

Asymptotically Safe Standard Model Extensions

**Giulio Maria Pelaggi^a, Alexis D. Plascencia^b, Alberto Salvio^c,
Francesco Sannino^{d,c}, Juri Smirnov^e, Alessandro Strumia^{a,c}**

^a *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Pisa and INFN, Italy*

^b *Institute for Particle Physics Phenomenology, Department of Physics,
Durham University, Durham DH1 3LE, United Kingdom*

^c *CERN, Theory Division, Geneva, Switzerland*

^d *CP³-Origins and Danish IAS, University of Southern Denmark, Denmark*

^e *INFN and Department of Physics and Astronomy
University of Florence, Via G. Sansone 1, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy*

Abstract

We construct asymptotically safe extensions of the Standard Model. The weak and hypercharge gauge couplings are made safe adding a large number of extra charged fermions. To achieve safety also in the Higgs self-coupling, the minimal construction features a right-handed neutrino around 10^{14} GeV. A natural variant, with all new masses close to the Fermi scale, is obtained by implementing a TeV-scale double see-saw mechanism. We also construct models featuring dynamical generation of scales that include Dark Matter candidates. The large extra degrees of freedom allow for intriguing atypical phenomenological scenarios, such as cold Dark Matter arising from a relativistic freeze-out.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Safe fixed point for gauge couplings	3
3	Minimal asymptotically safe SM extension	6
4	Natural asymptotically safe SM extensions	8
5	Model with dynamical generation of the weak scale	11
6	Conclusions	13

1 Introduction

The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV are in line with the Standard Model (SM) predictions and provide strong bounds on SM extensions, in particular on those that were introduced to tame the quadratic sensitivity of the Higgs mass operator on the scale of new physics, known as natural extensions. The time is therefore ripe to explore alternative approaches to naturalness and better even, new guiding principles, that can help selecting a more fundamental theory of Nature.

Weak-scale extensions of the SM valid up to infinite energy bypass the issue of quadratically divergent corrections to the Higgs mass [1] (we will ignore gravity, as an extension of Einstein gravity can have this property [2,3]). Extensions of the SM realising total asymptotic freedom can be built by embedding the abelian $U(1)_Y$ into non-abelian gauge groups that explain the observed values of the hypercharges. Natural possibilities, where the extended gauge group is broken around the electro-weak scale [1], have been proposed based on the groups $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R \otimes SU(4)_c$ and especially $SU(3)_L \otimes SU(3)_R \otimes SU(3)_c$ [4]. However, a fine-tuning at the % level is needed in order to make the extra vectors above present bounds, such as $M_{W_R} > 2.5$ TeV [5].

This is one of the motivations behind the search of alternative fundamental SM extensions that are asymptotic safe, rather than asymptotically free. In addition, asymptotically safe theories are an intriguing and yet much unexplored possibility. Only recently the first controllable perturbative example of a gauge-Yukawa theory able to display asymptotic safety in all couplings [6] was discovered, where the Veneziano-Witten limit $N, N_F \gg 1$ has been employed to unquestionably establish the existence of such a scenario. Quantum stability of the theory and the determination of the vacuum and potential of the theory were established in [7]. The original model did not feature gauged scalars nor radiative symmetry breaking and is a vector-like theory. Gauged scalars and related asymptotically safe conditions were introduced for the first time in [8] while chiral gauge theories were investigated in [8,9], and radiative breaking in [10]. Extensions to semi-simple groups with SM-like chiral matter appeared first in [11] while semi-simple gauge theories with vector-like fermions appeared in [12]. The Veneziano

limit leads to interesting phenomenological applications once spontaneous breaking occurs [13]. Another virtue of a controllable perturbative limit is that in these theories the Higgs mass can be naturally lighter than the transition scale Λ , given that non-perturbative corrections are exponentially suppressed, $\delta M_h^2 \sim \Lambda^2 e^{-\mathcal{O}(1)/\alpha}$ [8].

Supersymmetric asymptotically safe quantum field theories, where exact non-perturbative results have been established in [14, 15], are also an intriguing possibility albeit presumably not of natural type because of the tension with the Large Electron-Positron Collider (LEP) and LHC bounds [16].

In this work we depart from the Veneziano limit and supersymmetric extensions by taking another interesting theoretical limit that can help taming the ultimate fate of one or all of the SM $U(1)_Y$, $SU(2)_L$ and $SU(3)_c$ gauge factors. This makes use of the large number of flavour expansion discussed in [17–19]. In the presence of $N_F \gg 1$ extra fermions one can re-sum corrections at leading order in $1/N_F$ [20, 21]. As we will review in section 2, these fixed points occur at predicted nonperturbative values of the product of their gauge couplings times the associated large number of extra flavours. The gauge couplings at the UV fixed points enter perturbatively in the remaining system of beta functions [13, 22]. In [22], building up on the idea of [23], it was possible to successfully extend the SM to achieve asymptotic safety in all gauge and Yukawa couplings, while it was shown how to properly investigate the Higgs self-coupling to the one and two-loop levels in presence of the ultraviolet fixed points in the gauge couplings. A complementary framework was introduced in [13] in which the SM is directly embedded in the Veneziano limit of an extended [6] theory with the hypercharge and weak interactions still tamed via a large N_F re-summation. Here we go beyond the initial analysis of [22] and construct several phenomenologically distinct and relevant models in which the scalar coupling has already at the one-loop level a trustable ultraviolet fixed point.¹

The paper is structured as follows. In section 2 we review how the introduction of many extra fermions allows for an ultraviolet interacting fixed point for the gauge couplings. In section 3 we propose a minimal extension of the SM, where asymptotic safety for the Higgs quartic is achieved by adding a heavy right-handed neutrino, independently motivated by neutrino masses. In section 4 we replace the heavy right-handed neutrino with weak-scale states, in order to have asymptotically safe extensions of the SM where the Higgs mass receives no unnaturally large quantum corrections. Finally, in section 5 we propose asymptotically safe extensions of the SM where all scales are dynamically generated starting from dimension-less couplings only. We offer our conclusions in section 6.

2 Safe fixed point for gauge couplings

We now discuss the fate of gauge theories at short distance in the presence of a large number of vector-like fermions ($N_F \gg 1$). We do this by first summarising the associated β functions resummed at leading order in $1/N_F$. We denote with $\alpha_i \equiv g_i^2/4\pi$ for $i = Y, 2, 3$ the SM gauge

¹Unsuccessful attempts of constructing perturbative asymptotically safe extensions of the SM appeared in [24]. Here both the hypercharge and scalar quartics were still under the spell of Landau poles, and in any event the constructions depart from the rigorous limit of [6].




Figure 1: *The Feynman diagram topologies that dominate at leading order in $1/N_F$.*

couplings (we define g_Y as the hypercharge gauge coupling in the normalization where the Higgs H has $|Y| = 1/2$) and conveniently write the β functions as

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial \ln \mu} = \beta_{\alpha_i} = \beta_{\alpha_i}^{\text{SM}} + \beta_{\alpha_i}^{\text{extra}}, \quad (1)$$

where $\beta_{\alpha_i}^{\text{SM}}$ are the perturbative SM contribution: at one-loop $\beta_{\alpha_i}^{\text{SM}} = b_i^{\text{SM}} \alpha_i^2 / 2\pi$, with $b_Y^{\text{SM}} = 41/6$, $b_2^{\text{SM}} = -19/6$ and $b_3^{\text{SM}} = -7$. The contribution of the $N_F \gg 1$ extra fermions can be written as their one-loop contribution plus their resummation at leading order in $1/N_F$ (see [17, 22] and reference therein):

$$\beta_{\alpha_i}^{\text{extra}} = \frac{\alpha_i^2}{2\pi} \Delta b_i + \frac{\alpha_i^2}{3\pi} F_i(\Delta b_i \frac{\alpha_i}{4\pi}). \quad (2)$$

The one-loop coefficients are well known: for fermions in the representation R_i with dimension D_{R_i} they are given by

$$\Delta b_Y = \frac{4}{3} Y^2 N_F D_{R_2} D_{R_3}, \quad \Delta b_2 = \frac{2}{3} N_F D_{R_3}, \quad \Delta b_3 = \frac{2}{3} N_F D_{R_2}. \quad (3)$$

At leading order in $N_F \gg 1$, the result is dominated by the Feynman diagrams in fig. 1. Their re-summation gives the functions $F_i(A)$

$$F_1(A) \equiv 2 \int_0^A I_1(x) dx, \quad F_n(A) \equiv \int_0^A I_1(x) I_n(x) \quad \text{for } n = 2, 3 \quad (4)$$

where

$$I_1(x) \equiv \frac{(1+x)(2x-1)^2(2x-3)^2 \sin^3(\pi x) \Gamma(x-1)^2 \Gamma(-2x)}{\pi^3 (x-2)}, \quad (5)$$

$$I_n(x) \equiv \frac{n^2 - 1}{2n} + \frac{(20 - 43x + 32x^2 - 14x^3 + 4x^4)n}{2(2x-1)(2x-3)(1-x^2)}. \quad (6)$$

The F_i functions are plotted in fig. 2. Note that F_1 ($F_{2,3}$) has a logarithmic singularity at $A = 5/2$ ($A = 1$)

$$F_1(A) \stackrel{A \rightarrow 5/2}{\simeq} \frac{14}{15\pi^2} \ln \left(1 - \frac{2A}{5} \right) + \dots, \quad F_n(A) \stackrel{A \rightarrow 1}{\simeq} \frac{n}{8} \ln(1-A) + \dots \quad (7)$$




Figure 2: *The functions giving the re-summed gauge β functions in eq.s (4), (5) and (6).*

where \dots represents contributions which remain finite at the singularity. As clear from fig. 2, the locations of these singularities coincide to a very good approximation with the points where the β functions vanish, leading to the fixed points

$$\alpha_{2,3}^* = \frac{4\pi}{\Delta b_{2,3}}, \quad \alpha_Y^* = \frac{10\pi}{\Delta b_Y}. \quad (8)$$

Such fixed-point values reproduce the observed values of the SM gauge couplings renormalized at a few TeV for $\Delta b_Y \approx 1800$, $\Delta b_2 \approx 400$, $\Delta b_3 \approx 150$: higher values of Δb_i are thereby not allowed. Furthermore, the remaining Yukawa and quartic couplings remain perturbative, as long as they receive no enhancement from the large number N_F of fermions [13, 22]. This is so because the values of the gauge couplings at such fixed points emerging from resummation enter perturbatively in the remaining set of beta functions at large enough N_F : $\alpha \sim 4\pi/N_F$.

A word of caution is in order here: the fixed point resulting from the large- N_F resummation is not on the same rigorous footing as the perturbative fixed points arising in the limit of large N , N_F [6], or the supersymmetric fixed points [14, 15]. The physical meaning of the logarithmic singularity is not clear, and more singular behaviours are encountered at sub-leading orders in N_F [17]. The predictions from resummation can be confirmed via lattice simulations for which neither technical nor theoretical impediment exist. Furthermore, lattice simulations can test whether fixed points exist also away from the $N_F \gg 1$ limit. No fixed point was found in QED with one electron, and this computation can be repeated with larger N_F .




Figure 3: Possible fixed-points for the Higgs quartic λ_H as function of the fixed-point value of g_Y/g_2 , in the presence of one HLN Yukawa coupling at its fixed point (larger region), or of two $HL'N'$ and $H^*\bar{L}'N'$ Yukawas (smaller region). The one-loop β function of the Higgs quartic is negative in the shaded region. The vertical dotted lines indicate values of fixed-points for g_Y^*/g_2^* obtained adding the indicated extra matter, and the green arrow shows the SM value of g_Y/g_2 .

3 Minimal asymptotically safe SM extension

Asymptotically free extensions of the SM were constructed in [22] in which, by adding a large number of extra fermions, ultraviolet interacting fixed points for $g_{Y,2,3}$ were achieved. In the SM the top Yukawa coupling y_t has two possible fixed points in the deep ultraviolet: a non-interacting UV-attractive point for $y_t \rightarrow 0$, and a UV-repulsive fixed point for $y_t^{*2} = \frac{17}{54}g_Y^{*2} + \frac{1}{2}g_2^{*2} + \frac{16}{9}g_3^{*2}$. In the SM $\beta_{y_t} < 0$ around the weak scale, so that y_t decreases at high energy, down to the fixed point $y_t^* = 0$. In this limit, the one-loop SM beta function for the Higgs quartic λ_H (defined writing the tree-level SM potential as $V = -\frac{1}{2}M_h^2|H|^2 + \lambda_H|H|^4$)

$$\beta_{\lambda_H}^{(1)}|_{\text{SM}} = (4\pi)^2 \frac{d\lambda_H}{d \ln \bar{\mu}} = 24\lambda_H^2 + \lambda_H (12y_t^2 - 9g_2^2 - 3g_Y^2) + \frac{9g_2^4}{8} + \frac{3g_Y^4}{8} + \frac{3g_2^2g_Y^2}{4} - 6y_t^4 \quad (9)$$

is positive defined, $\beta_{\lambda_H}^{(1)}|_{\text{SM}} > 0$, such that no fixed point for λ_H exists². In [22] it was found that 2-loop corrections can make $\beta_{\lambda_H}|_{\text{SM}}$ negative, but only for values of $g_{Y,2}$ where perturbativity is borderline; furthermore for the measured value of the Higgs mass, λ_H does not reach its semi-perturbative fixed point.

In this section we solve this problem, achieving an asymptotically safe extension of the SM. Being motivated by naturalness, we do not add any new coloured fermion (as they are subject to strong bounds from LHC), letting g_3 to flow to $g_3^* = 0$. On the other hand, g_Y can only flow to an interacting fixed point, and the same will happen to g_2 in all proposed models.

²This would not be the case if the top Yukawa coupling were bigger such that it would reach its fixed point with $y_t \neq 0$, as could be achieved by adding vector-like tops.




Figure 4: *Running couplings in the minimal asymptotically safe extension of the SM, with a right-handed neutrino with mass $M_N \approx 10^{14}$ GeV and $N_F = 300$ vector-like $L' \oplus \bar{L}'$ families with mass $M_F \approx 2$ TeV. We fixed the maximal value of the right-handed neutrino mass, for which the Higgs quartic flows to its UV-repulsive fixed point. For the same value, the atmospheric neutrino mass is obtained.*

Besides adding the needed N_F new vector-like fermions, we further extend the SM by adding a right-handed neutrino N with mass M_N and Yukawa interaction $y_N HLN$, such that neutrinos acquire, as usual, a small Majorana mass $m_\nu = y_N^2 v^2 / M_N$ [25]. The one-loop RG equation for one neutrino Yukawa coupling

$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{dy_N}{d \ln \mu} = \frac{5}{2} y_N^3 - y_N \left(\frac{3}{4} g_Y^2 + \frac{9}{4} g_2^2 - 3y_t^2 \right) \quad (10)$$

admits a UV-repulsive fixed point $y_N^{*2} = \frac{3}{10} (g_Y^{*2} + 3g_2^{*2})$. This means that $M_N \sim 10^{14}$ GeV is needed in order to reproduce the observed neutrino masses, $m_\nu \sim 0.05$ eV. Inserting this fixed point into the one-loop SM beta function for the Higgs quartic above M_N ,

$$\beta_{\lambda_H}^{(1)} = \beta_{\lambda_H}^{(1)}|_{\text{SM}} + 4y_N^2 \lambda_H - 2y_N^4 \quad (11)$$

we find that the fixed-point condition $\beta_{\lambda_H}^{(1)} = 0$ admits solutions provided that $g_Y^* < 2.10g_2^*$, as plotted in fig. 3. This implies that g_2 too must reach an interacting fixed point. We find one UV-repulsive fixed point at a positive value of the Higgs quartic, $\lambda_H^* > 0$, and one UV-attractive fixed point with positive λ_H^* for $g_Y^* > 1.63g_2^*$.

We can now concentrate on constructing phenomenologically interesting models. For example, adding N_F vector-like families of $L' \oplus \bar{L}'$ (where L' has the quantum numbers of a SM lepton doublet L), they contribute as

$$\Delta b_2 = \Delta b_Y = \frac{2}{3} N_F, \quad \Delta b_3 = 0. \quad (12)$$

Eq. (8) then gives the fixed-point values of the gauge couplings. We have $g_3^* = 0$ and $g_Y^*/g_2^* = \sqrt{5/2} \approx 1.58$, plotted as a vertical line in fig. 3. Assuming $N_F = 200$ such that g_2^* is perturbative, the Higgs quartic λ_H has two fixed points at $\lambda_H^* = 0.42g_2^{2*}$ and at $\lambda_H^* = -0.01g_2^{2*}$. The latter is so close to zero that vacuum decay is not an issue; furthermore 2 loop corrections could shift it to a positive small value.

The Higgs quartic can remain positive, unlike what happens in the SM for best-fit values of its parameters, because $g_{2,Y}$ run to larger values than in the SM. Figure 4 shows how the UV-repulsive fixed point for λ_H is reached starting from the present best-fit values of the SM parameters (we adopt the precise values of the SM couplings extracted from data in [26], where the SM renormalization group equations (RGE) up to 3 loops have been collected) for $M_F = 2 \text{ TeV}$ and $M_N \approx 2.5 \cdot 10^{14} \text{ GeV}$, such that the atmospheric neutrino mass $m_\nu \approx 0.05 \text{ eV}$ [25] is obtained for y_N at its fixed point. For lower (higher) M_N the quartic λ_H flows to the UV-attractive fixed point (hits a Landau pole). The Yukawa couplings of the other right-handed neutrinos can have values smaller than y_N^* , such that they flow to the UV-attractive fixed point where they vanish. Baryogenesis can proceed via leptogenesis [25].

We thereby achieved the goal of building a realistic asymptotically safe extension of the SM.

4 Natural asymptotically safe SM extensions

In the model discussed in the previous section the Higgs mass receives an unnaturally large physical quantum correction $\delta M_h^2 \sim y_N^2 M_N^2 / (4\pi)^2$ because the Higgs is coupled to one right-handed neutrino with large mass $M_N \gtrsim 10^{14} \text{ GeV}$ and large Yukawa coupling, $y_N = y_N^* \sim g_2$. We now show how one can build natural asymptotically free extensions of the SM, where all new particles are close to the Fermi scale. We will not scan all possible theories but provide explicit realisations that are meant to guide further searches of these interesting class of theories.

One first possibility maintains the identification of N with right-handed neutrinos. We introduce 2 singlet fermions per generation, N, N' in order to implement a TeV-scale double see-saw, which can reproduce the observed neutrino masses even in the presence of large neutrino Yukawa couplings [27–30]. Furthermore, we add a 3rd singlet N'' that will allow to have a Dark Matter (DM) candidate. We assume that the N 's have dominant Dirac masses MNN' and MNN'' (assumed to be equal just for simplicity) plus a small Majorana mass $\mu' N'^2/2$ and $\mu'' N''^2/2$. Finally we assume that the Yukawa couplings of the right-handed neutrinos

$$y HLN + y' HLN' + y'' HLN'' + \text{h.c.} \quad (13)$$

satisfy $y \gg y' \approx y''$. The resulting mass matrix for one generation of neutrinos is

$$\begin{array}{c} \nu_L \quad N \quad N' \quad N'' \\ \nu_L \begin{pmatrix} 0 & yv & 0 & 0 \\ yv & 0 & M & M \\ 0 & M & \mu' & 0 \\ 0 & M & 0 & \mu'' \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \quad (14)$$




Figure 5: *RGE running in a natural model where the Higgs significantly couples only to weak-scale particles. The asymptotically safe fixed point can be reached.*

such that the light neutrino mass

$$m_\nu \stackrel{\mu_{1,2} \ll M}{\simeq} \frac{\mu' + \mu''}{2} \frac{y^2 v^2}{M^2} \quad (15)$$

reproduces the observed value for $y \sim g_2$, $M \sim \text{TeV}$ and $\mu_{1,2} \sim \text{keV}$. In fig. 5 we show that RGE running can again reach all the needed fixed points for y and λ_H .

The heavier eigenstates of the mass matrix in eq. (14) are a quasi-Dirac sterile neutrino with mass $M \sim \text{TeV}$ and a lighter sterile neutrino with $m_N = (\mu' + \mu'')/2 \sim \text{keV}$. Its presence is not needed for neutrino masses; nevertheless we added N'' because the resulting light state has a promising and unusual Dark Matter phenomenology. As discussed in [31], its mixing angle with the active neutrinos is $\theta^2 \approx y^2 v^2 (\mu' - \mu'')^2 / [M^2 (\mu' + \mu'')^2]$, such that the keV-scale sterile neutrino is long lived on cosmological scales. Its decay rate into $\nu_L \gamma$ is constrained by X -ray bounds, which imply $\theta^2 < 10^{-8}$.

The new unusual feature concerns its cosmological thermal relic density, that normally reproduces the observed DM abundance only when its mass m_N is so small that it behaves as warm dark matter, which is excluded by observations. Way-outs such as a large lepton asymmetry have been proposed [32]. In our context this problem is avoided by the existence of $N_F \gg 1$ extra states that become non-relativistic, heating the SM plasma when the sterile neutrino is already decoupled, so that it becomes relatively colder. Technically, the cosmological abundance of the lighter right-handed neutrino with mass m_N is given by the usual expression

$$\Omega_N \equiv \frac{\rho_N}{\rho_{\text{cr}}} = \frac{m_N Y_N s_0}{3H_0^2 / 8\pi G_N} \quad (16)$$

which reproduces the cosmological abundance for $m_N \approx 0.40 \text{ eV} / Y_N$, where $Y_N \equiv n_N / s$ at

the time of freeze-out. We assume that relativistic freeze-out occurs at temperatures $T \gtrsim M_{L'}$ where many L' degrees of freedom are still in thermal equilibrium,³ such that the universe entropy density $s = 2\pi^2 g_s T^3/45$ is enhanced by the $N_F \gg 1$ extra degrees of freedom, $g_s = g_s^{\text{SM}} + 7N_F$, while $n_N = 3\zeta(3)T^3/2\pi^2$. In view of this enhancement, the cosmological DM density is reproduced for

$$m_N = \frac{\Omega_N h^2}{0.1} (g_s^{\text{SM}} + 7N_F) \text{ eV}. \quad (17)$$

A too small sub-keV mass (which corresponds to a too warm DM) is obtained in the usual case with $g_s = g_s^{\text{SM}} = 106.75$. On the other hand, the extra many degrees of freedom can result in a large enough $m_N \sim \text{few keV}$. A larger enhancement, by a factor N_F^2 , can be obtained in the model discussed in section 5.

Another natural model

Alternatively, another possibility consists in coupling the singlet N to one of the extra leptons L' , rather than to SM leptons L , such that N no longer plays the role of a right-handed neutrino, and can have a naturally small weak-scale mass. The allowed Yukawa couplings are $y HL'N + \bar{y} H^* \bar{L}' N$. The one-loop RG equations for the Yukawa and the Higgs quartic couplings are

$$\beta_{y_t}^{(1)} = y_t \left(-8g_3^2 - \frac{9g_2^2}{4} - \frac{17g_Y^2}{12} + y^2 + \bar{y}^2 \right) + \frac{9y_t^3}{2} \quad (18a)$$

$$\beta_y^{(1)} = y \left(-\frac{9g_2^2}{4} - \frac{3g_Y^2}{4} + 4\bar{y}^2 + 3y_t^2 \right) + \frac{5y^3}{2} \quad (18b)$$

$$\beta_{\bar{y}}^{(1)} = \bar{y} \left(-\frac{9g_2^2}{4} - \frac{3g_Y^2}{4} + 4y^2 + 3y_t^2 \right) + \frac{5\bar{y}^3}{2} \quad (18c)$$

$$\beta_{\lambda_H}^{(1)} = \frac{9g_2^4}{8} + \frac{3g_2^2 g_Y^2}{4} + \frac{3g_Y^4}{8} - 6y_t^4 - 2(y^2 + \bar{y}^2)^2 + \lambda_H(4y^2 + 4\bar{y}^2 + 12y_t^2 - 3g_Y^2 - 9g_2^2) + 24\lambda_H^2. \quad (18d)$$

At given fixed points for g_Y , g_2 and for $y_t^* = 0$ there are two inequivalent non-trivial fixed points for y and \bar{y} :

$$\begin{cases} y^{*2} = \frac{3}{10}(3g_2^{*2} + g_Y^{*2}) \\ \bar{y}^{*2} = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} y^{*2} = \bar{y}^{*2} = \frac{3}{26}(3g_2^{*2} + g_Y^{*2}) \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Inserting them into the one-loop RGE for λ_H , one finds the fixed points for λ_H plotted in fig. 3. The first fixed point has been already discussed in the previous section; the only difference is that now the contribution of y to the RGEs is operative above the weak scale. Figure 5 shows that this fixed point can again be reached starting from physical values of the parameters.

³The lighter mass eigenstate is decoupled at low temperature $T \lesssim M_h$ if its mixing angle with N is smaller than 10^{-7} . This can naturally happen, as this mixing is of order μ/M . The lighter mass eigenstate can be in thermal equilibrium at higher temperatures if thermal or quantum effects accidentally make its mixing angle larger. Alternatively, one can assume that it has a moderate Yukawa coupling to one of the heavier L' states.

The other fixed point needs a smaller value of g_Y^*/g_2^* . It can be reached in the presence of N_F full families of vector-like leptons, $L' \oplus \bar{L}' \oplus E' \oplus \bar{E}'$ (where E denotes right-handed leptons), such that $g_Y^{*2}/g_2^{*2} = 5/6$. Then, the solution with $y = \bar{y} \neq 0$ has $\lambda_H > 0$ at all its fixed points. For simplicity we assume that extra $H^*L'E'$ Yukawa couplings vanish at the fixed point.

5 Model with dynamical generation of the weak scale

All new particles are around the weak scale in the models of section 4, such that there is naively no naturalness problem associated with the Higgs mass. RGE running up to infinite energy with asymptotically safe couplings does not ruin naturalness, provided that the masses of extra fermions run to zero at infinite energy. This is the case of fermions charged under asymptotically safe gauge interactions.

Nevertheless, it is interesting to improve on this by building theories where the weak scale and all mass scales are dynamically generated starting from a dimension-less action with no mass scale. This allow to bypass issues related to the RGE running of masses, and gives a possible rationale for the existence of vastly different mass scales.

Asymptotically safe extensions of the SM contain a built-in source of the Higgs mass, given by the transition scale Λ at which the gauge couplings reach their fixed-points, times a non-perturbative factor which can be exponentially small [8]. Such exponential suppression is present in our context, because eq.s (2) and (8) mean that the β functions of the gauge couplings $\alpha_{Y,2}$, despite being enhanced by N_F , remain much smaller than unity at all scales

$$\beta_{\alpha_i} \lesssim 2\alpha_i \ll 1 \quad (20)$$

and the same happens for all other couplings. Since the anomalous dimensions of the couplings are small, many powers of them (about $1/\alpha$) are needed in order to contribute additively to the dimension-2 squared Higgs mass. By suitably choosing Λ times the non-perturbative exponential suppression e^{-1/α^*} such effects could source the desired Higgs mass. However they cannot contribute to the masses of the extra vector-like fermions, which are further protected by chiral symmetries (such symmetries have gravitational anomalies, but we will not consider here the possibility of masses generated by non-perturbative gravitational effects).

In order to dynamically generate masses, we thereby rely on a well-proven QCD-like mechanism. We assume that the N_F multiple copies of fermions L' fill one or two fundamentals N under a new $SU(N)$ gauge group with gauge coupling g_F . Vector-like fermions are obtained adding \bar{L}' fermions filling the conjugate representation.

One advantage of this extension is that, in the absence of a mass term for such extra fermions, a mass is dynamically generated at the the energy scale Λ_F at which the $SU(N)$ gauge interactions confine giving rise to condensates $\langle L'\bar{L}' \rangle = f^3$ which break the chiral symmetries. This dynamically-generated scale triggers the exit of $g_{Y,2}$ from their infrared trivial fixed points.

Furthermore, the Higgs boson mass receives a finite computable contribution

$$M_h^2 \sim N\alpha_2^2\Lambda_F^2/(4\pi)^2 \quad (21)$$

fields	U(1) _Y	SU(2) _L	SU(3) _c	SU(N)	SU(2)
L'	$-1/2$	2	1	N	2
L''	$-1/2$	2	1	1	2
N''	0	1	1	1	$\bar{2}$

Table 1: Gauge group and the content of fermions beyond the SM of an asymptotically free extension of the SM with dynamical generation of scales. Extra fermions \bar{L}' , \bar{L}'' , \bar{N}'' in the conjugate representation of L' , L'' , N'' are added, in order to form vector-like fermions.

with a sign such that the electroweak group breaks spontaneously [33]. The natural value of the new strong interaction scale is then $\Lambda_F \sim 50 \text{ TeV}/\sqrt{N}$, and the condensates arise at $f \sim \sqrt{N}\Lambda_F/4\pi \sim 4 \text{ TeV}$.

The L' fermions bind into SU(N) singlets. The lightest bound state is a pion-like scalar, triplet under SU(2)_L and with no hypercharge, which acquires a mass $M_{\pi'} \sim g_2\Lambda_F/4\pi \sim \text{TeV}$ and decays into SM vectors through anomalies. In the presence of two distinct copies of L'_1 and L'_2 , the π' made of $L'_1\bar{L}'_2$ is stable, and is an acceptable DM candidate that behaves as Minimal Dark Matter: the observed DM relic abundance is reproduced thermally if its mass is $M_{\pi'} \sim 2.5 \text{ TeV}$ [34].

Similar models with $N \sim 3$ were proposed in [33], where this specific model with $L' \oplus \bar{L}'$ was discarded because the lightest stable dark baryon is charged, being made of N lepton doublets L' . It is interesting to notice that this problem disappears in our context, where we assume $N \gg 1$ in order to have a fixed-point for $g_{Y,2}$. In this limit the dark-baryon charge grows with N , and the cosmological relic abundance of such dark baryons gets suppressed by a factor $\sim 2^{-N}$, being unlikely to form a bound state made of $N \gg 1$ particles. Very rare states with very exotic charges could provide a distinctive signal. The lightest baryons will be SU(2)_L doublets for N odd and singlets for N even. Thus the charge of the lightest baryon grows as $(N-1)/2$ and $N/2$ respectively, until Coloumbian repulsion becomes too strong. The positively charged exotic states will capture electrons and form exotic atoms with mass of order $N\Lambda_F \approx \sqrt{N} 50 \text{ TeV}$. The negatively charged exotic states will capture protons and form more deeply bound states with biniding energies of order $\alpha^2 m_p$. The bounds on the exotic charged particles from big bang nucleosynthesis are robust and imply that $\Omega_B/\Omega_{\text{DM}} < 10^{-7} M_B/\text{GeV}$ [35], which sets a lower bound on the group dimension $N > 14$. Searches for exotic isotopes in heavy water could imply stronger limits $\Omega_B/\Omega_{\text{DM}} < 10^{-15} - 10^{-18}$ for masses in the $10 - 10^3 \text{ TeV}$ range [36], which would require $N > 60$. Such stronger bounds hold under the assumption that the relative abundance of the very heavy particles is not suppressed at the Earth surface, which might be invalidated by geological and astrophysical phenomena: heavy elements might sink, charged particles might be expelled, etc [37].

A concrete model is built using the gauge group and the content of fermions beyond the SM listed in table 1. Here we envision that the SM neutrinos have a tiny Dirac mass. The extra L'' , N'' leptons (doublets under one extra SU(2)) have been added in order to have a Yukawa interaction $yHL''N''$, such that at the fixed point with $y \neq 0$ the Higgs quartic has a fixed point. The extra SU(2) has been added in order to provide a TeV-scale mass for L'' , N''

through its confinement, while the confinement of $SU(N)$ provides mass for the L' fermions. No other scalars are needed and the composite goldstone bosons acquire masses due to the various gauge and Yukawa interactions. The bound state made of N'' is one more acceptable DM candidate.

Variations on this theme are allowed. For example, adding an extra neutral scalar coupled to $L'\bar{L}'$ and to $L''\bar{L}''$, it would get a vacuum expectation value induced by $\langle L'\bar{L}' \rangle$ transmitting a mass to L'' , without needing the $SU(2)$ confinement. Alternatively, $SU(N)$ can be replaced by N identical copies of $SU(2)$.

6 Conclusions

Theories where all couplings can be extrapolated up to infinite energy are interesting per se, and offer alternative solutions to the Higgs mass hierarchy problem. However, in the SM, the hypercharge gauge coupling grows with energy. Naively, adding a large number of extra charged fermions goes in the wrong direction, as the hypercharge coupling grows even faster than in the SM. Fortunately, the very large number of fermion limit helps to tame the high energy growth of the coupling. The leading contribution of the large number of fermions can be re-summed showing that the hypercharge coupling achieves an asymptotically safe regime. A similar phenomenon occurs for non-abelian gauge couplings. In other words *things need to get worse before they get better*.

Following [22] we introduced the first fully realistic models in which a very large number of matter fields is used to tame the ultraviolet behaviour of the SM. The resulting models are asymptotically safe, meaning that all couplings reach a fixed point. In the simplest model this is achieved by adding a right-handed neutrino with mass $\approx 10^{14}$ GeV and with a Yukawa coupling at its UV-repulsive fixed point: this allows for an asymptotically safe fixed point for the Higgs quartic. This extension, albeit minimal, is not natural and therefore we further constructed natural variants where a double see-saw allows TeV-scale right-handed neutrinos.

Furthermore, we explored how asymptotically safe extensions of the SM can dynamically generate the weak scale starting from a theory with dimension-less couplings only. We also show how Dark Matter can emerge in this framework.

Although the models use $N_F \sim 200$ extra leptons, the large N_F limit is merely a mathematical tool that allows us to determine the location of the asymptotically safe fixed points. Lattice simulations may very well find that fixed points exist for moderate values of N_F — after all, large- N_c approximations are used in QCD where $N_c = 3$. In the meantime, it is interesting to discuss the unusual physics resulting from having many extra degrees of freedom. Electroweak corrections to the W, Y precision parameters get enhanced [38, 39], tails of $d\sigma(pp \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-)/dm_{\ell^+\ell^-}$ at large invariant mass would exhibit the pattern typical of fast running $g_{Y,2}$ couplings [40, 41]. For the extra colored vector-like fermions of [22] the modified high energy behaviour would affect the three to two jet ratio [42]. More interestingly, freeze-out of a keV-scale relativistic sterile neutrino from a plasma with $N_F \gg 1$ extra degrees of freedom provides an acceptable cold Dark Matter candidate (rather than the usual too warm DM). Furthermore, composite Dark Matter resulting from a strongly-interacting $SU(N)$ gauge group

with $N \gg 1$ becomes phenomenologically acceptable even when dark baryons are charged, their charge grows with N , leaving very exotic states with relic abundance suppressed by 2^{-N} . This discussion exemplifies the new spectrum of possibilities with atypical phenomenology in (astro)particle physics and cosmology that these constructions open up.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the grant 669668 – NEO-NAT – ERC-AdG-2014 and the Danish National Research Foundation Grant, DNRF-90. ADP acknowledges financial support from CONACyT and the NEO-NAT grant.

References

- [1] G. F. Giudice, G. Isidori, A. Salvio, A. Strumia, “Softened Gravity and the Extension of the Standard Model up to Infinite Energy”, JHEP 1502 (2015) 137 [[arXiv:1412.2769](#)].
- [2] A. Salvio, A. Strumia, “Agravity”, JHEP 1406 (2014) 080 [[arXiv:1403.4226](#)].
- [3] A. Salvio, A. Strumia, “Agravity up to infinite energy” [[arXiv:1705.03896](#)].
- [4] G.M. Pelaggi, A. Strumia, S. Vignali, “Totally asymptotically free trinification”, JHEP 1508 (2015) 130 [[arXiv:1507.06848](#)].
- [5] CMS Collaboration, “Search for heavy resonances that decay into a vector boson and a Higgs boson in hadronic final states at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV” [[arXiv:1707.01303](#)].
- [6] D.F. Litim, F. Sannino, “Asymptotic safety guaranteed”, JHEP 1412 (2014) 178 [[arXiv:1406.2337](#)].
- [7] D.F. Litim, M. Mojaza, F. Sannino, “Vacuum stability of asymptotically safe gauge-Yukawa theories”, JHEP 1601 (2016) 081 [[arXiv:1501.03061](#)].
- [8] G.M. Pelaggi, F. Sannino, A. Strumia, E. Vignani, “Naturalness of asymptotically safe Higgs” [[arXiv:1701.01453](#)].
- [9] E. Molgaard, F. Sannino, “Asymptotically safe and free chiral theories with and without scalars” [[arXiv:1610.03130](#)]. Accepted for publication in Physical Review D.
- [10] S. Abel, F. Sannino, “Radiative symmetry breaking from interacting UV fixed points” [[arXiv:1704.00700](#)].
- [11] J.K. Esbensen, T.A. Rytto, F. Sannino, “Quantum critical behavior of semisimple gauge theories”, Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) 045009 [[arXiv:1512.04402](#)].
- [12] A.D. Bond, D.F. Litim, “More asymptotic safety guaranteed” [[arXiv:1707.04217](#)].
- [13] S. Abel, F. Sannino, “Framework for an asymptotically safe Standard Model via dynamical breaking” [[arXiv:1707.06638](#)].
- [14] K. Intriligator, F. Sannino, “Supersymmetric asymptotic safety is not guaranteed”, JHEP 1511 (2015) 023 [[arXiv:1508.07411](#)].
- [15] B. Bajc, F. Sannino, “Asymptotically Safe Grand Unification”, JHEP 1612 (2016) 141 [[arXiv:1610.09681](#)].
- [16] See e.g. the talks at the “**Is SUSY alive and well?**” worksop, Madrid, 28-30 September 2016.
- [17] B. Holdom, “Large N flavor beta-functions: a recap”, Phys. Lett. B694 (2010) 74 [[arXiv:1006.2119](#)].
- [18] C. Pica, F. Sannino, “UV and IR Zeros of Gauge Theories at The Four Loop Order and Beyond”, Phys. Rev. D83 (2010) 035013 [[arXiv:1011.5917](#)].
- [19] R. Shrock, “Study of Possible Ultraviolet Zero of the Beta Function in Gauge Theories with Many Fermions”, Phys. Rev. D89 (2014) 045019 [[arXiv:1311.5268](#)].
- [20] A. Palanques-Mestre, P. Pascual, “The $1/N_f$ Expansion of the γ and Beta Functions in QED”, Commun. Math. Phys. 95 (1984) 277 [[InSpires:PalanquesMestre:1983zy](#)].

- [21] J.A. Gracey, “The QCD Beta function at $O(1/N_f)$ ”, Phys. Lett. B373 (1996) 178 [[InSPIres:Gracey:1996he](#)].
- [22] R. Mann, J. Meffe, F. Sannino, T. Steele, Z-W. Wang, C. Zhang, “Asymptotically Safe Standard Model via Vector-Like Fermions” [[arXiv:1707.02942](#)].
- [23] F. Sannino, “ α_s at LHC: Challenging asymptotic freedom” [[arXiv:1511.09022](#)].
- [24] A.D. Bond, G. Hiller, K. Kowalska, D.F. Litim, “Directions for model building from asymptotic safety” [[arXiv:1702.01727](#)].
- [25] For a review see A. Strumia, F. Vissani, “Neutrino masses and mixings and...” [[arXiv:hep-ph/0606054](#)].
- [26] D. Buttazzo, G. Degrossi, P.P. Giardino, G.F. Giudice, F. Sala, A. Salvio, A. Strumia, “Investigating the near-criticality of the Higgs boson”, JHEP 1312 (2013) 089 [[arXiv:1307.3536](#)].
- [27] M. Roncadelli, D. Wyler, “Naturally Light Dirac Neutrinos in Gauge Theories”, Phys. Lett. 133B (1983) 325 [[InSPIres:Roncadelli:1983ty](#)].
- [28] R.N. Mohapatra, J.W.F. Valle, “Neutrino Mass and Baryon Number Nonconservation in Superstring Models”, Phys. Rev. D34 (1986) 1642 [[InSPIres:Mohapatra:1986bd](#)].
- [29] E.K. Akhmedov, M. Lindner, E. Schnapka, J.W.F. Valle, “Dynamical left-right symmetry breaking”, Phys. Rev. D53 (1995) 2752 [[arXiv:hep-ph/9509255](#)].
- [30] M. Malinsky, J.C. Romao, J.W.F. Valle, “Novel supersymmetric SO(10) seesaw mechanism”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 (2005) 161801 [[arXiv:hep-ph/0506296](#)].
- [31] P. Humbert, M. Lindner, J. Smirnov, “The Inverse Seesaw in Conformal Electro-Weak Symmetry Breaking and Phenomenological Consequences”, JHEP 1506 (2015) 035 [[arXiv:1503.03066](#)].
- [32] For a review see A. Boyarsky, O. Ruchayskiy, M. Shaposhnikov, “The Role of sterile neutrinos in cosmology and astrophysics”, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 59 (2009) 191 [[arXiv:0901.0011](#)].
- [33] O. Antipin, M. Redi, A. Strumia, “Dynamical generation of the weak and Dark Matter scales from strong interactions”, JHEP 1501 (2015) 157 [[arXiv:1410.1817](#)].
- [34] M. Cirelli, A. Strumia, M. Tamburini, “Cosmology and Astrophysics of Minimal Dark Matter”, Nucl. Phys. B787 (2007) 152 [[arXiv:0706.4071](#)].
- [35] K. Jedamzik, “Bounds on long-lived charged massive particles from Big Bang nucleosynthesis”, JCAP 0803 (2007) 008 [[arXiv:0710.5153](#)].
- [36] P.F. Smith, J.R.J. Bennett, G.J. Homer, J.D. Lewin, H.E. Walford, W.A. Smith, “A Search for anomalous hydrogen in enriched D_2O using a time-of-flight spectrometer”, Nucl. Phys. B206 (1982) 333 [[InSPIres:Smith:1982qu](#)].
- [37] S.D. McDermott, H-B. Yu, K.M. Zurek, “Turning off the Lights: How Dark is Dark Matter?”, Phys. Rev. D83 (2010) 063509 [[arXiv:1011.2907](#)].
- [38] R. Barbieri, A. Pomarol, R. Rattazzi, A. Strumia, “Electroweak symmetry breaking after LEP-1 and LEP-2”, Nucl. Phys. B703 (2004) 127 [[arXiv:hep-ph/0405040](#)].
- [39] M. Farina, G. Panico, D. Pappadopulo, J.T. Ruderman, R. Torre, A. Wulzer, “Energy helps accuracy: electroweak precision tests at hadron colliders”, Phys. Lett. B772 (2017) 210 [[arXiv:1609.08157](#)].
- [40] D.S.M. Alves, J. Galloway, J.T. Ruderman, J.R. Walsh, “Running Electroweak Couplings as a Probe of New Physics”, JHEP 1502 (2015) 007 [[arXiv:1410.6810](#)].
- [41] F. Goertz, A. Katz, M. Son, A. Urbano, “Precision Drell-Yan Measurements at the LHC and Implications for the Diphoton Excess”, JHEP 1607 (2016) 136 [[arXiv:1602.04801](#)].
- [42] D. Becciolini, M. Gillioz, M. Nardecchia, F. Sannino and M. Spannowsky, “Constraining new colored matter from the ratio of 3 to 2 jets cross sections at the LHC”, Phys. Rev. D92 (2015) 079905 [[arXiv:1403.7411](#)].