Porous medium equation with nonlocal pressure in a bounded domain

Quoc-Hung Nguyen* and Juan Luis Vázquez^{\dagger}

Abstract

We study a quite general family of nonlinear evolution equations of diffusive type with nonlocal effects. More precisely, we study porous medium equations with a fractional Laplacian pressure, and the problem is posed on a bounded space domain. We prove existence of weak solutions and suitable a priori bounds and regularity estimates.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Approximation of the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\alpha}$	5
3	A regularized problem	10
4	Existence of weak solutions via approximation	19
5	Universal bound	30
6	Existence of solutions with bad data	32
7	Appendix	37

^{*}E-mail address: quochung.nguyen@sns.it, Scuola Normale Superiore, Centro Ennio de Giorgi, Piazza dei Cavalieri 3, I-56100 Pisa, Italy.

[†]E-mail address: juanluis.vazquez@uam.es, Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain.

1 Introduction

Let $N \geq 1$ and Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. In this paper we study the following family of nonlinear evolution equations of diffusive type with nonlocal effects

(1.1)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \operatorname{div}\left(|u|^{m_1} \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}(|u|^{m_2-1}u)\right) = f & \text{in } \Omega_T = \Omega \times (0,T), \\ u(x,t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0,T), \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where u_0 , f are bounded functions or bounded Radon measures in Ω and Ω_T respectively, and $m_1, m_2 > 0$. The symbol $(-\Delta)^{-s}$ with 0 < s < 1 denotes the inverse of the spectral fractional Laplacian operator with zero Dirichlet outer conditions, which is defined as follows: we denote by $-\Delta$ the Laplacian operator with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions on Ω . Its $L^2(\Omega)$ -normalized eigenfunctions are denoted φ_j , and its eigenvalues counted with their multiplicities are denoted λ_j : $-\Delta \varphi_j = \lambda_j \varphi_j$. It is well known that

$$0 < \lambda_1 \le \dots \le \lambda_j \le \dots,$$
 and $\lambda_j \asymp j^{2/N}$,

and that $-\Delta$ is a positive self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\Omega)$ with domain $D(-\Delta) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$. The ground state φ_1 is positive and $\varphi_1(x) \simeq d(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$, where d(x) denotes distance from x to boundary $\partial\Omega$. For all 0 < s < 1 we define the spectral fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ by

(1.2)
$$(-\Delta)^s f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j^s f_j \varphi_j, \qquad f_j = \int_\Omega f(x) \varphi_j(x) dx.$$

This formula is equivalent to the semigroup formula

(1.3)
$$(-\Delta)^{s} f = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(e^{t\Delta} f(x) - f(x) \right) \frac{dt}{t^{1+s}},$$

see [23, 24]. In Section 4 we use will yet another equivalent characterization of the spectral fractional Laplacian in terms of the so-called cylinder Caffarelli-Silvestre extension, as introduced by [15] in this context.

Our aim of this paper is prove the existence of possibly sign-changing, weak solutions to Problem (1.1) for any $m_1, m_2 > 0$. Moreover we show that these solutions satisfy a smoothing effect estimate and possess a universal bound when f = 0.

Some previous literature: this equation has been studied in the whole space \mathbb{R}^N as a model for porous medium flows with fractional nonlocal pressure in the case $m_1 = m_2 = 1$ by Caffarelli and the second author in [18]. It is the most relevant case of the class of equations of the more general form

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left[\sigma_s(\rho) \nabla \frac{\delta F(\rho)}{\delta \rho} \right] \,.$$

that arise in the description of the macroscopic evolution of particle systems with long range interactions, [28, 29]. Here, $\rho(x, t) \ge 0$ is the macroscopic density, F is a free energy functional, and the mobility function $\sigma_s(\rho) \ge 0$ may be degenerate, i.e., it may vanish for some values of ρ (in our case (1.1) we have $\sigma_s(\rho) = |\rho|^{m_1}$ that vanishes at $\rho = 0$).

The same equation as in [18] appears in a one-dimensional model in dislocation theory that has also been studied by Biler et al. [6]. Later mathematical works include [19, 17, 20], where regularity and asymptotic behaviour are established, paper [5] that treats the case $m_1 = 1$, $m_2 > \max\{\frac{1-2s}{1-s}, \frac{2s-1}{N}\}$, and the works [36, 38, 39] that treat the cases where $m_1 \neq 1$, and [37] that treats general exponents, see also [27].

In the limit case $m_1 = 0$ we obtain a different type of equation

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{1-s}(|u|^{m-1}u) = f,$$

that has received many contributions, starting with [25, 26]. In all those works the forcing term f = 0 is put to zero. See [43] for a general reference on recent work on nonlinear diffusion.

No works seem to have treated the same problem posed in a bounded domain when $m_1 \neq 0$. As said above, we address this issue in the case where the fractional operator $(-\Delta)^{-s}$ is the inverse of the spectral fractional Laplacian operator. Attention is also paid to $f \neq 0$.

Definition and main results

We introduce next our main contributions. In this paper, we put $\gamma := m_1 + m_2$, this parameter will appear often. This is the definition of weak solution that we are going to use

Definition 1. Let $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^1(0, T, (W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega))^*)$. We say that u is a weak solution of problem (1.1) if

(i)
$$u \in L^{\max\{1,\gamma\}}(\Omega_T)$$
,
(ii) $\operatorname{div}(|u|^{m_1}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}|u|^{m_2-1}u) \in L^1(0, T, (W_0^{2,\infty}(\Omega))^*)$, and
 $-\int_0^T \int_\Omega u\phi_t dx dt + \int_0^T \langle |u|^{m_1}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}(|u|^{m_2-1}u), \nabla\phi\rangle dt = \int_\Omega \phi(0)u_0 dx + \int_0^T \langle f(t), \phi(t)\rangle dt$
for all $\phi \in C_c^2(\Omega \times [0,T))$.

In general, we can not have $|u|^{m_1}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}|u|^{m_2-1}u \in L^1(\Omega_T)$, thus we can not replace (ii) in the definition by $|u|^{m_1}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}|u|^{m_2-1}u \in L^1(0, T, (W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega))^*)$. See more on this in Lemma 4.

The following result contains the basic existence and main properties.

Theorem 1. Let $u_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)$. Then, there exists a weak solution u of Problem (1.1) such that $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)$, $(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}}(|u|^{\gamma-1}u) \in L^2(\Omega_T)$. Moreover, u has the following properties:

(I) Basic L^1 estimate: for every t > 0

(1.4)
$$||u^{\pm}||_{L^{\infty}(0,T,L^{1}(\Omega))} \leq ||u_{0}^{\pm}||_{L^{1}(\Omega)} + ||f^{\pm}||_{L^{1}(\Omega_{T})}.$$

In particular, If $u_0, f \ge 0$, then $u \ge 0$ in Ω_T .

(Ia) We have the three-option estimate

$$(1.5) \qquad 1_{s<1-\frac{N}{2}} ||u||_{L^{\gamma+\frac{2(1-s)}{N},\infty}(\Omega_T)} + 1_{s=1-\frac{N}{2}} ||u||_{L^{\gamma+1-\frac{1}{r},\infty}(\Omega_T)} + 1_{s>1-\frac{N}{2}} ||u||_{L^{\gamma+1,\infty}(\Omega_T)} \leq C 1_{s<1-\frac{N}{2}} M^{\frac{N+2(1-s)}{\gamma N-2(1-s)}} + C 1_{s=1-\frac{N}{2}} M^{\frac{2r}{r(\gamma+1)-1}} + C 1_{s>1-\frac{N}{2}} M^{\frac{2}{\gamma+1}},$$

where $M = ||u_0||_{L^1(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^1(\Omega_T)}$.

(Ib) Moreover,

(1.6)
$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \left(\frac{|u|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}+\theta-1}u}{|u|^{2\theta}+1} \right) \right|^2 dx dt \le C(\theta) M \quad \forall \theta > 0,$$

(II) for $p \in (1, \infty)$ and for all $t \in (0, T)$

(1.7)
$$\int_{\Omega} |u(t)|^{p} + \frac{4m_{2}p(p-1)}{(\gamma+p-1)^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} (|u|^{\frac{\gamma+p-1}{2}-1}u) \right|^{2} \\ \leq \int_{\Omega} |u_{0}|^{p} + p \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} |f| |u|^{p-1}.$$

(III) L^{∞} bounds:

(1.8)
$$||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)} \le ||u_0||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + T||f||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)}$$

(IV) Smoothing effect: Assume f = 0,

(1.9)
$$||u(t)||_q \le C ||u_0||_{L^{q_0}(\Omega)}^{\frac{N(\gamma-1)\frac{q_0}{q}+2q_0(1-s)}{N(\gamma-1)+2q_0(1-s)}} t^{-\frac{(1-\frac{q_0}{q})N}{N(\gamma-1)+2q_0(1-s)}} \quad \forall q \in [q_0,\infty] \,,$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} (|u(t)|^{\frac{\gamma+q-1}{2}})|^2 dx \le C ||u_0||_{L^{q_0}(\Omega)}^{\frac{N(\gamma-1)q_0+2q_0q(1-s)}{N(\gamma-1)+2q_0(1-s)}} t^{-\frac{(q-q_0)N}{N(\gamma-1)+2q_0(1-s)}-1} \,\forall q \in [q_0,\infty) \cap (1,\infty).$$

provided $q_0 \ge 1$ and $N(\gamma - 1) + 2q_0(1 - s) > 0$.

We would like to mention that estimates (Ia) and (Ib) for porous medium equations were established in [4].

Whole proof of this result is given in Section 4, where a number of other estimates are derived, see Lemma 10. More general, unbounded data will be considered later as limits of this construction. The next result is called Universal Bound, a very important property that is typical of Dirichlet problems in bounded domains and we can also prove in this generality. We have

Theorem 2. Let $\gamma > 1$, f = 0 and $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$. Let u be a solution of Problem (1.1) as constructed in Theorem 1. There exists $C = C(N, s, \gamma, \Omega)$ such that

(1.11)
$$||u(\cdot,t)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C t^{-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \quad \forall t > 0.$$

This is proved in Section 5. The point is that the estimate does not depend on the norm of the data, so it will hold for any solution that is obtained as limit of the constructed solutions, a fact that will be used in the last section. Note that the estimate is not useful for $t \sim 0$, but is very efficient for large times since we expect the positive solutions to have precisely that size. On the other hand, a universal bound does not hold for $\gamma \leq 1$, see details in Section 5.

Our study is completed with two theorems on the existence of solutions to Problem (1.1) with bad data, which are contained in Section 6. Statements and full proofs are given there.

SOME NOTATIONS. By 1_A we denote the characteristic function of the set A. We will use the distance to the boundary defined as

(1.12)
$$d(x) = d_{\partial\Omega}(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) := \{\inf |x - y| : y \in \partial\Omega\}$$

for $x \in \Omega$. We put $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \{x \in \Omega : d(x) < \varepsilon\}.$

We gather in Section 7 a list of facts on the Heat Equation that we use in deriving properties of the semigroup generated by the spectral fractional Laplacian.

2 Approximation of the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\alpha}$

Let $\alpha \in (0,1), \varepsilon > 0$ and $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. We define the operator,

(2.1)
$$\mathcal{L}^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon}[f](x) := \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} (f(x) - e^{t\Delta}f(x))t^{-1-\alpha}dt$$

Clearly, the following two properties are true: 1. Positivity

(2.2)
$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}[f](x)f(x)dx \ge 0,$$

since $||f||_{L^2(\Omega)} \ge \int_{\Omega} e^{t\Delta} f(x) f(x) dx$ for all t > 0. 2. We have

(2.3)
$$||\mathcal{L}^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon}[f]||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{2}{\alpha \varepsilon^{\alpha}} ||f||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}.$$

Lemma 1. Let $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then,

- **1.** (Córdoba-Córdoba inequality) for any C^2 -convex function Φ satisfying $\Phi(0) = 0$ and for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, there holds
 - (2.4) $\Phi'(f)\mathcal{L}^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon}[f](x) \ge \mathcal{L}^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon}[\Phi(f)](x) \quad \forall \ x \in \Omega.$

Moreover, if $(-\Delta)^{\alpha} f \in L^1(\Omega)$,

(2.5)
$$\Phi'(f)(-\Delta)^{\alpha}f(x) \ge (-\Delta)^{\alpha}\Phi(f)(x) \quad \forall \ x \in \Omega.$$

2. for any $\delta \in (0, 1 - \alpha)$, there is $C_{\delta} > 0$ such that

(2.6)
$$||(-\Delta)^{-1}\mathcal{L}^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon}[f] - (-\Delta)^{-1+\alpha}f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C_{\delta}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha-\delta}||f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)},$$

for all $0 < \varepsilon < 1$.

3. for any $\delta \in (0, 1 - \alpha)$, there is $C_{\delta} > 0$ such that

(2.7)
$$||(-\Delta)^{-1/2} \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}[f] - (-\Delta)^{-1/2+\alpha} f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C_{\delta} \varepsilon^{1-\alpha-\delta} ||f||_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)},$$

for all $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. In particular,

(2.8)
$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)} ||(-\Delta)^{-1/2} \mathcal{L}^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon}[f]||_{L^2(\Omega)} \le C ||f||_{H^1_0(\Omega)}.$$

Proof. 1. Estimates (2.4) and (2.5) were proved in [22].

2. We have

$$I = \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}[f](x)\varphi(x)dx - \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta)^{-1+\alpha}f(x)\varphi(x)dx$$
$$= c_{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \left[\langle e^{t\Delta}f, \varphi \rangle - \langle e^{(t+\rho)\Delta}f, \varphi \rangle \right] \rho^{-1-\alpha}d\rho dt$$
$$= c_{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \int_{t}^{t+\rho} \langle -\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}e^{\tau\Delta}f, \varphi \rangle d\tau \rho^{-1-\alpha}d\rho dt$$
$$= c_{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \int_{t}^{t+\rho} \langle (-\Delta)e^{\tau\Delta}f, \varphi \rangle d\tau \rho^{-1-\alpha}d\rho dt.$$

Note that, in view of

(2.9)
$$-\Delta e^{\tau\Delta}f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j e^{-\tau\lambda_j} \langle f, \varphi_j \rangle \varphi_j(x) \quad \text{a.e} \quad (x,\tau) \in \Omega \times (0,\infty),$$

so, by Hölder's inequality and Plancherel's Theorem yields

$$||(-\Delta)e^{\tau\Delta}f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(e^{-\lambda_{1}\tau/2}1_{\tau>1/2} + \frac{1}{\tau}1_{\tau\leq 1/2}\right)||f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}.$$

Thus,

$$|I| \leq C \int_0^\infty \int_0^\varepsilon \int_t^{t+\rho} \left(e^{-\lambda_1 \tau/2} \mathbf{1}_{\tau>1/2} + \frac{1}{\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\tau\le 1/2} \right) d\tau \rho^{-1-\alpha} d\rho dt ||f||_{L^2(\Omega)} ||\varphi||_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

:= $CL(\varepsilon) ||f||_{L^2(\Omega)} ||\varphi||_{L^2(\Omega)}.$

It is enough to check that

(2.10)
$$L(\varepsilon) \le C_{\delta} \varepsilon^{1-\alpha-\delta}.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{split} L(\varepsilon) &\leq \int_{1/2}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \int_{t}^{t+\rho} e^{-\lambda_{1}\tau/2} d\tau \rho^{-1-\alpha} d\rho dt + \int_{0}^{1/2} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \int_{t}^{t+\rho} \frac{1}{\tau} d\tau \rho^{-1-\alpha} d\rho dt \\ &\leq \int_{1/2}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} e^{-\lambda_{1}t/2} \rho^{-\alpha} d\rho dt + \int_{0}^{1/2} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \log\left(1+\frac{\rho}{t}\right) \rho^{-1-\alpha} d\rho dt \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha} + C_{\delta}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha-\delta} \\ &\leq C_{\delta}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha-\delta}. \end{split}$$

3. As above, we have for any $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega)$,

$$II := \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}[f] \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} \varphi (-\Delta)^{-1/2+\alpha} f dx$$
$$= c_{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \int_{t}^{t+\rho} \langle (-\Delta) e^{\tau \Delta} f, \varphi \rangle t^{-1/2} \rho^{-1-\alpha} d\tau d\rho dt.$$

We deduce from (2.9) that

$$||(-\Delta)e^{\tau\Delta}f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(e^{-\lambda_{1}\tau/2}1_{\tau>1/2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}}1_{\tau\leq 1/2}\right)||f||_{H^{1}_{0}(\Omega)}.$$

Thus,

$$|II| \le C \int_0^\infty \int_0^\varepsilon \int_t^{t+\rho} \left(e^{-\lambda_1 \tau/2} \mathbf{1}_{\tau > 1/2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} \mathbf{1}_{\tau \le 1/2} \right) t^{-1/2} \rho^{-1-\alpha} d\tau d\rho dt ||f||_{H^1_0(\Omega)} ||\varphi||_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Since,

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\varepsilon \int_t^{t+\rho} \left(e^{-\lambda_1 \tau/2} \mathbf{1}_{\tau>1/2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} \mathbf{1}_{\tau\le 1/2} \right) t^{-1/2} \rho^{-1-\alpha} d\tau d\rho dt$$

$$\leq C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha} + C \int_0^{1/2} \int_0^\varepsilon \left(\sqrt{t+\rho} - \sqrt{t} \right) t^{-1/2} \rho^{-1-\alpha} d\rho dt$$

$$\leq C_\delta \varepsilon^{1-\alpha-\delta},$$

thus, we get (2.7). The proof is complete.

Remark 1. In the proof of (2.6) we also get for any $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0 < 1$,

(2.11)
$$||\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}[f] - (-\Delta)^{\alpha}f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon^{\alpha_{0}-\alpha}||(-\Delta)^{\alpha_{0}}f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}.$$

Remark 2. From Lemma 1, we have for all $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$,

(2.12)
$$\int_{\Omega} H(f) \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}[G(f)] dx \ge 0,$$

where $G, H \in C^2(\Omega)$ are strictly increasing functions. Moreover, for all $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $(-\Delta)^{\alpha}(f) \in L^1(\Omega)$

(2.13)
$$\int_{\Omega} H(f)(-\Delta)^{\alpha} G(f) dx \ge 0$$

Remark 3. Using (2.5) yields

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 u (-\Delta)^{\alpha} u dx \ge \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (-\Delta)^{\alpha} |u|^2 dx \ge \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} |u|^2 |^2 dx.$$

for $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Unfortunately, we can not have

$$C\int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} |u|^2 |^2 dx \ge \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} (|u|u)|^2 dx.$$

By this way, we can not find

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 u(-\Delta)^{\alpha} u dx \ge C \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} (|u|u)|^2 dx.$$

Therefore, we next prove this inequality by another way. It is a version of the so-called Stroock-Varadhan inequality, we refer to [42] and [31] where this kind of inequality is proved for general sub-markovian operators.

Lemma 2 (Stroock-Varopoulos inequality for $(-\Delta)^{\alpha}$). Let $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\psi' \geq 0$. Then,

(2.14)
$$\int_{\Omega} \psi(u)(-\Delta)^{\alpha} u dx \ge \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Psi(u)|^2 dx,$$

where $\psi' = (\Psi')^2$.

Proof. To prove this, we will use the Stinga-Torrea extension problem in [40], which is in turn a generalization of the Caffarelli-Silvestre estension problem in [16]. For the equivalence of this problem with the original problem with the spectral Laplacian see for instance [15, 25, 26]. Let U, V be unique solutions of the extended problems

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}_{x,y}(y^{1-2\alpha}\nabla_{x,y}U) = 0 & \text{ in } \Omega \times (0,\infty), \\ U = 0 & \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0,\infty), \\ U(x,0) = u(x) & \text{ in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}_{x,y}(y^{1-2\alpha}\nabla_{x,y}V) = 0 & \text{ in } \Omega \times (0,\infty), \\ V = 0 & \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0,\infty), \\ V(x,0) = \Psi(u(x)) & \text{ in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

resp. . By the extension theorem (see [40]), we have

(2.15)
$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{1-2\alpha} \nabla_{x,y} U \nabla_{x,y} \varphi dy dx = c_{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta)^{\alpha} (v) \varphi(0) dx,$$

and

(2.16)
$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{1-2\alpha} \nabla_{x,y} V \nabla_{x,y} \varphi dy dx = c_{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta)^{\alpha} (\Psi(u)) \varphi(0) dx,$$

for any $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega \times (0,\infty), d\mu)$ with $d\mu = y^{1-2\alpha} dy dx$. Applying (2.15) to $\varphi = \psi(U)$ and (2.16) to $\varphi = V$ and using $\psi' = (\Psi')^2$, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{1-2\alpha} |\nabla_{x,y} \Psi(U)|^2 dy dx = c_{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta)^{\alpha}(v) \psi(u) dx,$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_0^\infty y^{1-2\alpha} |\nabla_{x,y} V|^2 dy dx = c_\alpha \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} (\Psi(u))|^2 dx.$$

Thus, it is enough to show that

(2.17)
$$\int_{\Omega} \int_0^\infty y^{1-2\alpha} |\nabla_{x,y} \Psi(U)|^2 dy dx \ge \int_{\Omega} \int_0^\infty y^{1-2\alpha} |\nabla_{x,y} V|^2 dy dx.$$

Indeed, since $\operatorname{div}(y^{1-2\alpha}\nabla_{x,y}(\Psi(U) - V)) = \operatorname{div}(y^{1-2\alpha}\nabla_{x,y}\Psi(U))$

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_0^\infty y^{1-2\alpha} |\nabla_{x,y}(\Psi(U) - V)|^2 dy dx = \int_{\Omega} \int_0^\infty y^{1-2\alpha} \nabla_{x,y} \Psi(U) \nabla_{x,y}(\Psi(U) - V) dy dx,$$

it follows

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{1-2\alpha} |\nabla_{x,y}V|^2 dy dx = \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{1-2\alpha} \nabla_{x,y} \Psi(U) \nabla_{x,y}V dy dx$$

Using Hölder's inequality we find (2.17). The proof is complete.

Corollary 1. Let $q_1, q_2 > 0$. Then,

(2.18)
$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q_1-1} u(-\Delta)^{\alpha} (|u|^{q_2-1}u) dx \ge \frac{4q_1q_2}{(q_1+q_2)^2} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (|u|^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{2}-1}u)|^2 dx.$$

Proof. Set $v = |u|^{q_2-1}u$ and $\psi(v) = |v|^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}-1}v$ and $\Psi(v) = \left[\frac{4q_1q_2}{(q_1+q_2)^2}\right]^{1/2} |v|^{\frac{q_1}{2q_2}+\frac{1}{2}}$. We have, $\psi(v) = |u|^{q_1-1}u$, $\psi' = [\Psi']^2$. Thus, it follows (2.18) from Lemma 2. The proof is complete.

3 A regularized problem

In this section, we will prove existence of solutions to the following regularized problem:

(3.1)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \delta \Delta u - \operatorname{div}(|u|^{m_1} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} |u|^{m_2 - 1} u) = f & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(0) = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

with $\delta \in (0, 1)$.

Theorem 3. Let $u_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)$. Then, there exists a weak solution

 $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega_T) \cap C(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))$

of problem (3.1).

In this section, we set

(3.2)
$$X := L^{\infty}(\Omega_T) \cap C(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega))$$

Here and in what follows, we use the following definition:

Definition 2. Let $u_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)$. We say that $u \in X$ is a weak solution of

(3.3)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \delta \Delta u + \mathcal{F}(u) = f & in \ \Omega_T, \\ u = 0 & on \ \partial \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(0) = u_0 & in \ \Omega, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} \text{if } \mathcal{F}(u) \in L^1(0,T,(W_0^{2,\infty}(\Omega))^*) \ \text{ and} \\ \int_0^T \int_\Omega u(-\varphi_t - \delta\Delta\varphi) dx dt - \int_0^T \langle \mathcal{F}(u),\varphi\rangle dt &= \int_\Omega \varphi(0) u_0 dx + \int_0^T \int_\Omega \varphi f dx dt \\ \text{for all } \varphi \in C_c^1([0,T),(W_0^{2,\infty}(\Omega))^*). \end{split}$$

In order to construct the weak solution of problem (3.1), we first consider the following problem (3.4)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \delta \Delta u - \operatorname{div}(H_{\kappa_2}(|u|)\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{1-s}[G_{\kappa_2}(u)]) + \varpi \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{s_0}J_{\kappa_1}(u) = f & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0,T), \\ u(0) = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $s_0 = \frac{(1-2s)^+ + 1}{2} \in (0, 1), \ \varpi, \kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in (0, 1)$ and

$$J_{\kappa_1}(u) = \frac{|u|^{m_0+1}u}{u^2 + \kappa_1}, \ H_{\kappa_2}(|u|) = \frac{|u|^{m_1+2}}{u^2 + \kappa_2}, \ G_{\kappa_2}(u) = \frac{|u|^{m_2+1}u}{u^2 + \kappa_2} \text{ with } m_0 = \frac{1}{8}\min\{m_1, m_2\}.$$

Proposition 1. Let $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega_T), u_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then, problem (3.4) admits a weak solution $u \in C(0, T, L^{\infty}(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T, H_0^1(\Omega))$.

Proof. Let $T_0 \in (0, 1)$. We consider

$$\mathcal{T}: v \mapsto e^{t\Delta}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{\delta(t-\tau)\Delta}\Theta(v, f)d\tau,$$

for $v \in L^{\infty}(\Omega_{T_0})$, where

$$\Theta(v,f) = \operatorname{div}(H_{\kappa_2}(|v|)\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{1-s}[G_{\kappa_2}(v)]) - \varpi\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{s_0}J_{\kappa_1}(v) + f.$$

Using (2.3) and (7.1) with $u_0 = 0$ and $g = H_{\kappa_2}(|v|)\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\mathcal{L}^{1-s}_{\varepsilon}[G_{\kappa_2}(v)]$ yields

$$\left| e^{\delta(t-\tau)\Delta} \operatorname{div}(H_{\kappa_2}(|v|)\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\mathcal{L}^{1-s}_{\varepsilon}[G_{\kappa_2}(v)]) \right| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} ||v||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{\gamma+4},$$
$$\left| e^{\delta(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathcal{L}^{s_0}_{\varepsilon}J_{\kappa_1}(v) \right| \leq C ||v||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{m_0+2},$$

for any $0 < \tau < t$, where $C = C(\varepsilon, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, s_0, s, N, \Omega)$. Thus, the operator \mathcal{T} is well-defined and map from $L^{\infty}(\Omega_{T_0})$ into itself. Moreover, since $\Theta(v, f) \in L^{\infty}(0, T_0, (W_0^{1,1}(\Omega))^*) + L^{\infty}(\Omega_{T_0})$, so by standard properties, we have

(3.5)
$$\mathcal{T}(L^{\infty}(\Omega_{T_0})) \subset C(0, T_0, L^{\infty}(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T_0, H^1_0(\Omega)).$$

Next, we show that \mathcal{T} has a fixed point by the Banach contraction principle provided that $T_0 = T_0(||u_0||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, \varepsilon, H, G, \delta)$. To do that, we have the following claim:

Claim: for any K > 0, for all $u, v \in \overline{B}(0, K) \subset L^{\infty}(\Omega_{T_0})$ there holds

(3.6)
$$||\mathcal{T}(u) - \mathcal{T}(v)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{T_0})} \leq C_1(K)\sqrt{T_0}||u - v||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{T_0})}$$

where $C_1(K)$ is a constant which also depend on $s, N, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \varepsilon, \Omega, K$. Indeed, set

$$E := H_{\kappa_1}(|u|)\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{1-s}[G_{\kappa_1}(u)] - H_{\kappa_1}(|v|)\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{1-s}[G_{\kappa_1}(v)]$$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} ||E||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} &\leq C(K)||u-v||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}||\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{1-s}[G_{\kappa_{2}}(u)]||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \\ &+ C(K)||\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{1-s}[G_{\kappa_{2}}(u) - G_{\kappa_{2}}(v)]||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \\ &\stackrel{(7.10)}{\leq} C(K)||u-v||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}||\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{1-s}[G_{\kappa_{2}}(u)]||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + C(K)||\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{1-s}[G_{\kappa_{2}}(u) - G_{\kappa_{2}}(v)]||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \\ &\stackrel{(2.3)}{\leq} C(K)||u-v||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + C(K)||G_{\kappa_{2}}(u) - G_{\kappa_{2}}(v)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C(K)||u-v||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

where C(K) is a constant which also depend on $s, N, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \varepsilon, \Omega, K$. Using (7.2) in Lemma 12 with g = E, we get for $t \in (0, T_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{T}(u)(t) - \mathcal{T}(v)(t)| \\ &\leq \left| \int_0^t e^{\delta(t-\tau)\Delta} \operatorname{div}(E(\tau)) d\tau \right| + \left| \int_0^t e^{\delta(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{s_0} \left(J_{\kappa_1}(v) - J_{\kappa_1}(u) \right) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq C(K) \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} d\tau ||u-v||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{T_0})} + C \int_0^t d\tau ||J_{\kappa_1}(v) - J_{\kappa_1}(u)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{T_0})} \\ &\leq C(K) \sqrt{t} ||u-v||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{T_0})}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows (3.6). Thus, we get for $u \in \overline{B}(0, K) \subset C(0, T_0; L^{\infty}(\Omega))$

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mathcal{T}(u)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{T_0})} &\leq ||\mathcal{T}(0)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{T_0})} + C_1(K)\sqrt{T_0}||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{T_0})} \\ &\leq C||f||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)} + 2||u_0||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + KC_1(K)\sqrt{T_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, choosing $K = 2(C||f||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)} + 2||u_0||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)})$ and $T_0 = \frac{1}{4(C_1(K))^2}$ yields

(3.7)
$$||\mathcal{T}(u)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{T_0})} \leq K.$$

This means, \mathcal{T} maps $\overline{B}(0, K)$ into itself and is a contraction. Hence, \mathcal{T} has a fixed point in $L^{\infty}(\Omega_{T_0})$ for some $T_0 > 0$.

On the other hand, if $\mathcal{T}(u) = u$ in $L^{\infty}(\Omega_{T_1})$ then for all $q \geq 3$ and $t \in (0, T_1)$

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(t)|^{q} + \delta(q-1) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q-1} |\nabla u|^{2} + (q-2) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q-2} H_{\kappa_{2}}(|u|) \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{1-s}[G_{\kappa_{2}}(u)] \nabla u + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta)^{s_{0}} J_{\kappa_{1}}(u) |u|^{q-2} u = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} f(t) |u(t)|^{q-1} u(t) + \int_{\Omega} |u_{0}|^{q}.$$

Since

$$(q-2)\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q-2} H_{\kappa_2}(|u|) \nabla (-\Delta)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{1-s}[G_{\kappa_2}(u)] \nabla u dx$$

$$= (q-2)\int_{\Omega} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{1-s}[G_{\kappa_2}(u)] \nabla \tilde{H}_{\kappa_2}(u) dx$$

$$= (q-2)\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{1-s}[G_{\kappa_2}(u)] \tilde{H}_{\kappa_2}(u) dx \overset{(2.12) \text{ in Remark } 2}{\geq} 0,$$

with $\tilde{H}_{\kappa_2}(a) = \int_0^a |y|^{q-2} H_{\kappa_2}(|y|) dy$ and $\int_\Omega \mathcal{L}^{s_0}_{\varepsilon} J_{\kappa_1}(u) |u|^{q-2} u \ge 0$, thus, for $t \in (0, T_1)$

$$\sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} \int_{\Omega} |u(\tau)|^q \le \left(\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |f|^q \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |u|^q \right)^{(q-1)/q} + \int_{\Omega} |u_0|^q dx.$$

Using Hölder's inequality we obtain

(3.8)
$$\sup_{\tau \in [0,T_1]} ||u(\tau)||_{L^q(\Omega)} \le CT_1 ||f||_{L^q(\Omega_{T_1})} + 2||u_0||_{L^q(\Omega)},$$

where C does not depend on q. Letting $q \to \infty$, we deduce,

(3.9)
$$\sup_{\tau \in [0,T_1]} ||u(\tau)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le CT_1 ||f||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{T_1})} + 2||u_0||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}.$$

In particular, the norm $||u(T_1)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$ cannot explode for $T_1 < T$. Thus, there exists $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)$ such that $\mathcal{T}(u) = u$. By (4.28), $u \in C(0, T, L^{\infty}(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega))$. Hence, u is a weak solution of (3.4). The proof is complete.

Remark 4. By standard regularity, we can see that the solution of u in Proposition (1) belongs to $W^{1,r}(\tau, T; W^{2,r}(\Omega))$ for all $r < \infty$ and $\tau \in (0,T)$. Moreover, if u_0 , f are smooth functions, then u is too.

The following is a variant of Simon's compactness Lemma for Space $L^1(0,T;X)$ which will be used several times in this paper.

Lemma 3. Let $(v_n) \subset L^1(\Omega_T)$ be such that

$$(3.10) \quad ||v_n||_{L^q(\Omega_T)} + |||v_n|^{\alpha_1 - 1} v_n||_{L^1(0,T;W^{\alpha_2,1}(\Omega))} + ||\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_n||_{L^1(0,T;(W_0^{2,\infty}(\Omega))^*)} \le C \quad \forall \quad n.$$

with $\alpha_1 > 0, q > 1, \alpha_2 \in (0, 1)$. There exists a subsequence of $\{v_n\}$ converging to v in $L^1(\Omega_T)$.

Proof. If $\alpha_1 \geq 1$, we have

$$||v_n||_{W^{\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1},\alpha_1}(\Omega)} \le C|||v_n|^{\alpha_1-1}v_0||_{W^{\alpha_2,1}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}} \le C,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, by Simon's compactness Lemma, see [35, Theorem 1 and Lemma 4], we find the conclusion for case $\alpha_1 \geq 1$.

We now consider case $\alpha_1 \in (0,1)$. Since $L^q(\Omega) \subset (W^{2,\infty}_0(\Omega))^*$ is compact and

$$||v_n||_{L^q(\Omega_T)} + ||\frac{\partial}{\partial t}v_n||_{L^1(0,T;(W_0^{2,\infty}(\Omega))^*)} \le C \quad \forall \quad n,$$

by Simon's compactness Lemma, see [35, Theorem 1 and Lemma 4], there exists a subsequence $\{v_{n_k}\}$ of $\{v_n\}$ converging to v in $L^1(0,T; (W_0^{2,\infty}(\Omega))^*)$.

By a standard compact argument, see [35, Lemma 8], for any $\eta > 0$, there is a constant C_{η} such that

$$||w - v||_{L^{1}(\Omega)}^{\alpha_{1}} \leq \eta \left(|||w|^{\alpha_{1}-1}w - |v|^{\alpha_{1}-1}v||_{W^{\alpha_{2},1}(\Omega)} + ||w - v||_{L^{q}(\Omega)}^{\alpha_{1}} \right) + C_{\eta} ||w - v||_{(W_{0}^{2,\infty}(\Omega))^{*}}^{\alpha_{1}},$$

for all $w \in L^q(\Omega), |w|^{\alpha_1 - 1} w \in W^{\alpha_2, 1}(\Omega)$. This implies

$$\begin{split} &\limsup_{k \to \infty} ||v_{n_k} - v||_{L^{\alpha_1}(0,T;L^1(\Omega))}^{\alpha_1} \\ &\leq \eta \left(\limsup_{k \to \infty} ||v_{n_k}|^{\alpha_1 - 1} v_{n_k} - |v|^{\alpha_1 - 1} v||_{L^1(0,T;W^{\alpha_2,1}(\Omega))} + \limsup_{k \to \infty} ||v_{n_k} - v||_{L^{\alpha_1}(0,T;L^q(\Omega))}^{\alpha_1} \right) \\ &+ C_\eta \limsup_{k \to \infty} ||v_{n_k} - v||_{L^{\alpha_1}(0,T;(W_0^{2,\infty}(\Omega))^*)} \\ &\leq C\eta + CC_\eta \limsup_{k \to \infty} ||v_{n_k} - v||_{L^1(0,T;(W_0^{2,\infty}(\Omega))^*)} = C\eta. \end{split}$$

Letting $\eta \to 0$, we $v_{n_k} - v \to 0$ in $L^{\alpha_1}(0,T;L^1(\Omega))$. Finally, using an interpolation inequality we get $v_{n_k} - v \to 0$ in $L^1(\Omega_T)$. The proof is complete.

Remark 5. If q = 1, we can show that there exists a subsequence of $\{v_n\}$ converging to v in $L^{\theta}(\Omega_T)$ for all $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

Proposition 2. Let u_{ε} be a solution of problem (3.4) obtained in Proposition 1. Then, there exists a subsequence of $\{u_{\varepsilon}\}$ converging to a solution $u \in X$ of problem (3.11)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \delta \Delta u - \operatorname{div}(H_{\kappa_2}(|u|)\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}G_{\kappa_2}(u)) + \varpi(-\Delta)^{s_0}J_{\kappa_1}(u) = f & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0,T), \\ u(0) = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

 $as \; \varepsilon \to 0.$

Proof. Choosing u_{ε} as test function in (3.4) we get

$$||u_{\varepsilon}||_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{1}_{0}(\Omega))} + ||u_{\varepsilon}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{T})} \leq C \quad \forall \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

By (2.8) in Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||\operatorname{div}(H_{\kappa_{2}}(|u_{\varepsilon}|)\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{1-s}[G_{\kappa_{2}}(u_{\varepsilon})])||_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))} \\ &= ||H_{\kappa_{2}}(|u_{\varepsilon}|)\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{1-s}[G_{\kappa_{2}}(u_{\varepsilon})]||_{L^{2}(\Omega_{T})} \\ &\leq C||(-\Delta)^{-1/2}\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{1-s}[G_{\kappa_{2}}(u_{\varepsilon})]||_{L^{2}(\Omega_{T})} \\ &\leq C||G_{\kappa_{2}}(u_{\varepsilon})||_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{1}(\Omega))} \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.11) in Remark 1, for $s_1 = \frac{s_0+1}{2} \in (s_0, 1)$, we have

$$||\mathcal{L}^{s_0}_{\varepsilon} J_{\kappa_1}(u)||_{L^2(0,T;(H^1_0(\Omega)\cap H^{2s_1}(\Omega))^*)} \le C.$$

Thus,

$$||\partial_t u_{\varepsilon} - \delta \Delta u_{\varepsilon}||_{L^2(0,T;(H^1_0(\Omega) \cap H^{2s_1}(\Omega))^*)} + ||u_{\varepsilon}||_{L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))} + ||u_{\varepsilon}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)} \le C \ \forall \ \varepsilon \in (0,1).$$

By Lemma 3, there exists a subsequence of $\{u_{\varepsilon}\}$ converging to u in $L^{1}(\Omega_{T})$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Moreover, we also have $u \in X$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathcal{L}^{s_{0}}_{\varepsilon}[J_{\kappa_{1}}(u_{\varepsilon})] = (-\Delta)^{s_{0}}J_{\kappa_{1}}(u)$ in $L^{2}(0,T;(H^{1}_{0}(\Omega) \cap H^{2s_{1}}(\Omega))^{*})$ and

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega_T} \operatorname{div}(H_{\kappa_2}(|u_{\varepsilon}|)\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{1-s}[G_{\kappa_2}(u_{\varepsilon})])\varphi dx dt \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega_T} \operatorname{div}(H_{\kappa_2}(|u_{\varepsilon}|)\nabla\varphi)(-\Delta)^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{1-s}[G_{\kappa_2}(u_{\varepsilon})]dx dt \\ &= \int_{\Omega_T} \operatorname{div}(H_{\kappa_2}(|u|)\nabla\varphi)(-\Delta)^{-s}[G_{\kappa_2}(u)]dx dt \\ &= \int_{\Omega_T} \operatorname{div}(H_{\kappa_2}(|u|)\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}[G_{\kappa_2}(u)])\varphi dx dt, \end{split}$$

for any $\varphi \in L^2(0, T, W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega))$, since $\operatorname{div}(H_{\kappa_2}(|u_{\varepsilon}|)\nabla\varphi) \rightharpoonup \operatorname{div}(H_{\kappa_2}(|u|)\nabla\varphi)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $(-\Delta)^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{1-s}[G_{\kappa_2}(u_{\varepsilon})] \rightarrow (-\Delta)^{-s}[G_{\kappa_2}(u)]$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Therefore, u is a weak solution of problem (3.11). The proof is complete. \Box

Proposition 3. Let u_{κ_1} be a solution of problem (3.11) obtained in Proposition 2. Then, there exists a subsequence of $\{u_{\kappa_1}\}$ converging to a solution $u \in X$ of problem (3.12)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \delta \Delta u - \operatorname{div}(H_{\kappa_2}(|u|)\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}G_{\kappa_2}(u)) + \varpi(-\Delta)^{s_0}(|u|^{m_0-1}u) = f & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0,T), \\ u(0) = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

as $\kappa_1 \rightarrow 0$. Moreover,

$$(3.13) |||u|^{m_0-1}u||_{L^2(0,T;H^{s_0}(\Omega))} \le C_s$$

where constant C does not depend on u and κ_2 .

Proof. As in Proof of Proposition 2, we have

(3.14)
$$||u_{\kappa_1}||_{L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))} + ||u_{\kappa_1}||_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} \le C \quad \forall \quad \kappa_1 > 0,$$

which implies

$$||\operatorname{div}(H_{\kappa_2}(|u_{\kappa_1}|)\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}G_{\kappa_2}(u_{\kappa_1}))||_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))} \le C.$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$||(-\Delta)^{s_0} J_{\kappa_1}(u_{\kappa_1})||_{L^2(0,T;(H^1_0(\Omega)\cap H^{2s_0}(\Omega))^*)} \le C||J_{\kappa_1}(u_{\kappa_1})||_{L^2(\Omega_T)} \le C \quad \forall \quad \kappa_1 > 0.$$

Thus,

$$||\partial_t u_{\kappa_1} - \delta \Delta u_{\kappa_1}||_{L^2(0,T;(H^1_0(\Omega) \cap H^{2s_0}(\Omega))^*)} + ||u_{\kappa_1}||_{L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))} + ||u_{\kappa_1}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)} \le C \quad \forall \quad \varepsilon \in (0,1).$$

As proof of Proposition 2, there exists a subsequence of $\{u_{\kappa_1}\}$ converging to a weak solution $u \in X$ of (3.12) in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ as $\kappa_1 \to 0$. Moreover, choosing $J_{\kappa_1}(u_{\kappa_1})$ as test function in (3.11) we get

$$||(-\Delta)^{\frac{s_0}{2}} J_{\kappa_1}(u_{\kappa_1})||_{L^2(\Omega_T)} \le C.$$

Letting $\kappa_1 \to 0$, we find (3.13). The proof is complete.

Proposition 4. Let u_{κ_2} be a solution of problem (3.12) obtained in Proposition 3. Then, there exists a subsequence of $\{u_{\kappa_2}\}$ converging to a solution $u \in X$ of problem (3.15)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \delta \Delta u - \operatorname{div}(|u|^{m_1} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} |u|^{m_2 - 1} u) + \varpi (-\Delta)^{s_0}(|u|^{m_0 - 1} u) = f & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ u = 0 & & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(0) = u_0 & & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

as $\kappa_2 \to 0$.

Proof. We have

$$(3.16) \quad ||u_{\kappa_2}||_{L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))} + ||u_{\kappa_2}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)} + |||u_{\kappa_2}|^{m_0-1}u_{\kappa_2}||_{L^2(0,T;H^{s_0}(\Omega))} \le C \quad \forall \quad \kappa_2 > 0.$$

We will prove that

(3.17)
$$\sup_{\kappa_2} ||E_{\kappa_2}||_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))} \le C,$$

where $E_{\kappa_2} := \operatorname{div}(H_{\kappa_2}(|u_{\kappa_2}|)\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}G_{\kappa_2}(u_{\kappa_2}))$. It is easy to prove (3.17) in case $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. So now we only consider case $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. We have for $\varphi \in L^2(0, T, H_0^1(\Omega))$,

$$(3.18) |\int_{\Omega_T} E_{\kappa_2} \varphi dx dt| = |\int_{\Omega_T} (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}-s} G_{\kappa_2}(u_{\kappa_2})(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} [\operatorname{div}(H_{\kappa_2}(|u_{\kappa_2}|)\nabla\varphi)] dx dt| \leq C ||(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}-s} G_{\kappa_2}(u_{\kappa_2})||_{L^2(\Omega_T)} ||(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} [\operatorname{div}(H_{\kappa_2}(|u_{\kappa_2}|)\nabla\varphi)]||_{L^2(\Omega_T)}$$

By (7.11) in Lemma 15,

(3.19)
$$||(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}[\operatorname{div}(H_{\kappa_{2}}(|u_{\kappa_{2}}|)\nabla\varphi)]||_{L^{2}(\Omega_{T})} \leq C||H_{\kappa_{2}}(|u_{\kappa_{2}}|)\nabla\varphi||_{L^{2}(\Omega_{T})} \leq C||\varphi||_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{1}_{0}(\Omega))}$$

Since

$$|G_{\kappa_2}(y_1) - G_{\kappa_2}(y_2)| \le C ||y_1|^{m_0 - 1} y_1 - |y_2|^{m_0 - 1} y_2|(|y_1| + |y_2|)^{m_2 - m_0},$$

we have

$$\begin{split} ||(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}-s}G_{\kappa_{2}}(u_{\kappa_{2}})||_{L^{2}(\Omega_{T})}^{2} \\ &\leq C||G_{\kappa_{2}}(u_{\kappa_{2}})||_{L^{2}(\Omega_{T})} + C\int_{0}^{T}\int_{\Omega}\int_{\Omega}\int_{\Omega}\frac{|G_{\kappa_{2}}(u_{\kappa_{2}})(x) - G_{\kappa_{2}}(u_{\kappa_{2}})(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2(1-2s)}}dxdydt \\ &\leq C + C\int_{0}^{T}\int_{\Omega}\int_{\Omega}\frac{||u_{\kappa_{2}}(x)|^{m_{0}-1}u_{\kappa_{2}}(x) - |u_{\kappa_{2}}(y)|^{m_{0}-1}u_{\kappa_{2}}(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2(1-2s)}}dxdydt \\ &\leq C + C|||u_{\kappa_{2}}|^{m_{0}-1}u_{\kappa_{2}}||^{2}_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{s_{0}}(\Omega))} \\ &\leq C. \end{split}$$

Combining this with (3.19) and (3.18), we get (3.17). Hence, from (3.17) and (3.16) we have

$$||\partial_t u_{\kappa_2} - \delta \Delta u_{\kappa_2}||_{L^2(0,T;(H^1_0(\Omega) \cap H^{2s_0}(\Omega))^*)} + ||u_{\kappa_2}||_{L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))} + ||u_{\kappa_2}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)} \le C \ \forall \ \kappa_2 \in (0,1).$$

By Lemma 3, there exists a subsequence of $\{u_{\kappa_2}\}$ converging to u in $L^1(\Omega_T)$ as $\kappa_2 \to 0$. 0. Moreover, we also have $u \in X$ and $\lim_{\kappa_2 \to 0} (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}-s} G_{\kappa_2}(u_{\kappa_2}) = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}-s} (|u|^{m_2-1}u),$ $\lim_{\kappa_2 \to 0} (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} [\operatorname{div}(H_{\kappa_2}(|u_{\kappa_2}|)\nabla\varphi)] = (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} [\operatorname{div}(|u|^{m_1})\nabla\varphi)]$ in $L^2(\Omega_T)$.

Therefore, it is easy to check that u is a solution of problem (3.15).

Proof of Proposition 3. Let
$$u_{\varpi}$$
 be a solution of problem (3.15) obtained in Proposition 4.
We need to show that there exists a subsequence of $\{u_{\varpi}\}$ converging to a solution $u \in X$ of problem (3.1) as $\varpi \to 0$.

Indeed, choosing $(|u_{\varpi}| + \eta)^{\theta - 1} u_{\varpi}$ with $\theta > 0$ as a test function of (3.15),

$$\int_{\Omega_T} |u_{\varpi}|^{m_1} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} (|u_{\varpi}|^{m_2-1} u_{\varpi}) \nabla ((|u_{\varpi}|+\eta)^{\theta-1} u_{\varpi}) + \varpi \int_{\Omega_T} (-\Delta)^{s_0} (|u_{\varpi}|^{m_0-1} u_{\varpi}) ((|u_{\varpi}|+\eta)^{\theta-1} u_{\varpi}) \le C$$

which implies

$$\int_{\Omega_T} \Gamma_{\eta}(v_{\varpi})(-\Delta)^{1-s}(v_{\varpi}) + +\varpi \int_{\Omega_T} (-\Delta)^{s_0} (|u_{\varpi}|^{m_0-1}u_{\varpi})((|u_{\varpi}|+\eta)^{\theta-1}u_{\varpi}) \le C,$$

where $v_{\varpi} = |u_{\varpi}|^{m_2-1} u_{\varpi}$ and $\Gamma_{\eta}(a) = \int_{0}^{|a|^{\frac{1}{m_2}-1}a} |b|^{m_1} (|b|+\eta)^{\theta-2} (\theta|b|+\eta) db$. By Lemma 2 and then letting $\eta \to 0$, we get

(3.20)
$$\int_{\Omega_T} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \left(|u_{\varpi}|^{\frac{\gamma+\theta}{2}-1} u_{\varpi} \right)|^2 + \varpi \int_{\Omega_T} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s_0}{2}} (|u_{\varpi}|^{\frac{m_0+\theta}{2}-1} u_{\varpi})|^2 \le C,$$

with $\gamma = m_1 + m_2$. Thus, for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$

$$||u_{\varpi}||_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{1}_{0}(\Omega))} + ||u_{\varpi}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{T})} + |||u_{\varpi}|^{\frac{\gamma+\theta}{2}-1}u_{\varpi}||_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{1-s}(\Omega))} \leq C \quad \forall \quad \varpi > 0.$$

By Lemma 4 below, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &||\operatorname{div}(|u_{\varpi}|^{m_{1}}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}(|u_{\varpi}|^{m_{2}-1}u_{\varpi})||_{L^{2}(0,T;(H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\cap W^{2-\vartheta,r}(\Omega)))^{*}} \\ &\leq C\left(\int_{0}^{T}|||u_{\varpi}|^{\gamma-1}u_{\varpi}||^{2}_{H^{(1-2s)^{+}}(\Omega)}dt\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C, \end{aligned}$$

for some $r > 1, \vartheta \in (0, 1)$. Hence,

$$||\partial_t u_{\varpi} - \delta \Delta u_{\varpi}||_{L^2(0,T;(H^1_0(\Omega) \cap W^{2-\vartheta,r}(\Omega)))^*} + ||u_{\varpi}||_{L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))} + ||u_{\varpi}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)} \le C \ \forall \ \varpi \in (0,1).$$

for some $r > 1, \vartheta \in (0, 1)$. By Lemma 3, there exists a subsequence of $\{u_{\varpi}\}$ converging to u in $L^1(\Omega_T)$ as $\varpi \to 0$. Moreover, we have for $\varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega)$

$$\left| \varpi \int_{\Omega_T} (-\Delta)^{s_0} (|u_{\varpi}|^{m_0 - 1} u_{\varpi}) \varphi \right| \leq C \varpi ||(-\Delta)^{\frac{s_0}{2}} (|u_{\varpi}|^{m_0 - 1} u_{\varpi})||_{L^2(\Omega_T)} ||(-\Delta)^{\frac{s_0}{2}} \varphi ||_{L^2(\Omega_T)}$$

$$\stackrel{(3.20)}{\leq} C \sqrt{\varpi} ||\varphi||_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \varpi \to 0.$$

Therefore, it is easy to check that u is a solution of problem (3.1) and belongs to X. The proof is complete.

In proof of Proposition 3, we have used the following basic lemma.

Lemma 4. There exists $\vartheta = \vartheta(s, m_1, m_2) \in (0, 1/2)$ and $r = r(s, m_1, m_2, N) \in (2, \infty)$ such that

(3.21)
$$||\operatorname{div}(|v|^{m_1}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}(|v|^{m_2-1}v)||_{(H^1_0(\Omega)\cap W^{2-\vartheta,r}(\Omega))^*} \le C|||v|^{\gamma-1}v||_{H^{(1-2s)^+}(\Omega)}$$

for all $|v|^{\gamma-1}v \in H^{(1-2s)^+}(\Omega)$.

Proof of Lemma 4. It is easy to prove (3.21) in case $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Thus, we only consider case $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Let $\beta \in (s, 1/2)$ be such that

(3.22)
$$\frac{(1-2s)m_1}{\gamma} = 1 - 2\beta, \quad \frac{(1-2s)m_2}{\gamma} = 2(\beta - s).$$

Since for a > 0 and $b \in (0, 1)$

$$||y_1|^{a-1}y_1 - |y_2|^{a-1}y_2| \ge C||y_1|^{ab-1}y_1 - |y_1|^{ab-1}y_1|^{\frac{1}{b}} \forall y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R},$$

thus,

$$(3.23) |||u|^{m_1-1}u||_{H^{1-2\beta}(\Omega)} \le C|||u|^{m_1-1}u||_{W^{1-2\beta,\frac{2\gamma}{m_1}(\Omega)}} \le C|||u|^{\gamma-1}u||_{H^{1-2s}(\Omega)}^{\frac{m_1}{\gamma}}$$

and

(3.24)
$$|||u|^{m_2-1}u||_{H^{2(\beta-s)}(\Omega)} \le C|||u|^{\gamma-1}u||_{H^{1-2s}(\Omega)}^{\frac{m_2}{\gamma}}$$

Therefore, for $\varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega) \cap W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(|u|^{m_{1}}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}(|u|^{m_{2}-1}u)\varphi \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta)^{\beta-s}(|u|^{m_{2}-1}u)(-\Delta)^{-\beta} \left[\operatorname{div}(|u|^{m_{1}}\nabla\varphi) \right] dx \right| \\ &\leq ||(-\Delta)^{\beta-s}(|u|^{m_{2}-1}u)||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} ||(-\Delta)^{-\beta} \left[\operatorname{div}(|u|^{m_{1}}\nabla\varphi) \right] ||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\ &\stackrel{(7.11) \text{ in Lemma } 15}{\leq} ||(-\Delta)^{\beta-s}(|u|^{m_{2}-1}u)||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} ||u|^{m_{1}}\nabla\varphi||_{H^{1-2\beta}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C|||u|^{m_{2}-1}u||_{H^{2}(\beta-s)(\Omega)} |||u|^{m_{1}}||_{H^{1-2\beta}(\Omega)} ||\varphi||_{W^{2-2\beta,\infty}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C|||u|^{\gamma-1}u||_{H^{1-2s}(\Omega)} ||\varphi||_{W^{2-2\beta,\infty}(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.21). The proof is complete.

4 Existence of weak solutions via approximation

In this section, we prove Theorem 1 by using the approximate problems of the preceding section. Let $u_{\delta} = u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega_T) \cap C(0,T;L^r(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))$ be a solution of (3.1) for all $r < \infty$. Set $M = ||u_0||_{L^1(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^1(\Omega_T)}$. The proof of the theorem will be obtained from Lemma 5, 6, 7, 8,9 and 10 with $u = u_{\delta}$. The complete proof is at Lemma 11.

Lemma 5 (Estimates for L^1 -data). There hold,

(4.1) $||u||_{L^{\infty}(0,T,L^{1}(\Omega))} \leq ||u_{0}||_{L^{1}(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^{1}(\Omega_{T})},$

and

(4.2)
$$||u^{\pm}||_{L^{\infty}(0,T,L^{1}(\Omega))} \leq ||u_{0}^{\pm}||_{L^{1}(\Omega)} + ||f^{\pm}||_{L^{1}(\Omega_{T})}.$$

In particular, if $u_0, f \ge 0$, then $u \ge 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \qquad & 1_{s<1-\frac{N}{2}} ||u||_{L^{\gamma+\frac{2(1-s)}{N},\infty}(\Omega_T)} + 1_{s=1-\frac{N}{2}} ||u||_{L^{\gamma+1-\frac{1}{t},\infty}(\Omega_T)} + 1_{s>1-\frac{N}{2}} ||u||_{L^{\gamma+1,\infty}(\Omega_T)} \\ & \leq C 1_{s<1-\frac{N}{2}} M^{\frac{N+2(1-s)}{\gamma N-2(1-s)}} + C 1_{s=1-\frac{N}{2}} M^{\frac{2l}{l(\gamma+1)-1}} + C 1_{s>1-\frac{N}{2}} M^{\frac{2}{\gamma+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

for all l > 1, and

(4.4)
$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \left(\frac{|u|^{\frac{m_{1}+m_{2}}{2}+\theta-1}u}{|u|^{2\theta}+1} \right)|^{2} dx dt \le CM \quad \forall \quad \theta > 0.$$

Proof. Choosing $T_k(u) := \min\{|u|, k\} \operatorname{sgn}(u)$ as test function of (3.1),

(4.5)
$$||\overline{T}_{k}(u)||_{L^{\infty}(0,T,L^{1}(\Omega))} + \int_{\Omega_{T}} |u|^{m_{1}} \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}(|u|^{m_{2}-1}u) \nabla T_{k}(u) \le kM,$$

with $\overline{T}_k(u) = \int_0^u T_k(a) da$. Since $\lim_{k \to 0} \overline{T}_k(u) k^{-1} = u$, we get (4.1). Similarly, choosing $T_k(u)^+ := \min\{|u|, k\} \mathbf{1}_{u \ge 0}$ as test function of Problem (3.1) then we will get

(4.6)
$$||u^+||_{L^{\infty}(0,T,L^1(\Omega))} \le ||u_0^+||_{L^1(\Omega)} + ||f^+||_{L^1(\Omega_T)},$$

which implies (4.2). In particular, if $u_0, f \ge 0$, then $u \ge 0$.

1. Proof of (4.3). First, we prove that

(4.7)
$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} (\eta_k(|u|^{m_2-1}u))|^2 dx dt \le Ck^{\frac{m_2-m_1}{m_2}} M \quad \forall \quad k > 0,$$

where $\eta_k(s) = k\eta(s/k)$, η is a smooth function in \mathbb{R} such that $\eta(s) = 0$ if $|s| \le 1/2$, $|\eta'(s)| = 1$ if $1 \le |s| \le 2$ and $|\eta(s)| = 3$ if |s| > 3. Set $v = |u|^{m_2 - 1}u$, we have from (4.5) that

(4.8)
$$\int_{\Omega_T} (-\Delta)^{1-s}(v) (|T_k(|v|^{\frac{1}{m_2}-1}v)|^{m_1}T_k(|v|^{\frac{1}{m_2}-1}v)) \le CkM \quad \forall k > 0.$$

It is equivalent to

(4.9)
$$\int_{\Omega_T} (-\Delta)^{1-s}(v) T_k(|v|^{\frac{m_1+1-m_2}{m_2}}v) \le Ck^{\frac{1}{m_1+1}}M \quad \forall \ k > 0.$$

Let V(.) = V(t, .) be a unique solution of the extended problem

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}_{x,y}(y^{1-2(1-s)}\nabla_{x,y}V) = 0 & \text{ in } \Omega \times (0,\infty), \\ V = 0 & \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0,\infty), \\ V(x,0) = v(x) & \text{ in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

For the equivalence of this problem with the original problem with the spectral Laplacian see for instance [15, 25, 26]. We have

(4.10)
$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{1-2(1-s)} \nabla_{x,y} V \nabla_{x,y} \varphi dy dx = c_s \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta)^{1-s} (v) \varphi(0) dx,$$

for any $\varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega \times (0,\infty), d\omega)$ with $d\omega = y^{1-2(1-s)}dydx$.

From this and (4.9) we deduce for all k > 0

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{1-2(1-s)} \nabla_{x,y} V \nabla T_{k}(|V|^{\frac{m_{1}+1-m_{2}}{m_{2}}} V) dy dx dt \le Ck^{\frac{1}{m_{1}+1}} M \ \forall \ k > 0.$$

So,

(4.11)
$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_{k \le |V| \le 2k} y^{1-2(1-s)} |\nabla_{x,y} V|^2 dy dx dt \le Ck^{\frac{m_2 - m_1}{m_2}} M \quad \forall \quad k > 0.$$

Let W(.) = W(t, .) be a unique solution of the extended problem

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}_{x,y}(y^{1-2(1-s)}\nabla_{x,y}W) = 0 & \text{ in } \Omega \times (0,\infty), \\ W = 0 & \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0,\infty), \\ W(x,0) = \eta_k(v(x)) & \text{ in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

Since $\operatorname{div}_{x,y}(y^{1-2(1-s)}\nabla_{x,y}\eta_k(V)) = \eta_k''(V)y^{1-2(1-s)}|\nabla_{x,y}V|^2$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{1-2(1-s)} |\nabla_{x,y}W|^{2} dy dx$$

=
$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{1-2(1-s)} \nabla_{x,y} \eta_{k}(V) \nabla_{x,y}W dy dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} \eta_{k}''(V) y^{1-2(1-s)} |\nabla_{x,y}V|^{2} W dy dx.$$

Using Hölder's inequality and the fact that $|W| \leq ||W(.,0)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq k ||\eta||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$ yields

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{1-2(1-s)} |\nabla_{x,y}W|^{2} dy dx \leq C \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} 1_{k/2 \leq v \leq 3k} y^{1-2(1-s)} |\nabla_{x,y}V|^{2} dy dx$$

$$\stackrel{(4.11)}{\leq} Ck^{\frac{m_{2}-m_{1}}{m_{2}}} M.$$

From this and

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{1-2(1-s)} |\nabla_{x,y}W|^{2} dy dx = c_{s} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} (\eta_{k}(v))|^{2} dx dt,$$

we find (4.7). By (7.7), (7.8), (7.9) in Lemma 13, we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} (\eta_k(|u|^{m_2-1}u))|^2 dx dt \\ &\geq C \mathbf{1}_{s>1-\frac{N}{2}} ||\eta_k(|u|^{m_2-1}u))||^2_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2(1-s)}}(\Omega)} + C \mathbf{1}_{s=1-\frac{N}{2}} ||\eta_k(|u|^{m_2-1}u))||^2_{BMO(\Omega)} \\ &+ C \mathbf{1}_{s<1-\frac{N}{2}} ||\eta_k(|u|^{m_2-1}u))||^2_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \\ &\geq C k^2 \mathbf{1}_{s>1-\frac{N}{2}} ||\mathbf{1}_{|u|\geq k^{1/m_2}}||^2_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2(1-s)}}(\Omega)} + C k^2 \mathbf{1}_{s=1-\frac{N}{2}} ||\mathbf{1}_{|u|\geq k^{1/m_2}}||^2_{BMO(\Omega)} \\ &+ C k^2 \mathbf{1}_{s<1-\frac{N}{2}} ||\mathbf{1}_{|u|\geq k^{1/m_2}}||^2_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}. \end{split}$$

Combining this with (4.7), we deduce

$$(4.12) 1_{s>1-\frac{N}{2}} \int_0^T ||1_{|u|\geq k}||_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2(1-s)}}(\Omega)}^2 dt + 1_{s=1-\frac{N}{2}} \int_0^T ||1_{|u|\geq k}||_{BMO(\Omega)}^2 dt + 1_{s<1-\frac{N}{2}} \int_0^T ||1_{|u|\geq k}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^2 dt \leq Ck^{-m_1-m_2} M \quad \forall \ k>0.$$

Case $s > 1 - \frac{N}{2}$,

$$\begin{split} |\{|u| > k\}| &= \int_0^T \left[\int_\Omega \mathbf{1}_{|u| \ge k} \right]^{\frac{2(1-s)}{N}} \left[\int_\Omega \mathbf{1}_{|u| \ge k} \right]^{\frac{N-2(1-s)}{N}} \\ &\leq \left[\sup_{t \in (0,T)} \int_\Omega \mathbf{1}_{|u| \ge k} \right]^{\frac{2(1-s)}{N}} \int_0^T \left[\int_\Omega \mathbf{1}_{|u| \ge k} \right]^{\frac{N-2(1-s)}{N}} \\ &\stackrel{(4.12),(4.1)}{\leq} C \left[k^{-1} M \right]^{\frac{2(1-s)}{N}} k^{-\gamma} M \\ &\leq C k^{-\gamma - \frac{2(1-s)}{N}} M^{\frac{N+2(1-s)}{N}}. \end{split}$$

Case $s = 1 - \frac{N}{2}$, for any l > 1

$$|\{|u| > k\}| = \int_0^T \left[\int_\Omega \mathbf{1}_{|u| \ge k}\right]^{1-\frac{1}{l}} \left[\int_\Omega \mathbf{1}_{|u| \ge k}\right]^{\frac{1}{l}} \stackrel{(4.12),(4.1)}{\le} Ck^{-\gamma - 1 + \frac{1}{l}} M^{2-\frac{1}{l}}.$$

Case $s < 1 - \frac{N}{2}$,

$$|\{|u| > k\}| \le \left[\sup_{t \in (0,T)} \int_{\Omega} 1_{|u| \ge k}\right] \left[\int_{0}^{T} \sup_{x \in \Omega} 1_{|u| \ge k}\right] \stackrel{(4.12),(4.1)}{\le} Ck^{-\gamma - 1}M^{2}$$

Therefore, we get (4.3).

2. Proof of (4.4). Let χ be a smooth function in \mathbb{R}^+ such that $\chi(s) = 1$ if $|s| \leq 1$, and $\chi(s) = 0$ if |s| > 2. Set $\psi_j(v) = [\chi(2^{-j}v) - \chi(2^{-j+1}v)] \left(\frac{|v|^{\frac{\gamma}{2m_2}+\theta-1}v}{|v|^{2\theta}+1}\right)$. Let U(.) = U(t, .) be a unique solution of the extended problem

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}_{x,y}(y^{1-2(1-s)}\nabla_{x,y}U) = 0 & \text{ in } \Omega \times (0,\infty), \\ U = 0 & \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0,\infty), \\ U(x,0) = \psi_j(v(x)) & \text{ in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

As proof of (4.7), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{1-2(1-s)} |\nabla_{x,y}U|^{2} dy dx$$

$$\leq C \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{1-2(1-s)} |\nabla_{x,y}\psi_{j}(V)|^{2} dy dx + C \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} |\psi_{j}''(V)| y^{1-2(1-s)} |\nabla_{x,y}V|^{2} |U| dy dx.$$

Since
$$|U| \le ||\psi_j(v(x))||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le C \frac{(2^j)^{\frac{\gamma}{2m_2}+\theta}}{(2^j+1)^{2\theta}}$$
 and
 $|\psi'_j(V)| \le C \mathbb{1}_{2^{j-1} \le |V| \le 2^j} \frac{(2^j)^{\frac{\gamma}{2m_2}-1+\theta}}{(2^j+1)^{2\theta}}, \quad |\psi''_j(V)| \le C \mathbb{1}_{2^{j-1} \le |V| \le 2^j} \frac{(2^j)^{\frac{\gamma}{2m_2}-2+\theta}}{(2^j+1)^{2\theta}}.$

 $\mathrm{so},$

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{1-2(1-s)} |\nabla_{x,y}U|^{2} dy dx dt$$

$$\leq C \frac{(2^{j})^{\frac{\gamma}{m_{2}}-2+2\theta}}{(2^{j}+1)^{4\theta}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} 1_{2^{j-1} \leq |V| \leq 2^{j}} y^{1-2(1-s)} |\nabla_{x,y}V|^{2} dy dx dt$$

$$\stackrel{(4.11)}{\leq} C \frac{(2^{j})^{\frac{\gamma}{m_{2}}-2+2\theta}}{(2^{j}+1)^{4\theta}} (2^{j})^{\frac{m_{2}-m_{1}}{m_{2}}} M \leq C \frac{(2^{j})^{2\theta}}{(2^{j}+1)^{4\theta}} M.$$

Thus,

(4.13)
$$\left(\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \psi_{j}(v)|^{2} dx dt\right)^{1/2} \leq C \frac{(2^{j})^{\theta}}{(2^{j}+1)^{2\theta}} M.$$

Since $\sum_{j=-k}^{j=k} \psi_j(v) \to \frac{|v|^{\frac{\gamma}{2m_2}+\theta-1}v}{|v|^{2\theta}+1}$ as $k \to \infty$, we derive from (4.13) that

$$\begin{split} \left(\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \left(\frac{|v|^{\frac{\gamma}{2m_{2}} + \theta - 1} v}{|v|^{2\theta} + 1} \right) |^{2} dx dt \right)^{1/2} &\leq \sum_{j = -\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \psi_{j}(v)|^{2} dx dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sum_{j = -\infty}^{\infty} C \frac{(2^{j})^{\theta}}{(2^{j} + 1)^{2\theta}} M \\ &\leq CM \end{split}$$

which implies (4.4).

Lemma 6. For $p \in (1, \infty)$ (4.14) $\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u(t)|^{p} + \delta p(p-1) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|^{2} + \frac{4m_{2}p(p-1)}{(\gamma+p-1)^{2}} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} (|u|^{\frac{\gamma+p-1}{2}-1}u)|^{2}$ $\leq p \left| \int_{\Omega} f|u|^{p-2}u \right|.$

In particular, (i).

$$(4.15) \int_{\Omega} |u(t)|^{p} + \delta p(p-1) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|^{2} + \frac{4m_{2}p(p-1)}{(\gamma+p-1)^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} (|u|^{\frac{\gamma+p-1}{2}-1}u)|^{2} \\ \leq \int_{\Omega} |u_{0}|^{p} + p \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} |f| |u|^{p-1},$$

for all $t \in (0,T)$. (*ii*).

(4.16)
$$\int_{\Omega} |u(t)|^{\gamma+1} + \delta \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma-1} |\nabla u|^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} (|u|^{\gamma-1}u)|^{2} \\ \leq C \int_{\Omega} |u_{0}|^{\gamma+1} + C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1-s}{2}} f|^{2},$$

for all $t \in (0,T)$.

Proof. 1. For $p \in (1, \infty)$, choosing $(|u| + \varepsilon)^{p-2}u$ as test function of (3.1),

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{u(t)} (|a|+\varepsilon)^{p-2} a da dx + \delta \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla [(|u|+\varepsilon)^{p-2} u] \\ + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{m_1} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} (|u|^{m_2-1} u) \nabla \left[(|u|+\varepsilon)^{p-2} u \right] \le \int_{\Omega} f(|u|+\varepsilon)^{p-2} u$$

for all $t \in (0,T)$. By Lemma (2) and Corollary 1 and then Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{m_1} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} (|u|^{m_2 - 1} u) \nabla \left[(|u| + \varepsilon)^{p-2} u \right] \ge \frac{4m_2(p-1)}{(\gamma + p - 1)^2} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} (|u|^{\frac{\gamma + p - 1}{2} - 1} u)|^2$$

Thus, we find (4.14) and (4.15).

2. Applying (4.14) to $p = \gamma + 1$, we have

$$(4.17) \qquad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u(t)|^{\gamma+1} + C\delta \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma-1} |\nabla u|^2 + C \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} (|u|^{\gamma-1}u)|^2 \\ \leq (\gamma+1) \left(\int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1-s}{2}} f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} (|u|^{\gamma-1}u)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

So, using Hölder's inequality we derive (4.16).

Lemma 7.

(4.18)
$$||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)} \leq ||u_0||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + T||f||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)}.$$

Proof. From (4.14), we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(t)|^{p} \leq \int_{\Omega} |u_{0}|^{p} + p \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |f| |u|^{p-1}.$$

Fix $\lambda > T$, we have

$$(1 - T\lambda^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}) \sup_{t \in (0,T)} \int_{\Omega} |u(t)|^{p} + \lambda^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} \int_{\Omega_{T}} |u|^{p} \leq \sup_{t \in (0,T)} \int_{\Omega} |u(t)|^{p}$$
$$\leq \int_{\Omega} |u_{0}|^{p} + p \int_{\Omega_{T}} |f| |u|^{p-1}$$
$$\overset{\text{Hölder's inequality}}{\leq} \int_{\Omega} |u_{0}|^{p} + \lambda^{p} \int_{\Omega_{T}} |f|^{p} + \lambda^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} \int_{\Omega_{T}} |u|^{p}.$$

 So

$$(1 - T\lambda^{-\frac{p}{p-1}})^{1/p} \sup_{t \in (0,T)} \left[\int_{\Omega} |u(t)|^p \right]^{1/p} \le \left[\int_{\Omega} |u_0|^p + \lambda^p \int_{\Omega_T} |f|^p \right]^{1/p}.$$

Letting $p \to \infty$,

$$||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)} \le ||u_0||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + \lambda ||f||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)} \quad \forall \lambda > T,$$

which implies (4.18).

Lemma 8. If $m_2 = 1$,

$$(4.19) \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{-\frac{s}{2}} u(t)|^2 dx + \delta \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} u|^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |u|^{m_1} |\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}(u)|^2 dx dt \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{-\frac{s}{2}} u_0|^2 dx + \left(\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{-\frac{s}{2}} f|^2 dx dt\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{-\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 dx dt\right)^{1/2}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$.

Proof. Choosing $(-\Delta)^{-s}u$ as test function of (3.1), we find (4.19).

Lemma 9. Assume f = 0. Let $q_0 \ge 1$ be such that $N(\gamma - 1) + 2q_0(1 - s) > 0$. Then, there holds

$$(4.20) ||u(t)||_q \le C ||u_0||_{L^{q_0}(\Omega)}^{\frac{N(\gamma-1)\frac{q_0}{q}+2q_0(1-s)}{N(\gamma-1)+2q_0(1-s)}} t^{-\frac{(1-\frac{q_0}{q})N}{N(\gamma-1)+2q_0(1-s)}} \quad \forall \ q \in [q_0,\infty].$$

Proof. Applying (4.14) to f = 0,

(4.21)
$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u(t)|^p + \frac{4m_2 p(p-1)}{(\gamma+p-1)^2} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} (|u|^{\frac{\gamma+p-1}{2}})|^2 \le 0.$$

By (7.7), (7.8) and (7.9) in Lemma 13, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.22) \\ \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u(t)|^{p} &\leq -C \frac{4m_{2}p(p-1)}{(\gamma+p-1)^{2}} \left[\mathbf{1}_{s>1-\frac{N}{2}} ||u||_{L^{\frac{(\gamma+p-1)N}{N-2(1-s)}}(\Omega)}^{\gamma+p-1} \\ &+ \mathbf{1}_{s=1-\frac{N}{2}} ||u||^{\frac{\gamma+p-1}{2}} ||^{2}_{BMO(\Omega)} + \mathbf{1}_{s<1-\frac{N}{2}} ||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{\gamma+p-1} \right] \\ &\leq -C \left[\mathbf{1}_{s>1-\frac{N}{2}} ||u||_{L^{\frac{(\gamma+p-1)N}{N-2(1-s)}}(\Omega)}^{\gamma+p-1} + \mathbf{1}_{s=1-\frac{N}{2}} ||u||^{\frac{\gamma+p-1}{2}} ||^{2}_{BMO(\Omega)} + \mathbf{1}_{s<1-\frac{N}{2}} ||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{\gamma+p-1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $p > p_0 > 1$, since $\frac{4m_2p(p-1)}{(\gamma+p-1)^2} \ge C_{p_0}$ for all $p > p_0$. Let $q_0 \ge 1$ be such that $N(\gamma - 1) + 2q_0(1 - s) > 0$.

It is enough to prove (4.20) with $||u_0||_{L^{q_0}(\Omega)} = 1$. By (4.15), we have $||u(t)||_{L^{q_0}(\Omega)} \leq 1$. Assume $s > 1 - \frac{N}{2}$. We have from (4.22) that

(4.23)
$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u(t)|^p \leq -C ||u||_{L^{\frac{(\gamma+p-1)N}{N-2(1-s)}}(\Omega)}^{\gamma+p-1}.$$

Let $q_0 \leq q < p$. Clearly,

$$\frac{\gamma - 1}{q} + \frac{2(1 - s)}{N} > 0, \quad \beta = \frac{\frac{\gamma - 1}{p} + \frac{2(1 - s)}{N}}{\frac{\gamma + p - 1}{q} - 1 + \frac{2(1 - s)}{N}} \in (0, 1).$$

By interpolation inequality,

$$||u||_{L^{\frac{(\gamma+p-1)N}{N-2(1-s)}}(\Omega)}^{\gamma+p-1} \ge ||u||_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{\frac{\gamma+p-1}{1-\beta}} ||u||_{L^{q}(\Omega)}^{-\frac{\beta(\gamma+p-1)}{1-\beta}}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u(t)|^p \le -C||u||_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{\gamma+p-1}{q}-1+\frac{2(1-s)}{N}} ||u||_{L^q(\Omega)}^{-\frac{\gamma-1}{p}+\frac{2(1-s)}{N}}.$$

Set $F_r(t) = ||u(t)||_{L^r(\Omega)}^{-1}$ for all $r \in (1, \infty], t \mapsto F_r(t)$ is nondecreasing and

$$\frac{d}{dt}F_p(t)^{-p} \le -CF_p(t)^{-\frac{\frac{\gamma+p-1}{q}-1+\frac{2(1-s)}{N}}{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}}F_q(t)^{\frac{\frac{\gamma-1}{p}+\frac{2(1-s)}{N}}{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

Leads to

$$\frac{d}{dt}F_p(t)^{\frac{p(N(\gamma-1)+2q(1-s))}{N(p-q)}} \ge C\frac{N(\gamma-1)+2q(1-s)}{N(p-q)}F_q(t)^{\frac{q(N(\gamma-1)+2p(1-s))}{N(p-q)}}.$$

Now we apply this to $p = p_k = 2^k q_0$ and $q = p_{k-1} = 2^{k-1} q_0$

$$F_{p_k}(t)^{\frac{2(N(\gamma-1)+2^k q_0(1-s))}{N}} \ge \int_0^t C \frac{N(\gamma-1)+2^k q_0(1-s)}{N2^{k-1} q_0} F_{p_{k-1}}(\tau)^{\frac{(N(\gamma-1)+2^{k+1} q_0(1-s))}{N}} d\tau \quad \forall \quad t > 0.$$

Thus,

(4.24)
$$F_{p_k}(t) \ge c_k t^{\vartheta_k} \quad \forall \ t > 0$$

where c_{κ}, ϑ_k satisfy $c_0 = 1, \vartheta_0 = 0$ and

$$c_{k} = \left[C\frac{N(\gamma-1) + 2q_{0}(1-s)}{N(2^{k}-1)q_{0}}c_{k-1}^{\frac{(N(\gamma-1)+2^{k+1}q_{0}(1-s))}{N}}\right]^{\frac{N}{2(N(\gamma-1)+2^{k}q_{0}(1-s))}}, \vartheta_{k} = \frac{(1-2^{-k})N}{N(\gamma-1) + 2q_{0}(1-s)}$$

Set $b_k = \log(c_k)$, we have

$$b_k = \frac{N \log \left[C \frac{N(\gamma-1)+2q_0(1-s)}{N(2^k-1)q_0} \right]}{2(N(\gamma-1)+2^k q_0(1-s))} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(N(\gamma-1)+2^{k+1}q_0(1-s))}{(N(\gamma-1)+2^k q_0(1-s))} b_{k-1}.$$

It follows,

$$|b_k| \le \frac{C}{(7/4)^k} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(N(\gamma - 1) + 2^{k+1}q_0(1 - s))}{(N(\gamma - 1) + 2^k q_0(1 - s))} |b_{k-1}|.$$

There exists $k_0 \ge 10$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{(N(\gamma - 1) + 2^{k+1}q_0(1 - s))}{(N(\gamma - 1) + 2^kq_0(1 - s))} - \frac{4}{7} \ge \frac{1}{7} \quad \forall \quad k \ge k_0.$$

It is equivalent to

$$\frac{C}{(7/4)^k} \le \frac{1}{2} \frac{(N(\gamma-1)+2^{k+1}q_0(1-s))}{(N(\gamma-1)+2^kq_0(1-s))} \frac{4C}{(7/4)^{k-1}} - \frac{4C}{(7/4)^k} \quad \forall \ k \ge k_0.$$

So,

$$|b_k| + \frac{4C}{(7/4)^k} \le \frac{1}{2} \frac{(N(\gamma - 1) + 2^{k+1}q_0(1 - s))}{(N(\gamma - 1) + 2^kq_0(1 - s))} \left[|b_{k-1}| + \frac{4C}{(7/4)^{k-1}} \right] \quad \forall \ k \ge k_0.$$

Thus,

$$|b_k| + \frac{4C}{(7/4)^k} \le \frac{1}{2^{k-k_0}} \frac{(N(\gamma-1) + 2^{k+1}q_0(1-s))}{(N(\gamma-1) + 2^{k_0}q_0(1-s))} \left[|b_{k_0-1}| + \frac{4C}{(7/4)^{k_0-1}} \right] \quad \forall \ k \ge k_0$$

This means,

$$b_k | \le C \quad \forall \quad k \ge 0.$$

I

Hence, (4.24) implies

(4.25)
$$||u(t)||_{2^{k}q_{0}} = F_{2^{k}q_{0}}(t)^{-1} \le Ct^{-\frac{(1-2^{-k})N}{N(\gamma-1)+2q_{0}(1-s)}}.$$

Using interpolation inequality, we get

$$||u(t)||_q \le Ct^{-\frac{(1-\frac{q_0}{q})N}{N(\gamma-1)+2q_0(1-s)}} \quad \forall \ q \ge q_0$$

which implies (4.20) for case $s > 1 - \frac{N}{2}$.

Similarly, we also obtain (4.20) for case $s \leq 1 - \frac{N}{2}$, we omit the details.

Lemma 10. Assume f = 0. let $q_0 \ge 1$ be such that $N(\gamma - 1) + 2q_0(1 - s) > 0$. Then,

$$(4.26) \qquad \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} (|u(t)|^{\frac{\gamma+q-1}{2}-1} u(t)|^2 dx \le C ||u_0||_{L^{q_0}(\Omega)}^{\frac{N(\gamma-1)q_0+2q_0q(1-s)}{N(\gamma-1)+2q_0(1-s)}} t^{-\frac{(q-q_0)N}{N(\gamma-1)+2q_0(1-s)}-1}$$

for all $a \in [a, \infty) \cap (1, \infty)$

for all $q \in [q_0, \infty) \cap (1, \infty)$.

Proof. First, we prove that if $F: (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ satisfies

(4.27)
$$\int_{t/4}^{2t} F(s)ds \le t^{-\alpha} \quad \forall \quad t > 0,$$

for some $\alpha > 0$, then

(4.28)
$$F(t) \le 2t^{-\alpha - 1} \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Indeed, let χ_{ε} be the standard mollifiers in \mathbb{R} with $\operatorname{supp} \chi_{\varepsilon} \subset B_{\varepsilon}(0)$. Let t > 0 be such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} (\chi_{\varepsilon} * F)(t) = F(t)$. We have for all $\varepsilon \in (0, t/8)$,

$$\int_{t/2}^{3t/2} (\chi_{\varepsilon} * F)(s) ds \le \int_{t/4}^{2t} F(s) ds \le t^{-\alpha}.$$

Applying a mean value principle to the smooth function $\chi_{\varepsilon} * F$ yields

$$(\chi_{\varepsilon} * F)(t) \le \frac{2}{t} \max_{\tau \in [0, t/2]} \int_{t/2+\tau}^{t+\tau} (\chi_{\varepsilon} * F)(s) ds \le \frac{2}{t} \int_{t/2}^{3t/2} (\chi_{\varepsilon} * F)(s) ds \le 2t^{-\alpha - 1}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, we get (4.28). By (4.14), we have

$$\int_{t/4}^{2t} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} (|u|^{\frac{\gamma+q-1}{2}-1}u)|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} |u(t/4)|^q \stackrel{(4.20)}{\leq} C||u_0||_{L^{q_0}(\Omega)}^{\frac{N(\gamma-1)q_0+2q_0q(1-s)}{N(\gamma-1)+2q_0(1-s)}} t^{-\frac{(q-q_0)N}{N(\gamma-1)+2q_0(1-s)}}.$$

Applying (4.27) to $\alpha = \frac{(q-q_0)N}{N(\gamma-1)+2q_0(1-s)}$ and $F(s) = \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} (|u(s)|^{\frac{\gamma+q-1}{2}-1}u(s)|^2 dx$, we find (4.26).

Lemma 11. There exists a subsequence of u_{δ} converging to a solution u of Problem (1.1). Moreover, u satisfies the properties stated in Lemmas 5, 6, 7, 8,9 and 10 with $\delta = 0$.

Proof. From (4.15) and (4.18), we have

(4.29)
$$\int_{\Omega_T} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} (|u_{\delta}|^{\frac{\gamma+p-1}{2}-1} u_{\delta})|^2 + ||u_{\delta}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)} \leq C \quad \forall \quad p > 1.$$

Set $E_{\delta} := \operatorname{div}(|u_{\delta}|^{m_1} \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}(|u_{\delta}|^{m_2-1} u_{\delta}))$. We prove that

(4.30)
$$||E_{\delta}||_{L^{2}(0,T;(H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\cap W^{2-\vartheta,r}(\Omega))^{*})} \leq C \text{ for some }, \vartheta \in (0,1), r \in (1,2).$$

Indeed, if $s \ge 1/2$, it is easy to find (4.30) since

$$|||u_{\delta}|^{m_1} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} (|u_{\delta}|^{m_2-1} u_{\delta})||_{L^2(\Omega_T)} \le C.$$

If s < 1/2, we deduce from (3.21) in Lemma (4) below that

$$||E_{\delta}||_{L^{2}(0,T;(H^{1}_{0}(\Omega))\cap W^{2-\vartheta,r}(\Omega))^{*})} \leq |||u_{\delta}|^{\gamma-1}u_{\delta}||_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{1-2s}(\Omega))} \stackrel{(4.29)}{\leq} C.$$

It follows (4.30). Hence, from (4.30) and (4.29) we have

$$||\partial_t u_{\delta}||_{L^2(0,T;(H^1_0(\Omega)\cap W^{2-\vartheta,r}(\Omega))^*)} + \int_{\Omega_T} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} (|u_{\delta}|^{\frac{\gamma+p-1}{2}-1} u_{\delta})|^2 + ||u_{\delta}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)} \le C$$

for some $r \in (1, 2)$. By Lemma 3, there exists a subsequence of $\{u_{\delta}\}$ converging to u in $L^{1}(\Omega_{T})$ as $\delta \to 0$. Moreover, u satisfies the properties stated in Lemmas 5, 6, 7, 8,9 and 10 with $\delta = 0$. and

$$|u_{\delta}|^{\frac{\gamma+p-1}{2}-1}u_{\delta} \to |u|^{\frac{\gamma+p-1}{2}-1}u \ L^{2}(0,T;H^{1-s-\varepsilon_{0}}(\Omega)) \ \forall \ \varepsilon_{0} > 0, p > 1.$$

From proof of Lemma 4, we see that

$$\operatorname{div}(|u_{\delta}|^{m_{1}}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}|u_{\delta}|^{m_{2}-1}u_{\delta}) \to \operatorname{div}(|u|^{m_{1}}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}|u|^{m_{2}-1}u)$$

in $L^2(0,T;(H_0^1(\Omega)\cap W^{2-\vartheta,r}(\Omega))^*)$ for some $\vartheta \in (0,1), r \in (1,\infty)$. Thus, for $\varphi \in C_c^1([0,T),(W_0^{2,\infty}(\Omega))^*)$

$$\int_{\Omega_T} f\varphi + \int_{\Omega} u_0 \varphi = \int_{\Omega_T} (-\varphi_t - \delta \Delta \varphi) u_\delta + \int_{\Omega_T} E_\delta \varphi$$
$$\rightarrow \int_{\Omega} -\varphi_t u + \int_0^T \langle \operatorname{div}(|u|^{m_1} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} (|u|^{m_2 - 1} u)), \varphi \rangle dt.$$

which implies that u satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} -\varphi_t u dx dt + \int_0^T \langle \operatorname{div}(|u|^{m_1} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} (|u|^{m_2-1} u)), \varphi \rangle dt = \int_{\Omega_T} f \varphi dx dt + \int_{\Omega} u_0 \varphi dx dt,$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^1([0,T), (W_0^{2,\infty}(\Omega))^*)$. Hence, u is a solution of problem (1.1). The proof is complete.

5 Universal bound

The property of universal boundedness depends on general arguments that we stress here because of their possible use in other settings. We recall that we consider equations with zero right-hand side. Suppose we have already proved the a priori estimate

$$||u(t)||_{\infty} \le C ||u_0||_1^{\alpha} t^{-\beta}$$

for some exponents $\alpha, \beta > 0$, where a constant C that does not depend on the data, it depends only on N, s and Ω . Suppose the equation has the following Invariance Property: If u(x,t) is a solution in our admissible class, so is

(5.1)
$$u_k(x,t) = ku(x,k^{\gamma-1}t).$$

In the case of our model (1.1) the result holds and γ depends only on the powers of the equation, actually $\gamma = m_1 + m_2$. We need to assume that $\gamma > 1$.

Proposition 5. Under those assumptions we get the universal estimate

(5.2)
$$||u(t)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C_1(N, s, \gamma, \Omega) t^{-1/(\gamma-1)}$$

valid for all solutions that we have constructed.

Proof. (i) We begin with an initial data bounded above by constant 1. Since Ω is bounded this datum is in $L^1(\Omega)$. We and use the a priori estimate to find a time $t_1 = t_1$ such that

$$||u(t)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{2(|\Omega|+1)} \quad \forall \ t \geq t_1$$

(ii) Let us now apply the result to data with an estimate $||u_0||_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq 2^j$. We define the new solution $u_k(x,t) = ku(x,k^{\gamma-1}t)$ with $k = 2^{-j}$ and apply the previous step to show that

$$||u_k(t_1)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le \frac{1}{2(|\Omega|+1)},$$

hence

$$||u(t_j)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le \frac{1}{2k(|\Omega|+1)} = \frac{2^{j-1}}{|\Omega|+1}$$

and

$$||u(t_j)||_{L^1(\Omega)} \le \frac{2^{j-1}|\Omega|}{|\Omega|+1} < 2^{j-1},$$

when we put $t_j = k^{\gamma-1}t_1 = 2^{-j(\gamma-1)}t_1$. We may now apply iteratively the argument after displacing the origin of time and get

$$||u(t_j + t_{j-1})||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le \frac{2^{j-2}}{|\Omega| + 1}, \quad ||u(t_j + t_{j-1})||_{L^1(\Omega)} \le 2^{j-2}$$

so that

$$||u(T_j)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le \frac{2^{-1}}{|\Omega|+1} \le 1 \text{ for } T_j = \sum_{i=1}^j t_i = \sum_{i=1}^j 2^{-i(\gamma-1)} t_1 = C(\gamma) t_1$$

the conclusion is that for data less than 2^j we need to wait T_j seconds to get the bound $||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq 1.$

(iii) Consider now a general initial datum u_0 , not necessarily integrable or bounded. We approximate from below by bounded data and conclude that there is a limit solution with the estimate

$$||u(t)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le 1$$
 for some $t \le T_{\infty} := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(\gamma-1)} t_1 = \frac{t_1}{2^{\gamma-1} - 1}$

So,

 $||u(t)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq 1$ for all $t \geq T_{\infty}$.

This estimate should be valid for all our constructed solutions. This is a particular case of the universal estimate.

(iv) To get estimate (5.2) for any $t = t_2 > 0$ fixed, use again the scaling $u_k(x,t) = ku(x, k^{\gamma-1}t)$, now with $k^{\gamma-1}T_{\infty} = t_2$ to get

$$||u(t_2)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} = (1/k)||u_k(T_{\infty})||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le 1/k = (T_{\infty}/t_2)^{1/(\gamma-1)}.$$

The estimate follows with $C_1 = T_{\infty}^{1/(\gamma-1)}$.

Remark 6. The result is not true for $m_1 + m_2 \leq 1$ as many particular cases show. Thus, when $m_1 + m_2 = 1$ any multiple of a solution is still a solution so that no a priori estimate may exist independent of the size of the initial data. For $m_1 + m_2 \leq 1$ we have the transformation (5.1) but now with $\gamma - 1 \leq 0$. Suppose for contradiction that we have an a universal priori estimate

$$||u(t)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le C F(t)$$

with C a universal constant and F(t) > 0 and nonincreasing. We consider $u_k(.,t) = ku(.,k^{\gamma-1}t)$. Then,

$$k||u(t)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} = ||u_k(k^{1-\gamma}t)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le CF(k^{1-\gamma}t) \le CF(t) \quad \forall \quad k \ge 1.$$

Letting $k \to \infty$, we find the contradiction. We recall that sharp asymptotics in those cases have been explored for the fast diffusion equation $u_t - \Delta(|u|^{\gamma-1}u) = 0$ and also the fractional porous medium $u_t + (-\Delta)^s(|u|^{\gamma-1}u) = 0$. Phenomena of extinction in finite time occur.

6 Existence of solutions with bad data

In this section, we establish the existence of solutions to Problem (1.1) with bad data.

Theorem 4 (Distributional data). Let $f \in L^2(0,T; H^{-1+s}(\Omega))$ and $u_0 \in L^{\gamma+1}(\Omega)$. Then, Problem (1.1) admits a weak solution $u \in C(0,T; L^{\gamma+1}(\Omega))$ satisfying

(6.1)

$$\sup_{t \in (0,T)} \int_{\Omega} |u(t)|^{\gamma+1} + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} (|u|^{\gamma})|^{2} \le C \int_{\Omega} |u_{0}|^{\gamma+1} + C \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1-s}{2}} f|^{2} dx^{2}$$

Moreover, when f = 0 the Universal Bound (1.11) holds for these solutions.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let u_k be a solution of problem (1.1) in Theorem 1 with $u_0 = T_k(u_0)$ and $f = f_k \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $f_k \to f$ in $L^2(0, T; H^{-1+s}(\Omega))$ and

$$\int_0^t \int_\Omega |(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1-s}{2}} f_k|^2 \le 2 \int_0^t \int_\Omega |(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1-s}{2}} f|^2.$$

We have from (4.16) of Lemma 6

$$\begin{split} \sup_{t \in (0,T)} \int_{\Omega} |u_k(t)|^{\gamma+1} + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} (|u_k|^{\gamma-1} u_k)|^2 &\leq C \int_{\Omega} |T_k(u_0)|^{\gamma+1} + C \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1-s}{2}} f_k|^2 \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} |u_0|^{\gamma+1} + C \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1-s}{2}} f|^2. \end{split}$$

So, by (3.21) in Lemma 4, we have

(6.2)
$$||\operatorname{div}(|u_k|^{m_1}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}|u_k|^{m_2-1}u_k)||_{L^2(0,T;(H^1_0(\Omega)\cap W^{2-\vartheta,r}(\Omega))^*)} \le C \text{ for some } \vartheta \in (0,1), r \in (1,\infty)$$

Thus,

$$||\partial_t u_k||_{L^{\min\{\gamma+1,2\}}(0,T;(H^1_0(\Omega)\cap W^{2-\vartheta,r}(\Omega))^*)} + |||u_k|^{\gamma-1}u_k||_{L^2(0,T;H^{1-s}(\Omega))} + ||u_k||_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{\gamma+1}(\Omega))} \le C,$$

for some $\vartheta \in (0, 1), r \in (1, \infty)$. By Lemma 3, there exists a subsequence of $\{u_{\delta}\}$ converging to u in $L^{1}(\Omega_{T})$ as $\delta \to 0$. Moreover, u satisfies the properties stated in Lemmas 5, 6, 7, 8,9 and 10 with $\delta = 0$. and the Universal bound (5.2) and

$$|u_k|^{\gamma-1}u_k \to |u|^{\gamma-1}u$$
 in $L^2(0,T; H^{1-s-\varepsilon_0}(\Omega)) \forall \varepsilon_0 > 0.$

From proof of Lemma 4, we see that

$$\operatorname{div}(|u_k|^{m_1}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}|u_k|^{m_2-1}u_k) \to \operatorname{div}(|u|^{m_1}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}|u|^{m_2-1}u),$$

in $L^1(0,T; W^{-2,r}(\Omega))$ for some $r \in (1,2)$. It is easy to check that u is a weak solution of problem (1.1). The proof is complete.

We need a new definition of solution when the data are measures.

Definition 3. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_b(\Omega_T), \sigma \in \mathcal{M}_b(\Omega)$. We say that u is a distribution solution of problem (1.1) with $(f, u_0) = (\mu, \sigma)$, if (i) $u \in L^1(\Omega_T)$, (ii) $\chi \operatorname{div}(|u|^{m_1} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} |u|^{m_2-1} u) \in L^1(0, T, (W_0^{2,\infty}(\Omega))^*)$ for any $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega \times [0, T)$ and $-\int_0^T \int_\Omega u \phi_t dx dt - \int_0^T \langle \operatorname{div}(|u|^{m_1} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} |u|^{m_2-1} u), \varphi \rangle dt = \int_\Omega \phi(0) d\sigma + \int_0^T \int_\Omega d\mu$

for all $\phi \in C^2_c(\Omega \times [0,T))$.

Here and in what follows, we denote by $\mathcal{M}_b(D)$, the set of bounded Radon measures in a set D. We can state the following theorem.

Theorem 5 (Measure data). Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_b(\Omega_T)$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_b(\Omega)$. Assume that $\gamma > 1 - \frac{2(1-s)}{N}$. Then, the Problem (1.1) admits a distribution solution satisfying

$$(6.3) \qquad 1_{s<1-\frac{N}{2}} ||u||_{L^{\gamma+\frac{2(1-s)}{N},\infty}(\Omega_T)} + 1_{s=1-\frac{N}{2}} ||u||_{L^{\gamma+1-\frac{1}{t},\infty}(\Omega_T)} + 1_{s>1-\frac{N}{2}} ||u||_{L^{\gamma+1,\infty}(\Omega_T)} \\ \leq C 1_{s<1-\frac{N}{2}} M^{\frac{N+2(1-s)}{\gamma N-2(1-s)}} + C 1_{s=1-\frac{N}{2}} M^{\frac{2l}{l(\gamma+1)-1}} + C 1_{s>1-\frac{N}{2}} M^{\frac{2}{\gamma+1}},$$

for all l > 1 and

(6.4)
$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \left(\frac{|u|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}+\theta-1}u}{|u|^{2\theta}+1}\right)|^2 dx dt \le C_\theta M \quad \forall \quad \theta > 0$$

where $M = ||u_0||_{\mathcal{M}_b(\Omega)} + ||f||_{\mathcal{M}_b(\Omega_T)}$. Moreover, the Smoothing Effect (1.9) and the Universal Bound (1.11) holds for these solutions.

Proof of Theorem 5. Let σ_n, μ_n be in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)$ converging weakly to σ and μ in $\mathcal{M}_b(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{M}_b(\Omega_T)$ such that

(6.5)
$$|\sigma_n|(\Omega) \le |\sigma|(\Omega), \quad |\mu_n|(\Omega_T) \le |\mu|(\Omega_T) \quad \forall \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let u_n be a solution of problem (1.1) in Theorem 1 with $u_0 = \sigma_n$ and $f = \mu_n$. We have

$$1_{s<1-\frac{N}{2}}||u_n||_{L^{\gamma+\frac{2(1-s)}{N},\infty}(\Omega_T)} + 1_{s=1-\frac{N}{2}}||u_n||_{L^{\gamma+1-\frac{1}{r},\infty}(\Omega_T)} + 1_{s>1-\frac{N}{2}}||u_n||_{L^{\gamma+1,\infty}(\Omega_T)} \le C$$

and

(6.7)
$$|||u_n||_{L^{\infty}(0,T,L^1(\Omega))} \le C,$$

(6.8)
$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \left(\frac{|u_{n}|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}+\theta-1}u_{n}}{|u_{n}|^{2\theta}+1} \right)|^{2} dx dt \leq C \quad \forall \quad \theta > 0$$

Since $\gamma > 1 - 2(1 - s)/N$, then we obtain from (6.6)

(6.9)
$$||u_n||_{L^q(\Omega_T)} \le C \text{ for some } q > \max\{\gamma, 1\}.$$

Now, we prove that for that for any $\epsilon \in (0, (1-s)/2)$,

(6.10)
$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1-s}{2}-\epsilon} \left(|u_n|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}+\epsilon_0-1}u_n\right)|^2 dx dt \le C,$$

for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$. In particular, for any $\rho > 0$

(6.11)
$$|||u_n|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-1}u_n||_{L^2(0,T;H^{1-s-\varrho}(\Omega))} \le C \quad \forall \ n.$$

Indeed, it is not hard to check that for any $\theta_0 \in (0, \gamma/10)$

$$\left|\frac{|x_1|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}+\theta-1}x_1}{|x_1|^{2\theta}+1} - \frac{|x_2|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}+\theta-1}x_2}{|x_2|^{2\theta}+1}\right| \ge C(\theta,\theta_0,\gamma) \frac{||x_1|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\theta_0-1}x_1 - |x_2|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\theta_0-1}x_2|^{\frac{\gamma+2\theta}{\gamma-2\theta_0}}}{||x_1|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\theta_0-1}x_1 - |x_2|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\theta_0-1}x_2|^{\frac{4\theta}{\gamma-2\theta_0}}+1} \ \forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R},$$

for all $\theta \ll \theta_0$. We obtain from (6.8), (6.9), and (7.7) that for any $\theta_0 \in (0, \gamma/10)$

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |u(x,t)|^{\gamma\nu} + |u(y,t)|^{\gamma\nu} + 1 \\ + \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2(1-s)}} \left(\frac{||u_{n}(x,t)|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\theta_{0}-1}u_{n}(x,t) - |u_{n}(y,t)|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\theta_{0}-1}u_{n}(y,t)|^{\frac{\gamma+2\theta}{\gamma-2\theta_{0}}}}{||u_{n}(x,t)|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\theta_{0}-1}u_{n}(x,t) - |u_{n}(y,t)|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\theta_{0}-1}u_{n}(y,t)|^{\frac{4\theta}{\gamma-2\theta_{0}}} + 1} \right)^{2} dx dy dt \leq C,$$

for some $\nu > 1$. Using Hölder's inequality for $(\frac{\gamma+2\theta}{2(\theta+\theta_0)}, \frac{\gamma+2\theta}{\gamma-2\theta_0})$,

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\frac{\gamma-2\theta_0}{\gamma+2\theta}(N+2(1-s))}} E_{\theta,\theta_0}(x,y)^2 dx dy dt \le C,$$

where

$$E_{\theta,\theta_0}(x,y) = \frac{||u_n(x,t)|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\theta_0-1}u_n(x,t) - |u_n(y,t)|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\theta_0-1}u_n(y,t)|}{||u_n(x,t)|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\theta_0} + |u_n(y,t)|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\theta_0}|^{\frac{4\theta}{\gamma+2\theta}} + 1} \left(|u(x,t)|^{\gamma\nu} + |u(y,t)|^{\gamma\nu} + 1\right)^{\frac{\theta+\theta_0}{\gamma+2\theta}}.$$

Note that for $\theta > 0$ small

$$E_{\theta,\theta_0}(x,y) \ge C_{\theta} ||u_n(x,t)|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\theta_0-1} u_n(x,t) - |u_n(y,t)|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\theta_0-1} u_n(y,t)| (|u(x,t)| + |u_n(y,t)|)^{\nu\theta_0-\circ(1)} \\ \ge C_{\theta} ||u_n(x,t)|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}+(\nu-1)\theta_0-1-c_{\theta}} u_n(x,t) - |u_n(y,t)|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}+(\nu-1)\theta_0-1-c_{\theta}} u_n(y,t)|,$$

where $c_{\theta} > 0$ and $c_{\theta} \to 0$ as $\theta \to 0$. Thus we deduce (6.10). Let $\beta = \frac{s+2}{4}$. Let χ_k be smooth function in Ω such that $\chi_k = 1$ in $\Omega \setminus \Omega_{1/k}$ and $\chi_k = 0$ in $\Omega_{1/2k}$. Now, we prove that

(6.12)
$$||\chi_k \operatorname{div}(|u_n|^{\gamma/2} \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s} |u_n|^{\gamma/2-1} u_n)||_{L^1(0,T;(H^1_0(\Omega) \cap W^{2,\nu}(\Omega))^*)} \le C_k \quad \forall \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

for some $\nu > 2$. We have for $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(0, T, W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))$,

(6.13)
$$I = \left| \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \chi_{k} \operatorname{div}(|u_{n}|^{m_{1}} \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s} |u_{n}|^{m_{2}-1} u_{n}) \varphi dx dt \right|$$
$$\leq \left| \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |u_{n}|^{m_{1}} \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s} (\chi_{4k} |u_{n}|^{m_{2}-1} u_{n}) \nabla(\chi_{k} \varphi) dx dt \right|$$
$$+ \left| \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |u_{n}|^{m_{1}} \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s} ((1-\chi_{4k}) |u_{n}|^{m_{2}-1} u_{n}) \nabla(\chi_{k} \varphi) dx dt \right|$$
$$:= I_{1} + I_{2}.$$

Estimate: I_2

$$I_{2} \leq \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |u_{n}|^{m_{1}} dx| |\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s} ((1-\chi_{4k})|u_{n}|^{m_{2}-1}u_{n})||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega\setminus\Omega_{k})} ||\nabla(\chi_{k}\varphi)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} dt$$

$$\leq C_{k} \int_{0}^{T} ||u_{n}||^{m_{1}}_{L^{m_{1}}(\Omega)} ||u_{n}||^{m_{2}}_{L^{m_{2}}(\Omega)} ||\varphi||_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} dt$$

$$\stackrel{(6.9)}{\leq} C_{k} ||\varphi||_{L^{\nu}(0,T;W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))}$$

for some $\nu > 2$.

Estimate: I_1 . It is easy to see that if $s \ge 1/2$,

$$I_1 \le C_k ||\varphi||_{L^\nu(0,T;W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))}$$

for some $\nu > 2$. So, it is enough to assume $s \in (0, 1/2)$. We will prove that

(6.14)
$$I_1 \le C_k ||\varphi||_{L^{\nu_1}(0,T;W^{2,\nu_2}(\Omega))}$$

holds for some $\nu_1, \nu_2 > 1$. Indeed, for $\beta \in (0, 1/2)$

$$I_{1} = \left| \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta)^{\beta-s} (\chi_{4k} |u_{n}|^{m_{2}-1} u_{n}) (-\Delta)^{-\beta} \operatorname{div} (|u_{n}|^{m_{1}} \nabla(\chi_{k} \varphi)) \, dx dt \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{T} ||(-\Delta)^{\beta-s} (\chi_{4k} |u_{n}|^{m_{2}-1} u_{n})||_{L^{\frac{\gamma}{m_{2}}}(\Omega)} ||(-\Delta)^{-\beta} \operatorname{div} (|u_{n}|^{m_{1}} \nabla(\chi_{k} \varphi)) ||_{L^{\frac{\gamma}{m_{1}}}(\Omega)} dt$$

By Lemma 16,

$$I_{1} \leq C_{k} \int_{0}^{T} ||\chi_{4k}|u_{n}|^{m_{2}-1}u_{n}||_{W^{2(\beta-s)^{+},\frac{\gamma}{m_{2}}}(\Omega)} |||u_{n}|^{m_{1}}\nabla(\chi_{k}\varphi)||_{W^{1-2\beta,\frac{\gamma}{m_{1}}}(\Omega)} dt$$

$$\leq C_{k} \int_{0}^{T} ||\chi_{4k}|u_{n}|^{m_{2}-1}u_{n}||_{W^{2(\beta-s)^{+},\frac{\gamma}{m_{2}}}(\Omega)} ||\chi_{4k}|u_{n}|^{m_{1}}||_{W^{1-2\beta,\frac{\gamma}{m_{1}}}(\Omega)} ||\varphi||_{W^{2,2N/\beta}(\Omega)} dt.$$

Case 1. $m_1 = m_2$. We take $\beta = \frac{1+2s}{4}$. Using interpolation inequality yields

$$\begin{split} I_{1} &\leq C_{k} \int_{0}^{T} |||u_{n}|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-1} u_{n}||^{2}_{H^{1-2s}(\Omega)} ||\varphi||_{W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)} dt \\ &\leq C_{k} \int_{0}^{T} |||u_{n}|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-1} u_{n}||^{\frac{4(1-2s)}{2-3s}}_{H^{1-3s/2}(\Omega)} |||u_{n}|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} ||^{\frac{2s}{2-3s}}_{L^{2}(\Omega)} ||\varphi||_{W^{2,2N/\beta}(\Omega)} dt \\ &\stackrel{(6.9)}{\leq} C_{k} \int_{0}^{T} |||u_{n}|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-1} u_{n}||^{\frac{4(1-2s)}{2-3s}}_{H^{1-3s/2}(\Omega)} ||\varphi||_{W^{2,2N/\beta}(\Omega)} dt \\ &\stackrel{(6.11)}{\leq} C_{k} ||\varphi||_{L^{\nu}(0,T,W^{2,2N/\beta}(\Omega))} \end{split}$$

for some $\nu > 2$. So, we get (6.14).

Case 2. $m_1 < m_2$. We take $\beta \in (s, 1/2)$ such that $\frac{2m_1}{\gamma}(1-s) > 1-2\beta$. As (3.23) we have

(6.15)
$$||u_n|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-1}u_n||_{H^{1-s-\varrho}(\Omega)}^{\frac{2m_1}{\gamma}} \ge C|||u_n|^{m_1-1}u_n||_{W^{\frac{2m_1(1-s-\varrho)}{\gamma},\frac{\gamma}{m_1}(\Omega)}} \ge C|||u_n|^{m_1-1}u_n||_{W^{1-2\beta,\frac{\gamma}{m_1}(\Omega)}}.$$

for $\rho > 0$ small enough. By [41, Proposition 5.1, Chapter 2]

(6.16)
$$\begin{aligned} ||\chi_{4k}|u_n|^{m_2-1}u_n||_{W^{2(\beta-s),\frac{\gamma}{m_2}}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C||(\chi_{4k}^{\frac{\gamma}{2m_2}}|u_n|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}})^{\frac{m_2-m_1}{\gamma}}||_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)}||\chi_{4k}^{\frac{\gamma}{2m_2}}|u_n|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-1}u_n||_{W^{2(\beta-s),p_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\ &\leq C|||u_n|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}||_{L^{\frac{p_1(m_2-m_1)}{\gamma}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{m_2-m_1}{\gamma}}|||u_n|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-1}u_n||_{H^{2(\beta-s)}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C|||u_n|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-1}u_n||_{H^{1-s-\varrho}}^{\frac{2m_2}{\gamma}} \end{aligned}$$

for $\rho > 0$ small enough, where $p_1 = \frac{2N\gamma}{(m_2 - m_1)(N - 2(1 - s - \rho))}$, $p_2 = \frac{2N\gamma}{\gamma N + 2(1 - s - \rho)(m_2 - m_1)}$ if $N - 2(1 - s) \ge 0$, and $p_1 = 1, p_2 = \frac{\gamma}{m_2}$ if N - 2(1 - s) < 0. So, it follows from (6.15) and (6.16) that

$$I_1 \le C_k \int_0^T |||u_n|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-1} u_n||^2_{H^{1-s-\varrho}} ||\varphi||_{W^{2,2N/\beta}(\Omega)} dt.$$

Thus, as in case 1., using interpolation inequality and (6.9) we get

 $I_1 \le C_k ||\varphi||_{L^{\nu}(0,T,W^{2,2N/\beta}(\Omega))}.$

for some $\nu > 1$.

Case 3. $m_2 > m_1$. Similarly, we also obtain

$$I_1 \le C_k ||\varphi||_{L^{\nu}(0,T,W^{2,2N/\beta}(\Omega))}.$$

for some $\nu > 1$. Therefore, we deduce (6.12).

Since

$$(\chi_k u_n)_t = \chi_k f_n + \chi_k \operatorname{div}(|u_n|^{m_1} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} |u_n|^{m_2 - 1} u_n),$$

thus for any $\rho > 0, \, k \ge 1$

$$||\partial_t(\chi_k u_n)||_{L^1(0,T;(W^{2,\nu}(\Omega))^*)} + ||u_n||_{L^q(\Omega_T)} + |||u_n|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}||_{L^2(0,T;H^{1-s-\varrho}(\Omega))} \le C_k \quad \forall \quad n,$$

for some $\nu \geq 2$. By Lemma 3, there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ converging to u in $L^1(\Omega_T)$ as $n \to \infty$. Moreover, u satisfies the properties stated in Lemmas 5, 6, 7, 8,9 and 10 with $\delta = 0$ and the Universal bound (5.2) and

$$|u_n|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-1}u_n \to |u|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-1}u$$
 in $L^2(0,T; H^{1-s-\varepsilon_0}(\Omega)) \forall \varepsilon_0 > 0$

Thus, we derive from proof of (6.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega_{T}} \operatorname{div}(|u_{n}|^{m_{1}}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}|u_{n}|^{m_{2}-1}u_{n})\varphi dx - \int_{\Omega_{T}} \operatorname{div}(|u|^{m_{1}}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-s}|u|^{m_{2}-1}u)\varphi dx \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta)^{\beta-s}(|u_{n}|^{\gamma/2-1}u_{n}-|u|^{\gamma/2-1}u)(-\Delta)^{-\beta} \left[\operatorname{div}(|u_{n}|^{m_{1}}\nabla\varphi) \right] dx dt \right| \\ & + \left| \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta)^{\beta-s}(|u|^{m_{2}-1}u)(-\Delta)^{-\beta} \left[\operatorname{div}((|u_{n}|^{m_{1}}-|u|^{m_{1}})\nabla\varphi) \right] dx dt \right| \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varphi \in C_c^2(\Omega \times [0,T))$. Thus, u is a distribution solution of problem (1.1). The proof is complete.

7 Appendix

In this section, we collect some basic estimates of the semi-group $e^{t\Delta}$ and the fractional operator that we have used throughout the paper.

Lemma 12. Let $e^{t\Delta}$ be the semi-group in bounded domain Ω . Then, the following properties hold

(7.1)
$$||e^{t\Delta}u_0||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le C||u_0||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)},$$

(7.2)
$$||e^{t\Delta}\operatorname{div}(g)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}||g||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)},$$

and

(7.3)
$$||\nabla e^{t\Delta}u_0||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}||u_0||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}.$$

We refer to [32, 33] for L^p estimates for the semi-group $e^{t\Delta}$. Let $\mathbf{H}(t, x, y)$ be the Heat kernel in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$. We recall some basic properties of \mathbf{H} , see [22],

(7.4)
$$H(t,x,y) \le C \min\left\{\frac{d(x)}{|x-y|},1\right\} \min\left\{\frac{d(y)}{|x-y|},1\right\} t^{-\frac{N}{2}} \exp\left(-c\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}\right)$$

and

(7.5)
$$|\nabla_x H(t,x,y)| \le C \left[\frac{1}{d(x)} \mathbf{1}_{\sqrt{t} \ge d(x)} + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{|x-y|}{t} \right) \mathbf{1}_{\sqrt{t} < d(x)} \right] H(t,x,y)$$

(7.6)
$$|\nabla_y H(t, x, y)| \le C \left[\frac{1}{d(y)} \mathbb{1}_{\sqrt{t} \ge d(y)} + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{|x - y|}{t} \right) \mathbb{1}_{\sqrt{t} < d(y)} \right] H(t, x, y) \, .$$

It is not hard to show that these properties of the Heat kernel imply (7.1), (7.2) and (7.3). We omit the details.

Lemma 13. Let p > 1 and $\beta \in (0, 1]$. Then, if $\beta < N/2p$

(7.7)
$$||f||_{L^{\frac{pN}{N-2p\beta}(\Omega)}} \le ||(-\Delta)^{\beta}f||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \quad \forall \quad f \in L^{p}(\Omega),$$

if $\beta = \frac{N}{2p}$

(7.8)
$$||f||_{BMO(\Omega)} \le ||(-\Delta)^{\beta} f||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \quad \forall \quad f \in L^{p}(\Omega),$$

if $\beta > \frac{N}{2p}$

(7.9)
$$||f||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq ||(-\Delta)^{\beta}f||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \quad \forall \quad f \in L^{p}(\Omega).$$

Proof. By [21, Theorem 2.4], we have

$$|(-\Delta)^{-\beta}f(x)| \le C \int_0^R \frac{\int_{B_\rho(x)} |f(y)| dy}{\rho^{N-2\beta}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \quad \forall \ x \in \Omega,$$

with $R = 2 \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$. Thus, by the standard potential estimate, see [3] we get (7.7), (7.8) and (7.9).

Lemma 14. Let $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Then,

(7.10)
$$||\nabla(-\Delta)^{-\beta}f||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le C||f||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$$

Proof. Its proof can be found in [21, Theorem 5].

Lemma 15. Let $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Then,

(7.11)
$$||(-\Delta)^{-\beta} \operatorname{div}(g)||_{L^2(\Omega)} \le C||g||_{H^{1-2\beta}(\Omega)} \quad \forall g \in H^{1-2\beta}(\Omega).$$

Proof. Set $v = (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}(g)$, by the standard regularity theorem for Laplace we have

 $||v||_{H^{\varrho+1}(\Omega)} \leq C||g||_{H^{\varrho}(\Omega)} \quad \forall \quad \varrho \in [0,1]$

see [30], it follows

$$||(-\Delta)^{-\beta}\operatorname{div}(g)||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} = ||(-\Delta)^{1-\beta}v||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \le C||v||_{H^{2(1-\beta)}(\Omega)} \le C||g||_{H^{1-2\beta}(\Omega)}$$

so, we find (7.11). The proof is complete.

Lemma 16. Let $\beta \in (0, 1/2), p > 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then,

(7.12)
$$||(-\Delta)^{\beta}h||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C||h||_{W^{2\beta,p}(\Omega)}$$

for all $h \in W^{2\beta,p}(\Omega)$ supp $h \subset \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon_0}$ and

(7.13)
$$||(-\Delta)^{-\beta} \operatorname{div}(g)||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \le C ||g||_{W^{1-2\beta,p}(\Omega)}$$

for all $g \in W^{1-2\beta,p}(\Omega)$ supp $g \subset \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon_0}$.

Proof. **1.** We have

$$(-\Delta)^{\beta}h(x) = C_{\beta} \int_0^\infty t^{-1-\beta}(h(x) - v(x,t))dt$$

where v is a solution of problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v - \Delta v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ v(0) = h & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

Since $\operatorname{supp}(h) \subset \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon_0}$,

$$||v||_{C^{1,2}(\overline{\Omega}_{\varepsilon_0/2}\times[0,T])} \le C||h||_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$

Let $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be such that $\chi = 1$ in $\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon_0/4}$ and $\chi = 0$ in $\Omega_{\varepsilon_0/8}$. We have

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(\chi v) - \Delta(\chi v) = -\Delta \chi v - 2\nabla \chi \nabla v & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty), \\ \chi v(0) = \chi h & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases}$$

Set $V = e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N}}(\chi h) - \chi(x)v(t,x)$. Then,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t V - \Delta V = -\Delta \chi v - 2\nabla \chi \nabla u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty), \\ V(0) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $||V||_{C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^N \times (0,\infty))} \le C||v||_{C^{1,2}(\overline{\Omega}_{\varepsilon_0/2}} \le C||h||_{L^1(\Omega)}$. So,

$$|V(t,x)| \le C \min\{t, t^{-4}\} ||g||_{L^1(\Omega)},$$

and

$$||(-\Delta)^{\beta}h||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} = ||(-\Delta)^{\beta}_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(\chi h) + C_{\beta} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1-\beta}V(.,t)dt||_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$$

$$\leq C||(-\Delta)^{\beta}_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(\chi h)||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} + C||h||_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C||h||_{W^{2\beta,p}(\Omega)},$$

which implies (7.12).

2. We have

$$(-\Delta)^{-\beta}\operatorname{div}(g)(x) = C_{\beta} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1+\beta} w(x,t) dt$$

where v is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w - \Delta w = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ w(0) = \operatorname{div}(g) & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

Since $\operatorname{supp}(g) \subset \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon_0}$,

$$||v||_{C^{1,2}(\overline{\Omega}_{\varepsilon_0/2}\times[0,T])} \le C||g||_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$

As above, we get

$$|e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N}} \operatorname{div}(\chi g)(x) - \chi(x)w(x,t)| \le C \min\{t, t^{-4}\} ||g||_{L^1(\Omega)},$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned} ||(-\Delta)^{-\beta}\operatorname{div}(g)||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} &= ||(-\Delta)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{-\beta}\operatorname{div}(\chi g) - C_{\beta}\int_{0}^{\infty}t^{-1+\beta}e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}}\operatorname{div}(\chi g)(x) - \chi(x)w(x,t)dt||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C||(-\Delta)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{-\beta}\operatorname{div}(\chi g)||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} + C||g||_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C||\operatorname{div}\left((-\Delta)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{-\beta}\chi g\right)||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} + C||g||_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C||g||_{W^{1-2\beta,p}(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

which implies (7.13). The proof is complete.

Comments and related problems

• We could do the same program with the spectral Laplacian replaced by the other standard option, the so-called natural or restricted Laplacian on bounded domains. Other more general integro-differential operators could also be considered.

• Concerning similar problems posed on bounded domains, there is much recent work for porous medium equations involving nonlocal fractional operators in the case of the model equation

(7.14)
$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^s (F(u)) = 0$$

usually for $F(u) = cu^m$, m > 0. This includes the references [12, 13, 10, 14, 8, 7]. Higher regularity is treated in [44] and [7]. The linear case is treated in [11], and a case with m < 0 in [9].

As in the just mentioned model, we also want to address a number of questions. Our present model seems to be more difficult to analyze.

• There is a very important question of uniqueness for our model that cold be solved in one space dimension by using the viscosity ideas of [6].

• Questions of regularity that must be proved: C^{α} regularity, higher regularity. Also the question of potential estimates.

• Question of finite speed of propagation, cf. works [37, 38, 39] for problems posed in the whole space. Regularity of free boundary problems, with open questions even for PME with nonlocal pressure, [18].

• Questions of asymptotic behaviour, cf. the work [10] for equation (7.14).

• An interesting case in which a related problem is treated in a bounded domain concerns the work of Serfaty et al. [1, 2, 34] on equations of superconductivity, which formally corresponds to $m_1 = m_2 = 1$ with s = 1.

• In order to study the Cauchy problem in \mathbb{R}^N , we may use as approximations the problems posed in a sequence of balls $B_{R_n}(0)$. Using the previous results in bounded domains (Theorems 1, 4, 5), and passing then to the limit $R_n \to \infty$ we can obtain existence and estimates for the solutions of the same equation posed in the whole space with bounded and integrable data, or with merely integrable data, or bounded Radon measures. This is to be compared with the previous results of [5, 38, 39]. Note that in these references only one nonlinearity is considered at a time, the approach is different, and f = 0. This proposal needs careful elaboration.

Acknowledgment

The first named author has been supported by the Centro De Giorgi, Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy. The Second named author has been supported by the Spanish Project MTM2014-52240-P.

References

- L. Ambrosio, E. Mainini, S. Serfaty. Gradient flow of the Chapman-Rubinstein-Schatzman model for signed vortices. Annales IHP, Analyse non linéaire 28 (2011), no. 2, 217–246.
- [2] L. Ambrosio, S. Serfaty. A gradient flow approach to an evolution problem arising in superconductivity, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 61 (2008), no. 11, 1495–1539.
- [3] D. R. Adams. A note on Riesz potentials, Duke Math. J. 42 (1975), 765–778.
- [4] M. F. Bidaut-Véron, Quoc-Hung Nguyen. Pointwise estimates and existence of solutions of porous medium and p-Laplace evolution equations with absorption and measure data, , Ann. Scuola Norm. Super. Pisa (V) 28 (2016), 675–705.
- [5] P. Biler, C. Imbert, G. Karch. The nonlocal porous medium equation: Barenblatt profiles and other weak solutions, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 215 (2015), 497-529.
- [6] P. Biler, G. Karch, R. Monneau. Nonlinear diffusion of dislocation density and self-similar solutions. Comm. Math. Phys. 294 (2010), no. 1, 145–168.
- [7] M. Bonforte, A. Figalli, X. Ros-Otón. Infinite speed of propagation and regularity of solutions to the fractional porous medium equation in general domains, arXiv:1510.03758. To appear in Comm. Pure Appl. Math.
- [8] M. Bonforte, A. Figalli, J. L. Vázquez. Sharp global estimates for local and nonlocal porous medium-type equations in bounded domains, arXiv:1610.09881.
- [9] M. Bonforte, A. Segatti, J. L. Vázquez. Non-existence and instantaneous extinction of solutions for singular nonlinear fractional diffusion equations. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 55 (2016), no. 3, Art. 68, 23 pp.
- [10] M. Bonforte, Y. Sire, J. L. Vázquez. Existence, Uniqueness and Asymptotic behaviour for fractional porous medium equations on bounded domains. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.-A 35 (2015), no. 12, 5725–5767.
- [11] M. Bonforte, Y. Sire, J. L. Vázquez. Optimal Existence and Uniqueness Theory for the Fractional Heat Equation, arXiv:1606.00873v1, to appear in Nonlin. Anal.
- [12] M. Bonforte, J. L. Vázquez. Quantitative Local and Global A Priori Estimates for Fractional Nonlinear Diffusion Equations. Advances in Math. 250 (2014) 242–284. In arXiv:1210.2594.
- [13] M. Bonforte, J. L. Vázquez. A Priori Estimates for Fractional Nonlinear Degenerate Diffusion Equations on bounded domains. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 218, no 1 (2015), 317–362.
- [14] M. Bonforte, J. L. Vázquez. A Priori Estimates for Fractional Nonlinear Degenerate Diffusion Equations on bounded domains. Part I. Existence, Uniqueness and Upper Bounds. Nonlinear Anal. 131 (2016), 363–398.
- [15] X. Cabré, J. Tan. Positive solutions of nonlinear problems involving the square root of the Laplacian, Adv. Math. 224 (2010), no. 5, 2052–2093.

- [16] L. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre. An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 32 (2007), no.7-9:1245-1260.
- [17] L. A. Caffarelli, F. Soria, J. L. Vázquez. Regularity of solutions of the fractional porous medium flow. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 15 5 (2013), 1701–1746. arXiv 1201.6048v1, 2012
- [18] L. A. Caffarelli, J. L. Vázquez. Nonlinear porous medium flow with fractional potential pressure. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 202 (2011), 537–565.
- [19] L. A. Caffarelli, J. L. Vázquez. Asymptotic behaviour of a porous medium equation with fractional diffusion, Discrete Cont. Dyn. Systems - A 29, no. 4 (2011), 1393–1404.
- [20] L. Caffarelli, J. L. Vázquez. Regularity of solutions of the fractional porous medium flow with exponent 1/2. Algebra Analiz 27 (2015), no. 3, 125–156 [St. Petersburg Math. Journal 27 (2016), no 3, 437–460].
- [21] L. Caffarelli, P. R. Stinga. Fractional elliptic equations: Caccioppoli estimates and regularity, Annales Inst. Henri Poincaré (C) Non Linear Analysis, (2016), 767–807.
- [22] P. Constantin, M. Ignatova. Remarks on the fractional Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary conditions and applications. Internat. Math. Res. Notices, (2016), 1–21.
- [23] E. B. Davies. Explicit constants for Gaussian upper bounds on heat kernels, Am. J. Math. 109 (1987), 319-333.
- [24] E. B. Davies. "Heat kernels and spectral theory", Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 92. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [25] A. De Pablo, F. Quirós, A. Rodríguez, J. L. Vázquez. A fractional porous medium equation, Advances in Mathematics 226 (2011), no. 2, 1378–1409.
- [26] A. De Pablo, F. Quirós, A. Rodríguez, J. L. Vázquez. A general fractional porous medium equation. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 65 (2012), no. 9, 1242–1284.
- [27] J. Dolbeault, A. Zhang Flows and functional inequalities for fractional operators Applicable Analysis 96 (9) (2017), 1547–1560.
- [28] G. Giacomin, J. L. Lebowitz. Phase segregation dynamics in particle systems with long range interactions. I. Macroscopic limits. J. Statist. Phys. 87 (1997), no. 1–2, 37–61.
- [29] G. Giacomin, J. L. Lebowitz, R. Marra. Macroscopic evolution of particle systems with short and long-range interactions. Nonlinearity 13 (6), (2000) 2143–2162.
- [30] D. Jerison, C. E. Kenig. The inhomogeneous Dirichlet problem in Lipschitz domains J. Funct. Anal. 130 (1995), 161–219.
- [31] V. A. Liskevich, Yu. A. Semenov. Some inequalities for sub-Markovian generators and their applications to the perturbation theory, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 119 (1993), no. 4, 1171– 1177.

- [32] Q.-H. Nguyen. Potential estimates and quasilinear parabolic equations with measure data, Submitted for publication.
- [33] Q.-H. Nguyen. Global estimates for quasilinear parabolic equations on Reifenberg flat domains and its applications to Riccati type parabolic equations with distributional data, Calc. Var. PDE 54 (2015), 3927–3948.
- [34] S. Serfaty, J. L. Vazquez. A Mean Field Equation as Limit of Nonlinear Diffusion with Fractional Laplacian Operators. Calc. Var. PDE 49 (2014), no. 3-4, 1091–1120.
- [35] J. Simon. Compact sets in the space $L^p(0,T;B)$. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 146 (1987), 65–96.
- [36] D. Stan, F. del Teso, J. L. Vázquez. Finite and infinite speed of propagation for porous medium equations with fractional pressure. Comptes Rendus Mathématique (Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris) 352, 2 (2014), 123–128. arXiv:1311.7007.
- [37] D. Stan, F. del Teso, J. L. Vázquez. Transformations of Self-Similar Solutions for porous medium equations of fractional type. Nonlinear Anal. 119 (2015) 62–73.
- [38] D. Stan, F. del Teso, J. L. Vázquez. Finite and infinite speed of propagation for porous medium equations with fractional pressure. Journal Diff. Eqns. 260 (2016), no 2, 1154–1199.
- [39] D. Stan, F. del Teso, J. L. Vázquez. Existence of weak solutions for porous medium equations with nonlocal pressure, arXiv:1609.05139.
- [40] P. R. Stinga, J. L. Torrea. Extension problem and Harnack inequality for some fractional operators, Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. 35 (2010) 2092-2122.
- [41] M. E. Taylor. Tools for PDEs, vol. 81 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2000 (Pseudodifferential operators, paradifferential operators, and layer potentials).
- [42] D. W. Stroock. "Introduction to the theory of large deviations", Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [43] J. L. Vázquez. The mathematical theories of diffusion. Nonlinear and fractional diffusion, to appear in Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, CIME Summer Course 2016.
- [44] J. L. Vázquez, A. de Pablo, F. Quirós, A. Rodríguez. Classical solutions and higher regularity for nonlinear fractional diffusion equations, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 19, no. 7 (2017), 1949–1975.

KEYWORDS. Nonlocal nonlinear parabolic equations, fractional Laplacian on a bounded domain.

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION. 35R11, 35K61, 35K65.