

The Euler and Chinese Postman Problems on 2-Arc-Colored Digraphs*

Bin Sheng¹, Ruijuan Li², and Gregory Gutin^{†1}

¹Department of Computer Science, Royal Holloway, University of
London, UK

²School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanxi University, P.R. China

June 29, 2021

Abstract

The famous Chinese Postman Problem (CPP) is polynomial time solvable on both undirected and directed graphs. Gutin et al. [Discrete Applied Math 217 (2016)] generalized these results by proving that CPP on c -edge-colored graphs is polynomial time solvable for every $c \geq 2$. In CPP on weighted edge-colored graphs G , we wish to find a minimum weight properly colored closed walk containing all edges of G (a walk is properly colored if every two consecutive edges are of different color, including the last and first edges in a closed walk). In this paper, we consider CPP on arc-colored digraphs (for properly colored closed directed walks), and provide a polynomial-time algorithm for the problem on weighted 2-arc-colored digraphs. This is a somewhat surprising result since it is NP-complete to decide whether a 2-arc-colored digraph has a properly colored directed cycle [Gutin et al., Discrete Math 191 (1998)]. To obtain the polynomial-time algorithm, we characterize 2-arc-colored digraphs containing properly colored Euler trails.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the Euler and Chinese Postman Problems on edge-colored digraphs. (To facilitate reading of Section 1, we use the term edge

*Research of GG was partially supported by Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award. Research of RL was partially supported by NNSFC under no. 11401353 and TYAL of Shanxi. Research of BS was supported by China Scholarship Council.

[†]Corresponding author. Email: g.gutin@rhul.ac.uk

for both directed and undirected graphs. However, we switch to the term arc for digraphs starting from Definition 1 as the term arc is widely used in the digraph literature, cf. [4].) A (directed or undirected) multigraph G is called *c-edge-colored* if each edge is assigned a color from $[c] = \{1, 2, \dots, c\}$. Note that the c -edge coloring can be arbitrary, not necessarily proper. Most of research on edge-colored multigraphs is related to properly colored walks. A *properly colored (PC)* walk is a walk in which no two consecutive edges have the same color, including the last and first edges in a closed walk. (Since henceforth in digraphs we will deal only with directed walks, trails, cycles and paths, we will omit adjective “directed” in such cases.)

PC walks in edge-colored undirected multigraphs are of interest in many applications. For instance, in genetic and molecular biology [25, 27, 28], where Hamilton cycles/Euler trails with certain color pattern are to be found, in transportation and connectivity problems [3, 11, 12], where reload costs associated with each pair of colors for incident edges are considered, in design of printed circuit and wiring boards [30], and in channel assignment in wireless networks [2, 26]. In [31, 32], edge-colored directed multigraphs are used to model conflict resolution. The graph model can be viewed as a game theory-related tool that can assist negotiators with the strategic aspects of a negotiation. An edge-colored directed multigraph of a conflict allows for an extensive analysis of the possible strategic interactions among decision makers or agents.

There are many positive algorithmic results on PC walks in edge-colored graphs, for a detailed survey, we refer interested readers to Chapter 16 of [4] for pre-2009 literature, and to, e.g., [1, 10, 16, 19, 22, 23] for later publications. Unfortunately, most problems turn out to be much harder for edge-colored digraphs than for edge-colored undirected graphs. In particular, it was proved to be NP-hard to decide whether there is a PC cycle in a given 2-edge-colored digraph [20]. In comparison, the problem of deciding the existence of PC cycle in a c -edge-colored undirected graph is polynomial-time solvable for every $c \geq 2$ [33]. In [13], the authors proved that it is NP-hard to decide whether there is a PC path between two given vertices even in a c -edge-colored planar digraph which contains no PC cycle for $c = \Omega(|V(G)|)$. In the same paper, it was proved that deciding the existence of a PC cycle through a given vertex in a c -edge-colored tournament T is NP-hard. In addition, deciding whether T has a PC s - t path or a PC Hamilton s - t path is NP-complete. However, there were a couple of positive results proved in [13], in particular, it was proved to be polynomial-time solvable to decide whether an edge-colored digraph contains a PC closed trail and to compute the maximum number of edge disjoint PC trails between any two vertices.

As PC walks in edge-colored undirected graphs (and thus in edge-colored digraphs) are generalizations of walks in both undirected and directed graphs, we would like to extend results on walks in undirected and directed graphs

to edge-colored undirected and directed graphs whenever it is possible. For example, the Chinese Postman Problem is polynomial-time solvable on both undirected and directed multigraphs [5, 8]. This result was recently generalized to weighted edge-colored undirected graphs G in [16]: we can compute a minimum weight PC walk in G in polynomial time. While we do not know whether this result can be further extended to all c -edge-colored digraphs for $c \geq 2$, we will show that it can be done for $c = 2$. To obtain our result, we first prove a characterization of *PC Euler 2-edge-colored digraphs*, i.e. 2-edge-colored digraphs G which have a PC Euler trail. (Recall that a *trail* is a walk without repetition of edges and a trail is *Euler* if it is closed and contains all edges of G .) Our characterization is of independent interest and generalizes a characterization of Kotzig [21] of PC Euler c -edge-colored undirected graphs for $c = 2$. Note that our characterization requires a new notion of PC trail-connectivity introduced in Section 3.

Let us give a formal definition the Chinese Postman Problem studied in this paper.

Definition 1 (CPP-ACD). *Given a c -arc-colored digraph G for $c \geq 2$, with non-negative weights on its edges, find a PC closed walk in G which traverses each arc of G at least once and has the minimum weight among all such walks.*

CPP-ACD with $c = 2$ will be denoted by CPP-2ACD. A PC closed walk in G which traverses each arc of G at least once is called a *feasible solution* for CPP-ACD on G . A feasible solution with minimum weight is called an *optimal solution* for CPP-ACD. Observe that for a given arc-colored digraph G , it is possible that there is no feasible solution for CPP-ACD on G . For instance, any digraph with at least two arcs in which all arcs have the same color has no solution for CPP-ACD.

We present our results in the following order. We will first provide necessary and sufficient conditions for a c -arc-colored digraph to have a feasible solution for CPP-ACD. Here we already need the new notion of PC trail-connectivity. Then we introduce another notion, that of PC circuits, using which we will show how to find a PC Euler trail in a 2-edge-colored digraph if it contains one. At last we will prove that CPP-2ACD is polynomial time solvable.

It is unclear whether CPP-ACD, in all its generality, is polynomial-time solvable as some generalizations of the Chinese Postman Problem (CPP) were proved to be NP-hard, such as CPP on mixed multigraphs [24] and k -CPP on both undirected and directed graphs [18, 29]. Parameterized algorithms is a powerful tool to tackle NP-hard problems, therefore there are several parameterized studies for these hard variants already, cf. [9, 14, 15, 17, 18]. For a systematic introduction on classical and parameterized study of CPP and its generalizations, see the comprehensive survey [6].

2 Notation and Terminology

For most of the graph theoretical concepts used in this paper, we follow the notation and terminology in [4, 7].

Given a digraph G , if $uv \in A(G)$, then we call the arc uv an *incoming arc* of v and an *outgoing arc* of u ; both u and v are called the *end-vertices* of uv . More specifically, v is the *head* of uv and u is the *tail* of uv . We say a digraph G is *strongly connected* if there is a path from u to v and a path from v to u for any two vertices u, v in G . In a weighted digraph, the weight of a walk is the total weights of the arcs in the path.

Let $f = xy$ be an arc in an weighted directed multigraph G . The operation of *double subdividing* f replaces f with an weighted directed path $P_f = xu_{xy}v_{xy}y$ from x to y with three arcs such that the weight of P_f equals to that of f . Let v be a vertex of a digraph G . By *splitting* the vertex v we mean adding a vertex v' and a new arc vv' and then replacing each arc vv with the arc $v'w$. And we say vertex v is *split* into the arc vv' .

In an arc-colored digraph $G = (V(G), A(G))$ with an arc coloring $\phi : A(G) \rightarrow [c]$, let $T = v_1v_2 \dots v_{p-1}v_p$ be a trail in G . We say T is a trail *starting at vertex* v_1 *with arc* v_1v_2 *and ending at vertex* v_p *with arc* $v_{p-1}v_p$; sometimes we call T a trail *starting with color* $\phi(v_1v_2)$ *and ending with color* $\phi(v_{p-1}v_p)$. Recall that a trail T is *properly colored* if $\phi(v_iv_{i+1}) \neq \phi(v_{i+1}v_{i+2})$ for any $i \in [p-2]$, and $\phi(v_1v_2) \neq \phi(v_{p-1}v_p)$ if T is a closed trail. For subgraphs G_1, G_2 of G , a PC trail $T = v_1v_2 \dots v_{p-1}v_p$ *switches* from G_1 to G_2 via the vertex v_2 , if $v_1v_2 \in A(G_1)$ and $v_2v_3 \in A(G_2)$.

Let $G = (V, A)$ be a c -arc-colored directed multigraph, whose arc coloring is denoted by $\phi : A(G) \rightarrow [c]$. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$ and color $i \in [c]$, let $d_{i,G}^-(v)$ be the number of incoming arcs of v colored with color i in G , and $d_{i,G}^+(v)$ be the number of outgoing arcs of v colored with color i in G . Then $d_G^-(v) = \sum_{i \in [c]} d_{i,G}^-(v)$ is the in-degree of v in G , and $d_G^+(v) = \sum_{i \in [c]} d_{i,G}^+(v)$ is the out-degree of v in G . We write $i \in \phi^-(v)$ if there is an arc $uv \in G$, such that $\phi(uv) = i$ and similarly $i \in \phi^+(v)$ if there is an arc $vw \in G$, such that $\phi(vw) = i$, for $i \in [c]$. We write $u \in N_i^-(v)$ if $uv \in A(G)$ and $\phi(uv) = i$, and we write $w \in N_i^+(v)$ if $vw \in A(G)$ and $\phi(vw) = i$.

The *underlying graph* of an arc-colored digraph G is the undirected graph H where $V(H) = V(G)$, and $uv \in E(H)$ if and only if $uv \in A(G)$ or $vu \in A(G)$. An arc-colored digraph is *connected* if its underlying undirected graph is connected. And so when we talk about a connected component in an arc-colored digraph, we mean its connected component in the underlying graph. In an arc-colored directed multigraph G , the *multiplicity* of an arc f is the number of arcs that have same tail, head and color; we denote the multiplicity of arc f by $\mu(f)$. Given an arc-colored digraph G and a subgraph H of G ,

$G - H$ ($G + H$) is the arc-colored digraph obtained by deleting (adding) all the arcs of H from G . We say H is a *PC Euler subgraph* of G if H is a subgraph of G and H is PC Euler.

For two weighted arc-colored directed multigraphs G_1 and G_2 , we define the *union* of G_1 and G_2 to be the weighted arc-colored directed multigraph H , such that $V(H) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$, and $A(H)$ is the union of the two multi-sets $A(G_1)$ and $A(G_2)$, i.e., $\mu_H(xy) = \mu_{G_1}(xy) + \mu_{G_2}(xy)$, for any $x, y \in V(H)$. The arc coloring of H , $\phi : A(H) \rightarrow [c]$ inherits from $\phi_1 : A(G_1) \rightarrow [c]$ and $\phi_2 : A(G_2) \rightarrow [c]$, that is, $\phi(xy) = \phi_i(xy)$ if xy is a copy comes from $A(G_i)$, for $i \in [2]$. The arc weight of H , $\omega : A(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is determined by $\omega_1 : A(G_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $\omega_2 : A(G_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, that is, $\omega(xy) = \omega_i(xy)$ if xy is a copy comes from $A(G_i)$, for $i \in [2]$.

3 CPP-ACD

In a c -arc-colored digraph G , a vertex v is called *color-balanced* if $d^-(v) = d^+(v)$, and $d_{i,G}^+(v) \leq \sum_{j \neq i \in [c]} d_{j,G}^-(v)$ and $d_{i,G}^-(v) \leq \sum_{j \neq i \in [c]} d_{j,G}^+(v)$ for any $i \in [c]$. We say that G is *color-balanced* if every vertex in G is color-balanced. Note that, in a 2-arc-colored digraph G , a vertex v is *color-balanced* if and only if $d_{i,G}^+(v) = d_{3-i,G}^-(v)$, for $i \in [2]$.

It is easy to see that in our study of the Euler and Chinese Postman Problems, we may restrict ourselves to arc-colored digraphs rather than arc-colored directed multigraphs: If there is an arc f with multiplicity $\mu(f) > 1$, it suffices to double subdivide each copy g of f (obtaining a path P_g) and assign color $\phi(f)$ to the first and third arcs of P_g and color $3 - \phi(f)$ to middle arc. The weight of g can be arbitrarily distributed to the arcs of P_g .

An arc-colored digraph G is *PC trail-connected*, if there is a PC trail starting with arc f_1 and ending with arc f_2 , for any pair of arcs f_1, f_2 in G .

3.1 Feasibility in CPP-ACD

Let us start from the following simple yet useful assertion.

Proposition 1. *Let G be a c -arc-colored digraph with at least 2 arcs. If G is PC trail-connected, then we have the following.*

1. G is strongly connected.
2. For any vertex $v \in V(G)$ and color $i \in [c]$, if $d_{i,G}^-(v) > 0$, then there exists $j \neq i \in [c]$, such that $d_{j,G}^+(v) > 0$. Similarly if $d_{i,G}^+(v) > 0$, then there exists $j \neq i \in [c]$, such that $d_{j,G}^-(v) > 0$.

Proof. If G is PC trail-connected, then there is a PC trail starting with arc f_1 and ending with arc f_2 , for any pair of arcs f_1, f_2 in G . It is easy to see that G is strongly connected.

Moreover, if there is an arc uv in G with $\phi(uv) = i$, there must be an arc vw with $\phi(vw) = j \neq i$, otherwise, arc uv cannot reach any other arc via a PC trail. Similarly, if there is an arc vw in G with $\phi(vw) = i$, there must be an arc uv with $\phi(uv) = j \neq i$, otherwise, no arc can reach vw via a PC trail. \square

We now prove a necessary and sufficient condition for a c -arc-colored digraph to have a solution for CPP-ACD, which is the reason we introduced the concept of PC trail-connectivity.

Lemma 1. *For a c -arc-colored digraph G , there is a feasible solution for CPP-ACD on G if and only if G is PC trail-connected.*

Proof. On the one hand, if CPP-ACD has a solution on G , then there is a PC Euler directed multigraph G' , which is obtained by adding copies of some arcs in G . For any pair of arcs f_1, f_2 in G , since G' has a PC Euler trail, G' has a PC trail from f_1 to f_2 and a PC trail from f_2 to f_1 . Note that a PC trail in G' corresponds to a PC walk in G . Thus, G has a PC walk from f_1 to f_2 and a PC walk from f_2 to f_1 . It is not hard to see that the PC walks of G can be shortened to PC trails with the same first and last arcs. Thus, G is PC trail-connected.

On the other hand, we need to prove that if G is PC trail-connected, then there is a feasible solution for CPP-ACD on G . To show this, we explicitly construct a PC closed walk in G that contains each arc of G at least once. Let f_1, f_2 be distinct arcs of G . Since G is trail-connected, there is a PC trail T_1 from f_1 to f_2 . Let f_3 be an arc of G not contained in T_1 . There is a PC trail T_2 from f_2 to f_3 in G . Continue this way by choosing an arc f_i not contained in $\bigcup_{j \in [i-2]} T_j$ and finding a PC trail T_{i-1} from f_{i-1} to f_i . We will end the procedure when all arcs of G are covered by the PC trails. Suppose when the procedure stops $i = t$.

Note that $W = \bigcup_{i \in [t-1]} T_i \setminus \{f_j : 2 \leq j \leq t-1\}$ is a trail starting with f_1 and ending with f_t which is properly colored unless it is closed and $\phi(f_1) = \phi(f_t)$. If W is closed and $\phi(f_1) \neq \phi(f_t)$, then W is a PC closed walk of G containing all arcs of G . Otherwise, there is an arc f in G whose head is the tail of f_1 and $\phi(f) \neq \phi(f_1)$ by Proposition 1. Let T_t be a PC trail from f_t to f . Then $W' = W \cup T_t \setminus \{f_t\}$ is a PC closed walk in G containing all arcs of G . \square

3.2 PC Euler 2-ACD

In this section, our main result is the following theorem, which provides necessary and sufficient conditions for a 2-arc-colored digraph to be PC Euler, and gives a way to find a PC Euler trail if it exists.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a 2-arc-colored directed multigraph. Then G is PC Euler if and only if G is color-balanced and PC trail-connected. Moreover, a PC Euler trail of G can be constructed in polynomial time if it exists.*

It is easy to decide whether G is color-balanced. Corollary 2 proved in the next subsection, allows us to decide whether G is PC trail-connected.

It is not clear how to prove Theorem 1 by induction, as it is possible that the remaining part of G after deleting some PC Euler subgraphs is not PC trail-connected.

We introduce the following notion of PC circuits, which is a counter part of cycles in undirected and directed graphs.

Definition 2. *A subgraph C of G is called a PC circuit, if it is PC Euler and $d_{1,C}^+(v) \leq 1$, $d_{2,C}^+(v) \leq 1$ hold for any vertex $v \in V(C)$.*

Lemma 2. *Let G be a 2-arc-colored directed multigraph. If C is a minimal PC Euler subgraph of G , then for any vertex $v \in V(C)$, $d_{1,C}^+(v) \leq 1$ and $d_{2,C}^+(v) \leq 1$.*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary, there is a vertex $v \in V(C)$ with $d_{1,C}^+(v) \geq 2$. Observe that a PC trail starting at v with an outgoing arc colored 1 and ending at v with an incoming arc colored 2 is a PC Euler subgraph. Consequently, there is a proper subgraph of C which is PC Euler, a contradiction to the assumption that C is a minimal PC Euler subgraph. \square

Corollary 1. *Let G be a 2-arc colored directed multigraph. If C is a minimal PC Euler subgraph of G , then C is a PC circuit.*

Definition 3. *A PC circuit C is bad in G , if there is a connected component D in $G - C$ such that $\max\{d_{1,C \cup D}^+(v), d_{2,C \cup D}^+(v)\} = 1$, for any vertex $v \in V(C) \cap V(D)$. We say a PC circuit is good in G if it is not bad in G .*

Lemma 3. *Let G be a 2-arc-colored directed multigraph. If G is PC trail-connected, then there is no PC circuit which is bad in G .*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary, there is a PC circuit C which is bad in G . As G is PC trail-connected and thus strongly connected by Proposition 1, there must be a vertex $v \in V(C)$ such that $d_{1,G}^+(v) + d_{2,G}^+(v) > 2$. Otherwise $G = C$ is simply a PC circuit, in which case, C can not be bad in G , a contradiction.

As C is bad in G , then by definition, there is a connected component D in $G - C$ such that for any vertex $v \in V(C) \cap V(D)$, $\max\{d_{1,C \cup D}^+(v), d_{2,C \cup D}^+(v)\} = 1$. Consequently, there is no PC trail in G which switches from C to D via v , since such a PC trail implies that there are two arcs $uv \in A(C)$ and $vw \in A(D)$ such that $\phi(uv) \neq \phi(vw)$. As G and C are both color-balanced, D is also color-balanced. The fact that both C and D are color-balanced and $\phi(uv) \neq \phi(vw)$

implies that $d_{1,C \cup D}^+(v) \geq 2$ or $d_{2,C \cup D}^+(v) \geq 2$, which is not possible since $\max\{d_{1,C \cup D}^+(v), d_{2,C \cup D}^+(v)\} = 1$. Hence for any two arcs $u_1v_1 \in A(C)$ and $u_2v_2 \in A(D)$, there is no PC trail starting with arc u_1v_1 and ending with arc u_2v_2 in G , which is a contradiction to the fact that G is PC trail-connected. \square

Lemma 4. *Let G be a 2-arc-colored directed multigraph. If G is color-balanced, then G can be decomposed into a set of PC circuits in polynomial time.*

Proof. Let G be a 2-arc-colored directed multigraph which is color-balanced. We will give a polynomial-time algorithm to decompose G into minimal PC Euler subgraphs, which are PC circuits by Corollary 1.

Initially label all arcs in G “non-traversed”. We find a minimal PC Euler subgraph C of G in the following way. Start by setting $R = uv$, which is some non-traversed arc. Then keep adding arcs into R along a PC trail using only the “non-traversed” arcs. The procedure stops when the first time R contains a PC Euler subgraph, and then we denote a PC Euler subgraph in R which contains the last added arc by C . We then change the labels of all arcs in C to “traversed”.

Note that we can check whether R contains a PC Euler subgraph in polynomial time. Each time we add an arc xy into R such that vertex y is already in $V(R)$, let us check whether y satisfies the following condition

$$\{\phi(xy)\} \cup \phi_R^+(y) = \{1, 2\} \quad (1)$$

If (1) does not hold for y , then there is no PC Euler subgraph in R . If (1) holds for y , then R contains a PC Euler subgraph, as R contains a PC closed trail starting and ending at y . Note that the PC trail starting at y with color $3 - \phi(xy)$ and ending at y with arc xy induces a minimal PC Euler subgraph.

As C is a PC Euler subgraph of G , the subgraph of G induced by all the non-traversed arcs remains color-balanced. Consequently, the above procedure of finding minimal PC Euler “non-traversed” subgraphs continues, until there is no non-traversed arc in G . In this way, we decompose G into minimal PC Euler subgraphs, which are PC circuits. \square

Let G be a 2-arc-colored digraph which is PC trail-connected. For two PC circuits C_1 and C_2 in G , such that $V(C_1) \cap V(C_2) \neq \emptyset$, we say a vertex $v \in V(C_1) \cap V(C_2)$ is *good* if $d_{1,C_1 \cup C_2}^+(v) \geq 2$ or $d_{2,C_1 \cup C_2}^+(v) \geq 2$.

The following lemma shows that G is PC Euler if G can be decomposed into PC circuits that are good in G . This is the reason we care about whether a PC circuit is good or bad in G .

Lemma 5. *Let G be a 2-arc-colored directed multigraph which is PC trail-connected. Suppose that G can be decomposed into a set of PC circuits, i.e.,*

$G = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots \cup C_s$ for some positive integer s . If for each $i \in [s]$, C_i is a good PC circuit in G , then G is PC Euler.

Proof. We construct an auxiliary graph H , such that $V(H) = \{v_i | i \in [s]\}$, and there is a one to one correspondence between vertex $v_i \in V(H)$ and the good PC circuit C_i in G for each $i \in [s]$. We add an edge between two vertices v_i, v_j in H if and only if there exists a good vertex $y \in V(C_i) \cap V(C_j)$. By the definition of PC circuits, $\max\{d_{1,C_i}^+(y), d_{2,C_i}^+(y), d_{1,C_j}^+(y), d_{2,C_j}^+(y)\} \leq 1$, therefore $d_{1,C_i}^+(y) = 1, d_{1,C_j}^+(y) = 1$ or $d_{2,C_i}^+(y) = 1, d_{2,C_j}^+(y) = 1$. In both cases, we can switch from C_i to C_j via the vertex y , and switch back to C_i via y after a PC Euler trail of C_j , and vice versa.

Now, to see that G is PC Euler, we first prove that graph H is connected. For any two arcs f_1, f_t where $f_1 \in A(C_i)$ and $f_t \in A(C_j)$, there is a PC trail T from f_1 to f_t , as G is PC trail-connected. Let $T = f_1 f_2 \dots f_{t-1} f_t$. For any two consecutive arcs f_k and f_{k+1} in T where $f_k \in A(C_{r_1})$ and $f_{k+1} \in A(C_{r_2})$, $r_1 \neq r_2 \in [s]$, we know that $\phi(f_k) \neq \phi(f_{k+1})$. Moreover, as both C_{r_1} and C_{r_2} are color-balanced, it follows that the head of f_k is a good vertex in $V(C_{r_1}) \cap V(C_{r_2})$. Consequently $v_{r_1} v_{r_2} \in E(H)$ by the construction of H . The fact that T starts with arc f_1 in C_i and ends with arc f_t in C_j implies there is a walk between v_i and v_j in H . The two arcs f_1 and f_t are arbitrarily chosen, thus there is a walk between any two vertices in H , and so H is a connected graph.

We construct a PC Euler trail T_G of G in the following way. Consider a DFS on H starting at vertex $v_1 \in V(H)$. Since H is a connected undirected graph, the predecessor subgraph of the DFS on H is a single depth-first tree T . Set initially $T_G = T_1$, which is a PC Euler trail of C_1 . Label v_1 “visited” and all other vertices in H “non-visited”.

We complete the PC Euler trail T_G of G by inserting a PC Euler trail of C_i into T_G for each $v_i \in V(H)$. We do the insertions following the tree edges in T . In each step, we look at an edge in T which has an “non-visited” endvertex and a “visited” endvertex. Let $v_i v_j$ be such an tree edge in T where v_i is labelled “visited” and v_j is labelled “non-visited”. Then let T_i (T_j , respectively) be a PC Euler trail of C_i (C_j , respectively). The edge $v_i v_j$ in T implies that there is an edge between v_i and v_j in H . Consequently, we can switch between T_i and T_j via some good vertex $y_{ij} \in V(C_i) \cap V(C_j)$. Suppose $x_{ij} y_{ij}$ is an arc in $A(C_i)$. Then we may insert T_j into T_G at vertex y_{ij} right behind the arc $x_{ij} y_{ij}$. And then we change the label v_j to “visited”.

Note that after each insertion, the trail T_G remains properly colored as T_j starts with color $3 - \phi(x_{ij} y_{ij})$ and ends with color $\phi(x_{ij} y_{ij})$. Moreover, the depth-first tree T contains every vertex in H , and each vertex (except v_1) has exactly 1 parent in T . Consequently every vertex would be labelled “visited” eventually. And exactly one copy of PC Euler trail of C_i is inserted into T_G

for $i \in [s]$. Therefore, when all vertices in T are labelled “visited”, T_G is a PC Euler trail of G . Thus, G is PC Euler. \square

Proof of Theorem 1: On the one hand, if G is PC Euler, then any PC Euler trail of G is a feasible solution for CPP-2ACD on G , therefore G is PC trail-connected by Lemma 1. Moreover, the existence of a PC Euler trail T in G implies that $d_{i,G}^-(v) = d_{3-i,G}^+(v)$ holds for any vertex $v \in V(G)$ and any color $i \in [2]$, thus G is color-balanced.

On the other hand, if G is PC trail-connected then there is no PC circuit which is bad in G by Lemma 3. Moreover, if G is color-balanced, then G can be decomposed into a set of PC circuits by Lemma 4. Consequently, if G is PC trail-connected and color-balanced, then G can be decomposed into a set of PC circuits, each of which is good in G . Then it follows from Lemma 5 that G is PC Euler. \square

3.3 Polynomial Time Algorithm for CPP-2ACD

Let G be a 2-arc-colored weighted digraph which is PC trail-connected. By Theorem 1, a 2-arc-colored directed multigraph is PC Euler if and only if it is color-balanced and PC trail-connected. So any color-balanced supergraph of G is a feasible solution for CPP-2ACD on G .

In this section, our main result is the following Theorem 2, in which we provide a polynomial-time algorithm to find an optimal solution for the Chinese Postman Problem on G .

Theorem 2. *There is a polynomial-time algorithm which solves the CPP-2ACD on any 2-arc-colored weighted digraph G .*

Roughly speaking, in the proof of Theorem 2, we first check whether G is PC trail-connected. Then we find an optimal way to add copies of arcs which makes G color-balanced. We introduce the following notion which is essential for both tasks.

A *fixed end-vertex u - v trail (FEV u - v trail)* in G is a trail from u to v where $u, v \in V(G)$ are the given end-vertices and we allow $u = v$. An FEV v_1 - v_p trail $T = v_1v_2 \dots v_{p-1}v_p$ is PC if $\phi(v_i v_{i+1}) \neq \phi(v_{i+1} v_{i+2})$ for every $i \in [p-2]$. Note that we do not require that $\phi(v_1 v_2) \neq \phi(v_{p-1} v_p)$ when $v_1 = v_p$. Thus, a PC FEV v_1 - v_p trail T might not be a PC closed trail if $v_1 = v_p$.

The following lemma helps us to decide whether G is PC trail-connected.

Lemma 6. *Given an arbitrary c -arc-colored digraph G for $c \geq 2$, and two vertices $s, t \in V(G)$, we can in polynomial time check the existence of a PC FEV s - t trail in G , and find a minimum weight PC FEV s - t trail if it exists.*

Proof. Let G be a c -arc-colored weighted digraph with arc weight $\omega : A(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and arc coloring $\phi : A(G) \rightarrow [c]$. Define an auxiliary digraph H as follows. Let the vertex set of H be $\{(u, v) : uv \in A(G)\} \cup \{(x, s), (t, y)\}$. For any two incident arcs $uv, vw \in A(G)$, with $\phi(uv) \neq \phi(vw)$, we add an arc from (u, v) to (v, w) into H . We also add an arc from (x, s) to (s, w) for each arc $sw \in A(G)$, and an arc from (z, t) to (t, y) for each arc $zt \in A(G)$. We define the vertex weight function $\omega : V(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ in the following way. Let $\omega((u, v)) = \omega_G(uv)$, and $\omega((x, s)) = \omega((t, y)) = 0$. This completes the description of H . Basically, every vertex in H has the weight of its corresponding arc in G , except for (x, s) and (t, y) .

We prove that a PC FEV s - t trail in G corresponds to a directed path from (x, s) to (t, y) in H , with exactly the same weight.

On the one hand, let $P = (x, s)(s, u_1)(u_1, u_2) \dots (u_{p-1}, u_p)(u_p, t)(t, y)$ be a directed path in H from (x, s) to (t, y) . By the construction of H , there is an arc from (u, v) to (v, w) if and only if $\phi(uv) \neq \phi(vw)$. Thus $T = su_1u_2 \dots u_{p-1}u_pt$ is a PC FEV s - t trail in G . Note that the weight of T equals to the weight of P .

On the other hand, consider a minimum weight PC FEV s - t trail $T' = sv_1v_2 \dots v_qt$ in G . Then $Q = (x, s)(s, v_1)(v_1, v_2) \dots (v_{p-1}, v_p)(v_q, t)(t, y)$ is a directed path in H from (x, s) to (t, y) . By the construction of H , the weight of Q equals to the weight of T' . It remains to observe that Q is a minimum weight directed path from (x, s) to (t, y) , otherwise there is a PC FEV s - t trail with weight smaller than that of T' , a contradiction.

In polynomial time, we can check if there is a directed path from (x, s) to (t, y) in H , and compute one with minimum weight if it exists. Indeed, in a vertex weighted digraph J , for any two vertices $x, y \in V(J)$, computing a minimum weight directed path from x to y can be done in polynomial time. We construct an weighted graph J' from J by splitting each vertex $v \in V(J)$ into an arc vv' , and assign weight $\omega_J(v)$ to the arc vv' . Moreover, we assign weight 0 to all the other arcs in J' . It follows that a minimum weight x - y path in J corresponds to a minimum weight x - y' path in J' , which can be computed in polynomial time using Dijkstra's algorithm. Observe that there is no directed path from (x, s) to (t, y) in H if and only if the Dijkstra's algorithm fails to compute a minimum weight directed path from (x, s) to (t, y) in H' .

A minimum weight PC FEV s - t trail in G corresponds to a minimum weight directed path from (x, s) to (t, y) in H . By the above arguments, we can check the existence of a PC FEV s - t trail in G , and find a minimum weight PC FEV s - t trail if it exists, in polynomial time. \square

Corollary 2. *Given a c -arc-colored digraph G , we can check whether G is PC trail-connected in polynomial time.*

Proof. To decide if a given c -arc-colored digraph G is PC trail-connected,

we just need to check the existence of a PC trail between any pair of arcs u_1v_1, u_2v_2 in G . Let H be the arc-colored digraph we obtain by deleting from G all outgoing arcs of u_1 except u_1v_1 , and all incoming arcs of v_2 except u_2v_2 . Then a PC trail from u_1v_1 to u_2v_2 in G corresponds to a PC FEV u_1-v_2 trail in H . As proved in Lemma 6, checking the existence of a PC FEV u_1-v_2 trail in H can be done in polynomial time. Note that there are at most $|A(G)|^2$ different arc pairs in G , therefore we can decide whether G is PC trail-connected in polynomial time. \square

Proof of Theorem 2: First check whether G is PC trail-connected, which can be done in polynomial time by Corollary 2. If G is not PC trail-connected, then there is no solution for CPP-2ACD on G , according to Lemma 1.

Now assume that G is PC trail-connected. Then by Theorem 1, we just need to add copies of some arcs in G to make it color-balanced. To decide which set of arcs to be added copies of, we construct, in polynomial time, an undirected weighted complete bipartite graph H .

We build the graph H in the following way. For the given graph G , define $\theta_i^-(v)$ and $\theta_i^+(v)$ for each $v \in V(G)$ and $i \in [2]$ as

$$\theta_i^+(v) = \max\{0, d_{3-i}^-(v) - d_i^+(v)\}, \theta_i^-(v) = \max\{0, d_{3-i}^+(v) - d_i^-(v)\}.$$

Note that we need to add at least $\theta_i^+(v)$ ($\theta_i^-(v)$) copies of outgoing (incoming) arcs of v colored i in order to make v color-balanced.

Let $X_i^+(v)$ ($X_i^-(v)$, respectively) be a vertex set of size $\theta_i^+(v)$ ($\theta_i^-(v)$, respectively) for each $v \in V(G)$ and $i \in [2]$. Note that at most one of $\theta_i^+(v)$ and $\theta_{3-i}^-(v)$ is not zero, for $i \in [2]$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} X_1^+ &= \bigcup_{v \in V(G)} X_1^+(v), X_2^+ = \bigcup_{v \in V(G)} X_2^+(v), \\ X_1^- &= \bigcup_{v \in V(G)} X_1^-(v), X_2^- = \bigcup_{v \in V(G)} X_2^-(v). \end{aligned}$$

Denote $X^+ = \bigcup_{v \in V(G), i \in [2]} X_i^+(v)$, and $X^- = \bigcup_{v \in V(G), i \in [2]} X_i^-(v)$, and let $V(H) = X^+ \cup X^-$. Both X^+ and X^- are independent sets in H .

Add an edge xy between each pair of vertices $x \in X_i^+(u)$ and $y \in X_j^-(v)$, for any $i, j \in [2]$ and $u, v \in V(G)$, where we allow $i = j$ and/or $u = v$. Set the weight $\omega(xy)$ to be the minimum weight of a PC FEV $u-v$ trail in G with starting color i and ending color j . Such a PC $u-v$ trail in G can be computed in the following way. Just delete all outgoing arcs of u with color $3-i$ and all incoming arcs of v with color $3-j$ and then compute the minimum weight PC FEV $u-v$ trail, which can be done in polynomial time by Lemma 6. Note that H is a complete bipartite graph as G is PC trail-connected. This completes the description of H .

For an arbitrary arc $uv \in V(G)$, without loss of generality, assume it has color 1, then it is counted once positively in $\sum_{u \in V(G)} (d_1^+(u) - d_2^-(u))$ and once negatively in $\sum_{v \in V(G)} (d_2^+(v) - d_1^-(v))$. It follows that

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} (d_1^+(v) - d_2^-(v)) + \sum_{v \in V(G)} (d_2^+(v) - d_1^-(v)) = 0. \quad (2)$$

Define

$$V_1 = \{v \in V(G) | d_1^+(v) - d_2^-(v) > 0\}, V_2 = \{v \in V(G) | d_1^+(v) - d_2^-(v) < 0\},$$

$$V_3 = \{v \in V(G) | d_2^+(v) - d_1^-(v) > 0\}, V_4 = \{v \in V(G) | d_2^+(v) - d_1^-(v) < 0\}.$$

Note that $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$, and $V_3 \cap V_4 = \emptyset$, therefore (2) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{v \in V_1} (d_1^+(v) - d_2^-(v)) + \sum_{v \in V_3} (d_2^+(v) - d_1^-(v)) = \\ & - \left(\sum_{v \in V_2} (d_1^+(v) - d_2^-(v)) + \sum_{v \in V_4} (d_2^+(v) - d_1^-(v)) \right) = \\ & \sum_{v \in V_2} (d_2^-(v) - d_1^+(v)) + \sum_{v \in V_4} (d_1^-(v) - d_2^+(v)). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

By the definitions of $\theta_i^+(v), \theta_i^-(v), X_i^+(v)$ and $X_i^-(v)$ for $i \in [2]$, it follows that

$$|X_1^+| = \sum_{v \in V_2} \theta_1^+(v) = \sum_{v \in V_2} (d_2^-(v) - d_1^+(v)),$$

$$|X_1^-| = \sum_{v \in V_3} \theta_1^-(v) = \sum_{v \in V_3} (d_2^+(v) - d_1^-(v)),$$

$$|X_2^+| = \sum_{v \in V_4} \theta_2^+(v) = \sum_{v \in V_4} (d_1^-(v) - d_2^+(v)),$$

$$|X_2^-| = \sum_{v \in V_1} \theta_2^-(v) = \sum_{v \in V_1} (d_1^+(v) - d_2^-(v)).$$

Thus (3) is equivalent to $|X^+| = |X_1^+| + |X_2^+| = |X_1^-| + |X_2^-| = |X^-|$. So there must exist a perfect matching in H .

Let $e = xy$ be an edge in H , with $x \in X_i^+(u)$ and $y \in X_j^-(v)$ where we may have $u = v$ and/or $i = j$. An e -trail T is a minimum weight PC FEV u - v trail starting with color i and ending with color j . Adding an e -trail T to G is to add a copy of each arc which appears in T .

We first give a claim about the effects of adding an e -trail to G .

Claim 1: Let $e = xy$ be an edge in H . Adding an e -trail T to G has the following effects:

1. For any vertex $v \in V(G)$, $d^+(v) - d^-(v)$ changes if and only if T is open and v is either its first or last vertex.

2. If $v \in V(G)$ is neither the first nor the last vertex of T , then both $d_1^+(v) - d_2^-(v)$ and $d_2^+(v) - d_1^-(v)$ do not change.
3. If T is a closed trail starting and ending at v , let i (j , respectively) be the color of its first (last, respectively) arc. Then $d_i^+(v) - d_{3-i}^-(v)$ increases by 1 if $3 - i \neq j$, and it does not change if $3 - i = j$; $d_{3-i}^+(v) - d_i^-(v)$ decreases by 1 if $i = j$, and it does not change if $i \neq j$.
4. If T is open, let u be its first vertex, and i the color of the first arc, then $d_i^+(u) - d_j^-(u)$ increases by 1, for any $j \in [2]$. Let v be its last vertex, and j the color of the last arc, then $d_i^+(v) - d_j^-(v)$ decreases by 1, for any $i \in [2]$.

Proof. Just observe that each e -trail is a PC FEV trail, in which there is no repetition of any arc. \square

In the following, we prove that the minimum weight of a perfect matching in H plus the weight of G equals to the weight of an optimal solution for CPP-2ACD on G . Moreover, the minimum weight perfect matching of H provides us an optimal way to make G color-balanced.

On the one hand, we prove that given any perfect matching \mathcal{M} of H , if we add an e -trail to G for each edge $e \in \mathcal{M}$, we get a PC Euler digraph G' (see Claim 2). On the other hand, we show that for any PC Euler digraph $G'' = (V(G), A(G) \cup W)$ which is obtained by adding arcs in W , we can decompose arcs in W into a set \mathcal{F} of e -trails which corresponds to a perfect matching of H (see Claim 3).

Claim 2: Let \mathcal{M} be any perfect matching of H , and G' is the digraph we obtain by adding an e -trail to G for each edge $e \in \mathcal{M}$. Then G' is PC Euler.

Proof. To prove that G' is PC Euler, by Theorem 1, we need to show two conditions: G' is PC trail-connected and G' is color-balanced.

First, for any two arcs $f_1, f_2 \in A(G)$, there is a PC trail T from f_1 to f_2 in G , as G is PC trail-connected. Observe that T is also a PC trail from f_1 to f_2 in G' , as G' is obtained by adding copies of some arcs to G . Consequently G' is PC trail-connected.

Second, to show that G' is color-balanced, we need to prove $d_{1,G'}^-(v) = d_{2,G'}^+(v)$ and $d_{2,G'}^-(v) = d_{1,G'}^+(v)$, for any vertex $v \in V(G')$. If $d_{i,G}^-(v) > d_{3-i,G}^+(v)$, then $|X_{3-i}^+(v)| = d_{i,G}^-(v) - d_{3-i,G}^+(v)$ and $|X_i^-(v)| = 0$, for $i \in [2]$. Therefore $d_{i,G}^-(v) - d_{3-i,G}^+(v)$ e -trails starting with an outgoing arc of v colored with $3 - i$ are added to G and no e -trail ending with an incoming arc of v colored with i is added to G . If $d_{i,G}^-(v) \leq d_{3-i,G}^+(v)$, then $d_{3-i,G}^+(v) - d_{i,G}^-(v)$ e -trails are added to G ending with an incoming arc of v colored with i and no e -trail is added to G starting with an outgoing arc of v colored with $3 - i$. Thus by Claim 1, G' is color-balanced. It follows that G' is PC Euler. \square

Claim 3: Let $G^* = (V(G), A(G) \cup W)$ be an optimal solution for CPP-2ACD on G with minimum number of arcs, where W is the set of added arcs. Then we can decompose W into a set \mathcal{F} of e -trails, such that there is an edge set $M = \{e \in E(H) : \text{there is an } e\text{-trail in } \mathcal{F}\}$ which is a perfect matching in H .

Proof. Note that G^* is color-balanced, hence in the graph induced by W , we have for any $v \in V(W)$ and $i \in [2]$

$$d_{3-i,W}^+(v) - d_{i,W}^-(v) = d_{i,G}^-(v) - d_{3-i,G}^+(v) = \theta_{3-i}^+(v) = |X_{3-i}^+(v)|,$$

$$d_{3-i,W}^-(v) - d_{i,W}^+(v) = d_{i,G}^+(v) - d_{3-i,G}^-(v) = \theta_{3-i}^-(v) = |X_{3-i}^-(v)|.$$

Define $W_i^+ = \{u \in V(W) : d_{i,W}^+(u) > 0, d_{3-i,W}^-(u) = 0\}$ and $W_j^- = \{u \in V(W) : d_{j,W}^-(u) > 0, d_{3-j,W}^+(u) = 0\}$ for $i, j \in [2]$. Observe that for any two vertices $u \in W_i^+$ and $v \in W_j^-$, if there is a PC FEV u - v trail in W starting with color i , and ending with j , it must be one with minimum weight in G . Otherwise, consider the graph $G^{*'} = G^* - T_1 + T_2$, where $T_1 \in W, T_2 \in G$ both are PC FEV u - v trails starting with color i , and ending with color j , but T_2 has smaller weight than T_1 . Note that $G^{*'}$ is also a PC Euler, as it is PC trail-connected and color-balanced, consequently $G^{*'}$ is a better solution than G^* , which is a contradiction to the assumption that G^* is an optimal solution.

Observe that as G^* is an optimal solution with minimum number of arcs for CPP-ACD on G , there is no PC cycle in W . Otherwise we may delete such PC cycles in W from G^* , and get a better solution for CPP-ACD on G , a contradiction. It follows that we may decompose W into a set \mathcal{F} of PC FEV u - v trails such that $u \in W_i^+$ and $v \in W_j^-$ for $i, j \in [2]$ where we allow $u = v$ and/or $i = j$. By the above arguments, we know each PC FEV u - v trail has minimum possible weight, thus corresponds to an edge in H between $X^+(u)$ and $X^-(v)$. For any edge set $M = \{e' \in E(X_i^+(u), X_j^-(v)) : \text{there is an } e\text{-trail in } \mathcal{F} \text{ which starts at } u \text{ with color } i \text{ and ends at } v \text{ with color } j, \text{ where we allow } u = v \text{ and/or } i = j\}$, there are $|X_i^+(v)|$ edges in M that are incident with vertices in $X_i^+(v)$ and $|X_i^-(v)|$ edges in M that are incident with vertices in $X_i^-(v)$ for each $i \in [2]$. Moreover, H is a complete bipartite graph. Consequently, we may choose M such that any two edges in M are not incident with each other. And thus M is a perfect matching in H . \square

By Claim 2, for any perfect matching \mathcal{M} in H , adding an e -trail to G for each edge $e \in \mathcal{M}$ results in a PC Euler graph. Let $G'' = (V(G), A(G) \cup W)$ be a PC Euler digraph with minimum weight and minimum number of arcs. By Claim 3, W corresponds to a perfect matching in H . Thus for a minimum weight perfect matching \mathcal{M}^* in H , adding an e -trail to G for each edge $e \in \mathcal{M}^*$ results in a minimum weight PC Euler graph G^* . So to solve CPP-2ACD on G , it suffices to compute a minimum weight perfect matching in H , which can be done in $O(|V(H)|^3)$ by the Hungarian method, thus we can solve CPP-2ACD on G in polynomial time. \square




Figure 1: The graph H .

4 Discussions

In this paper, we generalize the polynomial time solvability of Chinese Postman Problem on 2-edge-colored graphs to 2-arc-colored weighted digraphs. First, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a c -arc-colored digraph to have a solution for CPP-ACD. We then provide a characterization of PC Euler 2-arc-colored digraphs and show how to find a PC Euler trail of such a graph in polynomial time. Using the characterization, we further show how to solve CPP-2ACD on a given 2-arc-colored digraph in polynomial time.

It would be interesting to see whether our result can be further extended to c -arc-colored digraphs for $c > 2$. We leave this as an open problem. Note that being PC trail-connected and color-balanced is not sufficient for a c -arc-colored digraph to be PC Euler. Figure 4 gives a PC trail-connected color-balanced graph H which is not PC Euler. It is easy to see that H is color-balanced. It is also not hard to see that every arc f_i of the cycle $vu_iu_{i+1}v$ can reach every arc f_j of the cycle $vu_ju_{j+1}v$ using a trail starting at f_i and ending at f_j (in the case $\{i, j\} = \{1, 3\}$, we will have to use arcs of the cycle vu_5u_6v). To see that H has no PC Euler trail, observe that we cannot switch between cycles vu_1u_2v and vu_3u_4v twice as each switch will require arcs of the cycle vu_5u_6v .

References

- [1] A Abouelaoualim, K Ch Das, W Fernandez de la Vega, Marek Karpinski, Yannis Manoussakis, CA Martinhon, and Rachid Saad. Cycles and paths in edge-colored graphs with given degrees. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 64(1):63–86, 2010.
- [2] Sandeep Kour Ahuja. *Algorithms for routing and channel assignment in wireless infrastructure networks*. PhD thesis, The University of Arizona, 2010.

- [3] Edoardo Amaldi, Giulia Galbiati, and Francesco Maffioli. On minimum reload cost paths, tours, and flows. *Networks*, 57(3):254–260, 2011.
- [4] Jørgen Bang-Jensen and Gregory Z Gutin. *Digraphs: theory, algorithms and applications*. Springer, 2 edition, 2009.
- [5] Korte Bernhard and J Vygen. *Combinatorial optimization: Theory and algorithms. Springer, Third Edition, 2005.*, 2008.
- [6] René van Bevern, Rolf Niedermeier, Manuel Sorge, and Mathias Weller. The complexity of arc routing problems. In *Arc Routing: Problems, Methods, and Applications*. SIAM, 2014.
- [7] Béla Bollobás. *Modern graph theory*, volume 184. Springer, 2013.
- [8] Jack Edmonds and Ellis L Johnson. Matching, euler tours and the chinese postman. *Mathematical programming*, 5(1):88–124, 1973.
- [9] Cristina G Fernandes, Orlando Lee, and Yoshiko Wakabayashi. Minimum cycle cover and chinese postman problems on mixed graphs with bounded tree-width. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 157(2):272–279, 2009.
- [10] Shinya Fujita and Colton Magnant. Properly colored paths and cycles. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 159(14):1391–1397, 2011.
- [11] Giulia Galbiati, Stefano Gualandi, and Francesco Maffioli. On minimum reload cost cycle cover. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 164:112–120, 2014.
- [12] Ioannis Gamvros. *Satellite network, design, optimization, and management*. PhD thesis, University of Maryland, 2006.
- [13] Laurent Gourvès, Adria Lyra, Carlos A Martinhon, and Jérôme Monnot. Complexity of trails, paths and circuits in arc-colored digraphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 161(6):819–828, 2013.
- [14] Gregory Gutin, Mark Jones, and Bin Sheng. Parameterized complexity of the k-arc chinese postman problem. In *European Symposium on Algorithms*, pages 530–541. Springer, 2014.
- [15] Gregory Gutin, Mark Jones, Bin Sheng, and Magnus Wahlström. Parameterized directed k-chinese postman problem and k arc-disjoint cycles problem on euler digraphs. In *International Workshop on Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science*, pages 250–262. Springer, 2014.
- [16] Gregory Gutin, Mark Jones, Bin Sheng, Magnus Wahlström, and Anders Yeo. Chinese postman problem on edge-colored multigraphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 217:196–202, 2017.

- [17] Gregory Gutin, Mark Jones, and Magnus Wahlström. Structural parameterizations of the mixed chinese postman problem. In *Algorithms-ESA 2015*, pages 668–679. Springer, 2015.
- [18] Gregory Gutin, Gabriele Muciaccia, and Anders Yeo. Parameterized complexity of k-chinese postman problem. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 513:124–128, 2013.
- [19] Gregory Gutin, Bin Sheng, and Magnus Wahlström. Odd properly colored cycles in edge-colored graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 340(4):817–821, 2017.
- [20] Gregory Gutin, Benjamin Sudakov, and Anders Yeo. Note on alternating directed cycles. *Discrete Mathematics*, 191(1-3):101–107, 1998.
- [21] Anton Kotzig. Moves without forbidden transitions in a graph. *Matematický časopis*, 18(1):76–80, 1968.
- [22] Allan Lo. A dirac type condition for properly coloured paths and cycles. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 76(1):60–87, 2014.
- [23] Allan Lo. An edge-colored version of dirac’s theorem. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 28(1):18–36, 2014.
- [24] Christos H Papadimitriou. On the complexity of edge traversing. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 23(3):544–554, 1976.
- [25] PA Pevzner. Computational molecular biology: an algorithmic approach. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 18(3):1, 2000.
- [26] Swaminathan Sankararaman, Alon Efrat, Srinivasan Ramasubramanian, and Pankaj K Agarwal. On channel-discontinuity-constraint routing in wireless networks. *Ad hoc networks*, 13:153–169, 2014.
- [27] Marta Szachniuk, Maria Cristina De Cola, Giovanni Felici, and Jacek Blazewicz. The orderly colored longest path problem-a survey of applications and new algorithms. *RAIRO-Operations Research*, 48(1):25–51, 2014.
- [28] Marta Szachniuk, Mariusz Popenda, Ryszard W Adamiak, and Jacek Blazewicz. An assignment walk through 3D NMR spectrum. In *Computational Intelligence in Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, 2009. CIBCB’09. IEEE Symposium on*, pages 215–219. IEEE, 2009.
- [29] Carsten Thomassen. On the complexity of finding a minimum cycle cover of a graph. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 26(3):675–677, 1997.

- [30] I-Lun Tseng, Huan-Wen Chen, and Che-I Lee. Obstacle-aware longest-path routing with parallel milp solvers. In *World Congress on Engineering and Computer Science (WCECS)*, volume 2. Citeseer, 2010.
- [31] Haiyan Xu, D Marc Kilgour, Keith W Hipel, and Graeme Kemkes. Using matrices to link conflict evolution and resolution in a graph model. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 207(1):318–329, 2010.
- [32] Haiyan Xu, Kevin W Li, D Marc Kilgour, and Keith W Hipel. A matrix-based approach to searching colored paths in a weighted colored multigraph. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 215(1):353–366, 2009.
- [33] Anders Yeo. A note on alternating cycles in edge-coloured graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 69(2):222–225, 1997.