

Maximum-Area quadrilateral in a Convex Polygon, Revisited

Vahideh Keikha¹, Maarten Löffler², Ali Mohades¹, Jérôme Urhausen², and Ivor van der Hoog²

¹Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Amirkabir University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

²Department of Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

July 14, 2018

Abstract

In this note we show by example that the algorithm presented in 1979 by Dobkin and Snyder [1] for finding the largest-area k -gon that is inscribed in a convex polygon fails to find the optimal solution for $k = 4$. The question whether the algorithm works when $k = 4$ was posed by Keikha et al in [2] where they showed that the Dobkin Snyder algorithm fails for $k = 3$. In this note we show that this problem and the problem for any constant value of k can be solved in linear time.

This paper has been merged with <https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.11035>.

1 Introduction

Surprisingly, in [2] the authors show that the linear-time algorithm presented in 1979 by Dobkin and Snyder [1] for finding the largest-area triangle that is inscribed in a convex polygon fails to find the optimal solution. Also, Boyce *et al.* [3] and Avis *et al.* [4] observe that the algorithm by Dobkin and Snyder fails for $k \geq 5$ and $k = 2$, respectively. What remains is to show that the algorithm fails for $k = 4$.

In this note, we revisit the following problem: "Given a convex polygon P , what is the largest-area quadrilateral inscribed in P ?" (see Figure 1). We show by example that the presented algorithm [1] also fails to find the optimal solution for $k = 4$. Our counter example is a polygon on 16 vertices in the range $[0, 26500]$. Our counter example, combined with the work in [2], [3] and [4] would suggest that the problem of finding the largest-area quadrilateral in linear time is still open. Although Boyce *et al.* [3] claimed one is presented by




Figure 1: (left) A convex polygon. (right) The largest-area inscribed quadrilateral.

Shamos [5], but the cited manuscript cannot be found on-line. In this note we show that this problem (and the problem for any constant value of k) can be solved in linear time.

Also after the initial posting of the manuscript [2] on arXiv, two new linear-time algorithms for solving the problem of finding the largest-area inscribed triangle have already been claimed by [6] and [7].

1.1 Definitions

Let P be a convex polygon with n vertices. Keikha *et al.* [2] define a P -aligned polygon Q as a convex polygon that is inscribed in P with its vertices on vertices of P . Boyce *et al.* [3] define a *rooted* polygon Q with root $r \in P$ to be any P -aligned polygon that includes r . Keikha *et al.* [1] define a vertex $v \in P$ of a polygon Q stable, when moving v reduces the area of Q as long as we maintain the cyclic ordering of Q . In the remainder, we denote by $\Lambda_{P,k}$ the largest (by area) P -aligned polygon with k vertices. Also we denote $Q_{P,k}$ for P -aligned polygons with k vertices. A polygon Q is k -stable where it has k stable vertices.

Note that all the vertices of $\Lambda_{P,k}$ are stable, but a k -stable $Q_{P,k}$ does not necessarily coincides with the $\Lambda_{P,k}$, as illustrated in Figure 3.

Indeed the idea of the presented method [1] was based on starting with a rooted $Q_{P,k}$ and moving the vertices of $Q_{P,k}$ around the given polygon P where keeping the cyclic ordering of $Q_{P,k}$ and increasing the area, and updating the area while finding a larger $k - 1$ -stable rooted polygon.

This procedure will result in keeping the sequence of the area of the potential solution only increasing. The authors [1] named this attribute as the *unimodality of the area*, but we illustrated in Figure 5 that keeping the unimodality will not result in finding the optimal solution necessarily.

1.2 Dobkin and Snyder's algorithm

We will now recall the *quadrilateral algorithm* [1], that is outlined in Algorithm 1 and illustrated in Figure 2.

Let $P = \{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}\}$. We assume that P is given in a counter clockwise orientation. Assume an arbitrary vertex of P is the root of the algorithm,




Figure 2: The first three steps of Algorithm 1.




Figure 3: Two 4-stable 4-gons inscribed in a convex polygon.

assign this vertex and its three subsequent vertices in the counter clockwise order on the boundary of P to variables a, b, c and d . We then “move d forward” along the boundary of P as long as this increases the area of $abcd$.

If we can no longer advance d , we advance c if this increases the area of $abcd$, then try again to advance d . If we can no longer advance d and c , we advance b if this increases the area of $abcd$, then try again to advance d and c .

If we cannot advance either d, c or b any further, we advance a . We keep track of the largest-area quadrilateral found, and stop when a returns to the starting position. Since a visits n vertices and d, c and b each visit fewer than $2n$ vertices, the algorithm runs in $O(n)$ time (assuming we are given the cyclic ordering of the points on P).

Indeed, Algorithm 1 is based on an observation that the largest inscribed quadrilateral treats as a unimodal function, which is not correct. But, of course there is an quadrilateral with some vertices to be stable, that Algorithm 1 will find it in linear-time, but our counter example shows that the reported quadrilateral does not necessarily equal to $\Lambda_{4,P}$. Furthermore, the reported quadrilateral is not even 4-stable.

2 Counter-example to Algorithm 1

In Figure 4 we provide a polygon P on 16 vertices such that $\Lambda_{4,P}$ and the largest-area inscribed quadrilateral computed by Algorithm 1 are not the same.

We use the following points: $a_1 = (26096, 06750), a_2 = (26130, 9933), a_3 = (25940, 10728), a_4 = (23090, 22189), a_5 = (18106, 23681), a_6 = (13484, 24407), a_7 = (13174, 24343), a_8 = (3090, 22189), a_9 = (0, 17308), a_{10} = (80, 14350), a_{11} =$




Figure 4: (top) A polygon on 16 vertices. (bottom) The largest-area quadrilateral $a_4a_8a_{12}a_{16}$ (yellow), and the quadrilateral reported by Algorithm 1; $a_1a_4a_8a_{12}$ (red).




Figure 5: Keeping the unimodality of the area of the potential solution during the algorithm will not result in the optimal solution necessarily.

$(323, 13331), a_{12} = (3090, 2189), a_{13} = (8459, 385), a_{14} = (12837, 0), a_{15} = (13392, 114), a_{16} = (23090, 2189)$. The largest-area quadrilateral is $a_4a_8a_{12}a_{16}$; however, Algorithm 1 reports $a_1a_4a_8a_{12}$ as the largest-area quadrilateral, where starting the algorithm from any root. The results of running Algorithm 1 where starting on root a_1 are demonstrated on Figure 5. Thus, the algorithm fails to find $\Lambda_{P,4}$ on any possible root.

Algorithm 1: quadrilateral algorithm

Input P : a convex polygon, r : a vertex of P
Output m : an quadrilateral
Legend Operation *next* means the next vertex in counter clockwise order of P

```

a = r
b = next(a)
c = next(b)
d = next(c)
m = area(abcd)
while True do
  while area(abcd) ≤ area(abc next(d)) do
    d = next(d)
    while area(abcd) ≤ area(ab next(c)d) do
      c = next(c)
    end
    while area(abcd) ≤ area(a next(b)cd) do
      b = next(b)
    end
  end
  m = max(area(abcd),m)
  a = next(a)
  if a=r then
    return m
  end
  if b=a then
    b = next(b)
    if c=b then
      c = next(c)
      if d=c then
        d = next(d)
      end
    end
  end
end
end

```

References

- [1] D. P. Dobkin, L. Snyder, On a general method for maximizing and minimizing among certain geometric problems, in: 20th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (sfcs 1979), 1979, pp. 9–17. doi: 10.1109/SFCS.1979.28.
- [2] V. Keikha, M. Löffler, J. Urhausen, I. van der Hoog, Maximum-area triangle in a convex polygon, revisited, CoRR abs/1705.11035. arXiv:1705.11035. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1705.11035>
- [3] J. E. Boyce, D. P. Dobkin, R. L. S. Drysdale, L. J. Guibas, Finding extremal polygons, SIAM Journal on Computing 14 (1) (1985) 134–147. arXiv:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/0214011>, doi:10.1137/0214011. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/0214011>
- [4] D. Avis, G. T. Toussaint, B. K. Bhattacharya, On the multimodality of distances in convex polygons, Computers & Mathematics with Applications 8 (2) (1982) 153 – 156. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0898-1221\(82\)90054-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0898-1221(82)90054-2). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0898122182900542>
- [5] M. I. Shamos, Problems in computational geometry, unpublished manuscript, 1975.
- [6] Y. Kallus, A linear-time algorithm for the maximum-area inscribed triangle in a convex polygon, CoRR abs/1706.03049. arXiv:1706.03049. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03049>
- [7] K. Jin, Maximal Area Triangles in a Convex Polygon, ArXiv e-prints arXiv:1707.04071.