular, facilitate the excitability of NMDA (glutamate) receptors on SPNs, while simultaneously reducing glutamate and GABA release; corticostriatal long term potentiation (LTP) in SPNs is also dependent on ACh activation of M₁ muscarinic receptors (Calabresi *et al.* 1998, 2000). As noted earlier, when intrinsic cholinergic interneurons in the striatum are subjected to synchronous spike volleys, their cholinergic action on dopaminergic axons promotes intrinsic DA release in the striatum (Threlfell *et al.* 2012).

Early experiments entailing injection of cholinergic agents into striatum, pallidal segments, and STN, showed dysregulatory effects that generally appeared to be

pathological activations (DeLong and Georgopoulos 2011).

10.7. The cholinergic centers are tightly integrated with BG circuitry.

It has been proposed that the PPN is so intimate with the BG as to constitute an inextricable component thereof (Mena-Segovia *et al.* 2004). The GPi, VP, and SNr strongly and systematically project high velocity axon collaterals to it (Semba and Fibiger 1992; Grofova and Zhou 1998; Haber *et al.* 1985; Parent *et al.* 2001; Harnois and Filion 1982), and cholinergic and glutamatergic cells in the PPN in turn profusely target dopaminergic cells in the SNc, with at least some of the PPN cells that target SNc receiving projections from SNr (Grofova and Zhou 1998). However, BG regulation of the PPN cholinergic supply to thalamus is complex, apparently largely indirect, and yet to be fully elucidated. BG projections to PPN have been reported to preferentially target non-cholinergic cells (Mena-Segovia and Bolam 2009), and the BG may reciprocate preferentially with the rostral sector of the PPN, while it is the caudal PPN that projects to the thalamus and tectum (Martinez-Gonzalez *et al.* 2011). However, it has also been reported that the GPi projects throughout PPN, most prominently to the central PPN (Shink *et al.* 1997), which in turn projects to the NBM (Lavoie and Parent 1994). Moreover, caudal PPN is targeted by the DRN, which itself is targeted by the BG, though the effect of 5-HT on the PPN is complex and unresolved (Vertes 1991; Steininger *et al.* 1997; Martinez-Gonzalez *et al.* 2011).

The ventral striatum projects profusely to all sectors of the NBM (Mesulam and Mufson 1984; Grove *et al.* 1986; Haber *et al.* 1990; Haber 1987), and the NBM receives substantial projections from the SNc and VTA, targeting cholinergic neurons (Záborszky and Cullinan 1996; Gaykema and Záborszky 1997). At least some VS afferents to NBM terminate directly on corticopetal cholinergic neurons; GABA input to these neurons is posited to dampen excitability, resulting in corresponding inattention in their cortical targets (Sarter and Bruno 1999). The GPe, like the NBM, but much less profusely, has direct cholinergic projections to cerebral cortex (Eid and Parent 2015), and both coexpress GABA in these projections (Saunders *et al.* 2015a, 2015b). And the GPe, like the NBM, projects directly to the TRN. The NBM may be an inextricable component of an extended BG system, as has been suggested of other areas of the substantia innominata (Heimer *et al.* 1997). Indeed a model has been proposed that integrates ACh projections from the NBM, the GPe, and the VP, with BG loop circuitry (Záborszky *et al.* 1991, Fig. 6).

10.8. Noradrenaline supply is centralized, and indiscriminately recruits attention and arousal.

Noradrenaline (NA) originating in the locus coeruleus (LC) of the pontine tegmentum is implicated in the direct modulation of arousal throughout the forebrain; the LC responds to noxious, novel, and other highly salient stimuli, toward which attention is to be oriented, with low latency phasic responses time-locked to the stimulus (Berridge 2008; Sara and Bouret 2012). These phasic responses are posited to reset network connectivity to facilitate assembly of a new network oriented to the salient stimulus, and there is evidence that NA arising from LC has a more general role in set shifting, crucially implicating the reciprocal connectivity of LC with PFC (Sara and Bouret 2012).

However, the striatum is not an LC target (Aston-Jones and Cohen 2005), and descending inputs to the LC have been found to be highly restricted, excluding most BG and all thalamic structures; activation of LC by afferent activity has been found to be either generalized to its entirety, or generalized to an entire sensory domain; perhaps most tellingly, output from the LC has been found to be non-specific, with efferent populations in LC distributed throughout its extent, and only modest and partial segregation according to target structure (neocortex, thalamus, cerebellum, etc.) (Aston-Jones *et al.* 1986; Waterhouse *et al.* 1993; Loughlin *et al.* 1986).

Thus, while the LC is integral to the regulation of oscillatory activity and functional connectivity in the thalamocortical system, it seems clear that the LC is nonspecific in its mechanisms. It also seems clear that it is not substantially integrated into BG circuitry, notwithstanding a sparse projection from the ventral pallidum to rostral LC (Groenewegen *et al.* 1993). It seems likely that stimulus-related network formation facilitated by LC reset signals entails broad synchronies to which the striatum responds after the fact.

10.9. Serotonin supply to BG, cortex, and thalamus is centralized.

5-HT supply to the telencephalon arises from the MRN and DRN, which project strongly to the midline, intralaminar, and mediodorsal thalamic nuclei, much of the BG, and to the entirety of cerebral cortex and the medial temporal lobe (Lavoie and Parent 1990; Vertes 1991; Vertes *et al.* 1999; Baumgarten and Grozdanovic 2000). Raphe projections exhibit complex specificity, with the DRN projecting to cortex with various topographies, while the MRN projects to cortex more diffusely (Wilson and Molliver 1991).

10.10. Serotonin has facilitatory effects beyond those of dopamine and acetylcholine.

5-HT has an effect on its cortical targets much like that of ACh, facilitating responses to afferents, yielding ECoG desynchronization (Neuman and Zebrowska 1992), though the effect on individual neurons is complex, with most cells depolarized via 5-HT₂ receptors but some hyperpolarized via other receptors (Davies *et al.* 1987).

5-HT_{2A} receptors are present on the apical dendrites of L5 pyramidal neurons, so 5-HT release facilitates responsiveness (Carter *et al.* 2005) precisely where it is inhibited by DA and ACh release. This effect apparently counteracts the posited focusing and stabilizing effects of DA and ACh described above; indeed almost all known hallucinogenic drugs act through this channel, and activation of 5-HT_{2A} receptors is necessary and sufficient for their hallucinogenic effects (Glennon *et al.* 1984; González-Maeso *et al.* 2007; Fiorella *et al.* 1995; but see Maqueda *et al.* 2015). The notion arising from the BGMS model is that 5-HT_{2A} agonists (even including, rarely, SSRIs for treatment of never-before-hallucinating patients (Bourgeois *et al.* 1998; Waltereit *et al.* 2013)) open cortical columns to induction of effective connections via spike-timing-dependent gain control by corticocortical feedback and BG-thalamocortical output, and hallucinogens thereby induce spurious information flow and associations that would not normally reach the implicated pyramidal somata.

These spuriae have much in common with those associated with Sz; though the dysconnectivity of Sz may principally or frequently be rooted in GABAergic and dopaminergic dysfunction (noted above), there is also a suggestion of 5-HT dysfunction (Geyer and Vollenweider 2008), and atypical antipsychotics such as clozapine, risperidone, and olanzapine show much higher affinity for 5-HT₂ receptors, which they usually occupy almost completely, than for the D₂ receptors targeted by earlier antipsychotics such as haloperidol (Kapur *et al.* 1999). Beyond this, common direct BG involvement is plausible. 5-HT_{2C} receptors in the striatum, activated by hallucinogens (Fiorella *et al.* 1995), have been found to excite striatal FSIs (Blomeley and Bracci 2009), and direct striatal involvement in Sz has been posited (Graybiel 1997; Simpson *et al.* 2010; Wang *et al.* 2015).

10.11. The dorsal and median raphe nuclei are multifariously coupled with the BG.

All parts of the BG are innervated serotonergically by the raphe nuclei, with heterogeneous density within and between the organs of the BG, and highest density in the SN and GP (Lavoie and Parent 1990). The median and dorsal raphe nuclei (MRN and DRN) are targeted by the VP and SNr (Peyron *et al.* 1997; Gervasoni *et al.* 2000;

Levine and Jacobs 1992; Groenewegen *et al.* 1993). Coupling with BG DA centers and DA control structures is extensive. The VTA projects to the DRN and MRN; the DRN and MRN also project to DA cells in the SNc and VTA, and raphe projections to the SNr appear to be directed to the dendrites of DA neurons (Baumgarten and Grozdanovic 2000). The lateral habenula (LHb) projects strongly to all parts of the DRN (Peyron *et al.* 1997) and to the MRN (Herkenham and Nauta 1979). The LHb is integral to BG DA circuitry -- it is reciprocally linked with the VTA, directly and via the rostromedial tegmental nucleus (RMTg) (Herkenham and Nauta 1979; Hikosaka 2010; Balcita-Pedicino *et al.* 2011), and is profusely innervated by GPi and VP (Parent *et al.* 2001; Hong and Hikosaka 2008; Shabel *et al.* 2012; Groenewegen *et al.* 1993).

10.12. The cholinergic and serotonergic systems are tightly coupled.

The MRN and DRN project densely to the PPN and LDT, and the DRN projects densely to the substantia innominata (including NBM, in primates) (Vertes 1991; Vertes *et al.* 1999; Steininger *et al.* 1997). The substantia innominata in turn projects to the DRN (Peyron *et al.* 1997), and PPN and LDT project to MRN and DRN (Semba and Fibiger 1992). The central 5-HT and ACh systems are thus directly and reciprocally coupled.

10.13. Projections from the nucleus basalis and dorsal raphe nucleus reflect corticocortical connectivity.

The NBM shows topographic organization such that single loci project jointly and specifically to interconnected areas of cortex, particularly frontal and posterior areas (Pearson *et al.* 1983; Ghashghaei and Barbas 2001; Záborszky *et al.* 2015). These areas of overlap (joint projection) in the NBM appear to entail distinct intermingled populations, with only a tiny minority (~3%) of cells collateralizing to both frontal and posterior areas (Záborszky *et al.* 2015), suggesting combinatorial flexibility. The raphe nuclei, particularly the DRN, are reported to exhibit similar organization, with small groups of dorsal raphe cells projecting to widely distributed, anatomically interconnected neocortical foci (Wilson and Molliver 1991; Molliver 1987). These arrangements in the basalocortical and raphe corticopetal projections reverse the corticostriatal convergence, noted earlier, of interconnected cortical regions.

10.14. Prefrontal control of cholinergic, serotonergic, and noradrenergic centers is extensive and orients attention.

Direct and dense projections from PFC and other frontal cortical association areas to the NBM (Mesulam and

Mufson 1984), PPN, and LDT (Semba and Fibiger 1992) thence to cortex and thalamus is a putative mechanism for sustained attention and inattention (Sarter *et al.* 2001; Záborszky *et al.* 1997). Indeed, PFC inactivation completely abolishes sensory-evoked ACh release in the sensory thalamus, and significantly reduces tonic ACh release in sensory cortex (Rasmusson *et al.* 2007). PFC projections to the DRN (Gonçalves *et al.* 2009) and LC (Jodoj *et al.* 1998; Aston-Jones and Cohen 2005) are thought to have similar and related functions. Because PFC is thoroughly and densely targeted by BG output via the thalamus and the midbrain DA centers, and projects directly and strongly to all BG input structures, PFC control of cholinergic and serotonergic centers implies BG influence on them, and suggests further coordination of output from these modulatory centers with BG output.

10.15. The thalamic reticular nucleus is implicated in oscillatory regulation, and is under BG and PFC control.

The TRN, through GABAergic projections to other thalamic nuclei, is thought to act in a modulatory role, influencing activity and oscillations in the entire thalamus and cortex, particularly corticocortical functional connectivity (Pinault 2004). A crucial role in the generation of spindles during sleep is recognized (Contreras *et al.* 1997). Prefrontal projections to TRN are thought to play a prominent role in orientation of attention and suppression of distractors (Zikopoulos and Barbas 2006; Guillery *et al.* 1998), and dysfunction of the TRN, resulting in deficits in these and related functions, has been associated with Sz (Ferrarelli and Tononi 2011; Pinault 2011). The GPe projects to the full rostrocaudal extent of the TRN (Hazrati and Parent 1991; Shammah-Lagnado *et al.* 1996), and BG inputs to the TRN target cells that project to the intralaminar thalamus (Kayahara and Nakano 1998). Experiments *in vitro* suggest that DA release in GPe inhibits its inputs to TRN (Gasca-Martinez *et al.* 2010). Excitatory, glutamatergic nigroreticular projections have been demonstrated arising from striatum-recipient cells throughout the SN, both from the pars reticulata and the pars compacta, with roughly half of these fibers also found to release DA (Antal *et al.* 2014).

The interposition of the thalamic reticular nucleus in collaterals of L6 corticothalamic projections (Deschênes *et al.* 1994) is posited to produce nonlinearity, such that low frequency activity has a suppressive influence on thalamus via the TRN, while higher frequency activity is stimulative (Crandall *et al.* 2015). Modulation of the TRN (by the BG and PFC, in particular) might alter this dynamic, providing for adjustment of the threshold above which cortical activity stimulates activity in BG-recipient thalamus, and below which it is suppressive. This would gate the action of the BG on cortex, by controlling the supply of activity available for modulation at the implicated thalamocortical neurons. The BG and PFC are arranged to control this gate

by adjusting the ACh supply to TRN, reducing or abolishing the suppressive influence of the TRN on

corticothalamic targets (Lam and Sherman 2010).

11. Basal Ganglia Involvement in Sensory Processing

In this section:

- 11.1. The basal ganglia make selections in the sensory domains.
- 11.2. Basal ganglia influence on primary sensory thalamus is modulatory, not entraining or resetting.
- 11.3. The basal ganglia project widely to sensory cortex, with notable exceptions.
- 11.4. Basal ganglia output beyond the direct path projects to sensory areas at the cortical, thalamic, and brainstem levels.
- 11.5. The basal ganglia may mediate attentional neglect of percepts arising predictably from intentional acts by the self.

11.1. The basal ganglia make selections in the sensory domains.

The BG have been proposed to function in perceptual decision making in a fashion analogous to their function in behavioral decision making (Ding and Gold 2013). As reviewed earlier, BG direct path output is arranged to influence activity not only in frontal cortex, but in posterior areas, including posterior sensory areas. Motor control, long associated with the BG, has an inherent intimacy with attention, which entails selective perception; for example, common mechanisms and networks have been identified underlying attention and oculomotor control, both within and beyond the BG (Corbetta *et al.* 1998; Hikosaka *et al.* 2000). Attention is accompanied by significant and performance-correlated upward shifts in the power spectrum of activity in the BG-recipient central thalamus (Schiff *et al.* 2013). Pathways described earlier by which the BG modulate central DA, ACh, and 5-HT supplies, and the TRN, imply a broad modulatory influence of the BG on sensory processing.

11.2. Basal ganglia influence on primary sensory thalamus is modulatory, not entraining or resetting.

Primary sensory areas of the thalamus, and thalamic sensory areas in intimate topographic registration with the primary areas, are apparently avoided by direct path output (Percheron *et al.* 1996; Parent *et al.* 2001). This arrangement is an expected corollary of the proposed “binding by synchrony” mechanism (von der Malsburg 1999; Singer and Gray 1995; Womelsdorf *et al.* 2007; Jia *et al.* 2013; Barth and MacDonald 1996; Siegel *et al.* 2008). Rigid BG-induced spike timing disruption of sensory processing pipelines at the thalamic level would derange the spatiotemporally precise registration by which ensembles of neurons representing a stimulus are proposed to be coherently bound together, and to be differentiated

from neurons in the same area that are active but not associated with the stimulus. In fact, there is evidence for binding by synchrony in sensory nuclei of the thalamus; corticothalamic projections bearing synchronized oscillations associated with a visual stimulus entrain thalamocortical activity associated with that stimulus, increasing the effective neuronal gain for associated features (Sillito *et al.* 1994).

11.3. The basal ganglia project widely to sensory cortex, with notable exceptions.

While the BG direct path output apparently avoids sensory thalamic nuclei, it does not avoid sensory areas at the cortical level. As noted earlier, the BG-recipient intralaminar nuclei (CL, PC, CM, and PF) have been shown to project to visual, auditory, and somatosensory cortex (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002; Scannell 1999), and the MD, VA, and VL nuclei have been shown in primates to project to visual association cortex and the angular gyrus area of parietal cortex (Middleton and Strick 1996; Clower *et al.* 2005; Tigges *et al.* 1983). In the rostral intralaminar thalamus, the PC and CL nuclei show distinct intimacy with sensory areas, reaching all visual areas but the primary receptive fields; these projections show no apparent topographic pattern, but are accompanied by heavy projections to densely interconnected areas such as the frontal eye fields and posterior parietal association areas 5 and 7, with some axons found to collateralize multi-areally, e.g. to visual area 20a and areas 5 and 7 (Kaufman and Rosenquist 1985a; Van der Werf *et al.* 2002).

As noted earlier, the caudal intralaminar nuclei in cat project to secondary and some associative auditory cortex, but avoid the primary, posterior, ventroposterior, and temporal auditory fields (Scannell 1999). This extensive lacuna suggests that the early stages of auditory processing are particularly sensitive to disruption of spike patterns. This might be attributable to the unique orientation of

auditory perception to environmental phenomena (sounds) that are typically oscillatory and momentary, so that the crucial phenomenological attributes of stimuli can only be represented in early processing stages with neural spikes that are precisely locked in time to occurrence of those attributes.

11.4. Basal ganglia output beyond the direct path projects to sensory areas at the cortical, thalamic, and brainstem levels.

The projection systems associated with the GPe, SN *pars lateralis* (SNl), PPN, LDT, NBM, and DRN extensively target sensory areas, including those in thalamus. As noted above, the PPN targets the primary sensory nuclei of the thalamus (Hallanger *et al.* 1987). The caudal GPe projects directly to the auditory and visual sensory sectors of the caudal TRN, to auditory cortex, the inferior colliculus, and through the SNl further influences visual and auditory processing via the latter's projections to the superior and inferior colliculi (Shammah-Lagnado *et al.* 1996; Moriizumi and Hattori 1991; Yasui *et al.* 1991). Projections of GABAergic cells in the NBM to TRN target the vision-specific portion of the latter, while cholinergic cells in NBM project to corresponding visual cortex (Bickford *et al.* 1994). While paths through the DRN from BG output structures to sensory cortex have yet to be directly demonstrated, the DRN comprehensively innervates cortex (Vertes 1991) and, as reviewed earlier, is reciprocally coupled to the BG.

The superior colliculus is a key center for sensory (particularly visuospatial) processing: it is implicated not only in ocular saccades but in covert (i.e., non-motoric) orienting of attention (Robinson and Kertzman 1995), supplies powerful inputs to thalamic MD and pulvinar nuclei (Wurtz *et al.* 2005) thence to visuocognitive cortex (Berman and Wurtz 2010; Lyon *et al.* 2010), and is under the direct influence of the SNr (Hikosaka and Wurtz 1983). It is quite intriguing that BG output avoids the pulvinar, but extensively innervates the SC, given that the SC extensively innervates the pulvinar. Perhaps this relates to the proposed imperative to avoid deranging fine timing information in thalamocortical sensory modules. Nigrotectal terminals, while GABAergic, mostly appose medium or small dendrites (Behan *et al.* 1987); enveloping perisomatic GABAergic appositions like those of the nigrothalamic projection (Bodor *et al.* 2008) are present in the same tectal population, but arise elsewhere (Behan *et al.* 1987).

11.5. The basal ganglia may mediate attentional neglect of percepts arising predictably from intentional acts by the self.

The paths through the dorsal striatum to GPe, thence to TRN and thalamus, from the SNr to the SC, thence to

thalamus, and from the ventral striatum to NBM, thence to cortex, may be crucial elements of a system that continually transforms behavioral output into selective, anticipatory inattention.

Corticostriatal input from primary motor cortex has been found to preferentially flow to the GPe (Wall *et al.* 2013), and corticostriatal input flowing to GPe is predominantly collaterals of axons destined for the pyramidal tract, bearing activity tightly correlated with executed motor commands (Lei *et al.* 2004; Morishima and Kawaguchi 2006). Collaterals of these axons also target the proximal dendrites of projection neurons in the intralaminar thalamic nuclei (Deschênes *et al.* 1998), from which these signals are relayed to all components of the BG. A key role posited for the signals carried by collaterals of motor output is as an “efference copy” or “corollary discharge”, primarily serving to contextualize sensory input, as suggested by projections from motor cortex to somatosensory cortex (DeFelipe *et al.* 1986). These signals may also serve to inform a system that differentiates between self-generated and other-generated percepts, attending the latter while disregarding the former (Crapse and Sommer 2008).

The BG might continually compute a dynamic template imparted upon the thalamus via the TRN and SC, and upon the cortex via the NBM, so that sensory input that is the expected result of motor output, and is therefore cognitively extraneous and distracting, is functionally disconnected. Sparsity in direct path corticostriatal input, but not in indirect path input (Turner and DeLong 2000), comports with particular involvement of the indirect path in mediating this continual expectation-driven inattention. GPe facilitation of the TRN might act particularly by raising the threshold for corticothalamic inputs to transition from inhibitory to excitatory effects on their thalamic targets (Crandall *et al.* 2015). The BG influence the SC directly (Hikosaka and Wurtz 1983), and if an effect of this influence is to induce neglect of expected percepts, then unexpected percepts will be salient. This comports with evidence that the SC, upon encountering unexpected sensory events, can reconfigure sensorimotor orientation in the thalamus via the zona incerta (Watson *et al.* 2015). The combined dynamic suggests the BG learn to minimize surprise, centrally implicating dopamine signaling (Schultz 1998, 2013), as noted earlier. Indeed, some highly abstract models of cognition imply that the minimization of surprise is an organizing principle for the brain as a whole (Friston 2010).

It has also been suggested that BG-mediated selection of an action jointly activates areas implicated in processing the expected perceptual correlates of that action (Colder 2015; but see Urbain and Deschênes 2007). The combined dynamic might consist of activation and effective connection of the executive and perceptual areas implicated in the action, culminating in execution of the action, whereupon an efference copy of the corticofugal

motor output follows the paths through the BG to the TRN and NBM described here, in addition to corticocortical paths. By this narrative, if the action has the expected result, TRN and NBM outputs mask out the associated sensory inputs, presumably with a crucial role for collaterals of motor cortex output projecting to somatosensory areas of cortex and thalamus. If the results of the action deviate from expectations, then sensory inputs associated with the deviation are not masked out, but act as bottom-up drivers with particular salience due to the anticipatory recruitment of the associated cortical perceptual areas. The disparity is thus efficiently signaled, facilitating remediation.

That the BG systematically adjust sensory perceptions to track expectations and minimize surprise is suggested by the finding that the ventral striatum and ventral pallidum mediate prepulse inhibition of the acoustic startle response (mild auditory stimulus presented 30-500ms before startling stimulus) (Kodsi and Swerdlow 1995). This prepulse inhibition is deficient in many diseases associated with the BG, including OCD, Huntington's disease, and GTS (Swerdlow and Geyer 1998), is attenuated in Sz (Swerdlow and Geyer 1998; Quednow *et al.* 2008; Geyer and Vollenweider 2008), and is altered by hallucinogenic drugs (Vollenweider *et al.* 2007; Geyer and Vollenweider 2008).

There is evidence that corollary discharge underlying self-other differentiation is generally dysfunctional in Sz (Ford *et al.* 2001). Even basic coordination of motor output with sensory input is affected: smooth tracking of

moving objects with the eyes is consistently impaired in Sz patients and their close relatives (Levy *et al.* 1994). This might be explained by dysfunction of the mechanisms of anticipatory inattention, if internally caused and therefore predictable sensory events are given spurious salience, prompting inappropriate actions (e.g., saccades). Deficient performance in Sz on stimulus-antisaccade tasks might be similarly rooted in dysfunction of the mechanisms of executive inhibition (Fukushima *et al.* 1988).

The accurate differentiation of self-generated from other-generated effects is crucial in the cognitive representation of agency (intentionality), and its dysfunction is likely intrinsic to Sz (van der Weiden *et al.* 2015; Ford *et al.* 2007). Evidence of projections in higher primates from the MD, VA, and VL nuclei to the angular gyrus (Tigges *et al.* 1983) is also suggestive, as this cortical area has been shown in humans to have a role in awareness of action consequences, and in particular, in the detection of disparities between intentions and results (Farrer *et al.* 2008), suggesting extensive BG involvement in the dynamics of agency. Agency in itself seems to influence the perception of results relative to the actions that produced them: Subjective intentionality significantly shortens the reported delay between action and results, compared to delays reported in involuntary action scenarios (such as an experimenter tugging an appendage with a fabric loop), and this shortening is lessened when the sense of agency in the action is disrupted by hypnosis (Lush *et al.* 2017) or coercion (Caspar *et al.* 2016).

12. The Roles of the Basal Ganglia in General Cognitive Coordination

In this section:

- 12.1. The basal ganglia are implicated in the regulation of all large scale cortical networks.
- 12.2. The combinatorially prodigious demands of cortical coordination are met by the combinatorial power of the basal ganglia.
- 12.3. As controllers of corticocortical information routing, the BG are integral to higher mental function.
- 12.4. The basal ganglia are arranged to control chaotic dynamics in cortex.
- 12.5. The basal ganglia are positioned to serve a central role in mental supervision and problem solving.
- 12.6. The basal ganglia are intimately involved in the mechanisms of working memory.
- 12.7. Functional parcellation of frontal cortex and basal ganglia is complex, and may emphasize intrinsically persistent and preparatory activity in frontal cortex, and impulsive and reactive activity in basal ganglia.

12.1. The basal ganglia are implicated in the regulation of all large scale cortical networks.

The BGMS model implicates the BG in the activation and coordination of *all* large scale cortical networks, spanning and pervading the sensory, motor, cognitive, and motivational domains, and particularly involving effective connections that combine these domains. Evidently,

general cognitive coordination is uniquely challenging in terms of combinatorial tractability. BG physiology reviewed earlier implies that they are suited for such a role; here I explore this proposition more directly and in greater depth.

12.2. *The combinatorially prodigious demands of cortical coordination are met by the combinatorial power of the basal ganglia.*

The connectedness of the cerebral cortex—the proportion of combinatorially possible direct long range links that are anatomically actualized—is quite high, at least 66% in macaques (Markov *et al.* 2014). 130-140 distinct cortical areas have been identified in the macaque (Markov *et al.* 2014), implying at least 5,500 ($66\% \times (130 \times 129) \times \frac{1}{2}$) bidirectionally or unidirectionally interconnected pairs in each hemisphere and as many as 25,000 such pairs overall. More notionally, these figures imply at least 10^{78} ($2^{130 \times 2}$) distinct areal combinations, some substantial fraction of which might be both anatomically connected and usefully selected for momentary multi-areal effective connectivity. In humans, the number of distinct cortical areas is even larger, estimated at 180 (Glasser *et al.* 2016), implying more than 40,000 linkages and 10^{108} distinct areal combinations. The sheer scale of this network is also apparent in the estimated populations, with roughly 8×10^9 neurons and 6.6×10^{13} synapses in the human cortex, connected by roughly 10 million kilometers of axons (Murre and Sturdy 1995).

Implicit to the BGMS model is a proposal that the mammalian brain tames these combinatorial and population explosions with a mechanism combining spatiotemporally precise but mesoscopic connectivity selection with the stupendous topological flexibility of the BG. The corticostriatal projection, with its prodigious convergence and divergence (Flaherty and Graybiel 1994; Hintiryan *et al.* 2016), a total synapse population in humans of roughly 1 trillion (10^{12}) (Kreczmanski *et al.* 2007; Kincaid *et al.* 1998; Zheng and Wilson 2002), and uncorrelated postsynaptic activity (Wilson 2013), is suited to play a key role. Moreover, the unusual diversity of conduction delays through the BG, reviewed earlier, may arrange for a population of “polychronous groups” of neurons that exceeds not only the number of neurons in the system, but perhaps even the number of *synapses* (Izhikevich 2006).

In this view, each projection neuron in the striatum transiently participates in a vast array of contextually activated assemblies, each of which relates particular spatiotemporally dispersed inputs to corresponding learned spatiotemporally focused outputs, projecting to pallidal/nigral projection neurons, which in turn focally target the thalamus (and other areas). This arrangement is similar in some important respects to arrangements of massive phased arrays of independent antennas, used and proposed for transmission and reception of signals in extremely flexible, high capacity, parallel, dynamically configurable communication links (Rusek *et al.* 2013) and, particularly, radar systems (Fuhrmann *et al.* 2010).

12.3. *As controllers of corticocortical information routing, the BG are integral to higher mental function.*

It has been previously proposed that the BG act to control the routing of information within cortex, dynamically establishing bridges between “source” and “destination” regions to facilitate goal-directed cognition (Stocco *et al.* 2010), and that population-level synchronies are an effective mechanism for flexible, selective signal routing (Akam and Kullmann 2010). Open circuits through the BG and thalamus, originating in one region and projecting to another one distant from the first, have long been appreciated (Joel and Weiner 1994).

Within the global workspace model of cognition (Dehaene and Naccache 2001; Baars 2005; Dehaene and Changeux 2011; Baars *et al.* 2013), the BG might arbitrate ephemeral access to specialized processors, and more generally, “dynamically mobilize” cortical areas for effective connection within the long range distributed network of conscious cognition. Equivalently, in the dynamic core model (Tononi and Edelman 1998), the BG might determine from moment to moment which corticothalamic modules are functionally well-connected.

Hybrid metaheuristics, a combinatorial optimization technique, involves such arrangements (Blum *et al.* 2011): densely and broadly connected areas may comprise a generic problem-solving (metaheuristic) mechanism, while more specialized and less widely connected areas are selectively integrated with the generic mechanism, when their respective domains of expertise are relevant to problems for which conscious intervention has occurred. The BG figure prominently, because many of the cortical areas with the highest anatomical and functional connectedness—areas including the superior and lateral prefrontal, anterior cingulate, and medial orbitofrontal (Cole *et al.* 2010; van den Heuvel and Sporns 2011; Harriger *et al.* 2012; Elston 2000)—are particularly dense targets of BG output (Middleton and Strick 2002; Ullman 2006; Akkal *et al.* 2007). Indeed, the striatum itself has been found to contain the most connected brain regions by some measures (van den Heuvel and Sporns 2011).

Proponents of the global workspace theory of consciousness contemplate “auto-catalytic” organization of long range functional networks in cortex (Dehaene and Naccache 2001) to avoid a homuncular infinite regress (Dehaene and Changeux 2011). The BGMS model implies that these functional networks are self-organized by a coalition of cortical and subcortical mechanisms, with the BG in particular crucial to the selection and recruitment of cortical networks, and to the inhibition of areas not implicated in a selected network. The combined system of the PFC and BG has been proposed expressly to constitute a mechanistically complete explanation for coherent cognition, avoiding implications of a cognitive homunculus (Hazy *et al.* 2006). Curiously, the

sensorimotor striatum contains many fragmentary sensorimotor homunculi in various configurations (Flaherty and Graybiel 1994), implying that the associative striatum contains many fragmentary cognitive maps, which through the looping architecture of the BG, might be said to regress infinitely, and substantively so (see more below, regarding perturbative iteration).

12.4. The basal ganglia are arranged to control chaotic dynamics in cortex.

The arrangement of the BG to influence cortical activity mesoscopically, without driving activity directly, has inspired the view that they dynamically modulate state attractors, shaping the evolution of cortical activity (Djurfeldt *et al.* 2001). This view is particularly appealing in light of evidence that the cerebral cortex intrinsically balances excitation and inhibition, supporting continually evolving dynamical activity (van Vreeswijk and Sompolinsky 1996; Haider *et al.* 2006; Okun and Lampl 2008; but see Haider *et al.* 2012 regarding primary sensory cortex). A related view is that cortex in the awake but resting state exhibits critical dynamics, characterized by high dimensionality (prolific possibilities), while attention focused on a task induces broad subcriticality, reducing susceptibility to distractors (Fagerholm *et al.* 2015).

It has been proposed that consciousness in its essence is a series of selected states, each an ephemeral complex of informational relationships, within an internally well-connected system with massive dimensionality and the power to discriminate among the myriad possible states as wholes—in mammals, the system of the cerebral cortex and thalamus (Tononi 2004). The physical aspects of the brain directly implicated in consciousness are proposed to be those with maximal cause-effect power (Tononi *et al.* 2016). By these criteria, the global integration of cortical states implicit to the massive divergence and convergence of the BG, and predominant BG control of spike patterns in many of their thalamocortical targets, signify a central role in consciousness. Indeed, network delays result in notionally infinite dimensionality (Izhikevich 2006), and as reviewed earlier, such delays are particularly pronounced and diverse in the BG.

12.5. The basal ganglia are positioned to serve a central role in mental supervision and problem solving.

Conscious cognition, and prefrontal cortex (itself integral to BG circuitry), are thought to be crucial for high level supervision, particularly the goal-motivated resolution of problems not resolved at lower (more local) levels (Dehaene and Naccache 2001; Miller and Cohen 2001). In particular, the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and DLPFC are thought to subservise detection and mitigation of conflicts, through an iterative looping arrangement (Carter and van Veen 2007). As noted above, these areas densely

reciprocate with the BG, with the ACC particularly targeting striosomes (Eblen and Graybiel 1995). As a dense target of mesencephalic DA, ACC has been proposed to form a loop with the BG subserving conflict management (Holroyd and Coles 2002).

In terms of goal-motivated and iterative problem solving, a striking corollary of the BGMS model is that the BG both recognize and generate large scale patterns of synchrony in cortex, so that synchrony-oriented information processing in the BG is not just integrative, but recurrent. Iteration can provide for the formulation of solutions by a process of perturbative adjustments to representations (Lourenço *et al.* 2003), constituting a metaheuristic algorithm. Structural and functional recurrence in the PFC and BG in particular have been suggested to arrange for the progressive integration of evidence to drive decisions (Bogacz and Gurney 2007; Caballero *et al.* 2016), and the dynamical emergence of valuations and decisions, with structural hierarchy and hidden layers enabling adaptation to varying timescales (Hunt and Hayden 2017).

Optimization without *a priori* expertise can benefit from random perturbations, whereby the system can escape from local optima to find global optima (Lourenço *et al.* 2003). It is thus interesting that pallidal and nigral projection neurons appear to be arranged to continually inject noise into the thalamocortical system, through their tonic, rapid, independently rhythmic discharges. While this noise may improve signal fidelity in important respects, as suggested earlier, it may also act to randomly perturb BG-thalamocortical state, via thalamocortical, thalamostriatal, thalamopallidal/thalamonigral, and thalamosubthalamic projections. Until a representation is robustly stabilized, these random perturbations may contribute crucially to an organism's search for useful responses to environmental challenges and opportunities.

12.6. The basal ganglia are intimately involved in the mechanisms of working memory.

Dopamine release in PFC is proposed to stabilize working memory (WM) items, represented as attractor networks, against distractors and noise, simultaneous with its release in the BG enhancing targeted output to those same cortical loci (Gruber *et al.* 2006). This proposal comports neatly with findings, discussed earlier, that dopamine increases overall PFC pyramidal neuron responsiveness to afferent activity, but reduces the responsiveness of their L1 apical dendrites (Yang and Seamans 1996), and depresses GABAergic lateral interactions in L2/L3 interneurons (Towers and Hestrin 2008). WM impairment in Sz has long been recognized as a cardinal symptom (Lee and Park 2005), and may be explained in large part by dysfunctions of DA regulation, excitatory-inhibitory balance, functional connectivity, and apical dendrite excitability (Uhlhaas 2013; van den Heuvel *et al.* 2013; Braver and Cohen 1999;

Grace 2016; Goldman-Rakic 1999; Geyer and Vollenweider 2008; Dandash *et al.* 2017).

BG intimacy with the thalamic MD and VA nuclei underscores a multifarious role for the BG in managing working memory (Frank *et al.* 2001; McNab and Klingberg 2008; Chatham and Badre 2015; Kalivas *et al.* 2001; Haber and Calzavara 2009; Watanabe and Funahashi 2012; Mitchell and Chakraborty 2013; Xiao and Barbas 2004; Parnaudeau *et al.* 2013). In this view, the BG gate the establishment of items in WM and their inclusion in subsequent cognition, and eject them from WM when they are no longer relevant to current context and goals, enabling reallocation of WM resources. Recent results show that the densely BG-recipient MD nucleus in particular can operate in precisely this fashion, sustaining context-dependent WM-related activity in PFC during delay periods (Bolkan *et al.* 2017), and regulating rule-contingent functional connectivity in PFC (Schmitt *et al.* 2017).

Prefrontal gamma power increases as a function of WM load (Roux *et al.* 2012), and alignment of the fine phase angle of spikes in an oscillating cell subpopulation in PFC, relative to prevailing oscillation in the wider population, may serve to delimit and orthogonalize items represented by that subpopulation (Siegel *et al.* 2009). In an arrangement analogous to spike-timing-dependent selection among conflicting sensory inputs (Fries *et al.* 2002), BG-mediated selection among current working memory items might entail synchronization of thalamocortical spiking impinging on jointly targeted connectivity hubs, with the corticocortical spiking associated with the selected item. BG-facilitated gamma bursts, securing effective connections, may thereby be produced, reintegrating the WM item into ongoing cognition. Indeed, gamma bursts in PFC accompany (putatively, induce) both the establishment of items in WM, and the activation of those items for subsequent inclusion in ongoing cognition (Lundqvist *et al.* 2016).

While WM entails persistent activity (Curtis and D'Esposito 2003; Wang 2001; Goldman-Rakic 1995), WM items may be further stabilized by ephemeral synaptic potentiation and associated ephemeral attractor states in cortex (Lundqvist *et al.* 2016; Rose *et al.* 2016). Some models of PFC-BG function in WM in fact necessitate such an intracellular state maintenance mechanism (Frank *et al.* 2001).

12.7. Functional parcellation of frontal cortex and basal ganglia is complex, and may emphasize intrinsically persistent and preparatory activity in frontal cortex, and impulsive and reactive activity in basal ganglia.

It seems clear that the frontal cortex and the BG are functionally coextensive components of a single inextricable system (Frank *et al.* 2001; Miller and Cohen 2001; Calzavara *et al.* 2007; Miller and Buschman 2007).

Their functional delineation is thus fraught with nuance and ambiguity. One interpretation is that the BG learn associations quickly, at a lower level of generality, and frontal cortex learns associations more slowly, at a higher level of generality and stability, trained by the BG, so that frontal cortex eventually takes the lead in responding to stimuli (Miller and Buschman 2007; Antzoulatos and Miller 2011). While it is intuitively obvious that representations reflecting a larger number of examples will tend to be more general and abstract, it is not clear that the BG and frontal cortex differ crucially on this count. Even after over-training of a task, at least in some scenarios activity in the BG still leads that in frontal cortex (Antzoulatos and Miller 2014), and there is strong evidence that at least in some forms of motor learning, cortex is critical for initial acquisition but not necessary for performance subsequent to consolidation (Kawai *et al.* 2015).

According to Frank *et al.* (2001), the frontal cortex represents information with persistent patterns of activation, while the BG fire selectively, usually impulsively, and only coincident with substantial afferent activity, to induce updates to those persistent patterns. The BGMS model comports with this view: in BGMS, the BG induce contextually appropriate shifts in effective connectivity in response to cortical patterns of activation. The BGMS model, and the model of Frank *et al.* (2001), comport with the sparse pattern of task-specific activity exhibited by direct path corticostriatal neurons (Turner and DeLong 2000), noted earlier, because the corticostriatal activation associated with a particular behavioral and environmental conjunction is thereby inherently spatiotemporally limited. Cortical inputs to the indirect path arise mainly from a different population (Wall *et al.* 2013) that is not at all sparse in its activation patterns, consistent with an inhibitory function.

There are hints to the functional delineation of frontal cortex and BG in the results of several fMRI studies. A study by Cools *et al.* (2004) arranged to separate the metabolic correlates of shifts in the task relevance of objects (effectively, polymodal sensory stimuli), from those of transiently operative abstract rules. The BG and PFC were both found to be integral to the former type of preparatory shift, allocating attention and responsiveness to the task-appropriate stimuli, but not to the latter, which was only associated with activity in PFC, subsequently biasing striatal responses appropriately. Another study showed activation of the BG when unexpected sensory stimuli prompted reorienting of attention, but not in preparatory orienting and maintenance of attention (Shulman *et al.* 2009). A similar study identified a role for the ventral BG in mediating shifts of preparatory attention in response to unattended (but evidently detected) sensory cues, inducing appropriate changes in frontal-posterior functional connectivity (van Schouwenburg *et al.* 2010b).

Exploration of the physiological correlates of cognitive and attentional flexibility has substantiated a role for the BG (Leber *et al.* 2008; van Schouwenburg *et al.* 2010b, 2012), leading van Schouwenburg *et al.* (2012, 2014) to propose that frontal cortex, particularly DLPFC, controls striatal function through dense topographically organized projections, and that these projections are crucial to cognitive flexibility.

Indeed, even in the absence of PFC, the BG can maintain flexibility. Lesion experiments in primates have demonstrated that flexible and contextually appropriate,

“intellectual” behavior and curiosity are retained even in prefrontally decorticated animals, albeit with a surfeit of reactivity, provided the BG are preserved (Mettler 1945). This same series of experiments demonstrated that removal of striatal tissue produces fatuous hyperactivity and incuriosity, noting that “Animals lacking the striatum always display a certain fatuous, expressionless *facies* from which the eyes stare vacantly and with morbid intentness.” Subsequent bilateral pallidotomy in these animals produced hypokinesia eventually “indistinguishable from periods of sleep”

13. Basal Ganglia Involvement in Cognitive Dysfunction and Collapse

In this section:

- 13.1. Deficits associated with basal ganglia damage are as diverse and profound as those associated with cortical damage.
- 13.2. Lesions and inactivations of the BG and associated structures are associated with severe impairments of consciousness and cognitive integrity, and therapies that target the BG can restore coherent consciousness.
- 13.3. Schizophrenia involves significant disruption of frontostriatal connectivity and associated functionalities.
- 13.4. Disruption of working memory in schizophrenia resembles that associated with frontocortical lesions and Parkinson's disease.
- 13.5. Schizophrenia is characterized by multifarious abnormality of cortical physiology, particularly affecting connectivity hub areas, that may be the result of genetic factors implicating GABA signaling.
- 13.6. Schizophrenia may fundamentally be a dysfunction of basal ganglia mediated synchronization.
- 13.7. A classic diagnostic test for schizophrenia may demonstrate behavioral correlates of dysfunctional BGMS.
- 13.8. Schizophrenia may be a condition of continual surprise.

13.1. Deficits associated with basal ganglia damage are as diverse and profound as those associated with cortical damage.

Evidence from the pathological brain supports the proposition that the BG are functionally coextensive with frontal cortex, critically implicating them in action, awareness, and cognition. Earlier, I noted involvement of BG components in degenerative conditions such as Parkinson's and Alzheimer's diseases, and throughout this paper I have noted implication of the BG system in schizophrenia. Also noted earlier, the BG have been implicated in GTS and OCD. Below, I briefly explore additional evidence from clinical and lesion studies, and more thoroughly explore BG involvement in Sz.

13.2. Lesions and inactivations of the BG and associated structures are associated with severe impairments of consciousness and cognitive integrity, and therapies that target the BG can restore coherent consciousness.

Lesions of the BG in humans, particularly of the caudate portion of the striatum, lead to cognitive and behavioral

deficits commonly associated with frontocortical lesions—frequently, abulia (loss of mental and motor initiative), disinhibition, memory dysfunction, and speech disturbances including, rarely, aphasia (Bhatia and Marsden 1994). Similar deficits occur with BG-recipient thalamic infarcts involving the MD and VA nuclei (Stuss *et al.* 1988) and intralaminar nuclei (Van der Werf *et al.* 1999). Accidental bilateral destruction of the GPI, incidental to treatment for Parkinson's disease, has resulted in akinetic mutism (Hassler 1982).

Severe disability following brain injuries is consistently associated with selective cell loss in central thalamic nuclei, and as noted earlier, permanent vegetative state (PVS) is associated with loss spanning the rostrocaudal extent of the intralaminar nuclei and MD nucleus (Schiff 2010). PVS is invariably accompanied by diffuse subcortical white matter damage, and is usually accompanied by widespread or severe thalamic damage, but often presents with no apparent structural abnormalities in the cerebral cortex or brainstem (Adams *et al.* 2000).

PVS is also associated with significant impairment of backward connectivity from frontal to temporal cortex, relative to minimally conscious patients and normal controls (Boly *et al.* 2011), directly implicating the most

densely BG-recipient areas and layers of cortex. Similarly, anesthesia-induced unconsciousness is associated with disruption of backward connectivity from frontal to parietal cortex (Ku *et al.* 2011) and, as noted earlier, with inactivation of the intralaminar nuclei (Alkire *et al.* 2008).

In some patients exhibiting akinetic mutism and other severe deficits associated with the minimally conscious state, administration of the GABA_A agonist zolpidem has been found to reliably induce substantial but transient recovery, apparently by restoring normal function and oscillatory structure in frontal cortex, striatum, and thalamus (Brefel-Courbon *et al.* 2007; Schiff 2010). Similar transient recoveries in other patients exhibiting similar symptoms with BG involvement have been reported in response to administration of the DA agonist levodopa (McAuley *et al.* 1999; Berger and Vilensky 2014).

Perhaps the most remarkable discovery to emerge from various studies of the physiology of reduced or lost consciousness, is that the intralaminar thalamic nuclei, comprising a very small area indeed, are quite indispensable for consciousness (Bogen 1995; Baars 1995; Van der Werf *et al.* 2002). These are also the thalamic nuclei most intimate with the BG, bearing implications amply explored here.

13.3. Schizophrenia involves significant disruption of frontostriatal connectivity and associated functionalities.

Many diseases are associated with corticostriatal abnormalities (Shepherd 2013), and Sz in particular has been proposed to be fundamentally a dysfunction of cortico-striatal loops, particularly implicating DLPFC and its striatal targets (Robbins 1990; Simpson *et al.* 2010). It is associated with significant anatomical attenuation of the DLPFC-VS projection, observed in both patients and their asymptomatic siblings (de Leeuw *et al.* 2015), simultaneous with abnormally elevated functional connectivity in the ventral frontostriatal system, and abnormally attenuated functional connectivity in dorsal frontostriatal systems, both of which are correlated with severity of symptoms, and are likewise apparent in both patients and their asymptomatic first-degree relatives (Fornito *et al.* 2013). More generally, Sz patients exhibit a characteristic pattern of significant differences in dynamical functional connectivity responses to sensory stimuli, with greater than normal connectivity established for some long range pairs, and less than normal for others (Sakoğlu *et al.* 2010). Similarly, Sz is associated with deficient BG-mediated disengagement of the default mode network during directed task performance, simultaneous with striatal hyperactivity (Wang *et al.* 2015).

13.4. Disruption of working memory in schizophrenia resembles that associated with frontocortical lesions and Parkinson's disease.

Comparisons of spatial WM task performance by patients with frontocortical lesions, PD, and Sz, reveal related and often severe deficits (Pantelis *et al.* 1997). Sz patients show particularly severe deficits in set-shifting (Jazbec *et al.* 2007), and significantly attenuated WM capacity (Silver *et al.* 2003). If, as discussed earlier, WM items are delimited by finely graded phase distinctions (Siegel *et al.* 2009), then the narrowness and accuracy of temporal discrimination imposes a limit on addressable item capacity. In Sz this selectivity is reduced by dysfunction of GABA-dependent cortical coincidence window mechanisms (Lewis *et al.* 2005; Gonzalez-Burgos *et al.* 2015), affecting the dynamics of corticocortical, BGMS, and non-BG-recipient transthalamic paths in similar measure.

13.5. Schizophrenia is characterized by multifarious abnormality of cortical physiology, particularly affecting connectivity hub areas, that may be the result of genetic factors implicating GABA signaling.

While L3 pyramidal neuron dendritic spine density in the normal brain is particularly high in PFC (Elston 2000), Sz is associated with significant decrease of spine density in DLPFC deep L3 (Glantz and Lewis 2000), and with atrophy of PFC pyramidal somata in L3 and L5, from which corticocortical projections arise (Rajkowska *et al.* 1998). More generally, Sz is associated with pervasive and progressive compromise of cerebral white matter integrity (Lim *et al.* 1999; Mori *et al.* 2007), particularly impacting long range links associated with connectivity hub areas of cortex (Collin *et al.* 2014). While abnormal hub area anatomical connectivity is most pronounced in individuals affected directly by the disease, the unaffected siblings of Sz patients also show significant attenuation of these links, relative to normal controls, even while connectivity in non-hub areas is unaffected in siblings, and is not significantly affected in Sz (Collin *et al.* 2014; de Leeuw *et al.* 2015). These patterns imply a large genetic component to the disease, and an etiology that implicates mechanisms of connectivity that are specific to hub areas, which as noted earlier include areas that are particularly dense targets of BG output.

Cerebral disintegration in Sz may be rooted in GABAergic dysfunction, and consequent pervasive oscillatory deficits (Lewis *et al.* 2005; Ferrarelli and Tononi 2011; Gonzalez-Burgos *et al.* 2015; Uhlhaas and Singer 2010). GABA dysfunction disrupts the synchronies to which the cortex and striatum respond, the mechanisms whereby the BG modulate spike timing in their targets, and the time alignments between corticocortical and trans-

BG spike volleys that are necessary for BGMS. Moreover, corticostriatal projections to striosomal SPNs, as to matriceal SPNs, synapse sparsely, with high thresholds for discharge, resulting in similar sensitivity to input synchronies (Kincaid *et al.* 1998; Zheng and Wilson 2002). And as noted earlier, striosomes and PFC are arranged in recurrent dopaminergic loops. Thus synchronal abnormalities in inputs to striatum likely produce dopaminergic dysregulation, and associated dynamical dysfunction and pathological expressions of plasticity, constituting a key mechanism for pathological progression. While therapies targeting GABA have thus far produced modest and mixed results, continued development may lead to effective prophylactic and genuinely curative drug treatments, with the potential to alleviate the negative and cognitive symptoms that have heretofore robustly resisted treatment (Carpenter *et al.* 1999; Gonzalez-Burgos *et al.* 2015; Keefe *et al.* 2007).

13.6. Schizophrenia may fundamentally be a dysfunction of basal ganglia mediated synchronization.

The etiology of Sz, and even the epoch of its emergence as a disease in *homo sapiens*, are notoriously obscure and controversial (Tandon *et al.* 2008). Sz patients exhibit a variety of seemingly contradictory symptoms, classified generally as positive, negative, and cognitive, with each subject exhibiting an idiosyncratic syndrome (Kay *et al.* 1987; Simpson *et al.* 2010). The explanation for this variety and obscurity is readily apparent, if the irreducible etiology of Sz is dysfunction of the highly distributed and heterogeneous BGMS mechanism described here, because a particular initiating syndrome within a particular component of the mechanism would likely result in Sz with a distinct symptomatology.

Whatever the root causes, most components of the BGMS system have been implicated in schizophrenia. Prominent among them are syndromes of the PFC, striatum, frontostriatal connectivity, and DA signaling, as noted above, and of the intralaminar nuclei and their connections to PFC, cortical FSI function, the TRN, the PPN and LDT, the cholinergic system generally, and the 5-HT system, noted earlier. Also implicated are left-lateralized GP hyperactivity (Early *et al.* 1987), cytological and neurochemical anomalies in the BG-recipient and associative thalamus more broadly (Cronewett and Csernansky 2010), aberrant functional connectivity of thalamus with cortex generally (Cheng *et al.* 2015), and abnormalities in the gross anatomy of the basal ganglia (Mamah *et al.* 2007). If any of these components is disrupted, the capacity for BGMS to appropriately establish and dissolve effective connections

in cortex, and regulate the dynamics of existing connections, is disrupted in some fashion.

13.7. A classic diagnostic test for schizophrenia may demonstrate behavioral correlates of dysfunctional BGMS.

Proverb comprehension was the basis of some early diagnostic tests for Sz, and while in clinical practice these tests have proved unreliable, a more recent study demonstrated a strong correlation between performance on a proverb comprehension task and performance on a theory of mind task, and much better performance on the proverb comprehension task among normal controls than among Sz patients (Brüne and Bodenstein 2005). Proverbs are metaphors, and the successful comprehension of a metaphor entails the recognition of certain abstract semantic relations, simultaneous with the suppression of other, concrete, semantic relations. If these semantic relations are realized physiologically as long range effective connections, then impairment of the supervisory control of effective connectivity would manifest as impaired comprehension of metaphors.

13.8. Schizophrenia may be a condition of continual surprise.

The impression that emerges from the various behavioral and physiological anomalies characteristic of Sz, is of a brain that is continually and indiscriminately surprised. Percepts that are normally anticipated or familiar, and ideas that are normally dismissed as absurd, are not appropriately neglected, but instead are given spurious salience, with associated hyperdopaminergia (Kapur 2003; Bromberg-Martin *et al.* 2010). Subjective duration, causality, sequentiality, and simultaneity, are abnormal and distorted (Martin *et al.* 2013; Schmidt *et al.* 2011; Ciullo *et al.* 2016). These phenomena can all follow from deficiencies in the mechanisms of representation, and these deficiencies plausibly follow from dysfunction in spike-timing-dependent gain mechanisms, which pivot on GABA. Representational deficiency leads to senseless surprise and ideation, and associated maladaptive attentional focus and expressions of plasticity. With expectations and impressions that are fundamentally untrustworthy (cognitive symptoms), paranoia and bizarre behavior (positive symptoms) and indiscriminate withdrawal (negative symptoms) naturally follow. By this narrative, treatment that restores the trustworthiness of expectations and impressions, producing remission of cognitive symptoms, will naturally lead to remission of positive and negative symptoms.

14. Comparisons to Parallel and Related Systems

In this section:

- 14.1. [The Hippocampal System](#)
- 14.2. [The Zona Incerta](#)
- 14.3. [The Claustrum](#)
- 14.4. [The Cerebellum](#)

14.1. The Hippocampal System

In this subsection:

- 14.1.1. [The hippocampal system underlies the formation of episodic memories.](#)
- 14.1.2. [Anatomical terminology in the hippocampal system](#)
- 14.1.3. [Hippocampal lesions compromise the formation of episodic memories, while sparing other mental faculties.](#)
- 14.1.4. [The hippocampal system is organized around oscillations.](#)
- 14.1.5. [The hippocampal system is largely arranged parallel to the BGMS system, with notable similarities and distinctions.](#)
- 14.1.6. [The hippocampal system has a critical role in the assignation of saliency.](#)
- 14.1.7. [The basal ganglia might control effective connection of cortical areas that are chiefly connected through the hippocampal system.](#)

14.1.1. *The hippocampal system underlies the formation of episodic memories.*

The hippocampal system is thought to function as a persistent associative memory repository of first resort, capturing patterns of cortical network activation representing significant associations as they occur, in close coordination with prefrontal cortex (Battaglia *et al.* 2011; Rolls 2010; Squire 2004; Damasio 1989; Meyer and Damasio 2009). Subsequent to initial encoded storage in the hippocampal formation, these associations are for a limited time available for retrieval (reactivation of the original cortical pattern), both to contribute to mental activity during wakefulness when relevant, and for migration to less labile (and more capacious) areas outside the hippocampus (Frankland and Bontempi 2005). This process of migration is believed to occur mostly or entirely during sleep (Battaglia *et al.* 2011; Rasch and Born 2013).

The special facilities of the hippocampal formation follow in part from its unique plasticity (Martin and

Morris 2002; Deng *et al.* 2010; Snyder *et al.* 2005; Shors *et al.* 2001; Cameron and McKay 2001; Hastings and Gould 1999) and its exceptional capacity for long-range functional connectedness (Lavenex and Amaral 2000; Mišić *et al.* 2014).

14.1.2. *Anatomical terminology in the hippocampal system*

In this brief treatment, the term “hippocampal formation” refers to the collection of medial temporal lobe areas that are functionally and spatially contiguous with the hippocampus proper, namely the dentate gyrus, hippocampus, subiculum, presubiculum, parasubiculum, and entorhinal, perirhinal, and parahippocampal cortices (Lavenex and Amaral 2000). The “hippocampal system” comprises the hippocampal formation, the thalamic midline and anterior nuclear groups, the mammillary bodies, the septal nuclei and diagonal band of Broca, and the circuitry interconnecting these loci, particularly the fornix. It also implicitly includes the connections of these areas to the rest of the brain.

14.1.3. *Hippocampal lesions compromise the formation of episodic memories, while sparing other mental faculties.*

Bilateral lesions destroying or disabling the hippocampal formation are associated with severe anterograde amnesia and graded retrograde amnesia, but spare intellectual, attentional, and most working memory capacities, motor skill learning, and semantic and other non-episodic memory, and are not associated with any apparent progressive deterioration, neither of the initially unaffected mental faculties, nor of brain physiology outside that directly affected by the initial lesions (Schmolck *et al.* 2002; Corkin 2002; Annese *et al.* 2014). This pattern of deficits shows that the function of the hippocampal formation is highly specialized. Moreover, that function is not contingent on conscious engagement (Henke 2010).

Yet memory processing by the hippocampal formation entails sensitivity to and activation of widely distributed networks, close integration with PFC, profuse projections to the ventral BG (Brog *et al.* 1993), profuse

innervation by midbrain DA centers (Gasbarri *et al.* 1996), and consolidation processes implicating widely synchronized thalamocortical signaling, all of which it shares with the highly generalized BGMS system described here. Moreover, long-term memory deficits in general, and hippocampal system dysfunction in particular, have been multifariously implicated in Sz as vulnerability indicators and primary symptoms (Holthausen *et al.* 2003; Harrison 2004; Seidman *et al.* 2003; Sigurdsson *et al.* 2010). Thus, though the functional domain of the hippocampal system is circumscribed, many of its operating principles and physiological underpinnings are shared with the BG-thalamocortical system.

14.1.4. *The hippocampal system is organized around oscillations.*

Oscillatory activity, and sensitivity to phase, have been amply demonstrated in the hippocampal system and in long term memory processing (Fell and Axmacher 2011; Colgin 2011; Tort *et al.* 2008; Fernandez *et al.* 2013). Information flow in the hippocampal system appears to be systematically organized around theta oscillation, with encoding of new incoming information at antiphase with retrieval of past information (Siegle and Wilson 2014; Hasselmo *et al.* 2002; Wilson *et al.* 2015). Rhythmic coordination of hippocampus and striatum has been demonstrated during learning (DeCoteau *et al.* 2007), and hippocampus and PFC exhibit increasingly synchronized oscillation as rules are acquired in a task framework, with peak coherence at the moment of decision; during subsequent sleep, hippocampal cell assemblies that participated in the coherent oscillation during performance are preferentially replayed (Benchenane *et al.* 2010).

14.1.5. *The hippocampal system is largely arranged parallel to the BGMS system, with notable similarities and distinctions.*

It seems plausible, even likely, that reactivation of connectivity patterns by the hippocampal system entails a BGMS-related mechanism pivoting on relays through the thalamus, particularly implicating the midline and anterior nuclear groups. Components of the hippocampal system project to all of the midline nuclei, which in turn project to superficial and deep layers of most cortical areas, and to the ventral striatum (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002). Notably, BG direct path and hippocampal system inputs are mutually exclusive in the midline and intralaminar nuclei, each nucleus innervated by one or the other, but not both (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002). The midline nuclei, like the intralaminae, are well-positioned to control cortical synchronies and associated effective connectivity (Saalman 2014).

The anterior nuclear group is densely and reciprocally linked with the hippocampal formation, and like the

midline nuclei, is devoid of BG direct path inputs (Jankowski *et al.* 2013). These nuclei are also devoid of projections to the BG, but project extensively to neocortex, particularly to secondary motor, prefrontal, cingulate, retrosplenial, and some visual and temporal areas (Jankowski *et al.* 2013), many of which are also targeted by BG-recipient thalamus.

While inputs to the BG-recipient thalamus arise from the entire cortex, cortical inputs to the midline and anterior nuclei are highly restricted, confined almost entirely to the hippocampal system, despite projections from these nuclei encompassing nearly the entire cortex (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002; Jankowski *et al.* 2013). Thus, whereas BGMS is proposed to attend the control of arbitrary corticocortical connectivity, involvement by the hippocampal system in the initial reactivation of a memory likely entails only signals from the hippocampal formation to neocortex, directly and via transthalamic paths through the midline and anterior nuclei.

The obvious suggestion is that the midline nuclei and anterior nuclear group function within the hippocampal system the way the intralaminar nuclei and MD, VA, VL, and VM nuclei function within the system described by the BGMS model, with both systems operating chiefly by the spike-timing-dependent mechanisms endemic to the cerebral cortex, thalamus, and striatum. The PFC and ventral striatum, jointly targeted by the hippocampal formation and by thalamic and other nuclei in both systems, are then positioned to coordinate activity in these two vast and largely separate systems, particularly by incorporating motivation and behavioral relevance into the control of memory formation and activation. BG influence on hippocampal system activity is implied by projections from the BG-recipient PC and PF nuclei to perirhinal, entorhinal, prelimbic, and parahippocampal cortices (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002). Additionally, the paraventricular and reuniens nuclei of the midline group receive dense projections from midbrain DA centers (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002), whereby the BG presumptively align memory dynamics with motivational context, while the central medial nucleus of the intralaminar group receives hippocampal system inputs (uniquely among nuclei classified as “intralaminar”), and projects densely to the dorsal striatum (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002), suggesting episodic memory contextualization of dorsal BG inputs.

A notable architectural distinction between these two systems is that hippocampal formation input to thalamus is excitatory, like neocortical inputs to BG-recipient thalamus, whereas BG input is GABAergic. Thus the selection and timing of signals to be dispersed by the midline and anterior nuclei is presumptively determined before those signals arrive in thalamus, consistent with the much narrower array of inputs compared to BG-recipient thalamus. However, TRN inputs to these nuclei (Jankowski *et al.* 2013; Kolmac and Mitrofanis 1997; Van der Werf *et al.* 2002) likely provide a path whereby PFC

and the BG can influence memory processes at the thalamic level (Pinault 2004; Zikopoulos and Barbas 2006; Guillery *et al.* 1998; Hazrati and Parent 1991; Shammah-Lagnado *et al.* 1996; Antal *et al.* 2014).

14.1.6. *The hippocampal system has a critical role in the assignment of saliency.*

Indeed, just as the PFC and BG may orchestrate BG-thalamocortical neglect of expected percepts (discussed earlier), PFC has been suggested to orchestrate neglect by the hippocampal formation of previously stored episodic information, inhibiting redundant memorization (Frankland and Bontempi 2005). Moreover, the hippocampal formation is itself sensitive to familiarity (Squire 2004), and through its projections to PFC and the ventral striatum, may promote neglect of familiar perceptual minutiae that would otherwise be distracting. Sz is marked by deficiencies in these capabilities, and corresponding hippocampal abnormalities (Jessen *et al.* 2003; Weiss *et al.* 2004).

14.1.7. *The basal ganglia might control effective connection of cortical areas that are chiefly connected through the hippocampal system.*

The most studied and attested roles of the hippocampal system involve memory formation and recall, but the mechanism whereby it is understood to do this — rapid plasticity that establishes long range links between cortical areas — might be quite general. In terms of BGMS, it may not make any fundamental difference whether two areas are linked by direct, appropriately potentiated corticocortical projections, or by temporary routes through the hippocampal system. Indeed these two classes of long range linkage inevitably coexist according to the consolidation and reconsolidation theories of hippocampal function, and might indeed act synergistically. With hippocampal function centered on the rapid establishment of long range anatomical connections amenable to reactivation, BG function entailing the activation of long range anatomical connections, and PFC integral to the circuitry of both, it seems inevitable that these two systems are unified in their function. This proposition does, however, raise important questions about conduction delays associated with trans-hippocampal paths, compared to those of the corresponding corticocortical paths that are thought to be the ultimate destination of the relations migrated by consolidation.

14.2. *The Zona Incerta*

The zona incerta (ZI) is an agglomeration of cytologically heterogeneous diencephalic nuclei below the thalamus, adjacent to the TRN and STN, connected with many of the

areas and populations involved in BGMS (Mitrofanis 2005; Ricardo 1981; Shammah-Lagnado *et al.* 1985). Multiple, overlapping somatotopic maps are found throughout the ZI, maintaining largely parallel segregated circuits between the neocortex, thalamus, superior colliculus, brainstem, and spinal cord (Nicoletis *et al.* 1992; Power *et al.* 1999), but with no apparent topographic structure in projections to intralaminar thalamus (Power *et al.* 1999). Like the striatum, the ZI is extensively innervated by cortical layer 5 (Mitrofanis and Mikuletic 1999). Like the GPi and SNr, many of its neurons contain parvalbumin (Trageser *et al.* 2006), and it has extensive GABAergic projections to thalamus, with giant terminals apposing the proximal dendrites of projection neurons in association nuclei (Barthó *et al.* 2002; Power *et al.* 1999).

The physiology of the ZI is unlike that of the BG and TRN in several important respects, such that its operating principles are clearly distinct. Unlike either the BG or the TRN, the ZI has direct projections to cerebral cortex; these projections are GABAergic, predominantly appose outer L1, are topographically organized, are densest in somatosensory cortex, and also project sparsely to visual cortex (Lin *et al.* 1997). While BG projections to intralaminar nuclei preferentially appose smaller and more distal dendrites, ZI projections to the intralaminar thalamus appose larger and more proximal dendrites (Barthó *et al.* 2002). The tonic discharge rate of ZI neurons, averaging 2-4/s (Périer *et al.* 2000; Trageser *et al.* 2006), is a small fraction of that of GPi/SNr projection neurons.

Like the BG and thalamus, the ZI is targeted by cholinergic projections from the PPN and LDT (Trageser *et al.* 2006), and like the cortex, it is targeted by the basal forebrain (Kolmac and Mitrofanis 1999), but the effect of ACh on ZI is to silence it (Trageser *et al.* 2006). Thus the ZI response to ACh resembles that of the TRN (Steriade 2004; Lam and Sherman 2010). However, whereas the TRN receives collaterals of L6 axons and not of L5 axons, and has a reciprocal relationship with the rest of the thalamus, as noted above the ZI receives L5 collaterals, and it does not receive thalamic inputs (Barthó *et al.* 2002).

Through its widespread projections to thalamus, the ZI has been suggested to synchronize oscillations in large populations of projection cells, acting as a relay whereby signals from its afferents can selectively facilitate transmission of sensory signals by those projection cells (Barthó *et al.* 2002, 2007). Experimental and clinical results in PD show that hyperactivity and hypersynchrony in the ZI are associated with dyskinesia and bradyphrenia just as in the GPi and SNr (Merello *et al.* 2006; Périer *et al.* 2000, 2002), and indeed that deep brain stimulation (DBS) in ZI may be a more effective technique for alleviating medically refractory PD than DBS in STN (Plaha *et al.* 2006).

An intriguing proposal is that rhythmic GABAergic input to the sensorimotor and intralaminar thalamus from ZI relays activity from attentional orientation centers such as the superior colliculus, disrupting BG-related activity in the thalamus and replacing it with selective receptivity to unexpected sensory inputs deemed salient by attentional orientation centers (Watson *et al.* 2015). This fits well with the proposition that the general function of the ZI is to gate sensory receptivity (Trageser and Keller 2004; Trageser *et al.* 2006; Lavallée *et al.* 2005; Urbain and Deschênes 2007), and like BGMS, is a proposal that selections can be made in the thalamus by GABA-mediated spike-timing-dependent gain control. And with its GABAergic projections to upper L1, the ZI is positioned to adjust spike-timing-dependent gain in its targets with particular rapidity and thoroughness.

14.3. The Claustrum

The claustrum may be functionally similar to the ZI and, by extension, to the BG, but with its own peculiarities. It has long been a subject of notoriously inconclusive study (Edelstein and Denaro 2004). Its function is murky, and like the ZI, it is something of a chimera, combining physiological and functional attributes of the cerebral cortex, the striatum, the thalamus, and the basolateral amygdala (Swanson and Petrovich 1998). Like the BG, the claustrum appears arranged to synchronize the cortical areas with which it is connected, but unlike the BG, it appears to do so only occasionally. In particular, unlike pallidal projection neurons, and like ZI projection neurons, claustral projection neurons have a low tonic firing rate, 0-10 spikes/s in awake animals (Edelstein and Denaro 2004 p.5).

The claustrum contributes to the recruitment of a generally well-adapted neural response to novel and unexpected situations (Badiani *et al.* 1998; Remedios *et al.* 2014), and to emotionally freighted stimuli (Redouté *et al.* 2000), by orienting attention toward immediate, external sensory specifics. Similar to the ZI, it reciprocates with topographic cortical maps for all of the exteroceptive senses; the implicated claustral neurons have quite large receptive fields, with some incidence of polymodality (Sherk 1986). The entire claustrum is modulated by afferents communicating situational saliency (exceptionality) from VTA and SNc, the thalamic reuniens nucleus, the lateral hypothalamus, the locus coeruleus, the dorsal raphe nucleus (Słoniewski *et al.* 1986), and through some path yet to be fully anatomically elucidated, from a cholinergic source (Salerno *et al.* 1981; Nieoullon and Dusticier 1980) that is almost certainly in the basal forebrain cholinergic complex, whence arise widespread projections to the olfactory bulb, hypothalamus, amygdala, all parts of cortex (Mesulam *et al.* 1983), and much of the thalamus (Kolmac and Mitrofanis 1999).

Perhaps claustral neurons synchronize oscillations between and within activity in the cortical areas that reciprocate with them, similar to the dynamic described earlier in the thalamocortical projection. In the claustrum, however, the effect appears to be well-gated by the ascending modulatory afferents, resulting in the low tonic firing rate noted above. This is a limited role, similar to a general role proposed previously for the claustrum (Crick and Koch 2005), itself similar to the role ascribed to the BG in this paper.

The cortical areas with which the claustrum has been established to reciprocate, and which are therefore most likely to be subject to synchronization in the manner of thalamocortical circuits, are (1) various topographically mapped unimodal sensory areas for each of the senses (at least the exteroceptive ones) (Sherk 1986), (2) the frontal eye fields and supplementary motor area (SMA) (Sherk 1986), (3) several default mode network loci (orbitofrontal, cingulate) (Sherk 1986), and (4) the hippocampal system (Wilhite *et al.* 1986). There are also convergent afferents from thalamic midline and intralaminar nuclei (reuniens, CM, PF, PC, CL) (Van der Werf *et al.* 2002). When the cholinergic, noradrenergic, dopaminergic, serotonergic, and other diffuse modulatory claustral afferents signal situational or anticipated salience (Salerno *et al.* 1981; Schultz 1998; Matsumoto and Hikosaka 2009; Nakamura *et al.* 2008), claustral neurons might attempt to synchronize activity in the sensory stream with itself, with oculomotor and skeletomotor activity, with the default mode network, and with the hippocampal system, so that the situation and its sensory correlates are well-attended, consciously integrated, and well-reflected by the memories that are activated and recorded.

In a recent study (Grasby and Talk 2013), the intimate involvement of the claustrum in spatial reversal learning was clearly demonstrated. This comports with the excitatory effect of dopamine depression on the claustrum (Salerno *et al.* 1981), and the systematic coupling of dopamine reduction with failures to predict outcomes (effectively, surprises and disappointments) (Schultz 1998). The claustrum also has unreciprocated projections to much of the rest of cortex, notably area 46 (DLPFC) (Sherk 1986), which might impart selective receptivity in the recipient areas to sensory and motivational activity that activates the claustrum, selectively boost cognitive activity that is already phase-locked with it, and relatively diminish other activity.

14.4. The Cerebellum

There is evidence that the cerebellum, like the BG, targets both superficial and deep cortical layers, via separate nuclei; in particular, the fastigial nucleus targets superficial layers as part of a putative diffuse activating system (Steriade 1995). The cerebellum is reciprocally linked with

the BG and cerebral cortex (Bostan and Strick 2010; Bostan *et al.* 2013), and forms closed loops with cortex via the thalamus, like the BG (Strick *et al.* 2009; Glickstein *et al.* 1985; Schmammann and Pandyat 1997). The cerebellum seems to be functionally coterminous with the BG, including extensive and varied cognitive and other non-motor roles (Strick *et al.* 2009; Schmammann and Pandyat 1997). The cerebellum learns associatively, and in particular, learns predictive relations underlying forward control in stimulus-response behaviors (Giovannucci *et al.* 2017). And indeed, the cerebellum has been implicated in Sz (Andreasen *et al.* 1998; Andreasen and Pierson 2008).

However, the cerebellum is not necessary for coherent thought and behavior, as this is preserved with manageable and finite deficits even in cases of complete cerebellar agenesis (Yu *et al.* 2015). In primates, the white to gray matter ratio is lower in cerebellum than in neocortex (in chimpanzee, 0.24 and 0.64 respectively), and across mammalian taxa the scaling exponent of that ratio is significantly lower in cerebellum than in neocortex

(1.13 and 1.28 respectively) (Bush and Allman 2003). The cerebellar cortex does not form links with itself (Bush and Allman 2003), suggesting that the cerebellum has little or none of the flexible associativity and analogical processing power characteristic of the neocortex in particular and the forebrain in general.

Nonetheless, the pervasive involvement of the cerebellum in precision motor learning and sensory-motor coordination was established generations ago (Ito 2002). The extreme regularity of its physiology has long inspired mechanistic, computational models of its function (Cheron *et al.* 2016), and oscillatory synchronies between the cerebellum and the cerebrum are recognized and proposed to be functionally significant (Courtemanche *et al.* 2013; Cheron *et al.* 2016). The cerebellum and BG have similar topological relationships to cortex, suggesting that they may affect cortical activity by similar mechanisms. In particular, cerebellum-mediated synchronization might induce effective connections.

15. Future Directions, Open Questions, and Closing Thoughts

In this section:

- 15.1. Theoretical Predictions and Proposed Experiments
- 15.2. Some Notable Open Questions
- 15.3. Conscious Cognition and BGMS
- 15.4. Closing Thoughts
- 15.5. Acknowledgements

15.1. Theoretical Predictions and Proposed Experiments

In this subsection:

- 15.1.1. Cortex and Striatum
- 15.1.2. Pallidal and Nigral Output to Thalamus
- 15.1.3. Stimulus-Locked Spiking From Cortex Through Basal Ganglia to Thalamus
- 15.1.4. Correlation of Cortical Area to Focally Targeted Striatal Area as a Function of Oscillatory Band

15.1.1. Cortex and Striatum

In the BGMS model, effective connections are established in cortex in response to striatal decisions. This phenomenon would likely be detectable in the relationships among cortical and striatal LFPs, and would inherently be detectable using large electrode arrays to measure single unit activity in large populations of neurons in cortex and striatum. Specifically, in well-

trained tasks, a highly significant relationship of consistent delays should be found between the timing of a spike volley arising in a particular cortical locus, the presence and timing of subsequent spike volleys arising in one or more connected striatal loci, and the establishment of an effective connection from the first cortical locus to others as measured by LFP or individual spike activity in the latter. In some experimentally accessible and reliably reproducible scenarios, the establishment of a long range corticocortical functional connection is predicted to be strongly contingent on the occurrence and precise timing of the striatal activation, as suggested by the results reported by van Schouwenburg *et al.* (2010b). The BGMS model predicts that if the striatal activation is absent or mistimed, the connection is unlikely to be established, else the connection is likely to be established. While experiments of this type cannot fully validate the BGMS model, done carefully they can decisively invalidate it, or provide highly suggestive evidence for it. Moreover, raw LFP and spike data sets for experiments that have already been performed can likely be reanalyzed to look for this phenomenon.

15.1.2. *Pallidal and Nigral Output to Thalamus*

The central prediction arising from the BGMS model is that relationships of entrainment characterize sparse ensembles of directly connected neurons spanning the entire BG direct path during activation. If the effect of pallidal and nigral output on the thalamus is probed in awake healthy (normal) animals, the prediction is that phasic activation in many cases entrains thalamic activity. Preliminary results reported by Schwab (2016) give evidence of ensemble phasic entrainment of motor thalamus by the GPi, while underscoring that spatiotemporal sparseness and stochasticity in this activation and entrainment greatly complicate characterization at the single unit level.

15.1.3. *Stimulus-Locked Spiking From Cortex Through Basal Ganglia to Thalamus*

Another key prediction is that in an over-trained task, phasic pallidal spiking to a particular thalamic target associated with onset of a particular salient context within the task will exhibit, in aggregate, a very stable, narrowly distributed (± 2 ms) delay relative to the first cortical spike volley associated with onset, implicating a stable set of striatal and pallidal/nigral neurons, for environmental conditions and level of arousal similar to those that prevailed during training.

15.1.4. *Correlation of Cortical Area to Focally Targeted Striatal Area as a Function of Oscillatory Band*

Because the average delay through trans-GPi paths is roughly one gamma period, while the average trans-SNr delay is roughly one beta period, BGMS predicts functional prominence of the gamma cycle in a cortical area and scenario when its inputs to BG flow primarily to the GPi (particularly implicating the dorsal sensorimotor striatum), while the beta cycle is expected to dominate when activity flows primarily to the SNr (implicating the associative striatum). Even longer delays, commensurate with the theta cycle, may accompany paths through the ventral striatum and pallidum, due to its intimacy with the medial temporal lobe (briefly reviewed below). More generally, according to BGMS the dominant oscillatory band of activity in a given cortical locus and context should be the best predictor of the BG paths it activates, and the converse should hold similarly.

Cross-frequency coupling has been demonstrated in theta band interactions of the hippocampus with striatum (Tort *et al.* 2008), and is posited to be a general theme in BG-thalamocortical dynamics (Cannon *et al.* 2014; Brittain and Brown 2014). Putative cross-frequency BGMS operates by spike time dependent gain in cortex no

less than in-band BGMS, suggesting the corollary prediction that cross-frequency coupled BG activity in over-trained tasks produces spike volleys in target areas that are spatiotemporally coincident, at a regular frequency ratio, with selected corticocortical spike volleys. Heavy projections from the hippocampal formation to the ventral striatum (Brog *et al.* 1993) suggest that the in-band relationship may hold in these scenarios, i.e. that the dominant band of the afferent determines the activated BG path at the striatal stage, with divergence to a parallel path in a subsequent stage.

15.2. *Some Notable Open Questions*

Lag-free long range synchronies in cortex (e.g. Vicente *et al.* 2008), with narrow pyramidal somatic coincidence windows (Pouille and Scanziani 2001; Volgushev *et al.* 1998), exist simultaneous with finite long range corticocortical delays (e.g. Gregoriou *et al.* 2009; Nowak and Bullier 1997). Exactly how does this work, at the level of cortical microcircuitry? How do the discharge and conduction delays of thalamocortical neurons and fibers compare as a function of nuclear origin? In particular, how do the delays of paths through the intralaminar and midline nuclei compare to those through other thalamic nuclei?

Gamma synchrony accompanies effective corticospinal activation (Schoffelen *et al.* 2005; Fries 2005). The pedunculopontine nucleus is integral to the control of voluntary movements (Tsang *et al.* 2010), and is profusely targeted by the GPi (Parent *et al.* 2001). Does this relationship entail BGMS? The same question applies to BG targeting of the superior colliculus, with regard to its attentional orientation and oculomotor functions.

Do the amygdala, hypothalamus, and other subcortical structures beyond those reviewed above, use a BGMS-like mechanism to influence thalamocortical activity? The amygdala in particular has been construed as parallel to the ventral BG (Olmos and Heimer 1999), and indeed its central and medial nuclei are proposed to be continuous and homologous with the BG (Swanson and Petrovich 1998). Moreover, projections from the amygdala to PFC have been shown to convey signals that bias decision making (Burgos-Robles *et al.* 2017), similar to the role ascribed earlier to the BG.

The BGMS model implies an elaborate physiological arrangement of coordinated modularity, spanning all developmental levels, and many distinct neurotransmitter systems. How is this orchestrated? Rules governing the self-organization of projecting fiber populations and appositions must play a large part (e.g. Wedeen *et al.* 2012; Sanes and Yamagata 2009; de Wit and Ghosh 2015). But clearly, developmental exuberance, and activity-driven, correlation-sensitive plasticity must play a very large role. Exactly how are these development and plasticity mechanisms arranged to route and terminate

long range fiber bundles appropriately, and optimize the timing of the stimulus-response functions of the BG as an ensemble?

How strong and broad is the BG influence on the cholinergic and serotonergic supplies to thalamus, cortex, and striatum? Are the BG arranged for bipolar control of these supplies, as they are for dopamine? And what are the topographies and microcircuitry of the BG inputs to TRN, NBM, PPN, LDT, DRN, MRN, and SC, by reference to the topographies and microcircuitry of their respective projections to and from thalamus and cortex?

The cytology and microcircuitry of the striatum are crucial to BGMS, and to basal ganglia dynamics in general. How do the cortico-FSI and cortico-SPN projections to striatum differ in cytological, laminar, and areal origin, in patterns of preference, apposition, and topography/convergence/divergence, and by compartmental and hodological target (matrisome, striosome, border region, direct, indirect, etc.)? Similarly, how do thalamo-FSI and thalamo-SPN projections differ by these measures?

What are the functions of striatal neuron types beyond the SPNs, FSIs, and ACh interneurons, particularly as they relate to BGMS? In particular, what are the roles of somatostatin-positive LTS interneurons, and of calretinin-positive interneurons, which have yet to be classified physiologically (Kreitzer 2009)? Uniquely human adult neurogenesis of striatal calretinin interneurons (Ernst *et al.* 2014) is intriguing — does this have a particular relation to similar, uniquely human, postnatal interneuron migration to frontal cortex (Paredes *et al.* 2016)?

Is oscillatory phase preserved in the paths through STN, GPe, NBM, SNl, and PPN/LDT, and if so, do they entrain their targets? Do the BG, through some paths, entrain targets to antiphase, to quickly and decisively abolish connections? Is this one of the functions of FSIs that target indirect path SPNs? Indeed, is this one of the functions of GPe input to striatum (which preferentially innervates FSIs) and TRN, and of STN to GPi/SNr? Such arrangements seem plausible, but the evidence is as yet tenuous—albeit tantalizing (e.g. Schmidt *et al.* 2013).

The maximum conduction velocity in human corpus callosum is anomalously slow (Caminiti *et al.* 2009). Are interhemispheric dynamics in humans special, from a BGMS perspective, or otherwise?

15.3. Conscious Cognition and BGMS

In this subsection:

- 15.3.1. [Consciousness is tricky.](#)
- 15.3.2. [Associative areas of the thalamocortical system and BG plausibly underlie consciousness.](#)
- 15.3.3. [Highly abstract functional structure within the most associative areas of neocortex suggests the outlines of mental architecture.](#)
- 15.3.4. [Densely interconnected, highly associative frontal and posterior areas, with no intrinsic domain-specific functional topography, act as communication thoroughfares integrating activity with great flexibility.](#)
- 15.3.5. [BG integration with cortical communication thoroughfares may underlie the versatility that is the hallmark of consciousness.](#)
- 15.3.6. [Large scale plasticity in cortex implicates consciousness and BGMS.](#)
- 15.3.7. [Mammalian consciousness is presumptively one family of instances among many, each family distinct but sharing a set of irreducible architectural features.](#)
- 15.3.8. [The architecture of natural consciousness can inform the design of artificial problem-solving systems.](#)

15.3.1. Consciousness is tricky.

“Consciousness” is a notoriously slippery concept, even chimerical in many accounts. These narrative tribulations seem to be evidence of the fundamental qualities of conscious cognition. Where consciousness is broached above, most prominent are flexibility, integration and the breaching of modularity, intervention when modular strategies are flummoxed, and perhaps most unsettling, arrangements of notionally infinite recurrence. For present purposes, I construe it to be any mechanism that combines the partly overlapping attributes and facilities of unitarity, representation, genericness, ephemeral specificity, arbitrary associativity, intentionality, attention, perception, episodic continuity, and action. Evidently, conscious actions can be inwardly directed (chiefly, cognitive transformations, recollections, memorizations, and decisions, all relative to current patterns of activity) or outwardly directed (behaviors). Reportability and self-awareness, frequently attributed specially to human consciousness, are (by the present narrative) corollary. “Unitarity” signifies particularly that there is only one consciousness in the normal waking brain (largely a corollary of its arbitrary associativity, and the physiology underlying that facility), and that the representations and

core mechanisms of conscious cognition lack any architectural modularity dividing them into perceptive, cognitive, and active domains, but rather that these domains are all directly and irreducibly implicated by the same physiological substrate (as seen, for example, in the thalamic intralaminar nuclei, noted earlier). Patterns of activity ephemerally represent arbitrary patterns of modularity, bounded only by the representational capacity of the system. For a discussion of the capacities at issue, and of the inextricable entanglement within consciousness of the attributes and facilities attributed to it above, see Engle (2002).

15.3.2. *Associative areas of the thalamocortical system and BG plausibly underlie consciousness.*

The integrated system of the PFC and striatum, suggested to be central to cognitive flexibility (Leber *et al.* 2008; van Schouwenburg *et al.* 2010b, 2012, 2014; Hazy *et al.* 2006), includes many connectivity hubs and resting state network nodes (Cole *et al.* 2010; van den Heuvel and Sporns 2011; Harriger *et al.* 2012; van den Heuvel *et al.* 2009; Elston 2000). Indeed, correlated activity has been demonstrated between cortical resting state network nodes and loci distributed widely in the striatum (Di Martino *et al.* 2008; Vatansever *et al.* 2016). Within the network of connectivity hubs, particular areas and networks have been identified that are associated with facilities attributed above to consciousness. For example, Vincent *et al.* (2008) propose a “frontoparietal control network” comprising lateral PFC, anterior cingulate cortex, and the inferior parietal lobule, topographically and topologically separate from the hippocampal network and “dorsal attention” network. PFC and posterior parietal cortex in particular have been implicated in theories of the physiological basis of fluid intelligence (Jung and Haier 2007).

In general, the establishment of functional connections between dissociable networks, heralding a collapse of their mutual modularity, is associated with conscious awareness (Godwin *et al.* 2015), while pharmacologically induced loss of consciousness is associated with the pervasive breakdown of effective connectivity in cortex (Ferrarelli *et al.* 2010b).

15.3.3. *Highly abstract functional structure within the most associative areas of neocortex suggests the outlines of mental architecture.*

It has been proposed that PFC is organized into a spatially graded hierarchy, with the highest and most abstract representations located anteriorly, and the lowest and least abstract located posteriorly (Christoff and Gabrieli 2000; Badre and D'Esposito 2009). In the least abstract of these areas, numerous visuotopic maps (for example) have been identified (Silver and Kastner 2009). Functional specialization of the dorsomedial and dorsolateral PFC has

been proposed, with the former monitoring performance, and the latter guiding it; links between these areas exhibit mutual preferences according to position along the anterior-posterior axis (Taren *et al.* 2011). Within the DLPFC, subdivisions are apparent from their functional correlates and network connectivity—an anterior-ventral subregion is associated with attention and action inhibition processes, and is intimate with anterior cingulate cortex, while a posterior-dorsal one is associated with action execution and working memory, and is intimate with posterior parietal cortex (Cieslik *et al.* 2013). Clearly this topographic and topological structure has consequences for mental architecture. Indeed, the microstructural characterization of projections between hub areas is among the most promising subjects for future investigation.

15.3.4. *Densely interconnected, highly associative frontal and posterior areas, with no intrinsic domain-specific functional topography, act as communication thoroughfares integrating activity with great flexibility.*

Perhaps anterior PFC, and the posterior parietal cortex intimate with it, contain areas in which domain-specific functional topography is a purely transitory consequence of their effective connections from moment to moment, given highly abstract topographies along lines of hierarchy and generic aspects of cognition. Such an arrangement is implied by the suggestion that individual neurons, and indeed individual synapses, can participate in a vast array of distinct ephemeral assemblies of neurons with contextually appropriate conduction delays (Izhikevich 2006). This also comports with the view of van den Heuvel *et al.* (2012) that a core network of connectivity hubs (a “rich club”) serves as a common, and therefore contentious, communication “backbone” subject to “greedy routing” strategies by more locally connected (and specialized) areas. Indeed, task-related activity in functionally connected PFC and posterior parietal cortex can be very similar, with almost identical tuning and time courses, throughout the performance of a task implicating working memory (Chafee and Goldman-Rakic 1998). The view that cortical areas with high abstraction and long range connectivity function as thoroughfares also follows from findings noted earlier, that frontal-posterior LFP synchrony accompanies attentional orientation, whether by top-down or bottom-up processes (Buschman and Miller 2007).

15.3.5. *BG integration with cortical communication thoroughfares may underlie the versatility that is the hallmark of consciousness.*

Activity in particularly abstract areas of the PFC might arrange itself to impart nearly arbitrary patterns to the striatum, inducing highly flexible transformations by the

BG of cortical activity and effective connectivity, and resolving backbone contention through selections. By this narrative, the BG make available an enormous accumulation of neural gestures, effectively tools, that activity in hub areas can use to operate on network activity, particularly activity within itself. The corticostriatal projections from hub areas, and the input-output relations of the targeted areas of striatum, are then the essential substrate for cognitive flexibility, as suggested by [van Schouwenburg et al. \(2014\)](#). Similarly, a correlation has been shown between cognitive flexibility and resting functional connectivity of hub areas to BG ([Vatansever et al. 2016](#)). Moreover, significant dysfunction in this relationship, including both deficient cortical control of striatum, and deficient striatal control of cortex, has been shown in Sz ([Wang et al. 2015](#)).

As suggested earlier, if working memory items are patterns of activation in PFC, each characterized by a distinct phase angle ([Siegel et al. 2009](#)), then—through corticocortical feedback projections and BGMS—the PFC might establish and dissolve effective connections implicating a particular working memory item (effectively, a thought) with little or no interference from, or indeed to, latent items, except when a latent item is selected for integration with an active item. DA under striosomal control, and ACh and 5-HT under PFC and BG control, are also crucial parts of this tool set, dynamically tuning the receptivity, contrast, focus, selectivity, and persistence, of cortical signal paths.

Because inputs to the BG encompass the entire cortex, the BG can respond to activity in areas that are not functionally connected (not synchronized) with activity in conscious areas, and might act to synchronize the former with the latter. In this way, subconscious activity might be boosted into consciousness by BG selections. Indeed this likely describes any reorientation of attention in response to a sensory stimulus, to the degree that the reorientation is BG-mediated, particularly implicating the thalamic intralaminar nuclei.

15.3.6. Large scale plasticity in cortex implicates consciousness and BGMS.

The propositions that the highest levels of PFC lack persistent domain-specific functional topographies, control the BG with great flexibility, and are directly implicated in conscious cognition, relate to the dynamics of skill learning and performance. Experience-driven skill acquisition entails the reorganization of cortical topography in sensory and motor areas ([Buonomano and Merzenich 1998](#); [Kleim et al. 2004](#)). Topographic reorganization seems to necessitate hub areas without fixed functional topography, in order to maintain function while accommodating the shifting semantic correlates of the neurons comprising the implicated map. In principle, long range connections linking shifting maps to generic

hubs might enable sensible integration into cognition at every stage of topographic reorganization. The orchestration of topographic plasticity, and functional continuity during that process, likely implicate not only highly abstract areas of neocortex, but also the hippocampal formation, which is extremely labile and exceptionally well-connected (as briefly reviewed earlier), and has direct and transthalamic links to secondary motor cortex ([Jankowski et al. 2013](#); [Van der Werf et al. 2002](#)).

Initial performance of a qualitatively new skill depends on the availability and engagement of working memory ([Reber and Kotovsky 1997](#)), and is aided by attention to the minutiae of performance ([Beilock et al. 2002](#)). Learning the skill does not entail topographic reorganization until late in the process, and initially pivots on activity and plasticity in the BG and cerebellum ([Ungerleider et al. 2002](#)), with a crucial role for corticostriatal SPN plasticity ([Koralek et al. 2012](#)). Once proficiency is attained, performance can in fact be significantly disrupted by attention ([Beilock et al. 2002](#)). If the BG learn precise sensorimotor sequences through practice ([Graybiel 1998](#)), and their performance involves finely tuned subcortical loops, then inapt engagement of high-order PFC, supplying disruptive signals to the striatum, seems quite likely to disrupt overall performance. Similar disruption of input patterns to the cerebellum might have similar consequences.

15.3.7. Mammalian consciousness is presumptively one family of instances among many, each family distinct but sharing a set of irreducible architectural features.

It seems likely that the arrangement of high resolution spatially graded feature maps, with a “rich club” topology of dense high resolution interconnections, hub areas some of which are never plastically committed as feature maps, and dynamic timing-based mesoscopic control of effective connectivity and signaling characteristics by a recurrent, highly convergent-divergent multistage subsystem arranged for self-referential reinforcement learning, is not unique to mammals, or even to vertebrates, but rather is the essential architecture of many evolved conscious systems. This prompts the prediction that instances of this architecture are likely wherever there are organisms exhibiting complex and flexible behavior. Birds share major subcortical structures and connections with mammals, including the BG, with similarities and differences some of which were noted earlier ([Luo and Perkel 1999](#); [Kojima et al. 2013](#); [Doupe et al. 2005](#)). Capacities for flexible executive control and persistent strategic planning in ravens ([Kabadayi and Osvath 2017](#)) suggest these structural commonalities are accompanied by functional ones. Further evolutionarily afield, cephalopods are renowned for their adaptability and contextually appropriate problem-solving behavior ([Mather 2008](#)), and for an evolutionary history quite

separate from that of the vertebrates, but in many respects strikingly convergent (Packard 1972).

15.3.8. The architecture of natural consciousness can inform the design of artificial problem-solving systems.

Earlier, I noted parallels between models of naturally evolved conscious cognition (particularly the “global workspace” model of Dehaene and Changeux (2011), the “dynamic core” model of Tononi and Edelman (1998), and the “integrated information” model of Tononi *et al.* (2016)), and successful machine learning architectures featuring recurrence, genericness, dynamic modularity, and stochasticity (hybrid metaheuristics (Blum *et al.* 2011)

and iterated local search (Lourenço *et al.* 2003)). Related architectures developed for machine learning, inspired loosely by physiological features of the vertebrate brain, have been particularly successful. For example, artificial neural networks arranged for recurrence, convolutional transformation, adaptive competitive pooling, and hierarchical representation, have proved exceedingly effective in visual scene analysis (Pinheiro and Collobert 2014; Long *et al.* 2015) and the semantic analysis of verbal dialogues (Kalchbrenner and Blunsom 2013; Kalchbrenner *et al.* 2014). Clearly, improving our understanding of natural problem solving mechanisms, and translating that understanding to artificial systems, holds enormous promise.

15.4. Closing Thoughts

This paper was originally motivated by a deceptively simple notion, that a mechanistic explanation for cognitive problem solving capacities in mammals can be found in the conjunction of the cerebral cortex and basal ganglia, whose distinct information processing styles produce a synergy far greater than the sum of their parts. At an intermediate level of detail, this notion pivots on several architectural features of the mammalian brain:

- Control of functional connectivity by spike-timing-dependent gain mechanisms, as discussed by [von der Malsburg \(1981\)](#), [Singer \(1993\)](#), [Fries \(2005\)](#), and [Larkum \(2013\)](#);
- Centrally (thalamically) mediated control of effective connectivity in cortex, as discussed by [Jones \(2001\)](#), [Purpura and Schiff \(1997\)](#), [Saalmann \(2014\)](#), and [Salami et al. \(2003\)](#);
- Graded semantic maps in cortex with highly regular long range links, as discussed by [Huth et al. \(2012\)](#), [Simmons and Barsalou \(2003\)](#), and [Wedeen et al. \(2012\)](#);
- A dense connectome with “rich club” organization, as discussed by [van den Heuvel and Sporns \(2011\)](#) and [Markov et al. \(2014\)](#);
- The primacy of information integration in the mechanisms of cognition, as discussed by [Tononi \(2004\)](#);
- Convergence-divergence in the basal ganglia, as discussed by [Flaherty and Graybiel \(1994\)](#), [Joel and Weiner \(1994\)](#), [Zheng and Wilson \(2002\)](#), and [Mailly et al. \(2013\)](#);
- The basal ganglia construed as a central switching mechanism, as discussed by [Redgrave et al. \(1999\)](#);
- End-to-end spike volley and oscillatory coherency in the BG, as discussed by [Berke et al. \(2004\)](#), [Leventhal et al. \(2012\)](#), and [Schmidt et al. \(2013\)](#);
- Slow and diverse CVs in paths through the striatum, as discussed by [Tremblay and Filion \(1989\)](#), [Turner and DeLong \(2000\)](#), and [Jinnai and colleagues \(Yoshida et al. \(1993\), Kitano et al. \(1998\)\)](#); and
- Basal ganglia output that entrains activity in its targets, as discussed by [Goldberg et al. \(2013\)](#), [Antzoulatos and Miller \(2014\)](#), and [Kojima et al. \(2013\)](#).

In the course of developing this conjunctive idea, which was of necessity quite vague at the outset, I encountered an array of significant implications, suggesting resolutions to long-standing mysteries and paradoxes in the physiology of the BG, and in the relationship of BG activity to thalamocortical activity.

My conclusion is that activity throughout the cerebral cortex is structured by large scale synchronies that are mesoscopically and globally influenced by the basal ganglia, which themselves respond to large scale cortical synchronies, in an arrangement of continual iteration.

15.5. Acknowledgements

Deepest appreciation to my wife Farah, whose support has made this work possible, and who has been richly rewarded with far more dinner table neuroscience than her appetite required, and to Dr. Daniel J. Gibson, whose encouragement and incisive commentary on an earlier version of this manuscript was absolutely invaluable.

16. References

- Adams JH, Graham DI, Jennett B** (2000). The neuropathology of the vegetative state after an acute brain insult. *Brain* 123, 1327-1338. [[10.1093/brain/123.7.1327](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/123.7.1327)].
- Akam T, Kullmann DM** (2010). Oscillations and Filtering Networks Support Flexible Routing of Information. *Neuron* 67, 308-320. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2010.06.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.06.019)].
- Akkal D, Dum RP, Strick PL** (2007). Supplementary Motor Area and Presupplementary Motor Area: Targets of Basal Ganglia and Cerebellar Output. *J Neurosci* 27, 10659-10673. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3134-07.2007](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3134-07.2007)].
- Albin RL, Mink JW** (2006). Recent advances in Tourette syndrome research. *Trends Neurosci* 29, 175-182. [[10.1016/j.tins.2006.01.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2006.01.001)].
- Alexander GE, DeLong MR, Strick PL** (1986). Parallel Organization of Functionally Segregated Circuits Linking Basal Ganglia and Cortex. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 9, 357-381. [[10.1146/annurev.ne.09.030186.002041](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ne.09.030186.002041)].
- Alexander GE, Crutcher MD, DeLong MR** (1991). Basal ganglia-thalamocortical circuits: Parallel substrates for motor, oculomotor, "prefrontal" and "limbic" functions. In *Prog. Brain Res. 85: The Prefrontal Cortex: Its Structure, Function and Pathology*, p.119-146. [[10.1016/S0079-6123\(08\)62678-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(08)62678-3)].
- Alkire MT, Hudetz AG, Tononi G** (2008). Consciousness and Anesthesia. *Science* 322, 876-880. [[10.1126/science.1149213](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1149213)].
- Andreasen NC, Paradiso S, O'Leary DS** (1998). "Cognitive Dysmetria" as an Integrative Theory of Schizophrenia: A Dysfunction in Cortical-Subcortical-Cerebellar Circuitry? *Schizophr Bull* 24, 203-218. [[10.1093/oxfordjournals.schbul.a033321](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.schbul.a033321)].
- Andreasen NC, Pierson R** (2008). The Role of the Cerebellum in Schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 64, 81-88. [[10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.01.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.01.003)].
- Annese J, Schenker-Ahmed NM, Bartsch H, Maechler P, Sheh C, Thomas N, Kayano J, Ghatan A, Bresler N, Frosch MP, Klaming R, Corkin S** (2014). Postmortem examination of patient H.M.'s brain based on histological sectioning and digital 3D reconstruction. *Nat Comms* 5, ncomms4122. [[10.1038/ncomms4122](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4122)].
- Antal M, Beneduce BM, Regehr WG** (2014). The Substantia Nigra Conveys Target-Dependent Excitatory and Inhibitory Outputs from the Basal Ganglia to the Thalamus. *J Neurosci* 34, 8032-8042. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0236-14.2014](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0236-14.2014)].
- Antzoulatos E, Miller E** (2011). Differences between Neural Activity in Prefrontal Cortex and Striatum during Learning of Novel Abstract Categories. *Neuron* 71, 243-249. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2011.05.040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.05.040)].
- Antzoulatos E, Miller E** (2014). Increases in Functional Connectivity between Prefrontal Cortex and Striatum during Category Learning. *Neuron* 83, 216-225. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2014.05.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.05.005)].
- Aosaki T, Kimura M, Graybiel AM** (1995). Temporal and Spatial Characteristics of Tonicly Active Neurons of the Primate's Striatum. *J Neurophysiol* 73, 1234-1252. [[jn.physiology.org/content/73/3/1234/](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1995.73.3.1234)].
- Ardid S, Wang X-J, Gomez-Cabrero D, Compte A** (2010). Reconciling Coherent Oscillation with Modulation of Irregular Spiking Activity in Selective Attention: Gamma-Range Synchronization between Sensory and Executive Cortical Areas. *J Neurosci* 30, 2856-2870. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4222-09.2010](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4222-09.2010)].
- Arendt T, Bigl V, Arendt A, Tennstedt A** (1983). Loss of neurons in the nucleus basalis of Meynert in Alzheimer's disease, paralysis agitans and Korsakoff's disease. *Acta Neuropathol* 61, 101-108. [[10.1007/BF00697388](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00697388)].
- Aston-Jones G, Ennis M, Pieribone V, Nickell W, Shipley M** (1986). The brain nucleus locus coeruleus: restricted afferent control of a broad efferent network. *Science* 234, 734-737. [[10.1126/science.3775363](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.3775363)].
- Aston-Jones G, Cohen JD** (2005). An Integrative Theory of Locus Coeruleus-Norepinephrine Function: Adaptive Gain and Optimal Performance. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 28, 403-450. [[10.1146/annurev.neuro.28.061604.135709](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.28.061604.135709)].
- Azevedo FAC, Carvalho LRB, Grinberg LT, Farfel JM, Ferretti REL, Leite REP, Filho WJ, Lent R, Herculano-Houzel S** (2009). Equal numbers of neuronal and nonneuronal cells make the human brain an isometrically scaled-up primate brain. *J Comp Neurol* 513, 532-541. [[10.1002/cne.21974](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.21974)].
- Baars BJ** (1995). Tutorial Commentary: Surprisingly Small Subcortical Structures Are Needed for the State of Waking Consciousness, while Cortical Projection Areas Seem to Provide Perceptual Contents of Consciousness. *Conscious Cogn* 4, 159-162. [[10.1006/ccog.1995.1021](https://doi.org/10.1006/ccog.1995.1021)].
- Baars BJ** (2005). Global workspace theory of consciousness: toward a cognitive neuroscience of human experience. In *Prog. Brain Res. 150: The Boundaries of Consciousness: Neurobiology and Neuropathology*, p.45-53. [[10.1016/S0079-6123\(05\)50004-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(05)50004-9)].
- Baars BJ, Franklin S, Ramsay TZ** (2013). Global Workspace Dynamics: Cortical "Binding and Propagation" Enables Conscious Contents. *Front Psychol* 4. [[10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00200](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00200)].
- Badiani A, Oates MM, Day HEW, Watson SJ, Akil H, Robinson TE** (1998). Amphetamine-Induced Behavior, Dopamine Release, and c-fos mRNA Expression: Modulation by Environmental Novelty. *J Neurosci* 18, 10579-10593. [[jneurosci.org/content/18/24/10579](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.10579-98.2000)].
- Badre D, D'Esposito M** (2009). Is the rostro-caudal axis of the frontal lobe hierarchical? *Nat Rev Neurosci* 10, 659-669. [[10.1038/nrn2667](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2667)].
- Baizer JS, Ungerleider LG, Desimone R** (1991). Organization of Visual Inputs to the Inferior Temporal and Posterior Parietal Cortex in Macaques. *J Neurosci* 11, 168-190. [[jneurosci.org/content/11/1/168](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.11.1-168)].

- Balcita-Pedicino JJ, Omelchenko N, Bell R, Sesack SR** (2011). The inhibitory influence of the lateral habenula on midbrain dopamine cells: Ultrastructural evidence for indirect mediation via the rostromedial mesopontine tegmental nucleus. *J Comp Neurol* 519, 1143-1164. [[10.1002/cne.22561](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.22561)].
- Balercia G, Kultas-Ilinsky K, Bentivoglio M, Ilinsky IA** (1996). Neuronal and synaptic organization of the centromedian nucleus of the monkey thalamus: a quantitative ultrastructural study, with tract tracing and immunohistochemical observations. *J Neurocytol* 25, 267-288. [[10.1007/BF02284802](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02284802)].
- Barbas H, Henion THH, Dermon CR** (1991). Diverse thalamic projections to the prefrontal cortex in the rhesus monkey. *J Comp Neurol* 313, 65-94. [[10.1002/cne.903130106](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903130106)].
- Barnes J, David AS** (2001). Visual hallucinations in Parkinson's disease: a review and phenomenological survey. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 70, 727-733. [[10.1136/jnnp.70.6.727](https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.70.6.727)].
- Barnes TD, Kubota Y, Hu D, Jin DZ, Graybiel AM** (2005). Activity of striatal neurons reflects dynamic encoding and recoding of procedural memories. *Nature* 437, 1158-1161. [[10.1038/nature04053](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04053)].
- Barone P, Batardiere A, Knoblauch K, Kennedy H** (2000). Laminar Distribution of Neurons in Extrastriate Areas Projecting to Visual Areas V1 and V4 Correlates with the Hierarchical Rank and Indicates the Operation of a Distance Rule. *J Neurosci* 20, 3263-3281. [www.jneurosci.org/content/20/9/3263].
- Barth DS, MacDonald KD** (1996). Thalamic modulation of high-frequency oscillating potentials in auditory cortex. *Nature* 383, 78-81. [[10.1038/383078a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/383078a0)].
- Barthó P, Freund TF, Acsády L** (2002). Selective GABAergic innervation of thalamic nuclei from zona incerta. *Eur J Neurosci* 16, 999-1014. [[10.1046/j.1460-9568.2002.02157.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1460-9568.2002.02157.x)].
- Barthó P, Slézia A, Varga V, Bokor H, Pinault D, Buzsáki G, Acsády L** (2007). Cortical Control of Zona Incerta. *J Neurosci* 27, 1670-1681. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3768-06.2007](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3768-06.2007)].
- Bastos AM, Vezoli J, Fries P** (2015). Communication through coherence with inter-areal delays. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 31, 173-180. [[10.1016/j.conb.2014.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2014.11.001)].
- Battaglia FP, Benchenane K, Sirota A, Pennartz CMA, Wiener SI** (2011). The hippocampus: hub of brain network communication for memory. *Trends Cogn Sci* 15, 310-318. [[10.1016/j.tics.2011.05.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2011.05.008)].
- Baumgarten HG, Grozdanovic Z** (2000). Anatomy of Central Serotonergic Projection Systems. In *Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology* 129: Serotonergic Neurons and 5-HT Receptors in the CNS, p.41-89. [[10.1007/978-3-642-60921-3_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-60921-3_2)].
- Beatty JA, Song SC, Wilson CJ** (2015). Cell-type-specific resonances shape the responses of striatal neurons to synaptic input. *J Neurophysiol* 113, 688-700. [[10.1152/jn.00827.2014](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00827.2014)].
- Behan M, Lin C-S, Hall WC** (1987). The nigrotectal projection in the cat: An electron microscope autoradiographic study. *Neuroscience* 21, 529-539. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(87\)90139-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(87)90139-4)].
- Beierlein M, Connors BW** (2002). Short-Term Dynamics of Thalamocortical and Intracortical Synapses Onto Layer 6 Neurons in Neocortex. *J Neurophysiol* 88, 1924-1932. [[jn.physiology.org/content/88/4/1924](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1999.88.4.1924)].
- Beilock SL, Carr TH, MacMahon C, Starkes JL** (2002). When paying attention becomes counterproductive: Impact of divided versus skill-focused attention on novice and experienced performance of sensorimotor skills. *J Exp Psychol Appl* 8, 6-16. [[10.1037/1076-898X.8.1.6](https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-898X.8.1.6)].
- Benchenane K, Peyrache A, Khamassi M, Tierney PL, Gioanni Y, Battaglia FP, Wiener SI** (2010). Coherent Theta Oscillations and Reorganization of Spike Timing in the Hippocampal- Prefrontal Network upon Learning. *Neuron* 66, 921-936. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2010.05.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.05.013)].
- Benchenane K, Tiesinga PH, Battaglia FP** (2011). Oscillations in the prefrontal cortex: a gateway to memory and attention. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 21, 475-485. [[10.1016/j.conb.2011.01.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2011.01.004)].
- Bennett BD, Bolam JP** (1994). Synaptic input and output of parvalbumin-immunoreactive neurons in the neostriatum of the rat. *Neuroscience* 62, 707-719. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(94\)90471-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(94)90471-5)].
- Berendse HW, Groenewegen HJ** (1991). Restricted cortical termination fields of the midline and intralaminar thalamic nuclei in the rat. *Neuroscience* 42, 73-102. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(91\)90151-D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(91)90151-D)].
- Berger H** (1929). Über das Elektrenkephalogramm des Menschen. *Archiv f Psychiatrie* 87, 527-570. [[10.1007/BF01797193](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01797193)].
- Berger JR, Vilensky JA** (2014). Encephalitis lethargica (von Economo's encephalitis). In *Handbook of Clinical Neurology* 123: Neurovirology, p.745-761. [[10.1016/B978-0-444-53488-0.00036-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53488-0.00036-5)].
- Berke JD, Okatan M, Skurski J, Eichenbaum HB** (2004). Oscillatory Entrainment of Striatal Neurons in Freely Moving Rats. *Neuron* 43, 883-896. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2004.08.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2004.08.035)].
- Berke JD** (2008). Uncoordinated Firing Rate Changes of Striatal Fast-Spiking Interneurons during Behavioral Task Performance. *J Neurosci* 28, 10075-10080. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2192-08.2008](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2192-08.2008)].
- Berke JD** (2011). Functional Properties of Striatal Fast-Spiking Interneurons. *Front Syst Neurosci* 5. [[10.3389/fnsys.2011.00045](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2011.00045)].
- Berman RA, Wurtz RH** (2010). Functional Identification of a Pulvinar Path from Superior Colliculus to Cortical Area MT. *J Neurosci* 30, 6342-6354. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6176-09.2010](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6176-09.2010)].
- Bernacchia A, Seo H, Lee D, Wang X-J** (2011). A reservoir of time constants for memory traces in cortical neurons. *Nat Neurosci* 14, 366-372. [[10.1038/nn.2752](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2752)].
- Berretta S, Parthasarathy HB, Graybiel AM** (1997). Local Release of GABAergic Inhibition in the Motor Cortex Induces Immediate-Early Gene Expression in Indirect Pathway Neurons of the Striatum. *J Neurosci* 17, 4752-4763. [[jneurosci.org/content/17/12/4752](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1712-97.1997)].
- Berridge CW** (2008). Noradrenergic modulation of arousal. *Brain Res Rev* 58, 1-17. [[10.1016/j.brainresrev.2007.10.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresrev.2007.10.013)].
- Bhatia KP, Marsden CD** (1994). The behavioural and motor consequences of focal lesions of the basal ganglia in man. *Brain* 117, 859-876. [[10.1093/brain/117.4.859](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/117.4.859)].

- Bi G-Q, Poo M-M** (1998). Synaptic Modifications in Cultured Hippocampal Neurons: Dependence on Spike Timing, Synaptic Strength, and Postsynaptic Cell Type. *J Neurosci* 18, 10464-10472. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1824-98.2000](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1824-98.2000)].
- Bi G-q, Poo M-m** (2001). Synaptic Modification by Correlated Activity: Hebb's Postulate Revisited. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 24, 139-166. [[10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.139](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.139)].
- Bickford ME, Günlük AE, Horn SC van, Sherman SM** (1994). GABAergic projection from the basal forebrain to the visual sector of the thalamic reticular nucleus in the cat. *J Comp Neurol* 348, 481-510. [[10.1002/cne.903480402](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903480402)].
- Bloemendaal M, Schouwenburg MR van, Miyakawa A, Aarts E, D'Esposito M, Cools R** (2015). Dopaminergic modulation of distracter-resistance and prefrontal delay period signal. *Psychopharmacology* 232, 1061-1070. [[10.1007/s00213-014-3741-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-014-3741-9)].
- Blomeley CP, Bracci E** (2009). Serotonin excites fast-spiking interneurons in the striatum. *Eur J Neurosci* 29, 1604-1614. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.2009.06725.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2009.06725.x)].
- Blum C, Puchinger J, Raidl GR, Roli A** (2011). Hybrid metaheuristics in combinatorial optimization: A survey. *Appl Soft Comput* 11, 4135-4151. [[10.1016/j.asoc.2011.02.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2011.02.032)].
- Bodor AL, Giber K, Rovó Z, Ulbert I, Acsády L** (2008). Structural Correlates of Efficient GABAergic Transmission in the Basal Ganglia-Thalamus Pathway. *J Neurosci* 28, 3090-3102. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5266-07.2008](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5266-07.2008)].
- Bogacz R, Gurney K** (2007). The Basal Ganglia and Cortex Implement Optimal Decision Making Between Alternative Actions. *Neural Comput* 19, 442-477. [[10.1162/neco.2007.19.2.442](https://doi.org/10.1162/neco.2007.19.2.442)].
- Bogen JE** (1995). On the Neurophysiology of Consciousness: 1. An Overview. *Conscious Cogn* 4, 52-62. [[10.1006/ccog.1995.1003](https://doi.org/10.1006/ccog.1995.1003)].
- Bolam JP, Hanley JJ, Booth PAC, Bevan MD** (2000). Synaptic organisation of the basal ganglia. *J Anatomy* 196, 527-542. [[10.1046/j.1469-7580.2000.19640527.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-7580.2000.19640527.x)].
- Bolkan SS, Stujenske JM, Parnaudeau S, Spellman TJ, Rauffenbart C, Abbas AI, Harris AZ, Gordon JA, Kellendonk C** (2017). Thalamic projections sustain prefrontal activity during working memory maintenance. *Nat Neurosci* 20, 987-996. [[10.1038/nn.4568](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4568)].
- Boly M, Garrido MI, Gosseries O, Bruno M-A, Boveroux P, Schnakers C, Massimini M, Litvak V, Laureys S, Friston K** (2011). Preserved Feedforward But Impaired Top-Down Processes in the Vegetative State. *Science* 332, 858-862. [[10.1126/science.1202043](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1202043)].
- Boraud T, Brown P, Goldberg JA, Graybiel AM, Magill PJ** (2005). Oscillations in the Basal Ganglia: The good, the bad, and the unexpected. In *Advances in Behavioral Biology 56: The Basal Ganglia VIII*, p.1-24. [[10.1007/0-387-28066-9_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-28066-9_1)].
- Bostan AC, Strick PL** (2010). The Cerebellum and Basal Ganglia are Interconnected. *Neuropsychol Rev* 20, 261-270. [[10.1007/s11065-010-9143-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11065-010-9143-9)].
- Bostan AC, Dum RP, Strick PL** (2013). Cerebellar networks with the cerebral cortex and basal ganglia. *Trends Cogn Sci* 17, 241-254. [[10.1016/j.tics.2013.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2013.03.003)].
- Bourgeois JA, Thomas D, Johansen T, Walker DM** (1998). Visual Hallucinations Associated With Fluoxetine and Sertraline. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 18, 482-483. [[10.1097/00004714-199812000-00012](https://doi.org/10.1097/00004714-199812000-00012)].
- Bracci E, Panzeri S** (2005). Excitatory GABAergic Effects in Striatal Projection Neurons. *J Neurophysiol* 95, 1285-1290. [[10.1152/jn.00598.2005](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00598.2005)].
- Bradfield L, Bertran-Gonzalez J, Chieng B, Balleine B** (2013). The Thalamostriatal Pathway and Cholinergic Control of Goal-Directed Action: Interlacing New with Existing Learning in the Striatum. *Neuron* 79, 153-166. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2013.04.039](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.04.039)].
- Braver TS, Cohen JD** (1999). Dopamine, cognitive control, and schizophrenia: the gating model. In *Prog. Brain Res. 121: Disorders of Brain, Behavior and Cognition: The neurocomputational Perspective*, p.327-349. [[10.1016/S0079-6123\(08\)63082-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(08)63082-4)].
- Brefel-Courbon C, Payoux P, Ory F, Sommet A, Slaoui T, Raboyeau G, Lemesle B, Puel M, Montastruc J-L, Demonet J-F, Cardebat D** (2007). Clinical and imaging evidence of zolpidem effect in hypoxic encephalopathy. *Ann Neurol* 62, 102-105. [[10.1002/ana.21110](https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.21110)].
- Bressler SL** (1995). Large-scale cortical networks and cognition. *Brain Res Rev* 20, 288-304. [[10.1016/0165-0173\(94\)00016-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0173(94)00016-1)].
- Brittain J-S, Brown P** (2014). Oscillations and the basal ganglia: Motor control and beyond. *NeuroImage* 85, 637-647. [[10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.084](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.084)].
- Brog JS, Salyapongse A, Deutch AY, Zahm DS** (1993). The patterns of afferent innervation of the core and shell in the "Accumbens" part of the rat ventral striatum: Immunohistochemical detection of retrogradely transported fluoro-gold. *J Comp Neurol* 338, 255-278. [[10.1002/cne.903380209](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903380209)].
- Bromberg-Martin ES, Matsumoto M, Hikosaka O** (2010). Dopamine in Motivational Control: Rewarding, Aversive, and Alerting. *Neuron* 68, 815-834. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2010.11.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.11.022)].
- Bronstein AM, Kennard C** (1985). Predictive Ocular Motor Control in Parkinson's Disease. *Brain* 108, 925-940. [[10.1093/brain/108.4.925](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/108.4.925)].
- Brovelli A, Ding M, Ledberg A, Chen Y, Nakamura R, Bressler SL** (2004). Beta oscillations in a large-scale sensorimotor cortical network: Directional influences revealed by Granger causality. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 101, 9849-9854. [[10.1073/pnas.0308538101](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0308538101)].
- Brown P, Oliviero A, Mazzone P, Insola A, Tonali P, Lazzaro V Di** (2001). Dopamine Dependency of Oscillations between Subthalamic Nucleus and Pallidum in Parkinson's Disease. *J Neurosci* 21, 1033-1038. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2113-01.2001](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2113-01.2001)].
- Brown P, Eusebio A** (2008). Paradoxes of functional neurosurgery: Clues from basal ganglia recordings. *Mov Disord* 23, 12-20. [[10.1002/mds.21796](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.21796)].
- Brüne M, Bodenstein L** (2005). Proverb comprehension reconsidered—'theory of mind' and the pragmatic use of language in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 75, 233-239. [[10.1016/j.schres.2004.11.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2004.11.006)].

- Buford JA, Inase M, Anderson ME** (1996). Contrasting Locations of Pallidal-Receiving Neurons and Microexcitable Zones in Primate Thalamus. *J Neurophysiol* 75, 1105-1116. [jn.physiology.org/content/75/3/1105/].
- Buonomano DV, Merzenich MM** (1998). Cortical Plasticity: From Synapses to Maps. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 21, 149-186. [[10.1146/annurev.neuro.21.1.149](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.21.1.149)].
- Burgos-Robles A, Kimchi EY, Izadmehr EM, Porzenheim MJ, Ramos-Guasp WA, Nieh EH, Felix-Ortiz AC, Namburi P, Leppla CA, Presbrey KN, Anandalingam KK, Pagan-Rivera PA, Anahtar M, Beyeler A, Tye KM** (2017). Amygdala inputs to prefrontal cortex guide behavior amid conflicting cues of reward and punishment. *Nat Neurosci* 20, 824-835. [[10.1038/nn.4553](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4553)].
- Buschman TJ, Miller EK** (2007). Top-Down Versus Bottom-Up Control of Attention in the Prefrontal and Posterior Parietal Cortices. *Science* 315, 1860-1862. [[10.1126/science.1138071](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1138071)].
- Bush EC, Allman JM** (2003). The Scaling of White Matter to Gray Matter in Cerebellum and Neocortex. *Brain Behav Evol* 61, 1-5. [[10.1159/000068880](https://doi.org/10.1159/000068880)].
- Buzsáki G, Traub RD, Pedley T** (2003). The cellular basis of EEG activity. In *Current Practice of Clinical Electroencephalography, 3rd Ed.* Ebersole JS, Pedley TA, eds. (Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins), p.1-11.
- Buzsáki G, Anastassiou CA, Koch C** (2012). The origin of extracellular fields and currents — EEG, ECoG, LFP and spikes. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 13, 407-420. [[10.1038/nrn3241](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3241)].
- Buzsáki G, Logothetis N, Singer W** (2013). Scaling Brain Size, Keeping Timing: Evolutionary Preservation of Brain Rhythms. *Neuron* 80, 751-764. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2013.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.10.002)].
- Caballero JA, Humphries MD, Gurney KN** (2016). Evidence for a probabilistic, brain-distributed, recursive mechanism for decision-making. *bioRxiv preprint 036277v3*. [[10.1101/036277](https://doi.org/10.1101/036277)].
- Calabresi P, Centonze D, Gubellini P, Pisani A, Bernardi G** (1998). Endogenous ACh enhances striatal NMDA-responses via M1-like muscarinic receptors and PKC activation. *Eur J Neurosci* 10, 2887-2895. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.1998.00294.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.1998.00294.x)].
- Calabresi P, Centonze D, Gubellini P, Pisani A, Bernardi G** (2000). Acetylcholine-mediated modulation of striatal function. *Trends Neurosci* 23, 120-126. [[10.1016/S0166-2236\(99\)01501-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(99)01501-5)].
- Calzavara R, Mailly P, Haber SN** (2007). Relationship between the corticostriatal terminals from areas 9 and 46, and those from area 8A, dorsal and rostral premotor cortex and area 24c: an anatomical substrate for cognition to action. *Eur J Neurosci* 26, 2005-2024. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.2007.05825.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2007.05825.x)].
- Cameron HA, McKay RDG** (2001). Adult neurogenesis produces a large pool of new granule cells in the dentate gyrus. *J Comp Neurol* 435, 406-417. [[10.1002/cne.1040](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.1040)].
- Caminiti R, Ghaziri H, Galuske R, Hof PR, Innocenti GM** (2009). Evolution amplified processing with temporally dispersed slow neuronal connectivity in primates. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 106, 19551-19556. [[10.1073/pnas.0907655106](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0907655106)].
- Cannon J, McCarthy MM, Lee S, Lee J, Börgers C, Whittington MA, Kopell N** (2014). Neurosystems: brain rhythms and cognitive processing. *Eur J Neurosci* 39, 705-719. [[10.1111/ejn.12453](https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.12453)].
- Carpenter WT, Buchanan RW, Kirkpatrick B, Breier AF** (1999). Diazepam Treatment of Early Signs of Exacerbation in Schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 156, 299-303. [[ajp.psychiatryonline.org/doi/pdf/10.1176/ajp.156.2.299](https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.156.2.299)].
- Carter CS, Veen V van** (2007). Anterior cingulate cortex and conflict detection: An update of theory and data. *Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci* 7, 367-379. [[10.3758/CABN.7.4.367](https://doi.org/10.3758/CABN.7.4.367)].
- Carter OL, Burr DC, Pettigrew JD, Wallis GM, Hasler F, Vollenweider FX** (2005). Using Psilocybin to Investigate the Relationship between Attention, Working Memory, and the Serotonin 1A and 2A Receptors. *J Cogn Neurosci* 17, 1497-1508. [[10.1162/089892905774597191](https://doi.org/10.1162/089892905774597191)].
- Caspar E, Christensen J, Cleeremans A, Haggard P** (2016). Coercion Changes the Sense of Agency in the Human Brain. *Curr Biol* 26, 585-592. [[10.1016/j.cub.2015.12.067](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.12.067)].
- Chafee MV, Goldman-Rakic PS** (1998). Matching Patterns of Activity in Primate Prefrontal Area 8a and Parietal Area 7ip Neurons During a Spatial Working Memory Task. *J Neurophysiol* 79, 2919-2940. [jn.physiology.org/content/79/6/2919].
- Chatham CH, Badre D** (2015). Multiple gates on working memory. *Curr Opin Behav Sci* 1, 23-31. [[10.1016/j.cobeha.2014.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2014.08.001)].
- Cheng W, Palaniyappan L, Li M, Kendrick KM, Zhang J, Luo Q, Liu Z, Yu R, Deng W, Wang Q, Ma X, Guo W, Francis S, Liddle P, Mayer AR, Schumann G, Li T, Feng J** (2015). Voxel-based, brain-wide association study of aberrant functional connectivity in schizophrenia implicates thalamocortical circuitry. *NPJ Schizophr* 1, npjschz201516. [[10.1038/npjschz.2015.16](https://doi.org/10.1038/npjschz.2015.16)].
- Cheron G, Márquez-Ruiz J, Dan B** (2016). Oscillations, Timing, Plasticity, and Learning in the Cerebellum. *Cerebellum* 15, 122-138. [[10.1007/s12311-015-0665-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12311-015-0665-9)].
- Chevalier G, Deniau JM** (1990). Disinhibition as a basic process in the expression of striatal functions. *Trends Neurosci* 13, 277-280. [[10.1016/0166-2236\(90\)90109-N](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(90)90109-N)].
- Christoff K, Gabrieli JD** (2000). The frontopolar cortex and human cognition: Evidence for a rostrocaudal hierarchical organization within the human prefrontal cortex. *Psychobiology* 28, 168-186. [link.springer.com/article/10.3758/BF03331976].
- Churchland MM, Cunningham JP, Kaufman MT, Foster JD, Nuyujukian P, Ryu SI, Shenoy KV** (2012). Neural population dynamics during reaching. *Nature* 487, 51-56. [[10.1038/nature11129](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11129)].
- Cieslik EC, Zilles K, Caspers S, Roski C, Kellermann TS, Jakobs O, Langner R, Laird AR, Fox PT, Eickhoff SB** (2013). Is There "One" DLPFC in Cognitive Action Control? Evidence for Heterogeneity From Co-Activation-Based Parcellation. *Cereb Cortex* 23, 2677-2689. [[10.1093/cercor/bhs256](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhs256)].
- Ciullo V, Spalletta G, Caltagirone C, Jorge RE, Piras F** (2016). Explicit Time Deficit in Schizophrenia: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Indicate It Is Primary and Not Domain Specific. *Schizophr Bull* 42, 505-518. [[10.1093/schbul/sbv104](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbv104)].

- Clarke H, Walker S, Dalley J, Robbins T, Roberts A** (2006). Cognitive Inflexibility after Prefrontal Serotonin Depletion Is Behaviorally and Neurochemically Specific. *Cereb Cortex* 17, 18-27. [[10.1093/cercor/bhj120](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhj120)].
- Clascá F, Rubio-Garrido P, Jabaudon D** (2012). Unveiling the diversity of thalamocortical neuron subtypes. *Eur J Neurosci* 35, 1524-1532. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.2012.08033.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2012.08033.x)].
- Clover DM, Dum RP, Strick PL** (2005). Basal Ganglia and Cerebellar Inputs to 'AIP' *Cereb Cortex* 15, 913-920. [[10.1093/cercor/bhh190](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhh190)].
- Colder B** (2015). The basal ganglia select the expected sensory input used for predictive coding. *Front Comput Neurosci* 9. [[10.3389/fncom.2015.00119](https://doi.org/10.3389/fncom.2015.00119)].
- Cole MW, Pathak S, Schneider W** (2010). Identifying the brain's most globally connected regions. *NeuroImage* 49, 3132-3148. [[10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.11.001)].
- Cole SR, Voytek B** (2017). Brain Oscillations and the Importance of Waveform Shape. *Trends Cogn Sci* 21, 137-149. [[10.1016/j.tics.2016.12.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2016.12.008)].
- Colgin LL** (2011). Oscillations and hippocampal–prefrontal synchrony. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 21, 467-474. [[10.1016/j.conb.2011.04.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2011.04.006)].
- Collin G, Kahn RS, Reus MA de, Cahn W, Heuvel MP van den** (2014). Impaired Rich Club Connectivity in Unaffected Siblings of Schizophrenia Patients. *Schizophr Bull* 40, 438-448. [[10.1093/schbul/sbt162](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbt162)].
- Contant C, Umbriaco D, Garcia S, Watkins KC, Descarries L** (1996). Ultrastructural characterization of the acetylcholine innervation in adult rat neostriatum. *Neuroscience* 71, 937-947. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(95\)00507-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(95)00507-2)].
- Conte-Perales L, Rico AJ, Barroso-Chinea P, Gómez-Bautista V, Roda E, Luquin N, Sierra S, Lanciego JL** (2011). Pallidothalamic-projecting neurons in Macaca fascicularis co-express GABAergic and glutamatergic markers as seen in control, MPTP-treated and dyskinetic monkeys. *Brain Struct Funct* 216, 371-386. [[10.1007/s00429-011-0319-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-011-0319-8)].
- Contreras D, Destexhe A, Sejnowski TJ, Steriade M** (1997). Spatiotemporal Patterns of Spindle Oscillations in Cortex and Thalamus. *J Neurosci* 17, 1179-1196. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4312-03.2004](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4312-03.2004)].
- Cools R, Clark L, Robbins TW** (2004). Differential Responses in Human Striatum and Prefrontal Cortex to Changes in Object and Rule Relevance. *J Neurosci* 24, 1129-1135. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4312-03.2004](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4312-03.2004)].
- Corbetta M, Akbudak E, Conturo TE, Snyder AZ, Ollinger JM, Drury HA, Linenweber MR, Petersen SE, Raichle ME, Essen DC Van, Shulman GL** (1998). A Common Network of Functional Areas for Attention and Eye Movements. *Neuron* 21, 761-773. [[10.1016/S0896-6273\(00\)80593-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80593-0)].
- Corkin S** (2002). What's new with the amnesic patient H.M.? *Nat Rev Neurosci* 3, 153-160. [[10.1038/nrn726](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn726)].
- Cornwall J, Phillipson OT** (1988). Afferent projections to the parafascicular thalamic nucleus of the rat, as shown by the retrograde transport of wheat germ agglutinin. *Brain Res Bull* 20, 139-150. [[10.1016/0361-9230\(88\)90171-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-9230(88)90171-2)].
- Costa RM, Lin S-C, Sotnikova T, Cyr M, Gainetdinov R, Caron M, Nicolelis ML** (2006). Rapid Alterations in Corticostriatal Ensemble Coordination during Acute Dopamine-Dependent Motor Dysfunction. *Neuron* 52, 359-369. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2006.07.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2006.07.030)].
- Cote P-Y, Sadikot AF, Parent A** (1991). Complementary Distribution of Calbindin D-28k and Parvalbumin in the Basal Forebrain and Midbrain of the Squirrel Monkey. *Eur J Neurosci* 3, 1316-1329. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.1991.tb00064.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.1991.tb00064.x)].
- Courtemanche R, Fujii N, Graybiel AM** (2003). Synchronous, Focally Modulated β -Band Oscillations Characterize Local Field Potential Activity in the Striatum of Awake Behaving Monkeys. *J Neurosci* 23, 11741-11752. [[jneurosci.org/content/23/37/11741](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4312-03.2004)].
- Courtemanche R, Robinson JC, Aponte DI** (2013). Linking oscillations in cerebellar circuits. *Front Neural Circuits* 7. [[10.3389/fncir.2013.00125](https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2013.00125)].
- Cowan RL, Wilson CJ** (1994). Spontaneous Firing Patterns and Axonal Projections of Single Corticostriatal Neurons in the Rat Medial Agranular Cortex. *J Neurophysiol* 71, 17-32. [[jn.physiology.org/content/71/1/17](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1994.71.1.17)].
- Crandall S, Cruikshank S, Connors B** (2015). A Corticothalamic Switch: Controlling the Thalamus with Dynamic Synapses. *Neuron* 86, 768-782. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2015.03.040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.03.040)].
- Crapse TB, Sommer MA** (2008). Corollary discharge circuits in the primate brain. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 18, 552-557. [[10.1016/j.conb.2008.09.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2008.09.017)].
- Crick FC, Koch C** (2005). What is the function of the claustrum? *Philos Trans R Soc Lond, B, Biol Sci* 360, 1271-1279. [[10.1098/rstb.2005.1661](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1661)].
- Crittenden JR, Tillberg PW, Riad MH, Shima Y, Gerfen CR, Curry J, Housman DE, Nelson SB, Boyden ES, Graybiel AM** (2016). Striosome–dendron bouquets highlight a unique striatonigral circuit targeting dopamine-containing neurons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113, 11318-11323. [[10.1073/pnas.1613337113](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1613337113)].
- Cronenwett WJ, Csernansky J** (2010). Thalamic Pathology in Schizophrenia. In *Current Topics in Behavioral Neurosciences 4: Behavioral Neurobiology of Schizophrenia and Its Treatment*, p.509-528. [[10.1007/7854_2010_55](https://doi.org/10.1007/7854_2010_55)].
- Cui G, Jun SB, Jin X, Pham MD, Vogel SS, Lovinger DM, Costa RM** (2013). Concurrent activation of striatal direct and indirect pathways during action initiation. *Nature* 494, 238-242. [[10.1038/nature11846](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11846)].
- Curtis CE, D'Esposito M** (2003). Persistent activity in the prefrontal cortex during working memory. *Trends Cogn Sci* 7, 415-423. [[10.1016/S1364-6613\(03\)00197-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(03)00197-9)].
- Damasio AR** (1989). Time-locked multiregional retroactivation: A systems-level proposal for the neural substrates of recall and recognition. *Cognition* 33, 25-62. [[10.1016/0010-0277\(89\)90005-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277(89)90005-X)].
- Dandash O, Pantelis C, Fornito A** (2017). Dopamine, fronto-striato-thalamic circuits and risk for psychosis. *Schizophr Res* 180, 48-57. [[10.1016/j.schres.2016.08.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2016.08.020)].
- Davies MF, Deisz RA, Prince DA, Peroutka SJ** (1987). Two distinct effects of 5-hydroxytryptamine on single cortical neurons. *Brain Res* 423, 347-352. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(87\)90861-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(87)90861-4)].

- Daw ND, Kakade S, Dayan P** (2002). Opponent interactions between serotonin and dopamine. *Neural Net* 15, 603-616. [[10.1016/S0893-6080\(02\)00052-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0893-6080(02)00052-7)].
- DeCoteau WE, Thorn C, Gibson DJ, Courtemanche R, Mitra P, Kubota Y, Graybiel AM** (2007). Learning-related coordination of striatal and hippocampal theta rhythms during acquisition of a procedural maze task. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 104, 5644-5649. [[10.1073/pnas.0700818104](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0700818104)].
- DeFelipe J, Conley M, Jones EG** (1986). Long-Range Focal Collateralization of Axons Arising from Corticocortical Cells in Monkey Sensory-Motor Cortex. *J Neurosci* 6, 3749-3786. [[jneurosci.org/content/6/12/3749](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/6/12/3749)].
- Deffains M, Iskhakova L, Bergman H** (2016). Stop and Think about Basal Ganglia Functional Organization: The Pallido-Striatal “Stop” Route. *Neuron* 89, 237-239. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2016.01.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.01.003)].
- Dehaene S, Naccache L** (2001). Towards a cognitive neuroscience of consciousness: basic evidence and a workspace framework. *Cognition* 79, 1-37. [[10.1016/S0010-0277\(00\)00123-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-0277(00)00123-2)].
- Dehaene S, Changeux J-P** (2011). Experimental and Theoretical Approaches to Conscious Processing. *Neuron* 70, 200-227. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2011.03.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.03.018)].
- Deister CA, Dodla R, Barraza D, Kita H, Wilson CJ** (2013). Firing rate and pattern heterogeneity in the globus pallidus arise from a single neuronal population. *J Neurophysiol* 109, 497-506. [[10.1152/jn.00677.2012](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00677.2012)].
- DeLong MR, Georgopoulos AP** (2011). Motor Functions of the Basal Ganglia. In *Comprehensive Physiology* (Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.), p.1017-1061. [[10.1002/cphy.cp010221](https://doi.org/10.1002/cphy.cp010221)].
- Dempsey EW, Morison RS** (1941). The Production of Rhythmically Recurrent Cortical Potentials after Localized Thalamic Stimulation. *Am J Physiol* 135, 293-300. [ajphlegacy.physiology.org/content/135/2/293/].
- Deng W, Aimone JB, Gage FH** (2010). New neurons and new memories: how does adult hippocampal neurogenesis affect learning and memory? *Nat Rev Neurosci* 11, 339-350. [[10.1038/nrn2822](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2822)].
- Deschênes M, Bourassa J, Pinault D** (1994). Corticothalamic projections from layer V cells in rat are collaterals of long-range corticofugal axons. *Brain Res* 664, 215-219. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(94\)91974-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(94)91974-7)].
- Deschênes M, Bourassa J, Doan VD, Parent A** (1996). A Single-cell Study of the Axonal Projections Arising from the Posterior Intralaminar Thalamic Nuclei in the Rat. *Eur J Neurosci* 8, 329-343. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.1996.tb01217.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.1996.tb01217.x)].
- Deschênes M, Veinante P, Zhang Z-W** (1998). The organization of corticothalamic projections: reciprocity versus parity. *Brain Res Rev* 28, 286-308. [[10.1016/S0165-0173\(98\)00017-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0173(98)00017-4)].
- Desrochers T, Amemori K-i, Graybiel A** (2015). Habit Learning by Naive Macaques Is Marked by Response Sharpening of Striatal Neurons Representing the Cost and Outcome of Acquired Action Sequences. *Neuron* 87, 853-868. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2015.07.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.07.019)].
- Diesmann M, Gewaltig M-O, Aertsen A** (1999). Stable propagation of synchronous spiking in cortical neural networks. *Nature* 402, 529-533. [[10.1038/990101](https://doi.org/10.1038/990101)].
- Ding J, Peterson JD, Surmeier DJ** (2008). Corticostriatal and Thalamostriatal Synapses Have Distinctive Properties. *J Neurosci* 28, 6483-6492. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0435-08.2008](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0435-08.2008)].
- Ding L, Gold J** (2013). The Basal Ganglia’s Contributions to Perceptual Decision Making. *Neuron* 79, 640-649. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2013.07.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.07.042)].
- Djurfeldt M, Ekeberg Ö, Graybiel AM** (2001). Cortex–basal ganglia interaction and attractor states. *Neurocomputing* 38-40, 573-579. [[10.1016/S0925-2312\(01\)00413-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-2312(01)00413-1)].
- Dotson NM, Salazar RE, Gray CM** (2014). Frontoparietal Correlation Dynamics Reveal Interplay between Integration and Segregation during Visual Working Memory. *J Neurosci* 34, 13600-13613. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1961-14.2014](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1961-14.2014)].
- Doupe A, Perkel D, Reiner A, Stern E** (2005). Birdbrains could teach basal ganglia research a new song. *Trends Neurosci* 28, 353-363. [[10.1016/j.tins.2005.05.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2005.05.005)].
- Dringenberg HC, Vanderwolf CH** (1997). Neocortical activation: modulation by multiple pathways acting on central cholinergic and serotonergic systems. *Exp Brain Res* 116, 160-174. [[10.1007/PL00005736](https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00005736)].
- Dringenberg HC, Vanderwolf CH** (1998). Involvement of Direct and Indirect Pathways in Electrocorticographic Activation. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 22, 243-257. [[10.1016/S0149-7634\(97\)00012-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0149-7634(97)00012-2)].
- Early TS, Reiman EM, Raichle ME, Spitznagel EL** (1987). Left globus pallidus abnormality in never-medicated patients with schizophrenia. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 84, 561-563. [[10.1073/pnas.84.2.561](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.84.2.561)].
- Eblen F, Graybiel AM** (1995). Highly Restricted Origin of Prefrontal Cortical Inputs to Striosomes in the Macaque Monkey. *J Neurosci* 15, 5999-6013. [[jneurosci.org/content/15/9/5999](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/15/9/5999)].
- Edelstein LR, Denaro FJ** (2004). The Claustrum: a Historical Review of Its Anatomy, Physiology, Cytochemistry and Functional Significance. *Cell Mol Biol* 50, 675-702. [www.researchgate.net/publication/8087100_The_claustrum_A_historical_review_of_its_anatomy_physiology_cytochemistry_and_functional_significance].
- Eid L, Parent M** (2015). Cholinergic neurons intrinsic to the primate external pallidum. *Synapse* 69, 416-419. [[10.1002/syn.21828](https://doi.org/10.1002/syn.21828)].
- Elston GN** (2000). Pyramidal Cells of the Frontal Lobe: All the More Spinous to Think With. *J Neurosci* 20:18, RC95. [[jneurosci.org/content/20/18/RC95](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/20/18/RC95)].
- Engle RW** (2002). Working Memory Capacity as Executive Attention. *Curr Directions Psychol Sci* 11, 19-23. [[10.1111/1467-8721.00160](https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.00160)].
- Ernst A, Alkass K, Bernard S, Salehpour M, Perl S, Tisdale J, Possnert G, Druid H, Frisén J** (2014). Neurogenesis in the Striatum of the Adult Human Brain. *Cell* 156, 1072-1083. [[10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.044)].
- Erro E, Lanciego JL, Giménez-Amaya JM** (1999). Relationships between thalamostriatal neurons and pedunculopontine projections to the thalamus: a neuroanatomical tract-tracing study in the rat. *Exp Brain Res* 127, 162-170. [[10.1007/s002210050786](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002210050786)].

- Essen DC Van** (2005). Corticocortical and thalamocortical information flow in the primate visual system. In *Prog. Brain Res.* 149: *Cortical Function: a View from the Thalamus*, p.173-185. [[10.1016/S0079-6123\(05\)49013-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(05)49013-5)].
- Fagerholm ED, Lorenz R, Scott G, Dinov M, Hellyer PJ, Mirzaei N, Leeson C, Carmichael DW, Sharp DJ, Shew WL, Leech R** (2015). Cascades and Cognitive State: Focused Attention Incurs Subcritical Dynamics. *J Neurosci* 35, 4626-4634. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3694-14.2015](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3694-14.2015)].
- Fang Z, Sergeeva V, Ray LB, Viczko J, Owen AM, Fogel SM** (2017). Sleep Spindles and Intellectual Ability: Epiphenomenon or Directly Related? *J Cogn Neurosci* 29, 167-182. [[10.1162/jocn_a_01034](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_01034)].
- Farrer C, Frey SH, Horn JD Van, Tunik E, Turk D, Inati S, Grafton ST** (2008). The Angular Gyrus Computes Action Awareness Representations. *Cereb Cortex* 18, 254-261. [[10.1093/cercor/bhm050](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhm050)].
- Fell J, Axmacher N** (2011). The role of phase synchronization in memory processes. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 12, 105-118. [[10.1038/nrn2979](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2979)].
- Fernandez FR, Malerba P, Bressloff PC, White JA** (2013). Entorhinal Stellate Cells Show Preferred Spike Phase-Locking to Theta Inputs That Is Enhanced by Correlations in Synaptic Activity. *J Neurosci* 33, 6027-6040. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3892-12.2013](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3892-12.2013)].
- Ferrarelli F, Huber R, Peterson MJ, Massimini M, Murphy M, Riedner BA, Watson A, Bria P, Tononi G** (2007). Reduced Sleep Spindle Activity in Schizophrenia Patients. *Am J Psychiatry* 164, 483-492. [[10.1176/ajp.2007.164.3.483](https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.2007.164.3.483)].
- Ferrarelli F, Peterson MJ, Sarasso S, Riedner BA, Murphy MJ, Benca RM, Bria P, Kalin NH, Tononi G** (2010a). Thalamic Dysfunction in Schizophrenia Suggested by Whole-Night Deficits in Slow and Fast Spindles. *Am J Psychiatry* 167, 1339-1348. [[10.1176/appi.ajp.2010.09121731](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2010.09121731)].
- Ferrarelli F, Massimini M, Sarasso S, Casali A, Riedner BA, Angelini G, Tononi G, Pearce RA** (2010b). Breakdown in cortical effective connectivity during midazolam-induced loss of consciousness. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 107, 2681-2686. [[10.1073/pnas.0913008107](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0913008107)].
- Ferrarelli F, Tononi G** (2011). The Thalamic Reticular Nucleus and Schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 37, 306-315. [[10.1093/schbul/sbq142](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbq142)].
- Fields RD** (2015). A new mechanism of nervous system plasticity: activity-dependent myelination. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 16, 756-767. [[10.1038/nrn4023](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn4023)].
- Fino E, Glowinski J, Venance L** (2005). Bidirectional Activity-Dependent Plasticity at Corticostriatal Synapses. *J Neurosci* 25, 11279-11287. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4476-05.2005](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4476-05.2005)].
- Fino E, Deniau J-M, Venance L** (2008). Cell-specific spike-timing-dependent plasticity in GABAergic and cholinergic interneurons in corticostriatal rat brain slices. *J Physiol* 586, 265-282. [[10.1113/jphysiol.2007.144501](https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2007.144501)].
- Fiorella D, Rabin RA, Winter JC** (1995). The role of the 5-HT_{2A} and 5-HT_{2C} receptors in the stimulus effects of hallucinogenic drugs I: Antagonist correlation analysis. *Psychopharmacology* 121, 347-356. [[10.1007/BF02246074](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02246074)].
- Flaherty AW, Graybiel AM** (1993). Output Architecture of the Primate Putamen. *J Neurosci* 13, 3222-3237. [[jneurosci.org/content/13/8/3222](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.13/8/3222)].
- Flaherty AW, Graybiel AM** (1994). Input-Output Organization of the Sensorimotor Striatum in the Squirrel Monkey. *J Neurosci* 14, 599-610. [[jneurosci.org/content/14/2/599](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.14/2/599)].
- Ford JM, Mathalon DH, Heinks T, Kalba S, Faustman WO, Roth WT** (2001). Neurophysiological Evidence of Corollary Discharge Dysfunction in Schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 158, 2069-2071. [[10.1176/appi.ajp.158.12.2069](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.158.12.2069)].
- Ford JM, Gray M, Faustman WO, Roach BJ, Mathalon DH** (2007). Dissecting corollary discharge dysfunction in schizophrenia. *Psychophysiology* 44, 522-529. [[10.1111/j.1469-8986.2007.00533.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.2007.00533.x)].
- Fornito A, Harrison BJ, Goodby E, Dean A, Ooi C, Nathan PJ, Lennox BR, Jones PB, Suckling J, Bullmore ET** (2013). Functional Dysconnectivity of Corticostriatal Circuitry as a Risk Phenotype for Psychosis. *JAMA Psychiatry* 70, 1143-1151. [[10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.1976](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.1976)].
- François C, Yelnik J, Percheron G** (1987). Golgi study of the primate substantia nigra. II. Spatial organization of dendritic arborizations in relation to the cytoarchitectonic boundaries and to the striatonigral bundle. *J Comp Neurol* 265, 473-493. [[10.1002/cne.902650403](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902650403)].
- Frank MJ, Loughry B, O'Reilly RC** (2001). Interactions between frontal cortex and basal ganglia in working memory: A computational model. *Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci* 1, 137-160. [[10.3758/CABN.1.2.137](https://doi.org/10.3758/CABN.1.2.137)].
- Frankland PW, Bontempi B** (2005). The organization of recent and remote memories. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 6, 119-130. [[10.1038/nrn1607](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1607)].
- Friedman A, Homma D, Gibb L, Amemori K-i, Rubin S, Hood A, Riad M, Graybiel A** (2015). A Corticostriatal Path Targeting Striosomes Controls Decision-Making under Conflict. *Cell* 161, 1320-1333. [[10.1016/j.cell.2015.04.049](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.04.049)].
- Fries P, Roelfsema PR, Engel AK, König P, Singer W** (1997). Synchronization of oscillatory responses in visual cortex correlates with perception in interocular rivalry. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 94, 12699-12704. [[10.1073/pnas.94.23.12699](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.23.12699)].
- Fries P, Schröder J-H, Roelfsema PR, Singer W, Engel AK** (2002). Oscillatory Neuronal Synchronization in Primary Visual Cortex as a Correlate of Stimulus Selection. *J Neurosci* 22, 3739-3754. [[jneurosci.org/content/22/9/3739](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22/9/3739)].
- Fries P** (2005). A mechanism for cognitive dynamics: neuronal communication through neuronal coherence. *Trends Cogn Sci* 9, 474-480. [[10.1016/j.tics.2005.08.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.08.011)].
- Friston KJ, Frith CD** (1995). Schizophrenia: A Disconnection Syndrome? *Clin Neurosci* 3, 89-97. [www.researchgate.net/profile/Karl_Friston/publication/15614761_Schizophrenia_A_disconnection_syndrome/links/00b7d516fbc9bb2364000000.pdf].
- Friston KJ** (2010). The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? *Nat Rev Neurosci* 11, 127-138. [[10.1038/nrn2787](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2787)].
- Friston KJ** (2011). Functional and Effective Connectivity: A Review. *Brain Connect* 1, 13-36. [[10.1089/brain.2011.0008](https://doi.org/10.1089/brain.2011.0008)].
- Fuhrmann DR, Browning JP, Rangaswamy M** (2010). Signaling Strategies for the Hybrid MIMO Phased-Array Radar. *IEEE J Sel Top Signal Process* 4, 66-78. [[10.1109/JSTSP.2009.2038968](https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTSP.2009.2038968)].

- Fukushima J, Fukushima K, Chiba T, Tanaka S, Yamashita I, Kato M** (1988). Disturbances of voluntary control of saccadic eye movements in schizophrenic patients. *Biol Psychiatry* 23, 670-677. [[10.1016/0006-3223\(88\)90050-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3223(88)90050-9)].
- Gage GJ, Stoetznner CR, Wiltschko AB, Berke JD** (2010). Selective Activation of Striatal Fast-Spiking Interneurons during Choice Execution. *Neuron* 67, 466-479. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2010.06.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.06.034)].
- García-Cabezas MÁ, Barbas H** (2014). Area 4 has layer IV in adult primates. *Eur J Neurosci* 39, 1824-1834. [[10.1111/ejn.12585](https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.12585)].
- Gasbarri A, Packard M, Sulli A, Pacitti C, Innocenzi R, Perciavalle V** (1996). The projections of the retrorubral field A8 to the hippocampal formation in the rat. *Exp Brain Res* 112, 244-252. [[10.1007/BF00227643](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00227643)].
- Gasca-Martinez D, Hernandez A, Sierra A, Valdiosera R, Anaya-Martinez V, Floran B, Erlij D, Aceves J** (2010). Dopamine inhibits GABA transmission from the globus pallidus to the thalamic reticular nucleus via presynaptic D4 receptors. *Neuroscience* 169, 1672-1681. [[10.1016/j.neuroscience.2010.05.048](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2010.05.048)].
- Gaykema RPA, Záborszky L** (1997). Parvalbumin-containing neurons in the basal forebrain receive direct input from the substantia nigra-ventral tegmental area. *Brain Res* 747, 173-179. [[10.1016/S0006-8993\(96\)01309-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-8993(96)01309-1)].
- Genzel L, Kroes MCW, Dresler M, Battaglia FP** (2014). Light sleep versus slow wave sleep in memory consolidation: a question of global versus local processes? *Trends Neurosci* 37, 10-19. [[10.1016/j.tins.2013.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2013.10.002)].
- Gerfen C** (1989). The neostriatal mosaic: striatal patch-matrix organization is related to cortical lamination. *Science* 246, 385-388. [[10.1126/science.2799392](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2799392)].
- Gerfen CR, Surmeier DJ** (2011). Modulation of Striatal Projection Systems by Dopamine. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 34, 441-466. [[10.1146/annurev-neuro-061010-113641](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-061010-113641)].
- German DC, Manaye KF, Wu D, Hersh LB, Zweig RM** (1999). Mesopontine cholinergic and non-cholinergic neurons in schizophrenia. *Neuroscience* 94, 33-38. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(99\)00305-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(99)00305-X)].
- Gerstner W, Kempter R, Hemmen JL van, Wagner H** (1996). A neuronal learning rule for sub-millisecond temporal coding. *Nature* 383, 76-78. [[10.1038/383076a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/383076a0)].
- Gertler TS, Chan CS, Surmeier DJ** (2008). Dichotomous Anatomical Properties of Adult Striatal Medium Spiny Neurons. *J Neurosci* 28, 10814-10824. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2660-08.2008](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2660-08.2008)].
- Gervasoni D, Peyron C, Rampon C, Barbagli B, Chouvet G, Urbain N, Fort P, Luppi P-H** (2000). Role and Origin of the GABAergic Innervation of Dorsal Raphe Serotonergic Neurons. *J Neurosci* 20, 4217-4225. [[jneurosci.org/content/20/11/4217](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/20/11/4217)].
- Geyer M, Vollenweider F** (2008). Serotonin research: contributions to understanding psychoses. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 29, 445-453. [[10.1016/j.tips.2008.06.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tips.2008.06.006)].
- Ghashghaei HT, Barbas H** (2001). Neural interaction between the basal forebrain and functionally distinct prefrontal cortices in the rhesus monkey. *Neuroscience* 103, 593-614. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(00\)00585-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(00)00585-6)].
- Giovannucci A, Badura A, Deverett B, Najafi F, Pereira TD, Gao Z, Ozden I, Kloth AD, Pnevmatikakis E, Paninski L, Zeeuw CI De, Medina JF, Wang SS-H** (2017). Cerebellar granule cells acquire a widespread predictive feedback signal during motor learning. *Nat Neurosci* 20, 727-734. [[10.1038/nn.4531](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4531)].
- Gittis AH, Nelson AB, Thwin MT, Palop JJ, Kreitzer AC** (2010). Distinct Roles of GABAergic Interneurons in the Regulation of Striatal Output Pathways. *J Neurosci* 30, 2223-2234. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4870-09.2010](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4870-09.2010)].
- Gittis AH, Leventhal DK, Fensterheim BA, Pettibone JR, Berke JD, Kreitzer AC** (2011). Selective Inhibition of Striatal Fast-Spiking Interneurons Causes Dyskinesias. *J Neurosci* 31, 15727-15731. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3875-11.2011](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3875-11.2011)].
- Glantz LA, Lewis DA** (2000). Decreased Dendritic Spine Density on Prefrontal Cortical Pyramidal Neurons in Schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 57, 65-73. [[10.1001/archpsyc.57.1.65](https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.57.1.65)].
- Glasser MF, Coalson TS, Robinson EC, Hacker CD, Harwell J, Yacoub E, Ugurbil K, Andersson J, Beckmann CF, Jenkinson M, Smith SM, Essen DC Van** (2016). A multi-modal parcellation of human cerebral cortex. *Nature* 536, 171-178. [[10.1038/nature18933](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18933)].
- Glenn LL, Hada J, Roy JP, Deschênes M, Steriade M** (1982). Anterograde tracer and field potential analysis of the neocortical layer I projection from nucleus ventralis medialis of the thalamus in cat. *Neuroscience* 7, 1861-1877. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(82\)90003-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(82)90003-3)].
- Glennon RA, Titeler M, McKenney JD** (1984). Evidence for 5-HT₂ involvement in the mechanism of action of hallucinogenic agents. *Life Sci* 35, 2505-2511. [[10.1016/0024-3205\(84\)90436-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3205(84)90436-3)].
- Glickstein M, May JG, Mercier BE** (1985). Corticopontine projection in the macaque: The distribution of labelled cortical cells after large injections of horseradish peroxidase in the pontine nuclei. *J Comp Neurol* 235, 343-359. [[10.1002/cne.902350306](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902350306)].
- Godwin D, Barry RL, Marois R** (2015). Breakdown of the brain's functional network modularity with awareness. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 112:12, 201414466. [[10.1073/pnas.1414466112](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1414466112)].
- Goldberg JA, Bergman H** (2011). Computational physiology of the neural networks of the primate globus pallidus: function and dysfunction. *Neuroscience* 198, 171-192. [[10.1016/j.neuroscience.2011.08.068](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2011.08.068)].
- Goldberg JH, Farries MA, Fee MS** (2013). Basal ganglia output to the thalamus: still a paradox. *Trends Neurosci* 36, 695-705. [[10.1016/j.tins.2013.09.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2013.09.001)].
- Goldman-Rakic PS** (1988). Topography of Cognition: Parallel Distributed Networks in Primate Association Cortex. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 11, 137-156. [[10.1146/annurev.ne.11.030188.001033](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ne.11.030188.001033)].
- Goldman-Rakic PS** (1995). Cellular basis of working memory. *Neuron* 14, 477-485. [[10.1016/0896-6273\(95\)90304-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(95)90304-6)].
- Goldman-Rakic PS** (1999). The physiological approach: functional architecture of working memory and disordered cognition in schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 46, 650-661. [[10.1016/S0006-3223\(99\)00130-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223(99)00130-4)].

- Gómez-Laberge C, Smolyanskaya A, Nassi J, Kreiman G, Born R** (2016). Bottom-Up and Top-Down Input Augment the Variability of Cortical Neurons. *Neuron* 91, 540-547. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2016.06.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.06.028)].
- Gonçalves L, Nogueira MI, Shammah-Lagnado SJ, Metzger M** (2009). Prefrontal afferents to the dorsal raphe nucleus in the rat. *Brain Res Bull* 78, 240-247. [[10.1016/j.brainresbull.2008.11.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresbull.2008.11.012)].
- Gonzalez-Burgos G, Cho RY, Lewis DA** (2015). Alterations in Cortical Network Oscillations and Parvalbumin Neurons in Schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 77, 1031-1040. [[10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.03.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.03.010)].
- González-Maeso J, Weisstaub NV, Zhou M, Chan P, Ivic L, Ang R, Lira A, Bradley-Moore M, Ge Y, Zhou Q, Sealfon SC, Gingrich JA** (2007). Hallucinogens Recruit Specific Cortical 5-HT_{2A} Receptor-Mediated Signaling Pathways to Affect Behavior. *Neuron* 53, 439-452. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2007.01.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2007.01.008)].
- Grace AA** (2016). Dysregulation of the dopamine system in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia and depression. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 17, 524-532. [[10.1038/nrn.2016.57](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2016.57)].
- Grasby K, Talk A** (2013). The anterior claustrum and spatial reversal learning in rats. *Brain Res* 1499, 43-52. [[10.1016/j.brainres.2013.01.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2013.01.014)].
- Graybiel AM, Ragsdale CW** (1978). Histochemically distinct compartments in the striatum of human, monkeys, and cat demonstrated by acetylthiocholinesterase staining. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 75, 5723-5726. [[10.1073/pnas.75.11.5723](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.75.11.5723)].
- Graybiel AM, Hirsch EC, Agid YA** (1987). Differences in tyrosine hydroxylase-like immunoreactivity characterize the mesostriatal innervation of striosomes and extrastriosomal matrix at maturity. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 84, 303-307. [[10.1073/pnas.84.1.303](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.84.1.303)].
- Graybiel AM** (1990). Neurotransmitters and neuromodulators in the basal ganglia. *Trends Neurosci* 13, 244-254. [[10.1016/0166-2236\(90\)90104-I](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(90)90104-I)].
- Graybiel AM, Aosaki T, Flaherty A, Kimura M** (1994). The basal ganglia and adaptive motor control. *Science* 265, 1826-1831. [[10.1126/science.8091209](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.8091209)].
- Graybiel AM** (1997). The Basal Ganglia and Cognitive Pattern Generators. *Schizophr Bull* 23, 459-469. [[10.1093/schbul/23.3.459](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/23.3.459)].
- Graybiel AM** (1998). The Basal Ganglia and Chunking of Action Repertoires. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 70, 119-136. [[10.1006/nlme.1998.3843](https://doi.org/10.1006/nlme.1998.3843)].
- Graybiel AM, Rauch SL** (2000). Toward a Neurobiology of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder. *Neuron* 28, 343-347. [[10.1016/S0896-6273\(00\)00113-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)00113-6)].
- Graybiel AM** (2008). Habits, Rituals, and the Evaluative Brain. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 31, 359-387. [[10.1146/annurev.neuro.29.051605.112851](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.29.051605.112851)].
- Gregoriou GG, Gotts SJ, Zhou H, Desimone R** (2009). High-Frequency, Long-Range Coupling Between Prefrontal and Visual Cortex During Attention. *Science* 324, 1207-1210. [[10.1126/science.1171402](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1171402)].
- Groenewegen HJ, Berendse HW, Haber SN** (1993). Organization of the output of the ventral striatopallidal system in the rat: Ventral pallidal efferents. *Neuroscience* 57, 113-142. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(93\)90115-V](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(93)90115-V)].
- Groenewegen HJ, Berendse HW** (1994). The specificity of the 'nonspecific' midline and intralaminar thalamic nuclei. *Trends Neurosci* 17, 52-57. [[10.1016/0166-2236\(94\)90074-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(94)90074-4)].
- Grofova I, Zhou M** (1998). Nigral innervation of cholinergic and glutamatergic cells in the rat mesopontine tegmentum: Light and electron microscopic anterograde tracing and immunohistochemical studies. *J Comp Neurol* 395, 359-379. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19980808\)395:3<359::AID-CNE7>3.0.CO;2-1](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19980808)395:3<359::AID-CNE7>3.0.CO;2-1)].
- Grove EA, Domesick VB, Nauta WJH** (1986). Light microscopic evidence of striatal input to intrapallidal neurons of cholinergic cell group Ch4 in the rat: a study employing the anterograde tracer Phaseolus vulgaris leucoagglutinin (PHA-L) *Brain Res* 367, 379-384. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(86\)91623-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(86)91623-9)].
- Gruber AJ, Dayan P, Gutkin BS, Solla SA** (2006). Dopamine modulation in the basal ganglia locks the gate to working memory. *J Comput Neurosci* 20, 153-166. [[10.1007/s10827-005-5705-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10827-005-5705-x)].
- Guillery RW, Feig SL, Lozsádi DA** (1998). Paying attention to the thalamic reticular nucleus. *Trends Neurosci* 21, 28-32. [[10.1016/S0166-2236\(97\)01157-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(97)01157-0)].
- Haber SN, Groenewegen HJ, Grove EA, Nauta WJH** (1985). Efferent connections of the ventral pallidum: Evidence of a dual striato pallidofugal pathway. *J Comp Neurol* 235, 322-335. [[10.1002/cne.902350304](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902350304)].
- Haber SN** (1987). Anatomical relationship between the basal ganglia and the basal nucleus of Meynert in human and monkey forebrain. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 84, 1408-1412. [[10.1073/pnas.84.5.1408](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.84.5.1408)].
- Haber SN, Lynd E, Klein C, Groenewegen HJ** (1990). Topographic organization of the ventral striatal efferent projections in the rhesus monkey: An anterograde tracing study. *J Comp Neurol* 293, 282-298. [[10.1002/cne.902930210](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902930210)].
- Haber SN, Calzavara R** (2009). The cortico-basal ganglia integrative network: The role of the thalamus. *Brain Res Bull* 78, 69-74. [[10.1016/j.brainresbull.2008.09.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresbull.2008.09.013)].
- Haider B, Duque A, Hasenstaub AR, McCormick DA** (2006). Neocortical Network Activity In Vivo Is Generated through a Dynamic Balance of Excitation and Inhibition. *J Neurosci* 26, 4535-4545. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5297-05.2006](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5297-05.2006)].
- Haider B, Häusser M, Carandini M** (2012). Inhibition dominates sensory responses in the awake cortex. *Nature* 493, 97-100. [[10.1038/nature11665](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11665)].
- Haider B, Schulz DA, Häusser M, Carandini M** (2016). Millisecond Coupling of Local Field Potentials to Synaptic Currents in the Awake Visual Cortex. *Neuron* 90, 35-42. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2016.02.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.02.034)].
- Hallanger AE, Levey AI, Lee HJ, Rye DB, Wainer BH** (1987). The origins of cholinergic and other subcortical afferents to the thalamus in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 262, 105-124. [[10.1002/cne.902620109](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902620109)].

- Hamid AA, Pettibone JR, Mabrouk OS, Hetrick VL, Schmidt R, Vander Weele CM, Kennedy RT, Aragona BJ, Berke JD** (2015). Mesolimbic dopamine signals the value of work. *Nat Neurosci* 19, 117-126. [[10.1038/nm.4173](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4173)].
- Hammond C, Bergman H, Brown P** (2007). Pathological synchronization in Parkinson's disease: networks, models and treatments. *Trends Neurosci* 30, 357-364. [[10.1016/j.tins.2007.05.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2007.05.004)].
- Hardman CD, Henderson JM, Finkelstein DI, Horne MK, Paxinos G, Halliday GM** (2002). Comparison of the basal ganglia in rats, marmosets, macaques, baboons, and humans: Volume and neuronal number for the output, internal relay, and striatal modulating nuclei. *J Comp Neurol* 445, 238-255. [[10.1002/cne.10165](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.10165)].
- Harnois C, Filion M** (1982). Pallidofugal projections to thalamus and midbrain: A quantitative antidromic activation study in monkeys and cats. *Exp Brain Res* 47, 277-285. [[10.1007/BF00239387](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00239387)].
- Harriger L, Heuvel MP van den, Sporns O, Kaiser M** (2012). Rich Club Organization of Macaque Cerebral Cortex and Its Role in Network Communication. *PLoS ONE* 7:9, e46497. [[10.1371/journal.pone.0046497](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0046497)].
- Harrison P** (2004). The hippocampus in schizophrenia: a review of the neuropathological evidence and its pathophysiological implications. *Psychopharmacology* 174, 151-162. [[10.1007/s00213-003-1761-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-003-1761-y)].
- Hasenstaub A, Shu Y, Haider B, Kraushaar U, Duque A, McCormick DA** (2005). Inhibitory Postsynaptic Potentials Carry Synchronized Frequency Information in Active Cortical Networks. *Neuron* 47, 423-435. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2005.06.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.06.016)].
- Hasselmo ME, Bodelón C, Wyble BP** (2002). A Proposed Function for Hippocampal Theta Rhythm: Separate Phases of Encoding and Retrieval Enhance Reversal of Prior Learning. *Neural Comput* 14, 793-817. [[10.1162/089976602317318965](https://doi.org/10.1162/089976602317318965)].
- Hasselmo ME** (2006). The role of acetylcholine in learning and memory. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 16, 710-715. [[10.1016/j.conb.2006.09.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2006.09.002)].
- Hassler R** (1982). The Possible Pathophysiology of Schizophrenic Disturbances of Perception and Their Relief by Stereotactic Coagulation of Intralaminar Mediothalamic Nuclei. In *Advances in the Biosciences* 39: *Psychobiology of Schizophrenia*, p.69-91 (Oxford, New York: Pergamon).
- Hastings NB, Gould E** (1999). Rapid extension of axons into the CA3 region by adult-generated granule cells. *J Comp Neurol* 413, 146-154. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19991011\)413:1<146::AID-CNE10>3.0.CO;2-B](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19991011)413:1<146::AID-CNE10>3.0.CO;2-B)].
- Hatsopoulos NG, Ojakangas CL, Paninski L, Donoghue JP** (1998). Information about movement direction obtained from synchronous activity of motor cortical neurons. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 95, 15706-15711. [[10.1073/pnas.95.26.15706](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.26.15706)].
- Hazlett EA, Buchsbaum MS, Kemether E, Bloom R, Platholi J, Brickman AM, Shihabuddin L, Tang C, Byne W** (2004). Abnormal Glucose Metabolism in the Mediodorsal Nucleus of the Thalamus in Schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 161, 305-314. [[10.1176/appi.ajp.161.2.305](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.161.2.305)].
- Hazrati L-N, Parent A** (1991). Projection from the external pallidum to the reticular thalamic nucleus in the squirrel monkey. *Brain Res* 550, 142-146. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(91\)90418-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(91)90418-U)].
- Hazy TE, Frank MJ, O'Reilly RC** (2006). Banishing the homunculus: Making working memory work. *Neuroscience* 139, 105-118. [[10.1016/j.neuroscience.2005.04.067](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2005.04.067)].
- Heimer L, Harlan RE, Alheid GF, Garcia MM, Olmos J de** (1997). Substantia innominata: a notion which impedes clinical-anatomical correlations in neuropsychiatric disorders. *Neuroscience* 76, 957-1006. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(96\)00405-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(96)00405-8)].
- Henderson JM, Carpenter K, Cartwright H, Halliday GM** (2000). Degeneration of the centré median-parafascicular complex in Parkinson's disease. *Ann Neurol* 47, 345-352. [[10.1002/1531-8249\(200003\)47:3<345::AID-ANA10>3.3.CO;2-M](https://doi.org/10.1002/1531-8249(200003)47:3<345::AID-ANA10>3.3.CO;2-M)].
- Henke K** (2010). A model for memory systems based on processing modes rather than consciousness. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 11, 523-532. [[10.1038/nrn2850](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2850)].
- Herculano-Houzel S, Collins CE, Wong P, Kaas JH** (2007). Cellular scaling rules for primate brains. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 104, 3562-3567. [[10.1073/pnas.0611396104](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0611396104)].
- Herkenham M** (1979). The afferent and efferent connections of the ventromedial thalamic nucleus in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 183, 487-517. [[10.1002/cne.901830304](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.901830304)].
- Herkenham M, Nauta WJH** (1979). Efferent connections of the habenular nuclei in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 187, 19-47. [[10.1002/cne.901870103](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.901870103)].
- Herkenham M** (1986). New Perspectives on the Organization and Evolution of Nonspecific Thalamocortical Projections. In *Cerebral Cortex 5: Sensory-Motor Areas and Aspects of Cortical Connectivity*, p.403-445. [[10.1007/978-1-4613-2149-1_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-2149-1_11)].
- Heuvel MP van den, Mandl RCW, Kahn RS, Hulshoff Pol HE** (2009). Functionally linked resting-state networks reflect the underlying structural connectivity architecture of the human brain. *Hum Brain Mapp* 30, 3127-3141. [[10.1002/hbm.20737](https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20737)].
- Heuvel MP van den, Sporns O** (2011). Rich-Club Organization of the Human Connectome. *J Neurosci* 31, 15775-15786. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3539-11.2011](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3539-11.2011)].
- Heuvel MP van den, Kahn RS, Goni J, Sporns O** (2012). High-cost, high-capacity backbone for global brain communication. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 109, 11372-11377. [[10.1073/pnas.1203593109](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1203593109)].
- Heuvel MP van den, Sporns O, Collin G, Scheewe T, Mandl RCW, Cahn W, Goni J, Hulshoff Pol HE, Kahn RS** (2013). Abnormal Rich Club Organization and Functional Brain Dynamics in Schizophrenia. *JAMA Psychiatry* 70, 783-792. [[10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.1328](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.1328)].
- Hikosaka O, Wurtz RH** (1983). Visual and Oculomotor Functions of Monkey Substantia Nigra Pars Reticulata. IV. Relation of Substantia Nigra to Superior Colliculus. *J Neurophysiol* 49, 1285-1301. [[jn.physiology.org/content/49/5/1285/](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1983.49.5.1285)].
- Hikosaka O, Takikawa Y, Kawagoe R** (2000). Role of the Basal Ganglia in the Control of Purposive Saccadic Eye Movements. *Physiol Rev* 80, 953-978. [[physrev.physiology.org/content/80/3/953/](https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.physiology.org/content/80/3/953/)].

- Hikosaka O** (2010). The habenula: from stress evasion to value-based decision-making. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 11, 503-513. [[10.1038/nrn2866](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2866)].
- Hintiryan H, Foster NN, Bowman I, Bay M, Song MY, Gou L, Yamashita S, Bienkowski MS, Zingg B, Zhu M, Yang XW, Shih JC, Toga AW, Dong H-W** (2016). The mouse corticostriatal projectome. *Nat Neurosci* 19, 1100-1114. [[10.1038/nn.4332](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4332)].
- Hjorth J, Blackwell KT, Kotaleski JH** (2009). Gap Junctions between Striatal Fast-Spiking Interneurons Regulate Spiking Activity and Synchronization as a Function of Cortical Activity. *J Neurosci* 29, 5276-5286. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6031-08.2009](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6031-08.2009)].
- Hoesen GW Van, Yeterian EH, Lavizzo-Mourey R** (1981). Widespread corticostriate projections from temporal cortex of the rhesus monkey. *J Comp Neurol* 199, 205-219. [[10.1002/cne.901990205](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.901990205)].
- Holroyd CB, Coles MGH** (2002). The neural basis of human error processing: Reinforcement learning, dopamine, and the error-related negativity. *Psychol Rev* 109, 679-709. [[10.1037/0033-295X.109.4.679](https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.109.4.679)].
- Holthausen EAE, Wiersma D, Sitskoorn MM, Dingemans PM, Schene AH, Bosch RJ van den** (2003). Long-Term Memory Deficits in Schizophrenia: Primary or Secondary Dysfunction? *Neuropsychology* 17, 539-547. [[10.1037/0894-4105.17.4.539](https://doi.org/10.1037/0894-4105.17.4.539)].
- Hong S, Hikosaka O** (2008). The Globus Pallidus Sends Reward-Related Signals to the Lateral Habenula. *Neuron* 60, 720-729. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2008.09.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.09.035)].
- Houeto JL, Karachi C, Mallet L, Pillon B, Yelnik J, Mesnage V, Welter ML, Navarro S, Pelissolo A, Damier P, Pidoux B, Dormont D, Cornu P, Agid Y** (2005). Tourette's syndrome and deep brain stimulation. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 76, 992-995. [[10.1136/jnnp.2004.043273](https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2004.043273)].
- Howe MW, Atallah HE, McCool A, Gibson DJ, Graybiel AM** (2011). Habit learning is associated with major shifts in frequencies of oscillatory activity and synchronized spike firing in striatum. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 108, 16801-16806. [[10.1073/pnas.1113158108](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1113158108)].
- Howe WM, Gritton HJ, Lusk NA, Roberts EA, Hetrick VL, Berke JD, Sarter M** (2017). Acetylcholine Release in Prefrontal Cortex Promotes Gamma Oscillations and Theta-Gamma Coupling during Cue Detection. *J Neurosci* 37, 3215-3230. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2737-16.2017](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2737-16.2017)].
- Huerta-Ocampo I, Mena-Segovia J, Bolam JP** (2014). Convergence of cortical and thalamic input to direct and indirect pathway medium spiny neurons in the striatum. *Brain Struct Funct* 219, 1787-1800. [[10.1007/s00429-013-0601-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-013-0601-z)].
- Humphries MD, Wood R, Gurney K** (2009). Dopamine-modulated dynamic cell assemblies generated by the GABAergic striatal microcircuit. *Neural Net* 22, 1174-1188. [[10.1016/j.neunet.2009.07.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2009.07.018)].
- Hunt LT, Hayden BY** (2017). A distributed, hierarchical and recurrent framework for reward-based choice. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 18, 172-182. [[10.1038/nrn.2017.7](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2017.7)].
- Hutchison RM, Womelsdorf T, Allen EA, Bandettini PA, Calhoun VD, Corbetta M, Della Penna S, Duyn JH, Glover GH, Gonzalez-Castillo J, Handwerker DA, Keilholz S, Kiviniemi V, Leopold DA, Pasquale F de, Sporns O, Walter M, Chang C** (2013). Dynamic functional connectivity: Promise, issues, and interpretations. *NeuroImage* 80, 360-378. [[10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.079](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.079)].
- Huth A, Nishimoto S, Vu A, Gallant J** (2012). A Continuous Semantic Space Describes the Representation of Thousands of Object and Action Categories across the Human Brain. *Neuron* 76, 1210-1224. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2012.10.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.10.014)].
- Hutton SB, Joyce EM, Barnes TRE, Kennard C** (2002). Saccadic distractibility in first-episode schizophrenia. *Neuropsychologia* 40, 1729-1736. [[10.1016/S0028-3932\(01\)00145-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0028-3932(01)00145-2)].
- Ilinsky IA, Yi H, Kultas-Ilinsky K** (1997). Mode of termination of pallidal afferents to the thalamus: A light and electron microscopic study with anterograde tracers and immunocytochemistry in Macaca mulatta. *J Comp Neurol* 386, 601-612. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19971006\)386:4<601::AID-CNE6>3.0.CO;2-6](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19971006)386:4<601::AID-CNE6>3.0.CO;2-6)].
- Innocenti GM, Price DJ** (2005). Exuberance in the development of cortical networks. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 6, 955-965. [[10.1038/nrn1790](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1790)].
- Ito M** (2002). Historical Review of the Significance of the Cerebellum and the Role of Purkinje Cells in Motor Learning. *Annals NY Acad Sci* 978, 273-288. [[10.1111/j.1749-6632.2002.tb07574.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2002.tb07574.x)].
- Izhikevich EM** (2006). Polychronization: Computation with Spikes. *Neural Comput* 18, 245-282. [[10.1162/089976606775093882](https://doi.org/10.1162/089976606775093882)].
- Jankowski MM, Ronqvist KC, Tsanov M, Vann SD, Wright NF, Erichsen JT, Aggleton JP, O'Mara SM** (2013). The anterior thalamus provides a subcortical circuit supporting memory and spatial navigation. *Front Syst Neurosci* 7. [[10.3389/fnsys.2013.00045](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2013.00045)].
- Jasper HH** (1937). Electrical signs of cortical activity. *Psychol Bull* 34, 411-481. [[10.1037/h0053401](https://doi.org/10.1037/h0053401)].
- Jazbec S, Pantelis C, Robbins T, Weickert T, Weinberger DR, Goldberg TE** (2007). Intra-dimensional/extra-dimensional set-shifting performance in schizophrenia: Impact of distractors. *Schizophr Res* 89, 339-349. [[10.1016/j.schres.2006.08.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2006.08.014)].
- Jenkinson N, Brown P** (2011). New insights into the relationship between dopamine, beta oscillations and motor function. *Trends Neurosci* 34, 611-618. [[10.1016/j.tins.2011.09.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2011.09.003)].
- Jenkinson N, Kühn AA, Brown P** (2013). Gamma oscillations in the human basal ganglia. *Exp Neurol* 245, 72-76. [[10.1016/j.expneurol.2012.07.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2012.07.005)].
- Jessen F, Scheef L, Germeshausen L, Tawo Y, Kockler M, Kuhn K-U, Maier W, Schild HH, Heun R** (2003). Reduced Hippocampal Activation During Encoding and Recognition of Words in Schizophrenia Patients. *Am J Psychiatry* 160, 1305-1312. [[10.1176/appi.ajp.160.7.1305](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.160.7.1305)].
- Jia X, Tanabe S, Kohn A** (2013). Gamma and the Coordination of Spiking Activity in Early Visual Cortex. *Neuron* 77, 762-774. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2012.12.036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.12.036)].
- Jiménez-Castellanos J, Graybiel AM** (1989). Compartmental origins of striatal efferent projections in the cat. *Neuroscience* 32, 297-321. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(89\)90080-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(89)90080-8)].

- Jiménez-Ponce F, Velasco-Campos F, Castro-Farfán G, Nicolini H, Velasco AL, Salín-Pascual R, Trejo D, Criaes JL** (2009). Preliminary Study in Patients With Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder Treated With Electrical Stimulation in the Inferior Thalamic Peduncle. *Operative Neurosurg* 65, ons203-ons209. [[10.1227/01.NEU.0000345938.39199.90](https://doi.org/10.1227/01.NEU.0000345938.39199.90)].
- Jin DZ, Fujii N, Graybiel AM** (2009). Neural representation of time in cortico-basal ganglia circuits. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 106, 19156-19161. [[10.1073/pnas.0909881106](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0909881106)].
- Jinnai K, Nambu A, Yoshida S** (1987). Thalamic afferents to layer I of anterior sigmoid cortex originating from the VA-VL neurons with entopeduncular input. *Exp Brain Res* 69, 67-76. [[10.1007/BF00247030](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00247030)].
- Jodoj E, Chiang C, Aston-Jones G** (1998). Potent excitatory influence of prefrontal cortex activity on noradrenergic locus coeruleus neurons. *Neuroscience* 83, 63-79. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(97\)00372-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(97)00372-2)].
- Joel D, Weiner I** (1994). The organization of the basal ganglia-thalamocortical circuits: Open interconnected rather than closed segregated. *Neuroscience* 63, 363-379. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(94\)90536-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(94)90536-3)].
- Jones EG, Hendry SHC** (1989). Differential Calcium Binding Protein Immunoreactivity Distinguishes Classes of Relay Neurons in Monkey Thalamic Nuclei. *Eur J Neurosci* 1, 222-246. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.1989.tb00791.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.1989.tb00791.x)].
- Jones EG** (2001). The thalamic matrix and thalamocortical synchrony. *Trends Neurosci* 24, 595-601. [[10.1016/S0166-2236\(00\)01922-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(00)01922-6)].
- Juliano SL, Friedman DP, Eslin DE** (1990). Corticocortical connections predict patches of stimulus-evoked metabolic activity in monkey somatosensory cortex. *J Comp Neurol* 298, 23-39. [[10.1002/cne.902980103](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902980103)].
- Jung RE, Haier RJ** (2007). The Parieto-Frontal Integration Theory (P-FIT) of intelligence: Converging neuroimaging evidence. *Behav Brain Sci* 30, 135-187. [[10.1017/S0140525X07001185](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X07001185)].
- Kabadayi C, Osvath M** (2017). Ravens parallel great apes in flexible planning for tool-use and bartering. *Science* 357, 202-204. [[10.1126/science.aam8138](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam8138)].
- Kalanithi PSA, Zheng W, Kataoka Y, DiFiglia M, Grantz H, Saper CB, Schwartz ML, Leckman JF, Vaccarino FM** (2005). Altered parvalbumin-positive neuron distribution in basal ganglia of individuals with Tourette syndrome. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 102, 13307-13312. [[10.1073/pnas.0502624102](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0502624102)].
- Kalchbrenner N, Blunsom P** (2013). Recurrent Convolutional Neural Networks for Discourse Compositionality. *arXiv preprint 1306.3584v1*.
- Kalchbrenner N, Grefenstette E, Blunsom P** (2014). A Convolutional Neural Network for Modelling Sentences. *arXiv preprint 1404.2188v1*.
- Kalivas PW, Jackson D, Romanidies A, Wyndham L, Duffy P** (2001). Involvement of pallidothalamic circuitry in working memory. *Neuroscience* 104, 129-136. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(01\)00054-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(01)00054-9)].
- Kapur S, Zipursky RB, Remington G** (1999). Clinical and Theoretical Implications of 5-HT₂ and D₂ Receptor Occupancy of Clozapine, Risperidone, and Olanzapine in Schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 156, 286-293. [[ajp.psychiatryonline.org/doi/pdf/10.1176/ajp.156.2.286](https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.156.2.286)].
- Kapur S** (2003). Psychosis as a State of Aberrant Salience: A Framework Linking Biology, Phenomenology, and Pharmacology in Schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 160, 13-23. [[10.1176/appi.ajp.160.1.13](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.160.1.13)].
- Karson CN, Mrak RE, Husain MM, Griffin WST** (1996). Decreased mesopontine choline acetyltransferase levels in schizophrenia. *Mol Chem Neuropathol* 29, 181-191. [[10.1007/BF02815001](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02815001)].
- Katz LC, Shatz CJ** (1996). Synaptic Activity and the Construction of Cortical Circuits. *Science* 274, 1133-1138. [[10.1126/science.274.5290.1133](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.274.5290.1133)].
- Kaufman EFS, Rosenquist AC** (1985a). Efferent projections of the thalamic intralaminar nuclei in the cat. *Brain Res* 335, 257-279. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(85\)90478-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(85)90478-0)].
- Kaufman EFS, Rosenquist AC** (1985b). Afferent connections of the thalamic intralaminar nuclei in the cat. *Brain Res* 335, 281-296. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(85\)90479-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(85)90479-2)].
- Kawai R, Markman T, Poddar R, Ko R, Fantana A, Dhawale A, Kampff A, Ölveczky B** (2015). Motor Cortex Is Required for Learning but Not for Executing a Motor Skill. *Neuron* 86, 800-812. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2015.03.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.03.024)].
- Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA** (1987). The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) for Schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 13, 261-276. [[10.1093/schbul/13.2.261](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/13.2.261)].
- Kayahara T, Nakano K** (1998). The Globus Pallidus Sends Axons to the Thalamic Reticular Nucleus Neurons Projecting to the Centromedian Nucleus of the Thalamus: A Light and Electron Microscope Study in the Cat. *Brain Res Bull* 45, 623-630. [[10.1016/S0361-9230\(97\)00464-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-9230(97)00464-4)].
- Keefe RSE, Bilder RM, Davis SM, Harvey PD, Palmer BW, Gold JM, Meltzer HY, Green MF, Capuano G, Stroup TS, McEvoy JP, Swartz MS, Rosenheck RA, Perkins DO, Davis CE, Hsiao JK, Lieberman JA** (2007). Neurocognitive Effects of Antipsychotic Medications in Patients With Chronic Schizophrenia in the CATIE Trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 64, 633-647. [[10.1001/archpsyc.64.6.633](https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.64.6.633)].
- Kemether EM, Buchsbaum MS, Byne W, Hazlett EA, Haznedar M, Brickman AM, Platholi J, Bloom R** (2003). Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Mediodorsal, Pulvinar, and Centromedian Nuclei of the Thalamus in Patients With Schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 60, 983-991. [[10.1001/archpsyc.60.9.983](https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.60.9.983)].
- Kha HT, Finkelstein DI, Pow DV, Lawrence AJ, Horne MK** (2000). Study of projections from the entopeduncular nucleus to the thalamus of the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 426, 366-377. [[10.1002/1096-9861\(20001023\)426:3<366::AID-CNE2>3.3.CO;2-2](https://doi.org/10.1002/1096-9861(20001023)426:3<366::AID-CNE2>3.3.CO;2-2)].
- Kha HT, Finkelstein DI, Tomas D, Drago J, Pow DV, Horne MK** (2001). Projections from the substantia nigra pars reticulata to the motor thalamus of the rat: Single axon reconstructions and immunohistochemical study. *J Comp Neurol* 440, 20-30. [[10.1002/cne.1367](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.1367)].

- Kimura M, Kato M, Shimazaki H** (1990). Physiological properties of projection neurons in the monkey striatum to the globus pallidus. *Exp Brain Res* 82, 672-676. [[10.1007/BF00228811](#)].
- Kincaid AE, Wilson CJ** (1996). Corticostriatal innervation of the patch and matrix in the rat neostriatum. *J Comp Neurol* 374, 578-592. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19961028\)374:4<578::AID-CNE7>3.3.CO;2-I](#)].
- Kincaid AE, Zheng T, Wilson CJ** (1998). Connectivity and Convergence of Single Corticostriatal Axons. *J Neurosci* 18, 4722-4731. [[jneurosci.org/content/18/12/4722](#)].
- Kita H, Kosaka T, Heizmann CW** (1990). Parvalbumin-immunoreactive neurons in the rat neostriatum: a light and electron microscopic study. *Brain Res* 536, 1-15. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(90\)90002-S](#)].
- Kitano H, Tanibuchi I, Jinnai K** (1998). The distribution of neurons in the substantia nigra pars reticulata with input from the motor, premotor and prefrontal areas of the cerebral cortex in monkeys. *Brain Res* 784, 228-238. [[10.1016/S0006-8993\(97\)01332-2](#)].
- Kleim JA, Hogg TM, VandenBerg PM, Cooper NR, Bruneau R, Remple M** (2004). Cortical Synaptogenesis and Motor Map Reorganization Occur during Late, But Not Early, Phase of Motor Skill Learning. *J Neurosci* 24, 628-633. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3440-03.2004](#)].
- Kodsi MH, Swerdlow NR** (1995). Ventral pallidal GABA-A receptors regulate prepulse inhibition of acoustic startle. *Brain Res* 684, 26-35. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(95\)00372-W](#)].
- Kojima S, Kao MH, Doupe AJ** (2013). Task-related "cortical" bursting depends critically on basal ganglia input and is linked to vocal plasticity. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 110, 4756-4761. [[10.1073/pnas.1216308110](#)].
- Kolmac CI, Mitrofanis J** (1997). Organisation of the reticular thalamic projection to the intralaminar and midline nuclei in rats. *J Comp Neurol* 377, 165-178. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19970113\)377:2<165::AID-CNE2>3.0.CO;2-1](#)].
- Kolmac CI, Mitrofanis J** (1999). Organization of the basal forebrain projection to the thalamus in rats. *Neurosci Lett* 272, 151-154. [[10.1016/S0304-3940\(99\)00614-X](#)].
- Koós T, Tepper JM** (1999). Inhibitory control of neostriatal projection neurons by GABAergic interneurons. *Nat Neurosci* 2, 467-472. [[10.1038/8138](#)].
- Koós T, Tepper JM** (2002). Dual Cholinergic Control of Fast-Spiking Interneurons in the Neostriatum. *J Neurosci* 22, 529-535. [[jneurosci.org/content/22/2/529](#)].
- Koós T, Tepper JM, Wilson CJ** (2004). Comparison of IPSCs Evoked by Spiny and Fast-Spiking Neurons in the Neostriatum. *J Neurosci* 24, 7916-7922. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2163-04.2004](#)].
- Kopell N** (2000). We Got Rhythm: Dynamical Systems of the Nervous System. *Notices Amer Math Soc* 47, 6-16. [[www.ams.org/notices/200001/fea-kopell.pdf](#)].
- Koralek AC, Jin X, Long II JD, Costa RM, Carmena JM** (2012). Corticostriatal plasticity is necessary for learning intentional neuroprosthetic skills. *Nature* 483, 331-335. [[10.1038/nature10845](#)].
- Kreczmanski P, Heinsen H, Mantua V, Woltersdorf E, Masson T, Ulfing N, Schmidt-Kastner R, Korh H, Steinbusch HWM, Hof PR, Schmitz C** (2007). Volume, neuron density and total neuron number in five subcortical regions in schizophrenia. *Brain* 130, 678-692. [[10.1093/brain/awl386](#)].
- Kreitzer AC** (2009). Physiology and Pharmacology of Striatal Neurons. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 32, 127-147. [[10.1146/annurev.neuro.051508.135422](#)].
- Ku S-W, Lee U, Noh G-J, Jun I-G, Mashour GA, Ward LM** (2011). Preferential Inhibition of Frontal-to-Parietal Feedback Connectivity Is a Neurophysiologic Correlate of General Anesthesia in Surgical Patients. *PLoS ONE* 6:10, e25155. [[10.1371/journal.pone.0025155](#)].
- Kultas-Ilinsky K, Ilinsky IA** (1990). Fine structure of the magnocellular subdivision of the ventral anterior thalamic nucleus (VAmc) of *Macaca mulatta*: II. Organization of nigrothalamic afferents as revealed with EM autoradiography. *J Comp Neurol* 294, 479-489. [[10.1002/cne.902940314](#)].
- Kuramoto E, Furuta T, Nakamura KC, Unzai T, Hioki H, Kaneko T** (2009). Two Types of Thalamocortical Projections from the Motor Thalamic Nuclei of the Rat: A Single Neuron-Tracing Study Using Viral Vectors. *Cereb Cortex* 19, 2065-2077. [[10.1093/cercor/bhn231](#)].
- Lacey CJ, Bolam JP, Magill PJ** (2007). Novel and Distinct Operational Principles of Intralaminar Thalamic Neurons and Their Striatal Projections. *J Neurosci* 27, 4374-4384. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5519-06.2007](#)].
- Lam Y-W, Sherman SM** (2010). Functional Organization of the Somatosensory Cortical Layer 6 Feedback to the Thalamus. *Cereb Cortex* 20, 13-24. [[10.1093/cercor/bhp077](#)].
- Lambe EK, Liu R-J, Aghajanian GK** (2006). Schizophrenia, Hypocretin (Orexin), and the Thalamocortical Activating System. *Schizophr Bull* 33, 1284-1290. [[10.1093/schbul/sbm088](#)].
- Lambert N, Grover L** (1995). The mechanism of biphasic GABA responses. *Science* 269, 928-929. [[10.1126/science.7638614](#)].
- Lapper SR, Smith Y, Sadikot AF, Parent A, Bolam JP** (1992). Cortical input to parvalbumin-immunoreactive neurones in the putamen of the squirrel monkey. *Brain Res* 580, 215-224. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(92\)90947-8](#)].
- Larkum ME, Senn W, Lüscher H-R** (2004). Top-down Dendritic Input Increases the Gain of Layer 5 Pyramidal Neurons. *Cereb Cortex* 14, 1059-1070. [[10.1093/cercor/bhh065](#)].
- Larkum ME** (2013). A cellular mechanism for cortical associations: an organizing principle for the cerebral cortex. *Trends Neurosci* 36, 141-151. [[10.1016/j.tins.2012.11.006](#)].
- Lasker AG, Zee DS, Hain TC, Folstein SE, Singer HS** (1987). Saccades in Huntington's disease: Initiation defects and distractibility. *Neurology* 37, 364-370. [[10.1212/WNL.37.3.364](#)].
- Lasker AG, Zee DS, Hain TC, Folstein SE, Singer HS** (1988). Saccades in Huntington's disease: Slowing and dysmetria. *Neurology* 38, 427-431. [[10.1212/WNL.38.3.427](#)].
- Lavallée P, Urbain N, Dufresne C, Bokor H, Acsády L, Deschênes M** (2005). Feedforward Inhibitory Control of Sensory Information in Higher-Order Thalamic Nuclei. *J Neurosci* 25, 7489-7498. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2301-05.2005](#)].

- Lavenex P, Amaral DG** (2000). Hippocampal-neocortical interaction: A hierarchy of associativity. *Hippocampus* 10, 420-430. [[10.1002/1098-1063\(2000\)10:4<420::AID-HIPO8>3.CO;2-5](https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-1063(2000)10:4<420::AID-HIPO8>3.CO;2-5)].
- Lavin A, Grace AA** (1998). Dopamine Modulates the Responsivity of Mediodorsal Thalamic Cells Recorded In Vitro. *J Neurosci* 18, 10566-10578. [[jneurosci.org/content/18/24/10566](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/18/24/10566)].
- Lavoie B, Parent A** (1990). Immunohistochemical study of the serotonergic innervation of the basal ganglia in the squirrel monkey. *J Comp Neurol* 299, 1-16. [[10.1002/cne.902990102](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902990102)].
- Lavoie B, Parent A** (1994). Pedunculopontine nucleus in the squirrel monkey: Projections to the basal ganglia as revealed by anterograde tract-tracing methods. *J Comp Neurol* 344, 210-231. [[10.1002/cne.903440204](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903440204)].
- Lebedev MA, Wise SP** (2000). Oscillations in the premotor cortex: single-unit activity from awake, behaving monkeys. *Exp Brain Res* 130, 195-215. [[10.1007/s002210050022](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002210050022)].
- Leber AB, Turk-Browne NB, Chun MM** (2008). Neural predictors of moment-to-moment fluctuations in cognitive flexibility. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 105, 13592-13597. [[10.1073/pnas.0805423105](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0805423105)].
- Leblois A, Bodor AL, Person AL, Perkel DJ** (2009). Millisecond Timescale Disinhibition Mediates Fast Information Transmission through an Avian Basal Ganglia Loop. *J Neurosci* 29, 15420-15433. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3060-09.2009](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3060-09.2009)].
- Lee HJ, Weitz AJ, Bernal-Casas D, Duffy BA, Choy M, Kravitz AV, Kreitzer AC, Lee JH** (2016). Activation of Direct and Indirect Pathway Medium Spiny Neurons Drives Distinct Brain-wide Responses. *Neuron* 91, 412-424. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2016.06.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.06.010)].
- Lee J, Park S** (2005). Working Memory Impairments in Schizophrenia: A Meta-Analysis. *J Abnorm Psychol* 114, 599-611. [[10.1037/0021-843X.114.4.599](https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.114.4.599)].
- Leede-Smith S de, Barkus E** (2013). A comprehensive review of auditory verbal hallucinations: lifetime prevalence, correlates and mechanisms in healthy and clinical individuals. *Front Hum Neurosci* 7. [[10.3389/fnhum.2013.00367](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2013.00367)].
- Leeuw M de, Bohlken MM, Mandl RCW, Kahn RS, Vink M** (2015). Reduced fronto-striatal white matter integrity in schizophrenia patients and unaffected siblings: a DTI study. *NPJ Schizophr* 1, npjschz20151. [[10.1038/npjschz.2015.1](https://doi.org/10.1038/npjschz.2015.1)].
- Lei W, Jiao Y, Del Mar N, Reiner A** (2004). Evidence for Differential Cortical Input to Direct Pathway versus Indirect Pathway Striatal Projection Neurons in Rats. *J Neurosci* 24, 8289-8299. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1990-04.2004](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1990-04.2004)].
- Leventhal D, Gage G, Schmidt R, Pettibone J, Case A, Berke J** (2012). Basal Ganglia Beta Oscillations Accompany Cue Utilization. *Neuron* 73, 523-536. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2011.11.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.11.032)].
- Levesque M, Parent A** (2005). The striatofugal fiber system in primates: A reevaluation of its organization based on single-axon tracing studies. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 102, 11888-11893. [[10.1073/pnas.0502710102](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0502710102)].
- Levey AI, Hallanger AE, Wainer BH** (1987). Cholinergic nucleus basalis neurons may influence the cortex via the thalamus. *Neurosci Lett* 74, 7-13. [[10.1016/0304-3940\(87\)90042-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3940(87)90042-5)].
- Levine ES, Jacobs BL** (1992). Neurochemical Afferents Controlling the Activity of Serotonergic Neurons in the Dorsal Raphe Nucleus: Microiontophoretic Studies in the Awake Cat. *J Neurosci* 12, 4037-4044. [[jneurosci.org/content/12/10/4037](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/12/10/4037)].
- Levitt JB, Lewis DA, Yoshioka T, Lund JS** (1993). Topography of pyramidal neuron intrinsic connections in macaque monkey prefrontal cortex (areas 9 and 46) *J Comp Neurol* 338, 360-376. [[10.1002/cne.903380304](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903380304)].
- Levy DL, Holzman PS, Matthyse S, Mendell NR** (1994). Eye Tracking and Schizophrenia: A Selective Review. *Schizophr Bull* 20, 47-62. [[10.1093/schbul/20.1.47](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/20.1.47)].
- Lewis DA, Hashimoto T, Volk DW** (2005). Cortical inhibitory neurons and schizophrenia. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 6, 312-324. [[10.1038/nrn1648](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1648)].
- Li M, Liu J, Tsien JZ** (2016). Theory of Connectivity: Nature and Nurture of Cell Assemblies and Cognitive Computation. *Front Neural Circuits* 10. [[10.3389/fncir.2016.00034](https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2016.00034)].
- Lim KO, Hedehus M, Moseley M, Crespigny A de, Sullivan EV, Pfefferbaum A** (1999). Compromised White Matter Tract Integrity in Schizophrenia Inferred From Diffusion Tensor Imaging. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 56, 367-374. [[10.1001/archpsyc.56.4.367](https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.56.4.367)].
- Lin RCS, Nicoletis MAL, Chapin JK** (1997). Topographic and laminar organizations of the incertocortical pathway in rats. *Neuroscience* 81, 641-651. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(97\)00094-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(97)00094-8)].
- Lipshitz SP, Wannamaker RA, Vanderkooy J** (1992). Quantization and Dither: A Theoretical Survey. *J Audio Eng Soc* 40, 355-375. [www.aes.org/e-lib/browse.cfm?elib=7047].
- Livingstone M, Hubel D** (1988). Segregation of form, color, movement, and depth: anatomy, physiology, and perception. *Science* 240, 740-749. [[10.1126/science.3283936](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.3283936)].
- Llinás RR, Leznik E, Urbano FJ** (2002). Temporal binding via cortical coincidence detection of specific and nonspecific thalamocortical inputs: A voltage-dependent dye-imaging study in mouse brain slices. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 99, 449-454. [[10.1073/pnas.012604899](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.012604899)].
- Long J, Shelhamer E, Darrell T** (2015). Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation. In *Proceedings of the 2015 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. Boston, MA, USA, p.3431-3440. [[10.1109/CVPR.2015.7298965](https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2015.7298965)].
- López-Bendito G, Molnár Z** (2003). Thalamocortical development: how are we going to get there? *Nat Rev Neurosci* 4, 276-289. [[10.1038/nrn1075](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1075)].
- Loughlin SE, Foote SL, Bloom FE** (1986). Efferent projections of nucleus locus coeruleus: Topographic organization of cells of origin demonstrated by three-dimensional reconstruction. *Neuroscience* 18, 291-306. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(86\)90155-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(86)90155-7)].
- Lourenço HR, Martin OC, Stützle T** (2003). Iterated Local Search. In *Handbook of Metaheuristics* (Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers), p.320-353. [[10.1007/0-306-48056-5_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-48056-5_11)].
- Luczak A, McNaughton BL, Harris KD** (2015). Packet-based communication in the cortex. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 16, 745-755. [[10.1038/nrn4026](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn4026)].

- Lundqvist M, Rose J, Herman P, Brincat S, Buschman T, Miller E** (2016). Gamma and Beta Bursts Underlie Working Memory. *Neuron* 90, 152-164. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2016.02.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.02.028)].
- Luo M, Perkel DJ** (1999). A GABAergic, Strongly Inhibitory Projection to a Thalamic Nucleus in the Zebra Finch Song System. *J Neurosci* 19, 6700-6711. [[jneurosci.org/content/19/15/6700](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/19/15/6700)].
- Lush P, Caspar EA, Cleeremans A, Haggard P, Magalhães De Saldanha da Gama PA, Dienes Z** (2017). The Power of Suggestion: Posthypnotically Induced Changes in the Temporal Binding of Intentional Action Outcomes. *Psychol Sci* 28, 661-669. [[10.1177/0956797616687015](https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797616687015)].
- Lyon DC, Nassi JJ, Callaway EM** (2010). A Disynaptic Relay from Superior Colliculus to Dorsal Stream Visual Cortex in Macaque Monkey. *Neuron* 65, 270-279. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2010.01.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.01.003)].
- Macchi G, Bentivoglio M** (1986). The Thalamic Intralaminar Nuclei and the Cerebral Cortex. In *Cerebral Cortex 5: Sensory-Motor Areas and Aspects of Cortical Connectivity*, p.355-401. [[10.1007/978-1-4613-2149-1_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-2149-1_10)].
- Madison G, Forsman L, Blom Ö, Karabanov A, Ullén F** (2009). Correlations between intelligence and components of serial timing variability. *Intelligence* 37, 68-75. [[10.1016/j.intell.2008.07.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2008.07.006)].
- Magill PJ, Bolam JP, Bevan MD** (2001). Dopamine regulates the impact of the cerebral cortex on the subthalamic nucleus-globus pallidus network. *Neuroscience* 106, 313-330. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(01\)00281-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(01)00281-0)].
- Mahon S, Vautrelle N, Pezard L, Slaght SJ, Deniau J-M, Charpier S** (2006). Distinct Patterns of Striatal Medium Spiny Neuron Activity during the Natural Sleep-Wake Cycle. *J Neurosci* 26, 12587-12595. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3987-06.2006](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3987-06.2006)].
- Mailly P, Aliane V, Groenewegen HJ, Haber SN, Deniau J-M** (2013). The Rat Prefrontostriatal System Analyzed in 3D: Evidence for Multiple Interacting Functional Units. *J Neurosci* 33, 5718-5727. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5248-12.2013](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5248-12.2013)].
- Maldonado H, Joseph J-P, Schlag J** (1980). Types of eye movements evoked by thalamic microstimulation in the alert cat. *Exp Neurol* 70, 613-625. [[10.1016/0014-4886\(80\)90187-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4886(80)90187-9)].
- Mallet N, Le Moine C, Charpier S, Gonon F** (2005). Feedforward Inhibition of Projection Neurons by Fast-Spiking GABA Interneurons in the Rat Striatum In Vivo. *J Neurosci* 25, 3857-3869. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5027-04.2005](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5027-04.2005)].
- Mallet N, Schmidt R, Leventhal D, Chen F, Amer N, Boraud T, Berke J** (2016). Arky pallidal Cells Send a Stop Signal to Striatum. *Neuron* 89, 308-316. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2015.12.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.12.017)].
- Malsburg C von der** (1981). The Correlation Theory of Brain Function. In *Physics of Neural Networks: Models of Neural Networks*, p.95-119. [[10.1007/978-1-4612-4320-5_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4320-5_2)].
- Malsburg C von der** (1999). The What and Why of Binding. *Neuron* 24, 95-104. [[10.1016/S0896-6273\(00\)80825-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80825-9)].
- Mamah D, Wang L, Barch D, Erausquin GA de, Gado M, Csernansky JG** (2007). Structural analysis of the basal ganglia in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 89, 59-71. [[10.1016/j.schres.2006.08.031](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2006.08.031)].
- Maqueda AE, Valle M, Addy PH, Antonijoan RM, Puentes M, Coimbra J, Ballester MR, Garrido M, González M, Claramunt J, Barker S, Johnson MW, Griffiths RR, Riba J** (2015). Salvinorin-A Induces Intense Dissociative Effects, Blocking External Sensory Perception and Modulating Interoception and Sense of Body Ownership in Humans. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 18:12, pyv065. [[10.1093/ijnp/pyv065](https://doi.org/10.1093/ijnp/pyv065)].
- Markov NT, Kennedy H** (2013). The importance of being hierarchical. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 23, 187-194. [[10.1016/j.conb.2012.12.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2012.12.008)].
- Markov NT, Ercsey-Ravasz MM, Ribeiro Gomes AR, Lamy C, Magrou L, Vezoli J, Misery P, Falchier A, Quilodran R, Gariel MA, Sallet J, Gamanut R, Huissoud C, Clavagnier S, Giroud P, Sappey-Marinier D, Barone P, Dehay C, Toroczka Z, Knoblauch K, Essen DC Van, Kennedy H** (2014). A Weighted and Directed Interareal Connectivity Matrix for Macaque Cerebral Cortex. *Cereb Cortex* 24, 17-36. [[10.1093/cercor/bhs270](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhs270)].
- Markram H, Lübke J, Frotscher M, Sakmann B** (1997). Regulation of Synaptic Efficacy by Coincidence of Postsynaptic APs and EPSPs. *Science* 275, 213-215. [[10.1126/science.275.5297.213](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.275.5297.213)].
- Marsden CD, Obeso JA** (1994). The functions of the basal ganglia and the paradox of stereotaxic surgery in Parkinson's disease. *Brain* 117, 877-897. [[10.1093/brain/117.4.877](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/117.4.877)].
- Martin B, Giersch A, Huron C, Wassenhove V van** (2013). Temporal event structure and timing in schizophrenia: Preserved binding in a longer “now” *Neuropsychologia* 51, 358-371. [[10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2012.07.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2012.07.002)].
- Martin SJ, Morris RGM** (2002). New life in an old idea: The synaptic plasticity and memory hypothesis revisited. *Hippocampus* 12, 609-636. [[10.1002/hipo.10107](https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.10107)].
- Martinez-Gonzalez C, Bolam JP, Mena-Segovia J** (2011). Topographical Organization of the Pedunculopontine Nucleus. *Front Neuroanat* 5. [[10.3389/fnana.2011.00022](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnana.2011.00022)].
- Martino A Di, Scheres A, Margulies DS, Kelly AMC, Uddin LQ, Shehzad Z, Biswal B, Walters JR, Castellanos FX, Milham MP** (2008). Functional Connectivity of Human Striatum: A Resting State fMRI Study. *Cereb Cortex* 18, 2735-2747. [[10.1093/cercor/bhn041](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhn041)].
- Masquelier T, Hugues E, Deco G, Thorpe SJ** (2009). Oscillations, Phase-of-Firing Coding, and Spike Timing-Dependent Plasticity: An Efficient Learning Scheme. *J Neurosci* 29, 13484-13493. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2207-09.2009](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2207-09.2009)].
- Mather JA** (2008). Cephalopod consciousness: Behavioural evidence. *Conscious Cogn* 17, 37-48. [[10.1016/j.concog.2006.11.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2006.11.006)].
- Matsumoto M, Hikosaka O** (2009). Two types of dopamine neuron distinctly convey positive and negative motivational signals. *Nature* 459, 837-841. [[10.1038/nature08028](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08028)].
- Matsumoto N, Minamimoto T, Graybiel AM, Kimura M** (2001). Neurons in the Thalamic CM-Pf Complex Supply Striatal Neurons With Information About Behaviorally Significant Sensory Events. *J Neurophysiol* 85, 960-976. [[jn.physiology.org/content/85/2/960/](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.physiology.org/content/85/2/960/)].

- McAuley J, Shahmanesh M, Swash M** (1999). Dopaminergic therapy in acute encephalitis lethargica. *Eur J Neurol* 6, 235-237. [[10.1111/j.1468-1331.1999.tb00018.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-1331.1999.tb00018.x)].
- McCarthy-Jones S, Trauer T, Mackinnon A, Sims E, Thomas N, Copolov DL** (2014). A New Phenomenological Survey of Auditory Hallucinations: Evidence for Subtypes and Implications for Theory and Practice. *Schizophr Bull* 40, 231-235. [[10.1093/schbul/sbs156](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbs156)].
- McFarland NR, Haber SN** (2000). Convergent Inputs from Thalamic Motor Nuclei and Frontal Cortical Areas to the Dorsal Striatum in the Primate. *J Neurosci* 20, 3798-3813. [[jneurosci.org/content/20/10/3798](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2010-2000.2000)].
- McNab F, Klingberg T** (2008). Prefrontal cortex and basal ganglia control access to working memory. *Nat Neurosci* 11, 103-107. [[10.1038/nn2024](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn2024)].
- Meller K, Breipohl W, Glees P** (1968). Synaptic organization of the molecular and the outer granular layer in the motor cortex in the white mouse during postnatal development. A Golgi- and electronmicroscopical study. *Z Zellforsch* 92, 217-231. [[10.1007/BF00335649](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00335649)].
- Melzer S, Gil M, Koser DE, Michael M, Huang KW, Monyer H** (2017). Distinct Corticostriatal GABAergic Neurons Modulate Striatal Output Neurons and Motor Activity. *Cell Rep* 19, 1045-1055. [[10.1016/j.celrep.2017.04.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.04.024)].
- Mena-Segovia J, Bolam JP, Magill PJ** (2004). Pedunculopontine nucleus and basal ganglia: distant relatives or part of the same family? *Trends Neurosci* 27, 585-588. [[10.1016/j.tins.2004.07.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2004.07.009)].
- Mena-Segovia J, Bolam JP** (2009). Microcircuits of the Pedunculopontine Nucleus. In *Advances in Behavioral Biology* 58: *The Basal Ganglia IX*, p.159-165. [[10.1007/978-1-4419-0340-2_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-0340-2_13)].
- Merello M, Tenca E, Cerquetti D** (2006). Neuronal activity of the zona incerta in Parkinson's disease patients. *Mov Disord* 21, 937-943. [[10.1002/mds.20834](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.20834)].
- Mesulam M-M, Mufson EJ, Levey AI, Wainer BH** (1983). Cholinergic innervation of cortex by the basal forebrain: Cytochemistry and cortical connections of the septal area, diagonal band nuclei, nucleus basalis (Substantia innominata), and hypothalamus in the rhesus monkey. *J Comp Neurol* 214, 170-197. [[10.1002/cne.902140206](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902140206)].
- Mesulam M-M, Mufson EJ** (1984). Neural Inputs Into the Nucleus Basalis of the Substantia Innominata (Ch4) in the Rhesus Monkey. *Brain* 107, 253-274. [[10.1093/brain/107.1.253](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/107.1.253)].
- Mesulam M-M** (1990). Large-scale neurocognitive networks and distributed processing for attention, language, and memory. *Ann Neurol* 28, 597-613. [[10.1002/ana.410280502](https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.410280502)].
- Mesulam M-M** (2004). The cholinergic innervation of the human cerebral cortex. In *Prog. Brain Res.* 145: *Acetylcholine in the Cerebral Cortex*, p.67-78. [[10.1016/S0079-6123\(03\)45004-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(03)45004-8)].
- Mettler FA** (1945). Effects of Bilateral Simultaneous Subcortical Lesions in the Primate. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 4, 99-122. [[10.1097/00005072-194504000-00001](https://doi.org/10.1097/00005072-194504000-00001)].
- Meyer K, Damasio A** (2009). Convergence and divergence in a neural architecture for recognition and memory. *Trends Neurosci* 32, 376-382. [[10.1016/j.tins.2009.04.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2009.04.002)].
- Middleton FA, Strick PL** (1996). The temporal lobe is a target of output from the basal ganglia. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 93, 8683-8687. [[10.1073/pnas.93.16.8683](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.16.8683)].
- Middleton FA, Strick PL** (2000). Basal ganglia and cerebellar loops: motor and cognitive circuits. *Brain Res Rev* 31, 236-250. [[10.1016/S0165-0173\(99\)00040-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0173(99)00040-5)].
- Middleton FA, Strick PL** (2002). Basal-ganglia 'Projections' to the Prefrontal Cortex of the Primate. *Cereb Cortex* 12, 926-935. [[10.1093/cercor/12.9.926](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/12.9.926)].
- Miller EK, Cohen JD** (2001). An Integrative Theory of Prefrontal Cortex Function. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 24, 167-202. [[10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.167](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.167)].
- Miller EK, Buschman TJ** (2007). Rules through Recursion: How Interactions between the Frontal Cortex and Basal Ganglia May Build Abstract, Complex Rules from Concrete, Simple Ones. In *Neuroscience of Rule-Guided Behavior* (Oxford: Oxford University Press), p.419-440. [[10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195314274.003.0022](https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195314274.003.0022)].
- Mink JW** (1996). The Basal Ganglia: Focused Selection and Inhibition of Competing Motor Programs. *Prog Neurobiol* 50, 381-425. [[10.1016/S0301-0082\(96\)00042-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-0082(96)00042-1)].
- Mišić B, Goñi J, Betzel RF, Sporns O, McIntosh AR, Daunizeau J** (2014). A Network Convergence Zone in the Hippocampus. *PLoS Comput Biol* 10:12, e1003982. [[10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003982](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003982)].
- Mitchell AS, Chakraborty S** (2013). What does the mediodorsal thalamus do? *Front Syst Neurosci* 7. [[10.3389/fnsys.2013.00037](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2013.00037)].
- Mitrofanis J, Mikuletic L** (1999). Organisation of the cortical projection to the zona incerta of the thalamus. *J Comp Neurol* 412, 173-185. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19990913\)412:1<173::AID-CNE13>3.0.CO;2-Q](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19990913)412:1<173::AID-CNE13>3.0.CO;2-Q)].
- Mitrofanis J** (2005). Some certainty for the "zone of uncertainty"? Exploring the function of the zona incerta. *Neuroscience* 130, 1-15. [[10.1016/j.neuroscience.2004.08.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2004.08.017)].
- Molliver ME** (1987). Serotonergic Neuronal Systems. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 7, 3S-23S. [[10.1097/00004714-198712001-00002](https://doi.org/10.1097/00004714-198712001-00002)].
- Monti JM** (2011). Serotonin control of sleep-wake behavior. *Sleep Med Rev* 15, 269-281. [[10.1016/j.smrv.2010.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smrv.2010.11.003)].
- Mori T, Ohnishi T, Hashimoto R, Nemoto K, Moriguchi Y, Noguchi H, Nakabayashi T, Hori H, Harada S, Saitoh O, Matsuda H, Kunugi H** (2007). Progressive changes of white matter integrity in schizophrenia revealed by diffusion tensor imaging. *Psychiatry Res Neuroimaging* 154, 133-145. [[10.1016/j.pscychresns.2006.09.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pscychresns.2006.09.004)].
- Morizumi T, Hattori T** (1991). Pallidotectal projection to the inferior colliculus of the rat. *Exp Brain Res* 87, 223-226. [[10.1007/BF00228524](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00228524)].
- Morishima M, Kawaguchi Y** (2006). Recurrent Connection Patterns of Corticostriatal Pyramidal Cells in Frontal Cortex. *J Neurosci* 26, 4394-4405. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0252-06.2006](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0252-06.2006)].
- Morison RS, Dempsey EW** (1941). A Study of Thalamo-Cortical Relations. *Am J Physiol* 135, 281-292. [[ajplegacy.physiology.org/content/135/2/281](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplegacy.physiology.org/content/135/2/281)].

- Mountcastle VB** (1998). Perceptual Neuroscience: The Cerebral Cortex. (Cambridge, MA and London: Harvard University Press), ISBN 978-0674661882. [www.hup.harvard.edu/catalog.php?isbn=9780674661882].
- Muñoz W, Rudy B** (2014). Spatiotemporal specificity in cholinergic control of neocortical function. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 26, 149-160. [[10.1016/j.conb.2014.02.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2014.02.015)].
- Murre JMJ, Sturdy DPF** (1995). The connectivity of the brain: multi-level quantitative analysis. *Biol Cybern* 73, 529-545. [[10.1007/BF00199545](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00199545)].
- Nakamura K, Matsumoto M, Hikosaka O** (2008). Reward-Dependent Modulation of Neuronal Activity in the Primate Dorsal Raphe Nucleus. *J Neurosci* 28, 5331-5343. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0021-08.2008](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0021-08.2008)].
- Nakamura KC, Sharott A, Magill PJ** (2014). Temporal Coupling with Cortex Distinguishes Spontaneous Neuronal Activities in Identified Basal Ganglia-Recipient and Cerebellar-Recipient Zones of the Motor Thalamus. *Cereb Cortex* 24, 81-97. [[10.1093/cercor/bhs287](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhs287)].
- Nambu A, Yoshida S-i, Jinnai K** (1990). Discharge patterns of pallidal neurons with input from various cortical areas during movement in the monkey. *Brain Res* 519, 183-191. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(90\)90076-N](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(90)90076-N)].
- Nambu A** (2008). Seven problems on the basal ganglia. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 18, 595-604. [[10.1016/j.conb.2008.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2008.11.001)].
- Nanda B, Galvan A, Smith Y, Wichmann T** (2009). Effects of stimulation of the centromedian nucleus of the thalamus on the activity of striatal cells in awake rhesus monkeys. *Eur J Neurosci* 29, 588-598. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.2008.06598.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2008.06598.x)].
- Neuman RS, Zebrowska G** (1992). Serotonin (5-HT₂) receptor mediated enhancement of cortical unit activity. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol* 70, 1604-1609. [[10.1139/y92-230](https://doi.org/10.1139/y92-230)].
- Nevet A, Morris G, Saban G, Arkadir D, Bergman H** (2007). Lack of Spike-Count and Spike-Time Correlations in the Substantia Nigra Reticulata Despite Overlap of Neural Responses. *J Neurophysiol* 98, 2232-2243. [[10.1152/jn.00190.2007](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00190.2007)].
- Nicolelis MAL, Chapin JK, Lin RCS** (1992). Somatotopic maps within the zona incerta relay parallel GABAergic somatosensory pathways to the neocortex, superior colliculus, and brainstem. *Brain Res* 577, 134-141. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(92\)90546-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(92)90546-L)].
- Nieoullon A, Dustificier N** (1980). Choline acetyltransferase activity in discrete regions of the cat brain. *Brain Res* 196, 139-149. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(80\)90722-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(80)90722-2)].
- Nini A, Feingold A, Slovín H, Bergman H** (1995). Neurons in the Globus Pallidus Do Not Show Correlated Activity in the Normal Monkey, but Phase-Locked Oscillations Appear in the MPTP Model of Parkinsonism. *J Neurophysiol* 74, 1800-1805. [jn.physiology.org/content/74/4/1800/].
- Noback CR, Purpura DP** (1961). Postnatal ontogenesis of neurons in cat neocortex. *J Comp Neurol* 117, 291-307. [[10.1002/cne.901170303](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.901170303)].
- Nordström A-L, Farde L, Wiesel F-A, Forslund K, Pauli S, Halldin C, Uppfeldt G** (1993). Central D₂-dopamine receptor occupancy in relation to antipsychotic drug effects: A double-blind PET study of schizophrenic patients. *Biol Psychiatry* 33, 227-235. [[10.1016/0006-3223\(93\)90288-O](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3223(93)90288-O)].
- Nowak LG, Bullier J** (1997). The Timing of Information Transfer in the Visual System. In *Cerebral Cortex 12: Extrastriate Cortex in Primates*, p.205-241. [[10.1007/978-1-4757-9625-4_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-9625-4_5)].
- Ojima H, Honda CN, Jones E** (1991). Patterns of Axon Collateralization of Identified Supragranular Pyramidal Neurons in the Cat Auditory Cortex. *Cereb Cortex* 1, 80-94. [[10.1093/cercor/1.1.80](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/1.1.80)].
- Okun M, Lampl I** (2008). Instantaneous correlation of excitation and inhibition during ongoing and sensory-evoked activities. *Nat Neurosci* 11, 535-537. [[10.1038/nn.2105](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2105)].
- Olde Dubbelink KTE, Hillebrand A, Stoffers D, Deijen JB, Twisk JWR, Stam CJ, Berendse HW** (2014). Disrupted brain network topology in Parkinson's disease: a longitudinal magnetoencephalography study. *Brain* 137, 197-207. [[10.1093/brain/awt316](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awt316)].
- Oldenburg I, Sabatini B** (2015). Antagonistic but Not Symmetric Regulation of Primary Motor Cortex by Basal Ganglia Direct and Indirect Pathways. *Neuron* 86, 1174-1181. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2015.05.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.05.008)].
- Olejniczak P** (2006). Neurophysiologic Basis of EEG. *J Clin Neuropsychol* 23, 186-189. [[10.1097/01.wnp.0000220079.61973.6c](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.wnp.0000220079.61973.6c)].
- Olmos JS, Heimer L** (1999). The Concepts of the Ventral Striatopallidal System and Extended Amygdala. *Annals NY Acad Sci* 877, 1-32. [[10.1111/j.1749-6632.1999.tb09258.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1999.tb09258.x)].
- Oorschot DE** (1996). Total Number of Neurons in the Neostriatal, Pallidal, Subthalamic, and Substantia Nigral Nuclei of the Rat Basal Ganglia: A Stereological Study Using the Cavalieri and Optical Disector Methods. *J Comp Neurol* 366, 580-599. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19960318\)366:4<580::AID-CNE3>3.0.CO;2-0](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19960318)366:4<580::AID-CNE3>3.0.CO;2-0)].
- O'Reilly RC, Frank MJ** (2006). Making Working Memory Work: A Computational Model of Learning in the Prefrontal Cortex and Basal Ganglia. *Neural Comput* 18, 283-328. [[10.1162/089976606775093909](https://doi.org/10.1162/089976606775093909)].
- Pace-Schott EF, Hobson JA** (2002). The Neurobiology of Sleep: Genetics, cellular physiology and subcortical networks. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 3, 591-605. [[10.1038/nrn895](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn895)].
- Packard A** (1972). Cephalopods and Fish: the Limits of Convergence. *Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc* 47, 241-307. [[10.1111/j.1469-185X.1972.tb00975.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.1972.tb00975.x)].
- Pahapill PA, Lozano AM** (2000). The pedunculo-pontine nucleus and Parkinson's disease. *Brain* 123, 1767-1783. [[10.1093/brain/123.9.1767](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/123.9.1767)].
- Pantelis C, Barnes TRE, Nelson HE, Tanner S, Weatherley L, Owen AM, Robbins TW** (1997). Frontal-striatal cognitive deficits in patients with chronic schizophrenia. *Brain* 120, 1823-1843. [[10.1093/brain/120.10.1823](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/120.10.1823)].
- Paré D, Smith Y, Parent A, Steriade M** (1988). Projections of brainstem core cholinergic and non-cholinergic neurons of cat to intralaminar and reticular thalamic nuclei. *Neuroscience* 25, 69-86. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(88\)90007-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(88)90007-3)].
- Paredes ME, James D, Gil-Perotin S, Kim H, Cotter JA, Ng C, Sandoval K, Rowitch DH, Xu D, McQuillen PS, Garcia-Verdugo J-M, Huang EJ, Alvarez-Buylla A** (2016). Extensive migration of young neurons into the infant human frontal lobe. *Science* 354, aaf7073-1-aaf7073-7. [[10.1126/science.aaf7073](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf7073)].

- Parent A, Charara A, Pinault D** (1995). Single striatofugal axons arborizing in both pallidal segments and in the substantia nigra in primates. *Brain Res* 698, 280-284. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(95\)01017-P](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(95)01017-P)].
- Parent A, Hazrati L-N** (1995a). Functional anatomy of the basal ganglia. I. The cortico-basal ganglia-thalamo-cortical loop. *Brain Res Rev* 20, 91-127. [[10.1016/0165-0173\(94\)00007-C](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0173(94)00007-C)].
- Parent A, Hazrati L-N** (1995b). Functional anatomy of the basal ganglia. II. The place of subthalamic nucleus and external pallidum in basal ganglia circuitry. *Brain Res Rev* 20, 128-154. [[10.1016/0165-0173\(94\)00008-D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0173(94)00008-D)].
- Parent A, Sato F, Wu Y, Gauthier J, Lévesque M, Parent M** (2000). Organization of the basal ganglia: the importance of axonal collateralization. *Trends Neurosci* 23, S20-S27. [[10.1016/S1471-1931\(00\)00022-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1471-1931(00)00022-7)].
- Parent M, Lévesque M, Parent A** (2001). Two types of projection neurons in the internal pallidum of primates: Single-axon tracing and three-dimensional reconstruction. *J Comp Neurol* 439, 162-175. [[10.1002/cne.1340](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.1340)].
- Parent M, Parent A** (2005). Single-axon tracing and three-dimensional reconstruction of centre médian-parafascicular thalamic neurons in primates. *J Comp Neurol* 481, 127-144. [[10.1002/cne.20348](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.20348)].
- Parent M, Descarries L** (2008). Acetylcholine innervation of the adult rat thalamus: Distribution and ultrastructural features in dorsolateral geniculate, parafascicular, and reticular thalamic nuclei. *J Comp Neurol* 511, 678-691. [[10.1002/cne.21868](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.21868)].
- Parnadeau S, O'Neill P-K, Bolkan S, Ward R, Abbas A, Roth B, Balsam PD, Gordon J, Kellendonk C** (2013). Inhibition of Mediodorsal Thalamus Disrupts Thalamofrontal Connectivity and Cognition. *Neuron* 77, 1151-1162. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2013.01.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.01.038)].
- Parthasarathy HB, Schall JD, Graybiel AM** (1992). Distributed but Convergent Ordering of Corticostriatal Projections: Analysis of the Frontal Eye Field and the Supplementary Eye Field in the Macaque Monkey. *J Neurosci* 12, 4468-4488. [[jneurosci.org/content/12/11/4468](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2321-14.2014)].
- Parthasarathy HB, Graybiel AM** (1997). Cortically Driven Immediate-Early Gene Expression Reflects Modular Influence of Sensorimotor Cortex on Identified Striatal Neurons in the Squirrel Monkey. *J Neurosci* 17, 2477-2491. [[jneurosci.org/content/17/7/2477](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2321-14.2014)].
- Pearson RCA, Gatter KC, Brodal P, Powell TPS** (1983). The projection of the basal nucleus of Meynert upon the neocortex in the monkey. *Brain Res* 259, 132-136. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(83\)91075-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(83)91075-2)].
- Penit-Soria J, Audinat E, Crepel F** (1987). Excitation of rat prefrontal cortical neurons by dopamine: An in vitro electrophysiological study. *Brain Res* 425, 263-274. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(87\)90509-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(87)90509-9)].
- Percheron G, François C, Talbi B, Yelnik J, Fénelon G** (1996). The primate motor thalamus. *Brain Res Rev* 22, 93-181. [[10.1016/0165-0173\(96\)00003-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0173(96)00003-3)].
- Périer C, Vila M, Féger J, Agid Y, Hirsch EC** (2000). Functional Activity of Zona Incerta Neurons Is Altered after Nigrostriatal Denervation in Hemiparkinsonian Rats. *Exp Neurol* 162, 215-224. [[10.1006/exnr.1999.7331](https://doi.org/10.1006/exnr.1999.7331)].
- Périer C, Tremblay L, Féger J, Hirsch EC** (2002). Behavioral Consequences of Bicuculline Injection in the Subthalamic Nucleus and the Zona Incerta in Rat. *J Neurosci* 22, 8711-8719. [[jneurosci.org/content/22/19/8711](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2321-14.2014)].
- Person AL, Perkel DJ** (2005). Unitary IPSPs Drive Precise Thalamic Spiking in a Circuit Required for Learning. *Neuron* 46, 129-140. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2004.12.057](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2004.12.057)].
- Peyrache A, Battaglia FP, Destexhe A** (2011). Inhibition recruitment in prefrontal cortex during sleep spindles and gating of hippocampal inputs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108, 17207-17212. [[10.1073/pnas.1103612108](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1103612108)].
- Peyron C, Petit J-M, Rampon C, Jouvet M, Luppi P-H** (1997). Forebrain afferents to the rat dorsal raphe nucleus demonstrated by retrograde and anterograde tracing methods. *Neuroscience* 82, 443-468. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(97\)00268-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(97)00268-6)].
- Pinault D** (2004). The thalamic reticular nucleus: structure, function and concept. *Brain Res Rev* 46, 1-31. [[10.1016/j.brainresrev.2004.04.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresrev.2004.04.008)].
- Pinault D** (2011). Dysfunctional Thalamus-Related Networks in Schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 37, 238-243. [[10.1093/schbul/sbq165](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbq165)].
- Pinheiro PO, Collobert R** (2014). Recurrent Convolutional Neural Networks for Scene Labeling. In *Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Machine Learning, Beijing, China*, p.82-90. [[jmlr.org/proceedings/papers/v32/pinheiro14.pdf](https://arxiv.org/abs/1402.5093)].
- Pita-Almenar JD, Yu D, Lu H-C, Beierlein M** (2014). Mechanisms Underlying Desynchronization of Cholinergic-Evoked Thalamic Network Activity. *J Neurosci* 34, 14463-14474. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2321-14.2014](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2321-14.2014)].
- Pittman-Polletta BR, Kocsis B, Vijayan S, Whittington MA, Kopell NJ** (2015). Brain Rhythms Connect Impaired Inhibition to Altered Cognition in Schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 77, 1020-1030. [[10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.02.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.02.005)].
- Plaha P, Ben-Shlomo Y, Patel NK, Gill SS** (2006). Stimulation of the caudal zona incerta is superior to stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus in improving contralateral parkinsonism. *Brain* 129, 1732-1747. [[10.1093/brain/awl127](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awl127)].
- Pouille F, Scanziani M** (2001). Enforcement of Temporal Fidelity in Pyramidal Cells by Somatic Feed-Forward Inhibition. *Science* 293, 1159-1163. [[10.1126/science.1060342](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1060342)].
- Poulet JFA, Fernandez LMJ, Crochet S, Petersen CCH** (2012). Thalamic control of cortical states. *Nat Neurosci* 15, 370-372. [[10.1038/nn.3035](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3035)].
- Power BD, Kolmac CI, Mitrofanis J** (1999). Evidence for a large projection from the zona incerta to the dorsal thalamus. *J Comp Neurol* 404, 554-565. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19990222\)404:4<554::AID-CNE10>3.0.CO;2-2](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19990222)404:4<554::AID-CNE10>3.0.CO;2-2)].
- Price DJ, Kennedy H, Dehay C, Zhou L, Mercier M, Jossin Y, Goffinet AM, Tissir F, Blakey D, Molnár Z** (2006). The development of cortical connections. *Eur J Neurosci* 23, 910-920. [[10.1111/j.1460-9568.2006.04620.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2006.04620.x)].
- Price JL, Stern R** (1983). Individual cells in the nucleus basalis-diagonal band complex have restricted axonal projections to the cerebral cortex in the rat. *Brain Res* 269, 352-356. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(83\)90145-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(83)90145-2)].

- Pucak ML, Levitt JB, Lund JS, Lewis DA** (1996). Patterns of intrinsic and associational circuitry in monkey prefrontal cortex. *J Comp Neurol* 376, 614-630. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19961223\)376:4<614::AID-CNE9>3.0.CO;2-4](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19961223)376:4<614::AID-CNE9>3.0.CO;2-4)].
- Purpura KP, Schiff ND** (1997). The Thalamic Intralaminar Nuclei: A Role in Visual Awareness. *Neuroscientist* 3, 8-15. [[10.1177/107385849700300110](https://doi.org/10.1177/107385849700300110)].
- Quednow BB, Kühn K-U, Mössner R, Schwab SG, Schuhmacher A, Maier W, Wagner M** (2008). Sensorimotor Gating of Schizophrenia Patients Is Influenced by 5-HT_{2A} Receptor Polymorphisms. *Biol Psychiatry* 64, 434-437. [[10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.02.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.02.019)].
- Raedler TJ, Bymaster FP, Tandon R, Copolov D, Dean B** (2006). Towards a muscarinic hypothesis of schizophrenia. *Mol Psychiatry* 12, 232-246. [[10.1038/sj.mp.4001924](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.mp.4001924)].
- Rajakumar N, Elisevich K, Flumerfelt BA** (1993). Compartmental origin of the striato-entopeduncular projection in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 331, 286-296. [[10.1002/cne.903310210](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903310210)].
- Rajkowska G, Selemon LD, Goldman-Rakic PS** (1998). Neuronal and Glial Somal Size in the Prefrontal Cortex. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 55, 215-224. [[10.1001/archpsyc.55.3.215](https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.55.3.215)].
- Rakic P** (1988). Specification of cerebral cortical areas. *Science* 241, 170-176. [[10.1126/science.3291116](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.3291116)].
- Ramanathan S, Hanley JJ, Deniau J-M, Bolam JP** (2002). Synaptic Convergence of Motor and Somatosensory Cortical Afferents onto GABAergic Interneurons in the Rat Striatum. *J Neurosci* 22, 8158-8169. [[jneurosci.org/content/22/18/8158](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22/18/8158)].
- Rammsayer TH, Brandler S** (2007). Performance on temporal information processing as an index of general intelligence. *Intelligence* 35, 123-139. [[10.1016/j.intell.2006.04.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2006.04.007)].
- Rao SG, Williams GV, Goldman-Rakic PS** (1999). Isodirectional Tuning of Adjacent Interneurons and Pyramidal Cells During Working Memory: Evidence for Microcolumnar Organization in PFC. *J Neurophysiol* 81, 1903-1916. [[jn.physiology.org/content/81/4/1903/](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1999.81.4.1903)].
- Rasch B, Born J** (2013). About Sleep's Role in Memory. *Physiol Rev* 93, 681-766. [[10.1152/physrev.00032.2012](https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00032.2012)].
- Rasmusson DD** (2000). The role of acetylcholine in cortical synaptic plasticity. *Behav Brain Res* 115, 205-218. [[10.1016/S0166-4328\(00\)00259-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4328(00)00259-X)].
- Rasmusson DD, Smith SA, Semba K** (2007). Inactivation of prefrontal cortex abolishes cortical acetylcholine release evoked by sensory or sensory pathway stimulation in the rat. *Neuroscience* 149, 232-241. [[10.1016/j.neuroscience.2007.06.057](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2007.06.057)].
- Rav-Acha M, Sagiv N, Segev I, Bergman H, Yarom Y** (2005). Dynamic and spatial features of the inhibitory pallidal GABAergic synapses. *Neuroscience* 135, 791-802. [[10.1016/j.neuroscience.2005.05.069](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2005.05.069)].
- Ray JP, Price JL** (1993). The organization of projections from the mediodorsal nucleus of the thalamus to orbital and medial prefrontal cortex in macaque monkeys. *J Comp Neurol* 337, 1-31. [[10.1002/cne.903370102](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903370102)].
- Reber PJ, Kotovsky K** (1997). Implicit learning in problem solving: The role of working memory capacity. *J Exp Psychol Gen* 126, 178-203. [[10.1037/0096-3445.126.2.178](https://doi.org/10.1037/0096-3445.126.2.178)].
- Redgrave P, Prescott TJ, Gurney K** (1999). The basal ganglia: a vertebrate solution to the selection problem? *Neuroscience* 89, 1009-1023. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(98\)00319-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(98)00319-4)].
- Redouté J, Stoléru S, Grégoire M-C, Costes N, Cinotti L, Lavenne F, Le Bars D, Forest MG, Pujol J-F** (2000). Brain processing of visual sexual stimuli in human males. *Hum Brain Mapp* 11, 162-177. [[10.1002/1097-0193\(200011\)11:3<162::AID-HBM30>3.0.CO;2-A](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0193(200011)11:3<162::AID-HBM30>3.0.CO;2-A)].
- Reiner A, Jiao Y, Del Mar N, Laverghetta AV, Lei WL** (2003). Differential morphology of pyramidal tract-type and intratelencephalically projecting-type corticostriatal neurons and their intrastriatal terminals in rats. *J Comp Neurol* 457, 420-440. [[10.1002/cne.10541](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.10541)].
- Remedios R, Logothetis NK, Kayser C** (2014). A role of the claustrum in auditory scene analysis by reflecting sensory change. *Front Syst Neurosci* 8. [[10.3389/fnsys.2014.00044](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00044)].
- Ribary U, Ioannides AA, Singh KD, Hasson R, Bolton JP, Lado F, Mogilner A, Llinás R** (1991). Magnetic field tomography of coherent thalamocortical 40-Hz oscillations in humans. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 88, 11037-11041. [[10.1073/pnas.88.24.11037](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.88.24.11037)].
- Ricardo JA** (1981). Efferent connections of the subthalamic region in the rat. II. The zona incerta. *Brain Res* 214, 43-60. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(81\)90437-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(81)90437-6)].
- Rickards H, Wood C, Cavanna AE** (2008). Hassler and Dieckmann's seminal paper on stereotactic thalamotomy for Gilles de la Tourette syndrome: Translation and critical reappraisal. *Mov Disord* 23, 1966-1972. [[10.1002/mds.22238](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.22238)].
- Rieck RW, Ansari MS, Whetsell WO, Deutch AY, Kessler RM** (2004). Distribution of Dopamine D₂-Like Receptors in the Human Thalamus: Autoradiographic and PET Studies. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 29, 362-372. [[10.1038/sj.npp.1300336](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1300336)].
- Riehle A, Grün S, Diesmann M, Aertsen A** (1997). Spike Synchronization and Rate Modulation Differentially Involved in Motor Cortical Function. *Science* 278, 1950-1953. [[10.1126/science.278.5345.1950](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.278.5345.1950)].
- Rivlin-Etzion M, Marmor O, Saban G, Rosin B, Haber SN, Vaadia E, Prut Y, Bergman H** (2008). Low-Pass Filter Properties of Basal Ganglia Cortical Muscle Loops in the Normal and MPTP Primate Model of Parkinsonism. *J Neurosci* 28, 633-649. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3388-07.2008](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3388-07.2008)].
- Robbins TW** (1990). The Case for Frontostriatal Dysfunction in Schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 16, 391-402. [[10.1093/schbul/16.3.391](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/16.3.391)].
- Robinson DL, Kertzman C** (1995). Covert Orienting of Attention in Macaques. III. Contributions of the Superior Colliculus. *J Neurophysiol* 74, 713-721. [[jn.physiology.org/content/74/2/713/](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1995.74.4.713)].
- Rockland KS, Lund JS** (1983). Intrinsic laminar lattice connections in primate visual cortex. *J Comp Neurol* 216, 303-318. [[10.1002/cne.902160307](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902160307)].
- Rodriguez R, Kallenbach U, Singer W, Munk MHJ** (2004). Short- and Long-Term Effects of Cholinergic Modulation on Gamma Oscillations and Response Synchronization in the Visual Cortex. *J Neurosci* 24, 10369-10378. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1839-04.2004](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1839-04.2004)].

- Roelfsema PR, Engel AK, König P, Singer W** (1997). Visuomotor integration is associated with zero time-lag synchronization among cortical areas. *Nature* 385, 157-161. [[10.1038/385157a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/385157a0)].
- Roland PE** (2002). Dynamic depolarization fields in the cerebral cortex. *Trends Neurosci* 25, 183-190. [[10.1016/S0166-2236\(00\)02125-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(00)02125-1)].
- Rolls ET, Tovee MJ** (1995). Sparseness of the Neuronal Representation of Stimuli in the Primate Temporal Visual Cortex. *J Neurophysiol* 73, 713-726. [jn.physiology.org/content/73/2/713/].
- Rolls ET** (2010). A computational theory of episodic memory formation in the hippocampus. *Behav Brain Res* 215, 180-196. [[10.1016/j.bbr.2010.03.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2010.03.027)].
- Rose NS, LaRocque JJ, Riggall AC, Gosseries O, Starrett MJ, Meyering EE, Postle BR** (2016). Reactivation of latent working memories with transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Science* 354, 1136-1139. [[10.1126/science.aah7011](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aah7011)].
- Rouiller EM, Welker E** (2000). A comparative analysis of the morphology of corticothalamic projections in mammals. *Brain Res Bull* 53, 727-741. [[10.1016/S0361-9230\(00\)00364-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-9230(00)00364-6)].
- Roux F, Wibral M, Mohr HM, Singer W, Uhlhaas PJ** (2012). Gamma-Band Activity in Human Prefrontal Cortex Codes for the Number of Relevant Items Maintained in Working Memory. *J Neurosci* 32, 12411-12420. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0421-12.2012](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0421-12.2012)].
- Royce GJ** (1983a). Cells of origin of corticothalamic projections upon the centromedian and parafascicular nuclei in the cat. *Brain Res* 258, 11-21. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(83\)91221-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(83)91221-0)].
- Royce GJ** (1983b). Cortical neurons with collateral projections to both the caudate nucleus and the centromedian-parafascicular thalamic complex: A fluorescent retrograde double labeling study in the cat. *Exp Brain Res* 50, 157-165. [[10.1007/BF00239179](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00239179)].
- Royce GJ, Mourey RJ** (1985). Efferent connections of the centromedian and parafascicular thalamic nuclei: An autoradiographic investigation in the cat. *J Comp Neurol* 235, 277-300. [[10.1002/cne.902350302](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902350302)].
- Royce GJ, Bromley S, Gracco C, Beckstead RM** (1989). Thalamocortical connections of the rostral intralaminar nuclei: An autoradiographic analysis in the cat. *J Comp Neurol* 288, 555-582. [[10.1002/cne.902880404](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902880404)].
- Rubio-Garrido P, Pérez-de-Manzo F, Porrero C, Galazo MJ, Clascá F** (2009). Thalamic Input to Distal Apical Dendrites in Neocortical Layer 1 Is Massive and Highly Convergent. *Cereb Cortex* 19, 2380-2395. [[10.1093/cercor/bhn259](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhn259)].
- Rusek F, Persson D, Lau BK, Larsson EG, Marzetta TL, Edfors O, Tufvesson F** (2013). Scaling Up MIMO: Opportunities and Challenges with Very Large Arrays. *IEEE Signal Process Mag* 30, 40-60. [[10.1109/MSP.2011.2178495](https://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2011.2178495)].
- Saalmann YB, Pinsk MA, Wang L, Li X, Kastner S** (2012). The Pulvinar Regulates Information Transmission Between Cortical Areas Based on Attention Demands. *Science* 337, 753-756. [[10.1126/science.1223082](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1223082)].
- Saalmann YB** (2014). Intralaminar and medial thalamic influence on cortical synchrony, information transmission and cognition. *Front Syst Neurosci* 8. [[10.3389/fnsys.2014.00083](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00083)].
- Sacchet MD, LaPlante RA, Wan Q, Pritchett DL, Lee AKC, Hamalainen M, Moore CI, Kerr CE, Jones SR** (2015). Attention Drives Synchronization of Alpha and Beta Rhythms between Right Inferior Frontal and Primary Sensory Neocortex. *J Neurosci* 35, 2074-2082. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1292-14.2015](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1292-14.2015)].
- Sadikot AF, Parent A, François C** (1992a). Efferent connections of the centromedian and parafascicular thalamic nuclei in the squirrel monkey: A PHA-L study of subcortical projections. *J Comp Neurol* 315, 137-159. [[10.1002/cne.903150203](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903150203)].
- Sadikot AF, Parent A, Smith Y, Bolam JP** (1992b). Efferent connections of the centromedian and parafascicular thalamic nuclei in the squirrel monkey: A light and electron microscopic study of the thalamostriatal projection in relation to striatal heterogeneity. *J Comp Neurol* 320, 228-242. [[10.1002/cne.903200207](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903200207)].
- Sakai ST, Inase M, Tanji J** (1996). Comparison of cerebellothalamic and pallidothalamic projections in the monkey (*Macaca fuscata*): A double anterograde labeling study. *J Comp Neurol* 368, 215-228. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19960429\)368:2<215::AID-CNE4>3.0.CO;2-6](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19960429)368:2<215::AID-CNE4>3.0.CO;2-6)].
- Sakoğlu Ü, Pearlson GD, Kiehl KA, Wang YM, Michael AM, Calhoun VD** (2010). A method for evaluating dynamic functional network connectivity and task-modulation: application to schizophrenia. *Magn Reson Mater Phys* 23, 351-366. [[10.1007/s10334-010-0197-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10334-010-0197-8)].
- Salami M, Itami C, Tsumoto T, Kimura F** (2003). Change of conduction velocity by regional myelination yields constant latency irrespective of distance between thalamus and cortex. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 100, 6174-6179. [[10.1073/pnas.0937380100](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0937380100)].
- Salerno MT, Zagami MT, Cortimiglia R, Infantellina F** (1981). The action of iontophoretically applied acetylcholine and dopamine on single claustrum neurones in the cat. *Neuropharmacology* 20, 895-899. [[10.1016/0028-3908\(81\)90085-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0028-3908(81)90085-X)].
- Sánchez-González MÁ, García-Cabezas MÁ, Rico B, Cavada C** (2005). The Primate Thalamus Is a Key Target for Brain Dopamine. *J Neurosci* 25, 6076-6083. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0968-05.2005](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0968-05.2005)].
- Sandstrom MI, Rebec GV** (2003). Characterization of striatal activity in conscious rats: Contribution of NMDA and AMPA/kainate receptors to both spontaneous and glutamate-driven firing. *Synapse* 47, 91-100. [[10.1002/syn.10142](https://doi.org/10.1002/syn.10142)].
- Sanes JR, Yamagata M** (2009). Many Paths to Synaptic Specificity. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 25, 161-195. [[10.1146/annurev.cellbio.24.110707.175402](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.24.110707.175402)].
- Sara S, Bouret S** (2012). Orienting and Reorienting: The Locus Coeruleus Mediates Cognition through Arousal. *Neuron* 76, 130-141. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2012.09.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.09.011)].
- Sarter M, Bruno JP** (1999). Cortical cholinergic inputs mediating arousal, attentional processing and dreaming: differential afferent regulation of the basal forebrain by telencephalic and brainstem afferents. *Neuroscience* 95, 933-952. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(99\)00487-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(99)00487-X)].
- Sarter M, Givens B, Bruno JP** (2001). The cognitive neuroscience of sustained attention: where top-down meets bottom-up. *Brain Res Rev* 35, 146-160. [[10.1016/S0165-0173\(01\)00044-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0173(01)00044-3)].

- Satoh K, Fibiger HC** (1986). Cholinergic neurons of the laterodorsal tegmental nucleus: Efferent and afferent connections. *J Comp Neurol* 253, 277-302. [[10.1002/cne.902530302](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902530302)].
- Saunders A, Oldenburg IA, Berezovskii VK, Johnson CA, Kingery ND, Elliott HL, Xie T, Gerfen CR, Sabatini BL** (2015a). A direct GABAergic output from the basal ganglia to frontal cortex. *Nature* 521, 85-89. [[10.1038/nature14179](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14179)].
- Saunders A, Granger AJ, Sabatini BL** (2015b). Corelease of acetylcholine and GABA from cholinergic forebrain neurons. *eLife* 4, 10.7554/eLife.06412. [[10.7554/eLife.06412](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.06412)].
- Scannell JW** (1999). The Connectional Organization of the Cortico-thalamic System of the Cat. *Cereb Cortex* 9, 277-299. [[10.1093/cercor/9.3.277](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/9.3.277)].
- Scarr E, Dean B** (2008). Muscarinic receptors: do they have a role in the pathology and treatment of schizophrenia? *J Neurochem* 107, 1188-1195. [[10.1111/j.1471-4159.2008.05711.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2008.05711.x)].
- Schell GR, Strick PL** (1984). The Origin of Thalamic Inputs to the Arcuate Premotor and Supplementary Motor Areas. *J Neurosci* 4, 539-560. [[jneurosci.org/content/4/2/539](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/4/2/539)].
- Schiff ND** (2010). Recovery of consciousness after brain injury: a mesocircuit hypothesis. *Trends Neurosci* 33, 1-9. [[10.1016/j.tins.2009.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2009.11.002)].
- Schiff ND, Shah SA, Hudson AE, Nauvel T, Kalik SF, Purpura KP** (2013). Gating of attentional effort through the central thalamus. *J Neurophysiol* 109, 1152-1163. [[10.1152/jn.00317.2011](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00317.2011)].
- Schlag J, Lehtinen I, Schlag-Rey M** (1974). Neuronal Activity Before and During Eye Movements in Thalamic Internal Medullary Lamina of the Cat. *J Neurophysiol* 37, 982-995. [[jn.physiology.org/content/37/5/982/](https://www.jn.physiology.org/content/37/5/982/)].
- Schlag J, Schlag-Rey M, Peck CK, Joseph J-P** (1980). Visual responses of thalamic neurons depending on the direction of gaze and the position of targets in space. *Exp Brain Res* 40, 170-184. [[10.1007/BF00237535](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00237535)].
- Schlag-Rey M, Schlag J** (1977). Visual and Presaccadic Neuronal Activity in Thalamic Internal Medullary Lamina of Cat: A Study of Targeting. *J Neurophysiol* 40, 156-173. [[jn.physiology.org/content/40/1/156/](https://www.jn.physiology.org/content/40/1/156/)].
- Schmahmann JD, Pandya DN** (1997). The Cerebrocerebellar System. In *International Review of Neurobiology* 41: *The Cerebellum and Cognition*, p.31-60. [[10.1016/S0074-7742\(08\)60346-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0074-7742(08)60346-3)].
- Schmidt H, McFarland J, Ahmed M, McDonald C, Elliott MA** (2011). Low-level temporal coding impairments in psychosis: Preliminary findings and recommendations for further studies. *J Abnorm Psychol* 120, 476-482. [[10.1037/a0023387](https://doi.org/10.1037/a0023387)].
- Schmidt R, Leventhal DK, Mallet N, Chen F, Berke JD** (2013). Canceling actions involves a race between basal ganglia pathways. *Nat Neurosci* 16, 1118-1124. [[10.1038/nn.3456](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3456)].
- Schmitt LI, Wimmer RD, Nakajima M, Happ M, Mofakham S, Halassa MM** (2017). Thalamic amplification of cortical connectivity sustains attentional control. *Nature* 545, 219-223. [[10.1038/nature22073](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22073)].
- Schmolck H, Kensinger EA, Corkin S, Squire LR** (2002). Semantic knowledge in patient H.M. and other patients with bilateral medial and lateral temporal lobe lesions. *Hippocampus* 12, 520-533. [[10.1002/hipo.10039](https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.10039)].
- Schoffelen J-M, Oostenveld R, Fries P** (2005). Neuronal Coherence as a Mechanism of Effective Corticospinal Interaction. *Science* 308, 111-113. [[10.1126/science.1107027](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1107027)].
- Schouwenburg M van, Aarts E, Cools R** (2010a). Dopaminergic Modulation of Cognitive Control: Distinct Roles for the Prefrontal Cortex and the Basal Ganglia. *Curr Pharm Des* 16, 2026-2032. [[10.2174/138161210791293097](https://doi.org/10.2174/138161210791293097)].
- Schouwenburg MR van, Ouden HEM den, Cools R** (2010b). The Human Basal Ganglia Modulate Frontal-Posterior Connectivity during Attention Shifting. *J Neurosci* 30, 9910-9918. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1111-10.2010](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1111-10.2010)].
- Schouwenburg MR van, O'Shea J, Mars RB, Rushworth MFS, Cools R** (2012). Controlling Human Striatal Cognitive Function via the Frontal Cortex. *J Neurosci* 32, 5631-5637. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6428-11.2012](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6428-11.2012)].
- Schouwenburg MR van, Onnink AMH, Huurne N ter, Kan CC, Zwiers MP, Hoogman M, Franke B, Buitelaar JK, Cools R** (2014). Cognitive flexibility depends on white matter microstructure of the basal ganglia. *Neuropsychologia* 53, 171-177. [[10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2013.11.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2013.11.015)].
- Schultz W** (1998). Predictive Reward Signal of Dopamine Neurons. *J Neurophysiol* 80, 1-27. [[jn.physiology.org/content/80/1/1/](https://www.jn.physiology.org/content/80/1/1/)].
- Schultz W** (2013). Updating dopamine reward signals. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 23, 229-238. [[10.1016/j.conb.2012.11.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2012.11.012)].
- Schwab BC** (2016). *Do gap junctions regulate synchrony in the Parkinsonian basal ganglia?*. PhD Dissertation, University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands. [[10.3990/1.9789036540704](https://doi.org/10.3990/1.9789036540704)].
- Seidman LJ, Pantelis C, Keshavan MS, Faraone SV, Goldstein JM, Horton NJ, Makris N, Peter F, Caviness VS, Tsuang MT** (2003). A Review and New Report of Medial Temporal Lobe Dysfunction as a Vulnerability Indicator for Schizophrenia: A Magnetic Resonance Imaging Morphometric Family Study of the Parahippocampal Gyrus. *Schizophr Bull* 29, 803-830. [[10.1093/oxfordjournals.schbul.a007048](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.schbul.a007048)].
- Selemon LD, Goldman-Rakic PS** (1985). Longitudinal Topography and Interdigitation of Corticostriatal Projections in the Rhesus Monkey. *J Neurosci* 5, 776-794. [[jneurosci.org/content/5/3/776](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/5/3/776)].
- Selemon LD, Goldman-Rakic PS** (1988). Common Cortical and Subcortical Targets of the Dorsolateral Prefrontal and Posterior Parietal Cortices in the Rhesus Monkey: Evidence for a Distributed Neural Network Subserving Spatially Guided Behavior. *J Neurosci* 8, 4049-4068. [[jneurosci.org/content/8/11/4049](https://www.jneurosci.org/content/8/11/4049)].
- Semba K, Fibiger HC** (1992). Afferent connections of the laterodorsal and the pedunculopontine tegmental nuclei in the rat: A retro- and antero-grade transport and immunohistochemical study. *J Comp Neurol* 323, 387-410. [[10.1002/cne.903230307](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903230307)].
- Servello D, Porta M, Sassi M, Brambilla A, Robertson MM** (2008). Deep brain stimulation in 18 patients with severe Gilles de la Tourette syndrome refractory to treatment: the surgery and stimulation. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 79, 136-142. [[10.1136/jnnp.2006.104067](https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2006.104067)].

- Shabel S, Proulx C, Trias A, Murphy R, Malinow R** (2012). Input to the Lateral Habenula from the Basal Ganglia Is Excitatory, Aversive, and Suppressed by Serotonin. *Neuron* 74, 475-481. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2012.02.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.02.037)].
- Shammah-Lagnado SJ, Negrão N, Ricardo JA** (1985). Afferent connections of the zona incerta: A horseradish peroxidase study in the rat. *Neuroscience* 15, 109-134. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(85\)90127-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(85)90127-7)].
- Shammah-Lagnado SJ, Alheid GF, Heimer L** (1996). Efferent connections of the caudal part of the globus pallidus in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 376, 489-507. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19961216\)376:3<489::AID-CNE10>3.0.CO;2-H](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19961216)376:3<489::AID-CNE10>3.0.CO;2-H)].
- Sharma J, Angelucci A, Sur M** (2000). Induction of visual orientation modules in auditory cortex. *Nature* 404, 841-847. [[10.1038/35009043](https://doi.org/10.1038/35009043)].
- Sharott A, Moll CKE, Engler G, Denker M, Grun S, Engel AK** (2009). Different Subtypes of Striatal Neurons Are Selectively Modulated by Cortical Oscillations. *J Neurosci* 29, 4571-4585. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5097-08.2009](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5097-08.2009)].
- Sharott A, Doig NM, Mallet N, Magill PJ** (2012). Relationships between the Firing of Identified Striatal Interneurons and Spontaneous and Driven Cortical Activities In Vivo. *J Neurosci* 32, 13221-13236. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2440-12.2012](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2440-12.2012)].
- Sharpe MJ, Chang CY, Liu MA, Batchelor HM, Mueller LE, Jones JL, Niv Y, Schoenbaum G** (2017). Dopamine transients are sufficient and necessary for acquisition of model-based associations. *Nat Neurosci* 20, 735-742. [[10.1038/nrn.4538](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.4538)].
- Shepherd GMG** (2013). Corticostriatal connectivity and its role in disease. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 14, 278-291. [[10.1038/nrn3469](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3469)].
- Shergill SS, Brammer MJ, Williams SCR, Murray RM, McGuire PK** (2000). Mapping Auditory Hallucinations in Schizophrenia Using Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 57, 1033-1038. [[10.1001/archpsyc.57.11.1033](https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.57.11.1033)].
- Sherk H** (1986). The Claustrum and the Cerebral Cortex. In *Cerebral Cortex 5: Sensory-Motor Areas and Aspects of Cortical Connectivity*, p.467-499. [[10.1007/978-1-4613-2149-1_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-2149-1_13)].
- Shi W-X, Zheng P, Liang X-F, Bunney BS** (1997). Characterization of dopamine-induced depolarization of prefrontal cortical neurons. *Synapse* 26, 415-422. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-2396\(199708\)26:4<415::AID-SYN9>3.0.CO;2-9](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2396(199708)26:4<415::AID-SYN9>3.0.CO;2-9)].
- Shink E, Sidibé M, Smith Y** (1997). Efferent connections of the internal globus pallidus in the squirrel monkey: II. topography and synaptic organization of pallidal efferents to the pedunculopontine nucleus. *J Comp Neurol* 382, 348-363. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19970609\)382:3<348::AID-CNE4>3.0.CO;2-3](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19970609)382:3<348::AID-CNE4>3.0.CO;2-3)].
- Shors TJ, Miesegaes G, Beylin A, Zhao M, Rydel T, Gould E** (2001). Neurogenesis in the adult is involved in the formation of trace memories. *Nature* 410, 372-376. [[10.1038/35066584](https://doi.org/10.1038/35066584)].
- Shulman GL, Astafiev SV, Franke D, Pope DLW, Snyder AZ, McAvoy MP, Corbetta M** (2009). Interaction of Stimulus-Driven Reorienting and Expectation in Ventral and Dorsal Frontoparietal and Basal Ganglia-Cortical Networks. *J Neurosci* 29, 4392-4407. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5609-08.2009](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5609-08.2009)].
- Sidibé M, Bevan MD, Bolam JP, Smith Y** (1997). Efferent connections of the internal globus pallidus in the squirrel monkey: I. topography and synaptic organization of the pallidothalamic projection. *J Comp Neurol* 382, 323-347. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19970609\)382:3<323::AID-CNE3>3.0.CO;2-5](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19970609)382:3<323::AID-CNE3>3.0.CO;2-5)].
- Sidibé M, Smith Y** (1999). Thalamic inputs to striatal interneurons in monkeys: synaptic organization and co-localization of calcium binding proteins. *Neuroscience* 89, 1189-1208. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(98\)00367-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(98)00367-4)].
- Sidibé M, Paré J-F, Smith Y** (2002). Nigral and pallidal inputs to functionally segregated thalamostriatal neurons in the centromedian/parafascicular intralaminar nuclear complex in monkey. *J Comp Neurol* 447, 286-299. [[10.1002/cne.10247](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.10247)].
- Siegel M, Donner TH, Oostenveld R, Fries P, Engel AK** (2008). Neuronal Synchronization along the Dorsal Visual Pathway Reflects the Focus of Spatial Attention. *Neuron* 60, 709-719. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2008.09.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.09.010)].
- Siegel M, Warden MR, Miller EK** (2009). Phase-dependent neuronal coding of objects in short-term memory. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 106, 21341-21346. [[10.1073/pnas.0908193106](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0908193106)].
- Siegel M, Donner TH, Engel AK** (2012). Spectral fingerprints of large-scale neuronal interactions. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 13, 121-134. [[10.1038/nrn3137](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3137)].
- Siegle JH, Wilson MA** (2014). Enhancement of encoding and retrieval functions through theta phase-specific manipulation of hippocampus. *eLife* 3, 10.7554/eLife.03061. [[10.7554/eLife.03061](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.03061)].
- Sigurdsson T, Stark KL, Karayiorgou M, Gogos JA, Gordon JA** (2010). Impaired hippocampal-prefrontal synchrony in a genetic mouse model of schizophrenia. *Nature* 464, 763-767. [[10.1038/nature08855](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08855)].
- Sillito AM, Kemp JA** (1983). Cholinergic modulation of the functional organization of the cat visual cortex. *Brain Res* 289, 143-155. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(83\)90015-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(83)90015-X)].
- Sillito AM, Jones HE, Gerstein GL, West DC** (1994). Feature-linked synchronization of thalamic relay cell firing induced by feedback from the visual cortex. *Nature* 369, 479-482. [[10.1038/369479a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/369479a0)].
- Silver H, Feldman P, Bilker W, Gur RC** (2003). Working Memory Deficit as a Core Neuropsychological Dysfunction in Schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 160, 1809-1816. [[10.1176/appi.ajp.160.10.1809](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.160.10.1809)].
- Silver MA, Kastner S** (2009). Topographic maps in human frontal and parietal cortex. *Trends Cogn Sci* 13, 488-495. [[10.1016/j.tics.2009.08.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2009.08.005)].
- Simmons WK, Barsalou LW** (2003). The Similarity-in-Topography Principle: Reconciling Theories of Conceptual Deficits. *Cogn Neuropsych* 20, 451-486. [[10.1080/02643290342000032](https://doi.org/10.1080/02643290342000032)].
- Simpson EH, Kellendonk C, Kandel E** (2010). A Possible Role for the Striatum in the Pathogenesis of the Cognitive Symptoms of Schizophrenia. *Neuron* 65, 585-596. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2010.02.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.02.014)].

- Singer W** (1993). Synchronization of Cortical Activity and its Putative Role in Information Processing and Learning. *Annu Rev Physiol* 55, 349-374. [[10.1146/annurev.ph.55.030193.002025](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ph.55.030193.002025)].
- Singer W, Gray CM** (1995). Visual Feature Integration and the Temporal Correlation Hypothesis. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 18, 555-586. [[10.1146/annurev.ne.18.030195.003011](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ne.18.030195.003011)].
- Singer W** (1999). Neuronal Synchrony: A Versatile Code for the Definition of Relations? *Neuron* 24, 49-65. [[10.1016/S0896-6273\(00\)80821-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80821-1)].
- Skinner JE, Lindsley DB** (1967). Electrophysiological and behavioral effects of blockade of the nonspecific thalamo-cortical system. *Brain Res* 6, 95-118. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(67\)90184-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(67)90184-9)].
- Śloniewski P, Usunoff KG, Pilgrim C** (1986). Diencephalic and mesencephalic afferents of the rat claustrum. *Anat Embryol* 173, 401-411. [[10.1007/BF00318925](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00318925)].
- Smith J, Klug J, Ross D, Howard C, Hollon N, Ko V, Hoffman H, Callaway E, Gerfen C, Jin X** (2016). Genetic-Based Dissection Unveils the Inputs and Outputs of Striatal Patch and Matrix Compartments. *Neuron* 91, 1069-1084. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2016.07.046](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.07.046)].
- Smith Y, Bevan MD, Shink E, Bolam JP** (1998). Microcircuitry of the Direct and Indirect Pathways of the Basal Ganglia. *Neuroscience* 86, 353-387. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(98\)00004-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(98)00004-9)].
- Smith Y, Kieval JZ** (2000). Anatomy of the dopamine system in the basal ganglia. *Trends Neurosci* 23, S28-S33. [[10.1016/S1471-1931\(00\)00023-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1471-1931(00)00023-9)].
- Smith Y, Raju DV, Pare J-F, Sidibé M** (2004). The thalamostriatal system: a highly specific network of the basal ganglia circuitry. *Trends Neurosci* 27, 520-527. [[10.1016/j.tins.2004.07.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2004.07.004)].
- Snyder JS, Hong NS, McDonald RJ, Wojtowicz JM** (2005). A role for adult neurogenesis in spatial long-term memory. *Neuroscience* 130, 843-852. [[10.1016/j.neuroscience.2004.10.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2004.10.009)].
- Softky WR, Koch C** (1993). The Highly Irregular Firing of Cortical Cells Is Inconsistent with Temporal Integration of Random EPSPs. *J Neurosci* 13, 334-350. [[jn.neurosci.org/content/13/1/334](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1311-93.1993)].
- Song S, Abbott LF, Miller KD** (2000). Competitive Hebbian learning through spike-timing-dependent synaptic plasticity. *Nat Neurosci* 3, 919-926. [[10.1038/78829](https://doi.org/10.1038/78829)].
- Squire LR** (2004). The Medial Temporal Lobe. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 27, 279-306. [[10.1146/annurev.neuro.27.070203.144130](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.27.070203.144130)].
- Staley K, Soldo B, Proctor W** (1995). Ionic mechanisms of neuronal excitation by inhibitory GABAA receptors. *Science* 269, 977-981. [[10.1126/science.7638623](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7638623)].
- Stanford IM** (2002). Independent Neuronal Oscillators of the Rat Globus Pallidus. *J Neurophysiol* 89, 1713-1717. [[10.1152/jn.00864.2002](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00864.2002)].
- Steininger TL, Wainer BH, Blakely RD, Rye DB** (1997). Serotonergic dorsal raphe nucleus projections to the cholinergic and noncholinergic neurons of the pedunculopontine tegmental region: a light and electron microscopic anterograde tracing and immunohistochemical study. *J Comp Neurol* 382, 302-322. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19970609\)382:3<302::AID-CNE2>3.0.CO;2-7](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19970609)382:3<302::AID-CNE2>3.0.CO;2-7)].
- Stephenson-Jones M, Samuelsson E, Ericsson J, Robertson B, Grillner S** (2011). Evolutionary Conservation of the Basal Ganglia as a Common Vertebrate Mechanism for Action Selection. *Curr Biol* 21, 1081-1091. [[10.1016/j.cub.2011.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.05.001)].
- Stephenson-Jones M, Ericsson J, Robertson B, Grillner S** (2012). Evolution of the basal ganglia: Dual-output pathways conserved throughout vertebrate phylogeny. *J Comp Neurol* 520, 2957-2973. [[10.1002/cne.23087](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.23087)].
- Steriade M, Paré D, Parent A, Smith Y** (1988). Projections of cholinergic and non-cholinergic neurons of the brainstem core to relay and associational thalamic nuclei in the cat and macaque monkey. *Neuroscience* 25, 47-67. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(88\)90006-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(88)90006-1)].
- Steriade M, Dossi RC, Contreras D** (1993). Electrophysiological properties of intralaminar thalamocortical cells discharging rhythmic (~40 Hz) spike-bursts at ~1000 Hz during waking and rapid eye movement sleep. *Neuroscience* 56, 1-9. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(93\)90556-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(93)90556-U)].
- Steriade M** (1995). Two channels in the cerebellothalamocortical system. *J Comp Neurol* 354, 57-70. [[10.1002/cne.903540106](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903540106)].
- Steriade M, Contreras D, Amzica F, Timofeev I** (1996). Synchronization of Fast (30-40 Hz) Spontaneous Oscillations in Intrathalamic and Thalamocortical Networks. *J Neurosci* 16, 2788-2808. [[jn.neurosci.org/content/16/8/2788](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1618-96.1996)].
- Steriade M** (2004). Acetylcholine systems and rhythmic activities during the waking-sleep cycle. In *Prog. Brain Res.* 145: *Acetylcholine in the Cerebral Cortex*, p.179-196. [[10.1016/S0079-6123\(03\)45013-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(03)45013-9)].
- Stern EA, Kincaid AE, Wilson CJ** (1997). Spontaneous Subthreshold Membrane Potential Fluctuations and Action Potential Variability of Rat Corticostriatal and Striatal Neurons In Vivo. *J Neurophysiol* 77, 1697-1715. [[jn.physiology.org/content/77/4/1697](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1997.77.4.1697)].
- Stocco A, Lebiere C, Anderson JR** (2010). Conditional routing of information to the cortex: A model of the basal ganglia's role in cognitive coordination. *Psychol Rev* 117, 541-574. [[10.1037/a0019077](https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019077)].
- Stoffers D, Bosboom JLW, Deijen JB, Wolters EC, Stam CJ, Berendse HW** (2008). Increased cortico-cortical functional connectivity in early-stage Parkinson's disease: An MEG study. *NeuroImage* 41, 212-222. [[10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.02.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.02.027)].
- Strick PL, Dum RP, Fiez JA** (2009). Cerebellum and Nonmotor Function. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 32, 413-434. [[10.1146/annurev.neuro.31.060407.125606](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.31.060407.125606)].
- Stuss DT, Guberman A, Nelson R, Larochelle S** (1988). The neuropsychology of paramedian thalamic infarction. *Brain Cogn* 8, 348-378. [[10.1016/0278-2626\(88\)90059-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-2626(88)90059-0)].

- Swadlow HA, Kocsis JD, Waxman SG** (1980). Modulation of Impulse Conduction Along the Axonal Tree. *Annu Rev Biophys Bioeng* 9, 143-179. [[10.1146/annurev.bb.09.060180.001043](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bb.09.060180.001043)].
- Swanson LW, Petrovich GD** (1998). What is the amygdala? *Trends Neurosci* 21, 323-331. [[10.1016/S0166-2236\(98\)01265-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(98)01265-X)].
- Swerdlow NR, Geyer MA** (1998). Using an Animal Model of Deficient Sensorimotor Gating to Study the Pathophysiology and New Treatments of Schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 24, 285-301. [[10.1093/oxfordjournals.schbul.a033326](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.schbul.a033326)].
- Takemura H, Rokem A, Winawer J, Yeatman JD, Wandell BA, Pestilli F** (2016). A Major Human White Matter Pathway Between Dorsal and Ventral Visual Cortex. *Cereb Cortex* 26, 2205-2214. [[10.1093/cercor/bhv064](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhv064)].
- Tamás G, Buhl EH, Lörincz A, Somogyi P** (2000). Proximally targeted GABAergic synapses and gap junctions synchronize cortical interneurons. *Nat Neurosci* 3, 366-371. [[10.1038/73936](https://doi.org/10.1038/73936)].
- Tamminen J, Payne JD, Stickgold R, Wamsley EJ, Gaskell MG** (2010). Sleep Spindle Activity is Associated with the Integration of New Memories and Existing Knowledge. *J Neurosci* 30, 14356-14360. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3028-10.2010](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3028-10.2010)].
- Tandon R, Keshavan M, Nasrallah H** (2008). Schizophrenia, “Just the Facts” What we know in 2008. 2. Epidemiology and etiology. *Schizophr Res* 102, 1-18. [[10.1016/j.schres.2008.04.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2008.04.011)].
- Taren AA, Venkatraman V, Huettel SA** (2011). A Parallel Functional Topography between Medial and Lateral Prefrontal Cortex: Evidence and Implications for Cognitive Control. *J Neurosci* 31, 5026-5031. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5762-10.2011](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5762-10.2011)].
- Taverna S, Canciani B, Pennartz CMA** (2007). Membrane properties and synaptic connectivity of fast-spiking interneurons in rat ventral striatum. *Brain Res* 1152, 49-56. [[10.1016/j.brainres.2007.03.053](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2007.03.053)].
- Tepper JM, Wilson CJ, Koós T** (2008). Feedforward and feedback inhibition in neostriatal GABAergic spiny neurons. *Brain Res Rev* 58, 272-281. [[10.1016/j.brainresrev.2007.10.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresrev.2007.10.008)].
- Tepper JM, Tecuapetla F, Koós T, Ibáñez-Sandoval O** (2010). Heterogeneity and Diversity of Striatal GABAergic Interneurons. *Front Neuroanat* 4. [[10.3389/fnana.2010.00150](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnana.2010.00150)].
- Thorn CA, Graybiel AM** (2014). Differential Entrainment and Learning-Related Dynamics of Spike and Local Field Potential Activity in the Sensorimotor and Associative Striatum. *J Neurosci* 34, 2845-2859. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1782-13.2014](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1782-13.2014)].
- Threlfell S, Lalic T, Platt N, Jennings K, Deisseroth K, Cragg S** (2012). Striatal Dopamine Release Is Triggered by Synchronized Activity in Cholinergic Interneurons. *Neuron* 75, 58-64. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2012.04.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.04.038)].
- Tierney PL, Thierry AM, Glowinski J, Deniau JM, Gioanni Y** (2008). Dopamine Modulates Temporal Dynamics of Feedforward Inhibition in Rat Prefrontal Cortex In Vivo. *Cereb Cortex* 18, 2251-2262. [[10.1093/cercor/bhm252](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhm252)].
- Tiesinga PH, Fellous J-M, Salinas E, José JV, Sejnowski TJ** (2004). Inhibitory synchrony as a mechanism for attentional gain modulation. *J Physiol Paris* 98, 296-314. [[10.1016/j.jphysparis.2005.09.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphysparis.2005.09.002)].
- Tigges J, Walker LC, Tigges M** (1983). Subcortical projections to the occipital and parietal lobes of the chimpanzee brain. *J Comp Neurol* 220, 106-115. [[10.1002/cne.902200110](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902200110)].
- Tononi G, Edelman GM** (1998). Consciousness and Complexity. *Science* 282, 1846-1851. [[10.1126/science.282.5395.1846](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.282.5395.1846)].
- Tononi G** (2004). An information integration theory of consciousness. *BMC Neurosci* 5:1, 42. [[10.1186/1471-2202-5-42](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-5-42)].
- Tononi G, Boly M, Massimini M, Koch C** (2016). Integrated information theory: from consciousness to its physical substrate. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 17, 450-461. [[10.1038/nrn.2016.44](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2016.44)].
- Tort ABL, Kramer MA, Thorn C, Gibson DJ, Kubota Y, Graybiel AM, Kopell NJ** (2008). Dynamic cross-frequency couplings of local field potential oscillations in rat striatum and hippocampus during performance of a T-maze task. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 105, 20517-20522. [[10.1073/pnas.0810524105](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0810524105)].
- Towers SK, Hestrin S** (2008). D1-Like Dopamine Receptor Activation Modulates GABAergic Inhibition But Not Electrical Coupling between Neocortical Fast-Spiking Interneurons. *J Neurosci* 28, 2633-2641. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5079-07.2008](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5079-07.2008)].
- Trageser JC, Keller A** (2004). Reducing the Uncertainty: Gating of Peripheral Inputs by Zona Incerta. *J Neurosci* 24, 8911-8915. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3218-04.2004](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3218-04.2004)].
- Trageser JC, Burke KA, Masri R, Li Y, Sellers L, Keller A** (2006). State-Dependent Gating of Sensory Inputs by Zona Incerta. *J Neurophysiol* 96, 1456-1463. [[10.1152/jn.00423.2006](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00423.2006)].
- Tremblay L, Filion M** (1989). Responses of pallidal neurons to striatal stimulation in intact waking monkeys. *Brain Res* 498, 1-16. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(89\)90394-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(89)90394-6)].
- Tsang EW, Hamani C, Moro E, Mazzella F, Poon YY, Lozano AM, Chen R** (2010). Involvement of the human pedunculopontine nucleus region in voluntary movements. *Neurology* 75, 950-959. [[10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181f25b35](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181f25b35)].
- Tsien JZ, Li M** (2017). On Neural Code: The Self-Information Processor Disguised As Neuronal Variability?. *bioRxiv preprint 132068v1*. [[10.1101/132068](https://doi.org/10.1101/132068)].
- Turner RS, DeLong MR** (2000). Corticostriatal Activity in Primary Motor Cortex of the Macaque. *J Neurosci* 20, 7096-7108. [[jneurosci.org/content/20/18/7096](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2018-00.2000)].
- Turrigiano G** (2011). Too Many Cooks? Intrinsic and Synaptic Homeostatic Mechanisms in Cortical Circuit Refinement. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 34, 89-103. [[10.1146/annurev-neuro-060909-153238](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-060909-153238)].
- Uhlhaas PJ, Singer W** (2006). Neural Synchrony in Brain Disorders: Relevance for Cognitive Dysfunctions and Pathophysiology. *Neuron* 52, 155-168. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2006.09.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2006.09.020)].

- Uhlhaas PJ, Roux F, Singer W, Haenschel C, Sireteanu R, Rodriguez E** (2009). The development of neural synchrony reflects late maturation and restructuring of functional networks in humans. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 106, 9866-9871. [[10.1073/pnas.0900390106](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0900390106)].
- Uhlhaas PJ, Singer W** (2010). Abnormal neural oscillations and synchrony in schizophrenia. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 11, 100-113. [[10.1038/nrn2774](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2774)].
- Uhlhaas PJ, Singer W** (2012). Neuronal Dynamics and Neuropsychiatric Disorders: Toward a Translational Paradigm for Dysfunctional Large-Scale Networks. *Neuron* 75, 963-980. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2012.09.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.09.004)].
- Uhlhaas PJ** (2013). Dysconnectivity, large-scale networks and neuronal dynamics in schizophrenia. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 23, 283-290. [[10.1016/j.conb.2012.11.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2012.11.004)].
- Ullman MT** (2006). Is Broca's Area Part of a Basal Ganglia Thalamocortical Circuit? *Cortex* 42, 480-485. [[10.1016/S0010-9452\(08\)70382-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-9452(08)70382-4)].
- Ungerleider L, Doyon J, Karni A** (2002). Imaging Brain Plasticity during Motor Skill Learning. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 78, 553-564. [[10.1006/nlme.2002.4091](https://doi.org/10.1006/nlme.2002.4091)].
- Urbain N, Deschênes M** (2007). Motor Cortex Gates Vibrissal Responses in a Thalamocortical Projection Pathway. *Neuron* 56, 714-725. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2007.10.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2007.10.023)].
- Vanderwolf CH** (1992). The electrocorticogram in relation to physiology and behavior: a new analysis. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 82, 165-175. [[10.1016/0013-4694\(92\)90164-D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4694(92)90164-D)].
- Varela F, Lachaux J-P, Rodriguez E, Martinerie J** (2001). The Brainweb: Phase Synchronization and Large-Scale Integration. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2, 229-239. [[10.1038/35067550](https://doi.org/10.1038/35067550)].
- Vatansver D, Manktelow AE, Sahakian BJ, Menon DK, Stamatakis EA** (2016). Cognitive Flexibility: A Default Network and Basal Ganglia Connectivity Perspective. *Brain Connect* 6, 201-207. [[10.1089/brain.2015.0388](https://doi.org/10.1089/brain.2015.0388)].
- Verhoef B-E, Vogels R, Janssen P** (2011). Synchronization between the end stages of the dorsal and the ventral visual stream. *J Neurophysiol* 105, 2030-2042. [[10.1152/jn.00924.2010](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00924.2010)].
- Vertes RP** (1991). A PHA-L analysis of ascending projections of the dorsal raphe nucleus in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 313, 643-668. [[10.1002/cne.903130409](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903130409)].
- Vertes RP, Fortin WJ, Crane AM** (1999). Projections of the median raphe nucleus in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 407, 555-582. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19990517\)407:4<555::AID-CNE7>3.3.CO;2-5](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19990517)407:4<555::AID-CNE7>3.3.CO;2-5)].
- Vicente R, Gollo LL, Mirasso CR, Fischer I, Pipa G** (2008). Dynamical relaying can yield zero time lag neuronal synchrony despite long conduction delays. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 105, 17157-17162. [[10.1073/pnas.0809353105](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0809353105)].
- Vincent JL, Kahn I, Snyder AZ, Raichle ME, Buckner RL** (2008). Evidence for a Frontoparietal Control System Revealed by Intrinsic Functional Connectivity. *J Neurophysiol* 100, 3328-3342. [[10.1152/jn.90355.2008](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.90355.2008)].
- Vinje WE, Gallant JL** (2000). Sparse Coding and Decorrelation in Primary Visual Cortex During Natural Vision. *Science* 287, 1273-1276. [[10.1126/science.287.5456.1273](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5456.1273)].
- Vitek JL, Ashe J, DeLong MR, Kaneoke Y** (1996). Microstimulation of Primate Motor Thalamus: Somatotopic Organization and Differential Distribution of Evoked Motor Responses Among Subnuclei. *J Neurophysiol* 75, 2486-2495. [jpn.physiology.org/content/75/6/2486/].
- Volgushev M, Chistiakova M, Singer W** (1998). Modification of discharge patterns of neocortical neurons by induced oscillations of the membrane potential. *Neuroscience* 83, 15-25. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(97\)00380-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(97)00380-1)].
- Vollenweider FX, Csomor PA, Knappe B, Geyer MA, Quednow BB** (2007). The Effects of the Preferential 5-HT_{2A} Agonist Psilocybin on Prepulse Inhibition of Startle in Healthy Human Volunteers Depend on Interstimulus Interval. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 32, 1876-1887. [[10.1038/sj.npp.1301324](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1301324)].
- Vreeswijk C van, Sompolinsky H** (1996). Chaos in Neuronal Networks with Balanced Excitatory and Inhibitory Activity. *Science* 274, 1724-1726. [[10.1126/science.274.5293.1724](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.274.5293.1724)].
- Wall NR, Parra M De La, Callaway EM, Kreitzer AC** (2013). Differential Innervation of Direct- and Indirect-Pathway Striatal Projection Neurons. *Neuron* 79, 347-360. [[10.1016/j.neuron.2013.05.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.05.014)].
- Waltereit R, Eifler S, Schirmbeck F, Zink M** (2013). Visual and Auditory Hallucinations Associated With Citalopram Treatment. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 33, 583-584. [[10.1097/JCP.0b013e31829771ca](https://doi.org/10.1097/JCP.0b013e31829771ca)].
- Wamsley EJ, Tucker MA, Shinn AK, Ono KE, McKinley SK, Ely AV, Goff DC, Stickgold R, Manoach DS** (2012). Reduced Sleep Spindles and Spindle Coherence in Schizophrenia: Mechanisms of Impaired Memory Consolidation? *Biol Psychiatry* 71, 154-161. [[10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.08.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.08.008)].
- Wang X, Li F, Zheng H, Wang W, Zhang W, Liu Z, Sun Y, Chan RCK, Chen A** (2015). Breakdown of the striatal-default mode network loop in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 168, 366-372. [[10.1016/j.schres.2015.07.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2015.07.027)].
- Wang X-J** (2001). Synaptic reverberation underlying mnemonic persistent activity. *Trends Neurosci* 24, 455-463. [[10.1016/S0166-2236\(00\)01868-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(00)01868-3)].
- Wang X-J** (2010). Neurophysiological and Computational Principles of Cortical Rhythms in Cognition. *Physiol Rev* 90, 1195-1268. [[10.1152/physrev.00035.2008](https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00035.2008)].
- Watanabe Y, Funahashi S** (2012). Thalamic mediodorsal nucleus and working memory. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 36, 134-142. [[10.1016/j.neubiorev.2011.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2011.05.003)].
- Waterhouse BD, Border B, Wahl L, Mihailoff GA** (1993). Topographic organization of rat locus coeruleus and dorsal raphe nuclei: Distribution of cells projecting to visual system structures. *J Comp Neurol* 336, 345-361. [[10.1002/cne.903360304](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903360304)].
- Watson GDR, Smith JB, Alloway KD** (2015). The Zona Incerta Regulates Communication between the Superior Colliculus and the Posteromedial Thalamus: Implications for Thalamic Interactions with the Dorsolateral Striatum. *J Neurosci* 35, 9463-9476. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1606-15.2015](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1606-15.2015)].
- Wedeen VJ, Rosene DL, Wang R, Dai G, Mortazavi F, Hagmann P, Kaas JH, Tseng W-YI** (2012). The Geometric Structure of the Brain Fiber Pathways. *Science* 335, 1628-1634. [[10.1126/science.1215280](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1215280)].

- Weiden A van der, Prikken M, Haren NEM van** (2015). Self–other integration and distinction in schizophrenia: A theoretical analysis and a review of the evidence. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 57, 220-237. [[10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.09.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.09.004)].
- Weiss AP, Zalesak M, DeWitt I, Goff D, Kunkel L, Heckers S** (2004). Impaired hippocampal function during the detection of novel words in schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 55, 668-675. [[10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.01.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.01.004)].
- Werf YD Van der, Weerts JGE, Jolles J, Witter MP, Lindeboom J, Scheltens P** (1999). Neuropsychological correlates of a right unilateral lacunar thalamic infarction. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 66, 36-42. [[10.1136/jnnp.66.1.36](https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.66.1.36)].
- Werf YD Van der, Witter MP, Groenewegen HJ** (2002). The intralaminar and midline nuclei of the thalamus. Anatomical and functional evidence for participation in processes of arousal and awareness. *Brain Res Rev* 39, 107-140. [[10.1016/S0165-0173\(02\)00181-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0173(02)00181-9)].
- Wilhite BL, Teyler TJ, Hendricks C** (1986). Functional relations of the rodent claustral-entorhinal-hippocampal system. *Brain Res* 365, 54-60. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(86\)90721-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(86)90721-3)].
- Williams D, Tijssen M, Bruggen G van, Bosch A, Insola A, Lazzaro V Di, Mazzone P, Oliviero A, Quartarone A, Speelman H, Brown P** (2002). Dopamine-dependent changes in the functional connectivity between basal ganglia and cerebral cortex in humans. *Brain* 125, 1558-1569. [[10.1093/brain/awf156](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awf156)].
- Wilson CJ** (2013). Active decorrelation in the basal ganglia. *Neuroscience* 250, 467-482. [[10.1016/j.neuroscience.2013.07.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2013.07.032)].
- Wilson MA, Molliver ME** (1991). The organization of serotonergic projections to cerebral cortex in primates: Retrograde transport studies. *Neuroscience* 44, 555-570. [[10.1016/0306-4522\(91\)90077-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(91)90077-2)].
- Wilson MA, Varela C, Remondes M** (2015). Phase organization of network computations. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 31, 250-253. [[10.1016/j.conb.2014.12.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2014.12.011)].
- Wilson SAK** (1925). The Croonian Lectures on Some Disorders of Motility and of Muscle Tone, With Special Reference to the Corpus Striatum. *The Lancet* 206, 215-219. [[www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(01\)17142-4/fulltext](http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(01)17142-4/fulltext)].
- Wit J de, Ghosh A** (2015). Specification of synaptic connectivity by cell surface interactions. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 17:1, 4. [[10.1038/nrn.2015.3](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2015.3)].
- Womelsdorf T, Schoffelen J-M, Oostenveld R, Singer W, Desimone R, Engel AK, Fries P** (2007). Modulation of Neuronal Interactions Through Neuronal Synchronization. *Science* 316, 1609-1612. [[10.1126/science.1139597](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1139597)].
- Womelsdorf T, Ardid S, Everling S, Valiante T** (2014). Burst Firing Synchronizes Prefrontal and Anterior Cingulate Cortex during Attentional Control. *Curr Biol* 24, 2613-2621. [[10.1016/j.cub.2014.09.046](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.09.046)].
- Woolf NJ, Butcher LL** (2011). Cholinergic systems mediate action from movement to higher consciousness. *Behav Brain Res* 221, 488-498. [[10.1016/j.bbr.2009.12.046](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2009.12.046)].
- Wright AK, Norrie L, Ingham CA, Hutton EAM, Arbuthnott GW** (1999). Double anterograde tracing of outputs from adjacent “barrel columns” of rat somatosensory cortex. Neostriatal projection patterns and terminal ultrastructure. *Neuroscience* 88, 119-133. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(98\)00186-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(98)00186-9)].
- Wright AK, Ramanathan S, Arbuthnott GW** (2001). Identification of the source of the bilateral projection system from cortex to somatosensory neostriatum and an exploration of its physiological actions. *Neuroscience* 103, 87-96. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(00\)00564-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(00)00564-9)].
- Wright MJ, Burns RJ, Geffen GM, Geffen LB** (1990). Covert orientation of visual attention in Parkinson's disease: An impairment in the maintenance of attention. *Neuropsychologia* 28, 151-159. [[10.1016/0028-3932\(90\)90097-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0028-3932(90)90097-8)].
- Wurtz RH, Sommer MA, Cavanaugh J** (2005). Drivers from the deep: the contribution of collicular input to thalamocortical processing. In *Prog. Brain Res. 149: Cortical Function: a View from the Thalamus*, p.207-225. [[10.1016/S0079-6123\(05\)49015-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(05)49015-9)].
- Xiang Z, Huguenard JR, Prince DA** (1998). Cholinergic Switching Within Neocortical Inhibitory Networks. *Science* 281, 985-988. [[10.1126/science.281.5379.985](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.281.5379.985)].
- Xiao D, Barbas H** (2004). Circuits through prefrontal cortex, basal ganglia, and ventral anterior nucleus map pathways beyond motor control. *Thalamus Relat Syst* 2, 325-343. [[10.1016/j.tharel.2004.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tharel.2004.03.001)].
- Xie K, Fox GE, Liu J, Lyu C, Lee JC, Kuang H, Jacobs S, Li M, Liu T, Song S, Tsien JZ** (2016). Brain Computation Is Organized via Power-of-Two-Based Permutation Logic. *Front Syst Neurosci* 10. [[10.3389/fnsys.2016.00095](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2016.00095)].
- Yamaguchi T, Wang H-L, Morales M** (2013). Glutamate neurons in the substantia nigra compacta and retrorubral field. *Eur J Neurosci* 38, 3602-3610. [[10.1111/ejn.12359](https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.12359)].
- Yang CR, Seamans JK** (1996). Dopamine D1 Receptor Actions in Layers V-VI Rat Prefrontal Cortex Neurons In Vitro: Modulation of Dendritic-Somatic Signal Integration. *J Neurosci* 16, 1922-1935. [jneurosci.org/content/16/5/1922].
- Yasui Y, Nakano K, Kayahara T, Mizuno N** (1991). Non-dopaminergic projections from the substantia nigra pars lateralis to the inferior colliculus in the rat. *Brain Res* 559, 139-144. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(91\)90296-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(91)90296-8)].
- Yelnik J, Percheron G, François C** (1984). A Golgi analysis of the primate globus pallidus. II. Quantitative morphology and spatial orientation of dendritic arborizations. *J Comp Neurol* 227, 200-213. [[10.1002/cne.902270206](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902270206)].
- Yelnik J, François C, Percheron G, Tandé D** (1996). A spatial and quantitative study of the striatopallidal connection in the monkey. *NeuroReport* 7, 985-988. [[10.1097/00001756-199604100-00006](https://doi.org/10.1097/00001756-199604100-00006)].
- Yelnik J** (2002). Functional anatomy of the basal ganglia. *Mov Disord* 17, S15-S21. [[10.1002/mds.10138](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.10138)].
- Yeo BTT, Krienen FM, Sepulcre J, Sabuncu MR, Lashkari D, Hollinshead M, Roffman JL, Smoller JW, Zollei L, Polimeni JR, Fischl B, Liu H, Buckner RL** (2011). The organization of the human cerebral cortex estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity. *J Neurophysiol* 106, 1125-1165. [[10.1152/jn.00338.2011](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00338.2011)].

- Yetnikoff L, Lavezzi HN, Reichard RA, Zahm DS** (2014). An update on the connections of the ventral mesencephalic dopaminergic complex. *Neuroscience* 282, 23-48. [[10.1016/j.neuroscience.2014.04.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2014.04.010)].
- Yoshida S-i, Nambu A, Jinnai K** (1993). The distribution of the globus pallidus neurons with input from various cortical areas in the monkeys. *Brain Res* 611, 170-174. [[10.1016/0006-8993\(93\)91791-P](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(93)91791-P)].
- Yu F, Jiang Q-j, Sun X-y, Zhang R-w** (2015). A new case of complete primary cerebellar agenesis: clinical and imaging findings in a living patient. *Brain* 138:6, e353. [[10.1093/brain/awu239](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awu239)].
- Záborszky L, Cullinan WE, Braun A** (1991). Afferents to Basal Forebrain Cholinergic Projection Neurons: An Update. In *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology* 295: *The Basal Forebrain*, p.43-100. [[10.1007/978-1-4757-0145-6_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-0145-6_2)].
- Záborszky L, Cullinan WE** (1996). Direct catecholaminergic-cholinergic interactions in the basal forebrain. I. Dopamine- β -hydroxylase- and tyrosine hydroxylase input to cholinergic neurons. *J Comp Neurol* 374, 535-554. [[10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19961028\)374:4<535::AID-CNE5>3.3.CO;2-0](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19961028)374:4<535::AID-CNE5>3.3.CO;2-0)].
- Záborszky L, Gaykema RP, Swanson DJ, Cullinan WE** (1997). Cortical input to the basal forebrain. *Neuroscience* 79, 1051-1078. [[10.1016/S0306-4522\(97\)00049-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4522(97)00049-3)].
- Záborszky L, Csordas A, Mosca K, Kim J, Gielow MR, Vadasz C, Nadasdy Z** (2015). Neurons in the Basal Forebrain Project to the Cortex in a Complex Topographic Organization that Reflects Corticocortical Connectivity Patterns: An Experimental Study Based on Retrograde Tracing and 3D Reconstruction. *Cereb Cortex* 25, 118-137. [[10.1093/cercor/bht210](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bht210)].
- Zheng T, Wilson CJ** (2002). Corticostriatal Combinatorics: The Implications of Corticostriatal Axonal Arborizations. *J Neurophysiol* 87, 1007-1017. [jn.physiology.org/content/87/2/1007].
- Zikopoulos B, Barbas H** (2006). Prefrontal Projections to the Thalamic Reticular Nucleus form a Unique Circuit for Attentional Mechanisms. *J Neurosci* 26, 7348-7361. [[10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5511-05.2006](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5511-05.2006)].