
Existence and uniqueness of periodic solution of nth-order Equations with delay in Banach space having Fourier type

Bahloul Rachid¹

¹ *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences and Technology, Fez, Morocco.*

ABSTRACT

The aim of this work is to study the existence of a periodic solutions of nth-order differential equations with delay $\frac{d}{dt}x(t) + \frac{d^2}{dt^2}x(t) + \frac{d^3}{dt^3}x(t) + \dots + \frac{d^n}{dt^n}x(t) = Ax(t) + L(x_t) + f(t)$. Our approach is based on the M-boundedness of linear operators, Fourier type, $B_{p,q}^s$ -multipliers and Besov spaces. **Keywords:** Differential equations, Fourier type, $B_{p,q}^s$ -multipliers.

1 Introduction

Motivated by the fact that neutral functional integro-differential equations with finite delay arise in many areas of applied mathematics, this type of equations has received much attention in recent years. In particular, the problem of existence of periodic solutions, has been considered by several authors. We refer the readers to papers [\[1\]](#), [\[5\]](#), [\[7\]](#), [\[14\]](#) and the references listed therein for information on this subject.

In this work, we study the existence of periodic solutions for the following integro-differential equations with delay

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{d^j}{dt^j} x(t) = \frac{d}{dt} x(t) + \frac{d^2}{dt^2} x(t) + \frac{d^3}{dt^3} x(t) + \dots + \frac{d^n}{dt^n} x(t) = Ax(t) + L(x_t) + f(t), \quad (1.1)$$

where $A : D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$ are a linear closed operators on Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}, X)$ for all $p \geq 1$. For $r_{2\pi} := 2\pi N$ (some $N \in \mathbb{N}$) L is in $B(L^p([-r_{2\pi}, 0], X); X)$ is the space of all bounded linear operators and x_t is an element of $L^p([-r_{2\pi}, 0], X)$ which is defined as follows

$$x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta) \text{ for } \theta \in [-r_{2\pi}, 0].$$

In [\[7\]](#), Bahloul et al established the existence of a periodic solution for the following partial functional differential equation.

$$\frac{d}{dt}[x(t) - L(x_t)] = A[x(t) - L(x_t)] + G(x_t) + f(t)$$

where $A : D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$ is a linear closed operator on Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and L and G are in $B(L^p([-r_{2\pi}, 0], X); X)$.

In [\[1\]](#), Arendt gave necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of periodic solutions of the following evolution equation.

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = Ax(t) + f(t) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

1 : E-mail address : bahloul33r@hotmail.com

where A is a closed linear operator on an UMD-space Y .

In [13], C. Lizama established results on the existence of periodic solutions of Eq. (1.1) when $L = 0$, namely, for the following partial functional differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = Ax(t) + G(x_t) + f(t) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $(A, D(A))$ is a linear operator on an UMD-space X .

In [12], Hernan et al, studied the existence of periodic solution for the class of linear abstract neutral functional differential equation described in the following form:

$$\frac{d}{dt}[x(t) - Bx(t-r)] = Ax(t) + G(x_t) + f(t) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $A : D(A) \rightarrow X$ and $B : D(B) \rightarrow X$ are closed linear operator such that $D(A) \subset D(B)$ and $G \in B(L^p([-2\pi, 0], X); X)$.

This work is organized as follows : After preliminaries in the second section, we give a main result and the conclusion.

2 vector-valued space and preliminaries

Let X be a Banach Space. Firstly, we denote by \mathbb{T} the group defined as the quotient $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. There is an identification between functions on \mathbb{T} and 2π -periodic functions on \mathbb{R} . We consider the interval $[0, 2\pi)$ as a model for \mathbb{T} .

Given $1 \leq p < \infty$, we denote by $L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$ the space of 2π -periodic locally p -integrable functions from \mathbb{R} into X , with the norm:

$$\|f\|_p := \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \|f(t)\|^p dt \right)^{1/p}$$

For $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$, we denote by $\hat{f}(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the k -th Fourier coefficient of f that is defined by:

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(k) = \hat{f}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ikt} f(t) dt \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the periodic vector-valued space is defined by.

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be the Schwartz space of all rapidly decreasing smooth functions on \mathbb{R} . Let $D(\mathbb{T})$ be the space of all infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbb{T} equipped with the locally convex topology given by the seminorms $\|f\|_n = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}} |f^{(n)}(x)|$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $D'(\mathbb{T}; X) = \mathcal{L}(D(\mathbb{T}), X)$. In order to define Besov spaces, we consider the dyadic-like subsets of \mathbb{R} :

$$I_0 = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : |t| \leq 2\}, I_k = \{t \in \mathbb{R}, 2^{k-1} < |t| \leq 2^{k+1}\}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\phi(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of all systems $\phi = (\phi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\text{supp}(\phi_k) \subset \bar{I}_k$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \phi_k(x) = 1$.

Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(\phi_j)_{j \geq 0} \in \phi(\mathbb{R})$ the X -valued periodic Besov space is defined by

$$B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X) = \{f \in D'(\mathbb{T}; X) : \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s} := \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{sjq} \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e_k \phi_j(k) \hat{f}(k) \right\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty\}.$$

Proposition 2.1. [14]

- 1) $B_{p,q}^s((0, 2\pi); X)$ is a Banach space;
- 2) Let $s > 0$. Then $f \in B_{p,q}^{s+1}((0, 2\pi); X)$ in and only if f is differentiable and $f' \in B_{p,q}^s((0, 2\pi); X)$
- 3) Let $s > 0$. Then $f \in B_{p,q}^{s+j}((0, 2\pi); X)$ in and only if f is differentiable four times and $f^{(j)} \in B_{p,q}^s((0, 2\pi); X)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$

Definition 2.1. [14]

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, a sequence $\{M_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset B(X, Y)$ is a $B_{p,q}^s$ -multiplier if for each $f \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}, X)$, there exists $u \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}, Y)$ such that $\hat{u}(k) = M_k \hat{f}(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.2. [1]

The Banach space X has Fourier type $r \in]1, 2]$ if there exists $C_r > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{r'} \leq C_r \|f\|_r, \quad f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}, X)$$

where $\frac{1}{r'} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$.

Definition 2.3. [14]

Let $\{M_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subseteq B(X, Y)$ be a sequence of operators. $\{M_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is M-bounded of order 1 (or M-bounded) if

$$\sup_k \|M_k\| < \infty \text{ and } \sup_k \|k(M_{k+1} - M_k)\| < \infty \quad (2.1)$$

Theorem 2.1. [1]

Let X and Y be Banach spaces having Fourier type $r \in]1, 2]$ and let $\{M_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subseteq B(X, Y)$ be a sequence satisfying (2.1). Then for $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\{M_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an $B_{p,q}^s$ -multiplier.

Lemma 2.2. [7]

Let $L : L^p(\mathbb{T}, X) \rightarrow X$ be a bounded linear operator. Then

$$\widehat{L(u)}(k) = L(e_k \hat{u}(k)) := L_k \hat{u}(k) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and $\{L_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is r -bounded such that

$$R_p((L_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}) \leq (2r_{2\pi})^{1/p} \|L\|.$$

3 Main result

For convenience, we introduce the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} a_k &= n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p=1}^j C_j^p (ik)^{j+1-n-p} i^{p-1} + \sum_{p=2}^n C_n^p (ik)^{1-p} i^{p-1}, \quad C_n^p = \frac{p!(n-p)!}{n!} \\ b_k &= 2 \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p (ik)^{-p} i^p + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (ik)^{j-n} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p=0}^j C_j^p (ik)^{j-p-n} i^p + \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p (ik)^{-p} i^p \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (ik)^{j-n} \\ c_k &= \sum_{p=0}^n C_n^p (ik)^{n-p} i^p \sum_{j=1}^n (ik)^j - (ik)^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=0}^j C_j^p (ik)^{j-p} i^p \\ L_k(x) &:= L(e_k x) \text{ and } e_k(\theta) := e^{ik\theta}, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and suppose that } \{L_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{ is M-bounded.} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.1. : Let $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ and $s > 0$. We say that a function $x \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$ is a strong $B_{p,q}^s$ -solution of (1.1) if $x(t) \in D(A)$, $x(t) \in B_{p,q}^{s+j}(\mathbb{T}; X)$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and equation (1.1) holds for a.e $t \in \mathbb{T}$.

We prove the following result.

Lemma 3.1. : Let X be a Banach space and A be a linear closed and bounded operator. Suppose that $(\sum_{j=1}^n (ik)^j I - A - L_k)$ is bounded invertible and $(ik)^n (\sum_{j=1}^n (ik)^j I - A - L_k)^{-1}$ is bounded.

Then

$$\left\{ N_k = (\sum_{j=1}^n (ik)^j I - A - L_k)^{-1} \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \{S_k = (ik)^n N_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{ and } \{T_k = L_k N_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{ are M-bounded.}$$

Proof. The proof is given by several steps.

Step 1: We claim that $\{kb_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is bounded.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
kb_k &= 2 \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p(ik)^{-p} i^p + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (ik)^{j-n} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p=0}^j C_j^p(ik)^{j-p-n} i^p + \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p(ik)^{-p} i^p \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (ik)^{j-n} \\
&= 2 \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p(ik)^{1-p} i^{p-1} - i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (ik)^{1+j-n} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p=0}^j C_j^p(ik)^{1+j-p-n} i^{p-1} - i \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p(ik)^{-p} i^p \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (ik)^{1+j-n}
\end{aligned}$$

is bonded because:

$$\begin{aligned}
1 < p &\Rightarrow \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p(ik)^{1-p} i^{p-1} \text{ is bounded} \\
1 + j < n &\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (ik)^{1+j-n} \text{ is bounded} \\
1 + j < n \text{ and } p \geq 0 &\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p=0}^j C_j^p(ik)^{1+j-p-n} i^{p-1} \text{ is bounded} \\
1 + j < n \text{ and } p \geq 1 &\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p=0}^j C_j^p(ik)^{1+j-p-n} i^{p-1} \text{ is bounded}
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2: New, we claim that, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$c_k = (ik)^{2n} b_k \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c_k &= \sum_{p=0}^n C_n^p(ik)^{n-p} i^p \sum_{j=1}^n (ik)^j - (ik)^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=0}^j C_j^p(ik)^{j-p} i^p \\
&= [(ik)^n + \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p(ik)^{n-p} i^p] [\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (ik)^j + (ik)^n] - (ik)^n [\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p=0}^j C_j^p(ik)^{j-p} i^p + \sum_{p=0}^n C_n^p(ik)^{n-p} i^p] \\
&= [(ik)^n + \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p(ik)^{n-p} i^p] [\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (ik)^j + (ik)^n] - (ik)^n [\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p=0}^j C_j^p(ik)^{j-p} i^p + \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p(ik)^{n-p} i^p + (ik)^n] \\
&= (ik)^n [\sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p(ik)^{n-p} i^p + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (ik)^j + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p=0}^j C_j^p(ik)^{j-p} i^p + \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p(ik)^{n-p} i^p] + (\sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p(ik)^{n-p} i^p) (\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (ik)^j) \\
&= (ik)^{2n} [2 \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p(ik)^{-p} i^p + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (ik)^{j-n} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p=0}^j C_j^p(ik)^{j-p-n} i^p] + (ik)^n (\sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p(ik)^{-p} i^p) (\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (ik)^j) \\
&= (ik)^{2n} [2 \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p(ik)^{-p} i^p + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (ik)^{j-n} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p=0}^j C_j^p(ik)^{j-p-n} i^p] + (ik)^{2n} (\sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p(ik)^{-p} i^p) (\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (ik)^{j-n}) \\
&= (ik)^{2n} [2 \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p(ik)^{-p} i^p + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (ik)^{j-n} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p=0}^j C_j^p(ik)^{j-p-n} i^p + \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p(ik)^{-p} i^p \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (ik)^{j-n}] \\
&= (ik)^{2n} b_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 3: We claim that

$$\begin{cases} \sup_k \|k(N_{k+1} - N_k)\| < \infty, \\ \sup_k \|k(S_{k+1} - S_k)\| < \infty, \\ \sup_k \|k(T_{k+1} - T_k)\| < \infty \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

By hypothesis we have, $\{N_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{S_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are bounded. Then We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k(N_{k+1} - N_k)\| &= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| kN_{k+1} \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (ik)^j I - A - L_k \right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (i(k+1))^j I - A - L_{k+1} \right) \right] N_k \right\| \\ &= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| kN_{k+1} \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (ik)^j I - A - L_k \right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=0}^j C_j^p (ik)^{j-p} i^p I - A - L_{k+1} \right) \right] N_k \right\| \\ &= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| -kN_{k+1} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p=1}^j C_j^p (ik)^{j-p} i^p + \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p (ik)^{n-p} i^p \right] N_k + N_{k+1} k(L_k - L_{k+1}) N_k \right\| \\ &= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| -kN_{k+1} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p=1}^j C_j^p (ik)^{j-p} i^p + \sum_{p=2}^n C_n^p (ik)^{n-p} i^p + in(ik)^{n-1} \right] N_k + N_{k+1} k(L_k - L_{k+1}) N_k \right\| \\ &= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| -kN_{k+1} \left[n + \frac{1}{i(ik)^{n-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p=1}^j C_j^p (ik)^{j-p} i^p + \frac{1}{i(ik)^{n-1}} \sum_{p=2}^n C_n^p (ik)^{n-p} i^p \right] i(ik)^{n-1} N_k + N_{k+1} k(L_k - L_{k+1}) N_k \right\| \\ &= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| -N_{k+1} \left[n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p=1}^j C_j^p (ik)^{j+1-n-p} i^{p-1} + \sum_{p=2}^n C_n^p (ik)^{1-p} i^{p-1} \right] (ik)^n N_k + N_{k+1} k(L_k - L_{k+1}) N_k \right\| \\ &= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| -N_{k+1} \left[n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p=1}^j C_j^p (ik)^{j+1-n-p} i^{p-1} + \sum_{p=2}^n C_n^p (ik)^{1-p} i^{p-1} \right] S_k + N_{k+1} k(L_k - L_{k+1}) N_k \right\| \\ &= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| -N_{k+1} a_k S_k + N_{k+1} k(L_k - L_{k+1}) N_k \right\| \end{aligned}$$

We obtain:

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k(N_{k+1} - N_k)\| < \infty \quad (3.3)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k(S_{k+1} - S_k)\| = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k[(i(k+1))^n N_{k+1} - (ik)^n N_k]\| \\
&= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| kN_{k+1} \left[(ik+i)^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (ik)^j I - A - L_k \right) - (ik)^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (i(k+1))^j I - A - L_{k+1} \right) \right] N_k \right\| \\
&= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| kN_{k+1} \left[(ik+i)^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (ik)^j I - A - L_k \right) - (ik)^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (ik+i)^j I - A - L_{k+1} \right) \right] N_k \right\| \\
&= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| kN_{k+1} \left[(ik+i)^n \sum_{j=1}^n (ik)^j I - (ik)^n \sum_{j=1}^n (ik+i)^j I - (ik+i)^n (A+L_k) + (ik)^n (A+L_{k+1}) \right] N_k \right\| \\
&= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| kN_{k+1} \left[\sum_{p=0}^n C_n^p (ik)^{n-p} i^p \sum_{j=1}^n (ik)^j I - (ik)^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=0}^j C_j^p (ik)^{j-p} i^p I - \sum_{p=0}^n C_n^p (ik)^{n-p} i^p (A+L_k) + (ik)^n (A+L_{k+1}) \right] N_k \right\| \\
&= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| kN_{k+1} \left[c_k - \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p (ik)^{n-p} i^p (A+L_k) + (ik)^n (L_{k+1} - L_k) \right] N_k \right\| \\
&= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| kN_{k+1} \left[(ik)^{2n} b_k - \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p (ik)^{n-p} i^p (A+L_k) + (ik)^n (L_{k+1} - L_k) \right] N_k \right\| \quad (\text{by (3.1)}) \\
&= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| kN_{k+1} (ik)^{2n} b_k N_k - kN_{k+1} \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p (ik)^{n-p} i^p (A+L_k) N_k + N_{k+1} k(L_{k+1} - L_k) S_k \right\| \\
&= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| kN_{k+1} (ik)^n b_k S_k - kN_{k+1} \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p (ik)^{-p} i^p (A+L_k) (ik)^n N_k + N_{k+1} k(L_{k+1} - L_k) S_k \right\| \\
&= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| \left(\frac{ik}{ik+i} \right)^n S_{k+1} k b_k S_k - \frac{k}{(ik+i)^n} S_{k+1} \sum_{p=1}^n C_n^p (ik)^{-p} i^p (A+L_k) S_k + N_{k+1} k(L_{k+1} - L_k) S_k \right\|
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k(S_{k+1} - S_k)\| < \infty$$

Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k(T_{k+1} - T_k)\| = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k[L_{k+1} N_{k+1} - L_k N_k]\| \\
&= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k(L_{k+1} - L_k) N_{k+1} + L_k k(N_{k+1} - N_k)\| \\
&\leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k(L_{k+1} - L_k) N_{k+1}\| + \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|L_k k(N_{k+1} - N_k)\| \\
&\leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k(L_{k+1} - L_k) N_{k+1}\| + \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|L_k\| \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k(N_{k+1} - N_k)\|
\end{aligned}$$

Then by (3.3) we have

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k(T_{k+1} - T_k)\| < \infty$$

so, $(N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(S_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are M-bounded. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ and $s > 0$. Let X be a Banach space having Fourier type $r \in [1, 2]$ and A be a linear closed and bounded operator. If Suppose that $(\sum_{j=1}^n (ik)^j I - A - L_k)$ is bounded*

invertible and $(ik)^n(\sum_{j=1}^n(ik)^j I - A - L_k)^{-1}$ is bounded. Then for every $f \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}, X)$ there exist a unique strong $B_{p,q}^s$ -solution of (1.1).

Proof. Define $P_k = \sum_{j=1}^n(ik)^j N_k = (1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(ik)^j)S_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since by Lemma(3.1), $(N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, (S_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, (T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(P_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are M-bounded, we have by Theorem 2.1 that $(N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, (P_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are an $B_{p,q}^s$ -multipliers. Since $P_k - AN_k - L_k N_k = I$ (because $((\sum_{j=1}^n(ik)^j I - A - L_k)N_k = I)$), we deduce AN_k is also an $B_{p,q}^s$ -multiplicateur.

Now let $f \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}, X)$. Then there exist $u, v, w, x \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}, X)$, such that

$\hat{u}(k) = N_k \hat{f}(k), \hat{v}(k) = P_k \hat{f}(k), \hat{w}(k) = AN_k \hat{f}(k)$ and $\hat{x}(k) = T_k \hat{f}(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. So, We have $\hat{u}(k) \in D(A)$ and $A\hat{u}(k) = \hat{w}(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we deduce that $u(t) \in D(A)$. On the other hand $\exists v \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}, X)$ such that $\hat{v}(k) = P_k \hat{f}(k) = \sum_{j=1}^n(ik)^j N_k \hat{f}(k) = \sum_{j=1}^n(ik)^j \hat{u}(k)$. Then we obtain $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{d^j}{dt^j} u(t) = v(t)$ a.e. Since $u(t) \in B_{p,q}^{s+j}(\mathbb{T}, X)$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

We have $\sum_{j=1}^n \widehat{\frac{d^j}{dt^j} u}(k) = \sum_{j=1}^n(ik)^j \hat{u}(k)$ and $\widehat{Lu}(k) = L_k \hat{u}(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, It follows from the identity

$$\sum_{j=1}^n(ik)^j N_k - AN_k - L_k N_k = I$$

that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{d^j}{dt^j} u(t) = Au(t) + L(u_t) + f(t)$$

For the uniqueness we suppose two solutions u_1 and u_2 , then $u = u_1 - u_2$ is strong L^p -solution of equation (1.1) corresponding to the function $f = 0$, taking Fourier transform, we get $(\sum_{j=1}^n(ik)^j I - A - L_k)\hat{u}(k) = 0$, which implies that $\hat{u}(k) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u(t) = 0$. Then $u_1 = u_2$. The proof is completed. \square

4 Conclusion

We are obtained necessary and sufficient conditions to guarantee existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions to the equation $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{d^j}{dt^j} u(t) = Au(t) + L(x_t) + f(t)$ in terms of either the M-boundedness of the modified resolvent operator determined by the equation. Our results are obtained in the Besov space.

References

- [1] W. Arendt, S. Bu, *Operator-valued Fourier multipliers on periodic Besov spaces and applications*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **47**, (1), 15-33 (2004).
- [2] S. Bu, J. Kim, *Operator-valued Fourier multipliers on periodic Triebel spaces*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 21 (2005) 1049-1056.
- [3] A. Bátkai, R. Shvidkoy. *Hyperbolicity of delay equations via Fourier multipliers*. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 69 (2003), 131-145.
- [4] R.Bahloul, M.Bahaj and O.Sidki, *Periodic solutions of degenerate equations with finite delay in UMD space*, journal of Advances in Dynamical Systems and Application. ISSN 0973-5321, Volume 10, Number 1, (2015) pp. 23-31.
- [5] R.Bahloul, *Periodic solutions of differential equations with two variable in vector-valued function space*, Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research,12(1): 44-53, 2016 ISSN: 2395-4205 (P), ISSN: 2395-4213 (O).

-
- [6] R.Bahloul, M.Bahaj and O.Sidki, Existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions integro-differential equations with two variable, Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research, ISSN No. : 2395-4205 (Print), 2395-4213 (Online), Vol.: 17, Issue.: 3
- [7] K. Ezzinbi, R.Bahloul et O.Sidki, Periodic Solutions in UMD spaces for some neutral partial function differential equations, Advances in Pure Mathematics, (2016), 6, 713-726, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/apm.2016.610058>.
- [8] Sylvain Koumla, Khalil Ezzinbi and Rachid Bahloul, mild solutions for some partial functional integrodifferential equations with finite delay in Frechet spaces. SeMA (2016), P 1-13. DOI 10.1007/s40324-016-0096-7
- [9] S.Bu, “ L^p -Maximal regularity of degenerate delay equations with Periodic Conditions.” , Banach J.Math.Anal. 8(2014), no. 2, 49-59;
- [10] A. Bátkai, S. Piazzera. Semigroups and linear partial differential equations with delay. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 264 (2001), 1-20.
- [11] S.Bu and G.Cai, Mild well-posedness of second order differential equations on the real line, taiwanese journal of mathematics, Vol. 17, No. 1, pp. 143-159, February 2013
- [12] Hernan R.Henriquez, Michelle Pierri and Andrea Prokopczyk Periodic Solutions of abstract neutral functional differential equations, J. Math. Ana. Appl. 385, (2012), 608 - 621
- [13] C.Lizama, Fourier multipliers and perodic solutions of delay equatons in Banach spaces, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 324,(2), 921-933 (2006).
- [14] V. Keyantuo, C. Lizama and V.Poblete, Periodic solutions of integro-differential equations in vector-valued function spaces. J. Differential Equations 246 (2009) 10071037
- [20] Y.Hino, T.Naito, N. Van Minh and J.S.Shin, Almost periodic solution of Differential Equations in Banach Spaces, Taylor and Francis, London, 2002.
- [21] Hale J K. Theory of Functional Differential Equations. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1977
- [22] A. Pazy, Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, 1983.