

CALKIN REPRESENTATIONS FOR L^p

MARCH T. BOEDIHARDJO

ABSTRACT. We identify the weak closures of the ranges of certain Calkin representations for L^p , $1 < p < \infty$. As a consequence, assuming the continuum hypothesis, we show that the commutant of $B(L^p)$, $1 < p < \infty$, in its ultrapower may or may not be trivial depending on the ultrafilter. This extends a result of Farah, Phillips and Steprāns.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, the scalar field can be either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Given a Banach space \mathcal{X} , let $B(\mathcal{X})$ be the algebra of operators on \mathcal{X} and let $K(\mathcal{X})$ be the ideal of compact operators on \mathcal{X} . In [4], Calkin began the study of the quotient algebra $B(l^2)/K(l^2)$ and explicitly constructed a class of isometric representations of $B(l^2)/K(l^2)$ on nonseparable Hilbert spaces. In [10], Reid showed that certain Calkin representations are irreducible and in fact specified exactly which. Reid's result provides the first known explicit example of an irreducible representation of $B(l^2)/K(l^2)$.

Calkin representations for Banach spaces were studied in [2]. It was shown that certain Calkin representations for Banach spaces are bounded below. In this paper, we identify the weak closures of the ranges of certain Calkin representations for L^p , $1 < p < \infty$. As a consequence, assuming the continuum hypothesis, we show that if $1 < p < \infty$ then the commutant of $B(L^p)$ in its ultrapower may or may not be trivial depending on the ultrafilter. For $p = 2$, this was proved by Farah, Phillips and Steprāns [7].

Let \mathcal{X} be a reflexive Banach space. Let $l^\infty(\mathcal{X})$ be the space of bounded functions from \mathbb{N} to \mathcal{X} . Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . The *ultrapower* $\mathcal{X}^\mathcal{U}$ of \mathcal{X} with respect to \mathcal{U} (see [6]) is the quotient of the Banach space $l^\infty(\mathcal{X})$ by the subspace

$$c_\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{X}) = \{(x_n)_{n \geq 1} \in l^\infty(\mathcal{X}) : \lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \|x_n\| = 0\}.$$

If $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a bounded sequence in \mathcal{X} , then its image in $\mathcal{X}^\mathcal{U}$ is denoted by $(x_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}}$. It is easy to see that $\|(x_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}}\| = \lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \|x_n\|$. If $T \in B(\mathcal{X})$ then its *ultrapower* $T^\mathcal{U} \in B(\mathcal{X}^\mathcal{U})$ is defined by $(x_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \mapsto (Tx_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}}$.

Consider the subspace $\{(x)_{n, \mathcal{U}} : x \in \mathcal{X}\}$ of $\mathcal{X}^\mathcal{U}$. We shall identify this subspace with \mathcal{X} . The canonical projection from $\mathcal{X}^\mathcal{U}$ onto \mathcal{X} is given by $(x_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \mapsto w\text{-}\lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} x_n$, where $w\text{-}\lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} x_n$ is the weak limit of $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ through \mathcal{U} which exists since \mathcal{X} is reflexive. Thus, $\mathcal{X}^\mathcal{U}$ admits the decomposition

$$\mathcal{X}^\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{X} \oplus \hat{\mathcal{X}},$$

where

$$\hat{\mathcal{X}} = \{(x_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \in \mathcal{X}^\mathcal{U} : w\text{-}\lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} x_n = 0\}.$$

Note that both subspaces $\{(x)_{n, \mathcal{U}} : x \in \mathcal{X}\}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ of $\mathcal{X}^\mathcal{U}$ are invariant under $T^\mathcal{U}$ for all $T \in B(\mathcal{X})$. For each $T \in B(\mathcal{X})$, let $\hat{T} \in B(\hat{\mathcal{X}})$ be the restriction of $T^\mathcal{U}$ to $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$. We have

$$(1.1) \quad T^\mathcal{U} = T \oplus \hat{T}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46B08, 47B38.

Key words and phrases. Calkin representation, commutant, L^p space.

with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{X} \oplus \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$.

The map $T \mapsto \widehat{T}$ defines a linear homomorphism from $B(\mathcal{X})$ into $B(\widehat{\mathcal{X}})$. It is easy to see that if $K \in K(\mathcal{X})$ then $\widehat{K} = 0$. Let $\pi : B(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{X})/K(\mathcal{X})$ be the quotient map. Define a homomorphism $\rho_{\mathcal{U}} : B(\mathcal{X})/K(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow B(\widehat{\mathcal{X}})$ by

$$\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) = \widehat{T}, \quad T \in B(\mathcal{X}).$$

The homomorphism $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}$ is the *Calkin representation* for \mathcal{X} with respect to \mathcal{U} . When \mathcal{X} is a Hilbert space, Calkin showed that [4] $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an isometric $*$ -representation. The author and Johnson showed that [2] if \mathcal{X} is reflexive and has the compact approximation property (in particular, if \mathcal{X} is reflexive and has a Schauder basis), then

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\pi(T)\| \leq \|\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T))\| \leq \|\pi(T)\|, \quad T \in B(\mathcal{X}).$$

Reid showed that [10] when $\mathcal{X} = l^2$, the representation $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}$ is irreducible if and only if the ultrafilter \mathcal{U} is selective. Thus, if \mathcal{U} is selective then the range $\{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(l^2)\}$ of $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}$ is dense in $B(\widehat{l^2})$ in the weak operator topology (WOT). Throughout this paper, μ is the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$ and $L^p = L^p([0, 1], \mu)$. The main result of this paper is

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$. Let \mathcal{U} be a selective nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Then there is a nontrivial subspace \mathcal{M} of $\widehat{L^p}$ such that the WOT closure of the range of $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}$ is given by*

$$\{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}^{-WOT} = \{S \in B(\widehat{L^p}) : SM \subset \mathcal{M}\}.$$

In Section 2, the space \mathcal{M} described in Theorem 1.1 is given explicitly when $p > 2$. We show that it is invariant under all $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T))$. In Section 3, we construct a projection onto \mathcal{M} . In Section 4, we prove some properties of the projection constructed in Section 3. In Section 5, we prove some lemmas that uses the selectivity of \mathcal{U} . In Section 6, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1 and describe the space \mathcal{M} when $p < 2$. In Section 7, we give some consequences of Theorem 1.1. In Section 8, we state a few open problems.

We begin with some preliminaries.

Let \mathcal{X} be a Banach space. If $(T_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a bounded sequence in $B(\mathcal{X})$, then its *ultraproduct* $(T_1, T_2, \dots)_{\mathcal{U}}$ is the operator on $\mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{U}}$ defined as

$$(T_1, T_2, \dots)_{\mathcal{U}}(x_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} = (T_n x_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}}, \quad (x_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \in \mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{U}}.$$

It is easy to see that $\|(T_1, T_2, \dots)_{\mathcal{U}}\| = \lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \|T_n\|$.

A Banach space \mathcal{X} is *superreflexive* if every Banach space \mathcal{Y} finitely representable in \mathcal{X} is reflexive, or equivalently, if every ultrapower of \mathcal{X} is reflexive. If $1 < p < \infty$ then L^p is superreflexive [11]. Stern showed that [11, Theorem 2.3] a Banach space \mathcal{X} is superreflexive if and only if $(\mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{U}})^* = (\mathcal{X}^*)^{\mathcal{U}}$, i.e., for every bounded linear functional ϕ on $\mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{U}}$, there exists a unique $(x_n^*)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \in (\mathcal{X}^*)^{\mathcal{U}}$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad \phi[(x_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}}] = \lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} x_n^*(x_n), \quad (x_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \in \mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{U}}.$$

Thus for $1 < p < \infty$, the dual of $(L^p)^{\mathcal{U}}$ is $(L^q)^{\mathcal{U}}$ where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Under this identification, the dual of $\widehat{L^p}$ is $\widehat{L^q}$.

Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in a Banach space \mathcal{X} . Let $(y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in a Banach space \mathcal{Y} . The sequences $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ are *equivalent* [1] if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^r a_n y_n \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^r a_n x_n \right\| \leq C \left\| \sum_{n=1}^r a_n y_n \right\|,$$

for all $r \geq 1$ and scalars a_1, \dots, a_r .

Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in a Banach space \mathcal{X} . We say that the summation $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ converges unconditionally if the summation $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n x_n$ converges in norm for every $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots \in \{-1, 1\}$. By completeness of \mathcal{X} , if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \geq 1$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in F} x_n \right\| < \epsilon,$$

for every $F \subset \mathbb{N} \cap [N, \infty)$, then the summation $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ converges unconditionally.

Let $(e_j)_{j \geq 1}$ be a sequence in a Banach space \mathcal{X} . A sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of the form

$$x_n = \sum_{j=k(n)}^{k(n+1)-1} a_j e_j,$$

where $1 = k(1) < k(2) < \dots$ and a_1, a_2, \dots are scalars, is called a *block sequence* of $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$.

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. The sequence $(u_j)_{j \geq 1}$ in L^p defined by $u_1 = 1$ and

$$u_{2^k+r}(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \frac{2r-2}{2^{k+1}} \leq t < \frac{2r-1}{2^{k+1}} \\ -1, & \frac{2r-1}{2^{k+1}} \leq t < \frac{2r}{2^{k+1}} \\ 0, & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $r = 1, \dots, 2^k$, is the *Haar basis* for L^p [1].

If $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $x^* \in \mathcal{X}^*$, then $x \otimes x^*$ is the rank one operator on \mathcal{X} defined by $y \mapsto x^*(y)x$. If A is a Borel set in $[0, 1]$, the complement of A in $[0, 1]$ is denoted by A^c and the indicator function of A is denoted by $I(A)$. If $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function, then the essential support of f on $[0, 1]$ is denoted by $\text{supp}(f)$ and the L^p norm of f is denoted by $\|f\|_p$. The range of an operator T is denoted by $\text{ran } T$.

Let \mathcal{B} be a Banach algebra. Let \mathcal{A} be a subalgebra of \mathcal{B} . The commutant of \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{B} is the subalgebra

$$\mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{B} = \{b \in \mathcal{B} : ab = ba \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

of \mathcal{B} .

A set A is *almost contained* in another set B if $A \setminus B$ is finite. An ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on \mathbb{N} is *selective* (see [7] or [10] where the latter used the word *absolute*) if

- (1) for every sequence A_1, A_2, \dots of sets in \mathcal{U} , there exists $A \in \mathcal{U}$ that is almost contained in each A_k ; and
- (2) given any partition of \mathbb{N} into disjoint finite sets A_1, A_2, \dots , there exists $A \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $A \cap A_k$ is a singleton for each k .

A selective nonprincipal ultrafilter exists if we assume the continuum hypothesis (see [7]).

2. THE SPACE \mathcal{M}

For $2 < p < \infty$, the space \mathcal{M} described in Theorem 1.1 is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{M} = \left\{ (f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \in (L^p)^{\mathcal{U}} : \lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \|f_n\|_2 = 0 \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that \mathcal{M} is a closed linear subspace of $\widehat{L^p}$. The space \mathcal{M} is nontrivial since $(I(A_n)/\|I(A_n)\|_p)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \in \mathcal{M}$ for every sequence $(A_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of sets in $[0, 1]$ such that $\mu(A_n) \rightarrow 0$. In this section, we show that \mathcal{M} is invariant under $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T))$ for all $T \in B(L^p)$.

To begin, let us recall a classical result of Kadec and Pełczyński.

Lemma 2.1 ([8]). *Let $2 < p < \infty$. If $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence in L^p converging to 0 weakly, then there is a subsequence $(f_{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$ satisfying either*

- (1) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{n_k}\|_p = 0$;
- (2) $(f_{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$ is equivalent to the canonical basis for l^p and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{n_k}\|_2 = 0$; or
- (3) $(f_{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$ is equivalent to the canonical basis for l^2 and $\inf_{k \geq 1} \|f_{n_k}\|_2 > 0$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $2 < p < \infty$. Let $T \in B(L^p)$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|Tf\|_2 < \epsilon$ for every $f \in L^p$ with $\|f\|_p \leq 1$ and $\|f\|_2 < \delta$.*

Proof. Suppose by contradiction there are $f_1, f_2, \dots \in L^p$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\|f_n\|_p \leq 1$, $\|f_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ and $\|Tf_n\|_2 \geq \epsilon$. Then $f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in L^p . By Lemma 2.1 on $(Tf_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$, passing to a subsequence, we have that $(Tf_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is equivalent to the canonical basis for l^2 and that either $\|f_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$ or $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is equivalent to the canonical basis for l^p . But this is an absurdity since T is bounded and $p > 2$. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $2 < p < \infty$. The space \mathcal{M} defined in (2.1) is invariant under $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T))$ for all $T \in B(L^p)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, $T^{\mathcal{U}}\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$ for all $T \in B(L^p)$. Since $\mathcal{M} \subset \widehat{L^p}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) = \widehat{T}$ is the restriction of $T^{\mathcal{U}}$ to $\widehat{L^p}$, it follows that $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T))\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$ for all $T \in B(L^p)$. \square

Lemma 2.3 proves one direction of Theorem 1.1, namely,

$$\{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}^{-WOT} \subset \{S \in B(\widehat{L^p}) : S\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}\}.$$

This holds for all nonprincipal ultrafilter \mathcal{U} . But to prove the other direction, we need to assume that \mathcal{U} is selective.

3. PROJECTION ONTO \mathcal{M}

In this section, we construct a projection onto \mathcal{M} . This is needed in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in L^p satisfying $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|f_n\|_p < \infty$.*

Then

- (1) $(f_n I(|f_n| > r))_{n, \mathcal{U}}$ converges in norm to an element in $(L^p)^{\mathcal{U}}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$; and
- (2)

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (r I(|f_n| > r))_{n, \mathcal{U}} = 0.$$

Proof. Recall that $L^p = L^p([0, 1], \mu)$ where μ is the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$. Let ν_n be the pushforward probability measure on \mathbb{C} under f_n of μ . Since $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|f_n\|_p < \infty$, the measures ν_n are uniformly tight, i.e., for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set \mathcal{K} in \mathbb{C} such that $\nu_n(\mathcal{K}) \geq 1 - \epsilon$ for all $n \geq 1$. Recall that every uniformly tight sequence of probability measures on \mathbb{C} has a subsequence that converges weakly to a probability measure on \mathbb{C} . Since the measures ν_n are uniformly tight, there exists a weak limit ν of $(\nu_n)_{n \geq 1}$ through \mathcal{U} . Note that

$$(3.1) \quad \int |z|^p d\nu(z) \leq \lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \int |z|^p d\nu_n(z) = \lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \int |f_n|^p d\mu < \infty.$$

So for every $r_2 > r_1 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (f_n I(|f_n| > r_1))_{n,\mathcal{U}} - (f_n I(|f_n| > r_2))_{n,\mathcal{U}} \|_p^p \\ &= \lim_{n,\mathcal{U}} \| f_n I(r_1 < |f_n| \leq r_2) \|_p^p \\ &= \lim_{n,\mathcal{U}} \int_{r_1 < |z| \leq r_2} |z|^p d\nu_n(z) \\ &\leq \int_{r_1 \leq |z| \leq r_2} |z|^p d\nu(z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{r_1, r_2 \rightarrow \infty} \| (f_n I(f_n > r_1))_{n,\mathcal{U}} - (f_n I(f_n > r_2))_{n,\mathcal{U}} \| = 0.$$

So $(f_n I(|f_n| > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}}$ converges in norm to an element in $(L^p)^\mathcal{U}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. This proves the first assertion.

For the second assertion, observe that

$$\lim_{n,\mathcal{U}} \mu(|f_n| > r) = \lim_{n,\mathcal{U}} \nu(\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > r\}) \leq \nu(\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \geq r\}).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \| (r I(|f_n| > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}} \|_p^p \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^p \lim_{n,\mathcal{U}} \mu(|f_n| > r) \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^p \nu(\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \geq r\}) \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|z| \geq r} |z|^p d\nu(z) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (3.1). \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be sequences of nonnegative functions in L^p such that $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|f_n\|_p < \infty$ and $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|g_n\|_p < \infty$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} ((f_n + g_n) I(f_n + g_n > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (f_n I(f_n > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}} + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (g_n I(g_n > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For every $r > 0$,

$$(3.2) \quad (f_n + g_n) I(f_n + g_n > r) \geq f_n I(f_n > r) + g_n I(g_n > r).$$

Let $0 < s < r$. Then

$$I(f_n + g_n > r) \leq I(f_n > s) + I(f_n \leq s \text{ and } g_n > r - s).$$

So

$$f_n I(f_n + g_n > r) \leq f_n I(f_n > s) + s I(g_n > r - s).$$

Interchanging the roles of f_n and g_n , we obtain

$$g_n I(f_n + g_n > r) \leq g_n I(g_n > s) + s I(f_n > r - s).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & (f_n + g_n) I(f_n + g_n > r) \\ &\leq f_n I(f_n > s) + g_n I(g_n > s) + s(I(f_n > r - s) + I(g_n > r - s)). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (f_n + g_n)I(f_n + g_n > r) - f_n I(f_n > r) - g_n I(g_n > r) \\ &\leq f_n I(f_n > s) - f_n I(f_n > r) + g_n I(g_n > s) - g_n I(g_n > r) \\ &\quad + s(I(f_n > r - s) + I(g_n > r - s)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad &\lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \|(f_n + g_n)I(f_n + g_n > r) - f_n I(f_n > r) - g_n I(g_n > r)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \|f_n I(f_n > s) - f_n I(f_n > r)\| + \lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \|g_n I(g_n > s) - g_n I(g_n > r)\| \\ &\quad + \lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \|s(I(f_n > r - s) + I(g_n > r - s))\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|f_n\|_p < \infty$ and $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|g_n\|_p < \infty$, by Markov's inequality,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|(I(f_n > r) + I(g_n > r))_{n, \mathcal{U}}\| = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \|s(I(f_n > r - s) + I(g_n > r - s))\| = 0,$$

for every $s > 0$. So by (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|((f_n + g_n)I(f_n + g_n > r))_{n, \mathcal{U}} \\ &\quad - (f_n I(f_n > r))_{n, \mathcal{U}} - (g_n I(g_n > r))_{n, \mathcal{U}}\| \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|(f_n I(f_n > s))_{n, \mathcal{U}} - (f_n I(f_n > r))_{n, \mathcal{U}}\| \\ &\quad + \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|(g_n I(g_n > s))_{n, \mathcal{U}} - (g_n I(g_n > r))_{n, \mathcal{U}}\|, \end{aligned}$$

for every $s > 0$. By Lemma 3.1, taking $s \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|((f_n + g_n)I(f_n + g_n > r))_{n, \mathcal{U}} \\ &\quad - (f_n I(f_n > r))_{n, \mathcal{U}} - (g_n I(g_n > r))_{n, \mathcal{U}}\| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the result follows. \square

The following lemma is elementary but we include its proof for convenience.

Lemma 3.3. *Let V and W be vector spaces over \mathbb{R} . Let V^+ be a subset of V such that $x_1 + x_2 \in V^+$ for every $x_1, x_2 \in V^+$. Let $+, - : V \rightarrow V^+$ be functions such that $x = x^+ - x^-$ for every $x \in V$. Let $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ be a map such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(ax) &= a\phi(x), \quad x \in V, a \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \phi(x) &= \phi(x^+) - \phi(x^-), \quad x \in V, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\phi(x_1 + x_2) = \phi(x_1) + \phi(x_2), \quad x_1, x_2 \in V^+.$$

Then ϕ is linear.

Proof. Let $x_1, x_2 \in V^+$. Then

$$x_1 - x_2 = (x_1 - x_2)^+ - (x_1 - x_2)^-.$$

\implies

$$(x_1 - x_2)^+ + x_2 = (x_1 - x_2)^- + x_1.$$

\implies

$$\phi((x_1 - x_2)^+) + \phi(x_2) = \phi((x_1 - x_2)^-) + \phi(x_1).$$

\implies

$$\phi((x_1 - x_2)^+) - \phi((x_1 - x_2)^-) = \phi(x_1) - \phi(x_2).$$

\implies

$$\phi(x_1 - x_2) = \phi(x_1) - \phi(x_2).$$

Therefore,

$$(3.4) \quad \phi(x_1 - x_2) = \phi(x_1) - \phi(x_2), \quad x_1, x_2 \in V^+.$$

For $y_1, y_2 \in V$,

$$y_1 + y_2 = (y_1^+ + y_2^+) - (y_1^- + y_2^-).$$

Since $y_1^+ + y_2^+$ and $y_1^- + y_2^-$ are in V^+ , by (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(y_1 + y_2) \\ &= \phi(y_1^+ + y_2^+) - \phi(y_1^- + y_2^-) \\ &= \phi(y_1^+) + \phi(y_2^+) - \phi(y_1^-) - \phi(y_2^-) \\ &= \phi(y_1^+) - \phi(y_1^-) + \phi(y_2^+) - \phi(y_2^-) = \phi(y_1) + \phi(y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. The map $R_p : (L^p)^\mathcal{U} \rightarrow (L^p)^\mathcal{U}$,*

$$R_p[(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}] = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (f_n I(|f_n| > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}}, \quad (f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in (L^p)^\mathcal{U}$$

is a well defined operator on $(L^p)^\mathcal{U}$.

Proof. We first treat the case when the scalar field is \mathbb{R} . Define $S : l^\infty(L^p) \rightarrow (L^p)^\mathcal{U}$ by

$$S[(f_n)_{n \geq 1}] = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (f_n I(|f_n| > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}}.$$

Take $V = l^\infty(L^p)$, $W = (L^p)^\mathcal{U}$, $V^+ = \{(f_n)_{n \geq 1} \in l^\infty(L^p) : f_n \geq 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 1\}$, $[(f_n)_{n \geq 1}]^+ = (f_n^+)_{n \geq 1}$ and $[(f_n)_{n \geq 1}]^- = (f_n^-)_{n \geq 1}$, where f_n^+ and f_n^- are the positive and negative parts of f_n , respectively. By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, it follows that S is linear. Obviously S is bounded.

Observe that if $\lim_{n,\mathcal{U}} \|f_n\|_p = 0$ then $S[(f_n)_{n \geq 1}] = 0$. Thus R_p is a well defined operator on $(L^p)^\mathcal{U}$.

We now treat the case when the scalar field is \mathbb{C} . Since R_p is a well defined operator when the scalar field is \mathbb{R} , it suffices to prove that if we write $f_n = f_n^{(1)} + i f_n^{(2)}$ where $f_n^{(1)}, f_n^{(2)}$ take real values, then

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (f_n I(|f_n| > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (f_n^{(1)} I(|f_n^{(1)}| > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}} + i \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (f_n^{(2)} I(|f_n^{(2)}| > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|(f_n^{(1)} I(|f_n| > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}} - (f_n^{(1)} I(|f_n^{(1)}| > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}}\| \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|(f_n^{(1)} I(|f_n| > r \text{ and } |f_n^{(1)}| \leq r))_{n,\mathcal{U}}\| \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|(r I(|f_n| > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}}\| = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 3.1. Thus,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (f_n^{(1)} I(|f_n| > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (f_n^{(1)} I(|f_n^{(1)}| > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}}.$$

Similarly we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (f_n^{(2)} I(|f_n| > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (f_n^{(2)} I(|f_n^{(2)}| > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}}.$$

So (3.5) is proved. \square

Recall that \mathcal{M} is defined in (2.1).

Lemma 3.5. *Let $2 < p < \infty$. The map $R_p : (L^p)^\mathcal{U} \rightarrow (L^p)^\mathcal{U}$ defined by*

$$R_p[(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}] = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (f_n I(|f_n| > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}}, \quad (f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in (L^p)^\mathcal{U}$$

is a norm 1 projection onto \mathcal{M} .

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.4, it suffices to show that

$$(3.6) \quad R_p[(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}] \in \mathcal{M}, \quad (f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in (L^p)^\mathcal{U},$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad R_p[(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}] = (f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}, \quad (f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Let $(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in (L^p)^\mathcal{U}$. Let $(g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} = R_p[(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}]$. Then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|(f_n I(|f_n| > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}} - (g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}\| = 0,$$

and so since $p > 2$,

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n,\mathcal{U}} \|f_n I(|f_n| > r) - g_n\|_2 \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n,\mathcal{U}} \|f_n I(|f_n| > r) - g_n\|_p = 0.$$

Note that

$$\|f_n I(|f_n| > r)\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{r^{p-2}} \|f_n\|_p^p.$$

So

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n,\mathcal{U}} \|f_n I(|f_n| > r)\|_2 = 0.$$

Thus by (3.8), $\lim_{n,\mathcal{U}} \|g_n\|_2 = 0$ and so $R_p[(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}] \in \mathcal{M}$. This proves (3.6).

To prove (3.7), note that

$$\|(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} - R_p[(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}]\| = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n,\mathcal{U}} \|f_n I(|f_n| \leq r)\|_p.$$

But $\|f_n I(|f_n| \leq r)\|_p^p \leq r^{p-2} \|f_n\|_2^2$. Therefore, $R_p[(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}] = (f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}$ for all $(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in \mathcal{M}$. \square

4. PROPERTIES OF R_p

In this section, we prove some properties of the projection R_p defined in Lemma 3.5. These properties are needed in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Recall from the preliminaries in Section 1 that the dual of $(L^p)^\mathcal{U}$ can be identified with $(L^q)^\mathcal{U}$ via the following duality relation

$$((f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}, (g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}) = \lim_{n,\mathcal{U}} \int f_n g_n d\mu,$$

where $(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in (L^p)^\mathcal{U}$ and $(g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in (L^q)^\mathcal{U}$.

Lemma 4.1. *If $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then the adjoint of R_p is R_q .*

Proof. Let $(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in (L^p)^\mathcal{U}$ and $(g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in (L^q)^\mathcal{U}$. We need to show that

$$(R_p[(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}], (g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}) = ((f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}, R_q[(g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}]).$$

It is obvious that

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & (R_p[(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}], (g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}) - ((f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}, R_q[(g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}]) \\ &= (R_p[(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}], (g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} - R_q[(g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}]) - ((f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} - R_p[(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}], R_q[(g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}]). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (R_p[(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}], (g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} - R_q[(g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}]) \\
 &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (R_p[(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}], (g_n I(|g_n| \leq s))_{n,\mathcal{U}}) \\
 &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} ((f_n I(|f_n| > r))_{n,\mathcal{U}}, (g_n I(|g_n| \leq s))_{n,\mathcal{U}}) \\
 &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n,\mathcal{U}} \int f_n g_n I(|f_n| > r) I(|g_n| \leq s) d\mu.
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(R_p[(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}], (g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} - R_q[(g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}])| \\
 &\leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n,\mathcal{U}} \int |f_n| |g_n| I(|f_n| > r) I(|g_n| \leq s) d\mu \\
 &\leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n,\mathcal{U}} s \int |f_n| I(|f_n| > r) d\mu \\
 &\leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n,\mathcal{U}} s \frac{1}{r^{p-1}} \int |f_n|^p d\mu \\
 &= \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} s \frac{1}{r^{p-1}} \|(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}\|^p = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(R_p[(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}], (g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} - R_q[(g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}]) = 0.$$

Interchanging the roles of $(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}$ and $(g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}$ and the roles of p and q , we have

$$((f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} - R_p[(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}], R_q[(g_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}]) = 0.$$

Thus by (4.1) the result follows. \square

Recall that $\widehat{L^p}$ is defined in Section 1.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then the range of R_p is contained in $\widehat{L^p}$.*

Proof. Let $(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in (L^p)^\mathcal{U}$. Let $g \in L^q$ where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. By definition of R_q , we have $R_q[(g)_{n,\mathcal{U}}] = 0$. So by Lemma 4.1,

$$(R_p[(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}], (g)_{n,\mathcal{U}}) = ((f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}, R_q[(g)_{n,\mathcal{U}}]) = 0.$$

So $R_p[(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}] \in \widehat{L^p}$. \square

5. USES OF SELECTIVITY

In this section, we prove some lemmas that assume, in an essential way, that the ultrafilter is selective. These lemmas are needed in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall that the definition of selective is given in Section 1.

Lemma 5.1. *Let \mathcal{U} be a selective nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Let Z be a metric space. Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in Z converging to an element $x \in Z$ through \mathcal{U} . Then there exists $A \in \mathcal{U}$ such that the subsequence $(x_n)_{n \in A}$ converges to x .*

Proof. For each $k \geq 1$, let $A_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : d(x_n, x) < \frac{1}{k}\}$. Then $A_k \in \mathcal{U}$ for all $k \geq 1$. Since \mathcal{U} is selective, there exists $A \in \mathcal{U}$ that is almost contained in each A_k . So the subsequence $(x_n)_{n \in A}$ converges to x . \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let \mathcal{U} be a selective nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Let A_1, A_2, \dots be sets in \mathcal{U} . Then there exists a sequence $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in \mathbb{N} such that $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and*

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \in A_{k_n}\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Proof. Since \mathcal{U} is selective, there exists $A \in \mathcal{U}$ that is almost contained in each A_k . We may assume that $A \subset A_1$. For each $n \geq 1$, let

$$k_n = \begin{cases} \sup\{k \geq 1 : n \in A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_k\}, & n \in A \setminus (A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots) \\ n, & n \in (A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots) \cup A^c \end{cases}$$

Observe that $A \subset \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \in A_{k_n}\}$. Since $A \in \mathcal{U}$, it follows that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \in A_{k_n}\} \in \mathcal{U}$.

It remains to show that $k_n \rightarrow \infty$. Since A is almost contained in each A_k , we have that A is almost contained in $A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_k$ for each $k \geq 1$. Thus, for each $k \geq 1$, there exists $N(k) \geq 1$ such that $A \cap [N(k), \infty) \subset A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_k$. So for every $k \geq 1$ and $n \in [N(k), \infty) \cap A \setminus (A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots)$, we have $k_n \geq k$. So for every $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq N(k)$, we have $k_n \geq \min(k, n)$. So $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let \mathcal{U} be a selective nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . If $(f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \in \text{ran } R_p$ then there are $g_1, g_2, \dots \in L^p$ with disjoint supports such that $(f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} = (g_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}}$.*

Proof. Since $(f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \in \text{ran } R_p$ and R_p is a projection,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (f_n I(|f_n| \leq r))_{n, \mathcal{U}} = 0.$$

Thus for every $k \geq 1$,

$$\lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \|f_n I(|f_n| \leq k)\|_p \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \|f_n I(|f_n| \leq r)\|_p = 0.$$

For every $k \geq 1$, let $A_k = \{n \geq 1 : \|f_n I(|f_n| \leq k)\|_p \leq \frac{1}{k}\}$. Since \mathcal{U} is selective, by Lemma 5.2, there exists a sequence $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in \mathbb{N} such that $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\{n \geq 1 : \|f_n I(|f_n| \leq k_n)\|_p \leq \frac{1}{k_n}\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

So

$$(f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} = (f_n I(|f_n| > k_n))_{n, \mathcal{U}}.$$

Since $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|f_n\|_p < \infty$, by Markov's inequality, $\mu(|f_n| > k_n) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mu(\text{supp}(f_n)) \rightarrow 0$.

Choose $0 = m(0) < m(1) < m(2) < \dots$ as follows:

Since $\|f_1 I(\text{supp}(f_n))\|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $m(1) \geq 1$ such that

$$\|f_1 I(\text{supp}(f_n))\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad n \geq m(1).$$

There exists $m(2) > m(1)$ such that

$$\|f_m I(\text{supp}(f_n))\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2^2}, \quad m \leq m(1), n \geq m(2).$$

Suppose that $m(1), \dots, m(k-1)$ have been chosen. There exists $m(k) > m(k-1)$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad \|f_m I(\text{supp}(f_n))\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2^k}, \quad m \leq m(k-1), n \geq m(k).$$

Note that $\{[m(k) + 1, m(k+1)] : k \geq 0\}$ is a partition of \mathbb{N} . So

$$\mathbb{N} = \left(\bigcup_{k \text{ even}} [m(k) + 1, m(k+1)] \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k \text{ odd}} [m(k) + 1, m(k+1)] \right).$$

Since \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter, it contains exactly one of these two sets. For simplicity, assume that it contains the first one $\cup_{k \text{ even}} [m(k) + 1, m(k+1)]$. Since \mathcal{U} is selective, there exists $B \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $B \cap [m(2k) + 1, m(2k+1)]$ is a singleton for each $k \geq 0$ and $B \subset \cup_{k \text{ even}} [m(k) + 1, m(k+1)]$.

Write $B = \{t(0), t(1), \dots\}$ where $t(0) < t(1) < \dots$. We have $m(2k) + 1 \leq t(k) \leq m(2k + 1)$ so by (5.1),

$$\|f_{t(j)}I(\text{supp}(f_{t(k)}))\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2^{2k}}, \quad 0 \leq j < k.$$

Thus

$$\|f_{t(j)}I\left(\bigcup_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \text{supp}(f_{t(k)})\right)\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2^{2j}}, \quad j \geq 0.$$

Let

$$g_{t(j)} = f_{t(j)}I\left(\bigcup_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \text{supp}(f_{t(k)})\right)^c, \quad j \geq 0,$$

and

$$g_n = 0, \quad n \notin B.$$

Then $(f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} = (g_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}}$ and all the g_n have disjoint supports. Thus the result follows. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Let \mathcal{U} be a selective nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Let \mathcal{X} be a reflexive Banach space. Let $(y_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ and $(x_n^*)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}^*$. Then there exists $B = \{t(0), t(1), \dots\} \in \mathcal{U}$, where $t(0) < t(1) < \dots$ such that*

$$|x_{t(j)}^*(y_{t(k)})| \leq \frac{1}{2^{\max(j, k)}}, \quad j \neq k.$$

Proof. Since $w\text{-}\lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} y_n = 0$ and $w\text{-}\lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} x_n^* = 0$, by Lemma 5.1, we may assume that $y_n \rightarrow 0$ and $x_n^* \rightarrow 0$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Choose $0 = m(0) < m(1) < m(2) < \dots$ as follows:

Since $x_1^*(y_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $x_n^*(y_1) \rightarrow 0$, there exists $m(1) \geq 1$ such that

$$|x_1^*(y_n)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } |x_n^*(y_1)| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad n \geq m(1).$$

There exists $m(2) > m(1)$ such that

$$|x_m^*(y_n)| \leq \frac{1}{2^2} \text{ and } |x_n^*(y_m)| \leq \frac{1}{2^2}, \quad m \leq m(1), n \geq m(2).$$

Suppose that $m(1), \dots, m(k-1)$ have been chosen. There exists $m(k) > m(k-1)$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad |x_m^*(y_n)| \leq \frac{1}{2^k} \text{ and } |x_n^*(y_m)| \leq \frac{1}{2^k}, \quad m \leq m(k-1), n \geq m(k).$$

Since $\{[m(k) + 1, m(k+1)] : k \geq 0\}$ is a partition of \mathbb{N} ,

$$\mathbb{N} = \left(\bigcup_{k \text{ even}} [m(k) + 1, m(k+1)] \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k \text{ odd}} [m(k) + 1, m(k+1)] \right).$$

Since \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter, it contains exactly one of these two sets, say, the first one $\cup_{k \text{ even}} [m(k) + 1, m(k+1)]$. Since \mathcal{U} is selective, there exists $B \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $B \cap [m(2k) + 1, m(2k+1)]$ is a singleton for each $k \geq 0$ and $B \subset \cup_{k \text{ even}} [m(k) + 1, m(k+1)]$. Write $B = \{t(0), t(1), \dots\}$ where $t(0) < t(1) < \dots$. We have $m(2k) + 1 \leq t(k) \leq m(2k+1)$ so by (5.2),

$$|x_{t(j)}^*(y_{t(k)})| \leq \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \text{ and } |x_{t(k)}^*(y_{t(j)})| \leq \frac{1}{2^{2k}}, \quad 0 \leq j < k. \quad \square$$

Lemma 5.5. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let \mathcal{U} be a selective nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . If $(f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \in \widehat{L^p}$ then there are $g_1, g_2, \dots \in L^p$ such that $(f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} = (g_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}}$ and $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a block sequence of the Haar basis for L^p .*

Proof. Since $w\text{-}\lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} f_n = 0$, by Lemma 5.1, we may assume that $f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $(u_j)_{j \geq 1}$ be the Haar basis for L^p . For $m \geq 1$, let P_m be the projection from L^p onto $\{u_j : 1 \leq j \leq m\}$, i.e.,

$$P_m u_j = \begin{cases} u_j, & 1 \leq j \leq m \\ 0, & j > m \end{cases}.$$

Since $(u_j)_{j \geq 1}$ is a Schauder basis for L^p [1], $P_m \rightarrow I$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ in the strong operator topology.

Choose $1 \leq m(1) < n(1) < m(2) < n(2) < \dots$ as follows:
There exists $m(1) \geq 1$ such that

$$\|f_1 - P_{m(1)} f_1\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since $f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly and $P_{m(1)}$ has finite rank, there exists $n(1) > m(1)$ such that

$$\|P_{m(1)} f_n\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad n \geq n(1).$$

Suppose that $m(1) < n(1) < \dots < m(k-1) < n(k-1)$ have been chosen. There exists $m(k) > n(k-1)$ such that

$$(5.3) \quad \|f_n - P_{m(k)} f_n\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2^k}, \quad n \leq n(k-1).$$

Since $f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly and $P_{m(k)}$ has finite rank, there exists $n(k) > m(k)$ such that

$$(5.4) \quad \|P_{m(k)} f_n\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2^k}, \quad n \geq n(k).$$

Let $n(0) = 0$. Since $\{[n(k) + 1, n(k+1)] : k \geq 0\}$ is a partition of \mathbb{N} ,

$$\mathbb{N} = \left(\bigcup_{k \text{ even}} [n(k) + 1, n(k+1)] \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k \text{ odd}} [n(k) + 1, n(k+1)] \right).$$

Since \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter, it contains exactly one of these two sets, say, the first one $\cup_{k \text{ even}} [n(k) + 1, n(k+1)]$. Since \mathcal{U} is selective, there exists $B \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $B \cap [n(2k) + 1, n(2k+1)]$ is a singleton for each $k \geq 0$ and $B \subset \cup_{k \text{ even}} [n(k) + 1, n(k+1)]$. Write $B = \{t(0), t(1), \dots\}$ where $t(0) < t(1) < \dots$. We have $n(2k) + 1 \leq t(k) \leq n(2k+1)$ so by (5.3) and (5.4),

$$\|f_{t(k)} - P_{m(2k+2)} f_{t(k)}\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2^{2k+2}}$$

and

$$\|P_{m(2k)} f_{t(k)}\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2^{2k}}.$$

So

$$\|f_{t(k)} - (P_{m(2k+2)} - P_{m(2k)}) f_{t(k)}\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2^{2k+2}} + \frac{1}{2^{2k}}.$$

Let

$$g_{t(k)} = (P_{m(2k+2)} - P_{m(2k)}) f_{t(k)}, \quad k \geq 0,$$

and

$$g_n = 0, \quad n \notin B.$$

Then $(f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} = (g_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}}$ and $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a block sequence of $(u_j)_{j \geq 1}$. Thus the result follows. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we first show that certain rank two operators are in the strong operator topology closure of $\{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}$. Then combining this with the results in Section 2 and 3, we obtain the proof of Theorem 1.1.

To begin, let us recall two classical results.

Lemma 6.1 ([1], Theorem 6.1.6). *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let $(u_j)_{j \geq 1}$ be the Haar basis for L^p . Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{C} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^r a_j u_j \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^r \epsilon_j a_j u_j \right\| \leq C \left\| \sum_{j=1}^r a_j u_j \right\|,$$

for every $r \geq 1$, $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_r \in \{-1, 1\}$ and scalars a_1, \dots, a_r .

Lemma 6.2 ([1], Theorem 6.2.14). *Let $2 < p < \infty$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^r \epsilon_i f_i \right\|_p \leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \|f_i\|_p^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for every $r \geq 1$ and $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^p$. The expectation is over $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_r)$ uniformly distributed on $\{-1, 1\}^r$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $2 < p < \infty$. Let \mathcal{U} be a selective nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \widehat{L^p}$. Then there are $C > 0$, $g_1, g_2, \dots \in L^p$ such that $(f_n)_{n \in \mathcal{U}} = (g_n)_{n \in \mathcal{U}}$ and*

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^r a_i g_i \right\|_p \leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |a_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for every $r \geq 1$ and scalars a_1, \dots, a_r .

Proof. By Lemma 5.5, there are $g_1, g_2, \dots \in L^p$ such that $(f_n)_{n \in \mathcal{U}} = (g_n)_{n \in \mathcal{U}}$ and $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a block sequence of the Haar basis for L^p . Since $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a block sequence of the Haar basis for L^p , by Lemma 6.1, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^r a_i g_i \right\|_p \leq C \left\| \sum_{i=1}^r \epsilon_i a_i g_i \right\|_p,$$

for every $r \geq 1$, $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_r \in \{-1, 1\}$ and scalars a_1, \dots, a_r . Thus,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^r a_i g_i \right\|_p \leq C \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^r \epsilon_i a_i g_i \right\|_p,$$

for every $r \geq 1$ and scalars a_1, \dots, a_r . So by Lemma 6.2, there are constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^r a_i g_i \right\|_p \leq C_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |a_i|^2 \|g_i\|_p^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |a_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for every $r \geq 1$ and scalars a_1, \dots, a_r . □

If \mathcal{X} is a Banach space and $(x_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}^*}$, then we can identify $(x_n^*)_{n \in \mathcal{U}}$ as an element in the dual of $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ via the duality relation defined in (1.2). So if $(x_n)_{n \in \mathcal{U}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ and $(x_n^*)_{n \in \mathcal{U}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}^*}$, then $(x_n)_{n \in \mathcal{U}} \otimes (x_n^*)_{n \in \mathcal{U}}$ defines a rank one operator on $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$. The following lemma provides a sufficient condition for this rank one operator to be in the strong operator topology (SOT) closure of $\{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(\mathcal{X})\}$.

Lemma 6.4. *Let \mathcal{U} be a selective nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Let \mathcal{X} be a reflexive Banach space. Let $(x_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ and $(x_n^*)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}^*$. Assume that for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the summation*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^*(x)x_i$$

converges unconditionally. For each $A \in \mathcal{U}$, let

$$T_A = \sum_{i \in A} x_i \otimes x_i^*.$$

Then

$$\lim_{A \in \mathcal{U}} \rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T_A)) = (x_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \otimes (x_n^*)_{n,\mathcal{U}},$$

where the convergence is in SOT and we treat \mathcal{U} as a net with order defined by inverse inclusion of sets.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|x_n\| \leq 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Let $(y_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$. By Lemma 5.4, there exists $B = \{t(0), t(1), \dots\} \in \mathcal{U}$, where $t(0) < t(1) < \dots$, such that

$$|x_{t(j)}^*(y_{t(k)})| \leq \frac{1}{2^{\max(j,k)}}, \quad j \neq k.$$

So for every $A \subset B$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \| [T_A^{\mathcal{U}} - (x_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \otimes (x_n^*)_{n,\mathcal{U}}](y_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \| \\ &= \lim_{n,\mathcal{U}} \left\| \sum_{\substack{i \in A \\ i \neq n}} x_i^*(y_n)x_i \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n,\mathcal{U}} \sum_{\substack{i \in B \\ i \neq n}} |x_i^*(y_n)| \|x_i\| \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{\infty} |x_{t(j)}^*(y_{t(k)})| \|x_{t(j)}\| \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{\max(j,k)}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{A \in \mathcal{U}} [T_A^{\mathcal{U}} - (x_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \otimes (x_n^*)_{n,\mathcal{U}}](y_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} = 0, \quad (y_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}.$$

Since $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T_A))$ is the restriction of $T_A^{\mathcal{U}}$ to $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$, the result follows. \square

In the next two lemmas, we apply Lemma 6.4 to show that certain rank one operators on $\widehat{L^p}$ are in the SOT closure of $\{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}$.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $2 < p < \infty$. Let \mathcal{U} be a selective nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Let $(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in \widehat{L^p}$ and $(f_n^*)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in \widehat{L^q}$ where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Assume that $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|f_n^*\|_2 < \infty$. Then $(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \otimes (f_n^*)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in \{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}^{-SOT}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.5, we may assume that $(f_n^*)_{n,\mathcal{U}}$ is a block sequence of the Haar basis for L^q . Since the Haar basis consists of orthogonal functions, all the f_n^* are orthogonal.

By Lemma 6.3, we may assume that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^r a_i f_i \right\|_p \leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |a_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for every $r \geq 1$ and scalars a_1, \dots, a_r . Thus, for every finite subset F of \mathbb{N} ,

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in F} f_i^*(x) f_i \right\|_p \leq C \left(\sum_{i \in F} |f_i^*(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad x \in L^p.$$

Since all the f_n^* are orthogonal and $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|f_n^*\|_2 < \infty$, it follows that for every $x \in L^p \subset L^2$, the summation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i^*(x) f_i$$

converges unconditionally. By Lemma 6.4, the result follows. \square

Recall that R_p is defined in Lemma 3.5.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $2 < p < \infty$. Let \mathcal{U} be a selective nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Let $(f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \in \widehat{L^p}$ and $(f_n^*)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \in \widehat{L^q}$. Assume that $(f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \in \text{ran } R_p$ and $(f_n^*)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \in \text{ran } R_q$. Then $(f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \otimes (f_n^*)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \in \{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}^{-SOT}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.3, we may assume that all the f_n have disjoint supports and all the f_n^* have disjoint supports. Moreover, we may also assume that $\|f_n\|_p = \|f_n^*\|_q = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. For every finite subset F of \mathbb{N} ,

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in F} f_i^*(x) f_i \right\|_p = \left(\sum_{i \in F} |f_i^*(x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad x \in L^p.$$

But

$$|f_i^*(x)| \leq \|f_i^*\|_q \|xI(\text{supp}(f_i^*))\|_p = \|xI(\text{supp}(f_i^*))\|_p.$$

Therefore,

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in F} f_i^*(x) f_i \right\|_p^p \leq \sum_{i \in F} \|xI(\text{supp}(f_i^*))\|_p^p.$$

Since all the $\text{supp}(f_i^*)$ are disjoint, it follows that for every $x \in L^p$, the summation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i^*(x) f_i$$

converges unconditionally. By Lemma 6.4, the result follows. \square

We now combine Lemmas 6.5 and 6.6 to obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 6.7. *Let $2 < p < \infty$. Let \mathcal{U} be a selective nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Let $(f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \in \widehat{L^p}$ and $(f_n^*)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \in \widehat{L^q}$. Then the operator $(f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \otimes (f_n^*)_{n, \mathcal{U}} - (I - R_p)[(f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \otimes (f_n^*)_{n, \mathcal{U}}]R_p$ on $\widehat{L^p}$ is in $\{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}^{-SOT}$.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \otimes (f_n^*)_{n, \mathcal{U}} - (I - R_p)[(f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \otimes (f_n^*)_{n, \mathcal{U}}]R_p \\ &= [(f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \otimes (f_n^*)_{n, \mathcal{U}}](I - R_p) + R_p[(f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \otimes (f_n^*)_{n, \mathcal{U}}]R_p \\ &= (f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}} \otimes [(I - R_q)(f_n^*)_{n, \mathcal{U}}] + [R_p(f_n)_{n, \mathcal{U}}] \otimes [R_q(f_n^*)_{n, \mathcal{U}}], \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 4.1.

For every $r > 0$ and $n \geq 1$, let

$$h_{r,n}^* = f_n^* I(|f_n^*| \leq r) - \varphi_r,$$

where $\varphi_r = w\text{-}\lim_{n,\mathcal{U}} f_n^* I(|f_n^*| \leq r)$. Then $|h_{r,n}^*| \leq 2r$ for all $n \geq 1$; $(h_{r,n}^*)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in \widehat{L^q}$, and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (h_{r,n}^*)_{n,\mathcal{U}} = (I - R_q)(f_n^*)_{n,\mathcal{U}}$ (since $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_r = 0$ by Lemma 4.2).

By Lemma 6.5, $(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \otimes (h_{r,n}^*)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \in \{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}^{-SOT}$ for all $r > 0$. Thus taking $r \rightarrow \infty$, we find that

$$(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}} \otimes [(I - R_q)(f_n^*)_{n,\mathcal{U}}] \in \{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}^{-SOT}.$$

Also by Lemma 6.6,

$$[R_p(f_n)_{n,\mathcal{U}}] \otimes [R_q(f_n^*)_{n,\mathcal{U}}] \in \{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}^{-SOT}.$$

Thus the result follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It suffices to prove the result for $p > 2$. For $p < 2$, we can use duality and annihilation and apply the result for $p > 2$.

By Lemma 2.3,

$$\{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}^{-WOT} \subset \{S \in B(\widehat{L^p}) : SM \subset \mathcal{M}\}.$$

This proves one direction. For the other direction, by Lemma 6.7, $S - (I - R_p)SR_p \in \{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}^{-SOT}$ for every rank one operator S on $\widehat{L^p}$. Since every operator on $\widehat{L^p}$ is the SOT limit of a net of finite rank operators on $\widehat{L^p}$, we have $S - (I - R_p)SR_p \in \{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}^{-SOT}$ for every $S \in B(\widehat{L^p})$. By Lemma 3.5, R_p is a projection onto \mathcal{M} and so an operator on $\widehat{L^p}$ has \mathcal{M} as an invariant subspace if and only if it has the form $S - (I - R_p)SR_p$ for some $S \in B(\widehat{L^p})$. It follows that

$$\{S \in B(\widehat{L^p}) : SM \subset \mathcal{M}\} \subset \{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}^{-SOT}. \quad \square$$

Remark. For $p < 2$, the space \mathcal{M} is the annihilator of the space \mathcal{M} for the conjugate of p . In other words, for $p > 2$, the space \mathcal{M} is the range of R_p , whereas for $p < 2$, the space \mathcal{M} is the range of $I - R_p$.

7. CONSEQUENCES

The following corollary follows easily from Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 7.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$. Let \mathcal{U} be a selective nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Then the commutant of $\{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}$ in $B(\widehat{L^p})$ consists of scalar multiples of the identity operator.*

As mentioned in Section 1, Calkin showed that [4] when $p = 2$, the map $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an isometric *-representation so $\{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^2)\}$ is a C^* -subalgebra of $B((L^2)^{\mathcal{U}})$. So by von Neumann's double commutant theorem, the double commutant of $\{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^2)\}$ in $B(\widehat{L^2})$ coincides with the WOT closure of $\{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^2)\}$.

Assume that \mathcal{U} is selective. For $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, by Corollary 7.1, the double commutant of $\{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}$ in $B(\widehat{L^p})$ is $B(\widehat{L^p})$, whereas by Theorem 1.1, $\{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}^{-WOT} = \{S \in B(\widehat{L^p}) : SM \subset \mathcal{M}\}$. Therefore, the double commutant of $\{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}$ in $B(\widehat{L^p})$ does not coincide with the WOT closure of $\{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}$.

The rest of this section is devoted to proving that the commutant of $B(L^p)$ in its ultrapower may or may not be trivial depending on the ultrafilter if we assume the continuum hypothesis.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$. Let \mathcal{U} be a selective nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Let P be the canonical projection from $(L^p)^{\mathcal{U}}$ onto L^p . Then the commutant of $\{T^{\mathcal{U}} : T \in B(L^p)\}$ in $B((L^p)^{\mathcal{U}})$ is spanned by P and $I - P$.*

Proof. Suppose that $A \in B((L^p)^\mathcal{U})$ commutes with $T^\mathcal{U}$ for all $T \in B(L^p)$. By (1.1), $T^\mathcal{U} = T \oplus \rho_\mathcal{U}(\pi(T))$ with respect to the decomposition $(L^p)^\mathcal{U} = L^p \oplus \widehat{L^p}$. So for every compact operator K on L^p , we have $K^\mathcal{U} = K \oplus 0$. Thus, A commutes with $K \oplus 0$ for every $K \in K(L^p)$. Since the identity operator on L^p is the WOT limit of a sequence of compact operators on L^p , it follows that A commutes with $I \oplus 0 = P$. So we may write

$$A = A_1 \oplus A_2$$

with respect to the decomposition $(L^p)^\mathcal{U} = L^p \oplus \widehat{L^p}$. Since A commutes with $T^\mathcal{U}$ for all $T \in B(L^p)$, the operator A_1 is a scalar multiple of I , and A_2 commutes with $\rho_\mathcal{U}(\pi(T))$ for all $T \in B(L^p)$. Thus by Lemma 7.1, A_2 is also a scalar multiple of I . Therefore, A is in the span of P and $I - P$. \square

Lemma 7.3. *Let \mathcal{U} be a selective nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Let \mathcal{X} be an infinite dimensional reflexive Banach space. Let $(T_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a bounded sequence in $B(\mathcal{X})$. Then*

$$(T_1, T_2, \dots)_\mathcal{U} \neq I \oplus 0$$

with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{X}^\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{X} \oplus \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$.

Proof. Suppose by contradiction $(T_1, T_2, \dots)_\mathcal{U} = I \oplus 0$. Let $(x_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{X} such that $\|x_k\| = 1$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $x_k \rightarrow 0$ weakly. Then

$$(T_n x_k)_{n, \mathcal{U}} = (T_1, T_2, \dots)_\mathcal{U} (x_k)_{n, \mathcal{U}} = (x_k)_{n, \mathcal{U}}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Thus $\lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \|T_n x_k\| = 1$ for all $k \geq 1$. For each $k \geq 1$, let

$$A_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|T_n x_k\| > \frac{1}{2}\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Since \mathcal{U} is selective, by Lemma 5.2, there exists a sequence $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in \mathbb{N} such that $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|T_n x_{k_n}\| > \frac{1}{2}\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Hence, $(T_n x_{k_n})_{n, \mathcal{U}} \neq 0$. But since $(x_{k_n})_{n, \mathcal{U}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ and $(T_1, T_2, \dots)_\mathcal{U} = 0$ on $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$, we have

$$(T_n x_{k_n})_{n, \mathcal{U}} = (T_1, T_2, \dots)_\mathcal{U} (x_{k_n})_{n, \mathcal{U}} = 0.$$

An absurdity follows. \square

Corollary 7.4. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$. Let \mathcal{U} be a selective nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Suppose that $(T_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a bounded sequence in $B(L^p)$ satisfying*

$$\lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \|T_n T - T T_n\| = 0.$$

Then there exists a scalar λ such that

$$\lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \|T_n - \lambda I\| = 0.$$

Proof. Since $(T_1, T_2, \dots)_\mathcal{U}$ commutes with $T^\mathcal{U}$ for all $T \in B(L^p)$, by Lemma 7.2, there exist scalars λ_1, λ_2 such that

$$(T_1, T_2, \dots)_\mathcal{U} = \lambda_1 I \oplus \lambda_2 I$$

with respect to the decomposition $(L^p)^\mathcal{U} = L^p \oplus \widehat{L^p}$. By linear scaling and Lemma 7.3, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$. Thus the result follows. \square

Recall that if \mathcal{X} is a Banach space, the space of compact operators on \mathcal{X} is denoted by $K(\mathcal{X})$. The following lemma should be well known. Roughly speaking it says that quasicontral approximate units exist for operators on reflexive Banach spaces.

Lemma 7.5 (Compare to [3], Lemma 2.2). *Let \mathcal{X} be a reflexive Banach space. Let $(K_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a bounded sequence in $K(\mathcal{X})$ converging to I in SOT. Let $A_1, \dots, A_r \in B(\mathcal{X})$. Then there exists a sequence $(K'_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in the convex hull of $\{K_m : m \geq 1\}$ converging to I in SOT such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|K'_n A_i - A_i K'_n\| = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.*

Lemma 7.6. *Let \mathcal{X} be a separable reflexive Banach space that has the bounded compact approximation property, i.e., there exists a bounded sequence $(K_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $K(\mathcal{X})$ converging to I in SOT. Then there exists an ultrafilter \mathcal{U}_0 on \mathbb{N} and a bounded sequence $(T_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $K(\mathcal{X})$ such that*

$$\lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \|T_n x - x\| = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{X},$$

and

$$\lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \|T_n T - T T_n\| = 0, \quad T \in B(\mathcal{X}).$$

Proof. Let Λ be the set of all sequence $a = (a_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of rational numbers in $[0, 1]$ such that only finite number of terms are nonzero and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j = 1$. Since Λ is countably infinite, we may identify it with \mathbb{N} .

For each $a \in \Lambda$, let

$$T_a = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j K_j.$$

For every $x_1, \dots, x_r \in \mathcal{X}$, $A_1, \dots, A_r \in B(\mathcal{X})$ and $\epsilon > 0$, the set

$$\{a \in \Lambda : \|T_a A_i - A_i T_a\| < \epsilon \text{ and } \|T_a x_i - x_i\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq r\}$$

is nonempty by Lemma 7.5. So these sets form a filter base on Λ and thus are contained in an ultrafilter \mathcal{U}_0 on Λ . We have

$$\lim_{a, \mathcal{U}} \|T_a x - x\| = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{X},$$

and

$$\lim_{a, \mathcal{U}} \|T_a A - A T_a\| = 0, \quad A \in B(\mathcal{X}). \quad \square$$

Remark. The operators K_n in Lemma 7.6 can be chosen to have norm 1 since every reflexive Banach space with the compact approximation property has the compact metric approximation property [5, Proposition 1].

Corollary 7.7. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Let $l^\infty(B(L^p))$ be the Banach algebra of bounded functions from \mathbb{N} into $B(L^p)$. Let $B(L^p)^\mathcal{U}$ be the quotient of $l^\infty(B(L^p))$ by the ideal*

$$c_\mathcal{U}(B(L^p)) = \{(T_1, T_2, \dots) \in l^\infty(B(L^p)) : \lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \|T_n\| = 0\}.$$

We may identify $B(L^p)$ as a subalgebra of $B(L^p)^\mathcal{U}$ via the map $T \mapsto (T, T, \dots) + c_\mathcal{U}(B(L^p))$. Then the commutant of $B(L^p)$ in $B(L^p)^\mathcal{U}$ is trivial if \mathcal{U} is selective; and there exists a nonprincipal ultrafilter \mathcal{V} on \mathbb{N} such that the commutant of $B(L^p)$ in $B(L^p)^\mathcal{V}$ is nontrivial.

Proof. For $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, the first assertion follows from Corollary 7.4, while the second assertion follows from Lemma 7.6. For $p = 2$, this was proved in [7]. \square

8. OPEN PROBLEMS

Problem 1. Let $1 < p < \infty$. Is $\{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}^{-WOT}$ always a reflexive operator algebra, i.e., if $S \in B((L^p)^{\mathcal{U}})$ and $S\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N}$ for all subspace \mathcal{N} of $(L^p)^{\mathcal{U}}$ that is invariant under $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T))$ for all $T \in B(L^p)$, does S necessarily have to be in $\{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}^{-WOT}$?

Theorem 1.1 gives an affirmative answer when \mathcal{U} is selective. We also have an affirmative answer when $p = 2$ and the scalar field is \mathbb{C} , since all von Neumann algebras are reflexive.

Problem 2. Let $1 < p < \infty$. Assume that \mathcal{U} is selective. Let $S \in \{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p)\}^{-WOT}$. Does there exist $r > 0$ such that $S \in \{\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(\pi(T)) : T \in B(L^p), \|T\| \leq r\}^{-WOT}$?

When $p = 2$ and the scalar field is \mathbb{C} , we have an affirmative answer by Kaplansky density Theorem. But using the techniques in Section 4, it is not hard to see that we also have an affirmative answer when $p = 2$ and the scalar field is \mathbb{R} .

Problem 3. Let $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$. Characterize the operators $T \in B(L^p)$ such that $T^{\mathcal{U}}$ commutes with R_p .

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Albiac and N. J. Kalton, *Topics in Banach space theory*, Springer, New York, 2006.
- [2] M. T. Boedihardjo and W. B. Johnson, *On mean ergodic convergence in the Calkin algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **143** (2015), 2451-2457.
- [3] M. T. Boedihardjo, *Approximate similarity of operators on l^p* , <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1706.08582v1.pdf>
- [4] J. W. Calkin, *Two-sided ideals and congruences in the ring of bounded operators in Hilbert space*, Ann. of Math. **42** (1941), 839-873.
- [5] C.-M. Cho and W. B. Johnson, *A Characterization of Subspaces \mathcal{X} of l_p for which $K(\mathcal{X})$ is an M -Ideal in $L(\mathcal{X})$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **93** (1985), 466-470.
- [6] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow and A. Tonge, *Absolutely Summing Operators*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [7] I. Farah, N. C. Phillips, J. Steprāns, *The commutant of $L(H)$ in its ultrapower may or may not be trivial*, Math. Ann. **347** (2010), 839-857.
- [8] M. I. Kadec and A. Pełczyński, *Bases, lacunary sequences and complemented subspaces in the spaces L_p* , Studia Math. **21** (1962), 161-176.
- [9] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [10] G. A. Reid, *On the Calkin representations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **23** (1971), 547-564.
- [11] J. Stern, *Ultrapowers and local properties of Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **240** (1978) 231-252.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1555
 E-mail address: march@math.ucla.edu