

ISOMORPHIC CLASSIFICATION OF *-ALGEBRAS OF LOG-INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS

RUSTAM ABDULLAYEV AND VLADIMIR CHILIN

ABSTRACT. Using the notion of passport of a normed Boolean algebra, necessary and sufficient conditions for a *-isomorphism of *-algebras of log-integrable measurable functions are found.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a σ -finite measure a measure space, and let $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be the symmetric function space consisting of complex valued measurable functions f on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ such that $\int_{\Omega} \log(1 + |f|) d\mu < \infty$. It is know that $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is a *-subalgebra in the *-algebra $\mathcal{L}_0(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ of complex valued measurable functions on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ (functions equal μ -almost everywhere are identified) [3]. In addition, the space $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is a non-locally-convex Hausdorff topological *-algebra with respect to the F -norm

$$\|f\|_{\log} = \int_{\Omega} \log(1 + |f|) d\mu.$$

In the case where the measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is the unit circle in the complex plane endowed with Lebesgue measure, the boundary values of Nevanlinna functions belong to the symmetric function space $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, and the map assigning a Nevanlinna function its boundary values yields an injective and continuous algebraic homomorphism from the Nevanlinna class to $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. Since the Nevanlinna class is not well behaved under the usual metric, it is natural to study its topological properties with respect to the F -norm $\|\cdot\|_{\log}$ (see [3]).

Taking into account various applications of *-algebras $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ in the theory of functions of a complex variable, it is important to describe these algebras associated with different measures up to *-isomorphisms. This paper is devoted to solving this problem. Utilizing the notion of passport of a normed Boolean algebra, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a *-isomorphism of *-algebras of log-integrable measurable functions. The proof uses the method of papers [1], [2], which give a description of the *-isomorphisms of Arens algebras of measurable functions.

Date: June 17, 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 28A60, 46E30 .

Key words and phrases. Passport of Boolean algebra, isomorphism of Boolean algebra, log-integrable functions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be σ -finite measure space, and let $\mathcal{L}_0(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be the $*$ -algebra of complex valued measurable functions on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ (functions equal μ -almost everywhere are identified). Following [3], we consider the $*$ -subalgebra

$$\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{L}_0(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) : \int_{\Omega} \log(1 + |f|) d\mu < +\infty \right\}$$

of the $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{L}_0(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. Since for any $a, b > 0$, $a \neq 1$, $b \neq 1$, there are constants $0 < d_1 < d_2$ such that $d_1 \log_a c \leq \log_b c \leq d_2 \log_a c$ for all $c > 0$, it follows that the definition of $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ does not depend on the choice of base of the logarithm.

For each $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ we put

$$\|f\|_{\log} = \int_{\Omega} \log(1 + |f|) d\mu.$$

According to [3, Lemma 2.1], the function

$$\|\cdot\|_{\log} : \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

is an F -norm, that is, given $f, g \in \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$,

- (i) $\|f\|_{\log} > 0$ if $f \neq 0$;
- (ii) $\|\alpha f\|_{\log} \leq \|f\|_{\log}$ if $|\alpha| \leq 1$;
- (iii) $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \|\alpha f\|_{\log} = 0$;
- (iv) $\|f + g\|_{\log} \leq \|f\|_{\log} + \|g\|_{\log}$.

Besides, $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is a complete topological $*$ -algebra with respect to the topology generated by the metric $\rho(f, g) = \|f - g\|_{\log}$; see [3, Corollary 2.7].

Let μ and ν be σ -finite measures on a measure space (Ω, \mathcal{A}) such that $\mu \sim \nu$, that is,

$$\mu(A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \nu(A) = 0, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

In this case,

$$\mathcal{L}_0(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) = \mathcal{L}_0(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \nu) := \mathcal{L}_0(\Omega), \quad \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) = \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \nu) := \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(\Omega).$$

We denote by $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$ the Radon-Nikodym derivative of the measure ν with respect to the measure μ . It is known that $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \in \mathcal{L}_0(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ and

$$f \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \Leftrightarrow f \cdot \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu);$$

in addition,

$$\int_{\Omega} f d\nu = \int_{\Omega} \left(f \cdot \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \right) d\mu.$$

Since $\mu \sim \nu$, it follows that there exists an inverse function $\left(\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}\right)^{-1} = \frac{d\mu}{d\nu}$.

Proposition 2.1. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(\Omega)$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): If $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \log(1 + |f|) d\nu &= \int_{\Omega} \log(1 + |f|) \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} d\mu \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \right\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \log(1 + |f|) d\mu < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$, hence $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$ and suppose that $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \notin \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(\Omega)$. In this case there exists a sequence of positive integers $n_k \uparrow \infty$ such that $\mu(A_{n_k}) > 0$, where $A_{n_k} = \{\omega \in \Omega : n_k \leq \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}(\omega) < n_k + 1\}$, for all natural k . Consider a step measure function $g = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 \cdot \mu(A_{n_k})} \cdot \chi_{A_{n_k}}$, where χ_A is the characteristic function of a set $A \in \mathcal{A}$, that is, $\chi_A(\omega) = 1$ for $\omega \in A$ and $\chi_A(\omega) = 0$, if $\omega \notin A$. Putting $f = e^g - 1$, we obtain that

$$(1) \quad \int_{\Omega} \ln(1 + |f|) d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(E_{n_k})}{k^2 \mu(E_{n_k})} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} < \infty,$$

At the same time,

$$(2) \quad \int_{\Omega} \log(1 + |f|) d\nu = \int_{\Omega} \log(1 + |f|) \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} d\mu \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{n_k \cdot \mu(E_{n_k})}{k^2 \mu(E_{n_k})} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{n_k}{k^2} \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} = \infty.$$

It follows from (1) and (2) that $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ and $f \notin \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$, that is, $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mu)$ is not a subset of $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \nu)$ which contradicts the assumption. Consequently, $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(\Omega)$. \square

Directly from Proposition 2.1 we obtain the following.

Corollary 2.1. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\frac{d\mu}{d\nu} \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(\Omega)$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) = \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$.

Let ∇_{μ} be the complete Boolean algebra of equivalence classes $e = [A]$ of μ -almost everywhere equal sets. It is known that $\hat{\mu}(e) = \mu(A)$ is a strictly positive countably additive σ -finite measure on ∇_{μ} . Since $\mu \sim \nu$, it follows that $\nabla_{\mu} = \nabla_{\nu} := \nabla$. In what follows, the measure $\hat{\mu}$ will be denoted by μ , and $\mathcal{L}_0(\Omega)$ ($\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(\Omega)$) by $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(\nabla)$).

Let $\varphi : \nabla \rightarrow \nabla$ be an arbitrary automorphism of the Boolean algebra ∇ . It is clear that $\lambda(e) = \mu(\varphi(e))$, $e \in \nabla$, is a strictly positive countably additive σ -finite measure on the Boolean algebra ∇ . Denote by Φ a *-isomorphism of the *-algebra $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla)$ such that $\varphi(e) = \Phi(e)$ for all $e \in \nabla$. The restriction of Φ on the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(\nabla)$ is a *-isomorphism of $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(\nabla)$.

Since

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i e_i d\lambda = \sum_{k=i}^n c_i \lambda(e_i) = \sum_{k=i}^n c_i \mu(\varphi(e_i)) = \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i e_i\right) d\mu$$

for all $e_i \in \nabla$, $\mu(e_i) < \infty$, $e_i e_j = 0$, $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} f d\lambda = \int_{\Omega} \Phi(f) d\mu, \quad \int_{\Omega} \Phi^{-1}(g) d\lambda = \int_{\Omega} g d\mu$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{L}_1(\nabla, \lambda)$ and $g \in \mathcal{L}_1(\nabla, \nu)$. This means that $\Phi(\mathcal{L}_1(\nabla, \lambda)) = \mathcal{L}_1(\nabla, \mu)$.

Let $\mu(\nu)$ be a strong positive countably additive σ -finite measure on a complete Boolean algebra ∇_1 (respectively, ∇_2), let $\varphi : \nabla_1 \rightarrow \nabla_2$ be an isomorphism, and let $\Phi : \mathcal{L}_0(\nabla_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0(\nabla_2)$ be a *-isomorphism such that $\varphi(e) = \Phi(e)$ for all $e \in \nabla_1$. It

is clear that $\lambda(\varphi(e)) = \mu(e)$, $e \in \nabla$, is a strong positive countably additive σ -finite measure on the Boolean algebra ∇_2 .

Proposition 2.2. $\Phi(\log(1 + |f|)) = \log(1 + \Phi(|f|))$ for all $f \in \mathcal{L}_0(\nabla_1)$ and $\Phi(\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_1, \mu)) = \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \lambda)$.

Proof. The restriction Ψ of the $*$ -isomorphism Φ on the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{L}_\infty(\nabla_1)$ is a $*$ -isomorphism from C^* -algebra $\mathcal{L}_\infty(\nabla_1)$ onto C^* -algebra $\mathcal{L}_\infty(\nabla_2)$. Then we have

$$\Psi(u \circ |g|) = u \circ \Psi(|g|)$$

for any $g \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(\nabla_1)$ and every continuous function $u : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The function $u(t) = \log(1 + t)$ is continuous on the interval $[0, +\infty)$. Therefore

$$\Phi(\log(1 + |g|)) = \Psi(\log(1 + |g|)) = \log(1 + \Psi(|g|)) = \log(1 + \Phi(|g|))$$

for all $g \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(\nabla_1)$. If $f \in \mathcal{L}_0(\nabla_1)$, then setting $g_n = |f| \cdot \chi_{\{|f| \leq n\}}$, we obtain $g_n \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(\nabla_1)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq g_n \uparrow |f|$ and $\log(1 + g_n) \uparrow \log(1 + |f|)$. Since the isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{L}_0(\nabla_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0(\nabla_2)$ is order preserving, we have

$$\Phi(g_n) \uparrow \Phi(|f|), \quad \log(1 + \Phi(g_n)) \uparrow \log(1 + \Phi(|f|)),$$

and

$$\log(1 + \Phi(g_n)) = \Phi(\log(1 + g_n)) \uparrow \Phi(\log(1 + |f|)).$$

hence

$$\Phi(\log(1 + |f|)) = \log(1 + \Phi(|f|))$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{L}_0(\nabla_1)$.

By the definition of the $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_1, \mu)$,

$$f \in \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_1, \mu) \Leftrightarrow (f \in \mathcal{L}_0(\nabla_1) \text{ and } \log(1 + |f|) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\nabla_1, \mu)).$$

Consequently, in view of

$$\Phi(\mathcal{L}_1(\nabla_1, \mu)) = \mathcal{L}_1(\nabla_2, \lambda)$$

and

$$\Phi(\log(1 + |f|)) = \log(1 + \Phi(|f|)), \quad f \in \mathcal{L}_0(\nabla_1),$$

we conclude that

$$\log(1 + |\Phi(f)|) = \log(1 + \Phi(|f|)) \in \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \lambda).$$

Therefore $\Phi(f) \in \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \lambda)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_1, \mu)$. Similarly, using the inverse $*$ -isomorphism Φ^{-1} , we see that $\Phi^{-1}(h) \in \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_1, \mu)$ for all $h \in \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \lambda)$. Therefore $\Phi(\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_1, \mu)) = \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \lambda)$. \square

Let μ (ν) be a strong positive countably additive σ -finite measure on a complete Boolean algebra ∇_1 (respectively, ∇_2). The measures μ and ν are called log-equivalent if there exists an isomorphism $\varphi : \nabla_1 \rightarrow \nabla_2$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \nu) = \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \mu \circ \varphi)$, where $(\mu \circ \varphi)(e) = \mu(\varphi(e))$, $e \in \nabla_2$.

As noted above, $\lambda = \mu \circ \varphi$ is a strictly positive and countably additive σ -finite measure on the Boolean algebra ∇_2 . Therefore, by virtue of Corollary 2.1, condition $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla, \nu) = \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla, \mu \circ \varphi)$ is equivalent to the system $\frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(\nabla_2)$ and $\frac{d\lambda}{d\nu} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(\nabla_2)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let μ (ν) be a strong positive countably additive σ -finite measure on a complete Boolean algebra ∇_1 (respectively, ∇_2). Then the algebras $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_1, \mu)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \nu)$ are $*$ -isomorphic if and only if the measures μ and ν are log-equivalent.*

Proof. Let measures μ and ν be log-equivalent, that is, there exists an isomorphism $\varphi : \nabla_1 \rightarrow \nabla_2$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \nu) = \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \mu \circ \varphi)$. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{L}_0(\nabla_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0(\nabla_2)$ be a *-isomorphism for which $\varphi(e) = \Phi(e)$ for all $e \in \nabla$. By Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\Phi(\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_1, \mu)) = (\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \mu \circ \varphi)) = \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \nu).$$

This means that the algebras $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_1, \mu)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \nu)$ are *-isomorphic.

Conversely, suppose that the algebras $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_1, \mu)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \nu)$ are *-isomorphic, that is, there exists a *-isomorphism

$$\Psi : \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_1, \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \nu).$$

Let $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a partition of a unity of the Boolean algebra ∇_1 such that

$$\mu(e_n) < \infty \text{ and } \nu(\Psi(e_n)) < \infty \text{ for all } n.$$

It is clear that $\Psi : \mathcal{L}_{\log}(e_n \nabla_1, \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Psi(e_n) \nabla_2, \nu)$ is a *-isomorphism. Since $e_n \nabla_1 \subset \mathcal{L}_{\log}(e_n \nabla_1, \mu)$ and $\Psi(e_n) \nabla_2 \subset \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Psi(e_n) \nabla_2, \nu)$, it follows that the restriction φ_n of that *-isomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{L}_{\log}(e_n \nabla_1, \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\Psi(e_n) \nabla_2, \nu)$ on the Boolean algebra $e_n \nabla_1$ is an isomorphism from $e_n \nabla_1$ onto $\Psi(e_n) \nabla_2$. Define the map $\varphi : \nabla_1 \rightarrow \nabla_2$ by the formula

$$\varphi(e) = \sup_{n \geq 1} \varphi_n(e \cdot e_n), \quad e \in \nabla_1.$$

It is clear that φ is an isomorphism from ∇_1 onto ∇_2 and $\Psi(e) = \varphi(e)$ for all $e \in \nabla_1$. This means that (see Proposition 2.2)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \mu \circ \varphi) = \Psi(\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_1, \mu)) = \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \nu),$$

that is, the measures μ and ν are log-equivalent. \square

Let ∇ be an arbitrary complete Boolean algebra, $\nabla_e = \{g \in \nabla : g \leq e\}$, where $0 \neq e \in \nabla$. Denote by $\tau(\nabla_e)$ the minimum cardinality of a set that is dense in ∇_e with respect to the order topology ((o) -topology). An infinite Boolean algebra ∇ is said to be homogeneous if $\tau(\nabla_e) = \tau(\nabla_g)$ for any nonzero $e, g \in \nabla$. The cardinality of $\tau(\nabla)$ is called the weight of the homogeneous Boolean algebra ∇ (see, for example, [4, chapter VII]).

Let $\mathbf{1}_{\nabla}$ be the unity in a Boolean algebra ∇ . It is known that any infinite Boolean algebra (∇, μ) with $\mu(\mathbf{1}_{\nabla}) < \infty$ is a direct product of homogeneous Boolean algebras ∇_{e_n} , $e_n \cdot e_m = 0$, $n \neq m$, $\tau_n = \tau(\nabla_{e_n}) < \tau_{n+1}$ ([4, Chapter VII, §2, Theorem 3]). Set $\mu_n = \mu(e_n)$. The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & \tau_2 & \cdots \\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$ is called the passport of the Boolean algebra (∇, μ) .

The following theorem gives a classification of Boolean algebras with finite measure [4, Chapter VII, §2, Theorem 5]).

Theorem 2.2. *Let μ (ν) be a probability measure on infinite complete Boolean algebra ∇_1 (respectively, ∇_2). Let $\begin{pmatrix} \tau_1^{(1)} & \tau_2^{(1)} & \cdots \\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$ be the passport of the Boolean algebra (∇_1, μ) , and let $\begin{pmatrix} \tau_1^{(2)} & \tau_2^{(2)} & \cdots \\ \nu_1 & \nu_2 & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$ be the passport of the Boolean algebra (∇_2, ν) . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists an isomorphism $\varphi : \nabla_1 \rightarrow \nabla_2$ such that $\mu(e) = \nu(\varphi(e))$ for all $e \in \nabla_1$;*
- (ii) *$\tau_n^{(1)} = \tau_n^{(2)}$ and $\mu_n = \nu_n$ for all n .*

In addition, the Boolean algebras ∇_1 and ∇_2 are isomorphic if and only if the upper rows of their passports coincide.

Now we are ready to give a criterion for a $*$ -isomorphism between $*$ -algebras $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla, \mu)$ of log-integrable measurable functions associated with finite measure spaces. Let μ and ν be finite measures on complete Boolean algebras ∇_1 and ∇_2 , respectively. Since $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla, \mu) = \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla, \frac{\mu}{\mu(\mathbf{1}_{\nabla})})$, we can assume without loss of generality that $\mu(\mathbf{1}_{\nabla_1}) = 1 = \nu(\mathbf{1}_{\nabla_2})$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let μ (ν) be a probability measure on an infinite complete Boolean algebra ∇_1 (respectively, ∇_2), and let $\begin{pmatrix} \tau_1^{(1)} & \tau_2^{(1)} & \dots \\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \dots \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} \tau_1^{(2)} & \tau_2^{(2)} & \dots \\ \nu_1 & \nu_2 & \dots \end{pmatrix}$ be the passports of (∇_1, μ) and (∇_2, ν) .*

The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) *The $*$ -algebras $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_1, \mu)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \nu)$ are $*$ -isomorphic;*
- (ii) *The upper rows of the passports of (∇_1, μ) and (∇_2, ν) coincide and the sequences $\{\frac{\mu_n}{\nu_n}\}$ and $\{\frac{\nu_n}{\mu_n}\}$ are bounded.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $\Psi : \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_1, \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \nu)$ be a $*$ -isomorphism. Then the restriction φ of Ψ on the Boolean algebra ∇_1 is an isomorphism from ∇_1 onto ∇_2 , hence, by Theorem 2.2, the upper rows of passports of (∇_1, μ) and (∇_2, ν) coincide.

Let $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a partition of a unity $\mathbf{1}_{\nabla_1}$ of the Boolean algebra ∇_1 such that $e_n \nabla_1$ is a homogeneous Boolean algebra, $\tau_n^{(1)} = \tau(e_n \nabla_1) < \tau_{n+1}^{(1)}$, and $\mu_n = \mu(e_n)$ for each n . Set $q_n = \varphi(e_n)$. It is clear that $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a partition of the unity $\mathbf{1}_{\nabla_2}$ of the Boolean algebra ∇_2 such that $q_n \nabla_2$ is a homogeneous Boolean algebra, $\tau_n^{(2)} = \tau(q_n \nabla_2) < \tau_{n+1}^{(2)}$, and $\nu_n = \nu(q_n)$ for each n .

By Proposition 2.2, for a probability measure $\lambda(\varphi(e)) = \mu(e)$, $e \in \nabla_1$, on ∇_2 we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \nu) = \Psi(\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_1, \mu)) = \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \lambda).$$

Using Corollary, 2.1 we see that

$$\frac{d\nu}{d\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(\nabla_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu} \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(\nabla_2).$$

Consequently,

$$\nu_n = \nu(q_n) = \int_{q_n} \frac{d\nu}{d\lambda} d\lambda \leq \left\| \frac{d\nu}{d\lambda} \right\|_{\infty} \lambda(q_n) = \left\| \frac{d\nu}{d\lambda} \right\|_{\infty} \mu(e_n)$$

for all n . Therefore, the sequence $\{\frac{\nu_n}{\mu_n}\}$ is bounded. That the sequence $\{\frac{\mu_n}{\nu_n}\}$ is also bounded is shown similarly.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let the upper rows of the passports of (∇_1, μ) and (∇_2, ν) coincide and the sequences $\{\frac{\mu_n}{\nu_n}\}$ and $\{\frac{\nu_n}{\mu_n}\}$ are bounded. By Theorem 2.2, there exists an isomorphism $\varphi : \nabla_1 \rightarrow \nabla_2$. Let $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $q_n = \varphi(e_n)$ be as in the proof of the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Consider the probability measure $\gamma(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n \nu_n^{-1} \nu(q_n q)$, $q \in \nabla_2$, on ∇_2 . Since the passports of the Boolean algebras (∇_1, μ) and (∇_2, γ) coincide, it follows by Theorem 2.2 that there exists an isomorphism $\psi : \nabla_1 \rightarrow \nabla_2$ such that $\mu(e) = \gamma(\psi(e))$ for all $e \in \nabla_1$.

Let now $\Psi : \mathcal{L}_0(\nabla_1, \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0(\nabla_2, \gamma)$ be a *-isomorphism such that $\psi(e) = \Psi(e)$ for all $e \in \nabla_1$. By Proposition 2.2, $\Psi(\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_1, \mu)) = \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \gamma)$. Since $\gamma(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n \nu_n^{-1} \nu(q_n q)$, $q \in \nabla_2$, it follows that

$$\frac{d\gamma}{d\nu} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n \nu_n^{-1} q_n \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(\nabla_2).$$

On the other hand, if $q \in q_n \nabla_2$, then $\nu(q) = \nu(q_n q) = \nu_n \mu_n^{-1} \gamma(q)$, that is,

$$\nu(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_n \mu_n^{-1} \gamma(q_n q), \quad q \in \nabla_2.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{d\nu}{d\gamma} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_n \mu_n^{-1} q_n \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(\nabla_2).$$

Therefore, by Corollary 2.1, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \nu) = \mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_2, \gamma) = \Psi(\mathcal{L}_{\log}(\nabla_1, \mu)).$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] R.Z. Abdullayev, *Isomorphisms of Arens algebras*, The Siberian Journal of the Industrial Mathematics, **1**, No 2 (1998), 3–15.
- [2] Abdullaev R.Z., Chilin V.I. *Arens algebras, associated with commutative von Neumann algebras*, Ann.Math.Blaise Pascal, **5**, No 1 (1998), 1–12.
- [3] Dykema K., Sukochev F., and Zanin D. *Algebras of log-integrable functions and operators*, arXiv:1509.03360v1. [math.OA]. 10 Sep 2015. 11 p.
- [4] D. A. Vladimirov, **Boolean Algebras in Analysis**, Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 540, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (2002).

UZBEK STATE UNIVERSITY OF WORLD LANGUAGES, TASHKENT, 700174, UZBEKISTAN
E-mail address: arustambay@yandex.com

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF UZBEKISTAN, TASHKENT, 700174, UZBEKISTAN
E-mail address: vladimirchil@gmail.com