

Thermodynamic Framework for Compact q-Gaussian Distributions

Andre M. C. Souza^{1,4,*}, Roberto F. S. Andrade^{2,4,†}, Fernando D. Nobre^{3,4,‡} and Evaldo M. F. Curado^{3,4,§}

¹ *Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Sergipe*
49100-000 São Cristóvão - SE Brazil

² *Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal da Bahia*
40210-340 Salvador - BA Brazil

³ *Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas,*
Rua Xavier Sigaud 150

22290-180 Rio de Janeiro - RJ Brazil

⁴ *National Institute of Science and Technology for Complex Systems*
Rua Xavier Sigaud 150

22290-180 Rio de Janeiro - RJ Brazil

(Dated: March 26, 2022)

Recent works have associated systems of particles, characterized by short-range repulsive interactions and evolving under overdamped motion, to a nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation within the class of nonextensive statistical mechanics, with a nonlinear diffusion contribution whose exponent is given by $\nu = 2 - q$. The particular case $\nu = 2$ applies to interacting vortices in type-II superconductors, whereas $\nu > 2$ covers systems of particles characterized by short-range power-law interactions, where correlations among particles are taken into account. In the former case, several studies presented a consistent thermodynamic framework based on the definition of an effective temperature θ (presenting experimental values much higher than typical room temperatures T , so that thermal noise could be neglected), conjugated to a generalized entropy s_ν (with $\nu = 2$). Herein, the whole thermodynamic scheme is revisited and extended to systems of particles interacting repulsively, through short-ranged potentials, described by an entropy s_ν , with $\nu > 1$, covering the $\nu = 2$ (vortices in type-II superconductors) and $\nu > 2$ (short-range power-law interactions) physical examples. One basic requirement concerns a cutoff in the equilibrium distribution $P_{\text{eq}}(x)$, approached due to a confining external harmonic potential, $\phi(x) = \alpha x^2/2$ ($\alpha > 0$). The main results achieved are: (a) The definition of an effective temperature θ conjugated to the entropy s_ν ; (b) The construction of a Carnot cycle, whose efficiency is shown to be $\eta = 1 - (\theta_2/\theta_1)$, where θ_1 and θ_2 are the effective temperatures associated with two isothermal transformations, with $\theta_1 > \theta_2$; (c) Thermodynamic potentials, Maxwell relations, and response functions. The present thermodynamic framework, for a system of interacting particles under the above-mentioned conditions, and associated to an entropy s_ν , with $\nu > 1$, certainly enlarges the possibility of experimental verifications.

Keywords: Nonextensive Thermostatistics, Laws of Thermodynamics, Thermodynamic Functions, Nonlinear Fokker-Planck Equations.

PACS numbers: 05.45.-a, 05.40.Fb, 05.70.Ce, 05.90.+m

I. INTRODUCTION

An appropriate thermodynamic description for a system of interacting particles represents a fundamental intent in physics and may become a great challenge in many cases [1–3]. Depending on the particular type of interactions, the outstanding framework of statistical mechanics may turn intractable, at least from the analytical point of view, in such a way that numerical approaches emerged as important tools in the recent years. The elegant connection between the microscopic world (described by statistical mechanics) and the macroscopic one (described by thermodynamics) occurs usually through the entropy concept, so that the knowledge of the entropy associated to a given system becomes a crucial step in this pathway. Although the standard procedure should be applied for systems at equilibrium, the connection of the entropy concept with dynamics represents a remarkable result of nonequilibrium statistical mechanics [2–4]. The statistical entropy s is defined as a functional depending only on the probabilities of a physical

*E-mail address: amcsouza@ufs.br

†E-mail address: randrade@ufba.br

‡Corresponding author: E-mail address: fdnobre@cbpf.br

§E-mail address: evaldo@cbpf.br

system [2], i.e., $s \equiv s\{P_i(t)\}$, for a discrete set of states, where $P_i(t)$ represents the probability for finding the system in a state i , at time t , while $s \equiv s[P(x, t)]$, for continuous states, where x usually denotes the position in a one-dimensional space. The association with dynamics may occur by means of the following procedures: (i) The statistical entropy may be extremized under certain constraints, in order to yield an equilibrium probability that coincides with the stationary-state distribution obtained from some equations describing the time evolution of the probabilities (e.g., a Fokker-Planck equation [5]); (ii) An H-theorem, which may be proven by considering the statistical entropy and a given equation for the time evolution of the probabilities [2–5].

These connections among entropies and dynamics were extended for generalized entropic forms, mostly by making use of nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations (NLFPEs) [6]; a typical NLFPE, relevant for the present work, is given by

$$\mu \frac{\partial P(x, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial[A(x)P(x, t)]}{\partial x} + \nu D \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ [\lambda P(x, t)]^{\nu-1} \frac{\partial P(x, t)}{\partial x} \right\} + kT \frac{\partial^2 P(x, t)}{\partial x^2}, \quad (1.1)$$

where μ stands for a friction coefficient, ν a real number, and λ represents a characteristic length of the system. One should notice that, due to the normalization condition, the probability $P(x, t)$ presents dimension [length] $^{-1}$ and consequently, the characteristic length λ was introduced in the nonlinear diffusion term for dimensional reasons. Moreover, the diffusion constant D may result from a coarse-graining procedure (see, e.g., Refs. [7–9]), being directly related to particle-particle interactions, thus depending on the physical system under investigation. The above equation also takes into account the effects of a heat-bath at a temperature T (with k standing for the Boltzmann constant), whose contribution may be obtained in the standard way, through the introduction of a thermal noise in the system [3]. Additionally, $A(x) = -d\phi(x)/dx$ corresponds to an external force derived from a confining potential $\phi(x)$, being fundamental for the approach to equilibrium, as well as for the resulting form of the probability distribution in the long-time limit. The particular case $\nu = 2$ has been much explored recently, being shown to be directly related to a system of interacting vortices, relevant for type-II superconductors [7–17]; in this application, λ represents the London penetration length, and it was shown that $D \gg kT$, so that thermal effects could be neglected [12]. Herein, we will be concerned with physical systems for which this approximation applies, leading to,

$$\mu \frac{\partial P(x, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial[A(x)P(x, t)]}{\partial x} + \nu D \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ [\lambda P(x, t)]^{\nu-1} \frac{\partial P(x, t)}{\partial x} \right\}, \quad (1.2)$$

corresponding to the NLFPE introduced in Refs. [18, 19], being associated with Tsallis entropy [20], through the identification $\nu = 2 - q$, where q represents the well-known entropic index. The above equation became an useful tool for dealing with a wide range of natural phenomena, like those related to anomalous diffusion [21–23].

Generalized forms of the H-theorem, making use of NLFPEs, were developed by many authors in the recent years [24–34]; particularly, the NLFPE in Eq. (1.2) was shown to be associated to Tsallis' entropy,

$$s_\nu[P] = \frac{k}{\nu-1} \left\{ 1 - \lambda^{\nu-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx [P(x, t)]^\nu \right\}, \quad (1.3)$$

through a well-defined sign for the time derivative of the free-energy functional, i.e., $(df/dt) \leq 0$, where

$$f[P] = u[P] - \theta s_\nu[P]; \quad u[P] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \phi(x)P(x, t). \quad (1.4)$$

It is important to remind that the characteristic length λ appearing in Eq. (1.3) follows from an H-theorem [proven by making use of Eq. (1.2)] and it leads to the correct dimension for the entropy. Moreover, the time-dependent solution of Eq. (1.2), for an initial condition $P(x, 0) = \delta(x)$ and a harmonic external force, $A(x) = -\alpha x$ ($\alpha > 0$), was found as [18, 19],

$$P(x, t) = B(t)[1 - b(t)(\nu - 1)x^2]_+^{1/(\nu-1)}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $[y]_+ = y$, for $y > 0$, zero otherwise, and the time-dependent coefficients $B(t)$ and $b(t)$ are related to each other in order to preserve the normalization of $P(x, t)$ for all times t . The above solution, usually called q -Gaussian (also considering the identification $\nu = 2 - q$), coincides, in the long-time limit, with the equilibrium distribution that comes out from the extremization of the entropy in Eq. (1.3) by imposing usual constraints, such as probability normalization and the internal energy definition of Eq. (1.4).

The purpose of this work is to present a consistent thermodynamic framework, associated with the equilibrium distribution of Eq. (1.5), for a certain range of values of the real parameter ν . The work is motivated by recent results of Ref. [35], where a coarse-graining approach was developed for an interacting system, and correlations were taken into account, leading to the NLFPE of Eq. (1.2) with $q < 0$, i.e., $\nu > 2$. Although we are not aware of similar approaches valid for systems of interacting particles in wider intervals for the parameter ν , the thermodynamic framework to be presented herein applies to $\nu > 1$; this will certainly cover many phenomena within the scope of anomalous diffusion, associated with Eq. (1.2) [21–23]. The procedure is based on the definition of an effective temperature θ , conjugated to the entropic form of Eq. (1.3), typical of nonextensive statistical mechanics. The present approach extends previous ones, carried for the particular case $\nu = 2$, and expected to hold for a system of interacting particles, whose final mechanical equilibrium is reached after a long-time evolution, under overdamped motion [12–17]. Like in the previous works, the following framework holds for typical effective temperatures $\theta \gg T$, so that the usual thermal effects (associated with the temperature T) can be neglected.

In the next section we describe the coarse-graining procedure developed in Ref. [35], applied to the corresponding equations of motion, in such a way to associate a physical system to the NLFPE of Eq. (1.2). The correlations are taken into account in a qualitative way, and we discuss the conditions under which the approach should hold. In Section III we present a definition for an effective temperature θ , introduce the equilibrium distribution $P_{\text{eq}}(x)$, which corresponds essentially to a q -Gaussian distribution with $q < 1$, being characterized by a cutoff x_e . As a consequence, although the initial motivation concerns a physical system considered in Ref. [35], which was shown to be associated with a NLFPE with $q < 0$, the present thermodynamic framework applies to a wider range of values of q , holding for any $q < 1$, i.e., $\nu > 1$. Furthermore, in Section III we calculate the internal energy and entropy in terms of θ . In Section IV we define the thermal contact between two systems, obtain relations involving the corresponding initial effective temperatures and final temperature, and an equivalent formulation for the Zeroth Law of thermodynamics. In Section V we propose an infinitesimal form for the First Law, define transformations and explore the Carnot cycle, obtaining the celebrated expression for its efficiency. In Section VI we consider Legendre transformations in order to derive thermodynamic potentials, Maxwell relations, and obtain well-known structures for the thermodynamic potentials. Finally, in Section VII we present our main conclusions.

II. ILLUSTRATION OF A PHYSICAL SYSTEM

Next, we describe the coarse-graining approximation introduced in Ref. [35], leading to the NLFPE of Eq. (1.2); this procedure was carried for a system of N interacting particles, under overdamped motion, so that the equation of motion of a particle i , in a medium with an effective friction coefficient μ , may be written as

$$\mu \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{F}_i^{\text{int}} + \mathbf{F}_i^{\text{ext}} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N), \quad (2.1)$$

where \mathbf{v}_i stands for its velocity, and the condition of overdamped motion neglects the inertial contribution $m(d\mathbf{v}_i/dt)$. Moreover, the terms on the right-hand-side depict the forces acting on the particle, due to internal and external agents, respectively. The main novelty of the approach of Ref. [35], with respect to previous ones [7–11], consists in taking into account the role played by particle-particle correlations in the contribution $\mathbf{F}_i^{\text{int}}$, as will be shown later. As usual, one has

$$\mathbf{F}_i^{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} B_{ij}(r_{ij}) \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}, \quad (2.2)$$

where the factor $1/2$ comes to compensate the double counting of interactions, the distance between particles i and j is $r_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|$, and $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij} = (\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)/r_{ij}$ is a vector defined along the axis of each pair of particles. Moreover, $B_{ij}(r_{ij})$ represents the functional form of the particle-particle interactions, which varies according to the physical system.

Hence, for a description in terms of continuous variables, one performs a coarse graining by introducing a local density of particles at time t , $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$, and based on the conservation of the total number of particles, one can make use of the continuity equation,

$$\frac{\partial \rho(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} , \quad (2.3)$$

where the current density $\mathbf{J} = \rho \mathbf{v}$ was defined. Supposing that the local density varies smoothly around a certain point $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$, one may expand $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t) \approx \rho(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}, t) + \mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla \rho(\mathbf{r}, t)|_{\mathbf{r}=\tilde{\mathbf{r}}}$, so that the effect of the remaining $(N - 1)$ particles on a given particle may be written in terms of the force \mathbf{F}^{int} , expressed as an integral in a d -dimensional space,

$$\mathbf{F}^{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^d r \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) B(r) \hat{\mathbf{r}} \approx \frac{1}{2} \int d^d r [\mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla \rho(\mathbf{r}, t)]_{\mathbf{r}=\tilde{\mathbf{r}}} B(r) \hat{\mathbf{r}} , \quad (2.4)$$

where we have used the result that, due to symmetry, the integral over $\rho(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}, t)$ vanishes identically. Without loss of generality, we take $\nabla \rho(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}, t)$ along the $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ direction, so that performing the integral over angles one obtains the constant factor Ω_d ,

$$\mathbf{F}^{\text{int}} \approx \frac{\Omega_d}{2} \nabla \rho(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}, t) \int dr r^d B(r) , \quad (2.5)$$

and we suppose that the local density changes slowly within the range of interactions. The above equation may still be written in the form

$$\mathbf{F}^{\text{int}} \approx a \nabla \rho(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}, t) ; \quad a = \frac{\Omega_d}{2} \int_0^\infty dr r^d B(r) , \quad (2.6)$$

where we are assuming short-range particle-particle interactions; indeed, in the case $d = 2$ ($\Omega_2 = 2\pi$), Eq. (2.6) recovers the result of Refs. [7–11].

Then, redefining $\tilde{\mathbf{r}} \rightarrow \mathbf{r}$, and using the external force as $\mathbf{F}^{\text{ext}} = -A(x)\hat{\mathbf{x}}$, where $A(x)$ is associated with some type of confining potential in the $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ direction, one chooses this direction to be special for attaining the final equilibrium. Hence, considering fixed values for all coordinates, except x , substituting these results into Eq. (2.3) and using Eq. (2.1), one gets that

$$\mu \frac{\partial \rho(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left\{ \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) [a \nabla \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{F}^{\text{ext}}] \right\} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) \left[a \frac{\partial \rho(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial x} - A(x) \right] \right\} , \quad (2.7)$$

which may be easily written in the form of the NLFPE of Eq. (1.2) for $\nu = 2$; in this case, the quantity a of Eq. (2.6) will be directly related to D and will depend on the characteristic parameters of the particle-particle interactions (see, e.g., Ref. [9]).

The contribution of Ref. [35] consisted in assuming that correlations between particles produce an exclusion region of finite radius around each particle, $r_0 \equiv r_0(\rho)$, which becomes smaller as the concentration ρ increases. In this way, the lower limit in the integral of Eq. (2.6) was set to $r_0(\rho)$, leading to

$$\mathbf{F}^{\text{int}} \approx a(\rho) \nabla \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) ; \quad a(\rho) = \frac{\Omega_d}{2} \int_{r_0(\rho)}^\infty dr r^d B(r) , \quad (2.8)$$

so that Eq. (2.7) becomes

$$\mu \frac{\partial \rho(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) \left[a(\rho) \frac{\partial \rho(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial x} - A(x) \right] \right\} , \quad (2.9)$$

resulting in the relevant modification $a \rightarrow a(\rho)$. Although the modification introduced in Eq. (2.8) appears as a qualitative correction in the resultant force \mathbf{F}^{int} , it takes into account correlations, which may become relevant in some cases, particularly for short distances between particles.

Considering particle-particle interactions associated to short-range repulsive potentials of the type $V(r) = f_0 \lambda (r/\lambda)^{-\xi}$ ($\xi > d$), where λ represents a characteristic length of the system, whereas f_0 is a positive constant, the authors of Ref. [35] have shown that $r_0(\rho) \propto \rho^{-1/d}$ leads to the NLFPE of Eq. (1.2), with $q = 1 - (\xi/d) < 0$, i.e., $\nu > 2$. In the cases where the potential $V(r)$ leads to a finite integral in Eq. (2.6), one results with a constant value for a ; therefore, this particular choice for $r_0(\rho)$ yields, for high enough concentrations, $r_0 \rightarrow 0$, so that $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} a(\rho) = a$. As an example of this limit, one has the system of type-II superconducting vortices interacting repulsively in two dimensions (corresponding to $\nu = 2$), for which $a = 2\pi f_0 \lambda^3$ [7–17]. The good agreement between numerical data from molecular-dynamics simulations and the analytical result of Eq. (1.5) for the equilibrium distribution, in both cases $d = 2$ and $d = 3$, and several choices for ξ [35], supports the assumptions considered above and more specifically, the lower limit introduced in Eq. (2.8).

Therefore, restricting to $d = 2$ for simplicity, the particle-particle interactions become $B(r) = -[dV(r)/dr] = \xi f_0 (r/\lambda)^{-\xi-1}$ ($\xi > 2$). Now, we consider $r_0(\rho) = z\rho^{-1/2}$, where z depends on ξ in such a way that

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 2^+} \left(\frac{z^{2-\xi}}{\xi - 2} \right) = \omega, \quad (2.10)$$

with ω being a positive finite number; consequently, one obtains from Eq. (2.8),

$$a(\rho) = \pi \int_{z\rho^{-1/2}}^{\infty} dr r^2 B(r) = \frac{\pi \xi z^{2-\xi} f_0 \lambda^{\xi+1}}{\xi - 2} \rho^{-1+\xi/2}, \quad (2.11)$$

so that the condition of Eq. (2.10) leads to $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 2^+} a(\rho) = 2\omega\pi f_0 \lambda^3$. One should notice that considering the above form for $a(\rho)$ and defining the probability for finding a given particle with a coordinate x at time t as $P(x, t) = (L_y/N)\rho(x, t)$, one obtains the NLFPE of Eq. (1.2) by setting $\xi = 2(\nu - 1) = 2(1 - q)$. In what follows we develop a thermodynamic framework, based on the NLFPE of Eq. (1.2), its associated entropy [Eq. (1.3)], and the corresponding equilibrium distribution [Eq. (1.5)].

III. EFFECTIVE-TEMPERATURE AND EQUILIBRIUM DISTRIBUTION

Before starting with the basic definitions of thermodynamic quantities, it is important to remind some conditions, and define some nomenclature to be used, as described below. (i) Although one may deal with a general dimensionality d , herein, for simplicity, we will restrict ourselves to $d = 2$, so that the system of particles is confined in a two-dimensional rectangular box of sizes L_x and L_y (measured in units of the basic length λ). (ii) In order to conform with the solution of Eq. (1.5), the whole procedure will be developed by considering a harmonic external force, $A(x) = -\alpha x$ ($\alpha > 0$). (iii) Due to the H theorem, the stationary-state solution of Eq. (1.2), which coincides with the distribution that maximizes the entropy of Eq. (1.3), will be referred from now on as equilibrium distribution; it corresponds to the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ of the distribution in Eq. (1.5). (iv) Despite of the fact that the physical system described in the previous section is characterized by $q < 0$, the whole scheme that follows holds in general for $q < 1$. In such cases, the distribution of Eq. (1.5) presents a compact support, so that the integration limits in Eqs. (1.3) and (1.4) should be replaced by finite values, $\pm \bar{x}(t)$, with the cutoff of the equilibrium distribution being given by $x_e = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{x}(t)$ [12–17].

The effective temperature comes directly from the coarse-graining procedure leading to the NLFPE of Eq. (1.2); in the case of the physical application described above, it can be defined as

$$k\theta \equiv D = \frac{\pi z^{2(2-\nu)} (\nu - 1) f_0 \lambda}{\nu(\nu - 2)} \left(\frac{N\lambda}{L_y} \right)^{\nu-1}, \quad (3.1)$$

where the identification $(\xi/2) = \nu - 1$ was done, yielding the condition $\nu > 2$. However, although the illustration of the previous section is characterized by $q < 0$, we shall present herein a procedure that applies in general for $q < 1$. For that, we will extend the definition above by considering Eq. (2.10), which becomes

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow 2^+} \left[\frac{z^{2(2-\nu)}}{2(\nu-2)} \right] = \omega. \quad (3.2)$$

Since the motivation of the present approach is to extend previous works, carried for the particular case $\nu = 2$, from now on we set $\omega = 1$, in such a way to recover from Eq. (2.11), $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 2^+} a(\rho) = 2\pi f_0 \lambda^3$, obtained in Ref. [9] for the system of type-II superconducting vortices. In this way, all previous results for the thermodynamics of the equilibrium state of this system [12–17] may be recovered in this particular limit. Therefore, we write the effective temperature of Eq. (3.1) as

$$k\theta \equiv D = \frac{b_\nu f_0 \lambda}{\nu} \left(\frac{N\lambda}{L_y} \right)^{\nu-1}, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$b_\nu = \frac{\pi(\nu-1)}{\nu-2} z^{2(2-\nu)}; \quad (\nu \geq 2), \quad (3.4)$$

leading to $b_2 = 2\pi$. Moreover, the precise expression of the coefficient b_ν for the interval $1 < \nu < 2$ will depend on an associated physical system, for which the present approach may be applicable, although it should be a positive real number and expected to match with the above result by means of $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow 2^-} b_\nu = 2\pi$. However, one should call the attention to the important property (valid for any $\nu > 1$) that the effective temperature θ depends directly on the linear density of particles (N/L_y), which may (hopefully) be a controllable quantity in many physical systems. As an example, for the system of interacting vortices, relevant for type-II superconductors [36], recent advances in experimental techniques have made the density of vortices a controllable quantity [37–39, 41], leading to the desirable possibility of varying θ . Moreover, in this particular application, the parameters of Eq. (3.3) yield typical effective temperatures θ much higher than room temperature T , i.e., $\theta \gg T$ [12], so that thermal effects were neglected in the analyses of Refs. [12–17]. Herein, we will restrict ourselves to physical systems for which the parameters of Eq. (3.3) also lead to $\theta \gg T$. The appropriateness of the above definition for an effective temperature, as well as of the conditions imposed herein, will be shown throughout this paper.

The equilibrium solution corresponds to the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ of the distribution in Eq. (1.5) [8, 9], being herein expressed as

$$P_{\text{eq}}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{\nu-1})}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{\nu}{\nu-1})(x_e)^{\frac{\nu+1}{\nu-1}}} (x_e^2 - x^2)^{1/(\nu-1)} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{\nu-1})}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{\nu}{\nu-1}) x_e} \left[1 - \left(\frac{x}{x_e} \right)^2 \right]^{1/(\nu-1)}, \quad (3.5)$$

with $|x| < x_e$, where

$$x_e = C_\nu \lambda \left(\frac{k\theta}{\alpha \lambda^2} \right)^{1/(\nu+1)}. \quad (3.6)$$

In the equation above C_ν is a dimensionless ν -dependent coefficient,

$$C_\nu = \left\{ \frac{2\nu}{\nu-1} \right\}^{1/(\nu+1)} \left\{ \frac{(\nu+1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\nu-1})}{2(\nu-1)\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{\nu-1})} \right\}^{(\nu-1)/(\nu+1)}, \quad (3.7)$$

which, in the particular case $\nu = 2$, investigated in Refs. [13–15], becomes $C_2 = 3^{1/3}$. From Eq. (3.6) one notices the physically important result that x_e gets larger by increasing the effective temperature θ , for any $\nu > 1$. From the equilibrium distribution, one can calculate the internal energy of Eq. (1.4),

$$u = \int_{-x_e}^{x_e} dx \frac{\alpha x^2}{2} P_{\text{eq}}(x) = \frac{\nu-1}{3\nu-1} \frac{\alpha x_e^2}{2} = \frac{\nu-1}{6\nu-2} C_\nu^2 \alpha \lambda^2 \left(\frac{k\theta}{\alpha \lambda^2} \right)^{2/(\nu+1)}, \quad (3.8)$$

which is directly related to the variance,

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = \int_{-x_e}^{x_e} dx x^2 P_{\text{eq}}(x) = \frac{\nu-1}{3\nu-1} C_\nu^2 \left(\frac{k\theta}{\alpha \lambda^2} \right)^{2/(\nu+1)} \lambda^2, \quad (3.9)$$

showing that the equilibrium distribution spreads for increasing values of the effective temperature.

Therefore, the effective temperature θ is related to the variance in particle positions, following $\theta \propto \langle x^2 \rangle^{(\nu+1)/2}$. This behavior is a signature of the NLFPE of Eq. (1.2), and it should be contrasted with the standard classical dilute gas [1–3], for which the temperature T is related linearly to the second moment of the corresponding velocity probability distribution, i.e., $T \propto \langle v^2 \rangle$. Since we are dealing with a system of particles for which the thermal noise was neglected ($T/\theta \simeq 0$), the final state is in fact a mechanical equilibrium, where its effective temperature θ comes out to be related to the variance $\langle x^2 \rangle$ in a nonlinear way.

Similarly to the quantities above, one can calculate the entropy of Eq. (1.3),

$$s_\nu = \frac{k}{\nu-1} \left\{ 1 - B_\nu [C_\nu]^{\frac{3\nu-1}{\nu-1}} \left(\frac{\alpha \lambda^2}{k\theta} \right)^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu+1}} \right\}, \quad (3.10)$$

where we have introduced another dimensionless ν -dependent coefficient,

$$B_\nu = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(1 + \frac{\nu}{\nu-1})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\nu}{\nu-1})} \left(\frac{\nu-1}{2\nu} \right)^{\frac{\nu}{\nu-1}}, \quad (3.11)$$

leading, in the case $\nu = 2$, to $B_2 = 1/15$ [13–15]. Now, manipulating this result together with the internal energy of Eq. (3.8), one may express $s_\nu \equiv s_\nu(u, \alpha)$,

$$s_\nu(u, \alpha) = \frac{k}{\nu-1} \left\{ 1 - B_\nu [C_\nu]^{\frac{\nu(\nu+1)}{\nu-1}} \left[\frac{\alpha \lambda^2 (\nu-1)}{2u(3\nu-1)} \right]^{\frac{\nu-1}{2}} \right\}, \quad (3.12)$$

where the dependence $s_\nu \equiv s_\nu(u, \alpha)$ will become clear later on.

From Eq. (3.12) one obtains the fundamental thermodynamic relation,

$$\left(\frac{\partial s_\nu}{\partial u} \right)_\alpha = \frac{1}{\theta}, \quad (3.13)$$

which is analogous to the temperature definition of standard thermodynamics [1–3], showing that the parameter θ introduced in Eq. (3.3) represents an appropriate effective-temperature definition for any $\nu > 1$.

The result of Eq. (3.13) suggests a definition of a type of energy exchange, $\delta Q = \theta ds_\nu$, to be called hereafter as heat exchange, following previous works [13–15]. In what follows, we will use the results above to construct a thermodynamical framework for the present system of interacting particles.

IV. THERMAL CONTACT BETWEEN SYSTEMS AND ZEROTH LAW

A. Systems in Thermal Contact

In order to study the thermal contact between two systems we consider the same physical situation introduced in Ref. [15], for the case $\nu = 2$. It consists in two rectangular systems (to be called herein as systems 1 and 2), containing N_1 and N_2 particles, respectively, and being characterized by equal sizes in the x -direction (L_x) and different sizes in the y -direction, $(L_y^{(1)}, L_y^{(2)})$. The two systems are put together through a contact along the x -direction; the size L_x is kept fixed, whereas $L_y^{(1)}$ and $L_y^{(2)}$ are allowed to change by means of a movable, rigid, and impermeable wall that separates the two systems. Since there is no exchange of particles between the two systems, variations in the corresponding effective temperatures of each system may occur only due to changes in their y -direction sizes, according to Eq. (3.3); herein we refer to this apparatus as a thermal contact between systems 1 and 2.

The physical transformation consists in a change in the lengths in the y -direction, from a state with initial lengths $(L_{y,i}^{(1)}, L_{y,i}^{(2)})$, to a state with final lengths $(L_{y,f}^{(1)}, L_{y,f}^{(2)})$,

$$L_{y,f}^{(1)} = L_{y,i}^{(1)} - \delta L_y ; \quad L_{y,f}^{(2)} = L_{y,i}^{(2)} + \delta L_y \quad (\delta L_y > 0) , \quad (4.1)$$

by conserving their sum,

$$L_{y,f}^{(1)} + L_{y,f}^{(2)} = L_{y,i}^{(1)} + L_{y,i}^{(2)} . \quad (4.2)$$

Hence, the transformation occurs in such a way that the system with a higher density of particles pushes the wall, leading to a mechanical equilibrium characterized by [15],

$$\frac{N_1}{L_{y,f}^{(1)}} = \frac{N_2}{L_{y,f}^{(2)}} , \quad (4.3)$$

which corresponds precisely to $\theta_f^{(1)} = \theta_f^{(2)} = \theta_f$.

Using the conservation of the total length in Eq. (4.2), together with the definition of effective temperature in Eq. (3.3), one may write

$$[\theta_f]^{-\frac{1}{\nu-1}} = \frac{N_1}{N_1 + N_2} [\theta_i^{(1)}]^{-\frac{1}{\nu-1}} + \frac{N_2}{N_1 + N_2} [\theta_i^{(2)}]^{-\frac{1}{\nu-1}} , \quad (4.4)$$

which may be expressed also in terms of the initial lengths $(L_{y,i}^{(1)}, L_{y,i}^{(2)})$,

$$[\theta_f]^{-\frac{1}{\nu-1}} = \frac{L_{y,i}^{(1)}}{L_{y,i}^{(1)} + L_{y,i}^{(2)}} [\theta_i^{(1)}]^{-\frac{1}{\nu-1}} + \frac{L_{y,i}^{(2)}}{L_{y,i}^{(1)} + L_{y,i}^{(2)}} [\theta_i^{(2)}]^{-\frac{1}{\nu-1}} . \quad (4.5)$$

One should call the attention to Eqs. (4.4) and (4.5), where the final equilibrium temperature is related to the two initial temperatures. In both cases one finds a structure typical of average values, where each contribution on the right-hand-side appears multiplied by a weight factor, corresponding to a characteristic fraction of each system, associated either to its number of particles [Eq. (4.4)], or to its initial length occupied [Eq. (4.5)]. Moreover, this structure is very similar to the one that appears from the exchange of heat between two substances A and B in standard thermodynamics: considering two substances with thermal capacities C_A and C_B , and at initial temperatures T_A and T_B , respectively, the final equilibrium temperature T_f attained after their thermal contact is given by [1],

$$T_f = \frac{C_A}{C_A + C_B} T_A + \frac{C_B}{C_A + C_B} T_B . \quad (4.6)$$

Both Eqs. (4.4) and (4.5) imply that for a thermal contact where both systems are initially at the same temperature, i.e., $\theta_i^{(1)} = \theta_i^{(2)}$, then the final temperature remains unchanged, $\theta_f = \theta_i^{(1)} = \theta_i^{(2)}$. Furthermore, they guarantee the most desirable result that the final equilibrium temperature θ_f must lie inside the interval defined by the two initial temperatures $\theta_i^{(1)}$ and $\theta_i^{(2)}$, for any $\nu > 1$. As an example, let's consider Eq. (4.5), for system 2 at an initial temperature $\theta_i^{(2)} > \theta_i^{(1)}$, which we express by $\theta_i^{(2)} = l\theta_i^{(1)}$, where $l > 1$ is a dimensionless real number; obviously, the case $l = 1$ recovers $\theta_f = \theta_i^{(1)} = \theta_i^{(2)}$. Hence, since $l > 1$, Eq. (4.5) leads to

$$\theta_f = \theta_i^{(1)} \left[\frac{L_{y,i}^{(1)} + L_{y,i}^{(2)} l^{\frac{1}{\nu-1}}}{L_{y,i}^{(1)} + L_{y,i}^{(2)}} \right]^{\nu-1}, \quad (4.7)$$

which implies that $\theta_f > \theta_i^{(1)}$. Similarly, expressing $\theta_i^{(1)} = \theta_i^{(2)}/l$, Eq. (4.5) may be written as

$$\theta_f = \theta_i^{(2)} \left[\frac{(L_{y,i}^{(1)}/l^{\frac{1}{\nu-1}}) + L_{y,i}^{(2)}}{L_{y,i}^{(1)} + L_{y,i}^{(2)}} \right]^{\nu-1}, \quad (4.8)$$

yielding $\theta_f < \theta_i^{(2)}$, since $l^{\frac{1}{\nu-1}} > 1$; consequently, one concludes that $\theta_i^{(1)} < \theta_f < \theta_i^{(2)}$.

Readily from Eq. (4.4), one may define a heat reservoir as a system with a number of particles much larger than the other one; e.g., $N_1 \gg N_2$. This condition characterizes system 1 as a heat reservoir, a concept introduced qualitatively in Ref. [13]. In this case, one has, as a first approximation for the denominators, $N_1 + N_2 \approx N_1$, so that Eq. (4.4) becomes

$$[\theta_f]^{-\frac{1}{\nu-1}} \approx [\theta_i^{(1)}]^{-\frac{1}{\nu-1}} + \frac{N_2}{N_1} [\theta_i^{(2)}]^{-\frac{1}{\nu-1}}, \quad (4.9)$$

leading to

$$\theta_f \approx \theta_i^{(1)} \left[1 - (\nu - 1) \frac{N_2}{N_1} \left(\frac{\theta_i^{(2)}}{\theta_i^{(1)}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{\nu-1}} \right], \quad (4.10)$$

showing that $\theta_f = \theta_i^{(1)} + O(N_2/N_1)$, so that the final temperature is essentially the temperature of the reservoir.

B. Zeroth Law

Let us consider two systems (1 and 2) in contact, as defined above, so that their mechanical equilibrium is characterized by

$$\frac{N_1}{L_{y,1}} = \frac{N_2}{L_{y,2}}. \quad (4.11)$$

Now, by bringing a third system (system 3) under the same contact defined above, and in equilibrium with system 1, one has

$$\frac{N_1}{L_{y,1}} = \frac{N_3}{L_{y,3}}. \quad (4.12)$$

Hence, the right-hand sides of Eqs. (4.11) and (4.12) are equal to one another, so that systems 2 and 3 are also in equilibrium, i.e., $(N_2/L_{y,2}) = (N_3/L_{y,3})$.

This result enables 1 as a test system, i.e., a thermometer, so that all systems in equilibrium with 1, should be in equilibrium with each other. These systems have a property in common, which is their effective temperature θ , defined in Eq. (3.3). Therefore, one can now formulate the zeroth principle, in a more general context (valid for $\nu > 1$), with respect to the previous formulation of Ref. [15] (restricted to $\nu = 2$):

Two systems of interacting particles, for which correlations are taken into account and thermal effects are negligible in comparison with those associated with their effective temperatures, are said to be in thermal equilibrium if, being in contact, no heat flows in either way. The zeroth principle can be enunciated by stating that two of these systems in thermal equilibrium with a third one, are in thermal equilibrium with each other.

V. FIRST-LAW PROPOSAL

A. First Law and Equation of State

Previous studies have proposed a work contribution, related to the external potential, given by variations in the parameter α [13–15]. This suggestion was based on the fact that variations in α change the cutoff value x_e [see, e.g., Eq. (3.6)], and consequently, control directly the volume occupied by the particles in the equilibrium state. In this way, an infinitesimal change in α , modifies the external potential acting on each particle, leading to an infinitesimal work defined as $\delta W = \sigma d\alpha$, where σ represents a parameter thermodynamically conjugated to α , to be determined by means of an equation of state. Moreover, the fundamental relation of Eq. (3.13) leads to a definition of a type of energy exchange, i.e., an infinitesimal heat, $\delta Q = \theta ds_\nu$. These two types of energy exchange yield a proposal equivalent to the First Law [13–15],

$$du = \delta Q + \delta W = \theta ds_\nu + \sigma d\alpha , \quad (5.1)$$

where δW corresponds to the work done *on* the system, and σ should present units, $[\sigma]_{\mathcal{D}} = [\text{length}]^2$. In this way, the dependence $s_\nu = s_\nu(u, \alpha)$ [cf. Eq. (3.12)] becomes clear; the consistency of this proposal for the first law will be shown throughout the next sections.

Considering Eq. (5.1) for u fixed, one gets that

$$\left(\frac{\partial s_\nu}{\partial \alpha} \right)_u = -\frac{\sigma}{\theta} , \quad (5.2)$$

so that deriving Eq. (3.12) with respect to α and using the internal energy of Eq. (3.8), one obtains,

$$\sigma = \frac{\nu - 1}{6\nu - 2} C_\nu^2 \lambda^2 \left(\frac{k\theta}{\alpha \lambda^2} \right)^{2/(\nu+1)} , \quad (5.3)$$

which represents the equation of state for the present system. Therefore, the parameter σ is always positive for $\nu > 1$, and consequently, the work contribution $\delta W = \sigma d\alpha$ is positive (negative) for transformations that increase (decrease) α . Moreover, from Eq. (5.3) one sees that σ increases with θ (for α fixed), whereas for a fixed θ , an increase in σ yields a decrease in α .

Comparing Eqs. (3.8) and (5.3) one finds that curious relation,

$$u = \sigma \alpha , \quad (5.4)$$

which was found also in the case $\nu = 2$, for both harmonic [13, 15] and non-harmonic [17] external potentials. This relation will have implications on the thermodynamic potentials, particularly, on the enthalpy, to be discussed later on. Furthermore, Eqs. (3.9) and (5.3) lead to




FIG. 1: (Color online) The Carnot cycle $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \rightarrow d \rightarrow a$ is represented in the particular case $\nu = 3$ (i.e., $q = -1$). The transformations for σ constant are adiabatic, and herein they were chosen to occur for $(\sigma/\lambda^2) = 0.40$ ($b \rightarrow c$) and $(\sigma/\lambda^2) = 0.25$ ($d \rightarrow a$). In general, from Eq. (5.3) isothermal transformations correspond to $(\sigma/\lambda^2) \sim (\alpha\lambda^2)^{-2/(\nu+1)}$, and for the particular case shown they are characterized by $(\sigma/\lambda^2) \sim (\alpha\lambda^2)^{-1/2}$. These transformations occur at two conveniently chosen temperatures, $\theta_1 > \theta_2$, in such a way that an amount of heat Q_1 is absorbed (released) in the isothermal process at the higher (lower) temperature θ_1 (θ_2). The area inside the cycle represents the total work W done *on* the system, which is negative, as expected from Eq. (5.1). The cycle above holds for any system of units, e.g., one may consider all quantities with dimensions of energy in Joules.

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = 2\sigma, \quad (5.5)$$

showing that the parameter σ acts directly on the equilibrium distribution.

B. Carnot Cycle

In order to be useful from the point of view of thermodynamics, the entropy $s_\nu \equiv s_\nu(u, \alpha)$ should be a state function. Hence, standard thermodynamic manipulations by considering the fundamental relation of Eq. (3.13), together with Eq. (5.2), and using Eqs. (5.3) and (5.4), yield that the following second derivatives are independent of the order of differentiation,

$$\frac{\partial^2 s_\nu}{\partial \alpha \partial u} = \frac{\partial^2 s_\nu}{\partial u \partial \alpha}. \quad (5.6)$$

From the equation above, one obtains

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \right)_u \left(\frac{1}{\theta} \right) = - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right)_\alpha \left(\frac{\sigma}{\theta} \right) = \frac{\nu - 1}{2\alpha\theta}. \quad (5.7)$$

The result of Eq. (5.6) enables the entropy s_ν as a state function, so that its change due to a given reversible thermodynamical transformation, taking the system from an initial state i to a final state f , depends only on its respective values at these states, i.e., $\Delta s_\nu = s_{\nu,f} - s_{\nu,i}$.

Next, we will use the fact that the entropy s_ν is a state function in order to analyze the Carnot cycle, constructed by two isothermal (θ constant) and two adiabatic (s_ν constant) transformations, intercalated. From Eq. (3.10) one sees that an adiabatic transformation occurs for $(k\theta/\alpha\lambda^2) = \text{constant}$, which corresponds to $\sigma = \text{constant}$ in the equation of state [Eq. (5.3)]; also from this equation, isothermal transformations correspond to $(\sigma/\lambda^2) \sim (\alpha\lambda^2)^{-2/(\nu+1)}$. Such a cycle was studied in Refs. [13–15, 17] for the case $\nu = 2$, where the adiabatic and isothermal transformations were given by $\sigma = \text{constant}$ and $(\sigma/\lambda^2) \sim (\alpha\lambda^2)^{-2/3}$, respectively; below, the Carnot cycle will be shown to hold for $\nu > 1$.

In Fig. 1 we illustrate this cycle for the particular case $\nu = 3$, i.e., $q = -1$, where the adiabatic transformations correspond to $\sigma = \text{constant}$, whereas the two isothermal transformations occur at temperatures θ_1 and θ_2 , with $\theta_1 > \theta_2$. The quantities σ and α , as defined above, are such that the ordinate σ/λ^2 is dimensionless, whereas the abscissa $\alpha\lambda^2$ presents dimensions of energy. Although shown in Fig. 1 for $\nu = 3$, the following properties hold for any $\nu > 1$. (i) An amount of heat Q_1 is absorbed in the isothermal process at the higher temperature θ_1 , whereas the system releases heat Q_2 in the isothermal process at the lower temperature θ_2 . (ii) In a plot σ versus α [or equivalently, σ/λ^2 versus $\alpha\lambda^2$, as in Fig. 1], the work associated with a given process corresponds to the area below such transformation. Since σ is always positive for $\nu > 1$ [cf. Eq. (5.3)], work is positive (negative) for transformations that increase (decrease) α . Therefore, the total work done *on* the system, calculated as $W = W_{ab} + W_{bc} + W_{cd} + W_{da}$, is given by the area enclosed in the cycle of Fig. 1, being negative. If one defines $\mathcal{W} = -W$ as the work done *by* the system, considering that the variation of internal energy is zero for the complete cycle, one has $Q_1 = \mathcal{W} + Q_2$ (conventionalizing all these three quantities as positive). (iii) Hence, in the two isothermal processes, one has that

$$\frac{Q_1}{Q_2} = \frac{\theta_1 |\Delta s_{\nu,1}|}{\theta_2 |\Delta s_{\nu,2}|}, \quad (5.8)$$

where $\Delta s_{\nu,1}$ and $\Delta s_{\nu,2}$ represent the entropy variations in the isothermal processes 1 and 2, respectively. Since the entropy s_ν is a state function, its total variation in the complete cycle should be zero, so that $\Delta s_{\nu,1} = -\Delta s_{\nu,2}$. Consequently, one has $(Q_1/Q_2) = (\theta_1/\theta_2)$, leading to the celebrated efficiency of the Carnot cycle,

$$\eta = \frac{\mathcal{W}}{Q_1} = \frac{Q_1 - Q_2}{Q_1} = 1 - \frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}; \quad (0 \leq \eta \leq 1), \quad (5.9)$$

which holds for any $\nu > 1$. This reinforces the fact that the Carnot cycle is very special within thermodynamics, so that its efficiency does not depend on the system under study, external potential, or particular entropic form considered. As shown in Refs. [13, 15], by following the cycle in its reverse way, the corresponding Carnot refrigerator is obtained; one may carry the same procedure of these previous works to extend the validity of the Carnot refrigerator for any $\nu > 1$. These results give further support for the fundamental relation of Eq. (5.1), as well as for the effective-temperature definition of Eq. (3.3), as appropriate for a consistent thermodynamical framework of the present system.

C. Efficiency of a Carnot Cycle for a General Entropic Form

Next, we will show that the efficiency of Eq. (5.9) may apply to a system described by a general entropic form $s_{\{\mu\}}[P]$, where $\{\mu\}$ stands for a given set of real indexes that defines such an entropy, whereas $[P]$ represents either a discrete set of probabilities, or a continuous probability distribution (for a detailed classification of entropic forms see, e.g., Ref. [40]). Let us then consider such a system under the presence of external parameters that can be varied in order to produce work on it; we will restrict ourselves to the simplest case of a single external parameter, to be denoted by α , similarly to the example above. Herein, we presuppose that the equilibrium entropy is a state function, and that it may be expressed in the form $s_{\{\mu\}} \equiv s_{\{\mu\}}(u, \alpha)$ [like the one in Eq. (3.12)], so that the fundamental relation of Eq. (3.13) follows, leading to a definition of an effective temperature θ .

In this way, we now consider a Carnot cycle, constructed by two isothermal ($\theta = \text{constant}$) and two adiabatic [$s_{\{\mu\}}(u, \alpha) = \text{constant}$] transformations, intercalated. The isothermal transformations occur for effective temperatures θ_1 and θ_2 , with $\theta_1 > \theta_2$. The system under investigation should be able to exchange energy with its environment, by transferring heat δQ , and performing work δW , according to an infinitesimal form of the first law, like Eq. (5.1). The infinitesimal heat is given by $\delta Q = \theta ds_{\{\mu\}}$, whereas the infinitesimal quantity of work may be defined as $\delta W = \sigma d\alpha$, with σ representing the parameter thermodynamically conjugated to α . Since the entropy is a state function, its change along the whole cycle is zero, leading to $\Delta s_{\{\mu\},1} = -\Delta s_{\{\mu\},2}$, where 1 and 2 refer to the two isothermal processes of the cycle. Similarly to what was done in Fig. 1, by considering that the variation of internal energy is

zero for the complete cycle, one uses Eq. (5.1) to obtain $Q_1 = \mathcal{W} + Q_2$ (conventionalizing all these three quantities as positive), and using the relation of Eq. (3.13) for the two isothermal processes, $Q_1 = \theta_1 |\Delta s_{\{\mu\},1}|$ and $Q_2 = \theta_2 |\Delta s_{\{\mu\},2}|$, respectively. From these results, Eq. (5.8) follows, leading to the efficiency of the associated Carnot cycle in Eq. (5.9).

Hence, we have shown that the well-known expression for the efficiency of a Carnot cycle applies to a general entropic form $s_{\{\mu\}}[P]$; such a demonstration is expected to be applicable to a cycle composed by a working substance within the realm of complex systems, which have been usually associated to these types of entropic forms.

In what follows we continue with the thermodynamic framework associated with the entropy of Eq. (1.3) and the corresponding equilibrium distribution of Eq. (1.5).

VI. THERMODYNAMIC POTENTIALS AND RESPONSE FUNCTIONS

Now we will explore the first-law proposal of Eq. (5.1), by following usual procedures [1–3], e.g., performing Legendre transformations, in order to introduce further thermodynamic potentials. Additionally, we define quantities analogous to the response functions of standard thermodynamics.

A. Internal energy

From Eq. (5.1) one has that the internal energy depends on the pair of independent variables (s_ν, α) , i.e., $u \equiv u(s_\nu, \alpha)$; indeed, inverting Eq. (3.12) one gets,

$$u(s_\nu, \alpha) = \left\{ B_\nu [C_\nu]^{\frac{\nu(\nu+1)}{\nu-1}} \right\}^{\frac{2}{\nu-1}} \frac{(\nu-1)\alpha\lambda^2}{2(3\nu-1)[1 - (\nu-1)s_\nu/k]^{\frac{2}{\nu-1}}} . \quad (6.1)$$

From the expression above one obtains equations that are equivalent to the effective-temperature definition [Eq. (3.13)], as well as the equation of state [Eq. (5.3)], respectively,

$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial s_\nu} \right)_\alpha = \theta ; \quad \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha} \right)_{s_\nu} = \sigma . \quad (6.2)$$

The internal energy $u(s_\nu, \alpha)$ must be a state function; for that, its second derivatives should be independent of the order of differentiation, leading to the following Maxwell relation,

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \alpha \partial s_\nu} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial s_\nu \partial \alpha} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s_\nu} \right)_\alpha = \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \alpha} \right)_{s_\nu} . \quad (6.3)$$

B. Helmholtz free energy

Now we consider the Helmholtz free energy, $f(\theta, \alpha)$ [defined in Eq. (1.4)],

$$f(\theta, \alpha) = u - \theta s_\nu \Rightarrow df = -s_\nu d\theta + \sigma d\alpha . \quad (6.4)$$

The following free energy results,

$$f_\nu(\theta, \alpha) = \left\{ \frac{(\nu-1)[C_\nu]^2}{2(3\nu-1)} + \frac{B_\nu [C_\nu]^{\frac{3\nu-1}{\nu-1}}}{\nu-1} \right\} (\alpha\lambda^2)^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu+1}} (k\theta)^{\frac{2}{\nu+1}} - \frac{k\theta}{\nu-1} , \quad (6.5)$$

which satisfies the relations,

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}\right)_\alpha = -s_\nu ; \quad \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha}\right)_\theta = \sigma . \quad (6.6)$$

Furthermore, the corresponding Maxwell relation appears,

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \alpha \partial \theta} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial \alpha} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{\partial s_\nu}{\partial \alpha}\right)_\theta = -\left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \theta}\right)_\alpha . \quad (6.7)$$

C. Gibbs free energy

We define the Gibbs potential $g(\theta, \sigma)$ through

$$g(\theta, \sigma) = f - \sigma \alpha = u - \theta s_\nu - \sigma \alpha \Rightarrow dg = -s_\nu d\theta - \alpha d\sigma . \quad (6.8)$$

In fact, using the relation of Eq. (5.4), one has that $g = -\theta s_\nu$, so that Eq. (3.12) yields

$$g(\theta, \sigma) = \frac{k\theta}{\nu - 1} \left\{ B_\nu [C_\nu]^{\frac{\nu(\nu+1)}{\nu-1}} \left[\frac{\lambda^2(\nu-1)}{2\sigma(3\nu-1)} \right]^{\frac{\nu-1}{2}} - 1 \right\} , \quad (6.9)$$

which satisfies

$$\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta}\right)_\sigma = -s_\nu ; \quad \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial \sigma}\right)_\theta = -\alpha . \quad (6.10)$$

The first of these equations follows trivially from $g = -\theta s_\nu$, whereas the second leads to the equation of state of Eq. (5.3). The Maxwell relation associated with this pair of variables is obtained as

$$\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial \sigma \partial \theta} = \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial \theta \partial \sigma} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{\partial s_\nu}{\partial \sigma}\right)_\theta = \left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \theta}\right)_\sigma . \quad (6.11)$$

The consequences of the relation $g = -\theta s_\nu$ will be discussed next.

D. Enthalpy

The relation of Eq. (5.4), i.e., $u = \sigma \alpha$, has led to a Gibbs potential $g = -\theta s_\nu$; these relations imply on a trivial enthalpy, defined as

$$h(s_\nu, \sigma) = u - \sigma \alpha = f + \theta s_\nu - \sigma \alpha = g + \theta s_\nu = 0 . \quad (6.12)$$

Hence,

$$dh = \theta ds_\nu - \alpha d\sigma = 0 \Rightarrow ds_\nu = \frac{\alpha}{\theta} d\sigma , \quad (6.13)$$

showing that variations in σ are directly related to variations in the entropy s_ν , reinforcing the previous result that for an adiabatic process the present system cannot exchange “heat” (i.e., it cannot vary its entropy) for σ fixed.

Therefore, a complete thermodynamic framework is given in terms of the three previously-defined potentials, namely, internal energy, $u(s_\nu, \alpha)$, and free energies, $f(\theta, \alpha)$, and $g(\theta, \sigma)$. As already verified in Ref. [15] for the case $\nu = 2$, the enthalpy $h(s_\nu, \sigma)$ is trivial for any $\nu > 1$ and does not contain any new information for the present system. One should remind that a similar behavior is also found in other well-known systems, like in the three-dimensional ideal gas, for which $pv = 2u/3$ (valid for the classic case, as well as in both quantum statistics [2, 3]), leading to an enthalpy $h = 5u/3$, showing that for an ideal gas, the enthalpy does not represent an independent thermodynamic potential.

E. Response functions

A quantity analogous to the specific heat was introduced in Refs. [12, 13, 15] for a fixed α ; it may be calculated in three different ways,

$$c_\alpha = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \right)_\alpha = \theta \left(\frac{\partial s_\nu}{\partial \theta} \right)_\alpha = -\theta \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial^2 \theta} \right)_\alpha = \frac{k(\nu - 1)}{(\nu + 1)(3\nu - 1)} C_\nu^2 \left(\frac{\alpha \lambda^2}{k\theta} \right)^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu+1}}, \quad (6.14)$$

being a positive quantity for $\nu > 1$. In a similar way, one can define c_σ ,

$$c_\sigma = \theta \left(\frac{\partial s_\nu}{\partial \theta} \right)_\sigma = \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta} \right)_\sigma = 0, \quad (6.15)$$

following trivially as a consequence of the fact that $h = 0$, and expected, since the system cannot exchange heat for σ fixed.

Using the equation of state in Eq. (5.3) one can calculate other response functions, corresponding to the coefficient of expansion and isothermal compressibility of standard thermodynamics; these quantities can be expressed respectively, as

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \theta} \right)_\sigma = \frac{1}{\theta}, \quad (6.16)$$

and

$$\kappa = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \sigma} \right)_\theta = \frac{\nu + 1}{2\sigma}, \quad (6.17)$$

being both positive quantities. Moreover, one can also show that the response functions defined above are related by

$$c_\alpha = \alpha \theta \frac{\gamma^2}{\kappa}. \quad (6.18)$$

Therefore, these quantities behave very similarly to the corresponding ones of standard thermodynamics [1], including the relation between them [Eq. (6.18)]; these results, shown to be valid for $\nu = 2$ in Ref. [15], were extended herein for any $\nu > 1$.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

Recent works have shown that systems of particles, interacting repulsively through short-range forces and evolving under overdamped motion, may be associated to a nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation within the class of nonextensive

statistical mechanics. The identification with this equation, characterized by a nonlinear diffusion contribution whose exponent is given by $\nu = 2 - q$, was reached through both a coarse-graining approach and molecular-dynamics simulations of the equations of motion. These procedures were applied to a system of interacting vortices relevant for type-II superconductors, where the exponent $\nu = 2$ was obtained [7–10], and more recently, by introducing effects of correlations, further physical systems corresponding to $\nu > 2$ were identified in Ref. [35].

Motivated by these types of physical systems, we have developed a consistent thermodynamic framework valid for the whole range $\nu > 1$ (i.e., $q < 1$), which includes the values of the investigation of Ref. [35], as well as the particular case $\nu = 2$. In this approach, one basic requirement is an equilibrium distribution $P_{\text{eq}}(x)$ characterized by a cutoff value x_e , which is typical of nonextensive statistical mechanics for $q < 1$, extending the framework built for $\nu = 2$ [12–17].

The procedure is based on the definition of an effective temperature θ , conjugated to the entropic form s_ν , typical of nonextensive statistical mechanics. Similarly to the previous works, the following framework holds for typical effective temperatures $\theta \gg T$, so that the usual thermal effects (associated with the temperature T) can be neglected. From the corresponding nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation, the equilibrium distribution $P_{\text{eq}}(x)$ was obtained, which corresponds to a q -Gaussian distribution with $q < 1$, and it is shown that the cutoff x_e depends on θ , behaving like $x_e \sim \theta^{1/(\nu+1)}$; as a consequence, the variance increases as $\langle x^2 \rangle \propto \theta^{2/(\nu+1)}$. From this equilibrium distribution we have expressed thermodynamic quantities, like entropy and internal energy, in terms of the effective temperature θ . A similar framework associated to q -Gaussian distributions with $q \geq 1$ is still missing; the appropriate finite quantity to be related with an effective temperature θ concerns one of the main questions, particularly in those cases where the variance diverges.

The consistency of our definitions is shown by introducing a thermal contact between two systems, in such a way to obtain a formulation for the Zeroth Law of thermodynamics. Moreover, by proposing an infinitesimal form for the First Law, we have considered Legendre transformations in order to derive thermodynamic potentials, Maxwell relations, obtaining well-known structures for the thermodynamic potentials. Furthermore, we have defined physical transformations and explored the Carnot cycle, calculating the celebrated expression for its efficiency, $\eta = 1 - (\theta_2/\theta_1)$, where θ_1 and θ_2 correspond to the effective temperatures associated with two isothermal transformations, with $\theta_1 > \theta_2$. We have shown that such a result for the efficiency of the Carnot cycle holds for any entropic form that satisfies basic thermodynamic requirements.

Previous works have shown that a system of interacting vortices, commonly used for modelling type-II superconductors, is associated to an entropy s_2 , representing an important physical application for nonextensive statistical mechanics. The present extended thermodynamic framework, for a system of interacting particles under the above-mentioned conditions, and associated to an entropy s_ν , with $\nu > 1$, certainly enlarges the possibility of experimental verifications. As potential candidates, one could mention complex physical systems, like dusty plasma [42–45] and polymer solutions [46].

Acknowledgments

The authors thank C. Tsallis for fruitful conversations. Partial financial support from CNPq, CAPES, and FAPERJ (Brazilian funding agencies) is acknowledged. One of us (A.M.C.S.) also acknowledges financial support by the John Templeton Foundation (USA).

-
- [1] F. Reif, *Fundamentals of Statistical and Thermal Physics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).
 - [2] R. Balian, *From Microphysics to Macrophysics*, Vols. I and II; (Springer, Berlin, 1991).
 - [3] L. E. Reichl, *A Modern Course in Statistical Physics*, 2nd edition (Wiley, New York, 1998).
 - [4] V. Balakrishnan, *Elements of Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics* (CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, New York, USA, 2008).
 - [5] H. Risken, *The Fokker-Planck Equation*, 2nd edition (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989).
 - [6] T. D. Frank, *Nonlinear Fokker-Planck Equations: Fundamentals and Applications* (Springer, Berlin, 2005).
 - [7] S. Zapperi, A. A. Moreira, and J. S. Andrade, Flux Front Penetration in Disordered Superconductors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 3622 (2001).
 - [8] J. S. Andrade, Jr., G. F. T. da Silva, A. A. Moreira, F. D. Nobre, and E. M. F. Curado, Thermostatistics of Overdamped Motion of Interacting Particles, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 260601 (2010).

- [9] M. S. Ribeiro, F. D. Nobre, and E. M. F. Curado, Time evolution of interacting vortices under overdamped motion, *Phys. Rev. E* **85**, 021146 (2012).
- [10] M. S. Ribeiro, F. D. Nobre, and E. M. F. Curado, Overdamped motion of interacting particles in general confining potentials: time-dependent and stationary-state analyses, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **85**, 309 (2012).
- [11] M. S. Ribeiro, F. D. Nobre and E. M. F. Curado, Comment on “Vortex distribution in a confining potential” **90**, 026101 (2014).
- [12] F. D. Nobre, A. M. C. Souza and E. M. F. Curado, Effective-temperature concept: A physical application for nonextensive statistical mechanics, *Phys. Rev. E* **86**, 061113 (2012).
- [13] E. M. F. Curado, A. M. C. Souza, F. D. Nobre, and R. F. S. Andrade, Carnot cycle for interacting particles in the absence of thermal noise, *Phys. Rev. E* **89**, 022117 (2014).
- [14] R. F. S. Andrade, A. M. C. Souza, E. M. F. Curado, and F. D. Nobre, A thermodynamical formalism describing mechanical interactions, *Europhys. Lett.* **108**, 20001(2014).
- [15] F. D. Nobre, E. M. F. Curado, A. M. C. Souza, and R. F. S. Andrade, Consistent thermodynamic framework for interacting particles by neglecting thermal noise, *Phys. Rev. E* **91**, 022135 (2015).
- [16] M. S. Ribeiro, G. A. Casas and F. D. Nobre, Second law and entropy production in a nonextensive system, *Phys. Rev. E* **91**, 012140 (2015).
- [17] M. S. Ribeiro and F. D. Nobre, Repulsive particles under a general external potential: Thermodynamics by neglecting thermal noise, *Phys. Rev. E* **94**, 022120 (2016).
- [18] A. R. Plastino and A. Plastino, Non-extensive statistical mechanics and generalized Fokker-Planck equation, *Physica A* **222**, 347 (1995).
- [19] C. Tsallis and D. J. Bukman, Anomalous diffusion in the presence of external forces: Exact time-dependent solutions and their thermostistical basis, *Phys. Rev. E* **54**, R2197 (1996).
- [20] C. Tsallis, Possible generalization of Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics, *J. Stat. Phys.* **52**, 479 (1988).
- [21] C. Tsallis, *Introduction to Nonextensive Statistical Mechanics* (Springer, New York, 2009).
- [22] C. Tsallis, The Nonadditive Entropy S_q and Its Applications in Physics and Elsewhere: Some Remarks, *Entropy* **13**, 1765 (2011).
- [23] C. Tsallis, An introduction to nonadditive entropies and a thermostistical approach to inanimate and living matter, *Contemp. Phys.* **55**, 179 (2014).
- [24] M. Shiino, Free energies based on generalized entropies and H-theorems for nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **42**, 2540 (2001).
- [25] G. Kaniadakis, H-theorem and generalized entropies within the framework of nonlinear kinetics, *Phys. Lett. A* **288**, 283 (2001).
- [26] T. D. Frank and A. Daffertshofer, H-theorem for nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations related to generalized thermostatics, *Physica A* **295**, 455 (2001).
- [27] T. D. Frank, Generalized Fokker-Planck equations derived from generalized linear nonequilibrium thermodynamics, *Physica A* **310**, 397 (2002).
- [28] M. Shiino, Stability analysis of mean-field-type nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations associated with a generalized entropy and its application to the self-gravitating system, *Phys. Rev. E* **67**, 056118 (2003).
- [29] P. H. Chavanis, Generalized thermodynamics and Fokker-Planck equations: Applications to stellar dynamics and two-dimensional turbulence, *Phys. Rev. E* **68**, 036108 (2003).
- [30] V. Schwämmle, F. D. Nobre, and E. M. F. Curado, Consequences of the H theorem from nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations, *Phys. Rev. E* **76**, 041123 (2007).
- [31] V. Schwämmle, E. M. F. Curado, and F. D. Nobre, A general nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation and its associated entropy, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **58**, 159 (2007).
- [32] V. Schwämmle, E. M. F. Curado, and F. D. Nobre, Dynamics of normal and anomalous diffusion in nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **70**, 107 (2009).
- [33] P. H. Chavanis, Nonlinear mean field Fokker-Planck equations. Application to the chemotaxis of biological populations, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **62**, 179 (2008).
- [34] M. S. Ribeiro, F. D. Nobre, and E. M. F. Curado, Classes of N-Dimensional Nonlinear Fokker-Planck Equations Associated to Tsallis Entropy, *Entropy* **13**, 1928 (2011).
- [35] C. M. Vieira, H. A. Carmona, J. S. Andrade, Jr., and A. A. Moreira, General continuum approach for dissipative systems of repulsive particles, *Phys. Rev. E* **93**, 060103(R) (2016).
- [36] C. P. Poole, Jr., H. A. Farach, and R. J. Creswick, *Superconductivity* (Academic Press, London, 1995).
- [37] C.-S. Lee, B. Jankó, I. Derényi, and A.-L. Barabási, Reducing vortex density in superconductors using the “ratchet effect”, *Nature* **400**, 337 (1999).
- [38] I. Derényi, Application of the ratchet effect to improve material quality (reducing vortex density in superconductors and smoothing surfaces), *Appl. Phys. A* **75**, 217 (2002).
- [39] J. E. Villegas, S. Savel'ev, F. Nori, E. M. Gonzalez, J. V. Anguita, R. García, and J. L. Vicent, A Superconducting Reversible Rectifier That Controls the Motion of Magnetic Flux Quanta, *Science* **302**, 1188 (2003).
- [40] R. Hanel and S. Thurner, A comprehensive classification of complex statistical systems and an axiomatic derivation of their entropy and distribution functions, *Europhys. Lett.* **93**, 20006 (2011).
- [41] B. Y. Zhu, F. Marchesoni, and F. Nori, Controlling the Motion of Magnetic Flux Quanta, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 180602 (2004).
- [42] P. K. Shukla, A survey of dusty plasma physics, *Physics of Plasmas*, **8**, 1791 (2001).

- [43] B. Liu and J. Goree, Superdiffusion and Non-Gaussian Statistics in a Driven-Dissipative 2D Dusty Plasma, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 055003 (2008).
- [44] T. E. Sheridan, Monte Carlo study of melting in a finite two-dimensional dusty plasma, *Physics of Plasmas* **16**, 083705 (2009).
- [45] S. A. Khrapak, O. S. Vaulina and G. E. Morfill, Self-diffusion in strongly coupled Yukawa systems (complex plasmas), *Physics of Plasmas* **19**, 034503 (2012).
- [46] I. Teraoka, *Polymer Solutions: An Introduction to Physical Properties* (Wiley, New York, 2002).