

Ground state solutions for a semilinear elliptic problem with critical-subcritical growth

C.O. Alves^a, G. Ercole^b, M.D. Huamán Bolaños^b

^a Universidade Federal de Campina Grande, Campina Grande, PB, 58.109-970, Brazil.

E-mail: coalves@mat.ufcg.edu.br

^b Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, 30.123-970, Brazil.

E-mail: grey@mat.ufmg.br

E-mail: emdi_82@hotmail.com

September 28, 2018

Abstract

In this work, we study the of positive ground state solution for the semilinear elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = u^{p(x)-1}, & u > 0 \quad \text{in } G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N, N \geq 3 \\ u \in D_0^{1,2}(G), \end{cases}$$

where G is either \mathbb{R}^N or a bounded domain, and $p : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function assuming critical and subcritical values.

1 Introduction

This paper concerns with the existence of positive ground state solutions for the semilinear elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = u^{p(x)-1}, u > 0 \quad \text{in } G, \\ u \in D_0^{1,2}(G), \end{cases} \quad (\text{P})$$

where either $G = \mathbb{R}^N$ and $D_0^{1,2}(G) = D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ or G is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N and $D_0^{1,2}(G) = H_0^1(G)$. In both cases, $N \geq 3$ and $p : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying the following condition:

(H₁) There exist a bounded set $\Omega \subset G$, with positive N -dimensional Lebesgue measure, and positive constants p^- , p^+ , and δ such that:

$$2 < p^- \leq p(x) \leq p^+ < 2^* \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (\text{H}_1\text{a})$$

$$p(x) \equiv 2^* \quad \forall x \in G \setminus \Omega_\delta, \quad (\text{H}_1\text{b})$$

and

$$2 < p^- \leq p(x) < 2^* \quad \forall x \in \Omega_\delta, \quad (\text{H}_1\text{c})$$

where

$$\Omega_\delta := \{x \in G : \text{dist}(x, \overline{\Omega}) \leq \delta\}.$$

There are several works in the literature dealing with semilinear problems with $p(x) \equiv 2^*$. Let us mention some of them.

In [16], Pohozaev showed that the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u + |u|^{2^*-2}u, & u > 0 \text{ in } G, \\ u \in H_0^1(G), \end{cases} \quad (P_1)$$

does not admit a non-trivial solution if $\lambda \leq 0$ and the bounded domain G is strictly star-shaped with respect to the origin in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 3$.

In [6], Brezis and Nirenberg showed that if $N \geq 4$, the problem (P_1) has a positive solution for every $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, where λ_1 denotes the first eigenvalue of $(-\Delta, H_0^1(\Omega))$. If $N = 3$, they proved that there exists $\lambda_* \in [0, \lambda_1)$ such that for any $\lambda \in (\lambda_*, \lambda_1)$ the problem (P_1) admits a positive solution and, in the particular case where G is a ball, a positive solution exists if, and only if, $\lambda \in (\lambda_1/4, \lambda_1)$

Brezis and Nirenberg showed that if $G = B_1(0)$ and $N = 3$, then there exists $\lambda_* > 0$ such that (P_1) does not have solution for $\lambda \leq \lambda_*$.

In [8], Coron proved that if there exist $R, r > 0$ such that

$$G \supset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : r < |x| < R\} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{G} \not\supset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < r\}$$

and $\frac{R}{r}$ is sufficiently large, then the problem (P_1) with $\lambda = 0$ has a positive solution in $H_0^1(G)$.

In [5], Bahri and Coron showed that if $\lambda = 0$ and $\mathcal{H}_i(G; \mathbb{Z}/2) \neq 0$ (i -th homology group) for some $i > 0$, then the problem (P_1) has at least one positive solution. The condition on the homology group is valid, for example, if ∂G is not connected.

Existence results for (P_1) related to the topology of G were also obtained by Bahri, in [4]. In [7], Carpio, Comte and Lewandowski obtained nonexistence results for (P_1) , with $\lambda = 0$, in contractible nonstarshaped domains.

On the other hand, the subcritical problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |u|^{q-2}u & \text{in } G, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial G, \end{cases} \quad (P_2)$$

where $2 < q < 2^*$, has an unbounded set of solutions in $H_0^1(G)$ (See [11]). The problem (P_2) with $q = 2^* - \epsilon$ ($\epsilon > 0$) was studied in the papers [3] and [12]. In former, the authors considered Ω a ball and determined the exact asymptotic behavior of the corresponding (radial) solutions u_ϵ , as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. In [12], where a general bounded domain was considered, the authors provided an alternative for the asymptotic behavior of u_ϵ , as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. More precisely, they showed that if $\frac{1}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2}} < \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) < \frac{2}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2}}$, then u_ϵ converges to either a Dirac mass or a solution of critical problem (i.e (P_2) with $q = p^*$). Here, J_ϵ denotes the energy functional associated with the problem (P_2) and $q = 2^* - \epsilon$, and

$$S := \inf \left\{ \frac{\|u\|_{1,2}^2}{\|u\|_{2^*}^2} : u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \right\} \quad (1)$$

is the Sobolev constant, which is given by the expression

$$S := \pi N(N-2) \left(\frac{\Gamma(N/2)}{\Gamma(N)} \right)^{\frac{2}{N}},$$

where $\Gamma(t) = \int_0^\infty s^{t-1}e^{-s}ds$ is the Gamma Function (see Aubin [1] and Talenti[18]).

In [14], the compactness of the embedding $H_0^1(G) \hookrightarrow L^{p(x)}(G)$, for a bounded domain G and variable exponent $1 \leq p(x) \leq 2^*$, was studied (for the definition and properties of $L^{p(x)}(G)$ see [10]). It was showed the existence of a positive solution of (P) under the hypothesis of existence of a point $x_0 \in G$, a small $\eta > 0$, $0 < l < 1$ and $c_0 > 0$ such that $p(x_0) = 2^*$ and

$$p(x) \leq 2^* - \frac{c_0}{(\log(1/|x-x_0|))^l}, \quad |x-x_0| \leq \eta.$$

When $G = \mathbb{R}^N$ and $p(x) \equiv 2^*$ the equation (P) becomes

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = u^{2^*-1}, & u > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases} \quad (P_3)$$

It is well known that the function

$$w(x) = \frac{C_N}{(1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \quad C_N := [N(N-2)]^{\frac{N-2}{4}},$$

is a ground state solution of (P_3) and satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^{2^*} dx = S^{N/2}.$$

In [2] was studied the existence of nonnegative solutions of $-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u) = u^{q(x)-1}$ in \mathbb{R}^N , where the variable exponents $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are radially symmetric functions satisfying $1 < \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\mathbb{R}^N} p(x) \leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\mathbb{R}^N} p(x) < N$, $p(x) \leq q(x) \leq 2^*$ and

$$p(x) = 2, \quad q(x) = 2^* \quad \text{if either } |x| \leq \delta \quad \text{or} \quad |x| \geq R,$$

for constants $0 < \delta < R$.

Finally, in [15], Liu, Liao and Tang proved the existence of a ground state solution for (P) with $G = \mathbb{R}^N$ and p given by

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } x \in \Omega \\ 2^* & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where the constant p belongs to $(2, 2^*)$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with nonempty interior.

In Section 2, motivated by the results of [15], we use some properties of the Nehari manifold to show that the problem (P) has at least one ground state solution when $G = \mathbb{R}^N$ and $p \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ is a function satisfying the condition (H_1) .

In Section 3, we continue the study of (P), but assuming that G is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N and that the function $p \in C(G, \mathbb{R})$, satisfying (H_1) , also verifies

(H_2) There exists a subdomain U of Ω such that $S_2(U) < 1$ and

$$p(x) \equiv q, \quad \forall x \in U,$$

where: Ω is the set defined in (H_1) , $p^- \leq q < \min\{\bar{q}, p^+\}$ for some $\bar{q} \in (2, 2^*]$ (which will be defined later) and $S_2(U)$ is the best constant of the embedding $H_0^1(U) \hookrightarrow L^2(U)$.

Under such conditions, we show that the problem (P) has at least one ground state solution and also present sufficient conditions for $S_2(U) < 1$ to hold, when the subdomain U is either a ball B_R or an annular-shaped domain $B_R \setminus \overline{B_r}$, with $\overline{B_r} \subset B_R$. Moreover, we show that if R and $R - r$ are sufficiently large, then $S_2(U) < 1$ for $U = B_R$ and $U = B_R \setminus \overline{B_r}$, respectively.

We believe it is possible to find further conditions that assure the existence of at least one solution for (P) in the case where G is a bounded domain, and we hope to return to this subject in the future.

2 The semilinear elliptic problem in \mathbb{R}^N

In this section, we consider the semilinear elliptic problem with variable exponent

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = u^{p(x)-1}, & u > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $N \geq 3$ and $p : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function verifying the hypothesis (H_1) .

We recall that the space $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,2} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The dual space of $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ will be denoted by D^{-1} .

The energy functional $I : D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated with (2) is given by

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{1,2}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p(x)} (u^+)^{p(x)} dx,$$

where $u^+(x) = \max\{u(x), 0\}$ and $u^-(x) = \min\{u(x), 0\}$. Hence, under the hypothesis (H_1) , we can write

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{1,2}^2 - \int_{\Omega_\delta} \frac{1}{p(x)} (u^+)^{p(x)} dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\delta} (u^+)^{2^*} dx.$$

For a posterior use, let us estimate the second term in the above expression. For this, let $u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and consider the set $E = \{x \in \Omega_\delta : |u(x)| < 1\}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\delta} \frac{1}{p(x)} (u^+)^{p(x)} dx &\leq \frac{1}{p^-} \int_E (u^+)^{p^-} dx + \frac{1}{p^-} \int_{\Omega_\delta \setminus E} (u^+)^{2^*} dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p^-} \int_{\Omega_\delta} |u|^{p^-} dx + \frac{1}{p^-} \|u\|_{2^*}^{2^*} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p^-} \left(\int_{\Omega_\delta} |u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p^-}{2^*}} |\Omega_\delta|^{\frac{2^* - p^-}{2^*}} + \frac{1}{p^-} \|u\|_{2^*}^{2^*} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p^-} |\Omega_\delta|^{\frac{2^* - p^-}{2^*}} \|u\|_{2^*}^{p^-} + \frac{1}{p^-} \|u\|_{2^*}^{2^*}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (H_1) and Hölder's inequality. Hence, it follows from (1) and (H_1c) that

$$\frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega_\delta} (u^+)^{p(x)} dx \leq \int_{\Omega_\delta} \frac{1}{p(x)} (u^+)^{p(x)} dx \leq a \|u\|_{1,2}^{p^-} + b \|u\|_{1,2}^{2^*}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$a = \frac{1}{p^-} |\Omega_\delta|^{\frac{2^* - p^-}{2^*}} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{S^{-\frac{2^*}{2}}}{p^-}. \quad (4)$$

We observe from (3) that the functional I is well defined.

The next lemma establishes that I is of class C^1 . Since its proof is standard, it will be omitted.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $p \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ a function satisfying (H_1a) . Then $I \in C^1(D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$ and*

$$I'(u)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u^+)^{p(x)-1} v dx, \quad \forall u, v \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (5)$$

The previous lemma ensures that $u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a solution of (2) if, and only if, u is a critical point of I (i.e. $I'(u) = 0$). We would like to point out that critical points u of I are nonnegative, since

$$0 = I'(u)(u^-) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u^- dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u^+)^{p(x)-1} u^- dx = \|u^-\|_{1,2}^2.$$

We would also emphasize the following consequence of the strongly maximum principle: if u is a nontrivial critical point of I , then u is a positive solution of (2).

2.1 The Nehari manifold

In this subsection we prove some properties of the Nehari manifold associated with (2), which is defined by

$$\mathcal{N} := \{u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} : J(u) = 0\},$$

where

$$J(u) := I'(u)(u) = \|u\|_{1,2}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u^+)^{p(x)} dx.$$

Of course, critical points of I belong to \mathcal{N} .

Definition 2.2 *We say that $u \in \mathcal{N}$ is a ground state solution for (2) if $I'(u) = 0$ and $I(u) = m$.*

Next, we will show important properties involving the Nehari manifold, which are crucial in our approach.

Proposition 2.3 *Assume that (H_1) holds. Then*

$$m := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}} I(u) > 0.$$

Proof. For an arbitrary $u \in \mathcal{N}$ we have

$$\|u\|_{1,2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u^+)^{p(x)} dx = \int_{\Omega_\delta} (u^+)^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\delta} (u^+)^{p(x)} dx \leq \int_{\Omega_\delta} (u^+)^{p(x)} dx + \|u\|_{1,2}^{2^*}.$$

Thus, it follows from (3) that

$$\|u\|_{1,2}^2 \leq C_1 \|u\|_{1,2}^{p^-} + C_2 \|u\|_{1,2}^{2^*},$$

where C_1 and C_2 denote positive constants that do not depend on u . Consequently,

$$1 \leq C_1 \|u\|_{1,2}^{p^- - 2} + C_2 \|u\|_{1,2}^{2^* - 2},$$

from which conclude that there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{1,2} \geq \eta, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (6)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= I(u) - \frac{1}{p^-} I'(u)(u) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p^-}\right) \|u\|_{1,2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{p^-} - \frac{1}{p(x)}\right) (u^+)^{p(x)} dx \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p^-}\right) \|u\|_{1,2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In view of (6), this implies that $m \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p^-}\right) \eta^2 > 0$. ■

Proposition 2.4 *Assume (H_1) . Then, for each $u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $u^+ \not\equiv 0$, there exists a unique $t_u > 0$ such that $t_u u \in \mathcal{N}$.*

Proof. Let

$$f(t) := I(tu) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|u\|_{1,2}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{t^{p(x)}}{p(x)} (u^+)^{p(x)} dx, \quad t \in (0, +\infty).$$

We note that

$$f'(t) = I'(tu)(u) = t \|u\|_{1,2}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} t^{p(x)-1} (u^+)^{p(x)} dx = \frac{1}{t} J(tu), \quad \forall t \in (0, +\infty).$$

Since $1 < p^- - 1 \leq p(x) - 1$ we have

$$f'(t) \geq t \left(\|u\|_{1,2}^2 - t^{p^- - 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u^+)^{p(x)} dx \right), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1)$$

and

$$f'(t) \leq t \left(\|u\|_{1,2}^2 - t^{p^- - 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u^+)^{p(x)} dx \right), \quad \forall t \geq 1.$$

Thus, we can see that $f'(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$ sufficiently small and also that $f'(t) < 0$ for all $t \geq 1$ sufficiently large. Therefore, there exists $t_u > 0$ such that

$$f'(t_u) = \frac{1}{t_u} J(t_u u) = 0,$$

showing that $t_u u \in \mathcal{N}$.

In order to prove the uniqueness of t_u , let us assume that $0 < t_1 < t_2$ satisfy $f'(t_1) = f'(t_2) = 0$. Then,

$$\|u\|_{1,2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} t_1^{p(x)-2} (u^+)^{p(x)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} t_2^{p(x)-2} (u^+)^{p(x)} dx.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (t_1^{p(x)-2} - t_2^{p(x)-2}) (u^+)^{p(x)} dx = 0.$$

Since $t_1^{p(x)-2} > t_2^{p(x)-2}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the above equality leads to the contradiction: $u^+ \equiv 0$. ■

Proposition 2.5 *Assume that (H_1) holds. Then,*

$$J'(u)(u) \leq (2 - p^-) \eta^2 < 0, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{N},$$

where η was given in (6). Hence, $J'(u) \neq 0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{N}$.

Proof. For $u \in \mathcal{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} J'(u)(u) &= 2 \|u\|_{1,2}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} p(x) (u^+)^{p(x)} dx \\ &\leq 2 \|u\|_{1,2}^2 - p^- \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u^+)^{p(x)} dx = (2 - p^-) \|u\|_{1,2}^2 \leq (2 - p^-) \eta^2 < 0, \end{aligned}$$

according to (6). ■

Proposition 2.6 *Assume (H_1) and that there exists $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $I(u_0) = m$. Then u_0 is ground state solution for (2).*

Proof. Since m is the minimum of I on \mathcal{N} , Lagrange multiplier theorem implies that there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $I'(u_0) = \lambda J'(u_0)$. Thus

$$\lambda J'(u_0)(u_0) = I'(u_0)(u_0) = J(u_0) = 0.$$

According to the previous lemma, $\lambda = 0$, and so, $I'(u_0) = 0$. ■

The next lemma shows that, under (H_1) , there exists a Palais-Smale sequence for I associated with the minimum m .

Proposition 2.7 *Assume (H_1) . There exists a sequence $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}$ such that: $u_n \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N , $I(u_n) \rightarrow m$, and $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in D^{-1} .*

Proof. According to the Ekeland variational principle (see [19, Theorem 8.5]), there exist $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}$ and $(\lambda_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow m \quad \text{and} \quad I'(u_n) - \lambda_n J'(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in} \quad D^{-1}.$$

It follows from (7) that

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p^-}\right) \|u_n\|_{1,2}^2 \leq I(u_n).$$

This implies that (u_n) is bounded in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence, taking into account that

$$|I'(u_n)(u_n) - \lambda_n J'(u_n)(u_n)| \leq \|I'(u_n) - \lambda_n J'(u_n)\|_{D^{-1}} \|u_n\|_{1,2}$$

we have

$$I'(u_n)(u_n) - \lambda_n J'(u_n)(u_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Using the fact that $I'(u_n)(u_n) = 0$ we then conclude, from Proposition 2.5, that $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in D^{-1} .

In order to complete this proof, let us show that the sequence (u_n^+) satisfies $I(u_n^+) \rightarrow m$ and $I'(u_n^+) \rightarrow 0$ in D^{-1} . Indeed, since $\|u_n^-\|_{1,2} = I'(u_n)(u_n^-) \rightarrow 0$, we derive

$$I(u_n^+) = I(u_n) - \frac{1}{2} \|u_n^-\|_{1,2}^2 \rightarrow m.$$

Moreover,

$$\sup_{\|\phi\| \leq 1} |I'(u_n^+)(\phi)| = \sup_{\|\phi\| \leq 1} \left| I'(u_n)(\phi) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla(u_n^-) \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx \right| \leq \|I'(u_n)\|_{D^{-1}} + \|u_n^-\|_{1,2} \rightarrow 0.$$

■

The next lemma establishes an estimate from above for m .

Proposition 2.8 *Assume (H_1) . Then $m < \frac{1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2}}$, where S denotes the Sobolev constant defined by (1)*

Proof. We define

$$w_k(x) := w(x + ke_N), \quad e_N = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1),$$

where $w : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the ground state solution of (P_3) given by

$$w(x) = \frac{[N(N-2)]^{\frac{N-2}{4}}}{(1+|x|^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}},$$

which satisfies

$$\|w\|_{1,2}^2 = \|w\|_{2^*}^{2^*} = S^{\frac{N}{2}}. \quad (8)$$

A direct computation shows that $\|w_k\|_{2^*} = \|w\|_{2^*}$ and $\|w_k\|_{1,2} = \|w\|_{1,2}$. Moreover, exploring the expression of w we can easily check that $w_k \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in bounded sets and, therefore,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_\delta} |w_k|^\alpha \, dx = 0, \quad (9)$$

for any $\alpha > 0$.

By Proposition 2.4, there exists $t_k > 0$ such that $t_k w_k \in \mathcal{N}$, which means that

$$t_k^2 \|w_k\|_{1,2}^2 = \int_{\Omega_\delta} (t_k w_k)^{p(x)} \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\delta} (t_k w_k)^{2^*} \, dx. \quad (10)$$

Hence,

$$\|w\|_{1,2}^2 = \|w_k\|_{1,2}^2 \geq t_k^{2^*-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\delta} |w_k|^{2^*} \, dx$$

and then, by using (9) for $\alpha = 2^*$, we can verify that the sequence (t_k) is bounded:

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\|w\|_{1,2}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\delta} (w_k)^{2^*} dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}} = \left(\frac{\|w\|_{1,2}^2}{\|w\|_{2^*}^{2^*}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}} = 1.$$

Moreover, since $t_k w_k \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} m &\leq I(t_k w_k) \\ &= \frac{t_k^2}{2} \|w_k\|_{1,2}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\delta} \frac{(t_k w_k)^{2^*}}{2^*} dx - \int_{\Omega_\delta} \frac{(t_k w_k)^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx \\ &= \frac{t_k^2}{2} S^{N/2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(t_k w_k)^{2^*}}{2^*} dx + \int_{\Omega_\delta} \frac{(t_k w_k)^{2^*}}{2^*} dx - \int_{\Omega_\delta} \frac{(t_k w_k)^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx \\ &= S^{N/2} \left(\frac{t_k^2}{2} - \frac{t_k^{2^*}}{2^*} \right) + \int_{\Omega_\delta} \left(\frac{(t_k w_k)^{2^*}}{2^*} - \frac{(t_k w_k)^{p(x)}}{p(x)} \right) dx \\ &\leq \frac{S^{N/2}}{N} + \int_{\Omega_\delta} \left(\frac{(t_k w_k)^{2^*}}{2^*} - \frac{(t_k w_k)^{p(x)}}{p(x)} \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that the maximum of the function $t \in [0, \infty) \mapsto \frac{t^2}{2} - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*}$ is $\frac{1}{N}$.

Combining the boundedness of the sequence (t_k) with the fact that $w_k \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in Ω_δ , we can select k sufficiently large, such that $t_k w_k \leq 1$ in Ω_δ . Therefore, for this k ,

$$\begin{aligned} m &\leq \frac{S^{N/2}}{N} + \int_{\Omega_\delta} \left(\frac{(t_k w_k)^{2^*}}{2^*} - \frac{(t_k w_k)^{p(x)}}{p(x)} \right) dx \\ &= \frac{S^{N/2}}{N} + t_k^{2^*} \int_{\Omega_\delta} (w_k)^{2^*} \left(\frac{1}{2^*} - \frac{1}{p(x)} \right) dx < \frac{S^{N/2}}{N}, \end{aligned}$$

since the latter integrand is strictly positive in Ω with has positive N -dimensional Lebesgue measure. \blacksquare

2.2 Existence of a ground state solution.

Our main result in this section is the following.

Theorem 2.9 *Assume that (H₁) holds. Then, the problem (2) has at least one positive ground state solution.*

We prove this theorem throughout this subsection by using the following well-known result.

Lemma 2.10 (Lions' Lemma) *Let (u_n) be a sequence in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $N > 2$, satisfying*

- $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$;
- $|\nabla u_n|^2 \rightharpoonup \mu$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$;
- $|u_n|^{2^*} \rightharpoonup \nu$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Then, there exist an at most enumerable set of indices J , points $(x_i)_{i \in J}$ and positive numbers $(\nu_i)_{i \in J}$ such that

- i) $\nu = |u|^{2^*} + \sum_{i \in J} \nu_i \delta_{x_i}$,
- ii) $\mu(\{x_i\}) \geq \nu_i^{2/2^*} S$, for any $i \in J$,

where δ_{x_i} denotes the Dirac measure supported at x_i .

We know from Proposition 2.7 that there exists a sequence $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}$ satisfying: $u_n \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N , $I(u_n) \rightarrow m$, and $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in D^{-1} . Since (u_n) is bounded in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we can assume (by passing to a subsequence) that there exists $u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $1 \leq s < 2^*$ and $u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . Moreover, $|\nabla u_n|^2 \rightharpoonup \mu$ and $|u_n|^{2^*} \rightharpoonup \nu$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

We claim that $u \not\equiv 0$. Indeed, let us suppose, by contradiction, that $u \equiv 0$. We affirm that this assumption implies that the set J given by Lions' lemma is empty. Otherwise, let us fix $i \in J$, $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and $\nu_i > 0$ as in the Lions' Lemma. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in B_1(0) \\ 0, & x \notin B_2(0) \end{cases}$$

and $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where B_1 and B_2 denotes the balls centered at the origin, with radius 1 and 2, respectively.

For $\epsilon > 0$ fixed, define

$$\varphi_\epsilon(x) = \varphi\left(\frac{x - x_i}{\epsilon}\right).$$

Since (u_n) is bounded in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the same holds for the sequence $(\varphi_\epsilon u_n)$. Thus,

$$|I'(u_n)(\varphi_\epsilon u_n)| \leq \|I'(u_n)\|_{D^{-1}} \|\varphi_\epsilon u_n\|_{1,2} = o_n(1),$$

so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla(\varphi_\epsilon u_n) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u_n)^{p(x)} \varphi_\epsilon \, dx + o_n(1).$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\epsilon |\nabla u_n|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \varphi_\epsilon \, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p^-} \varphi_\epsilon \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2^*} \varphi_\epsilon \, dx + o_n(1). \quad (11)$$

According to Lions' lemma

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 \varphi_\epsilon \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\epsilon \, d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2^*} \varphi_\epsilon \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\epsilon \, d\nu.$$

Since

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \varphi_\epsilon \, dx \right| \leq \|\nabla \varphi_\epsilon\|_\infty \left(\int_{B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)} |u_n|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_n\|_{1,2} \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p^-} \varphi_\epsilon \, dx \rightarrow 0$$

it follows from (11) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\epsilon \, d\mu \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\epsilon \, d\nu, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0. \quad (12)$$

Now, making $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\mu(\{x_i\}) \leq \nu_i.$$

Combining this inequality with the part ii) of Lions' lemma, we obtain $\nu_i \geq S^{N/2}$. It follows that

$$S^{N/2} \leq S\nu_i^{2/2^*} \leq \mu(\{x_i\}) \leq \nu_i.$$

Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\varphi(x_i) = 1$ and $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Recalling that

$$I(u_n) = I(u_n) - \frac{1}{2^*} I'(u_n)(u_n) = \frac{1}{N} \|u_n\|_{1,2}^2 + \int_{\Omega_\delta} \left(\frac{1}{2^*} - \frac{1}{p(x)} \right) |u_n|^{p(x)} \, dx,$$

we have

$$I(u_n) \geq \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega_\delta} \left(\frac{1}{2^*} - \frac{1}{p(x)} \right) |u_n|^{p(x)} \, dx. \quad (13)$$

Since $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\Omega_{\delta, \epsilon} \subset \Omega_\delta$ be such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{2^*} - \frac{1}{p(x)} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2M}, \quad x \in \Omega_\delta \setminus \Omega_{\delta, \epsilon},$$

where $M = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\int_{\Omega_\delta} |u_n|^{p^-} + |u_n|^{2^*} \, dx \right)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega_\delta} \left(\frac{1}{2^*} - \frac{1}{p(x)} \right) |u_n|^{p(x)} \, dx \right| &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2M} \int_{\Omega_\delta \setminus \Omega_{\delta, \epsilon}} |u_n|^{p(x)} \, dx + \left(\frac{1}{p^-} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) \int_{\Omega_{\delta, \epsilon}} |u_n|^{p(x)} \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2M} \int_{\Omega_\delta} (|u_n|^{p^-} + |u_n|^{2^*}) \, dx + \left(\frac{1}{p^-} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) \int_{\Omega_{\delta, \epsilon}} (|u_n|^{p^-} + |u_n|^q) \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{p^-} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) \int_{\Omega_\delta} (|u_n|^{p^-} + |u_n|^q) \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $2 < p^- \leq p(x) \leq q < 2^*$, for $x \in \Omega_{\delta, \epsilon}$. Then, since $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{loc}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for $s \in [1, 2^*)$, and ϵ is arbitrary, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_\delta} \left(\frac{1}{2^*} - \frac{1}{p(x)} \right) |u_n|^{p(x)} \, dx = 0.$$

Therefore, by making $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (13) we obtain

$$m \geq \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi \, d\mu \geq \frac{1}{N} \int_{\{x_i\}} \varphi \, d\mu = \frac{1}{N} \mu(\{x_i\}) \geq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}$$

which contradicts the Proposition 2.8, showing that $J = \emptyset$. Hence, it follows from Lions' lemma that

$$u_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

In particular, $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{2^*}(\Omega_\delta)$, so that

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega_\delta} |u_n|^{p(x)} \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega_\delta} |u_n|^{p^-} \, dx + \int_{\Omega_\delta} |u_n|^{2^*} \, dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{1,2}^2 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\Omega_\delta} |u_n|^{p(x)} \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\delta} |u_n|^{2^*} \, dx \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\delta} |u_n|^{2^*} \, dx =: L. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by making $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the equality

$$I(u_n) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|_{1,2}^2 - \int_{\Omega_\delta} \frac{|u_n|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} \, dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\delta} |u_n|^{2^*} \, dx$$

we obtain

$$0 < m = \frac{1}{2} L - \frac{1}{2^*} L = \frac{1}{N} L.$$

Since

$$S \leq \frac{\|u_n\|_{1,2}^2}{\|u_n\|_{2^*}^2} \leq \frac{\|u_n\|_{1,2}^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\delta} |u_n|^{2^*} dx\right)^{2/2^*}}$$

we obtain $m = \frac{L}{N} \geq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}$, which contradicts Proposition 2.8 and proves that $u \neq 0$.

Now, combining the weak convergence

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{in } D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

with the fact that $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in D^{-1} we conclude that

$$I'(u)(v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

meaning that u is a nontrivial critical point of I .

Thus, taking into account Proposition 2.6, in order to complete the proof that u is a ground state solution for (2) we need to verify that $I(u) = m$. Indeed, since

$$I(u_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p^-}\right) \|u_n\|_{1,2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{p^-} - \frac{1}{p(x)}\right) u_n^{p(x)} dx,$$

the weak convergence $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and Fatou's Lemma imply that

$$\begin{aligned} m &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p^-}\right) \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{1,2}^2 + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{p^-} - \frac{1}{p(x)}\right) u_n^{p(x)} dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p^-}\right) \|u\|_{1,2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{p^-} - \frac{1}{p(x)}\right) u^{p(x)} dx \\ &= I(u) - \frac{1}{p^-} I'(u)(u) = I(u) \geq m, \end{aligned}$$

showing that $I(u) = m$.

3 The semilinear elliptic problem in a bounded domain

In this section we consider the elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = u^{p(x)-1}, & u > 0 \quad \text{in } G \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial G, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where G is a smooth bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 3$, and $p : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function verifying (H_1) and an additional hypothesis (H_2) , which will be stated in the sequel.

We recall that the usual norm in $H_0^1(G)$ is given by

$$\|u\| := \|\nabla u\|_2 = \left(\int_G |\nabla u|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We denote the dual space of $H_0^1(G)$ by H^{-1} .

The energy functional $I : H_0^1(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated with the problem (14) is defined by

$$I(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_G |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_G \frac{1}{p(x)} (u^+)^{p(x)} dx.$$

It belongs to $C^1(H_0^1(G), \mathbb{R})$ and its derivative is given by

$$I'(u)(v) = \int_G \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx - \int_G (u^+)^{p(x)-1} v dx, \quad \forall u, v \in H_0^1(G). \quad (15)$$

Thus, a function $u \in H_0^1(G)$ is a weak solution of (14) if, and only if, u is a critical point of I . Moreover, as in the previous section, the nontrivial critical points of I are positive.

In the sequel, we will use the same notations of Section 2. Thus,

$$J(u) := I'(u)(u) = \|u\|^2 - \int_G (u^+)^{p(x)} dx,$$

the Nehari manifold associated with (14) is defined by

$$\mathcal{N} := \{u \in H_0^1(G) \setminus \{0\} : J(u) = 0\},$$

and

$$m := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}} I(u).$$

Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 2.3 we can guarantee that $m > 0$.

Definition 3.1 *We say that $u \in \mathcal{N}$ is a ground state solution for (14) if $I'(u) = 0$ and $I(u) = m$.*

We gather in the next lemma some results that can be proved as in Section 2.

Lemma 3.2 *Assume (H_1) . We claim that:*

- (i) $J'(u)(u) < 0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{N}$. (Thus, $J'(u) \neq 0$, for all $u \in \mathcal{N}$.)
- (ii) If $I(u_0) = m$, then $I'(u_0) = 0$. (Thus, u_0 is a weak solution of (14).)
- (iii) There exists a sequence $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}$ such that $u_n \geq 0$ in G , $I(u_n) \rightarrow m$ and $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ em H^{-1} .

Before establishing our main result in this section, we need to fix some notation. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ a bounded domain and define

$$S_q(U) := \inf \left\{ \frac{\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(U)}^2}{\|v\|_{L^q(U)}^2} : v \in H_0^1(U) \setminus \{0\} \right\}, \quad 1 \leq q \leq 2^*. \quad (16)$$

It is well known that if $1 \leq q < 2^*$ then the infimum in (16) is attained by a positive function in $H_0^1(U)$. Actually, this follows from the compactness of the embedding $H_0^1(U) \hookrightarrow L^q(U)$.

Another well-known fact is that in the case $q = 2^*$ the infimum in (16) coincides with the best Sobolev constant, i.e.

$$S_q(U) = S := \inf \left\{ \frac{\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2}{\|v\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2} : v \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \right\}. \quad (17)$$

Moreover, the infimum (17) is not attained if U is a proper subset of \mathbb{R}^N .

Let us also define

$$g(q) := \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right) S_q(U)^{\frac{q}{q-2}}, \quad q \in (2, 2^*].$$

Since the function $q \in [1, 2^*] \rightarrow S_q(U)$ is continuous (see [9]), we have

$$S_q(U) \rightarrow S_2(U) \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow 2 \quad (q > 2) \quad (18)$$

If we consider $S_2(U) < 1$, then $\log S_2(U) \leq 0$ and since the function $q \rightarrow g(q)$ is continuous, it follows that

$$g(q) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \exp \left(\frac{q}{q-2} \log S_q(U) \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow 2, \quad q > 2.$$

Taking into account that $S_{2^*}(U) = S$, we can easily check that $g(2^*) = \frac{1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2}}$. Thus, denoting

$$\bar{q} := \min\{q \in (2, 2^*] : g(q) = \frac{1}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2}}\}$$

we have, by continuity,

$$g(q) < g(\bar{q}), \quad \forall q \in (2, \bar{q}).$$

We have proved the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3 *If $S_2(U) < 1$, then there exists $\bar{q} \in (2, 2^*]$ such that*

$$g(q) < g(\bar{q}) = \frac{1}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2}}, \quad \forall q \in (2, \bar{q}).$$

The additional condition (H_2) is the following, where Ω and p^- are defined in (H_1) :

(H_2) There exists a subdomain U of Ω such that $S_2(U) < 1$ and

$$p(x) \equiv q, \quad \forall x \in U,$$

where $p^- \leq q < \min\{\bar{q}, p^+\}$ and \bar{q} is given by Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.4 *Assume that (H_1) and (H_2) hold. Then $m < \frac{1}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2}}$.*

Proof. Let $\phi_q \in H_0^1(U)$ denote a positive extremal function of $S_q(U)$. Thus, $\phi_q > 0$ in U and

$$S_q(U) = \frac{\|\nabla\phi_q\|_{L^2(U)}^2}{\|\phi_q\|_{L^q(U)}^2}.$$

Let us define the function $\tilde{\phi}_q \in H_0^1(G)$ by

$$\tilde{\phi}_q(x) := \begin{cases} \phi_q(x) & \text{if } x \in U \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in G \setminus U. \end{cases}$$

For each $t > 0$ we have

$$I(t\tilde{\phi}_q) = \frac{t^2}{2} \int_G |\nabla\tilde{\phi}_q|^2 dx - \int_G \frac{t^{p(x)}}{p(x)} (\tilde{\phi}_q)^{p(x)} dx = \frac{\alpha}{2}t^2 - \frac{\beta}{q}t^q,$$

where

$$\alpha := \int_U |\nabla\phi_q|^2 dx \quad \text{and} \quad \beta := \int_U (\phi_q)^q dx.$$

Fixing

$$t_q := (\alpha/\beta)^{\frac{1}{q-2}}$$

it is easy to see that $t_q\tilde{\phi}_q \in \mathcal{N}$ and

$$I(t_q\tilde{\phi}_q) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha^q}{\beta^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-2}} = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right) S_q(U)^{\frac{q}{q-2}}. \quad (19)$$

Since $S_2(U) < 1$ and $p^- \leq q < \min\{p^+, \bar{q}\}$, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$g(q) = I(t_q\tilde{\phi}_q) < \frac{1}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2}}.$$

This implies that $m < \frac{1}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2}}$. ■

In the next, we present sufficient conditions for the inequality $S(U) < 1$ to hold when U is either a ball or an annulus. We will denote by $B_R(y)$ the ball centered at y with radius $R > 0$. When $y = 0$ we will write simply B_R .

Example 3.5 Let $U = B_R(y) \subset \Omega$. Since the Laplacian operator is invariant under translations, $S_2(B_R) = S_2(B_R(y))$. Moreover, a simple scaling argument yields

$$S_2(B_R) = R^{-2}S_2(B_1). \quad (20)$$

So, if $R > S_2(B_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ then $S_2(U) = S_2(B_R(y)) < 1$.

Example 3.6 Let $U = A_{R,r} := B_R(y) \setminus \overline{B_r(z)} \subset \Omega$, with $\overline{B_r(z)} \subset B_R(y)$, for some $y, z \in \Omega$ and $R > r > 0$. Since the Laplacian operator is invariant under orthogonal transformations, it can be seen that

$$S_2(B_R \setminus \overline{B_r(se_1)}) = S_2(A_{R,r})$$

for some $s \in [0, R - r]$ where e_1 denotes the first coordinate vector. According to Proposition 3.2 in [13], the function $t \rightarrow S_2(B_R \setminus \overline{B_r(te_1)})$ is strictly decreasing for $t \in [0, R - r]$. Therefore,

$$S_2(B_R \setminus \overline{B_r}) > S_2(A_{R,r}). \quad (21)$$

Since $B_{(R-r)/2}$ is the largest ball contained in $B_R \setminus \overline{B_r}$ we have

$$S_2(B_R \setminus \overline{B_r}) < S_2(B_{(R-r)/2}) = \left(\frac{R-r}{2}\right)^{-2} S_2(B_1). \quad (22)$$

Hence, if $R - r > 2S_2(B_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ then (21) and (22) imply that $S_2(U) = S_2(A_{R,r}) < 1$.

Thus, we can replace the condition $S(U) < 1$ in (H_2) by either $R > S_2(B_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ when $U = B_R(y)$ or $R - r > 2S_2(B_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ when $U = A_{R,r}$.

The main result this section is the following.

Theorem 3.7 Assume (H_1) and (H_2) . Then the problem (14) has at least one positive ground state solution.

Proof. According to item (iii) of Lemma 3.2, there exists a sequence $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}$ satisfying $I(u_n) \rightarrow m$ and $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ em H^{-1} . Since (u_n) is bounded in $H_0^1(G)$, there exist $u \in H_0^1(G)$ and a subsequence, still denoted by (u_n) , such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H_0^1(G)$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(G)$, for $1 \leq p < 2^*$, and $u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ a.e. in G . Arguing as in Section 2, we can prove that $u \neq 0$, $I'(u) = 0$ and $I(u) = m$, showing thus that u is a ground state solution of (14). ■

4 Acknowledgements

C. O. Alves was partially supported by CNPq/Brazil (304036/2013-7) and INCT-MAT. G. Ercole was partially supported by CNPq/Brazil (483970/2013-1 and 306590/2014-0) and Fapemig/Brazil (APQ-03372-16).

References

- [1] T. Aubin, Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev, J. Differential Geom. **11** (1976) 573-598.
- [2] C. Alves, M. Souto, Existence of solutions for a class of problems in \mathbb{R}^N involving the $p(x)$ -Laplacian, in: Contribution to Nonlinear Analysis, in: Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, vol. 66, 2006, pp. 17-32.
- [3] F. Atkinson, L. Peletier, Elliptic Equations with Nearly Critical Growth, J. Diff Equ. **70** (1987) 349-365.
- [4] A. Bahri, Critical points at infinity in some variational problems, Pitman Research Notes Math. vol. 182, Longman House, Harlow (1989).

- [5] A. Bahri, M. Coron, On a Nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent: The effect of the topology of the domain, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **41** (1988) 253-294.
- [6] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **36** (1983) 437-477
- [7] A. Carpio, M. Comte, R. Lewandowski, A nonexistence result for a nonlinear equation involving critical Sobolev exponent, *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare (C) Non Linear Analysis* **9** (1992) 243-261.
- [8] M. Coron, Topologie et cas limite des injections de Sobolev, *C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris* **299**, Ser. I (1984) 209-212.
- [9] G. Ercole, Absolute continuity of the best Sobolev constant, *J.Math. Anal. Appl.* **404** (2013) 420-428.
- [10] X. Fan, D. Zhao, On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **263** (2001) 424-446.
- [11] J. Garcia Azorero, I. Peral Alonso, Existence and non-uniqueness for the p-laplacien: Nonlinear eigenvalues., *Communications in P.D.E.* **12** (1987) 1389-1430.
- [12] J. Garcia Azorero, I. Peral Alonso, On limits of solutions of elliptic problems with nearly critical exponent, *Communications in P.D.E.* **17** (1992) 2113-2126.
- [13] S. Kesavan, On two functionals connected to the Laplacian in a class of doubly connected domains, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh. Section A Mathematics* **133** (2003) 617-624.
- [14] K. Kurata, N. Shioji, Compact embedding from $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ to $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ and its application to nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem with variable critical exponent, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **339** (2008) 1386-1394.
- [15] J. Liu, J. Liao, C. Tang, Ground state solutions for semilinear elliptic equations with zero mass in \mathbb{R}^N , *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, Vol. 2015, No. 84 (2015) 1-11.
- [16] S. Pohozaev, Eigenfunctions of the equation $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0.$, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **6** (1965) 1408-1411.
- [17] M. Struwe, *Variational Methods. Applications to Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Hamiltonian Systems*, Springer Verlag, 1990.
- [18] G. Talenti, Best constant in Sobolev inequality, *Ann Math.* **110** (1976) 353-372.
- [19] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, in: *Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications*, vol. 24, Birkhäuser, 1996.