

Integer Quantum Hall Effect and Geometric Langlands Correspondence

Kazuki Ikeda

Department of Physics, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan
kiked@het.phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

Abstract

Recent advances in the geometric Langlands correspondence enhance comprehension of a profound mathematical structure of the integer quantum Hall effect: Wilson and vortex operators on the Brillouin zone are guaranteed to be equivalent. This duality manifests the bulk-edge correspondence of the Hall conductance from a new perspective. Moreover the plateaus of the Hall conductance are described by Hecke eigensheaves and the quantized Hall conductance is explained by Hecke modification of the geometric Langlands duality. This novel mathematical consideration suggests many new methods applicable to generic topological insulators. In return, the geometric Langlands correspondence is much more easily understood from the physics.

1 Introduction

Ramanujan's finding on automorphic forms is crucial to modern number theory. Around 1916, he calculated the expansion coefficients a_n of the following infinite series.

$$q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^2 (1 - q^{11n})^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n. \quad (1)$$

About 40 years later, Eichler proved that there is a profound correspondence between the automorphic form above and the elliptic curve defined on \mathbb{Q}

$$y^2 + y = x^3 - x. \quad (2)$$

Astonishingly, for $b_p = p + 1 - \#$ (points of (2) mod p), the equation

$$a_p = b_p \quad (3)$$

is true for any prime p . It is the Langlands program [1] that connects these dualities from general viewpoints of mathematics. In the Langlands program, the correspondence between automorphic forms and elliptic curves are all about the correspondence between the eigenvalues of Hecke operators and Frobenius operators, namely a_p and b_p are eigenvalues of Hecke and Frobenius operators respectively. The Langlands program can be interpreted geometrically [2, 3, 4], and the geometric Langlands correspondence foresees many nontrivial aspects of gauge theories. From a perspective of geometry, in a simple case, this is achieved by considering a gauge theory on a Riemann surface, and a Hecke operator modifies the given principle bundle at a singular point so that the 1st Chern-number jumps at the singularity, as a vortex or a monopole operator do, and a Frobenius operator is analogous to a holonomy operator, like a Wilson loop [5, 6, 7].

The aim of this article is to endow it with physical meaning. In a seminal piece of research made by A. Kapustin and E. Witten [6], they predict the electric magnetic duality and mirror symmetry are intimately related to the geometric Langlands correspondence. While there are many relevant works [7, 8, 9, 10], what would follow in view of non supersymmetric physics had not been known. In this work, we address the integer quantum Hall effect (IQHE) and enjoy the panoptic picture of the IQHE drawn as a natural consequence of the geometric Langlands correspondence. One can seek a cue from the Langlands/GNO dual group to understand the connection with the quantum Hall effect and the geometric Langlands duality. In electric-magnetic

duality, Dirac monopoles and Dirac's quantization condition explain the dual group ${}^L G$ of a general Lie group G from a perspective of physics [11]. The quantization condition of the Hall conductance is understood in a similar manner.

The two dimensional IQHE shows the typical Hall conductance σ_{xy} classified by integers (figure 1). There are two different ways to explain this




Figure 1: Integer dependency of the Hall conductance

mechanism. (1) σ_{xy} originates from the bulk: it is given by the sum of the Chern numbers¹ of the $U(1)$ -bundles, associated with the energy bands below the Fermi level, on the Brillouin zone (BZ) [12, 13], and (2) σ_{xy} emerges as the winding number of edge modes [14, 15, 16]. The agreement (1) = (2) is called the bulk-edge correspondence. There are many mathematical proofs: functional analytic method (Graf-Porta [17], for example), operator K-theoretic method (Kellendonk-Richter-Schulz-Baldes [18], for example). In this article we make a different approach based on the geometric Langlands correspondence. Jumping to conclusions, we can understand the IQHE in such a way that $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{bulk}}$ is an eigenvalue of a Hecke/Vortex operator and $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{edge}}$ is an eigenvalue of a Frobenius/Wilson operator.

A better understanding on the IQHE will also enhance comprehension of general topological insulators (TI). Roughly speaking, TI's are extension of the IQHE to general gauge theories and they are classified in a similar manner [19]. While the IQHE and TI's are well known systematically, geometric

¹In this article, we will often call the 1st Chern number of a $U(1)$ -bundle as its Chern number since we focus on two-dimensional physics. When we say Chern numbers, it means we consider many $U(1)$ -bundles.

Langlands correspondence for general cases remains a conjecture. Hence knowledge on the IQHE and TI's will endow the Langlands program with hints for being developed.

This piece is orchestrated as follows. We first review the IQHE and the bulk-edge correspondence. In section 2, we recall the TKNN formula, which associates $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{bulk}}$ with the Chern-number of a Berry connection, and revisit $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{edge}}$ defined by the winding number of edge states, which is given by a Wilson loop. After explaining the geometric Langlands correspondence in section 3, we realize that the IQHE harmonizes with it. A panoptic picture which organizes the correspondence of them is displayed in the finale.

Acknowledgement

I thank Kentaro Nomura and Satoshi Yamaguchi for useful conversations on the quantum Hall effect and topological insulators.

2 Revisiting Integer Quantum Hall Effect

2.1 General Setup

Throughout this article we consider the IQHE on Laughlin's type of geometry [14], namely a square lattice in the uniform magnetic flux ϕ perpendicular to the system which has a period $L_y \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the y direction. We assume ϕ is rational P/Q , where P and Q are mutually prime integers, then the Hall conductance σ_{xy} is quantized and there are Q energy bands. To consider energy bands, we prefer to work on a generic tight-binding Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{m,n} \left(c_{m+1,n}^\dagger c_{m,n} e^{iA_{m,n}^x} + c_{m,n+1}^\dagger c_{m,n} e^{iA_{m,n}^y} + h.c. \right), \quad (4)$$

where $c_{m,n}$ ($c_{m,n}^\dagger$) is the annihilation (creation) operator at (m,n) site. If we choose the Landau gauge $(A_{m,n}^x, A_{m,n}^y) = (0, 2\pi m\phi)$, the Schrödinger equation becomes

$$\Psi_{m+1,n} + \Psi_{m-1,n} + e^{i2\pi m\phi} \Psi_{m,n+1} + e^{-i2\pi m\phi} \Psi_{m,n-1} = E\Psi_{m,n}. \quad (5)$$

We write $\Psi_{m,n} = e^{ik_y n} \psi_m(k_y)$ ($0 \leq k_y \leq 2\pi$) since the system is periodic in the y direction. Under the assumption that L_y is sufficiently large, the wave number $k_y = 2\pi l/L_y$ ($l \in \mathbb{Z}$) is usually regarded as a continuous parameter. Moreover the system has a period Q in the x direction because

of the rational flux $\phi = P/Q$, therefore Bloch's theorem allows us to write the wave function as $\psi_m(k_y) = e^{i2\pi m k_x} u_m(k_x, k_y)$ ($0 \leq k_x \leq 2\pi/Q$), where u_m is periodic $u_{m+Q} = u_m$. In view of the wavenumber space or the Brillouin zone, the system has two periods $2\pi/Q$ and 2π in the k_x and k_y directions respectively, hence we identify the BZ with a torus T_{BZ}^2 by gluing its boundary. There are Q -energy bands² and each of them is a $U(1)$ -bundle on T_{BZ}^2 . A $U(1)$ -connection for the j -th bundle is given by the Berry connection $A^j = -i \sum_{m=1}^Q (u_m^{j\dagger} \partial_{k_x} u_m^j dk_x + u_m^{j\dagger} \partial_{k_y} u_m^j dk_y)$, where u_m^j is the Bloch function for the j -th energy band and normalized $|u^j|^2 = \sum_{m=1}^Q u_m^{j\dagger} u_m^j = 1$.

2.2 Hall Conductance 1

One of the reasons for the quantized Hall conductance σ_{xy} can be described by the Chern numbers of the fiber bundles. Let σ_{xy}^j be the Hall conductance of the j -th energy band. The well-known formula

$$\sigma_{xy}^j = \frac{e^2}{h} \int_{T_{BZ}^2} \frac{d^2k}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\partial A_y^j}{\partial k_x} - \frac{\partial A_x^j}{\partial k_y} \right) \quad (6)$$

tells that the Hall conductance is given by the Chern number of the j -th $U(1)$ -bundle \mathcal{L}^j . If the Berry connection A^j is holomorphic on entire T_{BZ}^2 , then the Stokes theorem implies $\sigma_{xy}^j = 0$. So for σ_{xy}^j being nontrivial, A^j must have a singular point on T_{BZ}^2 . At such a point, the Bloch function $u^j(k)$ vanishes and the Chern number corresponds to vorticity of the function [13, 16]. If there exist many singular points, σ_{xy}^j is given by the total vorticity. This viewpoint is important for the Langlands correspondence, especially for the Hecke modifications of bundles.

Let us generalize the statement above. Suppose the Fermi energy E_F lies in the M -th gap, and we write M states of bands below E_F by $u = (u^1, \dots, u^M)$. This multiplet forms a $U(M)$ -bundle \mathcal{E} over T_{BZ}^2 , whose Berry connection is given by $A = -i \text{Tr}(u^\dagger du)$. Then the Hall conductance σ_{xy} is given by the Chern number $c(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{T_{BZ}^2} \text{Tr}(dA)$. The famous TKNN formula [12] tells that the total Hall conductance σ_{xy} is given by the sum of Chern numbers $c(\mathcal{L}_j) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{T_{BZ}^2} dA^j$ associated with all energy bands below

²One should be careful not to confuse the band and site indexes. Their total numbers are the same.

E_F :

$$\sigma_{xy} = \frac{e^2}{h} \sum_{j=1}^M c(\mathcal{L}_j). \quad (7)$$

2.3 Hall Conductance 2

The second reason of the quantized Hall conductance is given by edge states. Such argument is based on the results by Y. Hatsugai [15, 16]. We consider the system with boundaries at $x = 0, L_x$, where $L_x - 1$ is a multiple of Q and sufficiently large. We rewrite the Schrödinger equation (5) with $\psi_m = \psi_m(E, k_y)$

$$\psi_{m+1} + \psi_{m-1} + 2 \cos(m\phi + k_y)\psi_m = E\psi_m, \quad (8)$$

which can be equivalently expressed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{m+1} \\ \psi_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -E - 2 \cos(m\phi + k_y) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_m \\ \psi_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

We impose the easiest boundary condition $\psi_0 = \psi_{L_x} = 0$ and set $\psi_1 = 1$ for simplicity. Suppose $L_x - 1 = lQ$, then we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{L_x+1} \\ \psi_{L_x} \end{pmatrix} = M^l \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

by using the transition matrix in (9). If $M_{21} = 0$, which has $Q - 1$ real valued roots μ_i ($i = 1, \dots, Q-1$), then the boundary conditions are satisfied. These roots coincide with the energy spectrum of edge states. The reason is as follows. From the equation (9), it is straight forward to find $\psi_{kQ+1}(\mu_j) = M_{11}(\mu_j)^k$ for $0 \leq k \leq l$. We normalize ψ_j as

$$\widetilde{\psi}_j = \frac{\psi_j}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{L_x} |\psi_i|^2}}. \quad (11)$$

If $M_{11}(\mu_j) > 1$ ($M_{11}(\mu_j) < 1$), then the edge state is localized around $x \sim L_x - 1$ ($x \sim 1$). So μ_j parametrizes the energy spectrum of the edge state. Moreover periodicity of the system gives the constraint $\psi_{m+Q} = \rho\psi_m$ ($|\rho| = 1$) as we saw before. With $\det M = 1$, such ρ obeys the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^2 - \Delta(E)\rho + 1 &= 0, \\ \Delta(E) &= \text{tr}M, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

whose roots are of the form $\rho = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta \pm \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 4})$. It is known that $\Delta^2 - 4$ can be factorized by the energies of the band edges λ_j in such a way that $\Delta^2 - 4 = \prod_i^{2Q} (E - \lambda_i)$, and each of energy bands consists of a connected component in the range $\Delta(E)^2 \leq 4$. (Namely the spectrum E lies in Q energy bands $E \in [\lambda_1, \lambda_2], \dots, [\lambda_{2Q-1}, \lambda_{2Q}]$). Moreover the energies μ_j of the edge states lives in the band gaps $\lambda_{2j} \leq \mu_j \leq \lambda_{2j+1}$.

So far we have considered the real E and now we extend it to a complex value z so that we address the Riemann surface defined by a hyperelliptic curve $\omega^2 = \Delta(z)^2 - 4$. The branch cuts of ω are given by $\Delta(z)^2 - 4 \leq 0$ at $\text{Im}(z) = 0$, which is exactly the same condition for the energies of band edges. Therefore the Riemann surface Σ_{Q-1} of genus $Q - 1$ is constructed by gluing two sheets $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with branch cuts $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2], \dots, [\lambda_{2Q-1}, \lambda_{2Q}]$. What




Figure 2: The Riemann surface Σ_{Q-1} of genus $Q - 1$

is interesting is that the winding number of a loop around the holes gives the Hall conductance. The energy $\mu_j(k_y)$ of the edge state is a function of $k_y \in [0, 2\pi]$ and, by changing k_y , the trace of $\mu_j(k_y)$ forms a loop $C_j = \{z = \mu_j(k_y) : 0 \leq k_y \leq 2\pi\}$. If the Fermi energy E_F lives in the M -th gap, the winding number $I_M(C_M)$ of the loop C_M corresponds to the Hall conductance

$$\sigma_{xy} = \frac{e^2}{h} I_M. \quad (13)$$

This result explains Laughlin's thought experiment [14] more accurately. Moreover the Chern number of the j -th $U(1)$ -bundle \mathcal{L}_j is given by $c(\mathcal{L}_j) = I_j - I_{j-1}$. In this way, we have an alternative and equivalent viewpoint to calculate $\sigma_{xy} = \frac{e^2}{h} \sum_{i=0}^M I_i$.

Such a loop C_j is expressed by a Wilson loop $W : \pi_1(T_{BZ}^2) \rightarrow U(1)$. To describe this we consider the following Abelian integral performed on the differential $\omega(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \log \psi_Q(z) dz$ [20].

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\beta_j} \omega(z) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \omega(\mu_j(k_y)) \frac{dz}{dk_y} dk_y \\ &= \oint_{\gamma_{k_y}} \frac{1}{\mu_j'(k_y)} \frac{d\psi_Q(\mu_j(k_y))}{dk_y} dk_y, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where γ_{k_y} is a loop obtained by identifying $k_y = 0$ and 2π and β_j is a closed contour which starts at λ_{2j} , goes on another sheet, comes back to the original sheet at λ_{2j+1} , and ends at λ_{2j} (figure 3). We denote by $\eta = \mu_j^* \omega$ the pullback one-form on T_{BZ}^2 , which is obviously a flat connection. So the corresponding Wilson loop is

$$W : \pi_1(T_{BZ}^2) \ni \gamma \rightarrow e^{i2\pi \oint_{\gamma} \eta} \in U(1)^3. \quad (15)$$




Figure 3: A closed contour in the Riemann (complex energy) surface and a Wilson loop

³This $U(1)$ should be viewed as its dual ${}^L U(1)$.

2.4 Short Summary

The fact that the two different ways of deriving σ_{xy} indeed coincide is called the bulk-edge correspondence. We may rephrase the same thing in terms of operators. As we discussed in section 2.2, the Hall conductance $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{bulk}}$ in the bulk is given by the Chern number or the vorticity. We define a vortex operator V by saying that V picks up the total vorticity of the wavefunction in the magnetic Brillouin zone. On the other hand, the Wilson operator rolls up a curve and its degree accords with the winding number, which is the Hall conductance $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{edge}}$ as we saw in section 2.3. Hence the agreement $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{bulk}} = \sigma_{xy}^{\text{edge}}$ is equivalent to the duality of those operators.

3 Review of Geometric Langlands Correspondence

The geometric Langlands correspondence is a branch of the Langlands program. There are a lot of surveys and the conjecture is partly proven [2, 3, 4]. The $GL_1 = \mathbb{C}^\times$ case⁴ is the simplest and established. We will focus on this case for a while. A readable introduction is [5] whose part II will help us greatly. Let X be a compact Riemann surface. We consider a holonomy representation $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow GL_1$. A famous mathematical theorem⁵ guarantees a bijection between the set $\text{Loc}_1(X)$ of isomorphism classes of flat GL_1 -bundles on X and the set of conjugacy classes of the holonomy representations. Hence, one can attach a flat connection for a given representation ρ . (This is the same trick we define a Wilson loop.) An element of $\text{Loc}_1(X)$ is called a local system.

Now we introduce another character of the geometric Langlands correspondence. We denote by $\text{Pic}(X)$ the set of isomorphism classes of holomorphic line bundles on X , which classifies the line bundles by their 1st Chern classes:

$$\text{Pic}(X) = \bigsqcup_{d=0} \left\{ \mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X) : d = \int_X c_1(\mathcal{L}) \right\}. \quad (16)$$

⁴The compactification of $GL_1 = \mathbb{C}^\times = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is $U(1)$.

⁵This is true for any smooth manifold M and any representation $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow G$, where G is an arbitrary Lie group.

For a given $x \in X$, we consider the map, called a Hecke operator (functor),

$$\begin{aligned} h_x : \text{Pic}(X) &\rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \\ \mathcal{L} &\mapsto \mathcal{L}(x), \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $\mathcal{L}(x)$ is the line bundle whose sections are sections of \mathcal{L} which may vanish at x . Under h_x , the Chern number of \mathcal{L} jumps by 1 ($c(\mathcal{L}(x)) = c(\mathcal{L}) + 1$). One can consider a more general modification of \mathcal{L} to \mathcal{L}' at N -tuple of points $(x_i), i = 1, \dots, N$ so that $c(\mathcal{L}') = c(\mathcal{L}) + N$.

What the geometric Langlands correspondence expects is that for a given flat GL_1 -bundle \mathcal{E} on X , there exist a unique \mathcal{D} -module $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$ on $\text{Pic}(X)$ associated with the modification h_x . This correspondence is proven by P. Deligne.

The general conjecture of the Langlands correspondence for a Lie group G can be stated as follows. We denote by ${}^L G$ the Langlands dual group of G . If $G = GL_1$, then its dual is isomorphic to GL_1 . The set $\text{Loc}_G(X)$ of local systems is again identified with the set of conjugacy classes of representations $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow {}^L G$. And $\text{Pic}(X)$ is generalized to the moduli stack $\text{Bun}_G(X)$ of principle G -bundles on X . So the geometric Langlands correspondence implies that for a given flat ${}^L G$ -bundle \mathcal{E} on X , there is a unique \mathcal{D} -module $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$, called a Hecke eigensheaf, defined on $\text{Bun}_G(X)$ associated with the Hecke modification.

4 Hecke Eigensheaf, Landau Level, and Anderson Localization

In this section we give a physical explanation about Hecke eigensheaves. The sections 4.3~4.5 in [5] will be helpful for more information. For this purpose, we physically interpret a sheaf. We are interested in a sheaf $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{S}, \pi, B)$ whose fiber $\mathcal{S}_p = \pi^{-1}(p)$, $p \in B$ is a vector space. The dimension of fibers may differ at points. A standard example is the skyscraper sheaf $\mathcal{O}(x)$, which is a sheaf supported at a single point $x \in B$. How will they come into play in our story? First of all, sections of our sheaf are wave functions and the base space is the Riemann (complex energy) surface as we saw before. In comparison with the Landau levels, our sheaf can be visualizable as follows.




Figure 4: Landau level (left) and sheaf (right)

On the left side of the figure 4, the blank zones between the Landau levels show there are no eigenstates of the Hamiltonian, and the only states living in the Landau levels contribute to the quantum Hall effect. On the right side, the eigenstates forms bundles on the complex energy surface and the other states vanish. So the set of "complexified" Landau levels can be recognized as a sheaf $\bigcup_i \mathcal{O}(E_i)$.

Now we are ready to explain Hecke eigensheaves. Note that each of the Landau levels in the figure 4 is linear, therefore only one-dimensional momentum k_y is a good quantum number. So one can define the only one-dimensional Berry connection A_{k_y} . Hence we may regard it as a flat connection⁶ on T_{BZ}^2 , by setting $A_{k_x} = 0$. A Hecke eigensheaf on $\text{Pic}^0(X) = \{\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X) : 0 = \int_X \mathcal{L}\}$ is a \mathcal{D} -module of such flat connections. Of course this is not the whole story. Actual energy bands are "wavy" as shown with pictures in [16, 15], and the wavy parts possess nontrivial Chern numbers. This is the mechanism of Hecke modifications $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(x) = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(x)$, $x \in T_{BZ}^2$.

Moreover the existence of plateaus in the figure 1 can be described by Hecke eigensheaves as shown in the figure below. If there exist impurity potentials in the system, wave functions localize around the potentials (in the real space). This phenomenon is called the Anderson localization [21]. As a result, each of the Landau levels becomes wide. However, the localized

⁶This flat connection, which is a Berry connection of $U(1)$ -bundle, is a different one we used for a Wilson loop $W : \pi_1(T_{BZ}^2) \rightarrow {}^L U(1)$.

wave functions do not carry non trivial Chern numbers and only the extended wave function living in the original Landau level contributes to the Hall conductance [14]. This is why the Hall conductance has plateaus. In the language of the geometric Langlands correspondence, this can be explained by saying that the Berry connections associated with those localized wave functions are flat, and hence they form a \mathcal{D} -module.




Figure 5: Hall conductance and Hecke eigensheaves

5 GL Implies Bulk-Edge Correspondence.

With best of our knowledge obtained in the previous sections, here we propose novel perspectives on a relation between the bulk-edge correspondence and the geometric Langlands correspondence. We first consider a $U(1)$ -bundle case.

Making a direct connection of a Wilson loop with a local system is straightforward. Recall that the Hall conductance $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{edge}}$ is given by a Wilson loop $W : \pi_1(T_{BZ}^2) \rightarrow {}^L U(1) \simeq U(1)$ (15), which is a local system on T_{BZ}^2 by definition. Moreover, this representation is trivial due to the single-valuedness of a wave function, and $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{edge}}$ is classified by the degree of W (13), viewed as $U(1) \simeq S^1$. So in accord with the Langlands philosophy, there should be a Hecke eigensheaf on $\text{Pic}(T_{BZ}^2)$. Such an eigensheaf is associated with the corresponding Hecke modification (17), which changes the Chern number of the bundle. Recall that the bulk Hall conductance $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{bulk}}$ is given by the Chern number of the $U(1)$ -bundle on T_{BZ}^2 , and therefore it is quite reasonable to claim that this originates from the Hecke modification. Our

proposal is summarized in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \boxed{\sigma_{xy}^{\text{edge}}} & \xrightleftharpoons{\text{Bulk-Edge}} & \boxed{\sigma_{xy}^{\text{bulk}}} \\
 \updownarrow & & \updownarrow \\
 \boxed{\text{local system } \mathcal{E}} & \xrightleftharpoons{\text{GL}} & \boxed{\text{Hecke eigensheaf } \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}}
 \end{array} \tag{18}$$

So far we have restricted ourselves on $G = U(1)$, and let us extend to $G = U(M)$. This view point will be useful when we consider that the M states $u = (u_1, \dots, u_M)$ below the Fermi level form a $U(M)$ -bundle on T_{BZ}^2 . In order to state more concretely, we may need to give more detailed explanation about the Hecke modification. In the context of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory in four-dimensions, Hecke operators are identified with 't Hooft operators [22, 6, 7], therefore the geometric Langlands duality is equivalent to the duality of 't Hooft operators and Wilson operators. An 't Hooft operator is a vortex operator defined on a subspace co-dimension three [23]. The dimension of our space is two and the corresponding vortex operator is indeed dual to a Wilson loop as we discussed in section 2.4.

Algebraically, a vortex operator for G is defined by a homomorphism $\rho : U(1) \rightarrow G$, which is classified by highest weights of the dual group ${}^L G$ up to conjugation. We write it in the most general way as $\rho : e^{i\alpha} \rightarrow \text{diag}(e^{im_1\alpha}, \dots, e^{im_M\alpha})$, where ${}^L w = (m_1, \dots, m_M)$ is an M -plet of integers with $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_M$, which is a highest weight of ${}^L G = U(M)$. As we have already seen, we obtain decomposition of the $U(M)$ -bundle \mathcal{E} into the sum of line bundles $\oplus_{i=1}^M \mathcal{L}_i$. Let k_i be a singular point of \mathcal{L}_i . The vortex operator $V({}^L w)$ acts on \mathcal{L}_j as $V({}^L w) : \mathcal{L}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_j \otimes \mathcal{O}(k_j)^{m_j}$, where m_j is vorticity at k_j . In other words, it changes the Chern number $c(\mathcal{L}_j)$ by m_j . The total Hall conductance σ_{xy} is the total Chern numbers of this system $\sigma_{xy} = \sum_{j=1}^M \sigma_{xy}^j$, which is the total vorticity of the system, in other words.

By the way, the classification of vortex operators or Hecke operators is exactly the same as that of effective Hamiltonians. So far we have neglected contribution from conduction bands, and from now we suppose there are N conduction bands and M valence bands. So this system has $U(M+N)$ gauge group in general. Then effective Hamiltonians of the quantum Hall system is classified by the Grassmannian $Gr_{M,M+N} = U(M+N)/U(M) \times U(N)$ [19]. In terms of the geometric Langlands correspondence, the Hecke operators are classified as follows. Let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}' \in \text{Bun}_G$ be principle $G = U(M+N)$

bundles on a Riemann surface X such that $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}'$ and $\mathcal{M}'/\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{O}(x)^M$, where $x \in X$. As discussed, the Hecke operators modify the G -bundle \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{M}' at this singular point x , and it is known the space of such modifications is parametrized by points in $Gr_{M, M+N}$. (One may discover extra value in mathematical explanations [5] or in physical explanations [6, 7]).

6 Finale

Now we conclude this article with some comments. We successfully understand the Langlands philosophy in terms of the integer quantum Hall system. Our discussions can be summarized in the following dictionary:

Geometric Langlands Correspondence	\leftrightarrow	Integer quantum Hall effect
Local system \leftrightarrow Wilson loop		
Hecke operator \leftrightarrow Vortex operator		
Hecke eigensheaf \leftrightarrow Module of flat Berry connections		
Hecke modification \leftrightarrow Bulk Hall conductance		

This article is the first penguin for exploring topological insulators from a viewpoint of the Langlands program, and doors for further adventures are always open. We naively expect that many similar phenomena as represented by the bulk-edge correspondence observed or expected in generic topological insulators will be addressed in the same way as we discussed. Moreover the theory of Anderson's localization, which distinguishes metal-insulator transitions, is one of the essential and cross-cutting issues in topological insulators. The key ingredients are the topological terms (the Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) terms) associated with the non linear sigma models [19]. And the geometric Langlands correspondence manifests power for investigating the WZW model [5]. This suggests that mathematical background of topological insulators would be much more fruitful than what it had been believed.

It is interesting to build a connection to the work done by Kapustin and Witten [6], where $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory is essential to explain the geometric Langlands correspondence via mirror symmetry and S -duality. We can seek for a likely scenario in string theoretical approaches to the quantum Hall effect (and topological insulators) [24, 25], in which the two-dimensional quantum Hall effect is described by using the $D3$ -brane, on which the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super symmetry does live [26]. Moreover a generic topological

insulator can be explained by the corresponding D -brane configuration, hence it may attract a general interest to build more strong connections among the geometric Langlands, topological insulators, and the super symmetric theory.

References

- [1] R. P. Langlands, *Problems in the theory of automorphic forms to Salomon Bochner in gratitude*, pp. 18–61. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1970. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BFb0079065>.
- [2] P. Deligne, *Les Constantes des Equations Fonctionnelles des Fonctions L*, pp. 501–597. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1973. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-37855-6_7.
- [3] V. G. Drinfel'd, “Two-dimensional l -adic representations of the galois group of a global field of characteristic p and automorphic forms on $gl(2)$,” *Journal of Soviet Mathematics* **36** no. 1, (1987) 93–105. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01104975>.
- [4] L. Lafforgue, “Chtoucas de drinfeld et correspondance de langlands,” *Inventiones mathematicae* **147** no. 1, (2002) 1–241. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002220100174>.
- [5] E. Frenkel, “Lectures on the Langlands program and conformal field theory,” in *Proceedings, Les Houches School of Physics: Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics and Geometry II: On Conformal Field Theories, Discrete Groups and Renormalization: Les Houches, France, March 9-21, 2003*, pp. 387–533. 2007. [arXiv:hep-th/0512172](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0512172) [hep-th].
- [6] A. Kapustin and E. Witten, “Electric-Magnetic Duality And The Geometric Langlands Program,” *Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.* **1** (2007) 1–236, [arXiv:hep-th/0604151](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0604151) [hep-th].
- [7] E. Witten, “More On Gauge Theory And Geometric Langlands,” [arXiv:1506.04293](https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.04293) [hep-th].

- [8] D. Gaiotto, “S-duality of boundary conditions and the Geometric Langlands program,” [arXiv:1609.09030 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [9] S. Gukov and E. Witten, “Gauge theory, ramification, and the geometric langlands program,” *Current Developments in Mathematics, 2006* (2008) 35–180, [arXiv:hep-th/0612073 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [10] E. Witten, “Geometric Langlands From Six Dimensions,” [arXiv:0905.2720 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [11] P. Goddard, J. Nuyts, and D. Olive, “Gauge theories and magnetic charge,” *Nuclear Physics B* **125** no. 1, (1977) 1 – 28.
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0550321377902218>.
- [12] D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M. P. Nightingale, and M. den Nijs, “Quantized hall conductance in a two-dimensional periodic potential,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49** (Aug, 1982) 405–408.
<https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.49.405>.
- [13] M. Kohmoto, “Topological invariant and the quantization of the hall conductance,” *Annals of Physics* **160** no. 2, (1985) 343–354.
- [14] R. B. Laughlin, “Quantized hall conductivity in two dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. B* **23** (May, 1981) 5632–5633.
<https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.23.5632>.
- [15] Y. Hatsugai, “Edge states in the integer quantum hall effect and the riemann surface of the bloch function,” *Phys. Rev. B* **48** (Oct, 1993) 11851–11862.
<http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.11851>.
- [16] Y. Hatsugai, “Chern number and edge states in the integer quantum hall effect,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (Nov, 1993) 3697–3700.
<http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.3697>.
- [17] G. M. Graf and M. Porta, “Bulk-Edge Correspondence for Two-Dimensional Topological Insulators,” *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **324** (Dec., 2013) 851–895, [arXiv:1207.5989 \[math-ph\]](#).

- [18] J. KELLENDONK, T. RICHTER, and H. SCHULZ-BALDES, “Edge current channels and chern numbers in the integer quantum hall effect,” *Reviews in Mathematical Physics* **14** no. 01, (2002) 87–119.
<http://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S0129055X02001107>.
- [19] A. P. Schnyder, S. Ryu, A. Furusaki, and A. W. W. Ludwig, “Classification of topological insulators and superconductors in three spatial dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. B* **78** (Nov, 2008) 195125.
<https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.195125>.
- [20] M. Toda, *Theory of nonlinear lattices*, vol. 20. Springer Science and Business Media, 2012.
- [21] P. W. Anderson, “Absence of diffusion in certain random lattices,” *Phys. Rev.* **109** (Mar, 1958) 1492–1505.
<http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.109.1492>.
- [22] A. Kapustin, “Wilson-’t hooft operators in four-dimensional gauge theories and s -duality,” *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (Jul, 2006) 025005.
<http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.025005>.
- [23] G. ’t Hooft, “On the phase transition towards permanent quark confinement,” *Nuclear Physics B* **138** no. 1, (1978) 1 – 25.
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0550321378901530>.
- [24] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, “Topological Insulators and Superconductors from D-branes,” *Phys. Lett.* **B693** (2010) 175–179, [arXiv:1001.0763](https://arxiv.org/abs/1001.0763) [hep-th].
- [25] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, “Topological Insulators and Superconductors from String Theory,” *Phys. Rev.* **D82** (2010) 086014, [arXiv:1007.4234](https://arxiv.org/abs/1007.4234) [hep-th].
- [26] E. Witten, “Bound states of strings and p-branes,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B460** (1996) 335–350, [arXiv:hep-th/9510135](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9510135) [hep-th].